

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Experimental simulations of the weathering of
volcanic ash – a case study to better understand
short - and long-term impacts of ash-leachable
elements on the environment

A thesis presented in the partial fulfilment of the requirements for a
degree of
Master of Science
In
Earth Science
At Massey University, Palmerston North
New Zealand

Alexander Robert Greig

2017

Abstract

The aim of this project is the development and testing of a new methodology for the investigation of the short- to long-term leaching behaviour of volcanic ash. Previous research has demonstrated that volcanic eruptions can have strong impacts on the environment, which result from elements that have been leached from volcanic ash. To date, there is relatively little understanding of the minor and trace element composition of ash-leached brines, and how this varies over time. These gaps in knowledge currently preclude an estimate of both the detrimental and the beneficial impacts of volcanic ash fall due to leaching on the environment, agriculture, as well as on human and animal health.

An adaption of a soxhlet reactor was found to be an adequate experimental technique for the constant flushing of volcanic ash samples with deionised water. This was designed to accelerate the weathering of a volcanic material in a laboratory setting. A number of shortcomings in the experimental method could be identified through the course of this research and should be considered in future investigations.

In this experiment nine volcanic ash samples from four different and highly active volcanoes have been tested. These volcanoes are Mt. Ruapehu and White Island in New Zealand, Mt. Kelut in Indonesia and Mt. Sakurajima in Japan. All volcanic ash samples were found to release elements into brine over the experimental time in a strongly non-linear fashion. Based on the current data set of nine ash samples, three main classes of time-variant element release behaviour are here suggested and defined, whose characteristics are primarily controlled by the element, rather than volcanic source or ash characteristics. A preliminary interpretation of these different element release pattern is that their temporal changes are most likely restrained by the strength of chemical and mechanical bond of elements to the surface of juvenile and non-juvenile ash material. Moreover, significant controls on the long-term leaching concentrations of elements were found to be by the style of eruption as well as the nature of the volcano plumbing system, confirming results of earlier batch leaching experiments. The 1995-96 Mt. Ruapehu eruption sequence in particular illustrated some significant variability in leaching behaviour as a result of specific eruption parameters. Volcanic ash samples that have been derived from a phreatomagmatic style eruption have been found to have a higher short-to long-term impact than those volcanic ash samples derived from dry magmatic eruptions.

A simple method was developed to estimate the real-world equivalent weathering time corresponding to the duration of a soxhlet reactor leaching experiment. The method, which is

primarily based on the total volume of water percolating through an ash sample, and to relate this to local annual rain fall data, was found to estimate real-world weathering times in the natural environment fairly accurately. Based on these natural time constraints, detrimental short-term impacts (months to years) are concluded for lead and fluoride, and beneficial short-term impacts for calcium and manganese. Long-term beneficial effects (up to 20 years) are seen for zinc, copper and iron, while long-term detrimental impacts are concluded for the cases of lead and fluoride.

The strong dependence of the leaching rate on the effective ash surface area precludes that future forecasts of short- and long-term impacts should be made by considering local soil permeability and ash grain-size characteristics. In that way future modelling approaches via reactive and non-reactive porous media flow of ash-leached brines into soil and groundwater may form an interesting avenue for future developments of this pilot study. This approach may hold potential to give quantitative advice to regional councils, the agricultural industry and governmental agencies on detrimental and beneficial short- to long-term impacts of volcanic ash.

Acknowledgments

I would like to acknowledge all of the countless individuals who have assisted me throughout the completion of this study.

My chief supervisor Dr. Gert Lube as well as my co-supervisors Dr. Christopher Anderson and Dr. Paramsothy (Jeya) Jeyakumar who all contributed their knowledge, advice and who also kept me on the right track.

I acknowledge Dr. Roberto Calvelo Pereira for all of the help in the laboratory and his tireless assistance with the soxhlet reactor experiments.

I would like to acknowledge Professor Shane Cronin for helping to obtain the volcanic ash samples as well as his indispensable advice, without which this thesis would not have been possible.

The technicians for all the help in the laboratory Dr. Anja Moebis, Mr. Bob Toes, Mrs. Glenys Wallace and Mr. Ian Furkert.

Also Graham Freeman who provided so much help with the soxhlet reactor experiments.

Also a special thanks to Dr. Carol Stewart her help around the laboratory, obtaining volcanic ash samples and for the sharing her extensive knowledge during our meetings.

Dr. George Mason and the L.A. Alexander College Trust Board for their financial assistance.

Most of all I would like to acknowledge by parents; Margaret and Malcolm Greig and my late grandmother Mona Scherf.

I would also like to thank Joe Holden and Greg Moore.

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|------------|
| Abstract | i |
| Acknowledgments | iii |
| Table of Contents | iv |
| List of Tables | vi |
| List of Figures | vii |
| Chapter 1 Literature review | 1 |
| 1.0 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.01 Research objectives | 2 |
| 1.1 Environmental impact and hazards..... | 2 |
| 1.11 Physical impacts of volcanic ash..... | 2 |
| 1.12 Chemical impacts of volcanic ash..... | 7 |
| 1.2 Controlling factors of environmental impact..... | 13 |
| 1.3 Current leachate methodology and its benefits to hazard research..... | 19 |
| Chapter 2 Methodology | 25 |
| 2.0 Preparation of volcanic ash..... | 25 |
| 2.1 Obtaining volcanic ash samples | 25 |
| 2.2 Describing volcanic ash samples | 28 |
| 2.3 Soxhlet reactor experiment | 32 |
| 2.31 First version of soxhlet reactor experiment..... | 34 |
| 2.32 Second methodology of the soxhlet reactor experiment..... | 37 |
| 2.4 Analysis of volcanic ash leachates..... | 39 |
| 2.5 Quality control | 43 |
| 2.6 Statistical analysis..... | 44 |
| Chapter 3 Results | 46 |
| 3.0 Granularity and componentry..... | 46 |
| 3.01 Granulometry | 46 |
| 3.02 Componentry analysis..... | 49 |
| 3.1 Acid and water leaching: characterisation and differences of brine composition | 54 |
| 3.2 Water digestion: characterising time variant of ash leaching for soxhlet reactor experiments..... | 55 |
| 3.21 Pattern of time variant behaviour | 56 |
| 3.3 Characteristics in time-variant leaching behaviour for ash-samples of the 1995-96 Mt. Ruapehu eruption episode | 62 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| Chapter 4 – Discussion..... | 64 |
| 4.0 An attempt to relate the soxhlet experimental time to natural weathering times | 64 |
| 4.1 Beneficial and detrimental thresholds of brine composition | 66 |
| 4.11 Group 1 | 66 |
| 4.12 Group 2 | 69 |
| 4.13 Group 3 | 72 |
| 4.14 Fluoride | 73 |
| 4.15 Sulphate | 75 |
| 4.2 Beneficial or detrimental elements to the environment: behaviour within the soil profile | 76 |
| 4.3 Origins of dissolved elements from volcanic ash | 77 |
| 4.4 Influence of 1995-96 Mt. Ruapehu eruption parameters | 79 |
| 4.41 Eruption style | 79 |
| 4.42 Eruption size and distance | 81 |
| 4.43 Recommendations for improved hazard analysis | 82 |
| 4.5 Influence of the methodology..... | 83 |
| Conclusion | 85 |
| Bibliography | 88 |
| Appendix One:..... | 97 |
| Appendix Two: | 101 |
| Appendix Three: | 105 |
| Appendix Four: | 109 |
| Appendix Five:..... | 113 |

List of Tables

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Table 1. Summary of the physical impacts on the three core aspects of agriculture by volcanic ash..... | 2 |
| Table 2: Summary table of the chemical impacts of volcanic ash on the three core aspects of agriculture..... | 7 |
| Table 3: Table of leading hypotheses of adsorption of volatile gases by volcanic ash (also featured are the leading experiments on the adsorption of the primary anion donors) | 15 |
| Table 4. Table of samples of volcanic ash collected for this project suitable for further analysis. Information about the details of the 1995-96 Mt. Ruapehu eruption sequence as well as the three international samples are presented. | 25 |
| Table 5: Table showing the main statistics of the grain size distributions measured for the 6 Mt. Ruapehu volcanic ash samples. Selection is based on (Moebis, 2011). Based on GRADISTAT V8.0: (Blott & Pye, 2001) – Method of Moments..... | 48 |
| Table 6: Table of geometric surface area for the soxhlet reactor volcanic ash samples. Rounded to four decimal places..... | 48 |
| Table 7: The table shows where each of the elements have been categorised in relation to their release groups..... | 57 |
| Table 8: Table illustrating some of the typical ranges for each release phase of the cumulative concentration of the soxhlet reactor over experimental time..... | 58 |

List of Figures

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 1: Schematic diagram of the three temperature zone model that was proposed by (Óskarsson, 1980). Modified and redrawn from: (Cronin, Neall, Lecointre, Hedley, & Loganathan, 2003). | 17 |
| Figure 2: Schematic diagram of the original unmodified set-up of the Soxhlet reactor in experiments during research in the 1960s. Recent experiments highlight variations of this method. Modified after (Pedro, 1961)..... | 23 |
| Figure 3: The Jones splitter in (a) was used to take sub-samples from the original large sample, (b) was used for smaller splitting requirements including grain size distribution analysis and preparing of Soxhlet samples. Both have dust covers to minimise loss of fine volcanic ash particles..... | 27 |
| Figure 4: The three decimal place scales used for preparation of the volcanic ash sample in the cellulose extraction thimbles. Note the plastic case on top of the scales. | 34 |
| Figure 5: The set-up and measurement of 250ml of deionised MilliQ® water into a boiling flask with the aid of a funnel as seen above..... | 34 |
| Figure 6: Original pump configuration. Note the white circle indicating placement of clamps on the retort stand. | 36 |
| Figure 7: Illustrates the configuration used in the first methodology with the use of the pump. | 36 |
| Figure 8: The thermocouple used in monitoring the temperatures of the water dripping into the Soxhlet reactor holding the volcanic ash sample. | 38 |
| Figure 9: Two different methodologies, 1st on the left, modified 2 nd methodology on the right. Note, Black line shows the 10cm needed to raise the modified methodology. | 38 |
| Figure 10: MP-AES instrument in the foreground and the automatic sampler in the middle next to the computer..... | 41 |
| Figure 11: Photo of IC instrument, on the right is the instrument and next to it on the left is the automatic sampler..... | 43 |
| Figure 12: Grain-size histograms showing the half phi size fraction versus the weight percentage of eight of volcanic ash samples that were analysed. | 49 |
| Figure 13: The percentage of componentry for the grain size fractions; 125 µm, 250 µm and 500 µm of (1) 95/5 lower layer, (2) 95/5 upper layer, (3) 95/8, (4) 96/7, (5) 96/46, (6) Mt. Sakurajima, (7) Mt. Kelut. | 50 |
| Figure 14: Bar graph of the total recoverable metal concentrations ordered according to the atomic number of the element detected. These have been split with cations of high concentrations on the right and trace elements on the right-hand side (A) Mt. Ruapehu eruption on the 17 th of June 1996, (B) White Island from 28 th April 2016, (C) Kelut from 14 th February 2014 and (D) Sakurajima from the 18 th August 2013..... | 53 |
| Figure 15: Graph of cumulative concentration of elements leached after 168 hours, ordered according to the atomic number, (A) Mt. Ruapehu eruption on the 17 th of June 1996, (B) White Island from 28 th April 2016 has been scaled up due to the high calcium, (C) Kelut from 14 th February 2014 and (D) Sakurajima from the 18 th August 2013..... | 53 |
| Figure 16: Graph illustrating the four different ways of plotting the brine concentration results, (A) is the absolute concentration (C. abs), (B) the cumulative concentration (C. cum), (C) cumulative concentration normalised (C. cum. norm) metal concentration, (D) Volcanic flux (q) into brine into the brine normalised with the effective surface area of the volcanic ash. | 55 |
| Figure 17: Diagrams showing typical examples of the three groups of time-variant element release plotted with a linear y – axis (top) and a log (base 10) y – axis (bottom), (A) group 1 – calcium, (B) group 2 – copper (C) group 3 – iron. The dotted lines represent the approximate temporal boundary separating phases of strongly contrasting leaching rates. | 56 |
| Figure 18: Presents the cumulative concentration of calcium and manganese. Examples elements that display the release behaviour of group one. The dotted lines highlight the boundaries between the approximate release phases of each element..... | 59 |
| Figure 19: Presents the cumulative concentrations of lead and zinc. Examples of elements that display the cumulative release behaviour of group two. The dotted lines represent the boundaries of the different phase changes. | 60 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 20: Presents the cumulative concentrations of iron. This is an example of the cumulative release pattern for group three. The dashed lines represent the boundaries between the different release phases with experiential time..... | 61 |
| Figure 21: Graph showing the non-normalised flux ((q) non-norm) of aluminium for the volcanic ash samples of the 1995-96 Mt. Ruapehu eruption sequence. Opposite is the relative lithic componentry content and the relative aluminium bulk chemical content from Kilgour et al. (2013). | 62 |
| Figure 22: Graph showing the cumulative concentration of (A), calcium and (B) sulphate for the lower and upper layer samples for the 11 th of October Mt. Ruapehu eruption. | 63 |
| Figure 23: Surface area-normalised volumetric flux of Ca into brine against total equivalent time for the non-weathered and weathered 95/5 Ruapehu ash samples. For the weathered sample, the total equivalent time is the sum of the computed equivalent time T_{equiv} (Equation 8) and the weathering time of 19.5 years..... | 65 |
| Figure 24: Calcium an example of the group 1 element release behaviour, (A) shows the cumulative concentration for the Mt. Ruapehu 1995-96 volcanic ash samples (MAV) is the maximum acceptable potable drinking water value for calcium, (B) shows the flux (q) non-norm (mg/kg/minute). | 66 |
| Figure 25: Manganese is an example of the group 1 element release behaviour, (A) shows the cumulative concentration for the Mt. Ruapehu 1995-96 volcanic ash samples (MAV ¹) is the maximum acceptable potable drinking water value for manganese, (B) shows flux (q) non-norm (mg/kg/min)..... | 68 |
| Figure 26: Lead is an example of the group 1 element release behaviour, (A) shows the cumulative concentration for the Mt. Ruapehu 1995-96 volcanic ash samples (MAV) is the maximum acceptable potable drinking water value for lead, (B) shows the flux (q) non-norm (mg/kg/min). | 69 |
| Figure 27: Zinc is an example of the group 2 element release behaviour, (A) shows the cumulative concentration for the Mt. Ruapehu 1995-96 volcanic ash samples (EPA secondary) is the maximum acceptable potable drinking water value for zinc, (B) shows the flux (q) non-norm (mg/kg/min)..... | 71 |
| Figure 28: Iron is an example of the group 3 element release behaviour, (A) shows the cumulative concentration for the Mt. Ruapehu 1995-96 volcanic ash samples (EPA secondary) is the maximum acceptable potable drinking water value for Iron, (B) shows the flux (q) non-norm (mg/kg/min)..... | 72 |
| Figure 29: Fluoride is an example of the group 2 element release behaviour, (A) shows the cumulative concentration for the Mt. Ruapehu 1995-96 volcanic ash samples (EPA secondary) is the maximum acceptable potable drinking water value for fluoride, (B) shows the flux (q) non-norm (mg/kg/min)..... | 73 |
| Figure 30: Sulphate is an example of the group 2 element release behaviour, (A) shows the cumulative concentration for the Mt. Ruapehu 1995-96 volcanic ash samples (EPA secondary) is the maximum acceptable potable drinking water value for fluoride, (B) shows the flux (q) non-norm (mg/kg/min)..... | 75 |
| Figure 31: Graph of the pH measurements taken at the time of the brine sampling for each of the Mt. Ruapehu 1995-96 eruption sequence samples over experimental time. | 79 |
| Figure 32: Graphs showing the weathering time of sodium and magnesium for the phreatomagmatic samples of Mt. Ruapehu..... | 81 |