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#### Abstract

The cycle polynomial of a finite permutation group $G$ is the generating function for the number of elements of $G$ with a given number of cycles: $$
F_{G}(x)=\sum_{g \in G} x^{c(g)},
$$ where $c(g)$ is the number of cycles of $g$ on $\Omega$. In the first part of the paper, we develop basic properties of this polynomial, and give a number of examples.

In the 1970s, Richard Stanley introduced the notion of reciprocity for pairs of combinatorial polynomials. We show that, in a considerable number of cases, there is a polynomial in the reciprocal relation to the cycle polynomial of $G$; this is the orbital chromatic polynomial of $\Gamma$ and $G$, where $\Gamma$ is a $G$-invariant graph, introduced by the first author, Jackson and Rudd. We pose the general problem of finding all such reciprocal pairs, and give a number of examples and characterisations: the latter include the cases where $\Gamma$ is a complete or null graph or a tree.

The paper concludes with some comments on other polynomials associated with a permutation group.


## 1 The cycle polynomial and its properties

The cycle index of a permutation group $G$ acting on a set $\Omega$ of size $n$ is a polynomial in $n$ variables which keeps track of all the cycle lengths of elements. If the variables are $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}$, then the cycle index is given by

$$
Z_{G}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)=\sum_{g \in G} \prod_{i=1}^{n} s_{i}^{c_{i}(g)},
$$

where $c_{i}(g)$ is the number of cycles of length $i$ in the cycle decomposition of $G$. (It is customary to divide this polynomial by $|G|$ but we prefer not to do so here.)

Define the cycle polynomial of a permutation group $G$ to be $F_{G}(x):=Z_{G}(x, x, \ldots, x)$; that is

$$
F_{G}(x)=\sum_{g \in G} x^{c(g)},
$$

where $c(g)$ is the number of cycles of $g$ on $\Omega$ (including fixed points). Clearly the cycle polynomial is a monic polynomial of degree $n$.

Proposition 1. If $a$ is an integer, then $F_{G}(a)$ is a multiple of $|G|$.
Proof. Consider the set of colourings of $\Omega$ with $a$ colours (that is, functions from $\Omega$ to $\{1, \ldots, a\}$. There is a natural action of $G$ on this set. A colouring is fixed by an element $g \in G$ if and only if it is constant on the cycles of $g$; so there are $a^{c(g)}$ colourings fixed by $g$. Now the orbit-counting Lemma shows that the number of orbits of $G$ on colourings is

$$
\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} a^{c(g)}
$$

and this number is clearly a positive integer. The fact that $f(a)$ is an integer for all $a$ follows from [7, Proposition 1.4.2].

Note that the combinatorial interpretation of $F_{G}(a) /|G|$ given in the proof of Proposition 1 is the most common application of Pólya's theorem.

Proposition 2. $F_{G}(0)=0 ; F_{G}(1)=|G| ;$ and $F_{G}(2) \geqslant(n+1)|G|$, with equality if and only if $G$ is transitive on sets of size $i$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.

Proof. The first assertion is clear.
There is only one colouring with a single colour.
If there are two colours, say red and blue, then the number of orbits on colourings is equal to the number of orbits on (red) subsets of $\Omega$. There are $n+1$ possible cardinalities of subsets, and so at least $n+1$ orbits, with equality if and only if $G$ is is transitive on sets of size $i$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. (Groups with this property are called set-transitive and were determined by Beaumont and Petersen [1]; there are only the symmetric and alternating groups and four others with $n=5,6,9,9$.)

Now we consider values of $F_{G}$ on negative integers. Note that the $\operatorname{sign} \operatorname{sgn}(g)$ of the permutation $g$ is $(-1)^{n-c(g)}$; a permutation is even or odd according as its sign is +1 or -1 . If $G$ contains odd permutations, then the even permutations in $G$ form a subgroup of index 2.

Proposition 3. If $G$ contains no odd permutations, then $F_{G}$ is an even or odd function according as $n$ is even or odd; in other words,

$$
F_{G}(-x)=(-1)^{n} F_{G}(x) .
$$

Proof. The degrees of all terms in $F_{G}$ are congruent to $n \bmod 2$.
In particular, we see that if $G$ contains no odd permutations, then $F_{G}(x)$ vanishes only at $x=0$. However, for permutation groups containing odd permutations, there may be negative roots of $F_{G}$.

Theorem 4. Suppose that $G$ contains odd permutations, and let $N$ be the subgroup of even permutations in $G$. Then, for any positive integer a, we have $0 \leqslant(-1)^{n} F_{G}(-a)<F_{G}(a)$, with equality if and only if $G$ and $N$ have the same number of orbits on colourings of $\Omega$ with a colours.

Proof. Let $\Delta$ denote the set of $a$-colourings of $\Omega$. Say that an orbit $\mathcal{O}$ of $G$ on $\Delta$ is split if the $G$ - and $N$-orbits of $\omega$ do not coincide for some (and hence any) $\omega \in \mathcal{O}$. The number of split orbits is the number of $N$-orbits on $\Delta$ less the number of $G$-orbits on $\Delta$, namely

$$
\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in N} 2 \cdot\left|\operatorname{Fix}_{\Delta}(g)\right|-\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G}\left|\operatorname{Fix}_{\Delta}(g)\right|
$$

where $\operatorname{Fix}_{\Delta}(g)$ denotes the number of fixed points of $g$ on $\Delta$. This, in turn, is equivalent to

$$
\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \operatorname{sgn}(g) a^{c(g)}=\frac{1}{|G|}(-1)^{n} F_{G}(-a)
$$

and the result follows from this.
Proposition 5. If $G$ is a permutation group containing odd permutations, then the set of negative integer roots of $F_{G}$ consists of all integers $\{-1,-2, \ldots,-a\}$ for some $a \geqslant 1$.

Proof. $F_{G}(-1)=0$, since $G$ and $N$ have equally many orbits (namely 1) on colourings with a single colour.

Now suppose that $F_{G}(-a)=0$, so that $G$ and $N$ have equally many orbits on colourings with $a$ colours; thus every $G$-orbit is an $N$-orbit. Now every colouring with $a-1$ colours is a colouring with $a$ colours, in which the last colour is not used; so every $G$ orbit on colourings with $a-1$ colours is an $N$-orbit, and so $F_{G}(-a+1)=0$. The result follows.

The property of having a root $-a$ is preserved by overgroups:
Proposition 6. Suppose that $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are permutation groups on the same set, with $G_{1} \leqslant G_{2}$. Suppose that $F_{G_{1}}(-a)=0$, for some positive integer $a$. Then also $F_{G_{2}}(-a)=0$.

Proof. It follows from the assumption that $G_{1}$ (and hence also $G_{2}$ ) contains odd permutations. Let $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ be the subgroups of even permutations in $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ respectively. Then $N_{2} \cap G_{1}=N_{1}$, and so $N_{2} G_{1}=G_{2}$. By assumption, $G_{1}$ and $N_{1}$ have the same orbits on $a$-colourings. Let $K$ be an $a$-colouring, and $g \in G_{2}$; write $g=h g^{\prime}$, with $h \in N_{2}$ and $g^{\prime} \in G_{1}$. Now $K h$ and $K h g^{\prime}$ are in the same $G_{1}$-orbit, and hence in the same $N_{1}$-orbit; so there exists $h \in N_{1}$ with $K g=K h g^{\prime}=K h h^{\prime}$. Since $h h^{\prime} \in N_{2}$, we see that the $G_{2}$-orbits and $N_{2}$-orbits on $a$-colourings are the same. Hence $F_{G_{2}}(-a)=0$.

The cycle polynomial has nice behaviour under direct product, which shows that the property of having negative integer roots is preserved by direct product.

Proposition 7. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be permutation groups on disjoint sets $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$. Let $G=G_{1} \times G_{2}$ acting on $\Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2}$. Then

$$
F_{G}(x)=F_{G_{1}}(x) \cdot F_{G_{2}}(x) .
$$

In particular, the set of roots of $F_{G}$ is the union of the sets of roots of $F_{G_{1}}$ and $F_{G_{2}}$.
Proof. This can be done by a calculation, but here is a more conceptual proof. It suffices to prove the result when a positive integer $a$ is substituted for $x$. Now a $G$-orbit on $a$-colourings is obtained by combining a $G_{1}$-orbit on colourings of $\Omega_{1}$ with a $G_{2}$-orbit of colourings of $\Omega_{2}$; so the number of orbits is the product of the numbers for $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$.

The result for the wreath product, in its imprimitive action, is obtained in a similar way.

## Proposition 8.

$$
F_{G \backslash H}(x)=|G|^{m} F_{H}\left(F_{G}(x) /|G|\right) .
$$

Proof. Again it suffices to prove that, for any positive integer $a$, the equation is valid with $a$ substituted for $x$.

Let $\Delta$ be the domain of $H$, with $|\Delta|=m$. An orbit of the base group $G^{m}$ on $a$ colourings is an $m$-tuple of $G$-orbits on $a$-colourings, which we can regard as a colouring of $\Delta$, from a set of colours whose cardinality is the number $F_{G}(a) /|G|$ of $G$-orbits on $a$-colourings. Then an orbit of the wreath product on $a$-colourings is given by an orbit of $H$ on these $F_{G}(a) /|G|$-colourings, and so the number of orbits is $(1 /|H|) F_{H}\left(F_{G}(a) /|G|\right)$. Multiplying by $|G \imath H|=|G|^{m}|H|$ gives the result.

Corollary 9. If $n$ is odd, $m>1$, and $G=S_{n} \backslash S_{m}$, then $F_{G}(x)$ has roots $-1, \ldots,-n$.
Proof. In Proposition 12 to come, we show that $F_{S_{n}}(x)=x(x+1) \cdots(x+n-1)$. Therefore $F_{S_{n}}(x)$ divides $F_{G}(x)$, so we have roots $-1, \ldots,-n+1$. Also, there is a factor

$$
\left|S_{n}\right|\left(F_{S_{n}}(x) /\left|S_{n}\right|+1\right)=x(x+1) \cdots(x-n+1)+n!.
$$

Substituting $x=-n$ and recalling that $n$ is odd, this is $-n!+n!=0$.
The next corollary shows that there are imprimitive groups with arbitrarily large negative roots.

Corollary 10. Let $n$ be odd and let $G$ be a permutation group of degree $n$ which contains no odd permutations. Suppose that $F_{G}(a) /|G|=k$. Then, for $m>k$, the polynomial $F_{G l S_{m}}(x)$ has a root $-a$.

Proof. By Proposition 3, $F_{G}(-a) /|G|=-k$. Now for $m>k$, the polynomial $F_{S_{m}}(x)$ has a factor $x+k$; the expression for $F_{G l S_{m}}$ shows that this polynomial vanishes when $x=-a$.

The main question which has not been investigated here is:
What about non-integer roots?
It is clear that $F_{G}(x)$ has no positive real roots; so if $G$ contains no odd permutations, then $F_{G}(x)$ has no real roots at all, by Proposition 3. When $G=A_{n}$ we have the following result which was communicated to us by Valentin Féray [4].

Theorem 11. If $F_{A_{n}}(a)=0$ for some complex number a then $\Re(a)=0$.
Proof. We show that this result is a particular case of [8, Theorem 3.2]. In the notation of that theorem, set $d:=n-1$ and $g(t):=t^{d}+1$ so that the hypotheses are clearly satisfied and $m=0$. Now

$$
P(q)=\left(E^{d}+1\right) \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(q+i)=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(q-i)+\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(q+i)=2 F_{A_{n}}(q),
$$

where $E$ is the backward shift operator on polynomials in $q$ given by $E f(q)=f(q-1)$. [8, Theorem 3.2(a)] now delivers the result.

## 2 Some examples

Proposition 12. For each $n$ we have,

$$
F_{S_{n}}(x)=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1}(x+i)
$$

Proof. By induction and Proposition 6, $F_{S_{n}}(-a)=0$ for each $0 \leqslant a \leqslant n-2$. Since $F_{S_{n}}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $n$ and the coefficient of $x$ in $F_{S_{n}}(x)$ is $(n-1)$ ! we must have that $n-1$ is the remaining root.

Several other proofs of this result are possible. We can observe that the number of orbits of $S_{n}$ on $a$-colourings of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ (equivalently, $n$-tuples chosen from the set of colours with order unimportant and repetitions allowed) is $\binom{n+a-1}{n}$. Or we can use the fact that the number of permutations of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with $k$ cycles is the unsigned Stirling number of the first kind $u(n, k)$, whose generating function is well known to be

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} u(n, k) x^{k}=x(x+1) \cdots(x+n-1) .
$$

Proposition 13. For each $n$ we have

$$
F_{C_{n}}(x)=\sum_{d \mid n} \phi(d) x^{n / d},
$$

where $\phi$ is Euler's totient function.

Proof. This is a consequence of the well-known formula for the cycle index of a cyclic group.

Proposition 14. Let $p$ be an odd prime and $G$ be the group $\mathrm{PGL}_{2}(p)$ acting on a set of size $p+1$. Then $F_{G}(x)$ is given by

$$
\frac{p(p+1)}{2} x^{2} F_{C_{p-1}}(x)+\frac{p(p-1)}{2} F_{C_{p+1}}(x)+\left(p^{2}-1\right)\left(x^{2}-x^{p+1}\right)
$$

Proof. Each semisimple element of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(p)$ either has eigenvalues in $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ and lies in a torus isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times} \times \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}$or else it has eigenvalues in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}} \backslash \mathbb{F}_{p}$ and lies in a torus isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2}}^{\times}$. If $T$ is either kind of torus then $N_{\mathrm{GL}_{2}(p)}(T)$ is generated by $T$ and an automorphism which inverts each element, so there are $\left|\mathrm{GL}_{2}(p)\right| / 2|T|$ conjugates of $T$. Distinct tori intersect in the subgroup of scalar matrices $Z\left(\operatorname{GL}_{2}(p)\right)$. Hence, ignoring the identity, the images of semisimple elements of $\mathrm{GL}_{2}(p)$ in $G$ can be partitioned into $\left|\mathrm{GL}_{2}(p)\right| / 2(p-1)^{2}=p(p+1) / 2$ tori of the first type, each generated by an element of cycle type $\left(p-1,1^{2}\right)$ and $\left|\mathrm{GL}_{2}(p)\right| / 2\left(p^{2}-1\right)=p(p-1) / 2$ tori of the second type, each generated by an element of cycle type $(p+1)$. This leaves the elements of order $p$, all self-centralizing and of cycle type ( $p, 1$ ). Therefore

$$
F_{G}(x)=x^{2} \frac{p(p+1)}{2} F_{C_{p-1}}(x)+\frac{p(p-1)}{2} F_{C_{p+1}}(x)+(p-1)(p+1) x^{2}-a x^{p+1},
$$

where $a=p^{2}-1$ corrects for over-counting the identity.

## 3 Reciprocal pairs

Richard Stanley, in a 1974 paper [6], explained (polynomial) combinatorial reciprocity thus:

A polynomial reciprocity theorem takes the following form. Two combinatorially defined sequences $S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots$ and $\bar{S}_{1}, \bar{S}_{2}, \ldots$ of finite sets are given, so that the functions $f(n)=\left|S_{n}\right|$ and $\bar{f}(n)=\left|\bar{S}_{n}\right|$ are polynomials in $n$ for all integers $n \geqslant 1$. One then concludes that $\bar{f}(n)=(-1)^{d} f(-n)$, where $d=\operatorname{deg} f$.

We will see that, in a number of cases, the cycle polynomial satisfies a reciprocity theorem.

### 3.1 The orbital chromatic polynomial

First, we define the polynomial which will serve as the reciprocal polynomial in these cases. A (proper) colouring of a graph $\Gamma$ with $q$ colours is a map from the vertices of $\Gamma$ to the set of colours having the property that adjacent vertices receive different colours. Note that, if $\Gamma$ contains a loop (an edge joining a vertex to itself), then it has no proper colourings. Birkhoff observed that, if there are no loops, then the number of colourings
with $q$ colours is the evaluation at $q$ of a monic polynomial $P_{\Gamma}(x)$ of degree equal to the number of vertices, the chromatic polynomial of the graph.

Now suppose that $G$ is a group of automorphisms of $\Gamma$. For $g \in G$, let $\Gamma / g$ denote the graph obtained by "contracting" each cycle of $g$ to a single vertex; two vertices are joined by an edge if there is an edge of $\Gamma$ joining vertices in the corresponding cycles. The chromatic polynomial $P_{\Gamma / g}(q)$ counts proper $q$-colourings of $\Gamma$ fixed by $g$. If any cycle of $g$ contains an edge, then $\Gamma / g$ has a loop, and $P_{\Gamma / g}=0$. Now (with a small modification of the definition in [3]) we define the orbital chromatic polynomial of the pair $(\Gamma, G)$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\Gamma, G}(x)=\sum_{g \in G} P_{\Gamma / g}(x) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The orbit-counting Lemma immediately shows that $P_{\Gamma, G}(q) /|G|$ is equal to the number of $G$-orbits on proper $q$-colourings of $\Gamma$.

Now, motivated by Stanley's definition, we say that the pair $(\Gamma, G)$, where $\Gamma$ is a graph and $G$ a group of automorphisms of $\Gamma$, is a reciprocal pair if

$$
P_{\Gamma, G}(x)=(-1)^{n} F_{G}(-x),
$$

where $n$ is the number of vertices of $\Gamma$.
Remark 15. An alternative definition of reciprocality is obtained using the polynomial

$$
\bar{P}_{\Gamma, G}(x)=\sum_{g \in G} \operatorname{sgn}(g) \bar{P}_{\Gamma / g}(x)
$$

where $\bar{P}_{\Delta}(x)=(-1)^{n} P(-x)$ is the dual chromatic polynomial, first defined by Stanley [6] enumerating certain coloured acyclic orientations of $\Delta$. It is a straightforward exercise to see that reciprocality is equivalent to

$$
\bar{P}_{\Gamma, G}(x)=F_{G}(x) .
$$

Problem Find all reciprocal pairs.
This problem is interesting because, as we will see, there are a substantial number of such pairs, for reasons not fully understood. In the remainder of the paper, we present the evidence for this, and some preliminary results on the above problem.

A basic result about reciprocal pairs is the following.
Lemma 16. Suppose that $(G, \Gamma)$ is a reciprocal pair. Then the number of edges of $\Gamma$ is the sum of the number of transpositions in $G$ and the number of transpositions $(i, j)$ in $G$ for which $\{i, j\}$ is a non-edge.

Proof. Whitney [9] showed that the leading terms in the chromatic polynomial of a graph $\Gamma$ with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges are $x^{n}-m x^{n-1}+\cdots$. This follows from the inclusionexclusion formula for the chromatic polynomial: $x^{n}$ is the total number of colourings of
the vertices of $\Gamma$, and for each edge $\{i, j\}$, the number of colourings in which $i$ and $j$ have the same colour is $x^{n-1}$. So in the formula (1) for $P_{\Gamma, G}(x)$, the identity element of $G$ contributes $x^{n}-m x^{n-1}+\cdots$. The only additional contributions to the coefficient of $x^{n-1}$ in $P_{\Gamma, G}(x)$ come from elements $g$ of $G$ such that only a single edge of $\Gamma$ is contracted to obtain $\Gamma / g$ and these are transpositions. A transposition $(i, j)$ makes a non-zero contribution if and only if $\{i, j\}$ is a non-edge. So the coefficient of $x^{n-1}$ is $-m+t^{0}(G)$, where $t^{0}(G)$ is the number of transpositions with this property.

On the other hand, the coefficient of $x^{n-1}$ in $F_{G}(x)$ is the number of permutations in $G$ with $n-1$ cycles, that is, the total number $t(G)$ of transpositions. So the coefficient in $(-1)^{n} F_{G}(-x)$ is $-t(G)$.

Equating the two expressions gives $m=t(G)+t^{0}(G)$, as required.
We remark that the converse to Lemma 16 does not hold: consider the group $G=S_{3} 2 S_{3}$ acting on 3 copies of $K_{3}$ (see Proposition 8: but note that ( $3 K_{3}, S_{3}$ 乙 $C_{3}$ ) is a reciprocal pair, by Proposition 21). We also observe the following corollary to Lemma 16.

Corollary 17. If $\Gamma$ is not a complete graph and $(\Gamma, G)$ is a reciprocal pair then $\Gamma$ has at most $\frac{(n-1)^{2}}{2}$ edges.

Proof. If $\Gamma$ has $\binom{n}{2}-\delta$ edges then by Lemma 16,

$$
\binom{n}{2}-\delta=t(G)+t^{0}(G) \leqslant t(G)+\delta
$$

If $0<\delta<\frac{n-1}{2}$ then

$$
t(G) \geqslant\binom{ n}{2}-2 \delta>\binom{n}{2}-(n-1)=\binom{n-1}{2}
$$

It is well-known that a permutation group of degree $n$ containing at least $\binom{n-1}{2}+1$ transpositions must be the full symmetric group. But this implies that $\Gamma$ is a complete graph, a contradiction.

According to Lemma 16 , if $\Gamma$ is not a null graph and $(\Gamma, G)$ is a reciprocal pair, then $G$ contains transpositions. Now as is well-known, if a subgroup $G$ of $S_{n}$ contains a transposition, then the transpositions generate a normal subgroup $N$ which is the direct product of symmetric groups whose degrees sum to $n$. (Some degrees may be 1, in which case the corresponding factor is absent.)

A $G$-invariant graph must induce a complete or null graph on each of these sets. Moreover, between any two such sets, we have either all possible edges or no edges.

Suppose that $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}$ are the sizes of the $N$-orbits carrying complete graphs and $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{s}$ the orbits containing null graphs. Then Lemma 16 shows that the total number of edges of the graph is

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{r}\binom{n_{i}}{2}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{s}\binom{m_{j}}{2}
$$

The first term counts edges within $N$-orbits, so the second term counts edges between different $N$-orbits.

### 3.2 Examples

Proposition 18. The following hold:
(a) Let $\Gamma$ be a null graph, and $G$ a subgroup of the symmetric group $S_{n}$. Then $P_{\Gamma, G}(x)=$ $F_{G}(x)$.
(b) Let $\Gamma$ be a complete graph, and $G$ a subgroup of the symmetric group $S_{n}$. Then $P_{\Gamma, G}(x)=x(x-1) \cdots(x-n+1)$, independent of $G$.

Proof. (a) The chromatic polynomial of a null graph on $n$ vertices is $x^{n}$. So, if $g \in G$ has $c(g)$ cycles, then $\Gamma / g$ is a null graph on $c(g)$ vertices. Thus

$$
P_{\Gamma, G}(x)=\sum_{g \in G} x^{c(g)}=F_{G}(x) .
$$

(b) In the formula (1) for $P_{\Gamma, G}(x), P_{\Gamma / g}(x)$ is 0 unless $g$ is the identity element of $G$, when it is $x(x-1) \cdots(x-n+1)$.

Corollary 19. The following hold:
(a) If $\Gamma$ is a null graph, then $(\Gamma, G)$ is a reciprocal pair if and only if $G$ contains no odd permutations.
(b) If $\Gamma$ is a complete graph, then $(\Gamma, G)$ is a reciprocal pair if and only if $G$ is the symmetric group.

Proof. (a) This follows from Proposition 3.
(b) We saw in the preceding section that, if $G=S_{n}$, then $F_{G}(x)=x(x+1) \cdots(x+n-1)$. Thus, we see that $(G, \Gamma)$ is a reciprocal pair if and only if $G$ is the symmetric group.

Proposition 20. Let $\Gamma$ be the disjoint union of graphs $\Gamma_{1}, \ldots, \Gamma_{r}$, and $G$ the direct product of groups $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{r}$, where $G_{i} \leqslant \operatorname{Aut}\left(\Gamma_{i}\right)$. Then

$$
P_{\Gamma, G}(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{r} P_{\Gamma_{i}, G_{i}}(x) .
$$

In particular, if $\left(\Gamma_{i}, G_{i}\right)$ is a reciprocal pair for $i=1, \ldots, r$, then $(\Gamma, G)$ is a reciprocal pair.

The proof is straightforward; the last statement follows from Proposition 7. The result for wreath products is similar:

Proposition 21. Let $\Gamma$ be the disjoint union of $m$ copies of the $n$-vertex graph $\Delta$. Let $G \leqslant \operatorname{Aut}(\Delta)$, and $H$ a group of permutations of degree $m$. Then

$$
P_{\Gamma, G l H}(x)=|G|^{m} F_{H}\left(P_{\Delta, G}(x) /|G|\right)
$$

In particular, if $(\Delta, G)$ is a reciprocal pair and $H$ contains no odd permutations, then $(\Gamma, G \backslash H)$ is a reciprocal pair.

Proof. Given $q$ colours, there are $P_{\Delta, G}(q) /|G|$ orbits on colourings of each copy of $\Delta$; so the overall number of orbits is the same as the number of orbits of $H$ on an $m$-vertex null graph with $P_{\Delta, G}(q) /|G|$ colours available.

For the last part, the hypotheses imply that $P_{\Delta, G}(q)=(-1)^{n} F_{G}(-q)$, and that the degree of each term in $F_{H}$ is congruent to $m \bmod 2$. So the expression evaluates to $|G|^{m} F_{H}\left(F_{G}(-x) /|G|\right)$ if either $m$ or $n$ is even, and the negative of this if both are odd; that is, $(-1)^{m n}|G|^{m} F_{H}\left(F_{G}(-x) /|G|\right)$.

We now give some more examples of reciprocal pairs.
Example 22. Let $\Gamma$ be a 4 -cycle, and $G$ its automorphism group, the dihedral group of order 8 . There are 4 edges in $\Gamma$, and 2 transpositions in $G$, each of which interchanges two non-adjacent points (an opposite pair of vertices of the 4 -cycle); so the equality of the lemma holds. Direct calculation shows that

$$
P_{\Gamma, G}(x)=x(x-1)\left(x^{2}-x+2\right), \quad F_{G}(x)=x(x+1)\left(x^{2}+x+2\right),
$$

so $P_{\Gamma, G}(x)=(-1)^{n} F_{G}(-x)$ holds in this case.
The 4 -cycle is also the complete bipartite graph $K_{2,2}$. We note that, for $n>2$, $\left(K_{n, n}, S_{n} \backslash S_{2}\right)$ is not a reciprocal pair. This can be seen from the fact that $F_{S_{n} \backslash S_{2}}(x)$ has factors $x, x+1, \ldots, x+n-1$, whereas $K_{n, n}$ has chromatic number 2 and so $x-2$ is not a factor of $P_{K_{n, n}, G}(x)$ for any $G \leqslant S_{n} 乙 S_{2}$.

We do not know whether other complete multipartite graphs support reciprocal pairs.
Example 23. Let $\Gamma$ be a path with 3 vertices and $G$ its automorphism group which is cyclic of order 2. Direct calculation shows that

$$
P_{\Gamma, G}(x)=x^{2}(x-1), \quad F_{G}(x)=x^{2}(x+1) .
$$

This graph is an example of a star graph, for which we give a complete analysis in the next section.

Example 24. Write $N_{n}$ for the null graph on $n$ vertices and let $\Gamma$ be the disjoint union of $K_{m}$ and $N_{n}$ together with all edges in between and set $G=S_{m} \times S_{n} \leqslant \operatorname{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Then $\Gamma$ has $\binom{m}{2}+m n$ edges and $G$ has $\binom{m}{2}+\binom{n}{2}$ transpositions of which $\binom{n}{2}$ correspond to non-edges in $\Gamma$. Thus, according to Lemma 16 we need $n=m+1$. Now the only elements $g \in G$ which give non-zero contribution to $P_{\Gamma, G}(x)$ lie in the $S_{n}$ component. We get:

$$
P_{\Gamma, G}(x)=x(x-1) \cdots(x-(m-1)) \cdot \sum_{g \in S_{n}}(x-m)^{c(g)}
$$

Using Proposition 18(b) and $m=n-1$ this becomes

$$
(-1)^{m} F_{S_{m}}(-x) F_{S_{n}}(-x) \cdot(-1)^{n},
$$

which is equal to $-F_{G}(-x)$ by Proposition 7.

## 4 Reciprocal pairs containing a tree

In this section we show that the only trees that can occur in a reciprocal pair are stars, and we determine the groups that can be paired with them.

Theorem 25. Suppose $\Gamma$ is a tree and $(\Gamma, G)$ is a reciprocal pair. Let $n$ be the number of vertices in $\Gamma$ and assume $n \geqslant 3$. The following hold:
(a) $n$ is odd;
(b) $\Gamma$ is a star;
(c) $\left(C_{2}\right)^{k} \leqslant G \leqslant C_{2} \backslash S_{k}$ where $n=2 k+1$.

Conversely any pair $(\Gamma, G)$ which satisfies conditions (a)-(c) is reciprocal.
In what follows we assume the following:

- $\Gamma$ is a tree;
- $(\Gamma, G)$ is a reciprocal pair;
- $n$ is the number of vertices in $\Gamma$ and $n \geqslant 3$.

Note that any two vertices interchanged by a transposition are non-adjacent. For suppose that a transposition flips an edge $\{v, w\}$. If the tree is central, then there are paths of the same length from the centre to $v$ and $w$, creating a cycle. If it is bicentral, then the same argument applies unless $\{v, w\}$ is the central edge, in which case the tree has only two vertices, a contradiction.

Lemma 26. If $n$ is odd then $(\Gamma, G)$ is a reciprocal pair if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(F_{G}(x-1)+F_{G}(-x)\right)=F_{G}(-x) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The chromatic polynomial of a tree with $r$ vertices is easily seen to be $x(x-1)^{r-1}$. Hence

$$
P(\Gamma / g)=x(x-1)^{c(g)-1}
$$

for each $g \in G$ and then

$$
P_{\Gamma, G}(x)=\sum_{g \in G} P(\Gamma / g)=\sum_{g \in G} x(x-1)^{c(g)-1}=\frac{x}{x-1} F_{G}(x-1) .
$$

Rearranging (and using that $n$ is odd) yields the Lemma.

Lemma 27. $G$ has $\frac{n-1}{2}$ transpositions; in particular, $n$ is odd.
Proof. As in Lemma 16, let $t(G)$ be the number of transpositions in $G$ and $t^{0}(G)$ be the number of transpositions $(i, j)$ in $G$ for which $i \nsim j$ in $\Gamma$. If $G$ fixes an edge $(u, v)$ of $\Gamma$ then $(u, v) \in G$ implies $n=2$, a contradiction. Thus $(u, v) \notin G$, and every transposition in $G$ is a non-edge. Hence $t^{0}(G)=t(G)$ so $2 t(G)=n-1$ by Lemma 16.

Lemma 28. $\left(C_{2}\right)^{k} \leqslant G \leqslant C_{2} \backslash S_{k}$ where $n=2 k+1$.
Proof. The transpositions in a permutation group $G$ generate a normal subgroup $H$ which is a direct product of symmetric groups. If there are two non-disjoint transpositions in $G$, one of the direct factors is a symmetric group with degree at least 3 , and hence $F_{H}(x)$ has a root -2 by Propositions 12 and 7 . Then by Proposition 6, $F_{G}(x)$ has a root -2 . By Lemma 26 with $x=2$,

$$
0=F_{G}(-2)=2\left(F_{G}(1)+F_{G}(-2)\right)=2 F_{G}(1)=2|G|,
$$

a contradiction. So the transpositions are pairwise disjoint, and generate a subgroup $\left(C_{2}\right)^{k}$ with $n=2 k+1$ by Lemma 27. Thus the conclusion of the lemma holds.

Lemma 29. $\Gamma$ is a star.
Proof. Let $v$ be the unique fixed point of $G$. By Lemma 28, for each $u \neq v$ there exists a unique vertex $u^{\prime}$ with $\left(u, u^{\prime}\right) \in G$. This is possible only if each $u$ has distance 1 from $v$. Hence $\Gamma$ is a star.

Proof of Theorem 25. (a),(b) and (c) follow from Lemmas 27, 29 and 28 respectively. Conversely, suppose that (a),(b) and (c) hold. Then $G=C_{2}$ 〕 $K$ for some permutation group $K$ of degree $k$. By Proposition $8, F_{G}(x)=x \cdot 2^{k} F_{K}(x(x+1) / 2)$. Now it is clear that

$$
-\frac{F_{G}(-x)}{x}=2^{k} \cdot F_{K}\left(\frac{x(x-1)}{2}\right)=\frac{F_{G}(x-1)}{x-1}
$$

so that (2) holds and we deduce from Lemma 26 that $(\Gamma, G)$ is a reciprocal pair. Our proof is complete.

Given a set of reciprocal pairs $\left(\Gamma_{1}, G_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(\Gamma_{m}, G_{m}\right)$ with each $\Gamma_{i}$ a star we can take direct products and wreath products (using Propositions 20 and 21) to obtain reciprocal pairs $(\Gamma, G)$ with $\Gamma$ a forest. We do not know whether all such pairs arise in this way.
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