University of New Mexico UNM Digital Repository

Biomedical Sciences ETDs

Electronic Theses and Dissertations

12-1-2009

Decreased functional connectivity with aging and disease duration in schizophrenia

Christopher Abbott

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/biom_etds

Recommended Citation

Abbott, Christopher. "Decreased functional connectivity with aging and disease duration in schizophrenia." (2009). https://digitalrepository.unm.edu/biom_etds/5

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Electronic Theses and Dissertations at UNM Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Biomedical Sciences ETDs by an authorized administrator of UNM Digital Repository. For more information, please contact disc@unm.edu.

Christopher Abbott, M.D. **Biomedical Sciences** Department This thesis is approved, and it is acceptable in quality and form for publication: Approved by the Thesis Committee: Chairperson low

DECREASED FUNCTIONAL CONNECTIVITY WITH AGING AND DISESEAE DURATION IN SCHIZOPHRENIA

BY

CHRITOPHER ABBOTT

DOCTOR OF MEDICINE, 2003

THESIS

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Masters of Science in Biomedical Sciences Clinical Research

> The University of New Mexico Albuquerque, New Mexico

> > December, 2009

DECREASED FUNCTIONAL CONNECTIVITY WITH AGING AND DISEASE DURATION IN SCHIIZOPHRENIA

BY

CHRISTOPHER ABBOTT, M.D.

ABSTRACT OF THESIS

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Masters of Science in Biomedical Sciences Clinical Research

> The University of New Mexico Albuquerque, New Mexico

> > December, 2009

DECREASED FUNCTIONAL CONNECTIVITY WITH AGING AND DISEASE DURATION IN SCHIZOPHRENIA

By

Christopher Abbott, M.D.

ABSTRACT

Objective: Progressive brain changes late in the disease course of shizophrenia may be detected with functional connectivity. This study compared functional connectivity between patients with schizophrenia late in the disease course with matched healthy controls.

Method: Subjects included 18 patients with schizophrenia with minimum 15 years disease duration and 28 matched healthy controls from the MIND Clinical Imaging Consortium database. The functional magnetic resonance imaging paradigm was the auditory oddball task. We used independent components analysis to identify temporally cohesive but spatially distributed neural networks. We selected the executive control and default mode networks for additional analysis. The temporal course of each spatial component was then regressed with a model of the hemodynamic time course based on the experimental paradigm to measure functional connectivity. The beta weights from this regression were used for additional group level analyses.

Results: The anterior default mode network had a main effect by group (patients with schizophrenia and healthy controls) and an interaction with group and aging. As the patient group aged, they had less negative modulation of the anterior

default mode network. The patient group also had significantly less positive modulation of the executive control network.

Conclusions: These results show evidence of progressive changes in functional connectivity in the anterior default mode network late in the disease course of schizophrenia. The decreased functional connectivity may be attributable to the progressive disease course of schizophrenia.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	VIII
LIST OF TABLES	IX
CHAPTER 1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2 MANUSCRIPT	7
	7
METHODS	11
Participants	11
Auditory Oddball Paradigm	12
Imaging Parameters	12
FMRI Preprocessing	13
Independent Component Analysis	13
Component Selection	13
Statistical Analysis of Component Time Course	14
RESULTS	18
Behavioral Findings	18
Components	18
Between-Group Differences and Aging	
Disease Duration and Symptoms	19
DISCUSSION	24
CHAPTER 3 CONCLUSION	29
FUTURE DIRECTIONS	31

vii

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. The disease course of schizophrenia	5
Figure 2. The disease course of poor outcome patients with schizophrenia	6
Figure 3. The components of interest	20
Figure 4. Group, age, and the anterior default mode network	21
Figure 5. Group, age, and the executive control network	22

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Demographic information	16
Table 2. Symptom scores	17
Table 3. The components of interest	23

CHAPTER 1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Schizophrenia is a chronic, debilitating disease affecting one percent of the world's population [1]. The signs and symptoms of schizophrenia include hallucinations, delusions, disorganized thought, and abnormalities in emotional expression, social interaction, and drive [2]. Treatment consists of a variety of antipsychotic medications that share the common property of antagonizing the dopamine receptor [1]. Pharmacologic treatment successfully attenuates the hallucinations and delusions but unfortunately fails to significantly attenuate the cognitive and negative symptoms. Cognitive symptoms correlate strongly with the level of functioning [3]. The affected individuals subsequently fail to return to their premorbid level of functioning. A better understanding of the longitudinal course of schizophrenia is a necessary step in the development of more effective treatments.

The disease course of schizophrenia has generated considerable debate for the last 100 years. Emil Kraepelin (1855-1926) emphasized a poor prognostic view when he emphasized the onset, course, and outcome of the illness and labeled the disorder *dementia praecox*. *Dementia praecox* had a heterogeneous symptom profile, a deteriorating course, and a poor outcome [4]. Kraepelin emphasized the progressively deteriorating longitudinal course was essential in differentiating this illness from manic-depressive disorder [5]. Eugene Bleuler (1857-1939) had a more optimistic view of the illness and did not believe that dementia was an essential component of the illness. He subsequently changed the name of the diagnosis to schizophrenia (splitting of the mind).

Today, schizophrenia is often viewed as a "limited neuroprogressive" illness balancing the conceptualizations from Kraepelin and Bleuler [6, 7]. The longitudinal course of schizophrenia is summarized as follows[7]. A prodromal period precedes the onset of psychotic symptoms. This period consists of mood symptoms, cognitive symptoms, and social withdrawal. The onset of psychotic symptoms marks the onset of first-episode schizophrenia and further functional decline. The early years of the illness are characterized by intermittent psychotic exacerbations that correlate with worsening periods of functioning, psychiatric hospitalizations, and incomplete recovery. After the first five to ten years of the illness, the disease stabilizes with no further decline in cognitive deficits or decline in functioning [8-12]. The disease course of schizophrenia is presented in Figure 1.

In contrast, poor-outcome patients with schizophrenia have an entirely different disease course. These patients with schizophrenia have no symptom remission and are dependent on others for activities of daily living [13]. This pooroutcome group also has more cognitive deficits at the onset of the illness [14]. In late-life, this group has an increased rate of cognitive and functional deterioration qualifying these individuals for an additional diagnosis of dementia [14-18]. The cognitive and functional decline of this group of patients in late-life suggests that the neuroprogressive aspects of schizophrenia may not be limited to the early part of the disease. These changes in level of functioning are contrasted with the classic disease course in Figure 2.

Schizophrenia has been intensively studied with structural and functional neuroimaging. The collective evidence of these studies suggests that schizophrenia is not a product of a specific, structural lesion affecting one part of the brain, but multiple neuronal pathologies resulting in a failure of neural networks or functional interaction between networks [19]. The complexity of the disease is the catalyst for the development of new neuroimaging modalities and data analysis techniques. One such data analysis technique is functional connectivity. Functional connectivity correlates the activity in one anatomic area or network with other brain regions or a model of brain activity [20]. This technique has been widely used with electroencephalography and magnetoencephalography. These imaging modalities sacrifice spatial resolution for superior temporal resolution (milliseconds). Recently, investigators have measured functional connectivity with functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). This imaging modality has inferior temporal resolution (seconds) relative to the aforementioned imaging modalities, but the vastly improved spatial resolution of fMRI promises to add significantly to our understanding of the pathophysiology of schizophrenia.

The following study is an fMRI investigation of an older sample of community dwelling patients with schizophrenia. We hypothesized that these patients will show evidence of neuroprogressive changes late in the illness. Specifically, we hypothesized that the older group of patients will have less

functional connectivity correlating with age relative to the healthy control group. After introducing the challenges in more detail, we will describe the methodology with particular emphasis on independent component analysis, a sophisticated method of blind source separation that we used to identify spatially independent but temporally coherent networks. We will then contextualize our findings with previous studies using related methodologies in schizophrenia and healthy aging.



Figure 1. The disease course of schizophrenia includes the "static" period in late-life. The figure is adapted from Lieberman *et al* [7].



Figure 2. In contrast to the typical disease course of schizophrenia, the poor outcome group has a progressive decline in cognition and function in late-life.

CHAPTER 2 MANUSCRIPT

INTRODUCTION

Over the next decade, the number of elderly patients with schizophrenia will increase dramatically [21]. The costs associated with schizophrenia are substantial, and these costs increase with age and disease duration [22]. A better understanding of disease progression and aging in schizophrenia is necessary to develop interventions that effectively modify the disease course and improve functioning in late-life.

In mid- and late-life, patients with schizophrenia have significant variability in their level of functioning. Some patients with schizophrenia have a modest but stable level of functioning with intermittent psychotic exacerbations [7]. Others are less fortunate and are plagued with chronic, treatment-resistant symptoms. This poor-outcome group also has more cognitive deficits at the onset of the illness, but progressive cognitive deterioration is not always evident until late-life [14]. In late-life, this group has an increased rate of cognitive and functional deterioration qualifying these individuals for an additional diagnosis of dementia. However, large postmortem studies of these patients do not show a significant increase in senile plaques or neurofibrillary tangles [23]. The progressive functional and cognitive decline in this patient group may be related to the natural disease course of schizophrenia as opposed to another neurodegenerative comorbidity [14].

The progressive changes associated with the level of functioning in schizophrenia can be detected earlier in the disease course with functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) and functional connectivity. Functional connectivity measures the temporal correlation of the blood oxygen level dependent signal with different brain areas or a model of the hemodynamic response [20]. The region of interest method correlates the time course of an *a priori* region with the rest of the brain with voxel by voxel correlations [24]. Previous investigators have used this method to measure differences in functional connectivity between patients with schizophrenia and healthy controls. These results have been variable and are often attributable to methodological differences including the choice of *a priori* defined region(s) of interest [25-30].

Functional connectivity can also be assessed with the blind source separation methods such as independent component analysis (ICA). Based on the assumption that fMRI data represents a linear mixture of source signals, ICA extracts these source signals from the fMRI data. ICA assumes that different source signals or components are from different physical processes [31]. Spatial ICA separates fMRI data into statistically independent spatial components along with their respective ICA time courses. The temporal course of each spatial component is then regressed with a model of the hemodynamic time course based on the experimental paradigm to measure functional connectivity [32]. For more information regarding the application of ICA to fMRI data, we refer the reader to these references [31, 33-35].

Several canonical components have been repeatedly identified in previous ICA studies [36, 37]. These include primary vision, somatosensory, auditory, executive control and default mode networks [36]. These networks may be further subdivided based on their response to an attention-demanding task. For example, the executive control networks, which include the frontal and parietal cortical areas, are positively modulated during an attention-demanding task [38]. In contrast, the default mode networks, which include the posterior cingulate, retropslenial cortex, medial prefrontal cortex, and the inferior parietal lobule, are negatively modulated during an attention-demanding task. Functions of the default mode network include autobiographical memory and self-referential thought.

Studies using independent component analysis have assessed functional connectivity in patients with schizophrenia. Garrity *et al.* found differences in the spatial and temporal aspects of the default mode in patients with schizophrenia [39]. Using a large sample of patients and controls in a modified auditory oddball task, Kim *et al.* found differences in functional connectivity in a variety of networks including auditory, executive control, and default mode networks [32]. The executive control networks failed to activate as robustly in the patient group to target detection relative to healthy controls. Independent component analysis has yet to be utilized to study the effects of aging and disease duration on functional connectivity in schizophrenia.

Previous investigators have used ICA to study healthy aging. Damoiseaux *et al.* assessed resting state brain activity in the default mode network in a group

of young adults (mean age 22.8 years) and a group of older adults (mean age 70.7 years) [40]. Using ICA, the default mode network was split into an anterior portion that included the superior and middle frontal gyrus and a posterior portion that included the posterior cingulate as well as the bilateral superior parietal regions. In both networks, the older group had significantly decreased blood oxygen level dependent signal change compared with the younger subjects. The decreased activity in the anterior default mode network was associated with cognitive decline only in the older group.

In the present study, we compared functional connectivity with a group of older patients with early-onset schizophrenia and matched healthy controls. We used an auditory oddball task that required subjects to actively attend and respond to target or "oddball" stimuli. Previous studies have shown that target detection in the auditory oddball task positively modulates executive control networks while negatively modulating the default mode networks [32, 39]. We hypothesized that patients with schizophrenia will have significantly less positive modulation of the executive control networks and less negative modulation of the default mode networks with aging and disease duration. We also hypothesized that the loss of modulation of these networks will correlate with positive and negative symptoms.

METHODS

Participants

The patients and controls were from the MIND Clinical Imaging Consortium database. This database included over 300 first episode and chronic patients with schizophrenia and matched healthy controls from four different sites: University of Iowa (IOWA), Harvard/Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH), University of Minnesota (MINN), and the University of New Mexico (UNM). For this study, we included all of the patients with schizophrenia with minimum disease duration of 15 years and matched healthy controls that performed the auditory oddball task with a minimum behavioral response of 60% correct response to targets for each experimental run.

The demographic characteristics of the patients with schizophrenia (n = 18) and healthy controls (n = 28) are presented in Table 1. The two groups did not differ with age, maternal or paternal education, or parental socioeconomic status. The patient group scored less than controls on a test premorbid intelligence (t_{44} = 4.47, *P* < 0.01). The patient group also had less education than the controls (t_{43} = 3.55, *P* < 0.01). The subjects were from all four sites (patents/controls): IOWA (2, 0), MGH (2, 10), MINN (8, 9), and UNM (6, 9). The patients were all treated with antipsychotics at the time of data acquisition: aripiprazole (1), clozapine (5), fluphenazine (1, this patient was also taking risperidone), haloperidol (2), olanzapine (1), quetiapine (3), risperidone (3), ziprasidone (2). Positive and negative symptoms for the patient group were assessed with the Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS) and

the Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms (SAPS) [41, 42]. These scores are summarized in Table 2.

Auditory Oddball Paradigm

The auditory oddball task was a randomized, event-related design that consisted of frequently occurring standard tones (1000 Hz, frequency rate 82%), infrequently occurring target or "oddball" tones (1200 Hz, frequency rate 9%), and the equally infrequent novel noises. Subjects had to press a button every time they heard a target tone, and the percentage of correct hits was recorded as a behavioral measure. Stimuli was presented sequentially in a pseudorandom order for 200 milliseconds (ms) each with an interstimulus interval that varied randomly from 550 to 2050 ms with a mean of 1200 ms. The sequence allowed the BOLD response evoked by each stimuli (targets, novels, and standards) to be tested separately. An experimental run consisted of 90 stimuli (standards, novels, and targets). Each subject completed four runs. The attention demands of responding to target stimuli generate a significant hemodynamic response in a variety of cortical and subcortical networks [43].

Imaging Parameters

IOWA, MINN, and MGH used a Siemens 3 Tesla Trio Scanner. UNM used a Siemens 1.5 Tesla Sonata. The fMRI pulse sequences were the same for all four sites and consisted of the following: repetition time (TR) = 2 s, echo time (TE) = 30 ms, field of view (FOV) = 22 cm, acquisition matrix 64 x 64, flip angle = 90° , voxel size = $3.44 \times 3.44 \times 4 \text{ mm}^3$, slice thickness = 4 mm, slice-gap = 1 mm, number of slices = 27, slice acquisition = ascending.

FMRI Preprocessing

We used SPM5 (<u>http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm5/</u>) to preprocess the fMRI data. Images were realigned using INRIalign, a motion correction algorithm unbiased by local signal changes [44]. Images were spatially normalized into the standard Montreal Neurological Institute space [45] and slightly sub sampled to $3 \times 3 \times 3.4 \text{ mm}^3$, resulting in $53 \times 53 \times 46$ voxels. The images were spatially smoothed with a $9 \times 9 \times 9$ mm full width at half-maximum Gaussian kernel.

Independent Component Analysis

We used the Group ICA fMRI Toolbox (GIFT), version 2.0b

(http://icatb.sourceforge.net) to analyze the fMRI data with spatial ICA. GIFT used a minimum description length algorithm to determine the optimal number of spatially independent components [46]. The data was compressed with principal component analysis. Spatial ICA was then performed on all of the subjects at once with the infomax algorithm [47]. The output consisted of series of spatial maps and their respective time courses.

Component Selection

We were interested in identifying the executive control and default mode networks. After discarding the components that were related to artifact, we used the methods from Stevens *et al.* to select the components of interest in the following manner [48]. We compared each component spatial map with *a priori* maps of white matter and cerebral spinal fluid. Components that had a higher correlation with this map ($r^2 > 0.015$) were not considered to be meaningful activations and were discarded. We then compared the remaining components with spatial maps of the executive control and default mode networks that have been previously identified by Damoiseaux [37]. We selected the components that matched these spatial maps for additional analysis.

Statistical Analysis of Component Time Course

We used SPM5 to generate a general linear model design matrix for the four experimental runs of the auditory oddball task. We regressed the ICA time course with this design matrix to generate a series of beta weights for every experimental parameter (targets, novels, and standards). The beta weights from the regression represented the degree of modulation of the task relative to baseline. These beta weights also measured the functional connectivity of the components of interest. We used the beta weights for targets from the regression with the SPM design matrix for additional group level analysis [32]. Groups that had more positive or negative modulation of the components of interest were more functionally connected. We performed a three-factor analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) with the beta weights of each component of interest as the outcome variable. Age (continuous data), group (healthy controls and patients with schizophrenia), and the interaction term were the independent variables. We then performed another three-factor ANCOVA for the subjects with schizophrenia with the beta weights for component of interest as the outcome variable. Disease duration (continuous data), positive and negative symptoms (categorical data), and the interaction term were the independent variables. Positive and negative symptoms were dichotomized into high (> 8 for the total of the four factors of the

SAPS, > 10 for the total of the five factors of the SANS) and low categorical variables.

Demographics	Schizophrenia (Mean/SD)	Controls (Mean/SD)	Statistics (<i>t</i>)/ <i>P</i>
Sex (M/F)	13/5	20/8	
Age	44.9 (7.54)	45.8 (6.0)	$t_{44} = 0.45/0.66$
Education	13.78 (1.96)	15.92 (1.98)	$t_{42} = 3.55/0.001$
Maternal education	13.25 (3.19)	13.11 (2.86)	$t_{41} = 0.15/0.88$
Paternal education	14.29 (3.87)	13.88 (4.22)	$t_{41} = 0.32/0.75$
WRAT-3	46.5 (5.89)	52.64 (3.43)	$t_{44} = 4.47/0.0001$
SES	3.35 (1.00)	2.57 (0.74)	$t_{43} = 3.01/.004$
Parent SES	2.82 (0.95)	2.68 (0.86)	$t_{43} = 0.53/0.60$

Table 1. This table displays demographic information for patients with schizophrenia and healthy controls (WRAT-3, Wide Range Achievement Test, Third addition; SES, socioeconomic status).

	Mean (SD)
Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms (total)	5.17 (2.36)
Hallucinations: Global Rating	2.33 (1.81)
Delusions: Global Rating	2.83 (1.34)
Bizarre Behavior: Global Rating	0.94 (1.06)
Thought Disorder: Global Rating	1.17 (1.38)
Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (total)	7.39 (2.99)
Affect: Global Rating	1.72 (1.13)
Alogia: Global Rating	0.72 (0.89)
Avolition: Global Rating	2.56 (1.25)
Anhedonia: Global Rating	2.39 (1.20)
Attention: Global Rating	1.67 (1.32)

Table 2. This table displays global rating symptom scores for the patients with schizophrenia using the Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS) and the Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms (SAPS).

RESULTS

Behavioral Findings

Both patient and control groups performed the task correctly. The controls had a near perfect response to target detection and precluded the use of a t-test. Seventy-five percent of the patients with schizophrenia performed the task correctly 90% of the time. The healthy controls and the patients with schizophrenia had significant differences in reaction times (patients = 551.68 ms, SD = 110.71; controls = 463.16 ms, SD = 29.51; t_{45} = 2.93, *P* < 0.01).

Components

The minimum description length algorithm determined that the optimal number of components for our data set was 18. For the 46 subjects, our output consisted of 3,312 spatial maps and respective time courses (46 subjects x 4 runs x 18 components = 3,312). From the 18 components, we identified one executive control network and two components of the default mode network for additional analysis. Similar to Damoiseaux [40], independent component analysis divided the default mode networks into an anterior and posterior network. The executive control network and the default mode networks are shown in Figure 3 and Table 3.

Between-Group Differences and Aging

For the anterior default mode network, we found a significant main effect for group ($F_{1, 42}$ = 4.56, P < 0.05) and a group x age interaction ($F_{1, 42}$ = 4.54, P < 0.05). As the patients with schizophrenia aged, they had less negative modulation of this network during target detection. In contrast, as healthy controls aged, they had more negative modulation of this network during target detection. These results are presented in Figure 4.

For the executive control network, we did not find a main effect for group with the interaction term. We repeated the ANCOVA without the interaction term. We found a main effect for group ($F_{1, 43} = 4.76$, P < 0.05). The patients with schizophrenia had less positive modulation of their executive control networks relative to healthy controls. These results are shown in Figure 5. The posterior default mode network had no main effects for group or interactions.

Disease Duration and Symptoms

Age strongly correlated with disease duration in the patients with schizophrenia (r = 0.71, P < 0.001). We used disease duration to assess changes in connectivity that may be related to positive or negative symptoms. For the anterior default mode network, we found trend level significance for a main effect of positive symptoms (high/low) ($F_{1, 14} = 4.56$, P = 0.052). We also found trend level significance for the interaction of positive symptoms x disease duration ($F_{1, 14} = 4.45$, P = 0.062). As the patients with schizophrenia had longer disease duration and more positive symptoms, they had more negative modulation of their anterior default mode networks with target detection. We did not find this trend for negative symptoms. We did not find this relationship with positive or negative symptoms for the posterior default mode network or the executive control networks.



Figure 3. This figure is an overlay of the components of interest. The hot colors represent the executive control network. This network is positively modulated during target detection. The cool colors represent the default mode networks (anterior and posterior). These networks are negatively modulated during target detection.

Figure 5. In an ANCOVA with the predictor variables aging and group and the dependent variable of beta weights for targets in the executive control network, group had a main effect ($F_{1, 43} = 4.76$, P < 0.05). The patients with schizophrenia had less positive modulation of the executive control network relative to healthy controls as they aged.

Default Mode Network (Ant.)	Brodmann Areas	R / L (cm³)	Maximum t Values (R / L)
Medial Frontal Gyrus	10, 32, 11, 9, 6, 8	11.2 / 13.7	24.5 (-3, 43, -7) / 25.4 (3, 49, -5)
Anterior Cingulate	32,10, 24, 25	6.7 / 7.3	23.5 (-3, 40, -7) / 25.3 (3, 46, -5)
Superior Frontal Gyrus	9, 10, 8, 6	6.9 / 12.0	18.6 (-3, 54, 22) / 17.7 (3, 56, 22)
Superior Temporal Gyrus	38, 39	0.1 / 0.9	9.1 (-42, 19, -26) / 15.5 (42, 19, -19)
Inferior Frontal Gyrus	47, 11	0.1 / 3.1	8.9 (-39, 28, -17) / 14.3 (39, 22, -16)
<u> Default Mode Network (Post.)</u>			
Precuneus	7, 31, 23, 19, 39	19.0 / 16.6	21.2 (-6, -59, 50) / 14.3 (39, 22, -16)
Posterior Cingulate	23, 30, 31, 29	5.6 / 5.9	20.3 (-6, 57, 19) / 20.9 (3, -55, 17)
Cingulate Gyrus	31, 23, 24	6.6 / 4.3	20.7 (-3, -45, 35) / 17.6 (3, -33, 35)
Superior Parietal Lobule	7	0.8 / 0.5	17.3 (-9, -61, 53) / 13.9 (6, -64, 53)
Cuneus	7, 30, 19,18	0.8 / 1.1	15.2 (0, -65, 31) / 17.1 (6, -68, 31)
Executive Control Network			
Medial Frontal Gyrus	8, 6, 9	4.1 / 2.2	23.0 (-3, 26, 46) / 20.1 (3, 28, 43)
Superior Frontal Gyrus	8, 10, 6, 9	6.0 / 1.3	20.1 (-3, 26, 48) / 20.4(3, 29, 46)
Cingulate Gyrus	32, 9, 23, 24, 31	1.9 / 0.5	18.1 (-6, 22, 40) / 13.6 (3, 22, 40)
Middle Frontal Gyrus	10, 8, 46, 9, 6, 11	17.9 / 4.1	16.9 (-36, 52, -8) / 12.4 (45, 10, 30)
Inferior Parietal Lobule	40, 7, 39	8.9 / 5.5	15.1 (-45, -59, 47) / 16.3 (48, -38, 46)

Table 3. This table shows the top five regions within each component of interest. The anatomic regions are based off a one-sample *t* test using SPM5. A Talairach labeling system was used to determine regions of significance at a threshold of $P < 1.0 \times 10^{-9}$, FDR corrected.

DISCUSSION

We used independent component analysis to identify functionally connected networks. We regressed the ICA time course with the SPM design matrix to measure functional connectivity. We found a significant main effect as well as a group by age interaction for the anterior default mode network. As the healthy control group aged, they had *more* negative modulation of the anterior default mode network. As the patient group aged, they had the opposite pattern and *less* negative modulation of this network. The results for the executive control network contrasted with this pattern. The positive modulation of the executive control network increased as the healthy control group aged while the patient group had less positive modulation of this network. The decreased positive modulation in the patient group of the executive control network is consistent with a larger, more heterogeneous patient population using the same methodology [32]. The results suggest that certain networks have progressive changes in functional connectivity late in the disease course of schizophrenia.

In late-life, the natural disease course of schizophrenia is poorly understood. After the first five or ten years of the initial episode, some evidence suggests that the disease course of schizophrenia may "stabilize" with no further illness related decline in functioning or cognitive deficits [7]. In contrast, investigators focusing on institutionalized, poor-outcome group of patients have found evidence of cognitive decline and neuroprogression in this group very late in the disease [14]. Our study focused on older, community dwelling patients with schizophrenia. We found evidence of continued disease progression in the anterior default mode network late in the disease process.

The decreased functional connectivity in the anterior default mode network in patients with schizophrenia is consistent with a previous study on functional connectivity and aging. Damoiseaux et al. used ICA to compare changes in the resting state connectivity in a younger and older cohort [40]. They found significantly less resting state connectivity in the anterior default mode network in the older cohort. Decreased resting state connectivity in the anterior default mode network was also associated with cognitive decline. The patients in our study were significantly younger (average age 44.9 years) than Damoiseaux's older cohort of normal aging (average age 70.7 years). Despite these age differences, the patient group had a similar pattern of decreased functional connectivity in the anterior default mode network. These results may be consistent with the hypothesis that schizophrenia is a syndrome of accelerated aging [49]. The decreased functional connectivity in the executive control network is consistent with previous studies in schizophrenia [32]. We have extended this finding by showing that patients with schizophrenia have consistently decreased positive modulation of this network as they age relative to healthy controls.

We also found trend level significance with changes in the anterior default mode network and positive symptoms. Garrity *et al.* also compared changes in the modulation of default mode network with positive symptoms [39]. Patients that had higher positive symptoms had more negative modulation of the medial

frontal gyrus, the precuneus, and the left middle temporal gyrus. They did not find any relationship with negative symptoms. Interestingly, we found a similar relationship (trend level significance) as Garrity *et al.* The patients with higher positive symptoms had more negative modulation with disease progression in the anterior default mode network. This relationship was not present with negative symptoms, nor was this relationship observed with the other components of interest. This pattern of the high positive symptom group contrasted with the overall trend group trend of patients with schizophrenia and diminished negative modulation of the anterior default mode network. Future studies with larger patient populations will help clarify the relationship between functional connectivity and symptoms.

Changes in functional connectivity can result from changes in gray and white matter. A recent study by Friedman *et al.* focused on white matter changes in a group of first episode patients and a group of chronic patients similar to the patient population in our study (average age = 45 years, disease duration = 20 years) [50]. These investigators did not find any significant differences in white matter integrity as measured by fractional anisotropy early in the disease. Late in the disease, they noted significant differences in several white matter tracts relative to matched controls. These white matter tracts included the right forceps minor and the left inferior longitudinal fasciculus. The forceps minor includes the genu of the corpus callosum and associated white matter radiations that interconnect the frontal lobes. The loss of white matter integrity of this tract may

affect functional connectivity of the frontally mediated networks such as the anterior default mode network and the executive control network.

Changes in functional connectivity have not been observed early in the disease course of schizophrenia. Lui *et al.* assessed changes in functional connectivity in a group of first episode patients using a novel region of interest approach [30]. These investigators used differences in voxel-based morphometry to select regions of interest in the right superior temporal gyrus, the right middle temporal gyrus, and right anterior cingulate gyrus. The anterior cingulate gyrus is part of the anterior default mode network. They did not find any differences in functional connectivity between the group of first episode patients and matched controls. These studies using functional connectivity are progressive throughout the disease course and may correlate with changes in white matter integrity.

Limitations of this study include the confounding effect of the antipsychotics. All patients were taking antipsychotics at the time of the scan, and the older patient population had a long history of medication compliance. We did not find any significant differences in network modulation attributable to high and low potency antipsychotics. Furthermore, the patient population had increased prevalence of other psychiatric co-morbidities such as chemical dependency that may have affected the functional connectivity. The patient group also had a slower reaction to the behavioral measure of the auditory oddball task relative to the healthy controls. This change might not have affected the relatively sluggish hemodynamic response function of the blood oxygen level dependent

signal, but other investigators have found a delayed response in patients with schizophrenia to target detection that may have affected our results [51]. We did not find any significant differences in component modulation between our four sites.

Despite such limitations, our findings point to a progressive of loss of functional connectivity in the anterior default mode networks late in the disease course of schizophrenia. Our findings also show diminished positive modulation of the executive control networks with aging. Further study will elucidate the relative contributions of grey and white matter pathology on this loss of connectivity by combining different imaging modalities such as fMRI and diffusion tensor imaging. Such studies will further our understanding of the neuronal basis of aging and disease duration in schizophrenia.

CHAPTER 3 CONCLUSION

Few investigators have used fMRI to assess changes late in the disease course of schizophrenia. We are the first investigators to use ICA in older patients with schizophrenia. Our patient sample consisted of older, community dwelling patients with schizophrenia. This patient group is in the "static" period of their illness with little further functional or cognitive decline as opposed to the poor outcome group that was expected to have further functional and cognitive decline. Despite this, our findings show evidence of progressive changes late in the disease course of schizophrenia. The progressive changes were only present in the anterior default mode network. The progressive nature of schizophrenia may affect only a discrete number of networks and not the entire brain. Our findings also had some remarkable similarities with Damoiseaux's study of healthy aging in relation to loss of functional connectivity in the anterior default mode network [37].

Despite this significant finding, we would recommended some changes to our design to better assess the nature of disease progression on functional connectivity in schizophrenia. The MIND Clinical Imaging Consortium database has over 300 first episode and chronic patients with schizophrenia and matched healthy controls. We initially tried to use very stringent inclusion criteria with age > 50 years and disease duration > 20 years. Because we were only including subjects that had a successful behavioral response to the target stimuli (> 60 % correct for each run), we had to exclude a fair number of subjects. To be consistent with our power analysis, we had to relax our inclusion criteria to > 15 years of disease duration to obtain the requisite number of subjects. Despite the relaxed inclusion criteria, we only included 18 patients from this very large database. Ideally, we would have assessed changes in functional connectivity between the first episode group and a large group of chronic patients with disease duration > 10 years. Our patient sample size would have included approximately 30 first episode patients and significantly more chronic patients. We would have used a two-factor [group (patients, controls), age (young or first episode, older)] analysis of variance to assess for changes functional connectivity. These larger groups would have also allowed us to better assess relationships with symptoms and potential confounds such as medications.

We assessed the relationship of disease duration and symptoms with functional connectivity with each component of interest. We found trend level significance with positive symptoms and the anterior default mode network. We have some concerns about this analysis despite the consistency with previous investigators [39]. First, previous investigators have concluded that schizophrenia signs and symptoms have at least three dimensions: positive, disorganized, and negative [2]. We only used two of these dimensions: positive (included the disorganized dimension) and negative. Second, in an effort to make these symptoms measures categorical, we split them positive and negative symptoms into high and low categories based on the rating for the Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms and the Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (global rating < = 2 was consistent with "mild" symptoms and our "low" category). We now recognize that the positive symptoms should have been split into

positive and disorganized symptoms. We could have than proceeded to split the three dimensions into high and low categorical variables. We performed a posthoc regression on the functional connectivity measure of each component with these three dimensions. We did not find any significant results, which we attribute to our small sample size. Third, our results for our analysis of covariance appear inconsistent with our results with aging that included the healthy controls and larger sample size. The patient group had a *loss* of functional connectivity with aging. In contrast, the patient group with high positive symptoms had *increased* functional connectivity with disease duration. The patients with higher symptoms have a similar finding as the healthy control group. We performed a postestimation analysis (Cooks D < 0.5), so we are confident that the results are not from an outlier. Nonetheless, we look forward to clarifying this relationship with a larger sample size.

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

This project represents a pivotal moment in my career trajectory. Over the last two years, I have learned fMRI data analysis methods using the general linear model and independent component analysis. I have also become very familiar with the growing body of psychiatric literature using neuroimaging in schizophrenia. I am now committed to pursuing a career focused on the translational aspects of neuroimaging. This project has already generated important preliminary data for two grants. In May 2009 I submitted an administrative supplement for Vince Calhoun's RO1 working with the MIND Clinical Imaging Consortium database. This grant will focus on the larger sample

sizes to overcome some of the limitations of this study. In October 2009 I will be submitting a career-training award (K23) to the National Institute of Mental Health that also utilizes this preliminary data. This project will focus on the relative contribution of white matter integrity on functional connectivity by combining diffusion tensor imaging with functional connectivity. Although I have learned a great deal in completing this project, I will have to devote many more years to the study of neuroimaging to be a potential contributor in this exciting field of neuroscience. The career-training award will be a necessary step in my continued development.

REFERENCES

[1] Freedman R. Schizophrenia. The New England journal of medicine. 2003Oct 30;349(18):1738-49.

[2] Andreasen NC, Arndt S, Alliger R, Miller D, Flaum M. Symptoms of schizophrenia. Methods, meanings, and mechanisms. Archives of general psychiatry. 1995 May;52(5):341-51.

[3] Green MF, Kern RS, Braff DL, Mintz J. Neurocognitive deficits and functional outcome in schizophrenia: are we measuring the "right stuff"? Schizophrenia bulletin. 2000;26(1):119-36.

[4] Stone MH. History of Schizophrenia and Its Antecedents. Washington,DC: American Psychiatric Publishing 2006.

[5] Buchanan RW, Carpenter WT. Concept of Schizophrenia. 8 ed. Baltimore:Lippincott Williams and Williams 2005.

[6] Jarskog F, Gilmore J. Neuroprogressive Theories. Washington, DC:American Psychiatric Publishing 2006.

[7] Lieberman JA, Perkins D, Belger A, Chakos M, Jarskog F, Boteva K, et al. The early stages of schizophrenia: speculations on pathogenesis,

pathophysiology, and therapeutic approaches. Biological psychiatry. 2001 Dec 1;50(11):884-97.

[8] Censits DM, Ragland JD, Gur RC, Gur RE. Neuropsychological evidence supporting a neurodevelopmental model of schizophrenia: a longitudinal study. Schizophrenia research. 1997 Apr 11;24(3):289-98.

[9] Lieh-Mak F, Lee PW. Cognitive deficit measures in schizophrenia: factor structure and clinical correlates. The American journal of psychiatry. 1997 Jun;154(6 Suppl):39-46.

[10] Gold S, Arndt S, Nopoulos P, O'Leary DS, Andreasen NC. Longitudinal study of cognitive function in first-episode and recent-onset schizophrenia. The American journal of psychiatry. 1999 Sep;156(9):1342-8.

[11] Hoff AL, Sakuma M, Wieneke M, Horon R, Kushner M, DeLisi LE. Longitudinal neuropsychological follow-up study of patients with first-episode schizophrenia. The American journal of psychiatry. 1999 Sep;156(9):1336-41.

[12] Heaton RK, Gladsjo JA, Palmer BW, Kuck J, Marcotte TD, Jeste DV. Stability and course of neuropsychological deficits in schizophrenia. Archives of general psychiatry. 2001 Jan;58(1):24-32.

[13] Keefe RS, Mohs RC, Losonczy MF, Davidson M, Silverman JM, Kendler
 KS, et al. Characteristics of very poor outcome schizophrenia. The American
 journal of psychiatry. 1987 Jul;144(7):889-95.

[14] Friedman JI, Harvey PD, Coleman T, Moriarty PJ, Bowie C, Parrella M, et al. Six-year follow-up study of cognitive and functional status across the lifespan in schizophrenia: a comparison with Alzheimer's disease and normal aging. The American journal of psychiatry. 2001 Sep;158(9):1441-8.

 [15] Waddington JL, Youssef HA, Kinsella A. Cognitive dysfunction in schizophrenia followed up over 5 years, and its longitudinal relationship to the emergence of tardive dyskinesia. Psychological medicine. 1990 Nov;20(4):835-42.

[16] Harvey PD, Parrella M, White L, Mohs RC, Davidson M, Davis KL.
 Convergence of cognitive and adaptive decline in late-life schizophrenia.
 Schizophrenia research. 1999 Jan 4;35(1):77-84.

[17] Harvey PD, Silverman JM, Mohs RC, Parrella M, White L, Powchik P, et al. Cognitive decline in late-life schizophrenia: a longitudinal study of geriatric chronically hospitalized patients. Biological psychiatry. 1999 Jan 1;45(1):32-40.

[18] Friedman JI, Harvey PD, McGurk SR, White L, Parrella M, Raykov T, et al. Correlates of change in functional status of institutionalized geriatric

schizophrenic patients: focus on medical comorbidity. The American journal of psychiatry. 2002 Aug;159(8):1388-94.

[19] Friston KJ, Frith CD. Schizophrenia: a disconnection syndrome? Clinical neuroscience (New York, NY. 1995;3(2):89-97.

[20] Friston KJ, Frith CD, Liddle PF, Frackowiak RS. Functional connectivity: the principal-component analysis of large (PET) data sets. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. 1993 Jan;13(1):5-14.

[21] Jeste DV, Alexopoulos GS, Bartels SJ, Cummings JL, Gallo JJ, Gottlieb GL, et al. Consensus statement on the upcoming crisis in geriatric mental health: research agenda for the next 2 decades. Archives of general psychiatry. 1999 Sep;56(9):848-53.

[22] Bartels SJ, Clark RE, Peacock WJ, Dums AR, Pratt SI. Medicare and medicaid costs for schizophrenia patients by age cohort compared with costs for depression, dementia, and medically ill patients. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry. 2003 Nov-Dec;11(6):648-57.

[23] Purohit DP, Perl DP, Haroutunian V, Powchik P, Davidson M, Davis KL. Alzheimer disease and related neurodegenerative diseases in elderly patients with schizophrenia: a postmortem neuropathologic study of 100 cases. Archives of general psychiatry. 1998 Mar;55(3):205-11. [24] Greicius M. Resting-state functional connectivity in neuropsychiatric disorders. Current opinion in neurology. 2008 Aug;21(4):424-30.

[25] Liang M, Zhou Y, Jiang T, Liu Z, Tian L, Liu H, et al. Widespread functional disconnectivity in schizophrenia with resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging. Neuroreport. 2006 Feb 6;17(2):209-13.

[26] Zhou Y, Liang M, Tian L, Wang K, Hao Y, Liu H, et al. Functional disintegration in paranoid schizophrenia using resting-state fMRI. Schizophrenia research. 2007 Dec;97(1-3):194-205.

[27] Bluhm RL, Miller J, Lanius RA, Osuch EA, Boksman K, Neufeld RW, et al. Spontaneous low-frequency fluctuations in the BOLD signal in schizophrenic patients: anomalies in the default network. Schizophrenia bulletin. 2007 Jul;33(4):1004-12.

[28] Zhou Y, Shu N, Liu Y, Song M, Hao Y, Liu H, et al. Altered resting-state functional connectivity and anatomical connectivity of hippocampus in schizophrenia. Schizophrenia research. 2008 Mar;100(1-3):120-32.

[29] Whitfield-Gabrieli S, Thermenos HW, Milanovic S, Tsuang MT, Faraone SV, McCarley RW, et al. Hyperactivity and hyperconnectivity of the default network in schizophrenia and in first-degree relatives of persons with

schizophrenia. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2009 Jan 27;106(4):1279-84.

[30] Lui S, Deng W, Huang X, Jiang L, Ma X, Chen H, et al. Association of cerebral deficits with clinical symptoms in antipsychotic-naive first-episode schizophrenia: an optimized voxel-based morphometry and resting state functional connectivity study. The American journal of psychiatry. 2009 Feb;166(2):196-205.

[31] Stone JV. Independent Component Analysis: A Tutorial Introduction. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The MIT Press 2004.

[32] Kim DI, Mathalon DH, Ford JM, Mannell M, Turner JA, Brown GG, et al. Auditory oddball deficits in schizophrenia: an independent component analysis of the fMRI multisite function BIRN study. Schizophrenia bulletin. 2009 Jan;35(1):67-81.

[33] Calhoun VD, Adali T, Pearlson GD, Pekar JJ. A method for making group inferences from functional MRI data using independent component analysis.Human brain mapping. 2001 Nov;14(3):140-51.

[34] Calhoun VD, Kiehl KA, Pearlson GD. Modulation of temporally coherent brain networks estimated using ICA at rest and during cognitive tasks. Human brain mapping. 2008 Jul;29(7):828-38. [35] Stone JV. Independent component analysis: an introduction. Trends in cognitive sciences. 2002 Feb 1;6(2):59-64.

[36] Beckmann CF, DeLuca M, Devlin JT, Smith SM. Investigations into resting-state connectivity using independent component analysis. Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. 2005 May 29;360(1457):1001-13.

[37] Damoiseaux JS, Rombouts SA, Barkhof F, Scheltens P, Stam CJ, Smith SM, et al. Consistent resting-state networks across healthy subjects.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2006 Sep 12;103(37):13848-53.

[38] Fox MD, Snyder AZ, Vincent JL, Corbetta M, Van Essen DC, Raichle ME. The human brain is intrinsically organized into dynamic, anticorrelated functional networks. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 2005 Jul 5;102(27):9673-8.

[39] Garrity AG, Pearlson GD, McKiernan K, Lloyd D, Kiehl KA, Calhoun VD. Aberrant "default mode" functional connectivity in schizophrenia. The American journal of psychiatry. 2007 Mar;164(3):450-7.

[40] Damoiseaux JS, Beckmann CF, Arigita EJ, Barkhof F, Scheltens P, Stam CJ, et al. Reduced resting-state brain activity in the "default network" in normal aging. Cereb Cortex. 2008 Aug;18(8):1856-64.

[41] Andreasen NC. Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms (SAPS).Iowa City, Iowa: Uinversity of Iowa 1984.

[42] Andreasen NC. Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS).Iowa City, Iowa: University of Iowa 1983.

[43] Kiehl KA, Stevens MC, Celone K, Kurtz M, Krystal JH. Abnormal hemodynamics in schizophrenia during an auditory oddball task. Biological psychiatry. 2005 May 1;57(9):1029-40.

[44] Freire L, Roche A, Mangin JF. What is the best similarity measure for motion correction in fMRI time series? IEEE transactions on medical imaging.
2002 May;21(5):470-84.

[45] Ashburner J, Friston KJ. Nonlinear spatial normalization using basis functions. Human brain mapping. 1999;7(4):254-66.

[46] Li YO, Adali T, Calhoun VD. Estimating the number of independent components for functional magnetic resonance imaging data. Human brain mapping. 2007 Nov;28(11):1251-66.

[47] Bell AJ, Sejnowski TJ. An information-maximization approach to blind separation and blind deconvolution. Neural computation. 1995 Nov;7(6):1129-59.

[48] Stevens MC, Kiehl KA, Pearlson G, Calhoun VD. Functional neural circuits for mental timekeeping. Human brain mapping. 2007 May;28(5):394-408.

[49] Kirkpatrick B, Messias E, Harvey PD, Fernandez-Egea E, Bowie CR. Is schizophrenia a syndrome of accelerated aging? Schizophrenia bulletin. 2008 Nov;34(6):1024-32.

[50] Friedman JI, Tang C, Carpenter D, Buchsbaum M, Schmeidler J, Flanagan L, et al. Diffusion tensor imaging findings in first-episode and chronic schizophrenia patients. The American journal of psychiatry. 2008 Aug;165(8):1024-32.

[51] Ford JM, Johnson MB, Whitfield SL, Faustman WO, Mathalon DH.Delayed hemodynamic responses in schizophrenia. NeuroImage. 2005 Jul 1;26(3):922-31.