International Balkans Conference on Challenges of Civil Engineering, BCCCE, 19-21 May 2011, EPOKA University, Tirana, ALBANIA.

Physical And Mechanical Properties Of Mortars Produced From Disposable Polyetylene Glasses Without Using Cement

Binici Hanifi¹, Gemci Remzi², Kaplan Hasan³

¹Department of Civil Engineering, Kahramanmaras Sutcu Imam University, K.Maras, Turkey ²Department of Textile Engineering, Kahramanmaras Sutcu Imam University, K.Maras, Turkey ³Department of Civil Engineering, EPOKA University, Albania

ABSTRACT

In this study, production of mortars with disposable polyethylene glasses, but without cement, was investigated. The disposable polyethylene glasses were crushed and converted into fiber formation. Then fibers were molten with different types of sands at the temperature range of 180–200 °C. Some physical (e.g. water absorption and abrasion resistance) and some mechanical (e.g. bending strength, compression strength, toughness) properties of mortars were tested. The results indicated that bending strength and toughness of mortars were improved. Besides, water absorption of mortar was negligible and abrasion was nearly equal to zero.

INTRODUCTION

Usage of disposable plastic material in concrete has become widespread since the last twenty years. In concrete, these plastics are used as aggregate or binding agent [1]. Polyethylene takes place with different formations in daily life and then it is evaluated by recycling [2]. Recently, many studies have been done on the usage of disposable materials in concrete [3–5]; especially on evaluating PEs as aggregate or fiber [6–13]. It is known that numbers of shrinkage cracks are decreased by adding polyethylene fibers into concrete. As considering that plastic shrinkage deforms quickly, importance of disposable plastic fiber is easily understood [14–16]. These shrinkages cause some durability problems such as; wetting-drying and freezing-thawing. It is commonly shown by many studies that flexibility is gained to these brittle materials by plastic fibers having different fiber lengths [17]. Fibers having low elastic modulus, increase performance rate of mortar and also prevent shrinkage occurrence by decreasing tensile stress. Durability problems are reduced by preventing shrinkage occurrence. Fibers, which have different fiber lengths and are homogeneously distributed in mortar, prevent shrinkage occurrence on the hardened mortar surface [18–20].

Toughness is the capacity of being deformed under dynamic forces. These plastic fibers gain toughness to hardened mortar.

5% carbon dioxide is released to atmosphere during cement production [21]. Durability is the other problem of mortars containing cement. It is known that Rome and Ottoman buildings have already been in good condition whereas durability problems cause considerably high expense for restoration of recently constructed buildings [22].

In this study, it is aimed to produce concrete block without cement. Disposable polyethylene glasses and sands were molten at the temperature of 200 °C and then this mixture was molded. Bending strength, compression strength, water absorption and abrasion resistance tests were performed on these mortars.

MATERIALS

Disposable PE glasses, limestone, pumice, basalt and quartz sands were used in this study. PE glasses were taken 5% of sand in weight. All materials were heated up to 180-200 °C in the same container. This process continued until PEs was completely molten. When homogeneous mixture had desired viscosity, mortars were poured into 4x4x16 cm and 10x10x10 cm molds.

Polyethylene (PE)

Chemical and mechanical properties of disposable PEs glasses are given in Table 1.

Table 1. Troperties of disposable 1 L glasses			
Properties	Values		
Chemical structure	100 % polyethylene		
Specific gravity	0.91 g/cm^3		
Absorption	rarely		
Melting point	160 °C		
Ignition temperature	250 °C		
Thermal conductivity	Low		
Electrical conductivity	Low		
Tensile strength	$300 - 400 \text{ N/mm}^2$		
Elastic modulus	~ 4000 N/mm ²		

Table 1. Properties of disposable PE glasses

Pumice

Pumice is a porous, spongy and glassy volcanic Stone. It is resistant to chemical and physical factors and contains approximately 50% humidity. Its specific gravity can be decreased up to $0,5 \text{ g/cm}^3$ if its humidity is removed. Abrasion rate of these stone changes according to SiO₂ quantity. Heat resistance is directly related with Al₂O₃ rate. Na₂O and K₂O minerals are famous for their reactive properties in textile industry. Pumice used in this study is supplied from Osmaniye region and it has basaltic structure [23]. In concrete production, it is used pumice granules with dimensions of 0,5 mm.

2		
Components	% age	
SiO ₂	65.8	
Al_2O_3	15.5	
Fe ₂ O ₃	2.5	
CaO	2.4	
MgO	2.7	
$Na_2O + K_2O$	7.6	
Color: Brown-Black		
Stiffness: 1.6		

Table 2. Physical and chemical properties of pumice

Limestone, Basalt and Quartz

Physical properties and spectrographic examination results of these sands are illustrated as following tables.

	Sand Type		
	Limestone	Basalt	Quartz
Specific gravity (kg/dm ³)	2.67	2.67	1.54
Absorption rate (%)	18.8	17.8	17.6
Fine material rate (%)	7.44	5.23	3.03
Light material rate (%)	0.20	0.98	2.10
Organic material	none	none	none

Table 3. Physical properties of limestone, basalt and quartz sands

	% Sand Type		
Stone Type	Limestone	Basalt	Quartz
Limestone	63.4	19.5	-
Spilite, Basalt, Andesite	20.1	53	0.2
Chert, Opal	12.5	18	0.2
Serpentinized peridotite	-	-	1
Gabbro, Diorite	1.9	1	1.5
Quartz	1.1	8.5	97.1
Schist	-	-	-
Grit stone	-	-	-

Table 4. Petrographic examination results

2.2. Method

Concretes without cement were individually prepared with each sand type by using 33, 3% PE in weight. In material production, PEs was firstly molten at approximately 200 °C. After desired viscosity was obtained, each sand was mixed with viscous PE molten in different containers. It was tried to provide optimum homogeneity during heating. These different mortars were poured into 4x4x16 cm and 10*10*10 cm molds (Figs.1–3).



Figure 1. Molding of mortars





Figure 2. 4x4x16 cm specimens Figure 3. 10x10x10 cm specimens Concrete mortars were produced by mixing sands with same quantities. Water-cement ratio was 0, 5 in weight. Compression strengths of these specimens were measured after curing them during 28 days. Abrasion and absorption values were also obtained.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Compression Strength

Concrete specimens are used for obtaining amount of load per unit area and observing behaviors of concretes produced with these mortars. These technical data give ideas about practical applications. Compression strengths of these hardened plastic concrete specimens were tested with uniaxial compression testing device (Fig. 4). Results are given in Table 5.



Figure 4. Compression Strength Test

Test results are given in Table 5. Concretes containing plastic materials have satisfactory test results. Especially, compression strengths of concretes containing quartz and limestone are 60% higher than that of control specimens. The lowest compression strength is observed in concretes with pumice sands, even lower than control specimens.

Table 5. Compression strength test results			
Specimens	Compression Strength		
	(MPa)		
Concrete with pumice sand	18.55		
Concrete with limestone sand	27.57		
Concrete with quartz sand	32.15		
Concrete with basalt sand	29.17		
Control (concrete with cement)	20.37		

T 11 F	a ·	1	1.
Table 5	('omprogram	atronath	toot roculto
I ADIC J.	COUDICSSION	SUCHEUL	icsi icsuita
		~	

Bending Strength

Bending strengths of hardened plastic concrete specimens are measured with one-point bending test device (Fig. 6). Test results are shown in Table 6 and Figure 5.



Fig. 5. Bending Strength Test

Specimens	Elastic modulus	Stress	Strain
	(MPa)	(MPa)	(%)
Concrete with pumice sand	271.09	13.18	8.12
Concrete with limestone sand	322.36	13.77	5.13
Concrete with quartz sand	300.96	11.06	5.11
Concrete with basalt sand	288.45	14.12	5.02
Control (concrete with cement)	243.22	6.43	4.02

Table 6. Elasticity, stress and strain results of specimens

According to test results, relation between stress and strain is graphically shown in Figure 6 and toughness values of specimens are given in Table 7.



Figure 6. Stress-strain deformation curve

Specimens	Toughness values
Control (concrete with cement)	74.8
Concrete with basalt sand	285.4
Concrete with pumice sand	124.7
Concrete with limestone sand	135.4
Concrete with quartz sand	36.2

 Table 7. Toughness values of specimens

Plastic increases toughness of concrete considerably and also develops flexibility of concrete. It is observed that specimens containing pumice sand have more flexible construction. Toughness values of concretes with quartz sands are the highest results. High flexibility values of concretes with pumice sands are caused by amorphous structure of pumice. Crystalline structure and stiffness of quartz leads to higher toughness values.

Abrasion and Absorption Values

New specimens with dimensions of 71x71x71 mm were prepared in order to obtain surface abrasion. Surfaces were numbered from 1 to 4 after controlling curves and dimensions of specimens. They were measured with micrometer. Specimens were placed on abrasion testing device, respectively. Each point on abraded surface was detected with high-sensitive micrometer. Abrasion rate was determined according to difference between first and second measurements [24]. Abrasion and absorption values are given in Table 8.

Specimens	Abrasion values according	Water
	to weight loss	absorption
	(%)	(%)
Concrete with pumice sand	0.68	0.18
Concrete with limestone sand	0.15	0.07
Concrete with quartz sand	0.04	0.05
Concrete with basalt sand	0.09	0.06
Control (without plastic)	12.45	10.32

 Table 8. Abrasion and absorption values of specimens

CONCLUSION

Concretes containing plastic are more resistant to compression. Especially, concretes with quartz or limestone, have 60% higher compression strength than control concrete. The lowest compression strength is seen in concretes containing pumice. This is a result of pumice's porous structure.

Plastic improves flexibility of concretes and increases toughness. Concretes containing quartz have higher toughness. On the other hand, flexibility of concretes with pumice sand is higher than that of others. Pumice develops flexibility due to its high amorphous structure. Higher toughness is the result of high stiffness and more crystalline structure of quartz.

Absorption and abrasion rate of concretes are directly related with aggregate type and quantity. Concretes prepared with pumice sand, have higher abrasion and absorption rates. However, all specimens have lower abrasion and absorption rates than that of control concretes containing cement.

Acknowledgement: We are heartily thankful to Associate Professor Fatih MENGELOĞLU and PhD student Kadir KARAKUŞ who never withholds their help during experiment.

REFERENCES

[1] Mahdi F, Abbas H, Khan AA, Strength characteristics of polymer mortar and concrete using different compositions of resins derived from post-consumer PE bottles. Constr Build Mater 24(2010)25–36.

[2] Siddique R, Khatib J, Kaur I, Use of recycled plastic in concrete: A review. Waste Manage 28(2008)1835–52.

[3] I-Manaseer AA, Dalal TR, Concrete containing plastic aggregates. Concr Int 19(1997)47–52.

[4] Soroushian P, Plasencia J, Ravanbakhsh S, Assessment of reinforcing effects of recycled plastic and paper in concrete. ACI Mater J 2003;100(3):203–7.

[5] Batayneh M, Marie I, Asi I, Use of selected waste materials in concrete mixes. Waste Manage 27(2007)1870–6.

[6] Choi YW, Moon DJ, Chung JS, Cho SK, Effects of waste PE bottles aggregate on the properties of concrete. Cem Concr Res 35(2005):776–81.

[7] Marzouk OY, Dheilly RM, Queneudec M, Valorization of post-consumer waste plastic in cementitious concrete composites. Waste Manage 27(2007) 310–8.

[8] Choi YW, Moon DJ, Kim YJ, Lachemi M, Characteristics of mortar and concrete containing fine aggregate manufactured from recycled waste polyethylene terephthalate bottles. Constr Build Mater 23(2009):2829–35.

[9] Akçaözoglu S, Atis CD, Akçaözoglu K, An investigation on the use of shredded waste PE bottles as aggregate in lightweight concrete. Waste Manage 30(2010) 285–90.

[10] Silva DA, Betioli AM, Gleize PJP, Roman HR, Gomez LA, Ribeiro JLD, Degradation of recycled PE fibers in Portland cement-based materials. Cem Concr Res 35(2005)1741–6.

[11] Ochi T, Okubo S, Fukui K, Development of recycled PE fiber and its application as concrete-reinforcing fiber. Cem Concr Compos 29 (2007)448–55.

[12] Kim JHJ, Park CG, Lee SW, Won JP, Effects of the geometry of recycled PE fiber reinforcement on shrinkage cracking of cement-based composites. Compos Part B: Eng 3(2008)441–50.

[13] Kim SB, Yi NH, Kim HY, Kim JJ, Song YC, Material and structural performance evaluation of recycled PE fiber reinforced concrete. Cem Concr Compos 32 (2010):232–40.

[14] Sarigaphuti M, Shah SP, Vinson K, Shrinkage cracking and durability characteristics of cellulose fibre reinforced concrete. ACI Mater J4(1993) 429–35.

[15] Toledo Filho RD, Ghavami K, Sanjuan MA, England GL. Free, restrained and drying shrinkage of cement mortar composites reinforced with vegetable fibres. Cem Concr Compos 27(2005):537–46.

[16] Ahmed SFU, Mihashi H, A review on durability properties of strain hardening fibre reinforced cementitious composites (SHFRCC). Cem Concr Compos 29(2007):365–76.

[17] Figueiredo AD, Concreto com fibras de aço, Boletim Técnico da Escola Politécnica da Universidade de São Paulo, BT/PCC/260, ISSN 0103-9830, São Paulo; 2000. p. 69.

[18] Bentur A, Mindess S, Fibre reinforced cementitious composites. UK: Taylor & Francis; 2006. p. 449.

[19] Luiz A. Pereira de Oliveira, João P. Castro-Gomes, Physical and mechanical behaviour of recycled PE fibre reinforced mortar, Construction and Building Materials xxx (2010) xxx- xxx. (Inpress).

[20] Atis C.D, Karahan O, Properties of steel fiber reinforced fly ash concrete, Construction and Building Materials 23 (2009) 392–399.

[21] Binici H, Shah T, Aksogan O Kaplan H, Durability of concrete made with granite and marble as recycle aggregates, Journal of Materials Processing Technology 8(2008) 299–308.

[22] Binici H, Arocena J, Kapur S, Aksogan O and Kaplan H, Investigation of the physicochemical and microscopic properties of Ottoman mortars from Erzurum (Turkey), Construction and Building Materials, 4(2010) 1995–2002.

[23] Aksoğan O, Binici H, Görür E.B, Yüksek Fırın Cürufu ve Bazaltik Pomza Katkılı Betonların Durabilite Açısından Avantajları, *Çukurova Üniversitesi, Mühendislik Dergisi*, 2 (2005) 59–66.

[24] TS 2824 EN 1338, DIN 52108, 2002, Testing of Inorganic Non-Metallic Materials–Wear Test Using The Grinding Wheel According to Boehme-Grinding Wheel Method.