Studies in Agricultural Economics

Volume 113, Number 2

Editor-in-Chief Andrew F. FIELDSEND Agrárgazdasági Kutató Intézet, Budapest, Hungary FOREWORD Chairman of the Editorial Board POPP József Agrárgazdasági Kutató Intézet, Budapest, Hungary (**Editorial Board** Sabine BAUM Ι Leibniz-Institut für Agrarentwicklung in Mittel- und F Osteuropa, Halle (Saale), Germany Štefan BOJNEC Univerze na Primorskem, Koper, Slovenia Richard M. CRUSE Iowa State University, Ames, USA CSÁKI Csaba C Budapesti Corvinus Egyetem, Budapest, Hungary FEKETE-FARKAS Mária f Szent István Egyetem, Gödöllő, Hungary FEHÉR Alajos Debreceni Egyetem, Debrecen, Hungary (FORGÁCS Csaba ł Budapesti Corvinus Egyetem, Budapest, Hungary Matthew GORTON University of Newcastle, Newcastle, UK Wim J. M. HEIJMAN F Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands KAPRONCZAI István 6 Agrárgazdasági Kutató Intézet, Budapest, Hungary **KEREKES Kinga** Universitatea Babes-Bolyai, Cluj-Napoca, Romania 6 KISS Judit MTA Világgazdasági Kutatóintézet, Budapest, Hungary i LAKNER Zoltán Budapesti Corvinus Egyetem, Budapest, Hungary F LEHOTA József Szent István Egyetem, Gödöllő, Hungary 1 MÉSZÁROS Sándor Budapest, Hungary NÁBRÁDI András Debreceni Egyetem, Debrecen, Hungary 0 NAGY Frigyes Nyugat-Magyarországi Egyetem, Mosonmagyaróvár, Hungary Julia NEUWIRTH Bundesanstalt für Agrarwirtschaft, Austria **BOOK REVIEW** Jan W. OWSINSKI Instytut Badań Systemowych, PAN, Warszawa, Poland SZAKÁLY Zoltán Kaposvári Egyetem, Kaposvár, Hungary Vladimír SZÉKELY Geografický ústav, SAV, Bratislava, Slovakia SZÜCS István Szent István Egyetem, Gödöllő, Hungary TÓTH József Budapesti Corvinus Egyetem, Budapest, Hungary VIZDÁK Károly Szolnoki Főiskola, Szolnok, Hungary **Editor-in-Chief Emeritus** SZABÓ Gábor Magyar Tudományos Akadémia, Budapest, Hungary **Associate Editor** MIHÓK Zsolt https://www.aki.gov.hu/studies Agrárgazdasági Kutató Intézet, Budapest, Hungary HU ISSN 1418 2106 (printed) **Technical Editor** BARNAFI László

Agrárgazdasági Kutató Intézet, Budapest, Hungary

Contents

ARTICLES	
Cohesion Policy for rural areas after 2013 A rationale derived from the EDORA project (European Development Opportunities in Rural Areas) – ESPON 2013 Project 2013/1/2 Andrew K. COPUS, Mark SHUCKSMITH, Thomas DAX and David MEREDITH	121
With 'Regional Territorial Agendas' towards territorial cohesion? The emergence of supra-regional coalitions in Europe and their future role in Cohesion Policy Robert KNIPPSCHILD	133
Conceptualising 'macro-regions': Viewpoints and tools beyond NUTS classification Christos LADIAS, Nikolas HASANAGAS and Eleni PAPADOPOULOU	138
Rural Europe 2+2+: A conceptual framework for a rural employment policy Andrew F. FIELDSEND	145
The role of broadband developments financed from EU Structural Funds in the enhancement of regional cohesion n the NMS-10 FEKÓ Adrienn, SASS Magdolna and NAGY Gábor	152
Rural areas development as a field of intervention of the European Union Cohesion Policy after 2013 Małgorzata MICHALEWSKA-PAWLAK	161
The convergence process of the European regions: the role of Regional Policy and the Common Agricultural Policy Elisa MONTRESOR, Francesco PECCI and Nicola PONTAROLLO	167

ABSTRACTS OF AKI PUBLICATIONS

INFORMATION FOR AUTHORS

Manuscripts should be prepared in English and sent via e-mail to the Editor-in-Chief at studies@aki.gov.hu.

SPONSORS OF THIS ISSUE

Research Institute of Agricultural Economics Committee on Agricultural Economics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences

© Research Institute of Agricultural Economics, 2011 1463 Budapest, POB 944, Hungary HU ISSN 2063 0476 (electronic) Established 1962

Foreword

The invited articles published in this issue of *Studies in Agricultural Economics* address the important theme of 'The Role of Cohesion Policy in Rural Development'. All were first presented at the conference entitled 'What Future for Cohesion Policy? – An Academic and Policy Debate' held on 16-18 March 2011 in Bled, Slovenia.

The conference was co-organised by the Directorate-General for Regional Policy (European Commission), the Regional Studies Association and the Government Office for Local Self-Government and Regional Policy, Slovenia. It took place at a key point in the cohesion policy calendar – immediately following the publication of the Fifth Cohesion Report¹ and close to the time when the European Union (EU) was expected to publish its proposals for the post-2013 financial perspective.

Central to the debates on the future of the policy are the following themes:

- Policy goals, including the contribution to Europe 2020;
- The interpretation of territorial cohesion;
- The spatial coverage of the policy;
- Policy performance and effectiveness;
- The efficiency of governance structures and implementation arrangements;
- The relationship between Cohesion Policy and other EU structural policies such as Rural Development.

Amongst these themes, the latter is of particular significance to readers of *Studies in Agricultural Economics*. The Fifth Cohesion Report explicitly states (p.273) that 'Rural areas are a key element in any strategy for sustainability and territorial balance' and notes that 'rural regions often share particular strengths and assets, on which Cohesion Policy can build'. Large sections of the Report are devoted to discussing rural issues.

The conference provided a forum for the first international academic debate on the Fifth Cohesion Report between regional studies researchers and senior officials from the European institutions. It also provided an opportunity to take stock of recent research on Structural and Cohesion Funds and for discussion of the above themes. The seven papers selected for this thematic issue of *Studies in Agricultural Economics* explore several aspects of the relationship between Cohesion Policy and Rural Development.

Recognising that rural change is multifaceted, Copus, Schucksmith, Dax and Meredith propose that Cohesion Policy for rural areas after 2013 should combine carefully targeted horizontal programmes with neo-endogenous local development approaches. They stress that with increasing connexity geographical proximity (such as local urban-rural interactions) is becoming less important than 'organised proximity'. In line with this, Knippschild demonstrates, using several examples, that supra-regional coalitions are emerging in the EU which can cross national borders. But these can be observed more often in western than in central and eastern Europe, where coalition building and strategy development still face severe problems. Across the EU, the question of delineating the respective cooperation areas is a major challenge. Using migration, an issue of major importance to rural areas, as an illustration, Ladias, Hasanagas and Papadopoulou suggest the quantitative network approach as a tool for this purpose. This could allow policy making to better address real issues, by being less constrained by administrative or historical boundaries.

Fieldsend notes that there is no simple definition of rural employment but that a sustainable approach to exploiting natural capital, together with the development of the other capitals (human, social, physical and financial) of the territory via a place-based (i.e. territorial policy) approach, can create jobs and encourage working age people either to stay in, or relocate to, rural areas. This demands a closer alignment of rural and regional funding. An example of this is funding for broadband Internet access. Fekó, Sass and Nagy show that, in this respect, disparities between rural and areas are still huge (especially in the New Member States) and recommend that Structural Funds should be used to stimulate the development of superfast broadband networks in rural areas of the EU. However, Michalewska-Pawlak observes that 'rural development' in the EU is presently dominated by the agriculture approach, and that the social, cultural, service aspects of rural development are marginalised. The challenge posed by rural areas to Cohesion Policy after 2014 can only be met by including a separate rural development programme within Structural Funds.

Finally, Montresor, Pecci and Pontarollo attempt to quantify whether regional and rural policy and funding are effective in promoting economic growth and foster the process of convergence of EU regions. Using a methodology based on the Solovian model, they show that both CAP funding and Structural Funds have had a positive impact on regional convergence.

Studies in Agricultural Economics would particularly like to thank Sally Hardy, Chief Executive of the Regional Studies Association, for her cooperation in allowing these seven papers of relevance to rural development to be brought to the attention of a wider audience.

> Andrew Fieldsend Budapest, September 2011

¹ EC (2010): Investing in Europe's future: Fifth report on economic, social and territorial cohesion. Brussel: European Commission.

Book review

Andrew F. FIELDSEND and Julie M. BOONE

A Practical Guide to Stimulating Entrepreneurship in Rural Areas*

* Essex County Council 2007. 68 pp.

The Interreg IIIC project 'PRAXIS – Making Rural Entrepreneurship Work' published a handbook entitled 'A Practical Guide to Stimulating Entrepreneurship in Rural Areas', also known as the PRAXIS Rural Entrepreneurship Toolkit. The target groups for the toolkit include rural development practitioners (such as regional and economic development agencies), policy and decision makers at local, regional and national level, non-governmental organisations, academic institutions and training organisations, and organisations representing businesses (such as chambers of commerce).

The toolkit is structured into nine sections, of which section 1 is the introduction. Section 2 defines the target groups for the toolkit, whilst section 3 includes definitions of 'rural development', 'sustainable development', 'rural', 'rural economy' and 'entrepreneurship'. Section 4 stresses that the best approach to stimulating rural entrepreneurship will depend on the circumstances in the region. The main body of the toolkit, however, is sections 5 (Good practice in promoting rural entrepreneurship) and 6 (Integrated list of policy recommendations), the preparation of which drew on work carried out by partners during the PRAXIS project. Completing the toolkit are sections 7 (Sources of European funding), 8 (Contact details of the 13 PRAXIS partners) and 9 (A list of other European projects relevant to rural entrepreneurship).

For each of seven issues related to rural entrepreneurship the toolkit provides two examples of good practice from across the EU and a case study of an entrepreneur who has benefited from such good practice. The issues are as follows:

- Communication effective rural partnerships
- Strategy regional and local and sustainable rural development strategies
- Support Innovation support through sharing good practice

- Awareness Promoting the correct understanding of entrepreneurship in rural areas
- Funding maximisation of the use of European funding including alignment with core funding
- Customer focus creating an environment in which rural entrepreneurship can flourish
- Education creating an entrepreneurial mindset

The toolkit presents 17 policy recommendations aimed at promoting rural entrepreneurship, cross-referenced to the issues listed above. The recommendations cover topics such as simplifying bureaucracy, developing support services for businesses, encouraging links between higher education and industry, formulating rural strategies and encouraging good local governance. The toolkit should ensure that the accumulated experience of the PRAXIS project will have a sustainable, long-term impact on stimulating rural entrepreneurship across the EU.

This is a valuable introduction to European rural development in general, and specifically to awakening the entrepreneurial spirit in areas which, in the wake of momentous changes to agricultural policy, badly need it to maintain prosperity, population and culture. It is erudite without being stuffy, and the many case studies help to bring the text to life and show clearly the potential impact of thoughtful policy, effectively implemented.

Copies of A Practical Guide to Stimulating Entrepreneurship in Rural Areas *can be obtained free of charge by emailing* studies@aki.gov.hu.

Reviewed by: Martyn F. Warren, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK. m.f.warren@exeter.ac.uk

Abstracts of AKI publications

The results of AKI's research work are presented in detail in a series of Hungarian language publications. English language abstracts are reproduced below. The publications may be downloaded from the AKI website (www.aki.gov.hu) or requested in printed form from aki@aki.gov.hu.

MERKEL Krisztina and TÓTH Kristóf Taxation of agriculture, with special regard to private farms

Published February 2011

The study investigates the legal background of agricultural taxation in Hungary and performs calculations for detecting any anomalies deriving from the taxing peculiarities of the private farms. It also presents the agricultural tax systems of the individual EU Member States with the purpose of drawing conclusions therefrom concerning the Hungarian system. In its calculations, the study relies partly on international data and partly on the database of the APEH (Hungarian Tax Authority). In the last part, the study puts forward suggestions divided into two categories. The first category includes taxation schemes that require minor modifications, while the other group includes taxation methods requiring considerable transformation of the existing system.

KEMÉNY Gábor, VARGA Tibor and colleagues

Problems and further development possibilities of the Hungarian agricultural insurance system

Published March 2011

The study is divided into two main parts: the first investigates the problems of the Hungarian agricultural insurance system and the second reviews the possible take-off points of the system. The study identifies the low number of insurable weather hazards, the low penetration rate, the farmers' low inclination to premium assumption, increasing climatic hazards and the tough competition amongst insurers as the principal problems of the current system. These causes together have led to a situation that some insurers have contemplated their withdrawal from the Hungarian market, thus imperilling even the maintenance of the current low level of risk management. Intervention by the state has been identified by the study as a take-off point from this situation, and this could be executed in two ways: firstly, the current damage mitigation system would have to be transformed into an all-risk system and, secondly, an insurance premium supporting scheme would have to be launched, where the state would specify the insurance of hazards until now not insured (such as drought, internal water, flood and spring frost) in exchange for premium supports.

JUHÁSZ Anikó and WAGNER Hartmut Effects on foreign trade of German hard-discounters' global expansion

Published May 2011

In our study we have demonstrated the existence of a direct relationship between the international expansion of the German owned discount chains (Aldi and Lidl) and the simultaneous and continuous improvement of the food industrial foreign trade balance of Germany on their target markets. According to our calculations, a sales revenue increase of the discount chains in the order of EUR 100 million generated a growth of the German food industry's imports of roundly EUR 75 million in the average of the 15 selected target markets.

BIRÓ Szabolcs, KAPRONCZAI István and colleagues Water use and irrigation development in Hungarian agriculture

Published May 2011

One of the greatest challenges of agricultural commodity production in Hungary is the country's exposure to the growing weather extremes that might become a restricting factor of Hungary's agricultural competitiveness. Presently, the main function of the agricultural water use and irrigation development consists in enhancing yield security and improving quality, i.e. preventing damage due to extreme weather conditions. Our research has evaluated domestic agricultural water management, water use and irrigation principally from the point of view of agricultural production, but simultaneously in a wide overview, considering also the economic, environmental and social aspects, for preventing water from becoming a factor restricting the efficiency of production. Our research shows that rational extension of the irrigated areas could contribute to increasing Hungary's market share. Fragmented land structure and, in irrigation development, the lack of strong state involvement may be identified as the main impediments.

POTORI Norbert, POPP József and colleagues Production of biomass for energy generation in Hungary

Published August 2011

Our study focuses on the current situation and on the opportunities for the production and energetic utilisation of biomass in Hungary. The scope is unequivocally determined by our commitments made as a Member State of the European Union and by the current market conditions. The quantity of biomass required for the fulfilment of the goals set is already available. Implementation of biogas power plants is motivated by injection of the upgraded biogas into the natural gas-grid and by waste management considerations. Additional ethanol production and vegetable oil esterification capacities would be required for the satisfaction of the internal market's demand for biofuels from domestic sources.

Studies in Agricultural Economics

Information for authors

Studies in Agricultural Economics publishes original research papers, review papers, policy analyses and book reviews on agricultural economics, rural development and related topics including: agricultural production and competitiveness, environmental resource management, agri-food supply chain management, markets and marketing, international trade, econometrics, rural economic geography, rural economy and sociology, and development of information and knowledge based society in rural areas.

Audience

Researchers, academics, policy makers and practitioners in agricultural economics and rural development.

Submission of manuscripts

Submission of an article implies that the work described has not been published previously in English (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture or academic thesis), that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere, that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly or explicitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out. Papers will not normally exceed 6000 words including the reference list and figure and table captions. Authors intending to prepare a book review should first consult the Editor-in-Chief and such a review should not exceed 1000 words.

Shorter papers and comments, of up to 1500 words, will also be considered for publication. Such notes might deal with the economic aspects of current questions of agricultural and rural policy, with the results of small research projects not justifying a full-length article, or comment on articles previously published.

Manuscripts should be submitted in .doc or compatible format. They should be prepared using A4 format, TNR 12 pt text and 1.5 line spacing and be in single-column format with wide margins. Do not hyphenate words and use **bold** face and *italics* only sparingly, but use subscripts and superscripts where appropriate. Avoid the use of single-sentence paragraphs. Tables should be placed at the end of the manuscript and figures should be submitted as separate files, numbered accordingly. Page and line numbering must be used but no reference should be made to page numbers in the text. You should use the 'spell-check' and 'grammar-check' functions of your wordprocessor, which should be set to *English* English, to avoid unnecessary errors.

Manuscripts will be double-blind reviewed by at least two reviewers and may be returned to the author(s) for revision before acceptance for publication. The Editor-in-Chief will normally consider only one re-submission.

Article structure

Divide your article into clearly defined sections but do not use section or subsection numbers. Each heading should appear on its own separate line. For research papers you are urged to consider using the following structure:

• **Introduction.** State the objectives of the work and provide an adequate background with reference to the

international literature, but avoiding a detailed literature survey or a summary of the results.

- **Methodology.** Provide sufficient detail to allow the work to be reproduced. Methods already published should be indicated by a reference: only relevant modifications should be described.
- **Results.** Results should be clear and concise.
- **Discussion.** This should explore the significance of the results of the work, not repeat them. A combined Results and Discussion section should normally be avoided. You should show how your results add to existing knowledge but avoid extensive citations and discussion of published literature.

Where it is not appropriate to use the above framework, you should finish the paper with conclusions.

Essential title page information

- **Title.** Concise and informative. Avoid abbreviations and formulae where possible.
- **Running title.** Please provide an abbreviated title of no more than 60 characters (including spaces) that can be used as a running title on the page header.
- Author names and affiliations. Present the authors' affiliation addresses (where the actual work was done) below their names.
- **Corresponding author.** Clearly indicate the corresponding author who will handle correspondence at all stages of refereeing and publication, also post-publication. Please provide a telephone and fax number in addition to the e-mail address and the complete postal address.
- **Present/permanent address.** If an author has moved since the work described in the article was done, or was visiting at the time, a 'Present address' (or 'Permanent address') may be indicated. The address at which the author actually did the work must be retained as the main, affiliation address.

Additional information

- Abstract. A single paragraph of 100-250 words should state the purpose of the research, the principal results and major conclusions.
- **Keywords.** Please provide a maximum of six keywords.
- **Abbreviations.** If necessary, define abbreviations that are not standard in this field on the first page of the article.

- Acknowledgements. If applicable, collate acknowledgements in a separate section at the end of the article before the references. List here those individuals and/or organisations that provided help, including financial support, during the research.
- **Nomenclature and units.** Follow internationally accepted rules and conventions: use the international system of units (SI) i.e. metre, second, kilogramme etc. or accepted alternatives e.g. day, litre, tonne.
- Math formulae. Present simple formulae in the line of normal text where possible. Number consecutively any equations that have to be displayed separately from the text (if referred to explicitly in the text). For simple fractions use the solidus (/) instead of a horizontal line. Powers of e are often more conveniently denoted by exp. Give the meaning of all symbols immediately after the equation in which they are first used. Levels of statistical significance which can be mentioned without further explanation are: *P <0.05, **P <0.01 and ***P <0.001.
- **Footnotes.** Footnotes should be used sparingly. Number them consecutively throughout the article, using superscript Arabic numbers. Indicate each footnote in a table with a superscript lowercase letter.

Tables and figures

- **Tables.** Number tables consecutively in accordance with their appearance in the text. Each table should be accompanied by a title and fully descriptive caption. Column headings should be brief but sufficiently explanatory and standard abbreviations of units of measurement should be included between parentheses. Do not use vertical rules to separate columns. Large tables should be avoided. If many data are to be presented, you should consider dividing them over two or more tables. Reversing columns and rows will often reduce the dimensions of a table.
- Figures. Graphs, drawings or photographs should be supplied in digital format in monochrome and be of sufficient contrast. Figures prepared with professional software such as Jandel SigmaPlot[®] (but saved in .doc or compatible format) are preferred. Captions should be included in the main manuscript, not attached to the figure, and should explain all symbols and abbreviations used. The text should include references to all figures. The use of figures from other publications is discouraged but, if used, permission of the author(s) or the copyright owner is necessary.

References

Please ensure that every reference cited in the text is also present in the reference list (and vice versa). Citations may be made directly (or parenthetically). Groups of references should be listed first alphabetically, then chronologically. For example: 'as demonstrated (Allan, 1996a, 1996b, 1999; Allan and Jones, 1995). Kramer *et al.* (2000) have recently shown ...' Citation of a reference as 'in press' implies that the item has been accepted for publication. In the reference list, references should be arranged first alphabetically and then further sorted chronologically if necessary. They should not be numbered. More than one reference from the same author(s) in the same year must be identified by the letters 'a', 'b', etc. placed after the year of publication. The title of a non-English publication should be followed by the English translation in square brackets. Journal titles should not be abbreviated. Examples:

- **Reference to a journal publication.** Van der Geer, J., Hanraads, J.A.J. and Lupton, R.A. (2000): The art of writing a scientific article. Journal of Science Communication **163**, 51-59.
- **Reference to a book.** Strunk Jr., W. and White, E.B. (1979): The Elements of Style (3rd edition). New York: Macmillan.
- **Reference to a chapter in an edited book.** Mettam, G.R. and Adams, L.B. (1999): How to prepare an electronic version of your article, in Jones, B.S and Smith, R.Z. (eds), Introduction to the Electronic Age. New York: E-Publishing, 281–304.

For Web references, as a minimum, the full URL should be given and the date when the reference was last accessed. Any further information, if known (DOI, author names, dates etc.), should also be given. Web sources should be included in the reference list alphabetically according to the author's surname or organisation's name.

Publication ethics

Studies in Agricultural Economics aims to comply with the standards outlined in the COPE Codes of Conduct for Journal Editors and Publishers. These can be accessed at www.publicationethics.org/resources/code-conduct.

After acceptance

The corresponding author will be provided, at no cost, with a PDF file of the article via e-mail. The PDF file includes a cover sheet with the journal cover image and a disclaimer outlining the terms and conditions of use. The copyright of the article as published will be retained by the Journal. This does not affect ownership of copyright in the content of the article. *Studies in Agricultural Economics* has no page charges or submission fees.

Complete full-text articles may be published on the AKI website in advance of their publication in a printed issue. These articles are complete and final but do not yet have volume, issue or page numbers, so cannot be cited in the traditional way. They are therefore given a Digital Object Identifier (DOI), which allows the article to be cited before it appears in printed form.

Studies in Agricultural Economics is accessible online at www.aki.gov.hu/studies and at http://ageconsearch.umn. edu/handle/44317 and is included in the Citations in Economics database (http://ideas.repec.org/s/ags/stagec.html). Papers are abstracted in the CABI Agricultural Economics Database (www.cabi.org) and indexed by Google Scholar (http://scholar.google.com).