



This is a repository copy of *The DNases of pathogenic Lancefield streptococci*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper: http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/127831/

Version: Accepted Version

#### Article:

Remmington, A. and Turner, C.E. orcid.org/0000-0002-4458-9748 (2018) The DNases of pathogenic Lancefield streptococci. Microbiology, 164 (3). pp. 242-250. ISSN 1350-0872

https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.000612

### Reuse

Items deposited in White Rose Research Online are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved unless indicated otherwise. They may be downloaded and/or printed for private study, or other acts as permitted by national copyright laws. The publisher or other rights holders may allow further reproduction and re-use of the full text version. This is indicated by the licence information on the White Rose Research Online record for the item.

#### **Takedown**

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



# 1 The Deoxyribonucleases of Pathogenic Lancefield Streptococci

2 Alex Remmington and Claire E Turner 3 Department of Molecular Biology & Biotechnology, The Florey Institute, University of Sheffield, 4 Sheffield, UK. 5 6 7 **Corresponding author:** 8 Dr Claire Turner 9 Department of Molecular Biology & Biotechnology 10 Firth Court 11 Western Bank 12 Sheffield S10 2TN 13 14 c.e.turner@sheffield.ac.uk 15 16 **Keywords**: Streptococcus pyogenes, DNases, neutrophil extracellular traps

# Abstract

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

Deoxyribonucleases (DNases) are abundant among the pathogenic streptococci, with most species harbouring genes for at least one. Despite their prevalence, however, the role for these extracellular enzymes is still relatively unclear. The DNases of the Lancefield group A Streptococcus, S. pyogenes are the best characterised, with a total of eight DNase genes identified so far. Six are known to be associated with integrated prophages. Two are chromosomally encoded, and one of these is cell-wall anchored. Homologues of both prophage-associated and chromosomally encoded S. pyogenes DNases have been identified in other streptococcal species, as well as other unique DNases. A major role identified for streptococcal DNases appears to be in the destruction of extracellular traps produced by immune cells, such as neutrophils, to ensnare bacteria and kill them. These traps are composed primarily of DNA which can be degraded by the secreted and cell wall anchored streptococcal DNases. DNases can also reduce TLR-9 signalling to dampen the immune response and produce cytotoxic deoxyadenosine to limit phagocytosis. Upper respiratory tract infection models of S. pyogenes have identified a role for DNases in potentiating infection and transmission, possibly by limiting the immune response or through some other unknown mechanism. Streptococcal DNases may also be involved in interacting with other microbial communities through communication, bacterial killing and disruption of competitive biofilms, or control of their own biofilm production. The contribution of DNases to pathogenesis may therefore be wide ranging and extend beyond direct interference with the host immune response.

#### Introduction

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

A number of clinically significant eukaryotic and prokaryotic microorganisms produce deoxyribonucleases (DNases) as virulence factors. These include Gram-positive bacterial pathogens such as Staphylococcus aureus and streptococcal species such as Streptococcus pyogenes [1, 2]. Gramnegative pathogens such as Helicobacter pylori and Shigella flexneri, in addition to members of the genera Salmonella and Yersinia, also implement these enzymes in a similar manner [3, 4]. Further, the opportunistic fungal pathogens Candida albicans and Cryptococcus neoformans and the malarial parasites of the genus Plasmodium are also known to utilise DNases in pathogenesis [5-7]. The genus Streptococcus comprises a multitude of obligate and opportunistic pathogens of both humans and animals [8]. A classification system identified by Rebecca Lancefield in the 1930s is still used to classify the beta-haemolytic streptococci based on their type specific carbohydrate antigen [8]. S. pyogenes, the Lancefield Group A Streptococcus (GAS) is a major human pathogen responsible for a diversity of clinical manifestations and considerable global disease burden exceeding 700 million infections per annum [9]. Clinical manifestations include superficial infections such as pharyngitis, non-bullous impetigo and scarlet fever in addition to potentially lethal invasive manifestations such as streptococcal toxic shock syndrome, necrotising fasciitis and puerperal sepsis [10]. Furthermore, S. pyogenes is associated with serious post-infectious sequelae, notably post-streptococcal glomerulonephritis and rheumatic fever [11]. Streptococcus agalactiae, the Lancefield group B Streptococcus (GBS) is another major human pathogen and, although present as a commensal in the gastrointestinal and genitourinary tract, it is a leading cause of neonatal morbidity and mortality worldwide, often associated with neonatal meningitis, pneumonia and sepsis [12]. Streptococcus dysqalactiae sbsp. equisimilis (SDSE) can carry the Lancefield group C or G antigens and has only relatively recently been recognised as a major human pathogen, increasing in incidence and prevalence [13]. The spectrum of symptoms associated with SDSE infection are similar to those observed with S. pyogenes, indeed the two species share many virulence factors and significant DNA sequence similarity [13]. Other Lancefield group C streptococci include Streptococcus equi subsp. equi (hereafter referred to as *S. equi*) and *S. equi* subsp. zooepidemicus (hereafter referred to as *S. zooepidemicus*). *S. equi* is almost exclusively a pathogen of horses and is believed to be descended from an ancestral strain of *S. zooepidemicus*, which will readily colonise and infect humans in addition to a vast spectrum of domestic and livestock animals. Both species share in excess of 80% DNA sequence similarity with *S. pyogenes* [14].

The ability for Lancefield streptococci to cause a wide range of disease may be due to an extensive arsenal of virulence factors. Some of these factors, which include DNases, are associated with mobile genetic elements and can transmit between strains and even species. The function of DNases during pathogenesis is still relatively unclear and the potential for DNases to be virulence factors has only recently been explored.

### **DNase history & nomenclature**

Historically, it was believed that *S. pyogenes* produced only four DNases and these were serologically classified as DNase A, DNase B, DNase C and DNase D. Anti-DNase B titres have been used as a serological biomarker of streptococcal infection and post-streptococcal immune sequalae since at least the 1970s [15, 16]. However, the identity of DNase B would not be truly known for over a decade when it was demonstrated that the chromosomally encoded DNase *spdB* or mitogenic factor (*mf*; then thought to be a streptococcal superantigen) was in fact DNase B [17]. It would be many years subsequent to the initial use of DNase B in the clinical laboratory before it was established that these enzymes could contribute to the pathogenesis of *S. pyogenes* [2]. DNase C is now known to be Spd3, and DNase D has been identified as Sda2 (SdaD2) [2], however, the identity of DNase A in the original serological system is not currently known.

Since their discovery, the classification, nomenclature and role of the DNases found in streptococci has been a confusing topic. With advances in molecular biology and the application of whole genome sequencing, it is now apparent that a number of variants exist for the majority of DNases and there is homology between DNases of different streptococcal species.

## Genetic identification and classification of streptococcal DNases

DNases of the Lancefield group A Streptococcus

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

chromosomal DNase genes [23, 24].

The DNases of group A Streptococcus are by far the best characterised and currently eight have been identified; spnA, spdB, sda1, sda2, spd1, spd3, spd4 and sdn. Both spnA and spdB are encoded on the chromosome and have been shown to be common to all S. pyogenes isolates tested, existing as different alleles related to the emm genotype of the isolate [18, 19]. We confirmed the presence of both spnA and spdB in all available completed S. pyogenes genomes (NCBI, n=54) representing 25 different emm genotypes. SpnA is the only S. pyogenes DNase to be identified that is cell wall anchored via an LPXTG motif [19]. The other six S. pyogenes DNases are associated with prophages or prophage-like elements (Table 1). S. pyogenes has a close evolutionary relationship with temperate bacteriophage as most strains are polylysogenised, and prophage and prophage-like-elements account for ~10% of the S. pyogenes genome [20, 21]. Bacteriophages are transmissible between hosts, carrying genes for bacterial virulence factors; the streptococcal superantigens, DNases and a secreted phospholipase. Different bacteriophages may carry the same virulence factor and each factor may exist as a different allele, as has been shown for the streptococcal superantigens [22]. By mining the 54 available genomes of S. pyogenes we identified that at least two different DNA sequence alleles exist for each of the six prophage associated DNases (Table 1). The most prolific prophage-associated DNase genes were spd1 and spd3. The other four prophage-associated DNase genes were restricted to isolates belonging to only 2-5 different emm genotypes, which may be reflective of host-specificity or functionality of the associated prophage. Twelve isolates did not carry any prophage-associated DNases and they belonged to the emm genotypes emm44, 59, 71, 82, 83, 89 and 101. Although other emm89 isolates did carry prophage-associated DNases, two emm89 isolates (H293 and MGAS23530) have been shown

not to have any prophage elements integrated into their chromosomes, and therefore only carry

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

Literature search and BLAST analyses identified DNases in other streptococcal species that are similar to those found in S. pyogenes. Despite the prevalence of spd1 and spd3 among S. pyogenes isolates, we only identified three potential homologues of either of these genes (Figure 1). Homologues of spd1 were identified by BLAST analysis (sharing ≥90% identity over 75% or more of the sequence length) in isolates of S. iniae and S. porcinus, pathogens of fish and swine respectively, although they may also cause disease in humans. A third gene, similar to spd1 was identified in S. zooepidemicus MGCS1056, and is one of three inferred DNases in this genome termed sdzA (the spd1 homologue), sdzB and sdzD [25]. The gene sdzA was unique to MGCS1056 but the other two DNases were identified in the other three S. zooepidemicus genomes and the two genomes of the closely related equine pathogen S. equi. Alleles of the sdzD gene cluster with sda genes of S. pyogenes and SDSE, suggesting they are homologous. Although in S. pyogenes, sda is associated with prophages, no such elements were identified associated with the sda-like genes of S. zooepidemicus [25], S. equi or SDSE. This was also the case for the third DNase gene of S. zooepidemicus and S. equi, sdzB, although it shares some similarity to the S. pyogenes chromosomally encoded spdB as well as the prophage associated spd4. Recently, two further DNases were identified in S. zooepidemicus that are cell wall anchored, termed ENuc and 5Nuc [26]. The enuc alleles identified in both S. zooepidemicus and S. equi clustered with other identified cell surface anchored DNases (Figure 1) that include spnA-like alleles from SDSE. The SDSE spnA-like alleles all have a cell wall anchor motif, except spnA.5 carried by the SDSE strain GGS\_124, which has a truncation mutation resulting in the loss of the far C-terminal region including the LPXTG anchor motif. Other cell surface anchored DNases that have been identified include the S. sanguinis cell wall anchored nuclease, SWAN and the S. suis SsnA. S. suis does not have a Lancefield antigen and is a pathogen of swine, but can cause severe zoonotic infection in humans. SsnA of S. suis has been previously identified as a functional DNase [27, 28] along with EndAsuis, although EndAsuis is membrane anchored and shows homology to endA of S. pneumoniae which may play a role in competence [29]. The gene endAsuis did not show any homology to other streptococcal DNases

analysed (Figure 1) although similar DNases with a role in competence may exist in other streptococcal species. The *nucA* gene of *S. agalactiae* also appeared unrelated to any other identified streptococcal DNases, but has confirmed DNase activity [30]. It seems likely that other DNases exist in *S. agalactiae* but have yet to be identified.

We did identify two prophage-associated DNases genes in SDSE. One was in strain GGS\_124 and associated with a prophage element that shares ~90% identity to prophage 315.3 from *S. pyogenes emm*3 genome MGAS315 [31]. Although in *S. pyogenes*, the prophage 315.3 is associated with the DNase *spd4*, the gene found in GGS\_124 (SDSE167\_1285, SDSE *sdn*) is 100% identical to a different prophage-associated *S. pyogenes* DNase, *sdn.5* (Figure 1). Another SDSE strain, 167 also has a prophage-like element associated with a DNase gene [32]. The prophage is most closely related to a prophage-element found in *emm*1 NCTC8198 *S. pyogenes*, although this prophage is not associated with any virulence factors, the prophage element in the SDSE strain 167 is associated with an *sda*-like gene (SDEG\_1103, SDSE *sda*2). However, in this strain, the gene carries a mutation that would truncate the protein. These findings suggest an exchange of prophages and associated virulence factors between *S. pyogenes* and SDSE.

# The Role of DNases

Immune evasion

Originally it was thought that DNases facilitated dissemination of streptococci through tissue planes in the human host by liquefying purulent exudate produced during infection [33]. It has also been speculated that in reducing the viscosity of the microenvironment, DNases expedite transmission of progeny phage particles between bacterial hosts, potentially conferring a selection advantage to both bacteriophage and bacterium [34]. Although this may still be the case, a recently described role for DNases is in the evasive strategy implemented by *S. pyogenes* to prevent neutrophil activation and degradation of neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) (Figure 2) [35]. NETs are composed of chromatin,

histones, proteolytic enzymes and other peptides, and produced by neutrophils on degranulation whereupon they bind to invading microorganisms by charge interaction [36]. Once entrapped, secreted cationic antimicrobial peptides attack the offending agent and neutralise virulence factors. Similar extracellular trap structures have been described in association with mast cells [37] and eosinophils [38], all of which can be degraded by DNases [39]. By secreting DNases, such as Sda1, S. pyogenes is able to escape these bactericidal traps by degrading their chromatin backbone, thus surviving and spreading (Figure 2) [2, 35]. The ENuc and 5Nuc DNases of S. zooepidemicus also have the capacity to degrade NETs, both synergistically and alone, and enabled S. zooepidemicus to spread systemically in a murine model of infection [26]. The S. agalacatiae DNase NucA is also able to degrade NETs and its loss results in reduced virulence [30]. Cell wall anchored DNases have also been associated with NET degradation. The first description of a cell wall located DNase was the discovery of SsnA of S. suis [40]. S. pyogenes, further to secreting extracellular DNases, is also able to implement the cell wall anchored DNase SpnA to escape these traps [41]. The SWAN (Sanguinis cell wall anchored nuclease) of S. sanguinis, an opportunistic periodontal pathogen, has been shown to degrade NETs [42]. S. pneumoniae also produces a cell wall located nuclease, EndA, which is capable of degrading NETs [43] and a homologue of this enzyme, EndAsuis, can be found in S. suis. EndAsuis is reported to increase survival in NETs and is produced in addition to the aforementioned secreted nuclease [29]. Although it is unclear why streptococci might implement both cell-wall anchored and secreted DNases, they may provide necessary localised DNase activity in the immediate environment as well as more wide-spread activity [44]. S. pyogenes remained attenuated following deletion of the cell-wall anchored DNase SpnA, despite complementation with a secreted form of the enzyme [44]. SpnA may also have an additional role in pathogenesis that is not related to its enzymatic activity [44] and this may well extend to other streptococcal cell wall anchored

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

DNases.

Another method by which *S. pyogenes* is able to evade innate immunity is by degrading its own nucleic acids. Indeed, depolymerisation of bacterial DNA by DNases has been shown to prevent killing of *S. pyogenes* by reducing TLR-9 signalling and subsequent recognition of un-methylated CpG-rich DNA by macrophages [45]. The ENuc and 5Nuc DNases of *S. zooepidemicus* too have a dual action against the innate immune system. Both nucleases degrade extracellular traps but also possess 5'-nucleotidase activity and produce cytotoxic deoxyadenosine as a substrate, that impedes phagocytosis by macrophages [26]. A similar mechanism was also identified in *S. pyogenes* whereby a cell wall anchored 5' nucleotidase (S5nA) acted synergistically with the DNase SpnA to cleave NETs and generate the cytotoxic deoxyadenosine [46]. S5Na and SpnA are closely related to 5Nuc and ENuc of *S. zooepidemicus*, respectively (Figure 1). Other streptococcal species also express 5' nucleotidases and so similar mechanisms may exist for DNase synergy.

# Nutrient scavenging

Elimination of DNA during infection may also have an indirect impact on pathogenesis, serving more than one purpose. For certain strains of *S. pyogenes*, nucleic acid derivatives are essential for growth. Indeed, efforts to standardise a laboratory method for sulphonamide sensitivity testing in the 1940s were hindered by this necessity [47]. Scavenging nucleic acids during infection or colonisation by implementing may therefore provide nutrients during both colonisation and infection. In addition, extracellular trap formation by neutrophils and mast cells ultimately leads to death of these phagocytes [39], and could provide further nutrients for the bacteria. This may also explain why *S. pyogenes* and other streptococci possess both secreted and cell-wall- anchored DNases, to retain DNase activity close to the bacterium for nutrition.

# Role of DNases during infection

Experimental infection data obtained using a genotype *emm*1 strain with three DNases revealed that sequential inactivation of these genes, most importantly SdaD2, significantly impeded the capacity of the strain to establish pharyngeal infection in cynomolgus macaques [2]. Similarly, the acquisition of

the Spd1 DNase by ST15 *emm3 S. pyogenes* was associated with increased nasal and airborne shedding in a murine nasopharyngeal infection model [48]. While not inherently more invasive, nor more lethal, the *emm3* strains that had acquired Spd1 were found to be overrepresented in an upsurge of disease, and their emergence was coincident with a dramatic but transient spike in invasive *emm3* disease in the United Kingdom [49]. The exact role DNase play during upper-respiratory tract infection has yet to be elucidated but these findings support the potential for DNases to contribute directly to infection. DNases have also been shown to contribute to the disease progression in murine skin and soft tissue infections [2,19,35] and the *Galleria mellonella* model of invasive disease [46], which may be due to their role in preventing NET-mediated killing as well as some other as yet un-identified role [46].

#### Bacterial competition and communication

Spd1 is also reported to have ribonuclease (RNase) activity [50]. A number of secreted eukaryotic RNases are known to be bactericidal; human RNases, such as the eosinophil cationic protein (also known as RNase 3) and the keratinocyte-derived RNase 7 have been shown to play an important role in innate immunity and defence against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative pathogens, by attacking the bacterial cell wall [51-53]. It may be the case, therefore, that the duality of some of the streptococcal DNases in their ability to also degrade RNA, may serve a similar offensive purpose. Microbial RNases have also been reported to have the capacity to damage eukaryotic cells both directly and indirectly of their ribonucleolytic activity, targeting various cellular components, leading to altered gene expression, cellular dysfunction and cell death [54].

The contact-dependent growth inhibition (CDI) toxin of *Yersinia kristensenii* was recently identified as a novel bacterial RNase of the RNase A superfamily with a key role in bacterial competition and growth [55]. The RNase activity of streptococcal DNases may therefore also serve to mediate cell-cell interactions within and between bacterial species, coordinating microbial communities such as those observed in non-sterile sites and biofilm.

It is also possible that these enzymes may be used by streptococci to compete with commensal microorganisms in non-sterile sites, such as in the nasopharynx or on the skin. Indeed, nucleic acids are a fundamental component of many microbial biofilms [56], and streptococcal DNases may be able to effectively eliminate biofilms formed by other bacteria or regulate the formation of its own biofilm.

The EndA nuclease of *S. pneumoniae* plays a role in immune evasion, virulence and competence [43, 57]. EndA degrades double-stranded DNA to single-stranded DNA during transformation for the purposes of uptake and recombination [57, 58]. Although no such mechanism has been described in *S. pyogenes*, it is possible that streptococcal DNases could reduce the potential for competing bacterial cells in the environment to be transformed by degrading extracellular DNA.

#### Summary

The prevalence of DNases suggests an important role in the biology of many streptococci, particularly *S. pyogenes*, with at least two being found in all strains tested. With the increased use of whole genome sequencing it may be that more DNases are identified and it will be important to maintain a consistent classification system across streptococcal species, similar to that proposed for the streptococcal superantigens [22].

It has been demonstrated experimentally that acquisition of prophage—associated DNases does not necessarily increase the virulence of a strain, and the genetic background of the bacterial host may play a role [59]. Although this could also be dependent on the types of virulence assays used and the sensitivity of both *in-vitro* and *in-vivo* disease models which are required to build a more complete picture of how DNases function. Indeed, DNase production has been shown previously to require interaction with eukaryotic cells or induction by other external triggers [34].

Both chromosomally-encoded and prophage-associated DNases have also been shown to be under the control of the extensive regulatory systems used by streptococci. This includes the control of virulence system (CovR/S or CsrR/S), which negatively regulates *sdaD2* but positively regulates *spdB* 

261 in M1 strains [60] and Rgg, which negatively controls spdB and spd3 [61]. Other regulators such as 262 PerR, Ihk/Irr and CodY have also been shown to influence expression of DNases [62-64]. Further work 263 is required to fully understand the complex regulation of DNase expression, which could also be 264 influenced by genotype and associated prophage. 265 Interestingly, Walker et al demonstrated that sda1 (sdaD2) expression during disease is essential for 266 emm1 strains and places a selective pressure upon CovR/S to mutate, not only to de-repress sda1 267 expression but to down-regulate the protease SpeB which degrades Sda1 [65]. Sda1 can therefore 268 influence the infection potential of isolates not only through direct means of protection against NETs, 269 but also by indirectly promoting the development of 'hyper-virulent' CovR/S mutant strains. 270 The contribution of DNases to bacterial colonisation and infection may be extensive. The main focus 271 of DNase research so far has been on the destruction of NETs, however there are other potential roles 272 for DNases that may facilitate infection and warrant further research. 273 **Funding** 274 This work was supported by funding from the Florey Institute, University of Sheffield. 275 276 **Conflict of Interest** 277 The authors declare no conflict of interest. 278 279 **Abbreviations** 280 Streptococcus dysgalactiae subsp equisimilis (SDSE) 281 Group B Streptococcus (GBS) 282 Group A Streptococcus (GAS) 283 Neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) 284

- 288 Berends ET, Horswill AR, Haste NM, Monestier M, Nizet V et al. Nuclease expression by 289 Staphylococcus aureus facilitates escape from neutrophil extracellular traps. J Innate Immun 290 2010;2(6):576-586.
- 291 2. Sumby P, Barbian KD, Gardner DJ, Whitney AR, Welty DM et al. Extracellular 292 deoxyribonuclease made by group A Streptococcus assists pathogenesis by enhancing evasion of the 293 innate immune response. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005;102(5):1679-1684.
- 294 Kim JM, Choe MH, Asaithambi K, Song JY, Lee YS et al. Helicobacter pylori HP0425 Targets 3. 295 the Nucleus with DNase I-Like Activity. *Helicobacter* 2016;21(3):218-225.
- 296 Weinrauch Y, Drujan D, Shapiro SD, Weiss J, Zychlinsky A. Neutrophil elastase targets 297 virulence factors of enterobacteria. Nature 2002;417(6884):91-94.
- 298 Sanchez M, Colom F. Extracellular DNase activity of Cryptococcus neoformans and 299 Cryptococcus gattii. Rev Iberoam Micol 2010;27(1):10-13.
- 300 **Genton B, Reed ZH**. Asexual blood-stage malaria vaccine development: facing the challenges. 301 Curr Opin Infect Dis 2007;20(5):467-475.
- 302 de Paula Menezes R, de Melo Riceto EB, Borges AS, de Brito Roder DV, dos Santos Pedroso 303 R. Evaluation of virulence factors of Candida albicans isolated from HIV-positive individuals using
- 304 HAART. Arch Oral Biol 2016;66:61-65.
- 305 Facklam R. What happened to the streptococci: overview of taxonomic and nomenclature 306 changes. Clin Microbiol Rev 2002;15(4):613-630.
- 307 9. Carapetis JR, Steer AC, Mulholland EK, Weber M. The global burden of group A streptococcal 308 diseases. Lancet Infect Dis 2005;5(11):685-694.
- 309 10. Walker MJ, Barnett TC, McArthur JD, Cole JN, Gillen CM et al. Disease manifestations and 310 pathogenic mechanisms of Group A Streptococcus. Clin Microbiol Rev 2014;27(2):264-301.
- 311 Cunningham MW. Pathogenesis of group A streptococcal infections. Clin Microbiol Rev 312 2000;13(3):470-511.
- 313 12. Melin P. Neonatal group B streptococcal disease: from pathogenesis to preventive strategies. 314 Clin Microbiol Infect 2011;17(9):1294-1303.
- 315 Brandt CM, Spellerberg B. Human infections due to Streptococcus dysgalactiae subspecies 316 equisimilis. Clin Infect Dis 2009;49(5):766-772.
- 317 Holden MT, Heather Z, Paillot R, Steward KF, Webb K et al. Genomic evidence for the 318 evolution of Streptococcus equi: host restriction, increased virulence, and genetic exchange with
- 319 human pathogens. PLoS Pathog 2009;5(3):e1000346.
- 320 Shulman ST, Ayoub EM, Victorica BE, Gessner IH, Tamer DF et al. Differences in antibody 321 response to streptococcal antigens in children with rheumatic and non-rheumatic mitral valve disease.
- 322 Circulation 1974;50(6):1244-1251.
- 323 Kaplan EL, Anthony BF, Chapman SS, Ayoub EM, Wannamaker LW. The influence of the site 324 of infection on the immune response to group A streptococci. J Clin Invest 1970;49(7):1405-1414.
- 325 Sriskandan S, Unnikrishnan M, Krausz T, Cohen J. Mitogenic factor (MF) is the major DNase 326 of serotype M89 Streptococcus pyogenes. Microbiology 2000;146 ( Pt 11):2785-2792.
- 327 Matsumoto M, Sakae K, Hashikawa S, Torii K, Hasegawa T et al. Close correlation of 328 streptococcal DNase B (sdaB) alleles with emm genotypes in Streptococcus pyogenes. Microbiol
- 329 Immunol 2005;49(10):925-929.
- 330 Hasegawa T, Minami M, Okamoto A, Tatsuno I, Isaka M et al. Characterization of a virulence-
- 331 associated and cell-wall-located DNase of Streptococcus pyogenes. Microbiology 2010;156(Pt 1):184-332 190.
- 333 Banks DJ, Beres SB, Musser JM. The fundamental contribution of phages to GAS evolution,
- 334 genome diversification and strain emergence. Trends Microbiol 2002;10(11):515-521. 335 Beres SB, Musser JM. Contribution of exogenous genetic elements to the group A
- 336 Streptococcus metagenome. PLoS One 2007;2(8):e800.

- 337 22. Commons RJ, Smeesters PR, Proft T, Fraser JD, Robins-Browne R et al. Streptococcal
- 338 superantigens: categorization and clinical associations. *Trends Mol Med* 2014;20(1):48-62.
- 339 23. **Beres SB, Kachroo P, Nasser W, Olsen RJ, Zhu L** *et al.* Transcriptome remodeling contributes
- to epidemic disease caused by the human pathogen *Streptococcus pyogenes*. *MBio* 2016;7(3).
- 341 24. Turner CE, Abbott J, Lamagni T, Holden MT, David S et al. Emergence of a new highly
- successful acapsular group A *Streptococcus* clade of genotype *emm*89 in the United Kingdom. *MBio*
- 343 2015;6(4):e00622.
- 344 25. Beres SB, Sesso R, Pinto SW, Hoe NP, Porcella SF et al. Genome sequence of a Lancefield
- group C Streptococcus zooepidemicus strain causing epidemic nephritis: new information about an old
- 346 disease. PLoS One 2008;3(8):e3026.
- 347 26. Ma F, Guo X, Fan H. Extracellular nucleases of Streptococcus equi subsp. zooepidemicus
- degrade neutrophil extracellular traps and impair macrophage activity of the host. Appl Environ
- 349 Microbiol 2017;83(2).
- 350 27. Haas B, Bonifait L, Vaillancourt K, Charette SJ, Gottschalk M et al. Characterization of DNase
- activity and gene in *Streptococcus suis* and evidence for a role as virulence factor. *BMC Res Notes*
- 352 2014;7:424.
- 353 28. **de Buhr N, Neumann A, Jerjomiceva N, von Kockritz-Blickwede M, Baums CG**. Streptococcus
- 354 suis DNase SsnA contributes to degradation of neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs) and evasion of
- NET-mediated antimicrobial activity. *Microbiology* 2014;160(Pt 2):385-395.
- 356 29. de Buhr N, Stehr M, Neumann A, Naim HY, Valentin-Weigand P et al. Identification of a novel
- 357 DNase of *Streptococcus suis* (EndAsuis) important for neutrophil extracellular trap degradation during
- 358 exponential growth. *Microbiology* 2015;161(Pt 4):838-850.
- 359 30. Derre-Bobillot A, Cortes-Perez NG, Yamamoto Y, Kharrat P, Couve E et al. Nuclease A
- 360 (Gbs0661), an extracellular nuclease of *Streptococcus agalactiae*, attacks the neutrophil extracellular
- traps and is needed for full virulence. *Mol Microbiol* 2013;89(3):518-531.
- 362 31. Suzuki H, Lefebure T, Hubisz MJ, Pavinski Bitar P, Lang P et al. Comparative genomic analysis
- of the Streptococcus dysgalactiae species group: gene content, molecular adaptation, and promoter
- 364 evolution. *Genome Biol Evol* 2011;3:168-185.
- 365 32. Watanabe S, Kirikae T, Miyoshi-Akiyama T. Complete genome sequence of Streptococcus
- 366 dysgalactiae subsp. equisimilis 167 carrying Lancefield group C antigen and comparative genomics of
- 367 S. dysgalactiae subsp. equisimilis strains. Genome Biol Evol 2013;5(9):1644-1651.
- 368 33. Sherry S, Johnson A, Tillett WS. The action of streptococcal desoxyribose nuclease
- 369 (streptodornase) in vitro and on purulent pleural exudations of patients. J Clin Invest 1949;28(5 Pt
- 370 2):1094-1104.
- 371 34. **Broudy TB, Pancholi V, Fischetti VA**. The in vitro interaction of *Streptococcus pyogenes* with
- human pharyngeal cells induces a phage-encoded extracellular DNase. Infect Immun 2002;70(6):2805-
- 373 2811.
- 37.4 Buchanan JT, Simpson AJ, Aziz RK, Liu GY, Kristian SA et al. DNase expression allows the
- 375 pathogen group A Streptococcus to escape killing in neutrophil extracellular traps. Curr Biol
- 376 2006;16(4):396-400.
- 36. **Brinkmann V, Reichard U, Goosmann C, Fauler B, Uhlemann Y** *et al.* Neutrophil extracellular
- 378 traps kill bacteria. *Science* 2004;303(5663):1532-1535.
- 379 37. von Kockritz-Blickwede M, Goldmann O, Thulin P, Heinemann K, Norrby-Teglund A et al.
- 380 Phagocytosis-independent antimicrobial activity of mast cells by means of extracellular trap
- 381 formation. *Blood* 2008;111(6):3070-3080.
- 382 38. Yousefi S, Gold JA, Andina N, Lee JJ, Kelly AM et al. Catapult-like release of mitochondrial
- 383 DNA by eosinophils contributes to antibacterial defense. *Nat Med* 2008;14(9):949-953.
- 384 39. von Kockritz-Blickwede M, Nizet V. Innate immunity turned inside-out: antimicrobial defense
- by phagocyte extracellular traps. *J Mol Med (Berl)* 2009;87(8):775-783.
- 386 40. Fontaine MC, Perez-Casal J, Willson PJ. Investigation of a novel DNase of Streptococcus suis
- 387 serotype 2. *Infect Immun* 2004;72(2):774-781.

- 388 41. Chang A, Khemlani A, Kang H, Proft T. Functional analysis of Streptococcus pyogenes nuclease
- 389 A (SpnA), a novel group A streptococcal virulence factor. *Mol Microbiol* 2011;79(6):1629-1642.
- 390 42. Morita C, Sumioka R, Nakata M, Okahashi N, Wada S et al. Cell wall-anchored nuclease of
- 391 Streptococcus sanguinis contributes to escape from neutrophil extracellular trap-mediated
- 392 bacteriocidal activity. *PLoS One* 2014;9(8):e103125.
- 393 43. **Beiter K, Wartha F, Albiger B, Normark S, Zychlinsky A et al.** An endonuclease allows
- 394 Streptococcus pneumoniae to escape from neutrophil extracellular traps. Curr Biol 2006;16(4):401-
- 395 407.
- 396 44. **Uchiyama S, Andreoni F, Schuepbach RA, Nizet V, Zinkernagel AS**. DNase Sda1 allows invasive
- 397 M1T1 Group A Streptococcus to prevent TLR9-dependent recognition. PLoS Pathog
- 398 2012;8(6):e1002736.
- 399 45. **Zheng L, Khemlani A, Lorenz N, Loh JM, Langley RJ** et al. Streptococcal 5'-Nucleotidase A
- 400 (S5nA), a novel *Streptococcus pyogenes* virulence factor that facilitates immune evasion. *J Biol Chem*
- 401 2015;290(52):31126-31137.
- 402 46. **Chalmers C, Khemlani AHJ, Sohn CR, Loh JMS, Tsai CJ et al.** Streptococcus pyogenes nuclease
- A (SpnA) mediated virulence does not exclusively depend on nuclease activity. *J Microbiol Immunol Infect* 2017.
- 405 47. **Wilson AT**. Loss of Group Carbohydrate during Mouse Passages of a Group a Hemolytic
- 406 *Streptococcus. J Exp Med* 1945;81(6):593-596.
- 407 48. **Afshar B, Turner CE, Lamagni TL, Smith KC, Al-Shahib A** et al. Enhanced nasopharyngeal
- infection and shedding associated with an epidemic lineage of *emm*3 group A *Streptococcus*. *Virulence*
- 409 2017:1-11.
- 410 49. Al-Shahib A, Underwood A, Afshar B, Turner CE, Lamagni T et al. Emergence of a novel
- 411 lineage containing a prophage in *emm*/M3 group A *Streptococcus* associated with upsurge in invasive
- 412 disease in the UK. *Microb Genom* 2016;2(6):e000059.
- 413 50. Korczynska JE, Turkenburg JP, Taylor EJ. The structural characterization of a prophage-
- 414 encoded extracellular DNase from Streptococcus pyogenes. Nucleic Acids Res 2012;40(2):928-938.
- 415 51. Harder J, Schroder JM. RNase 7, a novel innate immune defense antimicrobial protein of
- 416 healthy human skin. J Biol Chem 2002;277(48):46779-46784.
- 417 52. Rosenberg HF. RNase A ribonucleases and host defense: an evolving story. J Leukoc Biol
- 418 2008;83(5):1079-1087.
- 419 53. Torrent M, Badia M, Moussaoui M, Sanchez D, Nogues MV et al. Comparison of human
- 420 RNase 3 and RNase 7 bactericidal action at the Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacterial cell wall.
- 421 *FEBS J* 2010;277(7):1713-1725.
- 422 54. Makarov AA, Ilinskaya ON. Cytotoxic ribonucleases: molecular weapons and their targets.
- 423 FEBS Lett 2003;540(1-3):15-20.
- 424 55. Batot G, Michalska K, Ekberg G, Irimpan EM, Joachimiak G et al. The CDI toxin of Yersinia
- 425 kristensenii is a novel bacterial member of the RNase A superfamily. Nucleic Acids Res
- 426 2017;45(9):5013-5025.
- 427 56. Whitchurch CB, Tolker-Nielsen T, Ragas PC, Mattick JS. Extracellular DNA required for
- 428 bacterial biofilm formation. *Science* 2002;295(5559):1487.
- 429 57. **Zhu L, Kuang Z, Wilson BA, Lau GW**. Competence-independent activity of pneumococcal EndA
- 430 mediates degradation of extracellular DNA and NETs and is important for virulence. PLoS One
- 431 2013;8(7):e70363.
- 432 58. Lacks S, Greenberg B. A deoxyribonuclease of Diplococcus pneumoniae specific for
- 433 methylated DNA. *J Biol Chem* 1975;250(11):4060-4066.
- 434 59. Venturini C, Ong CL, Gillen CM, Ben-Zakour NL, Maamary PG et al. Acquisition of the Sda1-
- 435 encoding bacteriophage does not enhance virulence of the serotype M1 Streptococcus pyogenes
- 436 strain SF370. *Infect Immun* 2013;81(6):2062-2069.

- 437 60. Sumby P, Whitney AR, Graviss EA, DeLeo FR, Musser JM. Genome-wide analysis of group a
- 438 streptococci reveals a mutation that modulates global phenotype and disease specificity. *PLoS Pathog*
- 439 2006;2(1):e5.

- 440 61. Anbalagan S, Chaussee MS. Transcriptional regulation of a bacteriophage encoded
- extracellular DNase (Spd-3) by Rgg in *Streptococcus pyogenes*. *PLoS One* 2013;8(4):e61312.
- 442 62. **Voyich JM, Sturdevant DE, Braughton KR, Kobayashi SD, Lei B et al.** Genome-wide protective
- response used by group A Streptococcus to evade destruction by human polymorphonuclear
- 444 leukocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2003;100(4):1996-2001.
- 445 63. McDowell EJ, Callegari EA, Malke H, Chaussee MS. CodY-mediated regulation of
- 446 Streptococcus pyogenes exoproteins. BMC Microbiol 2012;12:114.
- 447 64. Wang CH, Chiang-Ni C, Kuo HT, Zheng PX, Tsou CC et al. Peroxide responsive regulator PerR
- of group A Streptococcus is required for the expression of phage-associated DNase Sda1 under
- 449 oxidative stress. *PLoS One* 2013;8(12):e81882.
- 450 65. Walker MJ, Barnett TC, McArthur JD, Cole JN, Gillen CM et al. Disease manifestations and
- 451 pathogenic mechanisms of Group A Streptococcus. Clin Microbiol Rev 2014;27(2):264-301.

Table 1. Prophage-associated DNases in *S. pyogenes* and their alleles.

| Gene | Allele*           | lsolated DNases in S. pyogenes and t  | Locus   |
|------|-------------------|---|---|
| sda1 | sda1.1            | MGAS8232 (M18)  | spyM18_1746   |
|      | sda1.2            | MGAS10394 (M6), JRS4 (M6)   | M6_Spy1339, SPYJRS4_1267  |
| sda2 | sda2.1<br>(sdaD2) | MGAS5005 (M1), A20 (M1), M1_476 (M1), HKU360 (M12), MGAS2096 (M12)  | M5005_Spy1415, A20_1463,<br>M1GAS476_1494, SpyOHK_02660,<br>MGAS2096_Spy1441  |
|      | sda2.2            | MGAS9429 (M12)  | MGAS9429_Spy1417  |
| spd1 | spd1.1            | SF370 (M1), MGAS10270 (M2), MGAS10750 (M4), MEW427 (M4), Manfredo (M5), MGAS9429 (M12), MGAS2096 (M12), HKU360 (M12), HKU488 (M12), MGAS8232 (M18), M23ND (M23), STAB120304 (M75), STAB14018 (M75), NGAS743 (M87), JMUB1235 (M89), MGAS27061 (M89), MGAS11027 (M89), NGAS322 (M114)                               | SPy0712, MGAS10270_Spy0598,<br>MGAS10750_Spy0622, AWM58_02815,<br>SpyM51263, MGAS9429_Spy0594,<br>MGAS2096_Spy0602, SPY0HK_01985,<br>HKU488_01495, spyM18_0779,<br>FE90_0223, B5D85_03105,<br>AYM92_03010, DI45_06730,<br>JMUB1235_0583, MGAS27061_0582,<br>MGAS11027_0597, SD89_06900                      |
|      | spd1.2            | MGAS10394 (M6), JRS4 (M6),<br>MGAS6180 (M28), M28PF1 (M28),<br>STAB9014 (M28), MEW123 (M28),<br>STAB10015 (M28)   | M6_Spy1195, SPYJRS4_1111,<br>M28_Spy0968, ABO05_04560,<br>VT08_04870, AWM59_04435,<br>VU19_04860  |
|      | spd1.3            | Alab49 (M53), AP53 (M53)  | SPYALAB49_001168, AUQ45_1179  |
| spd3 | spd3.1            | SF370 (M1), MGAS5005 (M1), M1_476 (M1), A20 (M1), 5448 (M1), AP1 (M1), NCTC8198 (M1), MGAS10270 (M2), MGAS10750 (M4), MEW427 (M4), Manfredo (M5), GUR (M11), HKU488 (M12), HSC5 (M14), NZ131 (M49), Alab49 (M53), AP53 (M53), STAB13021 (M66), STAB14018 (M75), STAB120304 (M75), STAB090229 (M75), NGAS743 (M87) | SPy_1436, M5005_Spy1169, M1GAS476_1231, A20_1204, SP5448_03755, SPAP1_02890, ERS445054_01298, MGAS10270_Spy0852, MGAS10750_Spy0888, AWM58_03950, SpyM50534, B2G65_01915, HKU488_01108, L897_05810, Spy49_1455, SPYALAB49_001299, AUQ45_1308, AXK13_07360, AYM92_04205, B5D85_04335, B4W66_03985, DI45_04190 |
|      | spd3.2            | M23ND (M23)   | FE90_0649   |
|      | spd3.3            | MGAS8232 (M18)  | spyM18_1446   |
|      | spd3.4            | MGAS10394 (M6)  | M6_Spy1541  |
| spd4 | spd4.1            | MGAS315 (M3), SSI-1 (M3), STAB902<br>(M3)   | SpyM3_1095, SPs0770, STAB902_04255  |
|      | spd4.2            | Manfredo (M5)   | SpyM50691   |
| sdn  | sdn.1             | MGAS315 (M3)  | SpyM3_1409  |
|      | sdn.2             | SSI-1 (M3), STAB902 (M3)  | SPs0455, STAB902_02580  |
|      | sdn.3             | MGAS10394 (M6)  | M6_Spy0067  |
|      | sdn.4             | NGAS743 (M87), MGAS11027 (M89)  | DI45_06360, MGAS11027_0659  |
|      | sdn.5             | STAB90229 (M75)   | B4W66_07530   |

<sup>\*</sup> Allele based on nucleotide sequence of the entire coding region.

# Figure Legends

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471


472

473

474

475

Figure 1. Phylogenetic analysis of streptococcal DNases. Full length coding regions of each identified and potential DNase gene were aligned using MUSCLE and a neighbour-joining tree created. Bootstrap values greater than 80% are shown on branches. Multiple alleles were compared for all prophageassociated S. pyogenes DNase genes (red) but only single representative alleles for the chromosomal DNases spnA, spnB and s5nA are shown (blue). Alleles for Streptococcus dysgalactiae subsp equisimilis (SDSE) DNase genes were determined from five completed genomes; AC-2713 (NC\_019042.1), GGS 124 (AP010935.1), RE378 (AP011114.1), 167 (AP012976.1), ATCC12394 (CP002215.1). Alleles for Streptococcus zooepidemicus DNase genes (green) were determined from four completed genomes; H70 (FM204884.1), CY (CP006770.1), ATCC35246 (CP002904.1), MGCS10565 (CP001129.1). Alleles for Streptococcus equi DNase genes (green) were determined from two completed genomes; ATCC39806 (CP021972.1), 4047 (FM204883.1). Other DNase genes comprise endAsuis (SSU1009) and ssnA (SSU1760) from S. suis strain P1/7 (AM946016.1), swan (SSA\_1750) from S. sanguinis SK36 (CP000387.1), spnA (K710\_1281) from S. iniae SF1 (CP005941.1), spd1 (STRPO\_1639) from S. porcinus str. Jelinkova 176 (AEUU02000001.1), group B Streptococcus (GBS) nuc (gbs0661) from S. agalactiae NEM316 (AL732656.1). Figure 2. Streptococcal DNases can degrade neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs). NETs are composed primarily of DNA (blue strands) associated with histones and other antibacterial factors (yellow circles). Bacteria can be ensnared in the DNA traps and killed by the associated factors (lefthand figure). Streptococcal secreted and cell-anchored DNases (indicated as scissors) degrade NETs, allowing the bacteria to escape prevent killing (Right-hand figure).



Bacteria are trapped in DNA released from neutrophils, and killed by associated factors

Streptococcal DNases degrade DNA traps freeing the bacteria and preventing killing

