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#### Abstract

Bluetooth wireless technology is a robust short-range communications system designed for low power ( 10 meter range) and low cost. It operates in the 2.4 GHz Industrial Scientific Medical (ISM) band and it employs two techniques for minimizing interference: a frequency hopping scheme which nominally splits the $2.400-2.485 \mathrm{GHz}$ band in 79 frequency channels and a time division duplex (TDD) scheme which is used to switch to a new frequency channel on $625 \mu$ s boundaries. During normal operation a Bluetooth device will be active on a different frequency channel every $625 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, thus minimizing the chances of continuous interference impacting the performance of the system.

The smallest unit of a Bluetooth network is called a piconet, and can have a maximum of eight nodes. Bluetooth devices must assume one of two roles within a piconet, master or slave, where the master governs quality of service and the frequency hopping schedule within the piconet and the slave follows the master's schedule. A piconet must have a single master and up to 7 active slaves. By allowing devices to have roles in multiple piconets through time multiplexing, i.e. slave/slave or master/slave, the Bluetooth technology allows for interconnecting multiple piconets into larger networks called scatternets.

The Bluetooth technology is explored in the context of enabling ad-hoc networks. The Bluetooth specification provides flexibility in the scatternet formation protocol, outlining only the mechanisms necessary for future protocol implementations. A new protocol for scatternet formation and maintenance - mscat - is presented and its performance is evaluated using a Bluetooth simulator. The free variables manipulated in this study include device activity and the probabilities of devices performing discovery procedures. The relationship between the role a device has in the scatternet and it's probability of performing discovery was examined and related to the scatternet topology formed. The results show that mscat creates dense network topologies for networks of 30, 50 and 70 nodes. The mscat protocol results in approximately a $33 \%$ increase in slaves/piconet and a reduction of approximately $12.5 \%$ of average roles/node. For 50 node scenarios the set of parameters which creates the best determined outcome is unconnected node inquiry probability (UP) $=10 \%$, master node inquiry probability (MP) = $80 \%$ and slave inquiry probability (SP) = $40 \%$. The mscat protocol extends the Bluetooth specification for formation and maintenance of scatternets in an ad-hoc network.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

As wireless networks are gaining a stronghold in the communications market there is a new classification of wireless networks being investigated called ad-hoc networks. The Webster definition for the latin word adhoc reads "for the particular end or case at hand without consideration of wider application" which describes the foundational idea of an ad-hoc network. The network is created at a particular instance in time $t=x$, and does not exist at any point in time $t$, for $\mathrm{t}<\mathrm{x}$. The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) defines a wireless ad-hoc network as a collection of autonomous nodes that communicate with each other by forming a multi-hop radio network and maintaining connectivity in a decentralized manner. Since the nodes communicate over wireless links, they have to contend with the effects of radio communication, such as noise, fading, and interference. In addition, the links typically have less bandwidth than in a wired network. Each node in a wireless ad hoc network functions as both a host and a router, and the control of the network is distributed among the nodes. The network topology is in general dynamic, because the connectivity among the nodes may vary with time due to node departures, new node arrivals, and the possibility of having mobile nodes [Nist04].

The main reason for investigating ad-hoc networks is the fact that they require no networking infrastructure, i.e. routers, access points etc., thus the financial and technical overhead for creating such a network is minimal or non existent. Ad-hoc networks are particularly interesting for scenarios where one does not want or cannot deploy and manage an infrastructure, in example:

- spontaneous meetings (at business/school campuses, airports)
- mobile sensor networks
- In-car convenience network
- Highway information networks
- battle field information networks

There are multiple specifications being used for digital wireless communications today. Two widely discussed in the context of mobile device networking are the IEEE 802.11 standard ( WiFi ) and Bluetooth also known as IEEE 802.15. These protocols are not in direct competition, in fact some modern laptops include devices supporting both technologies. The manufacturers of 802.11 chipsets predict a rise in 802.11 users from 16 million in 2001 to 60 million in 2006 in part because 802.11 is targeted at enabling wireless local area networking (WLAN) [Meritt02]. Bluetooth requires lower power consumption than 802.11 but it provides limited communication range of 10 meters. It is targeted at Personal Area Networks (PANs) which operate in the design space of interconnecting personal mobile devices. Even while targeted at different applications, they have a significant overlap. The potential exists for Bluetooth to see the same growth patterns 802.11 is currently exhibiting for a different segment of the wireless technology market, i.e. printers, wired appliances, and low-cost, low-power devices. If this prediction holds true the future of ad-hoc networks that use Bluetooth as underlying technology is bright.

Formation of an ad-hoc network poses great engineering challenges regardless of the underlying technology. Furthermore, the study of ad-hoc network performance differs from the analysis of classical/wireless network topologies in the sense that there are many additional parameters that govern the performance of ad-hoc networks. The most important distinction is that in an ad-hoc network the formation of the network itself is one of the critical optimization parameters. This is not an issue in wired or more long-term/ stable wireless networks. Along the same line of reasoning, the network's self-healing capability is an important parameter that requires individual attention due to the dynamic nature of particular applications of ad-hoc networks which explicitly require this capability.

The desirable performance characteristics of an ad-hoc network are somewhat dependent on the application, thus a mobile sensor ad-hoc network might strive to
integrate a new node as fast as possible while an ad-hoc network created at a meeting might strive for the maximum bandwidth utilization. Authentication, formation, maintenance and routing characteristics of an ad-hoc network can be changed to suit the needs of each of these applications.

The challenges in the field of ad-hoc networking can be categorized as: forming and maintaining the network, routing through the network, and ensuring quality of service on the network. The work presented in this document deals with the challenge of forming and maintaining the Bluetooth enabled ad-hoc network. In the rest of the document the words scatternet and network are used interchangeably.

## Chapter 2 <br> The Bluetooth Technology

Bluetooth wireless technology is a short-range communications system with the following features: robustness, low power, and low cost. Many features of the core specification are optional, allowing product differentiation. The Bluetooth core system consists of an RF transceiver, baseband, and protocol stack as shown in Figure 2.1. The system offers services that enable the connection of devices and the exchange of a variety of classes of data between these devices [BTSpec03]. This chapter will introduce the key


Figure 2.1: Overview of the Bluetooth protocol [BTSpec03] The Bluetooth controller is usually implemented as a separate piece of hardware from the host computer.
components of each of the four layers depicted in Figure 2.1 and explain their role in the overall operation of the system.

The Bluetooth RF (physical layer) contains the radio-frequency (RF) hardware which does the actual radio transmissions of the data packets. The RF operation uses a shaped, binary FM modulation to minimize transceiver complexity. The symbol rate is 1 Megasymbol per second ( $\mathrm{Ms} / \mathrm{s}$ ) supporting the bit rate of 1 Megabit per second ( $\mathrm{Mb} / \mathrm{s}$ ) [BTSpec03]. In order to minimize the interference in the 2.4 GHz range the Bluetooth system employs two techniques, a frequency hopping scheme which nominally splits the 2.400-2.485 GHz band in 79 frequency channels, see Section 2.2 - Frequency Hopping Kernel, and a time division duplex (TDD) scheme which is used to switch to a new frequency channel every $625 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ or a multiple of $625 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. During normal operation a Bluetooth device will transmit or listen for a radio transmission every $625 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ on a different frequency channel thus minimizing the chances of continuous interference impacting the performance of the system.

The baseband layer in Figure 2.1 contains the link controller and the baseband resource manager. The detailed operation of the baseband resource manager is not fundamental for the work presented here so there is little discussion on it. For further information the reader is referred to the "Baseband Specification" section in [BTSpec03].

The link controller governs how a piconet (see Section 2.1 for the definition of a piconet) is established and how devices can be added to and released from the piconet, the smallest network of devices. Several states of operation of the devices are defined to support these functions. In addition, the link controller defines states that enable the operation of several piconets with one or more common members, i.e. the scatternet [BTSpec03].

The link manager, which is part of the link manager layer, is the hardware device which provides the mechanism for interpreting the messages passed via the Link Manager Protocol or LMP. The Link Manager Protocol (LMP) is used to control and negotiate all aspects of the operation of the Bluetooth connection between two devices. This includes
the set-up and control of logical transports and logical links, and for control of physical links. The Link Manager Protocol is used to communicate control packets between the Link Managers (LM) on the two devices which are connected to each other. All LMP messages apply solely to the physical link and logical transports between the sending and receiving devices.

Figure 2.1 shows the Host Controller Interface (HCI) above the link manager layer. The dotted line encapsulating the HCI indicates that the layers located above the HCI , i.e. the L2CAP layer, are implemented on the host computer, most likely in software.

The packets exchanged between two Bluetooth devices can be broadly categorized as packets sent over an ACL transport link or over a SCO/eSCO transport link. The asynchronous connection-oriented (ACL) transport link is used to carry:

- control signalling
- best effort asynchronous user data

The ACL logical transport uses a simple 1-bit ARQN/SEQN scheme to provide simple channel reliability [BTSpec03].

The synchronous connection-oriented (SCO) transport channel is used for transmitting packets on point-to-point SCO link unique to the two devices doing the communication. The SCO logical transport, which carries the SCO packets, can be considered to be a circuit-switched connection between the two devices. These links can carry $64 \mathrm{~Kb} /$ s of information and typically this information is an encoded voice stream. Three different SCO configurations exist, offering a balance between robustness, delay and bandwidth consumption [BTSpec03]. The work presented in this document considers only ACL communication thus the SCO packets and the SCO logical transport layers that enable the voice streams are not discussed in great detail.

### 2.1 Bluetooth Piconet

The Bluetooth technology employs the piconet as a means of inter-device communication. The piconet, being defined as two or more Bluetooth units sharing the
same radio channel [BTSpec03], is limited in the number of devices that can actively participate in communication. In a piconet the master can have up to 7 active slaves and up to 256 parked slaves. An active slave is a device within a piconet that has one ACL logical transport to the piconet master, known as the default ACL. The default ACL is created between the master and the slave when a device joins a piconet (connects to the basic piconet physical channel). This default ACL is assigned a logical transport address (LT_ADDR) by the piconet master. This LT_ADDR is also used to identify the active physical link when required or as a piconet active member identifier, effectively for the same purpose [BTSpec03].

A Bluetooth device has two defining characteristics which are crucial to piconet creation and data transfer. These are the unique 48-bit Bluetooth address which is assigned by the manufacturer and the free running 28-bit clock of the device whose least significant bit (LSB) ticks in units of $312.5 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, i.e. half a time slot, giving a clock rate of 3.2 kHz [BTSpec03].

In a piconet, one device (the master) defines the frequency hopping scheme which it derives from its Bluetooth address and its clock. The master also determines the quality of service given to its slaves - the other devices in the piconet which follow their master's frequency and time hopping schedule. When the piconet is established every actively participating device in the piconet, i.e. all the slaves, know the exact value of their master's clock and Bluetooth address. The Bluetooth manual calls for the master to transmit on odd clock cycles, while a slave transmits on the even clock cycle. A slave device is allowed to transmit in the even cycle if and only if it received a special, POLL, packet in the preceding (odd) clock cycle.

Figure 2.2 shows several piconet topologies. One master may be actively


Figure 2.2: Various piconets
connected to at most seven slaves. Each slave in turn can be shared among multiple masters and master/slave roles can occur where one device is the master of a number of slaves and also operates as a slave of another master. Not only is the piconet limited in the number of participating devices, but is further constrained by each devices communication range on the physical layer of 10 meters. The master and slave roles that devices assume are assigned during the connection phase and the host application is unaware of its Bluetooth role in the network.

### 2.2 Frequency Hopping Kernel

The Bluetooth system operates in the 2.4 GHz ISM band, specifically in the 2400 2483.5 MHz frequency range. The core specification defines 79 radio frequency (RF) channels as: $\mathrm{f}=2402+\mathrm{k} \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{k}=0, \ldots, 78$. This implies that there is a 2 MHz lower guard band and 3.5 MHz upper guard band. Devices hop across the 79 channels and stay on one channel for $625 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ or one clock tick during which they either transmit or receive data.

The pseudo-random RF channel hopping sequence together with the packet (slot) timing and an access code is what defines a physical channel. The Bluetooth specification defines four physical channels:

- basic piconet physical channel - used during normal piconet operation;
- adapted piconet physical channel - alternative for normal piconet operation;
- page scan physical channel - used during the page and page scan sub-states;
- inquiry scan physical channel - used during the inquiry and inquiry scan substates;

The hopping sequence for each physical channel is determined by a portion of the Bluetooth device address and the selected hopping sequence. The phase in the hopping sequence is determined by the Bluetooth clock. All physical channels are subdivided into time slots whose length is different depending on the physical channel. Within the physical channel, each reception or transmission event is associated with a time slot or time slots. For each reception or transmission event an RF channel is selected by the hop selection kernel [BTSpec03]. The minimum hop rate is 1600 hops/s in the CONNECTION state and the maximum is 3200 hops/s in the INQUIRY and PAGE sub-states, see Figure 2.4 for overview of the different states. During the INQUIRY and PAGE sub-states the device transmits two packets in a single $625 \mu$ s slot in an effort to find a device with which it is attempting to establish a connection.

Devices in a piconet use either the basic piconet physical channel or the adapted piconet physical channel. In both cases a specific frequency hopping pattern is used, which is algorithmically determined by certain fields in the Bluetooth address and clock of the master. The basic hopping pattern is a pseudo-random ordering of the 79 frequencies in the ISM band. The hopping pattern may be adapted to exclude a portion of the frequencies that are used by interfering devices [BTSpec03]. The adaptive hopping technique improves Bluetooth co-existence with static (non-hopping) ISM systems when these are co-located and was introduced in the Bluetooth specification version 1.2. An example of a static system operating in the ISM band is a $802.11 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{g}$ wireless network.

### 2.3 Packet Types - Description

As it was discussed in Chapter 2 the Bluetooth technology supports two basic types of transport channels between devices which enable both data and voice communication. The ACL transport channel is primarily used for exchanging data and control packets while the eSCO/SCO transport channel is used for reliable point-to-point voice packets. This section discusses the different types of packets that are used to
communicate over ACL and eSCO/SCO links with emphasis on packets used over ACL links since this study omits the discussion of eSCO/SCO links.

Each Bluetooth packet consists of an access code, a header, and a payload as shown in Figure 2.3. Every packet starts with an access code. If a packet header follows,


Figure 2.3: Generic Bluetooth packet structure
The access code is 72 bits long only if it is followed by a packet header otherwise it is 68 bits long. The packet header consists of 18 bits encoded with $1 / 3$ Forward Error Correction code resulting in a
the access code is 72 bits long, otherwise the access code is 68 bits long and is known as a shortened access code. The shortened access code does not contain a trailer. This access code is used for synchronization, DC offset compensation and identification of a device. The access code identifies all packets exchanged on a physical channel: all packets sent in the same physical channel are preceded by the same access code. In the receiver of the device, a sliding correlator correlates against the access code and triggers when a threshold is exceeded. This trigger signal is used to determine the receive timing. The shortened access code is used in paging, inquiry, and park. In this case, the access code itself is used as a signalling message and neither a header nor a payload is present [BTSpec03].

The header contains all the control information associated with the packet and the link, such as the address of the slave for which the packet is intended. The 4 bit packet type filed distinguishes between sixteen different types of packets. The interpretation of the type code depends on the logical transport address (AM_ADDR) in the packet. Based on the AM_ADDR it will be determined whether the packet is sent on an SCO logical transport, an eSCO logical transport, or an ACL logical transport. Then it can be
determined which type of SCO packet, eSCO packet, or ACL packet has been received. The type code also determines how many slots the current packet will occupy as described in Table 2.1. This allows the non-addressed receivers to refrain from listening to the

| Segment | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Type code } \\ \mathbf{b}_{3} \mathbf{b}_{2} \mathbf{b}_{1} \mathbf{b}_{0} \end{array}$ | Slot occupancy | SCO logical transport | $\mathrm{eSCO}$ <br> logical transport | ACL logical transport |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0000 | 1 | NULL | NULL | NULL |
|  | 0001 | 1 | POLL | POLL | POLL |
|  | 0010 | 1 | FHS | reserved | FHS |
|  | 0011 | 1 | DM1 | reserved | DM1 |
| 2 | 0100 | 1 | undefined | undefined | DH1 |
|  | 0101 | 1 | HV1 | undefined | MSCAT |
|  | 0110 | 1 | HV2 | undefined | undefined |
|  | 0111 | 1 | HV3 | EV3 | undefined |
|  | 1000 | 1 | DV | undefined | undefined |
|  | 1001 | 1 | undefined | undefined | AUX1 |
| 3 | 1010 | 3 | undefined | undefined | DM3 |
|  | 1011 | 3 | undefined | undefined | DH3 |
|  | 1100 | 3 | undefined | EV4 | undefined |
|  | 1101 | 3 | undefined | EV5 | undefined |
| 4 | 1110 | 5 | undefined | undefined | DM5 |
|  | 1111 | 5 | undefined | undefined | DH5 |

Table 2.1: Packet types for ACL and eSCOISCO logical transport links.
This table describes the packets which can be sent on different transport links. The packet type with code 0 b0101 belonging to segment 2 was left undefined for the ACL logical transport. For the purpose of implementing the MSCAT protocol this packet type was reserved for MSCAT specific communications.
channel for the duration of the remaining slots. The payload contains the actual message information if this packet is a higher layer protocol message, i.e. an L2CAP, LM protocol message or even user data being passed down the Bluetooth stack [Bray02].

For the implementation of the mscat protocol the type code $0 \times 5$ was defined for the ACL logical transport to contain packets relating to the operation of the protocol. The Bluetooth specification left this type code undefined for an ACL logical transport so there are no conflicts in utilizing this code for our specific purpose.

### 2.3.1 Common Packets - Structure and Purpose

There are five common kinds of packets. In addition to the types listed in segment 1 of Table 2.1, the ID packet is also a common packet type but is not listed in segment 1 because it does not have a packet header.

- The identity or ID packet consists of the device access code (DAC) or inquiry access code (IAC) when the device sending the ID packet is in the inquiry state. It has a fixed length of 68 bits. It is a very robust packet since the receiver uses a bit correlator to match the received packet to the known bit sequence of the ID packet [BTSpec03].
- The NULL packet has no payload and consists of the channel access code and packet header only. Its total (fixed) length is 126 bits. The NULL packet may be used to return link information to the source regarding the success of the previous transmission (ARQN), or the status of the RX buffer (FLOW). The NULL packet does not have to be acknowledged by the receiving device [BTSpec03].
- The POLL packet does not have a payload. Upon reception of a POLL packet the slave shall respond with a packet even when the slave does not have any information to send unless the slave has scatternet commitments in that timeslot. This return packet is an implicit acknowledgement of the POLL packet. This packet can be used by the master in a piconet to poll the slaves. Slaves do not transmit the POLL packet. In contrast to the NULL packet, it requires a confirmation from the recipient [BTSpec03].
- The FHS packet is a special control packet containing, among other things, the Bluetooth device address and the clock of the sender. The payload contains 144 information bits plus a 16 -bit CRC code. The payload is coded with a rate $2 / 3$ FEC with a gross payload length of 240 bits [BTSpec03].

The packets listed above are used for connection establishment, device discovery and inter-piconet communication as described in Section 2.4 - Connection Establishment and Section 2.1 - Bluetooth Piconet.

### 2.3.2 Mscat Packets

For the purpose of implementing the mscat protocol the following packet types with header type code 0x5 were added: CONNFAIL, CONNSUCCESS, CONNTERM, IDENTREQ, IDENT and GOTOPAGE. The CONNFAIL, CONNSUCCESS, and CONNTERM are packets that contain the Bluetooth address of a newly discovered device in the payload. The IDENTREQ, IDENT and GOTOPAGE packets are used in stage 2 of the mscat protocol or the reorganization of an existing scatternet. Section 4.2 - Mscat - Implementation contains detailed information about the packet types listed here and how they are used in the state machine of the mscat protocol.

### 2.4 Connection Establishment

Before two devices can exchange data in their piconet they must go through the connection procedures as outlined in the Bluetooth specification [BTSpec03].

Figure 2.4.A shows the state diagram of the link controller and Figure 2.4.B shows the packets exchanged between the devices during the connection establishment states.


Figure 2.4: Link controller states and connection establishment procedure
A: The device that starts the connection establishment procedure by entering the Inquiry state will be the master of the connection where as the device entering the Inquiry Scan state will be the slave device. The host computer is unaware of the master/slave role as it is pertinent only to the link controller and link manager, see Figure 2.1.

B: The Inquiring device transmits ID packets until it hears a responding FHS packet from the Inquiry Scanning device. Then the devices move into Page/Page Scan respectively and they exchange another set of ID packets. The to-bemaster device then send an FHS packet which the Page Scanning device acknowledges with an ID packet. After this the master sends the first POLL packet which the slave acknowledges with a NULL packet. At this point the devices are connected in a piconet.

When a device is initially turned on it is in the standby state. When a device has established a connection with at least one other device it is in the connection state. This section will explain how devices move from the standby state to the connection state, effectively establishing a new connection with another device.

In order to initiate connection establishment, two devices must be in the appropriate inquiring states, i.e. one device in the inquiry state while the other device is in
the inquiry scan state. The inquiring device will become the master of the piconet and therefore from now on it will be referred to as the to-be-master. The inquiry scanning device will become the slave of the piconet thus from now on it will be referred to as the to-be-slave. The to-be-master transmits specially constructed packets called ID packets which contain a General Inquiry Access Code (GIAC) indicating that this device is in inquiry and is looking for devices with which to establish a connection. The to-be-slave listens for ID packets and once it receives one it moves to the inquiry response sub-state and responds to the ID packet with a special Frequency Hopping Sequence (FHS) packet. The FHS packet contains the 48-bit Bluetooth address and the clock of the to-be-slave device. The to-be-slave may choose to randomly back off before sending its FHS packet thus minimizing the chances of interfering with another inquiry scanning device that heard the same ID packet from the to-be-master. In either case, once the FHS packet is sent, the to-be-slave moves to the page scan state. Upon the reception of the FHS packet, the to-bemaster moves to the page state. Because the two devices in inquiry and inquiry scan do not know each others clocks or Bluetooth addresses the probability of both devices being on the same frequency channel at the same time is very small. The Bluetooth specification attempts to alleviate this problem by making the hopping sequence of the Inquiring device twice as fast, but even with this provision the sequence of inquiry and FHS packet exchange can last up to 10.24 seconds.

The to-be-master (now in the page state) transmits ID packets on the frequency channel that it derives from the to-be-slave's Bluetooth address and clock ${ }^{1}$. Once the to-be-slave (now in the page scan state) receives the ID packet it moves to the Slave Response sub-state and acknowledges the ID packet of the to-be-slave with its own ID packet that it sends to the to-be-master. After this packet exchange there is coarse synchronization between the two devices. Upon the reception of the to-be-slave's ID packet the to-be-master moves to the Master Response sub-state and transmits its own FHS packet. Once the to-be-slave receives the FHS packet it acknowledges with an ID packet and switches to using the to-be-master's address and clock for frequency hopping. The to-be-master switches to its own address and clock for frequency hopping when it

[^0]receives the acknowledgement ID packet from the to-be-slave. The first packet it sends on the new frequency channel is the POLL packet which the to-be-slave acknowledges with a NULL packet at which point the connection is established. The time spent in page / page scan by the devices is on the order of 10 milliseconds, which is orders of magnitude less than the time spent in the inquiry / inquiry scan states. During this process the master assigns a unique, to the piconet, 3-bit address to the slave which it will use to address it in the connected state. The Bluetooth specification requires that a device periodically go into the inquiry or page states, even when they are connected.

### 2.5 Bluetooth Scatternet

As mentioned in Section 2.1 - Bluetooth Piconet, a slave device can be shared among multiple masters and master/slave roles can occur where one device is the master of a number of slaves and also operates as a slave of another master in a different piconet. This is the mechanism by which the Bluetooth specification allows for the joining of two piconets to form a scatternet, thus increasing both the effective range of the network and the number of active devices participating in the network. A scatternet is defined as two or more piconets co-located in the same area with or without inter-piconet communication [BTSpec03]. Figure 2.5 below, shows a scatternet built of several piconets, where the


Figure 2.5: Bluetooth scatternet consisting of 12 piconets
joining nodes for the inter-piconet communications have master/slave and slave/slave roles.

Slave/slave and master/slave devices or nodes are sometimes referred to as gateway nodes in the literature because they bridge two piconets. The gateway nodes participate in multiple piconet communication based on time multiplexing. For example, in Figure 2.5 the gateway node that bridges piconets 1 and 4 will spend some time frequency hopping, transmitting and receiving in piconet 1 (based on the frequency hopping schedule derived from the master of piconet 1 ), then it will leave piconet 1 and start frequency hopping, transmitting and receiving in piconet 4 (based on the frequency hopping schedule derived from the master of piconet 4). The Bluetooth specification allows for several alternatives to be used for piconet switching. The aforementioned mechanism of the gateway node leaving one piconet and joining the other without negotiating or informing either master is the simplest one to implement. The gateway node can negotiate with its active master and ask to be put in the park state. While in park the device will not be polled by the master so it can effectively leave the piconet and join the other piconet where it will initiate a un-park and proceed with regular piconet operation. This method of switching between piconets is more time consuming and energy inefficient.

Figure 2.6 depicts the scenario where a master/slave node switches between the two piconets that it bridges. Recalling that a master is the device governing the transmit and receive schedules as well as the frequency hopping in the piconet, when it leaves the piconet where it acts as a master the slaves of that piconet cannot perform any transmissions effectively paralyzing the entire piconet for the time that their master is "gone".

The Bluetooth specification leaves a significant amount of flexibility in the specifics of how Bluetooth scatternets are formed and managed. The devices that are turned on and are in the standby state need to make a decision weather they are going to enter the inquiry or inquiry scan state. Furthermore the devices that are already connected can perform node discovery operations by either entering the inquiry or inquiry scan state.


Figure 2.6: Two piconets connected by a masterlslave gateway node

How often and in which state devices go are questions which are left open by the Bluetooth specification. The formation of different network topologies also depends on what types of nodes go into inquiry or inquiry scan and how often they do so. In example, should master nodes perform inquiry or inquiry scan more often or should slave nodes perform inquiry or inquiry scan more often? These questions which undoubtedly have impact on the formed network topologies are left open in the Bluetooth specification. It is the goal of the work presented in this document to extend the specification by providing a protocol for scatternet formation and maintenance which will strive to form acceptable network topologies in acceptable time.

# Chapter 3 <br> Problem Definition 

Scatternet formation protocol in the context of this document refers to both the initial formation of the scatternet as well as the scatternet maintenance. The elements of the protocol that dominate activity when all nodes are turned on at the same time is referred to as the initial formation part, whereas the part that gets executed periodically at each node in order to discover newly introduced nodes in the network is referred to as the scatternet maintenance part. Some researchers make the distinction between the initial formation and scatternet maintenance in order to explore the one without considering the other. In this research the scatternet formation protocol considers both the initial formation and scatternet maintenance because in a dynamic environment, such as mobile sensor network, the two cannot be distinguished from each other.

The primary focus of the work presented in this document is scatternet formation. In Chapter 2 - Section 2.1 the concept of a Bluetooth piconet was introduced and in Section 2.5 the concept of a Bluetooth scatternet was introduced. The first broader classification of the solutions for scatternet formation protocols proposed so far in the literature distinguishes between protocols that require radio vicinity of all nodes (single hop topologies) and protocols that work in the more general multi-hop scenario. All of the solutions are localized, in the sense that the protocols are executed at each node with the sole knowledge of its immediate neighbors (nodes in its transmission range) [Basagni04a].

For the purpose of this work a scatternet formation protocol has the following desirable properties as outlined in [Basagni04a]:

1. The produced scatternets should be connected.
2. Resilience to disconnections in the network. The protocol should be able to operate in the connected components of the network.
3. Piconet size limited to eight nodes, to avoid the overhead associated with parking and unparking slaves.
4. Distributed and localized operations. A protocol should be executed at each node with information available at the node itself locally (e.g., knowledge of one and two-hop neighbors).
5. Self-healingness. A protocol should react to changes in the network topology to maintain a scatternet that retains all the properties of the scatternet initially formed.

The problem of designing a scatternet formation protocol that works for the multihop scenario so far has not addressed the self-healing property which is listed above. It is one of the main goals of the work presented in this document to address this issue and propose a possible solution. The self-healing property is of extreme importance in specific applications of ad-hoc networks, i.e. mobile sensor networks and battlefield data networks. It is with these applications in mind that the design space of self-healing scatternet formation protocols is examined.

### 3.1 Preliminary Research

The idea of using Bluetooth as the underlaying technology for creating an ad-hoc network was born in the fall of 2002 as a result of an IEEE Computer Society sponsored competition which required participants to use the Bluetooth technology. For further detail about the initial efforts and ideas the reader is referred to [CSIDC02].

An excellent overview of the current literature on the problem of scatternet formation for both single-hop and multi-hop scenarios is presented in [Basagni03] and [Basagni04a]. A single-hop scenario is defined as a scenario where each node in the network is within communications range of every other node. The multi-hop scenario is less restraining and is defined as a scenario where each node is placed within communication range of at least one other node in the simulation space.

Solutions for the single-hop scatternet formation problem are presented in [Salo01], [Law03], and [Tan02a]. The solution proposed in [Salo01] is based on a leader election process to collect topology information at the leader. Then, a centralized algorithm is run at the leader to assign the roles to the network nodes. In order to achieve
desirable scatternet properties, the centralized scheme executed by the leader requires that the number of network nodes is 36 [Basagni03]. The authors of [Salo01] impose the constraint that nodes cannot leave the scatternet while the scatternet formation protocol is being executed. The protocols presented in [Law03] and [Tan02a] run over single-hop topologies with no limitations on the number of nodes. However, the resulting scatternet is a tree which limits efficiency and robustness as pointed out in [Basagni04a]. Law et. al.'s proposed scatternet formation algorithm achieves $\mathrm{O}(\log n)$ time complexity and $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ message complexity but the authors fail to address the issue of a master's bandwidth utilization [Law03]. Even though the authors of [Law03] constrain their analysis to singlehop networks, and Basagni et. al in [Basagni03] classify this algorithm as single-hop it can be deduced from [Law03], but not proven, that their algorithm will work for the more general case of multi-hop topologies. It is believed that the authors of [Law03] constrained their work to single-hop topologies in order to mathematically prove that their algorithm works.

Among the solutions that apply to the more general case of multi-hop topologies, the scatternet formation protocol described in [Zaruba01] requires that the protocol is initiated by a designated node (the blueroot) and generates a tree-like scatternet. The blueroot starts the formation procedure by acquiring as slaves its one hop neighbors. These, in turn, start contacting their own neighbors (those nodes that are two hops from the root) trying to acquire them as their slaves and so on, in a wave expansion fashion, until the whole tree is constructed. The obtained scatternet has piconets with an unbounded number of slaves. A procedure based on geometric properties of networks of devices scattered in the plane is described to re-configure the tree so that each master has no more than seven slaves. This allows the master to avoid the time and bandwidth consuming operation of parking and unparking slaves [Basagni04a].
[Li02a], [Wang02], [Petrioli02], and [Petrioli03] are solutions to the scatternet formation problem, for multi-hop topologies, that do not organize the network in a treelike structure. All of the protocols presented in these publications explore the design space of the initial formation of the scatternet without considering the scatternet maintenance.

This implies that a new node which comes in radio range of the already-formed scatternet will not be merged in the network. For applications such as mobile sensor networks this is unacceptable.

The work presented in this document is similar to the work presented in [Chiasserini03]. Since the work presented in [Chiasserini03] does not include numerical results it is difficult to compare the two. Furthermore, the work presented here explores what parameters impact the speed with which a new node is merged in the scatternet.

### 3.2 Goals and Assumptions

This document presents a scatternet formation and maintenance protocol, see Chapter 4, and attempts to answer the following questions in the context of scatternet formation protocols for multi-hop scenarios:

1. What is the average time needed for a connected scatternet to be formed?
2. What is the average time needed for a new node to be merged in a scatternet and how can this time be minimized?
3. What is the number of piconets that form the scatternet when related to the total number of nodes in the scatternet?
4. What is the average number of slaves per piconet?
5. What is the average number of roles per node in the scatternet?
6. What is the number of master/slave nodes in comparison to the total number of nodes in the scatternet?
7. What is the mean shortest path between any two nodes in the connected scatternet?

To answer the first question this study makes the assumption that nodes in the simulation are turned on in an interval of 100 ms . The reason for this assumption is two fold. If we are to attempt to measure the average time required to form a connected scatternet but nodes are allowed to enter and leave the simulation space it is impossible to pick a single point in time which will be considered the starting point because all points in time are equally viable choices. On the other hand, making all nodes turn on at the same point in time would be physically impossible thus we have settled for the aforementioned assumption. The node placement in the simulated space is such that each node is within communications range of at least one more node. This assumption greatly simplifies the programs required to perform the graph analysis and statistics reporting. Another
assumption made pertinent to answering this question is that nodes are not mobile, i.e. once placed in the simulation space they are not allowed to move.

To answer the second question the assumption is made that a connected scatternet is formed prior to the new node joining the simulation. This is done for two reasons: if we want to measure the time required to merge a node in the network a network must exist prior to the node's arrival and in order to measure time duration an initial starting point in time is required.

No assumptions are made in order to answer questions three, four, five and six. For question number seven, what is the mean shortest path between any two nodes in the connected scatternet it is assumed that a connected scatternet containing all the nodes is present. Furthermore, it is assumed that all of the nodes in the simulation space can form a connected scatternet, i.e. there are no set of nodes that are out of communications range of the other set of nodes.

Questions three, four, five and six are direct indicators of how good the network topology is. For a fixed set of nodes in a simulation it is desirable for the network topology to contain minimal number of piconets. Lesser the number of piconets that form the scatternet denser the network topology will be. In ad-hoc networks dense network topologies are desirable because data packets would have to be routed through less hops on average. The average number of slaves per piconet is an extension of the aforementioned measurement of how good a given network topology is as is the average number of roles per node in the scatternet. The number of shared master/slave nodes when compared to the total number of nodes in the simulation is a good indicator of the efficiency of the network topology. As discussed in Section 2.5 - Bluetooth Scatternet when a master/slave node acts as a slave the piconet in which it was acting as a master is essentially suspended since the master which is coordinating all the communication is absent. Note that even slave-to-slave communication is impossible when the master is absent because in a piconet all data packets are routed through the master.

The list of questions in this section is a guideline that will be used in measuring how good a particular network topology is. The average time to form a network and merge a node in a network are not direct indicators of how good a network topology is but nonetheless they are important indicators of the overall network formation and maintenance protocol design. For mobile ad-hoc sensor networks the formation time of the network and the ability to quickly merge a new node are just as important factors as is the formed network topology.

This work concerns itself with the scatternet formation and maintenance problem. Ideally a researcher exploring this problem would have to consider routing and quality of service (QoS) as an integral part of the network topology performance but due to time and resource constraints this study makes the following assumptions about routing and QoS. The nodes in the simulation have perfect routing information which is updated instantaneously. This implies that when a node discovers a new node it can instantly and accurately determine if the discovered node already belongs to the connected scatternet to which the discoverer node belongs. This assumption about ideal routing allows us to examine the scatternet formation and maintenance design space while acknowledging that for a more through study a routing protocol must be implemented. The quality of service is another important issue that needs to be considered together with the scatternet formation and maintenance problem. However QoS is outside the scope of this study and only the default round-robin QoS scheme for inter-piconet communication is implemented. Shared slaves and master/slave nodes switch piconets based on a timer.

## Chapter 4 MSCAT - Self-Healing Scatternet Formation and Maintenance Protocol

The design of mscat was motivated by several observations made in a preliminary study [CSIDC02]. It was observed that all inter- and intra-piconet data traffic must go through at least one master node and all inter-piconet traffic must go through at least one bridge node. Furthermore, when a master node is performing tasks such as inquiry or page there can be no data transfer between any two nodes in the piconet. From the aspect of time required to merge a new node in the network it was observed that it is beneficial to dynamically adjust the probabilities of devices going into inquiry or inquiry scan depending on their role in the network. From these observations it can be concluded that master nodes together with bridge nodes have a great potential to be bandwidth bottlenecks in the scatternet. Yet the master nodes in certain scenarios are crucial players in the process of merging a new node in the network.

### 4.1 Mscat - Protocol Description

The design objectives for mscat are that it should strive to maximize the bandwidth utilization, minimize the delay incurred by packets traversing the network, allow for a new nodes to join the network rapidly, maintain a connected scatternet while nodes leave the network, and be completely distributed executing tasks that depend on the node's role in the network. The scatternet formation protocol must be complied with by each Bluetooth node in order to maintain up to date scatternet topology. Mscat allows for unconnected nodes, slaves, masters, and shared master/slave acting as masters to execute inquiry or inquiry scan. Which operation, i.e. inquiry or inquiry scan, is executed will depend on the role that the node has in the network and the status/number of the one hop neighbors.

The mscat protocol has two main stages, a node discovery stage (stage 1 ) and piconet restructuring stage (stage 2). The node discovery stage of mscat is executed by a node which is performing inquiry and its role in the network is a slave. In the inquiry procedure the slave is looking for new devices to join the network and when a new node is discovered, by receiving an FHS packet as outlined in Section 2.4 - Connection Establishment, the slave proceeds to forward the FHS packet to its master who will attempt to merge the new node in the scatternet. The outcome of this stage can be that the master successfully merges the newly discovered node, or that the master fails to merge the new node. This stage of mscat attempts to address the problem of piconet density in the scatternet by trying to make all newly discovered nodes attempt to join an already existing piconet rather than form a new one. Maintaining high piconet density is important because the average shortest path between any two nodes in the scatternet is greatly affected by it. To extend this argument, having short routing paths between any two nodes in the scatternet is dependent on the average shortest path and having short routing paths is extremely desirable property for any kind of network and especially for an ad-hoc network.

In the case when the master fails to merge the new node, the slave who originally discovered the node will initiate stage 2 , the piconet restructuring stage, to attempt to merge the new node in the scatternet. In the process of doing so the slave will attempt to restructure the piconet(s) involved in the simplest and fastest way possible. When the slave is finished executing stage 2 of the mscat protocol the newly discovered node will be merged in the scatternet in some fashion.

Figure 4.1 shows the pseudo-code describing the operation of the mscat protocol. The function MSCAT ( node $u$ ) is executed at each node when the node needs to perform the inquiry or inquiry scan procedure. The probability $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{u})$ of the device going into inquiry can be different depending on the current role the device has in the network.

Figure 4.2.A-B depicts a scenario where an unconnected node N1 is discovered by slave S1. S1 is executing inquiry and N1 inquiry scan. As outlined in Section 2.4 Connection Establishment, S1 is flooding the channel with ID packets in an effort to find a

```
MSCAT(node u){
    x <- rand[0,1];
    if(x < p(u))
        u performs INQ
        if(new node v found)
            CONNECT(u, v)
            else
                return
    else
        u performs INQ SCAN
}
CONNECT(node u, node v){
    if(u is a master)
        u pages v
        if successful
            u connects to v
            return
        elese
            return
    else if(u is a slave)
        mu <- u's master
        mu pages v
        if successful
                mu connects to v
                return
    u pages v
    if unsuccessful
        return;
    u connects to v
    if(v was a slave)
        u assumes master/slave role
        v assumes shared slave role
        return
    else if(v was a master)
        u initiates role-reversal
        u assumes slave/slave role
        v assumes master role
        return
    else if(v was unconnected node)
        u initiates role-reversal
        u assumes slave/slave role
        v assumes master role
        return
```

Figure 4.1: Pseudo code - mscat protocol
$\mathbf{p ( u )}$ is the probability of the node going into inquiry. This probability can be different for an unshared slave $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{u s})$, shared slave $\mathbf{p ( u s s )}$, unconnected node $\mathbf{p}($ un) , and master node $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{ms})$.
new node. When N1 receives the ID packet it doesn't know anything about the sender of the packet since the ID packet doesn't contain any node specific information. N1 then responds with an FHS packet and proceeds to move into page scan where it will wait to be paged. S1 in the mean time forwards N1's FHS packet to its master M. Upon reception of this packet M moves into page and pages N 1 which is still executing the page scan procedure. When M establishes a connection with N1 it informs its slave S1 that the new


Figure 4.2: Mscat stage 1 invocation scenarios
A-B:In this figure an unconnected device N1 was discovered by slave S1. S1 forwarded the N1's FHS packet to its master $M$ who successful merges $N 1$ in its piconet. Mscat stage 1 is successful.
$\mathrm{C}: \mathrm{N} 1$ cannot be merged by M because M already has a fully populated piconet with 7 slaves. Mscat stage 1 is unsuccessful.

D: N1 is out of M's communications range so they cannot connect.Mscat stage 1 is unsuccessful.
node is successfully merged in the piconet. Figure 4.2.C-D show the scenarios in which the master M cannot merge N 1 in its piconet. In the case of Figure 4.2.C the master M has a full piconet (7 active slaves) and cannot merge a new node. In the case of Figure 4.2.D the master M is out of N 1 's communication range thus they cannot establish a connection. In both cases the master M will inform the slave which initiated mscat's stage 1 , in this example S1, that the newly discovered node cannot be merged in the scatternet. Upon receiving information that N 1 cannot be merged by M , S 1 will start executing stage 2 of the mscat protocol.

In general stage 2 of mscat can be initiated by two events. When the slave that is executing mscat receives a CONN_FAIL packet from its master it proceeds to execute
stage 2 of mscat. The second event that can trigger a slave to start executing stage 2 of mscat is a time-out event for the execution of mscat's stage 1 protocol. Regardless of how stage 2 is initiated the goal is for the slave node to merge the newly discovered node in the scatternet.

Figure 4.3.A-F depicts the scenarios where stage 2 of the mscat protocol will be


Figure 4.3: Mscat stage 2 invocation scenarios
A-B: Nodes N1 and S1 establish a connection after which they exchange information about their roles. S1 initiates a piconet restructuring and N 1 becomes a master with S 1 becoming a shared slave.
C-D: Nodes N1 and S1 establish connection after which they exchange information about their role. S1 initiates a piconet restructuring and N1 retains its master role while S1 becomes a shared slave.
E-F: Nodes N1 and S1 establish a connection after which they exchange information about their roles. S1 does not initiate piconet restructuring thus N1 remains a master/slave node and S1 remains shared slave.
executed. In Figure 4.3.A N1 is a unconnected node discovered by slave S1. S1 has no information about N1's role in the network until they establish a connection at which point S1 sends to N1 a IDENTREQ packet, requesting N1 to identify its role in the network. N1 responds with a IDENT packet which contains the information about the current role of
the node. Upon reception of the IDENT packet S1 can infer the role of N1 prior to them establishing a connection. S1 deduces that N1 was an unconnected node and initiates a piconet restructuring by sending a GOTOPAGE packet. This will put N1 in the page state and S 1 in the page scan state thus positioning N 1 to become the new master and S 1 to become a shared slave. It is important to note that in stage 2 of mscat there is a temporary piconet which is formed between the discovered node and the discovering node, in this case N1 and S1. Figure 4.3 does not show this temporary piconet but it does show the final piconet structure after stage 2 of mscat is done executing (Figure 4.3.B). In this example (Figure 4.3.A) the piconet restructuring is desirable because a good network topology will be preserved if N1 becomes a master node and S1 becomes a shared slave node.

If N 1 is already a connected node, the new connection will either merge two scatternets or create a redundant connection within one scatternet. Detecting a redundant connection will require information about the larger scatternet, which will require the use of a routing table. Since redundant connections can reduce network diameter it may be desirable to keep such a connection, but the rules governing this are outside the scope of this study. For the purpose of evaluating the mscat algorithm through simulation perfect routing information knowledge will be assumed for each node in the connected scatternet.

In Figure 4.3.C-D the newly discovered node N 1 is a master so the execution of stage 2 of mscat will merge two scatternets. S1 will start executing stage 2 of mscat by attempting to page N 1 . When successful they form a temporary connection (piconet) in which S 1 is a master and N 1 is a slave. S 1 sends an IDENTREQ packet to N 1 but in this case the IDENT packet sent by N 1 to S 1 will identify the prior role of N 1 as a master so S1 will initiate a piconet restructuring by sending a GOTOPAGE packet to N1. The final network topology is depicted in Figure 4.3.D and it will contain S 1 now acting as a shared slave and N 1 will retain the role it had prior to the merging, a master.

The last possibility is shown in Figure 4.3.E where the discovered node N1 is acting as a slave and the connection of N1 and S1 will merge two scatternets. When S1 pages N1 and they connect S1 becomes a master of the connection with N1. This makes

S1 a master/slave node, acting as a master to N 1 and slave to M . On the other hand N 1 becomes a shared slave between Ma and S1. This is the least desirable scenario for mscat because S1 will not initiate a piconet restructuring this leaving it as a master/slave. The decision not to have S1 attempt network restructuring is because it would be too time consuming and some instances it might dissolve an already existing piconet. This second point comes to light when one considers what would happen if S1 initiated a piconet restructuring with N1. N1 would have to become a master to S1 thus becoming a master/ slave node and S1 will have to become a shared slave between N 1 and M . This creates a chain of two bridge nodes which is worse than having a S1 become a master/slave and N1 a shared slave which would take even more time.

Having a master/slave node in the network may reduce bandwidth utilization and greatly impact the performance of the network. As previously explained in "Bluetooth Scatternet" on page 15, when the master/slave node leaves the piconet in which it functions as master, the rest of the nodes must wait for the master to return. Simulations are planned which will examine under which conditions this becomes a significant problem.

### 4.2 Mscat - Implementation

The implementation of mscat in the simulator used for this study required introducing six new packets with type code $0 \times 5$ or 0 b0101 in the header. The payloads of these packets differ and will be explained in this section.

The mscat protocol is initiated when a slave that is performing the inquiry procedure discovers a new node which is not currently present in the scatternet. The slave performs a breadth first search (BFS) on the connected topology to which it belongs looking for the newly discovered node. This BFS search is the implementation of the assumption that each node has perfect routing information, for more detail see Section 3.2 - Goals and Assumptions. If the newly discovered node is not in the connected scatternet the slave creates a DATA packet to its master with the payload of the packet being the FHS packet that it received from the discovered node. At this point the node sets a boolean flag
executingMscat_stage1 to true which indicates that his node is somewhere in the mscat stage 1 state machine. Each tick a timer called T_mscat_stage1ToCounter is decremented. If the slave doesn't hear back from its master about the status of the node it discovered it proceeds to stage 2 of mscat. The master which receives the DATA packet initiates stage 1 of mscat and attempts to connect to the node it received information for from its slave. There are 3 possible outcomes for the master:

- it successfully connects to the node and sends CONNSUCCESS packet to its slave;
- it fails to connect to the node and sends CONNFAIL packet to its slave;
- it find the node in the connected scatternet and sends CONNTERM packet to its slave;

The CONNSUCCESS packet contains the Bluetooth address of the discovered and merged node in the payload. Upon reception of this packet the slave terminates the execution of stage 1 of mscat and proceeds with normal operations. The CONNFAIL packet contains the Bluetooth address of the discovered node and when the slave receives this packet it proceeds to execute stage 2 of mscat. The CONNTERM packet also contains the Bluetooth address of the discovered node in the payload section of the packet and it indicates that the discovered node is now present in the connected scatternet so the slave stops executing stage 1 of mscat and proceeds with normal operation.

In stage 2 of the mscat protocol the slave that discovered the new node will attempt to connect to the discovered node. To indicate that device is executing stage 2 of mscat it sets a boolean flag executingMscat_stage2 to true and starts decrementing a counter T_mscat_stage2TOCounter every tick. If the devices connect the initiator of the connection sends an IDENTREQ packet which doesn't contain any payload but rather just asks the recipient of the packet to identify it's role in the network. The recipient of the IDENTREQ packet responds with IDENT packet which in the payload contains the role of the device in the network (a 2 bit value). If the devices do not connect before T_mscat_stage2TOCounter $^{\text {_ma }}$ expires the slave will reset its boolean flag and timer and it will move to regular operation in the scatternet. For description of what happens as a consequence of these packet exchanges see Section 4.1 - Mscat - Protocol Description.

# Chapter 5 <br> Simulation Environment 

For the purpose of testing the performance of the algorithm presented in Chapter 4 two simulators were developed. A Java based MTUScat simulator was developed to test the feasibility of the ideas presented in this document and Mscat is being developed as a complete test bed for detailed testing and analysis of the proposed protocol. MTUScat was used to generate the preliminary results which were presented in [CSIDC02].

### 5.1 Preliminary simulator - MTUScat

MTUScat was developed in Java as an initial simulator to test the scatternet formation algorithm. It's only intent was to yield a starting point for the development of the scatternet formation algorithm and give a basic idea of the performance of the algorithm. The code was created solely for the simulation of the scatternet formation algorithm and it is limited in that respect.

Several other limitations exist in MTUScat, the largest being the lack of a frequency hopping engine. Nodes in this simulator have no concept of frequency hopping, and thus do not implement the frequency hopping scheme specified in [BTSpec03]. Instead, the simulator operates on an average time to perform the inquiry and paging procedures. Another limitation of the simulator is the time scale that the simulator runs at. Currently, one clock tick of the simulator is approximately equal to 500 Bluetooth time cycles, or approximately 0.3 seconds real time. Also, no routing information is maintained by the nodes, and no packets are routed across the scatternet. The nodes are aware of the piconets surrounding them and use information on nodes within a 3 piconet radius when making connection decisions.

The simulator is highly configurable and allows for sophisticated node behavior. It allows nodes to power on and off, move with various speeds, and pick destinations either at random or with a Gaussian distribution around a given location. Nodes can be introduced at different times during the simulation and have the capability to be removed from the simulation. MTUScat has a graphical user interface which allows for the visualization of nodes, their movements, connections and role in the scatternet. The simulator has the ability to either read parameters from the command line or from a file specified at run time. Figure 5.1is an example of a typical run of MTUScat. In this figure,


Figure 5.1: Screenshot of the MTUScat simulator
the master nodes are red the unshared slaves are blue, the shared slaves appear yellow, the master/slaves are green and the unconnected nodes are black. Also the links between the nodes are shown in black, links that are about to exceed the 10 meter transmission radius are shown in red.

The General Operations Director (GOD) has the ability to give accurate statistics with regards to connectivity and node statistics. The GOD of MTUScat allows for several parameters to be collected at any instant in time. These parameters include the percentages of nodes that are currently operating in the five roles of the scatternet, the average number
of nodes that are connected at any given instant and connectivity history of the nodes in the simulation.

MTUScat offers the option of running simulations on several different topologies with different movement patterns. Nodes are allowed to move within a rectangular two dimensional plane. The distribution of nodes is random, and two major categories of node placement is available. A hot spot configuration is available that allows the user to specify the number and locations for large node groupings to occur. These areas will have a high node concentration and nodes will frequently move form one area of high concentration to another. These areas exhibit a gaussian distribution around the center point, specified by the user and nodes moving from one spot of high concentration to another will do so in a linear fashion.

The other topology provided by MTUScat is a random distribution. With this topology, it is possible to set speeds, waits and turn on times to emulate a variety of situations. Using this, conference room scenarios with little node movement can be simulated. Also, it is possible to make the nodes highly dynamic where the time that is spent at each destination is very low.

### 5.2 SimScat

The Java based SimScat simulator implements all the necessary parts of the Bluetooth stack shown in Figure 2.1. Specifically the simulator implements the radio layer, the link controller, the baseband resource manager, parts of the link manager as well as parts of the device manager. The L2CAP layer is not simulated but the notion of connection source and sync are used for the purpose of simulating traffic which will be sent through the network. The simulator in its current stage assumes perfect routing information is available to all of the nodes in the network thus it provides each node with up to date information about topology changes. This can be easily changes at a later date as the code is object oriented and modular. The assumption that there is perfect routing makes evaluating mscat easier and faster. It is acknowledged that for a more detailed study
one has to consider the routing in the scatternet as an integral part of the overall design but this is outside the scope of the current and proposed work.

SimScat is cycle accurate thus one tick in the simulation corresponds to $625 \mu$ s. It implements the frequency hopping engine as outlined in [BTSpec03]. The simulator accurately implements the inquiry/inquiry scan/page/page scan states and their sub-states and it utilizes all the necessary timers which are defined in [BTSpec03].

In terms of generating statistics from the simulations SimScat generates a trace file in which each event that takes place in the simulation is reported. Helper programs written in perl and C++ are then used on the trace file to extract statistics about the simulation. This was done because some problems as parsing text lend themselves to using perl while other problems like graph analysis are better candidates for C++. SimScat does have some statistics generating capability like master bandwidth utilization, fully connected scatternet formation time reporting and number of connected scatternets in the simulation space in case a fully connected scatternet was not formed.

The simulator does not simulate SCO links and higher layers of the Bluetooth stack. In the simulation nodes within a piconet can exchange data packets but data exchanges through the scatternet are not possible because no routing protocols are implemented. Another constraint of the simulator is the granularity of the simulation time which is $625 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. This becomes an issue in the inquiry and page states where the frequency hopping kernel selects a new transmit/receive frequency every $312.5 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. The simulator ignores the packet sent on a non-aligned edge in these two states thus degrading the maximum possible speed at which new devices discover each other in the inquiry/inquiry scan states. Another limitation of the simulator is the radio propagation model. Currently the simulator delivers all packets to a receiver which is within 10 meters of the transmitter. The packet is not delivered only when there is another transmission which the receiver picks up on the same frequency channel.

SimScat does not allow for 3 way shared devices, so the possible roles for a node in the simulation are: unconnected, master, slave, shared master/slave, and shared slave/
slave. Shared slaves do not perform device discovery operations because the simulator limits them to 2-way shared slaves. Shared master/slave nodes when acting as a master can enter inquiry provided that they don't have 7 slaves in their piconet.

Figure 5.2 shows the graphical front-end for the simulator which was used for


Figure 5.2: Screenshot of SimScat with two open node windows
In SimScat a red node indicates a master, blue nodes are slaves, yellow nodes are shared slaves, green nodes are shared master/slaves and black nodes are unconnected nodes. The node window is updated in real time and provides visualizing network topologies and debugging the simulator. When the graphical front-end is enabled (through a configuration file) the user can click on any of the nodes displayed in the simulation window and a node window will be opened. As can be seen in Figure 5.2.A and Figure 5.2.B the node window displays real-time information about the node’s local clock, simulation clock, timers, current role and state, master and slave connection lists, frequency hopping kernel pertinent information and mscat pertinent information when the node is executing one of the two mscat stages.

The correct operation of the simulator was ensured by using several debugging methods. The simulator code contained assert ()functions which reported when the simulator had entered code that was logically should have never been executed. There are different levels of severity of assert()functions but all of them report an assert event in the simulation trace file while some of them terminate the simulation after reporting the cause of the assert in the trace file. Several perl scripts were written to process the trace file as the simulation was running and the output from these programs combined with the
information contained in the node windows and the graphical front-end proved essential to verifying the operation of the simulator. The use of the Java programming language and the Java API allowed for many of the "null pointer", "no such element" etc. exceptions to be caught and fixed. Most of the bugs in the early stage of the development were caught thanks to the exception handling of the built in data structures that were used in the simulator, i.e. LinkedList’s, ArrayLists, Arrays and more complex objects.

## Chapter 6

## Results

The data presented in this section was obtained by running simulations with 155 unique input configurations. Each configuration file passed to the simulator was executed 5 times with different random number generator seed. The simulation space was a 2-D plane with consistent size for all simulations, $x=30 \mathrm{~m}$ and $\mathrm{y}=30 \mathrm{~m}$. All timer values and a sample configuration file used in the simulations are listed in Appendix A. The placement of nodes in the simulation plane was done with the constraint that each newly placed node must be in communications range of at least one already existing node. This constraint allows for much simpler implementation of the programs required for graph analysis for the simple reason that each simulation scenario is guaranteed to have a visibility graph which contains all the nodes placed in the simulation. This existence of a visibility graph that encompasses all nodes in the simulation space implies that in almost all cases a scatternet can be formed that will connect all the nodes.

As outlined in Section 3.2 - Goals and Assumptions this study attempts to answer two main questions, how does the mscat protocol perform and what values for the unconnected probability, master probability and slave probability give optimal performance of the mscat protocol. Section 6.1 presents data that compares the network topologies formed with and without mscat while Section 6.2 presents data that compares the impact of varying parameters on the mscat protocol's performance.

### 6.1 Mscat - Performance Analysis

This section explores the effect of varying master, slave, and unconnected node probability of going into inquiry on the resulting network topology that is formed with and
without the mscat protocol. The simulation results presented in this section use the same random seed for simulations with mscat turned on and off. This provides for accurate comparison of the data presented in Figure 6.1 and Figure 6.2.

It is important to note that each figure on the y-axis shows a measure of how good a network topology is but this can be misleading if each figure is interpreted independently of the others. For example, if for a given inquiry probability we see that the average slaves/piconet is at its maximum this does not necessarily mean that the network topology is optimal because we have not considered the other measures of how good a network topology is, like mean shortest path, average roles per node, number of piconets or number of master/slave nodes. The network formation time is another measure that might be of interest to be examined even though it does not directly affect the formed network topology.

Figure 6.1, "Average slaves/piconet vs. inq probability" contains a set of three charts showing the average slaves/piconet as a function of different inquiry probabilities. As discussed in Section 3.2 - Goals and Assumptions, average slaves/piconet is an important measure of a good network topology. More slaves per piconet indicates denser piconets which is desirable because for a network with fixed number of nodes higher slaves per piconet translates into less piconets and smaller network diameter. Each point on the charts is an average of five simulation runs with different random seeds and the $y$ error bars show +/- one standard deviation from the average for each data point.

Figure 6.1.A shows the impact of varying the probability of master nodes going into inquiry on the average slaves/piconet. This chart has six lines, three for networks created without mscat and three for networks formed with mscat. Each pair of same color lines shows average slaves/piconet for fixed number of nodes with mscat on and off. It can be concluded that for 30 , 50 and 70 nodes the networks formed with the mscat algorithm have greater number of average slaves per piconet. This chart indicates that a good choice for the master inquiry probability for network formation with mscat turned on is $80 \%$. The simulations used to produce this chart used constant slave inquiry probability of 30\% and unconnected inquiry probability of $10 \%$.


Figure 6.1: Average slaves/piconet vs. inq probability
A. Average slaves/piconet as a function of master inq probability (slave inq probability $=30 \%$; unconnected inq probability $=10 \%$ )
B. Average slaves/piconet as a function of unconnected inq probability (master inq probability $=90 \%$; slave inq probability $=30 \%$ )
C. Average slave/piconet as a function of slave inq probability (unconnected inq probability $=10 \%$; master inq probability $=90 \%$ )

Figure 6.1.B shows the impact of varying the probability of unconnected nodes going into inquiry on the average slaves/piconet. This chart has three pairs of same color lines which show the average slaves/piconet for fixed number of nodes, 30,50 and 70 with and without the mscat protocol. This chart as the previous one shows that network topologies created with mscat have higher number of slaves/piconet. Furthermore, the chart shows that for an unconnected inquiry probability of $10 \%$ we get highest number of average slaves/piconet when using mscat. For this chart the master inquiry probability was held constant at $90 \%$ and the slave inquiry probability was held constant at $30 \%$.

Figure 6.1.C shows the impact of varying the probability of slave nodes going into inquiry on the average slaves/piconet. Same as the previous two charts in Figure 6.1this one has three pairs of same color lines which show the average slaves/piconet for fixed number of nodes 30,50 , and 70 with and without the mscat protocol. The general trend for network topologies formed without mscat is that as the probability of a slave node going into inquiry increases the number of average slaves/piconet decrease. This trend is also observable for topologies formed with the mscat protocol except that the data is more oscillatory and with a higher standard deviation. Nonetheless if we observe the points for all M_ON lines at slave inquiry probability of $30 \%$ and $90 \%$ we can conclude that we obtain denser networks when using slave inquiry probability of $30 \%$.

Figure 6.2, "Average roles/node vs. inq probability" contains three charts that showing the effect of varying the inquiry probabilities on the average roles/node. The average roles/node is a good indicator of how good the formed network topology is because a high number of roles per node translates into reduced throughput performance due o the overhead (an average of 2 slots) associated with piconet switching. Master-slave roles are inefficient since all the communications in the piconet of the master that switches to be slave have to suspended until the node returns to acting as a master [Basagni04a].

Figure 6.2.A show a chart with three pairs of same color lines for each of the 30, 50 and 70 node simulation runs comparing the network formed with and without the mscat protocol. From the chart it can be inferred that network topologies formed with the mscat protocol have lower average roles/node. The general trend in this chart is that as the


Figure 6.2: Average roles/node vs. inq probability
A. Average roles/node as a function of master inquiry probability (slave inquiry probability $=30 \%$, unconnected inquiry probability $=10 \%$ ) B. Average roles/node as a function of unconnected inquiry probability (master inquiry probability $=90 \%$, slave inquiry probability $=30 \%$ )
C. Average roles/node as a function of slave inquiry probability (master inquiry probability $=90 \%$, unconnected inquiry probability $10 \%$ )
master inquiry probability is increased from $50 \%$ to $95 \%$ we obtain network topologies with lower average roles/node with $90 \%$ giving optimal average roles/node for 50 and 70 nodes and 95\% for 30 node networks with mscat turned on.

Figure 6.2.B shows the impact that varying the unconnected inquiry probability has on the number of average roles/node. Again there are three sets of same color lines for each of the 30, 50 and 70 nodes with and without mscat and it is distinguishable that for each simulation scenario the network topologies formed with mscat yield lower average roles/node. For this particular measure of good network topology the optimal value for unconnected inquiry probability is $10 \%$.

Figure 6.2.C shows the impact that varying the slave inquiry probability has on the number of average roles/node. There are three pairs of same color lines for each of the 30 , 50 and 70 nodes with mscat turned on and off. For networks formed with the mscat protocol slave inquiry probability of $90 \%$ yields optimal number of average roles/node.

Figure 6.3 shows another measure of good network topology, the mean shortest path (msp) between any two nodes in the scatternet. As briefly discussed in Section 3.2 it is desirable for the network topology to have smaller mean shortest path between any two nodes in the scatternet because smaller msp translates into smaller average packet delivery time. In Figure 6.3 the group of lines towards the bottom of the graph are the msp for the visibility graph of the simulated scenarios. The graph shows that for scatternet topologies formed with the mscat protocol have lower mean shortest path in comparison to scatternet topologies formed without the mscat protocol.

### 6.2 Mscat - Impact of Parameters on Performance

Section 6.1showed that network topologies formed with the mscat protocol have better properties than network topologies formed without it. This section attempts to answer the question of what are the parameters for the mscat protocol that provide an optimal network topology. Note that the word optimal in the context of this research


Figure 6.3: Mean shortest path vs. master inq probability
This figure shows the mean shortest path (msp) between any two nodes in the scatternet as a function of master inq. probability. There are three sets of two same color lines which compare the msp for scatternets formed with and without mscat. The collection of lines toward the bottom of the figure is the msp for the visibility graph of the nodes.
means a network topology that has properties which were outlined in Section 3.2 - Goals and Assumptions.

For the results presented in this section 35 configuration files were used as simulation input. For each configuration file the simulator ran 5 simulations with different random number generator seeds yielding a total of 175 simulations. All 35 configurations contained 50 randomly placed nodes and used the mscat protocol. The simulation space was a 2-D plane, $30 \times 30$ meters large.

Table 6.1 shows a summary of the varied parameters for the 35 configuration files and the seeds used. As the table shows there were 25 unique seeds used for each set of simulations that varied the slave inquiry probability (SP). This was done in order to ensure consistency of node placement in the simulation space. A side effect of this effort to maintain consistency among all configurations is that the same seed used for random node

| Constants |  | Variables |  | Number of simulation repetitions for each data point | Random number generator seeds used |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UP Inq Prob (\%) | Number of nodes | SP Inq Prob (\%) | $\underset{(\%)}{\text { MP Inq Prob }}$ |  |  |
| 10 | 50 | 30 | \{50, 60, 70, 80, 90\} | 5 | 25 unique seeds |
| 10 | 50 | 40 | \{50, 60, 70, 80, 90\} | 5 | same 25 seeds used |
| 10 | 50 | 50 | \{50, 60, 70, 80, 90\} | 5 | same 25 seeds used |
| 10 | 50 | 60 | \{50, 60, 70, 80, 90\} | 5 | same 25 seeds used |
| 10 | 50 | 70 | $\{50,60,70,80,90\}$ | 5 | same 25 seeds used |
| 10 | 50 | 80 | \{50, 60, 70, 80, 90\} | 5 | same 25 seeds used |
| 10 | 50 | 90 | \{50, 60, 70, 80, 90\} | 5 | same 25 seeds used |

Table 6.1: Summary of simulation configurations
This table shows a summary of the free variables, variables held constant, number of simulation repetitions per configuration file and simulation seeds used to produce the data presented in this section.
placement was used for connection establishment thus yielding pathologically similar network formation patterns. This problem can be alleviated by using two random number generators with two unique seeds. One seed can be used exclusively for node placement while the other for inquiry/inquiry scan and page/page scan related operations.

Figure 6.4 shows the percent of different roles of nodes present in a network of 50 nodes. We are particularly interested in the percent of nodes with a master/slave role even though the figure shows all the possible roles nodes assume in the network. As discussed in Section 3.2 - Goals and Assumptions, the number of shared master/slave nodes when compared to the total number of nodes in the simulation is a good indicator of the efficiency of the network topology with smaller number of them being desirable.

From Figure 6.4 we can see that the number of master/slave nodes is very low for the following configurations: $\mathrm{SP}^{1}=30 \%, \mathrm{MP}^{2}=90 \%$; $\mathrm{SP}=40 \%, \mathrm{MP}=80 \%$ and $\mathrm{SP}=$ $50 \%$, MP $=80 \%$. The configuration $\mathrm{SP}=40 \%$, $\mathrm{MP}=80 \%$ has lower number of master nodes with comparison to the configuration $\mathrm{SP}=30 \%$, $\mathrm{MP}=90 \%$ leading to the conclusion that the $\mathrm{SP}=40 \%$, $\mathrm{MP}=80 \%$ configuration has smaller number of piconets thus yielding a denser network topology. Figure 6.5 shows the number of piconets as a function of master and slave inquiry probability. By examining Figure 6.5 we can see that

1. SP - Slave inquiry probability
2. MP - Master inquiry probability


Figure 6.4: Breakdown of percentage of roles nodes assume for 50 nodes
This figure shows the percentage of roles that nodes have for each configuration scenario. Green bars show percent of master/slave nodes, white bars show percent of shared slave nodes, blue bars show percent of slave nodes and red bars show percent of master nodes. All configurations had 50 nodes.
a SP $=40 \%$ yields the smallest number of piconets for MP $=\{50 \%, 60 \%, 70 \%, 80 \%\}$ for 50 node scenarios.

Figure 6.6 shows the average slaves/piconet as a function of both master and slave inquiry probabilities. For this figure the unconnected node inquiry probability (UP) was set to $10 \%$ and the number of nodes was fixed to 50 . For master inquiry probabilities (MP) of $50,60,70$ and 80 percent it can be seen from the figure that a slave probability of $40 \%$ yields the highest number of average slaves/piconet. For an MP of $90 \%$ the highest number of average slaves/piconet is reached with SP of $30 \%$. The SP $=40 \%$, MP $=80 \%$ configuration yields the highest number of average slaves/piconet, 2.471 slaves/piconet.

Figure 6.4, Figure 6.5 and Figure 6.6 show three measures of how good a network topology is. If we compare the results of these three figures we can conclude that the configuration UP $=10 \%, \mathrm{SP}=40 \%, \mathrm{MP}=80 \%$ yields a network topology that has optimal number of slaves/piconet, master/slave nodes and number of piconets. A network

Average Number of Piconets vs. Master Inq Prob (50Nodes, Mscat ON)


Figure 6.5: Average number of piconets vs. master and slave inq probability
This figure shows the average number of piconets as a function of master and slave inquiry probability. Smaller number of piconets for a fixed number of nodes indicates denser network topology. For this graph the UP was held constant at $10 \%$.
topology formed with these parameters will have optimal density and minimal number of average hops between any two nodes in the network.

### 6.3 Mscat - Self-healing Analysis

As outlined in Section 4.1 the mscat protocol is initiated by a node performing inquiry. Since nodes in the network periodically go into inquiry, as they are required by the Bluetooth specification, the mscat protocol is capable of merging a new node in an already existing scatternet. In order to answer the question of how long does it take to merge a new node in an already existing scatternet twenty one configurations were run. The slave inquiry probability was varied from $30 \%$ to $90 \%$ in $10 \%$ increments while the master inquiry probability was held constant at $80 \%$ and the unconnected node inquiry probability was held at $10 \%$. Scenarios containing 30 , 50 and 70 nodes were examined. For each configuration file the simulator ran 5 simulations with unique random number generator seeds.

## Average Slaves per Piconet vs. Master Inq Prob. (\%) 50 Nodes/MSCAT ON



Figure 6.6: Average slaves/piconet vs. master inq prob and slave inq prob
This figure shows the average slaves/piconet as a function of the master and slave inquiry probability. 2.471 is the maximum number of slaves/piconet, obtained with the configuration $\mathrm{SP}=40 \%, \mathrm{MP}=80 \%$. Unconnected node (UP) inquiry probability was set to $10 \%$.

Figure 6.7 shows the merging time as a function of the slave inquiry probability. From the figure it is evident that network topologies of 30, 50 and 70 nodes created without the mscat protocol provide for a shorter merging time of a new node. This is expected because in a network created with the mscat protocol upon a slave discovering a new node it attempts to have it's master connect to it first, time consuming activity which is not avoidable.


Figure 6.7: Merging time as a function of slave inquiry probability
This figure shows the merging time as a function of the slave inquiry probability. The unconnected probability is held constant at $10 \%$ and the master inquiry probability is held constant at $80 \%$. The merging times for networks with mscat are higher than the merging times for networks formed without mscat.

## Chapter 7

## Conclusion

The work presented in this masters of science thesis document includes two major contributions to the field of Bluetooth enabled ad-hoc networks. The first one is the new scatternet formation and maintenance protocol and the second one is the examination of the self-healing property of the protocol. Since there has been only one publication that explores the self-healing property of a scatternet formation protocol this work is unique and different in the sense that it is a first attempt at gathering numerical results that will quantify the properties associated with self-healing. Furthermore this work shows that for specific scenarios a scatternet formation protocol can and should be designed to also act as a scatternet maintenance protocol.

The results from Chapter 6 show that mscat creates dense network topologies for networks of 30, 50 and 70 nodes. Networks using the mscat protocol have approximately $33 \%$ increase in slaves/piconet and a reduction of approximately $12.5 \%$ of average roles/ node. The mean shortest path between any two nodes in a scatternet was approximately $14.7 \%$ lower for scatternets formed with the mscat protocol. For 50 node scenarios the set of parameters which creates the best determined outcome is unconnected node inquiry probability (UP) $=10 \%$, master node inquiry probability (MP) $=80 \%$ and slave inquiry probability $(S P)=40 \%$.

The merging time of a new node was found to be higher for scatternets using the mscat protocol. This indicates that the mscat protocol creates denser networks at the expense of time required to merge new nodes.

### 7.1 Future Work

The work presented in this document makes certain assumptions which can be removed or relaxed in order to get a better picture about the scatternet topologies formed with the proposed protocol. The assumption that nodes in the simulation can have perfect and instantaneous routing information is not realistic. Implementing a real routing protocol and examining how it affects the performance of the scatternet formation and maintenance protocol can be the next step in this study.

The way shared slaves and master/slave nodes switch piconets in the simulator is rudimentary. Currently the decision to switch piconets is based on a simple timer T_switchPiconetTo which is set at the beginning of the simulation. A more intelligent way of deciding when a shared slave or master/slave node switches piconets can be implemented for a more accurate measure of the performance of the scatternet topology. This is a quality of service issue which was not the main focus of this study but nonetheless it should be investigated and included in a more through study.

The simulator can also be extended to implement a more accurate free space radio propagation model. As discussed in Section 5.2, the simulator currently implements very rudimentary interference model, thus a receiver will receive a packet only if the sender is within 10 meter radius and there are no other transmissions in the receiver's radio range on the same frequency.
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## Appendix A

## General Parameters For Simulations

## A. 1 Timer Values

Below is an excerpt from a configuration file that shows the timer values used for all of the simulations which generated the data presented in this document.

```
###############################
# Timer values in simulation
################################
# Commented values are in form (low-default-high), indicating the range
# that the value can be in to be specification compliant. The defaults are very
# long range, in that over a very long time, a lot of devices will get into the
# network. But to obtain high speed connections, different values need to be
# used.
# Note: We don't have a Page Scan Interval Timeout (length of time between
# consecutive page scans) and PAGE_SCAN_TO should be set to less than that value.
# We go into Page_scan every time an inquiry_scan finds somebody, so at maximum,
# we will go into page_scan every (T_INQUIRY_SCAN + T_INQUIRY_SCAN_TO) ticks.
# Thus, use that value as the upper bound of T_PAGE_SCAN_TO. Maximally it should
# be 4096.
T_PAGE_TO 3000 # (1-8192-65440)
T_PAGE_SCAN_TO 600 # (17-18-?-4096) right now, less than 2348
T_PAGE_RESPONSE_TO 20 # (?-8-?)
T_INQUIRY_TO 2048 # (2048-?-98308)
T_INQUIRY_SCAN_TO 300 # (17-18-4096)
# this timer indicates how often a node moves from CONNECTED
# to either INQUIRY or INQUIRY SCAN states
T_INQUIRY_SCAN 500 # (18-4096-4096) +/- 10% of value chosen randomly (so max setting is
3723)
# For the supervision timeouts, they shoudl be at least:
# T_PAGE_RESPONSE_TO + T_NEW_CONNECTION_TO + T_SWITCH_PICONET_TO + 2*T_INQUIRY_SCAN +
# max((T_INQUIRY_TO + T_PAGE_TO),(T_INQUIRY_SCAN_TO + T_PAGE_SCAN_TO))
# with values currently set, this is about > 6500...
T_SUPERVISION_TO 7000 # (1-32000-65440)
T_SLAVE_SUPERVISION_TO 7000 # (1-32000-65440)
T_NEW_CONNECTION_TO 60 # (?-32-?)
T_INTERLACED_PAGE_SCAN_TO 2048 # Replacement PAGE_SCAN_TO when unconnected. still needs to obey
T_PAGE_SCAN_TO ranges
T_SWITCH_PICONET_TO 50 # shared devices want to switch their current piconet after this amount
of time
# MSCAT related timer(s)
T_MSCAT_TO_STAGE1 8000 # good value should be around 3 * T_PAGE_TO, maybe :)
T_MSCAT_TO_STAGE2 80 # for stage 2 of mscat algorithm
```


## A. 2 Sample Config File

## Below is a sample configuration file used for a simulation.

```
# Author: Rade Trimceski
# This is an Mscat.java input configuration file
# Any line in this file that starts with a # is a comment and
# is ignored by the program parser.
#
#
# GUI parameter, FALSE - GUI turned off; TRUE - GUI turned on
GUI TRUE
# Random seed to be used, comment out if you want to use the system
# clock to generate the random seed. If you know the seed used for
# a particular simulation and want to replicate it, use the same seed
#SEED 1081193916071
# Debugging parameters, TRUE - DEBUG ON; FALSE - DEBUG OFF
DEBUG_BTNode TRUE
DEBUG_Mscat TRUE
# Use stage 1 of the mscat protocol
MSCAT_1 TRUE
# Use stage 2 of the mscat protocol
MSCAT_2 TRUE
# Time (in Bluetooth ticks, i.e. }625\mathrm{ us) at which the sumulation terminates
ENDTIME 400000
SIMPLE_ADR TRUE
# Number of nodes in the simulation
SIMPLE_NODES 50
# How big is the simulation space in X,Y coordinates (in meters)
MAX_X 30
MAX_Y 30
# Scale and Edge are parameters for the GUI, if no GUI is used you can omit them
# Bigger SCALE number means bigger GUI window.
# EDGE is the boundary space around where the nodes go, so that there can't be a node all the way at the
edge of the
# window. Its value is in pixels.
SCALE 10
EDGE 50
# Probabilites for each node in the simulation, depending on its role
# in the simulation.
# The numbers you can input are double and should be between 0 and 1
# Play nice, I don't do any error checking
UNCONNECTED_INQ_PROB 0.1
MASTER_INQ_PROB 0.9
SLAVE_INQ_PROB 0.3
SHARED_S_S_INQ_PROB 0.004
###############################
# Timer values in simulation
################################
# Commented values are in form (low-default-high), indicating the range
# that the value can be in to be specification compliant. The defaults are very
```

```
# long range, in that over a very long time, a lot of devices will get into the
# network. But to obtain high speed connections, different values need to be
# used.
# Note: We don't have a Page Scan Interval Timeout (length of time between
# consecutive page scans) and PAGE_SCAN_TO should be set to less than that value.
# We go into Page_scan every time an inquiry_scan finds somebody, so at maximum,
# we will go into page_scan every (T_INQUIRY_SCAN + T_INQUIRY_SCAN_TO) ticks.
# Thus, use that value as the upper bound of T_PAGE_SCAN_TO. Maximally it should
# be 4096.
T_PAGE_TO 3000 # (1-8192-65440)
T_PAGE_SCAN_TO 600 # (17-18-?-4096) right now, less than 2348
T_PAGE_RESPONSE_TO 20 # (?-8-?)
T_INQUIRY_TO 2048 # (2048-?-98308)
T_INQUIRY_SCAN_TO 300 # (17-18-4096)
# this timer indicates how often a node moves from CONNECTED
# to either INQUIRY or INQUIRY SCAN states
T_INQUIRY_SCAN 500 # (18-4096-4096) +/- 10% of value chosen randomly (so max setting is
3723)
# For the supervision timeouts, they shoudl be at least:
# T_PAGE_RESPONSE_TO + T_NEW_CONNECTION_TO + T_SWITCH_PICONET_TO + 2*T_INQUIRY_SCAN +
# max((T_INQUIRY_TO + T_PAGE_TO),(T_INQUIRY_SCAN_TO + T_PAGE_SCAN_TO))
# with values currently set, this is about > 6500...
T_SUPERVISION_TO 7000 # (1-32000-65440)
T_SLAVE_SUPERVISION_TO 7000 # (1-32000-65440)
T_NEW_CONNECTION_TO 60 # (?-32-?)
T_INTERLACED_PAGE_SCAN_TO 2048 # Replacement PAGE_SCAN_TO when unconnected. still needs to obey
T_PAGE_SCAN_TO ranges
T_SWITCH_PICONET_TO 50 # shared devices want to switch their current piconet after this amount
of time
# MSCAT related timer(s)
T_MSCAT_TO_STAGE1 8000 # good value should be around 3 * T_PAGE_TO, maybe :)
T_MSCAT_TO_STAGE2 80 # for stage 2 of mscat algorithm
```


## Appendix B

Tables of Data




| UP_PROB_M_ON RUN |  | NODES_M_ON C |  | UCON_M_ON | avg_slv_pi_M_ON | mstrs_M_ON | slvs_M_ON | Ss_M_ON | ms_M_ON | avg_role_M_ON | scat_tim_M_ON b | bw_util_M_ON | msp_visb_M_ON | msp_scat_M_ON |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 1 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 2.416666667 | 8 | 11 | 7 | 4 | $4 \quad 1.366666667$ | 24.428125 | 26.17514502 | 2.15172 | 6.18851 |
|  | 2 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 2.307692308 | 11 | 6 | 11 | 2 | 1.433333333 | 10.714375 | 22.92411889 | 1.88046 | 6.53563 |
|  | 3 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 2.230769231 | 12 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 1.4 | 10.946875 | 20.73342429 | 2.53563 | 6.63218 |
|  | 4 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 2.416666667 | 11 |  | 10 | 1 | 1.366666667 |  | 23.82332129 | 2.3931 |  |
|  | 5 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 2.727272727 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 2 | 1.366666667 | 15.263125 | 31.88854276 | 2.10805 | 5.70575 |
| 20 | 1 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 2.416666667 | 11 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 1.366666667 | 6.85125 | 22.65619392 | 2.08966 | 6.92874 |
|  | 2 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 2.230769231 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 3 | 31.4 | 15.96625 | 25.39110042 | 1.91954 | 7.26897 |
|  | 3 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 2.230769231 | 12 | 6 | 11 | 1 | $1 \quad 1.4$ | 12.411875 | 21.82479423 | 2.2069 | 7.91034 |
|  | 4 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0.2 .5 | 10 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 21.4 | 12.914375 | 23.83197088 | 2.10805 | 5.73103 |
|  | 5 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 2.230769231 | 12 | 6 | 11 | 1 | $1 \quad 1.4$ | 8.995 | 23.10188781 | 2.5908 | 6.3931 |
| 30 | 1 | 30 | 29 | 1 | 12.142857143 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 3 | 31.482758621 | 24.0225 | 23.66002849 | 2.58161 | 6.57143 |
|  | 2 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 1.933333333 | 13 | ${ }^{3}$ | 12 | 2 | 1.466666667 | 8.7375 | 23.71074618 | 2.18621 | 7.52414 |
|  | 3 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 2.071428571 | 14 | 3 | 13 | 0 | - 1.433333333 | 12.478125 | 20.11526353 | 2.11724 | 6.97011 |
|  | 4 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 2.071428571 | 12 | 5 | 11 | 2 | 1.433333333 | 12.460625 | 24.14780216 | 2.38851 | 6.35862 |
|  | 5 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 2.142857143 | 12 | 4 | 12 | 2 | 2.466666667 | 23.13875 | 23.22237475 | 2.04828 | 7.4069 |
| 40 | 1 | 30 | 29 | 1 | 11.6875 | 14 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 1.482758621 |  | 23.86616026 | 2.22906 |  |
|  | 2 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 2.071428571 | 13 | 4 | 12 | 1 | 1.1 .433333333 | 13.744375 | 22.29887876 | 2.16552 | 6.11724 |
|  | 3 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 2.071428571 | 11 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 31.433333333 | 27.97125 | 24.82343924 | 2.4 | 6.71954 |
|  | 4 | 30 | 29 | 1 | 11.866666667 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 1.448275862 |  | 22.38073847 | 2 |  |
|  | 5 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 2.230769231 | 13 | 5 | 12 | 0 | - 1.4 | 29.74 | 21.31251381 | 2.09195 | 6.55862 |
| 50 | 1 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 1.933333333 | 13 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 1.466666667 | 14.54375 | 22.76787881 | 2.12874 | 6.65747 |
|  | 2 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 1.933333333 | 13 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 1.466666667 | 21.07875 | 21.78038837 | 2.1931 | 7.22989 |
|  | 3 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 1.533333333 | 12.213125 | 23.9000789 | 1.98805 | 6.06667 |
|  | 4 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 2.230769231 | 12 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 1.14 | 20.09125 | 21.83612426 | 2.4 | 7.56092 |
|  | 5 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 1.933333333 | 13 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 1.466666667 | 12.005 | 24.068192 | 2.18851 | 8.1954 |
| 60 | 1 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 2.142857143 | 12 | 5 | 11 | 2 | 1.433333333 | 31.104375 | 23.89354513 | 2.16552 | 4.1977 |
|  | 2 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 2.071428571 | 13 | 4 | 12 | 1 | 1.1433333333 |  | 22.73537855 | 2.13103 |  |
|  | 3 | 30 | 29 | 1 | 11.933333333 | 13 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 1.517241379 |  | 23.43961894 | 2.31494 |  |
|  | 4 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 02 | 11 | 4 | 11 | 4 | $4 \quad 1.5$ | 13.4 | 25.90399543 | 2.03218 | 7.05977 |
|  | 5 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 2.142857143 | 11 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 31.466666667 | 22.666875 | 24.01296016 | 2.13103 | 6.03218 |
| 70 | 1 | 30 | 29 | 1 | 11.86666667 | 12 | 4 | 10 | 3 | 31.448275862 |  | 25.13685813 | 2.58391 |  |
|  | 2 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 1.933333333 | 14 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 1.466666667 | 11.934375 | 23.23169279 | 2.4046 | 7.2092 |
|  | 3 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 1.933333333 | 14 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 1.466666667 | 24.225625 | 21.95600551 | 2.2 | 9.45057 |
|  | 4 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 1.933333333 | 13 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 2.466666667 | 24.980625 | 24.53350152 | 2.50345 | 6.6092 |
|  | 5 | 30 | 30 | 0 | - 1.933333333 | 12 | 4 | 11 | 3 | 1.46666667 | 20.42375 | 23.00937909 | 2.25747 | 7.77241 |
| 10 | 1 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 2.333333333 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 6 | 61.4 | 9.044375 | 26.75422308 | 2.33143 | 9.70612 |
|  | 2 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 2.333333333 | 17 | 13 | 16 | 4 | 41.4 | 18.239375 | 25.47140819 | 2.32 | 9.24163 |
|  | 3 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 2.833333333 | 16 | 15 | 17 | 2 | 21.38 | 20.88 | 23.17024564 | 2.04816 | 6.80082 |
|  | 4 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 2.380952381 | 17 | 12 | 17 | 4 | $4 \quad 1.42$ | 14.854375 | 24.46520912 | 2.21061 | 7.61551 |
|  | 5 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 2.631578947 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 4 | 41.38 | 13.856875 | 25.4706725 | 2.09878 | 8.05714 |
| 20 | 1 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 2.041666667 | 18 | 9 | 17 | 6 | $6 \quad 1.46$ |  | 27.00027504 | 2.34857 |  |
|  | 2 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 1.96 | 17 | 9 | 16 | 8 | $8 \quad 1.48$ | 34.540625 | 28.36035152 | 2.34776 | 11.8098 |
|  | 3 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 1.96 | 19 | 7 | 18 | 6 | $6 \quad 1.48$ | 43.675 | 25.9408352 | 2.46286 | 9.80327 |
|  | 4 | 50 | 49 | 1 | 12.227272727 | 16 | 12 | 15 | 6 | 1.428571429 | 14.91375 | 25.13802121 | 2.15347 | 10.6616 |
|  | 5 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 2.130434783 | 20 | 8 | 19 | 3 | $3 \quad 1.44$ | 17.09375 | 23.34094031 | 2.06612 | 9.34286 |
| 30 | 1 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 2.428571429 | 18 | 10 | 19 | 3 | 31.44 | 20.635625 | 23.89197424 | 2.43184 | 8.81796 |
|  | 2 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 2.227272727 | 19 | 10 | 18 | 3 | $3 \quad 1.42$ | 22.42 | 24.74516317 | 2.50367 | 9.81061 |
|  | 3 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 2.041666667 | 22 | 5 | 21 | 2 | 21.46 | 22.570625 | 22.36977568 | 2.16 | 10.2784 |
|  | 4 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 2.5 | 19 | 11 | 19 | 1 | 1.14 | 25.969375 | 21.39177363 | 2.03265 | 8.43673 |
|  | 5 | 50 | 49 | 1 | $1 \quad 2.45$ | 18 | 12 | 17 | 2 | 1.387755102 | 15.325625 | 22.47683305 | 2.19918 | 9.04592 |
| 40 | 1 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 2.333333333 | 20 | 10 | 19 | 1 | $1 \quad 1.4$ | 16.51375 | 20.97820256 | 2.25959 | 9.60408 |
|  | 2 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 2.227272727 | 18 | 11 | 17 | 4 | $4 \quad 1.42$ | 18.05625 | 24.64574192 | 2.37061 | 8.8898 |
|  | 3 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 2.130434783 | 19 | 9 | 18 | 4 | $4 \quad 1.44$ | 21.47125 | 23.96360214 | 2.06612 | 10.1967 |
|  | 4 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 2.041666667 | 19 | 8 | 18 | 5 | $5 \quad 1.46$ | 17.351875 | 23.2410323 | 2.10204 | 8.59592 |
|  | 5 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 2.5 | 17 | 13 | 17 | 3 | 31.4 | 17.90375 | 23.10852448 | 2.17061 | 9.93306 |
| 50 | 1 | 50 | 50 | 0 | O 1.884615385 | 22 | 3 | 21 | 4 | $4{ }^{4} 1.5$ | 24.45875 | 23.88552192 | 2.03837 | 9.37306 |
|  | 2 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 4 | 21 | 3 | $3 \quad 1.48$ | 27.515625 | 22.51908866 | 2.01224 | 7.60327 |
|  | 3 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 2.041666667 | 20 | 7 | 19 | 4 | $4 \quad 1.46$ | 21.79 | 24.00369845 | 2.29796 | 10.36 |
|  | 4 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 2.083333333 | 22 | 4 | 22 | 2 | $2 \quad 1.48$ | 26.87375 | 22.32938621 | 2.20735 | 8.02286 |
|  | 5 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 1.96 | 23 | 3 | 22 | 2 | 21.48 | 24.2375 | 22.01982279 | 2.12408 | 9.26204 |
| 60 | 1 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 2.130434783 | 20 | 8 | 19 | 3 | 31.44 | 18.424375 | 22.41490267 | 2.05224 | 8.35265 |
|  | 2 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 1.884615385 | 21 | 5 | 19 | 5 | $5 \quad 1.48$ | 24.4325 | 23.84543637 | 2.07918 | 4.8775 |
|  | 3 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 1.814814815 | 20 | 4 | 19 | 7 | $7 \quad 1.52$ | 49.591875 | 25.76224017 | 2.15102 | 9.91837 |
|  | 4 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 2.041666667 | 21 | 6 | 20 | 3 | $3 \quad 1.46$ | 14.0325 | 23.9586893 | 2.28571 | 8.89469 |
|  | 5 | 50 | 49 | 1 | $1 \quad 1.884615385$ | 21 | 3 | 20 | 5 | 51.510204082 | 19.884375 | 25.15901018 | 2.14939 | 9.27211 |
| 70 | 1 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 2.041666667 | 22 | 5 | 21 | 2 | 21.46 | 21.750625 | 22.22229695 | 2.26612 | 7.72735 |
|  | 2 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 1.884615385 | 22 | 3 | 21 | 4 | $4{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 19.57125 | 23.51115729 | 2.03673 | 9.13143 |
|  | 3 | 50 | 50 | 0 | - 1.75 | 20 | 3 | 19 | 8 | B 1.54 | 37.3275 | 27.69245927 | 2.23102 | 9.40653 |
|  | 4 | 50 | 50 |  | - 1.884615385 | 20 | 5 | 19 | 6 | $6 \quad 1.5$ | 29.18625 | 26.12260556 | 2.29959 | 10.0735 |
|  | 5 | 50 | 50 | 0 | $0 \quad 1.814814815$ | 21 | 3 | 20 | 6 | $6 \quad 1.52$ | 46.166875 | 24.57706622 | 2.1249 | 8.96653 |
| 10 | 1 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 2.5 | ${ }^{23}$ | 19 | ${ }^{23}$ | 5 | 5 | 15.785 | 24.10098487 | 2.23313 | 8.68199 |
|  | 2 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 2.76 | 24 | 22 | 23 | 1 | 11.342857143 | 11.556875 | 20.47169309 | 2.20828 | 10.9172 |
|  | 3 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 2.76 | 21 | 25 | 20 | 4 | 41.342857143 | 11.489375 | 24.57703781 | 2.14824 | 10.8277 |
|  | 4 | 70 | 70 | 0 | - 2.35483871 | 21 | 15 | 24 | 10 | 1.485714286 | 14.218125 | 25.8186001 | 2.24886 | 10.1843 |
|  | 5 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 2.5 | 24 | 18 | 24 | 4 | $4 \quad 1.4$ | 28.7075 | 24.04602033 | 2.15114 | 9.65921 |
| 20 | 1 | 70 | 70 | 0 | - 2.290322581 | 27 | 12 | 27 | 4 | $4 \quad 1.442857143$ | 12.818125 | ${ }^{23.22026035}$ | 2.03934 | 10.4697 |
|  | 2 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 2.4 | 25 | 13 | 27 | 5 | $5 \quad 1.457142857$ | 35.12875 | 24.20482033 | 2.0559 | 10.2799 |
|  | 3 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 2.3 | 25 | 16 | 24 | 5 | 51.414285714 | 16.184375 | 22.10275867 | 2.14824 | 11.5843 |
|  | 4 | 70 | 70 | 0 | - 2.121212121 | 25 | 12 | 25 | 8 | B 1.471428571 | 17.900625 | 25.27976179 | 2.17805 | 10.3731 |
|  | 5 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 2.15625 | 26 | 13 | 25 | 6 | ¢ 1.442857143 | 27.15375 | 25.22560559 | 2.31553 | 11.9992 |
| 30 | 1 | 70 | 70 | 0 | - 2.121212121 | 28 | 9 | 28 | 5 | 51.471428571 | 19.005 | 23.53466517 | 2.13954 | 9.54824 |
|  | 2 | 70 | 69 | 1 | $1 \quad 1.971428571$ | 31 | 4 | 30 | 4 | 1.492753623 | ${ }^{36.703125}$ | ${ }^{23.20371644}$ | 2.05549 | 10.7084 |
|  | 3 | 70 | 70 | 0 | - 2.379310345 | 28 | 14 | 27 | 1 | $1 \quad 1.4$ | 18.543125 | 20.47934317 | 2.15362 | 10.7946 |
|  | 4 | 70 | 70 | 0 | - 2.151515152 | 30 | 5 | 32 | 3 | $3 \quad 1.5$ | 15.108125 | 22.35999041 | 2.13085 | 12.583 |
|  | 5 | 70 | 70 | 0 | - 2.1875 | 29 | 9 | 29 | 3 | 31.457142857 | 18.523125 | 23.02733452 | 2.21615 | 11.3723 |
| 40 | 1 | 70 | 70 | 0 | - 2.029411765 | 28 | 9 | 27 | 6 | 61.471428571 | 17.995625 | 23.42589398 | 2.50807 | 10.5967 |
|  | 2 | 70 | 70 | 0 | - 2.029411765 | 29 | 8 | 28 | 5 | 51.471428571 | 16.52 | 22.58503563 | 2.18965 | 11.9151 |
|  | 3 | 70 | 70 | 0 | - 2.090909091 | 28 | 11 | 26 | 5 | $5 \quad 1.442857143$ | 28.69625 | 23.81096682 | 2.2294 | 8.95362 |
|  | 4 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 0 2.15625 | 27 | 12 | 26 | 5 | $5 \quad 1.442857143$ | 18.693125 | 23.69377864 | 2.30849 | 10.6534 |
|  | 5 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 1.971428571 | 30 | 6 | 29 | 5 | 51.485714286 | 26.1525 | 22.85505767 | 2.06832 | 13.1139 |
| 50 | 1 | 70 | 70 | 0 | - 1.944444444 | 29 | 5 | 29 | 7 | 7.1514285714 | 45.8 | 24.81423072 | 2.18758 | 10.9325 |
|  | 2 | 70 | 70 | 0 | - 2.029411765 | 28 | 8 | 28 | 6 | 61.485714286 | 19.215 | 22.52651943 | 2.32464 | 13.5851 |
|  | 3 | 70 | 70 | 0 | - 1.971428571 | 29 | 7 | 28 | 6 | 61.485714286 | 26.096875 | 22.84657327 | 2.2323 | 12.2029 |
|  | 4 | 70 | 69 | 1 | $1 \quad 2.1875$ | 30 | 8 | 29 | 2 | 2 1.449275362 | 41.4575 | 21.5766379 | 2.06335 | 10.15 |
|  | 5 | 70 | 70 | 0 | - 1.971428571 | 30 | 5 | 30 | 5 | $6 \quad 1.5$ | 26.4675 | 22.7220275 | 2.19337 | 13.6174 |
| 60 | 1 | 70 | 70 | 0 | - 1.864864865 | 31 | 4 | 29 | 6 | $6 \quad 1.5$ | 26.779375 | 23.50900551 | 2.10352 | 10.6994 |
|  | 2 | 70 | 70 | 0 | - 2.121212121 | 26 | 11 | 26 | 7 | 1.471428571 | 37.595 | 23.78168043 | 2.18261 | 10.1992 |
|  | 3 | 70 | 70 | 0 | - 1.971428571 | 27 | 9 | 26 | 8 | B 1.485714286 | 41.113562 | 25.47072336 | 2.18841 | 11.9462 |
|  | 4 | 70 | 69 | 1 | 12.058823529 | 28 | 7 | 28 | 6 | ¢ 1.492753623 | 36.01125 | 23.98803769 | 2.10104 | 11.8065 |
|  | 5 | 70 | 70 | 0 | - 2.090909091 | 29 | 9 | 28 | 4 | $4 \quad 1.457142857$ | 20.935 | 22.67837171 | 2.01946 | 12.1404 |
| 70 | 1 | 70 | 69 | 1 | $1 \quad 1.916666667$ | 30 | 4 | 29 | 6 | $6 \quad 1.507246377$ | 36.52 | 22.35446874 | 2.06501 | 9.59761 |
|  | 2 | 70 | 70 | 0 | - 1.864864865 | 30 | 5 | 28 | 7 | $7 \quad 1.5$ | 42.751875 | 23.97148565 | 2.10807 | 3.75776 |
|  | 3 | 70 | 70 | 0 | - 1.769230769 | 26 | 7 | 24 | 13 | 1.588571429 | 27.99 | 26.85404432 | 2.12961 | 9.35031 |
|  | 4 | 70 | 69 | 1 | 12.029411765 | 30 | 6 | 29 | 4 | 1.47826087 | 33.140625 | 22.54109208 | 2.39089 | 14.2967 |
|  | 5 | 70 | 70 | 0 | - 1.916666667 | 29 | 6 | 28 | 7 | $7 \quad 1.5$ | 29.564375 | 23.658148 | 2.05135 | 12.0654 |


| 30 | ${ }^{\text {RuN }}$ |  |  | , | Slipil M-ON | ${ }_{10}{ }^{\text {s }}$ | ON ${ }^{\text {ss }}$ | On ms | O ${ }^{2}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {lim M M ON }}^{150875}$ | Wwill Mon | -MoN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30 | 30 | ${ }_{30}$ | 0 | 2.416066671 | 10 | ${ }_{7}$ | 9 | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{1.366666667}$ | 15.206875 |  | 2.03988 | ${ }^{6.92284}$ |
|  | ${ }_{3}$ | 30 30 | 30 30 | 0 | ${ }_{2}^{2} 2007692308$ | ${ }_{9}^{10}$ | 8 | 9 | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.4333333333}$ | ${ }_{21.568875}^{18.5425}$ | ${ }_{27.55906733}^{2.537363}$ | 2.148383 2.4388 | ¢ ${ }_{6}^{6.029859}$ |
|  | 4 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 2.727272727 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 1 | 1.36666667 | 31.261875 | 24.16528491 | 2.98851 |  |
|  | 5 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 2.636336336 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 1.333333333 | 22.00375 | 21.15673198 | 2.12414 | 6.14943 |
| 40 |  | 30 | ${ }^{30}$ | 0 | 2.142857143 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 1.466666667 | 15.533125 | ${ }_{32.85631937}$ | 2.12874 | 7.24828 |
|  |  | ${ }^{30}$ | ${ }^{30}$ | 0 | 2.153846154 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 3 | 1.3666666677 |  | ${ }^{30.812820361}$ | 1.12884 |  |
|  |  | 30 | 30 | 0 | 2.636363636 | 7 | 13 | 6 | 4 | 1.333333333 | 20.07875 | 35.5733312 | 2.14883 | ${ }_{6} .24368$ |
|  |  | 30 | 30 | 0 | 1.933333333 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 8 | 1.46666667 | 13.28325 | 30.9311022 | 2.03908 | 7.2023 |
|  |  | 30 | 29 | 1 | 2.076933077 | 12 | 6 | 10 | 1 | 1.379310345 |  | 22.7691061 | 2.11576 |  |
| 50 | 501 | 30 | ${ }_{30}$ | 0 | 2.6363363636 | 8 | 12 | 7 | 3 | 1.333333333 | 24.26375 | 25.69206915 | 2.29195 | ${ }^{6.36322}$ |
|  | 2 | ${ }^{30}$ | ${ }^{30}$ | 0 | 2.071428571 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 1.483333333 | 28.609375 | 26.71804291 | 2.81839 | ${ }^{6.08966}$ |
|  | 3 | 30 | ${ }^{30}$ | 0 | 3.222222222 | 9 | ${ }^{13}$ | 8 |  | 1.266666667 | 41.971875 | ${ }^{20.07250557}$ | 2.35632 | 5.66667 |
|  | 4 | 30 | ${ }^{30}$ | 0 | 2 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 1.4 |  | 27.31138352 | 1.98621 |  |
|  | 5 | 30 | ${ }_{30}$ | 0 | ${ }_{2}^{2.3333333333}$ | 10 | ${ }^{10}$ | 8 | ${ }^{2}$ | 1.3333333333 |  | 25.20062408 | 2.09655 |  |
| 60 | 601 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 2.071428571 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 6 | ${ }_{1.46666667}$ |  | 31.21566141 | 2.4023 |  |
|  | 2 | 30 | ${ }^{30}$ | 0 | 2.071428571 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 1.433333333 | 18.63125 | ${ }^{26.64563536}$ | ${ }_{2} 233793$ | 5.90115 |
|  | 3 | 30 | ${ }^{30}$ | 0 | 2.41666667 | 11 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 1.366666667 | 35.553125 | 23.34375582 | 2.42989 | ${ }^{7} .47756$ |
|  | 4 | 30 | ${ }^{30}$ | 0 | 3.222222222 | 9 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 1.266666667 | 28.005625 | 20.55614328 | 2.03908 | 6.0069 |
|  | 5 | 30 | 30 | 0 | ${ }^{2} 2333333333$ | 8 | 12 | 6 | 4 | 1.133333333 |  | 28.39155961 | 2.47816 |  |
| 70 | 01 | ${ }^{30}$ | ${ }^{30}$ | 0 | 1.705882353 | 9 | 5 | 8 | 8 | 1.533333333 | 32.9625 | 27.5205761 | 1.8092 | 5.7977 |
|  | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }^{30}$ | ${ }^{30}$ | 0 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 1.4 |  | 27.40879749 | 2.41839 |  |
|  | ${ }^{3}$ | 30 | ${ }^{30}$ | 0 | ${ }^{2} .63636363636$ | 9 | 11 | 8 | 2 | ${ }^{1.3333333333}$ | 35.129375 | 24.92148804 | 2.18851 | ${ }^{6.62069}$ |
|  |  | 30 | ${ }^{30}$ | 0 | 2.416666667 | 8 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 1.366666667 | 30.09375 | 27.14445788 | 2.70575 | 6.78821 |
|  | 5 | ${ }^{30}$ | ${ }^{30}$ | 0 | 1.866666667 | 10 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 1.43333333 |  | 27.92003053 | 2.22529 |  |
| 80 | 30 | ${ }^{30}$ | ${ }^{30}$ | 0 | 2.416668667 | 6 | 13 | 5 | 6 | 1.366666667 | 18.63125 | 32.45965994 | 1.622099 | ${ }_{6.58391}$ |
|  | ${ }_{3}$ | 30 | ${ }_{30}$ | 0 | ${ }^{2}$ | ${ }_{7}$ | 10 | 6 | ${ }^{6}$ | 1.4 |  | 30.17888131 | 2.48276 |  |
|  | 3 | ${ }^{30}$ | ${ }^{30}$ | 0 | 2.071428571 | 7 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 1.433333333 | 44.9525 | 31.35695519 | 2.0023 | 5.88 |
|  | 4 | 30 | ${ }^{30}$ | 0 | 1.928571429 | 6 | ${ }^{13}$ | 3 | 8 | 1.36666667 |  | 32.10318243 | 2.6 |  |
|  | 5 | ${ }^{30}$ | ${ }^{30}$ | 0 | 1.8 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 1.4 |  | 33.12240934 | ${ }_{2} .12644$ |  |
| 90 | 91 | ${ }^{30}$ | ${ }^{30}$ | 0 | ${ }^{1.8666666767}$ | 7 | 10 | 5 | 7 | ${ }^{1.433333333}$ |  | ${ }^{32.90532841}$ | ${ }^{2.13333}$ |  |
|  | 2 | 30 | ${ }^{30}$ | 0 | 2.1538466154 | 7 | 13 | 4 | , | ${ }^{1.366666667}$ |  | ${ }^{31.827989063}$ | 2.02899 |  |
|  | 3 4 | 30 30 | 29 30 | 1 | ${ }_{2.230769231}^{2.166667}$ | 7 | ${ }_{11}^{13}$ | ${ }_{6}^{4}$ | 5 | 1.310344828 | 42804375 | 30.24066819 27.738894 | 2.12414 2.1609 | 5.3954 |
|  | 5 | 30 | 30 | 0 | ${ }_{2}$ | 8 | 12 | 5 | 5 | ${ }_{1.33333333}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 24.42146622 | 2.28885 | 5. |
| 30 | 30 | 50 | ${ }^{50}$ | 0 | 3.0225 | ${ }^{15}$ | ${ }^{20}$ | 14 | 1 | 1.3 | 14.668125 | 25.09266447 | 2.25714 | ${ }^{9.42857}$ |
|  | ${ }^{2}$ | 50 50 | ${ }_{50}^{50}$ | 0 | 2.173993043 | ${ }_{16}^{16}$ | 12 | 15 15 | 7 | 1.44 | 15.19125 | ${ }_{2}^{24.418788591}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2.32286}$ | ${ }^{8.39429}$ |
|  | 3 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 2.5 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 5 | 1.4 | 8.9725 | 25.46035799 | 2.36816 | 7.18841 |
|  | 4 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 2.5 | 17 | 13 | 17 | 3 | 1.4 | 18.963125 | 31.29583032 | 22098 | 7.51837 |
|  | 40 |  | 50 | 50 | 0 | 2.631578947 | 17 | 14 | 17 | 2 | 1.38 | 20.5975 | 22.27063426 | 2.2702 | 7.62041 |
|  |  |  | 50 | 50 | 0 | ${ }^{2.227272727}$ | 16 | 13 | 15 | 6 | 1.42 | 16.95375 | 28.0955062 | 2.3282 | 8.65388 |
|  |  |  | 50 | 50 | 0 | 2.631578947 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 4 | 1.38 | 16.07625 | 24.03656231 | 2.14531 | ${ }^{6.82894}$ |
|  |  |  | 50 | ${ }_{50}$ | 0 | ${ }^{2} 2227272727$ | 15 | 14 | 14 | 7 | 1.42 | 26.06875 | 26.95826988 | 2.28408 | 7.91755 |
|  |  |  | 50 | 50 | 0 | 2.380952381 | 16 | 13 | 16 | 5 | 1.42 | 15.014375 | 25.20709555 | 2.09224 | 10.0996 |
| 50 |  | 50 | ${ }_{50}$ | 0 | 2.578947368 | 14 | 18 | 13 | 5 | 1.36 | 11.67 | ${ }^{25.167706}$ | 1.97469 | ${ }^{8.09633}$ |
|  |  | 50 50 | 50 50 | 0 | ${ }_{23}^{2,3333333333}$ | 14 | 16 | ${ }_{14}^{13}$ | 7 | 1.4 | ${ }_{2}^{23.9575}$ | ${ }^{27.2551064}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2} 306312$ | . 9.412124 |
|  |  | 50 | 50 | 0 | ${ }^{2.3333333333}$ | ${ }^{15}$ | ${ }^{15}$ | 14 | ${ }_{7}$ | 1.4 | 23.94875 | 24.29446713 | ${ }^{2} 220327$ | 7.77796 |
|  |  | 50 | ${ }_{50}$ | 0 | 2.318181818 | 15 | 12 | 16 | 7 | 1.46 | 23.050625 | 27.40306884 | 2.17388 | 8.08882 |
|  |  | 50 | ${ }^{50}$ | 0 | ${ }^{2} 2.227272727$ | 16 | ${ }^{13}$ | 15 | 6 | 1.42 | 33.274375 | 26.22742573 | 2.14939 | 9.77061 |
|  |  | 50 | 50 | 0 | ${ }^{2.22727272727}$ | 17 | 12 | 16 |  | 1.42 | 33.613125 | 28.90864983 | 2.12898 | 8.51184 |
| 60 | 601 | 50 50 | 50 50 | 0 | ${ }_{2}^{222727272727}$ | 12 | 17 | 11 | 10 | 1.42 | ${ }^{31.380025}$ | ${ }^{31.197881752}$ | 1.93878 | 10.2099 |
|  | 2 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 2.578947368 | 15 | 17 | 14 | 4 | 1.36 | 36.1 | 25.03116933 | ${ }^{2} 2.23347$ | 7.2751 |
|  | 3 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 2.631578947 | 14 | 17 | 14 | 5 | 1.38 | 43.168125 | 26.2328492 | ${ }^{2} 1.16245$ | ${ }_{6} 620816$ |
|  | 4 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 2.130434783 | ${ }^{13}$ | 15 | 12 | 10 | 1.44 | 34.809375 | 29.1851362 | 2.17714 | 8.80163 |
|  | 70 |  | 50 | 49 | 1 | 2.45 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 5 | 1.387755102 | 21.30875 | 25.77065094 | 2.13143 | 8.77741 |
|  |  |  | 50 | 49 | 1 | 2.9375 | 14 | 21 | 12 | ${ }^{2}$ | 1.285714286 |  | 22884577691 | 2.21224 |  |
|  |  |  | 50 | ${ }_{50}$ | 0 | 2.04166667 | 13 | 14 | 12 | 11 | 1.46 | 36.38125 | 29.50997952 | 2.57551 | ${ }^{8.76663}$ |
|  |  |  | 50 | ${ }_{50}$ | 0 | 2.380952381 | 13 | 16 | ${ }^{13}$ | 8 | 1.42 | 45.275 | 27.67435345 | 2.25469 | 7.01306 |
|  |  |  | 50 | ${ }_{50}$ | 0 | ${ }^{2} .130434783$ | 14 | 14 | 13 | 9 | 1.44 | 39.744375 | 28.8440564 | 2.45061 | 8.26449 |
|  |  |  | 50 | ${ }_{50}$ | 0 | 2.2173991304 | 14 | 12 | 15 | 9 | 1.48 | ${ }^{37.723125}$ | 25.96559519 | 2.2 | 6.58449 |
| 80 |  | 50 | ${ }_{50}$ | 0 | 2.272727273 | 15 | ${ }^{13}$ | 15 | 7 | 1.44 | 49.611875 | 27.86617254 | 2.20898 | 8.82857 |
|  |  | 50 | 50 50 | 0 | ${ }_{2}^{2.4}$ | 10 | ${ }_{2}^{22}$ | 8 | 10 | 1.36 |  | ${ }^{33.249625322}$ | 2.17306 |  |
|  |  | 50 | 50 | 0 | ${ }^{2.526315789}$ | 10 | ${ }^{23}$ | 8 | 9 | 1.34 |  | 33.84709899 | 2.16735 |  |
|  |  | 50 | 49 | 1 | 1.846153846 | 14 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 1.489795998 |  | 29.40678843 | 2.2449 |  |
|  |  | 50 | 50 | 0 | 1.96 | 11 | 15 | ${ }^{10}$ | 14 | 1.48 | 38.779375 | 32.14846013 | 2.10612 | 9.48882 |
| 90 |  | 50 | 50 | 0 | ${ }^{1.629829293}$ | 8 | ${ }^{21}$ | 2 | 19 | 1.42 |  | 36.2774682 | 2.04 |  |
|  |  | 50 50 | 50 50 | , |  | 11 | 19 | 8 | ${ }_{11}^{12}$ | 1.4 |  | ${ }^{30.788899162}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2,33469}$ |  |
|  |  | 50 <br> 50 | 50 50 | 0 | ${ }_{1.869565217}^{2.3}$ | 12 13 | 21 20 | ${ }_{10}^{6}$ | 11 7 | 1.34 <br> 1.34 |  | 30.26778171 29.7399754 | 2.170011 2.19184 |  |
|  |  | 50 | 50 |  | ${ }_{1.75}^{2 .}$ | 11 | 22 | 4 | 13 | 1.34 <br> 1.34 |  | 3238805578 | ${ }_{2}^{2.08816}$ |  |
| 30 |  | 70 | 70 | 0 | 2.5 | ${ }^{25}$ | 17 | ${ }^{25}$ |  | 1.4 | 13.54375 | ${ }^{22.001013763}$ | 2.28822 | 9.78854 |
|  |  | 70 | 70 | 0 | 2.84 | ${ }^{20}$ | 24 | 21 | 5 | 1.371428571 | 15.508125 | 25.38627747 | 2.10849 | ${ }^{8.6431}$ |
|  |  | 70 | 70 | 0 | 2.413793103 | 25 | 16 | ${ }^{25}$ | 4 | 1.141428574 | 18.96625 | ${ }^{23.00093355}$ | 2.12671 | 10.1561 |
|  |  | 70 | 70 | 0 | 2.3 | ${ }^{24}$ | 17 | ${ }^{23}$ | 6 | 1.141428574 | 11.2075 | 25.79913206 | 2.15569 | 11.4199 |
|  |  | 70 | ${ }^{69}$ | 1 | 2.6538466154 | ${ }_{21}^{23}$ | 19 | ${ }^{24}$ | 3 | ${ }^{1.3991304348}$ | 10.3775 | ${ }_{2}^{22,349298975}$ | 2.25997 | ${ }^{10.5259}$ |
| 40 |  | 70 70 | 70 70 | 0 | 2.6.92307692 | ${ }_{22}^{21}$ | 24 17 | 20 20 | 5 | 1.1 .57142857 <br> 1.42857143 | ${ }_{19}^{19.94375}$ | 24.2,2777276 25938979 | 2.15901 22277 | 8.71014 |
|  |  | 70 | 70 | 0 | ${ }_{2.33333333}^{2.2506936}$ | ${ }_{21}^{22}$ | 19 | ${ }_{21}^{22}$ | 9 | 1.1428571429 | 46.88275 | ${ }^{2} 2.7 .2889812831$ | 2.22277 2.2392 | $\xrightarrow{10.7164} \begin{aligned} & \text { 9.393 }\end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 70 | 70 | 0 | ${ }_{2.555555556}$ | 19 | 25 | 18 | - | 1.371428571 | 20.456875 | 29.00841506 | 1.96149 | 8.74824 |
|  |  | 70 | 70 | 0 | ${ }_{2}^{2} 379310345$ | 19 | 22 | 19 | 10 | 1.144285714 | 14.101875 | ${ }_{27.5635553}$ | 2.0646 | 11.6269 |
| 50 |  | ${ }^{70}$ | 70 | 0 | 2.482758621 | ${ }^{22}$ | 19 | ${ }^{22}$ | 7 | 1.144285774 | 24.880625 | 26.553865 | ${ }^{2} .06294$ | 8.68986 |
|  |  | 70 | 70 | 0 | 2.730769231 | ${ }^{20}$ | ${ }^{23}$ | ${ }^{21}$ | 6 | 1.385774286 | 21.388125 | ${ }^{23.777187796}$ | 2.21366 | 9.34617 |
|  |  | 70 | 70 | 0 | 2.225806452 | 21 | 19 | 20 | 10 | 1.428857429 | 19.61 | ${ }^{27.37271733}$ | 2.19048 | 10.5296 |
|  |  | 70 | 70 | 0 | ${ }^{2.5555555564}$ | ${ }^{18}$ | ${ }^{26}$ | 17 | 9 | ${ }^{1.3771428571}$ | 21.528875 | ${ }^{30.202645007}$ | ${ }^{2} 2.24288$ | ${ }^{9} .74037$ |
|  |  | 70 | 70 | 0 | 2.653846154 | 21 | 23 | 21 | 5 | 1.371428571 | 14.410625 | 23.1736833 | 2.24431 | 9.62774 |
| 60 |  | 70 | 70 | 0 | 2.009090991 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 14 | 1.457142857 | 23.11 | 32.80748815 | 2.06501 | 10.059 |
|  |  | 70 | 70 | 0 | ${ }^{2} .25850654516$ | ${ }^{22}$ | 18 | 21 | 1 | ${ }^{1.4285771429}$ | 24.94125 | ${ }^{24.80675992}$ | ${ }^{2} 202153$ | 11.67 |
|  |  | 70 70 | 70 70 | 0 | ${ }_{2}^{2.0090909099}$ | 19 20 | ${ }_{18}^{19}$ | 18 19 | 14 | 1.1457142857 | 3288225 | 31.8677322 <br>  <br> 295292594 | 2.12919 | ${ }^{9} .888969$ |
|  |  | 70 | 70 | 0 | 2.000909091 | ${ }^{20}$ | 18 | 19 | 13 | 1.457142857 | 40.359375 | 29.52225984 | 2.21284 | ${ }^{10.8356}$ |
|  |  | 70 | 70 | 0 | 2.15625 | 21 | 18 | 20 | 11 | 1.428857143 | 22.541875 | 28.23514451 | 2.17723 | ${ }^{8.82195}$ |
| 70 |  | ${ }^{70}$ | 70 | 0 | 2.464285714 | ${ }^{17}$ | ${ }^{26}$ | ${ }^{16}$ | 11 | 1.385714286 | 31.006875 | 28.88074742 | 2.25259 | 11.87 |
|  |  | 70 70 | 70 70 | 0 | 2.555555566 22580652 | 20 19 | ${ }_{21}^{24}$ | 19 | 7 | ${ }_{1}^{1.37414285771}$ |  | ${ }^{26.11056665}$ | 2.07764 |  |
|  |  | 70 | 70 | 0 | 2.225806452 | 19 | 21 | 18 | 12 | 1.428577429 | 41.5025 | ${ }^{29.394456}$ | 22617 | ${ }^{11.0335}$ |
|  |  | 70 | 70 | 0 | 2.258065516 | 16 | 23 | ${ }^{16}$ | 15 | 1.422857143 | 48.95625 | 30.74524003 | 2.02816 | 10.1077 |
| 80 |  | 70 | ${ }_{70} 6$ | 1 | ${ }^{2.193548387}$ | 21 | 18 | 20 17 | 10 | ${ }^{1.143478282099}$ |  | ${ }_{2850478898}^{27.8393}$ | 1.195331 |  |
|  |  | 70 70 | 70 69 | 1 | ${ }_{2.791666667}^{2.3}$ | 18 16 | ${ }_{30}^{23}$ | 17 15 | ${ }_{8}^{12}$ | 1.1.43233333333 | 37.249375 | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{28.130888898946}$ | 2.13375 2.1329 | 9.57847 |
|  | 3 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 2.44444444 | 16 | 29 | 14 | 11 | 1.357142857 |  | 33.3612343 | 2.28323 |  |
|  | 4 | 70 | 70 | 0 | ${ }^{2} .3799310335$ | ${ }^{18}$ | ${ }^{24}$ | 17 | 11 | 1.4 | 41.471875 | 31.48257906 | 2.17308 | 11.4889 |
|  |  | 70 70 | 70 70 | 0 | ${ }_{2064516129}^{2.3482768}$ | 16 12 | ${ }_{33}^{26}$ | ${ }^{15}$ | 13 19 | 1.4 1357142857 |  | 29.973233131 323231098 | 2.17888 |  |
|  |  | 70 70 | 70 67 | 0 | ${ }_{2.065666667}^{2069129}$ | 12 14 | - ${ }_{28}^{33}$ | 6 | 19 16 | ${ }_{1.373134388}^{1.377287}$ |  | 3238231098 34.257555 | 2.15445 2.141 |  |
|  |  | ${ }^{70}$ | 69 | 1 | 1.878787879 | 16 | ${ }^{26}$ | 10 | 17 | 1.391304348 |  | 30.43618905 | 2.2824 |  |
|  |  | 70 | 70 | 0 | ${ }_{2}^{2423076923}$ | 14 | ${ }^{35}$ | 12 | 12 |  |  | 28.703720214 | ${ }^{2} 2.027275$ |  |
|  | 5 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 2.392857143 | 15 | 30 | 12 | 13 | 1.357142857 |  | 28.57619472 | 2.32133 |  |

## B. 2 Table for Section 6.2

The following tables contains the data presented in Section 6.2 - Mscat - Impact of Parameters on Performance.


## B. 3 Table for Section 6.3

The following tables contains the data presented in Section 6.3 - Mscat - Selfhealing Analysis.
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[^0]:    1.Note that the FHS packet sent by the to-be-slave in inquiry response contained it's address and clock.

