International Baldan cress on Conferences of Civil Engineering, BCCCE, 1921 M201 EPOKA University BAN a A A

Interf $\bar{\bm{x}}$ $\bm{\sigma}$ ecti $\bm{\beta}$ at we $\bm{\Theta}$ ng anic $\bm{\delta}$ di $\bm{\mathsf{C}}$ anstruction Materials

Hanifi ÇahHa.KEcrolem YaFantizh ÇⁱeHlaikmza Güllü

 1 Department of Civil Engin**e**ntep ing the persity

ABSTRACT

In this study, interface feridoteional anterosmigstanting soil and some of the between organic some of the som construction material was investigated. Construction materials metal, and Inwtoepotdace friction angle were doditefteernemmitnewook texarctohnreeent values organic \$25%,500%, and 75% respectively. Different face rough tested for different. wAd tetre sctosonal were inteaduled unting direct shear test de Three different normal forces were used and shear stress at maximum shree ars. Test results showed that water measure retaint of the material of the organic solution of $n = 0$ type, noturface roughness should be considered while selecting between organic soil anadecion struction

INTRODUCTION

Organic soil is of frace in meented organic material formed in appropriate climatic and topographic conditions and it is der been chemically changed and fossilized. This type of soils has compressive a dieofro rwhich often result in difficulties when co undertaken on t**Bea**ride positacity and settlement problems are using pile foundations or different improvement methods. Pile soils generally friction piles where loads transferred to soi between soil and pile material. One of the important parame friction coefficient between pile material and soil.

Many geotælopholonie involve estimation of stresses transfe interface between soils $\mathcal P$ nibe sfooluid d $\mathcal S$ utiofan seand earth reinforcem the estimation of interfacial friction betwetensses is and it stuch determinientehreface friction angle between soil and pile material estimation of the axial capacity of the pile. Also, knowledge c the determination of the magnitude and lineighiac cation of the wall

Vario sutsudies on interfacial friction betwewenen sosial rarinedd od to ber in the past. EDinfolts reonft apparatus were usteed dientethme in eteinateur feace friction angle between soil and sosluidhcens dirunction heraantet reisal apparatus and solid and solid and soli [1-6] simple shear [788],prainturention applications [9] ,phodural tuss hear a appropriation is $[100]$, $[100]$ miniature pile te\$1 1;aapppda spaoitilubeslip tests a $[6]$ paratus

Previous researchers $v \notin \text{o}$ as in dathen that interfacts and the value of the interface $v \notin \text{o}$ friction A mmgdeg those factors are: (i) soil properties such as m density, grain shape, grain size and gradation; and (ii) the p such as hardnessroamgchnseussface

In this study, interfacial friction between organic soil and some of construction material was investigated using direct shear box apparatus. Construction materials used in this work are rough and smooth concrete, smooth, rough, and painted metal, and wood. Four different water contents values of organic soil were used for testing program. These are dry, %25, %50 and 75%. Interface friction angle was measured using direct shear test device. Tests were performed with locating construction material at lower part of the shear box and filling the upper part with organic soil.

MATERAILS AND METHODS

Organic soil used in this experiment was obtained from Sakarya region, Turkey. A relatively uniformly graded organic soil is used in the study. This organic soil is classified as OH by Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) and peat by classification system suggested by Wüst, [12]. Engineering properties of the organic soil is listed in Table.1. Organic content was estimated according to ASTM D-2974. Sieve analysis was carried out on ash and it was found that ash contains 15% silt and clay and 25% sand. Liquid limit of the organic soil was estimated by fall cone test according to BS1377 and found to be 125%.

Table 1 Engineering properties of the organic soil used in the study

The quality of the steel was that of common commercial mild steel, which is widely used for pipe piles and sheet piles. For practical purposes, three different kinds of surface finish were used in this investigation. These are original manufactured surface, rough surface that was obtained by making deep groove on the surface, and painted surface with three layers of anti-corrosive paint (Figure 1).

Figure 1 Metal used in the experimental work a) Painted b) Rough c) Original

Concrete was prepared so that the strength value is a practical value, at the same time it can be sheared with organic soil repeatedly with minimum wear on the surface. The concrete was prepared by first mixing the sand and cement, adding water and mixing gradually, subsequently filling the prepared boxes with concrete. For concrete specimens, smooth

surface was obtained by location the one side of the box on clean and smooth tile surface, and rough surface was obtained by poring 1 mm sand particles on fresh concrete then the surface was leveled (Figure 2).

Figure 2 Concrete used in this study a) Smooth b) Rough

Wood used for the test was sound pine. It was cleaned from any unnatural surface irregularities and defects (Figure 3). Each test piece was shaped by planning to minimize the effect of roughness attributed by other than the natural texture of the wood. The tests were carried out in parallel directions to the grain of the wood.

Figure 3 Wood used in this study

Figure 4 Test set up for interface friction measurement

The conventional direct shear test apparatus with dimensions of $60 \text{ mm} \times 60 \text{ mm}$ was used in this study (Figure 4). For the interface tests, construction material was placed in the lower half of the direct shear box and the upper half of the shear box was filled with organic soil at predetermined density. The organic soil was in disturbed condition and prepared in a cup for different water content and was kept in desiccation container for 24 hours for uniform saturation. Tests were conducted with a deformation-controlled direct shear machine connected to a variable speed motor in series with a gear reduction box, which made it possible to maintain the rate of shearing at a desirable constant level. Test speed can be controlled by choosing the appropriate gear wheel from the gear box. Samples were sheared at 1.0 mm/min. For each tests three normal stress 30 kPa, 60 kPa, and 120 kPa were used.

TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Figure 5 and Figure 6 shows the values of interface friction angle between organic soil and construction materials at dry and 75% water content. It can be seen that, the highest interface friction angle was obtained between timber and organic soil for dry condition that was 45°. However, the highest interface friction angle was observed between organic soil and rough concrete at 75% water content that was 41°. Same Figures also shows that frictional resistance between organic soil and the metal has the lowest value compared to other construction materials for both dry and saturated condition. In dry condition, rough concrete has higher friction angle than smooth concrete surface. For the metal with rough steel gave higher friction angle compare to painted and plain one for dry and saturated case. Interface friction angle increases when the metal is painted in both dry and saturated condition.

Figure 5 Variation in interface friction angle for dry condition

Figure 6 Variation in interface friction angle for 75% water content

Figure 7 shows varition in interface friction angle between organic soil and different construction material for varios water content. Study was carried out on four diffrent water content values and three material. These are dry, 25%, 50% and 75% by weight and wood, smooth concrete, and steel respectively. It can be seen from the figure that when the water content of the organic soil is incresed from dry contion to 75% interface friction angle decreases from 45° to 38° for wood, and it decreses from 20° to 16° for steel. Whereas, there is a minor change in interface friction angle between smooth concrete and organic soil with change in water content.

Figure 7 Effect of water content on interface friction angle

CONCLUSION

It may be concluded from this study that moisture content and the surface roughness of material effects interface friction angle between organic soil and construction material. The wood gives the highest interface friction angle for all water content value, and the lowest interface friction angle was obtained from the steel for dry and saturated condition. Interface friction angle reduces with increase in water content for wood and steel. Water content has little effect interface friction angle between organic soil and smooth concrete.

REFERENCES

- [1] Potyondy, J. G., (1961). Skin Friction between Various Soils and Construction Materials. Geotechnique, Vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 339-353
- [2] Acar, Y. B., Durgunoglu, H. T. and Tumay, M. T. , (1982). Interface Properties of Sand. J. of Geotechnical Engineering, ASCE, , Vol. 108, No. 4, pp. 648-654.
- [3] Bosscher, P. J. and Ortiz, C.,(1987). Frictional Properties between Sand and Various Construction Materials. J of Geotechnical Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 113, No. 9, pp. 1035-1039
- [4] O'Rourke, T. D., Drushel, S. J. and Netravali, A. N. , (1990). Shear Strength Characteristics of Sand-polymer Interfaces. J. of Geotechnical Engineering, ASCE, Vol. 116, No. 3, pp. 451-469
- [5] Subba, Rao, K.S., Allam, M.M. and Robinson, R.G, (1988). Interfacial Friction between Sand and Solid Surfaces. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers, *Geotechnical Engineering,* Vol. 131, pp. 75-82.
- [6] Reddy, E.S., Chapman, D.N. and O Reilly,M.P., (1998). Design and Performance of Soil-Pile-Slip Test Apparatus for Tension Piles. *Geotechnical Testing J.,* Vol. 21, No.2, pp. 132-139
- [7] Uesugi, M. and Kishida, H, (1986). Influential Factors of Friction between Steel and Dry Sands. Soils and Foundation, Vol. 26, No.2, pp. 33-46.
- [8] Kishida, H., and Uesugi, M. (1987). "Tests of the interface between sand and steel in the simple shear apparatus," Géotechnique 37(1), 45-52
- [9] Yoshimi Y and Kishida T (1981). A ring Torsion apparatus for evaluating friction between soil and metal surface. Geotechnical testing journal, GTJODJ, Vol. 4, No.4.
- [10] Paikowsky, S. G., Player, C. M., and Connors, P. J., (1995), "A Dual Interface Apparatus for Testing Unrestricted Friction of Soil along Solid Surfaces," Geotechnical Testing Journal, Vol. 18, No. 2, pp. 168–193.
- [11] Coyle, H. M. and Sulaiman, I.H., (1967). Skin Friction for Steel Piles in Sand. Journal of Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, ASCE,Vol.93, No.SM6, pp. 261-278.
- [12] Wüst, R. Bustin, RM. and Lavkulich, LM. (2003). New classification systems for tropical organic-rich deposits based on studies of the Tasek Bera Basin, Malaysia. Catena 53:133–163