# We need to talk about manels: the problem of implicit gender bias in sport and exercise medicine

Sheree Bekker,<sup>1</sup> Osman Hassan Ahmed,<sup>2</sup> Ummukulthoum Bakare,<sup>3,4</sup> Tracy A Blake,<sup>5,6</sup> M Alison Brooks,<sup>7</sup> Todd E Davenport,<sup>8</sup> Luciana De Michelis Mendonça,<sup>9</sup> Lauren Victoria Fortington,<sup>10</sup> Michael Himawan,<sup>11</sup> Joanne Kemp,<sup>12</sup> Karen Litzy,<sup>13</sup> Roland F Loh,<sup>14</sup> James MacDonald,<sup>15</sup> Carly McKay,<sup>16</sup> Andrea Britt Mosler,<sup>12</sup> Margo Mountjoy,<sup>17</sup> Ann Pederson,<sup>18</sup> Melanie I Stefan,<sup>19,20</sup> Emma Stokes,<sup>21,22</sup> Amy Jo Vassallo,<sup>23,24</sup> Jackie L Whittaker<sup>25</sup>

other events.

of all genders.

community.

WHAT IS IMPLICIT BIAS?

In 2015, a website (www.allmalepanels. tumblr.com/) began documenting instances of all-male panels (colloquially known as a 'manel'). This, along with the Twitter hashtag #manel, has helped drive recognition of the persistent and pervasive gender bias in the composition of experts

<sup>1</sup>Federation University Australia, Ballarat, Victoria, Australia

- <sup>2</sup>Bournemouth University, Bournemouth, UK
- <sup>3</sup>Department of Physiotherapy, University of the
- Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa <sup>4</sup>Medical and Scientific Commission, Nigeria Olympic
- Committee, Abuja, Nigeria <sup>5</sup>Department of Physiotherapy, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
- <sup>6</sup>Canadian Sport Institute Ontario, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
- <sup>7</sup>University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

<sup>8</sup>Department of Physical Therapy, University of the Pacific, Stockton, California, USA

- <sup>9</sup>Universidade Federal dos Vales do Jequitinhonha e Mucuri (UFVJM), Diamantina, Brazil
- <sup>10</sup>Australian Centre for Research into Injury in Sport and its Prevention (ACRISP), Federation University Australia,

Ballarat, Victoria, Australia

<sup>11</sup>Unaffiliated, USA

- <sup>12</sup>La Trobe Sport and Exercise Medicine Research Centre, La Trobe University, Melbourne, Victoria,
- Australia
- <sup>13</sup>Unaffiliated, USA
- <sup>14</sup>Kingston University London, London, UK

<sup>15</sup>Nationwide Children's Hospital, Columbus, Ohio, USA
<sup>16</sup>Department for Health, University of Bath, Bath, UK
<sup>17</sup>McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

<sup>18</sup>BC Women's Hospital + Health Centre, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

<sup>19</sup>Edinburgh Medical School: Biomedical Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

<sup>20</sup>ZJU-UoE Institute, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou,

China

<sup>21</sup>World Confederation for Physical Therapy, London, UK <sup>22</sup>Trinity College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

<sup>23</sup>University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

<sup>24</sup>Franklin Women, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia<sup>25</sup>University of Alberta and Glen Sather Sports Medicine Clinic, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

**Correspondence to** Dr Sheree Bekker, Federation University Australia, Ballarat, VIC 3350, Australia; s.bekker@federation.edu.au accordance with socially accepted depictions of those groups (Harvard Project Implicit; see www.implicit.harvard. edu). As the term suggests, biases are not necessarily deliberate or endorsed, but rather a by-product of socialisation. We *all* have such biases; most do not recognise or acknowledge them (take a test to begin assessing your own biases through Harvard's Project Implicit). This means that individuals are susceptible to perpetuating biased choices and actions, even when these are contradictory to their explicitly held beliefs. This phenomenon

assembled to present at conferences and

similarly highlighted the prevalence of

all-male panels in Sport and Exercise

Medicine (SEM). While, to our knowl-

edge, all-male panel trends in SEM have not yet formally been documented or

published, one need look no further than

SEM conference committees, keynote

speaker lists, panels and other events to see

that it exists in practice. Why, in 2018, is

SEM and its related disciplines still failing

to identify and acknowledge the role that

implicit bias plays in the very structure

of our own research, practice and educa-

tion? SEM is, after all, a profession that

contains experts, and serves populations,

nition, implications and manifestations

of implicit gender bias and then explore

how the SEM community can begin to

address this issue, advance the discus-

sion and develop a more equitable global

Social cognitive theory describes 'implicit

bias' as the unconscious inflation or defla-

tion of certain groups' perceived value in

This editorial will introduce the defi-

Recent social media discussions have

is clearly demonstrated in the classic riddle about the boy and his surgeon (box 1).

# WHY IS IMPLICIT BIAS PROBLEMATIC, EXACTLY?

Investigating the prevalence of all-male panels using the implicit bias framework enables us to understand how such occurrences, rather than always being products of overt malice, are manifestations of a bigger, highly complex, structural problem. All-male panels are best understood as one expression of a society that structurally affords certain groups rights and privileges over others. This dynamic is further compounded across numerous axes of privilege and disempowerment, upheld through social institutions that inequitably allocate power according to skin colour, country of origin, ethnicity, socioeconomic status, gender identity, sexuality and able-bodiedness, among others.<sup>1</sup>

### HOW ELSE DOES IMPLICIT GENDER BIAS MANIFEST IN SEM?

National research funding in such countries as Australia and Canada has been shown to be skewed towards researchers who identify as men.<sup>2</sup> Further, participants who identify as women are consistently under-represented in SEM research.<sup>3 4</sup> In tertiary education and leadership, gender bias manifests as a tendency to overestimate the qualifications of men and underestimate of the qualifications of women.<sup>5</sup> In practice, 'our students don't resemble the populations they came from and will eventually serve' (www. twitter.com/sunsopeningband/status/ 932118025204932608). These manifestations have real-world influence on the

# Box 1 Who is the surgeon?

A father and his son are in a car crash that kills the father. The son is rushed to the hospital; just as he is about to undergo surgery, the surgeon says: 'I can't operate—the boy is my son!'.

Who is the surgeon?

In order to demonstrate implicit bias, the classic answer is, of course, that the surgeon is the boy's mother. Many people fail to recognise this due to ingrained bias about gender coded to the word 'surgeon'.

We also acknowledge that other answers to this riddle, outside of the heteronormative, include the boy's other father or step-dad.

BAsem

1

Common diversions	Response
Myth of meritocracy (we select speakers on expertise not identity) Women want to be invited based on expertise and not identity	Merit and quotas are not mutually exclusive See LSE Impact Blog post for a full debunking of this myth: Merit vs equality? The argument that gender quotas violate meritocracy is based on fallacies www.blogs.lse.ac.uk/politicsandpolicy/merit-vs-equality-argument/
Survivorship bias There are already women in leadership positions in this field/at this conference	Women are prone to implicit gender bias too While women may be present in leadership positions, this does not negate the dynamics that make all-male panels a persistent and pervasive problem Further, it does not automatically follow that women leading/attending Sport and Exercise Medicine events are represented as invite speakers
	Interrogate the nature/timing of the event and request Ask why they declined—can the reason be overcome? Amplification—ask for recommendations for other experts who identify as women
There are women talking about/at (insert other topic/conference here)	The persistent prevalence of all-male panels and keynotes indicates that this is not enough—we need to ensure inclusion and diversity across the board
There are no/not enough women in this field Women are not interested in this field/ topic/conference	Speaks to a much bigger problem about inclusion in the field at large—why are women not progressing/what is keeping them out today? See the Women in PT/Medicine list (source redacted for peer-review purposes)
This is reverse-sexism (similarly, reverse- racism)	While many understand sexism and racism as an expression of gender-based and race-based antagonism, institutional power is wh. transforms prejudice into inequity (Bidol, 1970). Correcting disparities in institutions of power is a first step towards alleviating that inequity
Women need to 'lean in' more Women need more confidence (women of colour, conversely are often implicitly coded as having <i>too much confidence</i> )	This places problematic onus on individual women to 'lean in' or 'be confident' when there are very real structural barriers that exis Expecting of women to fit societal expectations will not solve the problem of structural inequity. Redressing structural inequity is ar important step to better representation

accumulation and distribution of professional, economic and political capital within the SEM community, as well as that community's ability to meet the population's needs. In this way, implicit bias has complex and compound negative implications for the continued evolution of SEM research, education and practice.

#### HOW CAN WE START BUILDING A MORE EQUITABLE GLOBAL SEM COMMUNITY?

Historian Mary Beard<sup>6</sup> documents that *muthos*—speaking with authority in public—has been socially coded as the domain of men in Western societies since

Homer's Odyssey. The question, then, is far from how we can merely get more women to participate, but rather 'how can we make ourselves more aware about the processes and prejudices that make us not listen to her' (Beard, p. 226).<sup>6</sup> This is particularly pertinent around leadership and public intellectual work where 'we are dealing with a much more active and loaded exclusion of women from public speech' (Beard, p. 126).<sup>6</sup> Instead of placing the onus on individual women to 'lean in', we must interrogate and dismantle the structures that are actively keeping women (and other institutionally oppressed groups) out.7

Ensuring that SEM better represents our community and those we serve will require multiple approaches. Individuals who come to recognise the existence and consequences of implicit bias can acknowledge their own potential for biases, redress these shortcomings, and help move our field forward. Speaking up about gender imbalance improves diversity among invited speakers.8 However, building a more equitable global community means that we must go further than easy answers to complex issues (table 1). Paying keen attention to who is heard (table 2) is key to advancing research, education, best practice and policy. It's a start. Join us.

Table 2     Considerations when convening a panel (conversely: what to ask about when invited to speak at/attending an event)	
Aspect	Considerations
Who is represented? Who is <i>not</i> represented?	Gender (including people who identify as non-binary) Skin colour (particularly women of colour and Indigenous peoples) Ethnicity Socioeconomic status Gender identity Able-bodiedness Country of origin (people from LMICs, Global South) Sexuality (people who identify as LGBTQ+—if safe for them to openly participate) Career stage (graduate students, early career researchers) Age
Торіс	All of the above, plus: Patient perspectives Athlete perspectives Student perspectives Non-clinician team members (eg, coaches, parents)

LGBTQ, lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender and queer; LMIC, low-income to middle-income country.

**Acknowledgements** The authors would like to thank Dr Phathokuhle Zondi for encouragement and comment on an earlier version of this manuscript.

**Contributors** SB drafted this manuscript. All other coauthors critically reviewed and edited the manuscript.

**Funding** This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient consent Not required.

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2018. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.



To cite Bekker S, Ahmed OH, Bakare U, et al. Br J Sports Med Epub ahead of print: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2018-099084

Accepted 5 February 2018

*Br J Sports Med* 2018;**0**:1–3. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2018-099084

## REFERENCES

- Crenshaw K. Mapping the margins: intersectionality, identity politics, and violence against women of color. *Stanford Law Rev* 1991;43:1241–99.
- 2 Adkins L, Dever M. It's not about the women: gender equality in research. *Australian Feminist Studies* 2015;30:217–20.

- 3 Costello JT, Bieuzen F, Bleakley CM. Where are all the female participants in Sports and Exercise Medicine research? *Eur J Sport Sci* 2014;14:847–51.
- 4 Bruinvels G, Burden RJ, McGregor AJ, et al. Sport, exercise and the menstrual cycle: where is the research? Br J Sports Med 2017;51:487–8.
- 5 Miller J, Chamberlin M. Women are teachers, men are professors: a study of student perception. *Teaching Sociology* 2000;28:283–98.
- 6 Beard M. Women & power: a manifesto. London: Profile Books, 2017.
- 7 Hooks B. Dig deep: beyond Lean In. The Feminist Wire, 2013. www.thefeministwire.com/#article/17973 (accessed 21 Nov 2017).
- Klein RS, Voskuhl R, Segal BM, et al. Speaking out about gender imbalance in invited speakers improves diversity. Nat Immunol 2017;18:475–8.