

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Modelling ultrasonic laboratory measurements of the saturation dependence of elastic modulus: new insights and implications for wave propagation mechanisms

Citation for published version:

Amalokwu, K, Papageorgiou, G, Chapman, M & Best, AI 2017, 'Modelling ultrasonic laboratory measurements of the saturation dependence of elastic modulus: new insights and implications for wave propagation mechanisms' International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, vol. 59, pp. 148–159. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijggc.2017.02.009

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1016/j.ijggc.2017.02.009

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Peer reviewed version

Published In: International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control

Publisher Rights Statement:

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Modelling ultrasonic laboratory measurements of the saturation dependence of elastic modulus: new insights and implications for wave propagation mechanisms.

Kelvin Amalokwu^{1,2,*}, Giorgos Papageorgiou³, Mark Chapman³ and Angus I. Best¹

¹National Oceanography Centre, University of Southampton Waterfront Campus, European Way, Southampton, SO14 3ZH, United Kingdom.

²National Oceanography Centre Southampton, University of Southampton, European Way, Southampton, SO14 3ZH, United Kingdom.

³University of Edinburgh School of Geosciences Grant Institute, the King's Building West Mains Road Edinburgh, United Kingdom.

*Now at the University of Texas at Austin, Jackson School of Geosciences, Austin, Texas, USA.

1. Abstract

Seismic time-lapse techniques are a valuable tool used to estimate the mobilization and distribution of stored CO₂ in depleted reservoirs. The success of these techniques depends on knowing the seismic properties of partially saturated rocks with accuracy. It is commonplace to use controlled laboratory-scale experiments to determine how the fluid content impacts on their properties. In this work, we measure the ultrasonic P- and S-wave velocities of a set of synthetic sandstones of about 30% porosity. Using an accurate method, we span the entire saturation range of an air-water system. We show that the rocks' elastic behaviour is consistent with patchy saturation and squirt flow models but observe a discontinuity at around 90% gas saturation which can be interpreted in two very different ways. In one interpretation, the responsible mechanism is frequency-dependent squirt-flow that occurs in narrow pores that are preferentially saturated. An equally plausible mechanism is the change of the mobile fluid in the pores once they are wetted. Extrapolated to seismic frequencies, our results imply that the seismic properties of rocks may be affected by the wetting effect with an impact on the interpretation of field data but would potentially be unaffected by the squirt flow effect. This provides strong motivation to conduct laboratory-scale experiments with partially saturated samples at lower frequency or, ideally, a range of frequencies in the seismo-acoustic range.

2. Introduction

Effective remote seismic monitoring of geological CO_2 storage reservoirs for carbon capture and storage (CCS) projects depends on a thorough understanding of the physics associated with wave propagation in rocks saturated with multiple fluids. Model-based approaches help ensure the injected CO_2 is accurately interpreted as being trapped within the reservoir, and could also help optimise injection locations, rates and therefore storage. It has been noted that CO_2 distribution in the pore space plays an important role in the monitoring process (Eid et al., 2015) and more generally, the contrast between the acoustic properties and densities of oil, brine and CO_2 is exploited in monitoring applications of seismic data (Arts et al., 2004; Chadwick et al., 2010; Ghosh et al., 2015; Toms et al., 2007). However, flow in porous media is controlled by wettability and pore-scale capillary pressure effects (Krevor et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016) but these concepts are often neglected in most wave propagation theories used in interpretation of CO_2 reservoir time-lapse data.

Models based on physical properties that can relate seismic attributes to CO₂ saturation are valuable because they provide a generally applicable framework rather than having to resort to empirical relations. This creates a need for experimental data that can be used to calibrate/validate these models. In general, seismic wave velocity and attenuation are properties that are known to be sensitive to fluid in the pores and this fact has been used to determine and quantify the fluid content in reservoirs (Domenico, 1976; Murphy, 1982; Murphy, 1984; Winkler and Nur, 1982; Winkler and Murphy, 1995). Furthermore, fluid-saturated rocks exhibit frequency-dependent behaviour due to wave-induced fluid flow (Biot, 1956; Chapman et al., 2002; Guéguen and Sarout, 2011; Murphy, 1982; White, 1975). The dispersion arises from unrelaxed wave-induced fluid pressures and is accompanied by seismic attenuation.

Measurements of elastic properties of rocks span a wide range of frequencies, as such; extrapolation of results from one frequency range to another requires an understanding of the mechanisms responsible (Paffenholz and Burkhardt, 1989). Also, the associated frequency-dependence could further be exploited for better saturation estimation either through direct analysis of frequency-dependent effects (Castagna et al., 2003; Wu et al., 2014), or reconciling laboratory measurements to calibrate theoretical models for seismic data interpretation (Gist, 1994; Lei and Xue, 2009). Different physical mechanisms with different characteristic frequencies have been proposed to account for this but there is still no general consensus as to which mechanisms dominate (Müller et al., 2010; Murphy et al., 1986; Sarout, 2012). Controlled laboratory-scale experiments are helpful in understanding the mechanisms associated with multiphase saturation effects on seismic properties and can serve as key calibration tools for the theoretical models (Lei and Xue, 2009; Nakagawa et al., 2013).

Laboratory-scale experimental results on CO₂ saturation effects on seismic properties have been interpreted generally using the idea of patchy saturation with a non-Reuss [e.g. Hill (1963), Brie et al. (1995)] averaged fluid moduli in Gassmann's equations (e.g., Lebedev et al., 2013; Shi et al., 2007) or White's model (e.g., Lei and Xue, 2009; Nakagawa et al., 2013). This is also the case with many partial-gas saturation laboratory experiments in the literature. These models (averaged fluid modulus in Gassmann's equation and White's model) do not always give a good fit to the data, with the moduli usually underestimated. This discrepancy is usually attributed to additional wave-induced fluid related mechanisms not accounted for in these models (e.g., Amalokwu et al., 2016; Carcione et al., 2003; Falcon-Suarez et al., 2016; Nakagawa et al., 2013). It has long been recognised and/or suggested that other mechanisms might be at play and that multiple mechanisms might be required to obtain a better fit between laboratory-scale measurements and theoretical modelling (e.g., Gist, 1994; Wulff and Burkhardt, 1997). However, the data from CO₂ experiments does not lend itself well to understanding the saturation-related mechanisms because important saturation points/intervals are missing due to experimental limitations, as many laboratory CO₂ experiments only cover ranges between 0-60 % CO₂ saturation. The modelling is then done based on these data points, missing the effects of saturation at higher values of the gaseous phase (or CO₂) saturation even though there is evidence of multiphase saturation effects at these saturations (Goertz and Knight, 1998; Mavko and Nolen-Hoeksema, 1994; Wulff and Burkhardt, 1997). Also, the uncertainty in accurately determining the saturation state in CO₂ experiments complicates the interpretation of the experimental data as saturation has to be estimated from CT scans (e.g., Nakagawa et al., 2013) or resistivity tomography (e.g., Falcon-Suarez et al., 2016). Since in terms of the physics, the wave-induced fluid flow mechanisms in less difficult multiphase experiments are the same as in the case of CO₂/brine (neglecting chemical and wettability effects), a good compromise is to investigate these mechanisms using experiments done with air and water which have an easier control on saturation than those using CO₂-brine fluid systems.

A limited number of works have attempted to quantify these effects in order to adequately model the entire saturation dependence using theoretical models (Gist, 1994; Wulff and Burkhardt, 1997), and some have taken a more qualitative approach (e.g., Goertz and Knight, 1998; Mavko and Nolen-Hoeksema, 1994). However, these are very limited and mostly have similar interpretations of the mechanisms, namely, stiffening due to preferential stiffening of the cracks, or due to patchy saturation. Gist (1994) concluded that in order to model satisfactorily the data of Gregory (1976), both the gas-patch model of White (1975) and the squirt flow mechanism need to be considered. However, the heuristic model presented by Gist (1994) accounted for the bulk modulus dispersion due to local flow by assuming shear modulus dispersion was the same as bulk modulus dispersion, an assumption that is not necessarily valid (see Chapman et al., 2002). Aside from the fact that there is limited adequate theoretical

interpretation of multiphase laboratory data, the theoretical mechanisms that have been proposed disappear at low frequencies used in seismic field surveys.

Here, we model the effects of saturation from dry to full water saturation by combining different mechanisms. The results show that the interpretation is not unique as different combinations of mechanisms can attain a fit to the experimental data. A major finding of this study is that not all the mechanisms disappear at lower frequencies and this could have important implications for fluid substitution in practice.

3. Methods

Sample Description and Experimental setup

The samples used in this study were synthetic silica cemented clean sandstones with a mineral composition of almost entirely quartz grains (Tillotson et al., 2014; Tillotson et al., 2012). These experiments were originally designed to study the effects of water saturation on fracture-induced anisotropy. The samples were made from a mixture of sand, kaolinite, and aqueous sodium silicate gel, using a process well documented by Tillotson et al. (2012). Results from three samples are presented in this study. There are two cylindrical samples of 5 cm diameter and approximately 2 cm thickness, one blank (non-fractured), and the other containing pennyshaped fractures aligned at 90° to the fracture normal (Figure 1). The fractures in the 90° fractured sample are not expected to affect the results as we expect the response from the crack parallel direction to show no effects due to the fractures except for an increase in rock porosity (e.g. Chapman, 2003; Thomsen, 1995). The third sample used in this study was an octagonal-shaped prism with flat sides of approximately 25 mm made using the same method as the cylindrical samples (see Tillotson et al., 2014).



Figure 1. Octagonal, blank and 90° fractured rock samples respectively (from left to right).

Firstly, the rocks were oven-dried at about 40 °C for about 48 hours, and then placed under vacuum until a pressure 10⁻⁴ Pa was achieved, which ensured the rocks were completely dry. Measurements were taken for this vacuum-dried condition. Partial water saturation was then achieved using the method described by Amalokwu et al. (2014), which is summarised here. The rocks were placed in an atmosphere of known relatively humidity (RH) for about two weeks for the cylindrical samples and about 4 week for the octagonal sample, until they had reached equilibrium, which is determined by mass stabilisation (Amalokwu et al., 2014; Amalokwu et al., 2015a). This method is known to give a more homogeneous distribution of water saturation compared to other methods such as drainage and imbibition, and has been used in other studies (e.g. King et al., 2000; Papamichos et al., 1997; Schmitt et al., 1994).

Controlled relative humidity (RH) was achieved using aqueous saturated salt solutions. Greenspan (1977) gave a range of salt solutions that would maintain a given RH at a particular temperature. The salts used and their approximate RH values (at 20°C laboratory temperature) were Magnesium Nitrate (54%), Ammonium Sulphate (82%), Sodium Carbonate Decahydrate (92%), and Potassium Sulphate (98%) respectively, giving four different S_w values. The maximum water saturation achieved using this method was about 0.4 for the all three rock samples (Amalokwu et al., 2014; Amalokwu et al., 2015a). The rocks were then dried and fully saturated with water using the methods described above. In order to achieve higher water saturation values, a 'modified' drainage method was used which involved wrapping the samples in plastic ("cling") film after each drainage process. The wrapped samples were then placed in a desiccator containing the 98% RH solution, sealed (not vacuum sealed) and left for a minimum of 48 hours. The plastic film (and also the high RH atmosphere) prevents further air/water drainage, thus allowing capillary re-distribution over the length of time left to equilibrate (\geq 48 hours). This was done to minimise effects of heterogeneous saturation distribution caused by drainage (Cadoret et al., 1995; Knight et al., 1998).

To achieve full water saturation, the samples were oven-dried again at about 40 °C for about 48 hours, and then placed under vacuum until a pressure 10⁻⁴ Pa was achieved. The samples were then saturated with distilled, deionised and de-aired water under vacuum, and then pressurised to 7 MPa for at least 24 hours until the pressure equilibrates for several hours, ensuring full water saturation (see McCann and Sothcott, 1992).

Velocity and attenuation for the cylindrical samples (blank and 90 degree fractured) were measured using the pulse-reflection method (see Best et al., 2007; McCann and Sothcott, 1992). The velocity for the octagonal sample was measured using a bench-top pulse transmission system (see Amalokwu et al., 2015a; Tillotson et al., 2014).

4. Experimental results

P-wave velocities (V_p), S-wave velocities and P-wave attenuation (Q_p⁻¹) were measured for the cylindrical samples (blank and 90° degree fractured samples), while only P-wave velocity was measured for the octagonal sample. We present results for the cylindrical samples at an effective pressure of 40 MPa and a single frequency of 650 kHz obtained from Fourier analysis of broadband signals, to accuracies of $\pm 0.3\%$ for the velocities and ± 0.2 dB/cm for the

attenuation coefficient (see McCann and Sothcott, 1992). Velocity results for the octagonal sample are presented at a single frequency of 500 kHz, to an accuracy of $\pm 0.6\%$ (see Tillotson et al., 2014).

Velocities

Elastic wave velocities were measured as a function of water saturation (S_w) in all three rock samples.

In the blank sample (Figure 2a), V_p remains roughly constant between $S_w = 0$ and $S_w \approx 0.03$, followed by a sharp increase at $S_w \approx 0.1$, decreasing slightly at $S_w \approx 0.2$. There is a more significant decrease in V_p at $S_w \approx 0.4$, followed by a sharp increase at $S_w \approx 0.5$ and then gradual increments between $S_w \approx 0.7$ and $S_w = 1.0$, with a maximum velocity at $S_w = 1.0$. In the 90° fractured sample, we see a similar behaviour to the blank sample. We see a sharp increase in V_p (Figure 2a) at $S_w \approx 0.13$, followed by subsequent declines at $S_w \approx 0.3$ and $S_w \approx 0.4$, followed by a sharp increase at $S_w \approx 0.4$, followed by a sharp increase at $S_w \approx 0.4$, followed by subsequent declines at $S_w \approx 0.3$ and $S_w \approx 0.4$, followed by a sharp increase at $S_w \approx 0.6$, stays fairly constant between $S_w \approx 0.7$ and $S_w \approx 0.9$, then decreases slightly at $S_w = 1.0$.



Figure 2. V_p versus S_w for (a) the blank and 90° fractured rocks and (b) for the octagonal sample. Figure 2b after Amalokwu et al. (2015a).

In the octagonal sample (Figure 2b), we only use measurements in the plane-parallel direction as the rock is anisotropic due to layering, however, similar trends are observed for the other directions relative to the layering (see Amalokwu et al., 2015a). The general P-wave velocity versus S_w trend is similar to that observed in the cylindrical samples which is an increase in Pwave velocity with increasing S_w apart from a decrease at S_w \approx 0.40, the highest velocity occurring at S_w = 1.0.

These results are in general agreement with published ultrasonic data (e.g., Gregory, 1976; Marion and Jizba, 1992; Murphy, 1984).

Moduli

In order to remove the ambiguity due to the bulk density effect of fluid saturation and to better understand the effect of water saturation on the stiffness of the rocks, we have computed the bulk modulus for both cylindrical samples as we only measured shear wave velocities for these samples (Amalokwu et al., 2015b), and the P-wave modulus for the octagonal sample.



Figure 3. (a) Bulk modulus versus S_w for the blank and 90° fractured rocks. (b) P-wave modulus versus S_w for the octagonal sample (after Amalokwu et al., 2015a).

The moduli in all three rocks show similar behaviour (Figure 3). The moduli stay fairly constant between $S_w = 0$ and $S_w \approx 0.03$, with an increase at $S_w \approx 0.1$, 0.13 and 0.15 for the blank, 90°

fractured and octagonal samples, respectively. The moduli then stay fairly constant until $S_w \approx$ 0.4 in all three samples, after which there is a steady increase until full water saturation, except in the 90° fractured sample where there appears to be a slight drop in modulus.

Attenuation

We only present the P-wave attenuation (Q_p^{-1}) values for the cylindrical samples as these are the only results we attempt to model. We did not measure attenuation in the octagonal samples.

In the blank sample (Figure 4a), between S_w of 0 - 0.8, Q_p^{-1} increased steadily as water saturation increased, reaching a maximum at $S_w \approx 0.4$, after which Q_p^{-1} decreased as S_w increased, reaching a minimum at $S_w = 1$. These results are broadly in agreement with previously published experimental observations for non-fractured rocks (Murphy, 1982; Winkler and Nur, 1982).



Figure 4. Q_p^{-1} versus S_w for (a) the blank rock (b) 90° fractured rock (after Amalokwu et al. 2014).

In the 90° fractured sample (Figure 4b) the trend is similar to that seen in the blank sample. Q_p^{-1} increases steadily between $S_w = 0$ to a maximum at $S_w \approx 0.4$, then begins to decrease steadily as S_w increases, falling to a minimum at $S_w = 1$.

5. Theory

Inspection of the data reveals that the partially saturated bulk modulus behaves differently to the Gassmann-Wood prediction. We propose to use two sets of different mechanisms to explain the bulk behaviour at different saturation stages in a similar spirit to, for example, Mavko and Nolen-Hoeksema (1994) and Wulff and Burkhardt (1997).

As a basis for the modelling we use the well-established models of Gassmann (1951) and White (1975). However, we find neither of these models can explain the rock stiffening at full saturation nor the discontinuity in the bulk modulus observed at $S_w \approx 0.1$ previously noted in Mavko and Nolen-Hoeksema (1994) and Wulff and Burkhardt (1997), and has also been described in Johnson et al. (1971). Here we attribute the stiffening at full saturation to the squirt flow mechanism and use the model of Papageorgiou and Chapman (2015) to model it.

The discontinuity can equally well be described by an un-even saturation of the pore space as shown in Papageorgiou and Chapman (2015) or by a discontinuous pressure change in the pore space constituting a new modelling approach.

Discontinuous pressure change (a wet-Gassmann model)

It has been noted in the literature (Carcione et al., 2004; Santos et al., 1990) that the existence of capillary pressure affects the elasticity of a partially saturated matrix. If we assume a waterwet porous medium, we can describe the effective fluid saturating the pores with an effective fluid pressure given by the volumetric average:

$$\Delta P = S_w \,\Delta P_w + (1 - S_w) \,\Delta P_{nw},\tag{1}$$

where S_w is the wetting fluid saturation and ΔP_w , ΔP_{nw} are the variations of the wetting and non-wetting fluid pressures respectively. The fluid volume of the effective fluid is the sum of

the wetting and non-wetting fluid volumes so the effective fluid modulus *K* can be calculated as:

$$\frac{\Delta P}{K} = S_w \frac{\Delta P_w}{K_w} + (1 - S_w) \frac{\Delta P_{nw}}{K_{nw}}.$$
(2)

This expression leads to Wood's law if $\Delta P_w = \Delta P_{nw}$ but if the pressure variations of the wetting and non-wetting phases are related via a capillary equation, which we can write with the aid of a dimensionless parameter q,

$$\Delta P_{nw} = q \, \frac{\kappa_{nw}}{\kappa_w} \, \Delta P_w, \tag{3}$$

so that changes in capillary pressure C are given by

$$\Delta C = \Delta P_{nw} - \Delta P_w = (q \frac{K_{nw}}{K_w} - 1) \Delta P_w, \qquad (4)$$

where $1 < q < \frac{K_w}{K_{nw}}$.

In Papageorgiou et al. (2016), the coefficient q was found to affect the fluid modulus K in a way that resembles the empirical Brie et al. (1995) model. Note that the effective fluid can have a discontinuous rather than uniform change in its pressure.

In this effective medium theory the elastic behaviour of the rock is different if the rock is in wetted or unwetted states. Since we assume an effective fluid in the pore space, a discontinuous effective fluid pressure is needed to account for the transition between the two states. For low water saturation, the effective fluid pressure is that of the non-wetting fluid pressure. This holds up to some critical saturation S_0 where the pore space becomes wetted. For saturations greater than S_0 the wetting fluid is the mobile fluid and the effective fluid pressure sharply changes to that of the wetting fluid. This amounts to describing this effective pressure as

$$\Delta P = \begin{cases} \Delta P_{nw}, & if \quad S_w < S_0\\ \Delta P_w, & if \quad S_w \ge S_0 \end{cases}$$
(5)

Because of this discontinuous change, the capillary equation (3) yields a discontinuous effective fluid modulus if combined with equation (2). The discontinuity occurs when $q < \frac{K_w}{K_{nw}}$ at S_0 and the fluid modulus is, explicitly:

$$K = \begin{cases} \frac{q K_{nw}}{S_w + q (1 - S_w)}, & S_w < S_0 \\ \frac{K_w}{S_w + q (1 - S_w)}, & S_w \ge S_0 \end{cases}$$
(6)

This effective fluid modulus can be used in Gassmann's formula instead of the Wood average to obtain a "wet-Gassmann" equation where the wetting effect occurs at a critical saturation S_0 .

Squirt model

In an alternative approach, the partially saturated bulk modulus has a discontinuity at a critical saturation S_0 due to an uneven distribution of the wetting fluid in the pore space. In this picture, the rock is modelled as an idealised pore network consisting of spherical pores and ellipsoidal microcracks. The discontinuity occurs because the compliant inclusions (microcracks) become saturated before the spherical ones (pores). To rigorously implement this, we revisit some of the results in Papageorgiou and Chapman (2015) where two different fluid moduli are used for each type of inclusion. The fluid modulus here labelled K_{-} corresponds to microcracks

$$\frac{1}{K_{-}} = \frac{S_{w}^{c}}{K_{w}} + \frac{1 - S_{w}^{c}}{K_{nw}}$$
(7)

and the one labelled K_+ to pores

$$\frac{1}{K_{+}} = \frac{S_{w}^{p}}{K_{w}} + \frac{1 - S_{w}^{p}}{K_{nw}}$$
(8)

The two moduli are Wood's averages but in this approach different saturation fractions S_w^p , S_w^c are used for pores and microcracks respectively. These are related to the observed overall saturation S_w of the sample by means of the definition of the crack fraction c_f (see Endres and Knight, 1997) representing the volume ratio of the microcracks to the total porosity. Volume is conserved if

$$S_w = c_f S_w^c + (1 - c_f) S_w^p.$$
(9)

Note that if $S_w^p = S_w^c = S_w$ this reduces to the model of Chapman (2002) with a Wood's averaged fluid modulus. On the other extreme, assuming that the microcracks become fully saturated when a critical saturation S_o is reached introduces a discontinuity. This amounts to taking the microcrack and pore saturations as a function of the total saturation as follows

$$S_{w}^{p} = \begin{cases} S_{w} \frac{1 - c_{f}/S_{0}}{1 - c_{f}}, & S_{w} < S_{0} \\ \frac{S_{w} - c_{f}}{1 - c_{f}}, & S_{w} \ge S_{0} \end{cases}$$
(10)

$$S_{w}^{c} = \begin{cases} S_{w}/S_{0}, & S_{w} < S_{0} \\ 1, & S_{w} \ge S_{0} \end{cases}$$
(11)

The dispersive bulk modulus of Papageorgiou and Chapman (2015) is used to model the behaviour of the rock at intermediate saturations. The critical saturation together with an arbitrary scaling a of the characteristic time-scale

$$\tau = a \tau_0$$

are the two fitting parameters while the rest of the parameters unique to this model are calibrated to match the rock behaviour at 0% and 100% saturation.

6. Modelling approach and results

As we noted, inspection of the data reveals that the partially saturated rock behaves differently to what is predicted by the Gassmann-Wood model. There is evidence for patchy dispersion from the shape of the attenuation and bulk modulus curves so we use the model of White (1975) to model this effect. On the other hand, the water saturated rock in our measurements appear stiffer than Gassmann's prediction (Figure 5a) due to dispersion from squirt flow, and to model this we use Chapman's (2002) model in the formulation of Papageorgiou and Chapman (2015).

But as far as the discontinuous jump is concerned, both the wet-Gassmann and the squirt models provide reasonable explanations of the mechanisms involved. We compare them by adopting the following two modelling strategies:

- In 'case 1' the discontinuity in the bulk modulus is attributed to an uneven saturation between microcracks and pores in what we labelled 'squirt model'. The dispersion due to patchy saturation is accounted for by White (1975).
- In 'case 2' the wet-Gassmann effect is responsible for the discontinuity. The 'squirt model' is then used with homogeneous saturation and is the mechanism responsible for the stiffening at full saturation. Dispersion due to patches is accounted for by White (1975).

In both cases, the squirt model and White's model are the common denominators but the fitting parameters of all models comprising each case (time-scale of squirt flow, patch size etc) need to be fitted since their relative strengths are expected to be different in each case.

In terms of fitting to the data we will only describe in detail the process for the blank sample but the results for rest of the samples follow accordingly. The modelling parameters are given in Tables 1 and 2, where Table 2 gives the parameters used to achieve the fitting. For both cases, we take the model fitting parameters that give the best fit at each step and then use those as the starting point in a nonlinear damped least-squares optimisation to obtain the best fitting parameters (Table 2) for the complete saturation modelling plots. It should be pointed out that the starting parameters are similar to the optimised parameters.

In both Case 1 and Case 2, we only fit the model to the measured saturation-dependent bulk modulus, and then the predicted attenuation from the model is compared to the measured attenuation. In essence, we do not fit the attenuation data.

Rock/Fluid properties	Blank	90	Octagonal	
Grain bulk modulus	38 GPa	38 GPa	38 GPa	
Grain shear modulus	44 Gpa	44 Gpa	44 Gpa	
Grain Density	2590	2590	2590	
Porosity	30.40%	31.70%	30.00%	
Permeability	40.7 mD	18.1 mD	21 mD	
Gas (air) bulk modulus	0.0001GPa			
Gas (air) density	1.2 Kg/m3			
Water bulk modulus	2.25 Gpa			
Water density	1000 Kg/m ³			

Table 1. Material properties of the rocks and fluid.

Case 1

The first case we will explore is conceptually similar to interpretations presented in the literature where the pore space of the rock is considered to consist of thin cracks and more equant pores, which saturate preferentially (e.g., Endres and Knight, 1991; Mavko and Nolen-Hoeksema, 1994; Walsh, 1995). We consider two different mechanisms to explain the saturation dependence of the elastic properties - squirt effects due to the presence of microcracks and patchy effects due to mesoscopic saturation heterogeneities. The squirt effect dominates at lower saturations and essentially leads to a stiffening of the frame (at frequencies higher than the critical squirt-flow frequency) depending on whether there is enough time for wave-induced fluid flow equilibration (frequency-dependence).

To capture the squirt effect, we use the model of Papageorgiou and Chapman (2015) while the model of White (1975) is used to account for the mesoscopic fluid effect. When the measured moduli for the blank sample are compared to Gassmann's predictions (Figure 5a), we see Gassmann's equation under-predicts the bulk modulus for both full water saturation and most values of S_w, and this misfit is attributed to dispersion effects (Mavko and Nolen-Hoeksema, 1994; Mayr and Burkhardt, 2006; Murphy et al., 1986; Murphy, 1984, 1985; Winkler, 1985). Firstly, using the squirt model, we pick a critical saturation S_0 which indicates the saturation where the microcracks are fully saturated. We picked the saturation at which the jump-discontinuity occurred in the data as S_0 which was at $S_w \approx 0.1$ for the blank sample. The microcrack density was then fitted in order to give the desired dispersion at full water saturation ($S_w = 1.0$) and the magnitude of the jump is fitted using the parameter *a* (see squirt model description) (Figure 5b).



Figure 5. Measured bulk modulus for the blank rock compared to (a) Gassmann's model and (b) Squirt model.

The squirt model does not capture the dispersion for partial water saturation values of $S_w > 0.5$. Following a similar concept by (Winkler, 1985), the residual dispersion would be the difference between the measured data and the model (squirt in this case) prediction. We then attribute this dispersion to be due to patchy saturation. A simple yet elegant way to model dispersion and attenuation due to patchy saturation was presented by White (1975). The model only predicts dispersion (and attenuation) to be due to presence of gas patches and is otherwise consistent with Gassmann's predictions at full saturation (no gas patches) (Figure 6a). So the low frequency limit of White's model is consistent with Gassmann's predictions. We then take the difference between the high-frequency White and its low-frequency limit (Gassmann) as the dispersion due to patchy saturation and fit this to the residuals from the squirt model as shown in Figure 6b. Note the dispersion (or residual) at full saturation is zero as no dispersion is predicted in the absence of gas patches.



Figure 6. Plot showing bulk modulus predictions of White's (using a gas patch size of 0.2 mm) and Gassmann's models. (b) Subtracting Gassmann's model from White's model to obtain the dispersion due to patchy saturation and comparing to the difference between the data and the squirt model from Figure 5b.

Adding this dispersion due to patchy saturation (White's model) to the squirt model and comparing to our measured bulk modulus, we get a good fit to our experimental data (Figure 7a).



Figure 7. (a) Bulk modulus for the blank rock compared to the final model prediction which is obtained by summing the Squirt model (Figure 5b) and the dispersion due to patchy saturation from White's model (Figure 6b). (b) Measured attenuation compared to the resulting attenuation from the models.

The corresponding P-wave attenuation predicted from both the squirt and White models are added together and compared to our experimental data (Figure 7b). The fit is quite good, especially to the trend observed in the experiments.

Case 2

The second case is based on the assumption that the jump at low saturations is due to pressure discontinuity effects as described above. We start by matching the critical saturation S_0 where the jump occurs to the data and the magnitude of the jump at S_0 is fitted using the parameter "q" (Figure 8a). This wet-Gassmann model under-predicts the modulus at higher values of S_w and at full water saturation as the model is consistent with Gassmann's predictions at full water saturation. We then back-out the effective fluid bulk modulus (Figure 8b) by rearranging Gassmann's equations for the fluid modulus given the saturation-dependent bulk modulus from the wet-Gassmann model. This calculated fluid bulk modulus is then placed into the model of White which we will term as the 'wet-White' (Figure 9) which also has the same full saturation (single phase) limit as Gassmann's equations.



Figure 8. Measured bulk modulus for the blank rock compared to Gassmann's model and wet-Gassmann model. (b) Effective fluid modulus obtained from wet-Gassmann model.



Figure 9. Measured bulk modulus for the blank rock compared to wet-White model.

Similar to our approach in Case 1, we subtract the experimental data from the wet White prediction to obtain the residual dispersion which we attribute to be due to squirt flow. We then fit a squirt dispersion by taking the effective fluid modulus obtained above from the wet-Gassmann model (Figure 8b) as the effective fluid in the squirt model, and then subtracting the low frequency from the high frequency squirt predictions (Figure 10a) to obtain the residual dispersion due to squirt (Figure 10b). Note that the squirt model used here is a special case of that used in Case 1 with the crack and pores assumed to have the same saturation.



Figure 10. Plot showing bulk modulus predictions of the low and high frequency squirt model.(b) Subtracting the low from the high frequency model to obtain the dispersion due to squirt

flow and comparing to the difference between the data and the wet-white model from Figure 9.

Adding the modelled squirt dispersion to the wet-White prediction gives us the final fit for the saturation dependence of the bulk modulus (Figure 11a). As with Case 1, the corresponding P-wave attenuation predicted from both the squirt and wet-White models are added and compared to our experimental P-wave attenuation data (Figure 11b).



Figure 11. Bulk modulus for the blank rock compared to the final model prediction which is obtained by summing the wet-white model (Figure 9) and the dispersion due to squirt flow (Figure 10b). (b) Measured attenuation compared to the resulting attenuation from the models.

Model parameters						
Squirt model		Blank	90	Octagonal		
Crack density	Case 1	1.35 x 10 ⁻²	1.27 x 10 ⁻²	1.2 x 10 ⁻²		
	Case 2	1.75 x 10 ⁻²	1.2 x 10 ⁻²	1.3 x 10 ⁻²		
Crack aspect ratio		1.0 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.0 x 10 ⁻⁴	1.0 x 10 ⁻⁴		
Parameter a	Case 1	5.0 x 10 ⁻⁴	5.0 x 10 ⁻⁴	5 x 10 ⁻⁴		
	Case 2	2.45 x 10 ⁻⁷	1.82 x 10 ⁻⁷	1.0 x 10 ⁻⁸		

White's model						
Patch size	Case 1	1.8 mm	1.2 mm	0.5 mm		
	Case 2	1.4 mm	0.9 mm	0.9 mm		
Wet-Gassmann model						
parameter q	Case 2	4	2.8	3.4		

Table 2. Model fitting parameters obtained from nonlinear least-squares optimisation.

Modelling results for additional samples

We repeat the same steps for both the 90° fractured sample and the octagonal sample, and the comparisons are shown in Figures 12 and 13 for the 90° fractured sample and Figure 14 for the octagonal sample.



Figure 12. (a) Bulk modulus for the 90° fractured rock compared to the final model prediction which is obtained by summing the Squirt model prediction and the dispersion due to patchy saturation from White's model. (b) 90° fractured rock attenuation compared to the resulting attenuation from the models.



Figure 13. (a) Bulk modulus for the 90° fractured rock compared to the final model prediction which is obtained by summing the wet-white model and the dispersion due to squirt flow. (b) 90° fractured rock attenuation compared to the resulting attenuation from the models.



Figure 14. (a) P-wave modulus for the octagonal rock compared to the final model prediction which is obtained by summing the Squirt model prediction and the dispersion due to patchy saturation from White's model (case 1). (b) P-wave modulus for the octagonal rock compared to the final model prediction which is obtained by summing the wet-white model and the dispersion due to squirt flow (case 2).

7. Discussion

Here, we restrict our analysis to a porous rock containing two immiscible fluids having significantly different compressibilities. When a fluid such as CO_2 is injected into a reservoir, it dissolves over time and could become reactive, altering the rock and fluid properties but this is not considered here and neither are other chemical effects such as frame softening or swelling of clays.

The two modelling approaches presented show that different mechanisms can model the same experimental data and both produce similar results. Although the same mechanisms are used in both models (except for the wet-Gassmann model), their interpretations are different. In Case 1, the squirt effect from the cracks comes from the cracks being preferentially saturated and becoming fully saturated at a critical saturation S_0 , effectively stiffening the rock frame. This models the jump at low S_w and the dispersion at $S_w = 1$. For $S_w < 1$ there is no further contribution from squirt beyond S_0 (Figure 5b) when the cracks are fully saturated as the stiffening due to squirt comes from the stiffening of the cracks when they become saturated and un-relaxed. Subsequent dispersion at $S_0 \leq S_w \leq 1$ is a result patchy saturation accounted for by the model of White. In Case 2, the dispersion effect due to squirt flow does not consider the cracks to be fully saturated at S_0 , rather the pores and cracks saturate at the same rate and dispersion due to squirt flow is observed at higher values of water saturation. However, the jump in Case 2 is modelled as a discontinuous effective fluid pressure variation due to changes in capillary pressure which is a static effect as considered here. One thing that is consistent between both modelling approaches is that at full water saturation, the dispersion is due to squirt flow in agreement with previous works on dispersion in liquid saturated rocks (e.g., Chapman et al., 2002; Gurevich et al., 2010; Winkler, 1985). In terms of the jump at S_0 , both Case 1 and Case 2 describe a plausible theoretical justification for the mechanism responsible. With the current dataset, it is impossible to draw a conclusion about which one is more likely to correspond to reality even though modelling approaches corresponding to Case 1 appear more frequently in the literature (e.g. Mavko and Nolen-Hoeksema, 1994; Murphy et al., 1986).

This study supports previous suggestions that multiple mechanisms (and scales of saturation) are likely to be responsible for fluid-related effects on wave propagation in saturated porous rocks (e.g., Gist, 1994; Mavko and Nolen-Hoeksema, 1994; Müller et al., 2010). No individual model or mechanism can fit the data and a combination of mechanisms is needed. This approach of combining the different models is further strengthened by the fit achieved for the attenuation data without any separate fitting. Although there are discrepancies, the trends and magnitudes as functions of water saturation show a relatively good fit. The main difference between measured and modelled attenuation can be attributed to the measured attenuation at $S_w = 0$, which is significant (Figure 4) and unaccounted for in the models which only consider attenuation due to wave-induced fluid flow mechanisms.

The model parameters are still somewhat unconstrained; however, the relative values between samples give some insight. For example, the squirt parameter representing a scaling of the characteristic frequency is the same for all three samples in Case 1, indicating the high-frequency squirt limit has been reached in all three samples. The similar patch size values for Case 1 and Case 2 for each sample suggests a consistent patchy effect acting in addition to other stiffening mechanisms. It should be pointed out that the linear addition of the velocity dispersion and attenuation from different mechanisms is an approximation. However, this approach of combining attenuation and velocity dispersion due to different mechanisms has found success in previous works (Carcione et al., 2012; Chichinina et al., 2009) as well as in the present work.

The experimental trends presented in this paper are similar to trends presented by other investigators (Goertz and Knight, 1998; Mavko and Nolen-Hoeksema, 1994; Wulff and

Burkhardt, 1997) where the trends were explained qualitatively using conceptual models of soft and stiff pores getting preferentially saturated. We present a similar mechanism using a more quantitative approach and also present a competing mechanism which has different low-frequency behaviour. We also present data on attenuation which is known to be coupled with velocity dispersion, and model the attenuation trend without having to fit the data separately.

The modelling results show that if the frequency is low enough so that there is enough time for wave-induced fluid pressures to relax, the frequency-dependent fluid effects (i.e. patchy and squirt effects) disappear. For Case 1, the low frequency limit is Gassmann's equations with a Reuss-averaged fluid modulus given by the 'Gassmann' curve in Figure 5a. However, the low frequency limit for Case 2 is the wet-Gassmann model given by the 'wet-Gassmann' curve in Figure 8a. This difference could have significant implications for seismic fluid substitution in practice. An example would be when interpreting phase shifts from time-lapse surveys due to CO₂ injection, which depends on the effect of the fluid on the seismic velocity. Gassmann's equation with a Reuss-averaged fluid modulus would predict a larger fluid effect on the seismic velocity than the 'wet' Gassmann model (see Figure 8). Similarly, using a Reuss-averaged fluid modulus in Gassmann's equation to predict the effect for fluid substitution effects on AVO response from such a reservoir would be incorrect if this stiffening from pore-scale capillary effects exists.

Understanding these fluid related mechanisms require further theoretical and experimental work. A major step in this direction would be similar experiments to those presented in this work where the saturation is well controlled but conducted at lower frequencies. Many saturation experiments are carried out by injecting fluid through a pore fluid line at some position on the sample and the elastic properties measured as different amounts of fluid are injected. At low saturation values, the distribution of fluid would most likely be in the region where the fluid was injected or even possibly on the surface of the rock. This is not

representative of the bulk properties of most of the pore space of the rock saturated by moisture and not representative of situations likely to occur in the subsurface where saturation occurs over a long time scale allowing enough time for capillary re-distribution of saturation – hence a more homogeneous saturation distribution. Some other works have used drainage/drying but do not allow for capillary re-distribution of saturation and as such, at low saturations, would encounter similar problems as the injection/imbibition experiments described above. Note here that the terms imbibition and drainage are used with the assumption that the rock is water-wet and water is being injected or drained.

Experiments with image monitoring of the saturation distribution as a function of time after allowing for capillary re-distribution as discussed in the saturation methods would provide valuable insight into how important this step is for multiphase saturation experiments and what the controlling parameters are (porosity, permeability, sample size). Depending on the trends from different frequencies, we could begin to better understand these mechanisms provided the experiments are well controlled in terms of rock sample consistency and sample preparation. Synthetic rocks like those used in these experiments could help ensure sample consistency as different measurement methods usually require different sample sizes, meaning different samples have to be used. Accurate seismic monitoring of CO₂ saturation depends on a thorough understanding of the mechanisms for wave propagation through partially saturated rock; we believe that coupled advances in experimental and theoretical rock physics can take us towards this goal.

8. Conclusion

Our study demonstrates that the relationship between bulk modulus and partial saturation is complex and intrinsically involves multiple physical mechanisms. As shown in previous studies, we find that at full saturation there is evidence of rock stiffening which strongly indicates squirt flow effects. All our successful modelling attempts have required the assumption of a patchy saturated medium. Our data show an apparent jump discontinuity in the saturated bulk modulus for low saturations, and we demonstrate that this is consistent with expected behaviour arising from pore-scale capillary effects. The interpretation is not unambiguous, however, since an alternative modelling approach based on multi-fluid squirt-flow produces similar behaviour. The two approaches both provide compelling fits to the bulk modulus and attenuation data, but their low frequency limits, which are critical for application to field data, are very different. In principle, this ambiguity could be resolved by performing the experiments over a wider frequency range.

9. Acknowledgments

KA's contribution was funded by the NERC and the sponsors of the Edinburgh anisotropy project under a NERC-BGS PhD studentship. GP's contribution to this work was carried out within the DiSECCS (EP/K035878/1) project https://www.bgs.ac.uk/diseccs. DISECCS is funded by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) UK. The authors wish to thank the two anonymous reviewers for their constructive reviews which helped improve the manuscript.

10. References

Amalokwu, K., Best, A.I., Chapman, M., 2016. Effects of aligned fractures on the response of velocity and attenuation ratios to water saturation variation: a laboratory study using synthetic sandstones. Geophysical Prospecting 64, 942-957.

Amalokwu, K., Best, A.I., Sothcott, J., Chapman, M., Minshull, T., Li, X.-Y., 2014. Water saturation effects on elastic wave attenuation in porous rocks with aligned fractures. Geophysical Journal International.

Amalokwu, K., Chapman, M., Best, A.I., Minshull, T.A., Li, X.-Y., 2015a. Water saturation effects on P-wave anisotropy in synthetic sandstone with aligned fractures. Geophysical Journal International 202, 1088-1095.

Amalokwu, K., Chapman, M., Best, A.I., Sothcott, J., Minshull, T.A., Li, X.-Y., 2015b. Experimental observation of water saturation effects on shear wave splitting in synthetic rock with fractures aligned at oblique angles. Geophysical Journal International 200, 17-24.

Arts, R., Eiken, O., Chadwick, A., Zweigel, P., van der Meer, L., Zinszner, B., 2004. Monitoring of CO2 injected at Sleipner using time-lapse seismic data. Energy 29, 1383-1392.

Best, A.I., Sothcott, J., McCann, C., 2007. A laboratory study of seismic velocity and attenuation anisotropy in near-surface sedimentary rocks. Geophysical Prospecting 55, 609-625.

Biot, M.A., 1956. Theory of propagation of elastic waves in fluid-saturated porous solid, I. Low frequency range, II. Higher frequency range. J. of the Acous. Soc. of America 28, 168-191.

Brie, A., Pampuri, F., Marsala, A., Meazza, O., 1995. Shear sonic interpretation in gas-bearing sands, SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition.

Cadoret, T., Marion, D., Zinszner, B., 1995. Influence of frequency and fluid distribution on elastic wave velocities in partially saturated limestones. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 100, 9789-9803.

Carcione, J., Helle, H., Pham, N., 2003. White's model for wave propagation in partially saturated rocks: Comparison with poroelastic numerical experiments. GEOPHYSICS 68, 1389-1398.

Carcione, J.M., Cavallini, F., Santos, J.E., Ravazzoli, C.L., Gauzellino, P.M., 2004. Wave propagation in partially saturated porous media: simulation of a second slow wave. Wave Motion 39, 227-240.

Carcione, J.M., Santos, J.E., Picotti, S., 2012. Fracture-Induced Anisotropic Attenuation. Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering 45, 929-942.

Castagna, J.P., Sun, S., Siegfried, R.W., 2003. Instantaneous spectral analysis: Detection of low-frequency shadows associated with hydrocarbons. The Leading Edge 22, 120-127.

Chadwick, A., Williams, G., Delepine, N., Clochard, V., Labat, K., Sturton, S., Buddensiek, M.-L., Dillen, M., Nickel, M., Lima, A.L., Arts, R., Neele, F., Rossi, G., 2010. Quantitative analysis of time-lapse seismic monitoring data at the Sleipner CO2 storage operation. The Leading Edge 29, 170-177.

Chapman, M., 2003. Frequency-dependent anisotropy due to meso-scale fractures in the presence of equant porosity. Geophysical Prospecting 51, 369-379.

Chapman, M., Zatsepin, S.V., Crampin, S., 2002. Derivation of a microstructural poroelastic model. Geophysical Journal International 151, 427-451.

Chichinina, T., Obolentseva, I., Gik, L., Bobrov, B., Ronquillo-Jarillo, G., 2009. Attenuation anisotropy in the linear-slip model: Interpretation of physical modeling data. GEOPHYSICS 74, WB165-WB176.

Domenico, S.N., 1976. Effect of brine-gas mixture on velocity in an unconsolidated sand reservoir. Geophysics 41, 882-894.

Eid, R., Ziolkowski, A., Naylor, M., Pickup, G., 2015. Seismic monitoring of CO2 plume growth, evolution and migration in a heterogeneous reservoir: Role, impact and importance of patchy saturation. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 43, 70-81.

Endres, A.L., Knight, R., 1991. The effects of pore-scale fluid distribution on the physical properties of partially saturated tight sandstones. Journal of Applied Physics 69, 1091-1098.

Endres, A.L., Knight, R.J., 1997. Incorporating pore geometry and fluid pressure communication into modeling the elastic behavior of porous rocks. GEOPHYSICS 62, 106-117.

Falcon-Suarez, I., North, L., Amalokwu, K., Best, A., 2016. Integrated geophysical and hydromechanical assessment for CO2 storage: shallow low permeable reservoir sandstones. Geophysical Prospecting 64, 828-847.

Gassmann, F., 1951. Elasticity of porous media. Vierteljahrsschrder Naturforschenden Gesselschaft 96, 1-23.

Ghosh, R., Sen, M.K., Vedanti, N., 2015. Quantitative interpretation of CO2 plume from Sleipner (North Sea), using post-stack inversion and rock physics modeling. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 32, 147-158.

Gist, G.A., 1994. Interpreting laboratory velocity measurements in partially gas-saturated rocks. Geophysics 59, 1100-1109.

Goertz, D., Knight, R., 1998. Elastic wave velocities during evaporative drying. GEOPHYSICS 63, 171-183.

Greenspan, L., 1977. Humidity Fixed Points of Binary Saturated Aqueous Solutions. J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand. (U.S.) - A (Phys. And Chem.) 81A, 89-96.

Gregory, A., 1976. FLUID SATURATION EFFECTS ON DYNAMIC ELASTIC PROPERTIES OF SEDIMENTARY ROCKS. Geophysics 41, 895-921.

Guéguen, Y., Sarout, J., 2011. Characteristics of anisotropy and dispersion in cracked medium. Tectonophysics 503, 165-172.

Gurevich, B., Makarynska, D., de Paula, O.B., Pervukhina, M., 2010. A simple model for squirt-flow dispersion and attenuation in fluid-saturated granular rocks. Geophysics 75, N109-N120.

Hill, R., 1963. Elastic properties of reinforced solids: Some theoretical principles. Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 11, 357-372.

Johnson, K.L., Kendall, K., Roberts, A.D., 1971. Surface Energy and the Contact of Elastic Solids. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences 324, 301-313.

King, M.S., Marsden, J.R., Dennis, J.W., 2000. Biot dispersion for P- and S-wave velocities in partially and fully saturated sandstones. Geophysical Prospecting 48, 1075-1089.

Knight, R., Dvorkin, J., Nur, A., 1998. Acoustic signatures of partial saturation. GEOPHYSICS 63, 132-138.

Krevor, S., Blunt, M.J., Benson, S.M., Pentland, C.H., Reynolds, C., Al-Menhali, A., Niu, B., 2015. Capillary trapping for geologic carbon dioxide storage – From pore scale physics to field scale implications. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 40, 221-237.

Lebedev, M., Pervukhina, M., Mikhaltsevitch, V., Dance, T., Bilenko, O., Gurevich, B., 2013. An experimental study of acoustic responses on the injection of supercritical CO2 into sandstones from the Otway Basin. GEOPHYSICS 78, D293-D306.

Lei, X., Xue, Z., 2009. Ultrasonic velocity and attenuation during CO2 injection into watersaturated porous sandstone: Measurements using difference seismic tomography. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors 176, 224-234.

Marion, D., Jizba, D., 1992. Acoustic properties and their dependence on porosity, mineralogy and saturation: Applications to field-scale measurements, Third European Core Analysis Symposium Soc. of Core AnalParis.

Mavko, G., Nolen-Hoeksema, R., 1994. Estimating seismic velocities at ultrasonic frequencies in partially saturated rocks. GEOPHYSICS 59, 252-258.

Mayr, S.I., Burkhardt, H., 2006. Ultrasonic properties of sedimentary rocks: effect of pressure, saturation, frequency and microcracks. Geophysical Journal International 164, 246-258.

McCann, C., Sothcott, J., 1992. Laboratory measurements of the seismic properties of sedimentary rocks. Geological Society, London, Special Publications 65, 285-297.

Müller, T., Gurevich, B., Lebedev, M., 2010. Seismic wave attenuation and dispersion resulting from wave-induced flow in porous rocks — A review. GEOPHYSICS 75, 75A147-175A164.

Murphy, W., Winkler, K., Kleinberg, R., 1986. Acoustic relaxation in sedimentary rocks: Dependence on grain contacts and fluid saturation. Geophysics 51, 757-766.

Murphy, W.F., 1982. Effects of partial water saturation on attenuation in Massillon sandstone and Vycor porous glass. J. Acoust Soc. Am. 71, 1458–1468.

Murphy, W.F., 1984. Acoustic measures of partial gas saturation in tight sandstones. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 89, 11549-11559.

Murphy, W.F., 1985. Sonic and ultrasonic velocities: Theory Versus experiment. Geophysical Research Letters 12, 85-88.

Nakagawa, S., Kneafsey, T.J., Daley, T.M., Freifeld, B.M., Rees, E.V., 2013. Laboratory seismic monitoring of supercritical CO2 flooding in sandstone cores using the Split Hopkinson Resonant Bar technique with concurrent x-ray Computed Tomography imaging. Geophysical Prospecting 61, 254-269.

Paffenholz, J., Burkhardt, H., 1989. Absorption and modulus measurements in the seismic frequency and strain range on partially saturated sedimentary rocks. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 94, 9493-9507.

Papageorgiou, G., Amalokwu, K., Chapman, M., 2016. Theoretical derivation of a Brie-like fluid mixing law. Geophysical Prospecting 64, 1048-1053.

Papageorgiou, G., Chapman, M., 2015. Multifluid squirt flow and hysteresis effects on the bulk modulus–water saturation relationship. Geophysical Journal International 203, 814-817.

Papamichos, E., Brignoli, M., Santarelli, F.J., 1997. An experimental and theoretical study of a partially saturated collapsible rock. Mechanics of Cohesive-frictional Materials 2, 251-278.

Santos, J.E., Corberó, J.M., Douglas, J., 1990. Static and dynamic behavior of a porous solid saturated by a two-phase fluid. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 87, 1428-1438.

Sarout, J., 2012. Impact of pore space topology on permeability, cut-off frequencies and validity of wave propagation theories. Geophysical Journal International 189, 481-492.

Schmitt, L., Forsans, T., Santarelli, F.J., 1994. Shale testing and capillary phenomena. Int. J. Rock Mech. Min. Sci. & Geomech. Abstr. 31, 411 – 427.

Shi, J.-Q., Xue, Z., Durucan, S., 2007. Seismic monitoring and modelling of supercritical CO2 injection into a water-saturated sandstone: Interpretation of P-wave velocity data. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 1, 473-480.

Thomsen, L., 1995. Elastic anisotropy due to aligned cracks in porous rock. Geophysical Prospecting 43, 805-829.

Tillotson, P., Chapman, M., Sothcott, J., Best, A.I., Li, X.-Y., 2014. Pore fluid viscosity effects on P- and S-wave anisotropy in synthetic silica-cemented sandstone with aligned fractures. Geophysical Prospecting 62, 1238-1252.

Tillotson, P., Sothcott, J., Best, A.I., Chapman, M., Li, X.-Y., 2012. Experimental verification of the fracture density and shear-wave splitting relationship using synthetic silica cemented sandstones with a controlled fracture geometry. Geophysical Prospecting 60, 516-525.

Toms, J., Müller, T.M., Gurevich, B., 2007. Seismic attenuation in porous rocks with random patchy saturation. Geophysical Prospecting 55, 671-678.

Walsh, J.B., 1995. Seismic attenuation in partially saturated rock. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 100, 15407-15424.

White, J.E., 1975. Computed seismic speeds and attenuation in rocks with partial gas saturation. Geophysics 40, 224-232.

Winkler, K., 1985. Dispersion analysis of velocity and attenuation in Bera Sandstone. Journal of Geophysical Research 90, 6793-6800.

Winkler, K., Nur, A., 1982. Seismic attenuation: Effects of pore fluids and frictional-sliding. GEOPHYSICS 47, 1-15.

Winkler, K.W., Murphy, W.F., 1995. Acoustic velocity and attenuation in porous rocks. Rock Physics and Phase Relations 3, 20-34.

Wu, X., Chapman, M., Li, X.-Y., Boston, P., 2014. Quantitative gas saturation estimation by frequency-dependent amplitude-versus-offset analysis. Geophysical Prospecting 62, 1224-1237.

Wulff, A.M., Burkhardt, H., 1997. Mechanisms affecting ultrasonic wave propagation in fluidcontaining sandstones under high hydrostatic pressure. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth 102, 3043-3050. Zhang, Z.F., Oostrom, M., White, M.D., 2016. Relative permeability for multiphase flow for oven-dry to full saturation conditions. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control 49, 259-266.