

**Title:** Burning questions: Investigations using field experimentation of different patterns of change to bone in accidental vs deliberate burning scenarios.

**First Author:** Emily Louise Carroll. Bournemouth University; University of Reading.

**Second Author:** Martin Smith.

**Affiliation Address:** Bournemouth University, Talbot Campus, Fern Barrow, Poole, Dorset BH12 5BB.

**Corresponding Author:** Emily Carroll.<sup>1</sup> [e.l.carroll@pgr.reading.ac.uk](mailto:e.l.carroll@pgr.reading.ac.uk)

---

<sup>1</sup>**Present Address:** Room 126, Wager Building, Department of Archaeology, University of Reading, PO Box 217, Reading, Berkshire, RG6 6AH, United Kingdom.

## **Abstract**

Experimental research into thermal alterations to bone has tended to be carried out under laboratory conditions, where different burning scenarios are simulated to reconstruct the respective heat-induced changes in bone. While this approach has greatly advanced this field of research, very little open-air field experimentation has been conducted and consequently documented. The current paper presents the results of the first study to utilise field experimentation to examine the heat-induced alterations that occur in bone when subjected to two different firing conditions. This experiment contrasted a reconstruction of a funeral pyre with a simulated house fire in order to explore differences in the effects of accidental and deliberate burning scenarios on bone. Both advantages and problems faced are discussed with regards to the methodological approach used to document and analyse the resultant burnt bone; leading to recommendations for future research. The burned bone assemblage from the accidental fire displayed uneven burning, with an extensive spectrum of colour alteration. Bone fragments recovered from the funeral pyre however showed distinctly uniform thermal changes, with minimal variation. This research demonstrates the value of field experimentation in the analysis of burned bone from both archaeological and forensic contexts and concludes that future research would greatly benefit from employing a similar mode of investigation, in conjunction with laboratory experimentation.

## **Key words**

Burned bone; Bioarchaeology; Experimental Archaeology; Heat-induced alterations; Cremation pyre; Accidental fire.

# 1. Introduction

Understanding the thermal decomposition of bone is essential for reconstructing the effect of fire on human remains, in both forensic and archaeological contexts (Symes et al. 2015; Gonçalves et al. 2011; Thompson et al. 2016; Ubelaker 2009). Research in this area has advanced significantly over the past three decades (Collier 1996; McKinley 2015) with studies mostly employing laboratory experimentation to examine the macroscopic and microscopic heat-induced alterations that occur in burned bone, how they correlate to the temperature and duration of combustion and what techniques best suit for their analysis (Gonçalves et al. 2011; Gonçalves et al. 2015b; Ellingham et al. 2015a; Ellingham et al. 2015b; Piga et al. 2009; Thompson et al. 2009; Thompson et al. 2013; Snoeck et al. 2016).

Despite the expanse of research in this field, only a handful of published experiments have been conducted outdoors in open air environments (Downes 1999; Jonuks and Konsa 2007; Marshall 2011; McKinley 1997; Silva 2015). These have tended to focus on reconstructing the collapse of the pyre or dwelling, the spread of material in the ground deposit following cremation, or the osteological assessment of the burnt bone. As such, the current literature lacks critical evaluation of this manner of experimentation in general, with specific areas that might benefit from further study including comparisons between different styles of 'open-air' burning and also the H-I changes in the bone recovered.

The current paper discusses the results of a pilot study where two deer carcasses were subjected to two differently constructed experimental fires, in order to study macroscopic changes to bone subject to thermal alteration in differing circumstances.. The first was a structured funeral pyre, the other a simulated accidental indoor fire using a metal shipping container to represent a generic domestic structure. There were three principle aims to the investigation; firstly, to establish a protocol on how to conduct, record and interpret open-air experimental fires; secondly to document both experiments; thirdly, to investigate how bone responds to opposing firing conditions, one that is managed and one that is left to burn out without ongoing intervention, by recording multiple H-I modifications in the remnant burnt bone.

## 1.1. H-I alterations in bone

Certainly, the most widely documented and well-studied macroscopic alteration that occurs to bone when subject to extreme heat is colour change (Delvin and Herrmann 2015). Research has found that a skeletal element will pass through a sequential spectrum of chromatic alteration, from yellowish to white, which is caused by the combustion of its

organic and inorganic components and is subject to oxygen availability (Fig. 1) (Reidsma et al. 2016; Ubelaker 2009; Ullinger et al. 2015). When bone is first subjected to heat it changes from its normal ivory colour to brown and then black, caused by the combustion of both carbon and collagen. This is followed by grey which is induced by the polarization of organic compounds, and then white which is caused by the complete combustion of the bone's organic material and the fusion of bone mineral (Ellingham et al. 2015a). While the order of colour change in bone is always the same, different researchers have reported varying temperatures at which these stages are achieved (Ellingham et al. 2015a). Colouration in burned skeletal remains however can also be a consequence of staining from minerals within the burial environment or the melting of metal artefacts that are either worn or placed with the individual at the time of incineration (Brady 2006; Dupras 2013). It is therefore essential to consider the wider context of the burned deposit as well as any extraneous inclusions prior to interpretation.

**Fig. 1. Animal bone samples displaying the colour alteration that takes place when subject to extreme heat.**

Further macroscopic H-I alterations that have also been extensively researched include fracture patterning, warping, shrinkage and weight loss (Buikstra and Swegle 1989; Gonçalves et al. 2011; Gonçalves et al. 2015a; Gonçalves et al. 2015b), although an increase in bone size has also been reported (Thompson et al. 2005). Recent laboratory experiments have found that fracture patterning and warping is associated with the preservation of collagen in bone, as well as recrystallization at the inorganic phase, and has allowed researchers to infer pre-burning conditions; namely, the burning of fleshed and dry bone (Gonçalves and Thompson 2015; Vasserol et al. 2016). Examining shrinkage and weight loss has been found to be useful for the analytical assessment of burnt bone. The former has been associated with the coalescence of mineral crystals (Gonçalves, 2011), while the latter is caused by the evaporation of water, the combustion of organic compounds and the release of CO<sub>2</sub> (Ellingham et al. 2015b).

On a microscopic level, the ultra-structural morphology of bone also changes when heated (Ellingham et al. 2015a; Ritchie 2006; Thompson et al. 2009; Piga et al. 2016). The diameter of osteons and haversian canals within bone shrink, while the size and formation of crystallites, which are made of bone's inorganic component, increase when subjected to higher burning temperatures (Nelson 1992). Histomorphology has been successfully used to examine these microscopic changes in burnt bone, and has found these alterations to be a

sensitive indicator of thermal decomposition (Squires et al. 2011). More recently, studies have experimented with reconstructing the crystallinity indices of burnt bone to infer burning temperatures, including low, medium and high (Thompson et al. 2009; Thompson et al. 2013). The CI index has been found to be the most reliable representation of the crystallinity of burnt bone and has been applied in the analysis of cremated samples from the archaeological record (Thompson et al. 2016).

## **1.2. Laboratory Experiments**

From the early 1950s experiments have artificially recreate various firing conditions in order to record the gross changes that bone undergoes (Baby 1954; Binford 1963; Shipman 1984). The study of burnt bone and the reconstruction of firing conditions owes a huge debt to the numerous laboratory studies that have been conducted over the last 30 years (Ellingham et al. 2015b; Ellingham et al. 2016; McKinley 2015). These efforts include heating bone samples in ovens, kilns or furnaces (for the most part gas or electric powered) and altering the temperature at set or shifting intervals (Collini et al. 2015; Ellingham et al. 2015a; Shipman et al. 1984). Despite this method producing the sort after H-I alterations within the skeletal samples, temperature selection is often subject to the settings of the oven or kiln used in the study. As such the burning temperatures used vary across studies.

The above research has established that thermal decomposition of bone is caused by temperature increase, although variation in the temperature threshold at which the decomposition stages take place may occur due to the action of other factors. Taken together research in this area conducted over recent decades has led to the general acceptance of four stages of thermal decomposition taking place between c.100-1000°, and producing characteristic H-I alterations (Mayne Correia 1997; Thompson 2005; Ellingham et al. 2015a).

Duration of burning has also been used to examine the thermal alteration of bone since it was first considered as a firing condition by Baby (1954). Study has henceforth experimented with varying firing durations. Most recently, research has examined the influence of increasing firing durations at varying temperature settings to record microscopic alterations (Ellingham et al. 2015b). It was found that longer burning durations or slower heating rates increased the progression of thermal decomposition in bone, highlighting that H-I alterations are subject to shifting burning durations.

Laboratory research has also experimented with samples of varying states of preservation. Thurman and Willmore (1982) first pointed out that criteria to distinguish between these different kinds of burnt bone did not exist, despite claims of their evident differentiation (Baby 1954; Buikstra and Swegle 1989; Binford 1963; Spennemann and Colley 1989; Whyte 2001). These investigations have proved useful in both the fields of archaeology and forensics for determining the condition of the skeletal remains prior to burning (Gonçalves et al. 2011; Gonçalves et al. 2015b). However, different studies have reported conflicting results (Baby 1954; Binford 1963; Etxeberria 1994 Whyte 2001).

Due to the ethical constraints surrounding the use of human remains within scientific research, as well as the facilities available for conducting these kinds of studies, laboratory experiments have tended to use disarticulated animal bones as human proxies (Shipman et al. 1984; Thompson et al. 2013). While animal remains are recognised as a sufficient alternative to human tissue in some kinds of forensic research, the burning of sections of femora or mandibles is not representative of the cremation of a complete cadaver, where the distribution of fatty tissue, body positioning, and muscle contraction can all have an effect on thermal decomposition (Dehann 2015). Studies have overcome this issue by attending cremations at modern crematoria and recording the H-I alterations visible (Gonçalves et al. 2015a). This approach provides a rare opportunity for the researcher to witness the changes that occur to a cadaver as a result of extreme heat exposure.

### **1.3. Field Experiments**

Open-air experimentation has been used to analyse the H-I changes in burned bone, but comparatively less so than Laboratory work (Downes 1999; McKinley 2004; Silva 2015; Ubelaker 2009). This approach has mainly been used in the field of forensics as a means of investigating case studies involving burnt human remains (Pope et al. 2004; Poppa et al. 2011). The main intention of which is to reconstruct the sequence of events leading up to death, and primarily focusing on distinguishing between perimortem and postmortem trauma in burnt skeletal remains (Gruchy and Rogers 2002; Herrmann and Bennett 1999; Marciniak 2009). Animal bone proxies are usually burnt on specially built campfires or fire pits following the infliction of sharp or blunt force trauma. The outcome of which has led to considerable advances in the forensic understanding of perimortem events when thermal alteration is involved, often finding that fire is a secondary process used to try to cover-up or destroy evidence (Brickley, 2007; Salter, 2008; Symes et al. 2015, 52-54; Symes et al. 2012, 349).

In archaeology, several field experiments examining cremation practices have reconstructed funeral pyres (Jonuks and Kansa 2007; McKinley 1997; Marshall 2011; Noy 2000).

Experiments have typically involved burning a box pyre, which is a tiered wooden tower that has been described in various classical sources including the cremation of Patroclus and Hector (Iliad book 23) (Marshall 2011). McKinley's recreation of a Bronze Age funeral pyre examined how long it would take for the thermal decomposition of a human body, represented by a sheep cadaver, to be achieved (1997). The progression of the pyre's collapse was also monitored as was the spatial distribution of the cremated remains within the ground deposit. Marshall (2011) more recently also recreated Bronze Age cremations, experimenting with both box pyres and ring pyres. This extensive study once again recorded the collapse of the pyre, and the condition of the pyre base, but also the taphonomic alteration of various bronze pyre goods and the distribution of burning in the cremated bone recovered. The study found that a body burnt on a funeral pyre, where the fuel was continually supplemented and a sufficient oxygen supply was available could still show evidence for uneven burning due to the cadaver's position.

Studies on a considerably smaller scale have also used funeral pyre experiments to examine the role of burnt animal bones in the cremation process and to establish criteria for distinguishing them from burnt human bone (Whyte 2001). It was common practice in antiquity for animal remains to be placed on the pyre. Studies including those by Whyte (2001) as well as Bond and Worley (2006) have provided considerable insight into the types of activities which produced cremated animal bone and what it can reveal about ancient cremation rituals. In modern forensic investigations, mixing non-human animal remains with human remains during the burning process has also been observed as a further strategy employed by perpetrators attempting to conceal a murder (Brickley 2007).

Other studies utilising field experiments to examine burnt bone include experimental house fires (Flamman 2004; Rasmussen 2004). This field of research developed out of excavations in Jutland where an unprecedented amount of burned down Iron Age dwellings have been unearthed (Rasmussen 2004). This approach was recently applied in UK archaeology when a reconstructed Anglo-Saxon house burnt down in an accidental fire at West-Stow, Suffolk (Tipper 2012). Research questions are numerous, including determining the cause of the fire, determining the sequence of collapse, and reconstructing the body position of recovered fire victims (Bankoff and Winter 1979; Flamman 2004; Rasmussen 2007). These publications have greatly enhanced the archaeological understanding of ancient domestic fire victims and have led to further osteological analysis of burnt human remains recovered from ancient house fires (Harvig et al. 2015). However these observations have not been compared to those of other fire scenarios including deliberate cremations.

The current study employs field experiments to document the processes involved in two different fire scenarios and examine the thermal alterations that occur. Two opposing fire scenarios were simulated, an accidental and deliberate fire.

### **3. Materials**

Two European roe deer carcasses (*Capreolus capreolus*) were used as proxies in these experiments. Both individuals were culled as part of the humane management of deer herds in Dorset, ensuring concordance with the Animals Scientific Procedures Act (1986). The deer, one male and one female, were not defleshed or otherwise manipulated prior to the experiments, except for a single gunshot wound to the thorax (0.308 caliber, 10-20mm soft tissue wound). This was to ensure the authenticity of each experiment and to avoid compromising the results obtained. The female deer was 0.95m long, stood 1.02m tall and weighed 20Kg, while the male measured 1m long, stood 1.04m tall and weighed 25Kg. It is noted that this difference in body size may influence the degree of thermal decomposition due to their varying body mass indices. The two specimens had been dead for three days prior to each experiment. They were stored in chest freezers and then thawed prior to each experiment to prevent decomposition.

#### **3.1 Accidental Fire**

The first scenario was intended to simulate the effects of an accidental fire occurring in a built structure. This part of the research was conducted at West Moors Fire Training Centre, Dorset on the 31<sup>st</sup> of March 2014, under the direction of the Dorset Fire and Rescue Services. The latter regularly reproduce the circumstances of accidental fires in buildings using metal shipping containers as the latter permit re-use. The metal container used in the current experiment (Fig. 2) measured c.5m by c.2.5m. The deer was positioned towards the entrance of the container and five sheets of chipboard (40Kg), seven wooden planks (336Kg), as well as tissue paper (0.5Kg) were placed at the back of the container away from the carcass; this fuel was used to ignite the fire. This material was not replaced once burned. The door of the shipping container was also left open to encourage a sufficient air supply. The placement of the deer carcass by the door was intended to simulate a fire victim who had collapsed following smoke inhalation. The temperature of the container was recorded as often as possible at 10 and 20 minute intervals using a non-contact digital LCD infrared thermometer and an infrared thermometer camera. This approach did not allow the temperature of the carcass itself to be recorded, but the temperature of the combustion from a safe distance. Previous research has used built-in temperature gages to record temperature, yet as this experiment was conducted on location alternative mobile devices



were necessary (Ellingham et al. 2016). A handheld Panasonic Lumix G1 52mm Camera and a Panasonic HC-X920 video camera were used to document the experiment.

**Fig. 2 A). The setup of the shipping container fire. B) The position of the deer carcass in the shipping container.**

### **3.2 Funeral Pyre**

The first funeral pyre experiment was attempted on the 2<sup>nd</sup> of July 2014, at Trigon Estate, Wareham Dorset. Unfortunately, as the wood provided was freshly cut green timber, the moisture content of the wood was too high and it was not possible to start the fire. The experiment was therefore postponed to the 4<sup>th</sup> of July 2014. During this two day period, the carcass was stored in a shipping container on site, to stop it from being scavenged.

The pyre was situated in an open field, away from adjacent woodland (Fig. 3). The area in which it was placed had been cleared of any foliage that could exacerbate the combustion and the construction took the form of a ten-tiered, 2m<sup>2</sup> tower that stood at 1.4m tall. The gridiron structure was chosen to encourage sufficient oxygen ventilation (McKinley 1997). The ascending layers were stuffed with hay (3Kg), and newspaper (1Kg) to ensure that the flash point would be high enough to achieve combustion (Marshall 2011). The deer was positioned on top of the pyre to guarantee direct heat exposure, and the fire's fuel load was maintained by the continual addition of logs, 27 halved juvenile oak (1431Kg), hay (1Kg) and newspaper (1.5Kg) until the pyre collapsed. The temperature was recorded at regular intervals with a non-contact digital LCD infrared thermometer. Again, this approach did not allow the temperature of the carcass itself to be recorded, but the temperature of the combustion from a safe distance. A handheld Panasonic Lumix G1 52mm Camera and a Panasonic HC-X920 video camera was used to document the experiment.

**Fig. 3) A). The funeral pyre construction and the wood used to supplement the fuel load. B) The deer positioned on top of the pyre.**

## **4 Methods**

The burnt bone from the shipping container fire was left overnight to cool before it was collected. The interior of the shipping container was gridded off, and the burnt bone was

bagged up according to its grid reference. As the burnt bone was spread throughout the container, three grids were used to collect the material. This produced three sets of data. The leftover burnt bone from the funeral pyre was left for an hour and sprayed with water before collection; it was decided that the material should not be left overnight in case it was disturbed or scavenged. Again, the pyre site was gridded off and the burnt bone was bagged up according to its grid reference. The material from both experiments was then kept in plastic boxes and transported to the Bournemouth University Osteology Laboratory for analysis.

The burnt bone from the experiments was sorted in order to separate the thermally altered bone from any extraneous material.

#### **4.1 Degree of Burning**

The degree of burning was analysed using a 5 point visual scoring system adapted from Stiner et al. (1995) (Fig.4). This method ranks the progression of carbonization to calcination. At the bottom end of the scale, 1 represents the presence of soft tissue with minimal charring (blackening), while 5 denotes more than 50% calcination (whitening).

**Fig. 4. Examples of different degrees of burning for each of the five scores. 1: Relatively unburned, still consisting of soft tissue. 2: Less than 50% carbonization. 3: More than 50% carbonization. 4: Less than 50% calcination. 5: More than 50% calcination.**

#### **4.2 Uniformity of Burning**

The uniformity of burning was measured using a 5 point visual scoring system adapted from Cain (2005) (Fig. 5). This technique identifies how many patterns of burning are visible within a single thermally altered bone fragment. A score of 1 represents uniform H-I alteration, while 5 demonstrates variability.

**Fig. 5. Examples of the difference in the uniformity of burning for each of the five scores. 1: Complete uniformity. 2: Two patterns of burning. 3: Three patterns of burning. 4: Four patterns of burning. 5. Five patterns of burning.**

### **4.3 Colour Change**

The colour of burnt bone was analysed using a 6 point visual scoring system, based on the sequential spectrum of colour change outlined in previous experimental research (Fig. 6) (Ellingham et al. 2015a; Mayne Correia 1997). A number was given to each thermally altered bone fragment that represented the colour observed. If multiple colours were visible within a single fragment then each pigmentation was scored and the median was calculated.

**Fig. 6. Examples of the different colours for each of the five scores. 1: Brown. 2: Black. 3: Grey. 4: Blue. 5: White. 6: Orange.**

### **4.4 Fracture Patterning**

Fracture patterning was analysed using a 5 point scoring system based on the criteria published by Buikstra and Swegle (1989) (Fig7). A number was assigned to each thermally altered bone fragment that represented the type of fracturing observed. Only surface fractures within the element were recorded, rather than the way in which each fragment had broken. Consequently, if no fractures were present then a score of 0 was given.

**Fig. 7. Examples of the different fracture patterns for each of the five scores using SEM imagery. 0: No fracture patterning. 1: Longitudinal fracture. 2: Transverse fracture. 3: Polygonal fracture. 4: Exfoliated fracture.**

### **4.5 Weight**

The total weight of each assemblage was recorded using Fisherbrand PF-203 digital scales.

### **4.6 Statistical Analysis**

Three statistical tests were applied in this investigation. First a Kruskal-Wallis H-Test (One-Way Analysis of Variance on ranked values) was conducted to pre-filter the data obtained from the shipping container fire, and to examine whether the data originates from the same distribution. This was necessary as three separate datasets were produced from this experiment all of which demonstrated different levels of H-I changes; this test determined which dataset would be most appropriate to use (See Section 3.2). This particular test is more appropriate than that of a One-Way ANOVA of mean values as it examines ordinal information and does not assume a normal distribution of data. A Mann-Whitney *U* test was then conducted to establish whether the thermal decomposition from the two experiments was statistically different. This test is designed to examine the variation of the median scores between two groups of non-specific distributions (Chalmer 1987; Field 2009). Even though this can be achieved by an Independent t-test, a Mann-Whitney *U* test is better suited for this investigation as it does not assume that the data is normally distributed (Sigvallius 1994). A student's t-test was then used to compare the mean weight between of two assemblages. All statistical tests were computed using SPSS software package including version 2014.

## **5 Results**

The results from the Kruskal-Wallis H-Test (One-Way Analysis of Variance on ranked values) are presented in table 1. It is clear that the material from all three data sets differs significantly with regards to each variable analysed, demonstrating substantial intra-assemblage variation. It was decided that as the material from gird 2 showed the highest degree of thermal exposure, and was therefore used in the analysis.

Table 1. Results from Kruskal-Wallis H-Test on the data from the shipping container fire.

H-I Modification	Grid No.	Mean:	P - Value.	D.f.	Chi-Squ:
Degree of burning	1	2.77	.000	2	74.486
	2	4.28	.000	2	74.486
	3	3.41	.000	2	74.486
Uniformity of burning	1	2.39	.001	2	13.675
	2	2.64	.001	2	13.675
	3	2.98	.001	2	13.675
Colour change	1	2.677	.000	2	69.753
	2	3.895	.000	2	69.753
	3	2.855	.000	2	69.753
Fracture Patterning	1	0.35	.000	2	20.443
	2	0.74	.000	2	20.443
	3	0.16	.000	2	20.443
Weight	1	6.1	.000	2	31.463
	2	1.3384	.000	2	31.463
	3	2.8445	.000	2	31.463

## 5.1 Accidental Fire - Shipping Container

The experiment is documented in figure 9. The shipping container fire burnt out after 160 minutes. The range of temperatures recorded was 80-1000°C, with extremely high temperatures recorded initially, followed by a sharp drop after the first half hour (Fig. 8). In this experiment, the door of the shipping container was the only channel of oxygen that fed the combustion and it is likely that a shortage of oxygen, after the initial supply inside the container was used up, also contributed to the sharp drop in temperature following the fire's flash point. An important point of note was that the deer began to combust before it was reached by the flames. After twenty minutes the environment was so hot that the deer carcass self-ignited, and the muscles contracted in both the front and hind legs causing them to rise and flex, taking on a pugilistic position. By the end of the experiment, soft tissue remained on a large portion of the torso, while bones of the skull and appendages were entirely calcinated.

**Fig. 8. The recorded temperatures of the shipping container fire at 10 minute intervals.**

**Figure 9. Flow diagram documenting the shipping container experiment.**

## **5.2 Funeral Pyre**

The experiment is documented in figure 11. The funeral pyre burned for a total of 210 minutes and temperatures ranged from 166-553°C (Fig 10), demonstrating a continuum. In this experiment it was noted that the strong northerly wind on the day of the experiment helped with this continuity as it stimulated the oxygen flow. After approximately 20 minutes the fur of the deer began to char and after 50 minutes the muscles in the hind legs began to contract. After 1.5 hours, logs were no longer added to the fire as the pyre had collapsed, only a small fire remained and the addition of more fuel would not cause the carcass to reduce any more. By the end of the experiment the surface temperature of the pyre site was still considerably high. A small portion of the torso was left consisting of soft tissue, and a substantial amount of calcinated bone mostly from the front and hind legs, as well as the skull also remained.

**Fig. 10. The recorded temperatures of the funeral pyre at 10 minute intervals.**

**Figure 11. Flow diagram documenting the funeral pyre experiment.**

## **5.3 Weight**

The shipping container fire produced 303 fragments of burnt material, including bone and soft tissue, weighing 699.23g in total. The male deer carcass originally weighed 25Kg prior to burning. A weight reduction of 24,300.77g (97.2%) took place during firing. The funeral

pyre experiment on the other had resulted in 398 fragments of burnt material weighing 269.47g in total. The female deer carcass originally weighed 20Kg before the experiment. A weight reduction of 19,730.53g (98.65%) had occurred. In both instances, a similar quantity of burnt material was produced for both the male and female deer cadavers, however the weight of the burnt material varied substantially. A students t-test found the difference in the average total weight to be significant ( $p < 0.000$ ).

## 5.4 Degree of Burning

Figure 12 present the results of the degree of burning from the two experiments. Of the 303 fragments recovered from the shipping container fire, the majority (184 fragments, 60.8%) displayed a variety of stages of thermal decomposition, from no burning through to more than 50% carbonization, while the remainder (119 fragments, 39.2%) exhibited more than 50% calcination. Overall the median score was 4, with an interquartile range of 2. The 398 fragments of bone from the funeral pyre however, exhibited a higher degree of calcination overall (367 fragments, 92.2%) with only some evidence of carbonization through to calcination (31 fragments, 7.8%) achieving a median value of 5 with an interquartile range of 0. The Mann-Whitney *U* test found this differentiation between the two datasets significant to ( $p < 0.001$ ).

**Fig. 12. The number of fragments scored for the degree of burning from the shipping container fire and funeral pyre.**

## 5.5 Uniformity of Burning

A similar outcome was also observed in the uniformity of burning (Fig 13). The fragments from the shipping container displayed varying levels of heat exposure with the majority (137 fragments, 45.2%) exhibiting three different burning patterns on the same element and scoring a median value of 3, with an interquartile range of 1. However, over half of the fragments from the funeral pyre displayed a uniform burning pattern (290 fragments, 72.8% scoring 1 – complete uniformity) while the remainder scored between two patterns of burning and four patterns of burning (108 fragments, 26.4%), obtaining a median value of 1 and an interquartile range of 1. Again, the difference between the two experiments were found significant by the Mann-Whitney *U* test ( $p < 0.001$ ).

**Fig. 13. The number of fragments scored for the uniformity of burning from the shipping container fire and funeral pyre.**

## 5.6 Colour Change

The change in colour between the two datasets was also found to differ significantly (Fig 14), with  $P < 0.001$  obtained by the Mann-Whitney  $U$  test. Score 6 (white colouration) was the most observed pigmentation in both assemblages and was recorded 225 times (74.3%) for the shipping container and 389 times (97.7%) for the funeral pyre; however the number of scores in the other categories varied substantially between the two assemblages. The remaining fragments from the shipping container demonstrated a relatively even spread of colouration from brown to orange, while those from the funeral pyre displayed minimal variation and an overall majority of white pigmentation.

**Fig. 14. The number of fragments scored for chromatic alteration from the shipping container and funeral pyre.**

## 5.7 Fracture Patterning

Only a small proportion of both assemblages exhibited fracture patterning. The results presented in figure 15 show that the fragments from the shipping container consisted of mostly longitudinal fractures (58 fragments, 19.1%), with some transverse (3 fragments, 0.9%) and exfoliated patterning (23 fragments, 7.5%), however polygonal breakages were not recorded. The majority of fragments from the funeral pyre exhibited transverse fracturing (55 fragments, 13.8%), with some evidence for longitudinal (11 fragments, 2.7%) and polygonal breakages (13 fragments, 3.2%), but exfoliated fracturing was not evident. This differentiation was significant by Mann-Whitney  $U$  test ( $p < 0.001$ ).

**Fig. 15. The number of fragments scored for fracture patterning from the shipping container and funeral pyre.**

## 7 Discussion

The use of field experiments in the burning of animal cadavers to investigate the macroscopic H-I modifications in burnt bone is a valuable scientific approach, as demonstrated by this study, and should be applied more widely in this field of research to



compliment laboratory research. From this pilot study, it is clear that the use of fire within a non-laboratory environment is challenging and warrants considerable effort and planning for all those involved. The methodological approach provided in this study not only describes the resources needed for conducting these types of experiments, including landowner's permission, collaboration with the local fire authorities, and health and safety considerations, but also the quantity and type of material needed to achieve a fires flash point and maintain combustion to ensure the decomposition of a carcass. It also highlights key issues that should be taken into consideration when planning such an experiment, including carcass storage to avoid scavenging, the use of seasoned wood, and the difficulties surrounding recording the temperature of the carcass itself.

Recently burnt bone is difficult to handle and transport back to a laboratory for analysis without causing further post-burning damage. The material from the funeral pyre experiment was left to cool for an hour before being sprayed with water. This action was taken to avoid leaving the material overnight and risk scavenging. However, it is possible that this increased the risk of bone fragmentation, considering the friable nature of the material. A small amount of bone was initially tested, to establish whether this would compromise structural integrity. Seemingly, no further breakage occurred. The material was left to dry before it was retrieved, however this is not to say that fragmentation did not take place during transport as a result. The quantification of H-I modifications can also be challenging. By assessing every fragment and using schematic scoring systems to document the H-I modifications, a large amount of data can be collected efficiently. These scoring systems are however subject to the perceptions of the examiner; it would have been useful to conduct an inter-observer study of the methods used in this paper to assess their reliability.

The value of this pilot study is the documentation of open-aired experimentation, and recognition of the many aspects that need to be considered for sufficient and thorough interpretation of the data. This is because the fires in this study were subject to multiple influencing factors, including varying weather conditions, availability, quality and quantity of fuel, the use of different sized and sexed carcass, and the simulation of accidental and deliberate fires. Future research would benefit from measuring these conditions in greater detail.

These two opposing experiments, a deliberate funeral pyre and an accidental shipping container fire demonstrate how different ways of managing both the body and the fire can significantly affect the H-I changes in bone. The burnt material from the funeral pyre demonstrates a predominately white colouration of uniformed burning. This has been

observed in other pyre experiments as well as modern crematoriums (McKinley 1993b; Schultz et al. 2015) and is most definitely a result of a consistent burn caused by the continual management of the fire, supplementation of the fuel load and a sufficient oxygen supply (David 1990). It is worth noting that other laboratory and field experiments have only achieved this level of calcination at temperatures in excess of 645°C (Shipman et al. 1984). The use of a non-contact digital LCD infrared thermometer that records temperature using an infrared beam directed at the pyre may not be the most accurate tool, despite being the safest. It would be useful for future research to find a way of measuring the temperature of the carcass and not just the fire. A small amount of soft tissue remained on the torso of the deer burnt on the funeral pyre. The torso is the last portion of the body to become skeletonised (Bohnert et al. 1998) and despite the continual management of the pyre and supplementation of the fuel, it was difficult to decompose.

The material recovered from the shipping container fire retained a lot of soft tissue, and demonstrated the full spectrum of colour alteration of inconsistent burning. These results have also been observed in both archaeological and modern fires (Bohnert et al. 1997; Noy 2000). It is in part due to different areas of the body consisting of greater proportions of soft tissue, and therefore requiring more extensive burning. Consequently, if burning conditions are inconsistent in relation to temperature, fuel and oxygen, and are not managed, complete thermal decomposition of the entire body will not be achieved (Schultz et al. 2015).

Despite both experiments producing similar quantities of material, the total weight differed, while the total average weight of individual fragments also varied significantly. This is due to the difference in the preservation of soft tissue between the two assemblages. Different types of fracture patterns were also observed in both assemblages. These results have also been observed in archaeological research (Thompson et al. 2016) and could simply be a reflection of the different ways in which these fires were managed. It would be interesting for future research to also examine the difference in fragmentation, as a means of exploring how the management of the body and manipulation of the fire can affect the breaking up of the material.

Both carcasses demonstrated joint flexure. It is unclear to what extent joint flexure is influenced by the condition of the animal when burnt, for instance time since death or extent of deposition. Even though the deer were culled three days before the experiment, they were kept in chest freezers in order to postpone decomposition, which may have enabled normal muscle contraction. It would be interesting for this aspect to be taken further with additional experimentation.

## 8 Conclusion

This paper has demonstrated the value of field experimentation and how it can complement the already extensive laboratory research available in this field. The documentation of open-air field experiments is necessary for enhancing our knowledge of burned human remains. Organising and conducting field experiments is difficult, where conditions cannot be easily controlled. However, it does provide insight into the many different stimuli that can influence both managed and accidental fires. The results of this study have confirmed that two different open-air experiments produce significantly different rates of H-I changes in skeletal remains, with regards to degree and uniformity of burning as well as fracture patterning, colour change and weight. This pilot study has also raised additional research questions concerning the preservation of a body and its effect on muscle contraction, as well as how to effectively record the temperature of a carcass during firing, all of which would benefit from the implementation of further field experimentation.

## 9 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Dr Gundula Müldner (Reading University) and Professor Rick Stafford (Bournemouth University) for advice on statistics and manuscript preparation. We are grateful to Terri Rees, Kevin Pickering and colleagues at West Moors Fire Training Centre, Dorset, for assisting with the simulated house fire. Thanks also to the Sturdy family and maintenance staff at Trigon Estate, Wareham Dorset for hosting and assisting with the experimental pyre. The burnt bone analysis was conducted by the first author in the School of Science and Technology at Bournemouth University.

## 10. References

- 1 Baby, R.S., 1954. *Hopewell cremation practices. Papers in Archaeology*. Ohio Historical
- 2 Society. Columbus, 1-7.
- 3
- 4 Bankoff, H.A., Winter, F.A., 1979. A house-burning in Serbia: What do burned remains tell
- 5 an archaeologist. *Archaeology*. 32, 5, 8-14.
- 6
- 7 Bennett, J.L., 1999. Thermal alteration of buried bone. *Journal of Archaeological Science*.
- 8 26, 1, 1-8.
- 9
- 10 Binford, L.R., 1963. An analysis of cremations from three Michigan sites. *Wisconsin*
- 11 *Archaeologist*. 44, 98-110.
- 12
- 13 Bohnert, M., Rost, T., Faller-Marquardt, M., Ropohl, D., Pollak, S., 1997. Fractures of the
- 14 base of the skull in charred bodies: Post-mortem heat injuries or signs of mechanical
- 15 traumatisation? *Forensic Science International*. 87, 55-62.

13 Bohnert, M., Rost, T., Pollack, S., 1998. The degree of destruction of human bodies in  
14 relation to the duration of fire. *Forensic Science International*. 95, 11-21.

15 Bond, J.M., Worley F.L., 2006. Companions in death: The roles of animals in Anglo-Saxon  
16 and Viking cremation rituals in Britain. In Gowland, R., Knüsel, C., (Eds). *Social archaeology*  
17 *of funerary remains*. Oxford, Oxbow, 89-98.

18 Brady, K., 2006. The prehistoric landscape at Tutt Hill, Westwell, Kent. CTRL Integrated Site  
19 Report Series. London and Continental Railways. London.

20 Brickley, M.B. (2007). A case of disposal of a body through burning and recent advances in  
21 the study of burned human remains. In Brickley, M., Ferllini, R., (Eds). *Forensic*  
22 *Anthropology: Case Studies from Europe*. Charles C Thomas. Springfield, 69-85.

23 Buikstra, J.E., Swegle, M., 1989. Bone modification due to burning: Experimental evidence.  
24 In Bonnichsen, R., Sorg, H., (Eds). *Bone modification*. Thompson-Shore Inc. Dexter, 247-  
25 258.

26 Cain, C.R., 2005. Using burned animal bone to look at middle Stone Age occupation and  
27 behaviour. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 32, 6, 873-884.

28 Chalmer, B.J., 1987. *Understanding statistics*. Marcel Dekker. New York.

29 Collier, P.C.R., 1996. Fire in a residential building: Comparisons between experimental data  
30 and a fire zone model. *Fire Technology*. 32, 3, 195-218.

31 Collini, F., Amadasi, A., Mazzucchi, A., Porta, D., Regazzola, V.L., Garofalo, P., Di Blasio, A.  
32 and Cattaneo, C., 2015. The erratic behavior of lesions in burnt bone. *Journal of Forensic*  
33 *Science*. 60, 5, 1290-1294.

34 David, B., 1990. How was this bone burnt? In Solomon, S., Davidson, I., Watson, D., (Eds).  
35 *Problem solving in taphonomy: Archaeological and palaeontological studies from Europe,*  
36 *Africa and Oceania, Tempus, Archaeology and material culture studies in Anthropology*. Vol  
37 2. University of Queensland. Queensland, 65-79.

38 Dehaan, J.D., 2015. Fire and Bodies. In Schmidt, C.W., (Eds). *The analysis of burned*  
39 *human remains*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Academic Press. Oxford, 1-16.

40 Delvin, J.B., Herrmann, N.P., 2015. Bone colour. In Schimdt, C.W., Symes, S.A., (Eds). *The*  
41 *analysis of burned human remains*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Academic Press. Oxford, 119-138.

42 Downes, J., 1999. Cremation: a Spectacle and a Journey. In Downes, J., and Pollard, T.,  
43 (Eds). *The Loved Body's Corruption. Archaeological Contributions to the Study of Human*  
44 *Mortality*. Cruithne. Glasgow, 19-29.

45 Dupras, T.L., Schultz, J.J., 2013. Taphonomic bone staining and colour change in forensic  
46 contexts. In Pokines, J.T., Symes, S.A., (Eds). *Manual of Forensic Taphonomy*. CRC Press.  
47 London, 315-340.

48 Ellingham, S.T.D., Thompson, T.J.U., Islam, M., 2015a. Estimating temperature exposure of  
49 burnt bone – A methodological review. *Science and Justice*. 55, 181-188.

50 Ellingham, S.T.D., Thompson, T.J.U., Islam, M., 2015b. Thermogravimetric analysis of  
51 property changes and weight loss in incinerated bone. *Palaeogeography,*  
52 *Palaeoeclimatology, Palaeoecology.* 438, 239-244.

53 Ellingham, S.T.D., Thompson, T.J.U., Islam, M., 2016. The effect of soft tissue on  
54 temperature estimation from burnt bone using fourier transform infrared spectroscopy.  
55 *Journal of Forensic Sciences.* 61, 1, 153-159.

56 Etxeberria, F., 1994. Aspectos macroscópicos del hueso sometido al fuego: revisión de las  
57 cremaciones descritas en el País Vasco desde la arqueología. *Munibe.* 46, 111-116.

58 Field, A., 2009. *Discovering statistics using SPSS.* 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. SAGE Publications Ltd. London.

59 Flamman, J.P., 2004. Two burnt-down houses examined. *EuroREA.* 1, 93-102.

60 Gonçalves, D. (2011) "The reliability of osteometric techniques for the sex  
61 determination of burned human skeletal remains" *Homo-Journal of Comparative Human*  
62 *Biology* 62, 351-358.

63 Gonçalves, D., Campanacho, V., Thompson, T., Mataloto, R., 2015a. The weight of the  
64 matter: Examining the potential of skeletal weight for the bioarchaeological analysis of  
65 cremation at the Iron Age necropolis of Tera (Portugal). In Thompson, T., (Ed). *The*  
66 *archaeology of cremation. Burned human remains in funerary studies.* Oxbow Books.  
67 Oxford, 63-96.

68 Gonçalves, D., Cunha, E., Thompson, T.J.U., 2015b. Estimation of the pre-burning condition  
69 of human remains in forensic contexts. *International Journal of Legal Medicine.* 129, 5, 1137-  
70 1143.

71 Gonçalves, D., Thompson, T.J.U., Cunha, E., 2011. Implications of heat-induced changes in  
72 bone on the interpretation of funerary behaviour and practice. *Journal of Archaeological*  
73 *Science.* 38, 1308-1313.

74 Gruchy, S., Rogers, T.L., 2002. Identifying chop marks on cremated bone: A preliminary  
75 study. *Journal of Forensic Science.* 47, 5, 1-4.

76 Grupe, G., Herrmann, B. 1983. Über das Schrumpfungsverhalten Experimentell Verbrannter  
77 Spongiöser Knochen am Beispiel des Caput Femoris. *Zeitschrift*  
78 *fürMorphologieAnthropologie.* 74, 2, 121–127.

79 Harvig, L., Kveiborg, J., Lynnerup, N., 2015. Death in flames: Human remains from a  
80 domestic house fire from early Iron Age, Denmark. *International Journal of*  
81 *Osteoarchaeology.* 25, 701-710.

82 Hausmann, R., Betz, P., 2002. Thermally induced entrance wound-like defect of the skull.  
83 *Forensic Science International.* 128, 3, 159-161.

84 Herrmann, B., 1976 Neuere Ergebnisse zur Beurteilung menschlicher Brandknochen.  
85 *Zeitschrift für. Rechtsmedizin.* 77, 191–200.

86 Herrmann, N.P. and Bennett, J.L., 1999. The differentiation of traumatic and heat-related  
87 fractures in burned bone. *Journal of Forensic Science*. 44, 3, 461-469.

88 Jonuks, T., Konsa, M., 2007. The revival of prehistoric burial practices: Three archaeological  
89 experiments. *Folklore*. 37, 91-100.

90 Marciniak, S.M., 2009. A preliminary assessment of the identification of saw marks on  
91 burned bone. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 54, 4, 779-785.

92 Marshall, A., 2011. Experimental Archaeology: 1. Early Bronze Age cremation pyres 2. Iron  
93 Age Grain Storage. BAR British Series 530. Archaeopress. Oxford.

94 Mayne Correia, P.M., 1997. Fire modification: A review of the literature. In Haglund, W.D.,  
95 Sorg, M.H., (Eds). *Forensic taphonomy: The post-mortem fate of human remains*. CRC  
96 Press. New York. 275-293.

97 McKinley, J.I., 1993a. Bone fragment size and weight of bone from modern British  
98 cremations and the implications for the interpretation of archaeological cremations.  
99 *International Journal of Osteoarchaeology*. 3, 4, 283-287.

100 McKinley, J.I., 1993b. Cremated bone. In Timby, J., (Ed). Sancton I Anglo-Saxon cemetery  
101 excavations carried out between 1976-1980. *The Archaeological Journal*. 150, 243-365.

102 McKinley, J.I., 1997. Bronze Age barrows and funerary rites and rituals of cremation.  
103 *Proceedings of the Prehistoric Society*. 63, 129-145.

104 McKinley, J.I., 2004. *The Anglo-Saxon cemetery at Sponge Hill, North Elsham Part VIII: The*  
105 *cremations*. East Anglian Archaeology. EAA 69. Norfolk Museum Services. Norfolk.

106 McKinley, J.I., 2008. In the heat of the pyre: Efficiency of oxidation in Roman-British  
107 cremations, did it really matter? In Schmidt, C., Symes, S.A., (Eds). *The analysis of burned*  
108 *human remains*. Academic Press. London. 163-184.

109 McKinley, J.I., 2015. Forward. In Thompson, T., (Ed). *The archaeology of cremation. Burned*  
110 *human remains in funerary studies*. Oxbow Books. Oxford. Vii-Xi.

111 Nelson, R., 1992. A microscopic comparison of fresh and burned bone. *Journal of Forensic*  
112 *Science*. 37, 1055–1060.

113 Noy, D., 2000. Half-burnt on an emergency Pyre: Roman cremations which went wrong.  
114 *Greece & Rome*. 47, 2, 186-196.

115 Passalacqua, N.V., Fenton, T.W., 2012. Developments in skeletal trauma: Blunt-force  
116 trauma. In Dirkmaat, D., (Ed). *A companion to forensic anthropology*. Blackwell Publishing.  
117 Oxford, 400-412.

118 Piga, G., Thompson, T., Malgosa, A., Enzo, S., 2015. The potential of X-Ray diffraction in  
119 the analysis of burned remains from forensic contexts. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*. 54,  
120 534-539.

121 Piga, G., Gonçalves, D., Thompson, T. J. U., Brunetti, A., Enzo, S., Malgosa, A. 2016. The  
122 advantage of combining ATR-IR and XRD analyses of burned skeletal remains for the

123 estimation of maximum temperature. *International Journal of Spectroscopy*. DOI:  
124 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2016/481014>.

125 Pope, E.J., Smith, O.C., 2004. Identification of traumatic injury in burned cranial bone: An  
126 experimental approach. *Journal of Forensic Science*. 49, 3, 431-440.

127 Poppa, P., Porta, D., Gibelli, D., Mazzucchi, A., Brandone, A., Grandi, M., Cattaneo, C.,  
128 2011. Detection of blunt, sharp force and gunshot lesions on burnt remains: a cautionary  
129 note. *The American Journal of Forensic Medicine and Pathology*. 32, 3, 275-279.

130 Rasmussen, M., Grønnow, B., 2004. The historical-archaeological experimental centre at  
131 Lejre, Denmark: 30 years of experimenting with the past. In Stone, P.G., Planel, P.G., (Eds).  
132 *The Constructed Past. Experimental archaeology, education and the public*. Routledge.  
133 London, 136.

134 Reidsma, F.H., Hoesel, A.v., Os, B.J.H.v, Megens, L., Braadbaart, F. 2016. Charred bone:  
135 Physical and chemical changes during laboratory simulated heating under reducing  
136 conditions and its relevance for the study of fire use in archaeology. *Journal of*  
137 *Archaeological Science*, 10, 282-292.

138 Ritchie, K., 2006. The prehistoric settlement at Little Stock Farm, Mersham, Kent. CTRL  
139 Intergrated Site Report Series. London and Continental Railways. London.

140 Salter, J. (2008) "Male model murdered in a case of mistaken identity". *The Telegraph* (8<sup>th</sup>  
141 August).

142 Schultz, J.J., Warren, M.W., Krigbaum, J.S., 2015. Analysis of human cremains. In Schmidt,  
143 C.W., Symes, S.A., (Eds). *The analysis of burned human remains*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Academic Press.  
144 Oxford, 83-103.

145 Shipman, P., Foster, G., Schoeninger, M., 1984. Burnt bones and teeth: An experimental  
146 study of colour, morphology, crystal structure and shrinkage. *Journal of Archaeological*  
147 *Science*. 11, 4, 307-325.

148 Sigvallius, B., 1994. *Funeral pyres. Iron Age cremations in North Spånga*. Stockholm  
149 University. Stockholm.

150 Silva, F.C., 2015. The funerary practice of cremation at Augusta Emerita (Mérida, Spain)  
151 during high empire: Contributions from the anthropological analysis of burned human bone.  
152 In Schmidt, C.W., Symes, S.A., (Eds). *The analysis of burned human remains*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed.  
153 Academic Press. Oxford, 124-150.

154 Snoeck, C., Schulting, R.J., Lee-thorp, J.A., Lebon, M., Zazzo, A., 2016. Impact of heating  
155 conditions on the carbon and oxygen isotope composition of calcined bone. *Journal of*  
156 *Archaeological Science*. 65, 32-43.

157 Spennemann, D.H.R., Colley, S.M., 1989. Fire in a Pit: The effects of burning on faunal  
158 remains. *Archaeozoologia*. 3, 51-64.

159 Squires, K.E. T.J.U. Thompson, M. Islam, A. Chamberlain. 2011. The application of  
160 histomorphometry and Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy to the analysis of early  
161 Anglo-Saxon burned bone. *Journal of Archaeological Science*. 38, 2399–2409.

162 Stiner, M.C., Kuhn, S.L., Weiner, S., Bar-Yosef, O., 1995. Differential burning,  
163 recrystallization, and fragmentation of archaeological bone. *Journal of Archaeological*  
164 *Science*. 22, 223-237.

165 Strube, M.J., 2000. Reliability and generalization theory. In Grimm, L.G., Yarnold, P.R.,  
166 (Eds). *Reading and understanding more multivariate statistics*. American Psychological  
167 Association. Washington, 23-66.

168 Symes, S., L'Abbé, E.N., Chapman, E.N., Wolff, I., Dirkmaat, D.C., 2012. Interpreting  
169 traumatic injury to bone in medicolegal investigations. In Dirkmaat, D.C., (Ed). *A Companion*  
170 *to Forensic Anthropology*. Wiley. Chichester, 340-389.

171 Symes, S.A., Rainwater, C.W., Chapman, E.N., Gipson, D.R., Piper, A.L., 2015. Patterned  
172 thermal destruction in a forensic setting. In Schmidt, C.W., Symes, S.A., (Eds). *The analysis*  
173 *of burned human remains*. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed). Academic Press. Oxford, 17-60.

174 Thompson, T.J.U., 2004. Recent advances in the study of burnt bone and their implications  
175 for forensic anthropology. *Forensic Science International*. 146, 203-205.

176 Thompson, T.J.U., 2005. Heat-induced dimensional changes in bone and their  
177 consequences for forensic anthropology. *Journal of Forensic Science*. 50, 1–8.

178 Thompson, T.J.U., Gauthier, M., Islam, M. 2009. The application of a new method of fourier  
179 transform infrared spectroscopy to the analysis of burnt bone. *Journal of Archaeological*  
180 *Science*, 36, 910-914.

181 Thompson, T.J.U., Islam, M., Bonniere, M., 2013. A new statistical approach for determining  
182 the crystallinity of heat-altered bone mineral from FTIR Spectra. *Journal of Archaeological*  
183 *Science*. 40, 416-422.

184 Thompson, T. J. U., Szigeti, J., Gowland, R. L., Witcher, R. E., 2016. Death on the frontier:  
185 military cremation practices in the north of Roman Britain. *Journal of Archaeological Science*.  
186 10, 828-836.

187 Thurman, M.D., Willmore, L.J., 1982. A replicative cremation experiment. *North American*  
188 *Archaeologist*. 2, 4, 275-283.

189 Tipper, J., 2012. Experimental archaeology and fire: The investigation of a burnt  
190 reconstruction at West-Stow Anglo-Saxon village. East Anglian Archaeology 146.  
191 Archaeological Services. Suffolk County Council. Suffolk.

192 Ubelaker, D.H., 2009. The forensic evaluation of burned skeletal remains: A synthesis.  
193 *Forensic Science International*. 183, 1-5.



- 194 Ullinger, J., Sheridan, S.G., 2015. Bone colour changes in burned burial structure from early  
195 Bronze Age Baba dh-Dhra, Jordan. In Schimdt, C.W., Symes, S.A., (Eds). *The analysis of*  
196 *burned human remains*. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Academic Press. Oxford, 403-413.
- 197 Vasserol, A.R., Cunha, E., Batista de Carvalho, L.A.E., Gonçalvesm D., 2016. Rather yield  
198 than break: Assessing the influence of human bone collagen content on heat-induced  
199 warping through vibrational spectroscopy. *International journal of Legal Medicine*. 130, 6,  
200 1647-1656.
- 201 Wahl, J., 2008. Investigation on pre-Roman and Roman cremation remains from south  
202 western Germany: Results, potentialities and limits. In Schmidt, C., Symes, S.A., (Eds).  
203 *The analysis of burned human remains*. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. Academic Press. London,145-162.
- 204 Whyte, T., 2001. Distinguishing remains of human cremations from burned animal bones.  
205 *Journal of Field Archaeology*. 28, 437-448.
- 206 **Acts of Parliament:**
- 207 Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986.