



This is a repository copy of *Initial response of the European Society of Paediatric Radiology and Society for Pediatric Radiology to the Swedish Agency for Health Technology Assessment and Assessment of Social Services' document on the triad of shaken baby syndrome.*

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<http://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/127648/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Offiah, A.C. orcid.org/0000-0001-8991-5036, Servaes, S., Adamsbaum, C.S. et al. (14 more authors) (2017) Initial response of the European Society of Paediatric Radiology and Society for Pediatric Radiology to the Swedish Agency for Health Technology Assessment and Assessment of Social Services' document on the triad of shaken baby syndrome. *Pediatric Radiology*, 47 (4). pp. 369-371. ISSN 0301-0449

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00247-017-3808-y>

Reuse

Unless indicated otherwise, fulltext items are protected by copyright with all rights reserved. The copyright exception in section 29 of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 allows the making of a single copy solely for the purpose of non-commercial research or private study within the limits of fair dealing. The publisher or other rights-holder may allow further reproduction and re-use of this version - refer to the White Rose Research Online record for this item. Where records identify the publisher as the copyright holder, users can verify any specific terms of use on the publisher's website.

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

Initial Response of the European Society of Pediatric Radiology Child Abuse Taskforce to the Swedish Agency for Health Technology Assessment and Assessment of Social Services' Document on the Triad of Shaken Baby Syndrome

To paraphrase the author of a recent editorial, “Abusive neurotrauma exists” [1]. We are therefore concerned by the recent report on the validity of abusive head trauma/shaken baby syndrome commissioned by the Swedish Agency for Health Technology Assessment & Assessment of Social Services [2]. The systematic review identifies over 3,000 publications, which the experts distil down to 30 for review of which only two [3, 4] were deemed of up to moderate quality and thus summarized in more detail (Table 4.1, pages 22 and 23 of the report). The report concludes firstly that there is limited scientific evidence that the triad of findings and thus its individual components (subdural haemorrhage, retinal haemorrhage and cerebral oedema) indicate the shaken baby syndrome and secondly that there is insufficient scientific evidence to assess the diagnostic accuracy of the triad to identify shaken baby syndrome.

Although we requested it, in letters from Dr Susanna Axelsson (Director General, SBU DDS, PhD) and Professor Jan Liliemark (Head of Department, SBU) dated 20 October 2016, we were denied access to review the report prior to publication, on the basis that a careful systematic evaluation of all the available scientific evidence (by a team that included several experts in relevant scientific areas) had been conducted and that therefore our input was not required. In the same letter, Dr Axelsson and Professor Liliemark informed us that the report would be published in Sweden in October and be published in English in “the fall” (autumn) 2016. We have since been informed that the English translation will not be available until spring 2017. As such, we make it clear that we have not yet had access to a formal translation of either the report or its summary. Until we have reviewed it, we can neither endorse the findings of the report nor clarify where we disagree with it.

Given the likely significant international impact of this report in child protection cases, we had hoped that the Swedish Agency for Health Technology Assessment and Assessment of Social Services, would publish a translation of the full report before the end of 2016 as promised in their letters to us. Since this has not been the case, we cannot issue a formal position statement. We patiently await the translated report and in the meantime, end this commentary much as we began; we cannot ignore the concept of abusive neurotrauma in children. It not only exists, but may be increasing in incidence with associated increases in patient and societal costs [5]. When there is no skull fracture, does that exclude impact? What clinical and radiological features support shaking and when there is no impact, if shaking is not the aetiology, then what is? These are the important questions which need to be answered – and although this is an emotive subject, they must be answered robustly and objectively.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflicts of interest None

Initial Response of the European Society of Pediatric Radiology Child Abuse Taskforce to the Swedish Agency for Health Technology Assessment and Assessment of Social Services' Document on the Triad of Shaken Baby Syndrome

Dr Amaka C Offiah, Chair, ESPR Child Abuse Taskforce, Sheffield, UK

Professor Catherine Adamsbaum, Chair of the Pediatric Radiology Department, Bicêtre Hospital Paris Sud University, France ESPR, former co-chair of abuse taskforce; Director of the French abuse child diploma (DIU)

Professor Maria Argyropoulou, Head of Radiology Department, Medical School, University of Ioannina, Greece

Dr Katharine Halliday, Consultant Paediatric Radiologist, Chair, British Society of Paediatric Radiologists, UK

Dr Tim Jaspan, Consultant Paediatric Neuroradiologist, Nottingham, UK

Dr Catherine Owens, BSc MBBS FRCP FRCR, Consultant Radiologist GOSH and Reader UCL, President ESPR, Senior Councillor ESR

Assistant Professor Maria Raissaki, Co-Chair, ESPR Child Abuse Taskforce, Heraklion, Crete

Professor Karen Rosendahl, Dept. of Paediatric Radiology, Bergen, Norway

Dr Neil Stoodley, Consultant Paediatric Neuroradiologist, Bristol, UK

Professor Rick R van Rijn, Department of Radiology, Emma Children's Hospital - Academic Medical Center Amsterdam, The Netherlands

References:

1. Peter J Strouse Child Abuse: We have problems *Pediatr Radiol* (2016) 46: 587-590
2. Skakvåld Triadens roll vid medicinsk utredning av misstänkt skakvåld En systematisk översikt statens <http://www.sbu.se/sv/publikationer/SBU-utvarderar/skakvald--triadens-roll-vid-medicinsk-utredning-av-misstankt-skakvald/> (Accessed 23rd January 2017)
3. Vinchon M, de Foort-Dhellemmes S, Desurmont M, Delestret I Confessed abuse versus witnessed accidents in infants: comparison of clinical, radiological and ophthalmological data in corroborated cases *Childs Nerv Syst* (2010) 26:637-645
4. Adamsbaum C, Grabar S, Mejean N, Rey-Salmon C Abusive head trauma: Judicial admissions highlight violent and repetitive shaking *Pediatr* (2010) 126:546-555
5. Boop S, Axente M, Weatherford B, Klimo P Jr Abusive head trauma: an epidemiological and cost analysis *J Neurosurg Pediatr* 2016;18:542-549