



# THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

## Edinburgh Research Explorer

### The madness of microbiome: Attempting to find consensus “best practice” for 16S microbiome studies

**Citation for published version:**

Pollock, J, Glendinning, L, Wisedchanwet, T & Watson, M 2018, 'The madness of microbiome: Attempting to find consensus “best practice” for 16S microbiome studies' Applied and Environmental Microbiology, vol. 84, no. 8, e02627-17 . DOI: 10.1128/AEM.02627-17

**Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

[10.1128/AEM.02627-17](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02627-17)

**Link:**

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

**Document Version:**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

**Published In:**

Applied and Environmental Microbiology

**General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [openaccess@ed.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@ed.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



1 The madness of microbiome: Attempting to find consensus “best practice” for 16S  
2 microbiome studies

3

4

5 Jolinda Pollock<sup>a,b\*#</sup>, Laura Glendinning<sup>b\*</sup>, Trong Wisedchanwet<sup>b</sup> & Mick Watson<sup>b</sup>

6

7 Animal and Veterinary Sciences, Scotland’s Rural College (SRUC), Edinburgh, United

8 Kingdom<sup>a</sup>; The Roslin Institute and Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies, University of

9 Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom<sup>b</sup>

10

11

12 Running Head: Consensus “best practice” for 16S studies

13

14 #Corresponding Author: Jolinda Pollock – [jolinda.pollock@sruc.ac.uk](mailto:jolinda.pollock@sruc.ac.uk)

15

16 \*JP and LG contributed equally to manuscript preparation

17

18

19

20

21

## 22 **Abstract**

23 The development and continuous improvement of high-throughput sequencing platforms has  
24 stimulated interest in the study of complex microbial communities. Currently, the most  
25 popular sequencing approach to study microbial community composition and dynamics is  
26 targeted 16S rRNA gene metabarcoding. To prepare samples for sequencing, there are a  
27 variety of processing steps, each with the potential to introduce bias at the data analysis stage.  
28 In this short review, key information from the literature pertaining to each processing step is  
29 described and consequently, general recommendations for future 16S rRNA gene  
30 metabarcoding experiments are made.

31

## 32 **Introduction**

33 In recent years, the emergence of high-throughput sequencing platforms has revolutionised  
34 the study of complex microbial communities. Most commonly, marker genes (e.g. 16S  
35 rRNA and 18S rRNA genes) are amplified and sequenced, providing both qualitative and  
36 quantitative (i.e. relative abundance) data. However, the variety of methodologies which can  
37 be used to carry out marker gene analysis can be overwhelming. Each methodological stage,  
38 from sampling to data analysis, can introduce biases, and such biases can skew datasets by  
39 introducing changes in the relative abundances observed and can affect the perception of  
40 community diversity. This short review includes key information from current literature on  
41 sample collection, sample storage and processing, and sequencing and data analysis;  
42 specifically for the study of bacterial communities using 16S rRNA gene metabarcoding. By  
43 collating fundamental research from each of these areas, we aim to try to ensure that  
44 scientists entering this field are better informed to make decisions on experimental design for  
45 16S rRNA gene sequencing studies.

46

47 **Sample collection**

48 Sampling method is obviously dependant on sample type and as such, the factors which may  
49 introduce bias will also vary between different types of microbiome studies. Clearly, study-  
50 specific concerns cannot be entirely covered in this review. However, the overarching factors  
51 which should be taken into account will be briefly covered in this section.

52 Firstly, it is important to consider the proposed sampling site. Bacterial community  
53 composition varies even within a specific environment, for example at different sites within  
54 the gastrointestinal tract (1), the respiratory tract (2) and at different soil depths (3, 4). Since  
55 the magnitude of inter-individual variation is very much dependant on sampling site (5), this  
56 can have implications for experimental design, specifically when considering the number of  
57 subjects and the number of samples to be taken.

58 Secondly, there are conflicting results in the literature with regards to the variation introduced  
59 by different sample collection methodologies. For example, there have been attempts to  
60 replace invasive sampling with less invasive methods; however, significant differences have  
61 been found in microbial populations when comparing swab and biopsy samples from human  
62 intestines (6), when comparing breath condensate and lung brushings (7) and when  
63 comparing rumen fluid samples obtained via oral stomach tubing and a fistula (8). However,  
64 other work contradicts these findings, with two studies showing no statistically significant  
65 differences when studying the rumen microbiota in cattle using a variety of sampling  
66 methods (9, 10). Additionally, no significant differences were evident in microbial  
67 composition when comparing sino-nasal swabs and biopsy samples (11) and rectal swabs and  
68 stool samples (12). This kind of conflict in the literature is not uncommon, which leads to a  
69 lack of consensus and standardisation.

70 A final consideration is whether samples should be homogenised, which appears to be most  
71 critical in studies on gut contents (8, 13) and on soil (14), since varying microbial

72 compositions have been observed in different stool fractions and in soils with varying particle  
73 size.

74 **Although the literature is generally conflicting with regards to sampling methodology, it**  
75 **is important to consider that comparing data obtained using different approaches**  
76 **should be avoided.**

77

#### 78 **Sample storage**

79 There is conflicting evidence on whether different storage conditions alone can have an  
80 impact on microbial community studies (15–18). It is often not practical to extract DNA  
81 from fresh samples, therefore samples are generally stored for varying durations prior to  
82 DNA extraction. Conventionally, it is assumed that rapid freezing to  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  is best practice  
83 (18, 19) but this is not feasible for all study designs, for example, at remote sites where low  
84 temperature storage is unavailable (20). Several studies have been carried out to assess the  
85 effects of storage conditions on study findings, which will be summarised in this section.

86

#### 87 **Fresh versus frozen samples**

88 A couple of studies showed that freezing samples appeared to cause an increase in the  
89 Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes ratio in comparison with fresh samples (15, 19). Conversely, in a  
90 study by Fouhy *et al*, the only bacterial groups differentially expressed between fresh and  
91 snap frozen faecal samples were the *Faecalibacterium* and *Leuconostoc* genera, with no  
92 significant differences being evident at phylum or family levels (18). No significant effects  
93 on microbial composition or diversity were observed in faecal samples refrigerated for 24  
94 hours (21) or 72 hours (20) prior to DNA extraction.

95 The impact of storage duration has also been explored in various studies. Lauber *et al* stored  
96 soil, faeces and skin samples at various temperatures and found that storage duration had no  
97 significant impact on overall bacterial community structure or diversity (17). In samples  
98 which were stored at -80°C for 2 years, a small number of changes in the microbial  
99 communities were observed with increased abundances of lactobacilli and bacilli, and a  
100 reduction in the total number of operational taxonomic units (OTUs) (for a definition of  
101 OTUs, please see section entitled “operational taxonomic unit picking methods”).

102 **When considering the data presented in the literature, generally processing fresh**  
103 **samples is the best approach but when this is not possible, samples should be frozen for**  
104 **an unequal amount of time and processed in one batch or frozen for an equal amount of**  
105 **time and processed in multiple batches. The decision on how to proceed will be**  
106 **dependent on the duration of the sample collection phase and on study design, but**  
107 **regardless of processing method, storage duration and DNA extraction batch should be**  
108 **recorded to enable this to be taken into account during analysis.**

109

#### 110 **Use of cryoprotectant**

111 McKain *et al* explored the effects of using a cryoprotectant (i.e. glycerol/phosphate buffered  
112 saline) to store ruminal digesta samples and found that freezing samples without  
113 cryoprotectant caused a significant loss in Bacteroidetes when measuring 16S rRNA gene  
114 copy number by quantitative PCR (15). The authors consequently suggest that simply storing  
115 samples without a cryoprotectant and carrying out DNA extraction at a later date would  
116 impact downstream results when considering archaeal and bacterial community composition.  
117 Choo *et al* explored the effects of using several common preservative buffers (i.e. RNAlater,  
118 OMNIGene.GUT and Tris-EDTA) relative to samples stored dry at -80°C on faecal

119 microbiota composition (20). Samples stored in the OMNIgene.GUT buffer diverged the  
120 least from the samples stored dry at -80°C and the results obtained from the samples stored in  
121 Tris-EDTA diverged the most, with associated changes in relative abundances of biologically  
122 important bacterial species such as *Escherichia-Shigella*, *Citrobacter* and *Enterobacter*.  
123 Additionally, RNAlater has previously been shown to be unsuitable for storage of samples  
124 subject to microbial community analysis, with samples stored in RNAlater being the least  
125 similar to fresh samples and samples immediately frozen at -80°C (22, 23).

126 **Consequently, when considering the use of a cryoprotectant for storage, it is important**  
127 **to ensure that all samples are stored in the same manner.**

128

#### 129 DNA extraction

130 During DNA extraction, it is important to consider that some microbial cells may be more  
131 resistant to lysis, such as bacterial endospores (24) and Gram-positive bacteria, which will  
132 have an impact on DNA extraction efficiency. The presence of inhibitors has also been found  
133 to directly impact DNA extraction efficiency (e.g. debris in environmental samples, organic  
134 matter in soil and faeces) and can affect the efficiency of PCR downstream (reviewed in  
135 detail by Schrader *et al* (25)). Common inhibitors include inorganic material (e.g. calcium  
136 ions), with the majority of inhibitors being organic matter such as humic acid, bile salts and  
137 polysaccharides. These issues will vary according to sample type, therefore, matrix-specific  
138 DNA extraction protocols should be optimised as part of a 16S rRNA gene metabarcoding  
139 experiment.

140 Besides phenol-chloroform DNA extraction methods, there are many commercial extraction  
141 kits available which incorporate mechanical and/or chemical/enzymatic lysis steps.  
142 Numerous authors have demonstrated that the abundances of specific bacterial groups vary

143 when comparing different DNA extraction methodologies (8, 26–31). Specifically, variations  
144 in DNA yield and quality are obtained which can lead to different results in downstream  
145 analyses (28).

146 One key DNA extraction step which can introduce bias is the presence or absence of a  
147 mechanical lysis step. The inclusion of a bead-beating step has been linked to a higher DNA  
148 yield (8, 29, 32), higher bacterial diversity (29, 32) and more efficient extraction of DNA  
149 from Gram-positive and spore-forming bacteria (29, 33, 34). Consequently, some authors  
150 suggest that samples subject to different DNA extraction methods are not comparable (8, 28,  
151 35).

152 **Ultimately, the best approach is to utilise a method which extracts the highest yield and**  
153 **quality of DNA as possible without biasing the method towards particular bacterial**  
154 **taxa. To achieve this, inclusion of a bead beating step and prior optimisation of the DNA**  
155 **extraction method to ensure optimal DNA yield and quality is recommended prior to**  
156 **carrying out 16S rRNA gene sequencing.**

157

## 158 **Sequencing strategy**

### 159 **Library preparation**

160 Since the entire 16S rRNA gene cannot be sequenced using short-read second-generation  
161 sequencing platforms, a short region of the gene must be selected for PCR amplification and  
162 sequencing. There is currently no consensus on the most appropriate hypervariable region(s)  
163 and several studies have been carried out to determine the advantages and disadvantages of  
164 each. Importantly, the choice of hypervariable region(s) and the design of the “universal”  
165 PCR primers have an effect on phylogenetic resolution (36–40). Indeed, no primer set is  
166 truly universal, with some commonly used 16S rRNA gene primers proving ineffective at



167 amplifying biologically relevant bacteria (34, 41). Fouhy *et al* explored the effects of primer  
168 choice (as well as DNA extraction and sequencing platform) on microbial composition data  
169 using a mock bacterial community and three primer sets (42), with differences in relative  
170 abundances and richness being observed.

171 Further biases can be introduced during PCR amplification due to the presence of PCR  
172 inhibitors (described in the DNA extraction section), with the number of PCR cycles and the  
173 use of a high-fidelity polymerase (43) also having an impact on results. The formation of  
174 chimeras occurs in later PCR cycles when the highest concentration of incompletely extended  
175 primers compete with the original primers. Consequently, the potential for chimera  
176 formation can be reduced by lowering the number of PCR cycles (44). Previous work found  
177 that bacterial richness increased as the PCR cycle number increased (45, 46), but that cycle  
178 number had no significant effect on community structure (46). A lower number of PCR  
179 artefacts were found when using a high-fidelity polymerase compared to a standard  
180 polymerase (43). The use of different polymerases has also been found to significantly affect  
181 PCR efficiencies for particular bacterial groups and the overall bacterial community  
182 structures (46). Finally, the quantity of input DNA into a PCR reaction has also been found  
183 to have a significant effect on observed bacterial community structure (31).

184 **In summary, there is not a “gold standard” hypervariable region for 16S sequencing**  
185 **but it is important to consider that PCR reagents and PCR conditions should be**  
186 **optimised and kept consistent across a study.**

187

#### 188 **Sequencing platforms**

189 D’Amore *et al* have studied the choice of sequencing platform most recently (47) and we  
190 would refer the reader to that manuscript for a more in depth analysis. Illumina technology

191 (primarily the MiSeq) has become the most common sequencing platform for 16S rRNA  
192 gene metabarcoding. This is because the MiSeq, in general, produces the most accurate,  
193 longest reads and has a much higher throughput than the other platforms, which enables more  
194 samples to be sequenced at higher depth or cheaper cost. Indeed, whilst D'Amore *et al*  
195 caution that the choice of sequencer depends on the question being asked, they note that the  
196 MiSeq is likely to be the platform of choice in most cases. The Roche 454 sequencer was, for  
197 a long time, the platform most used for 16S studies. The potential longer reads of this  
198 technology have some advantages; however, it is now no longer available as Roche retired  
199 the product in 2013. The 454 unfortunately suffered from an elevated error rate due to mis-  
200 calling of homopolymers. The Ion Torrent and Ion Proton platforms are often available at  
201 low capital cost, and produce data more quickly than the MiSeq. However, the lower  
202 throughput and higher error rates mean that many researchers prefer to select the MiSeq.  
203 Whilst Illumina offers the highest quality data, there are some reported problems with the  
204 platform. Illumina error rates are often thought to be around 0.01%, however Kozich *et al*  
205 showed the actual error rates can be as high as 10%, and recommend a complete overlap of  
206 250 bp reads to correct for this (48). D'Amore *et al* similarly showed library-dependent error  
207 rates in either read 1 or read 2 (but not the overlap) in MiSeq data, albeit at a lower rate (2-  
208 3%) (47). An improvement has been suggested to this involving a heterogeneity spacer that  
209 improves sequence diversity in the library (49).

210 PacBio and Oxford Nanopore technologies are able to sequence the full length of the 16S  
211 gene, which is of course very powerful. However, again error rates are an issue, in the range  
212 of 5-15% for both technologies, which can cause subsequent errors in downstream analysis.  
213 Despite the high error rate of long-read single molecule sequencing systems (50–52), studies  
214 are beginning to appear to show their utility for 16S rRNA gene sequencing (53–56). For  
215 example, Schloss *et al* were able to reduce the observed error rate for the V1–V9 region from

216 0.69 to 0.027% for PacBio data, which is comparable to Illumina, 454 and Ion Torrent  
217 systems (54). One of the drawbacks of the PacBio technology is throughput, which means  
218 that the number of samples that can be run on the platform simultaneously and at reasonable  
219 cost is much lower than the MiSeq.

220 When planning a 16S sequencing study, three key considerations are the quality of sequence  
221 data, the cost of sequencing and the length of generated reads, as detailed already in this  
222 section. A final factor is the number of samples which can be analysed per sequencing run.  
223 When considering Illumina platforms specifically, it is possible to use multiplexing strategies  
224 by implementation of unique single-indexed (57) or dual-indexed (48) (or barcoded) primers  
225 for library preparation. If the number of samples per run is increased, this is associated with  
226 a lower coverage (or number of sequences generated) per sample. If the coverage per sample  
227 is too low, then the diversity of the microbial community being studied is likely to be under-  
228 represented, as rarer members of the community are less likely to be detected. Therefore,  
229 guidance on the number of samples to be included per run should be obtained from small  
230 pilot studies (and observation of the resultant rarefaction curves) or published literature. In  
231 larger studies, more than one sequencing run may be required and Caporaso *et al* showed that  
232 data were highly reproducible across sequencing lanes (57).

233 **The appropriate sequencing platform should be selected based upon the aims of the**  
234 **experiment and the error rates associated with the available platforms. Another key**  
235 **consideration is sequencing coverage and its relation to the number of samples to be**  
236 **run. When studying core members of a microbial community, lowering the amount of**  
237 **coverage by increasing the number of samples in a sequencing run may be an effective**  
238 **way to decrease costs. However, if rarer members of a community are of interest lower**  
239 **sample numbers leading to increase coverage may be more appropriate.**

240

241 **Mock bacterial communities**

242 As part of 16S microbiome studies, it is useful to include a mock community control  
243 composed of pre-determined ratios of DNA from a mixture of bacterial species. This not  
244 only allows the quantification of sequencing error (58) but also allows bias introduced during  
245 the sampling and library preparation processes to be identified (42, 47, 59, 60). For example,  
246 a mock community containing bacterial taxonomies which are of specific interest to the  
247 research group can be used to calculate whether these taxonomies are likely to be over or  
248 under represented in samples. Similar to mock communities, spike-in standards can also be  
249 used to analyse bias and the reproducibility of methodologies (61). However, unlike mock  
250 communities, these standards are added directly to samples and therefore quality control can  
251 be performed on a per sample basis. However, there is a risk of crossover between the 16S  
252 rRNA gene sequences contained in the standards and those which may be found in samples.  
253 Consequently, care must be taken to select bacteria which are highly unlikely to occur in the  
254 samples of interest (62, 63) or which have been designed *in silico* and are dissimilar to  
255 sequences found in 16S databases (61).

256 There are a variety of sources which provide mock bacterial communities for use in research;  
257 however some researchers choose to create their own mock communities in-house which  
258 more accurately reflect bacteria of interest and scientific importance. Pre-prepared bacterial  
259 communities are available in two different formats – DNA mock communities and whole cell  
260 mock communities. The latter is useful for establishing the efficiency of the DNA extraction  
261 step, whereas the former will only assess the efficiency of PCR, clean up, sequencing and  
262 analysis steps. At the time of writing, mock communities are available from the American  
263 Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and Zymo Research.

264 **When planning a 16S study, the inclusion of a mock community is strongly encouraged.**

265

266 **Analysis strategy**

267 **Comparing pipelines**

268 The analysis of large and complex 16S rRNA gene sequencing data sets requires the use of  
269 bioinformatic tools. There are many pipelines available to process and analyse 16S rRNA  
270 gene sequencing data, including the commonly used QIIME (64), MG-RAST (65), UPARSE  
271 (66) (URL: [https://www.drive5.com/usearch/manual/uparse\\_pipeline.html](https://www.drive5.com/usearch/manual/uparse_pipeline.html)) and mothur (67).  
272 These packages contain sets of tools which facilitate the complete analysis of 16S rRNA gene  
273 data, from quality control to operational taxonomic unit (OTU) clustering. Where they differ  
274 is predominantly in their accessibility to those with limited computational knowledge and in  
275 the availability of documentation.

276 Nilakanta *et al* compared seven different packages (mothur, QIIME, WATERS, RDPipeline,  
277 VAMPS, Genboree, and SnoWMan) and concluded that while all of these packages provide  
278 effective pipelines for 16S rRNA gene analysis, the extensive documentation which  
279 accompanies mothur and QIIME provides them with an advantage over the other packages  
280 (68). Plummer and Twin analysed a single data set using QIIME, mothur and MG-RAST and  
281 found that there were few differences in the results when considering taxonomic  
282 classification and diversity (69). However, there were differences in the ease of use of each  
283 of these packages and the time required for analysis, with QIIME being the quickest analysis  
284 package (approximately 1 hour) and MG-RAST being the slowest (approximately 2 days, due  
285 to the need for manual quality control to remove multiple annotations of reads). The authors  
286 do state that although MG-RAST is the slowest analysis method, it is perhaps the most  
287 suitable package for users with no command line experience.

288 **Ultimately, the choice of analysis package will be made on the basis of the user's level of**  
289 **experience in bioinformatics and on the available resources at the user's host**  
290 **institution.**

291

#### 292 **Quality control, alignment and taxonomic assignment**

293 It is essential to carry out quality filtering to remove DNA sequences which are of  
294 unexpected length, have long homopolymers, contain ambiguous bases or do not align to the  
295 correct 16S rRNA gene region. Critically, sequences should then be screened for chimeras,  
296 as the presence of chimeric sequences can affect the interpretation of the final dataset and  
297 could, for example, over-inflate perception of community diversity (70). A variety of tools  
298 have been developed to remove chimeric sequences such as UCHIME (66) and Chimera  
299 Slayer (70). By including a mock bacterial community in a sequencing run, since the true  
300 sequences in these are known, the number of chimeric sequences can be calculated (58).

301 Sequences should then be aligned to a reference alignment, or assigned to a suitable  
302 reference using a sequence classifier such as the RDP classifier which uses a naïve Bayesian  
303 approach based on 8-mers (71). Schloss showed that alignment quality can significantly  
304 impact diversity and can artificially inflate the number of bacterial OTUs, and advised against  
305 using alignments which do not take into account the secondary structure of the 16S gene (72).  
306 Of the three most commonly used alignments which are guided by secondary structure (i.e.  
307 greengenes (73), RDP (74) and SILVA (75)), the greengenes alignment was observed to be of  
308 poor quality, leading to significantly greater richness and diversity estimates.

309 Post-alignment, sequences and OTUs are assigned taxonomies based upon their similarity to  
310 training sets, most commonly constructed from the greengenes, RDP and SILVA databases.  
311 Errors within these databases, caused by sequencing/PCR errors (76) or by the incorrect

312 labelling of sequences (77), may lead to the misidentification of sequences. Another issue  
313 when relying on databases for taxonomic assignment is their bias towards bacteria which are  
314 clinically relevant in humans, meaning that researchers investigating non-human hosts or  
315 environmental samples may struggle to assign taxonomy to their sequences. For example, in  
316 a study of the honey bee gut microbiota, disagreement was found between the three databases  
317 listed above upon carrying out taxonomic assignments (78). At genus level, the three  
318 databases concurred in their assignments for only 13% of sequences. The classification of  
319 sequences was improved by including bee-specific full length 16S rRNA gene sequences in  
320 the training set, highlighting the need to include more representative sequences from a greater  
321 number of habitats.

322 This has been highlighted by Werner *et al* who advised using the largest and most diverse  
323 database possible (79). This group also found that trimming the reference sequences to the  
324 primer region of interest improved classification depth. However, in a more extensively  
325 studied environment such as the human intestine, Ritari *et al* found that making a  
326 personalised reference database containing only bacterial species which were known to  
327 inhabit that niche led to an increase in lower taxonomic level assignments, probably due to  
328 less competition among sequences compared to large databases (80).

329

### 330 **Operational taxonomic unit picking methods**

331 Operational taxonomic units, or OTUs, are the common currency of 16S or marker gene  
332 studies of microbiomes. The term was originally coined by Sokal and Sneath (81), and in its  
333 more general usage refers simply to groups of organisms that are closely related. There are  
334 two major methods for defining OTUs – reference-based and *de novo*. In reference-based  
335 clustering, sequences from a community are clustered against a known reference database,  
336 and in *de novo* clustering, the sequences are clustered according to pairwise distance

337 measures. Reference-based OTUs are sometimes referred to as “*phylotypes*” (82). As with  
338 many areas of microbiome analysis, the evidence is mixed as to which of the two approaches  
339 is best. It has been found that *de novo* methods perform better when considering the quality  
340 of OTU assignments (83), with another study showing that *de novo* OTUs were unstable  
341 (84). However, Westcott and Schloss argued that OTUs can be stable yet still incorrect, and  
342 in particular showed that some reference-based techniques were sensitive to the order of  
343 sequences in the database. Sul *et al* found that reference-based techniques produced similar  
344 results to *de novo*, with the added benefit of low computational overheads and the ability to  
345 compare datasets from different variable regions (85). Indeed, perhaps the major difference  
346 between reference- and *de novo* based methods is that the latter has a significantly greater  
347 computational overhead, with the need to compare every sequence to every other sequence in  
348 its most naïve form.

349 Even within clustering tools, the choice of parameters has been shown to have a critical  
350 impact on the results. Whilst a threshold of 97% has become standard, Patin *et al* have  
351 shown that 16S rRNA gene sequences as similar as 99% can represent functionally distinct  
352 microorganisms, which means that functionally diverse species would be clustered at the  
353 97% threshold (86). However, that may rely on accurate sequences, and if those don’t exist,  
354 the 97% threshold can help avoid over-estimation of biodiversity (87). Susceptibility to  
355 differing parameters may also be pipeline-dependent (88). Given the controversy and  
356 potential biases of clustering sequences, some have suggested methods and models for using  
357 individual sequences to represent OTUs (i.e. remove the clustering step entirely) (89–92).

358

### 359 **Correcting for gene copy number**

360 Different bacterial species also have varying copy numbers of the 16S rRNA gene (93, 94)  
361 which can lead to misinterpretations when comparing the abundance of bacterial OTUs or



362 attempting to construct a “true” description of the microbial community within a sample (95).  
363 It is unusual in 16S rRNA gene studies to accurately know the copy numbers for all identified  
364 OTUs. Therefore, tools have been developed which seek to correct for copy number  
365 variation using sequence databases and phylogenetic information to give a more accurate  
366 picture of the relative abundances of these OTUs. These include Copyrighter (96), rrNDB  
367 (93), functions in the picante R package and pplacer (97) and part of the PICRUSt package  
368 (98).

369 As these techniques are reliant on databases the same problems are present as for taxonomic  
370 identification. Principally, lesser studied bacterial taxonomies are less likely to be  
371 represented. It is also important to note that when comparing OTUs between samples rather  
372 than within a sample (e.g. when comparing treatment effects), the impact of copy number  
373 variation is reduced as the under or over representation of OTUs would be consistent across  
374 samples as long as the same methodology had been used.

375

### 376 **Contamination issues**

377 Microbial DNA contamination arising from DNA extraction kits, PCR reagents and the lab  
378 environment may have a particularly large effect when studying low microbial biomass  
379 samples. Salter *et al* found that contamination in DNA extraction kits not only varied by  
380 manufacturer but by individual lot and that samples processed in separate laboratories  
381 contained different types of contaminating DNA (99). This lack of predictability led the  
382 authors to suggest that “negative” (or reagent-only) controls should be run alongside samples  
383 in all 16S rRNA gene metabarcoding studies. If reagent-only controls are not included, this  
384 can lead to the misinterpretation of results. When Salter *et al* analysed a dataset comparing  
385 nasopharyngeal microbiota samples from children at two time-points they found that while  
386 the time-points appeared to cluster separately, this effect was mainly due to bias caused by

387 contamination from the extraction kits used. Randomisation of samples prior to processing  
388 may help avoid the introduction of this type of bias. Contamination could also lead to the  
389 false identification of microbial communities where they do not in fact exist (100) and could  
390 affect our understanding of which bacteria are relevant in clinical samples (101).

391 The amplification of background contaminants from PCR reagents could perhaps be avoided  
392 via the use of primer-extension PCR (102) but this would have no effect on contamination  
393 originating from other sources. Several methods have been suggested to remove  
394 contaminating DNA from reagents and the lab environment including: UV and  $\gamma$  radiation  
395 (103–107); DNA intercalation by 8-methoxypsoralen, ethidium monoazide and propidium  
396 monoazide (104, 106–108); enzymatic treatments (105–107, 109–111) silica-based  
397 membrane filtration (112); CsCl<sub>2</sub> density gradient centrifugation (111) and bleach/CoPA  
398 solution treatment (105). These methods have shown variable effects on contamination  
399 levels and PCR sensitivity and the inclusion of reagent-only controls alongside these  
400 decontamination measures is still recommended.

401 What should be done with sequencing data from reagent-only controls is still under debate. It  
402 is often not appropriate to simply remove all of the bacterial OTUs found in controls as these  
403 may overlap with OTUs which can genuinely be found in samples (108). Other methods  
404 have been suggested which take into account the abundance of OTUs to predict the likelihood  
405 of sequence reads having originated from contamination. These include an adaptation of the  
406 neutral community model (12) and combining qPCR data with OTU relative abundance data  
407 to compare the absolute abundance of contaminating OTUs in controls and samples (113).  
408 However, the field is rapidly reaching consensus that, due to contamination issues, not  
409 including reagent-only controls can negatively impact the quality control of sequence data.

410 **When planning a 16S study, the inclusion of reagent-only controls (i.e. DNA extraction**  
411 **kit and PCR controls) is advised.**

412

413 **Conclusions**

414 The study of complex microbial communities using high-throughput sequencing platforms  
415 has allowed better understanding of a variety of biological systems and the impact of various  
416 conditions (e.g. disease states) on the host microbiome. When considering the literature, it is  
417 clear that bias can be introduced into microbiota studies at all methodological stages, from  
418 sampling to bioinformatic analysis. While the variety of different 16S rRNA gene  
419 metabarcoding methodologies might seem overwhelming, the main factor to keep in mind  
420 when designing a microbiota study is consistency. It is paramount to use consistent  
421 methodology throughout a study to minimise potential biases which could lead to spurious  
422 results.

423 The volume of studies attempting to define best practice for various stages of the microbiome  
424 experimental process is large, and we cover only some of the literature in this review.  
425 Unfortunately, as can be seen, there is little consensus, and further studies are unlikely to find  
426 any. The reality is that many of the biases described in this review are context- and  
427 environment- specific, and whilst individual studies may be true within their context, their  
428 conclusions may not be transferable to other studies. Clearly, with biases possible at every  
429 step, a good experimental design is essential. Recording and publication of all experimental  
430 metadata is essential for understanding microbiome studies, and unfortunately many currently  
431 published studies lack these data.

432 Trying to find consensus in the literature is challenging, with many studies producing  
433 conflicting evidence about the effects of various steps in the experimental process. It is  
434 therefore essential that consistency is maintained within a study, and there must be an  
435 acceptance that comparison between studies may not be possible.

436 In summary, we recommend extracting DNA from fresh samples if possible; if not, samples  
437 should be stored in a consistent manner (i.e. at the same temperature, for the same duration  
438 and with or without cryoprotectant) with appropriate metadata being recorded. The use of a  
439 mechanical lysis step is recommended to minimise potential biases due to some microbial  
440 cells being more resistant to lysis. The selection of appropriate primers should be made after  
441 careful consideration of the literature, but it is important to note that even universal primers  
442 will not amplify all bacteria in a given sample. Sequencing both mock bacterial communities  
443 and “negative”/reagent-only controls is important for determining background contamination  
444 and sequencing error rate, and should at least be included for each sequencing run and even  
445 better, for every batch of commercial reagents/kits. To reduce the chance of OTU inflation  
446 caused by sequencing errors, consider complete overlap of MiSeq reads, which translates as  
447 targeting a single hypervariable region. Finally, and to re-iterate – record every aspect of  
448 your experiment and report it in the methods section and remember that the critical  
449 consideration is consistency in methodology at each stage.

450

#### 451 **Acknowledgments**

452 This project was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council  
453 (BBSRC: BB/N016742/1 (PI: Prof. Mick Watson), BB/N01720X/1 (PI: Prof. Mick Watson),  
454 BB/K501591/1 (PI: Prof. Jos Houdijk, SRUC), BB/J01446X/1 (PI: Dr. Gerry McLachlan,  
455 The Roslin Institute), including institute strategic programme and national capability awards  
456 to The Roslin Institute (BBSRC: BB/P013732/1, BB/J004235/1, BB/J004243/1). SRUC  
457 receives support from Scottish Government's Rural and Environment Science and Analytical  
458 Services Division (RESAS).

459

460 **References**

- 461 1. Hong PY, Croix JA, Greenberg E, Gaskins HR, Mackie RI. 2011. Pyrosequencing-based  
462 analysis of the mucosal microbiota in healthy individuals reveals ubiquitous bacterial groups  
463 and micro-heterogeneity. *PLoS One* 6:e25042.
- 464 2. Glendinning L, Wright S, Pollock J, Tennant P, Collie D, McLachlan G. 2016. Variability of  
465 the sheep lung microbiota. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 82:3225–3238.
- 466 3. Mendes LW, Tsai SM. 2014. Variations of bacterial community structure and composition in  
467 mangrove sediment at different depths in Southeastern Brazil. *Diversity* 6:827–843.
- 468 4. Steven B, Gallegos-Graves LV, Belnap J, Kuske CR. 2013. Dryland soil microbial  
469 communities display spatial biogeographic patterns associated with soil depth and soil parent  
470 material. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 86:101–113.
- 471 5. Flores GE, Caporaso JG, Henley JB, Rideout JR, Domogala D, Chase J, Leff JW, Vázquez-  
472 Baeza Y, Gonzalez A, Knight R, Dunn RR, Fierer N. 2014. Temporal variability is a  
473 personalized feature of the human microbiome. *Genome Biol* 15:531.
- 474 6. Araújo-Pérez F, McCoy AN, Okechukwu C, Carroll IM, Smith KM, Jeremiah K, Sandler RS,  
475 Asher GN, Keku TO. 2012. Differences in microbial signatures between rectal mucosal  
476 biopsies and rectal swabs. *Gut Microbes* 3:530–535.
- 477 7. Glendinning L, Wright S, Tennant P, Gill AC, Collie D, McLachlan G. 2017. Microbiota in  
478 exhaled breath condensate and the lung. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 83:AEM.00515–17.
- 479 8. Henderson G, Cox F, Kittelmann S, Miri VH, Zethof M, Noel SJ, Waghorn GC, Janssen PH.  
480 2013. Effect of DNA extraction methods and sampling techniques on the apparent structure of  
481 cow and sheep rumen microbial communities. *PLoS One* 8:e74787.
- 482 9. Paz HA, Anderson CL, Muller MJ, Kononoff PJ, Fernando SC. 2016. Rumen bacterial  
483 community composition in holstein and jersey cows is different under same dietary condition  
484 and is not affected by sampling method. *Front Microbiol* 7:1206.
- 485 10. Ramos-Morales E, Arco-Pérez A, Martín-García AI, Yáñez-Ruiz DR, Frutos P, Hervás G.  
486 2014. Use of stomach tubing as an alternative to rumen cannulation to study ruminal  
487 fermentation and microbiota in sheep and goats. *Anim Feed Sci Technol* 198:57–66.
- 488 11. Bassiouni A, Cleland EJ, Psaltis AJ, Vreugde S, Wormald P-J. 2015. Sinonasal microbiome  
489 sampling: a comparison of techniques. *PLoS One* 10:e0123216.
- 490 12. Bassis CM, Moore NM, Lolans K, Seekatz AM, Weinstein RA, Young VB, Hayden MK.  
491 2017. Comparison of stool versus rectal swab samples and storage conditions on bacterial  
492 community profiles. *BMC Microbiol* 17.
- 493 13. Gorzelak MA, Gill SK, Tasnim N, Ahmadi-Vand Z, Jay M, Gibson DL. 2015. Methods for  
494 improving human gut microbiome data by reducing variability through sample processing and  
495 storage of stool. *PLoS One* 10:1–14.
- 496 14. Portillo MC, Leff JW, Lauber CL, Fierer N. 2013. Cell size distributions of soil bacterial and  
497 archaeal taxa. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 79:7610–7617.

- 498 15. McKain N, Genc B, Snelling TJ, Wallace RJ. 2013. Differential recovery of bacterial and  
499 archaeal 16S rRNA genes from ruminal digesta in response to glycerol as cryoprotectant. *J*  
500 *Microbiol Methods* 95:381–383.
- 501 16. Rubin BER, Gibbons SM, Kennedy S, Hampton-Marcell J, Owens S, Gilbert JA. 2013.  
502 Investigating the impact of storage conditions on microbial community composition in soil  
503 samples. *PLoS One* 8:e70460.
- 504 17. Lauber CL, Zhou N, Gordon JI, Knight R. 2011. Effect of storage conditions on the  
505 assessment of bacterial community structure in soil and human-associated samples. *FEMS*  
506 *Microbiol Lett* 307:80–86.
- 507 18. Fouhy F, Deane J, Rea MC, O’Sullivan Ó, Ross RP, O’Callaghan G, Plant BJ, Stanton C.  
508 2015. The effects of freezing on faecal microbiota as determined using MiSeq sequencing and  
509 culture-based investigations. *PLoS One* 10:e0119355.
- 510 19. Bahl MI, Bergström A, Licht TR. 2012. Freezing fecal samples prior to DNA extraction  
511 affects the Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes ratio determined by downstream quantitative PCR  
512 analysis. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 329:193–7.
- 513 20. Choo JM, Leong LEX, Rogers GB. 2015. Sample storage conditions significantly influence  
514 faecal microbiome profiles. *Sci Rep* 5:1–10.
- 515 21. Tedjo DI, Jonkers DMAE, Savelkoul PH, Masclee AA, Van N. 2015. The effect of sampling  
516 and storage on the fecal microbiota composition in healthy and diseased subjects. *PLoS One*  
517 10(5):e0126685.
- 518 22. Hale VL, Tan CL, Knight R, Amato KR. 2015. Effect of preservation method on spider  
519 monkey (*Ateles geoffroyi*) fecal microbiota over 8 weeks. *J Microbiol Methods* 113:16–26.
- 520 23. Dominianni C, Wu J, Hayes RB, Ahn J. 2014. Comparison of methods for fecal microbiome  
521 biospecimen collection. *BMC Microbiol* 14:1–6.
- 522 24. Kuske C, Banton K, Adorada D, Stark P, Hill K, Jackson P. 1998. Small-scale DNA sample  
523 preparation method for field PCR detection of microbial cells and spores in soil. *Appl Environ*  
524 *Microbiol* 64:2463–72.
- 525 25. Schrader C, Schielke A, Ellerbroek L, Johne R. 2012. PCR inhibitors - occurrence, properties  
526 and removal. *J Appl Microbiol* 113:1014–1026.
- 527 26. Desneux J, Pourcher AM. 2014. Comparison of DNA extraction kits and modification of DNA  
528 elution procedure for the quantitation of subdominant bacteria from piggery effluents with  
529 real-time PCR. *Microbiologyopen* 3:437–445.
- 530 27. Mackenzie BW, Waite DW, Taylor MW. 2015. Evaluating variation in human gut microbiota  
531 profiles due to DNA extraction method and inter-subject differences. *Front Microbiol* 6:130.
- 532 28. Gerasimidis K, Bertz M, Quince C, Brunner K, Bruce A, Combet E, Calus S, Loman N, Ijaz  
533 UZ, Kennedy N, Walker A, Berry S, Salonen A, Nikkila J, Jalanka-Tuovinen J, Boer R, Peters  
534 R, Gierveld S, McOrist A, Jackson M, Bird A, Nechvatal J, Ram J, Basson M, D’Amore R,  
535 Ijaz U, Schirmer M, Quince C, Ijaz U, Loman N, Reichardt N, Barclay A, Weaver L, Godon J,  
536 Zumstein E, Dabert P, Gerasimidis K, Bertz M, Hanske L, Caporaso J, Lauber C, Walters W,  
537 Masella A, Bartram A, Truszkowski J, Wang Q, Garrity G, Tiedje J, Katoh K, Standley D,

- 538 Price M, Dehal P, Arkin A, McMurdie P, Holmes S, Paradis E, Claude J, Strimmer K, Schliep  
539 K, Cardoso P, Rigal F, Borges P. 2016. The effect of DNA extraction methodology on gut  
540 microbiota research applications. *BMC Res Notes* 9:365.
- 541 29. Guo F, Zhang T. 2013. Biases during DNA extraction of activated sludge samples revealed by  
542 high throughput sequencing. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 97:4607–4616.
- 543 30. Hart ML, Meyer A, Johnson PJ, Ericsson AC. 2015. Comparative evaluation of DNA  
544 extraction methods from feces of multiple host species for downstream next-generation  
545 sequencing. *PLoS One* 10:e0143334.
- 546 31. Kennedy NA, Walker AW, Berry SH, Duncan SH, Farquarson FM, Louis P, Thomson JM,  
547 Satsangi J, Flint HJ, Parkhill J, Lees CW, Hold GL. 2014. The impact of different DNA  
548 extraction kits and laboratories upon the assessment of human gut microbiota composition by  
549 16S rRNA gene sequencing. *PLoS One* 9:1–9.
- 550 32. Maukonen J, Simoes C, Saarela M. 2012. The currently used commercial DNA-extraction  
551 methods give different results of clostridial and actinobacterial populations derived from  
552 human fecal samples. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 79:697–708.
- 553 33. Salonen A, Nikkilä J, Jalanka-Tuovinen J, Immonen O, Rajilić-Stojanović M, Kekkonen RA,  
554 Palva A, de Vos WM. 2010. Comparative analysis of fecal DNA extraction methods with  
555 phylogenetic microarray: Effective recovery of bacterial and archaeal DNA using mechanical  
556 cell lysis. *J Microbiol Methods* 81:127–134.
- 557 34. Walker AW, Martin JC, Scott P, Parkhill J, Flint HJ, Scott KP. 2015. 16S rRNA gene-based  
558 profiling of the human infant gut microbiota is strongly influenced by sample processing and  
559 PCR primer choice. *Microbiome* 3:26.
- 560 35. Wesolowska-Andersen A, Bahl MI, Carvalho V, Kristiansen K, Sicheritz-Pontén T, Gupta R,  
561 Licht TR. 2014. Choice of bacterial DNA extraction method from fecal material influences  
562 community structure as evaluated by metagenomic analysis. *Microbiome* 2:19.
- 563 36. Yang B, Wang Y, Qian P-Y. 2016. Sensitivity and correlation of hypervariable regions in 16S  
564 rRNA genes in phylogenetic analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics* 17:135.
- 565 37. Tremblay J, Singh K, Fern A, Kirton ES, He S, Woyke T, Lee J, Chen F, Dangl JL, Tringe SG.  
566 2015. Primer and platform effects on 16S rRNA tag sequencing. *Front Microbiol* 6:1–15.
- 567 38. Cruaud P, Vigneron A, Lucchetti-Miganeh C, Ciron PE, Godfroy A, Cambon-Bonavita MA.  
568 2014. Influence of DNA extraction method, 16S rRNA targeted hypervariable regions, and  
569 sample origin on microbial diversity detected by 454 pyrosequencing in marine  
570 chemosynthetic ecosystems. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 80:4626–4639.
- 571 39. Ghyselinck J, Pfeiffer S, Heylen K, Sessitsch A, De Vos P. 2013. The effect of primer choice  
572 and short read sequences on the outcome of 16S rRNA gene based diversity studies. *PLoS One*  
573 8:e71360.
- 574 40. Chakravorty S, Helb D, Burday M, Connell N, Alland D. 2007. A detailed analysis of 16S  
575 ribosomal RNA gene segments for the diagnosis of pathogenic bacteria. *J Microbiol Methods*  
576 69:330–339.

- 577 41. Bergmann GT, Bates ST, Eilers KG, Lauber CL, Caporaso G, Walters WA, Knight R, Fierer  
578 N. 2012. The under-recognized dominance of Verrucomicrobia in soil bacterial communities.  
579 *Soil Biol Biochem* 43:1450–1455.
- 580 42. Fouhy F, Clooney AG, Stanton C, Claesson MJ, Cotter PD. 2016. 16S rRNA gene sequencing  
581 of mock microbial populations- impact of DNA extraction method, primer choice and  
582 sequencing platform. *BMC Microbiol* 16:123.
- 583 43. Gohl D, Vangay P, Garbe J, MacLean A, Hauge A, Becker A, Gould T, Clayton J, Johnson T,  
584 Hunter R, Knights D, Beckman K. 2016. Systematic improvement of amplicon marker gene  
585 methods for increased accuracy in microbiome studies. *Nat Biotechnol* 34:942–949.
- 586 44. Kanagawa T. 2003. Bias and artifacts in multitemplate polymerase chain reactions (PCR). *J*  
587 *Biosci Bioeng* 96:317–323.
- 588 45. Ahn J, Kim B, Song J, Weon H. 2012. Effects of PCR cycle number and DNA polymerase  
589 type on the 16S rRNA gene pyrosequencing analysis of bacterial communities. *J Microbiol*  
590 50:1071–1074.
- 591 46. Wu J, Jiang X, Jiang Y, Lu S, Zou F, Zhou H. 2010. Effects of polymerase, template dilution  
592 and cycle number on PCR based 16 S rRNA diversity analysis using the deep sequencing  
593 method. *BMC Microbiol* 10:255.
- 594 47. D’Amore R, Ijaz UZ, Schirmer M, Kenny JG, Gregory R, Darby AC, Shakyia M, Podar M,  
595 Quince C, Hall N. 2016. A comprehensive benchmarking study of protocols and sequencing  
596 platforms for 16S rRNA community profiling. *BMC Genomics* 17:1–20.
- 597 48. Kozich JJ, Westcott SL, Baxter NT, Highlander SK, Schloss PD. 2013. Development of a  
598 dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on  
599 the MiSeq Illumina sequencing platform. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 79:5112–20.
- 600 49. Fadrosch DW, Ma B, Gajer P, Sengamalay N, Ott S, Brotman RM, Ravel J. 2014. An improved  
601 dual-indexing approach for multiplexed 16S rRNA gene sequencing on the Illumina MiSeq  
602 platform. *Microbiome* 2:6.
- 603 50. Eid J, Fehr A, Gray J, Luong K, Lyle J, Otto G, Peluso P, Rank D, Baybayan P, Bettman B,  
604 Bibillo A, Bjornson K, Chaudhuri B, Christians F, Cicero R, Clark S, Dalal R, DeWinter A,  
605 Dixon J, Foquet M, Gaertner A, Hardenbol P, Heiner C, Hester K, Holden D, Kearns G, Kong  
606 X, Kuse R, Lacroix Y, Lin S, Lundquist P, Ma C, Marks P, Maxham M, Murphy D, Park I,  
607 Pham T, Phillips M, Roy J, Sebra R, Shen G, Sorenson J, Tomaney A, Travers K, Trulson M,  
608 Vieceli J, Wegener J, Wu D, Yang A, Zaccarin D, Zhao P, Zhong F, Korlach J, Turner S.  
609 2009. Real-time DNA sequencing from single polymerase molecules. *Science* 323:133–138.
- 610 51. Loman NJ, Watson M. 2015. Successful test launch for nanopore sequencing. *Nat Methods*  
611 12:303–304.
- 612 52. Jain M, Fiddes IT, Miga KH, Olsen HE, Paten B, Akeson M. 2015. Improved data analysis for  
613 the MinION nanopore sequencer. *Nat Methods* 12:351–356.
- 614 53. Fichot EB, Norman RS. 2013. Microbial phylogenetic profiling with the Pacific Biosciences  
615 sequencing platform. *Microbiome* 1:10.



- 616 54. Schloss PD, Jenior ML, Koumpouras CC, Westcott SL, Highlander SK. 2016. Sequencing 16S  
617 rRNA gene fragments using the PacBio SMRT DNA sequencing system. *PeerJ* 4:e1869.
- 618 55. Benítez-Páez A, Portune KJ, Sanz Y. 2016. Species-level resolution of 16S rRNA gene  
619 amplicons sequenced through the MinION™ portable nanopore sequencer. *Gigascience* 5:4.
- 620 56. Wagner J, Coupland P, Browne HP, Lawley TD, Francis SC, Parkhill J. 2016. Evaluation of  
621 PacBio sequencing for full-length bacterial 16S rRNA gene classification. *BMC Microbiol*  
622 16:274.
- 623 57. Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters W a, Berg-Lyons D, Huntley J, Fierer N, Owens SM, Betley  
624 J, Fraser L, Bauer M, Gormley N, Gilbert J a, Smith G, Knight R. 2012. Ultra-high-throughput  
625 microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. *ISME J* 6:1621–4.
- 626 58. Schloss PD, Gevers D, Westcott SL. 2011. Reducing the effects of PCR amplification and  
627 sequencing artifacts on 16S rRNA-based studies. *PLoS One* 6:e27310.
- 628 59. Parada AE, Needham DM, Fuhrman JA. 2016. Every base matters: Assessing small subunit  
629 rRNA primers for marine microbiomes with mock communities, time series and global field  
630 samples. *Environ Microbiol* 18:1403–1414.
- 631 60. Brooks JP, Edwards DJ, Harwich MD, Rivera MC, Fettweis JM, Serrano MG, Reris RA,  
632 Sheth NU, Huang B, Girerd P, Strauss JF, Jefferson KK, Buck GA, Vaginal Microbiome C.  
633 2015. The truth about metagenomics: Quantifying and counteracting bias in 16S rRNA studies.  
634 *BMC Microbiol* 15:66.
- 635 61. Tourlousse DM, Yoshiike S, Ohashi A, Matsukura S, Noda N, Sekiguchi Y. 2016. Synthetic  
636 spike-in standards for high-throughput 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing. *Nucleic Acids*  
637 *Res* 45:e23.
- 638 62. Smets W, Leff JW, Bradford MA, McCulley RL, Lebeer S, Fierer N. 2016. A method for  
639 simultaneous measurement of soil bacterial abundances and community composition via 16S  
640 rRNA gene sequencing. *Soil Biol Biochem* 96:145–151.
- 641 63. Stammer F, Glasner J, Hiergeist A, Holler E, Weber D, Oefner PJ, Gessner A, Spang R. 2016.  
642 Adjusting microbiome profiles for differences in microbial load by spike-in bacteria.  
643 *Microbiome* 4:28.
- 644 64. Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK, Fierer N,  
645 Peña AG, Goodrich K, Gordon JI, Huttley GA, Kelley ST, Knights D, Jeremy E, Ley RE,  
646 Lozupone CA, Mcdonald D, Muegge BD, Reeder J, Sevinsky JR, Turnbaugh PJ, Walters WA.  
647 2011. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nat Methods*  
648 7:335–336.
- 649 65. Meyer F, Paarmann D, D’Souza M, Etal. 2008. The metagenomics RAST server—a public  
650 resource for the automatic phylo- genetic and functional analysis of metagenomes. *BMC*  
651 *Bioinformatics* 9:1–8.
- 652 66. Edgar RC, Haas BJ, Clemente JC, Quince C, Knight R. 2011. UCHIME improves sensitivity  
653 and speed of chimera detection. *Bioinformatics* 27:2194–2200.
- 654 67. Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, Lesniewski RA,  
655 Oakley BB, Parks DH, Robinson CJ, Sahl JW, Stres B, Thallinger GG, Van Horn DJ, Weber

- 656 CF. 2009. Introducing mothur: open-source, platform-independent, community-supported  
657 software for describing and comparing microbial communities. *Appl Environ Microbiol*  
658 75:7537–41.
- 659 68. Nilakanta H, Drews KL, Firrell S, Foulkes MA, Jablonski KA. 2014. A review of software for  
660 analyzing molecular sequences. *BMC Res Notes* 7:830.
- 661 69. Plummer E, Twin J. 2015. A comparison of three bioinformatics pipelines for the analysis of  
662 preterm gut microbiota using 16S rRNA gene sequencing data. *J Proteomics Bioinform* 8:283–  
663 291.
- 664 70. Haas BJ, Gevers D, Earl a M, Feldgarden M, Ward D V, Giannoukos G, Ciulla D, Tabbaa D,  
665 Highlander SK, Sodergren E, Methé B, DeSantis TZ, The Human Microbiome Consortium,  
666 Petrosino JF, Knight R, Birren BW. 2011. Chimeric 16S rRNA sequence formation and  
667 detection in sanger and 454-pyrosequenced PCR amplicons. *Genome Res* 21:494–504.
- 668 71. Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, Cole JR. 2007. Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid  
669 assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. *Appl Environ Microbiol*  
670 73:5261–5267.
- 671 72. Schloss PD. 2010. The effects of alignment quality, distance calculation method, sequence  
672 filtering, and region on the analysis of 16S rRNA gene-based studies. *PLoS Comput Biol*  
673 6:e1000844.
- 674 73. DeSantis TZ, Hugenholtz P, Larsen N, Rojas M, Brodie EL, Keller K, Huber T, Dalevi D, Hu  
675 P, Andersen GL. 2006. Greengenes, a chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene database and  
676 workbench compatible with ARB. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 72:5069–72.
- 677 74. Cole JR, Wang Q, Fish JA, Chai B, McGarrell DM, Sun Y. 2013. Ribosomal database project:  
678 Data and tools for high throughput rRNA analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res* 42:D633–D642.
- 679 75. Quast C, Priesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, Peplies J, Glockner FO. 2013.  
680 The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: Improved data processing and web-based  
681 tools. *Nucleic Acids Res* 41:D590–D596.
- 682 76. Ashelford KE, Chuzhanova NA, Fry JC, Jones AJ, Weightman AJ. 2005. At least 1 in 20 16S  
683 rRNA sequence records currently held in public repositories is estimated to contain substantial  
684 anomalies. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 71:7724–7736.
- 685 77. Kozlov AM, Zhang JJ, Yilmaz P, Glockner FO, Stamatakis A. 2016. Phylogeny-aware  
686 identification and correction of taxonomically mislabeled sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res*  
687 44:5022–5033.
- 688 78. Newton ILG, Roeselers G. 2012. The effect of training set on the classification of honey bee  
689 gut microbiota using the Naive Bayesian Classifier. *BMC Microbiol* 12:221.
- 690 79. Werner JJ, Koren O, Hugenholtz P, DeSantis TZ, Walters WA, Caporaso JG, Angenent LT,  
691 Knight R, Ley RE. 2012. Impact of training sets on classification of high-throughput bacterial  
692 16S rRNA gene surveys. *ISME J* 6:94–103.
- 693 80. Ritari J, Salojarvi J, Lahti L, de Vos WM. 2015. Improved taxonomic assignment of human  
694 intestinal 16S rRNA sequences by a dedicated reference database. *BMC Genomics* 16:1056.

- 695 81. Sokal RR, Sneath PHA. 1965. Principles of numerical taxonomy. *J Mammology* 46:111–112.
- 696 82. Schloss PD, Westcott SL. 2011. Assessing and improving methods used in operational  
697 taxonomic unit-based approaches for 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis. *Appl Environ*  
698 *Microbiol* 77:3219–26.
- 699 83. Westcott SL, Schloss PD. 2015. De novo clustering methods outperform reference-based  
700 methods for assigning 16S rRNA gene sequences to operational taxonomic units. *PeerJ*  
701 3:e1487.
- 702 84. He Y, Caporaso JG, Jiang X-T, Sheng H-F, Huse SM, Rideout JR, Edgar RC, Kopylova E,  
703 Walters WA, Knight R, Zhou H-W. 2015. Stability of operational taxonomic units: an  
704 important but neglected property for analyzing microbial diversity. *Microbiome* 3:20.
- 705 85. Sul WJ, Cole JR, Jesus EDC, Wang Q, Farris RJ, Fish JA, Tiedje JM. 2011. Bacterial  
706 community comparisons by taxonomy-supervised analysis independent of sequence alignment  
707 and clustering. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108:14637–42.
- 708 86. Patin NV., Kunin V, Lidström U, Ashby MN. 2013. Effects of OTU clustering and PCR  
709 artifacts on microbial diversity estimates. *Microb Ecol* 65:709–719.
- 710 87. Kunin V, Engelbrekton A, Ochman H, Hugenholtz P. 2010. Wrinkles in the rare biosphere:  
711 pyrosequencing errors can lead to artificial inflation of diversity estimates. *Environ Microbiol*  
712 12:118–123.
- 713 88. Schmidt TSB, Matias Rodrigues JF, von Mering C. 2015. Limits to robustness and  
714 reproducibility in the demarcation of operational taxonomic units. *Environ Microbiol*  
715 17:1689–1706.
- 716 89. Tikhonov M, Leach RW, Wingreen NS. 2015. Interpreting 16S metagenomic data without  
717 clustering to achieve sub-OTU resolution. *ISME J* 9:68–80.
- 718 90. Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Holmes SP. 2017. Exact sequence variants should replace  
719 operational taxonomic units in marker gene data analysis. *ISME J* doi:  
720 10.1038/ismej.2017.119.
- 721 91. Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. 2016. DADA2:  
722 High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat Methods* 13:581–583.
- 723 92. Thompson LR, Sanders JG, McDonald D, Amir A, Ladau J, Locey KJ, Prill RJ, Tripathi A,  
724 Gibbons SM, Ackermann G, Navas-Molina JA, Janssen S, Kopylova E, Vázquez-Baeza Y,  
725 González A, Morton JT, Mirarab S, Zech Xu Z, Jiang L, Haroon MF, Kanbar J, Zhu Q, Jin  
726 Song S, Kosciulek T, Bokulich NA, Lefler J, Brislawn CJ, Humphrey G, Owens SM,  
727 Hampton-Marcell J, Berg-Lyons D, McKenzie V, Fierer N, Fuhrman JA, Clauset A, Stevens  
728 RL, Shade A, Pollard KS, Goodwin KD, Jansson JK, Gilbert JA, Knight R. 2017. A communal  
729 catalogue reveals Earth’s multiscale microbial diversity. *Nature* 551:457–463.
- 730 93. Stoddard SF, Smith BJ, Hein R, Roller BRK, Schmidt TM. 2015. rrnDB: Improved tools for  
731 interpreting rRNA gene abundance in bacteria and archaea and a new foundation for future  
732 development. *Nucleic Acids Res* 43:D593–D598.
- 733 94. Větrovský T, Baldrian P. 2013. The variability of the 16S rRNA gene in bacterial genomes and  
734 Its consequences for bacterial community analyses. *PLoS One* 8:e57923.

- 735 95. Props R, Kerckhof F-M, Rubbens P, De Vrieze J, Hernandez Sanabria E, Waegeman W,  
736 Monsieurs P, Hammes F, Boon N. 2016. Absolute quantification of microbial taxon  
737 abundances. *ISME J* 11:584–587.
- 738 96. Angly FE, Dennis PG, Skarshewski A, Vanwonderghem I, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. 2014.  
739 CopyRighter: A rapid tool for improving the accuracy of microbial community profiles  
740 through lineage-specific gene copy number correction. *Microbiome* 2:11.
- 741 97. Kembel SW, Wu M, Eisen JA, Green JL. 2012. Incorporating 16S gene copy number  
742 information improves estimates of microbial diversity and abundance. *PLoS Comput Biol*  
743 8:e1002743.
- 744 98. Langille MGI, Zaneveld J, Caporaso JG, McDonald D, Knights D, Reyes JA, Clemente JC,  
745 Burkepille DE, Thurber RL V, Knight R, Beiko RG, Huttenhower C. 2013. Predictive  
746 functional profiling of microbial communities using 16S rRNA marker gene sequences. *Nat*  
747 *Biotechnol* 31:814–821.
- 748 99. Salter SJ, Cox MJ, Turek EM, Calus ST, Cookson WO, Moffatt MF, Turner P, Parkhill J,  
749 Loman NJ, Walker AW. 2014. Reagent and laboratory contamination can critically impact  
750 sequence-based microbiome analyses. *BMC Biol* 12:87.
- 751 100. Lauder AP, Roche AM, Sherrill-Mix S, Bailey A, Laughlin AL, Bittinger K, Leite R, Elovitz  
752 MA, Parry S, Bushman FD. 2016. Comparison of placenta samples with contamination  
753 controls does not provide evidence for a distinct placenta microbiota. *Microbiome* 4:1–11.
- 754 101. Laurence M, Hatzis C, Brash DE. 2014. Common contaminants in next-generation sequencing  
755 that hinder discovery of low-abundance microbes. *PLoS One* 9:e97876.
- 756 102. Chang SS, Hsu HL, Cheng JC, Tseng CP. 2011. An efficient strategy for broad-range  
757 detection of low abundance bacteria without DNA decontamination of PCR reagents. *PLoS*  
758 *One* 6:e20303.
- 759 103. Tamariz J, Voynarovska K, Prinz M, Caragine T. 2006. The application of ultraviolet  
760 irradiation to exogenous sources of DNA in plasticware and water for the amplification of low  
761 copy number DNA. *J Forensic Sci* 51:790–794.
- 762 104. Humphrey B, McLeod N, Turner C, Sutton JM, Dark PM, Warhurst G. 2015. Removal of  
763 contaminant DNA by combined UV-EMA treatment allows low copy number detection of  
764 clinically relevant bacteria using pan-bacterial real-time PCR. *PLoS One* 10:e0132954.
- 765 105. Champlot S, Berthelot C, Pruvost M, Bennett EA, Grange T, Geigl E-M. 2010. An efficient  
766 multistrategy DNA decontamination procedure of PCR reagents for hypersensitive PCR  
767 applications. *PLoS One* 5:e13042.
- 768 106. Klaschik S, Lehmann L, Raadts A, Hoefft A, Stuber F. 2002. Comparison of different  
769 decontamination methods for reagents to detect low concentrations of bacterial 16S DNA by  
770 real-time-PCR. *Mol Biotechnol* 22:231–242.
- 771 107. Corless CE, Guiver M, Borrow R, Edwards-Jones V, Kaczmarek EB, Fox AJ. 2000.  
772 Contamination and sensitivity issues with a real-time universal 16S rRNA PCR. *J Clin*  
773 *Microbiol* 38:1747–1752.

- 774 108. Glassing A, Dowd SE, Galandiuk S, Davis B, Chiodini RJ. 2016. Inherent bacterial DNA  
775 contamination of extraction and sequencing reagents may affect interpretation of microbiota in  
776 low bacterial biomass samples. *Gut Pathog* 8:24.
- 777 109. Czurda S, Smelik S, Preuner-Stix S, Nogueira F, Lion T. 2016. Occurrence of fungal DNA  
778 contamination in PCR reagents: Approaches to control and decontamination. *J Clin Microbiol*  
779 54:148–152.
- 780 110. Mennerat A, Sheldon BC. 2014. How to deal with PCR contamination in molecular microbial  
781 ecology. *Microb Ecol* 68:834–841.
- 782 111. Rand KH, Houck H. 1990. Taq polymerase contains bacterial DNA of unknown origin. *Mol*  
783 *Cell Probes* 4:445–450.
- 784 112. Mohammadi T, Reesink HW, Vandebroucke-Grauls C, Savelkoul PHM. 2005. Removal of  
785 contaminating DNA from commercial nucleic acid extraction kit reagents. *J Microbiol*  
786 *Methods* 61:285–288.
- 787 113. Lazarevic V, Gaia N, Girard M, Schrenzel J. 2016. Decontamination of 16S rRNA gene  
788 amplicon sequence datasets based on bacterial load assessment by qPCR. *BMC Microbiol*  
789 16:1–8.
- 790