

Biogeographic differences in soil biota promote invasive grass response to nutrient addition relative to co-occurring species despite lack of belowground enemy release

Arthur A.D. Broadbent^{1*}, Carly J. Stevens¹, Nicholas J. Ostle¹ and Kate H. Orwin²

1 - Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YW, UK

2 - Landcare Research, PO Box 69040, Lincoln 7640, New Zealand

*Corresponding author, orchid id: orcid.org/0000-0002-8438-7163;

email: a.broadbent2@lancaster.ac.uk

Reasons for Highlighted Student Paper status:

In this greenhouse experiment we show that novel soil biota can increase the response of an invasive grass to nutrient additions relative to other species, even in the absence of belowground enemy release. This emphasises that abiotic and biotic global changes interact to facilitate species invasions.

Author contributions: AB conceived of and conducted the experiments, including fieldwork and analysis of the data; all authors designed experiments and wrote the manuscript.

1 **Abstract**

2 Multiple plant species invasions and increases in nutrient availability are pervasive drivers of
3 global environmental change that often co-occur. Many plant invasion studies, however,
4 focus on single-species or single-mechanism invasions, risking an oversimplification of a
5 multifaceted process. Here we test how biogeographic differences in soil biota, such as
6 belowground enemy release, interact with increases in nutrient availability to influence
7 invasive plant growth. We conducted a greenhouse experiment using three co-occurring
8 invasive grasses and one native grass. We grew species in live and sterilized soil from the
9 invaders native (United Kingdom) and introduced (New Zealand) ranges with a nutrient
10 addition treatment. We found no evidence for belowground enemy release. However, species'
11 responses to nutrients varied, and this depended on soil origin and sterilization. In live soil
12 from the introduced range the invasive species *Lolium perenne* L. responded more positively
13 to nutrient addition than co-occurring invasive and native species. In contrast, in live soil
14 from the native range and in sterilized soils, there were no differences in species' responses to
15 nutrients. This suggests that the presence of soil biota from the introduced range allowed *L.*
16 *perenne* to capture additional nutrients better than co-occurring species. Considering the
17 globally widespread nature of anthropogenic nutrient additions to ecosystems, this effect
18 could be contributing to a global homogenisation of flora and the associated losses in native
19 species diversity.

20

21

22 **Keywords**

23 Belowground, enemy release, invasive species, nutrient availability, soil biota

24 **Introduction**

25 Plant invasions are a pervasive driver of global environmental change (Vitousek et al. 1997;
26 Sala 2000; Van Kleunen et al. 2015) and are associated with biodiversity loss (Vilà et al.
27 2011; Seabloom et al. 2015) and economic costs (Pimentel et al. 2005; Pejchar and Mooney
28 2009). At least 29 hypotheses have been proposed to explain invasive plant species success
29 (Catford et al. 2009) indicating the inherent complexity of plant invasions. Despite a
30 proliferation of biological invasion studies in recent decades (Richardson and Pysek 2008),
31 many studies have focused on single species (Kuebbing et al. 2013) or mechanisms
32 (Gurevitch et al. 2011). This risks oversimplifying a complex process as mechanisms are
33 likely to interact (Blumenthal 2005; Blumenthal et al. 2009; Gurevitch et al. 2011; Maron et
34 al. 2013) and vary for different co-occurring invasive species (Kuebbing et al. 2013). In
35 addition, invasion may be facilitated by other, abiotic, environmental changes, such as
36 increased resource availability via agricultural fertilisation, disturbance or N-deposition
37 (Davis et al. 2000; Davis and Pelsor 2001; Seabloom et al. 2015). Interactions among such
38 abiotic environmental changes and invasion mechanisms are likely, but rarely studied,
39 resulting in a significant gap in our understanding of the drivers of invasion success (Bradley
40 et al. 2010; Kardol et al. 2012).

41 A commonly cited mechanism behind invasion success that may interact with resource
42 availability is belowground enemy release (Keane and Crawley 2002; Reinhart and Callaway
43 2006). Belowground enemy release refers to escape from the inhibitory effects of soil biota,
44 such as root predation, parasitism, disease and competition for resources (Agrawal et al.
45 2005; Reinhart and Callaway 2006), which are assumed to be greater in a plant's native range
46 due to higher abundances of co-evolved specialised enemies than in the introduced range,
47 where soil biota are evolutionarily naïve of the invader. The benefits of belowground enemy
48 release may also be magnified by increased nutrient availability. According to the growth rate

49 hypothesis, high resource environments, where the cost of replacing tissue is lower than
50 defending it, select for fast growing species (Coley et al. 1985; Stamp 2003), which are likely
51 to be regulated more heavily by enemies than slower growing, better defended, species
52 (Blumenthal 2006). Since invasive plant species tend to have more exploitative trait values
53 than co-occurring natives, such as higher relative growth rates (RGR) (Leishman et al. 2007,
54 2014; van Kleunen et al. 2010; Ordonez et al. 2010), they are well positioned to benefit from
55 the interaction of belowground enemy release with increased resource supply (Blumenthal
56 2006).

57 Such interactions are likely to be particularly important in grassland ecosystems, where
58 changes in nutrient availability are common due to intensification and invasion rates are
59 among the highest worldwide (Firn et al. 2011). In addition, grasses are the functional group
60 that generally show the most negative plant-soil feedbacks and are therefore most likely to
61 benefit from belowground enemy release (Kulmatiski et al. 2008). However, the invasive
62 success of different grass species, as measured by their abundance in their native versus their
63 introduced range, can vary (Firn et al. 2011). This suggests that grassland species responses
64 to plant-soil feedbacks and nutrient availability may be species-dependent. Here, we use a
65 native New Zealand grassland as a model system. These grasslands are valuable conservation
66 habitats (Mark and McLennan 2005; Rose and Frampton 2007) that are experiencing
67 invasions by a range of non-native species including several grass species, along with parallel
68 declines in native species abundance (Duncan et al. 2001; Rose et al. 2004). As the invasive
69 grasses in this system tend to have more exploitative traits and a higher RGR than the native
70 grass species (Craine and Lee 2003; Gross et al. 2013), and invasion appears to be facilitated
71 by increases in nutrient availability (Williams 1998; Scott 2000; Dickie et al. 2014), it
72 provides an ideal context within which to test how plant-soil feedbacks and nutrient

73 availability interact to influence invasive species growth, and whether these effects are
74 consistent across invasive species. In particular, we hypothesise that:

75 1. Belowground enemy release interacts with increased nutrient availability to promote
76 growth of three common invasive grass species, *Lolium perenne* L., *Anthoxanthum*
77 *odoratum* L. and *Agrostis capillaris* L., in grassland soil from their introduced range
78 (New Zealand) compared to their native range (United Kingdom).

79 2. Invasive grass species differ in the benefit they receive from the interaction of
80 belowground enemy release and nutrient availability.

81

82 **Materials and Methods**

83 FOCAL SPECIES

84 We used three perennial C3 grass species, *L. perenne*, *A. capillaris* and *A. odoratum*, that are
85 native to the UK and invasive in many parts of the world, including New Zealand (CABI
86 2017). These species were chosen as they are among the most widespread invasive grasses in
87 New Zealand (CABI 2017), yet they differ in their invasion success rates, in terms of their
88 relative abundances “home” and “away” (Firn et al. 2011) and so may vary in their responses
89 to belowground enemy release and nutrient addition. They were also introduced to New
90 Zealand at a similar time; *A. capillaris* in 1867, *A. odoratum* and *L. perenne* both in 1855
91 (New Zealand Plant Conservation Network 2016), which controls for differences in the
92 accumulation of belowground enemy pressure due to time since introduction (Diez et al.
93 2010). We used a common native perennial C3 New Zealand grass, *Poa cita*, that co-occurs
94 with the invaders in their introduced range (Gross et al. 2013). This served as a model native
95 species, which is not invasive anywhere, to which we could compare the responses of the

96 invaders. Seeds of all species were sourced from NZ populations by Speciality Seeds and
97 Home Creek Nursery, except *A. odoratum* which was supplied by B&T World Seeds.

98 SOIL COLLECTION

99 In April 2015, we collected soils from five indigenous montane grassland sites in New
100 Zealand (NZ) and five upland grassland sites in the United Kingdom (UK) (Table 1). British
101 colonisers of New Zealand introduced livestock and pasture grasses from the UK. It is
102 therefore likely that the invasive grass species used in our study originated from UK
103 populations and we therefore chose the UK as the source of our native range soil. Field sites
104 within each country were at least 20 km apart. Sites were suitable habitat for the focal species
105 (*A. capillaris*, *A. odoratum*, *L. perenne* and *P. cita*), not intensively managed and relatively
106 low fertility. At each site, soil cores (diameter = 6 cm, depth = 10 cm) were taken from 36
107 points spaced 10 m apart along six 60 m transects, covering an area of c. 5400 m² and
108 amounting to c. 10 L of soil per site. The trowel used to collect soil was sterilized between
109 sites using 30% bleach and rinsed in DI water to avoid any cross contamination of microbes.
110 Abundances of each focal species were also estimated within a 1 m² quadrat at each soil core
111 location. Focal species occurred at low mean abundance (< 7%) at each site, representing the
112 early stages of invasion, and there were no significant differences in mean abundance
113 between the UK and NZ ranges. Fresh soil was sieved (4 mm) and homogenised within each
114 site, keeping sites separate to maintain independence (Reinhart and Rinella 2016). Soil was
115 transported on ice to Lancaster University (UK) where experiments were conducted and was
116 stored at 4⁰C prior to use in the experiment. A subsample of c. 2 L of soil collected from each
117 site was then sterilized via gamma irradiation at 40 kGy (Synergy Health, UK).

118 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

119 To determine how different species responded to nutrient addition when grown with soil
120 biota from their native and introduced ranges we conducted a greenhouse experiment using a
121 randomised block design with five replicates. Treatments consisted of a full factorial cross of
122 soil origin (UK or NZ), sterilization (live or sterilized), nutrient addition (control and nutrient
123 addition) and four plant species (*A. capillaris*, *A. odoratum*, *L. perenne* or *P. cita*) grown in
124 monoculture, resulting in 160 pots. Live and sterilized soil was used to assess the effects of
125 soil biota from each range. This holistic approach allows the net effect of both beneficial,
126 such as arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF), and antagonistic soil biota to be assessed, and
127 thus gives a realistic picture of the impact of soil feedbacks on invasion success (Reinhart and
128 Callaway 2004; Gundale et al. 2014; Maron et al. 2014). Nutrient addition consisted of 30
129 mL 0.25 strength Hoagland's solution (Hoagland and Arnon 1950) per pot each week,
130 resulting in 22.4 mg N and 3.95 mg P being added over the study period.

131 GREENHOUSE CONDITIONS

132 Focal species were germinated in an autoclaved growing medium that consisted of sand and
133 peat (2:1 ratio by volume). This was done in the greenhouse under the same standardised
134 conditions that were used throughout the experiment: lighting regime: L: D 16h: 8h, Temp
135 22°C: 16°C. Seeds were surface sterilized in 95% ethanol (1 min), then 6% sodium
136 hypochlorite (5 mins), then rinsed repeatedly with de-ionised water for 10 mins (Bartelt-
137 Ryser et al. 2005) in order to destroy any microbes that may have been adhering to the
138 surface of seeds prior to sowing. All equipment (e.g. pots) was sterilized in 30% bleach and
139 well rinsed with de-ionised water. Pots (1.5 L, diameter 15 cm) were filled with 1350 mL of
140 the same autoclaved growing medium in which the seeds were germinated (sand: peat mix).
141 This was then inoculated (i.e. gently mixed) with 150 mL (10 % of pot volume) of fresh
142 homogenised soil from either a UK or NZ site that was either gamma-irradiated (sterilized) or
143 live (unsterilized). This method tested differences in soil biota between similar habitats in the

144 native (UK) and introduced ranges (NZ), whilst minimising physical and chemical soil
145 differences. Final concentrations of KCl extractable N concentration (NO_3^- -N and NH_4^+ -N)
146 and NaCO_3 extractable PO_4^- -P concentration (Olsen-P) in inoculated pots were determined
147 colorimetrically in a segmented flow stream using an AutoAnalyser (Seal-Analytical). Mean
148 concentrations of soil inorganic N were 3.3 ug N g^{-1} higher in the growing medium
149 inoculated with UK soils ($10.6 \pm 0.6 \text{ ug N g}^{-1}$) than that inoculated with NZ soils (7.3 ± 0.5
150 ug N g^{-1} ; $F = 44.2$, $p < 0.01$). This difference amounted to 4.4 mg N per pot , which was
151 relatively minor compared to the amount of N added in the nutrient addition treatment (22.4
152 mg N pot^{-1}) and it was the same across live and sterilized soils. Soil Olsen-P concentrations
153 and pH (soil: water, 1: 2.5) did not differ between UK and NZ soil. Mean concentrations of
154 soil inorganic N were 4.1 ug N g^{-1} higher in sterilized soil ($11.0 \pm 0.5 \text{ ug N g}^{-1}$) compared to
155 live soil ($6.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ ug N g}^{-1}$; $F = 66.8$, $p < 0.01$), while Olsen-P concentrations were 0.7 ug P
156 g^{-1} higher in sterilized soil ($1.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ ug N g}^{-1}$) than live soil ($0.6 \pm 0.1 \text{ ug N g}^{-1}$; $F = 17.9$, $p <$
157 0.01). These differences were the same across UK and NZ soils. Soil was left in pots for two
158 weeks to stabilise (Zuppinger-Dingley et al. 2011), then three seedlings of the same species
159 were transplanted into the pots on 7th May 2015 at the start of the experiment. Any seedlings
160 that died within the first week were replaced. Pots were watered daily with 60 mL of DI
161 water and re-adjusted to 80% water holding capacity of the growing medium twice each
162 week. Blocks were rotated every two weeks to minimise the effects of differences in
163 environmental conditions within the greenhouse. Plant biomass was harvested after 17 weeks
164 on 3rd September 2015. All soil was washed from roots and biomass was separated into
165 belowground and aboveground components and dried at $65 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 48 hours before being
166 weighed to 0.0001g. Root mass fraction ($\text{RMF} = \text{belowground biomass} / \text{total biomass}$) was
167 calculated in addition to biomass as it is an important plant trait that indicates the resource
168 investment into roots versus shoots. This provides insight into plant species growth strategies

169 and influences on plant growth due to above and belowground conditions. Soil inorganic N
170 and P concentrations were also measured at the end of the experiment. Soil inorganic N
171 concentrations were low and slightly higher in live soil ($0.11 \pm 0.03 \text{ ug N g}^{-1}$) than sterilized
172 soil ($0.02 \pm 0.003 \text{ ug N g}^{-1}$; $F = 9.56$, $p < 0.01$), whilst they did not differ in relation to
173 nutrient addition treatment ($F = 1.38$, $p = 0.24$). Soil Olsen-P concentrations were also low
174 and slightly higher in NZ soil ($0.38 \pm 0.03 \text{ ug P g}^{-1}$) than UK soil ($0.27 \pm 0.02 \text{ ug P g}^{-1}$; $F =$
175 7.89 , $p < 0.01$), they also did not differ in relation to nutrient addition treatment ($F = 1.37$, p
176 $= 0.24$).

177 We determined the RGRs of each species as they provide a good indication of how
178 exploitative or conservative species are in their traits overall. This may be relevant for
179 interpreting differences in species responses to belowground enemy release and nutrient
180 additions. RGRs were determined by measuring the change in mean above and belowground
181 seedling biomass (M) between days 14 (t_1) and 29 (t_2) after germination (Pérez-
182 Harguindeguy et al. 2013). Twenty seedlings were harvested and dried ($65 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 48 hours) at
183 each time point. RGRs were calculated as:

$$184 \text{ RGR} = (\ln M_2 - \ln M_1) / (t_2 - t_1)$$

185 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

186 We split our analysis into two elements; one for each hypothesis. To test our first hypothesis,
187 we determined whether belowground enemy release and increases in nutrient availability
188 were interacting to influence individual species biomass responses (mean total biomass (g)
189 and mean root mass fraction). To do this, we conducted a three-way ANOVA with soil origin
190 (NZ or UK), sterilization (live or sterilized), nutrient addition (control and nutrient addition)
191 and all interactions as factors, on the biomass responses of each species independently. To
192 test our second hypothesis, we determined whether species differed to each other in their

193 responses to sterilization and nutrient addition depending on soil origin (NZ or UK). To do
194 this, we conducted a three-way ANOVA with species identity, sterilization, nutrient addition
195 and all interactions as factors, on the biomass responses in NZ and UK soil separately.

196 ANOVAs used type II sums of squares and therefore conformed to the principle of
197 marginality (Fox and Weisberg 2011), this was necessary as one replicate each of *A.*
198 *capillaris*, *A. odoratum* and *L. perenne* were lost due to contamination in seed supply,
199 resulting in a slightly unbalanced design. Tukey HSD post-hoc tests were used to assess pair-
200 wise significant differences ($p < 0.05$) between the levels of a factor, including any
201 interacting factors. Where significant interactions between factors were found in our three-
202 way ANOVA models, we also decomposed the analysis by separating the data into smaller
203 sections based on the groups of one of the significant factors. This allowed us to gain a
204 greater insight into which mechanisms were influencing biomass responses. Block did not
205 have a significant effect on the biomass responses of any individual species, nor on overall
206 biomass responses in NZ or UK soils and was therefore not included as a random effect.
207 Models that violated assumptions of normality or homoscedasticity received a $\log_{10}(y)$
208 transformation and all analyses were performed in R version 3.2.4 (R Core Team 2016).

209

210 **Results**

211 INTERACTION OF BELOWGROUND ENEMY RELEASE AND NUTRIENT ADDITION

212 When species were analysed independently (to answer hypothesis 1), their total biomasses
213 were all significantly higher when grown with either soil that originated from the UK; soil
214 that had been sterilized (regardless of origin) and when receiving nutrient addition (Table S1
215 and figs. 1 & S1-4). There were no significant interactions between soil origin (UK or NZ)

216 and sterilization treatment (sterilized and live) across any of the species (Table S1). The mean
217 total biomass of *L. perenne* only increased significantly in response to nutrient addition when
218 grown in soil originating from its introduced range (NZ), not its native range (UK), as
219 indicated by a significant interaction between soil origin and nutrient addition ($F = 4.6$, $p =$
220 0.04 , Table S1, fig. S3a). However, when *L. perenne*'s total biomass was analysed in NZ soil
221 only, there was no interaction between sterilization treatment and nutrient addition ($F = 1.3$,
222 $p = 0.28$).

223 All species showed a higher RMF in sterilized soil than live soil (Table S1; figs S1-4), while
224 *A. capillaris* and *L. perenne* also both showed a higher RMF in NZ soil than UK soil (Table
225 S1; figs. S1 & S3). There were no interactions between any factors in the ANOVAs on RMF
226 for any species (Table S1).

227 INTERACTION OF SPECIES IDENTITY WITH NUTRIENT ADDITION

228 When species were analysed collectively (to answer hypothesis 2), differences in how they
229 responded to increased nutrient availability depended on the biogeographic origin of the soil
230 they were grown with (Table 2). In UK soil, all species responded similarly to nutrient
231 addition, as indicated by a lack of interactions between nutrient addition and other factors
232 (Table 2; fig. 1c & 1d). In contrast, in NZ soil there was a significant interaction between the
233 effects of sterilization and nutrient addition treatments on total biomass; with species
234 responding more strongly to nutrient addition in sterilized soil than live soil ($F = 5.6$, $p =$
235 0.02 ; Table 2). To gain further insight into this result, we decomposed the analysis by
236 sterilization treatment; thereby testing the effects of nutrient addition and species identity in
237 live and sterilized NZ soil separately (Table 3, fig. 1a & 1b). In live NZ soil, *L. perenne*
238 responded more strongly to increased nutrient availability than the other species in terms of
239 its total biomass (fig. 1a); as indicated by an interaction between species identity and nutrient

240 addition ($F = 3.5$, $p = 0.03$; Table 3). Tukey HSD post-hoc tests showed that while all
241 species except *A. capillaris* responded positively to nutrient addition in live NZ soil, *L.*
242 *perenne* responded most strongly (fig. 1a). It attained a significantly higher mean total
243 biomass than all other species in the nutrient addition treatment but not the control treatment
244 (fig. 1a). In sterilized NZ soil, however, species total biomass responded similarly to nutrient
245 addition, as indicated by the lack of an interaction between species identity and nutrient
246 addition (Table 3; fig 1b).

247 Differences in RMF between species depended on sterilization treatment in both soil origins,
248 as indicated by a significant interaction between species identity and sterilization treatment (F
249 $= 3.6$, $P = 0.02$ and $F = 4.0$, $P = 0.01$; NZ soil and UK soil respectively, Table 2, fig. 2). All
250 species except *L. perenne* showed a significantly lower RMF in live NZ soil than sterilized
251 NZ soil (Table 2, fig. 2a). Moreover, *L. perenne* maintained a higher RMF in live NZ soil
252 than both *A. capillaris* and *P. cita* (fig. 2a). The native grass *P. cita* showed the lowest RMF
253 in NZ soil (fig. 2a). In UK soil, all species showed similar RMFs except *A. capillaris*, which
254 exhibited a much lower RMF in live UK soil (fig. 2b).

255

256 **Discussion**

257 Belowground enemy release did not appear to be a strong factor influencing invasion success
258 in our study. All invasive species showed higher growth in soil from their native range (UK)
259 and the net effect of removing soil biota via sterilization was positive regardless of where
260 soils were from. Nevertheless, biogeographic differences in soil biota affected species
261 responses to nutrients in ways that have implications for their invasion success. In particular,
262 there was strong evidence to suggest that the presence of soil biota in the introduced range
263 (NZ) enabled *L. perenne* to respond more strongly to nutrients than all other species, as its

264 growth response to nutrients was stronger when grown in live NZ soil than other species
265 responses (fig. 1a). In contrast, all species responded similarly to nutrients when grown with
266 soil biota from the native range (UK) or in sterilized soil (figs. 1b – d). Unlike many invasive
267 grasses, including *A. capillaris* and *A. odoratum*, *L. perenne* generally shows a greater
268 abundance in its introduced range than its native range (Firn et al. 2011). Our findings
269 suggest that the mechanisms underlying these differences in species relative abundances
270 across their native and introduced ranges may relate to differences in soil biota and nutrient
271 acquisition, even in the absence of belowground enemy release.

272 There are two likely ways in which the presence of soil biota from the introduced range could
273 enhance *L. perenne*'s acquisition of nutrients relative to other co-occurring species. Firstly,
274 beneficial soil organisms such as AMF could directly increase *L. perenne*'s access to
275 nutrients more than they do other species. While most vascular plant species, including
276 grasses, are capable of forming mutualistic associations with AMF, they vary in the degree of
277 benefit they receive (Heijden et al. 1998; Klironomos 2003). Invasive plant species may be
278 more likely to form mutualistic associations with generalist AM fungi (Reinhart and
279 Callaway 2006; Moora et al. 2011), although research into this is still in its early stages
280 (Dickie et al. 2017). *L. perenne* can benefit substantially from associations with generalist
281 AM fungi, such as *Glomus spp.* (Cliquet et al. 1997; Faure et al. 1998; Torrecillas et al. 2014)
282 and may have developed more positive mycorrhizal associations in introduced soil than other
283 species. Secondly, competition for nutrients from the introduced soil biota may have had a
284 more negative effect on other species than on *L. perenne* (Niu et al. 2016; Zhu et al. 2016,
285 2017). Our study design did not allow us to separate mutualistic or antagonistic effects of soil
286 biota and therefore the exact mechanism remains uncertain.

287 In addition to soil biota effects, it is possible that *L. perenne* has some other characteristic that
288 allows it to perform differently to the other species. For example, *L. perenne* had the highest

289 RGR in our study (0.24), which suggests it may prefer high resource environments compared
290 to the other species. However, the other species also varied in their RGRs; *A. capillaris*
291 (0.21), *A. odoratum* (0.18) and *P. cita* (0.16), yet they showed no consistent differences in
292 their responses to nutrient addition in any soil. Perhaps more significantly, *L. perenne* showed
293 a higher RMF than both *A. capillaris* and *P. cita* in live soil from its introduced range (NZ).
294 Furthermore, it was the only species that did not show a reduced RMF in live soil compared
295 to sterilized soil from its introduced range (fig 2a). Maintaining a relatively high RMF could
296 enable it to take up additional nutrients more effectively by pre-empting supply (Craine et al.
297 2005), thus providing a clear competitive advantage. Interactions between invader root traits
298 and biogeographic variation in soil biota are therefore likely to be important for
299 understanding plant invasions. Belowground traits, such as nutrient acquisition strategy, can
300 influence plant-soil feedbacks (Bennett et al. 2017; Teste et al. 2017) and are increasingly
301 recognised as drivers of ecological processes (Bardgett et al. 2014). Our findings suggest that
302 they may also be important for understanding species invasions, particularly in the context of
303 increasing nutrient availability due to pervasive environmental change.

304 Whilst biogeographic differences in soil biota were important in controlling species responses
305 to nutrients in our study, we found no evidence for belowground enemy release. The role of
306 belowground enemy release in driving species invasions varies across species and localities
307 (Mitchell and Power 2003; Chun et al. 2010; Sun et al. 2014; Maron et al. 2014). Many of the
308 studies that found strong effects assessed invasive trees or forbs, and used North American
309 and European soils (e.g. Reinhart and Callaway 2004; Gundale et al. 2014; Maron et al.
310 2014). Fewer studies seem to have found evidence for belowground enemy release driving
311 grass species invasions. This is surprising, as grasses generally show more negative plant-soil
312 feedbacks than other functional groups, and are therefore most likely to realise the benefits of
313 enemy release (Kulmatiski et al. 2008). Some European pasture grasses appear to have more

314 positive associations with soil biota in Californian grasslands than native grasses, although
315 whether this stems from belowground enemy release remains unclear (Bennett and Strauss
316 2012). In contrast, the native grass species in our study, *P. cita*, responded in a similar way to
317 the invasive grasses, showing higher growth in UK soil and a similarly positive response to
318 sterilization in soils from either origin. Therefore the growth of native and invasive grasses
319 appears to be constrained to a similar extent by belowground enemies in New Zealand. Only
320 having one co-occurring native species in our study limits the implications of any invasive –
321 native comparisons, although *P. cita* is widespread and therefore ecologically relevant as a
322 comparison. *P. cita* responded as positively to nutrients in live NZ soil as *A. odoratum* and *A.*
323 *capillaris*, although much less so than *L. perenne*. This suggests that while increases in
324 nutrient additions appear to facilitate invasive grasses in the field in NZ (Scott 2000; King
325 and Wilson 2006; Dickie et al. 2014), this is likely to be species dependent. Other factors,
326 such as disturbance and priority effects, i.e. where the first species to arrive following a
327 disturbance ultimately dominates the community (Seabloom et al. 2003), or superior
328 competitive abilities (Sun et al. 2014; Broadbent et al. 2017), likely underlie the invasions of
329 other grass species, including *A. capillaris* and *A. odoratum*. In combination with findings
330 from previous studies, our results suggest that predicting which invasive plant species are
331 most likely to benefit from belowground enemy release will be difficult, due to large
332 variation within functional groups and across different habitats in the introduced range.

333 When species responses were analysed individually, all species in our study showed
334 increased growth following nutrient addition. However, for *L. perenne* a positive growth
335 response was only seen in soils from its introduced range (fig. S3a). This increase did not
336 differ between live and sterilized soil from the introduced range, suggesting that it was not
337 due to differences in soil biota. Instead, differences in nutrient availability between UK and
338 NZ soils may explain this result. This is supported by our analysis of soil chemistry before

339 the experiment started, which indicated that NZ soils had a slightly lower initial inorganic N
340 content than UK soils, even after dilution with 90% of the peat and sand medium was taken
341 into account. This was, however, a snapshot measurement of soil nutrient concentrations, and
342 by the end of the experiment there were no differences between NZ and UK soil inorganic N
343 concentrations. The role of soil biota in driving species responses to nutrients only becomes
344 clear when individual species responses are analysed relative to co-occurring species. This
345 highlights the importance of studying multiple co-occurring invasive species in order to
346 elucidate the species-specific variation in invasion mechanisms.

347 We used soil that had been conditioned by natural vegetation communities as opposed to
348 experimentally pre-conditioning soil (Kulmatiski et al. 2008). Some studies pre-condition soil
349 prior to starting the experiment by growing artificial plant communities in it, thereby
350 conditioning the soil biota community on those particular plant species. We were interested in
351 how invasive plant species responded to nutrient additions when grown with soil biota that
352 had been conditioned by natural plant communities that are vulnerable to invasion following
353 nutrient increases, compared to similar communities in their native range. Our findings
354 therefore reflect processes occurring at the very early stages of invasion, following
355 colonisation by invasive species (Theoharides and Dukes 2007). Soils conditioned by fast-
356 growing species have been shown to have higher nitrogen availability than soils conditioned
357 by slow growing species (Baxendale et al. 2014). This subsequently improved the
358 competitive ability of fast-growing species later grown in those soils (Baxendale et al. 2014).
359 This effect could theoretically lead to the facilitative interaction of novel soil biota and
360 nutrient addition on fast-growing invasive species, such as *L. perenne*, becoming prolonged
361 throughout later stages of invasion, even if the original source of nutrient addition ceases.
362 Whether this could account for the higher abundances of fast growing invasive species, such

363 as *L. perenne*, in their introduced ranges relative to their native ranges, has to the best of our
364 knowledge never been tested, but would make an interesting avenue for further research.

365 CONCLUSION

366 Even when the net effect of an invasive plant's associations with soil biota in its introduced
367 range are negative, the presence of these novel soil biota may still allow it to respond more
368 strongly to nutrient additions than its competitors, compared to soil biota from the native
369 range. This mechanism may contribute to the invasive success of some species, and suggests
370 that the range of plant-soil feedbacks associated with successful invasion is far wider than
371 that encompassed in the belowground enemy release hypothesis. We also found evidence that
372 belowground plant traits, such as RMF, may be important in driving responses, although
373 assessing whether this is a general trend or not would require testing across a wider range of
374 species than that tested here. Considering the globally widespread nature of anthropogenic
375 nutrient additions to ecosystems, the effects seen in our study could be contributing to a
376 global homogenisation of flora and the associated losses in native species diversity (Firn et al.
377 2011; Seabloom et al. 2015; Van Kleunen et al. 2015).

378

379 **Acknowledgements**

380 We would like to thank Duane Peltzer for lending equipment and advice, along with Silke
381 Broadbent, Carmen Zwahlen, Lotus Emam, Annette Ryan, Karen Boot, Isabel Rogers, Lucas
382 Gent and Simon Broadbent for help in the field, lab and greenhouse. We are also grateful to
383 the Department of Conservation (NZ) for land access. AB was funded by a PhD studentship
384 from the Faculty of Science and Technology at Lancaster University.

385 **Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

386 **References**

- 387 Agrawal A, Kotanen P, Mitchell C, Power A, Godsoe W, Klironomos J (2005) Enemy
388 release? An experiment with congeneric plant pairs and diverse above-and belowground
389 enemies. *Ecology* 86:2979–2989
- 390 Bardgett RD, Mommer L, De Vries FT (2014) Going underground: Root traits as drivers of
391 ecosystem processes. *Trends Ecol Evol* 29:692–699. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2014.10.006
- 392 Bartelt-Ryser J, Joshi J, Schmid B, Brandl H, Balsler T (2005) Soil feedbacks of plant
393 diversity on soil microbial communities and subsequent plant growth. *Perspect Plant
394 Ecol Evol Syst* 7:27–49. doi: 10.1016/j.ppees.2004.11.002
- 395 Baxendale C, Orwin KH, Poly F, Pommier T, Bardgett, RD (2014) Are plant-soil feedback
396 responses explained by plant traits? *New Phytol* 204:408–23. doi: 10.1111/nph.12915
- 397 Bennett AE, Strauss SY (2012) Response to soil biota by native, introduced non-pest, and
398 pest grass species: is responsiveness a mechanism for invasion? *Biol Invasions* 15:1343–
399 1353. doi: 10.1007/s10530-012-0371-1
- 400 Bennett JA, Maherali H, Reinhart KO, Lekberg Y, Hart MM, Klironomos J (2017) Plant-soil
401 feedbacks and mycorrhizal type influence temperate forest population dynamics.
402 *Science* 355:181–184. doi: 10.1126/science.aai8212
- 403 Blumenthal D (2005) Interrelated causes of plant invasion. *Science* 310:243–244
- 404 Blumenthal D, Mitchell CE, Pysek P, Jarosík V (2009) Synergy between pathogen release
405 and resource availability in plant invasion. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 106:7899–904.
406 doi: 10.1073/pnas.0812607106
- 407 Blumenthal DM (2006) Interactions between resource availability and enemy release in plant

408 invasion. *Ecol Lett* 9:887–95. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00934.x

409 Bradley BA, Blumenthal DM, Wilcove DS, Ziska LH (2010) Predicting plant invasions in an
410 era of global change. *Trends Ecol Evol* 25:310–8. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2009.12.003

411 Broadbent A, Stevens CJ, Peltzer DA, Ostle NJ, Orwin KH (2017) Belowground competition
412 drives invasive plant impact on native species regardless of nitrogen availability.
413 *Oecologia*. doi: 10.1007/s00442-017-4039-5

414 CABI (2017) *Agrostis capillaris* Norbert Maczey; *Lolium perenne* and *Anthoxanthum*
415 *odoratum* Ian Popay. In: *Invasive Species Compendium*. CAB, Wallingford, UK
416 *Invasive Species Compendium*. In: Wallingford, UK CAB Int. www.cabi.org/isc

417 Catford JA, Jansson R, Nilsson C (2009) Reducing redundancy in invasion ecology by
418 integrating hypotheses into a single theoretical framework. *Divers Distrib* 15:22–40. doi:
419 10.1111/j.1472-4642.2008.00521.x

420 Chun YJ, van Kleunen M, Dawson W (2010) The role of enemy release, tolerance and
421 resistance in plant invasions: linking damage to performance. *Ecol Lett* 13:937–46. doi:
422 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01498.x

423 Cliquet JB, Murray PJ, Boucaud J (1997) Effect of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus
424 *Glomus nitrogen fasciculatum* on by *Lolium* the uptake of amino perenne. *New Phytol*
425 137:345–349

426 Coley PD, Bryant JP, Chapin FS (1985) Resource availability and plant antiherbivore
427 defense. *Science* 230:895–899. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004

428 Craine JM, Fargione J, Sugita S (2005) Supply pre-emption, not concentration reduction, is
429 the mechanism of competition for nutrients. *New Phytol* 166:933–940. doi:

430 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01386.x

431 Craine JM, Lee WG (2003) Covariation in leaf and root traits for native and non-native
432 grasses along an altitudinal gradient in New Zealand. *Oecologia* 134:471–8. doi:
433 10.1007/s00442-002-1155-6

434 Davis MA, Grime JP, Thompson K (2000) Fluctuating resources in plant communities: a
435 general theory of invasibility. *J Ecol* 88:528–534. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-
436 2745.2000.00473.x

437 Davis MA, Pelsor M (2001) Experimental support for a resource-based mechanistic model of
438 invasibility. *Ecol Lett* 4:421–428. doi: 10.1046/j.1461-0248.2001.00246.x

439 Dickie IA, Bufford JL, Cobb RC, Desprez-Loustau ML, Grelet G, Hulme PE, Klironomos J,
440 Makiola A, Nunez MA, Pringle A, Thrall PH, Tourtellot SG, Waller L, Williams NM
441 (2017) The emerging science of linked plant-fungal invasions. *New Phytol* 215:1314–
442 1332. doi: 10.1111/nph.14657

443 Dickie IA, St John MG, Yeates GW, Morse CW, Bonner KI, Orwin K, Peltzer DA (2014)
444 Belowground legacies of *Pinus contorta* invasion and removal result in multiple
445 mechanisms of invasional meltdown. *AoB Plants* 6:1–15. doi: 10.1093/aobpla/plu056

446 Diez JM, Dickie I, Edwards G, Hulme PE, Sullivan JJ, Duncan RP (2010) Negative soil
447 feedbacks accumulate over time for non-native plant species. *Ecol Lett* 13:803–9. doi:
448 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01474.x

449 Duncan RP, Webster RJ, Jensen CA (2001) Declining plant species richness in the tussock
450 grasslands of Canterbury and Otago , South Island , New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal*
451 *Ecology* 25:35–47

452 Faure S, Cliquet J-B, Thephany G, Boucaud J (1998) Nitrogen assimilation in *Lolium*
453 perenne colonized by the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus fasciculatum*. *New*
454 *Phytol* 138:411–417. doi: 10.1046/j.1469-8137.1998.00127.x

455 Firn J, Moore JL, MacDougall AS, Borer ET, Seabloom EW, HilleRisLambers J, Harpole
456 WS, Cleland EE, Brown CS, Knops JMH, Prober SM, Pyke DA, Farrell KA, Bakker JD,
457 O'Halloran LR, Adler PB, Collins SL, D'Antonio CM, Crawley MJ, Wolkovich EM, La
458 Pierre KJ, Melbourne BA, Hautier Y, Morgan JW, Leakey ADB, Kay A, McCulley R,
459 Davies KF, Stevens CJ, Chu CJ, Holl KD, Klein JA, Fay PA, Hagenah N, Kirkman KP,
460 Buckley YM (2011) Abundance of introduced species at home predicts abundance away
461 in herbaceous communities. *Ecol Lett* 14:274–81. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-
462 0248.2010.01584.x

463 Fox J, Weisberg S (2011) *An R Companion to Applied Regression*. Sage publications, Inc.
464 Thousand Oaks, California

465 Gross N, Börger L, Duncan RP, Hulme PE (2013) Functional differences between alien and
466 native species: do biotic interactions determine the functional structure of highly
467 invaded grasslands? *Funct Ecol* 27:1262–1272. doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.12120

468 Gundale M, Kardol P, Nilsson M, Nilsson U, Lucas RW, Wardle DA (2014) Interactions
469 with soil biota shift from negative to positive when a tree species is moved outside its
470 native range. *New Phytol* 202:415–421. doi: 10.1111/nph.12699

471 Gurevitch J, Fox GA, Wardle GM, Inderjit, Taub D (2011) Emergent insights from the
472 synthesis of conceptual frameworks for biological invasions. *Ecol Lett* 14:407–418. doi:
473 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01594.x

474 Heijden MGA van Der, Boller T, Wiemken A, Sanders IR (1998) Different arbuscular

475 mycorrhizal fungal species are potential determinants of plant community. *Ecology*
476 79:2082–2091. doi: 10.1890/0012-9658(1998)079[2082:DAMFSA]2.0.CO;2

477 Hoagland DR, Arnon DI (1950) The water-culture method for growing plants without soil.
478 *Calif Agric Exp Stn Circ* 347:1–32. doi: citeulike-article-id:9455435

479 Kardol P, De Long JR, Sundqvist MK (2012) Crossing the threshold: The power of multi-
480 level experiments in identifying global change responses. *New Phytol* 196:323–326. doi:
481 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2012.04341.x

482 Keane R, Crawley M (2002) Exotic plant invasions and the enemy release hypothesis. *Trends*
483 *Ecol Evol* 17:164–170

484 King WM, Wilson JB (2006) Differentiation between native and exotic plant species from a
485 dry grassland: fundamental responses to resource availability, and growth rates. *Austral*
486 *Ecol* 31:996–1004. doi: 10.1111/j.1442-9993.2006.01693.x

487 Klironomos J (2003) Variation in plant response to native and exotic arbuscular mycorrhizal
488 fungi. *Ecology* 84:2292–2301. doi: 10.1890/02-0413

489 Kuebbing SE, Nuñez MA, Simberloff D (2013) Current mismatch between research and
490 conservation efforts: The need to study co-occurring invasive plant species. *Biol*
491 *Conserv* 160:121–129. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2013.01.009

492 Kulmatiski A, Beard KH, Stevens JR, Cobbold SM (2008) Plant-soil feedbacks: a meta-
493 analytical review. *Ecol Lett* 11:980–92. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01209.x

494 Leishman MR, Cooke J, Richardson DM (2014) Evidence for shifts to faster growth
495 strategies in the new ranges of invasive alien plants. *J Ecol* 102:1451–1461. doi:
496 10.1111/1365-2745.12318

497 Leishman MR, Haslehurst T, Ares A, Baruch Z (2007) Leaf trait relationships of native and
498 invasive plants: Community- and global-scale comparisons. *New Phytol* 176:635–643.
499 doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02189.x

500 Mark AF, McLennan B (2005) The conservation status of New Zealand’s indigenous
501 grasslands. *New Zealand Journal Botany* 43:245–270. doi:
502 10.1080/0028825X.2005.9512953

503 Maron JL, Klironomos J, Waller L, Callaway RM (2014) Invasive plants escape from
504 suppressive soil biota at regional scales. *J Ecol* 102:19–27. doi: 10.1111/1365-
505 2745.12172

506 Maron JL, Waller LP, Hahn MA, Diaconu A, Pal RW, Müller-Schärer H, Klironomos JN,
507 Callaway RM (2013) Effects of soil fungi, disturbance and propagule pressure on exotic
508 plant recruitment and establishment at home and abroad. *J Ecol* 101:924–932. doi:
509 10.1111/1365-2745.12108

510 Mitchell CE, Power AG (2003) Release of invasive plants from fungal and viral pathogens.
511 *Nature* 421:625–7. doi: 10.1038/nature01317

512 Moora M, Berger S, Davison J, Öpik M, Bommarco R, Bruelheide H, Kühn I, Kunin WE,
513 Metsis M, Rortais A, Vanatoa A, Vanatoa E, Stout JC, Truusa M, Westphal C, Zobel M,
514 Walther GR (2011) Alien plants associate with widespread generalist arbuscular
515 mycorrhizal fungal taxa: Evidence from a continental-scale study using massively
516 parallel 454 sequencing. *J Biogeogr* 38:1305–1317. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-
517 2699.2011.02478.x

518 New Zealand Plant Conservation Network (2016) *Agrostis capillaris*; *Anthoxanthum*
519 *odoratum* and *Lolium perenne*. In: New Zealand Plant Conservation Network.

520 http://www.nzpcn.org.nz/flora_details.aspx?ID=2477

521 Niu S, Classen AT, Dukes JS, Kardol P, Liu L, Luo Y, Rustad L, Sun J, Tang J, Templer PH,
522 Thomas RQ, Tian D, Vicca S, Wang YP, Xia J, Zaehle S (2016) Global patterns and
523 substrate-based mechanisms of the terrestrial nitrogen cycle. *Ecol Lett* 19:697–709. doi:
524 10.1111/ele.12591

525 Ordonez A, Wright IJ, Olf H (2010) Functional differences between native and alien species:
526 a global-scale comparison. *Funct Ecol* 24:1353–1361. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-
527 2435.2010.01739.x

528 Pejchar L, Mooney HA (2009) Invasive species, ecosystem services and human well-being.
529 *Trends Ecol Evol* 24:497–504. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2009.03.016

530 Pérez-Harguindeguy N, Díaz S, Lavorel S, Poorter H, Jaureguiberry P, Bret-Harte MS,
531 Cornwell WK, Craine JM, Gurvich DE, Urcelay C, Veneklaas EJ, Reich PB, Poorter L,
532 Wright IJ, Ray P, Enrico L, Pausas JG, de Vos AC, Buchmann N, Funes G, Quétier F,
533 Hodgson JG, Thompson K, Morgan HD, ter Steege H, van der Heijden MGA, Sack L,
534 Blonder B, Poschlod P, Vaieretti MV, Conti G, Staver AC, Aquino S, Cornelissen JHC
535 (2013) New Handbook for standardized measurement of plant functional traits
536 worldwide. *Aust J Bot* 23:167–234. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/BT12225>

537 Pimentel D, Zuniga R, Morrison D (2005) Update on the environmental and economic costs
538 associated with alien-invasive species in the United States. *Ecol Econ* 52:273–288. doi:
539 10.1016/j.ecolecon.2004.10.002

540 R Core Team (2016) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Foundation
541 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org/>

542 Reinhart K, Callaway R (2006) Soil biota and invasive plants. *New Phytol* 170:445–457

543 Reinhart K, Callaway R (2004) Soil biota facilitate exotic Acer invasions in Europe and
544 North America. *Ecol Appl* 14:1737–1745

545 Reinhart KO, Rinella MJ (2016) A common soil handling technique can generate incorrect
546 estimates of soil biota effects on plants. *New Phytol* 210:786–78. doi:
547 10.1111/nph.13822

548 Richardson DM, Pysek P (2008) Fifty years of invasion ecology – the legacy of Charles
549 Elton. *Divers Distrib* 14:161–168. doi: 10.1111/j.1472-4642.2008.00464.x

550 Rose AB, Frampton CM (2007) Rapid short-tussock grassland decline with and without
551 grazing, Marlborough, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal Ecology* 31:232–244

552 Rose AB, Suisted PA, Frampton CM (2004) Recovery, invasion, and decline over 37 years in
553 a Marlborough short tussock grassland, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal Botany*
554 42:77–87. doi: 10.1080/0028825X.2004.9512891

555 Sala OE (2000) Global Biodiversity Scenarios for the Year 2100. *Science* 287:1770–1774.
556 doi: 10.1126/science.287.5459.1770

557 Scott D (2000) Fertiliser and grazing rejuvenation of fescue tussock grassland. *New Zealand*
558 *Journal Agricultural Research* 43:481–490. doi: 10.1080/00288233.2000.9513444

559 Seabloom EW, Borer ET, Buckley YM, Cleland, EE, Davies KF, Firn J, Harpole WS,
560 Hautier Y, Lind EM, MacDougall AS, Orrock JL, Prober SM, Adler PB, Anderson TM,
561 Bakker JD, Biederman LA, Blumenthal DM, Brown CS, Brudvig LA, Cadotte M, Chu
562 C, Cottingham KL, Crawley MJ, Damschen EI, Dantonio CM, DeCrappeo NM, Du G,
563 Fay PA, Frater P, Gruner DS, Hagenah N, Hector A, Hillebrand H, Hofmockel KS,
564 Humphries, HC, Jin, VL, Kay, A, Kirkman, KP, Klein, JA, Knops, JMH, La Pierre, KJ,
565 Ladwig, L, Lambrinos, JG, Li, Q, Li W, Marushia R, McCulley RL, Melbourne BA,

566 Mitchell CE, Moore JL, Morgan J, Mortensen B, O'Halloran LR, Pyke DA, Risch AC,
567 Sankaran M, Schuetz M, Simonsen A, Smith MD, Stevens CJ, Sullivan L, Wolkovich E,
568 Wragg PD, Wright J, Yang L (2015) Plant species' origin predicts dominance and
569 response to nutrient enrichment and herbivores in global grasslands. *Nat Commun*
570 6:7710. doi: 10.1038/ncomms8710

571 Seabloom EW, Harpole WS, Reichman OJ, Tilman D (2003) Invasion, competitive
572 dominance, and resource use by exotic and native California grassland species. *Proc*
573 *Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100:13384–9. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1835728100

574 Stamp N (2003) Out of the Quagmire of Plant Defense Hypotheses. *Q Rev Biol* 78:23–55

575 Sun Y, Müller-Schärer H, Schaffner U (2014) Plant neighbours rather than soil biota
576 determine impact of an alien plant invader. *Funct Ecol* 28:1545–1555. doi:
577 10.1111/1365-2435.12295

578 Teste FP, Kardol P, Turner BL, Wardle DA, Zemunik G, Renton M, Laliberté E (2017) Plant-
579 soil feedback and the maintenance of diversity in Mediterranean-climate shrublands.
580 *Science* 355:173–176. doi: 10.1126/science.aai8291

581 Torrecillas E, Alguacil M del M, Roldan A, Diaz G, Montesinos-Navarro A, Torres MP
582 (2014) Modularity reveals the tendency of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi to interact
583 differently with generalist and specialist plant species in gypsum soils. *Appl Environ*
584 *Microbiol* 80:5457–5466. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01358-14

585 Van Kleunen M, Dawson W, Essl F, Pergl J, Winter M, Weber E, Kreft H, Weigelt P,
586 Kartesz J, Nishino M, Antonova LA, Barcelona JF, Cabezas FJ, Morozova O, Moser D,
587 Nickrent DL, Patzelt A, Pelsler PB, Baptiste MP, Poopath M, Schulze M, Seebens H,
588 Shu WS, Thomas J, Velayos M, Wieringa JJ (2015) Global exchange and accumulation

589 of non-native plants. *Nature* 525:100–103. doi: 10.1038/nature14910

590 van Kleunen M, Weber E, Fischer M (2010) A meta-analysis of trait differences between
591 invasive and non-invasive plant species. *Ecol Lett* 13:235–45. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-
592 0248.2009.01418.x

593 Vilà M, Espinar JL, Hejda M, Hulme PE, Jarošík V, Maron JL, Pergl J, Schaffner U, Sun Y,
594 Pyšek P (2011) Ecological impacts of invasive alien plants: a meta-analysis of their
595 effects on species, communities and ecosystems. *Ecol Lett* 14:702–8. doi:
596 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01628.x

597 Vitousek PM, D’Antonio CM, Loope LL, Rejmánek M, Westbrooks R (1997) Introduced
598 species: a significant component of human-caused global change. *New Zealand Journal*
599 *Ecology* 21:1–16

600 Williams PA (1998) Response of broom (*Cytisus scoparius*) to control measures. *Science for*
601 *conservation*, vol 97. Department of Conservation, New Zealand, pp 1173–2946. ISBN
602 0478217595

603 Zhu Q, Riley WJ, Tang J (2017) A new theory of plant-microbe nutrient competition resolves
604 inconsistencies between observations and model predictions. *Ecol Appl* 27:875–886.
605 doi: 10.1002/eap.1490

606 Zhu Q, Riley WJ, Tang J, Koven CD (2016) Multiple soil nutrient competition between
607 plants, microbes, and mineral surfaces: Model development, parameterization, and
608 example applications in several tropical forests. *Biogeosciences* 13:341–363. doi:
609 10.5194/bg-13-341-2016

610 Zuppinger-Dingley D, Schmid B, Chen Y, Brandl H, van der Heijden MGA, Joshi J (2011) In
611 their native range, invasive plants are held in check by negative soil-feedbacks.

612 Ecosphere 2:1–12. doi: 10.1890/ES11-00061.1

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631 **Tables**

632 **Table 1** List of field sites from where soil was collected in the U.K. and New Zealand, with
633 elevation (m) and location (WGS 1984/ Lat. Long.)

Site	Country	Elevation (m)	Latitude	Longitude
Edale	UK	507	53.374149	-1.8304451
Bradfield	UK	306	53.443550	-1.6111165
Longshaw	UK	334	53.315296	-1.6070889
Great Dunn Fell	UK	671	54.670539	-2.4440604
Hartside	UK	551	54.766721	-2.5596763
Clearwater	NZ	655	-43.59602024	171.01760960
Lynton	NZ	859	-43.30431126	171.70230002
Craigieburn	NZ	818	-43.14667393	171.73990218
Turton	NZ	943	-43.35302069	171.36680554
Tekapo	NZ	1180	-43.83077613	170.63581736

634

635

636

637

638

639

640

641

642 **Table 2** Results of 3-way ANOVAs testing effects of species identity (SP), sterilization (ST),
 643 nutrient addition (N) and their interactions on total biomass (g) and root mass fraction (RMF)
 644 of all species in New Zealand (NZ) and U.K. soil origin treatments. All factors are fixed
 645 effects

	Total biomass			RMF	
	<i>df</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>
<i>NZ soil</i>					
SP	3	9.5	<0.01	30.5	<0.01
ST	1	56.3	<0.01	77.5	<0.01
N	1	116.7	<0.01	1.3	0.26
SP x ST	3	1.5	0.21	3.6	0.02
SP x N	3	0.2	0.92	0.9	0.46
ST x N	1	5.6	0.02	0.7	0.4
SP x ST x N	3	1.6	0.20	0.6	0.64
<i>UK soil</i>					
SP	3	3.5	0.02	14.9	<0.01
ST	1	23.7	<0.01	22.9	<0.01
N	1	15.9	<0.01	0.4	0.51
SP x ST	3	1.2	0.33	4.0	0.01
SP x N	3	0.3	0.81	0.4	0.73
ST x N	1	0.1	0.81	0.1	0.73
SP x ST x N	3	0.4	0.73	0.4	0.75

646

647

648 **Table 3** Results of 2-way ANOVAs testing effects of species identity (SP), nutrient addition
 649 (N) and their interaction on total biomass of all species in live and sterilized New Zealand
 650 (NZ) and U.K. soils. All factors are fixed effects

	Total biomass		
	<i>df</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>
<i>Live NZ soil</i>			
SP	3	14.1	<0.01
N	1	91.6	<0.01
SP x N	3	3.5	0.03
<i>Sterilized NZ soil</i>			
SP	3	3.5	0.03
N	1	53.8	<0.01
SP x N	3	0.2	0.87
<i>Live UK soil</i>			
SP	3	2.0	0.13
N	1	6.4	0.02
SP x N	3	0.2	0.90
<i>Sterilized UK soil</i>			
SP	3	2.9	0.05
N	1	10.9	<0.01
SP x N	3	0.7	0.56

651

652

653

654 **Figure legends**

655 **Fig. 1** Total biomass responses of all species when grown in different soil treatments: a) live
656 New Zealand (NZ), b) sterilized NZ, c) live United Kingdom (UK) and d) sterilized UK. Bar
657 and whisker points indicate mean \pm SE (N = 5). Means within each nutrient treatment with
658 the same letter are not significantly different (Tukey HSD, $p > 0.05$); * indicates differences
659 in species biomass across nutrient treatments (Tukey HSD; $p < 0.05$). Because species did not
660 respond differently to nutrient additions in panels b) – d), only the overall significant total
661 biomass response (Tukey HSD; $p < 0.05$) to nutrient addition is indicated (see Table 3 for all
662 F and p values)

663 **Fig. 2** Root mass fraction (RMF) responses of all species when grown in different soil
664 treatments: a) New Zealand and b) United Kingdom soil. Bar and whisker points indicate
665 mean \pm SE (N = 10). Means within each sterilization treatment with the same letter are not
666 significantly different (Tukey HSD, $p > 0.05$); * indicates differences in species' RMF across
667 sterilization treatments (Tukey HSD; $p < 0.05$)

668

Fig.1



