

The cycle polynomial of a permutation group

Peter J. Cameron

School of Mathematics and Statistics University of St Andrews North Haugh St Andrews, Fife, U.K.

pjc20@st-andrews.ac.uk

Jason Semeraro

Department of Mathematics University of Leicester Leicester, U.K.

jpgs1@leicester.ac.uk

Submitted: Sep 8, 2017; Accepted: Dec 28, 2017; Published: Jan 25, 2018 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 20B05, 05C31

Abstract

The cycle polynomial of a finite permutation group G is the generating function for the number of elements of G with a given number of cycles:

$$F_G(x) = \sum_{g \in G} x^{c(g)},$$

where c(g) is the number of cycles of g on Ω . In the first part of the paper, we develop basic properties of this polynomial, and give a number of examples.

In the 1970s, Richard Stanley introduced the notion of reciprocity for pairs of combinatorial polynomials. We show that, in a considerable number of cases, there is a polynomial in the reciprocal relation to the cycle polynomial of G; this is the orbital chromatic polynomial of Γ and G, where Γ is a G-invariant graph, introduced by the first author, Jackson and Rudd. We pose the general problem of finding all such reciprocal pairs, and give a number of examples and characterisations: the latter include the cases where Γ is a complete or null graph or a tree.

The paper concludes with some comments on other polynomials associated with a permutation group.

1 The cycle polynomial and its properties

The cycle index of a permutation group G acting on a set Ω of size n is a polynomial in n variables which keeps track of all the cycle lengths of elements. If the variables are s_1, \ldots, s_n , then the cycle index is given by

$$Z_G(s_1, \dots, s_n) = \sum_{g \in G} \prod_{i=1}^n s_i^{c_i(g)},$$

where $c_i(g)$ is the number of cycles of length i in the cycle decomposition of G. (It is customary to divide this polynomial by |G| but we prefer not to do so here.)

Define the *cycle polynomial* of a permutation group G to be $F_G(x) := Z_G(x, x, \ldots, x)$; that is

$$F_G(x) = \sum_{g \in G} x^{c(g)},$$

where c(g) is the number of cycles of g on Ω (including fixed points). Clearly the cycle polynomial is a monic polynomial of degree n.

Proposition 1. If a is an integer, then $F_G(a)$ is a multiple of |G|.

Proof. Consider the set of colourings of Ω with a colours (that is, functions from Ω to $\{1,\ldots,a\}$. There is a natural action of G on this set. A colouring is fixed by an element $g \in G$ if and only if it is constant on the cycles of g; so there are $a^{c(g)}$ colourings fixed by g. Now the orbit-counting Lemma shows that the number of orbits of G on colourings is

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} a^{c(g)};$$

and this number is clearly a positive integer. The fact that f(a) is an integer for all a follows from [7, Proposition 1.4.2].

Note that the combinatorial interpretation of $F_G(a)/|G|$ given in the proof of Proposition 1 is the most common application of Pólya's theorem.

Proposition 2. $F_G(0) = 0$; $F_G(1) = |G|$; and $F_G(2) \ge (n+1)|G|$, with equality if and only if G is transitive on sets of size i for $0 \le i \le n$.

Proof. The first assertion is clear.

There is only one colouring with a single colour.

If there are two colours, say red and blue, then the number of orbits on colourings is equal to the number of orbits on (red) subsets of Ω . There are n+1 possible cardinalities of subsets, and so at least n+1 orbits, with equality if and only if G is is transitive on sets of size i for $0 \le i \le n$. (Groups with this property are called set-transitive and were determined by Beaumont and Petersen [1]; there are only the symmetric and alternating groups and four others with n=5,6,9,9.)

Now we consider values of F_G on negative integers. Note that the $sign \operatorname{sgn}(g)$ of the permutation g is $(-1)^{n-c(g)}$; a permutation is even or odd according as its sign is +1 or -1. If G contains odd permutations, then the even permutations in G form a subgroup of index 2.

Proposition 3. If G contains no odd permutations, then F_G is an even or odd function according as n is even or odd; in other words,

$$F_G(-x) = (-1)^n F_G(x).$$

Proof. The degrees of all terms in F_G are congruent to $n \mod 2$.

In particular, we see that if G contains no odd permutations, then $F_G(x)$ vanishes only at x = 0. However, for permutation groups containing odd permutations, there may be negative roots of F_G .

Theorem 4. Suppose that G contains odd permutations, and let N be the subgroup of even permutations in G. Then, for any positive integer a, we have $0 \le (-1)^n F_G(-a) < F_G(a)$, with equality if and only if G and N have the same number of orbits on colourings of Ω with a colours.

Proof. Let Δ denote the set of a-colourings of Ω . Say that an orbit \mathcal{O} of G on Δ is split if the G- and N-orbits of ω do not coincide for some (and hence any) $\omega \in \mathcal{O}$. The number of split orbits is the number of N-orbits on Δ less the number of G-orbits on Δ , namely

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in N} 2 \cdot |\operatorname{Fix}_{\Delta}(g)| - \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |\operatorname{Fix}_{\Delta}(g)|,$$

where $\operatorname{Fix}_{\Delta}(g)$ denotes the number of fixed points of g on Δ . This, in turn, is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \operatorname{sgn}(g) a^{c(g)} = \frac{1}{|G|} (-1)^n F_G(-a),$$

and the result follows from this.

Proposition 5. If G is a permutation group containing odd permutations, then the set of negative integer roots of F_G consists of all integers $\{-1, -2, \ldots, -a\}$ for some $a \ge 1$.

Proof. $F_G(-1) = 0$, since G and N have equally many orbits (namely 1) on colourings with a single colour.

Now suppose that $F_G(-a) = 0$, so that G and N have equally many orbits on colourings with a colours; thus every G-orbit is an N-orbit. Now every colouring with a-1 colours is a colouring with a colours, in which the last colour is not used; so every G-orbit on colourings with a-1 colours is an N-orbit, and so $F_G(-a+1) = 0$. The result follows.

The property of having a root -a is preserved by overgroups:

Proposition 6. Suppose that G_1 and G_2 are permutation groups on the same set, with $G_1 \leq G_2$. Suppose that $F_{G_1}(-a) = 0$, for some positive integer a. Then also $F_{G_2}(-a) = 0$.

Proof. It follows from the assumption that G_1 (and hence also G_2) contains odd permutations. Let N_1 and N_2 be the subgroups of even permutations in G_1 and G_2 respectively. Then $N_2 \cap G_1 = N_1$, and so $N_2G_1 = G_2$. By assumption, G_1 and N_1 have the same orbits on a-colourings. Let K be an a-colouring, and $g \in G_2$; write g = hg', with $h \in N_2$ and $g' \in G_1$. Now Kh and Khg' are in the same G_1 -orbit, and hence in the same N_1 -orbit; so there exists $h \in N_1$ with Kg = Khg' = Khh'. Since $hh' \in N_2$, we see that the G_2 -orbits and N_2 -orbits on a-colourings are the same. Hence $F_{G_2}(-a) = 0$.

The cycle polynomial has nice behaviour under direct product, which shows that the property of having negative integer roots is preserved by direct product.

Proposition 7. Let G_1 and G_2 be permutation groups on disjoint sets Ω_1 and Ω_2 . Let $G = G_1 \times G_2$ acting on $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$. Then

$$F_G(x) = F_{G_1}(x) \cdot F_{G_2}(x).$$

In particular, the set of roots of F_G is the union of the sets of roots of F_{G_1} and F_{G_2} .

Proof. This can be done by a calculation, but here is a more conceptual proof. It suffices to prove the result when a positive integer a is substituted for x. Now a G-orbit on a-colourings is obtained by combining a G_1 -orbit on colourings of Ω_1 with a G_2 -orbit of colourings of Ω_2 ; so the number of orbits is the product of the numbers for G_1 and G_2 . \square

The result for the wreath product, in its imprimitive action, is obtained in a similar way.

Proposition 8.

$$F_{G \wr H}(x) = |G|^m F_H(F_G(x)/|G|).$$

Proof. Again it suffices to prove that, for any positive integer a, the equation is valid with a substituted for x.

Let Δ be the domain of H, with $|\Delta| = m$. An orbit of the base group G^m on a-colourings is an m-tuple of G-orbits on a-colourings, which we can regard as a colouring of Δ , from a set of colours whose cardinality is the number $F_G(a)/|G|$ of G-orbits on a-colourings. Then an orbit of the wreath product on a-colourings is given by an orbit of H on these $F_G(a)/|G|$ -colourings, and so the number of orbits is $(1/|H|)F_H(F_G(a)/|G|)$. Multiplying by $|G \wr H| = |G|^m|H|$ gives the result.

Corollary 9. If n is odd, m > 1, and $G = S_n \wr S_m$, then $F_G(x)$ has roots $-1, \ldots, -n$.

Proof. In Proposition 12 to come, we show that $F_{S_n}(x) = x(x+1) \cdots (x+n-1)$. Therefore $F_{S_n}(x)$ divides $F_G(x)$, so we have roots $-1, \ldots, -n+1$. Also, there is a factor

$$|S_n|(F_{S_n}(x)/|S_n|+1)=x(x+1)\cdots(x-n+1)+n!.$$

Substituting x = -n and recalling that n is odd, this is -n! + n! = 0.

The next corollary shows that there are imprimitive groups with arbitrarily large negative roots.

Corollary 10. Let n be odd and let G be a permutation group of degree n which contains no odd permutations. Suppose that $F_G(a)/|G| = k$. Then, for m > k, the polynomial $F_{G \wr S_m}(x)$ has a root -a.

Proof. By Proposition 3, $F_G(-a)/|G| = -k$. Now for m > k, the polynomial $F_{S_m}(x)$ has a factor x + k; the expression for $F_{G \wr S_m}$ shows that this polynomial vanishes when x = -a.

The main question which has not been investigated here is:

What about non-integer roots?

It is clear that $F_G(x)$ has no positive real roots; so if G contains no odd permutations, then $F_G(x)$ has no real roots at all, by Proposition 3. When $G = A_n$ we have the following result which was communicated to us by Valentin Féray [4].

Theorem 11. If $F_{A_n}(a) = 0$ for some complex number a then $\Re(a) = 0$.

Proof. We show that this result is a particular case of [8, Theorem 3.2]. In the notation of that theorem, set d := n - 1 and $g(t) := t^d + 1$ so that the hypotheses are clearly satisfied and m = 0. Now

$$P(q) = (E^d + 1) \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (q+i) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (q-i) + \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (q+i) = 2F_{A_n}(q),$$

where E is the backward shift operator on polynomials in q given by Ef(q) = f(q-1). [8, Theorem 3.2(a)] now delivers the result.

2 Some examples

Proposition 12. For each n we have,

$$F_{S_n}(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x+i).$$

Proof. By induction and Proposition 6, $F_{S_n}(-a) = 0$ for each $0 \le a \le n-2$. Since $F_{S_n}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree n and the coefficient of x in $F_{S_n}(x)$ is (n-1)! we must have that n-1 is the remaining root.

Several other proofs of this result are possible. We can observe that the number of orbits of S_n on a-colourings of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ (equivalently, n-tuples chosen from the set of colours with order unimportant and repetitions allowed) is $\binom{n+a-1}{n}$. Or we can use the fact that the number of permutations of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with k cycles is the unsigned Stirling number of the first kind u(n, k), whose generating function is well known to be

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} u(n,k)x^{k} = x(x+1)\cdots(x+n-1).$$

Proposition 13. For each n we have

$$F_{C_n}(x) = \sum_{d|n} \phi(d) x^{n/d},$$

where ϕ is Euler's totient function.

Proof. This is a consequence of the well-known formula for the cycle index of a cyclic group. \Box

Proposition 14. Let p be an odd prime and G be the group $\operatorname{PGL}_2(p)$ acting on a set of size p+1. Then $F_G(x)$ is given by

$$\frac{p(p+1)}{2}x^{2}F_{C_{p-1}}(x) + \frac{p(p-1)}{2}F_{C_{p+1}}(x) + (p^{2}-1)(x^{2}-x^{p+1})$$

Proof. Each semisimple element of $GL_2(p)$ either has eigenvalues in \mathbb{F}_p and lies in a torus isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_p^{\times} \times \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$ or else it has eigenvalues in $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_p$ and lies in a torus isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}^{\times}$. If T is either kind of torus then $N_{GL_2(p)}(T)$ is generated by T and an automorphism which inverts each element, so there are $|GL_2(p)|/2|T|$ conjugates of T. Distinct tori intersect in the subgroup of scalar matrices $Z(GL_2(p))$. Hence, ignoring the identity, the images of semisimple elements of $GL_2(p)$ in G can be partitioned into $|GL_2(p)|/2(p-1)^2 = p(p+1)/2$ tori of the first type, each generated by an element of cycle type $(p-1,1^2)$ and $|GL_2(p)|/2(p^2-1) = p(p-1)/2$ tori of the second type, each generated by an element of cycle type (p+1). This leaves the elements of order p, all self-centralizing and of cycle type (p,1). Therefore

$$F_G(x) = x^2 \frac{p(p+1)}{2} F_{C_{p-1}}(x) + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} F_{C_{p+1}}(x) + (p-1)(p+1)x^2 - ax^{p+1},$$

where $a = p^2 - 1$ corrects for over-counting the identity.

3 Reciprocal pairs

Richard Stanley, in a 1974 paper [6], explained (polynomial) combinatorial reciprocity thus:

A polynomial reciprocity theorem takes the following form. Two combinatorially defined sequences S_1, S_2, \ldots and $\bar{S}_1, \bar{S}_2, \ldots$ of finite sets are given, so that the functions $f(n) = |S_n|$ and $\bar{f}(n) = |\bar{S}_n|$ are polynomials in n for all integers $n \ge 1$. One then concludes that $\bar{f}(n) = (-1)^d f(-n)$, where $d = \deg f$.

We will see that, in a number of cases, the cycle polynomial satisfies a reciprocity theorem.

3.1 The orbital chromatic polynomial

First, we define the polynomial which will serve as the reciprocal polynomial in these cases. A *(proper) colouring* of a graph Γ with q colours is a map from the vertices of Γ to the set of colours having the property that adjacent vertices receive different colours. Note that, if Γ contains a loop (an edge joining a vertex to itself), then it has no proper colourings. Birkhoff observed that, if there are no loops, then the number of colourings

with q colours is the evaluation at q of a monic polynomial $P_{\Gamma}(x)$ of degree equal to the number of vertices, the *chromatic polynomial* of the graph.

Now suppose that G is a group of automorphisms of Γ . For $g \in G$, let Γ/g denote the graph obtained by "contracting" each cycle of g to a single vertex; two vertices are joined by an edge if there is an edge of Γ joining vertices in the corresponding cycles. The chromatic polynomial $P_{\Gamma/g}(q)$ counts proper q-colourings of Γ fixed by g. If any cycle of g contains an edge, then Γ/g has a loop, and $P_{\Gamma/g} = 0$. Now (with a small modification of the definition in [3]) we define the *orbital chromatic polynomial* of the pair (Γ, G) to be

$$P_{\Gamma,G}(x) = \sum_{g \in G} P_{\Gamma/g}(x). \tag{1}$$

The orbit-counting Lemma immediately shows that $P_{\Gamma,G}(q)/|G|$ is equal to the number of G-orbits on proper q-colourings of Γ .

Now, motivated by Stanley's definition, we say that the pair (Γ, G) , where Γ is a graph and G a group of automorphisms of Γ , is a reciprocal pair if

$$P_{\Gamma,G}(x) = (-1)^n F_G(-x),$$

where n is the number of vertices of Γ .

Remark 15. An alternative definition of reciprocality is obtained using the polynomial

$$\bar{P}_{\Gamma,G}(x) = \sum_{g \in G} \operatorname{sgn}(g) \bar{P}_{\Gamma/g}(x)$$

where $\bar{P}_{\Delta}(x) = (-1)^n P(-x)$ is the dual chromatic polynomial, first defined by Stanley [6] enumerating certain coloured acyclic orientations of Δ . It is a straightforward exercise to see that reciprocality is equivalent to

$$\bar{P}_{\Gamma,G}(x) = F_G(x).$$

Problem Find all reciprocal pairs.

This problem is interesting because, as we will see, there are a substantial number of such pairs, for reasons not fully understood. In the remainder of the paper, we present the evidence for this, and some preliminary results on the above problem.

A basic result about reciprocal pairs is the following.

Lemma 16. Suppose that (G, Γ) is a reciprocal pair. Then the number of edges of Γ is the sum of the number of transpositions in G and the number of transpositions (i, j) in G for which $\{i, j\}$ is a non-edge.

Proof. Whitney [9] showed that the leading terms in the chromatic polynomial of a graph Γ with n vertices and m edges are $x^n - mx^{n-1} + \cdots$. This follows from the inclusion-exclusion formula for the chromatic polynomial: x^n is the total number of colourings of

the vertices of Γ , and for each edge $\{i, j\}$, the number of colourings in which i and j have the same colour is x^{n-1} . So in the formula (1) for $P_{\Gamma,G}(x)$, the identity element of G contributes $x^n - mx^{n-1} + \cdots$. The only additional contributions to the coefficient of x^{n-1} in $P_{\Gamma,G}(x)$ come from elements g of G such that only a single edge of Γ is contracted to obtain Γ/g and these are transpositions. A transposition (i,j) makes a non-zero contribution if and only if $\{i,j\}$ is a non-edge. So the coefficient of x^{n-1} is $-m+t^0(G)$, where $t^0(G)$ is the number of transpositions with this property.

On the other hand, the coefficient of x^{n-1} in $F_G(x)$ is the number of permutations in G with n-1 cycles, that is, the total number t(G) of transpositions. So the coefficient in $(-1)^n F_G(-x)$ is -t(G).

Equating the two expressions gives $m = t(G) + t^0(G)$, as required.

We remark that the converse to Lemma 16 does not hold: consider the group $G = S_3 \wr S_3$ acting on 3 copies of K_3 (see Proposition 8: but note that $(3K_3, S_3 \wr C_3)$ is a reciprocal pair, by Proposition 21). We also observe the following corollary to Lemma 16.

Corollary 17. If Γ is not a complete graph and (Γ, G) is a reciprocal pair then Γ has at most $\frac{(n-1)^2}{2}$ edges.

Proof. If Γ has $\binom{n}{2} - \delta$ edges then by Lemma 16,

$$\binom{n}{2} - \delta = t(G) + t^0(G) \leqslant t(G) + \delta.$$

If $0 < \delta < \frac{n-1}{2}$ then

$$t(G)\geqslant \binom{n}{2}-2\delta > \binom{n}{2}-(n-1)=\binom{n-1}{2}.$$

It is well-known that a permutation group of degree n containing at least $\binom{n-1}{2} + 1$ transpositions must be the full symmetric group. But this implies that Γ is a complete graph, a contradiction.

According to Lemma 16, if Γ is not a null graph and (Γ, G) is a reciprocal pair, then G contains transpositions. Now as is well-known, if a subgroup G of S_n contains a transposition, then the transpositions generate a normal subgroup N which is the direct product of symmetric groups whose degrees sum to n. (Some degrees may be 1, in which case the corresponding factor is absent.)

A G-invariant graph must induce a complete or null graph on each of these sets. Moreover, between any two such sets, we have either all possible edges or no edges.

Suppose that n_1, \ldots, n_r are the sizes of the N-orbits carrying complete graphs and m_1, \ldots, m_s the orbits containing null graphs. Then Lemma 16 shows that the total number of edges of the graph is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \binom{n_i}{2} + 2\sum_{j=1}^{s} \binom{m_j}{2}.$$

The first term counts edges within N-orbits, so the second term counts edges between different N-orbits.

3.2 Examples

Proposition 18. The following hold:

- (a) Let Γ be a null graph, and G a subgroup of the symmetric group S_n . Then $P_{\Gamma,G}(x) = F_G(x)$.
- (b) Let Γ be a complete graph, and G a subgroup of the symmetric group S_n . Then $P_{\Gamma,G}(x) = x(x-1)\cdots(x-n+1)$, independent of G.

Proof. (a) The chromatic polynomial of a null graph on n vertices is x^n . So, if $g \in G$ has c(g) cycles, then Γ/g is a null graph on c(g) vertices. Thus

$$P_{\Gamma,G}(x) = \sum_{g \in G} x^{c(g)} = F_G(x).$$

(b) In the formula (1) for $P_{\Gamma,G}(x)$, $P_{\Gamma/g}(x)$ is 0 unless g is the identity element of G, when it is $x(x-1)\cdots(x-n+1)$.

Corollary 19. The following hold:

- (a) If Γ is a null graph, then (Γ, G) is a reciprocal pair if and only if G contains no odd permutations.
- (b) If Γ is a complete graph, then (Γ, G) is a reciprocal pair if and only if G is the symmetric group.

Proof. (a) This follows from Proposition 3.

(b) We saw in the preceding section that, if $G = S_n$, then $F_G(x) = x(x+1) \cdots (x+n-1)$. Thus, we see that (G, Γ) is a reciprocal pair if and only if G is the symmetric group.

Proposition 20. Let Γ be the disjoint union of graphs $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_r$, and G the direct product of groups G_1, \ldots, G_r , where $G_i \leq \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma_i)$. Then

$$P_{\Gamma,G}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} P_{\Gamma_i,G_i}(x).$$

In particular, if (Γ_i, G_i) is a reciprocal pair for i = 1, ..., r, then (Γ, G) is a reciprocal pair.

The proof is straightforward; the last statement follows from Proposition 7. The result for wreath products is similar:

Proposition 21. Let Γ be the disjoint union of m copies of the n-vertex graph Δ . Let $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}(\Delta)$, and H a group of permutations of degree m. Then

$$P_{\Gamma,G \cap H}(x) = |G|^m F_H(P_{\Delta,G}(x)/|G|).$$

In particular, if (Δ, G) is a reciprocal pair and H contains no odd permutations, then $(\Gamma, G \wr H)$ is a reciprocal pair.

Proof. Given q colours, there are $P_{\Delta,G}(q)/|G|$ orbits on colourings of each copy of Δ ; so the overall number of orbits is the same as the number of orbits of H on an m-vertex null graph with $P_{\Delta,G}(q)/|G|$ colours available.

For the last part, the hypotheses imply that $P_{\Delta,G}(q) = (-1)^n F_G(-q)$, and that the degree of each term in F_H is congruent to $m \mod 2$. So the expression evaluates to $|G|^m F_H(F_G(-x)/|G|)$ if either m or n is even, and the negative of this if both are odd; that is, $(-1)^{mn} |G|^m F_H(F_G(-x)/|G|)$.

We now give some more examples of reciprocal pairs.

Example 22. Let Γ be a 4-cycle, and G its automorphism group, the dihedral group of order 8. There are 4 edges in Γ , and 2 transpositions in G, each of which interchanges two non-adjacent points (an opposite pair of vertices of the 4-cycle); so the equality of the lemma holds. Direct calculation shows that

$$P_{\Gamma,G}(x) = x(x-1)(x^2 - x + 2), \qquad F_G(x) = x(x+1)(x^2 + x + 2),$$

so $P_{\Gamma,G}(x) = (-1)^n F_G(-x)$ holds in this case.

The 4-cycle is also the complete bipartite graph $K_{2,2}$. We note that, for n > 2, $(K_{n,n}, S_n \wr S_2)$ is not a reciprocal pair. This can be seen from the fact that $F_{S_n \wr S_2}(x)$ has factors $x, x + 1, \ldots, x + n - 1$, whereas $K_{n,n}$ has chromatic number 2 and so x - 2 is not a factor of $P_{K_{n,n},G}(x)$ for any $G \leq S_n \wr S_2$.

We do not know whether other complete multipartite graphs support reciprocal pairs.

Example 23. Let Γ be a path with 3 vertices and G its automorphism group which is cyclic of order 2. Direct calculation shows that

$$P_{\Gamma,G}(x) = x^2(x-1), \qquad F_G(x) = x^2(x+1).$$

This graph is an example of a star graph, for which we give a complete analysis in the next section.

Example 24. Write N_n for the null graph on n vertices and let Γ be the disjoint union of K_m and N_n together with all edges in between and set $G = S_m \times S_n \leq \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Then Γ has $\binom{m}{2} + mn$ edges and G has $\binom{m}{2} + \binom{n}{2}$ transpositions of which $\binom{n}{2}$ correspond to non-edges in Γ . Thus, according to Lemma 16 we need n = m+1. Now the only elements $g \in G$ which give non-zero contribution to $P_{\Gamma,G}(x)$ lie in the S_n component. We get:

$$P_{\Gamma,G}(x) = x(x-1)\cdots(x-(m-1))\cdot \sum_{g\in S_n} (x-m)^{c(g)}.$$

Using Proposition 18(b) and m = n - 1 this becomes

$$(-1)^m F_{S_m}(-x) F_{S_n}(-x) \cdot (-1)^n$$
,

which is equal to $-F_G(-x)$ by Proposition 7.

4 Reciprocal pairs containing a tree

In this section we show that the only trees that can occur in a reciprocal pair are stars, and we determine the groups that can be paired with them.

Theorem 25. Suppose Γ is a tree and (Γ, G) is a reciprocal pair. Let n be the number of vertices in Γ and assume $n \geq 3$. The following hold:

- (a) n is odd;
- (b) Γ is a star;
- (c) $(C_2)^k \leqslant G \leqslant C_2 \wr S_k$ where n = 2k + 1.

Conversely any pair (Γ, G) which satisfies conditions (a)-(c) is reciprocal.

In what follows we assume the following:

- Γ is a tree;
- (Γ, G) is a reciprocal pair;
- n is the number of vertices in Γ and $n \ge 3$.

Note that any two vertices interchanged by a transposition are non-adjacent. For suppose that a transposition flips an edge $\{v, w\}$. If the tree is central, then there are paths of the same length from the centre to v and w, creating a cycle. If it is bicentral, then the same argument applies unless $\{v, w\}$ is the central edge, in which case the tree has only two vertices, a contradiction.

Lemma 26. If n is odd then (Γ, G) is a reciprocal pair if and only if

$$x(F_G(x-1) + F_G(-x)) = F_G(-x). (2)$$

Proof. The chromatic polynomial of a tree with r vertices is easily seen to be $x(x-1)^{r-1}$. Hence

$$P(\Gamma/g) = x(x-1)^{c(g)-1}$$

for each $g \in G$ and then

$$P_{\Gamma,G}(x) = \sum_{g \in G} P(\Gamma/g) = \sum_{g \in G} x(x-1)^{c(g)-1} = \frac{x}{x-1} F_G(x-1).$$

Rearranging (and using that n is odd) yields the Lemma.

Lemma 27. G has $\frac{n-1}{2}$ transpositions; in particular, n is odd.

Proof. As in Lemma 16, let t(G) be the number of transpositions in G and $t^0(G)$ be the number of transpositions (i,j) in G for which $i \not\sim j$ in Γ . If G fixes an edge (u,v) of Γ then $(u,v) \in G$ implies n=2, a contradiction. Thus $(u,v) \notin G$, and every transposition in G is a non-edge. Hence $t^0(G) = t(G)$ so 2t(G) = n-1 by Lemma 16.

Lemma 28. $(C_2)^k \leqslant G \leqslant C_2 \wr S_k$ where n = 2k + 1.

Proof. The transpositions in a permutation group G generate a normal subgroup H which is a direct product of symmetric groups. If there are two non-disjoint transpositions in G, one of the direct factors is a symmetric group with degree at least 3, and hence $F_H(x)$ has a root -2 by Propositions 12 and 7. Then by Proposition 6, $F_G(x)$ has a root -2. By Lemma 26 with x = 2,

$$0 = F_G(-2) = 2(F_G(1) + F_G(-2)) = 2F_G(1) = 2|G|,$$

a contradiction. So the transpositions are pairwise disjoint, and generate a subgroup $(C_2)^k$ with n = 2k + 1 by Lemma 27. Thus the conclusion of the lemma holds.

Lemma 29. Γ is a star.

Proof. Let v be the unique fixed point of G. By Lemma 28, for each $u \neq v$ there exists a unique vertex u' with $(u, u') \in G$. This is possible only if each u has distance 1 from v. Hence Γ is a star.

Proof of Theorem 25. (a),(b) and (c) follow from Lemmas 27, 29 and 28 respectively. Conversely, suppose that (a),(b) and (c) hold. Then $G = C_2 \wr K$ for some permutation group K of degree k. By Proposition 8, $F_G(x) = x \cdot 2^k F_K(x(x+1)/2)$. Now it is clear that

$$-\frac{F_G(-x)}{x} = 2^k \cdot F_K\left(\frac{x(x-1)}{2}\right) = \frac{F_G(x-1)}{x-1},$$

so that (2) holds and we deduce from Lemma 26 that (Γ, G) is a reciprocal pair. Our proof is complete.

Given a set of reciprocal pairs $(\Gamma_1, G_1), \ldots, (\Gamma_m, G_m)$ with each Γ_i a star we can take direct products and wreath products (using Propositions 20 and 21) to obtain reciprocal pairs (Γ, G) with Γ a forest. We do not know whether all such pairs arise in this way.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] R. A. Beaumont and R. P. Peterson, Set-transitive permutation groups, Canad. J. Math. 7 (1955), 35–42.
- [2] P. J. Cameron, *Permutation Groups*, London Math. Soc. Student Texts **45**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [3] P. J. Cameron, B. Jackson and J. D. Rudd, Orbit-counting polynomials for graphs and codes, *Discrete Math.* **308** (2008), 920–930.
- [4] V. Féray, private communication.
- [5] C. M. Harden and D. B. Penman, Fixed point polynomials of permutation groups, *Electronic J. Combinatorics* **20(2)** (2013), #P26.
- [6] R. P. Stanley, Combinatorial reciprocity theorems, *Combinatorics* (ed. M. Hall Jr. and J. H. van Lint), pp. 307–318, Mathematical Centre, Amsterdam, 1974.
- [7] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics Vol. 1*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1997.
- [8] R. P. Stanley, Two Enumerative Results on Cycles of Permutations, *European J. Combinatorics* **32(6)** (2011), 937–943.
- [9] H. Whitney, A logical expansion in mathematics, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 38 (1932), 572–579.