

University of Colorado Law School Colorado Law Scholarly Commons

Allocating and Managing Water for a Sustainable Future: Lessons from Around the World (Summer Conference, June 11-14)

Getches-Wilkinson Center Conferences, Workshops, and Hot Topics

6-11-2002

Lessons Learned: Bridging the Gap Between Science and Water Management [abstract]

Katherine Jacobs

Roger Pulwarty

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholar.law.colorado.edu/allocating-and-managing-water-for-sustainable-future

Part of the <u>Natural Resources Management and Policy Commons</u>, and the <u>Water Resource</u> <u>Management Commons</u>

Citation Information

Jacobs, Katherine and Pulwarty, Roger, "Lessons Learned: Bridging the Gap Between Science and Water Management [abstract]" (2002). Allocating and Managing Water for a Sustainable Future: Lessons from Around the World (Summer Conference, June 11-14). http://scholar.law.colorado.edu/allocating-and-managing-water-for-sustainable-future/23

Reproduced with permission of the Getches-Wilkinson Center for Natural Resources, Energy, and the Environment (formerly the Natural Resources Law Center) at the University of Colorado Law School.



Katherine Jacobs & Roger Pulwarty, *Lessons Learned: Bridging the Gap Between Science and Water Management* [abstract], *in* ALLOCATING AND MANAGING WATER FOR A SUSTAINABLE FUTURE: LESSONS FROM AROUND THE WORLD (Natural Res. Law Ctr., Univ. of Colo. Sch. of Law 2002).

Reproduced with permission of the Getches-Wilkinson Center for Natural Resources, Energy, and the Environment (formerly the Natural Resources Law Center) at the University of Colorado Law School.

Lessons Learned: Bridging the Gap Between Science and Water Management

By Katharine Jacobs and Roger Pulwarty

Katharine Jacobs Roger Pulwarty Office of Global Programs National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration 1100 Wayne Ave., Suite 1210 Silver Spring, Maryland Fax: (301) 427-2222 Phone: (301) 427-2089 ext. 144 Email: jacobs@ogp.noaa.gov Email: pulwarty@ogp.noaa.gov

Katharine Jacobs is currently at the Office of Global Programs of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) where she is working on a special project focused on the interface between scientific information and environmental and societal decision-making. She is on a one-year leave from the Arizona Department of Water Resources, where she has worked since 1981. She been the Director of the Tucson Active Management Area since 1988, and has been responsible for the establishment of groundwater rights and permits, mandatory conservation programs for all sectors, implementation of an artificial recharge program, and the development of the state's Assured Water Supply Program, which requires a demonstration of 100 years of renewable water supplies prior to subdividing new land. She wrote the water chapter of the recently completed synthesis report, US National Assessment of the Impacts of Climate Change. Katharine has a bachelor's degree from Middlebury College in biology, and a master's in environmental planning from UC Berkeley.

Roger S. Pulwarty is the Program Manager for the Regional Integrated Sciences and Assessments at the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. He is also a Research Scientist in the Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences at the University of Colorado, Boulder. At present he serves on advisory panels for the Organization of American States, the American Metrological Society, and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

ABSTRACT

Attempts to bridge the gap between those who generate scientific information and those who use it have not always been successful. This is true in part because most research methodologies encourage a relatively narrow, disciplinary focus on questions, frequently avoiding the complexities and interdependencies of the "real" world. A true dialog between end users of climate information and those who generate data is rarely achieved.

Improved scientific information is important to managing water supplies in the context of increasing competition for water. However, scientists may not fully understand the context within which water management decisions are made, or have the appropriate training to

ensure that the information that they produce is useful. There are major limitations to the applicability of current scientific products, in part because they are generated without a full understanding of institutional and political limitations to using the products in implementing new management techniques. Scientific training generally does not encourage sensitivity towards the needs of the users of the information that is generated, nor does it provide the tools needed for translation of knowledge to application by decision-makers.

Water managers also are trained to function in specific types of environments. Most water managers learn a substantial proportion of their skills on the job, within the particular context of the organizations they represent. Some water managers may be concerned about using new technology, because behaving as others have is a proven or acceptable strategy. This perspective is not unique to water managers; it has been observed in other professions (see Pulwarty and Redmond, 1997). New information sources and technology represent risk, and the repercussions of management error can be substantial. Perception of risk, as opposed to risk as described by objective observers, is likely to control decisions.

The common expectation among researchers is that new information can help managers anticipate a range of possible outcomes and assist in designing and implementing flexible responses. There is currently a major focus within federal agencies on "usable science," providing new opportunities to develop research products that have direct applications in the "real world." In the context of NOAA, the focus is on climate services, translating new understanding of the behavior of the ocean and atmosphere into the capacity to predict climate conditions in the months, years, and even decades ahead. Knowing that there is a high probability of a severe, sustained drought, for example, or an increased risk of flooding, can be of great use to water managers. However, a new form of scientific training is needed to improve the "usability" of scientific products like these. For example, much of the predictive capacity is in the context of probabilities of particular outcomes, quite unlike the current weather predictions that are deterministic in nature. Enabling the use of this new kind of information as an input to decision-making will require pilot testing and training of potential users. Understanding the context of decision-making needs to be established as a legitimate part of developing usable science. Funding agencies and current review processes tend to perpetuate the view that science should not be "contaminated" with social concerns. However, failure to appreciate the social context of decision-making has resulted in generations of scientific products that are rarely used.

This new approach will require different modes of communication between scientists and users of information, and possibly new types of professionals. The type of communication we have in mind moves beyond the current approach that is prevalent in federal agencies, providing information without assessing its utility, towards developing a framework and infrastructure for ongoing relationships between scientists and practitioners. This has been labeled "co-production of knowledge," and the implications of this approach are substantial because of the institutional changes required to facilitate it. To the extent that there is a need for improved predictive capacity to make better water management decisions, there are significant communication hurdles that need to be overcome. This paper focuses on some of those hurdles, including the information needs of both decision-makers and scientists, and makes suggestions for narrowing the gap between science and its intended applications.