Journal of Conventional Weapons Destruction

Volume 8 Issue 2 *The Journal of Mine Action*

Article 5

November 2004

Missed Opportunities: The Need for Landmine Survivor Rehabilitation in Rural Areas

Stephen Meyers Polus Center

Follow this and additional works at: https://commons.lib.jmu.edu/cisr-journal

Part of the Defense and Security Studies Commons, Emergency and Disaster Management Commons, Other Public Affairs, Public Policy and Public Administration Commons, and the Peace and Conflict Studies Commons

Recommended Citation

Meyers, Stephen (2004) "Missed Opportunities: The Need for Landmine Survivor Rehabilitation in Rural Areas," *Journal of Mine Action*: Vol. 8 : Iss. 2 , Article 5. Available at: https://commons.lib.jmu.edu/cisr-journal/vol8/iss2/5

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Center for International Stabilization and Recovery at JMU Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Journal of Conventional Weapons Destruction by an authorized editor of JMU Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact dc_admin@jmu.edu.

Missed Opportunities: The Need for Landmine Survivor Rehabilitation in Rural Areas

Introduction

Persons with disabilities in developing countries are "regarded by their own communities as the most disadvantaged,"¹ yet poverty alleviation and international aid programs have consistently failed to prioritize their rehabilitation and integration into society. Within the scope of mine action (landmine removal, victim assistance and mine risk education), landmine survivor assistance receives only five percent of all humanitarian mine action funding.² Persons with disabilities,

whether their disabilities were caused by war, agricultural or industrial accidents, diabetes, infection or other causes, are often ignored.

The legacy of landmines in Central America, potentially dangerous farming activities and severe poverty have combined with the lack of sufficient health services to disproportionately increase the prevalence of disabilities in rural areas and force many individuals and families to leave once-productive farms and other income-generating activities to seek new activities in the city. Oftentimes, the development community in general and rehabilitation projects specifically reinforce the spatial mismatch between persons with disabilities in rural areas and the availability of urban-based services and programs, giving persons with disabilities the choice of remaining isolated in their home communities or moving to the city and having to rebuild there without family and friends.

The following three strategics are needed for sustainable solutions to the barriers faced by landmine survivors and other Central Americans with disabilities in rural areas:

1. Increasing the social networks of persons with disabilities into existing civil society as a way to promote social change and community mobilization in rural areas.

2. Recasting rehabilitation within the over-



Nearly half of Nicaragua's labor force are agricultural workers, yet there are few opportunities for farmers with disabilities.

all framework of poverty alleviation rather than as a segregated activity unto itself.

3. Enhancing the existing strengths and resources of people with disabilities and their rural communities through access, assistive technologies and public awareness.

Who are the People?

To address the needs of persons with disabilities in rural areas, a better understanding of their needs and resources is necessary. Non-directive interviews are essential not only in collecting information, but in mobilizing communities and identifying local leadership. The following is an example of the life story of a landmine survivor living in northwest Nicaragua.

Profile of Jose de la Cruez Mertinel

Mr. de la Cruez Mertinel, who volunteered to be interviewed in February 2004, is 36 years old. In 1988, he stepped on a landmine as a young soldier and has used a prosthesis since. He is from Comarca village in *Los Lomas* (the hills) and lives on his family's small plot of land.

Mr. de la Cruez Mertinel supports his wife

FOCUS - 15 -

by Stephen Meyers, Director of International Programs, Polus Center and four children (ages six through 14 years ning and design)-supported prosthetic outold) through subsistence farming and a 500 cordoba a month (\$32 U.S.) veteran's pension. Their house is handmade from palm leaves. He feels that non-governmental organizations (NGOs) discriminate against him

because of his prosthesis even though he is capable of farming. His disability does not impede him in any major way with the exception of footing and balance when working with large animals. He has never heard of the Organization of American States (OAS)-a major supporter of landmine survivors-and has never been invited to be a part of any economic cooperative or training opportunity.³

There are many themes represented in Mr. de la Cruez Mertinel's story and those of other landmine survivors that agreed to be interviewed. The themes include increased risk in rural areas, lack of opportunities in rural areas, and non-inclusion in development projects and opportunities.

Increased Risk in Rural Areas

Farming is one of the most dangerous occupations worldwide. In a developed country such as the United States, over 13 million rural Americans have chronic or permanent disabilities.⁴ The United Nations reported in the 1990 Disability Statistics Compendium that the rate of disabilities is greater in rural areas almost universally throughout the developing world, and independent studies have found that "disabled people resident in rural areas, particularly low/middle-income countries, have much more limited access to appropriate support resources than in urban ones."5 Inadequate educational outreach on safety issues, low access to health care and the remnants of conflict-war wounds, landmines and unexploded ordnance—have made Central American agricultural workers and their families disproportionately at risk of becoming disabled and losing their livelihoods in comparison to residents in urban areas. In mine-affected countries in Central America, nearly 95 percent of incidents of limb loss to landmines occur in rural areas.⁶ At Walking Unidos, a Polus Center (a U.S.-based NGO specializing in rehabilitation program plan-

FOCUS

https://commons.lib.jmu.edu/cisr-journal/vol8/iss2/5

reach clinic located in Leon, Nicaragua, over 65 percent of patients are from rural areas, despite the clinic's location within the heart of a major city and the lack of adequate transportation to the city.

Despite logistical difficulties in rural areas, community organizing and developing activities are an essential task in social and economic rehabilitation.

According to the World Health Organization, 36,000 Nicaraguans are suffering from mobility disabilities (a significant number of whom have experienced limb loss) with approximately 20,700 people with disabilities living in rural Nicaragua.

The Rural-Urban Divide

The gap between investments in the rural and urban-or agricultural and industrialsectors, in Latin America has been well-documented since Michael Lipton first advanced his "urban bias" thesis in his 1976 book Why Poor People Stay Poor: A Study of Urban Bias in World Development. Lipton wrote that "the rural sector contains most of the poverty, and most of the low-cost sources of potential advance; but the urban sector contains most of the articulateness, organization and power...[making] the development process needlessly slow and unfair."7 Urban bias has been replicated in rehabilitation. Resources that benefit persons with disabilities are almost solely based in urban areas.

Agriculture remains a vital contributor to the economy of Nicaragua. In Nicaragua, agriculture is more important than industry in terms of percentage of Gross National Product (GNP) at 34.1 percent in 19988 and grew at an average annual rate of 5.4 percent during the 1990s, far ahead of both the industrial (4.1 percent) and service (1.1 percent)

sector growth rates,9 despite receiving relative ly low amounts of investment. Nearly half of the labor force (46.5 percent) in Nicaragua is directly dependent upon agricultural work yet economic integration schemes for persons with disabilities have focused almost

exclusively on small industry (crafts) and services.10

Researchers interested in urban bias have shown that income inequalities within countries, often resulting from the failure to invest in agriculture, basic education and other benefits that would target the poor, are a constraint upon growth for the national economy as a whole.11

There are good reasons why rehabilitation centers are located in cities-namely electricity, access to shipping, access to population of those in need of rehabilitation and so forth-and there are good reasons for

fostering economic opportunities for those with disabilities living in the cities. But there should not be an expectation that persons with disabilities automatically relocate into cities. Instead, creating equity between investments in rural and urban rehabilitation must be at the forefront of new initiatives and research.

Non-inclusion in **Opportunities**

Whether disabilities occur as a result of landmines, accidents or illness, agricultural workers and others in rural areas are plunged into a vicious cycle of poverty. As found through interviews of persons with disabilities in rural areas and site visits to agricultural development projects in northwest Nicaragua conducted in February 2004, persons with disabilities are often excluded from potentially beneficial development projects within their communities. In the most extensive rural development project in Leon province, a 772person cooperative with a complex in Characeca village including a dairy processing plant, fish hatchery, and poultry and livestock production, not a single person with a disability was included¹² despite a prosthesis-user living on adjacent property. The Nicaraguan government and the majority of international donors have developed disability policies mandaring the inclusion of persons with disabiliries into development projects, yet the policies often go unimplemented.13

What Needs to be Done?

The research provided in this paper is

not adequate or appropriate for drawing up specific strategies and projects in Nicaragua, much less anywhere else in the world. Such activities require participatory planning techniques that reveal more than statistics or a handful of interviews will and can mobilize landmine survivors and others to implement the projects themselves with the resources and support of partners. That said, it is still possible to offer some broad recommendations or "things to think about." Initial thoughts should include: promoting social change by bringing new groups Many persons with disabilities come to the city to find work of people together, understanding reha- such as selling lottery tickets, a low-paying job that does not

increasing access to existing opportunities in

Leadership Through New

Nicaragua passed Law 202 on the

"Prevention, Rehabilitation and Equality of

Opportunity for Persons with Disabilities" in

1998. However, a United States Agency for

International Development (USAID) report

concluded that it has not been implemented

in any substantial form and its actual imple-

mentation will be dependent upon the politi-

cal leadership skills of the disabled communi-

ty itself.14 A central feature of Law 202 is that

it mandates all medium and large businesses

to follow an affirmative action policy of hiring

two percent of their total employees from the

tact, or simply bringing people together, is a

move towards enacting social change.¹⁵ Such

interactions, especially when centered around

activities that address the common good of a

given community, can foster new analytical

frameworks, priorities and values for partici-

pants. Nicaragua has a strong civil society with

dozens of veterans organizations, self-help

Promoting new "person-to-person" con-

Social Change and

rural areas.

Networks

disabled community.

bilitation within an overarching utilize many of their skills. framework of poverty-alleviation and

from these groups out to the rural areas; to pass on their ideas, establish new contacts and foster rural chapters is essential. Rural areas are not a wasteland of civil society. Existing cooperatives, non-governmental associations, church groups, schools and so forth are already available. Bringing community leadership together with persons with disabilities and encouraging their inclusion in the community is a necessity for social change.

Disabilities and Poverty Alleviation

The full social and economic rehabilitation of persons with disabilities has been identified as an effective strategy for poverty reduction¹⁶ for whole communities, yet disability continues to be a low priority in national and international development plans. The World Bank's Voices of the Poor study identified persons with disabilities as often considered "the most disadvantaged by their peer groups," or, put simply: the poorest of the poor. It is estimated that 25 percent of people in developing countries are adversely affected by disabilities, especially because of the loss of financial resources, employment and added dependence of themselves or a family member,17 and the entire nation is affected by the loss of tax revenues, increased use of resources from



associations and other disability-focused grassroots activities. Unfortunately, many are based in cities and lack the resources to organize in rural areas. There may even be attempts to organize in rural areas; unfortunately, from the small sampling made, it is obvious more needs to be done. Bringing representatives

social safety nets, and the cyclical effects of creating a permanent underclass. Disability and poverty are related and cannot be solved without addressing both because "not only does disability feed poverty, but povertywhich contributes to increasingly hazardous environments while limiting access to health

> and medical services-is for many also the cause of disability" and "contributes to making the disabled population poorer and keeping them beyond the possibilitics to pay for services they may need."18

> The International Labor Organization's Disability and Poverty Reduction Strategies 2002 analysis of the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and World Bank's new Poverty Reduction Strategy, an attempt to reduce poverty through a bottom-up approach replacing Structural Adjustment Programs concluded "persons with disabilities have not been involved in an opportunity to be included in the most important poverty-reduction initiative of recent years."19

The rehabilitation and disability advocacy community needs to not simply focus on "disability" projects, but to work with USAID missions and others to include persons with disabilities in other priority areas. For example, in a recent meeting with a USAID mission in Ethiopia, it was learned that no provisions to include persons with disabilities in a national HIV/AIDS initiative had been made despite the fact that people with disabilities, especially women, are disproportionately affected by HIV/AIDS. The Polus representatives were able to advocate that an association for women with disabilities be included among those chosen to implement the project. It will most likely take further advocacy for such inclusion to take place, but it is an absolute necessity.

Rehabilitation workers have a responsibility to learn about the full spectrum of povertyalleviation activities in an area, advocate for the inclusion of persons with disabilities, foster partnerships between rehabilitation and non-disability development groups, and educate other aid workers on disabilities and their common needs with the entire population.

Access to Opportunities

Many local Leon organizations have formed cooperatives to address the near-100

percent unemployment of persons with disabilities by providing job training and employment to persons with disabilities, but few have been sustainable or successful as a gateway to other opportunities. An Inter-American Development Bank study released in 1997 found that many "specialized education and social programs perpetuate segregation and dependence of people with disabilities, and yield little or no return to society and are costly to be sustained."20 In the past six years, the few employment projects that do provide opportunities to persons with disabilities have continued to exclusively target persons with disabilities, thus replicating social attitudes that see persons with disabilities as different, the objects of charity and not socially valued. These activities have included income generation but also have not provided the opportunity for persons with disabilities to pursue jobs outside these designated, low-paying areas²¹ and prevent individuals from exercising choice and building upon their skills, interests and resources.

Many persons with disabilities in rural areas have land, skills and the desire to continue farming. Focus must be placed upon finding creative and appropriate ways to increase production from farmers, ranging from advocating that persons with disabilities be included in rural cooperatives and micro-credit schemes, using assistive technologies, and increasing access to spaces and resources. Short-term solutions such as a piñata-making workshop or sending individuals to the city to sell lotto tickets fail to provide long-term solutions that are so crucial to agriculture in rural areas. Assistive technologies, such as those developed by Breaking New Ground at Purdue University already exist and can be adapted to needs in Central America. Expertise in universal design is growing in the developing world and must be utilized at every opportunity. Public awareness grows when persons with disabilities assume leadership and other valued roles.

Beginning at the grassroots level, persons with disabilities must be given the opportunity to identify their own access priorities and demonstration projects, and those priorities must be addressed. The knowledge learned must be used to then create a blueprint for public policy and further implementation.

Conclusion

Returning to Jose de la Cruez Mertinel, introduced earlier in this article, it is obvious that he and his family are extremely vulnerable. That said, he also has resources and talents that could benefit his five dependants and his whole community. To take on the challenge of truly providing new social and economic opportunities and rehabilitation for persons with disabilities in rural areas, extensive planning, advocacy, learning and the implementation of pilot projects will be required. The time to start is now.

* Photos clo author.

Endnotes

1. Elwan, Ann. "Poverty and Disability: A Survey of the Literature." *Social Protection Discussion Paper Series.* No. 9932. The World Bank, 1999. v.

2. Burger, Helena, Crt Marinecek and Robert Jaeger. "Prosthetic Device Provision to Landmine Survivors in Bosnia and Herzegovina: Outcomes in Three Ethnic Groups." *Archives of Physical Medicine* and Rehabilitation. Vol. 85, 2004. p. 21.

3. From interview notes during a meeting coordinated by Walking Unidos, Leon, Nicaragua and conducted by Stephen Meyers of the Polus Center on February 22, 2004.

 Breaking New Ground, Purdue University School of Agriculture. AgriAbility: Promoting Success in Agriculture for People with Disabilities and their Families 1991–2000 Summary of Activities. Prepared for the U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2000. p. 3.

5. Neufeldt, Alfred. "Chapter 2: Socio-economic and Policy Contexts." *Disability and Self-Directed Employment: Business Development Models.* Ed. Neufeldt, Aldred and Alison Albright. North York, Ontario, Canada: Captus University Publications, 1998. p. 33-34.

 Information Management System for Mine Action Web Services: Nicaragua. Victim Report, 2003.

7. See Lipton, Michael, "Urban Bias & Inequality," Development and Under-Development: The Political Economy of Global Inequality. Ed. Seligson, Mitchell and John Passe-Smith. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner Publishers, 1998. p. 389–390.

8. UNDP. Human Development Report 2000. New York: Oxford University Press, 2000. p. 208.

9. World Bank. World Development Report 2000/2001: Attacking Poverty. New York: Oxford University Press, 2000. p. 295.

10. Neufeldt, Alfred. "Socio-economic and Policy Contexts." *Disability and Self-Directed Employment*. Ed. Neufeldt, Alfred and Alison Albright. York, Ontario, Canada: Captus University Press, 1998. p. 23–50. 11. See Birdsall, Nancy and Richard Sabot, "Inequality as a Constraint on Growth in Latin America." Development and Under-Development: The Political Economy of Global Inequality, Ed. Seligion, Mitchell. Boulder, CO: Lynne Reinner Publishers, 1998. p. 421-427.

12. From on-site interview notes with the Board of CIPRES, an EU- and Oxfam-supported project in Churaceca, Nicaragua, conducted by Stephen Meyers of the Polus Center on February 22, 2004.

13. For example, see the U.S. Agency for International Development. "The Second Annual Report on the Implementation of the USAID Disability Policy," 2000.

14. U.S. Agency for International Development, "The Second Annual Report on the Implementation of the USAID Disability Policy" 2000, p. 39.

15. For a more complete understanding of social change strategies, see Sreidmeir, Paul. "Models of Development and Social Change" in Ed. Weisband, Edward, *Poverty Amidst Plenty*. Denver, CO: Westview Press, 1989. p. 91.

16. Department for International Development, UK. "Disability, Poverty and Development." *Issues: February, 2000.* p. 5.

17. lbid. 7.

18. P & O Council. Implementing Prosthetics & Orthotics Projects in Low-Income Countries. Draft. January 12, 2004. Article 3.

19. International Labor Organziation. Disability and Poverty Reduction Strategies. November, 2002.

20. Bowie, Connie Laurin. "Study Reviews Impact of Disabled Persons on Central America" Inter-American Development Bank, 1997, p.1.

21. Neufeldt, Aldred. "Chapter 2: Socio-Economic and Policy Contexts." *Disability and Self-Directed Employment: Business Development Models* (Ed. Neufeldt, Aldred and Alison Albright). North York, Ontario, Canada: Captus University Publications, 1998. 46.

Contact Information

Stephen Meyers

Director of International Programs Polus Center for Social and Economic Development, Inc. 32 Franklin St., Suite 401 Worcester, MA 01608 USA Tel: (508)-752-3271 E-mail: smeyers@poluscenter.org

Website: http://poluscenter.org