

Remote Sens. **2015**, *7*, 8102–8106; doi:10.3390/rs70608102

OPEN ACCESS

remote sensing

ISSN 2072-4292

www.mdpi.com/journal/remotesensing

Editorial

Earth Observation for Ecosystems Monitoring in Space and Time: A Special Issue in Remote Sensing

Duccio Rocchini

Department of Biodiversity and Molecular Ecology, Research and Innovation Centre, Fondazione Edmund Mach, Via E. Mach 1, 38010 S. Michele all'Adige (TN), Italy;
E-Mail: ducciorocchini@gmail.com or duccio.rocchini@fmach.it

Academic Editor: Prasad S. Thenkabail

Received: 15 June 2015 / Accepted: 16 June 2015 / Published: 18 June 2015

Abstract: This Editorial introduces the papers published in the special issue “Earth Observation for Ecosystems Monitoring in Space and Time” which includes the most important researchers in the field and the most challenging aspects of the application of remote sensing to study ecosystems.

Keywords: forests; marine ecosystems; modeling techniques; remote sensing; terrestrial ecosystems

1. Introduction: Why a Special Issue on Earth Observation for Ecosystems Monitoring in Space and Time?

Nowadays, a number of different sensors are available for studying ecosystems from space. This allows researchers to study ecosystems at a number of spatial scales (considering both grain and extent) with a high temporal resolution.

Further, ecological theory has been applied to remote sensing data to monitor species dispersal and diversity over space and time. Ecosystem-based models have also been developed to monitor, at a high temporal resolution, Earth surface changes over large areas. The need for high temporal resolution for studying global and local changes is directly related to the use of techniques other than field-based monitoring. Consequently, remote sensing is critical for ecosystems monitoring.

Remote sensing and ecosystems monitoring challenges include (i) scale issues, (ii) data gathering and analysis, and (iii) software development.

Acknowledgments

Duccio Rocchini is partially funded by: (i) the EU BON (Building the European Biodiversity Observation Network) project, funded by the European Union under the 7th Framework programme, Contract No. 308454, (ii) the ERA-Net BiodivERsA, with the national funders ANR, BelSPO and DFG, part of the 2012-2013 BiodivERsA call for research proposals, (iii) the ICT COST Action TD1202 “Mapping and the citizen sensor”, funded by the European Commission.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

References

1. Ceballos, A.; Hernández, J.; Corvalán, P.; Galleguillos, M. Comparison of Airborne LiDAR and Satellite Hyperspectral Remote Sensing to Estimate Vascular Plant Richness in Deciduous Mediterranean Forests of Central Chile. *Remote Sens.* **2015**, *7*, 2692–2714.
2. Mallegowda, P.; Rengaiyan, G.; Krishnan, J.; Niphadkar, M. Assessing Habitat Quality of Forest-Corridors through NDVI Analysis in Dry Tropical Forests of South India: Implications for Conservation. *Remote Sens.* **2015**, *7*, 1619–1639.
3. Liu, G.; Heron, S.F.; Eakin, C.M.; Muller-Karger, F.E.; Vega-Rodriguez, M.; Guild, L.S.; De La Cour, J.L.; Geiger, E.F.; Skirving, W.J.; Burgess, T.F.R.; *et al.* Reef-Scale Thermal Stress Monitoring of Coral Ecosystems: New 5-km Global Products from NOAA Coral Reef Watch. *Remote Sens.* **2014**, *6*, 11579–11606.
4. Pérez-Hoyos, A.; Martínez, B.; García-Haro, F.J.; Moreno, Á.; Gilabert, M.A. Identification of Ecosystem Functional Types from Coarse Resolution Imagery Using a Self-Organizing Map Approach: A Case Study for Spain. *Remote Sens.* **2014**, *6*, 11391–11419.
5. Al-Hamdan, M.; Cruise, J.; Rickman, D.; Quattrochi, D. Forest Stand Size-Species Models Using Spatial Analyses of Remotely Sensed Data. *Remote Sens.* **2014**, *6*, 9802–9828.
6. Frate, L.; Saura, S.; Minotti, M.; Di Martino, P.; Giancola, C.; Carranza, M.L. Quantifying Forest Spatial Pattern Trends at Multiple Extents: An Approach to Detect Significant Changes at Different Scales. *Remote Sens.* **2014**, *6*, 9298–9315.
7. Cai, W.; Yuan, W.; Liang, S.; Liu, S.; Dong, W.; Chen, Y.; Liu, D.; Zhang, H. Large Differences in Terrestrial Vegetation Production Derived from Satellite-Based Light Use Efficiency Models. *Remote Sens.* **2014**, *6*, 8945–8965.
8. Möckel, T.; Dalmayne, J.; Prentice, H.C.; Eklundh, L.; Purschke, O.; Schmidlein, S.; Hall, K. Classification of Grassland Successional Stages Using Airborne Hyperspectral Imagery. *Remote Sens.* **2014**, *6*, 7732–7761.
9. Dusseux, P.; Corpetti, T.; Hubert-Moy, L.; Corgne, S. Combined Use of Multi-Temporal Optical and Radar Satellite Images for Grassland Monitoring. *Remote Sens.* **2014**, *6*, 6163–6182.
10. Xu, H.; Twine, T.E.; Yang, X. Evaluating Remotely Sensed Phenological Metrics in a Dynamic Ecosystem Model. *Remote Sens.* **2014**, *6*, 4660–4686.

11. Rocchini, D.; Foody, G.M.; Nagendra, H.; Ricotta, C.; Anand, M.; He, K.S.; Amici, V.; Kleinschmit, B.; FÄrster, M.; Schmidlein, S.; *et al.* Uncertainty in ecosystem mapping by remote sensing. *Comput. Geosci.* **2013**, *50*, 128–135.

© 2015 by the author; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).