



**Relationship between reservoir properties and NMR
measurements: examples from Tirrawarra Sandstone,
Cooper Basin, South Australia**

Junita Trivianty Musu

**Thesis submitted to the University of Adelaide in fulfillment of the
requirement for the degree of Masters of Science**

**National Centre of Petroleum Geology and Geophysics (NCPGG), the
University of Adelaide**

November, 2000

Statement of authenticity and availability

This thesis contains no material which has been accepted for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university. To the best of my knowledge and belief the thesis contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference is made in the text.

Due to a confidentiality agreement between Santos Ltd. and the NCPGG, this thesis may not be photocopied or loaned until 30th November, 2002.

Junita Trivianty Musu

Abstract

The Tirrawarra Sandstone, like most reservoir rocks in the Permian to Triassic succession in the Cooper Basin of central Australia, is characterized by low porosity and permeability. Ambient core porosity averages 8.96% and ambient permeability 0.9 mD. Most samples having permeabilities less than 3 mD. Despite its overall poor reservoir characteristics, the Tirrawarra Sandstone is one of the major oil and gas targets in the Cooper Basin.

This study investigates the applicability of the NMR technique in the determination of effective porosity in the gas reservoir sandstones in the Cooper Basin. A total of 17 plugs from five wells were examined. Prior to the NMR experiment, the samples were studied petrographically using x-ray diffraction, optical petrography and scanning electron microscopy. These results were integrated with special and routine core analysis data.

The petrographic study revealed that the diagenetic events, including mechanical and chemical compaction, cementation and alteration have modified the reservoir quality. Ductile components such as rock fragments, clay and matrix influence mechanical compaction to be the main cause of reservoir quality reduction. Quartz cementation and clay distribution also affected the porosity but particularly permeability. Mechanical compaction as well as quartz cementation has reduced and blocked pore-throats to isolate intergranular pores. The alteration of feldspar to kaolin has changed intergranular porosity to microporosity. Illite occurs as either cement or alteration of kaolinite. All of these diagenetic events affect fluid movement in the reservoir, which also influences NMR relaxation times.

Laboratory measurement of NMR relaxation measurement determine pore geometry and volume. Petrography, core data and NMR data were used to demonstrate the connection between NMR relaxation time distribution and the fundamental property of pore size in the Tirrawarra Sandstone.

The NMR measurements partially saturated samples determined the water remaining in the sample (known as BVI). This values was most important in gaining reliable estimates of effective porosity and permeability. To obtain an accurate BVI, the samples were calibrated with core volume irreducible water. BVI was influenced by the effectiveness of the pores and pore throats to flow fluid, which in turn is a function of the mineralogy. NMR unable to reliably distinguish clay associated microporosity from macroporosity. Petrographic studies and calibration of the NMR with the core data assisted in interpreting the NMR relaxation time distribution. The integration of NMR and traditional porosity evaluation methods should produce major advances in the understanding and application of NMR measurements, particularly for the borehole NMR.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Declaration	i
Abstract	ii
Table of contents	iii
List of figures	v
List of tables	vii
List of plates	vii
Appendices	vii
Acknowledgment	viii

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Research objectives	1
1.3 Study area	2
1.4 Geological setting	4
1.4.1 Structural setting	4
1.4.2 Stratigraphic setting	5

CHAPTER TWO

METHODS AND MATERIALS

2.1 Introduction	10
2.2 Sample selection	10
2.2.1 X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis	10
2.2.2 Thin section and image processing analysis	11
2.2.3 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	12
2.2.4 Neutron magnetic resonance (NMR) laboratory measurement	12
2.2.5 Special core analysis and routine core analysis data	13

CHAPTER THREE

RESERVOIR PROPERTIES

3.1 Introduction	14
3.2 Petrography of the Tirrawarra Sandstone	14
3.2.1 Texture	14
3.2.2 Framework grain composition	16
3.2.2.1 Quartz	16
3.2.2.2 Rock fragments	16
3.2.2.3 Feldspar	17
3.2.2.4 Detrital clay matrix	18
3.2.2.5 Minor components	18
3.3 XRD results and interpretation	18
3.4 Diagenetic events	22
3.4.1 Mechanical compaction	23

3.4.2	Chemical compaction	24
3.4.3	Cementation	25
3.4.3.1	Quartz cementation	25
3.4.3.2	Clay cementation and alteration	27
	• Kaolinite	27
	• Illite	29
3.4.3.3	Siderite cementation	29
3.5	Discussion	30
3.6	Porosity and permeability	32
3.6.1	Data source	32
3.6.2	Porosity types	34
3.6.2.1	Primary intergranular porosity	34
3.6.2.2	Microporosity	34
3.6.2.3	Secondary porosity	35
3.6.2.4	Porosity quantification	35
3.7	Permeability	35
3.7.1	Parameters controlling permeability	36
3.7	Conclusion	43

CHAPTER FOUR

NMR MEASUREMENT

4.1	Introduction	51
4.2	Theoretical background	51
4.2.1	NMR measurements	52
4.2.2	NMR relaxation mechanism	57
4.3	NMR laboratory measurement	61
4.3.1	Introduction	61
4.3.2	Samples	61
4.3.3	Processing NMR results	62
4.3.4	T ₂ cutoff determination	64
	4.3.4.1 SBVI method	66
	4.3.4.2 BVI error method	67
4.3.5	Permeability	67
4.3.6	Experimental output	70
	4.3.6.1 NMR relaxation time distribution	70
	• T ₂ fully saturated sample	70
	• T ₂ partially saturated samples	78
	• Comparing NMR relaxation time distribution	78
	• BVI correction	82
	4.3.6.2 Porosity determination by NMR	82
	4.3.6.3 Immovable water	83
	4.3.6.4 Permeability	84

CHAPTER FIVE	DISCUSSION
5.1 Introduction	89
5.2 Discussion	89

CHAPTER SIX	CONCLUSIONS
Conclusions	95
References	98

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Location map	3
Figure 1.2	Structural elements	5
Figure 1.3	Stratigraphic column	7
Figure 3.1	QFR ternary diagram	13
Figure 3.2	Semi-quantitative bulk XRD analysis	22
Figure 3.3	Generalized paragenetic sequence for the authigenic minerals	26
Figure 3.4	Two groups of samples	30
Figure 3.5	Modified Houseknecht plot	31
Figure 3.6	Ambient core porosity vs. thin section porosity	33
Figure 3.7	Semi-log cross plot of core porosity and core permeability (ambient)	36
Figure 3.8	Semi-log cross plot of thin section porosity vs. ambient core permeability	36
Figure 3.9	Effects on ductile components on porosity and permeability	37
Figure 3.10	Effects on grain sorting on porosity and permeability	38
Figure 3.11a	Effects on quartz cement on porosity	39
Figure 3.11b	Effects on quartz cement on permeability	40
Figure 3.12	Semi-log cross plot of ambient core porosity vs. permeability	41
Figure 3.13	Semi-log cross plot of thin section porosity vs. permeability	41
Figure 3.14	Overburden core porosity vs. ambient core and thin section porosity	42
Figure 3.15	Log-log cross plot of ambient permeability vs. overburden permeability	42
Figure 4.1	Standard rock porosity model	53
Figure 4.2	Comparison of a spinning top and spinning proton	53
Figure 4.3	An NMR measurement sequence	56

Figure 4.4a	Grain surface relaxation	58
Figure 4.4b	Pore size influences grain surface relaxation	58
Figure 4.5a-b	Decaying signal amplitude measured by the NMR tool.	63
Figure 4.6	Standard method to determine BVI	65
Figure 4.7	Laboratory determination of variable T ₂ cutoff	65
Figure 4.8	Cross-plot of geometric mean T ₂ vs. 1/core Sw _{irr} of SBVI model	67
Figure 4.9	T ₂ cutoff determination with BVI error	68
Figure 4.10	T ₂ relaxation time distribution curves of full and partial saturation	71
Figure 4.11	Correlation: NMR relaxation time distribution and pore and pore-throat sizes from petrography analysis and mercury porosimetry (JM-26)	72
Figure 4.12	Correlation: NMR relaxation time distribution and pore and pore-throat sizes from petrography analysis and mercury porosimetry (JM-50)	73
Figure 4.13	Correlation: NMR relaxation time distribution and pore and pore-throat sizes from petrography analysis and mercury porosimetry (JM-53)	74
Figure 4.14	Correlation: NMR relaxation time distribution and pore and pore-throat sizes from petrography analysis (JM-11)	75
Figure 4.15	Correlation: NMR relaxation time distribution and pore and pore-throat sizes from petrography analysis (JM-12)	76
Figure 4.16	Correlation: NMR relaxation time distribution and pore and pore-throat sizes from petrography analysis (JM-42)	77
Figure 4.17	Diagram of the relationship of capillary drainage and NMR T ₂	79
Figure 4.18	Diagram of partial saturation can lead to the build up of T ₂	81
Figure 4.19	NMR porosity plots against core porosity (ambient and overburden)	84
Figure 4.20	Core derived S _{wirr} vs. NMR derived S _{wirr} from different T ₂ cutoff	85
Figure 4.21	NMR permeability, non-zero intercept model vs. core permeability	88
Figure 4.22	NMR permeability, modified Coates model vs core	88
Figure 4.23	NMR permeability, custom Coates model vs. core permeability	89
Figure 4.24	NMR permeability, Timur-Coates model vs. core permeability	89
Figure 4.25	NMR permeability, Kenyon model vs. core permeability	89
Figure 5.1	Comparison of porosity from NMR and total porosity from thin section and core porosity at ambient	91
Figure 5.2	S/V ratio vs BVI determined from different T _{2c}	92
Figure 5.3	S/V ratio vs permeability from different model based on BVI error	93
Figure 5.4a	Pore-throat size from SEM plotted against BVI	94

Figure 5.4b	Pore size from SEM plotted against BVI	94
Figure 5.4c	Pore size from thin section plotted against BVI	94

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1	Grain size and sorting	15
Table 3.2a	Summary of point-count data from thin section	19
Table 3.2b	Composition of Tirrawarra sandstone samples	20
Table 3.3	Origin and composition of Tirrawarra Sandstone from XRD	21
Table 3.4	Compaction Index	24
Table 4.1	Summary of NMR data	83
Table 4.2	T_{2c} determined from different values for S_{wirr} and permeability	86

LIST OF PLATES

Plate 1	Thin section and SEM photomicrographs	45
Plate 2	Thin section and SEM photomicrographs	46
Plate 3	SEM photomicrographs	47
Plate 4	Thin section, SEM and BSE image analysis photomicrographs	48
Plate 5	Thin section and SEM photomicrographs	49
Plate 6	Thin section and SEM photomicrographs	50

APPENDICES

Appendix-1	XRD results
Appendix-2	NMR results

Acknowledgement

Many people and institutions assisted in all phases of this study. I wish to express my sincere appreciation to many people for their help and support to make this work possible. I warmly thank the AusAid for providing me with the generous scholarship and Ms. Niranjala Seimon, the AusAid Liaison Officer at the University of Adelaide, who has taken care of my well being under the scholarship term. My research funding was generously provided by Santos Ltd.

I also would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisors, Dr. N.M. Lemon and Dr. J. Kaldi for their valuable advice, support and patience during my study at the NCPGG. I wish to thank Prof. W. Val Pinczewski for giving me opportunity to take petroleum engineering course at the School of Petroleum Engineering at the University of New South Wales. I strongly appreciate Mr. Minh Van Vo for his guidance, support and friendship during my course at the UNSW. I am very grateful to Dr. J. Hamilton from CSIRO in Perth, for making available his NMR laboratory and for his supervision. Special thanks go to Mr. Moussa from the Core Laboratories in Perth for his assistance and sharing his knowledge of the NMR tool.

I also want to state my thankfulness to the people of NCPGG. I acknowledge Ms. M. Sutton, Ms. B. Wallis, Mr. A. Hayball and Mr. Nick Mann who have frequently provided me with helpful assistance in dealing with many technical and administrative matters. I appreciate the assistance of Dr. G. Kraishan for his constructive discussion and suggestions. Also many thanks to my colleagues, Ainslie Baxter, Paul Grech, Rodica Dragomirescu, and Thien Phan Huong.

Special thanks also go to Diah Wihardini, Frieda Sinanu, Lucy Sitanggang, Mita Artaria, Sayema Sharmin, Julianto Priawan and also Mary Babb and her family for their precious friendships and support during my stay in Adelaide. I also thank everyone at Mekong Thai restaurant for making my work enjoyable.

Finally, I would like to extend my gratitude to my family for their support and encouragement, and also Ketut Raditya for his patience and understanding.