

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Analysis of the genetic diversity and mRNA expression level in porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus vaccinated pigs that developed short or long viremias after challenge

Citation for published version:

Cortey, M, Arocena, G, Ait-ali, T, Vidal, A, Li, Y, Martín-valls, G, Wilson, AD, Archibald, AL, Mateu, E & Darwich, L 2018, 'Analysis of the genetic diversity and mRNA expression level in porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus vaccinated pigs that developed short or long viremias after challenge' Veterinary Research, vol. 49, no. 1, 19. DOI: 10.1186/s13567-018-0514-1

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1186/s13567-018-0514-1

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In: Veterinary Research

Publisher Rights Statement:

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



SHORT REPORT







Analysis of the genetic diversity and mRNA expression level in porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus vaccinated pigs that developed short or long viremias after challenge

Martí Cortey^{1*†}, Gaston Arocena^{1†}, Tahar Ait-Ali², Anna Vidal¹, Yanli Li¹, Gerard Martín-Valls¹, Alison D. Wilson², Allan L. Archibald², Enric Mateu^{1,3} and Laila Darwich^{1,3}

Abstract

Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSv) infection alters the host's cellular and humoral immune response. Immunity against PRRSv is multigenic and vary between individuals. The aim of the present study was to compare several genes that encode for molecules involved in the immune response between two groups of vaccinated pigs that experienced short or long viremic periods after PRRSv challenge. These analyses include the sequencing of four SLA Class I, two Class II allele groups, and CD163, plus the analysis by quantitative realtime qRT-PCR of the constitutive expression of TLR2, TLR3, TLR4, TLR7, TLR8 and TLR9 mRNA and other molecules in peripheral blood mononuclear cells.

Introduction, methods and results Introduction

Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome (PRRS) is one of the most economically important swine diseases worldwide [1]. Currently, two species of PRRS virus are recognized: PRRSv-1 and PRRSv-2 both within the genus *Porartevirus*, Family *Arteriviridae*. The genome size of PRRSv is about 15 Kb with at least 10 open reading frames (ORFs). ORF1a and 1b encode for two polyproteins that after enzymatic cleavage will result in at least 14 non-structural proteins (Nsps) involved in viral replication, plus at least two other proteins encoded after a ribosomal frameshift [2]. The 3' end of the viral genome (ORFs 2a to 7) encodes five minor (GP2a, GP3, GP4,

*Correspondence: marti.cortey@uab.cat

[†]Martí Cortey and Gaston Arocena contributed equally to this work

¹ Departament de Sanitat i d'Anatomia Animals, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Cerdanyola Del Vallès, Spain



The primary target of PRRSv are CD163⁺ macrophages that are common in lungs and lymphoid organs, particularly tonsil [4]. The entry of the virus into target cells involves: (i) an initial attachment to the cell surface, probably mediated by heparan sulfate (HS) [5] and porcine sialoadhesin-1 [6]—also known as CD169—or other sialoadhesins [7], probably by interacting with the GP5-M heterodimer, (ii) the internalization of the virus by endocytosis, (iii) the interaction with CD163, driven by the viral GP2-GP3-GP4-E complex, and (iv) the subsequent release of the viral genome into the cytoplasm [4]. Of the abovementioned receptors CD163 is considered to be the essential one. Actually, gene-edited pigs lacking the exon 7 of CD163 resulted in the generation of porcine alveolar macrophages (PAMs), and macrophages derived from peripheral blood completely resistant to both PRRSv-1 and 2 [8].

A key feature of PRRSv infection is the alteration of the host's cellular and humoral immune response (reviewed



© The Author(s) 2018. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/ publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

by [9]). Typically, PRRSv shows strong inhibitory effects on Type I interferons (IFN- β , IFN- α), affects the expression of several interleukins (i.e. IL-1, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, TNF- α) and down-regulates swine leucocyte class I (SLA-I) antigens [10]. Also, several pattern recognition toll-like receptors (TLR3, TLR7, TLR8 and TLR9) are involved in the interaction between PRRSv and the host immune system [11]. It is increasingly evident that different strains may have a different potential for interfering with the pig immune system [10, 12]. Besides, host's genetic traits may influence the immune response after PRRSv vaccination [13], or the course of PRRSv infection [14]. For instance, the risk of infection has been suggested to be related with several single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in CD163 and CD169 [15]. Also, other SNPs and microRNAs (miRs) especially in proteins involved in antiviral and inflammatory response have been associated to differential susceptibility to PRRSv infection [16-19].

In general, it is assumed that PRRSv only induces partial protection against heterologous strains [20] being impossible by now to forecast the degree of protection between two different isolates. In addition, large individual variation in the immune response is seen between individuals. For example, some individuals may show full or almost protection against a given isolate, while others are only partially protected or not protected at all [13].

The most widely admitted concept is that immunity against PRRSv is multigenic and may substantially vary between individuals. The aim of the present study was to compare several genes that encode for molecules involved in the immune response between two groups of vaccinated pigs that experienced short or long viremic periods after PRRSv challenge. These analyses include the sequencing of four SLA Class I, two Class II allele groups, and CD163 (Table 1), plus the analysis by quantitative realtime RT-PCR of the constitutive expression of TLR2, TLR3, TLR4, TLR7, TLR8 and TLR9 mRNA and other molecules in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) (Table 2).

Animal experiment

Samples selected come from animals studied in a transmission by contact experiment of PRRSv-1 [21]. Briefly, commercial cross-breed pigs were vaccinated with a live modified commercial vaccine and challenged with the PRRSv-1 strain CReSA3267 (Accession Number JF276435) 30 days later. Animals were bled at several time points before and after challenge. The viral load was quantified in sera samples by means of one step RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) targeting PRRSv ORF7. According to the duration of the viremia, 20 pigs were chosen and classified for the purposes of the present study as shortviremic (namely less than 5 days of viremia after challenge, SV, n = 8) or long-viremic (LV, ≥ 5 days of viremia, n = 12). The spleens and PBMCs of the selected animals collected at the end of the study (30 days post-challenge) were used for sequencing, TLR and cytokine expression analyses.

Allele Group/gene	Amplicon size (in bp)	Contigs obtained	Mean coverage	PCR primers
SLA Class I				
SLA-1*07 ^a	220	9	2	SLA-1*07XX F 5'-GCCGGGTCTCACACCATCCAGAT-3'
				SLA-1*07XX R 5'-GGCCCTGCAGGTAGCTCCTCAAT-3'
SLA-1*08 ^a	577	18	2	SLA-1*08XX F 5'-CGCGTGGACTCCCGCTTCTTCATT-3'
				SLA-1*08XX R 5'-CCAGGAGCGCAGGTCCTCGTT-3'
SLA-2*05ª	544	19	2	SLA-2*05XX F 5'-CGAGTGAACCTGCGCACAGCTCTT-3'
				SLA-2*05XX R 5'-CTGCAGCGTGTCCTTCCCCATCTC-3'
SLA-3*04 ^a	192	20	2	SLA-3*04XX F 5'-GGAAGCCCCGTTTCATCGAA-3'
				SLA-3*04XX R 5'-GCAGGTTTTTCAGGTTCACTCGGA-3'
SLA Class II				
SLA-2*DQA ^b	898	20	3.38	DQAIF3 5'-CTAGAGACTGTGCCACAGATGAAG-3'
				DQAe3R1 5'-ACAGATGAGGGTGTTGGGCTGA-3'
SLA-2*DRB ^c	1308	12	2.51	DRBi1F9 5'-GCGGTGCCTTCAGCCTTTTCAGGAG-3'
				DRBi2R9 5'-AACAGTAGCAACTGTTTTGAGAGC-3'
CD163 ^d	3813	11	3.55	CD163-Ex7Fw 5'-ATTCTGACTTCTCTCTGGAGGC-3'
				CD163-2700R 5'-GAGATGATGGGCACTGCCATAT-3'

Table 1 SLA Class I and Class II, allele groups and CD163 analysed by sanger sequencing

Length of the PCR fragments amplified, number of contigs obtained (out of 20 analysed), mean coverage per position of the contigs obtained and primers used.

^a [27], ^b [28], ^c [29], ^d [16].

Gene	Ensemble gene	Amplicon size (bp)	PCR primers
TLRs			
TLR2 ^a	ENSSSCG0000009002	162	TLR2-F 5'-TCACTTGTCTAACTTATCATCCTCTTG-3'
			TLR2-R 5'-TCAGCGAAGGTGTCATTATTGC-3'
TLR3 ^a	ENSSSCG0000015801	112	TLR3-F 5'-AGTAAATGAATCACCCTGCCTAGCA-3'
			TLR3-R 5'-GCCGTTGACAAAACACATAAGGACT-3'
TLR4 ^a	ENSSSCG0000005503	108	TLR4-F 5'-GCCATCGCTGCTAACATCATC-3'
			TLR4-R 5'-CTCATACTCAAAGATACACCATCGG-3'
TLR5 ^a	Gene ID 100144476	156	TLR5-F 5'-CTCGCCCACCACATTA-3'
			TLR5-R 5'-TGAGGGTCCCAAAGAGT-3'
TLR7 ^a	ENSSSCT00000035232.2		TLR7-F 5'-GGGAAAGCTCCAGTATCTGC-3'
			TLR7-R 5'-TGAGGCTTCTGGAACAGTTG-3'
TLR8 ^a	ENSSSCG0000012118	105	TLR8-F 5'-AAGACCACCACCAACTTAGCC-3'
			TLR8-R 5'-GACCCTCAGATTCTCATCCATCC-3'
TLR9 ^a	ENSSSCG0000011436	122	TLR9-F 5'-CACGACAGCCGAATAGCAC-3'
			TLR9-R 5'-GGGAACAGGGAGCAGAGC-3'
$TNF-\alpha^a$	ENSSSCG0000001404	102	TNF-α-F 5'-TGGTGGTGCCGACAGATGG-3'
IFN-γ ^a	ENSSSCT00000055560.1	132	TNFG-F-5'-CTGGGAAACTGAATGACTTCG-3' TNFG-R-5'-TCTGACTTCTCTTCCGCTTTC-3'

Table 2 Genes analysed by RT-qPCR. Length of the PCR fragments amplified, and primers used

^a [30].

Sanger sequencing

Table 1 depicts the host genes analysed and the primers used. Total DNA from spleen samples was extracted using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). PCR products were amplified using the AccuPrime[™] Pfx DNA polymerase (Fisher Scientific, Hampton, USA) following the guidelines and the PCR conditions recommended by the supplier and the references provided. PCR products were sequenced using BigDye (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA) and chromatograms were aligned with SeqMan v7 (DNASTAR, Madison, USA). Mean coverages of the final contigs ranged between 2 and 3.55 lectures per position. Double peak ambiguities in heterozygote individuals were assigned to individual alleles by comparing them with the chromatograms of the homozygote ones. Thus, for every studied animal and gene analysed two alleles were built up. The evolutionary relationships among alleles were analysed by means of a Neighbor-Joining (NJ) tree based on the pairwise distance matrix, calculated with the Tamura-Nei model. The confidence of the tree internal branches were calculated with 1000 bootstrap pseudo-replicates.

All trees, irrespective of being calculated from the four SLA-Class I allele groups, the two SLA-Class II, or the CD163 datasets, showed a mixed clustering of SV and LV animals. Figure 1 shows as an example the phylogenetic trees obtained for the CD163 and the SLA-1*04 allele group. Moreover, in the present study, the three

CD163 SNPs associated with PRRSv risk infection by [15] did not showed differential results between SV and LV groups: for the SNP A2552G the combination AA, associated with lower infections risk, was present in all but one pig; the higher risk genotype for the SNP G2277A was not present in any of the studied pigs; and in the SNP C2700A the higher risk genotype AA was present in a single individual, the same that not harboured the lower risk AA genotype for the A2552G SNP.

qRT-PCR

The constitutive levels of mRNA expression of different TLRs, TNF- α and IFN- γ were analysed in PBMCs (Table 2). Total RNA was extracted using Trizol (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) according to the manufacturer's instructions. To reduce DNA contamination, DNase digestion was conducted, followed with a further purification step using the RNeasy miniki (Qiagen, Crawley, UK). RNA samples were processed by a qRT-PCR one step (Brilliant III Ultra-Fast SYBR Green QRT-PCR Master Mix, Agilent technologies) with a Stratagene MX3000P (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA, USA). Samples were tested in triplicate, B-actin served as the housekeeping gene and results were calculated as described previously [22]. Before comparing groups all Ct values were normalized with the corresponding values of the housekeeping gene. Figure 2 shows the mean and SD cycle threshold number as a measure of the mRNA expression. Significant differences between groups were observed for TNF- α and





TLR2 (p < 0.05); while a trend was observed for TLR8 and TLR9 (p = 0.06). In all these cases, the mean Ct values observed for the SV group were higher compared to the LV group, indicating a lower mRNA expression in pigs with shorter viremic periods.

Discussion

The available literature about the genetics of host response to PRRSv show that several genes are involved in differential responses against the infection. Significant variation exists for a number of immune traits that at least include: antibody response, proliferative and cytokine responses of mononuclear cells, delayed-type hypersensitivity reactions, leukocyte number, and differential white blood cell counts (reviewed in [23]). Indeed, intrinsic immune differences have been reported among pig breeds [18, 22, 23], within herds [13] and even among tissues within an individual.

The results obtained in this study indicate a lack of correlation between the length and titre of the viremia, in vaccinated pigs and the clustering of the sequences of CD163, four SLA class I and two SLA class II allele groups (Figure 1). However, it should be noted that the low number of SLA allele groups analysed in the present study may certainly underrepresent the existing diversity. One of the most remarkable features of the SLA region is the extremely high degree of genetic polymorphism. More than a hundred allele groups have been defined for both SLA I (129) and SLA II (167); each of which present several alleles. For instance, 44 alleles have been described among the 12 SLA-1 allele groups; while, the 14 DRB1 allele groups present a total of 82 alleles [24]. Similarly, the amount of nucleotide diversity detected for the CD163 dataset (34 variable positions along the 3813 bp analysed) was not correlated with the length of the viremia (Figure 1). Also, none of the CD163 SNPs associated to PRRSv risk infection [15] were differentially expressed between SV and LV animals. Recent results from CD163 knockout pigs [25] indicate the complete absence of PRRSv-1 infection in PAMs and a substantial reduction in PRRSv-2, suggesting the pivotal role of CD163 in PRRSv-1 infection that may not be necessarily related with the length of the viremia. Finally, the constitutive mRNA expression levels of most analysed TLR genes in PBMC did not report significant differences with the exception of TLR2 and TNF-α expression (Figure 2). Interestingly, PBMCs of pigs with SV presented a lower constitutive mRNA levels of TNF-a and TLR2 than pigs with LV. This finding is noteworthy as it could indicate that a more limited inflammatory response may be operating in these pigs since exacerbated inflammatory responses in PRRSV-infected pigs have been correlated with more persistent infections and a worse clinical resolution [26]. Generally speaking, the results does not support, for the genes examined, the existence of a clear allele combination or host genetic profile that can be correlated with the length of the viremia in vaccinated

Competing interests

animals.

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

MC, GA, AV and YL performed the sequencing lab work; TAA, LD, ADW and ALA did the qRT-PCR lab work; MC, GA, GMV, LD, TAA and EM analysed the data, discussed the results and wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

M Cortey was funded by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (project AGL2014-61204-JIN). L Darwich was granted by the Spanish Ministry of Education, Culture and Sports (Grant Code CAS14/00139). AL Archibald, AD Wilson and T Ait-Ali acknowledge support from BBSRC Institute Strategic Programme Grants (BB/J004227/1, BB/P013740/1).

Ethics statement

The animal sera and tissue samples analysed were re-used from a former project (RTA2011/000119), where EU and national legislation on ethics in research were strictly met.

Author details

¹ Departament de Sanitat i d'Anatomia Animals, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Cerdanyola Del Vallès, Spain. ² The Roslin Institute and Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies, University of Edinburgh, Midlothian EH25 9RG, UK. ³ IRTA, Centre de Recerca en Sanitat Animal (CReSA, IRTA-UAB), Campus de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Cerdanyola Del Vallès, Spain.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 13 December 2017 Accepted: 8 February 2018 Published online: 15 February 2018

References

- Nathues H, Alarcon P, Rushton J, Jolie R, Fiebig K, Jimenez M, Geurts V, Nathues C (2017) Cost of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus at individual farm level—an economic disease model. Prev Vet Med 142:16–29
- Li Y, Treffers EE, Napthine S, Tas A, Zhu L, Sun Z, Bell S, Mark BL, van Veelen PA, van Hemert MJ, Firth AE, Brierley I, Snijder EJ, Fang Y (2014) Transactivation of programmed ribosomal frameshifting by a viral protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 111:E2172–E2181
- Wang L, Hou J, Gao L, Guo XK, Yu Z, Zhu Y, Liu Y, Tang J, Zhang H, Feng WH (2014) Attenuation of highly pathogenic porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus by inserting an additional transcription unit. Vaccine 32:5740–5748
- Calvert JG, Slade DE, Sl Shields, Jolie R, Mannan RM, Ankenbauer RG, Welch SKW (2007) CD163 expression confers susceptibility to porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome viruses. J Virol 81:7371–7379
- Jusa ER, Inaba Y, Kouno M, Hirose O (1997) Effect of heparin on infection of cells by porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus. Am J Vet Res 58:488–491
- Van Breedam W, Delputte PL, Van Gorp H, Misinzo G, Vanderheijden N, Duan X, Nauwynck HJ (2010) Porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virusentry into the porcine macrophage. J Gen Virol 91:1659–1667
- 7. Xie J, Christiaens I, Yang B, Breedam WV, Cui T, Nauwynck HJ (2017) Molecular cloning of porcine Siglec-3, Siglec-5 and Siglec-10, and

identification of Siglec-10 as an alternative receptor for porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV). J Gen Virol 98:2030–2042

- Burkard C, Lillico SG, Reid E, Jackson B, Mileham AJ, Ait-Ali T, Whitelaw CBA, Archibald AL (2017) Precision engineering for PRRSV resistance in pigs: macrophages from genome edited pigs lacking CD163 SRCR5 domain are fully resistant to both PRRSV genotypes while maintaining biological function. PLoS Pathog 13:e1006206
- Rahe MC, Murtaugh MP (2017) Mechanisms of adaptive immunity to porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus. Viruses 9:E148
- Darwich L, Díaz I, Mateu E (2010) Certainties, doubts and hypotheses in porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus immunobiology. Virus Res 154:123–132
- 11. Kuzemtseva L, de la Torre E, Martín G, Soldevila F, Ait-Ali T, Mateu E, Darwich L (2014) Regulation of toll-like receptors 3, 7 and 9 in porcine alveolar macrophages by different genotype 1 strains of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 158:189–198
- 12. Weesendorp E, Stockhofe-Zurwieden N, Popma-De Graaf DJ, Fijten H, Rebel JM (2013) Phenotypic modulation and cytokine profiles of antigen presenting cells by European subtype 1 and 3 porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus strains in vitro and in vivo. Vet Microbiol 167:638–650
- Ait-Ali T, Díaz I, Soldevila F, Cano E, Li Y, Wilson AD, Giotti B, Archibald AL, Mateu E, Darwich L (2016) Distinct functional enrichment of transcriptional signatures in pigs with high and low IFN-gamma responses after vaccination with a porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV). Vet Res 47:104
- Boddicker NJ, Bjorkquist A, Rowland RR, Lunney JK, Reecy JM, Dekkers JC (2014) Genome-wide association and genomic prediction for host response to porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus infection. Genet Sel Evol 46:18
- Ren YW, Zhang YY, Affara NA, Sargent CA, Yang LG, Zhao JL, Fang LR, Wu JJ, Fang R, Tong Q, Xiao J, Li JL, Jiang YB, Chen HC, Zhang SJ (2012) The polymorphism analysis of CD169 and CD163 related with the risk of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) infection. Mol Biol Rep 39:9903–9909
- Niu P, Shabir N, Khatum A, Seo BJ, Gu S, Lee SM, Lim SK, Kim KS, Kim WI (2016) Effect of polymorphisms in the GBP1, Mx1 and CD163 genes on host responses to PRRSV infection in pigs. Vet Microbiol 182:187–195
- Koltes J, Fritz-Waters E, Eisley CJ, Choi I, Bao H, Kommadath A, Serao NVL, Boddicker NJ, Abrams SM, Schroyen M, Loyd H, Tuggle CK, Plastow GS, Guan L, Stothard P, Lunney JK, Liu P, Carpenter S, Rowland RRR, Dekkers JCM, Reecy JM (2015) Identification of a putative quantitative trait nucleotide in guanylate binding protein 5 for host response to PRRS virus infection. BMC Genomics 16:412
- 18. Li Y, Sun Y, Xing F, Kang L, Wang P, Wang L, Liu H, Li Y, Jiang Y (2014) Identification of a single nucleotide promoter polymorphism regulating the transcription of ubiquitin specific protease 18 gene related to the resistance to porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus infection. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 162:65–71
- Wang D, Cao L, Xu Z, Fang L, Zhong Y, Chen Q, Luo R, Chen H, Li K, Xiao S (2013) MiR-125b reduces porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome

virus replication by negatively regulating the NF- $\kappa\rm B$ pathway. PLoS ONE 8:e55838

- Mengeling WL, Lager KM, Vorwald AC, Koehler KJ (2003) Strain specificity of the immune response of pigs following vaccination with various strains of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus. Vet Microbiol 93:13–24
- Pileri E, Gibert E, Martín-Valls GE, Nofrarias M, López-Soria S, Martín M, Díaz I, Darwich L, Mateu E (2017) Transmission of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus 1 to and from vaccinated pigs in a oneto-one model. Vet Microbiol 201:18–25
- 22. Ait-Ali T, Wilson AD, Carré W, Westcott DG, Frossard JP, Mellencamp MA, Mouzaki D, Matika O, Waddington D, Drew TW, Bishop SC, Archibald AL (2011) Host inhibits replication of European porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus in macrophages by altering differential regulation of type-I interferon transcriptional response. Immunogenetics 63:437–448
- 23. Ait-Ali T, Wilson AD, Westcott DG, Clapperton M, Waterfall M, Mellencamp MA, Drew TW, Bishop SC, Archibald AL (2007) Innate immune responses to replication of porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus in isolated swine alveolar macrophages. Viral Immunol 20:105–118
- 24. Lunney JK, Ho CK, Wysocki M, Smith DM (2009) Molecular genetics of the swine major histocompatibility complex, the SLA complex. Develop Comp Immunol 33:362–374
- 25. Wells KD, Bardot R, Whitworth KM, Trible BR, Fang Y, Mileham A, Kerrigan MA, Samuel MS, Prather RS, Rowland RR (2017) Replacement of porcine CD163 scavenger receptor cysteine-rich domain 5 with a CD163-like homolog confers resistance of pigs to genotype 1 but not genotype 2 porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus. J Virol 91:e01521–e01616
- Lunney JK, Fritz ER, Reecy JM, Kuhar D, Prucnal E, Molina R, Christopher-Hennings J, Zimmerman J, Rowland RR (2010) Interleukin-8, interleukin-1beta, and interferon-gamma levels are linked to PRRS virus clearance. Viral Immunol 23:127–134
- Pedersen LE, Jungersen G, Sorensen MR, Ho CS, Vadekæra DF (2014) Swine leukocyte antigen (SLA) class I allele typing of Danish swine herds and identification of commonly occurring haplotypes using sequence specific low and high resolution primers. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 162:108–116
- Le MT, Choi H, Choi MK, Nguyen DT, Kim JH, Seo HG, Cha SY, Seo K, Chun T, Schook LB, Park C (2012) Comprehensive and high-resolution typing of swine leukocyte antigen DQA from genomic DNA and determination of 25 new SLA class II haplotypes. Tissue Antigens 80:528–535
- Thong LM, Choi H, Kwon OJ, Kim JH, Kim YB, Oh JW, Seo K, Yeom SC, Lee WJ, Park C (2011) Systematic analysis of swine leukocyte antigen-DRB1 nucleotide polymorphisms using genomic DNA-based high-resolution genotyping and identification of new alleles. Tissue Antigens 77:572–583
- Li W, Liu S, Wang Y, Deng F, Yan W, Yang K, Chen H, He Q, Charreyre C, Audoneet JC (2013) Transcription analysis of the porcine alveolar macrophage response to porcine circovirus type 2. BMC Genomics 14:353

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

• Maximum visibility for your research

