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## FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded domain with a piecewise smooth boundary $\Gamma=\partial D$ without cuspidal points. Suppose that the set $F=\left\{\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \ldots, \tau_{m}\right\} \subseteq \Gamma$ contains all the angular points of the curve. A function $\varphi \in C(\Gamma F)$ is piecewise continuous on $\Gamma$ if there exist one-sided limits $\varphi(\tau \pm 0)$ at the points $\tau \in F$. A continuously differentiable mapping $\alpha: \Gamma F \rightarrow \bar{D}$ is called a shift if $\alpha^{\prime}$ and its derivative $\alpha^{\prime}$ (with respect to the arc length parameter measured in a fixed direction) are piecewise continuous on $\Gamma, \alpha(\tau \pm 0) \in F$, and $\alpha^{\prime}(\tau \pm 0) \neq 0$ for all $\alpha(\Gamma F) \subseteq \Gamma$. In what follows, we consider only boundary shifts when $\alpha(\Gamma F) \subseteq \Gamma$ and inner shifts for which this curve lies in $D$ and is not tangent to $\Gamma$ at the points $\tau \in F$. For example, the identity mapping $e(t)=t$ is a boundary shift.

We consider the second-order elliptic system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial y^{2}}-A_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x \partial y}-A_{0} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with constant coefficients $A_{0}, A_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times l}$ for an unknown vector-valued function $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{l}\right) \in C^{2}(D)$.

The problem of the Bitsadze-Samarskii type is formulated as follows: Find a solution $u \in C(\bar{D})$ to system (1) that satisfies the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(u+\sum_{s=1}^{n} b_{s} u \circ \beta_{s}\right)\right|_{\Gamma}=f, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $l \times l$ matrix-valued functions $b_{s}(t)$ and the right-hand-side $f(t)$ are piecewise continuous on $\Gamma$ and $\beta_{s}$ are inner shifts.
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We can also consider the case when the coefficients $b_{s}$ are defined only on a part $\Gamma^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma$. In this case, (2) is transformed into the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u\right|_{\Gamma \backslash \Gamma}=f_{0},\left.\quad\left(u+\sum_{s=1}^{n} b_{s} u \circ \beta_{s}\right)\right|_{\Gamma^{\prime}}=f_{1} . \tag{2'}
\end{equation*}
$$

This problem can always be reduced to form (2) by extending $\beta_{s}$ to the whole $\Gamma$ and setting $b_{s}=0$ on $\Gamma \Gamma^{\prime}$.

In the case of a single shift, problem (1), (2') was first posed by Bitsadze and Samarskii [1] for the Laplace equation. For general elliptic systems and equations, it was investigated by many authors [2-7]. In this paper, a new approach to investigating this problem is developed. It is based on the reduction of the Bit-sadze-Samarskii problem to a system of singular integral equations of the nonclassical type; the corresponding theory was developed in [8].

Note that, for the solvability of problem (1), (2), we need compatibility conditions imposed on the right side $f$ at the points $\tau \in F$. They can be described as follows. There exists a function $\tilde{u} \in C(\bar{D})$ such that the piecewise continuous function

$$
\tilde{f}=\left.\left(\tilde{u}+\sum_{s=1}^{n} b_{s} \tilde{u} \circ \beta_{s}\right)\right|_{\Gamma}
$$

coincides with $f$ at the points $\tau \in F$; i.e. $\tilde{f}\left(\tau_{j} \pm 0\right)=f\left(\tau_{j}\right.$ $\pm 0), 1 \leq j \leq m$. Obviously, the number of these linearly independent conditions is no less than ml . For example, for the Dirichlet problem, which corresponds to $b_{s}=0$, these conditions are reduced to $f\left(\tau_{i}+0\right)=f\left(\tau_{i}-0\right)$ and their number is equal to $m \mathrm{l}$. Let us consider a more general situation of this type.

Lemma 1. Suppose that there are sets

$$
\begin{gathered}
F=F_{0} \supset F_{1} \supset \ldots \supset F_{n+1}=\phi, \\
F_{p} \backslash F_{p+1} \neq \varnothing, \quad 0 \leq p \leq n,
\end{gathered}
$$

such that $\beta_{s}(\tau \pm 0) \in F_{p+1}$ for $\tau \in F_{p}, b_{s}(\tau \pm 0) \neq 0,0 \leq p$ $\leq n$ (in particular, $b(\tau+0)=b(\tau-0)=0$ for $\tau \in F_{n}$ ).

Then the number of linearly independent compatibility conditions is equal to ml

We may also consider problem (1), (2) in the class $C(\bar{D} \backslash F)$ with a right side $f \in C(\Gamma F)$. In this case, no compatibility conditions are imposed.

The condition of ellipticity for (1) means that the determinant of the characteristic polynomial $P(w)=w^{2}$ $-A_{1} w-A_{0}$ has no real roots. This system is closely connected with the first-order elliptic system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}-J \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times l}$ is a Jordan matrix and the eigenvalues of its blocks lie in the upper half-plane. This system was first considered by Douglis [9], so its solutions are called analytic functions in the Douglis sense or, briefly, $J$-analytic functions. If the solution of the original system (1) is sought in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\operatorname{Re} B \phi \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some matrix $B \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times l}$, then, by virtue of (3), we have the matrix relation $B J^{2}-A_{1} B J-A_{0} B=0$ for the pair $B, J$. It was shown in [10] that, on this pair, we can impose the supplementary condition

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B & \bar{B} \\
B J & \overline{B J}
\end{array}\right) \neq 0
$$

In this case, formula (4) describes the general solution of (1). In the upper half-plane $\operatorname{Re} w>0$, the zeroes of $\operatorname{det} P(w)$ coincide with the eigenvalues $v \in \sigma(J)$, including their multiplicities. The degrees of the poles of the matrix-valued functions $P^{-1}(w)$ and $(w-J)^{-1}$ coincide as well. The condition $\operatorname{det} B \neq 0$ defines so-called weakly connected elliptic systems (according to Bitsadze's nomenclature [11]). This condition is necessary for the Dirichlet problem to be Fredholm, so we assume that it is fulfilled for boundary condition (2).

The function $\phi$ in (4) is defined up to a constant vector $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^{l}, \operatorname{Re} B \eta=0$. In general, it is a multivalued function in a multiply connected domain $D$. To be more precise, its derivative $\phi^{\prime}=\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x}$ is a univalent function in $D$. Thus, multivaluedness is of a logarithmic nature.

Representation (4) can be modified so that multivalued functions do not appear. Specifically, any solution to system (1) can be uniquely represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\operatorname{Re} B \phi+\sum_{j=1}^{k} u_{j} \xi_{j}, \quad \xi_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{l}, \quad \phi\left(z_{0}\right)=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{j} \in C^{\infty}(\bar{D})$ are completely defined $l \times l$ matrixvalued functions whose columns satisfy (1), $z_{0}$ is a fixed
point of $D$, and $k$ is the number of connected components of $\Gamma=\partial D$

With the help of (5), problem (1), (2) reduces to the equivalent problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\operatorname{Re}\left(B \phi+\sum_{s=1}^{n} b_{s} B \phi \circ \beta_{s}\right)\right|_{\Gamma}+\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_{j} \xi_{j}=f,  \tag{6}\\
\phi\left(z_{0}\right)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

for a $J$-analytic function $\phi$ and a vector $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{k l}$, where $c_{j}$ are piecewise continuous matrix-valued functions defined by $u_{j}$. It is obvious that (6) is a finite-dimensional perturbation of the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{Re}\left(G \phi+\sum_{s=1}^{n} G_{s} \phi \circ \beta_{s}\right)\right|_{\Gamma}=f \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with piecewise continuous $l \times l$ matrix-valued functions $G=B$ and $G_{s}=b_{s} B$ on $\Gamma$.

The Fredholm solvability of problem (3), (7) was studied in [12] for the case of a single shift. Let us consider its particular case

$$
\left.\operatorname{Re}(G \phi)\right|_{\Gamma \backslash \Gamma^{\prime}}=f_{0},\left.\quad \operatorname{Re}\left(G \phi+G^{0} \phi \circ \beta\right)\right|_{\Gamma}=f_{1},
$$

where $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is a smooth arc and $\beta\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$ divides $D$ into two subdomains. As was indicated in [13], this problem can be reduced to the so-called generalized Riemann-Hilbert problem for $J$-analytic functions. In this context, we can also note $[14,15]$, where this problem was considered for solutions of the linearized Stokes system and for usual analytic functions, respectively.

## MAIN RESULTS

We consider the problem in the weighted Hölder space $C_{\lambda}^{\mu}(\bar{D} ; F)$ where $0<\mu<1$ and $\lambda<0$, and in the modified weighted class $C_{(\lambda)}^{\mu}(\bar{D} ; F)$, where $0<\lambda<1$. Let us recall their definitions [8]. Let $C_{\lambda}^{\mu}$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ be the space of all functions $\varphi \in C(\bar{D} \backslash F)$ that belong to $C^{\mu}(K)$ for every compact subset $K \subseteq \bar{D} \backslash F$ and $O(1) \mid z-$ $\left.\tau\right|^{\lambda}$ as $z \rightarrow \tau \in F$. To be more precise, in the curvilinear sectors $D_{i}=D \cap\left\{\left|z-\tau_{i}\right|<\delta\right\}, i=1,2, \ldots, m$, where $\delta$ $>0$ is sufficiently small, we have $\varphi_{i}(z)=\varphi(z)\left|z-\tau_{i}\right|^{\mu-\lambda}$ $\in C^{\mu}\left(\bar{D}_{i}\right), \varphi_{i}\left(\tau_{i}\right)=0$. The space $C_{(\lambda)}^{\mu}$ with $0<\lambda<1$ is a finite-dimensional expansion of $C_{\lambda}^{\mu}$ by smooth functions that are constant in a neighborhood on $D_{j}$. This space is embedded in $C^{\min (\mu, \lambda)}(\bar{D})$, and the embedding becomes an exact equality when $\lambda=\mu$.

We will also use these spaces for piecewise continuous functions defined on $\Gamma F$. The boundary of the sector $D_{i}$ consists of two smooth arcs $\Gamma_{i k}(k=1,2)$ with a
common endpoint $\tau_{i}$, which are called its lateral sides, and of an arc of the circle $\left|z-\tau_{i}\right|=\delta$. It is convenient to denote the one-sided limits $\varphi(\tau \pm 0)$ at the point $\tau_{i}$ by $\varphi\left(\tau_{i k}\right)=\lim \varphi(t)$ as $t \rightarrow \tau_{i}, t \in \Gamma_{i k}$. For definiteness, we numerate the lateral sides $\Gamma_{i k}$ so that $\tau_{i 1}=\tau_{i}+0$ and $\tau_{i 2}$ $=\tau_{i}-0$. Now the space $C_{(\lambda)}^{\mu}(\Gamma, F)$ can be defined as above by replacing $\bar{D}_{i}$ with $\Gamma_{i k}$.

We consider problem (1), (2) in the classes $C_{\lambda}^{\mu}, \lambda<$ 0 and $C_{(\lambda)}^{\mu}, 0<l<1$, with respect to solutions $\phi$ to (3), (5). It is assumed that the data $e^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}, G$, and $b$ of the problem belong to the class $C_{(+0)}^{\mu+0}(\Gamma, F)=\bigcup_{\epsilon>0} C_{(\epsilon)}^{\mu+\epsilon}$ in the former case and to the class $C_{(\lambda+0)}^{\mu+0}(\Gamma, F)$ in the latter case. Here, the derivatives on $\Gamma \vee$ are meant with respect to the parameter of length arc measured in the positive direction (so that $D$ is on the left). Under these assumptions, the operators of the problems are bounded from the space $C_{(\lambda)}^{\mu}(\bar{D}, F)$ of solutions to system (1) to the space $C_{(\lambda)}^{\mu}(\bar{\Gamma}, F), 0<\lambda<1$, and the same is true for $C_{\lambda}^{\mu}, \lambda<0$. The Fredholm solvability and the index of the problems are meant with respect to these operators.

Recall that the eigenvalues of the matrix $J$ of system (3) lie in the upper half-plane and coincide with the roots of the characteristic equation of the original system (1). For every nonzero complex number $q$, we introduce the invertible matrix $q_{J}=\operatorname{Re} q+J \operatorname{Im} q$. It will be used below for the derivatives $q=\alpha^{\prime}\left(\tau_{i k}\right)$ of shifts at the points $\tau_{i} \pm 0$. These derivatives are meant with respect to the length arc parameter on $\Gamma_{i k}$ measured from the point $\tau_{i}$. In particular, for the identity shift $e(t)$ $=t$, the number $e^{\prime}\left(\tau_{i k}\right)$ is a unique tangent vector on $\Gamma_{i k}$ at the point $\tau_{i}$. By assumption, the arcs $\Gamma_{i 1}$ and $\Gamma_{i 2}$ are not tangent to each other at the point $\tau_{i}$, so $e^{\prime}\left(\tau_{i 1}\right) \neq$ $e^{\prime}\left(\tau_{i 2}\right)$. From the same considerations, the limit values $\beta\left(\tau_{i k}\right)$ of an inner shift $\beta=\beta_{s}$ belong to $F$, and if $\beta\left(\tau_{i k}\right)=$ $\tau_{j}$, then the $\operatorname{arc} \beta\left(\Gamma_{i k}\right)$ is not tangent to the lateral sides of $D_{j}$ at the point $\tau_{j}$. Hence, the vector $\beta^{\prime}\left(\tau_{i k}\right)$ lies between the tangent vectors on $\Gamma_{j k}$ at the point $\tau_{j}$.

In this notation, for each shift $\alpha: \Gamma F \rightarrow \bar{D}$, we can introduce the matrices $Q_{i k}(\alpha)$

$$
Q_{i k}(\alpha)=\left[\alpha^{\prime}\left(\tau_{i k}\right)\right]_{j}\left[e^{\prime}\left(\tau_{j 1}\right)\right]_{J}^{-1}, \quad \alpha\left(\tau_{i k}\right)=\tau_{j},
$$

whose eigenvalues do not lie on the positive half-axis. Therefore, we can define the matrices $\ln Q$ as the values of the analytic functions $\ln w(0<\arg \arg w<2 \pi)$ of $Q$, and we can define the complex degrees $Q_{i k}^{\zeta}(a)=$ $\exp \left[\zeta \ln Q_{i k}(\alpha)\right]$ and $\bar{Q}_{i k}^{\zeta}(\alpha)=\exp \left[\zeta \overline{\ln Q_{i k}(\alpha)}\right]$.

On the basis of these degrees and a piecewise continuous matrix-valued function $G$ on $\Gamma$, we introduce the following $m \times m$ block matrices:

$$
\begin{align*}
&(G ; \alpha)_{i j}=\left\{(G ; \alpha)_{i j k r}\right\}_{1}^{2} \\
&(G ; \alpha)_{i j k r}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
G\left(\tau_{i k}\right) Q_{i k}^{\zeta}(\alpha), & \alpha\left(\tau_{i k}\right)=\tau_{j}, & r=1, \\
\bar{G}\left(\tau_{i k}\right) \bar{Q}_{i k}^{\zeta}(\alpha), & \alpha\left(\tau_{i k}\right)=\tau_{j}, & r=2, \\
0, & \alpha\left(\tau_{i k}\right) \neq \tau_{j} .
\end{array}\right. \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

In the accepted notation, problem (1), (2) is associated with two matrices:

$$
X=(B ; e) \hat{+}+\sum_{s=1}^{n}\left(b_{s} B ; \beta_{s}\right) \hat{,} \quad Y=(1 ; e \hat{)},
$$

The former is called the end symbol of this problem.
The determinant of $Y$ can be explicitly calculated. It expression shows that the function $\operatorname{det} Y(\zeta)$ has the unique zero $\zeta=0$ in the strip $|\operatorname{Re} \zeta|<\frac{1}{2}$ and its degree is equal to $m l$. For fixed $\operatorname{Re} \zeta=\lambda$, the function $\operatorname{det}\left(X Y^{-1}\right)(\zeta)$ has a finite limit as $\operatorname{Im} \zeta \rightarrow \infty$, which, by virtue of the assumption $\operatorname{det} B \neq 0$ is not equal to zero. Then, in the strips $\lambda<\operatorname{Re} \zeta<0$ and $0 \leq \operatorname{Re} \zeta<\lambda$, the function $\operatorname{det} X(\zeta)$ has a finite number of zeroes. We denote this number, counting multiplicities, by $-\Delta(\lambda)$ and $\Delta(\lambda)$, respectively. Thus, the piecewise constant function $\Delta(\lambda)$ is monotone nondecreasing and, for $\lambda_{1}<$ $\lambda_{2}$, the difference $\Delta\left(\lambda_{2}\right)-\Delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ is equal to the number of zeroes of $\operatorname{det} X(\zeta)$ counting multiplicities in the strip $\lambda_{1} \leq \operatorname{Re} \zeta<\lambda_{2}$.

Nevertheless, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} X(\zeta) \neq 0, \quad \operatorname{Re} \zeta=\lambda, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we can introduce the increment $\arg \operatorname{det}\left(X Y^{-1}\right)(\lambda+$ $i \infty)-\arg \operatorname{det}\left(X Y^{-1}\right)(\lambda-i \infty)$ of a continuous branch of the argument, which is divisible by $2 \pi$. Regarded as a function of $\lambda$, this increment is piecewise constant and, by Rouche's theorem, $\left.\arg \operatorname{det}\left(X Y^{-1}\right)\right|_{\lambda_{2}}-\arg \operatorname{det}\left(X Y^{-}\right.$ $\left.{ }^{1}\right)\left.\right|_{\lambda_{1}}=2 \pi\left[\Delta\left(\lambda_{2}\right)-\Delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)\right]$. In particular, we can set $\left.\operatorname{limarg} \operatorname{det}\left(X Y^{-1}\right)\right|_{\varepsilon}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \varepsilon>0$.

Theorem 1. Problem (1), (2) is Fredholm in the classes $C_{\lambda}^{\mu}, \lambda<0$, and $C_{(\lambda)}^{\mu}, 0<\lambda<1$, if and only if it is of the normal type and condition (9) holds. In this case, its index $\kappa$ is given by the formula $\kappa=-$ $\left.\frac{1}{2 \pi} \arg \operatorname{det}\left(X Y^{-1}\right)\right|_{0}-\Delta(\lambda)$.

Let us consider the problem in the classes $C_{-0}^{\mu}=$ $\bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} C_{-\varepsilon}^{\mu}$ and $C_{+0}^{\mu}=\bigcup_{\varepsilon>0} C_{\varepsilon}^{\mu}$. It may happen that the function $f$ in (2) belongs to $C_{+0}^{\mu}(\Gamma, F)$ for some solution of
(1) in the class $C_{-0}^{\mu}(\bar{D}, F)$. The question arises about the asymptotics of this solution at the vertex $\tau_{i}$ of the sector $D_{i}$. To formulate the corresponding result, we introduce the analytic functions $\ln \left(z-\tau_{i}\right)$ in these sectors. As above, they define the matrix-valued functions $\ln \left(z-\tau_{i}\right)_{J}$ and $\left(z-\tau_{i}\right)_{J}^{\zeta}$. Note that $\left.z-\tau_{i}\right)_{J}^{\zeta}\left[\ln \left(z-\tau_{i}\right)_{J}\right]^{k} \in$ $C_{-0}^{\mu}\left(\bar{D}_{i}, \tau_{i}\right), \operatorname{Re} \zeta=0, k=0,1, \ldots$

Let us introduce nonnegative integer-valued functions $k(\zeta)$ and $r(\zeta)$ that characterize the degrees of zeroes and poles of the functions $\operatorname{det} X(\zeta)$ and $X^{-1}(\zeta)$, respectively. If $\operatorname{det} X(\zeta) \neq 0$, we set $k(\zeta)=r(\zeta)=0$. Obviously, $r(\zeta) \leq k(\zeta)$ for all $\zeta$ and, in the above notations, $\Delta(0)$ is equal to the sum of $k(\zeta)$ over $\operatorname{Re} \zeta=0$.

Theorem 2. Suppose that the function $f$ in (2) belongs to $C_{+0}^{\mu}(\Gamma, F)$ for some solution $u(z) \in C_{-0}^{\mu}(\bar{D}$, $F)$ of (1).

Then, for any sector $D_{i}$, there exist $c_{k}(\zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^{l}, 0 \leq$ $k \leq r(\zeta)-1$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
u(z)-\operatorname{Re} B \phi_{i}(z) \in C_{+0}^{\mu}\left(\bar{D}_{i}, \tau_{i}\right), \\
\phi_{i}(z)=\sum_{\operatorname{Re} \zeta=0} \sum_{k=0}^{r(\zeta)-1}\left(z-\tau_{i}\right)_{j}^{\zeta}\left[\ln \left(z-\tau_{i}\right)_{J}\right]^{k} c_{k}(\zeta) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Of course, the inner sum in the expression for $\phi_{i}$ is equal to zero for $r(\zeta)=0$; therefore, the outer sum is finite.

Corollary. If $r(0) \leq 1, r(\zeta)=0$ for $\zeta \neq 0$, and $\operatorname{Re} \zeta=$ 0 , then, under the assumptions of Theorem $2, u \in$ $C_{(+0)}^{\mu}\left(\bar{D}_{i}, \tau_{i}\right)$.

This corollary shows that if $u \in C_{(-0)}^{\mu}\left(\bar{D}_{i}, F\right)$ and the function $f$ does not satisfy the compatibility conditions, then the solution $u$ permits logarithmic singularities at the points $\tau \in F$.

Note that the linear independent solvability conditions in the definition of the index of the problem include the compatibility conditions for $0<\lambda<1$. Let us denote by $\kappa^{+}$and $\kappa^{-}$the indices of problem (1), (2) in the classes $C_{-0}^{\mu}$ and $C_{(+0)}^{\mu}$, respectively. According to Theorem 1 , they are connected by the relation $\kappa^{-}-\kappa^{+}=$ $\Delta(0)$. If the conditions of the corollary are fulfilled, then $\Delta(0)=k(0)$ and the number of compatibility conditions is equal to $k(0)$.

In the scalar case $l=1$, Eq. (1) reduces to the Laplace equation by a change of variables. In particular, the maximum principle holds for this equation. This fact allows us to completely study the solvability of the problem.

Theorem 3. Suppose that $l=1$, the inequality $\sum_{s=1}^{n}\left|b_{s}\right| \leq 1$ holds, and the conditions of Lemma 1 are fulfilled. Then, under the compatibility conditions,
problem (1), (2) is uniquely solved in the class $C_{\lambda}^{\mu}$,
$-\frac{1}{2}<\lambda<1 / 2$.
Note that, in this theorem, the condition on $r(\zeta)$ imposed in the corollary is satisfied and $k(0)=m$.

We can complete Theorems 1 and 2 by adding the corresponding result on the smoothness of the solution.

Theorem 4. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, let the solution $u$ be such that $f$ in (2) is continuously differentiable on $\Gamma F$ and $f^{\prime} \in C_{\lambda-1}^{\mu}(\Gamma, F)$. Then the partial derivatives $u_{x}$ and $u_{y}$ of the solution $u$ belong to $C_{\lambda-1}^{\mu}(\bar{D}, F)$. Analogously, iff $f^{\prime} \in C_{-1+0}^{\mu}(\Gamma, F)$ in Theorem 2 , then the partial derivatives of the difference $u(z)-\operatorname{Re} B \phi_{i}(z)$ belong to $C_{-1+0}^{\mu}\left(\bar{D}_{i}, \tau_{i}\right)$ in the sector $D_{i}$.

If a shift $\alpha$ satisfies the condition $\alpha\left(\tau_{i}+0\right)=\alpha\left(\tau_{i}-\right.$ $0)=\tau_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$, then matrix (8) has the block diagonal structure $(G ; \alpha)_{i j}=(G ; \alpha)_{i} \delta_{i j}$, where the diagonals blocks

$$
\begin{gathered}
(G ; \alpha)_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
G\left(\tau_{i 1}\right) Q_{i 1}^{\zeta}(\alpha) & \bar{G}\left(\tau_{i 1}\right) \bar{Q}_{i 1}^{\zeta}(\alpha) \\
G\left(\tau_{i 2}\right) Q_{i 2}^{\zeta}(\alpha) & \bar{G}\left(\tau_{i 2}\right) \bar{Q}_{i 2}^{\zeta}(\alpha)
\end{array}\right) \\
Q_{i k}=\left[\alpha^{\prime}\left(\tau_{i k}\right)\right]_{J}\left[e^{\prime}\left(\tau_{i 1}\right)\right]_{J}^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

are associated with the corresponding sectors $D_{i}$.
Let all the shifts $\beta_{s}$ satisfy this condition. Then the end symbol $X$ of the problem has the same block diagonal structure $\left(X_{i} \delta_{i j}\right)_{m}^{1}$ with diagonals blocks $X_{i}=(B ; e)_{i}=$ $\sum_{s}\left(b_{s} B ; \beta_{s}\right)_{i}$. Moreover, $Y$ has the same structure with $Y_{i}=(1 ; e)_{i}$. In this case, we can regard the weighted order $\lambda$ as a vector whose coordinates $\lambda_{i}$ are associated with the corresponding space $C_{\lambda_{i}}^{\mu}\left(\bar{D}_{i}, \tau_{i}\right)$.

Theorem 1 also holds in this case. It is only necessary to replace (9) by the condition $\operatorname{det} X_{i}(\zeta) \neq 0, \operatorname{Re} \zeta=$ $\lambda_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$. The index formula in this case has the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\kappa=\sum \kappa_{i} \\
\kappa_{i}=-\left.\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{1}^{m} \operatorname{argdet}\left(X_{i} Y_{i}^{-1}\right)\right|_{-0}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \Delta_{i}\left(l_{i}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\Delta_{i}$ is defined with respect to $X_{i}$ as above. In the same way, Theorem 2 is valid when the characteristic $r(\zeta)$ of poles is meant with respect to $X_{i}(\zeta)$.
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