University of New Mexico
UNM Digital Repository

Evidence Based Scholarly Communications
Conference

HSLIC Events

11-1-2010

EBSC Conference Post-Conference Survey, 2

Philip J. Kroth

Holly E. Phillips

Jonathan D. Eldredge

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/ebscc

EBSC Conference Post-Conference Survey

This is the second and final part of a two part survey to complete the evaluation of the Evidence-Based Scholarly Communications Conference held in Albuquerque, NM in March of 2010.

1. **Please rate each of the following topics covered at the conference as follows: The content presented at the conference will be helpful with my efforts to promote the use of open-access publication at my institution:**

	Agree	Somewhat Agree	Somewhat Disagree	Disagree	N/A
Change Management	◯	◯	◯	◯	◯
Copyright management	◯	◯	◯	◯	◯
Institutional repositories	◯	◯	◯	◯	◯
Research topics in open-access publication/promotion	◯	◯	◯	◯	◯
Leveraging opportunities with a CTSA program to promote	◯	◯	◯	◯	◯
NIH policy promotion	◯	◯	◯	◯	◯
Developing the 3-minute elevator speech advocating open-access publication support	◯	◯	◯	◯	◯
Advocacy strategies for advancing the promotion of open-access publication in translational research environments (CTSA)	◯	◯	◯	◯	◯

2. **As a librarian or other knowledge management professional, please indicate how confident you are in your ability to effect change to successfully promote an increase in the use of open-access publication at your institution:**

   ◯ Very little confidence to effect change
   ◯ Neutral
   ◯ Some confidence to effect change
   ◯ High confidence to effect change

3. **There is a need to continue this type of conference (i.e., focused on promotion of open-access publication at CTSA-minded institutions) on a recurring basis in some form, e.g. as part of an MLA or similar national organization's annual conference.**

          1 2 3 4   N/A
   Agree ◯ ◯ ◯ ◯ Disagree   ◯

4. **There is a need to advocate for the inclusion of a formal agenda in the NIH's CTSA Program that promotes greater use of open-access publication by its investigators.**

          1 2 3 4   N/A
   Agree ◯ ◯ ◯ ◯ Disagree   ◯

5. **A <u>new</u> organization needs to be created to take on the advocacy mission to convince the NIH to include a formal agenda in the CTSA Program that promotes greater use of open-access publication by its investigators**

    <div style="margin-left:2em;">
    1   2   3   4   N/A
    Agree ◯ ◯ ◯ ◯ Disagree   ◯
    </div>

6. **What <u>existing</u> organization could <u>best</u> take on this advocacy mission?**

    [   ]

7. **As a result of this conference I have <u>planned</u> a "next step" for further involvement in open access activities at my institution.**

    ◯ No, not yet
    ◯ Yes (please specify below)

    [   ]

8. **As a result of this conference I have <u>taken</u> a "next step" for further involvement in open access activities at my institution.**

    ◯ No, not yet
    ◯ Yes (please specify below)

    [   ]

9. **My institution:**

    ◯ Has a funded CTSA
    ◯ Has applied for a CTSA
    ◯ Does not have a CTSA

10. **What part of this conference was most helpful?**

    [   ]

11. **What part of this conference was least helpful?**

    [   ]

12. **Overall, I would give this conference a grade of:**

    ◯ A

○ B
○ C
○ D
○ F

13. **Additional Comments**

[text area]

Finish

Close preview