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Fig. 1: DNA and predicted protein sequence of ptcelA cDNA
1 GTCGACCCACGCGTCCGTCTTGAAAGAATATGAAGTTGTAAAGAGCTGGTAAAGTGGTAA ..... 60
61 TAAGCAAGATGATGGAATCTGGGGCTCCTATATGCCATACCTGTGGTGAACAGGTGGGGC ..... 120$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}M & M & E & S & G & A & P & I & C & H & T & C & G & E & Q & V & G\end{array}$121 ATGATGCAAATGGGGAGCTATTTGTGGCTTGCCATGAGTGTAGCTATCCCATGTGCAAGT

181 CTTGTTTCGAGTTTGAAATCAATGAGGGCCGGAAAGTTTGCTTGCGGTGTGGCTCGCCAT$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}C & F & E & F & E & I & \text {.N. } & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{Y}\end{array}$
241 ATGATGAGAACTTGCTGGATGATGTAGAAAAGAAGGGGTCTGGCAATCAATCCACAATGG$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{D} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{A}\end{array}$
301 CATCTCACCTCAACGATTCTCAGGATGTCGGAATCCATGCTAGACATATCAGTAGTGTGT ..... 360$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{S} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{S}\end{array}$361 CCACTGTGGATAGTGAAATGAATGATGAATATGGGAATCCAATTTGGGAGAATCGGGTGA$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{T} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{W} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{K}\end{array}$
421 AGAGCTGTAAGGATAAAGAGAACAAGAAGAAAAAGAGAAGTCCTAAGGCTGAAACTGAAC
481 CAGCTCAAGTTCCTACAGAACAGCAGATGGAAGAGAAACCGTCTGCAGAGGCTTCGGAGC ..... 540$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}A & Q & V & P & T & E & Q & Q & M & E & E & K & P & S & A & E & A & S & E & P\end{array}$
541 CGCTTTCAATTGTTTATCCAATTCCACGCAACAAGCTCACACCATACAGAGCAGTGATCA
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{L} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{P} & \mathbf{I} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{I}\end{array}$
601 TTATGCGACTGGTCATTCTGGGCCTCTTCTTCCACTTCAGAATAACAAATCCTGTCGATA180240300420480600
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}M & R & L & V & I & L & G & I & F & F & H & F & R & I & T & N & P & V & D & S\end{array}$
661 GTGCCTTTGECCTGTGGCTTACTTCTGTCATATGTGAGATCTGGTTTGCATTTTCTTGGG$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}A & F & G & L & W & L & T & S & V & I & C & E & I & W & F & A & F & S & W & V\end{array}$
721 TGTTGGATCAGTTCCCCAAGTGGAATCCTGTCAATAGAGAAACGTATATCGAAAGGCTGT$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{L} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{W} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{S}\end{array}$781 CGGCAAGGTATGAAAGAGAGGGTGAGCCTTCTCAGCTTGCTGGTGTGGATTTTNTCGTGA
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}A & R & Y & E & R & E & G & E & P & S & Q & L & A & G & V & D & F & F & V & S\end{array}$841 GTACTGTTGATCCGCTGAAGGAACCGCCATTGATCACTGCCAATACAGTCCTTTCCATCC$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{T} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{P} & \mathbf{P} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{L}\end{array}$
901 TTGCTGTGGACTATCCCGTCGATAAAGTCTCCTGCTACGTGTCTGATGATGGTGCAGCTA$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}A & V & D & Y & P & V & D & K & V & S & C & Y & V & S & D & D & G & A & A & M\end{array}$
961 TGCTTTCATTTGAATCTCTTGTAGAAACAGCTGAGTTTGCAAGGAAGTGEGTTCCGTTCT$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}L & S & F & E & S & L & V & E & T & A & E & F & A & R & K & W & V & P & F & C\end{array}$
1021 GCAAAAAATTCTCAATTGAACCAAGAGCACCGGAGTTTTACTTCTCACAGAAAATTGATT$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{K} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{Y}\end{array}$1081 ACTTGAAAGACAAGGTTCAACCTTCTTTCGTGAAAGAACGTAGAGCAATGAAAAGGGATT$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{L} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{Y}\end{array}$
1141 ATGAAGAGTACAAAGTCCGAGTTAATGCCCTGGTAGCAAAGGCTCAGAAAACACCTGAAG108011401200

## Fig. 1 continued

1201 AAGGATGGACTATGCAAGATGGAACACCTTGGCCTGGGAATAACACACGTGATCACCCTG $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathbf{G} & \mathrm{W} & \mathrm{T} & \mathrm{M} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathrm{D} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{T} & \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{W} & \mathrm{P} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{N} & \mathbf{N} & \mathbf{T} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{H} & \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{G}\end{array}$ 1261 GGCATGATTCAGGTCTTCCTTGGGAAATACTGGGAGCTCGTGACATTTGAAGGAAATGAAC $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\text { H } & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{W} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{L} & \mathbf{G} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{L}\end{array}$1321 TACCTCGTCTAGTATATGTCTCCAGGGAGAAGAGACCTGGCTACCAGCACCACAAAAAGG

$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathbf{P} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{A}\end{array}$1381 CTGGTGCAGAAAATGCTCTGGTGAGAGTGTCTGCAGTACTCACAAATGCTCCCTACATCC$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}G & A & E & N & A & L & V & R & V & S & A & V & L & T & N & A & P & Y & I \\ L\end{array}$1441 TCAATGTTGATTGTGATCACTATGTAAACAATAGCAAGGCTGTTCGAGAGGCAATGTGCA$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{N} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{H} & \mathbf{Y} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{I}\end{array}$1501 TCCTGATGGACCCACAAGTAGGTCGAGATGTATGCTATGTGCAGTTCCCTCAGAGGTTTG$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}L & M & D & P & Q & V & G & R & D & V & C & Y & V & Q & F & P & Q & R & F & D\end{array}$1561 ATGGCATAGATAAGAGTGATCGCTACGCCAATCGTAACGTAGTTTTTCTTTGATGTTAACAG I $\quad \mathbf{D}$1621TGAAAGGGTTGGATGGCATMCAAGGACCAGTATACGTAGGAACTGGTTGTGTTTTCAACA$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}\mathbf{K} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{V} & \mathbf{Y} & \mathbf{V} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{T} & \mathbf{G} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{V} & \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{N} & \mathbf{R}\end{array}$1681 GGCAAGCACTTTACGGCTACGGGCCTCCTICTATGCCCAGCTTACGCAAGAGAAAGGATT| $\mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{L}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{L}$ | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{R}$ | $\mathbf{K}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

1741 CTTCATCCTGCTTCTCATGTTGCTGCCCCTCAAAGAAGAAGCCTGCTCAAGATCCAGCTG
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathbf{S} & \mathbf{S} & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{S} & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{S} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{K} & \mathbf{P} & \mathrm{A} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{D} & \mathbf{P} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{E}\end{array}$
1801 AGGTATACAGAGATGCAAAAAGAGAGGATCTCAATGCTGCCATATTTAATCTTACAGAGA

1861 TTGATAATTATGACGAGCATGAAAGGTCAATGCTGATCTCCCAGTTGAGCTTTGAGAAAA
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\text { D. } & \mathbf{N} & \mathbf{Y} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{M} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{S} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathrm{L} & \mathbf{S} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{K} & \mathbf{T}\end{array}$
1921 CTTTTGGCTTATCTTCTGTCTTCATTGAGTCTACACTAATGGAGAATGGAGGAGTACCCG்

| $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{L}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{V}$ | $\boldsymbol{F}$ | $\mathbf{I}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{L}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ | $\mathbf{V}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

1981 AGTCTGCCAACTCACCACCATTCATCAAGĠAGCGATTCAAGTCATCGGCTGTGGCTATG

2041 AAGAGAAGACTGAATGGGGAAAACAGATTGGTPGGATATATGGGTCAGTCACTGAGGATA

2101 TCTTAAGTGGCTTCAAGATGCACTGCCGAGGATGGAGATCAATTTACTGCATGCCCGTAA
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllll}\mathbf{L} & \mathbf{S} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{K} & \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{H} & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{W} & \mathbf{R} & \mathbf{S} & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{Y} & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{V} \\ \mathbf{R}\end{array}$
2161 GGCCTGCATTCAAAGGATCTGCACCCATCAACCTGTCTGATAGATTGCACCAGGTCCTCC
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathbf{P} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{K} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{S} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{P} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{L} & \mathbf{S} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{H} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{R}\end{array}$
2221 GATGGGCTCTTGGTTCTGTGGAAATTTTCTTTAGCAGACACTGTCCCCTCTGGTACGGGT
W $\quad \mathbf{A} \quad \mathbf{L} \quad \mathbf{G} \quad \mathbf{S} \quad \mathbf{V}$
2281 TTGGAGGAGGCCGTCTTAAATGGCTCCAAAGGCTTGCGTATATAAACACCATTGTGTACC
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathbf{G} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{R} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{W} & \mathrm{L} & \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{R} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{Y} & \mathrm{I} & \mathbf{N} & \mathbf{T} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{V} & \mathbf{Y} & \mathbf{P}\end{array}$
2341 CATPTACATCCCTCCCTCTCATTGCCTATIGCACAATTCCTGCAGTTTGTCTGCTCACCG
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathbf{F} & \mathbf{T} & \mathbf{S} & \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{P} & \mathrm{L} & \mathbf{I} & \text { A } & \mathbf{Y} & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{T} & \mathrm{I} & \mathbf{P} & \text { A } & \mathbf{V} & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{T} & \mathbf{G}\end{array}$
2401 GAAAATTCATCATACCAACGCTCTCAAACCTGGCAAGCATGCTGTTTCTTGGCCTCTTTA

| $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{I}$ | $\mathbf{I}$ | $\mathbf{P}$ | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{L}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ | L | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{S}$ | M | $\mathbf{L}$ | $\mathbf{F}$ | $\mathbf{L}$ | $\mathbf{G}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

2461 TCTCCATCATTGTAACTGCGGTGCTTGAGCTAAGATGGAGCGGTGTCAGCATTGAAGATT1380

Fig. 1 continued
2521 TATGGCGTAATGAACAATTCTGGGTGATCGGAGGTGTTTCAGCCCATCTCTTTGCGGTCT$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathrm{W} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{Q} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{W} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{H} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{F}\end{array}$
2581 TCCAGGGATTCTTAAAAATGTTGGCTGGCATCGATACGAACTTCACTGTCACAGCAAAAG
2641 CAGCCGAAGATGCAGAATMTGGGGAGCTATATATGGTCAAGTGGACAACACTTTTGATTC்
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}A & E & D & A & E & F & G & E & L & Y & M & V & K & W & T & T & L & L & I & P\end{array}$
2701 CTCCAACCACACTTCTCATTATCAATATGTCGGGTTGTGCTGGATTCTCTGATGCACTCA$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathbf{P} & \mathbf{T} & \mathbf{T} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{L} & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{N} & \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{S} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{G} & \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{S} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{A} & \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{N}\end{array}$
2761 ACAAAGGATATGAAGCATGGGGGCCTCTCTTTGGCAAGGTGTTCTTTGCTITCTGGGTGA 
2821 TTCTTCATCTCTATCCATTCCTTAAAGGTCTAATGGGTCGCCAAAACCTAACACCAACCA 28802940

2941 ATCCATTCGTTAACAAAGTTGATAACACCTTGGTTGCGGAGACCTGCATITTCCATTGATT$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}\mathbf{P} & \mathbf{F} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{N} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{D} & \mathrm{N} & \mathbf{T} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{A} & \mathbf{E} & \mathrm{T} & \mathbf{C} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{S} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{D} & \mathbf{C}\end{array}$3000
3001 GCTGAGCTACCTCCAATAAGTCTCTCCCAGTATMTTGGGGTTACAAAACCTTTGGGAATT ..... 3060
3061 GGAATATGATCCTCGTTGTÄGTTTCCCTCAAAGAAAGCACȦTATCGCTGTC்AGTATTTAAA ..... 3120
3121 TGAACTGCAȦGATGATTGTTCTCTATGAAGTMTTGAACAGTTTGAAATGATATTATGTTȦ ..... 3180
3181 AAATACAGGTTTTGATTGTGTTGAAAAAAAAAAAGAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA ..... 3232$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}S & I & I & V & T & A & V & L & E & L & R & W & S & G & V & S & I & E & D & L\end{array}$

FIG. 2

FIG. 3
Fig. 4: DNA sequence of PtCelAP, the 5' flanking region of ptCelA codingsequence
1 GAATTCGCCCTTTTGAATTCAGGAGACGATAGTTTCCGGTTCGTTGAATGGCTTTGTTCA ..... 60
61 CTTCTGGTCTAGCAATTTGCAAAAGAAGTTACAAAACAAATGCATATTATGTAAATTTAA ..... 120
121 CAAGAGATGGGTTCTATGGTCACTTATTTATGCCCATCATTTGTTCTGGGGTTACTCTTT ..... 180
181 ATAGTCTGATTCGAAGTTGCAAACTGCCGTTTCTGGTATTGCAATTATGTAGCCATAAAC ..... 240
241 TGTTAATCCTGTAGCTATTAGCGGACCAACAACCAGATATACGGGATCAGCGTCGTAAAA ..... 300
301 GAGATCTCCATTCTACGTTTCTTTCTAATTTTTCCGTTTCAGTGAGAGAATTACCCTGAT ..... 360
361 ACATTGACATGATGATTGATGATTATGGGAACCATTCCGATGTTAGACACGAGACCATCT ..... 420
421 GGATCCTGCCAGITTTCAGTTCACATGGCATCTCAGCCCAAGATCATGTGTTTATACGCC ..... 480
481 TAATGACTTGTATTGAAAGTTTGGTAAGTTGAAGATGTGCTCTGCCCAACAGAAACCTTC் ..... 540
541 CTTAAATTICCAGCAAATCTTTCAAACTTGGCCTTACACCCCGAAAATAGACGTGCTTCT ..... 600
601 ACTTGGGTTCTTGGAAACCATGCACCAACCGCCATACCCCACCAACCCACCACCCTCAAC ..... 660
661 CTTCTCTTCGCCATTACAAAAATGTCAGTACCACCCTCTGAAAGACACCAACACACCCTA ..... 720
721 GCTTTGGTTAGGGTATTTGATATAAAAACAAGGCCAAAACAAAAGATTGGAAGGAAGCAG ..... 780
781 AGGAAGACCCTCTTGAAAGAATTGAAGTTGTAAAGAGCTGGTAAAGTGGTAATAAGCAAG ..... 840
841 ATGATGGAATCTGGGGCTCCTATATGCCATACCTGTGGTGAACAGGTGGGGCATGATGCA ..... 900901 AATGGGGAGCTATTTGTGGCTTGCCATGAGTGTAGCTATCCCATGTGCAAGTCTTGTTMC960$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}N & G & E & L & F & V & A & C & H & E & C & S & Y & P & M & C & K & S & C & F\end{array}$961 GAGTTTGAAATCAAAGAGGGCCGGAAAGTTTGCTTGCGGTGTGGCTCGAG 1010$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}\mathrm{E} & \mathrm{F} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{E} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{V} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{R} & \mathrm{C} & \mathrm{G} & \mathrm{S}\end{array}$
FIG. 5



1 gcggcegcgg ttaatcgccg g 61 tgagaatgcc 121 agatgagatc 181 241 301 361

1141 ttatcctgtc 1201 cgaagctctt 1261 ttgtattgag 1321 taaagttcat 1381 caaagtaaag 1441 tatgcaagac 1501 ggtcttcctt 1561 ttacgtttct 1621 ttccctgata 1681 tgatcactac 1741 tcagtcagga 1801 gcacgatcga 1861 tgggctacaa 1921 cggatttgat 1981 atggtgtctc 2041 taagaagaag 2101 gggccgcggt
ccctgtgtgt gtgcaaaacc agatgacatt tcaggtttct ggattcagct gatttcttct agttcatcct tcagaaagat atggaagaga tgaagatggt gaagatacca ggtgattctt tttgtggctt gttccctaaa tgagaaagaa tccattgaaa ttccctgata gcacgatcga cggatttgat taagaagaag
gggccgcggt gcagaagaaa gatggctaga cggatatgaa cgaagatatt accaaagtta agttcttcga gtatggttat ttacccgtgg cactggaaaa cttctegtcg tgattggtgg tctcttccaa aaaagcagct tccetcca gccatcagc ttgggtcatc gccaaccatt ccoggttaat cctgattc agagaggtaa gaatgtgtt
cgaataagat gaattgactg agaccttgct cgtttcaade gatgatttag gaaggtatgt ccacctgget gatagacatg gtttctctt cttgcggttt aagcagaatg gatgatgctg atcaaatcga ggtctcttct atttctgtta tggtacccta gggaaaccgt gagcctccgc gataaggttg tctgagaccg cctcgtgctc cccgcatttg atcaatgctt ggtacacctt ggaagtgacg cgtgagaaga cgagtctctg atcaacaata aagaaaatct lactcaaatc gggcctatat gcaccgaaga ctatgttttg aagaataggg cataaagttc tatgggcagt aacgcaagcc gataaaactg cttacgggtt gcggctttca tgggcgettg ggaggtgggt acctctctac ttcatcgttc attgcaataa agaazcgazc ggtctcctca gatgatggag atgactctac aatggatacg attcatcttt attgtcgtct cogtttgtgg gattgaccgg gagagatatt gaaaagaaaa tagatagcgg
gttctcacaa cagtccaaga ttgatggaga atgagtacga gtcttaaggg acaatgagtt caatctctcg ctcagattcc ctcttattgt ctgacccgac atggttatgg agaaacttca attttccaat gcaagataaa ttcactaccg tatgtgagat tcgagcgaga cgggactatc ttattactgc cttgttacgt ctgaattcgc ccgaatggta ttagggagcg tagtagcaac ggcccggtaa gtgttogtga gacceggatt gggttctatc gcaaagctct gttatgttca gcaatgttgt acgtcggtac agaagaaggg gttcaagaaa aagcgtcaaa ttaacgtaga ctcctgtatt cggcttgtct aatggggaaa ctaagatgca aaggatcagc ggtcggttga tgaaatggct cgctcatcgt ccgagattag cgggtattct agttttgggt aggttcttgic agttctctga tcatcataaa actcgtgggg acccgttcct ggtccatcct cgaaaggcgg tggatgggtt gttttacctc agaagattta ccgc
caggaatgag tttgtcctca gctgagtgga cagacatgtc accgtttgtg gcatgtaacg aagacgagaa ggcaatcaag aagtccaaga gttgaaggtg tgagtatgga aataatggga. tcgcaactcc ggtttcccac attgctgact tacggcgacg tcctccttca cttggtggtc cgtggetgca catcgaaggc aagtgtcgct tggaaagatc ggttgttagg gatggatgag tccttaccgg tattettcac atggtttgct aacgtacttg ccctgtggat aaatactgtc atctgatgat aaggaaatgg tttctgccat gcgagccatg agcacagaaa tagtgtgcga tgtcgaaaac tgatcaccat aミatgctcct tagagaagca gttccctcaa gttctttgat aggttgtgtt cccacgtaag gaatcgtaaa gcagatccac cgttgcatct gaggcaatgc gcttaaagaa gccatccaag agagattggg tggatctatg ttctcatggt tggagacatg tccaatcaat ctttcggatc gattttcttg agtaggcatt tgagcggttg tcctacatta ttactgttct ctccctgcca caactatgcg agtatcctct t cgagatgcaa tggggcaaag t cattggaggt gtttctgcge at tggtgtcgac actaacttca cctttacctc ttcaaatgga cgtcattgga gtcatagtcg accgettttc ggaagactgt taaaggtttg cttgggaaac a cotggecteg attcttacac tcctattctc gagatctgtg ggtgaaaaag gtttaattcc taaaagactc cttcattgtg attttgttac gagaattgtt
taatgccga aaatctgcag aatgtgcatt cttgtccaca atgaagagga ttggatttga aatctgattt aggacgttga atggcaatag tgatggtacc ggatggagga atcctgattt cattgtctat tgctacgtct atgcatatgc ttcttgatca cattaagata gtacagtgga ttgctgttga tgcttacttt gcaagaaata acttgaagaa atgaagaatt atggttggac gcatgattca ctcgattagt gagctatgaa atgtcgattg tgatggatcc ggattgatag aaggtttgga aagcgcttta getggccaaa tggctgcgga atatcgaaga aaatgaagtt agaatggtgg tcattagtcg gttctgttac tttattgtac gtctccatca gtcctatttg actctgtggt ctgtettct tcatggcget ttgggatcga atctgtttgc cagtcacatc cttcacttct gagtctctga tctttgcact aagatagaat ttctttgggt gtttagactg cacggatcaa ttcattagat atttttgcaa

FIG. 8
Arabidopsis thaliana cellulose synthase SEQ ID NO: 5 RPRLIAGSHNRNEFVLINADENARIRSVQELSGQTCQICRDEIE
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## ISOLATED CELLULOSE SYNTHASE PROMOTER REGIONS
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## STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT

## Not Applicable

## FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to polynucleotide molecules encoding cellulose synthase, promoters of cellulose synthase and cellulose synthase polypeptides, methods for genetically altering cellulose and lignin biosynthesis, and methods for improving strength properties of juvenile wood and fiber in trees. The invention further relates to methods for identifying regulatory elements in a cellulose synthase promoter and transcription factors that bind to such regulatory elements, and to methods for augmenting expression of polynucleotides operably linked to a cellulose synthase promoter.

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Lignin and cellulose are the two major building blocks of plant cell walls that provide mechanical strength and rigidity. In plants, and especially in trees, these two organic materials exist in a dynamic equilibrium conferring mechanical strength, water transporting ability and protection from biotic and abiotic environmental stresses. Normally, oven-dry wood contains 30 to $50 \%$ cellulose, 20 to $30 \%$ lignin and 20 to $30 \%$ hemicellulose (Higuchi, 1997).

Proportions of lignin and cellulose are known to change with variation in the natural environment. For example, during the development of compression wood in conifers, the percentage of lignin increases from 30 to $40 \%$, and cellulose content proportionally decreases from 40 to $30 \%$ (Timmell, 1986). Conversely, in angiosperm tension wood the percentage of cellulose increases from 30 to $40 \%$, while lignin content decreases from 30 to $20 \%$ (Timmell, 1986).

It was recently discovered that the genetic down-regulation of a key tissue-specific enzyme from the lignin biosynthesis pathway, 4 CL , results in reduction of lignin content by up to $45 \%$ in transgenic aspen trees (Hu et al., 1999). This downregulation is also associated with a $15 \%$ increase in the cellulose content. If the converse were true, i.e., that increasing cellulose content by genetic up-regulation of cellulose biosynthesis results in reduction of lignin content, then the pulp yield could be increased. This would allow tremendous savings in chemical and energy costs during pulping because, for example, lignin must be degraded and removed during the pulping process.

Cellulose is a linear glucan consisting of $\beta$-D-1,4-linked glucose residues. It is formed by a cellulose synthase enzyme which catalyzes assembly of UDP-glucose units in plasma membrane complexes known as "particle rosettes" (Delmer
and Amor, 1995). Cellulose synthase is thought to be anchored to the membrane by eight transmembrane binding domains to form the basis of the cellulose biosynthesis machinery in the plant cell wall (Pear et al., 1996).
In higher plants, the glucan chains in cellulose microfibrils of primary and secondary cell walls are different in their degree of polymerization (Brown et al., 1996). For example, secondary cell walls are known to contain cellulose having a high degree of polymerization, while in primary cell walls the degree of polymerization is lower. In another example, woody cell walls suffering from tension stress produce tension wood on the upper side of a bent angiosperm tree in response to the stress. In these cells, there are elevated quantities of cellulose which have very high crystallinity. The formation of highly crystalline cellulose is important to obtain a higher tensile strength of the wood fiber. Woody cell walls located at the under side of the same stem experience a compression stress, but do not produce highly crystalline cellulose. Such variation in the degree of polymerization in cell walls during development is believed to be due to different types of cellulose synthases for organizing glucose units into different paracrystalline arrays (Haigler and Blanton, 1996). Therefore, it would be advantageous to determine the molecular basis for the synthesis of highly crystalline cellulose so that higher yields of wood pulp having superior strength properties can be obtained from transgenic trees. Production of highly crystalline cellulose in transgenic trees would also markedly improve the mechanical strength properties of juvenile wood formed in normal trees. This would be a great benefit to the industry because juvenile wood is generally undesirable for solid wood applications because it has inferior mechanical properties.

Since the deposition of cellulose and lignin in trees is regulated in a compensatory fashion, genetic augmentation of cellulose biosynthesis might have a repressive effect on lignin deposition. Since the degree of polymerization and crystallinity may depend upon the type of cellulose synthase incorporated in the cellulose biosynthesis machinery, the expression of heterologous cellulose synthase or a UDP-glucose binding region thereof (e.g., sweetgum protein expression in loblolly pine), could increase the quality of cellulose in transgenic plants. Over-expression of a heterologous cellulose synthase may also increase cellulose quantity in transgenic plants. Thus, genetic engineering of cellulose biosynthesis can provide a strategy to augment cellulose quality and quantity, while reducing lignin content in transgenic plants.

A better understanding of the biochemical processes that lead to wood formation would enable the pulp and paper industries to more effectively use genetic engineering as a tool to meet the increasing demands for wood from a decreasing production area. With this objective, many xylem-specific genes, including most lignin biosynthesis genes, have been isolated from developing xylem tissues of various plants including tree species (Ye and Varner, 1993; Fukuda, 1996; Whetten et al., 1998). Genes regulating cellulose biosynthesis in crop plants (Pear et al., 1996 and Arioli et al., 1998), versus in trees, have also been isolated. However, isolation of tree genes which are directly involved in cellulose biosynthesis has remained a great challenge.

For more than 30 years, no gene encoding higher plant cellulose synthase (CelA) was identified. Recently, Pear et al. (1996) isolated the first putative higher plant CelA cDNA, GhCelA (GenBank No. GHU58283), by searching for UDPglucose binding sequences in a cDNA library prepared from cotton fibers having active secondary wall cellulose synthesis. GhCelA was considered to encode a cellulose synthase catalytic subunit because it is highly expressed in cotton
fibers, actively synthesizes secondary wall cellulose, contains eight transmembrane domains, binds UDP-glucose, and contains two other domains unique to plants.

Recently, Arioli et al. (1998) cloned a CelA homolog, RSW1 (radial swelling) (GenBank No. AF027172), from Arabidopsis by chromosome walking to a defective locus of a temperature sensitive cellulose-deficient mutant. Complementation of the RSW1 mutant with a wild type full-length genomic RSW1 clone restored the normal phenotype. This complementation provided the first genetic proof that a plant CelA gene encodes a catalytic subunit of cellulose synthase and functions in the biosynthesis of cellulose microfibrils. The full-length Arabidopsis RSW1 represents the only known, currently available cellulose synthase cDNA available for further elucidating cellulose biosynthesis in transgenic systems (Wu et al., 1998).

The discovery of the RSW1 gene substantiated the belief that the assembly of a cellulose synthase into the plasma membrane is required for functional cellulose biosynthetic machinery and for manufacturing crystalline cellulose microfibrils in plant cell walls. Most significantly, a single CelA gene, e.g. RSW1, is sufficient for the biosynthesis of cellulose microfibrils in plants, e.g. Arabidopsis. Thus, RSW1 is a prime target for engineering augmented cellulose formation in transgenic plants.

Since many of society's fiber, chemical and energy demands are met through the industrial-scale production of cellulose from wood, genetic engineering of the cellulose biosynthesis machinery in trees could produce higher pulp yields. This would allow greater returns on investment by pulp and paper industries. Therefore, it would be advantageous to isolate and characterize genes from trees that are involved in cellulose biosynthesis in order to improve the properties of wood.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to polynucleotides comprising a nucleotide sequence that encodes a cellulose synthase, regulatory sequences, including a stress-inducible promoter, of the cellulose synthase, a cellulose synthase protein or a functional domain thereof and methods for augmenting cellulose biosynthesis in plants.

Thus, in one aspect, the invention provides a polynucleotide comprising a sequence that encodes a cellulose synthase, or a polynucleotide fragment thereof, the fragment encoding a functional domain of cellulose synthase, such as a UDP-glucose binding domain. The invention also provides a cellulose synthase or a functional domain or fragment thereof, including a UDP-glucose binding domain and at least one of eight transmembrane domains. The invention further provides a cellulose synthase promoter, or a functional fragment thereof, which fragment contains one or more mechanical stress response elements (MSRE).

In another aspect, the present invention is directed to a method of improving the quality of wood by altering the quantity of cellulose in plant cells, and optionally decreasing the content of lignin in the cell. The invention also relates to a method of altering the growth or the cellulose content of a plant by expressing an exogenous polynucleotide encoding a cellulose synthase or a UDP-glucose binding domain thereof in the plant. The invention further provides a method for causing a stress-induced gene expression in a plant cell by expressing a polynucleotide of choice using a stress-inducible cellulose synthase promoter.

In yet another aspect, the invention relates to a method for determining a mechanical stress responsive element (MSRE)
in a cellulose synthase promoters and a method for identifying transcription factors that binds to the MSRE.

In a further aspect, the invention provides a method for altering (increasing or decreasing) i.e., regulating, the expression of a cellulose synthase in a plant by expressing an exogenous polynucleotide encoding a transcription factor having the property of binding a positive MSRE of a cellulose synthase promoter or by expressing an antisense polynucleotide encoding a transcription factor having the property of binding a negative MSRE to block the expression of the transcription factor.

Other aspects of the invention will be appreciated by a consideration of the detailed description of the invention drawings and appended claims.

## DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 represents a nucleic acid sequence encoding a cellulose synthase from Populus tremuloides [SEQ ID NO: 1] and the protein sequence thereof [SEQ ID NO: 2].

FIG. $2 a-c$ (collectively referred to as FIG. 2) represent a Southern blot analysis of aspen genomic DNA probed with a fragment of the aspen cDNA represented in FIG. 1 under low (panel a) and high stringency conditions (panel b), and a northern blot analysis of the total aspen RNA from stem internodes using the same probe (panel c).

FIG. $3 a-d$ (collectively referred to as FIG. 3) represent in situ localization of the cellulose synthase gene transcripts as shown in the transverse sections from second (panel a), fourth (panel b), sixth (panel c) and fifth (panel d) internode.

FIG. 4 represents a nucleic acid sequence of the 5 ' region of aspen cellulose synthase gene including the promoter region and the 5 ' portion of the coding sequence [SEQ ID NO:3] and the peptide sequence deduced from the coding sequence [SEQ ID NO: 6].

FIG. $5 a-f$ (collectively referred to as FIG. 5) represents a histochemical analysis (panels a-d and f) and fluorescence microscopy (panel e) of transgenic tobacco for GUS gene expression driven by a cellulose synthase promoter of the invention.

FIG. $6 a-d$ (collectively referred to as FIG. 6) represents a histochemical analysis of GUS gene expression driven by aspen cellulose synthase promoter of the invention; tangential and longitudinal sections were harvested before bending (panel a), and 4 (panel b), 20 (panel c) and 40 (panel d) hours after bending and stained for GUS expression.

FIG. 7 represents a cDNA encoding cellulose synthase isolated from Arabidopsis [SEQ ID NO:4].

FIG. 8 represents an Arabidopsis cellulose synthase [SEQ ID NO:5] encoded by the cDNA represented in FIG. 7.

FIG. 9 represents a nucleic acid sequence from position 1 to position 840 of SEQ ID NO: 3 , which includes the promoter region that is $5^{\prime}$ of the aspen cellulose synthase coding sequence, with the position of primers used to clone sub fragments indicated in bold and underlined, and the direction of the primers indicated by arrows.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

All patents, patent applications and references cited in this specification are hereby incorporated herein by reference in their entirety. In case of any inconsistency, the present disclosure governs.

## Definitions

The terms used in this specification generally have their ordinary meanings in the art, within the context of the inven-
tion, and in the specific context where each term is used. Certain terms are discussed below, or elsewhere in the specification, to provide additional guidance to the person of skill in the art in describing the compositions and methods of the invention and how to make and use them. It will be appreciated that the same thing can be said in more than one way. Consequently, alternative language and synonyms may be used for any one or more of the terms discussed herein, nor is any special significance to be placed upon whether or not a term is elaborated or discussed herein. Synonyms for certain terms are provided. A recital of one or more synonyms does not exclude the use of other synonyms. The use of examples anywhere in this specification, including examples of any terms discussed herein, is illustrative only, and in no way limits the scope and meaning of the invention or of any exemplified term. Likewise, the invention is not limited to the preferred embodiments.

The term "plant" includes whole plants and portions of plants, including plant organs (e.g. roots, stems, leaves, etc.).

The term "angiosperm" refers to plants which produce seeds encased in an ovary. A specific example of an angiosperm is Liquidambar styraciflua (L.)[sweetgum].

The term "gymnosperm" refers to plants which produce naked seeds, that is, seeds which are not encased in an ovary. Specific examples of a gymnosperm include Pinus taeda (L.) [loblolly pine].

The term "polynucleotide" or "nucleic acid molecule" is intended to include double or single stranded genomic and cDNA, RNA, any synthetic and genetically manipulated polynucleotide, and both sense and anti-sense strands together or individually (although only sense or anti-sense stand may be represented herein). This includes single- and double-stranded molecules, i.e., DNA-DNA, DNA-RNA and RNA-RNA hybrids, as well as "protein nucleic acids" (PNA) formed by conjugating bases to an amino acid backbone. This also includes nucleic acids containing modified bases, for example thio-uracil, thio-guanine and fluoro-uracil.

An "isolated" nucleic acid molecule or polynucleotide refers to a component that is removed from its original environment (for example, its natural environment if it is naturally occurring). An isolated nucleic acid or polypeptide may contain less than about $50 \%$, preferably less than about $75 \%$, and most preferably less than about $90 \%$, of the cellular components with which it was originally associated. A polynucleotide amplified using PCR so that it is sufficiently and easily distinguishable (on a gel, for example) from the rest of the cellular components is considered "isolated". The polynucleotides and polypeptides of the invention may be "substantially pure," i.e., having the highest degree of purity that can be achieved using purification techniques known in the art.

The term "hybridization" refers to a process in which a strand of nucleic acid joins with a complementary strand through base pairing. Polynucleotides are "hybridizable" to each other when at least one strand of one polynucleotide can anneal to a strand of another polynucleotide under defined stringency conditions. Hybridization requires that the two polynucleotides contain substantially complementary sequences; depending on the stringency of hybridization, however, mismatches may be tolerated. Typically, hybridization of two sequences at high stringency (such as, for example, in an aqueous solution of $0.5 \times \mathrm{SSC}$ at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.) requires that the sequences exhibit some high degree of complementarily over their entire sequence. Conditions of intermediate stringency (such as, for example, an aqueous solution of $2 \times \mathrm{SSC}$ at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.) and low stringency (such as, for example, an aqueous solution of $2 \times \mathrm{SSC}$ at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.), require correspondingly less overall complementarily between the
hybridizing sequences. $(1 \times \mathrm{SSC}$ is $0.15 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.015 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}$ citrate.) As used herein, the above solutions and temperatures refer to the probe-washing stage of the hybridization procedure. The term "a polynucleotide that hybridizes under stringent (low, intermediate) conditions" is intended to encompass both single and double-stranded polynucleotides although only one strand will hybridize to the complementary strand of another polynucleotide.

A "sequence-conservative variant" is a polynucleotide that contains a change of one or more nucleotides in a given codon position, as compared with another polynucleotide, but the change does not result in any alteration in the amino acid encoded at that position.
A "function-conservative variant" is a polypeptide (or a polynucleotide encoding the polypeptide) having a given amino acid residue that has been changed without altering the overall conformation and function of the polypeptide, including, but not limited to, replacement of an amino acid with one having similar physico-chemical properties (such as, for example, acidic, basic, hydrophobic, and the like). Amino acids having similar physico-chemical properties are well known in the art. For example, arginine, histidine and lysine are hydrophilic-basic amino acids and may be interchangeable. Similarly, isoleucine, a hydrophobic amino acid, may be replaced with leucine, methionine or valine. Sequence- and function-conservative variants are discussed in greater detail below with respect to degeneracy of the genetic code.

A "functional domain" or a "functional fragment" refers to any region or portion of a protein or polypeptide or polynucleotide which is a region or portion of a larger protein or polynucleotide, the region or portion having the specific activity or specific function attributable to the larger protein or polynucleotide, e.g., a functional domain of cellulose synthase is the UDP-glucose binding domain.

The term " $\%$ identity" refers to the percentage of the nucleotides/amino acids of one polynucleotide/polypeptide that are identical to the nucleotides/amino acids of another sequence of polynucleotide/polypeptide as identified by program GAP from Genetics Computer Group Wisconsin (GCG) package (version 9.0) (Madison, Wis.). GAP uses the algorithm of Needleman and Wunsch (J. Mol. Biol. 48: 443453,1970 ) to find the alignment of two complete sequences that maximizes the number of matches and minimizes the number of gaps. When parameters required to run the above algorithm are not specified, the default values offered by the program are contemplated. The following parameters are used by the GCG program GAP as default values (for polynucleotides): gap creation penalty: 50; gap extension penalty: 3 ; scoring matrix: nwsgapdna.cpm (local data file).

The "\% similarity" or "\% homology" between two polypeptide sequences is a function of the number of similar positions shared by two sequences on the basis of the scoring matrix used divided by the number of positions compared and then multiplied by 100 . This comparison is made when two sequences are aligned (by introducing gaps if needed) to determine maximum homology. PowerBlast program, implemented by the National Center for Biotechnology Information, can be used to compute optimal, gapped alignments. GAP program from Genetics Computer Group Wisconsin package (version 9.0) (Madison, Wis.) can also be used. GAP uses the algorithm of Needleman and Wunsch (J Mol Biol 48: $443-453,1970$ ) to find the alignment of two complete sequences that maximizes the number of matches and minimizes the number of gaps. When parameters required to run the above algorithm are not specified, the default values offered by the program are contemplated. The following parameters are used by the GCG program GAP as default
values (for polypeptides): gap creation penalty: 12; gap extension penalty: 4 ; scoring matrix:Blosum62.cpm (local data file).

The term "oligonucleotide" refers to a nucleic acid, generally of at least 10 , preferably at least 15 , and more preferably at least 20 nucleotides, that is hybridizable to a genomic DNA molecule, a cDNA molecule, or an mRNA molecule encoding a gene, mRNA, cDNA, or other nucleic acid of interest. Oligonucleotides can be labeled, e.g., with ${ }^{32} \mathrm{P}$-nucleotides or nucleotides to which a label, such as biotin, has been covalently conjugated. In one embodiment, a labeled oligonucleotide can be used as a probe to detect the presence of a nucleic acid. In another embodiment, oligonucleotides (one or both of which may be labeled) can be used as PCR primers, either for cloning full length or a fragment of CelA, or to detect the presence of nucleic acids encoding CelA. In a further embodiment, an oligonucleotide of the invention can form a triple helix with a CelA DNA molecule. In still another embodiment, a library of oligonucleotides arranged on a solid support, such as a silicon wafer or chip, can be used to detect various polymorphisms of interest. Generally, oligonucleotides are prepared synthetically, preferably on a nucleic acid synthesizer. Accordingly, oligonucleotides can be prepared with non-naturally occurring phosphoester analog bonds, such as thioester bonds, etc.

The term "coding sequence" refers to that portion of the gene that contains the information for encoding a polypeptide. The boundaries of the coding sequence are determined by a start codon at the $5^{\prime}$ (amino) terminus and a translation stop codon at the $3^{\prime}$ (carboxyl) terminus. A coding sequence can include, but is not limited to, prokaryotic sequences, cDNA from eukaryotic mRNA, genomic DNA sequences from eukaryotic (e.g., mammalian) DNA, and even synthetic DNA sequences.

A "promoter" is a polynucleotide containing elements (e.g., a TATA box) which are capable of binding RNA polymerase in a cell and initiating transcription of a downstream ( $3^{\prime}$ direction) coding sequence. For purposes of defining the present invention, the promoter sequence is bounded at its $3^{\prime}$ terminus by the transcription initiation site and extends upstream (5' direction) to include the minimum number of bases or elements necessary to initiate transcription at levels detectable above background. Within the promoter sequence will be found a transcription initiation site (conveniently defined for example, by mapping with nuclease S 1 ), as well as protein binding domains (consensus sequences) responsible for the binding of RNA polymerase. Examples of promoters that can be used in the present invention include PtCelAP, $4 \mathrm{CL}-1$ and 35 S .

The term "constitutive promoter" refers to a promoter which typically, does not require positive regulatory proteins to activate expression of an associated coding sequence, i.e., a constitutive promoter maintains some basal level of expression. A constitutive promoter is commonly used in creation of an expression cassette. An example of a constitutive promoter are 35S CaMV (Cauliflower Mosaic Virus), available from Clonetech, Palo Alto, Calif.

The term "inducible promoter" refers to the promoter which requires a positive regulation to activate expression of an associated coding sequence. An example of such a promoter is a stress-inducible cellulose synthase promoter from aspen described herein.

A coding sequence is "under the control" of transcriptional and translational control sequences in a cell when RNA polymerase transcribes the coding sequence into mRNA, which is then trans-RNA spliced and translated into the protein encoded by the coding sequence.

A "vector" is a recombinant nucleic acid construct, such as plasmid, phage genome, virus genome, cosmid, or artificial chromosome to which a polynucleotide of the invention may be attached. In a specific embodiment, the vector may bring about the replication of the attached segment, e.g., in the case of a cloning vector.

The term "expression cassette" refers to a polynucleotide which contains both a promoter and a protein coding sequence such that expression of a given protein is achieved upon insertion of the expression cassette into a cell.

A cell has been "transfected" by exogenous or heterologous polynucleotide when such polynucleotide has been introduced inside the cell. A cell has been "transformed" by exogenous or heterologous polynucleotide when the transfected polynucleotide effects a phenotypic change. Preferably, the transforming polynucleotide should be integrated (covalently linked) into chromosomal DNA making up the genome of the cell.
"Exogenous" refers to biological material, such as a polynucleotide or protein, that has been isolated from a cell and is then introduced into the same or a different cell. For example, a polynucleotide encoding a cellulose synthase of the invention can be cloned from xylem cells of a particular species of tree, inserted into a plasmid and reintroduced into xylem cells of the same or different species. The species thus contains an exogenous cellulose synthase polynucleotide.
"Heterologous polynucleotide" refers to an exogenous polynucleotide not naturally occurring in the cell into which it is introduced.
"Homologous polynucleotide" refers to an exogenous polynucleotide that naturally exists in the cells into which it is introduced.

The present invention relates to isolation and characterization of polynucleotides encoding cellulose synthases from plants, especially trees, including full length or naturally occurring forms of cellulose synthases, functional domains, promoters and regulatory elements. Therefore, in accordance with the present invention there may be employed conventional molecular biology, microbiology, and recombinant DNA techniques within the skill of the art. Such techniques are explained fully in the literature. See, e.g., Sambrook, Fritsch \& Maniatis, Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, Second Edition (1989) Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y. (herein "Sambrook et a1., 1989"); DNA Cloning: A Practical Approach, Volumes I and II (D. N. Glover ed. 1985); Oligonucleotide Synthesis (M. J. Gait ed. 1984); Nucleic Acid Hybridization [B. D. Hames \& S. J. Higgins eds. (1985)]; Transcription And Translation [B. D. Hames \& S. J. Higgins, eds. (1984)]; Animal Cell Culture [R. I. Freshney, ed. (1986)]; Immobilized Cells And Enzymes [IRL Press, (1986)]; B. Perbal, A Practical Guide To Molecular Cloning (1984); F. M. Ausubel et al. (eds.), Current Protocols in Molecular Biology, John Wiley \& Sons, Inc. (1994).

The present invention relates to a novel, full-length cellulose synthase gene ( CelA ), a novel stress inducible promoter of cellulose synthases (CelAP), and cellulose synthase proteins from trees, including UDP-glucose catalytic domains thereof. The invention enables the development of transgenic tree varieties having increased cellulose content, decreased lignin content and, therefore, improved wood fiber characteristics. Production of increased cellulose quantity and quality in multiple varieties of commercially relevant, transgenic forest tree species in operational production scenarios are further contemplated. The invention further provides a new experimental system for study of CelA gene expression and function in trees.

Polynucleotides Encoding Cellulose Synthase and Fragments Thereof

The present invention relates to polynucleotides which comprise the nucleotide sequence that encodes cellulose synthase of the invention or a functional fragment thereof. In a preferred embodiment, the polynucleotide comprises the sequence encoding a tree cellulose synthase and most preferrably, the sequence encoding a cellulose synthase from aspen. In one embodiment, a polynucleotide of the invention includes the entire cellulose synthase coding region, e.g., nucleotides 69 to 3,005 of SEQ ID NO: 1. In another aspect of the invention, the polynucleotide encoding an Arabidopsis cellulose synthase is provided (see SEQ ID NO:4 and the translated protein of SEQ ID NO:5).

Also within the scope of the invention are fragments of the polynucleotides encoding cellulose synthase of the invention, which fragments encode at least one transmembrane domain and/or a UDP-glucose binding domain. For example, a polynucleotide comprising the nucleotides encoding a UDP-glucose binding domain of aspen cellulose synthase (e.g., nucleotides 660 to 2250 of SEQ ID NO:1) or corresponding nucleotides of SEQ ID NO:4 are within the scope of the invention. The nucleotides encoding the UDP-glucose binding domain can be determined by, for example, alignment of protein sequences as described below.

The invention further relates to sequence conservative variants of the coding portion of SEQ ID NOS: 1 and 4.

Polynucleotides that hybridize under conditions of low, medium, and high stringency to SEQ ID NOS: 1 and 4, and their respective coding portions are also within the scope of the invention. Preferably, the polynucleotide that hybridizes to any of SEQ ID NOS: 1 and 4, or their respective coding portions, is about the same length as that sequence, for example, not more than about 10 to about 20 nucleotides longer or shorter. In another embodiment of the invention, the hybridizable polynucleotide is at least 1500 nucleotides long, preferably at least 2500 nucleotides long and most preferably at least 3000 nucleotides long. In yet another embodiment, the hybridizable polynucleotide comprises the UDP-glucose binding domain as found in SEQ ID NO: 1 or 4 , or at least the conserved region QVLRW [SEQ ID NO: 7]. Most preferably, the hybridizable polynucleotide has a UDP-glucose binding activity.

The polynucleotides that occur originally in nature may be isolated from the organisms that contain them using methods described herein or well known in the art. The non-naturally occurring polynucleotides may be prepared using various manipulations known in the field of recombinant DNA. For example, the cloned CelA polynucleotide can be modified according to methods described by Sambrook et al., 1989. The sequence can be cleaved at appropriate sites with restriction endonuclease(s), followed by further enzymatic modification if desired, isolated, and ligated in vitro. In the production of the modified polynucleotides, for example, care should be taken to ensure that the modified polynucleotide remains within the appropriate translational reading frame (if to be expressed) or uninterrupted by translational stop signals. As a further example, a CelA-encoding nucleic acid sequence can be mutated in vitro or in vivo, to create and/or destroy translation, initiation, and/or termination sequences, or to create variations in coding regions and/or form new restriction endonuclease sites or destroy preexisting ones, to facilitate further in vitro modification. Preferably, such mutations enhance the functional activity of the mutated CelA polynucleotide. Any technique for mutagenesis known in the art can be used, including but not limited to, in vitro sitedirected mutagenesis (Hutchinson, C., et al., 1978, J. Biol.

Chem. 253:6551; Zoller and Smith, 1984, DNA 3:479-488; Oliphant et al., 1986, Gene 44:177; Hutchinson et al., 1986, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 83:710), use of TAB linkers (Pharmacia), etc. PCR techniques are preferred for site directed mutagenesis (see Higuchi, 1989, "Using PCR to Engineer DNA", in PCR Technology: Principles and Applications for DNA Amplification, H. Erlich, ed., Stockton Press, Chapter 6, pp. 61-70).

The polynucleotides of the present invention may be introduced into various vectors adapted for plant or non-plant replication. These are well known in the art, thus, choice, construction and use of such vectors is well within the skill of a person skilled in the art. Possible vectors include, but are not limited to, plasmids or modified viruses of plants, but the vector system must be compatible with the host cell used. An example of a suitable vector is Ti plasmid. The insertion into a cloning vector can, for example, be accomplished by ligating the DNA fragment into a cloning vector which has complementary cohesive termini. However, if the complementary restriction sites used to fragment the DNA are not present in the cloning vector, the ends of the DNA molecules may be enzymatically modified. Alternatively, any site desired may be produced by ligating nucleotide sequences (linkers) onto the DNA termini; these ligated linkers may comprise specific chemically synthesized oligonucleotides encoding restriction endonuclease recognition sequences. An expression cassette containing cellulose synthase or recombinant molecules thereof can be introduced into host cells via silicon carbide whiskers, transformed protoplasts, transformation, e.g., Agrobacterium vectors (discussed below), electroporation, infection, etc., so that many copies of the gene sequence are generated. Preferably, the cloned gene is contained on a shuttle vector plasmid, which provides for expansion in a cloning cell, e.g., E. coli, and facile purification for subsequent insertion into an appropriate expression cell line, if such is desired. For example, a shuttle vector, which is a vector that can replicate in more than one type of organism, can be prepared for replication in both E. coli and Saccharo$m y c e s$ cerevisiae by linking sequences from an $E$. coli plasmid with sequences form the yeast 2 m plasmid.

Transgenic plants containing the polynucleotides described herein are also within the scope of the invention. Methods for introducing exogenous polynucleotides into plant cells and regenerating transgenic plants are well known. Some are provided below.

In one embodiment, to introduce a plasmid containing a CelA coding sequence or promoter of the invention into a plant, a 1:1 mixture of plasmid DNA containing a selectable marker expression cassette and plasmid DNA containing a cellulose synthase expression cassette is precipitated with gold to form microprojectiles. The microprojectiles are rinsed in absolute ethanol and aliquots are dried onto a suitable macrocarrier such as the macrocarrier available from BioRad in Hercules, Calif. Prior to bombardment, embryogenic tissue is preferably desiccated under a sterile laminar-flow hood. The desiccated tissue is transferred to semi-solid proliferation medium. The prepared microprojectiles are accelerated from the macrocarrier into the desiccated target cells using a suitable apparatus such as a BioRad PDS-1000/HE particle gun. In a preferred method, each plate is bombarded once, rotated 180 degrees, and bombarded a second time. Preferred bombardment parameters are 1350 psi rupture disc pressure, 6 mm distance from the rupture disc to macrocarrier (gap distance), 1 cm macrocarrier travel distance, and 10 cm distance from macrocarrier stopping screen to culture plate (microcarrier travel distance). Tissue is then transferred to semi-solid
proliferation medium containing a selection agent, such as hygromycin B , for two days after bombardment.

## Cellulose Synthase Protein and Fragment Thereof

A cellulose synthase of the invention is a plant protein that contains a catalytic subunit which has UDP-glucose binding activity for the synthesis of glucan from glucose, and eight transmembrane domains for localizing the cellulose synthase to the cell membrane. The cellulose synthase of the invention has eight transmembrane binding domains; two at the amino terminal and six at the carboxyl terminal. The UDP-glucose binding domain is located between transmembrane domains two and three. Examples of this protein structure are seen in the aspen cellulose synthase as well as in those of RSW1 and GhCelA. The location of the transmembrane domain may be identified as described below and as exemplified in the Example. Preferably, the cellulose synthase of the invention has an amino acid sequence of a tree cellulose synthase.

In one embodiment, the cellulose synthase protein of the invention is isolated from aspen. Aspen cellulose synthase contains about 978 amino acids and has a molecular weight of about 110 KDa and a pI of about 6.58. In one embodiment, the aspen cellulose synthase has the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2 as represented in FIG. 1. In another aspect, the invention relates to cellulose synthase of SEQ ID NO: 5.

The invention further relates to fragments of plant cellulose synthases, such as fragments containing at least one transmembrane region and/or a UDP-glucose binding domain. The transmembrane regions may be identified as described in the Example by using the method of Hoffman and Stoffel (1993).

The cellulose synthase fragment containing the UDP-glucose binding domain is functional without the presence of the rest of the protein. This separable activity is as shown in the Example. This result was surprising and unexpected because previously identified UDP-glucose binding domains were not known to be functional when isolated from other portions of the protein. Thus, a fragment of any cellulose synthase (such as PtCelA, RSW1, GhCelA and SEQ ID NO:5) that contains a UDP-glucose binding domain and is independently functional is within the scope of the invention. The function of the UDP-glucose binding domain may be determined using the assay described in the Example. The UDP-glucose binding domain of the invention is located between the second and third transmembrane region of the cellulose synthase and has conserved amino acid sequences for UDP-glucose binding, such as the sequence QVLRW and conserved D residues. The UDP-glucose binding domain and the conserved regions therein may be located in a cellulose synthase using the guidance of the present specification and the general knowledge in the art, for example Brown, 1996. In one embodiment, the UDP-glucose binding domain and the conserved regions therein may be identified by comparing the amino acid sequence of cellulose synthase of interest with the amino acid sequence of aspen cellulose synthase using the algorithms described in the specification or generally known in the art. For example, the UDP-glucose binding domain of SEQ ID $\mathrm{NO}: 2$ is in the position amino acids 220 to 749 . The conserved QVLRW sequence is located at positions 715-719 of SEQ ID NO:2.

Polypeptides having at least $75 \%$, preferably at least $85 \%$ and most preferably at least $95 \%$ similarity to the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2, amino acids 220-749 of SEQ ID NO:2, SEQ ID NO:5 or its UDP-glucose binding domain using Power Blast or GAP algorithm described above. In a preferred embodiment, these polypeptides are of about the same length as the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2 or amino
acids 220-749 of SEQ ID NO:2. For example, the polypeptide may be from about 2-3 to about 5-7 and to about 10-15 amino acids longer or shorter. In another embodiment, the polypeptides described in this paragraph are not originally found (i.e., naturally occurring) in Arabidopsis or cotton. These polypeptides may be prepared by, for example, altering the nucleic acid sequence of a cloned polynucleotide encoding the protein of SEQ ID NO: 2 or SEQ ID NO:5 using the methods well known in the art.
Function conservative variants of cellulose synthase are also within the scope of the invention and can be prepared by altering the sequence of a cloned polynucleotide encoding cellulose synthase or fragments thereof. Conventional methods used in the art can be used to make substitutions, additions or deletions in one or more amino acids, to provide functionally equivalent molecules. For example, a function conservative variant that has substitutions, deletions and/or additions in the amino and/or carboxyl terminus of the protein, outside of the UDP-glucose binding domain is within the scope of the invention. Preferably, variants are made that have enhanced or increased functional activity relative to native cellulose synthase. Methods of directed evolution can be used for this purpose.

The invention also includes function conservative variants which include altered sequences in which functionally equivalent amino acid residues are substituted for residues within the sequence resulting in a conservative amino acid substitution. For example, one or more amino acid residues within the sequence can be substituted by another amino acid of a similar polarity, which acts as a functional equivalent, resulting in a silent alteration. Substitutes for an amino acid within the sequence may be selected from other members of the class to which the amino acid belongs. For example, the nonpolar (hydrophobic) amino acids include alanine, leucine, isoleucine, valine, proline, phenylalanine, tryptophan and methionine. Amino acids containing aromatic ring structures are phenylalanine, tryptophan, and tyrosine. The polar neutral amino acids include glycine, serine, threonine, cysteine, tyrosine, asparagine, and glutamine. The positively charged (basic) amino acids include arginine, lysine and histidine. The negatively charged (acidic) amino acids include aspartic acid and glutamic acid. Such alterations will not be expected to affect apparent molecular weight as determined by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, or isoelectric point. Particularly preferred substitutions are: (i) Lys for Arg and vice versa such that a positive charge may be maintained; (ii) Glu for Asp and vice versa such that a negative charge may be maintained; (iii) Ser for Thr such that a free - OH can be maintained; and (iv) Gln for Asn such that a free $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ can be maintained. Amino acid substitutions may also be introduced to substitute an amino acid with a particularly preferable property. For example, a Cys may be introduced a potential site for disulfide bridges with another Cys. A His may be introduced as a particularly "catalytic" site (i.e., His can act as an acid or base and is the most common amino acid in biochemical catalysis). Pro may be introduced because of its particularly planar structure, which induces b-turns in the protein's structure.

The cellulose synthase of the invention can be isolated by expressing a cloned polynucleotide encoding the cellulose synthase as well as using direct protein purification techniques. These methods will be apparent to those of skill in the art.

Polynucleotides Containing Cellulose Synthase Promoter
The present invention further relates to a cellulose synthase promoter. The promoter is a stress-inducible promoter and may be used to synthesize greater quantities of high crystal-
line cellulose in plant, and preferably in trees. This permits an increase in the proportion of cellulose in transgenic plants, greater strength of juvenile wood and fiber, and acceleration of overall growth rate.

In one embodiment, the promoter of the invention is from aspen and is represented in FIG. 4. The promoter sequence is located within the region of nucleotides 1-840 of SEQ ID NO:3. The inventors have identified sub-regions within this region sufficient for promoter function by performing deletion analysis. Thus, functional fragments of SEQ ID NO:3 are within the scope of the invention.

Polynucleotides that hybridize under conditions of low, medium, and high stringency to SEQ ID NO:3, and its noncoding portion are also within the scope of the invention. The hybridizable polynucleotide may be about the same length as the sequence to which it hybridizes, for example, not more than about 10 to about 20 nucleotides longer or shorter. In another embodiment, the hybridizable polynucleotide is at least about 200 nucleotides long, at least about 400 nucleotides long or at least 500 nucleotides long. In yet another embodiment, the hybridizable polynucleotide comprises at least one MSRE element identified according to the method described below.

The nucleotide sequences of the invention can be used to isolate corresponding sequences from other organisms, for example, other plants, or to synthesize polynucleotides having promoter activity. Methods such as PCR, hybridization, synthetic gene construction and the like can be used to identify or generate such sequences based on their sequence homology to the SEQ ID NO:3. Accordingly, sequences isolated or constructed based on their sequence identity to the whole of or any portion of the regions of SEQ ID NO:3 are encompassed by the present invention.

In a PCR approach, primers can be designed to amplify corresponding DNA sequences from genomic DNA extracted from any plant of interest. Methods for designing PCR primers and PCR cloning are generally known in the art.

In hybridization techniques, all or part of a known nucleotide sequence is used as a probe that selectively hybridizes to other corresponding nucleotide sequences present in a population of cloned DNA fragments (i.e., libraries) from a chosen organism. The hybridization probes may be labeled with a detectable group such as ${ }^{32} \mathrm{P}$, or any other detectable marker. Probes for hybridization can be made by labeling synthetic oligonucleotides based on particular regions of SEQ ID NO:3. Methods for preparation of probes for hybridization and for construction of cDNA and genomic libraries are generally known in the art.

A region of SEQ ID NO:3, for example, a region falling between positions 334 to 838,334 to 522,334 to 422,423 to 838 or 423 to 522 of SEQ ID NO:3, may be used as a probe capable of specifically hybridizing to corresponding sequences. To achieve specific hybridization under a variety of conditions, such probes include sequences that are unique among the sequences to be screened and are suitably at least about 10 nucleotides in length, at least about 20 nucleotides, at least about 25 nucleotides, at least about 30 nucleotides, at least about 35 nucleotides, at least about 40 nucleotides, at least about 50 nucleotides or at least about 60 nucleotides in length. Such sequences may alternatively be used to amplify corresponding sequences from a chosen plant by PCR. This technique may be used to isolate sequences from a desired plant or as a diagnostic assay to determine the presence of sequences in a plant. Hybridization techniques may include hybridization screening of DNA libraries plated as either plaques or colonies.

Hybridization of such sequences may be carried out under stringent conditions. By "stringent conditions" or "stringent hybridization conditions" is intended conditions under which a probe will hybridize to its target sequence to a detectably greater degree than to other sequences (e.g., at least 2 -fold over background). Stringent conditions are sequence-dependent and will be different in different circumstances. By controlling the stringency of the hybridization and/or washing conditions, target sequences that are $100 \%$ complementary to the probe can be identified (homologous probing). Alternatively, stringency conditions can be adjusted to allow some mismatching in sequences so that lower degrees of similarity are detected (heterologous probing).

Typically, stringent conditions will be those in which the salt concentration is less than about 1.5 M Na ion, typically about 0.01 to 1.0 M Na ion concentration (or other salts) at pH 7.0 to 8.3 and the temperature is at least about $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for short probes (e.g., 10 to 50 nucleotides) and at least about $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for long probes (e.g., greater than 50 nucleotides). Stringent conditions may also be achieved with the addition of destabilizing agents such as formamide. Exemplary low stringency conditions include hybridization with a buffer solution of 30 to $35 \%$ formamide, $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \%$ SDS (sodium dodecyl sulfate) at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., and a wash in $1 \times$ to $2 \times \operatorname{SSC}(20 \times \mathrm{SSC}=3.0 \mathrm{M}$ NaCl and 0.3 M trisodium citrate) at 50 to $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Exemplary moderate stringency conditions include hybridization in 40 to $45 \%$ formamide, $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \% \mathrm{SDS}$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., and a wash in $0.5 \times$ to $1 \times \operatorname{SSC}$ at 55 to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Exemplary high stringency conditions include hybridization in $50 \%$ formamide, 1.0 M $\mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \% \mathrm{SDS}$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., and a wash in $0.1 \times \mathrm{SSC}$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. to $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Specificity is also the function of post-hybridization washes, with factors including the ionic strength and temperature of the final wash solution. For DNA-DNA hybrids, the $\mathrm{T}_{m}$ can be approximated from the equation $\mathrm{T}_{m}=81.5^{\circ}$ C. $+16.6(\operatorname{logM})+0.41(\% \mathrm{GC})-0.61(\%$ form. $)-500 / \mathrm{L}$, where M is the molarity of monovalent cations, $\% \mathrm{GC}$ is the percentage of guanosine and cytosine nucleotides in the DNA, $\%$ form. is the percentage of formamide in the hybridization solution, and L is the length of the hybrid in base pairs. The $\mathrm{T}_{m}$ is the temperature (under defined ionic strength and pH ) at which $50 \%$ of a complementary target sequence hybridizes to a perfectly matched probe. $\mathrm{T}_{m}$ is reduced by about $1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for each $1 \%$ of mismatching; thus, $\mathrm{T}_{m}$, hybridization, and/or wash conditions can be adjusted for sequences of the desired identity to hybridize. For example, if sequences with $90 \%$ identity are sought, the $\mathrm{T}_{m}$ can be decreased $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Generally, stringent conditions are selected to be about $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. lower than the thermal melting point ( $\mathrm{T}_{m}$ ) for the specific sequence and its complement at a defined ionic strength and pH . However, severely stringent conditions can utilize a hybridization and/ or wash at $1,2,3$, or $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. lower than the thermal melting point ( $\mathrm{T}_{m}$ ); moderately stringent conditions can utilize a hybridization and/or wash at $6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ., 7^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ., 8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ., 9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., or $11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. lower than the thermal melting point ( $\mathrm{T}_{m}$ ); low stringency conditions can utilize a hybridization and/or wash at $11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. to $20^{\circ}$ C. lower than the thermal melting point $\left(\mathrm{T}_{m}\right)$. Using the equation, hybridization and wash compositions, and desired $\mathrm{T}_{m}$, those of ordinary skill will understand that variations in the stringency of hybridization and/or wash solutions are inherently described. If the desired degree of mismatching results in a $\mathrm{T}_{m}$ of less than $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (aqueous solution) or $32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (formamide solution), the SSC concentration may be increased so that a higher temperature can be used.

Thus, isolated sequences that have promoter activity and which hybridize under stringent conditions to a region falling between positions 324 to 838,324 to 522,324 to 423,423 to

838 or 423 to 522 of SEQ ID NO:3, or to fragments thereof, are encompassed by the present invention. Sequences may be suitably at least $85 \%$, at least $90 \%$, at least $95 \%$, at least $96 \%$, at least $97 \%$, at least $98 \%$ or at least $99 \%$ identical to sequences from positions 334 to 838 , positions 334 to 522 , positions 334 to 423 , positions 423 to 838 or positions 423 to 522 of SEQ ID NO:3. The promoter regions of the invention may be used to isolate substantially identical sequences from any plant species, including, but not limited to, any plant species described herein.

Suitably the promoter sequences comprise any sequence of at least 30 consecutive nucleotides from position 334 to 522 of SEQ ID NO:3 having promoter activity. Suitably, the promoter sequences may comprise at least 40 consecutive nucleotides, at least 45 consecutive nucleotides, at least 50 consecutive nucleotides, at least 55 consecutive nucleotides, at least 60 consecutive nucleotides, at least 65 consecutive nucleotides, at least 70 consecutive nucleotides, at least 75 consecutive nucleotides, or at least 80 consecutive nucleotides from position 334 to 522 of SEQ ID NO:3 having promoter activity. Suitably the promoter sequences may show at least $85 \%$, at least $90 \%$, at least $95 \%$, at least $96 \%$, at least $97 \%$, at least $98 \%$ or at least $99 \%$ identity to the consecutive nucleotide sequences falling between positions 334 and 522 of SEQ ID NO:3.

Suitably, the promoter sequences may be operably linked to a coding sequence, and the coding sequence may be suitably expressed in a cell, including a plant cell. The coding sequence may suitably encode a polypeptide, including, but not limited to, reporter polypeptides, such fluorescent or luminescent polypeptides and antibiotics, structural polypeptides, such as cell wall or cell membrane polypeptides, enzymes, such as enzymes involved in the metabolism of cellulose, lignin, starch, sucrose, nitrogen and secondary metabolites, and immuno-polypeptides, such as antigens and antibodies.

A cellulose synthase promoter of the invention typically provides tissue-specific gene regulation in xylem, but also permits up-regulation of gene expression in other tissues as well, e.g., phloem under tension stress. Furthermore, expression of cellulose synthase is localized to an area of the plant under stress.

This stress-inducible phenomenon is regulated by positive and negative mechanical stress response elements (MSREs). These MSREs upregulate (positive) or downregulate (negative) the expression of a cellulose synthase polynucleotide under stress conditions through binding of transcription factors. MSRE-regulated expression of cellulose synthase permits synthesis of cellulose with high crystallinity.

The MSREs of cellulose synthase can be modified or employed otherwise in methods to regulate expression of a polynucleotide, including a cellulose synthase, operatively linked to a promoter containing an MSRE in response to mechanical stress (e.g., tension or compression) to a transgenic plant.

Negative MSREs of a cellulose synthase promoter can be modified, removed or blocked to improve expression of a cellulose synthase, and thereby increase cellulose production and improve wood quality. Alternatively, positive MSREs can be removed or blocked to decrease expression of a cellulose synthase, which decreases cellulose production and increases lignin deposition. This is useful for increasing the fuel value of wood because lignin has a higher BTU value than cellulose. Moreover, a modified cellulose synthase promoter can be operatively linked to a polynucleotide of interest to control its expression upon mechanical stress to a plant harboring it.

The location of MSRE elements in the SEQ ID NO:3 may be identified, for example, using promoter deletion analysis, DNAse Foot Print Analysis, and Southwestern screening of an expression library for an MSRE. In one embodiment, cellulose synthase promoter that has one or more portions deleted, and is operatively linked to a reporter sequence, is introduced into a plant or a plant cell. A positive MSRE is detected by observing no relative change or increase in the amount of reporter in a transgenic plant or tissue, e.g., phloem after inducing a stress to the plant, and a negative MSRE is detected by observing increases in the amount of reporter in the plant in the absence of any stress to the plant. A positive element is detected when by removing it, GUS expression goes down and by adding it kept at the same level or more. The negative element does not support, or suppress, expression of GUS and by removing it, normal or enhanced GUS expression is observed as compared to when negative element is present.

Manipulation of a MSRE binding sites and/or providing transcription factors that bind thereto, provides a mechanism to continuously produce high crystalline cellulose in woody plant cell walls of transgenic plants. For example, one having ordinary skill in the art can delete or block negative MSRE elements, or provide cDNA encoding protein(s) that bind the positive MSREs, to enable constitutive expression of a cellulose synthase without the requirement of a mechanical stress. The increased cellulose synthase, and therefore, increased cellulose content, can improve the strength properties of juvenile wood and fiber. It is also contemplated that the positive MSREs can be deleted or blocked, or cDNA in an antisense direction, which in the sense direction encodes a protein that binds a positive MSRE, can be provided, to reduce cellulose synthase activity and decrease cellulose production.
Method of Isolating Polynucleotides Encoding Cellulose Synthase

The invention further relates to identifying and isolating polynucleotides encoding cellulose synthase in plants, e.g., trees, (in addition to those polynucleotides provided in the Example and represented in FIG. 1 and FIG. 7). These polynucleotides may be used to manipulate expression of cellulose synthase with an objective to improve the cellulose content and properties of wood.

The method comprises identifying a nucleic acid fragment containing a sequence encoding cellulose synthase or a portion thereof by using a fragment of SEQ ID NOS: 1 or 4 as a probe or a primer. Once identified, the nucleic acid fragment containing a sequence encoding cellulose synthase or a portion thereof is isolated.
Polynucleotides encoding cellulose synthases of the invention, whether genomic DNA, cDNA, or fragments thereof, can be isolated from many sources, particularly from cDNA or genomic libraries from plants, preferably trees (e.g. aspen, sweetgum, loblolly pine, eucalyptus, and other angiosperms and gymnosperms). Molecular biology methods for obtaining polynucleotides encoding a cellulose synthase are well known in the art, as described above (see, e.g., Sambrook et a1., 1989, supra).

Accordingly, cells from any species of plant can potentially serve as a nucleic acid source for the molecular cloning of a polynucleotide encoding a cellulose synthase of the invention. The DNA may be obtained by standard procedures known in the art from cloned DNA (e.g., a DNA "library"), and preferably is obtained from a cDNA library prepared from tissues with high level expression of a cellulose synthase (e.g., xylem tissue, since cells in this tissue evidence very high levels of expression of (elA), by chemical synthesis, by
cDNA cloning, or by the cloning of genomic DNA, or fragments thereof, purified from a desired cell (see, for example, Sambrook et al., 1989, supra; Glover, D. M. (ed.), 1985, DNA Cloning: A Practical Approach, MRL Press, Ltd., Oxford, U.K. Vol. I, II). Clones derived from genomic DNA may contain regulatory and intron DNA regions in addition to coding regions; clones derived from cDNA will not contain intron sequences. Whatever the source, a polynucleotide should be molecularly cloned into a suitable vector for its propagation.

In another embodiment for the molecular cloning of a polynucleotide encoding a cellulose synthase of the invention from genomic DNA, DNA fragments are generated from a genome of interest, such as from a plant, or more particularly a tree genome, part of which will correspond to a desired polynucleotide. The DNA may be cleaved at specific sites using various restriction enzymes. Alternatively, one may use DNAse in the presence of manganese to fragment the DNA, or the DNA can be physically sheared, as for example, by sonication. The linear DNA fragments can then be separated according to size by standard techniques, including but not limited to, agarose and polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and column chromatography.

Once the DNA fragments are generated, identification of the specific DNA fragment containing a desired CelA sequence may be accomplished in a number of ways. For example, if an amount of a portion of a CelA sequence or its specific RNA, or a fragment thereof, is available and can be purified and labeled, the generated DNA fragments may be screened by nucleic acid hybridization to a labeled probe (Benton and Davis, 1977, Science 196:180; Grunstein and Hogness, 1975, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 72:3961). For example, a set of oligonucleotides corresponding to the partial amino acid sequence information obtained for a CelA protein from trees can be prepared and used as probes for DNA encoding cellulose synthase, or as primers for cDNA or mRNA (e.g., in combination with a poly-T primer for RTPCR). Preferably, a fragment is selected that is highly unique to a cellulose synthase of the invention, such as the UDPglucose binding regions. Those DNA fragments with substantial homology to the probe will hybridize. As noted above, the greater the degree of homology, the more stringent hybridization conditions can be used. In a specific embodiment, stringency hybridization conditions can be used to identify homologous CelA sequences from trees or other plants.

Thus, in one embodiment, a labeled cellulose synthase cDNA from, e.g., Populus tremuloides (PtCelA), can be used to probe a library of genes or DNA fragments from various species of plants, especially angiosperm and gymnosperm, to determine whether any bind to a CelA of the invention. Once genes or fragments are identified, they can be amplified using standard PCR techniques, cloned into a vector, e.g., pBluescript vector (StrataGene of LaJolla, Calif.), and transformed into a bacteria, e.g., DH5 $\forall$ E. coli strain (Gibco BRL of Gaithersburg, Md.). Bacterial colonies are typically tested to determine whether any contains a cellulose synthase-encoding nucleic acid. Once a positive clone is identified through binding, it is sequenced from an end, preferably the $3^{\prime}$ end.
cDNA libraries can be constructed in various hosts, such as lambda ZAPII, available from Stratagene, LaJolla, Calif., using poly(A) + RNA isolated from aspen xylem, according to the methods described by Bugos et al. (Biotechniques 19:734-737, 1995). The above mentioned probes are used to assay the aspen cDNA library to locate cDNA which codes for enzymes involved in production of cellulose synthases.

Once a cellulose synthase sequence is located, it is then cloned and sequenced according to known methods in the art.

Further selection can be carried out on the basis of the properties of the gene, e.g., if the gene encodes a protein product having the isoelectric, electrophoretic, hydropathy plot, amino acid composition, or partial amino acid sequence of a cellulose synthase protein of the invention, as described herein. Thus, the presence of the gene may be detected by assays based on the physical, chemical, or immunological properties of its expressed product. For example, cDNA clones or DNA clones which hybrid-select the proper mRNAs can be used to produce a protein that has similar properties known for cellulose synthases of the invention. Such properties may include, for example, similar or identical electrophoretic migration patterns, isoelectric focusing or non-equilibrium pH gel electrophoresis behavior, proteolytic digestion maps, hydropathy plots, or functional properties (such as isolated, functional UDP-glucose binding domains).
A cellulose synthase polynucleotide of the invention can also be identified by mRNA selection, i.e., by nucleic acid hybridization followed by in vitro translation. In this procedure, nucleotide fragments are used to isolate complementary mRNAs by hybridization. Such DNA fragments may represent available, purified CelA DNA, or may be synthetic oligonucleotides designed from the partial amino acid sequence information. Functional assays (e.g., UDP-glucose activity) of the in vitro translation products of the products of the isolated mRNAs identifies the mRNA and, therefore, the complementary DNA fragments, that contain the desired sequences.

A radiolabeled CelA cDNA can be synthesized using a selected mRNA as a template. The radiolabeled mRNA or cDNA may then be used as a probe to identify homologous CelA DNA fragments from amongst other genomic DNA fragments.

It will be appreciated that other polynucleotides, in addition to a CelA of the invention can be operatively linked to a CelA promoter to control expression of the polynucleotide upon application of a mechanical stress.

## Expression of CelA Polypeptides

The nucleotide sequence coding for CelA, or a functional fragment, derivative or analog thereof, including chimeric proteins, can be inserted into an appropriate expression vector, i.e., a vector which contains the necessary elements for the transcription and translation of the inserted protein-coding sequence. Preferably, an expression vector includes an origin of replication. The elements are collectively termed herein a "promoter." Thus, a nucleic acid encoding CelA of the invention can be operatively associated with a promoter in an expression vector of the invention. Both cDNA and genomic sequences can be cloned and expressed under control of such regulatory sequences. The necessary transcriptional and translational signals can be provided on a recombinant expression vector, or they may be supplied by the native gene encoding CelA and/or its flanking regions.

In addition to a CelAP, expression of cellulose synthase can be controlled by any promoter/enhancer element known in the art, but these regulatory elements must be functional in the host selected for expression. Promoters which may be used to control CelA polynucleotide expression include, constitutive, development-specific and tissue-specific. Examples of these promoters include 35S Cauliflower Mosaic Virus, terminal flower and 4CL-1. Thus, there are various ways to alter the growth of a plant using different promoters, depending on the needs of the practitioner.

The nucleotide sequence may be inserted in a sense or antisense direction depending on the needs of the practitioner. For example, if augmentation of cellulose biosynthesis is desired then polynucleotides encoding, e.g., cellulose synthase, can be inserted into the expression vector in the sense direction to increase cellulose synthase production and thus cellulose biosynthesis. Alternatively, if it is desired that cellulose biosynthesis is reduced or lignin content is increased, then polynucleotides encoding, e.g., cellulose synthase, can be inserted in the antisense direction so that upon transcription the antisense mRNA hybridizes to other complementary transcripts in the sense orientation to prevent translation. In other embodiments, the polynucleotide encodes a UDP-glucose binding domain and is used in a similar manner as described.

A recombinant CelA protein of the invention, or functional fragment, derivative, chimeric construct, or analog thereof, may be expressed chromosomally, after integration of the coding sequence by recombination. In this regard, any of a number of amplification systems for plants may be used to achieve high levels of stable gene expression, as discussed above. Any of the methods previously described for the insertion of DNA fragments into a cloning vector may be used to construct expression vectors containing a gene consisting of appropriate transcriptional/translational control signals and the protein coding sequences. These methods may include in vitro recombinant DNA and synthetic techniques and in vivo recombination (genetic recombination).

Expression vectors containing a nucleic acid encoding a CelA of the invention can be identified by four general approaches: (a) PCR amplification of the desired plasmid DNA or specific mRNA, (b) nucleic acid hybridization, (c) presence or absence of selection marker gene functions, (d) analyses with appropriate restriction endonucleases, and (e) expression of inserted sequences. In the first approach, the nucleic acids can be amplified by PCR to provide for detection of the amplified product. In the second approach, the presence of a foreign gene inserted in an expression vector can be detected by nucleic acid hybridization using probes comprising sequences that are homologous to an inserted marker gene. In the third approach, the recombinant vector/ host system can be identified and selected based upon the presence or absence of certain "selection marker" gene functions (e.g., $\beta$-glucuronidase activity, resistance to antibiotics, transformation phenotype, etc.) caused by the insertion of foreign genes in the vector. In another example, if the nucleic acid encoding CelA is inserted within the "selection marker" gene sequence of the vector, recombinants containing the CelA insert can be identified by the absence of the CelA gene function. In the fourth approach, recombinant expression vectors are identified by digestion with appropriate restriction enzymes. In the fifth approach, recombinant expression vectors can be identified by assaying for the activity, biochemical, or immunological characteristics of the gene product expressed by the recombinant, provided that the expressed protein assumes a functionally active conformation.

After a particular recombinant DNA molecule is identified and isolated, several methods known in the art may be used to propagate it. Once a suitable host system and growth conditions are established, recombinant expression vectors can be propagated and prepared in quantity. As previously explained, the expression vectors which can be used include, but are not limited to those vectors or their derivatives described above.

Vectors are introduced into the desired host cells by methods known in the art, e.g., Agrobacterium-mediated transformation (described in greater detail below), transfection, elec-
troporation, microinjection, transduction, cell fusion, DEAE dextran, calcium phosphate precipitation, lipofection (lysosome fusion), use of a gene gun, or a DNA vector transporter (see, e.g., Wu et al., 1992, J. Biol. Chem. 267:963-967; Wu and Wu, 1988, J. Biol. Chem. 263:14621-14624; Hartmut et al., Canadian Patent Application No. 2,012,311, filed Mar. 15, 1990).

The cell into which the recombinant vector comprising the nucleic acid encoding CelA is cultured in an appropriate cell culture medium under conditions that provide for expression of CelA by the cell. In addition, a host cell strain may be chosen which modulates the expression of the inserted sequences, or modifies and processes the gene product in a specific fashion desired. Different host cells have characteristic and specific mechanisms for the translational and posttranslational processing and modification (such as glycosylation, cleavage, e.g., of a signal sequence) of proteins. Appropriate cell lines or host systems can be chosen to ensure the desired modification and processing of the foreign protein expressed.

## Agrobacterium-Mediated Transformation and Inducing Somatic Embryos

The culture media used in the invention, and for transforming Agrobacterium, contain an effective amount of each of the medium components (e.g. basal medium, growth regulator, carbon source) described above. As used in describing the present invention, an "effective amount" of a given medium component is the amount necessary to cause a recited effect. For example, an effective amount of a growth hormone in the primary callus growth medium is the amount of the growth hormone that induces callus formation when combined with other medium components. Other compounds known to be useful for tissue culture media, such as vitamins and gelling agents, may also be used as optional components of the culture media of the invention.

Transformation of cells from plants, e.g., trees, and the subsequent production of transgenic plants using Agrobacte-rium-mediated transformation procedures known in the art, and further described herein, is one example of a method for introducing a foreign gene into trees. Transgenic plants may be produced by various methods, such as by the following steps: (i) culturing Agrobacterium in low-pH induction medium at low temperature and preconditioning, i.e., coculturing bacteria with wounded tobacco leaf extract in order to induce a high level of expression of the Agrobacterium vir genes whose products are involved in the T-DNA transfer; (ii) coculturing a desired plant tissue explants, including zygotic and/or somatic embryo tissues derived from cultured explants, with the incited Agrobacterium; (iii) selecting transformed callus tissue on a medium containing antibiotics; and (v) and converting the embryos into plantlets.

Any non-tumorigenic A. tumefaciens strain harboring a disarmed Ti plasmid may be used in the method of the invention. Any Agrobacterium system may be used. For example, Ti plasmid/binary vector system or a cointegrative vector system with one Ti plasmid may be used. Also, any marker gene or polynucleotide conferring the ability to select transformed cells, callus, embryos or plants and any other gene, such as, for example, a gene conferring resistance to a disease, or one improving cellulose content, may also be used. Any promoter desired can be used, such as, for example, a PtCe1AP of the invention, and those promoters as described above. A person of ordinary skill in the art can determine which markers and genes are used depending on particular needs.
For purposes of the present invention, "transformed" or "transgenic" means that at least one marker gene or poly-
nucleotide conferring selectable marker properties is introduced into the DNA of a plant cell, callus, embryo or plant. Additionally, any gene may also be introduced.

To increase the infectivity of the bacteria, Agrobacterium is cultured in low-pH induction medium, i.e., any bacterium culture media with a pH value adjusted to from 4.5 to 6.0 , most preferably about 5.2 , and at low temperature such as for example about $19-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., preferably about $21-26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The conditions of low-pH and low temperature are among the well-defined factors for inducing virulence activity in Agrobacterium (e.g., Altmorbe et al., Mol. Plant-Microbe. Interac. 2: 301, 1989; Fullner et al., Science 273: 1107, 1996; Fullner and Nester, J. Bacteriol. 178: 1498, 1996).

The bacteria is preconditioned by coculturing with wounded tobacco leafextract (prepared according to methods known generally known in the art) to induce a high level of expression of the Agrobacterium vir genes. Prior to inoculation of plant somatic embryos, Agrobacterium cells can be treated with a tobacco extract prepared from wounded leaf tissues of tobacco plants grown in vitro. To achieve optimal stimulation of the expression of Agrobacterium vir genes by wound-induced metabolites and other cellular factors, tobacco leaves can be wounded and pre-cultured overnight. Culturing of bacteria in low pH medium and at low temperature can be used to further enhance the bacteria vir gene expression and infectivity. Preconditioning with tobacco extract and the vir genes involved in the T-DNA transfer process are generally known in the art.

Agrobacterium treated as described above is then cocultured with a plant tissue explant, such as for example zygotic and/or somatic embryo tissue. Non-zygotic (i.e., somatic) or zygotic tissues can be used. Any plant tissue may be used as a source of explants. For example, cotyledons from seeds, young leaf tissue, root tissues, parts of stems including nodal explants, and tissues from primary somatic embryos such as the root axis may be used. Generally, young tissues are a preferred source of explants.

The invention also relates to methods of altering the growth of a plant by expressing the polynucleotide of the invention, which as a result alters the growth of the plant. The polynucleotide used in the method may be a homologous polynucleotide or a heterologous polynucleotide and are described in detail above. For example, both full-length and UDP-glucose binding region containing fragments may be expressed. Additionally, depending on the aim of the method, the polynucleotide may be introduced into the plant in the sense or in the antisense orientation. Any suitable promoter may be used to provide expression. The promoter or a functional fragment thereof is operatively linked to the polynucleotide. The promoter may be a constitutive promoter, a tissue-specific promoter or a development-specific plant promoter. Examples of suitable promoters are Cauliflower Mosaic Virus 35S, 4CL, cellulose synthase promoter, PtCelAP and terminal flower promoter.

The invention further relates to a method of altering the cellulose content in a plant by expressing the polynucleotide of the invention as described above. The method may be used to increased the ratio of cellulose to lignin in the plant that have an exogenous polynucleotide of the invention introduced therein.

The invention further relates to a method for altering expression of a cellulose synthase in a plant cell by introducing into the cell a vector comprising a polynucleotide of the invention and expressing the polynucleotide. The polynucleotides and promoters described above may be used.

A method for causing stress-induced gene expression in a plant cell is also within the scope of the invention. The method
comprises (i) introducing into the plant or a plant cell an expression cassette comprising a cellulose synthase promoter or a functional fragment thereof or providing a plant or a plant cell that comprises the expression cassette (The promoter of the cassette is operatively linked to a coding sequence of choice.); and (ii) applying mechanical stress to the plant to induce expression of the desired coding sequence.
A method for determining a positive mechanical stress responsive element (MSRE) in a cellulose synthase promoter is also within the scope of the invention and comprises (i) making serial deletions in the cellulose synthase promoter, such as for example, SEQ ID NO:3; (ii) introducing the deletion linked to a polynucleotide encoding a reporter sequence into a plant cell, and (iii) detecting a decrease in the amount of reporter in the plant after inducing a stress to the plant. Similarly, a method for determining a negative MSRE in a cellulose synthase promoter is provided. It comprises (i) making serial deletions in the cellulose synthase promoter, such as for example, SEQ ID NO:3; (ii) introducing the deletion linked to a polynucleotide encoding a reporter sequence into a plant cell, and (iii) detecting an increase in the amount of reporter in the plant after inducing a stress to the plant.
The following methods are also within the scope of the invention: a method for expressing cellulose synthase in a tissue-specific manner comprising transforming a plant with a tissue specific promoter operatively linked to a polynucleotide encoding a cellulose synthase; a method for inducing expression of a cellulose synthase in a plant comprising introducing into a plant a cDNA encoding a protein that binds to a positive MSRE of a cellulose synthase promoter, thereby resulting in increased expression of cellulose in the plant, wherein the binding to the positive MSRE results in expression of a cellulose synthase; a method for reducing expression of a cellulose synthase comprising introducing into a plant a cDNA in an antisense orientation, wherein the cDNA in a sense orientation encodes a protein that binds to a positive MSRE and results in expression of a cellulose synthase; a method for increasing cellulose biosynthesis in a plant comprising introducing into a plant a cDNA encoding a protein that binds to a positive MSRE of a cellulose synthase promoter, whereby binding of the protein to the positive MSRE results in expression of a cellulose synthase, and A method for reducing cellulose biosynthesis in a plant comprising introducing into a plant a cDNA in an antisense orientation, wherein the cDNA in a sense orientation encodes a protein that binds to a positive MSRE of a cellulose synthase promoter.

## EXAMPLE

## Molecular Cloning of Cellulose Synthase

This Example describes the first tree cellulose synthase cDNA (PtCelA, GenBank No. AF072131) cloned from developing secondary xylem of aspen trees using RSW1 cDNA.

Prior to the present invention, only partial clones of cellulose synthases from crop species and cotton GhCelA have been discovered, which have significant homology to each other. The present inventors have discovered and cloned a new full-length cellulose synthase cDNA, AraxCelA (GenBank No. AF062485) (FIG. 7, [SEQ ID NO: 4]), from an Arabidopsis primary library. AraxCelA is a new member of cellulose synthase and shows $63-85 \%$ identity and $72-90 \%$ similarity in amino acid sequence with other Arabidopsis CelA members.

Another cellulose synthase was cloned in aspen using a ${ }^{32} \mathrm{P}$-labeled $1651-$ bp long EcoRI fragment of Arabidopsis CelA cDNA, which encodes a centrally located UDP-glucose binding domain, was used as a probe to screen about 500,000 pfu of a developing xylem cDNA library from aspen (Populus tremuloides) (Ge and Chiang, 1996). Four positive clones were obtained after three rounds of plaque purification. Sequencing the 3 ' ends of these four cDNAs showed that they were identical clones. The longest cDNA clone was fully sequenced and determined to be a full-length cDNA having a 3232 bp nucleotide sequence (FIG. 1) [SEQ ID NO: 1], which encodes a protein of 978 amino acids [SEQ ID NO: 2].

## Characterization of a Cellulose Synthase from Aspen

The first AUG codon of PtCelA was in the optimum context for initiation of transcription on the basis of optimal context sequence described by Joshi (1987a) and Joshi et al. (1997). A putative polyadenylation signal (AATACA) was found 16 bp upstream of a polyadenylated tail of 28 bp , which is similar to the proposed plant structure (Joshi, 1987b). The 5 ' untranslated leader was determined to have 68 bp and the $3^{\prime}$ untranslated trailor was 227 bp . Both of these regions have a typical length observed in many plant genes (Joshi, 1987a and Joshi, 1987b). This cDNA clone exhibited $90 \%$ amino acid sequence similarity with cellulose synthase from cotton (GhCelA, ) and $71 \%$ with cellulose synthase from Arabidopsis (RSW1), suggesting that this particular tree homolog also encodes a cellulose synthase.

The full length cDNA was designated PtCelA, and encodes a 110,278 Da polypeptide having an isoelectric point (pI) of 6.58 and 8 charged molecules. The hydropathy curve indicated that this particular cellulose synthase has eight transmembrane binding domains; two at the amino terminal and six at the carboxyl terminal, using the method of Hoffman and Stoffel (1993). This protein structure is analogous to those of RSW1 and GhCelA. All of the conserved domains for UDPglucose binding, such as QVLRW and conserved D residues, are also present in a cellulose synthase of the invention, e.g., PtCelA (Brown et al., 1996). Thus, based on sequence and molecular analyses, it was concluded that PtCelA encodes a catalytic subunit which, like RSW1 in Arabidopsis, is essential for the cellulose biosynthesis machinery in aspen.

In situ localization of PtCelA mRNA transcripts along the developmental gradient defined by stem primary and secondary growth demonstrated that cellulose synthase expression is confined exclusively to developing xylem cells undergoing secondary wall thickening. This cell-type-specific nature of PtCelA gene expression was also consistent with xylemspecific activity of cellulose synthase promoter (PtCelAP) based on heterologous promoter-B-glucuronidase (GUS) fusion analysis. Overall, the results provide several lines of evidence that cellulose synthase is the gene primarily responsible for cellulose biosynthesis during secondary wall formation in woody xylem of trees, such as aspen. Previous results by the inventors (Hu et al., 1999) showed that cellulose and lignin are deposited in a compensatory fashion in wood. The discovery of a cellulose synthase in trees, such as aspen, permits the up-regulation of the protein to elevate cellulose production. Surprisingly, expression of CelA in trees suppressed lignin biosynthesis to further improve wood properties of trees.

## Preparation of Transgenic Plants

The UDP-glucose binding sequence was subcloned into pBI121, which was used to prepare transgenic tobacco plants
(Hu et al., 1998). The expression of a heterologous UDPglucose binding sequence resulted in a remarkable growthaccelerating effect. This was surprising because current knowledge of the function of plant cellulose synthases teaches that a UDP-glucose sequence must remain intact with other functional domains in CelA, e.g., the transmembrane domains, in order for cellulose synthase to initiate cellulose biosynthesis. The remarkable growth and tremendous increase in plant biomass observed in transgenic tobacco was due likely to an augmented deposition of cellulose, indicating that the UDP-glucose domain alone is sufficient for genetic augmentation of cellulose biosynthesis in plants.

## Genome Organization and Expression of a Novel Cellulose Synthase

To confirm that the cDNA clone of FIG. 1 [SEQ ID No: 1] was a cellulose synthase, genomic Southern blot analysis was performed under both high and low stringency conditions using the cDNA. Genomic DNA ( $25 \mu$ g per lane) from aspen was digested with PstI (lane P), HindIII (lane H) and EcoRI (lane E), and probed using a $1 \mathrm{~kb}^{32} \mathrm{P}$-labeled fragment from the $5^{\prime}$ end of a cellulose synthase of FIG. 1. The Southern blot suggested the presence of a small family of cellulose synthase genes in aspen genome (FIG. 2, panels a and b). Repeated screening of the aspen xylem cDNA library with various plant CelA gene-related probes always resulted in the isolation of the same cellulose synthase cDNA clone. This suggested that the cellulose synthase cDNA cloned (FIG. 1) [SEQ ID NO: 1], represents the primary and most abundant cellulose syn-thase-encoding gene in developing xylem of trees, such as aspen, where active cellulose deposition takes place. It also indicates that manipulation of cellulose synthase gene expression can have a profound influence on cellulose biosynthesis in trees.

## In Situ Hybridization

Northern blot analysis of total RNA ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ per lane) from the $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ internodes (lane 1), $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ internodes (lane 2), $5^{\text {th }}$ and $6^{\text {th }}$ internodes (lane 3 ), and $9^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ internodes (lane 4) of aspen seedling stems (FIG. 2, panel c) using the labeled probe (as described above) revealed the near absence of cellulose synthase transcripts in tissues undergoing primary growth (internodes 1 to 4), and that the presence of cellulose synthase transcripts occurs during the secondary growth of stem tissues (internodes 5 to 11). However, weak northern signals in primary growth may only suggest that cellulose synthase gene expression is specific to xylem, of which there is little in primary growth tissue.

Xylogenesis in higher plants offers a unique model that involves sequential execution of cambium cell division, commitment to xylem cell differentiation, and culmination in xylem cell death (Fukuda, 1996). Although primary and secondary xylem cells originate from different types of cambia, namely procambium and inter/intrafascicular cambium, both exhibit conspicuous secondary wall development with massive cellulose and lignin deposition (Easu, K., 1960, Anatomy of Seed Plants, New York: John Wiley and Sons). To further investigate spatial and temporal cellulose synthase gene expression patterns at the cellular level, in situ hybridization was used to localize cellulose synthase mRNA along the developmental gradient defined by stem primary and secondary growth.
Localization of cellulose synthase gene transcripts (RNA) in stem at various growth stages was also observed. FIG. 3 shows transverse sections from $2^{\text {nd }}$ (panel a), $4^{\text {th }}$ (panel b),
and $6^{\text {th }}$ (panel c) internodes hybridized with digoxygenin (DIG)-labeled cellulose synthase antisense or sense (control, from the $5^{\text {th }}$ internode, panel d) RNA probes, as described. Positive RNA-RNA hybridization signals were stained. In FIG. 3, arrows indicate the cellular localization of PtCelA transcripts. No hybrid signal was detectable in the control section.

PtCelA transcripts were detected in young aspen stem sections by in situ hybridization with transcripts of highly variable 5' region of PtCelA cDNA (a 771 bp long fragment generated from PstI and SacI). This region was first subcloned in the plasmid vector, pGEM, -3Zf ( + ) (Promega) for the production of digoxygenin (DIG)-labeled transcripts using T7 (for antisense transcripts) and SP6 (for sense transcripts) RNA polymerase (DIG system: Boehringer Mannheim). Probes were subjected to mild alkaline hydrolysis by incubation in $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{pH} 10.2$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., which produced approximately 200 bp fragments.

Aspen young stems were prepared for sectioning by fixation in $4 \%$ (w/v) paraformaldehyde in 100 mM phosphate buffer ( pH 7.0 ) at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. overnight, dehydrated through an ethanol series on ice, and embedded in Paraplast medium (Sigma). Ten $\mu \mathrm{m}$ sections (bars $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in FIG. 3) were mounted on Superfrost/plus (Fisher) slides at $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. overnight, dewaxed and then rehydrated through a descending ethanol series. The sections were incubated with proteinase K ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ in 100 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, 50 \mathrm{mMEDTA}, \mathrm{pH} 7.5$ ) for 30 min and were post-fixed with FAA. The sections were acetylated with $0.33 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ acetic anhydride in 0.1 M triethanola-mine-HC1 ( pH 8.0 ) prior to hybridization. The sections were then incubated in a hybridization mixture (approximately 2 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ml}$ DIG-labeled probes, $50 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ formamide, $2 \times$ SSPE, $10 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ dextran sulfate, $125 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ tRNA, pH 7.5 ) at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. for 12-16 hrs. Nonhybridized single-stranded RNA probe was removed by treatment with $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ RNase A in TE buffer with 500 mM NaCl . The sections were washed at $50^{\circ}$ C. Hybridized DIG-labelled probe was detected on sections using anti-digoxygenin antiserum at a 1:1500 dilution, as described in the manufacturer's instruction (DIG system: Boehringer Mannheim). Sections were examined by Eclipse 400 light microscope (Nikon) and photographed.

During the primary growth stage (FIG. 3, panels a and b), strong expression of cellulose synthase was found localized exclusively to primary xylem (PX) cells. At this stage, young internodes are elongating, resulting in thickening of primary xylem cells through formation of secondary walls (Easu, K., 1960, Anatomy of Seed Plants, New York: John Wiley and Sons). The concurrence of shoot elongation with high expression of cellulose synthase strongly suggests the association of cellulose synthase protein with secondary cell wall cellulose synthesis. Later stages of primary growth (FIG. 3, panel b) are characterized by the appearance of an orderly alignment of primary xylem cells. Active cellulose biosynthesis accompanies cell elongation-induced wall thickening, as indicated by the strong expression of cellulose synthase in these primary xylem cells.

At the beginning of secondary growth in older internodes, it was observed that expression of cellulose synthase is also exclusively localized to xylem cells (FIG. 3, panel c). Instead of elongation in internodes distal to the meristematic activity, growth at this stage is mainly radial due to thickening in secondary cell walls of secondary xylem. At the same time, expression of PtCelA gene becomes localized to the secondary developing xylem cells (SX in FIG. 3, panel c), which is again consistent with the idea that PtCelA encodes a secondary cell wall cellulose synthase. At this stage, secondary xylem cells cover the elongated and differentiated primary
xylem cells in which PtCelA gene expression is no longer detectable (FIG. 3, panel c). These results demonstrate that expression of PtCelA gene is xylem-specific and the cellulose synthase of FIG. 1 [SEQ ID NO: 1] encodes a cellulose synthase associated with cellulose biosynthesis in secondary walls of xylem cells. To further confirm xylem-specific expression of cellulose synthase, a cellulose synthase gene promoter sequence was cloned and characterized for regulatory activities.

## Characterization of Expression Regulated by Cellulose Synthase Promoter

A $5^{\prime} 1,200 \mathrm{bp}$ cDNA fragment of a cellulose synthase of FIG. 1 [SEQ ID NO: 1] was used as a probe to screen an aspen genomic library for 5' regulatory sequences of a novel cellulose synthase gene, PtCelA. The library was constructed by cloning aspen genomic DNA fragments, generated from an Sau3AI partial-digest and sucrose gradient-selected, into the BamHI site of a Lambda DASH II vector (Stratagene, La Jolla, Calif.). Five positive clones were obtained from about $150,000 \mathrm{pfu}$ and Lambda DNA was purified. One clone having about a 20 kb DNA insert size was selected for restriction mapping and partial sequencing. This resulted in the identification of a $5^{\prime}$ flanking region of PtCelA gene of approximately 1 kb . This genomic fragment, designated PtCelAP (FIG. 4) [SEQ ID NO: 3], contained about 800 bp of promoter sequence, 68 bp of $5^{\prime}$ end untranslated region and 160 bp of coding sequence. To investigate regulation of tissue-specific cellulose synthase expression at the cellular level, promoter activity was analyzed in transgenic tobacco plants by histochemical staining of a GUS protein. A PtCelAP-GUS fusion binary vector was constructed in pBI 121 with the 35 S promoter replaced with PtCelAP [SEQ ID NO: 3] and introduced into tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) as per Hu et al. (1998).

Eleven independent transgenic lines harboring a CelAPGUS fusion were generated. FIG. 5 shows a histochemical analysis of GUS expression driven by a cellulose synthase promoter of the invention in transgenic tobacco plants. Transverse sections from the $3^{\text {rd }}$ (panel a), $5^{\text {th }}$ (panel b), $7^{\text {th }}$ (panel c), and $8^{\text {th }}$ (panels d and f ) internodes were stained from GUS activity, and fluorescence microscopy (panel e, $8^{\text {th }}$ internode from panel d) was used to visualize expression under UV radiation (bar $=100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$, e; bar $=1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ in f ).

GUS staining was detected exclusively in xylem tissue of stems, roots and petioles. In stems, strong GUS activity was found localized to xylem cells undergoing primary (FIG. 5, panel a) and secondary growth (FIG. 5 panels b-d and f). GUS expression was confined to xylem cells in the primary growth stage and became more localized in developing secondary xylem cells during secondary growth. An entire section from the 8th internode stained for GUS activity (FIG. 5, panel f). These results are consistent with the in vivo expression patterns of cellulose synthase in aspen stems. Lignin autofluorescence was visualized after UV radiation. Phloem fibers, which are also active in cellulose and lignin biosynthesis (FIG. 5, panels d and e), did not show GUS activity, suggesting that cellulose synthase gene expression is not associated with cellulose biosynthesis in cell types other than xylem. Examination of GUS activity in roots, stems, leaves, anthers and fruit also showed GUS expression in xylem tissue of all these organs suggesting that cellulose synthases of the invention are xylem-specific cellulose and expressed in all plant organs.

Characterization of promoter activity and cellular expression of a cellulose synthase of the invention from one particu-
lar source (aspen) indicated that expression produces a protein that encodes a secondary cell wall-specific cellulose synthase and is specifically compartmentalized in developing xylem cells. Characterization of the cellulose synthase gene promoter sequence not only confirms cell type-specific expression of cellulose synthase, but also provides a method for over-expressing cellulose synthase in a tissue-specific manner to augment cellulose production in xylem.

## Expression of Cellulose Synthase Under Tension Stress

As described earlier, a cellulose synthase promoter of the invention is involved in a novel gene regulatory phenomenon of cellulose synthase. To further characterize a cellulose synthase of the invention, GUS expression driven by an aspen cellulose synthase promoter (PtCelAP) was observed in transgenic tobacco plants without or under tension stress. The stress was induced by bending and affixing the plants to maintain the bent position (e.g., tying) over a 40 hour period. Tangential and longitudinal sections were taken before bending, and $4 \mathrm{hrs}, 20 \mathrm{hrs}$ and 40 hours after bending (panels a-d in FIG. 6, respectively) and stained for GUS expression. Arrows in FIG. 6 indicate the bend sites.

The cellulose synthase promoter-GUS fusion binary constructs showed exclusive xylem-specific expression of GUS without any tension stress (FIG. 6, panel a). However, under tension stress conditions endured by angiosperms in nature, the transgenic tobacco plants induced xylem and phloemspecific expression on the upper side of the stem within the first four hours of stress (FIG. 6, panel b).

This observation was surprising because during tension wood development fibers produce highly crystalline cellulose in order to provide essential mechanical strength to a bending stem. The present observation was the first showing of transcriptional up-regulation of a cellulose synthase, mediated through a cellulose synthase promoter that is directly responsible for development of highly crystalline cellulose in trees. Furthermore, after 20 hrs of tension stress, both xylem and phloem exhibited GUS expression, but only on the upper side of the stem that was under tensile stress, i.e., GUS expression on the lower side was inhibited (FIG. 6, panel c). With extended stress (up to 40 hrs ), GUS expression was restricted to only one small region on the upper side of the stem where maximum tension stress was present (FIG. 6, panel d). Based on the observation of GUS signal in woody cells upon tension stress and the absence of GUS under compression or no stress, it was concluded that a cellulose synthase promoter of the invention has mechanical stress responsive elements (MSREs) that turn cellulose synthase genes on and off depending on the presence and type of stress to the stem.

The results indicate that positive MSREs exist in a cellulose synthase promoter of the invention to bind transcription factors in response to tension stress for regulating the expression of cellulose synthase and increasing biosynthesis of higher crystalline cellulose. This is evident based on the expression of GUS in xylem and phloem tissue at the upper side of the stem subjected to tension stress, but not when tissue on the lower side was subjected to compression or no stress. Furthermore, the tissue at the lower side of the stem, which was subjected to compression stress, showed no GUS expression, i.e., expression was turned off. This indicated the presence of negative MSREs, which bind transcription factors to turn off expression of cellulose synthase at the lower side of the stem. Negative MSREs likely suppress development of highly crystalline cellulose in normal wood.

These results provide a mechanism for genetically engineering synthesis of highly crystalline cellulose in juvenile wood for enhancing strength properties, and for synthesizing a higher percentage of cellulose in reaction wood. The positive MSREs and their cognate transcription factors are important in the synthesis of highly crystalline cellulose of high tensile strength, as are the negative MSREs and inhibition of cognate transcription factors thereto. The present invention thus provides a starting point for cloning cDNAs for the transcription factors that bind to positive and negative MSREs according to methods known in the art. Constitutive expression of cDNAs for positive MSRE transcription factors allows the continuous production of highly crystalline cellulose in transgenic trees, while expression of antisense cDNAs for negative MSRE transcription factors inhibits those transcription factors so that cellulose synthase cannot turn off. This combination will assure continuous production of highly crystalline cellulose in trees.

## Genetic Engineering of Cellulose Synthase in Transgenic Plants

As discussed above, the nucleotide sequence of a cellulose synthase of the invention, e.g., PtCelA cDNA from aspen, shows significant homology with other polynucleotides encoding cellulose synthase proteins that have been suggested as authentic cellulose synthase clones. To further characterize the activity of a cellulose synthase, four constructs were prepared in a PBI121 plasmid.

1) A constitutive plant promoter Cauliflower mosaic Virus 35S was operatively linked to PtCelA (35SP-PtCelA-s) and overexpressed in transgenic plants. This causes excess production of cellulose, resulting in a reduction in lignin content. Tobacco and aspen have been transformed with this construct.
2) Cauliflower mosaic Virus 35 S was operatively linked to antisense RNA from PtCelA (35S-PtCelA-a) and constitutively expressed to reduce production of cellulose and increase lignin content in transgenic plants. This negative control construct may not result in healthy plants since cellulose is essential for plant growth and development. Aspen plants have been transformed with this construct.
3) Aspen 4CL-1 promoter (Hu et al., 1998) was operatively linked to PtCelA (Pt4CLP-PtCelA) (the 35S promoter of PBI121 was removed in this construct) and expressed in a tissue-specific manner in developing secondary xylem of transgenic aspen. This expression augments the native cellulose production and reduces lignin content of angiosperm tissues. Tobacco and aspen have transformed with this construct.
4) The cytoplasmic domain of PtCelA which contains three conserved regions thought to be involved in UDP-glucose binding during cellulose biosynthesis, was linked to a 35 S promoter to produce binary constructs ( $35 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{PtCelA}$ UDPglucose). Expression by this promoter permits constitutive expression of a UDP glucose binding domain of PtCelA in transgenic plants. Tobacco and aspen have been transformed with this construct.

35S-GUS constructs (pBI121, ClonTech, CA) were used as controls for each experiment with the constructs. Transgenic tobacco plants were transformed with the constructs. The following table shows the general growth measurements of the T0 tobacco plants. Plants carrying a PtCelA construct grew much faster than control plants carrying a pBI121 (control) construct. In comparing developmental 4CL and constitutive 35 S promoter control of PtCelA expression, the 35 S was more effective, permitting faster growth of transgenic
tobacco plants. The fastest growth was seen in transgenic plants carrying a 35 S promoter driven UDP-G domain from PtCelA.

It is noted that TO generation plants can have carry over effects from their tissue culture treatments. Therefore, seeds were collected for testing this growth phenomenon in T1 generations. The transgenic tobacco plants were analyzed for presence of the transferred genes and all tested positive for the respective gene constructs.

TABLE 1

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Transgenic tobacco plant measurements } \\ \text { after transfer in soil for about } 1.5 \\ \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | months ( $\mathrm{N}=2$ ) |  |  |$)$

Note: All values were measured in centimeters, excluding number of leaves.
It will be appreciated by persons of ordinary skill in the art that the examples and preferred embodiments herein are illustrative, and that the invention may be practiced in a variety of embodiments which share the same inventive concept.

## Deletion Analysis and Activity of PtrCesA1 Promoter

Sub-regions within the promoter region of PtrCesA 1 corresponding to nucleotides at positions 1 to 840 of SEQ ID NO: 3 were generated and evaluated for promoter activity. The sequence of the region showing the positions of the primers used in the deletion analysis is set forth in FIG. 9. For each deletion, a forward primer was designed at intervals of approximately 100 bp (shown by forward arrows in FIG. 9) and a HindIII site was added at the 5 ' end of each forward primer. A reverse primer was designed based on the sequence near the $3^{\prime}$ end of the available promoter sequence (shown by reverse arrow in FIG. 9) and included an XbaI site at the $3^{\prime}$ end. The sequence of each forward primer and the reverse primer along the PtrCesA1 promoter region (bases 1 to 840 of SEQ ID NO:3) is shown as underlined in FIG. 9.

Using these sets of primer pairs, a total of eight deletion fragments were made in separate PCR reactions. Each of the eight PCR products was subcloned into a pCR2.1 vector. After digesting with HindIII and XbaI restriction enzymes, promoter deletion fragments were ligated to pBI 121 that had been digested with the same pair of enzymes. Restriction digestion of pBI121 with HindIII and XbaI removed the 35 S promoter leaving the GUS and NOS terminators intact. Thus, the resulting constructs had eight different PtrCesA1 promoter fragments driving the expression of the GUS coding sequence. PCR reactions using the same primer pairs were performed to verify that the size of the inserts of each of these eight constructs was correct. Further verification was performed by digestion of the constructs with HindIII and XbaI. DNA sequencing of each of the eight amplified PCR products was also performed, and confirmed that the sequences were each correct. The deletion fragments were designated $18-838$, $120-838,258-838,334-838,423-838,523-838,620-838$ and 717-838, based on the position from the start of the nucleotides recited in SEQ ID NO:3.

The 18-838 fragment comprised 821 base pairs corresponding from position 18 to position 838 of SEQ ID NO. 3 . The 120-838 fragment comprised 719 base pairs correspond-
ing from position 120 to position 838 of SEQ ID NO. 3. The 258-838 fragment comprised 581 base pairs corresponding from position 258 to position 838 of SEQ ID NO. 3. The 334-838 fragment comprised 505 base pairs corresponding from position 334 to position 838 of SEQ ID NO. 3. The 423-838 fragment comprised 416 bases from position 423 to position 838 of SEQ ID NO. 3. The 523-838 fragment comprised 316 base pairs corresponding from position 523 to position 838 of SEQ ID NO. 3. The 620-838 fragment comprised 219 base pairs corresponding from position 620 to position 838 of SEQ ID NO. 3. The 717-838 fragment comprised 122 base pairs corresponding from position 717 to position 838 of SEQ ID NO. 3.

Each of the eight constructs was mobilized into Agrobacterium, and the presence of the correct construct in Agrobacterium was confirmed by PCR amplification of DNA from the transformed Agrobacterium. The eight expression vectors were subsequently transformed into tobacco, and at least two independent lines per construct were transferred to the greenhouse. Genomic PCR testing of these plants showed the expected promoter fragments integrated into the genome. Our previous studies have indicated that tobacco transformants exhibit transgene expression patterns similar to aspen (Wu et al., 2000).
GUS staining of transgenic tobacco stems from each of these eight types of transgenic plants was performed as described earlier (Wu et al., 2000). The results of these experiments are shown in Table 2.

TABLE 2

| Fragment of SEQ ID NO:3 | Intensity of GUS Staining |
| :---: | :---: |
| $18-838$ | Strong |
| $120-838$ | Strong |
| $258-838$ | Strong |
| $334-838$ | Strong |
| $423-838$ | Some |
| $523-838$ | Very weak |
| $620-838$ | None |
| $717-838$ | None |

GUS staining was unaffected in PtrCesA1 promoter fragments comprising nucleotides 18-838, 120-838, 258-838 and 334-838 of SEQ ID NO:3, but in promoter fragments comprising nucleotides 423-838 of SEQ ID NO:3 staining was reduced. Staining was further reduced in the promoter fragment comprising nucleotides 523-838 of SEQ ID NO:3 with very weak staining noted. No GUS staining was noted in promoter fragments comprising nucleotides 620-838 and $717-838$ of SEQ ID NO:3. Thus, sequences comprising nucleotides corresponding to positions 334 to 422 , 423 to 522,334 to 522,334 to 838 and 423 to 838 of SEQ ID NO. 3 each show some promoter activity. The sequences showing promoter activity may be useful in driving the expression of any coding sequence to which they are operably linked.

Sequences comprising nucleotides from 334 to 422 and 423 to 522 of SEQ ID NO: 3 may therefore drive transcription of polynucleotides in a plant xylem, including the xylemspecific expression of PtrCesA1. Sequences comprising nucleotides from 334 to 422 and 423 to 522 of SEQ ID NO: 3 may be responsible for tension-stress responsive expression of PtrCesA1 coding sequence.
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$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 2
$<211>$ LENGTH: 978
$<212>$ TYPE : PRT
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Populus tremuloides
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 2

- continued

-continued

Leu Leu Ala ser Val Phe Ser Leu Val Trp Val Lys Ile Asn Pro Phe
945
950

Asp Cys
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 3
$<211>$ LENGTH: 1010
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Populus tremuloides
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<221>$ NAME/KEY: CDS
$<222>$ LOCATION: $(841) \ldots(1008)$
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<221>$ NAME/KEY: misc_feature
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: $5^{\prime}$ flanking region of PtCelA coding sequence
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 3
gaattcgccc ttttgaattc aggagacgat agtttccggt tcgttgaatg gctttgttca 60
cttctggtct agcaatttgc aaaagaagtt acaaaacaaa tgcatattat gtaaatttaa 120
caagagatgg gttctatggt cacttatta tgcccatcat ttgttctggg gttactcttt 180
atagtctgat tcgaagttgc aaactgcegt ttctggtatt gcaattatgt agccataaac 240
tgttaatcct gtagctatta geggaccaac aaccagatat acgggatcag egtcgtaaaa $\quad 300$
gagatctcca ttctacgttt cttctaatt tttccgttce agtgagagaa ttaccetgat 360
acattgacat gatgattgat gattatggga accattccga tgttagacac gagaccatct 420
ggatcctgcc agttttcagt tcacatggca tctcagccca agatcatgtg tttatacgcc 480
taatgacttg tattgaaagt ttggtaagtt gaagatgtgc tctgcccaac agaaaccttc 540
cttaaatttc cagcaaatct thcaaacttg gccttacacc ccgaaaatag acgtgcttct 600
acttgggttc ttggaaacca tgcaccaacc gccatacccc accaacccac caccotcaac 660
cttctcttcg ccattacaaa aatgtcagta ccaccctctg aaagacacca acacacccta 720
gctttggtta gggtatttga tataaaaaca aggccaaaac aaaagattgg aaggaagcag 780
aggaagaccc tcttgaaaga attgaagttg taagagctg gtaaagtggt aataagcaag 840
atg atg gaa tet $g g g$ gct cet ata tgc cat acc tgt ggt gaa cag gtg 888
Met Met Glu ser Gly Ala Pro Ile Cys His Thr Cys Gly Glu Gln Val
ggg cat gat gca aat ggg gag cta ttt gtg gct tgc cat gag tgt agc
tat ccc atg tgc aag tet tgt ttc gag ttt gaa atc aaa gag ggc cgg 984$\begin{array}{rr}\text { Tyr Pro Met Cys Lys Ser Cys Phe Glu Phe Glu Ile Lys Glu Gly Arg } \\ 35 & 40\end{array}$

| aaa gtt tgc ttg cgg tgt ggc tcg ag | 1010 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lys Val Cys Leu Arg Cys Gly Ser |  | Lys Val Cys Leu Arg Cys Gly Ser

5055
$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 4
$<211>$ LENGTH: 3444
$<212>$ TYPE: DNA
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Arabidopsis thaliana
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 4
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$<210>$ SEQ ID NO 7
$<211>$ LENGTH: 5
$<212>$ TYPE : PRT
$<213>$ ORGANISM: Unknown
$<220>$ FEATURE:
$<223>$ OTHER INFORMATION: concensus sequence
$<400>$ SEQUENCE: 7
Gln Val Leu Arg Trp
1

What is claimed is:

1. A method of expressing a polypeptide in a plant cell comprising transforming a plant cell with a recombinant construct comprising a sub-fragment of SEQ ID NO:3 having at least 40 consecutive nucleotides from positions 334 to 522 of SEQ ID NO:3 operably linked to a coding sequence, wherein expression of the coding sequence results in expression of the polypeptide.
2. The method of claim $\mathbf{1}$, wherein the polypeptide comprises a cellulose synthase or a UDP-glucose binding domain.
3. The method of claim $\mathbf{1}$, wherein the sub-fragment comprises nucleotides from positions 334 to 522 of SEQ ID NO:3.
4. The method of claim 1, wherein the sub-fragment comprises nucleotides from positions 334 to 422 of SEQ ID NO:3.
5. The method of claim 1, wherein the sub-fragment comprises nucleotides from positions 423 to 522 of SEQ ID NO:3.
6. A transgenic plant cell produced by the method of claim 1.
7. The plant cell of claim 6 , wherein the plant cell is a tree cell.
8. A method of inducing expression of a coding sequence in a plant cell comprising the coding sequence operably linked to a sub-fragment of SEQ ID NO:3 having at least 40 consecutive nucleotides from positions 334 to 522 of SEQ ID $\mathrm{NO}: 3$, comprising exposing the cell to mechanical stress thereby inducing expression of the coding sequence.
9. The method of claim 8 , wherein the coding sequence encodes a cellulose synthase, and wherein expression of the coding sequence alters a characteristic of a plant selected from growth, cellulose content, lignin content, juvenile wood strength, fiber strength, or a combination thereof, compared to a control plant.
10. A plant produced by the method of claim 8.
11. The plant of claim $\mathbf{1 0}$, wherein the plant is a tree.
12. The method of claim $\mathbf{1}$, wherein the plant cell is a tree cell.
13. The method of claim 1 , wherein the coding sequence encodes a cellulose synthase, and wherein expression of the coding sequence alters a characteristic of a plant selected from growth, cellulose content, lignin content, juvenile wood strength, fiber strength, or a combination thereof, compared to a control plant.
14. The method of claim 8 , wherein the coding sequence encodes a cellulose synthase or a UDP-glucose binding domain.
15. The method of claim 8 , wherein the sub-fragment comprises nucleotides from positions 334 to 522 of SEQ ID NO:3.
16. The method of claim 8 , wherein the sub-fragment comprises nucleotides from positions 334 to 422 of SEQ ID NO:3.
17. The method of claim 8 , wherein the sub-fragment comprises nucleotides from positions 423 to 522 of SEQ ID NO:3.
