

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

18F-Sodium Fluoride Uptake in Abdominal Aortic Aneurysms

Citation for published version:

Forsythe, RO, Dweck, MR, McBride, OMB, Vesey, AT, Semple, SI, Shah, ASV, Adamson, PD, Wallace, W, Kaczynski, J, Ho, W, van Beek, EJR, Gray, CD, Fletcher, A, Lucatelli, C, Marin, A, Burns, P, Tambyraja, A, Chalmers, RTA, Weir, G, Mitchard, N, Tavares, A, Robson, JMJ & Newby, DE 2018, '18F-Sodium Fluoride Uptake in Abdominal Aortic Aneurysms: The SoFIA3 Study' Journal of the American College of Cardiology, vol. 71, no. 5, pp. 513-523. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.11.053

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1016/j.jacc.2017.11.053

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In: Journal of the American College of Cardiology

Publisher Rights Statement:

Open Access funded by Chief Scientist Office Under a Creative Commons license

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY © 2018 THE AUTHORS. PUBLISHED BY ELSEVIER ON BEHALF OF THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY FOUNDATION. THIS IS AN OPEN ACCESS ARTICLE UNDER THE CC BY LICENSE (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

¹⁸F-Sodium Fluoride Uptake in Abdominal Aortic Aneurysms The SoFIA³ Study



Rachael O. Forsythe, MD,^{a,b,c} Marc R. Dweck, MD,^{a,b,c} Olivia M.B. McBride, MD,^{a,b,c} Alex T. Vesey, MD,^{a,b} Scott I. Semple, PHD,^{a,b,c} Anoop S.V. Shah, MD,^a Philip D. Adamson, MD,^a William A. Wallace, MD,^c Jakub Kaczynski, MD,^{a,b,c} Weiyang Ho, MD,^a Edwin J.R. van Beek, MD,^{b,c} Calum D. Gray, PHD,^b Alison Fletcher, PHD,^b Christophe Lucatelli, PHD,^b Aleksander Marin, MD,^{a,b} Paul Burns, MD,^c Andrew Tambyraja, MD,^c Roderick T.A. Chalmers, MD,^c Graeme Weir, MD,^{b,c} Neil Mitchard, BS,^{b,c} Adriana Tavares, PHD,^{a,b} Jennifer M.J. Robson, MD,^{a,c} David E. Newby, MD^{a,b,c}

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND Fluorine-18-sodium fluoride (¹⁸F-NaF) uptake is a marker of active vascular calcification associated with high-risk atherosclerotic plaque.

OBJECTIVES In patients with abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA), the authors assessed whether ¹⁸F-NaF positron emission tomography (PET) and computed tomography (CT) predicts AAA growth and clinical outcomes.

METHODS In prospective case-control (n = 20 per group) and longitudinal cohort (n = 72) studies, patients with AAA (aortic diameter >40 mm) and control subjects (aortic diameter <30 mm) underwent abdominal ultrasound, ¹⁸F-NaF PET-CT, CT angiography, and calcium scoring. Clinical endpoints were aneurysm expansion and the composite of AAA repair or rupture.

RESULTS Fluorine-18-NaF uptake was increased in AAA compared with nonaneurysmal regions within the same aorta (p = 0.004) and aortas of control subjects (p = 0.023). Histology and micro-PET-CT demonstrated that ¹⁸F-NaF uptake localized to areas of aneurysm disease and active calcification. In 72 patients within the longitudinal cohort study (mean age 73 \pm 7 years, 85% men, baseline aneurysm diameter 48.8 \pm 7.7 mm), there were 19 aneurysm repairs (26.4%) and 3 ruptures (4.2%) after 510 \pm 196 days. Aneurysms in the highest tertile of ¹⁸F-NaF uptake expanded 2.5× more rapidly than those in the lowest tertile (3.10 [interquartile range (IQR): 2.34 to 5.92 mm/year] vs. 1.24 [IQR: 0.52 to 2.92 mm/year]; p = 0.008) and were nearly 3× as likely to experience AAA repair or rupture (15.3% vs. 5.6%; log-rank p = 0.043).

CONCLUSIONS Fluorine-18-NaF PET-CT is a novel and promising approach to the identification of disease activity in patients with AAA and is an additive predictor of aneurysm growth and future clinical events. (Sodium Fluoride Imaging of Abdominal Aortic Aneurysms [SoFIA³]; NCT02229006; Magnetic Resonance Imaging [MRI] for Abdominal Aortic Aneurysms to Predict Rupture or Surgery: The MA3RS Trial; ISRCTN76413758) (J Am Coll Cardiol 2018;71:513-23) © 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier on behalf of the American College of Cardiology Foundation. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



Listen to this manuscript's audio summary by *JACC* Editor-in-Chief Dr. Valentin Fuster.



From the ^aBritish Heart Foundation Centre for Cardiovascular Science, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom; ^bEdinburgh Imaging Facility, Queen's Medical Research Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom; and the ^cNational Health Service Lothian, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom. The University of Edinburgh and National Health Service Lothian Health Board were cosponsors of this work. This study was funded by the Chief Scientist Office (ETM/365). The MA3RS study was funded by the Medical Research Council and managed by the National Institute of Healthcare Research (NIHR) on behalf of the Medical Research Council-NIHR partnership (NIHR Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation Programme; funding reference 11/20/03). The Edinburgh Clinical Research Facility and Edinburgh Imaging Facility are supported by National Health Service Research Scotland. The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Chief Scientist Office, Medical Research Council, National Health Service, NIHR, or Department of Health. Dr. Dweck is supported by the British Heart Foundation (FS/14/78/31020); and is the recipient of the Sir Jules Thorn Award for Biomedical Research 2015. Dr. McBride is

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AAA = abdominal aortic aneurysm

- CI = confidence interval
- CT = computed tomography
- FDG = fluorodeoxyglucose

MDS = most diseased segment PET = positron emission tomography

SUV = standardized uptake value

TBR = tissue-to-background ratio

USPIO = ultrasmall superparamagnetic particles of iron oxide

bdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) disease affects up to 5% of men aged 64 to 75 years, and its prevalence is increasing in more elderly populations (1). With progressive AAA expansion over time, there is an increasing risk for often fatal rupture, representing the 12th commonest cause of death among older men (2). Consequently, patients with AAA enter an ultrasound-based surveillance program, with the aim of facilitating preemptive elective aneurysm repair to avoid fatal rupture. AAA surveillance relies on serial measurements of aneurysm diameter, which is currently the best clinical predictor of further expansion and rupture (3,4). However, AAA growth is nonlinear, unpredict-

able, and influenced by biomechanical processes that cannot be predicted by conventional anatomic imaging alone (5). Indeed, aneurysms not infrequently rupture below the current threshold (55 mm in diameter) for elective repair, and many patients with aneurysms >70 mm never experience rupture (6). There is therefore a need to develop more reliable methods to identify patients who are at particular risk for AAA expansion and rupture (7).

SEE PAGE 524

In AAA disease, degradation of the extracellular matrix occurs in response to the accumulation of inflammatory cells, such as macrophages and lymphocytes, and the activation of matrix metalloproteinases. The resulting milieu of cellular inflammation, tissue destruction, and necrosis can lead to cycles of further inflammation (8). Focal "hotspots" of such intense biological activity have been identified in active aneurysm disease and can occur at the site of rupture (9). We have recently demonstrated that the positron-emitting radiotracer ¹⁸F-sodium fluoride (¹⁸F-NaF) can identify areas of early microcalcification (10) that occur in response to necrotic inflammation in ruptured or high-risk human carotid (11) and coronary (12) atherosclerotic plaques. This tracer has not been assessed in patients with AAA, although loss of tissue integrity and necrotic inflammation may be central to its pathophysiology, underlie aneurysm expansion, and ultimately predict disease progression and outcome (7). We hypothesized that ¹⁸F-NaF uptake on positron emission tomography (PET) would highlight areas of microcalcification and AAA disease activity, representing regions prone to expansion and rupture. The main aims of this study were to determine whether ¹⁸F-NaF uptake on combined PET and computed tomography (CT) is increased in AAA and whether this is associated with aneurysm growth (the primary endpoint) and subsequent rates of AAA repair or rupture.

METHODS

STUDY POPULATION. Consecutive patients older than 50 years under routine clinical surveillance with asymptomatic AAA (≥40 mm anteroposterior diameter) were recruited from the MA3RS (Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Abdominal Aortic Aneurysms to Predict Rupture or Surgery) study (ISRCTN76413758) database (13). Control subjects were recruited through the National Health Service Lothian National Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Screening Programme or the Vascular Laboratory at the Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh and had documented normal-caliber aortas (<30-mm anteroposterior diameter).

STUDY DESIGN. This was a prospective singlecenter, case-control, observational cohort study of patients with asymptomatic AAA who were under ultrasound-based surveillance as part of routine clinical follow-up and control subjects with normalcaliber abdominal aortas demonstrated on targeted screening ultrasound. The study (NCT02229006) was conducted with the written informed consent of all subjects, with approval by the research ethics committee, and in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

STUDY ASSESSMENTS. Participants underwent a clinical evaluation including documentation of medical history, concomitant medications, and family history as well as an ultrasound evaluation of the maximum anteroposterior abdominal aortic diameter. Ultrasound scans were carried out in an accredited clinical vascular science laboratory using a standardized protocol with known interobserver variability of 3.4% (14). The AAA growth rate was determined using the AAA maximum anteroposterior diameter obtained

supported by the Academic Department of Military Surgery and Trauma. Dr. Newby is supported by the British Heart Foundation (CH/09/002, RE/13/3/30183, and RM/13/2/30158); and has received a Wellcome Trust Senior Investigator Award (WT103782AIA). The authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

at baseline and the last ultrasound examination performed during study follow-up. Abdominal aortic tissue was obtained at postmortem or from patients undergoing elective AAA repair and analyzed by micro-PET-CT and histology (Online Appendix).

¹⁸F-NaF PET-CT. Patients were administered a target dose of 125 MBq of ¹⁸F-NaF intravenously and after 60 min were imaged on a hybrid 128-detector array PET-CT scanner (Biograph mCT, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) (10). A low-dose attenuation correction CT scan was performed (120 kV, 50 mAs, 5/3 mm), followed by acquisition of PET data, using 3 10-min bed positions to ensure coverage from the thoracic aorta to the aortic bifurcation. An electrocardiographically gated calcium scoring CT scan (120 kV, 120 mAs, 3/3 mm; prospective electrocardiographic gating at 50% of the R-R interval) and contrast-enhanced CT angiography (120 kV, 145 mAs, 3/3 mm, field of view 400; and 1/1 mm, field of view 300; triggered at 181 Hounsfield units) were performed, centered on the AAA (or abdominal aorta in control patients) and extending to the aortic bifurcation (Figure 1).

To estimate ¹⁸F-NaF uptake, the maximum standardized uptake values (SUVs) (a validated measure of tissue radiotracer uptake) were quantified from regions of interest (Online Appendix) (15). Maximum tissue-to-background ratios (TBRs) were then calculated, after correction for blood pool activity using the averaged mean SUVs of 3 consecutive regions of interest from the right atrium, according to our previously described technique (16). Although TBR_{max} was used for our primary analysis (12), we also investigated other methods for quantification, including SUV_{max} and corrected SUV_{max} (calculated by subtracting the blood pool activity from SUV_{max}) (17). Finally, we adopted the "most diseased segment" (MDS) approach, as suggested by others (11,16,18-20). The MDS TBR_{max} was calculated as the average TBR_{max} across 3 axial slices centered on the region of the aneurysm with the highest tracer activity (11).

CLINICAL ENDPOINTS AND ADJUDICATION. Clinical data from clinic visits, the research database, electronic health records, primary care contacts, and the General Register Office were reviewed and clinical endpoints adjudicated by the independent Clinical Endpoint Committee (Online Appendix). The committee members were blinded to the findings of PET-CT. Follow-up was censored at January 10, 2017, or at the time of event.

STATISTICAL AND DATA ANALYSIS. Baseline characteristics are reported as number (percentage) for

categorical variables and as mean \pm SD or median (interquartile range) for continuous variables, as appropriate. We stratified our patient cohort by AAA MDS TBR_{max} tertiles to assess associations with aneurysm expansion (the primary endpoint) and the clinical outcomes of aneurysm repair or rupture (the secondary endpoint). For the aneurysm growth and outcomes analysis, AAA expansion rate and MDS TBR_{max} were log-transformed (log₂) to normalize the data. One-way analysis of variance was used to compare continuous data across multiple factors, with post hoc analysis using the Bonferroni test as appropriate. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used for nonparametric continuous data and the log-rank test for comparisons of AAA event rate and mortality between tertiles. Categorical data were compared using chi-square or Fisher exact tests, and the unpaired Student's t-test was used to compare continuous outcomes between 2 independent groups. Two-tailed Pearson correlation and linear regression analysis were performed to investigate the relationship between ¹⁸F-NaF uptake and aneurysm expansion. Finally, we performed Kaplan-Meier and Cox regression analysis to investigate time to AAA event by tertile, censored at the date of death. Statistical analysis was undertaken using SPSS Statistics 23 (IBM, Armonk, New York), and significance was taken at the 2-sided 5% level (p < 0.05).

RESULTS

A total of 145 patients with AAA were screened for inclusion: 136 were approached, and 76 patients ultimately attended for the scanning visit. Four patients underwent protocol development scans, had incomplete data, and were excluded from the final analysis, leaving a total of 72 patients with AAA and 20 control subjects (Figure 2). Patients were predominantly elderly (mean age 72.5 \pm 6.9 years) men (84.7%) with multiple cardiovascular risk factors, including hypertension (65.3%) and hypercholesterolemia (81.9%) (Table 1). More than 90% were current or ex-smokers (27.8% and 65.3%, respectively), with a mean baseline AAA diameter of 48.8 \pm 7.7 mm. Control subjects were younger (mean age 65.2 \pm 2.8 years) but also predominantly men (95.0%), and 40% were current (25%) or prior (15%) smokers.

CASE-CONTROL STUDY. Twenty patients with AAA were matched for age, sex, and smoking status with the 20 control subjects (Table 1). Background blood pool activity in the right atrium was similar between groups (\log_2 SUV_{mean} -0.570 \pm 0.517 vs. -0.588 \pm 0.531; difference 0.018; 95% confidence interval





[CI]: -0.340 to 0.376; p = 0.919). Fluorine-18-NaF uptake was higher in the AAA when compared with the abdominal aorta of control subjects irrespective of the method of quantification (e.g., log_2 MDS TBR_{max} 1.712 ± 0.560 vs. 1.314 ± 0.489 ; difference 0.398; 95% CI: 0.057 to 0.739; p = 0.023) (Online Table 1). In contrast to control aortic tissue, AAA tissue demonstrated ex vivo ¹⁸F-NaF uptake that correlated with areas of tissue disruption with necrotic debris and active calcification (r = 0.808, p = 0.015) (Figure 3). Areas of ¹⁸F-NaF uptake on PET were distinct from areas of macrocalcification on CT (Online Video 1).

Patients with AAA had more cardiovascular risk factors and higher abdominal aortic CT calcium scores (\log_2 Agatston score 11.444 \pm 1.760 vs. 7.338 \pm 3.811; difference 4.105; 95% CI: 2.013 to 6.198; p = 0.001) (Online Table 1) than control subjects. However, no differences in ¹⁸F-NaF uptake were observed between these groups in either the descending thoracic aorta or the nonaneurysmal abdominal aorta.

OBSERVATIONAL COHORT STUDY. Fluorine-18-NaF uptake was again higher in the aneurysm than in the nonaneurysmal portion of the abdominal aorta ($\log_2 \text{TBR}_{max} 1.647 \pm 0.537 \text{ vs. } 1.332 \pm 0.497$; difference 0.314; 95% CI: 0.0685 to 0.560; p = 0.004) and almost double the uptake observed in the descending thoracic aorta ($\log_2 \text{TBR}_{max} 1.647 \pm 0.537 \text{ vs. } 0.881 \pm 0.414$; difference 0.766; 95% CI: 0.517 to 1.011; p < 0.0001). These differences were consistently observed regardless of the method of PET quantification.

Across the tertiles of AAA MDS TBR_{max}, there were no differences in most risk factors for AAA disease, including age, sex, smoking habit, aneurysm diameter, hypertension, and hypercholesterolemia. Although there appeared to be some differences with respect to diastolic blood pressure, body mass index, and peripheral arterial disease, the trend was inconsistent across the tertiles (**Table 1**).

¹⁸F-NaF UPTAKE AND ANEURYSM GROWTH. During 510 \pm 196 days of follow-up, the median AAA

TABLE 1 Characteristics of Study Participants									
		Cohor	Case-Control Study						
	All Patients With AAA (N = 72)	Tertile 1 (n = 24)	Tertile 2 (n = 24)	Tertile 3 (n = 24)	p Value*	Patients With AAA (n = 20)	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Control Subjects} \\ \textbf{(n=20)} \end{array}$		
Characteristics									
Age, yrs	$\textbf{72.5} \pm \textbf{6.9}$	$\textbf{73.3} \pm \textbf{7.2}$	$\textbf{72.8} \pm \textbf{7.5}$	$\textbf{71.4} \pm \textbf{6.1}$	0.640	$\textbf{66.2} \pm \textbf{2.6}$	65.2 ± 2.8		
Male	61 (84.7)	20 (83.3)	21 (85.7)	20 (83.3)	1.000	19 (95.0)	19 (95.0)		
Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg	136.7 ± 18.3	142.1 ± 18.2	132.5 ± 16.2	135.6 ± 19.9	0.178	138.1 ± 22.5	141.6 ± 14.2		
Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg	81.6 ± 11.6	84.8 ± 12.0	$\textbf{76.4} \pm \textbf{9.4}$	83.5 ± 11.9	0.024	$\textbf{84.2} \pm \textbf{16.6}$	$\textbf{80.5} \pm \textbf{8.2}$		
Heart rate, beats/min	71 ± 9	72 ± 10	70 ± 8	70 ± 8	0.694	70.2 ± 9.7	$\textbf{66.7} \pm \textbf{13.5}$		
Body mass index, kg/m ²	$\textbf{27.6} \pm \textbf{3.5}$	$\textbf{27.6} \pm \textbf{3.4}$	$\textbf{26.2} \pm \textbf{3.3}$	$\textbf{29.0} \pm \textbf{3.3}$	0.019	$\textbf{28.4} \pm \textbf{3.1}$	$\textbf{29.3} \pm \textbf{6.4}$		
Current smoker	20 (27.8)	6 (25.0)	9 (37.5)	5 (20.8)	0.407	5 (25.0)	5 (25.0)		
Medical history									
Hypertension	47 (65.3)	14 (58.3)	16 (66.7)	17 (70.8)	0.651	12 (60.0)	6 (30.0)		
Hypercholesterolemia	59 (81.9)	21 (87.5)	21 (87.5)	17 (70.8)	0.264	15 (75.0)	7 (35.0)		
Diabetes	10 (13.9)	3 (12.5)	4 (16.7)	3 (12.5)	1.000	1 (5.0)	2 (10.0)		
Ischemic heart disease	22 (30.6)	7 (29.2)	7 (29.2)	8 (33.3)	0.937	5 (25.0)	1 (5.0)		
Peripheral arterial disease	11 (15.3)	2 (8.3)	8 (33.3)	1 (4.2)	0.021	2 (10.0)	1 (5.0)		
Cerebrovascular disease	10 (13.9)	1 (4.2)	4 (16.7)	5 (20.8)	0.316	1 (5.0)	0 (0.0)		
Positive family history of AAA	9 (12.5)	2 (8.3)	4 (16.7)	3 (12.5)	0.903	3 (15.0)	2 (10.0)		
Medications									
Antiplatelet agents	51 (70.8)	19 (79.2)	18 (75.0)	14 (58.3)	0.350	11 (55.0)	3 (15.0)		
Statins	58 (80.6)	21 (87.5)	21 (87.5)	16 (66.7)	0.141	13 (65.0)	8 (40.0)		
Anticoagulant agents	2 (2.8)	1 (4.2)	0 (0.0)	1 (4.2)	1.000	0 (0.0)	1 (5.0)		
Beta-blockers	19 (26.4)	8 (33.3)	5 (20.8)	6 (25.0)	0.711	6 (30.0)	2 (10.0)		
ACE inhibitors	25 (34.7)	8 (33.3)	8 (33.3)	9 (37.5)	1.000	5 (25.0)	2 (10.0)		
Aorta									
Aortic diameter, mm	$\textbf{48.8} \pm \textbf{7.7}$	$\textbf{47.5} \pm \textbf{9.2}$	$\textbf{48.7} \pm \textbf{7.8}$	$\textbf{50.1} \pm \textbf{5.8}$	0.510	$\textbf{45.7} \pm \textbf{4.0}$	17.6 ± 2.3		
Concurrent iliac aneurysm	13 (18.1)	4 (16.7)	5 (20.8)	4 (16.7)	1.000	3 (15.0)	0.0 (0.0)		

Values are mean \pm SD or n (%). *p value for trend across the tertiles.

 $AAA = abdominal a ortic aneurysm; ACE = angiotensin-converting enzyme; {}^{18}F-NaF = {}^{18}F-sodium fluoride.$

expansion rate was 2.20 mm/year (interquartile range: 0.96 to 3.72 mm/year) (Table 2). Baseline ¹⁸F-NaF activity in the aneurysm was associated with future expansion regardless of the method of quantification (e.g., $\log_2 MDS TBR_{max} r = 0.365$; p = 0.006). When stratified by tertiles, aneurysms in the highest tertile expanded $2.5 \times$ more rapidly than those in the lowest tertile (3.10 mm/year [IQR: 2.34 to 5.92 mm/ year] vs. 1.24 mm/year [IQR: 0.52 to 2.92 mm/year]; p = 0.008) (Figure 4). Moreover, in multivariate analysis, ¹⁸F-NaF activity in the AAA (MDS TBR_{max}) emerged as a predictor of growth independent of age, sex, baseline diameter, body mass index, blood pressure, smoking, renal function, or peripheral arterial disease (p = 0.042) (Online Table 2). In contrast, the aneurysm Agatston score was not associated with future expansion (r = 0.199; p = 0.141).

¹⁸F-NaF UPTAKE AND CLINICAL EVENTS. In total, 22 patients (30.6%) met the composite endpoint of AAA repair or rupture. Of these, 19 (26.4%) underwent elective AAA repair and 3 (4.2%) experienced AAA rupture, all of whom died without repair. Five other patients died during study follow-up, all from non-AAA causes.

Patients with aneurysms in the highest tertile of ¹⁸F-NaF uptake were more likely to experience AAA repair or rupture during follow-up (15.3% vs. 5.6%; log-rank p = 0.043) (Table 2). They also had a reduced time to AAA event: 572 days versus 735 days for AAA repair (log-rank p = 0.014) and 572 days versus 709 days for the composite of AAA repair or rupture (log-rank p = 0.043) (Figure 4). In those patients who experienced AAA events, ¹⁸F-NaF activity was higher than in those who continued under surveillance without events (log₂ MDS TBR_{max} 2.20 ± 0.58 vs. 1.87 \pm 0.54; difference 0.330; 95% CI: 0.047 to 0.613; p = 0.023). In unadjusted analysis, a doubling of ¹⁸F-NaF activity in the MDS was associated with a more than 2-fold risk for experiencing AAA rupture or repair (hazard ratio: 2.16; 95% CI: 1.03 to 4.50; p = 0.041) (Table 2). When adjusted for age, sex, baseline diameter, systolic blood pressure, body mass



Ex vivo micro-positron emission tomography and computed tomography (**left**) and histology (**right**) of aortic wall excised (**A**) at postmortem in a patient without an aneurysm and (**B**) during open abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. Regions of interest (**dashed circle**) of ¹⁸F-sodium fluoride (18 F-NaF) uptake demonstrate atheromatous disease with necrosis (hematoxylin and eosin stain, magnification ×100 [Online Video 1]; **B1**) and calcification (**black**, Von Kossa stain, magnification ×200; **B2**) in the aortic aneurysm tissue that is not apparent in control aorta (**A1**, **A2**).

TABLE 2 Expansion Rate and Clinical Outcomes According to Tertiles of ¹⁸ F-Sodium Fluoride Uptake Image: Comparison of the second se										
All Patients With AAA (N = 72)	Tertile 1 (n = 24)	Tertile 2 (n = 24)	Tertile 3 (n = 24)	p Value*						
2.20 (0.96-3.73)	1.24 (0.52-2.92)	1.55 (0.81-3.12)	3.10 (2.34-5.92)	0.008						
22 (30.6)	4 (16.7)	7 (29.2)	11 (45.8)	0.043						
19 (26.4)	3 (12.5)	5 (20.8)	11 (45.8)	0.014						
3 (4.2)	1 (4.2)	2 (8.3)	0 (0.0)							
8 (11.1)	4 (16.7)	4 (16.7)	0 (0.0)	0.343						
3 (4.2)	1 (4.2)	2 (8.3)	0 (0.0)	-						
	All Patients With AAA (N = 72) 2.20 (0.96-3.73) 22 (30.6) 19 (26.4) 3 (4.2) 8 (11.1) 3 (4.2)	All Patients Tertile 1 With AAA Tertile 1 (N = 72) 1.24 (0.96-3.73) (0.52-2.92) 22 (30.6) 4 (16.7) 19 (26.4) 3 (12.5) 3 (4.2) 1 (4.2) 8 (11.1) 4 (16.7) 3 (4.2) 1 (4.2)	All Patients Tertile 1 (n = 72) Tertile 2 (n = 24) 2.20 1.24 1.55 (0.96-3.73) (0.52-2.92) (0.81-3.12) 22 (30.6) 4 (16.7) 7 (29.2) 19 (26.4) 3 (12.5) 5 (20.8) 3 (4.2) 1 (4.2) 2 (8.3) 8 (11.1) 4 (16.7) 4 (16.7) 3 (4.2) 1 (4.2) 2 (8.3)	All Patients Tertile 1 Tertile 2 Tertile 3						

Values are median (interquartile range) or n (%). *p value for trend across the tertiles. AAA = abdominal aortic aneurysm.

index, and smoking, this risk remained (hazard ratio: 2.49; 95% CI: 1.07 to 5.78; p = 0.034) (Online Table 2).

DISCUSSION

In this prospective series of clinical studies, we have demonstrated for the first time that ¹⁸F-NaF uptake is specifically increased in AAA and relates to areas of advanced aneurysmal disease. Moreover, ¹⁸F-NaF uptake is a major predictor of aneurysm expansion and clinical outcome that is additive to standard clinical risk factors, including aneurysm diameter. This is the first study to demonstrate that an imaging biomarker of disease activity can add to the risk prediction of AAA and to suggest that this approach might refine clinical decisions regarding the need for surgery and improve patient outcomes (Central Illustration).

Our studies have several major strengths and prominent observations. First, we have shown AAA tissue demonstrates markedly increased levels of ¹⁸F-NaF uptake that far exceed those seen in control volunteers. Perhaps more important, uptake of the AAA also exceeds that observed in the nonaneurysmal aorta within the same patient. Second, we demonstrate that ¹⁸F-NaF uptake localized to areas of AAA disease, highlighting diseased areas of poor tissue integrity that may be susceptible to aneurysm expansion and clinical events. Third, we assessed the potential clinical value of this technique in a cohort of patients with extended follow-up in which the clinicians responsible for the patient's care were unaware of the findings of PET-CT. It is therefore salient to note that 18F-NaF uptake predicted expansion and clinical outcomes in addition to clinical risk factors including AAA diameter, especially as the latter drives the decision for elective AAA repair. Fourth, this is the largest dedicated study using PET-CT in AAA disease to date and the first clinical study to investigate ¹⁸F-NaF PET-CT in AAA disease progression (21). Finally, this was a prospective clinical cohort study, in contrast with many previous studies of PET-CT in patients with AAA that are based on retrospective data, often obtained from cohorts derived from oncological imaging practice.

We previously demonstrated that ¹⁸F-NaF selectively binds to microcalcification in coronary (11) and carotid atherosclerotic (10,11) plaques and that this is associated with plaque vulnerability and rupture. We (11,12) and others (22) have also shown that ¹⁸F-NaF binds to areas of tissue necrosis-associated myocardial and cerebral infarction. In our present study, data from histology and micro-PET-CT indicate that this tracer behaves in a similar fashion in AAA. Increased ¹⁸F-NaF uptake was most marked in AAA tissue with advanced disease and active calcification. We suggest that ¹⁸F-NaF uptake again relates to microcalcification and is particular to the most diseased areas associated with tissue disruption and loss of integrity. Interestingly, we also showed that ¹⁸F-NaF was distinct from AAA macrocalcification detected by CT and that the latter is not associated with expansion or AAA events, suggesting that once established, dense calcified deposits represent a more stabilized biological state.

Most previous clinical studies using PET-CT in AAA disease have focused on the use of ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) to identify inflammation, with variable results and no clear clinical application. Although some groups have suggested a potential role for ¹⁸F-FDG PET-CT in predicting AAA expansion or rupture (23-25), others have disputed this and reported contradictory findings (26,27). This in part relates to the small study sample sizes and whether patients had symptomatic or inflammatory AAAs, but to date there is no clear relationship between ¹⁸F-FDG and aneurysm expansion or clinical outcome (22). Our present study was nested within another larger clinical cohort study, the MA3RS trial. This was a multicenter study of 342 patients with AAA who underwent ultrasmall superparamagnetic particles of iron oxide (USPIO)-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging to identify cellular inflammation within the aortic wall (13). This study recently reported and demonstrated that although USPIO-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging did predict AAA expansion and clinical outcome, the association was modest and was not independent of established clinical factors, including ultrasound AAA diameter (28). This suggests that imaging of cellular inflammation alone, either by ¹⁸F-FDG or USPIO-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging, is insufficient to provide additive clinical information beyond established clinical risk factors and AAA diameter. In contrast, ¹⁸F-NaF PET-CT identifies focal areas of microcalcification indicative of more advanced aneurysm disease and independently predicts both disease progression and clinical events.

We have demonstrated an important clinical application of ¹⁸F-NaF PET-CT in AAA disease. Until now, future prediction of aneurysm expansion has relied on the simple morphological parameter of aneurysm diameter. However, it is clear that AAA growth is nonlinear and cannot be predicted accurately from a simple anatomic measure, such as AAA diameter. Although we know that larger aneurysms tend to expand more rapidly and are more prone to rupture, disease evolution is not straightforward. Better AAA disease prediction using ¹⁸F-NaF uptake could be particularly useful for patients in whom the decision to intervene is challenging, such as those with high-risk aneurysms smaller than 55 mm, those with borderline aneurysm sizes, and those with larger aneurysms where the balance of risk and benefit is uncertain.

STUDY LIMITATIONS. This was a single-center proofof-concept study with a small number of rupture events, making adjustment for potential confounders and covariates challenging. Although we observed no marked differences in sex across the tertiles of ¹⁸F-NaF uptake, our study population had a strong male bias (typical of this disease population), and we cannot be certain that our findings are truly representative of both men and women. The clinical impact of this technique has not been assessed and would require a larger trial in which clinical and surgical decisions would be influenced or dictated by the findings of ¹⁸F-NaF PET-CT. The widespread implementation of this technique may be challenging, especially given the relative expense and complexity of PET-CT compared with ultrasound. However, we have demonstrated the feasibility of this technique, which uses a well-established, widely available, and relatively cheap radiotracer. Moreover, with the more widespread use and availability of PET-CT scanners, barriers to implementation are declining. There are also some inherent limitations of ¹⁸F-NaF image analysis that merit comment. Being a bone tracer, 18F-NaF is readily taken up by the vertebrae, which lie in close proximity to the abdominal aorta. In our study, it was necessary to exclude some areas of the posterior aorta because of overspill of signal. However, this is not unique to ¹⁸F-NaF, with similar issues seen with ¹⁸F-FDG uptake in



Association of ¹⁸F-sodium fluoride (¹⁸F-NaF) uptake with disease progression and clinical outcome. **(A)** Rate of aneurysm expansion (millimeters per year, log_2 transformed) across the tertiles of ¹⁸F-NaF uptake. The highest tertile expanded more rapidly than those in the lowest tertile (3.10 vs. 1.24 mm/year, respectively, p = 0.008). Cumulative event rate (censored at date of death) across the tertiles of ¹⁸F-NaF uptake for **(B)** abdominal aortic aneurysm repair or rupture (log-rank p = 0.043) and **(C)** abdominal aortic aneurysm repair (log-rank p = 0.014).



regions of interest adjacent to bowel, muscle, or other metabolically active tissues. Finally, further validation of the tissue binding characteristics and time course of change in ¹⁸F-NaF uptake in aneurysmal and nonaneurysmal aortas are needed, and this would be interesting to explore in future studies.

CONCLUSIONS

This novel proof-of-concept PET-CT study of patients with asymptomatic AAA demonstrates that ¹⁸F-NaF uptake identifies advanced aneurysmal disease and is associated with aneurysm growth and clinical AAA events independent of established clinical risk factors, including aneurysm diameter. This technique holds major promise for the future management of patients with AAA disease.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS The authors thank Karen Gallagher, Janet Jeffrey, Janice Taylor, Jo Singleton, Melanie McMillan, David Brian, and Colin Young for their support during the conduct of this study.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Dr. Rachael O. Forsythe, British Heart Foundation Centre for Cardiovascular Science, University of Edinburgh, 49 Little France Crescent, Edinburgh EH16 4SB, United Kingdom. E-mail: rachael.forsythe@ed.ac.uk.

PERSPECTIVES

COMPETENCY IN MEDICAL KNOWLEDGE: ¹⁸F-Sodium fluoride uptake is specific to abdominal aortic aneurysm tissue, proportional to the rate of aneurysm expansion, and predictive of repair or rupture, independent of aneurysm diameter. **TRANSLATIONAL OUTLOOK:** Additional studies are needed to clarify the clinical utility of this imaging biomarker as a guide to selection of patients for elective repair of abdominal aortic aneurysms.

REFERENCES

1. Howard DPJ, Banerjee A, Fairhead JF, et al. Agespecific incidence, risk factors and outcome of acute abdominal aortic aneurysms in a defined population. Br J Surg 2015;102:907-15.

2. Office for National Statistics. Leading causes of death 2013. Available at: http://visual.ons.gov.uk/ wp-content/uploads/2015/02/Leading-Causes-of-Deaths-20131.csv. Accessed February 16, 2017.

3. Brady AR, Thompson SG, Fowkes FGR, Greenhalgh RM, Powell JT, for the UK Small Aneurysm Trial Participants. Abdominal aortic aneurysm expansion: risk factors and time intervals for surveillance. Circulation 2004;110: 16–21.

4. Bown MJ, Sweeting MJ, Brown LC, Powell JT, Thompson SG, for the RESCAN Collaborators. Surveillance intervals for small abdominal aortic aneurysms: a meta-analysis. JAMA 2013;309:806-13.

5. Kurvers H, Veith F, Lipsitz E, et al. Discontinuous, staccato growth of abdominal aortic aneurysms. J Am Coll Surg 2004;199:709-15.

6. Darling RC, Messina CR, Brewster DC, Ottinger LW. Autopsy study of unoperated abdominal aortic-aneurysms—case for early resection. Circulation 1977;56:161–4.

7. Forsythe RO, Newby DE, Robson JMJ. Monitoring the biological activity of abdominal aortic aneurysms: beyond ultrasound. Heart 2016;102: 817-24.

8. Golledge ALV, Walker P, Norman PE, Golledge J. A systematic review of studies examining inflammation associated cytokines in human abdominal aortic aneurysm samples. Dis Markers 2009;26:181-8.

9. Thompson MM, Jones L, Nasim A, Sayers RD, Bell P. Angiogenesis in abdominal aortic aneurysms. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 1996;11:464-9.

10. Irkle A, Vesey AT, Lewis DY, et al. Identifying active vascular micro-calcification by ¹⁸F-sodium fluoride positron emission tomography. Nat Commun 2015;6:1-11.

11. Vesey AT, Jenkins W, Irkle A, et al. ¹⁸F-fluoride and ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography after transient ischemic attack or minor ischemic stroke. case-control study. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging 2017;10:e004976. **12.** Joshi NV, Vesey AT, Williams MC, et al. ¹⁸F-fluoride positron emission tomography for identification of ruptured and high-risk coronary atherosclerotic plaques: a prospective clinical trial. Lancet 2014;383:705-13.

13. McBride OMB, Berry C, Burns P, et al. MRI using ultrasmall superparamagnetic particles of iron oxide in patients under surveillance for abdominal aortic aneurysms to predict rupture or surgical repair: MRI for abdominal aortic aneurysms to predict rupture or surgery—the MA3RS study. Open Heart 2015;2:e000190.

14. Wilson KA, Hoskins PR, Lee AJ, Fowkes FG, Ruckley CV, Bradbury AW. Ultrasonic measurement of abdominal aortic aneurysm wall compliance: a reproducibility study. J Vasc Surg 2000;31: 507-13.

15. Vallabhaneni SR, Gilling-Smith GL, How TV, Carter SD, Brennan JA, Harris PL. Heterogeneity of tensile strength and matrix metalloproteinase activity in the wall of abdominal aortic aneurysms. J Endovasc Ther 2004;11:494-502.

16. Pawade TA, Cartlidge TRG, Jenkins WSA, et al. Optimization and reproducibility of aortic valve ¹⁸F-fluoride positron emission tomography in patients with aortic stenosis. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging 2016;9:e005131.

17. Chen W, Dilsizian V. PET assessment of vascular inflammation and atherosclerotic plaques: SUV or TBR? J Nucl Med 2015;56:503-4.

18. Fayad ZA, Mani V, Woodward M, et al. Safety and efficacy of dalcetrapib on atherosclerotic disease using novel non-invasive multimodality imaging (dal-PLAQUE): a randomised clinical trial. Lancet 2011;378:1547-59.

19. Fayad ZA, Mani V, Woodward M, et al. Rationale and design of dal-PLAQUE: a study assessing efficacy and safety of dalcetrapib on progression or regression of atherosclerosis using magnetic resonance imaging and ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography/computed tomography. Am Heart J 2011;162:214–21.

20. Tawakol A, Fayad ZA, Mogg R, et al. Intensification of statin therapy results in a rapid reduction in atherosclerotic inflammation: results of a multicenter fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography/computed tomography feasibility study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;62:909-17. **21.** Jalalzadeh H, Indrakusuma R, Planken RN, et al. Inflammation as a predictor of abdominal aortic aneurysm growth and rupture: a systematic review of imaging biomarkers. Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg 2016;52:333-42.

22. Marchesseau S, Seneviratna A, Sjöholm AT, et al. Hybrid PET/CT and PET/MRI imaging of vulnerable coronary plaque and myocardial scar tissue in acute myocardial infarction. J Nucl Cardiol 2017 May 12 [E-pub ahead of print].

23. Courtois A, Nusgens BV, Hustinx R, et al. ¹⁸F-FDG uptake assessed by PET/CT in abdominal aortic aneurysms is associated with cellular and molecular alterations prefacing wall deterioration and rupture. J Nucl Med 2013;54:1740-7.

24. Reeps C, Essler M, Pelisek J, Seidl S, Eckstein H-H, Krause B-J. Increased ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake in abdominal aortic aneurysms in positron emission/computed tomography is associated with inflammation, aortic wall instability, and acute symptoms. J Vasc Surg 2008;48:417-23.

25. Nchimi A, Cheramy-Bien J-P, Gasser TC, et al. Multifactorial relationship between ¹⁸F-fluoro-deoxyglucose positron emission tomography signaling and biomechanical properties in unruptured aortic aneurysms. Circ Cardiovasc Imaging 2014;7:82-91.

26. Barwick TD, Lyons OTA, Mikhael NG, Waltham M, O'Doherty MJ. ¹⁸F-FDG PET-CT uptake is a feature of both normal diameter and aneurysmal aortic wall and is not related to aneurysm size. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2014;41:2310–8.

27. Kotze CW, Groves AM, Menezes LJ, et al. What is the relationship between ¹⁸F-FDG aortic aneurysm uptake on PET/CT and future growth rate? Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2011;38:1493-9.

28. The MA3RS Study Investigators. Aortic wall inflammation predicts abdominal aortic aneurysm expansion, rupture and need for surgical repair. Circulation 2017;136:787-97.

KEY WORDS abdominal aortic aneurysm, positron emission tomography, repair, rupture

TAPPENDIX For the supplemental methods, tables, and video, please see the online version of this article.