



University of Dundee

Recent advances in the management of childhood dental caries

Innes, Nicola; Robertson, Mark

Published in:
Archives of Disease in Childhood

DOI:
[10.1136/archdischild-2017-313196](https://doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2017-313196)

Publication date:
2018

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Innes, N. P. T., & Robertson, M. D. (2018). Recent advances in the management of childhood dental caries. Archives of Disease in Childhood. DOI: 10.1136/archdischild-2017-313196

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Archives of
Disease in Childhood

Recent Advances in the Management of Childhood Dental Caries

Journal:	<i>Archives of Disease in Childhood</i>
Manuscript ID	archdischild-2017-313196.R1
Article Type:	Leading article
Edition:	not in use
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Innes, Nicola; University of Dundee, School of Dentistry Robertson, Mark; University of Dundee, School of Dentistry
Keywords:	Dentistry, Paediatric Practice, Pain, Evidence Based Medicine, General Paediatrics

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Recent Advances in the Management of Childhood Dental Caries

Nicola P T Innes and Mark Robertson

Who does childhood dental caries affect?

Dental caries continues to be a serious problem. Affecting 2.4 billion people, it is the most prevalent disease worldwide¹. Although a highly prevalent condition, the impact of childhood dental caries is often underappreciated as the disease is rarely life-threatening or overtly limiting on daily activities. However, it carries significant consequences for children in terms of day to day living and it is expensive to treat.

Dental caries has a linear relationship with poverty, affecting those in lower socio-economic groups most. This variation in disease levels is stark, and can be clearly seen in the UK, with 56% of 5 year-old children in the deprived regions of Blackburn and Darwen having visible decay, but only 4% in the more affluent South Gloucester similarly affected. In England as a whole, a quarter of 5 year-olds have visible dental caries with an average of 3 to 4 decayed teeth each. Tooth extraction is the 6th most common reason for admittance to hospital for general anaesthesia (GA) for the under 5s and the single most common reason for 5 to 9 year olds.² These figures are reflected across Europe, the US and beyond.

What is the impact of childhood dental caries

The consequences of the disease have significant impacts on children's daily lives. These include pain³, interference with sleep, and loss of time⁴ from school. Around 50% of 12 and 15 year olds report toothache and around one quarter of them experience difficulty eating. Dental caries can also affect the general health and quality of life of children, impairing growth and cognitive development, interfering with nutrition and school attendance.⁵ In 2013, 6% of 12 year-olds and 3% of 15 year-olds reported difficulty with schoolwork because of the condition of their teeth and mouth over the previous 3 months.⁶

Dental caries affects one in three UK 12 year-olds. It has a positive association with deprivation⁷⁻⁹ and is experienced by almost half of 12-15 year olds in deprived areas.

Economically, treating oral diseases is expensive, costing NHS England £3.4 billion annually¹⁰. Extraction of decayed primary teeth alone, carried out under GA, costs an estimated £36 million annually.¹⁰

How does dental caries occur?

There is growing recognition that dental caries is the result of an imbalance in the plaque biofilm. Under healthy conditions, the dental biofilm contains only limited numbers of acidogenic bacteria¹¹, and the de-mineralisation they cause is balanced by the remineralising influence of minerals available from the supersaturated saliva. However, when dietary sugars

1
2
3 are supplied frequently and in sufficient quantity, acidogenic plaque bacteria thrive, upsetting
4
5 the balance and promoting a shift from symbiosis to a dysbiosis.
6

7
8 This ecological imbalance brings about a destructive deficit in the delicate re-mineralisation /
9
10 de-mineralisation process and, without any intervention, will lead ultimately to development of
11
12 a carious lesion (Fig. 1A-D). By understanding that this dysbiosis in the oral biofilm can be
13
14 reversed we can put in place measures to re-establish a healthy symbiosis.
15
16

17
18
19
20 In terms of prevention, this means removal of the plaque biofilm through effective tooth
21
22 brushing, using an appropriately fluoridated toothpaste to encourage re-mineralisation of the
23
24 tooth surface, and dietary control. In cases where there is cavitation, knowledge relating to the
25
26 biofilm's ecology and how it is vulnerable to manipulation can be drawn upon, allowing for less
27
28 invasive, and ultimately less destructive management strategies.
29
30
31

32 33 34 35 How has the disease been treated?

36
37
38 Historically, and globally, the management of childhood dental caries shows a picture focussed
39
40 on operative management of the disease. This picture has been one of two extremes: the first
41
42 being one of highly interventive (and expensive), treatment where children undergo invasive
43
44 dental procedures, often under GA, to allow for dental rehabilitation; this contrasts vividly with
45
46 the alternative (and cheaper and easier) option, where treatment is simply not offered, the
47
48 perspective being to wait for the carious primary dentition to exfoliate, hoping it doesn't cause
49
50 problems in the meantime. This culture of neglect has been seen in the UK where only around 1
51
52 in 10 visibly carious teeth in 5 year olds are treated.⁶
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 The vast majority of the disease goes untreated, with the Care Index (the proportion of teeth
7 with holes that have had fillings placed) being just over 1 in 10²¹² in both Scotland and England.

8
9
10 The underlying causes of the low Care Index is unknown but seems to be a result of dentists'
11 reluctance to apply invasive treatment to young children for fear of causing treatment induced
12 anxiety, and the children's difficulties coping with it, rather than a lack of access to care.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 Critically important is that any treatment plan involving management of carious lesions must
21 also include a preventive plan. This should be built following identification of the factors that
22 have led to the disease (diet investigation, brushing habits, possible effects of other co-
23 morbidities such as reduced saliva flow, cognitive difficulties etc). A programme will be drawn
24 up that will usually involve behaviour change around improving oral hygiene (tooth brushing)
25 and reducing intake of dietary sugars. A key component of these programmes is fluoride; the
26 use of fluoride toothpaste (of which tooth brushing is the vehicle for) and fluoride varnish
27 application by dental professionals¹³.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Development of new ways of managing the disease

The understanding of dental caries as a biofilm-driven disease, and treatment options around
managing it through manipulating the biofilm environment mean that less invasive methods for
managing the disease have been investigated, and are recommended in most national
guidelines.^{14 15} This paper will concentrate on management of established lesions within the

1
2
3 framework of Minimal Intervention Dentistry (Fig. 2), although this should be known as
4
5 minimally *invasive* dentistry as there is still a lot of *intervention* required at the behavioural and
6
7 patient management level; it is simply less invasive.
8
9

10
11
12
13 These less invasive treatments also satisfy the basic requirement that a treatment should be as
14
15 acceptable to the recipient as possible. Children report that less invasive¹⁶⁻¹⁸ sealing treatments
16
17 are more acceptable to them than “drill and fill” methods, and by helping to avoid treatment
18
19 induced anxiety¹⁹, should lead to less dental avoidance in adulthood.
20
21
22

23
24
25 As well as being preferred by children, the success rates for less invasive treatments compare
26
27 very favourably with traditional approaches, being as successful, if not more so. In part this is
28
29 because they reduce irritation and trauma to the dental pulp, reducing the need for root
30
31 treatments. Less invasive treatments causes less weakening of the tooth structure itself. For
32
33 these reasons, more conservative treatments help maintain the dentition for longer, avoiding
34
35 pain and infection. These conclusions are based on good evidence from randomised control
36
37 trials set in different environments, on a variety of lesions and carried out by different dental
38
39 personnel.²⁰⁻²² The synthesised data, considered in its entirety, provides compelling evidence
40
41 for less invasive dentistry being easier for children to cope with, more successful in outcomes
42
43 and reducing the sequelae of untreated disease; pain and infection.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51
52 Finally, the less invasive options are less expensive to carry out at the time of treatment.
53
54
55 Additional cost savings come later; as the treatments are more successful, dental extractions
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 and other invasive treatments requiring sedation or GA for their provision are avoided, and the
4
5 spiral of increasing dental treatment associated with failure of restorations over time is slowed
6
7
8 down.^{23 24}
9

10 11 12 13 What are the new approaches to managing the disease? 14

15
16 Historically, the aim of caries lesion management was to remove all dental tissue that showed
17
18 any signs of decay and then place a restoration, irrespective of whether the lesion was active or
19
20 had arrested. However, now the aims are very different:
21

- 22
- 23 • regeneration (remineralisation) of tissue through prevention whenever possible; or
- 24
- 25 • arrest of the disease process and maintenance of as much tooth tissue as possible.²⁵
26

27
28 These aims are generally achieved through one of two approaches;
29

- 30
- 31 • topically, where the biofilm is either removed by toothbrushing (sometimes with
32
33 opening up of the cavity to aid this), or the biofilm ecology is altered by application of
34
35 medicaments such as fluoride varnish and silver diamine fluoride, or by reducing the
36
37 frequency of dietary sugar intake
38
- 39
- 40 • sealing in, where the biofilm is isolated from dietary foodstuffs by sealing in with
41
42 restorative materials
43
44
45
46
47

48 For ease of description of the various treatment options, they have been separated into
49
50 management options for cavitated lesions, and those where cavitation has not occurred. For
51
52 further detail on treatment planning and management, the reader is referred to sources with
53
54 more detail.^{15 26}
55
56
57
58
59
60

Repair of Non-cavitated lesions

Non-cavitated lesions generally affect the outer enamel layer, although the demineralisation may extend through to the dentine in some lesions. Managing these lesions involves trying to halt and reverse the lesion, or at least prevent cavitation from occurring. In previous times, this stage, detectable on radiographs, would have resulted in dentists drilling and placing a filling. However, for both permanent teeth and primary teeth, this stage is now considered reversible, and prevention or sealing activities are appropriate. More damage than good will be done by removing tooth substance at this stage in order to place a filling.

Preventive measures alone

The simplest option to reverse the disease is to improve toothbrushing, with an optimally fluoridated toothpaste, and dietary control. Other options include fluoride varnishes that are placed 2-4 times per year by a dental care professional²⁷, and mouthwashes²⁸, although these are only suitable for older children. High fluoride toothpaste (containing 2,800ppm F) for over 10 year olds can be prescribed.^{14 15}

Sealing in more extensive lesions

Fissure sealants (a low filled resin based material) are used very successfully to prevent decay occurring on the biting surfaces of teeth^{29 30} but have also been shown to be successful in stopping the progression of carious lesions^{21 29 31} as they prevent the lesion gaining access to dietary sugars that the biofilm needs to thrive (Fig. 3).

1
2
3
4
5
6 There is good evidence that preventive and sealing in approaches to managing the non-
7
8 cavitated lesion are successful, whether these are fluoride, or other topical formulations or
9
10 sealants applied to the teeth.³²
11
12

13 14 15 16 Repair options for managing active cavitated lesions; sealing in strategies 17

18 The cavitated lesion in a primary tooth in a young child is one of the most difficult lesions to
19
20 manage, as the cavity offers a sheltered environment for the biofilm, making it difficult to
21
22 remove by brushing. Also, exposed collagen fibrils in soft dentine are much more difficult to
23
24 remove biofilm from than the hard surface of enamel, making effective cleaning of these
25
26 lesions even more difficult. For these reasons, when it is too difficult to effectively remove the
27
28 biofilm and stop its progress, then the lesion (cavity) can be sealed from the oral environment.
29
30 These highly successful sealing strategies all avoid the need for local anaesthetic injections and
31
32 any drilling.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 **Hall Technique** 41

42 This is a method where a preformed metal crown of the correct size is simply filled with cement
43
44 and pushed over the tooth, sealing the lesion from the environment (Fig. 4A-C). This was
45
46 considered a radical solution to the problem of the cavitated lesion when it was first
47
48 demonstrated around 16 years ago as no local anaesthetic is used to numb the tooth, none of
49
50 the carious tooth tissue is removed and no tooth is cut away to make room for the crown.³³
51
52
53

54 However, there are now a collection of randomised control trials that have shown this
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 management option to be superior in avoiding dental pain and infection, to any other. The
4
5 crowns and the cement used to fix them to the tooth provide a good seal, and the crowned
6
7 primary tooth eventually sheds naturally.
8
9

10 11 12 ***Selective caries removal and place a filling***

13
14
15 This method for managing cavities in teeth is similar to placing the crown, in that the lesion is
16
17 sealed beneath a restoration, in this case it is a filling (a malleable material) placed in the cavity
18
19 that then sets. However, for this to be effective, more skill is required on the part of the dentist
20
21 and more cooperation on the part of the child. The edge of the cavity is cleared of any diseased
22
23 tissue to provide a good surface for the filling material to adhere to. If this is not achieved, the
24
25 filling will “leak” and sugar substrate will make its way to the biofilm, feeding it and supporting
26
27 the progression of the lesion. Clearing the decay is no longer carried out using rotary
28
29 instruments (drills) but instead with hand instruments which are drawn along the soft
30
31 (decayed) tooth tissue to scoop it out. This has been shown to be preferred by children to using
32
33 drills, and less anxiety provoking.^{16 18 19}
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 **Non-restorative options**

46
47 For some lesions, there is no viable sealing in option, either because the lesion is too extensive
48
49 to repair or because the child has limited ability to cope with the treatment that is required. In
50
51 these cases, it is sometimes possible to maintain the tooth and avoid an extraction through
52
53 simply using tooth brushing and remineralisation therapies to arrest the decay. In theory this is
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 possible as although the biofilm reforms as soon as it is removed, it takes time to “mature” and
4 reach a cariogenic state. Constantly removing the biofilm will lead to the disease arresting.
5
6 This has been shown in a number of cases but clinical trials indicate that the success is so
7
8 dependent on the behaviour of the child’s parent/carer (who, in effect allowed the disease to
9
10 occur in the first place) that this is often not a successful option.^{34 35} It is also difficult to gain
11
12 adequate access to the lesion to allow effective toothbrushing, although if the child will tolerate
13
14 it, it is possible to remove overhanging enamel using hand or rotary instruments. However, the
15
16 problem of removing the biofilm from dentine when it is embedded in the exposed collagen is
17
18 still very challenging. For these reasons a non-restorative approach is not recommended unless
19
20 there is no other option.¹⁵ It also requires a great deal of vigilance on the part of the dentist to
21
22 detect the lesions which progress, requiring a change in approach and a new treatment plan to
23
24 be agreed.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 Managing the child with dental pain

36
37 It is not uncommon for children to present with pain from late stage decay; sometimes with an
38
39 abscess or swelling associated with the decayed tooth (Fig. 5) and systemic signs of infection.
40
41 The child with dental pain presents a particular challenge to treat. They are likely to have
42
43 extensive disease in the tooth causing problems, more than one lesion and are usually fretful,
44
45 upset and anxious.^{12 36} Children find it difficult to differentiate between pain from dental caries
46
47 and other causes. Caries associated pain is caused by an inflamed dental nerve (pulp) that has
48
49 been affected by the encroaching carious lesion. Non-cariious sources of pain (exfoliation/
50
51 eruption, ulcers associated with viral infections or recurrent aphthae) should be ruled out.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The treatment for caries associated pain differs significantly depending on the extent of the
4 inflammation. Earlier stages of dental pulp inflammation are reversible and when the carious
5 lesion is sealed from the environment, with a filling material or a crown, the pain can resolve.
6
7
8 However, if the dental pulp has reached a stage where it is very inflamed and even infected,
9
10 then the treatment must either be a root treatment or an extraction. Both options involve local
11 anaesthetic injections and are highly invasive from the child's perspective, often resulting in
12 treatment induced anxiety and inhibiting future successful treatment.
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 General anaesthesia and sedation

24
25 The invasive and anxiety provoking nature of treatment involving extractions or restoration
26 provision can be difficult for older children to cope with. This can be even more stressful for
27 younger children, who may not have the cognitive ability to understand what is happening
28 during treatment or appreciate that short-term discomfort may be necessary for longer term
29 relief of pain. Adverse dental experiences can lead to longer term negative feelings about
30 receiving treatment and chronic dental fear or even phobia. Despite highly successful, less
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 undergoing GA for acute dental problems will have the symptomatic tooth, and usually any
4
5 other carious primary teeth, extracted.
6
7

8 Sedation, usually inhalation sedation using nitrous oxide, is available through some centres and
9
10 can be helpful in managing an acute problem or in helping children to gain confidence to cope
11
12 with further dental treatment.
13
14

15
16
17 Some children with systemic diseases or learning disabilities may need to have their dental
18
19 disease managed using sedation/GA as an adjunct as it would not be possible to carry out their
20
21 treatment otherwise. However, there is growing evidence that less invasive treatments, such
22
23 as the Hall Technique, can avoid the need for GA to manage lesions for these children, and still
24
25 have very successful outcomes.³⁷
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

35 Conclusion

36
37 Oral health is a marker for broader general health and social care in children, but dental caries
38
39 remains prevalent. Furthermore, the care index for children remains low despite the far-
40
41 reaching potential consequences of oral disease, including poor general well-being, detriment
42
43 of the developing dentition and suboptimal performance both socially and educationally.
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 There have been reductions in caries associated with community based projects such as
51
52 Childsmile in Scotland (<http://www.child-smile.org.uk/>) which involve nursery and school based
53
54 brushing as well as fluoride varnish application programmes.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6 Less invasive methods that manage dental caries as a biofilm disease are superseding
7
8 traditional “drill and fill” operative methods. These reparative options must be carried out in
9
10 conjunction with a preventive program involving diet investigation & management and re-
11
12 orientation of lifestyle factors negatively affecting oral health. Using child friendly dental
13
14 techniques and striving to instil in children a positive attitude towards their oral health will
15
16 perhaps translate in the future to a population of adults who are engaged, who are without
17
18 dental anxiety stemming back to childhood, and who have taken ownership of their dental and
19
20 oral health.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 Acknowledgements

29
30 The authors would like to thank Victoria Pickering for her administrative support in preparing
31
32 this manuscript
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

1. Kassebaum N, Bernabe E, Dahiya M, et al. Global Burden of Untreated Caries: A Systematic Review and Metaregression. *Journal of Dental Research*, 2015:650-58.
2. Public Health England. National Dental Epidemiology Programme for England: oral health survey of five-year-old children 2015. Secondary National Dental Epidemiology Programme for England: oral health survey of five-year-old children 2015 2016. http://www.nwph.net/dentalhealth/14_15_5yearold/14_15_16/DPHEP%20for%20Engl and%20OH%20Survey%205yr%202015%20Report%20FINAL%20Gateway%20approved. pdf.
3. Shepherd MA, Nadanovsky P, Sheiham A. Dental public health: The prevalence and impact of dental pain in 8-year-old school children in Harrow, England. *British Dental Journal* 1999;**187**(1):38-41.
4. Dentistry AaOP. Policy on Early Childhood Caries (ECC): Classifications, Consequences, and Preventive Strategies. *Pediatric dentistry* 2014;**37**(6):15-16.
5. Jackson SL, Vann WF, Kotch JB, et al. Impact of poor oral health on children's school attendance and performance. *American journal of public health* 2011;**101**(10):1900.
6. Pitts N, Chadwick B, Anderson T. *Children's Dental Health Survey Report 2: Dental Disease and Damage in Children: England, Wales and Northern Ireland*: Health and Social Care Information Centre, 2015.
7. Pine CM, Adair PM, Nicoll AD, et al. International comparisons of health inequalities in childhood dental caries. *Community Dent Health* 2004;**21**(1 Suppl):121-30.

- 1
2
3 8. The Scottish Dental Epidemiology Coordinating Committee. Detailed national dental
4
5 inspection programme of primary 7 children and the basic inspection of primary 1 and
6
7 primary 7 children. Secondary Detailed national dental inspection programme of
8
9 primary 7 children and the basic inspection of primary 1 and primary 7 children 2015.
10
11 http://ndip.scottishdental.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/ndip_scotland2015-
12
13 [P7.pdf.](http://ndip.scottishdental.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/ndip_scotland2015-)
14
15
- 16
17
18 9. Schwendicke F, Dorfer CE, Schlattmann P, et al. Socioeconomic Inequality and Caries: A
19
20 Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Dental Research*, 2015:10-18.
21
22
- 23 10. NHS England. Improving dental care - a call to action. Secondary Improving dental care - a
24
25 call to action 2014.
26
27 http://www.nwph.net/dentalhealth/reports/Report_NHS_DEP_for_England_OH_Survey
28
29 [_12yr_2008-09.pdf.](http://www.nwph.net/dentalhealth/reports/Report_NHS_DEP_for_England_OH_Survey)
30
31
- 32 11. Fejerskov O. Pathology of dental caries. In: Fejerskov O NB, Kidd EA, ed. *Dental caries: The*
33
34 *disease and its clinical management*. Oxford: Wiley Blackwell, 2015:7-9.
35
36
- 37 12. Macpherson LMD, Ball G, Carson S, et al. National Dental Inspection Programme (NDIP)
38
39 2014. Report of the 2014 Detailed National Dental Inspection Programme of Primary 1
40
41 children and the Basic Inspection of Primary 1 and Primary 7 children: The Scottish
42
43 Dental Epidemiology Co-ordinating Committee, 2014.
44
45
- 46
47 13. Marinho VCC. Cochrane reviews of randomized trials of fluoride therapies for preventing
48
49 dental caries. *European Archives of Paediatric Dentistry* 2009;**10**(3):183-91.
50
51
- 52 14. Network SIG. Dental Interventions to prevent caries in children; a national clinical guideline.
53
54 2014 March 2014. [http://www.sign.ac.uk/pdf/SIGN138.pdf.](http://www.sign.ac.uk/pdf/SIGN138.pdf)
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 15. Programme SDCE. Prevention and Management of Dental Caries in Children. 2010 2010.
4
5 <http://www.sdcep.org.uk/published-guidance/caries-in-children/>.
6
7
- 8 16. van Bochove JA, van Amerongen WE. The influence of restorative treatment approaches
9
10 and the use of local analgesia, on the children's discomfort. European Archives of
11
12 Paediatric Dentistry 2006;**1**(1):11-16.
13
14
- 15 17. Goumans C, Veerkamp JSJ, Aartman IHA. Dental anxiety and behavioural problems: What is
16
17 their influence on the treatment plan? European Journal of Paediatric Dentistry
18
19 2004;**5**(1):15-18.
20
21
- 22 18. van Amerongen WE, Rahimtoola S. Is ART really atraumatic? Community dentistry and oral
23
24 epidemiology 1999;**27**(6):431.
25
26
- 27 19. Santamaria RM, Innes NPT, Machiulskiene V, et al. Acceptability of different caries
28
29 management methods for primary molars in a RCT. International journal of paediatric
30
31 dentistry 2015;**25**(1):9.
32
33
- 34 20. Ricketts D, Lamont T, Innes NP, et al. Operative caries management in adults and children.
35
36 Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013(3):CD003808.
37
38
- 39 21. Dorri M, Dunne SM, Walsh T, et al. Micro-invasive interventions for managing the proximal
40
41 dental decay in primery and permanent teeth. Cochrane Database of Systematic Review
42
43 2015(1):CD010431.
44
45
- 46 22. Innes NP, Ricketts D, Chong LY, et al. Preformed crowns for decayed primary molar teeth.
47
48 Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2015(12):CD005512.
49
50
- 51 23. Schwendicke F, Paris S, Stolpe M. Cost-effectiveness of caries excavations in different risk
52
53 groups - a micro-simulation study. BMC Oral Health 2014;**14**:153.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 24. Schwendicke F, Stolpe M, Meyer-Lueckel H, et al. Cost-effectiveness of one- and two-step
4
5 incomplete and complete excavations. *J Dent Res* 2013;**92**(10):880-7.
6
7
8 25. Innes NPT, Frencken JE, Bjørndal L, et al. Managing Carious Lesions: Consensus
9
10 Recommendations on Terminology. *Advances in dental research* 2016;**28**(2):49.
11
12
13 26. Innes NP, Manton DJ. Minimum Intervention children's dentistry - the starting point for a
14
15 lifetime of oral health. *British Dental Journal* 2017;**in press**(BDJ Minimum Intervention
16
17 Themed Issue).
18
19
20 27. Ahovuo-Saloranta A, Forss H, Hiiri A, et al. Pit and fissure sealants versus fluoride varnishes
21
22 for preventing dental decay in the permanent teeth of children and adolescents.
23
24 *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016(1):CD003067.
25
26
27 28. Marinho VC, Chong LY, Worthington HV, et al. Fluoride mouthrinses for preventing dental
28
29 caries in children and adolescents. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016;**7**:CD002284.
30
31
32 29. Wright JT, Crall JJ, Fontana M, et al. Evidence-based clinical practice guideline for the use of
33
34 pit-and-fissure sealants: A report of the American Dental Association and the American
35
36 Academy of Pediatric Dentistry. *Journal of the American Dental Association*
37
38 2016;**147**(8):672-82 e12.
39
40
41
42 30. Wright JT, Tampi MP, Graham L, et al. Sealants for Preventing and Arresting Pit-and-fissure
43
44 Occlusal Caries in Primary and Permanent Molars. *Pediatr Dent* 2016;**38**(4):282-308.
45
46
47 31. Fontana M, González-Cabezas JA, Peters GJ, et al. Monitoring of sound and carious surfaces
48
49 under sealants over 44 months. *Journal of Dental Research* 2014;**93**(11):1070-75.
50
51
52 32. Tellez M, Gomez J, Kaur S, et al. Non-surgical management methods of noncavitated carious
53
54 lesions. *Community Dentist and Oral Epidemiology*, 2013:79-96.
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 33. Innes NP, Evans DJ, Bonifacio CC, et al. The Hall Technique 10 years on: Questions and
4
5 answers. *Br Dent J* 2017;**222**(6):478-83.
6
7
8 34. Santamaria R, Innes N, Machiulskiene V, et al. Alternative caries management options for
9
10 primary molars: 2.5-yr outcomes of a randomised clinical trial. *Caries Research* 2017;**in**
11
12 **press**.
13
14
15 35. Hansen NV, Nyvad B. Non-operative control of cavitated approximal caries lesions in
16
17 primary molars: a prospective evaluation of cases. *J Oral Rehabil* 2017;**44**(7):537-44.
18
19
20 36. Vernazza CR, Rolland SL, Chadwick B, et al. Caries experience, the caries burden and
21
22 associated factors in children in England, Wales and Northern Ireland 2013. *Br Dent J*
23
24 2016;**221**(6):315-20.
25
26
27 37. Robertson M, Harris J, Innes N, et al. Use of the Hall Technique in Children With Learning
28
29 Difficulties. *Journal of Dental Research* 2017;**96**(Spec Iss A. Abstract no 1164).
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 1. Photographs A-D demonstrate progression through distinct stages of the carious process, from early through to advanced disease.

A Tooth 55 (upper left 2nd primary molar) showing micro-cavitation of the distal aspect (arrowed). There is an established biofilm in the cavity. B Teeth 84 (lower right 1st primary molar) and 85 (lower right 2nd primary molar) with large, cavitated lesions. C Grossly carious remnants of teeth 64 (upper left 1st primary molar) and 65 (upper left 2nd primary molar), subject to advanced disease. D Generalised dental caries affecting the majority of the dentition. Note the presence of both active lesions (yellow/brown) and arrested lesions (black).

296x197mm (96 x 96 DPI)

ew Only




Figure 2. Minimum Intervention Dentistry (MID) is a framework for oral health. It is a comprehensive, person-centred approach that is a response to the ineffectiveness of the traditional surgically-focussed approach to managing dental caries. It can be summarised as an iterative process involving: Recognition of factors contributing to the disease/caries risk; Re-orientation of lifestyle factors and/or oral health behaviours; Remineralisation of all lesions; Repair of lost tooth substance, where no other management solution is appropriate; and Review of the child, their oral health and their situation. These principles apply not only to the child as an individual, but also to the child's life environment, which includes parents, extended family, principle carers and schooling. Reproduced courtesy of the British Dental Journal (Springer Nature)

156x128mm (72 x 72 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



Figure 3. Tooth 46 following placement of an opaque, resin fissure sealant. An opaque, low viscosity resin is bonded to the tooth surface, sealing the pits and fissures of the bite surface away from the oral environment. With appropriate monitoring and maintenance of the fissure sealant, early caries underneath will arrest due to isolation from dietary sugars (the bacterial substrate).

46x51mm (300 x 300 DPI)






Figure 4. Series of three photographs showing a crown being fitted using the Hall Technique

A Cavitated tooth 84 (lower right 1st primary molar) B The correct size of crown is chosen from a preformed selection and then cemented over the tooth, sealing in the decayed tooth and biofilm. No local anaesthetic, caries removal or tooth preparation is required. C The crown fitted in place having been pushed on to the tooth. Note the slight opening of the child's occlusion – this will normalise in the next 2-4 weeks.

More detailed explanations can be found at https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hall_Technique.

296x197mm (96 x 96 DPI)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 5. Abscess on buccal mucosa of tooth 54 (upper right 1st primary molar) indicating infection of dental pulp and need for dental treatment.

68x47mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Review Only