

Sy, O; Niang, EA; Ndiaye, M; Konate, L; Diallo, A; Ba, ECC; Tairou, F; Diouf, E; Cisse, B; Gaye, O; Faye, O (2018) Entomological impact of indoor residual spraying with pirimiphos-methyl: a pilot study in an area of low malaria transmission in Senegal. Malaria journal, 17. ISSN 1475-2875 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-018-2212-x

Downloaded from: http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/4646832/

DOI: 10.1186/s12936-018-2212-x

Usage Guidelines

 $Please \ refer \ to \ usage \ guidelines \ at \ http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html \ or \ alternatively \ contact \ researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.$

Available under license: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.5/

RESEARCH

Open Access



Entomological impact of indoor residual spraying with pirimiphos-methyl: a pilot study in an area of low malaria transmission in Senegal

Ousmane Sy^{1*†}, El Hadji Amadou Niang^{1†}, Magatte Ndiaye², Lassana Konaté¹, Abdoulaye Diallo², Elhadji Conco Ciré Ba³, Fassiath Tairou², Elhadji Diouf¹, Badara Cissé^{2,4}, Oumar Gaye² and Ousmane Faye¹

Abstract

Background: Scaling-up of effective anti-malarial control strategies in Central-West region of Senegal has resulted in the sharp decline in malaria prevalence in this area. However, despite these strategies, residual malaria transmission has been observed in some villages (hot spots). The objective of this study was to assess the impact of indoor residual spraying (IRS) with pirimiphos-methyl on malaria transmission in hot spot areas.

Methods: The malaria vector population dynamics were monitored in each of the six selected villages (4 of which used IRS, 2 were unsprayed control areas) using overnight human landing catches (HLC) and pyrethrum spray catches (PSC). The host source of blood meals from freshly fed females collected using PSC was identified using the direct ELISA method. Females caught through HLC were tested by ELISA for the detection of *Plasmodium falciparum* circumsporozoite protein and *Anopheles gambiae* complex was identified using PCR.

Results: Preliminary data shown that the densities of *Anopheles* populations were significantly lower in the sprayed areas (179/702) compared to the control. Overall, malaria transmission risk was 14 times lower in the intervention zone (0.94) compared to the control zone (12.7). In the control areas, three *Anopheles* species belonging to the Gambiae complex (*Anopheles arabiensis, Anopheles coluzzii* and *Anopheles melas*) maintained the transmission, while only *An. coluzzii* was infective in the sprayed areas.

Conclusion: The preliminary data from this pilot study showed that IRS with the CS formulation of pirimiphos-methyl is likely very effective in reducing malaria transmission risk. However, additional studies including further longitudinal entomological surveys as well as ecological and ethological and genetical characterization of vectors species and their populations are needed to better characterize the entomological impact of indoor residual spraying with pirimiphos-methyl in the residual transmission areas of Senegal.

Keywords: Hotspot, IRS, Pirimiphos-methyl, Malaria, Elimination, Senegal

Background

As in many other countries of the WHO Africa Region, a drastic decline in the global malaria burden over 15 years

*Correspondence: syousmane7@gmail.com

¹ Laboratoire d'Ecologie Vectorielle et Parasitaire, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Université Cheikh Anta Diop, Dakar, Senegal Full list of author information is available at the end of the article has been recorded in Senegal because of the integration and the scale-up of effective malaria control strategies. A subnational decrease in morbidity and mortality has been recorded in several countries and territories with on-going malaria transmission. The 2015 WHO Malaria Report reported that the estimation of case incidence rate fell by at least 75% between 2000 and 2015 in three countries of the African Region, including Senegal. This progress was made possible through the roll-out of



© The Author(s) 2018. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

 $^{^{\}dagger}\mbox{Ousmane}$ Sy and El Hadji Amadou Niang contributed equally to this work

effective prevention strategies, with long-lasting insecticide-treated nets (LLINs) and indoor residual spraying (IRS) being the core interventions [1]. These two measures account for almost 60% of global investment in malaria control [2].

The first pilot study of IRS as a wide-scale intervention was set up in the three health districts of Richard Toll, Nioro, and Velingara, after Senegal received the support of the US President's Malaria Initiative (PMI) project in 2007. Overall, 76,279 structures housing around 700,000 people were sprayed [3, 4].

In addition to LLINs and IRS, between 2008 and 2010 four health districts located in the administrative departments of Fatick, Mbour, Niakhar and Bambey were selected by the Parasitology Department of the Université Cheikh Anta Diop of Dakar in collaboration with the National Malaria Control Programme (NMCP) to implement the roll-out of a pilot chemoprevention strategy named Seasonal Malaria Chemo-prevention (SMC) [5]. The SMC strategy involves administration of a single dose of sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine and amodiaquine to children under 10 during the peak malaria transmission season.

The overall control interventions have resulted in the nation-wide decrease of the malaria burden, most notably in the central west areas of Senegal [5]. As a result

of this, malaria is mainly limited to areas known as "hot spots", where transmission is consistently higher than the country's average. Even in these hot spot areas of residual transmission, malaria incidence is still relatively low. To try and eliminate malaria from the hot spots in the four health districts of the Central West region of Senegal, it was decided to implement two successive rounds of targeted IRS interventions as part of a pilot study from the 5th to the 24th August then from the 18th July to the 27th August respectively during the rainy seasons in 2013 and in 2014, with the main objective of testing two rounds of targeted IRS-based vector control.

This paper reports the main results of this pilot study, focusing on the entomological impact of pirimiphosmethyl (ACTELLIC 300 CS, 0-2-diethylamino-6-methylpyrimidin-4-yl 0, 0-dimethylphosphorothioate) targeted IRS intervention in these areas of residual malaria transmission in Senegal.

Methods

Study area and sites

The study area is located in the Central-West region of Senegal overlapping the administrative departments of Fatick, Mbour, Niakhar and Bambey (Fig. 1). It belongs to the Sahelo-Sudan bio-geographic Domain, characterized by the variability of rainfall lasting from June to October.



The average rainfall for the last 2 years varied between 400 and 600 mm [6] and the mean temperature was 28 °C with high thermal amplitude.

For this study, villages were stratified by their malaria transmission level using previous data on the nationwide malaria incidences. An area was considered as a hot spot if 6 or more native inhabitants had confirmed malaria diagnoses reported during the previous year. The study took place in six rural communities, with the higher malaria transmission rates (hot spots) and representative of main ecological characteristics of the study area. Located, in the so-called Saloum ecological region, the study area is mainly characterized by degraded shrub and tree savannas altered by open agricultural parkland. Farming activities such as food (maize, millet) and cash crops (peanuts) are the economics activities of the local populations. Trade and breeding of domestic animals (cows, sheep, goats, and chicken), which are parked around human dwellings, are also common practices. Houses are of traditional types with mud walls and thatched or corrugated iron roofs. Lined with the National Malaria Control Programme (NMCP) recommendations for better-targeted vector control (VC) interventions, two IRS sprayed rounds were initially scheduled with the pirimiphos-methyl (ACTELLIC 300 CS) at the beginning and at the middle of the raining season to cover the transmission season in the host-spots. However, only one spray round was implemented in some sites, due to the lack of financial resource.

Taking into account the above situation, the localities of Toucar (14°32.189'; 16°28.497') and Djilakh (14°30.989'; 16°52.009') with two spray rounds, and the villages of Gate Diocoul 2 (14°31.842'; 16°31.789'), Takhoum Ndoundour (14°28.979'; 16°53.657') and Keur Massouka (14°33'687"; 16°55'722") sprayed once were selected to monitor the dynamics of the vectors population and malaria transmission in the study following the IRS campaign. The Toucar (14°32.189'; 16°28.497') and Djilakh (14°30.989'; 16°52.009') in the districts of Niakhar and Mbour were sprayed twice. The unsprayed sites of Gate Diocoul 2 (14°31.842'; 16°31.789') and Keur Martin (14°24.511';16°34.275') presenting similar eco-epidemiological characteristic and located next to the IRS project zone were chosen as the negative control arm of this pilot study of the entomological impact of IRS with the ACTELLIC 300 CS.

Mosquito sampling and processing

The malaria vector populations dynamics was monitored in each of the six selected villages (4 sprayed and 2 unsprayed controls) using overnight human landing catches (HLC) during two successive nights per month (both inside and outside three houses per village) and pyrethrum spray catches (PSC) early in the morning in ten randomly selected rooms per village. In both communities (IRS and unsprayed), human dwellings are mainly of traditional types with mud walls and thatched or corrugated iron roofs. Therefore, the sampling of HLC and PSC rooms was done randomly to be representative of as much as possible of ecological differences in each study villages.

Upon collection, mosquitoes were morphologically identified to genus level, and anophelines were subsequently identified to species level using morphological keys [7]. For each collection, 30% (N > 30 specimens) to 100% (N < 30 specimens) randomly sampled females of *Anopheles gambiae* sensu lato (s.l.) caught on human were dissected to determine the parity rate. The blood meals from freshly fed females collected using PSC were squashed onto Whatman filter paper and dried for host source identification. All the mosquito samples collected were stored individually in numbered vials with desiccant until laboratory processing.

The origin of blood meals was identified using the direct ELISA method from Beier et al. [8]. The heads and thoraces of host-seeking females were tested by ELISA for the detection of *Plasmodium falciparum* circumsporozoite protein (CSP) using the procedure of Wirtz et al. [9]. Members of the *An. gambiae* complex were identified by the PCR method described by Wilkins et al. [10].

Data analysis

Measured parameters

The human-biting rate (HBR) was calculated for each species collected by HLC as the ratio of the total number of captured specimens to the total person-nights for the collection period. The parity rate was estimated as the proportion of parous over the total dissected. The indoor resting density was defined as the number of mosquitoes per room collected by PSC. The circumsporozoite rate was calculated as the proportion of the total number of mosquitoes infected with *P. falciparum*. The anthropophilic rate was calculated as the proportion of the total tested. The entomological inoculation rate (EIR) was calculated from the result of the human-biting rate (HBR) and the CSP rate of mosquitoes collected from night catches.

Statistical analysis

All the measured parameters were computed and analysed using the free software R-gui 2.15.1 version. Data were compared with the Pearson chi² or Fisher exact tests where applicable with the statistical significant threshold set at P value ≤ 0.05 .

Results

Mosquito densities and species composition

A total of 38,039 mosquitoes of three genera (37,129 Culex, 208 Aedes and 702 Anopheles) were collected from October 2013 to April 2015 using both collection methods. Of this total, 62.7% were captured in the unsprayed control areas. During the study period, malaria vector densities were significantly different between the treated and control villages (Table 1) whatever collection method was considered (Treated: HLC = 77, PSC = 102; Control: HLC = 365, PSC = 140). Roughly 74.5% (523/702) of anopheline species were captured in the unsprayed control areas ($\chi 2 = 335.18$, df = 1, P < 0.001). Anoph*eles gambiae* s.l. was the predominant species (n = 684)among the Anopheles genus; the only other anopheline species encountered in the study area was Anopheles pharoensis, with all the eighteen specimens collected in the control locality of Keur Martin (health district of Fatick).

Biting and resting behaviours of *Anopheles gambiae* s.l. population

The mean number of bites per person per night (bpn) varied significantly for *An. gambiae* s.l. females between the two areas, with the highest aggressiveness observed in the unsprayed control villages (1.9 bpn) compared to the sprayed areas (villages with one spray round: 0.067 bpn; in villages with two spray rounds: 0.33 bpn). However, biting occurred more frequently outdoors in both the treated (53.2%) and the unsprayed control areas (61%) (Table 2).

During the study period, the resting density of *An. gambiae* s.l. females was significantly different between

treated and untreated areas ($\chi^2 = 129.81$, df = 1, P < 0.001). The resting density of females in human dwellings was three times higher in the unsprayed control areas (0.875 females per room (F/R) compared to the sprayed areas (0.318 F/R). The resting densities were comparable ($\chi^2 = 0.014391$, df = 1, P = 0.9045) between villages sprayed once and villages sprayed twice.

Molecular identification of the An. gambiae complex

Molecular identification of the 684 *An. gambiae* s.l. (442 by HLC and 242 by PSC) revealed the presence of *Anopheles arabiensis, Anopheles coluzzii, An. gambiae* sensu stricto (hereafter *An. gambiae*) and *Anopheles melas. Anopheles arabiensis* was the most frequent species of the complex, forming 75.56% (334/442) of biting and 82.6% (200/242) of resting populations captured. *Anopheles gambiae* was the least frequent species (3/684) and was collected only in the unsprayed control village of Keur Martin, where it was sympatric with *An. coluzzii* (70/684) and *An. melas* (77/684). Notably, *An. melas* was present only in Keur Martin.

Across the study area, *An. arabiensis* was found in significantly ($\chi 2 = 136.63$, df = 1, P < 0.001) higher numbers in the unsprayed areas (363 captured in the unsprayed areas compared to 171 in the sprayed areas). However, its proportions were significantly lower in villages with only one spray round (12%; 63/534) than in those sprayed twice (20%; 108/534) ($\chi 2 = 13.48$, df = 1, P < 0.001). While *An. arabiensis* and *An. coluzzii* were found in all study sites, including treated and untreated villages, both *An. melas* and *An. gambiae* were notably absent in the treated areas (Tables 3).

Table 1 Seasonal variation of Anopheles gambiae s.l. density in the study area

Month-year	Control				Sprayed on	ce			Sprayed tw	ice		
	Gate Dioco	ul 2	Keur Martir	ו	Takhoum Ndoundou	r	Keur Masso	uka	Toucar cent	re	Djilakh	
	Collected	IRD	Collected	IRD	Collected	IRD	Collected	IRD	Collected	IRD	Collected	IRD
Oct-2013	0	0	13	1.3	0	0	9	0.9	7	0.7	4	0.4
Dec-2013	0	0	35	3.5	0	0	0	0	2	0.2	0	0
Mar-2014	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sep-2014	0	0	42	4.2	0	0	43	4.3	12	1.2	3	0.3
Oct-2014	0	0	45	4.5	0	0	0	0	7	0.7	13	1.3
Dec-2014	0	0	2	0.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mar-2015	0	0	1	0.1	0	0	0	0	2	0.2	0	0
Apr-2015	0	0	2	0.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	140	1.75	0	0	52	0.65	30	0.37	20	0.25

IRD indoor resting density

Month-year	Control						Sprayed	once					Sprayed	twice				
	Gate Dic	ocoul 2		Keur Ma	artin		Takhoum	Ndour	ndour	Keur Mas	souka		Toucar ce	entre		Djilakh		
	Caught	HBR	Indoor	Caught	HBR	Indoor (%)	Caught	HBR	Indoor (%)	Caught	HBR	Indoor (%)	Caught	HBR	Indoor (%)	Caught	HBR	Indoor (%)
Oct-2013	0	0	I	32	2.66	16.60	-	0.08	100	0	0	1	4	0.33	50	13	1.08	30.60
Dec-2013	0	0	I	72	9	38.8	0	0	I	0	0	I	5	0.42	40	0	0	1
Mar-2014	0	0	I	-	0.08	0	0	0	I	0	0	I	0	0	I	0	0	I
Sep-2014	0	0	I	165	13.75	35.10	-	0.08	100	7	0.58	14.20	2	0.17	50	35	2.92	54.20
Oct-2014		0.08	0	92	7.6	53.20	2	0.17	50	0	0	1	<i>—</i>	0.08	100	4	0.33	50
Dec-2014	0	0	I	2	0.16	50	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	I	0	0	1
Mar-2015	0	0	I	0	0	I	0	0	I	0	0	I	0	0	I	0	0	I
Apr-2015	0	0	I	0	0	I	-	0.08	0	-	0.08	100	0	0	I	0	0	I
Total	-	0.01	0	364	3.79	39	5	0.05	60	00	0.08	25	12	0.12	50	52	0.54	48

· ·	
S	
ē	
9.	
9	
Ξ	
D	
б	
÷	
4	
2	
ō	
Ň	
a	
at	
<u> </u>	
>	
ō	
Ja	
~	
5	
ŏ	
ž	
Ð	
σ	
Ž	
a	
ŝ	
Ē	
σ	
-	
ຼ	
. =	
Ľ.	
9	
2	
a	
8	
3	
Ē	
÷.	
0	
ç	
<u>e</u>	
臣	
D	
F	
Š	
-	
Ja	
2	
Š	
ä	
e.	
S	
2	
a)	
ž	
ä	
Ĕ	
-	

HBR human biting rates, Indoor Indoor biting rates

	An.	An.	An.	An.
	arabiensis	COIUZZII	gambiae	meias
Control				
Gate Dio	coul 2			
HLC	0	1	0	0
PSC	0	0	0	0
Keur Mar	tin			
HLC	265	33	1	65
PSC	98	28	2	12
Sprayed or	nce			
Takhoum	Ndoundour			
HLC	4	1	0	0
PSC	0	0	0	0
Keur Mas	souka			
HLC	7	1	0	0
PSC	52	0	0	0
Sprayed tw	vice			
Toucar ce	entre			
HLC	8	4	0	0
PSC	30	0	0	0
Djilakh				
HLC	50	2	0	0
PSC	20	0	0	0
Total	534	70	3	77 (

Table 3 Distribution of *Anopheles gambiae* complex species by villages and sampling methods

HLC human landing catch, PSC pyrethrum spray catch

Anthropophilic and parity rates

Of the 169 blood fed females (77 and 92, respectively from the treated and control areas) tested against the five potential vertebrate hosts (human, bovine, ovine, horse and chicken), 240 blood meals were successfully identified consisting of 105 simple meals and 135 mixed meals (with 114 and 21 comprised of blood from two and three different hosts, respectively) (Table 4). The proportions of human blood meals were 57.69% (75/130), in the unsprayed control areas, 30.77% (16/52) in the areas sprayed once, and 51.72% (30/58) in the areas sprayed twice.

However, vector populations' preference for the human host was significantly higher in the unsprayed control areas compared to the areas sprayed once ($\chi 2 = 9.7192$, df = 1, P = 0.001823), but comparable between the unsprayed area versus the area sprayed twice ($\chi 2 = 0.362$, df = 1, P = 0.55) (Table 4). The difference of the human blood indices was at the limit of significance between the two sprayed areas ($\chi 2 = 4.1245$, df = 1, P = 0.04), vector populations being slightly more anthropophilic in the area with two rounds of IRS compared to the other area sprayed once.

In the unsprayed control village of Keur Martin, *An. arabiensis* and *An. melas* displayed the same preference (Fisher's exact test: P = 1; OR 0.694; CI 0.396–1.213) for human blood, with indices of 86.2% (50/58) and 81.82% (9/11), respectively.

Overall, the human blood index of *An. arabiensis* was significantly lower (Fisher's exact test: P = 0.1831; OR 0. 694; CI 0. 396: 1.213) in the sprayed areas (59.7%; 46/77) than in the unsprayed control areas (86.2%; 50/58).

The ovaries of 213 females of *An. gambiae* s.l. were dissected for the vector populations age grading. The parity rate was significantly different between the two areas (Table 5); and was significantly higher ($\chi 2 = 4.9711$, df = 1, P = 0.026) in the unsprayed control areas (64.5%; 113/175) than in the sprayed ones (43.2%; 16/37).

Circumsporozoite protein (CSP) and entomological inoculation rates (EIR)

The vector infection rates, evaluated from the HLC collection, were comparable (Fisher's exact test: P = 1; OR 1.234; CI 0.154: 56.480) between the unsprayed control areas (1.9%; 7/365) and the areas sprayed twice (1.56%; 1/64). In the unsprayed areas, the infection rates were

Table 4 Pro	portion of sim	ple and mixed blood meals	by zone and host
-------------	----------------	---------------------------	------------------

	Identified (N)	Vertebrate l	nosts (%)				
		Human	Bovine	Ovine	Equine	Chicken	Mixed
Control							
Gate Diocoul 2	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Keur Martin	92	47.83	0	3.26	11.96	0	36.96
Sprayed once							
Takhoum Ndoundour	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
Keur Massouka	40	22.5	0	2.5	47.5	0	27.5
Sprayed twice							
Toucar centre	20	20	0	5	5	0	70
Djilakh	17	70.59	0	0	0	0	29.41

Month-year	Control						Sprayed or	ce					Sprayed tw	/ice				
	Gate Dioco	oul 2		Keur Martir	_		Takhoum N	nopunopi		Keur Mass	ouka		Toucar cen	tre		Djilakh		
	Dissected	Parous	P (%)	Dissected	Parous	P (%)	Dissected	Parous	P (%)	Dissected	Parous	P (%)	Dissected	Parous	P (%)	Dissected	Parous	P (%)
Oct-2013	0	0	0	29	15	52		0	0	0	0	0		-	100	œ	5	63
Dec-2013	0	0	0	31	19	61	0	0	0	0	0	0	ε	-	33	0	0	0
Mar-2014	0	0	0	-	. 	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sep-2014	0	0	0	69	50	72	-	0	0	с	0	0	0	0	0	13	9	46
Oct-2014	,	0	0	4	27	61	, -	-	100	0	0	0	-	0	0	4		25
Dec-2014	0	0	0	-	-	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mar-2015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Apr-2015	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	-	0	0	175	113	64.6	4	2	50	e	0	0	5	2	40	25	12	48
P Parity rate																		

aggressive females
ę,
Rate (
arity
6
ō
variation
Seasonal
Table 5

Table 6	Local aı	nd seasor	al variati	on in in	fection ra	ates of ago	gressive	females	: of An. ga	mbiae s	- ;							
Month-	Contro	-					Sprayed	once					Sprayed	twice				
year	Gate D	iocoul 2		Keur Ma	artin		Takhoun	Ndound	our	Keur Ma	ssouka		Toucar o	entre		Djilakh		
	Tested	Positive	CSPR (%)	Tested	Positive	CSPR (%)	Tested	Positive	CSPR (%)	Tested	Positive	CSPR (%)	Tested	Positive	CSPR (%)	Tested	Positive	CSPR (%)
Oct-2013	I	I	I	32	0	1	-		0	1		1	4	0	0	13	0	0
Dec-2013	I	I	I	72	0	I			I	I	I	I	5	0	0	I	I	I
Mar-2014	I	I	I	, -	0	I			I	I	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
Sep-2014	I	I	I	165	2	1.2	-	0	0	7	0	0	2	0	0	35	0	0
Oct-2014	, -	0	0	92	Э	3.2	2	0	0	I	I	I	-	-	100	4	0	0
Dec-2014	I	I	I	2	2	100			I	I	1	1	I	I	I	I	I	I
Mar-2015	I	I	I	I	I	I			I	I	1	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
Apr-2015	I	I	I	I	I	I	-	0	0	, -	0	0	I	I	I	I	I	I
Total	-	0	0	364	7	1.9	5	0	0	00	0	0	12	-	00	52	0	0
CSPR circun	nsporozoit	e rate																

<u></u>
ŝ
Je
ŝ
'n
a
9
Ľ
7
5
ŝ
Ĩ
Ĩ
e,
<u>š</u> .
SS
ē
ē
ag
÷
5
ĕ
ā
Ξ
<u>ē</u> .
ť
Ę,
⊇.
۲
Ē
ō
ati
÷Ë
va
Ĩ
ũ
0
a
s
σ
an
ŭ
2
6
ē
ā
_

1.1% (3/265) for *An. arabiensis*, 4.6% (3/65) for *An. melas* and 3% (1/33) for *An. coluzzii*. Only one female, of the species *An. coluzzii*, was found harbouring the *P. falciparum* CS protein in the sprayed areas. None of the 13 females tested in the areas sprayed once were infected (Table 6).

The entomological inoculation rates (EIRs) were significantly different between the sprayed and unsprayed areas. During the study period of 2 years, EIR was about 14 times higher in the unsprayed control areas than in the sprayed areas (13.14 infective bites per person, compared to 0.9). An unexplained relative increase (from 0 to 3.5 infective bites) of the EIR was noted in the sprayed zone, the second year after IRS implementation. This was possibly related to the natural inter-annual variation in malaria transmission.

Across the unsprayed control areas, malaria transmission occurred via three of the four *An. gambiae* complex members described in Senegal; *An. arabiensis, An. coluzzii* and *An. melas,* while in the sprayed areas only *An. coluzzii* was involved in the transmission.

Discussion

During the study period, only four of the 21 anopheline species present in Senegal were identified [11, 12]: *An. arabiensis, An. melas, An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzi*, three of which are the main vectors of malaria across the African continent [13–16].

The sympatric existence of *An. melas* with *An. arabiensis* and *An. gambiae* in the study area can be explained by the presence of brackish water, the preferred breeding site of this species, as previously reported in the Saloum Delta [17, 18]. Among the collected species, *An. arabiensis* was the most frequent and widespread in the study area and is predominant across the country, except in the southern and south-eastern part of the country [19]. Notably, *An. arabiensis* accounts for the vast majority of anopheline in the Cape Verde Peninsula, in Pout and the Niayes area [20, 21], in the Senegal river Delta [22] in Barkedji [23], and in the northern and central regions of the country. The widespread nature of this species is explained by its better adaptation to drought and arid environment [24].

The results showed a clear impact of the IRS using the CS formulation of the pirimiphos-methyl (organophosphate). The anopheline fauna was significantly less abundant in the sprayed hot spots compared to the unsprayed control area. During the transmission period, the human biting rate of *An. gambiae* s.l. females was significantly lower in the sprayed hot spots than in the unsprayed control villages, and the EIR was around 14 times lower.

Surprisingly, *An. arabiensis*, the most common species during this study, was less frequent in areas with one

spray round, compared to those sprayed twice. This could be attributed to the spatial environmental heterogeneity which may be suitable for vector proliferation in the latter areas than in the previous one. In addition, the known behavioural plasticity of An. arabiensis may be involved in the areas sprayed twice, allowing it to escape control interventions and maintaining higher population densities compared to areas with one spray round. Indeed, Gatton et al. [25] in their review on emerging and historical data on behavioural resistance in response to LLINs and IRS, reported that behavioural and species changes may be emerging, and that a preliminary model has demonstrated that behavioural resistance could have significant impacts on the effectiveness of malaria control. For instance, the use of insecticide may induce behavioural change in vector populations. However, the design of this study make difficult both data analysis and conclusion drawing on such phenotypical changes. Therefore, additional studies on the ecological, ethological and genetical characteristics of vectors populations are needed to better characterize the entomological impact of indoor residual spraying with pirimiphos-methyl in the residual transmission areas of Senegal.

Most of the biting occurred outdoors in both sprayed and unsprayed control areas. However, vector populations were slightly more aggressive outdoors in the former area. This might be explained by the predominance of *An. arabiensis* in the study area, which is known to be more exophilic than other species [26]. Nevertheless, the high coverage of pyrethroid-impregnated nets in both areas suggested an additional pressure towards outdoors biting behaviour due to the repellent effect of this class of insecticide, as shown previously in an experimental study [27]. In Benin, several studies have already shown that the IRS could have a dramatic decrease of the endophily rate [28].

Across the study area, half of blood meals were mixed including at least two vertebrate hosts with the human host in most of the cases. The human blood indices were moderate, being slightly higher in the unsprayed control areas compared to the two sprayed areas. This suggests vector populations' inability to ensure a complete meal on the human host and their deviation to an alternative animal host to complete their meal. This could also be attributable to the highly zoophilic rate [26] of the main vector species, *An. arabiensis*, also known as a naturally evasive species due its behavioural avoidance of indoor insecticide exposure [29].

Despite the similar parity and infection rates between the unsprayed control areas and those sprayed twice, the overall longevity and entomological inoculation rates of vectors populations in the treated areas were markedly reduced. The behavioural plasticity of *An. arabiensis* allowing it to escape control interventions may also explain the relatively high parity rates and the subsequent higher EIR in the areas with two spray rounds. The low number of mosquitoes collected in the IRS areas doesn't allows accurate comparison of the parity rates between the two areas. Therefore, additional studies are urgently needed to better understand the uncommon trends observed in this area. Despite the above limitation, the overall reduction of longevity and entomological inoculation rates in the treated areas could be attributed to the IRS interventions using a long-lasting insecticide formulation [30, 31]. This is supported by previous studies reporting significant reduction of the longevity of *An. gambiae* s.l. and *Anopheles funestus* across several IRS areas in Burundi [32, 33].

Overall, the results suggest IRS using the CS formulation of the pirimiphos-methyl (organophosphate) in hotspots is effective at reducing the risk of malaria transmission, despite anomalous results in the areas treated twice (which are probably due to the faculty of An. arabiensis to escape control interventions through its known behavioural plasticity [29]). Complementary studies are needed to better characterized An. arabiensis especially in residual transmission context to prevent it jeopardizing malaria elimination programmes. Longitudinal surveys are necessary to correctly assess the full impact of the IRS in the hot spots areas to better inform the best time of IRS Implementation owning potential monthly variation in transmission dynamics from year to year. In addition, considering the rainy season lasting from June to October, spraying activities should be implemented as earlier as possible, before mosquito populations peak, to maximize the full effect of IRS.

Despite giving some insights on the entomological impact of IRS with pirimiphos-methyl in the residual transmission areas, the lack of baseline data constitutes a major limitation in the study design which make it difficult to draw clear conclusions drawing. However, this limitation has been overcome with the inclusion of internal unsprayed control areas with the same ecological characteristics than the treated ones.

Conclusion

The preliminary data from this pilot study showed that IRS with the CS formulation of pirimiphos-methyl is likely very effective in reducing residual malaria transmission risk in hot spots. If confirmed, this approach could be integrated into the nation-wide malaria control programme to target hot spot areas where malaria transmission is likely to become more frequent. However, additional studies including further longitudinal entomological surveys as well as ecological and ethological and genetical characterization of vectors species and their populations are needed to better characterize the entomological impact of indoor residual spraying with pirimiphos-methyl in the residual transmission areas of Senegal.

Abbreviations

IRS: indoor residual spraying; SMC: seasonal malaria chemoprevention; HLC: human landing catch; PSC: pyrethrum spray catch; ELISA: enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; HBR: human-biting rate; BPN: bites per person per night; IRD: indoor resting density; CSR: circumsporozoite rate; EIR: entomological inoculation rate; PCR: polymerase chain reaction; LLINs: long-lasting insecticide-treated nets; ITN: insecticide-treated net; NMCP: National Malaria Control Programme; PMI: President's Malaria Initiative; WHO: World Health Organization.

Authors' contributions

BC, OS, LK, OG and OF designed the study. OF, LK, BC and OG supervised the study. OS carried out the field collections and performed the experiments with AD, ED. OS, EAN, FT, EB and AD contributed towards data analysis. OS, EAN and MN analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. All authors read, and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹ Laboratoire d'Ecologie Vectorielle et Parasitaire, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Université Cheikh Anta Diop, Dakar, Senegal. ² Laboratoire de Parasitologie Médicale, Faculté de Médecine, Pharmacie et d'Odonto-stomatologie, Université Cheikh Anta Diop, Dakar, Senegal. ³ Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), Dakar, Senegal. ⁴ London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK.

Acknowledgements

The authors of this paper wish to express their gratitude to the Ministry of Health, the National Environmental Health authorities of the Republic of Senegal which coordinated the IRS operations and Arista Life, distributor of Syngenta in Africa which graciously offered the insecticide to the Project. We are also grateful to Assane Ndiaye, Alioune Guèye, Serigne Birahim Wade for their technical assistance during the field investigations as well as the villagers (Gate Diocoul 2, Toucar, Keur Martin, Djilax, Keur Massouka and Takhoum Ndoundour) for their cooperation. We express our profound gratitude to Dr Emma Smith for reviewing the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets supporting the conclusions of this article are included within the article and its additional files.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the National Ethics Committee of Senegal.

Funding

This study was made possible through the funding of the MRC, DFID, Welcome Trust Clinical Trials Scheme.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 2 October 2017 Accepted: 30 January 2018 Published online: 05 February 2018

- 1. Carnevale P, Mouchet J. La lutte antivectorielle au Cameroun. Passé présent-avenir. Réflexions. Bull Soc Path Exot. 2001;94:202–9.
- 2. Roll Back Malaria Partnership. Global Malaria Action Plan (GMAP). Geneva; 2008. http://www.rbm.who.int/gmap/index.html. Accessed 3 Jan 2013.
- Nkpua Badzi C. Aspersion intra-domiciliaire d'insecticides pour le contrôle du Paludisme. 7ème édition du cours international « atelier Paludisme » 10 Avril 2009—Institut Pasteur de Madagascar. https://fr.slideshare. net/atelier-paludisme/app-2009-s5nkouar. Accessed 17 Aug 2017.
- President's Malaria Initiative Senegal Malaria Operational Plan FY 2013. https://www.pmi.gov/docs/default-source/default-document-library/ malaria-operational-plans/fy13/senegal_mop_fy13.pdf?sfvrsn=8. Accessed 3 Jan 2017.
- WHO/GMP Technical Expert Group On Preventive Chemotherapy. Report of the Technical consultation on Seasonal Malaria Chemoprevention (SMC)/Chimio-prévention saisonnière du paludisme (CSP). Geneva: World Health Organization; 2011. http://www.who.int/malaria/publications/ atoz/smc_report_teg_meetingmay2011. Accessed 22 Dec 2016.
- Bodian A. Caractérisation de la variabilité temporelle récente des précipitations annuelles au Sénégal (Afrique de l'Ouest). Physio-Géo. 2014;8:297–312. https://doi.org/10.4000/physio-geo.4243.
- Gillies MT, De Meillon B. The Anophelinae of Africa south of the Sahara (Ethiopian zoogeographical region). Publications of the South African Institute of Medical Research. 1968;54:1–343.
- Beier JC, Perkins PV, Wirtz RA, Koros J, Diggs D, Gargan T, et al. Bloodmeal identification by direct enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), tested on *Anopheles (Diptera: Culicidae*) in Kenya. J Med Entomol. 1988;25:9–16.
- Wirtz RA, Zavala F, Charoenvit Y, Campbell GH, Burkot TR, Schneider I, et al. Comparative testing of monoclonal antibodies against *Plasmodium falciparum* sporozoïtes for ELISA development. Bull World Health Organ. 1987;65:39–45.
- Wilkins EE, Howell PI, Benedict MQ. IMP PCR primers detect single nucleotide polymorphisms for *Anopheles gambiae* species identification, Mopti and Savanna rDNA types, and resistance to dieldrin in *Anopheles arabiensis*. Malar J. 2006;5:125.
- 11. Diagne N, Fontenille D, Konate L, Faye O, Legros F, Lamizana MT, et al. Les anophèles du Sénégal. Bull Soc Path Exot. 1994;87:267–77.
- Coetzee M, Craig M, Le Sueur D. Distribution of African malaria mosquitoes belonging to the *Anopheles gambiae* complex. Parasitol Today. 2000;16:74–7.
- Vercruysse J, Jancloes M. Etude entomologique sur la transmission du paludisme humain dans la zone urbaine de Pikine (Sénégal). Cahiers ORSTOM Série Entomologie Médicale et Parasitologie. 1981;19:165–78.
- Lebras M, Soubiran G, Baraze A, Meslet B, Combe A, Glap G, et al. Paludisme urbain et rural au Niger, le cas du département de Maradi. Bull Soc Path Exot. 1986;79:695–706.
- Robert V, Gazin P, Ouedraogo V, Carnevale P. Le paludisme urbain à Bobo-Dioulasso (Burkina-Faso). 1. Etude entomologique de la transmission. Cahiers ORSTOM. Série Entomologie Médicale et Parasitologie. 1986;4:121–8.
- Gazin P, Robert V. Le paludisme urbain à Bobo-Dioulasso (Burkina-Faso).
 Les indices paludologiques. Cahiers ORSTOM. Série Entomologie Médicale et Parasitologie. 1987;25:27–31.
- Bryan JH, Petrarca V, Di Deco MA, Coluzzi M. Adult behaviour of members of the *Anopheles gambiae* complex in the Gambia with special reference to *An. melas* and its chromosomal variants. Parasitologia. 1987;29:221–49.
- Faye O, Gaye O, Faye O, Diallo S. La transmission du paludisme dans les villages éloignés ou situés en bordure de la mangrove du Sénégal. Bull Soc Path Exot. 1994;87:157–63.

- Bryan JH, Di Deco MA, Petrarca V, Coluzzi M. Inversion polymorphism and incipient speciation in *Anopheles gambiae* s.s. in the Gambia, West Africa. Genetica. 1982;59:167–76.
- Faye O, Diallo S, Gaye O, Mouchet J. Évaluation de l'efficacité du fenitrothion sur la densité du vecteur et prévalence du paludisme à Pout, Sénégal. Ann Soc Belge Méd Trop. 1992;72:103–12.
- Faye O, Gaye O, Fontenille D, Hébrard G, Konate L, Sy N, et al. La sécheresse et la baisse du paludisme dans les Niayes du Sénégal. Cahiers Santé. 1995;5:299–305.
- 22. Petrarca V, Vercruysse J, Coluzzi M. Observations on the *Anopheles gambiae* complex in the Senegal River Basin, West Africa. Med Vet Entomol. 1987;1:303–12.
- Lemasson JJ, Fontenille D, Lochouarn L, Dia I, Simard F, Ba K, et al. Comparison of behaviour and vector efficiency of *Anopheles gambiae* and *An. arabiensis* (Diptera: Culicidae) in Barkedji, a Sahelian area of Senegal. J Med Entomol. 1997;34:396–403.
- Dukeen MYH, Omer SM. Ecology of the malaria vector Anopheles arabiensis Patton (Diptera: Culicidae) by the Nile in northern Sudan. Bull Ent Res. 1986;76:451–67.
- Gatton ML, Chitnis N, Churcher T, Donnelly MJ, Ghani AC, Godfray HCJ, et al. The importance of mosquito behavioural adaptations to malaria control in Africa. Evolution. 2013;67:1218–30.
- 26. Mahande A, Mosha F, Mahande J, Kweka E. Feeding and resting behaviour of malaria vector, *Anopheles arabiensis* with reference to zooprophylaxis. Malar J. 2007;6:100.
- Darriet F, N'Guessan R, Hougard J-M, Traoré-Lamizani M, Carnevale P. An experimental tool essential for the evaluation of insecticides: the experimental huts. Bull Soc Path Exot. 2002;95:299–303 (in French).
- Padonou GG, Gbedjissi G, Yadouleton A, Azondekon R, Razack O, Oussou O, et al. Decreased proportions of indoor feeding and endophily in *Anopheles gambiae* s.l. populations following the indoor residual spraying and insecticide-treated net interventions in Benin (West Africa). Parasit Vectors. 2012;5:262.
- Killeen GF. A second chance to tackle African malaria vector mosquitoes that avoid houses and don't take drugs. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2013;88:809–16.
- 30. Macdonald G. The epidemiology and control of malaria. London: Oxford University Press; 1957.
- Hamon J, Chauvet G, Mouchet J. Quelques aspects de l'écologie des vecteurs du paludisme humain en Afrique. Cahiers ORSTOM Série Entomologie Médicale et Parasitologie. 1963;1:5–12.
- 32. Mnzava AEP, Rwegoshora RT, Wilkes TJ, Tanner M, Curtis CF. *Anopheles arabiensis* and *An. gambiae* chromosomal inversion polymorphism, feeding and resting behaviour in relation to insecticide house-spraying in Tanzania. Med Vet Entomol. 1995;9:316–24.
- Smits A, Coosemans M. Readjustment of the malaria vector control strategy in the Rusizi Valley, Burundi. Bull Entomol Res. 1995;85:541–8.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research
 Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

