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Summary: The Lame system of general anisotropic plane elasticity is considered. A representation of a general solution or the system through a so-called Douglis analytic functions is given. The cases of orthotropic and isotropic media are also considered.

## 1 Lame system

The stress tensor

$$
\sigma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\sigma_{1} & \sigma_{3} \\
\sigma_{3} & \sigma_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

of plane elasticity medium is connected with a displacement vector $u=\downarrow\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ by the Hook law [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{3}}=a_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+a_{12} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}, \quad\binom{\sigma_{3}}{\sigma_{2}}=a_{21} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+a_{22} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients $a_{i j} \in \mathbb{R}^{2 \times 2}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{6} \\
\alpha_{6} & \alpha_{3}
\end{array}\right), & a_{12}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{6} & \alpha_{4} \\
\alpha_{3} & \alpha_{5}
\end{array}\right) \\
a_{21}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{6} & \alpha_{3} \\
\alpha_{4} & \alpha_{5}
\end{array}\right), & a_{22}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{3} & \alpha_{5} \\
\alpha_{5} & \alpha_{2}
\end{array}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{array}
$$

where modulus elasticity $\alpha_{j}$ form the positively defined matrix

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{4} & \alpha_{6} \\
\alpha_{4} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{5} \\
\alpha_{6} & \alpha_{5} & \alpha_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

[^0]By the Silvester criterium we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\alpha_{j}>0, j=1,2,3, \quad \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}>\alpha_{4}^{2} \\
\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}+2 \alpha_{4} \alpha_{5} \alpha_{6}>\alpha_{1} \alpha_{5}^{2}+\alpha_{2} \alpha_{6}^{2}+\alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}^{2} \tag{1.3b}
\end{array}
$$

The elastic medium is orthotropic if $\alpha_{5}=\alpha_{6}=0$, and is isotropic if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{5}=\alpha_{6}=0, \quad \alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=2 \alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The stress tensor satisfies the equilibrium equation

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\binom{\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{3}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\binom{\sigma_{3}}{\sigma_{2}}=0
$$

Together with (1.1) it yields the Lame system

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{11} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+\left(a_{12}+a_{21}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}+a_{22} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the displacement vector $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$. Besides there exists a so-called conjugate function $v(x, y)$ determined by the following relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{\sigma_{1}}{\sigma_{3}}=-\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \quad\binom{\sigma_{3}}{\sigma_{2}}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (1.1) this function is connected with $u$ by relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}=-\left(a_{21} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+a_{22} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right), \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}=a_{11} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+a_{12} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (1.2) it follows that the matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4}
$$

is symmetric and nonnegatively defined i.e. $(A \xi, \xi) \geq 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{4}$. Moreover

$$
(A \xi, \xi)=0 \Leftrightarrow A \xi=0 \Leftrightarrow \xi=(0, t,-t, 0), t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Hence

$$
\left(p(t) \xi_{0}, \xi_{0}\right)=\left(a_{11} \xi_{0}+a_{12} t \xi_{0}, \xi_{0}\right)+\left(a_{21} \xi_{0}+a_{22} t \xi_{0}, t \xi_{0}\right)>0
$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, where $p(z)=a_{11}+\left(a_{12}+a_{21}\right) z+a_{22} z^{2}$. In particular the Lame system is strongly elliptic [2] and its characteristic equation $\chi(z)=\operatorname{det} p(z)$ has no real roots. Thus for the set $\sigma_{+}$of these roots in the upper half- plane we have only the following two possibilities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (i) } \sigma_{+}=\left\{\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right\}, \nu_{1} \neq \nu_{2}, \quad \text { (ii) } \sigma_{+}=\{\nu\} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the explicit form we have

$$
p=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p_{1} & p_{3}  \tag{1.9}\\
p_{3} & p_{2}
\end{array}\right), \begin{aligned}
& p_{1}(z)=\alpha_{1}+2 \alpha_{6} z+\alpha_{3} z^{2}, \\
& p_{2}(z)=\alpha_{3}+2 \alpha_{5} z+\alpha_{2} z^{2}, \\
& p_{3}(z)=\alpha_{6}+\left(\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}\right) z+\alpha_{5} z^{2},
\end{aligned} \quad \chi=p_{1} p_{2}-p_{3}^{2}
$$

The roots of the characteristic equation can be calculated explicitly in the orthotropic case. In this case

$$
\chi(z)=\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}\left(\rho^{4}+2 m \rho^{2} z^{2}+z^{4}\right), \quad \rho=\sqrt[4]{\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}}, \quad m=\frac{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{4}^{2}-2 \alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}}{2 \alpha_{3} \sqrt{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}} .
$$

It is obvious

$$
m+1=\frac{m_{1}}{2 \alpha_{3} \sqrt{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}}, \quad m-1=\frac{m_{2}}{2 \alpha_{3} \sqrt{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}}\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}-2 \alpha_{3}-\alpha_{4}\right)
$$

where $m_{1}=\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}-\alpha_{4}\right)\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}+\alpha_{4}+2 \alpha_{3}\right)$ and $m_{2}=\sqrt{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}+\alpha_{4}$. By virtue of (1.3a) these numbers are positive. From this equation it follows that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\nu_{1}=i \rho e^{i \theta}, \nu_{2}=i \rho e^{-i \theta}, \quad \sqrt{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}<2 \alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}, \quad 2 \theta=\arccos r \\
\nu_{1}=i \rho e^{t}, \nu_{2}=i \rho e^{-t}, \quad \sqrt{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}>2 \alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}, \quad 2 t=\operatorname{arcch} r \\
\nu_{1}=\nu_{2}=i \rho, \quad \sqrt{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}=2 \alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4} \tag{1.10c}
\end{array}
$$

Very simple expressions we have in the case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{5}=\alpha_{6}=0, \quad \alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}=0 . \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then Lame system is diagonal and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{1}=i \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{3}}}, \quad \nu_{2}=i \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{2}}} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This corresponds to (1.10b) with

$$
m=\frac{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}^{2}}{2 \alpha_{3} \sqrt{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}}
$$

The second possibility (ii) of multiple roots is corresponds to (1.10c). The equality $\rho=1$ is valid if and only if the orthotropic medium is isotropic.

For general anisotropic Lame system let us consider a case when three elements of the matrix $p(\nu)$ are equal to zero.

Lemma 1.1 (a) The equalities $p_{2}(\nu)=p_{3}(\nu)=0, \nu \in \sigma_{+}$, hold if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{3}^{2}<\alpha_{1} \alpha_{1}, \quad\left|\alpha_{5}\right|<\alpha_{2}, \quad \alpha_{3} \alpha_{5}=\alpha_{2} \alpha_{6}, \quad \alpha_{2}\left(\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}\right)=2 \alpha_{5}^{2} \tag{1.13a}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) The equalities $p_{1}(\nu)=p_{3}(\nu)=0, \nu \in \sigma_{+}$, hold if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{3}^{2}<\alpha_{1} \alpha_{1}, \quad\left|\alpha_{6}\right|<\alpha_{3}, \quad \alpha_{1} \alpha_{5}=\alpha_{3} \alpha_{6}, \quad \alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}\right)=2 \alpha_{6}^{2} . \tag{1.13b}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) The both conditions (1.13) are equivalent to (1.11).
(d) The equalities $p_{1}(\nu)=p_{2}(\nu)=p_{3}(\nu)=0$ are impossible for all $\nu$. The equalities $p_{2}(\nu)=p_{3}(\nu)=0$ or $p_{1}(\nu)=p_{3}(\nu)=0$ are only possible in the case ( $i$ ).

## Proof:

(a) The equalities $p_{2}(\nu)=p_{3}(\nu)=0$ are equivalent to the relation $p_{3}=\lambda p_{2}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{6}=\lambda \alpha_{3}, \quad \alpha_{5}=\lambda \alpha_{2} \quad \alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}=2 \lambda \alpha_{5}=2 \lambda^{2} \alpha_{2} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of (1.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{3}-\sqrt{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}<2 \lambda^{2} \alpha_{2}<\alpha_{3}+\sqrt{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}+2\left(2 \lambda^{2} \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right) \lambda^{2} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}>\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}^{2}\right) \lambda^{2}+\alpha_{3}\left(2 \lambda^{2} \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right)^{2}
$$

The last inequality can be written in the form $\left(\lambda^{2} \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right)\left(\alpha_{3}^{2}-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right)>0$. The inequalities $\lambda^{2} \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}>0$ and $\alpha_{3}^{2}-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}>0$ contradict to (1.15), so $\lambda^{2} \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}<0$ and $\alpha_{3}^{2}-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}<0$. In this case (1.15) hold automatically and we receive (1.13a) after illuminating the parameter $\lambda$ from (1.14).
(b) The proof is analogously to (a).
(c) Suppose that (1.13a) and (1.13b) hold but $\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4} \neq 0$. Then $\alpha_{5} \alpha_{6} \neq 0$ and from the system $\alpha_{3} \alpha_{5}-\alpha_{2} \alpha_{6}=0, \alpha_{1} \alpha_{5}-\alpha_{3} \alpha_{6}=0$ it follows that $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}=\alpha_{3}^{2}$. But this equality contradicts to (1.13).
(d) The first assertion follows from (c). Suppose further that for example $p_{2}(\nu)=$ $p_{3}(\nu)=0$ for the multiple root $\nu$. Then $\chi(\nu)=\chi^{\prime}(\nu)=0$. As $\chi^{\prime}=p_{1}^{\prime} p_{2}+$ $p_{1} p_{2}^{\prime}-2 p_{3} p_{3}^{\prime}$ and $p_{i}^{\prime}(\nu) \neq 0, i=1,2$, for all $\nu, \operatorname{Im} \nu \neq 0$. So we receive $p_{2}(\nu)=0$ and $p_{1}(\nu)=p_{2}(\nu)=p_{3}(\nu)=0$, that is impossible.

## 2 Function theoretic approach

The classic approach to plane elasticity is based [3] on representation of general solution of the Lame system through two analytic functions. In the isotropic case this representation is known as Kolosov-Muskhelishvili formula [4]. Later there were developed various function theoretic methods [5,6,7], where the role of analytic functions play solutions of first order elliptic systems. Our approach to plane elasticity is based [8, 9] on the so called Douglis analytic functions which satisfy by definition the following system

$$
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}-J \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}=0 .
$$

At this point the spectrum $\sigma(J)$ of the matrix $J \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ here coincides with $\sigma_{+}$and there exists the matrix $b \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ such that

$$
a_{11} b+\left(a_{12}+a_{21}\right) b J+a_{22} b J^{2}=0, \quad \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b & \bar{b}  \tag{2.1}\\
b J & \overline{b J}
\end{array}\right) \neq 0 .
$$

In this terms a general solution $u$ of the Lame system and its conjugate function $v$ can be represented by formulas

$$
u=\operatorname{Re} b \phi, \quad v=\operatorname{Re} c \phi+\xi
$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $c=-\left(a_{21} b+a_{22} b J\right)$.
The matrix $b$ can be chosen in a Jordan form. In the case (ii) by virtue of Lemma 1.1 (d) the matrix $J$ doesn't have to be equal to scalar matrix $\nu$. So according to (1.8) there are two possibilities

$$
\text { (i) } J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\nu_{1} & 0  \tag{2.2}\\
0 & \nu_{2}
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { (ii) } J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\nu & 1 \\
0 & \nu
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The matrix $b$ is not uniquely defined by (2.1). If $\tilde{b}$ satisfies the same conditions and $\tilde{c}=-\left(a_{21} \tilde{b}+a_{22} \tilde{b} J\right)$, then we have [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{b}=b d, \quad \tilde{c}=c d, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where an invertible matrix $d$ according two cases (i) and (ii) has a form

$$
\text { (i) } d=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
d_{1} & 0 \\
0 & d_{2}
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { (ii) } d=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
d_{1} & d_{2} \\
0 & d_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The matrixes $b$ and $c$ were described in [8,9]. In this paper we give another more exact expressions for these matrixes. Let us introduce the matrixes

$$
q=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p_{2} & -p_{3}  \tag{2.4}\\
-p_{3} & p_{1}
\end{array}\right), \quad r(z)=-\left(a_{21}+a_{22} z\right) q(z)
$$

In the explicit form

$$
r(z)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-z q_{3} & -q_{1}  \tag{2.5}\\
q_{3} & q_{2}-z q_{3}
\end{array}\right), \begin{aligned}
& q_{1}(z)=\beta_{2}-\beta_{5} z+\beta_{4} z^{2} \\
& q_{2}(z)=\beta_{5}-\beta_{3} z+\beta_{6} z^{2} \\
& q_{3}(z)=\beta_{4}-\beta_{6} z+\beta_{1} z^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\beta_{1}=\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}-\alpha_{5}^{2}, & \beta_{2}=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}-\alpha_{6}^{2}, & \beta_{3}=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{4}^{2} \\
\beta_{4}=\alpha_{5} \alpha_{6}-\alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}, & \beta_{5}=\alpha_{4} \alpha_{6}-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{5}, & \beta_{6}=\alpha_{4} \alpha_{5}-\alpha_{2} \alpha_{6}
\end{array}
$$

Note that $\beta_{j}$ coincide with elements of $3 \times 3$-matrix $\beta$, which is adjoint to the matrix $\alpha$, i.e.

$$
\beta=(\operatorname{det} \alpha) \alpha^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\beta_{1} & \beta_{4} & \beta_{6}  \tag{2.6}\\
\beta_{4} & \beta_{2} & \beta_{5} \\
\beta_{6} & \beta_{5} & \beta_{3}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Theorem 2.1 (i) Let $\sigma_{+}=\left\{\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right\}$. If the condition (1.13a) doesn't valid then

$$
b=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p_{2}\left(\nu_{1}\right) & p_{2}\left(\nu_{2}\right)  \tag{2.7a}\\
-p_{3}\left(\nu_{1}\right) & -p_{3}\left(\nu_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right), \quad c=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\nu_{1} q_{3}\left(\nu_{1}\right) & -\nu_{2} q_{3}\left(\nu_{2}\right) \\
q_{3}\left(\nu_{1}\right) & q_{3}\left(\nu_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

If these conditions hold and $\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4} \neq 0$, then

$$
b=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-p_{3}\left(\nu_{1}\right) & p_{3}\left(\nu_{2}\right)  \tag{2.7b}\\
p_{1}\left(\nu_{1}\right) & p_{1}\left(\nu_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right), \quad c=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-q_{1}\left(\nu_{1}\right) & -q_{1}\left(\nu_{2}\right) \\
q_{2}\left(\nu_{1}\right)-\nu_{1} q_{3}\left(\nu_{1}\right) & q_{2}\left(\nu_{2}\right)-\nu_{2} q_{3}\left(\nu_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

At last in the case (1.11) we can put

$$
b=1, \quad c=-\left(a_{21}+a_{22} J\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\alpha_{3} \nu_{1} & -\alpha_{3}  \tag{2.7c}\\
\alpha_{3} & -\alpha_{2} \nu_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

(ii) Let $\sigma_{+}=\{\nu\}$. Then we can put

$$
b=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p_{2}(\nu) & p_{2}^{\prime}(\nu)  \tag{2.8}\\
-p_{3}(\nu) & -p_{3}^{\prime}(\nu)
\end{array}\right), \quad c=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\nu q_{3}(\nu)-q_{3}(\nu)-\nu q_{3}^{\prime}(\nu) \\
q_{3}(\nu) & q_{3}^{\prime}(\nu)
\end{array}\right)
$$

## Proof:

(i) From (2.1) it follows that the columns $b_{(k)}, k=1,2$, satisfy the equation $p\left(\nu_{k}\right) b_{(k)}$ $=0$. Taking into account (2.4) we have $p(z) q(z)=\chi(z)$ and hence $p\left(\nu_{k}\right) q_{(i)}\left(\nu_{k}\right)=$ $0, i=1,2$. So we can put $b_{(k)}=d_{k} q_{(i)}\left(\nu_{k}\right), d_{k} \neq 0$, under assumption $q_{(i)}\left(\nu_{k}\right) \neq$ 0 . If the conditions (1.13a) have no place then then according to Lemma 1.1 this assumption is fulfilled for $i=1$.
Let the conditions (1.13a) hold. Then the unit matrix $b=1$ satisfies (2.1) in the case (1.11). If $\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4} \neq 0$, then by lemma 1 we have $b_{(k)}=d_{k} q_{(2)}\left(\nu_{k}\right), d_{k} \neq 0$ for all $k=1,2$. By virtue of (2.3) we can put here $d_{1}=d_{2}=1$.
Let turn to the matrix $c=-\left(a_{21} b+a_{22} b J\right)$. It is obviously that $c_{(k)}=-a_{21} b_{(k)}-$ $\nu_{k} a_{22} b_{(k)}$ and therefore

$$
c_{(k)}=-\left(a_{21}+a_{22} \nu_{k}\right) p_{(i)}\left(\nu_{k}\right), \quad b_{(k)}=p_{(i)}\left(\nu_{k}\right)
$$

Taking into account (2.3) we complete the proof.
(ii) It follows from (2.1) that

$$
p(\nu) b_{(1)}=0, \quad p(\nu) b_{(2)}+p^{\prime}(\nu) b_{(1)}=0
$$

Since the root $\nu$ is multiple we have $p(\nu) q^{\prime}(\nu) p^{\prime}(\nu) q(\nu)=0$. By virtue of Lemma 1.1 the column $q_{(1)}(\nu) \neq 0$ and therefore we can write

$$
b_{(1)}=d_{1} q_{(1)}(\nu), \quad b_{(2)}=d_{1} q_{(1)}^{\prime}(\nu)+d_{2} q_{(1)}(\nu)
$$

with $d_{1} \neq 0$. Taking into account (2.3) we complete the proof for the matrix $b$.
$\operatorname{As}(b J)_{1)}=\nu b_{1)},(b J)_{2)}=b_{1)}+\nu b_{2)}$, we can write

$$
c_{(1)}=-a_{21} b_{(1)}-\nu a_{22} b_{(1)}, \quad c_{(2)}=-a_{21} b_{(2)}-\nu a_{22} b_{(2)}-a_{22} b_{(1)}
$$

Putting $b_{(1)}=p_{(1)}(\nu), b_{(2)}=p_{(1)}^{\prime}(\nu)$ we receive

$$
c_{(1)}=r_{(1)}(\nu), \quad c_{(2)}=-\left(a_{21}+\nu a_{22}\right) p_{(1)}^{\prime}(\nu)-a_{22} p_{(1)}(\nu)=r_{(1)}^{\prime}(\nu)
$$

that complete the proof.

Due to [10] the matrix $b$ is invertible for all strong elliptic system and in particular for Lame system. The matrix $c$ has the same property.

Theorem 2.2 Under assumptions of the Theorem 2.1 the matrix $c$ is invertible.

Proof: Within notations (2.6) the characteristic polynomial $\chi=p_{1} p_{2}-p_{3}^{2}$ can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(z)=q_{1}(z)-z q_{2}(z)+z^{2} q_{3}(z) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expressions (2.5) for $q_{j}$ yield the relation $\xi=\beta \eta$ with respect to the vectors $\xi=$ $\left(q_{3}, q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$ and $\eta=\left(z^{2}, 1,-z\right)$. Taking into account (2.6) we conclude that $(\operatorname{det} \alpha) \eta=$ $\alpha \xi$ or

$$
\begin{align*}
(\operatorname{det} \alpha) z^{2} & =\alpha_{4} q_{1}+\alpha_{6} q_{2}+\alpha_{1} q_{3} \\
\operatorname{det} \alpha & =\alpha_{2} q_{1}+\alpha_{5} q_{2}+\alpha_{4} q_{3}  \tag{2.10}\\
-(\operatorname{det} \alpha) z & =\alpha_{5} q_{1}+\alpha_{3} q_{2}+\alpha_{6} q_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular the common equalities $q_{1}(\nu)=q_{2}(\nu)=q_{3}(\nu)=0$ are impossible for all $\nu$. From this and (2.9) it follows than only one of numbers $q_{i}(\nu), i=1,2,3$, where $\nu \in \sigma_{+}$, may be equal to zero.

The following implications

$$
\begin{array}{r}
q_{3}(\nu)=0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad p_{2}(\nu)=p_{3}(\nu)=0 \\
q_{1}(\nu)=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad p_{3}(\nu)=0 . \tag{2.11b}
\end{array}
$$

for every $\nu \in \sigma_{+}$hold.
In fact let $\chi(\nu)=q_{3}(\nu)=0$. Then by virtue of (2.9) we can write $q_{1}(\nu)=\lambda \nu$, $q_{2}(\nu)=\lambda \neq 0, q_{3}(\nu)=0$. Putting $z=\nu$ in (2.10) we conclude that $\alpha_{3}+2 \alpha_{5} \nu+\alpha_{2} \nu^{2}=$ 0 . Accordingly (1.9) this expression coincides with $p_{2}(\nu)=0$. Since $p_{1}(\nu) p_{2}(\nu)-$ $p_{3}^{2}(\nu)=0$ we have also $p_{3}(\nu)=0$. Conversely if $p_{2}(\nu)=p_{3}(\nu)=0$, then by virtue of (2.4), (2.5) $q_{3}(\nu)=0$.

The second implication (2.11b) is proved analogously. If $\chi(\nu)=q_{1}(\nu)=0$, then $q_{1}=0, q_{2}=\lambda \nu, q_{3}=\lambda$ and we derive from (2.10) that $p_{3}(\nu)=0$.

Let the conditions (1.13a) be broken. Then by virtue of (2.11a) we have $q_{2}(\nu) \neq 0$ for $\nu \in \sigma_{+}$and it is easily verified that $\operatorname{det} c \neq 0$ in the cases (2.7a) and (2.8). Let the conditions (1.13a) hold and therefor $p_{2}(\nu)=p_{3}(\nu)=0$ for some $\nu \in \sigma_{+}$. For definiteness let $\nu=\nu_{1}$. Then by virtue of (2.11a) $q_{3}\left(\nu_{1}\right)=0$ and therefor $q_{1}\left(\nu_{1}\right) \neq 0$.

Let us prove that also $q_{1}\left(\nu_{2}\right) \neq 0$ out of the exceptional case (1.11). Really if $q_{1}\left(\nu_{1}\right)=0$ then according to (2.11b) we will have $p_{3}\left(\nu_{2}\right)=0$. As $p_{2}\left(\nu_{2}\right) \neq 0$ it follows from the equality $p_{1}(\nu) p_{2}(\nu)-p_{3}^{2}(\nu)=0$ that $p_{1}\left(\nu_{2}\right)=0$. So $p_{2}(\nu)=p_{3}(\nu)=0$, $\nu=\nu_{1}$ and $p_{1}(\nu)=p_{3}(\nu)=0, \nu=\nu_{2}$ and by virtue of Lemma 1.1(a), (b) the both conditions (1.13) hold which is equivalent to (1.11).

Thus the numbers $q_{1}\left(\nu_{j}\right)$ in (2.7b) are not equal to zero. It follows from (2.9) that $q_{2}\left(\nu_{j}\right)-\nu_{j} q_{3}\left(\nu_{j}\right)=\nu_{j}^{-1} q_{1}\left(\nu_{j}\right)$ and so $\operatorname{det} c \neq 0$.

According to (22c) in the exceptional case (1.11) we have $\operatorname{det} c=\alpha_{3}^{2}+\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} \nu_{1} \nu_{2}$. Taking into account (1.12) we also receive $\operatorname{det} c \neq 0$.

The expressions of the Theorem 2.1 are simplified in the orthotropic case. In this case (1.9) and (2.5) have the form

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p_{1}(z)=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3} z^{2}, & p_{2}(z)=\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{2} z^{2} \\
p_{3}(z)=\left(\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}\right) z, & q_{3}(z)=-\alpha_{3}\left(\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{2} z^{2}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

If $\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4} \neq 0$ then we can use the formulas (2.7a) and (2.8). So we have the expressions
$b=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{2} \nu_{1}^{2} & \alpha_{3}+\alpha_{2} \nu_{2}^{2} \\ -\left(\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}\right) \nu_{1} & -\left(\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}\right) \nu_{2}\end{array}\right), \quad c=\alpha_{3}\left(\begin{array}{cc}\nu_{1}\left(\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{2} \nu_{1}^{2}\right) & \nu_{2}\left(\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{2} \nu_{2}^{2}\right) \\ -\left(\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{2} \nu_{1}^{2}\right) & -\left(\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{2} \nu_{2}^{2}\right)\end{array}\right)$,
where $\nu_{j}$ are defined by (1.10a) or (1.10b), and

$$
b=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{2} \rho^{2} & 2 i \alpha_{2} \rho \\
-i\left(\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}\right) \rho & -\left(\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}\right)
\end{array}\right), \quad c=\alpha_{3}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
i \rho\left(\alpha_{4}+\alpha_{2} \rho^{2}\right) & \alpha_{4}+3 \alpha_{2} \rho^{2} \\
-\left(\alpha_{4}+\alpha_{2} \rho^{2}\right) & 2 i \alpha_{2} \rho
\end{array}\right)
$$

in the case (ii).
The last formulas permit further simplification in the isotropic case. In this case $\rho=1$ and $\alpha_{1}>\alpha_{3}$ by virtue of (1.3a), (1.4). So

$$
b=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{1} & 2 \alpha_{1} i \\
\left(\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{1}\right) i & \alpha_{3}-\alpha_{1}
\end{array}\right), \quad c=2 \alpha_{3}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{3}\right) i & 2 \alpha_{1}-\alpha_{3} \\
\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{1} i
\end{array}\right) .
$$

According to (2.3) we can multiply these matrices by

$$
d=\left(\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{1}\right)^{-1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \alpha_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{3}\right)^{-1} i \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

As a result we have

$$
b=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
i & -\mathfrak{X}
\end{array}\right), \quad c=\alpha_{3}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 i & \mathfrak{x}-1 \\
2 & i(\mathfrak{x}+1)
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $\mathfrak{X}=\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right) /\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{3}\right)$.

## 3 Conjugate function

Let us consider a second order elliptic system

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{11} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+a_{(12)} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}+a_{22} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}=0, \quad a_{(12)}=a_{12}+a_{21} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with coefficients $a_{i j} \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times l}$. We can introduce the notion of conjugate function $v$ to solution $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{l}\right)$ of this equation as above by (1.7). Of course this definition depends on the partition $a_{(12)}=a_{12}+a_{21}$. There is a question which second order system defines the function $v$ ? Let us put

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=a_{11}^{-1} a_{12}, \quad a_{2}=a_{22}^{-1} a_{21} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define matrixes $d_{1}, d_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times l}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1} a_{22}\left(1-a_{2} a_{1}\right)=d_{2} a_{11}\left(1-a_{1} a_{2}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.1 The conjugate function v satisfies the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}+\left(d_{1} a_{21} a_{11}^{-1}+d_{2} a_{12} a_{22}^{-1}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x \partial y}+d_{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: With respect to the vectors

$$
U=\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right), \quad V=\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\right)
$$

(1.7) takes a form

$$
V=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-a_{21} & -a_{22}  \tag{3.5}\\
a_{11} & a_{12}
\end{array}\right) U
$$

Hence (3.1) and the analogous equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{11} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}+d_{(12)} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}+d_{22} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the function $v$ can be rewritten as

$$
\frac{\partial U}{\partial y}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-a_{22}^{-1} a_{11} & -a_{22}^{-1} a_{(12)}
\end{array}\right) \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}, \quad\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & d_{22}
\end{array}\right) \frac{\partial V}{\partial y}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-d_{11} & -d_{(12)}
\end{array}\right) \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}
$$

Together with (3.5) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & d_{22}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-a_{21} & -a_{22} \\
a_{11} & a_{12}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-a_{22}^{-1} a_{11} & -a_{22}^{-1} a_{(12)}
\end{array}\right)\right.} \\
& \left.\quad-\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-d_{11} & -d_{(12)}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-a_{21} & -a_{22} \\
a_{11} & a_{12}
\end{array}\right)\right] \frac{\partial U}{\partial x}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $U$. This is equivalent to system

$$
d_{11} a_{21}-d_{(12)} a_{11}=-d_{22}\left(a_{12} a_{22}^{-1} a_{11}\right), \quad d_{11} a_{22}-d_{(12)} a_{12}=d_{22}\left(a_{11}-a_{12} a_{22}^{-1} a_{(12)}\right)
$$

with respect to unknown coefficients $d_{11}, d_{22}$ è $d_{(12)}$. This system we can rewrite as following:

$$
d_{22}\left(a_{11}-a_{12} a_{22}^{-1} a_{21}\right)=d_{11}\left(a_{22}-a_{21} a_{11}^{-1} a_{12}\right), \quad d_{(12)}=d_{11} a_{21} a_{11}^{-1}+d_{22} a_{12} a_{22}^{-1}
$$

The first equation coincides with (3.3) with respect to $d_{i}=d_{i i}$, but a substitution of second one to (3.6) gives (3.4).

Let us apply this result to Lame system. According to (2) the matrices (3.2) have the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=\frac{1}{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}-\alpha_{6}^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}-\alpha_{5} \alpha_{6} \\
\alpha_{1} \alpha_{3}-\alpha_{6}^{2} & \alpha_{1} \alpha_{5}-\alpha_{4} \alpha_{6}
\end{array}\right)=\binom{0-\beta_{4} / \beta_{2}}{1-\beta_{5} / \beta_{2}}, \\
& a_{2}=\frac{1}{\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}-\alpha_{5}^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{2} \alpha_{6}-\alpha_{4} \alpha_{5} & \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3}-\alpha_{5}^{2} \\
\alpha_{3} \alpha_{4}-\alpha_{5} \alpha_{6} & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
-\beta_{6} / \beta_{1} & 1 \\
-\beta_{4} / \beta_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\beta_{j}$ figure in (2.5), (2.6). Analogously

$$
a_{21} a_{11}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-\beta_{4} / \beta_{2} & -\beta_{5} / \beta_{2}
\end{array}\right), \quad a_{12} a_{22}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\beta_{6} / \beta_{1} & -\beta_{4} / \beta_{1} \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Hence

$$
1-a_{1} a_{2}=\frac{1}{\beta_{1} \beta_{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\beta_{1} \beta_{2}-\beta_{4}^{2} & 0 \\
\beta_{2} \beta_{6}-\beta_{4} \beta_{5} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad 1-a_{2} a_{1}=\frac{1}{\beta_{1} \beta_{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \beta_{1} \beta_{5}-\beta_{4} \beta_{6} \\
0 & \beta_{1} \beta_{2}-\beta_{4}^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and (3.3) reduces to conditions $\left(d_{1}\right)_{(2)}=\left(d_{2}\right)_{(1)}$ with respect to columns of the matrixes $d_{j}$. So we can take

$$
d_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
s_{1} & 0 \\
t_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad d_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & s_{2} \\
0 & t_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with some $s_{j}, t_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$ and (3.4) reduces to

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
s_{1} & 0 \\
t_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
s_{2} & s_{1} \\
t_{2} & t_{1}
\end{array}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x \partial y}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & s_{2} \\
0 & t_{2}
\end{array}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial x^{2}}=0 .
$$

This system is equivalent to equations

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial y}\right)=0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial y}\right)=0
$$

But they are consequence of the equation (1.6) from which it follows

$$
\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial y}=0
$$

Therefor the result of Lemma 3.1 for the Lame system is reduced to the last equation.
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