

## Corrigendum: Competition between hydrogen and halogen bonding in halogenated 1-methyluracil:water systems

Simon W.L. Hogan,<sup>1</sup> Tanja van Mourik<sup>1</sup>

Correspondence to: Tanja van Mourik (E-mail: [tanja.vanmourik@st-andrews.ac.uk](mailto:tanja.vanmourik@st-andrews.ac.uk))

This article corrects:

Competition between hydrogen and halogen bonding in halogenated 1-methyluracil:water systems, Volume 37, Issue 8, 763-770. Article first published online: 15 January 2016.

<sup>1</sup> EaStCHEM School of Chemistry, University of St Andrews, North Haugh, St Andrews KY16 9ST (UK)

The counterpoise (CP)-corrected interaction energies of the I- and At-containing complexes consisting of halogenated 1-methyl-uracil and one water molecule (XmU-H<sub>2</sub>O; X = I, At) should be 2.20 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> more negative than reported, see updated Table 3 below, and the scans for ImU-H<sub>2</sub>O and AtU-H<sub>2</sub>O reported in Figure 5 should be shifted down by 2.20 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. Likewise, the interaction energies of the XmU-(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub> complexes (X = I, At) should be 4.40 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> more negative than reported. Thus, the interaction energies of the halogen-bonded (X-bonded) ImU(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub> and AtmU-(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub> complexes (with the water dimer located between C5-X and C4=O4), reported in Table 4, should be -73.4 and -79.3 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, and those of the "Above ring" complexes should be -88.2 and -87.3 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

The CP-corrected interaction energies of the XmU-(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>n</sub> complexes (X = F, Cl, Br, I, At; n = 1, 2) were calculated by subtracting the energies of the optimised isolated fragments (XmU and water) from the CP-corrected dimer energy. We had used the 6-31+G(d) basis set for all atoms except I and At, for which the aug-cc-pVDZ-PP basis set was

used. Gaussian<sup>[1]</sup> uses by default 6 Cartesian d-functions in the 6-31+G(d) basis set. However, we had not realised that, when specifying basis sets via the "Gen" keyword (which is required when using different basis sets for different atoms), Gaussian uses by default 5 spherical harmonic d-functions for all atoms. Thus, 5 d-functions were used for the calculation of the CP-corrected dimer energy and isolated ImU and AtmU, whereas 6 d-functions were used for isolated H<sub>2</sub>O. For consistency, spherical harmonic (5 d) basis functions should have been used for isolated H<sub>2</sub>O (or, alternatively, 6 d-functions should have been used for all other calculations). Using 5 d-functions instead of 6 d-functions for the isolated water calculation makes the energy of the water molecule less negative by 2.20 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, leading to the corrections listed above. These corrections make the increase in halogen-bond interaction energy from Cl or Br to At more linear, particularly for the system containing two water molecules. Note that, with this correction, the hydrogen-bond (H-bond) strength of the H-bonded XmU-H<sub>2</sub>O systems increases going down the halogen-bond group, and does not peak at the BrmU-H<sub>2</sub>O complex, as initially reported.

Table 3. Interaction energies (in  $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) of minima and transition states (between H-bonded and X-bonded minima)

Stationary point	X=H	X=F	X=Cl	X=Br	X=I	X=At
H-bonded	-35.8	-24.3	-24.4	-25.2	-25.4	-26.5
X-bonded	--	--	--	-12.2	-18.6	-25.5
Transition state	--	--	--	-11.7	-13.8	-15.7
Out-of-plane	--	-24.7	-24.5	-24.8	-24.6	-24.2
Second H-bonded	--	-25.2	-23.8	-24.7	-25.2	-24.5

We report two additional corrections: (i) The  $\text{C5-X}\cdots\text{Ow}$  angle for the H-bonded minimum (X=F) should be  $117^\circ$  instead of  $81^\circ$

and (ii) In Table 4 (first line) Table 6 (footnote) "C6=O6" should read "C4=O4".

## References and Notes

- [1] M. J. Frisch; G. W. Trucks; H. B. Schlegel; G. E. Scuseria; M. A. Robb; J. R. Cheeseman; G. Scalmani; V. Barone; B. Mennucci; G. A. Petersson; H. Nakatsuji; M. Caricato; X. Li; H. P. Hratchian; A. F. Izmaylov; J. Bloino; G. Zheng; J. L. Sonnenberg; M. Hada; M. Ehara; K. Toyota; R. Fukuda; J. Hasegawa; M. Ishida; T. Nakajima; Y. Honda; O. Kitao; H. Nakai; T. Vreven; J. Montgomery, J. A.; J. E. Peralta; F. Ogliaro; M. Bearpark; J. J. Heyd; E. Brothers; K. N. Kudin; V. N. Staroverov; R. Kobayashi; J. Normand; K. Raghavachari; A. Rendell; J. C. Burant; S. S. Iyengar; J. Tomasi; M. Cossi; N. Rega; J. M. Millam; M. Klene; J. E. Knox; J. B. Cross; V. Bakken; C. Adamo; J. Jaramillo; R. Gomperts; R. E. Stratmann; O. Yazyev; A. J. Austin; R. Cammi; C. Pomelli; J. W. Ochterski; R. L. Martin; K. Morokuma; V. G. Zakrzewski; G. A. Voth; P. Salvador; J. J. Dannenberg; S. Dapprich; A. D. Daniels; Ö. Farkas; J. B. Foresman; J. V. Ortiz; J. Cioslowski; D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 09*, Revision A.02, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2009.