

Construction of classical superintegrable systems with higher order integrals of motion from ladder operators

Ian Marquette

Citation: Journal of Mathematical Physics **51**, 072903 (2010); doi: 10.1063/1.3448925 View online: http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3448925 View Table of Contents: http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jmp/51/7?ver=pdfcov Published by the AIP Publishing

Articles you may be interested in

Classical ladder operators, polynomial Poisson algebras, and classification of superintegrable systems J. Math. Phys. **53**, 012901 (2012); 10.1063/1.3676075

Erratum: Polynomial Poisson Algebras for Classical Superintegrable Systems with a Third Order Integral of Motion [J. Math. Phys.48, 012902 (2007)] J. Math. Phys. **49**, 019901 (2008); 10.1063/1.2831929

Polynomial Poisson algebras for classical superintegrable systems with a third-order integral of motion J. Math. Phys. **48**, 012902 (2007); 10.1063/1.2399359

Second order superintegrable systems in conformally flat spaces. IV. The classical 3D Stäckel transform and 3D classification theory J. Math. Phys. **47**, 043514 (2006); 10.1063/1.2191789

Integrable and superintegrable quantum systems in a magnetic field J. Math. Phys. **45**, 1959 (2004); 10.1063/1.1695447



Construction of classical superintegrable systems with higher order integrals of motion from ladder operators

Ian Marquette^{a)}

Department of Mathematics, University of York, Heslington, York YO10 5DD, United Kingdom

(Received 22 February 2010; accepted 14 May 2010; published online 19 July 2010)

We construct integrals of motion for multidimensional classical systems from ladder operators of one-dimensional systems. This method can be used to obtain new systems with higher order integrals. We show how these integrals generate a polynomial Poisson algebra. We consider a one-dimensional system with third order ladder operators and found a family of superintegrable systems with higher order integrals of motion. We obtain also the polynomial algebra generated by these integrals. We calculate numerically the trajectories and show that all bounded trajectories are closed. © 2010 American Institute of Physics. [doi:10.1063/1.3448925]

I. INTRODUCTION

Over the years, many articles were devoted to superintegrability.¹⁻¹⁵ For a review of superintegrability of two-dimensional systems, we refer the reader to Ref. 14. The relation between constants of motion and ladder operators in classical and quantum mechanics was acknowledged by several authors.^{3,5,6,16-24} Detailed discussions of the relation between integrals and ladder operators for the two-dimensional harmonic oscillator, anisotropic harmonic oscillator, and Kepler–Coulomb systems were done.^{17,18} Ladder operators are more used in context of quantum mechanics. They can provide the wave functions and the energy spectrum of the corresponding Schrodinger equation and the eigenstates of the annihilation operator are related to coherent states.²⁵ In quantum mechanics, these raising and lowering operators are also related to supercharges and supersymmetric quantum mechanics. The quantum superintegrable systems with third order integrals of motion^{15,16} were related to supersymmetric quantum mechanics^{26,27} and higher order supersymmetric quantum mechanics.^{28–30} A method to generate quantum superintegrable systems from supersymmetry was presented in Ref. 31. This method allows to generate systems with higher order integrals of motion. In a recent article, we discussed how ladder operators can be used to generate higher order integrals of motion and superintegrable systems in context of quantum mechanics.³² We imposed the separation of variables in Cartesian coordinates and the order of the ladder operators were arbitrary. These relations between quantum superintegrable systems, integrals of motion, polynomial algebras, ladder operators, and supersymmetry are interesting and provide new insight. In the light of these results the study of systems with ladder operators appears to be important also in regard of superintegrable systems. The classification of systems with first or second order ladder operators in E_2 was discussed.³³ Systems with third^{16,29} and also fourth³⁰ order ladder operators were discussed in context of supersymmetric quantum mechanics.

The purpose of this paper is to discuss how the method developed in context of quantum mechanics to obtain integrals of motion and polynomial algebras from ladder operators³² can be applied in classical mechanics. The method allows to obtain multidimensional superintegrable systems, however, we will focus on two-dimensional systems. We will also discuss systems with

0022-2488/2010/51(7)/072903/9/\$30.00

51, 072903-1

© 2010 American Institute of Physics

^{a)}Electronic mail: im553@york.ac.uk.

072903-2 Ian Marquette

third order ladder operators. To our knowledge, the study of classical systems with higher order ladder operators is also an unexplored subject. We will point out that ladder operators appear important in regard of classical superintegrable systems.

Let us present the organization of this paper. In Sec. II, we will present a method to generate higher order integrals of motion and new classical superintegrable systems from one-dimensional systems with ladder operators. We present the general polynomial Poisson algebra obtained from these integrals of motion. In Sec. III, we consider a system that we studied in an earlier article concerning classical superintegrable systems in two-dimensional Euclidean space separable in Cartesian coordinates with a second and a third order integrals.¹⁷ We show that this system possesses third order ladder operators. We use these operators and results of Sec. II to generate new superintegrable systems. We present their integrals of motion and polynomial Poisson algebras. We obtain their trajectories. They are deformed Lissajous' figure.³⁴ We show also that all bounded trajectories are closed.³⁵

Before proceeding with the results let us recall a few important definitions. In classical mechanics a Hamiltonian system with Hamiltonian H and integrals of motion X_a ,

$$H = \frac{1}{2}g_{ik}p_{i}p_{k} + V(\vec{x},\vec{p}), \quad X_{a} = f_{a}(\vec{x},\vec{p}), \quad a = 1, \dots, n-1,$$
(1.1)

is called completely integrable (or Liouville integrable) if it allows *n* integrals of motion (including the Hamiltonian) that are well defined functions on phase space, are in involution $\{H, X_a\}_p = 0, \{X_a, X_b\}_p = 0, a, b = 1, ..., n-1$ and are functionally independent $(\{,\}_p \text{ is a Poisson bracket})$. A system is superintegrable if it is integrable and allows further integrals of motion $Y_b(\vec{x}, \vec{p})$, $\{H, Y_b\}_p = 0, b = n, n+1, ..., n+k$ that are also well defined functions on phase space and the integrals $\{H, X_1, ..., X_{n-1}, Y_n, ..., Y_{n+k}\}$ are functionally independent. A system is maximally superintegrable if the set contains 2n-1 functions. The integrals Y_b are not required to be in evolution with $X_1, ..., X_{n-1}$, nor with each other.

II. LADDER OPERATORS AND INTEGRALS OF MOTION

Let us consider a classical two-dimensional Hamiltonian separable in Cartesian coordinates,

$$H(x_1, x_2, P_1, P_2) = H_1(x_1, P_1) + H_2(x_2, P_2),$$
(2.1)

for which polynomial ladder operators $(A_{x_i} \text{ and } A_{x_i}^+)$ exist. These operators satisfy the relations

$$\{H_i, A_{x_i}^+\}_p = \lambda_{x_i} A_{x_i}^+, \quad \{H_i, A_{x_i}^-\}_p = -\lambda_{x_i} A_{x_i}^-, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\{A_{x_i}^-, A_{x_i}^+\}_p = P_i(H_i), \quad A_{x_i}^- A_{x_i}^+ = A_{x_i}^+ A_{x_i}^- = Q_i(H_i), \quad i = 1, 2,$$
(2.3)

where $P_i(H_i)$ and $Q_i(H_i)$ are polynomials. These relations are the classical analog of relation imposed in Ref. 32. They are satisfied for many well known superintegrable systems such the Harmonic oscillator and the Smorodinsky–Winternitz potentials that allow separation of variables in Cartesian coordinates. From relation (2.2) the operators $f_1 = A_{x_1}^{+m_1} A_{x_2}^{-m_2}$ and $f_2 = A_{x_1}^{-m_1} A_{x_2}^{+m_2}$ Poisson commute with the Hamiltonian *H* given by Eq. (2.1) if $m_1\lambda_{x_1} - m_2\lambda_{x_2} = 0$ with $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. The following sums are also polynomial integrals of the Hamiltonian *H*,

$$I_1 = A_{x_1}^{+m_1} A_{x_2}^{-m_2} - A_{x_1}^{-m_1} A_{x_2}^{+m_2}, \quad I_2 = A_{x_1}^{+m_1} A_{x_2}^{-m_2} + A_{x_1}^{-m_1} A_{x_2}^{+m_2}.$$
 (2.4)

By construction the Hamiltonian has the following second order integral from separation of variables:

$$K = H_1 - H_2. (2.5)$$

The Hamiltonian H given by Eq. (2.1) is thus superintegrable.

We will now interested by the algebraic structure generated by these integrals. In quantum mechanics, quadratic,^{36,37} cubic,^{14–16} and higher order polynomial algebras³² can be written as

072903-3 Superintegrability and ladder operators

deformed oscillator algebras.³⁸ The Fock-type unitary representations can be used to obtain the energy spectrum. This is the classical equivalent of the polynomial algebra obtained in Ref. 24. Equations (2.2) and (2.3) are classical analog of deformed oscillator algebra.³⁸ Such algebras were discussed by Tsiganov in Ref. 39. Equation (2.3) can also be interpreted as classical analog of the factorization method in supersymmetric quantum mechanics.⁴⁰ We construct from integrals given by Eqs. (2.4) and (2.5) the following polynomial Poisson algebra:

$$\{K, I_1\}_p = 2\lambda I_2, \quad \{K, I_2\}_p = 2\lambda I_1, \quad \{I_1, I_2\}_p = 2Q_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}(H+K)\right)^{m_1-1},$$

$$Q_2 \left(\frac{1}{2}(H-K)\right)^{m_2-1} \left[m_2^2 Q_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}(H+K)\right) P_2 \left(\frac{1}{2}(H-K)\right) - m_1^2 Q_2 \left(\frac{1}{2}(H-K)\right) P_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}(H+K)\right)\right].$$
(2.6)

This is the classical analog of the algebra obtained in Ref. 32. We can relate the polynomial by the following relations. The polynomial algebra of superintegrable systems in classical mechanics plays an important role in their classification.⁴¹

The method can also be extended in N-dimensions by forming the following integrals:

$$I_{ij} = A_{x_i}^{+m_i} A_{x_j}^{-m_j} - A_{x_i}^{-m_i} A_{x_j}^{+m_j}, \quad J_{ij} = A_{x_i}^{+m_i} A_{x_j}^{-m_j} + A_{x_i}^{-m_i} A_{x_j}^{+m_j},$$

$$K_{ij} = H_{x_i} - H_{x_i}, \quad 0 \le i < j \le N.$$
(2.7)

III. CONSTRUCTION OF NEW SUPERINTEGRABLE SYSTEMS

Let us present a system obtained in Ref. 12 and studied in Ref. 13,

$$H = \frac{P_1^2}{2} + \frac{P_2^2}{2} + \frac{\omega^2}{2}x_2^2 + V(x_1), \qquad (3.1)$$

where the potential $V(x_1)$ satisfies a quartic equation,

$$-9V(x_{1})^{4} + 14\omega^{2}x_{1}^{2}V(x_{1})^{3} + \left(6d - 15\frac{\omega^{4}}{2}x_{1}^{4}\right)V(x_{1})^{2} + \left(\frac{3\omega^{6}}{2}x_{1}^{6} - 2d\omega^{2}x_{1}^{2}\right)V(x_{1}) + \left(cx_{1}^{2} - d^{2} - d\frac{\omega^{4}}{2}x_{1}^{4} - \frac{\omega^{8}}{16}x_{1}^{8}\right) = 0.$$
(3.2)

This Hamiltonian has two integrals,

$$A = \frac{P_1^2}{2} - \frac{P_2^2}{2} - \frac{\omega^2}{2}x_2^2 + V(x_1),$$

$$B = -x_2 P_1^3 + x_1 P_1^2 P_2 + \left(\frac{\omega^2}{2}x_1^2 - 3V(x_1)\right) x_2 P_1 - \frac{1}{\omega^2} \left(\frac{\omega^2}{2}x_1^2 - 3V(x_1)\right) V_{x_1}(x_1) P_2.$$
(3.3)

In the quantum case V satisfies a fourth order differential equation, 16

$$\hbar^2 V^{(4)}(x_1) = 12\omega^2 x_1 V'(x_1) + 6(V^2(x_1))'' - 2\omega^2 x_1^2 V''(x_1) + 2\omega^4 x_1^2,$$
(3.4)

that can be solved in terms of the fourth Painlevé transcendent.⁴² In general, Eq. (3.2) has four roots and the expressions for them are quite complicated. A special case occurs if ω^2 , c, and d satisfy $c=2^3\omega^8b^3/3^6$ and $d=\omega^4b^2/3^3$, where b is an arbitrary constant. Then Eq. (3.2) has a double root, and we obtain

072903-4 Ian Marquette

J. Math. Phys. 51, 072903 (2010)

$$V(x_1) = \frac{\omega^2}{18} (2b + 5x_1^2 + \epsilon 4x_1 \sqrt{b + x_1^2}).$$
(3.5)

The potentials $V(x_1)$ are deformed harmonic oscillators. The Hamiltonian given by Eq. (3.1) with potential given by Eq. (3.5) reduces to isotropic harmonic oscillator or anisotropic harmonic oscillator (with ratio of 3:1) when b=0. For $V(x_1)$ satisfying Eq. (3.5) the cubic algebra is

$$\{A,B\}_p = C, \quad \{A,C\}_p = -4\omega^2 B, \quad \{B,C\}_p = 8A^3 + 12HA^2 - 4H^3 - 4\frac{4b^2\omega^4}{27}A + \frac{4b^3\omega^6}{729}.$$
(3.6)

A. Deformed isotropic and anisotropic harmonic oscillator

Let us consider the following one-dimensional systems with potential given by Eq. (3.5):

$$H_1 = \frac{P_1^2}{2} + \frac{\omega^2}{18} (2b_1 + 5x_1^2 + \epsilon_1 4x_1 \sqrt{b_1 + x_1^2}).$$
(3.7)

Like its quantum equivalent, this system has third order creation and annihilation operators,

$$a_{x_{1}}^{+} = P_{1}^{3} - i\omega x_{1}P_{1}^{2} + \left(\frac{b_{1}^{2}\omega^{2}}{3} + \frac{\omega^{2}x_{1}^{2}}{3} + \frac{2}{3}\epsilon_{1}\omega^{2}x_{1}\sqrt{b_{1}^{2} + x_{1}^{2}}\right)P_{1} + i\left(-\frac{1}{3}b_{1}^{2}\omega^{3}x_{1} - \frac{13}{27}\omega^{3}x_{1}^{3} - \frac{2}{27}b_{1}^{2}\epsilon_{1}\sqrt{b_{1}^{2} + x_{1}^{2}} - \frac{14}{27}\epsilon_{1}\omega^{3}x_{1}^{2}\sqrt{b_{1}^{2} + x_{1}^{2}}\right),$$
(3.8)

$$a_{x_{1}}^{-} = P_{1}^{3} + i\omega x_{1}P_{1}^{2} + \left(\frac{b_{1}^{2}\omega^{2}}{3} + \frac{\omega^{2}x_{1}^{2}}{3} + \frac{2}{3}\epsilon_{1}\omega^{2}x_{1}\sqrt{b_{1}^{2} + x_{1}^{2}}\right)P_{1} - i\left(-\frac{1}{3}b_{1}^{2}\omega^{3}x_{1} - \frac{13}{27}\omega^{3}x_{1}^{3} - \frac{2}{27}b_{1}^{2}\epsilon_{1}\sqrt{b_{1}^{2} + x_{1}^{2}} - \frac{14}{27}\epsilon_{1}\omega^{3}x_{1}^{2}\sqrt{b_{1}^{2} + x_{1}^{2}}\right),$$
(3.9)

satisfying

$$\{H, a_{x_1}^+\}_p = i\omega a_{x_1}^+, \quad \{H, a_{x_1}^-\}_p = -i\omega a_{x_1}^-.$$
(3.10)

The results of Sec. II allow us to form the following *N*-dimensional classical superintegrable system:

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{P_j^2}{2} + \frac{\omega^2 k_j^2}{18} (2b_j + 5x_j^2 + \epsilon_j 4x_j \sqrt{b_j + x_j^2}).$$
(3.11)

It has ladder operators of the same form given by Eqs. (3.8) and (3.9) in each axis. The polynomials $P_i(H_i)$ and $Q_i(H_i)$ are given by

$$P_{j}(H_{j}) = 24i\omega k_{j}H_{j}^{2} + \frac{16}{3}i(-b_{j}\omega^{3}k_{j}^{3} + b_{j}^{2}\omega^{3}k_{j}^{3})H_{j} + \frac{2}{27}i(4b_{j}^{2}\omega^{5}k_{j}^{5} - 8b_{j}^{3}\omega^{5}k_{j}^{5} + 3b_{j}^{4}\omega^{5}k_{j}^{5})$$

$$(3.12)$$

$$Q_j(H_j) = \frac{2}{729} (18H_j + (b_j - 2)b_j\omega_j^2)^2 (9H_j + b_j(2b_j - 1)\omega_j^2).$$
(3.13)

For the case N=2 the integrals are thus given by Eqs. (2.4) and (2.5). These integrals generate the polynomial Poisson algebra given by Eq. (2.7) with $P_1(H_1)$, $P_2(H_2)$, $Q_1(H_1)$, and $Q_2(H_2)$ given by Eqs. (3.12) and (3.13). The integrals I_1 and I_2 are polynomials in the momenta of order $3^{m_1+m_2}$ – 1 and $3^{m_1+m_2}$, respectively. The order of the polynomial algebra is $2 \times 3^{m_1+m_2-1}$. In the



FIG. 1. (Color online) A trajectory for $V = (\omega^2 k_1^2 / 18)(2b_1 + 5x^2 + \epsilon_1 4x \sqrt{b_1 + x^2}) + (\omega^2 k_2^2 / 18)(2b_2 + 5y^2 + \epsilon_2 4y \sqrt{b_2 + y^2})$. Parameters: $\epsilon_1 = 1, \epsilon_2 = 1, \omega = 3, k_1 = 1, k_2 = 3, b_1 = 3, b_2 = 5, v_{xo} = 1, x_o = 1, v_{yo} = -3, y_o = 1, t = [0, 20]$.

N-dimensional case the integrals are given by Eq. (2.7). Only 2N-1 of these integrals are functionally independent and this system is maximally superintegrable. The integrals I_{ij} , J_{ij} , and K_{ij} are polynomials in the momenta of order $3^{m_i+m_j}-1$, $3^{m_i+m_j}$, and 2, respectively.

The trajectories are obtained numerically directly from the equations of motion. We present trajectories for the cases N=2 and N=3 for specific parameters (Figs. 1–4). The bounded trajectories are closed and correspond to deformed Lissajous's figures.

072903-6

Ian Marquette

J. Math. Phys. 51, 072903 (2010)



FIG. 2. (Color online) A trajectory for $V = (\omega^2 k_1^2 / 18)(2b_1 + 5x^2 + \epsilon_1 4x \sqrt{b_1 + x^2}) + (\omega^2 k_2^2 / 18)(2b_2 + 5y^2 + \epsilon_2 4y \sqrt{b_2 + y^2})$. Parameters: $\epsilon_1 = 1, \epsilon_2 = 1, \omega = 3, k_1 = 3, k_2 = 4, b_1 = 3, b_2 = 5, v_{xo} = 1, x_o = 1, v_{yo} = -3, y_o = 1, t = [0, 20]$.

IV. CONCLUSION

Other methods to obtain superintegrable systems were discussed recently: the method of coupling constant metamorphosis in context of higher order integrals of motion⁴³ and the method of symmetry reduction.^{44,45} In this paper, we constructed for a class of classical systems integrals of motion from ladder operators. This method allows to generate new classical superintegrable systems with higher order integrals of motion from one-dimensional Hamiltonian for which we



FIG. 3. (Color online) A trajectory for $V = (\omega^2 k_1^2/18)(2b_1 + 5x^2 + \epsilon_1 4x\sqrt{b_1 + x^2}) + (\omega^2 k_2^2/18)(2b_2 + 5y^2 + \epsilon_2 4y\sqrt{b_2 + y^2}) + (\omega^2 k_3^2/18)(2b_3 + 5z^2 + \epsilon_3 4z\sqrt{b_3 + z^2})$. Parameters: $\epsilon_1 = 1$, $\epsilon_2 = 1$, $\epsilon_3 = 1$, $\omega = 3$, $k_1 = 7$, $k_2 = 11$, $k_3 = 4$, $b_1 = 3$, $b_2 = 5$, $b_3 = 7$, $v_{xo} = 1$, $x_o = 1$, $v_{yo} = -3$, $y_o = 1$, $z_o = 1$, $v_{zo} = 2$, t = [0, 20].



FIG. 4. (Color online) A trajectory for $V = (\omega^2 k_1^2 / 18)(2b_1 + 5x^2 + \epsilon_1 4x \sqrt{b_1 + x^2}) + (\omega^2 k_2^2 / 18)(2b_2 + 5y^2 + \epsilon_2 4y \sqrt{b_2 + y^2}) + (\omega^2 k_3^2 / 18)(2b_3 + 5z^2 + \epsilon_3 4z \sqrt{b_3 + z^2})$. Parameters: $\epsilon_1 = 1$, $\epsilon_2 = 1$, $\epsilon_3 = 1$, $\omega = 3$, $k_1 = 5$, $k_2 = 6$, $k_3 = 2$, $b_1 = 3$, $b_2 = 5$, $b_3 = 7$, $v_{xo} = 1$, $x_o = 1$, $v_{yo} = -3$, $y_o = 1$, $z_o = 1$, $v_{zo} = 2$, t = [0, 20].

know the ladder operators. From the requirement that ladder operators satisfy the classical analog of deformed oscillator algebras, we obtained the polynomial Poisson algebra for the superinte-grable systems.

We considered the one-dimensional system given by Eq. (3.5) for which the potential satisfies a quartic equation. This system has third order ladder operators. From this systems and the ladder

operators and the method of Sec. II, we constructed the integrals of motion and polynomial Poisson algebra for a new two-dimensional suprintegrable systems. A family of superintegrable systems in N dimensions can be generated. We present also the trajectories in the two and three-dimensional cases and obtain deformed Lissajous figures. All bounded trajectories are closed.

We discuss in this paper systems with third order ladder operators. Classical systems with higher order ladder operators were not systematically studied. The study of such systems could provide new superintegrable systems with higher integral of motion.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research of I.M. was supported by a postdoctoral research fellowship from FQRNT of Quebec. The author thanks P. Winternitz for very helpful comments and discussions.

- ¹V. Fock, Z. Phys. 98, 145 (1935).
- ²V. Bargmann, Z. Phys. **99**, 576 (1936).
- ³J. M. Jauch and E. L. Hill, Phys. Rev. 57, 641 (1940).
- ⁴J. Fris, V. Mandrosov, Ya. A. Smorodinsky, M. Uhlir, and P. Winternitz, Phys. Lett. 16, 354 (1965).
- ⁵P. Winternitz, Ya. A. Smorodinsky, M. Uhlir, and I. Fris, Yad. Fiz. 4, 625 (1966) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 4, 444 (1967).
- ⁶N. W. Evans, Phys. Rev. A **41**, 5666 (1990).
- ⁷N. W. Evans, J. Math. Phys. **32**, 3369 (1991).
- ⁸E. G. Kalnins, W. Miller, Jr., and S. Post, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40, 11525 (2007).
- ⁹P. Winternitz and I. Yurdusen, J. Math. Phys. 47, 103509 (2006).
- ¹⁰ J. Bérube and P. Winternitz, J. Math. Phys. **45**, 1959 (2004).
- ¹¹S. Gravel and P. Winternitz, J. Math. Phys. 43, 5902 (2002).
- ¹²S. Gravel, J. Math. Phys. 45, 1003 (2004).
- ¹³I. Marquette and P. Winternitz, J. Math. Phys. 48, 012902 (2007).
- ¹⁴I. Marquette, and P. Winternitz, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 41, 304031 (2008).
- ¹⁵I. Marquette, J. Math. Phys. 50, 012101 (2009).
- ¹⁶I. Marquette, J. Math. Phys. 50, 095202 (2009).
- ¹⁷ V. A. Dulock and H. V. McIntosh, Am. J. Phys. 33, 109 (1965).
- ¹⁸A. Cisneros and H. V. McIntosh, J. Math. Phys. 11, 870 (1970).
- ¹⁹R. D. Mota, V. D. Granados, A. Queijeiro, and J. Garcia, J. Phys. A 35, 2979 (2002).
- ²⁰J. M. Lyman and P. K. Aravind, J. Phys. A 26, 3307 (1993).
- ²¹ R. D. Mota, J. Garcia, and V. D. Granados, J. Phys. A 34, 2041 (2001).
- ²² Y. F. Liu, W. J. Huo, and J. Y. Zeng, Phys. Rev. A 58, 862 (1998).
- ²³M. Plyushchay, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 15, 3679 (2000).
- ²⁴F. Correa, V. Jakubsky, and M. S. Plyushchay, Ann. Phys. 324, 1078 (2009).
- ²⁵D. J. Fernández, V. Hussin, and L. M. Nieto, J. Phys. A 27, 3547 (1994).
- ²⁶E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 188, 513 (1981); 202, 253 (1982).
- ²⁷G. Junker, Supersymmetric Methods in Quantum and Statistical Physics (Springer, New York, 1995).
- ²⁸ A. Andrianov, M. Ioffe, and V. P. Spiridonov, Phys. Lett. A 174, 273 (1993).
- ²⁹A. Andrianov, F. Cannata, M. Ioffe, and D. Nishnianidze, Phys. Lett. A 266, 341 (2000).
- ³⁰J. M. Carballo D.J. Fernandez C. J. Negro and L.M. Nieto, J. Phys. A 37, 10349 (2004).
- ³¹I. Marquette, J. Math. Phys. **50**, 122102 (2009).
- ³²I. Marquette, J. Phys. A 43, 135203 (2010).
- ³³C. P. Boyer and W. Miller, Jr., J. Math. Phys. 15, 1484 (1974).
- ³⁴J. Lissajous, Ann. Chim. Phys. **51**, 147 (1857).
- ³⁵N. N. Nekhoroshev, Trudy Moskow Mat. Obshch. 26, 181 (1972).
- ³⁶D. Bonatsos, C. Daskaloyannis, and K. Kokkotas, Phys. Rev. A 48, R3407 (1993).
- ³⁷D. Bonatsos, C. Daskaloyannis, and K. Kokkotas, Phys. Rev. A 50, 3700 (1994); C. Daskaloyannis, J. Math. Phys. 42, 1100 (2001).
- ³⁸C. Daskaloyannis, J. Phys. A 24, L789 (1991).
- ³⁹A. V. Tsiganov, J. Phys. A 33, 7407 (2000).
- ⁴⁰S. Kuru and J. Negro, Ann. Phys. **323**, 413 (2008).
- ⁴¹C. Daskaloyannis and K. Ypsilantis, J. Math. Phys. 47, 042904 (2006).
- ⁴²E. L. Ince, Ordinary Differential Equations (Dover, New York, 1944).
- ⁴³E. G. Kalnins, W. Miller, Jr., and S. Post, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 43, 035202 (2010).
- ⁴⁴M. A. Rodríguez, P. Tempesta, and P. Winternitz, Phys. Rev. E 78, 046608 (2008).
- ⁴⁵M. A. Rodríguez, P. Tempesta, and P. Winternitz, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 175, 012013 (2009).