

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Underestimation of Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA and MSSA) carriage associated with standard culturing techniques: one third of carriers missed.

Citation for published version:

Tsang, J, McHugh, M, Guerendiain, D, Gwynne, P, Boyd, J, Simpson, H, Walsh, T, Laurenson, IF & Templeton, K 2018, 'Underestimation of Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA and MSSA) carriage associated with standard culturing techniques: one third of carriers missed.' Bone & Joint Research. DOI: 10.1302/2046-3758.71.BJR-2017-0175.R1

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

10.1302/2046-3758.71.BJR-2017-0175.R1

Link:

Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer

Document Version: Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In: Bone & Joint Research

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Édinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





INFECTION

Underestimation of Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA and MSSA) carriage associated with standard culturing techniques

ONE THIRD OF CARRIERS MISSED

Objectives

Nasal carriers of *Staphylococcus (S.) aureus (MRSA* and *MSSA*) have an increased risk for healthcare-associated infections. There are currently limited national screening policies for the detection of *S. aureus* despite the World Health Organization's recommendations. This study aimed to evaluate the diagnostic performance of molecular and culture techniques in *S. aureus* screening, determine the cause of any discrepancy between the diagnostic techniques, and model the potential effect of different diagnostic techniques on *S. aureus* detection in orthopaedic patients.

Methods

Paired nasal swabs for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay and culture of *S. aureus* were collected from a study population of 273 orthopaedic outpatients due to undergo joint arthroplasty surgery.

Results

The prevalence of MSSA nasal colonization was found to be between 22.4% to 35.6%. The current standard direct culturing methods for detecting *S. aureus* significantly underestimated the prevalence (p=0.005), failing to identify its presence in approximately one-third of patients undergoing joint arthroplasty surgery.

Conclusion

Modelling these results to national surveillance data, it was estimated that approximately 5000 to 8000 *S. aureus* surgical site infections could be prevented, and approximately \$140 million to \$950 million (approximately £110 million to £760 million) saved in treatment costs annually in the United States and United Kingdom combined, by using alternative diagnostic methods to direct culture in preoperative *S. aureus* screening and eradication programmes.

Cite this article: Bone Joint Res 2018;7:79-84.

Keywords: Surgical site infection, Staphylococcus aureus, Periprosthetic joint infection

Article focus

This study aimed to evaluate the diagnostic performance of molecular and culture techniques in *S. aureus* screening, determine the cause of any discrepancy between the diagnostic techniques; and model the potential effect of different diagnostic techniques on *S. aureus* detection in orthopaedic patients.

Key messages

The prevalence of *MSSA* nasal colonization was found to be between 22.4% and 35.6%.

- Polymerase chain reaction or preplating broth enrichment of swabs for culture can significantly improve nasal *MSSA* detection.
- Use of optimal techniques could prevent up to 8000 MSSA SSIs per annum in the United States and United Kingdom combined.

Strengths and limitations

This study provides the first evaluation of the effect that different diagnostic methods have on the prevalence of nasal MSSA colonization in an elective orthopaedic population.

S. T. J. Tsang, M. P. McHugh, D. Guerendiain, P. J. Gwynne, J. Boyd, A. H. R. W. Simpson, T. S. Walsh, I. F. Laurenson, K. E. Templeton

University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

 S. T. J. Tsang, MBChB, BSc (Hons), MSc, MRCSEd, Clinical Research Fellow, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, University of Edinburgh, Chancellor's Building, 49 Little France Crescent, Old Dalkeith Road, Edinburgh, EH16 45B, UK and School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, King's Buildings, Mayfield Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JR, UK.
 M. P. McHugh, BSc (Hons), MSc, Clinical Scientist.

 D. Guerendiain, BSc (Hons), MSc, Clinical Scientist,

I. F. Laurenson, MBChB, MD, FRCPath. Consultant Medical Microbiologist, K. E. Templeton, BSc (Hons), PhD, FRCPath, Consultant Clinical Scientist in Microbiology, Department of Medical Microbiology, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, 51 Little France Crescent, Old Dalkeith Road, Edinburgh EH16 4SA, UK. P. J. Gwynne, BSc (Hons), PhD, Postdoctoral Research Associate, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, King's Buildings, Mayfield Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JR, UK. I. Boyd, BSc (Hons), PhD, Clinical Trials Manager, Edinburgh Clinical Trials Unit, University of Edinburgh (Usher Institute), Nine Bioguarter, 9 Little France Road, Edinburgh, EH16 4UX. A. H. R. W. Simpson, BA, BM, BCh, MA, FRCSEd, DM, George Harrison Law Professor of Orthopaedic Surgery, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, T. S. Walsh, BSc (Hons), MBChB (Hons), FRCA, MD, MRes, FFICM, Professor of Anaesthesia, Critical care and Pain Medicine, Department of Anaesthesia Critical care and Pain Medicine, University of Edinburgh, Chancellor's Building, 49 Little France Crescent, Old Dalkeith Road, Edinburgh EH16 4SB, UK.

Correspondence should be sent to S. T. J. Tsang; email: jerry.tsang@ed.ac.uk

doi: 10.1302/2046-3758.71.BJR-2017-0175.R1

Bone Joint Res 2018;7:79-84.

The main limitation of this study was that PCRnegative samples were not analyzed in the cohort of samples undergoing enrichment, preventing a complete evaluation of the enrichment technique.

Introduction

Healthcare-associated infection is a significant clinical issue, particularly surgical site infection (SSI). SSI is widely used as a quality indicator in healthcare systems and reporting is mandatory in many settings, notably by Surgical Site Infection Surveillance Service (SSISS) from Public Health England¹ and through the Center for Disease Control (CDC) in the United States.² Orthopaedic surgery is a primary focus of SSI surveillance, given the very high rates of implant surgery and the major impact that SSI can have on patients' health-related quality of life, function, healthcare costs, and medicolegal implications.³

The reported SSI incidence for knee and hip arthroplasties undertaken in developed nations has been estimated to be between 0.5% and 2%, based on inpatient, readmission episodes, and the number of revision procedures that are performed.⁴⁻⁸ However, even national surveillance estimates may under-report the true incidence.9 The available data suggests that downward trends have levelled off, although rates for knee surgery may be rising.⁸ This could reflect a changing prevalence of risk factors for infection, such as revision surgery, longer operation times, higher BMI, and greater patient comorbidity. Gram-positive bacteria have been reported as accounting for 46% of all SSIs, in all branches of surgery. For hip and knee arthroplasty, among monomicrobial infections (which account for around 75% of all patients), between 30% and 38% were methicillinsensitive Staphylococcus (S.) aureus (MSSA), between 4% and 5% were methicillin-resistant S. aureus (MRSA), and between 25% and 28% were coagulase negative staphylococci. In polymicrobial cases Gram-positive organisms were implicated in between 70% and 80% of patients.⁸ For orthopaedic surgery, Gram-positive organisms account for the majority of SSIs.8

High-level nasal carriers of *MSSA* have a risk of healthcare-associated *MSSA* infection that is three to six times the risk compared with noncarriers and low-level carriers ¹⁰ and is similar in both surgical and non-surgical hospitalized patients.^{11,12} It is also known that more than 80% of healthcare-associated *S. aureus* infections are endogenous, implying that acquisition is from sites on the patient's own body.^{13,14} The association between nasal carriage of *S. aureus*, specifically *MRSA*, and SSI has been demonstrated in a systematic review and confirmed in recent cohort studies.^{15,16}

A pan-European study reported that 21.6% of healthy European residents carry *S. aureus* in their nose, with the rate in the United Kingdom being 25%, although there was wide variation between countries.¹⁷ A recent

retrospective part cohort United Kingdom-based study among patients undergoing elective orthopaedic surgery reported a threefold reduction in MSSA-associated SSI, using a routine preoperative screening and decolonization programme.¹⁸ In contrast to MSSA, MRSA prevalence in healthy community individuals is estimated to be < 2%.¹⁹ Screening of patients presenting for surgery or admitted to hospital areas with strong risk factors for MRSA, such as intensive care units (ICUs), dialysis units, and elderly care areas, is widely practised in healthcare systems. The National Screening policy for MRSA²⁰ in the United Kingdom recommends the use of two swabs (a nasal swab plus a perineal or throat swab) cultured directly or following pre-enrichment onto chromogenic MRSA-selective media. As primary screening media, the American Society for Microbiology recommends saltcontaining tryptone soya broth (TSB) in conjunction with a blood agar plate and mannitol-salt agar (MSA) containing 4 µg of oxacillin per millilitre.²¹ There are currently no national screening policies for MSSA in the United Kingdom or United States prior to orthopaedic surgery, despite the recent recommendations from the World Health Organization.²²

The aims of this study were to evaluate the diagnostic performance of molecular and culture techniques in *S. aureus* screening using paired nasal samples, to determine the cause of any discrepancy between the diagnostic techniques and to model the potential effect of different diagnostic techniques on *S. aureus* detection in orthopaedic patients.

Materials and Methods

Nasal swabs for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay and culture of *S. aureus* were collected from a study population of 273 orthopaedic outpatients due to undergo joint arthroplasty surgery at a university teaching hospital (Royal Infirmary Edinburgh) between October 2015 and September 2016. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the regional ethics committee (REC 15/ SS/0091). Patients presenting for assessment prior to orthopaedic implant surgery were prospectively enrolled and provided written consent. All specimens were collected by trained research nurses and were processed within three hours of collection. Patient data was anonymized, processed, and stored in accordance with established quidelines.²³

The Xpert S. aureus Nasal Complete Assay (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, California) was carried out according to the manufacturer's instructions. Swab tips (Sample Collection device, Cepheid) were placed in elution buffer, vortexed, and added to a test cartridge, which was loaded into the GeneXpert (Cepheid) Instrument. Primers and probes are included to detect S. aureus (staphylococcal protein A, spa) as well as two markers of methicillin resistance (the methicillin resistance gene *mecA* and the staphylococcal

Assay	Positive, n (%)			Negative, n (%)	Result not available
	MSSA	MRSA	Total		
Xpert PCR assay (n=273)	94 (35.6)	6 (2.3)	100 (37.9)	164 (62.1)	9*
Direct culture (n=181)	37 (22.4)	2 (1.2)	39 (23.6)	126 (76.4)	16 [†]
Pre-enrichment culture (n=92)	28 (80.0)	3 (8.6)	31 (88.6)	4 (11.4)	57‡

Table I. Complete results of Xpert PCR assay, direct culture, and pre-enrichment culture for Staphylococcus aureus nasal screening

*Invalid result by Xpert PCR

[†]Culture not performed in line with study protocol

[‡]Enrichment not performed on Xpert PCR negative samples

MSSA, methicillin-sensitive Staphylococcus aureus; MRSA, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus; PCR, polymerase chain reaction

cassette chromosome (SCCmec)). Standard swabs (Amies Transport Swab, Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany) were plated directly to solid agar while enrichment swabs (Sigma Tryptic Soy broth with 6.5% NaCl, (Medical Wire & Equipment, Corsham, Wiltshire, United Kingdom)) were incubated for between18 and 24 hours at 37°C prior to plating. To aid detection of both MRSA and MSSA, swabs were subbed to Brilliance MRSA 2 Agar (Thermo Fisher Scientific Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, United Kingdom) and mannitol salt agar (MSA) (Thermo Fisher Scientific Oxoid). Culture plates were incubated for between 18 and 24 hours at 37°C. After incubation, presumptive S. aureus colonies (denim blue on chromogenic agar or yellow on MSA) were subcultured to Columbia blood agar (CBA) (Thermo Fisher Scientific Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, UK) for identification using a MicroFlex MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics, Billerica, Massachusetts). For isolates confirmed as S. aureus, antibiotic sensitivity testing was performed on the VITEK 2 system (bioMérieux, Marcy-l'Étoile, France) using AST P-634 cards. A further PCR assay was conducted in 58 randomly selected samples as part of a discrepant analysis. After processing for culture, swabs were held at 4°C for up to seven days before storing at -80°C. Swabs were placed in Microtest M4-RT transport medium (Remel, San Diego, California), vortexed for ten seconds, and 200µl of the liquid was stored. Samples were thawed and nucleic acid was extracted as previously described.²⁴ After thawing, the samples were processed using a standard protocol for real-time PCR detection of S. aureus thermostable nuclease (nuc) gene using the ABI 7500 Fast Instrument (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California).²⁵

Data were analyzed using SPSS statistical software version 22.0 (IBM Inc., Armonk, New York) and Minitab version 17.1.0 (Minitab Ltd, Coventry, Warwickshire, United Kingdom). Fisher's exact test was used to compare the diagnostic performance of each technique. A discrepant analysis was performed to determine the cause of any difference between the estimated prevalence.²⁶ Using the results of both PCR assays as the benchmark the performance characteristics were calculated. Sensitivity analysis was conducted using 95% confidence intervals (CIs) generated from the paired sample data. A p-value < 0.05 was deemed to be statistically significant. Using data reported in the literature, the risk of *S. aureus* SSI in patients with known nasal colonization, the risk reduction associated with a preoperative *MSSA* screening and eradication, and annual rates of joint arthroplasty surgery were estimated. These estimates were combined with the diagnostic performance characteristics from the paired nasal samples to model the effect discrepancies in diagnostic performance would have on national *MSSA* SSI incidence.

Results

In the 273 patients undergoing nasal screening, the median age was 68 years (interquartile range (IQR) 61 to 75), 176 of whom were female (64.5%). Of these patients, 239 (87.6%) were due to undergo primary hip or knee arthroplasty. From the initial 273 paired samples, a total of 16 sample pairs (5.9%) were excluded due to Xpert assay failure (n=9, 3.3%) or failure to perform culture as per study protocol (n = 7, 2.6%), leaving 257 paired samples available for analysis. The results of the PCR and culture assays are shown in Table I. The Xpert PCR assay found 37.9% of samples to be positive (95% confidence interval (CI) 32.0 to 44.0), with 35.6% MSSA (95% CI 29.8 to 41.7) and 2.3% MRSA (95% CI 0.8 to 4.9). Direct culture found 23.6% of samples to be positive (95% CI 17.4 to 30.9), with 22.4% (95% CI 16.3 to 29.6) and 1.2% (95% CI 0.1 to 4.3) confirmed as MSSA and MRSA, respectively. Pre-enriched culture found 33.7% of samples to be positive (95% CI 24.2 to 44.3), with 30.4% MSSA (95% CI 21.3 to 40.9) and 4.3% MRSA (95% CI 1.2 to 10.8).

A summary of the performance characteristics taken from the 58 paired samples that underwent both PCR assays and either direct or pre-enriched culture is shown in Table I. Analysis of the data suggested that the cause of the discrepancy was due to a lack of sensitivity associated with direct culture.

The absolute difference in estimated prevalence of nasal *MSSA* colonization between the PCR assay and direct culture was 13.1% (95% CI 4.6 to 21.8), which was statistically significant (p=0.005). Exclusive use of direct culture would estimate the *MSSA* prevalence 8.0% (95% CI 3.3 to 19.4) lower than pre-enriched culture, which was not statistically significant (p=0.167)

	Approx. no. of PJAs per annum	No. of patients with nasal <i>MSSA</i> colonization potentially missed [*]	No. of preventable S. aureus SSIs†	Approx. treatment costs potentially saved [‡]
United States	1 000 000	80 000 to 130 000	4000 to 6600	\$120 million to \$790 million
England, Wales, and Northern Ireland	182 000	15 000 to 24 000	800 to 1200	\$20 million to \$150 million§
Scotland	16 000	1200 to 2000	60 to 100	\$1.5 million to \$12.5 million [¶]
Total	1 198 000	96200 to 156000	4860 to 7900	\$141.5 million to \$952.5 million

Table II. Potential prevention of Staphylococcus (S.) aureus surgical site infections in the United Kingdom and United States

*The approximate number of patients with nasal MSSA colonization who would be missed if direct culture were to be used exclusively over polymerase chain reaction or pre-enriched culture

[†]The number of surgical site infections that could potentially be prevented if polymerase chain reaction or pre-enriched culture were used, rather than direct culture, in *Staphylococcus aureus* screening programmes

*The approximate amount that could be saved in treatment costs if polymerase chain reaction or pre-enriched culture were used, rather than direct culture, in Staphylococcus aureus screening programmes

Sconverted from GBP (£16 million to £120 million) to USD at a rate of $\pounds 1 = \$1.25$ (the mean GBP to USD rate for February 2017, rounded to the nearest five cents)

Converted from GBP (\pounds 1.2 million to \pounds 10 million) to USD at a rate of \pounds 1 = \$1.25 (the mean GBP to USD rate for February 2017, rounded to the nearest five cents) Approx., approximate; PJAs, primary joint arthroplasties; SSIs, surgical site infections; PCR, polymerase chain reaction

It is estimated that almost 1200000 primary joint arthroplasties are performed annually in the United States and United Kingdom combined (Table II).27-29 If direct culture were to be used exclusively over PCR or preenriched culture in the United Kingdom and the United States, approximately 96200 to 156000 such patients with nasal MSSA colonization would be missed (Table II). It has previously been reported that nasal S. aureus colonization has an absolute risk of S. aureus SSI of 7.7% in elective orthopaedic patients (95% CI 5.4 to 10.8).30 S. aureus screening and eradication programmes prior to elective orthopaedic surgery have a reported relative risk reduction of 66.4% (95% CI 45.8 to 87.0) for the prevention of S. aureus SSI.18,30-34 According to economic estimates in the United States, the hospital treatment costs for an individual patient with prosthetic joint infection (PJI) range from \$30 000 to \$120 000.35-37 Estimates in the United Kingdom for total hospital care costs per case are similar to that in the United States (between £20000 and £100000).38,39 Therefore, 4860 to 7900 S. aureus SSIs could potentially be prevented, and approximately \$140 million to \$950 million (approximately £110 million to £760 million) saved in treatment costs in the United Kingdom and the United States combined, by using PCR or enrichment culture, rather than direct culture in S. aureus screening programmes (Table II).

Discussion

This study provides the first evaluation of the effect that different diagnostic methods have on the prevalence of nasal *MSSA* colonization in a United Kingdom elective orthopaedic population. Using a combination of published data and the results of this study, the likely effect on *S. aureus* SSI incidence, if direct culture methods are adopted nationally, has been modelled.

The *MSSA* prevalence in this study was estimated to be between 22.4% and 35.6%, depending on the diagnostic method, broadly in line with previous studies.^{18,40-43} Meenan et al⁴⁰ reported an *S. aureus* prevalence rate of 41.7% in a cohort of 204 obstetrics and gynaecology patients in Ireland. The prevalence of MSSA and MRSA was 40.7% and 1.0%, respectively. Nadimpalli et al⁴¹ found out of 210 workers exposed to livestock production facilities in the USA, 83 (40%) had nasal S. aureus colonization. Van Vugt et al⁴² reported a nasal S. aureus colonization rate of 40.5% amongst 366 surgeons and surgical trainees, compared with a 30.8% prevalence out of 950 in a healthy non-hospitalized control group in The Netherlands. A recent United Kingdom-wide study of elective orthopaedics patients reported an MSSA prevalence of 29% using direct culturing techniques alone (89MSSA positive patients of 307 elective patients screened).¹⁸ In a review of longitudinal studies Wertheim et al⁴³ reported that "a mean of about 20% (12% to 30%) of individuals are persistent S. aureus nasal carriers, approximately a mean of 30% are intermittent carriers (16% to 70%) and about 50% (16% to 69%) are noncarriers". It is likely that the S. aureus carriers in this study represent a mix of persistent and intermittent carriers who have been in contact with health care facilities, which may explain the higher prevalence rate.

The performance characteristics of the PCR assay and pre-enriched culture were found to be similar in this study. Both techniques were found to be more sensitive than direct culture in the detection of nasal MSSA. There was increased cost for consumables and processing of the PCR assay (£28/\$35) compared with pre-enriched culture (£14/\$18) and direct culture (£13/\$16). Previous studies have reported mixed performance characteristics when comparing PCR to culture techniques. Andriesse et al⁴⁴ reported a lower sensitivity for two PCR assays (82.0% and 85.6%) in comparison to a pre-enriched semi-quantitative culture technique (98.2%) in the detection of MSSA nasal colonization when using pre-enriched conventional culture as a benchmark. Wassenberg et al⁴⁵ performed an evaluation of the GeneOhm MRSA (Becton Dickinson Diagnostics, San Diego, California) and Gene-Xpert MRSA PCR assays, as well as direct culture onto a selective, chromogenic agar (MRSA-ID, bioMérieux) using direct conventional culture as the reference in the detection of MRSA in non-critical care hospital admissions. The PCR assays were found to have 85.2% and 75.0% sensitivity, and 96.5% and 94.5% specificity, respectively. Direct culture on chromogenic agar had an 85.7% and 96.6% sensitivity and specificity, respectively.45 A similar study was repeated by the same group in Dutch ICU admissions, with higher sensitivity levels (100%) and similar specificity levels (95.7%) associated with the GeneXpert MRSA assay. No MRSA were detected using the culture technique in this Dutch ICU study and thus the sensitivity could not be calculated. The specificity of culture using chromogenic agar was 93.3%.⁴⁶ In contrast, Bebko et al⁴⁷ reported a higher detection level with PCR compared with direct culture when they evaluated the diagnostic performance of the GeneXpert assay in patients prior to undergoing orthopaedic implant surgery. They reported that PCR assay had a 100% sensitivity and 77.1% specificity when used for detection of nasal MSSA, when using culture as the benchmark.

Previous comparisons between pre-enrichment and direct culture for the recovery of MSSA from clinical samples have been reported. McAllister et al⁴⁸ found that enrichment of swabs using TSB increased sensitivity for S. aureus by 13% compared with direct plating when using MSA culture medium in 600 HIV-positive outpatients. Meenan et al⁴⁰ reported a 16% increase in sensitivity with enrichment, using brain-heart infusion, when compared to direct plating onto CBA. In the same study, enrichment of swabs increased sensitivity for S. aureus by 31% compared with direct plating onto a selective chromogenic agar (bioMérieux S. aureus ID medium agar). In our study, enrichment increased the sensitivity for S. aureus by 21% compared with direct plating, a greater increase than reported by McAllister et al⁴⁸ in HIV positive patients. The sensitivity for the detection of nasal S. aureus associated with enrichment of swabs in our study was similar to that reported by Nadimpalli et al.⁴¹ They found enrichment (Muellar-Hinton broth, 6.5% NaCl) of nasal swabs was associated with an 80.7% and 90.4% sensitivity for S. aureus when using Baird-Parker agar and a chromogenic agar, respectively. They also found reported high inter-observer agreement, kappa coefficient 0.69 (95% CI 0.59 to 0.80), between the two-culture media.41 Despite the favourable performance characteristics of both PCR and enrichment culture, the barriers to universal uptake have been cost-effectiveness^{45,49} and increased processing time,^{49,50} respectively.

The main limitation of this study was that PCR-negative samples were not analyzed in the cohort of samples undergoing enrichment. This prevented a complete evaluation, with receiver operating characteristic analysis, of the enrichment technique. The decision not to analyze these samples was based on the high specificity (98%) demonstrated by the direct plating technique. A further limitation was that we compared culture with a test that is not a universally accepted gold standard (i.e. combined PCR assays). PCR detects nucleic acid and does not distinguish between dead or live bacteria; as only viable bacteria are detected by culture, it is possible the sensitivities we report for culture may be falsely low. However, we believe that this is not the case as we show enrichment provides a similar sensitivity to PCR, suggesting that the false negatives with direct culture are due to missed lowlevel positive samples. Data were derived from the literature during the modelling process, which made it necessary to use assumptions that may affect the validity of the estimates produced.

In summary, we found the prevalence of *MSSA* nasal colonization was found to be between 22% and 36%. The use of PCR assays or pre-plating enrichment of swabs in TSB significantly improves nasal *MSSA* detection levels, which is relevant to both future research and clinical practice. These results should be considered when interpreting prevalence studies, when evaluating interventional studies, and in the development of future screening and decolonization strategies.

References

- No authors listed. Public Health England. Surgical site infection surveillance service (SSISS). https://www.gov.uk/guidance/surgical-site-infection-surveillance-servicessiss (date last accessed 07 December 2017).
- No authors listed. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Surgical Site Infection (SSI). https://www.cdc.gov/hai/ssi/ssi.html (date last accessed 07 December 2017).
- 3. Whitehouse JD, Friedman ND, Kirkland KB, Richardson WJ, Sexton DJ. The impact of surgical-site infections following orthopedic surgery at a community hospital and a university hospital: adverse quality of life, excess length of stay, and extra cost. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2002;23:183-189.
- Huotari K, Lyytikäinen O, Ollgren J, et al. Hospital Infection Surveillance Team. Disease burden of prosthetic joint infections after hip and knee joint replacement in Finland during 1999-2004: capture-recapture estimation. J Hosp Infect 2010;75: 205-208.
- Kurtz SM, Ong KL, Lau E, Bozic KJ, Berry D, Parvizi J. Prosthetic joint infection risk after TKA in the Medicare population. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2010;468:52-56.
- Ong KL, Lau E, Suggs J, Kurtz SM, Manley MT. Risk of subsequent revision after primary and revision total joint arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2010;468: 3070-3076.
- Urquhart DM, Hanna FS, Brennan SL, et al. Incidence and risk factors for deep surgical site infection after primary total hip arthroplasty: a systematic review. *J Arthroplasty* 2010;25:1216-1222.e1, 3.
- No authors listed. Public Health England. Surveillance of surgical site infections in NHS hospitals in England. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/surgicalsite-infections-ssi-surveillance-nhs-hospitals-in-england (date last accessed 24 November 2017).
- Tissingh EK, Sudlow A, Jones A, Nolan JF. Orthopaedic surgical site infection surveillance in NHS England: national audit of current practice. *Bone Joint J* 2017; 99-B:171-174.
- Weinstein HJ. The relation between the nasal-staphylococcal-carrier state and the incidence of postoperative complications. N Engl J Med 1959;260:1303-1308.
- Kluytmans JA, Mouton JW, Ijzerman EP, et al. Nasal carriage of Staphylococcus aureus as a major risk factor for wound infections after cardiac surgery. J Infect Dis 1995;171:216-219.
- Nouwen J, Schouten J, Schneebergen P, et al. Staphylococcus aureus carriage patterns and the risk of infections associated with continuous peritoneal dialysis. *J Clin Microbiol* 2006;44:2233-2236.
- von Eiff C, Becker K, Machka K, Stammer H, Peters G. Study Group. Nasal carriage as a source of Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia. N Engl J Med 2001;344:11-16.
- Wertheim HFL, Vos MC, Ott A, et al. Risk and outcome of nosocomial Staphylococcus aureus bacteraemia in nasal carriers versus non-carriers. *Lancet* 2004;364:703-705.
- Levy P-Y, Ollivier M, Drancourt M, Raoult D, Argenson JN. Relation between nasal carriage of Staphylococcus aureus and surgical site infection in orthopedic

surgery: the role of nasal contamination. A systematic literature review and metaanalysis. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 2013;99:645-651.

- 16. Kalra L, Camacho F, Whitener CJ, et al. Risk of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus surgical site infection in patients with nasal MRSA colonization. Am J Infect Control 2013;41:1253-1257.
- 17. den Heijer CDJ, van Bijnen EME, Paget WJ, et al. APRES Study Team. Prevalence and resistance of commensal Staphylococcus aureus, including methicillin-resistant S aureus, in nine European countries: a cross-sectional study. Lancet Infect Dis 2013;13: 409-415
- 18. Dancer SJ, Christison F, Eslami A, et al. Is it worth screening elective orthopaedic patients for carriage of Staphylococcus aureus? A part-retrospective case-control study in a Scottish hospital. BMJ Open 2016;6:e011642
- 19. den Heijer CD, van Bijnen EM, Paget WJ, et al. Prevalence and resistance of commensal Staphylococcus aureus, including meticillin-resistant S aureus, in nine European countries: a cross-sectional study. Lancet Infect Dis 2013;13:409-415.
- 20. No authors listed. Public Health England. UK Standards for Microbiology. Investigation of Specimens for Screening for MRSA. 2014. https://www.gov.uk/ government/collections/standards-for-microbiology-investigations-smi. (date last accessed 24 November 2017).
- 21. Gorss E. Prospective, focused surveillance for oxacillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. In: Isenberg HD (ed.) Clinical microbiology procedures handbook. American Society for Microbiology, Washington;1992:11.15.1 to 11.15.2.
- 22. Allegranzi B, Bischoff P, de Jonge S, et al. WHO Guidelines Development Group. New WHO recommendations on preoperative measures for surgical site infection prevention: an evidence-based global perspective. Lancet Infect Dis 2016;16: e276-e287
- 23. No authors listed. Good Clinical Practice (GCP). https://www.nihr.ac.uk/our-faculty/ clinical-research-staff/learning-and-development/national-directory/good-clinicalpractice/ (date last accessed 24 November 2017).
- 24. Gadsby NJ, McHugh MP, Russell CD, et al. Development of two real-time multiplex PCR assays for the detection and quantification of eight key bacterial pathogens in lower respiratory tract infections. Clin Microbiol Infect 2015;21:788.e1-788.e13.
- 25. Pichon B, Hill R, Laurent F, et al. Development of a real-time guadruplex PCR assay for simultaneous detection of nuc, Panton-Valentine leucocidin (PVL), mecA and homologue mecALGA251. J Antimicrob Chemother 2012;67:2338-2341.
- 26. Banoo S, Bell D, Bossuyt P, et al. Evaluation of diagnostic tests for infectious diseases: general principles. Nat Rev Microbiol 2006;4:S20-32.
- 27. No authors listed. Scottish Arthroplasty Project Biennial report 2016. http:// www.arthro.scot.nhs.uk/docs/2016-08-09-SAP-Report.pdf?1 (date last accessed 24 November 2017)
- 28. No authors listed. 13th Annual Report National Joint Registry for England, Wales, Northern Ireland and the Isle of Man. 2016. http://www.njrcentre.org.uk/njrcentre/ Reports, Publications and Minutes/tabid/85/Default.aspx (date last accessed 24 November 2017)
- 29. No authors listed. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, HCUPnet: a tool for identifying, tracking, and analyzing national hospital statistics. https://hcupnet.ahrg. gov (date last accessed 24 November 2017).
- 30. Bode LGM, Kluytmans JAJW, Wertheim HFL, et al. Preventing surgical-site infections in nasal carriers of Staphylococcus aureus. N Engl J Med 2010;362:9-17.
- 31. Chen AF, Wessel CB, Rao N. Staphylococcus aureus screening and decolonization in orthopaedic surgery and reduction of surgical site infections. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2013;471:2383-2399
- 32. Rao N, Cannella B, Crossett LS, Yates AJ Jr, McGough R III. A preoperative decolonization protocol for staphylococcus aureus prevents orthopaedic infections. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2008;466:1343-1348.
- 33. Coskun D. Avtac J. Decrease in Staphylococcus aureus surgical-site infection rates after orthopaedic surgery after intranasal mupirocin ointment. J Hosp Infect 2004;58:90-91.
- 34. Hacek DM, Robb WJ, Paule SM, et al. Staphylococcus aureus nasal decolonization in joint replacement surgery reduces infection. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2008;466:1349-1355.
- 35. Garrido-Gómez J, Arrabal-Polo MA, Girón-Prieto MS, et al. Descriptive analysis of the economic costs of periprosthetic joint infection of the knee for the public health system of Andalusia. J Arthroplasty 2013;28:1057-1060.
- 36. Kapadia BH, McElroy MJ, Issa K, et al. The economic impact of periprosthetic infections following total knee arthroplasty at a specialized tertiary-care center. J Arthroplastv 2014:29:929-932.
- 37. Kurtz SM, Lau E, Watson H, Schmier JK, Parvizi J. Economic burden of periprosthetic joint infection in the United States. J Arthroplasty 2012;27(suppl):61-5.e1.
- 38. Vanhegan IS, Malik AK, Jayakumar P, UI Islam S, Haddad FS. A financial analysis of revision hip arthroplasty: the economic burden in relation to the national tariff. J Bone Joint Surg [Br] 2012;94-B:619-623.

- 39. No authors listed. British Orthopaedic Association. Getting It Right First Time, 2015. https://www.boa.ac.uk/pro-practice/getting-it-right-first-time/ (date last accessed 24 November 2017)
- 40. Meenan AM, Maclellan A, O'Sullivan N, Hanniffy R. Isolation of Staphylococcus aureus from screening swabs: comparison of blood agar and SAID, pre and postenrichment Br. J Biomed Sci 2009:66:200-203
- 41. Nadimpalli M, Heaney C, Stewart JR. Identification of Staphylococcus aureus from enriched nasal swabs within 24 h is improved with use of multiple culture media. J Med Microbiol 2013;62(Pt 9):1365-1367.
- 42. van Vugt JLA, Coelen RJS, van Dam DW, et al. Nasal carriage of Staphylococcus aureus among surgeons and surgical residents: a nationwide prevalence study. Surg Infect (Larchmt) 2015;16:178-182.
- 43. Wertheim HF, Melles DC, Vos MC, et al. The role of nasal carriage in Staphylococcus aureus infections. Lancet Infect Dis 2005;5:751-762.
- 44. Andriesse GI, van Rijen M, Bogaers D, Bergmans AM, Kluytmans JA. Comparison of two PCR-based methods and conventional culture for the detection of nasal carriage of Staphylococcus aureus in pre-operative patients. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2009:28:1223-1226.
- 45. Wassenberg MWM, Kluytmans JAJW, Box ATA, et al. Rapid screening of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus using PCR and chromogenic agar: a prospective study to evaluate costs and effects. Clin Microbiol Infect 2010;16:1754-1761
- 46. Wassenberg M, Kluytmans J, Erdkamp S, et al. Costs and benefits of rapid screening of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus carriage in intensive care units: a prospective multicenter study. Crit Care 2012;16:R22.
- 47. Bebko SP, Byers P, Green DM, Awad SS. Identification of methicillin-susceptible or methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus carrier status preoperatively using polymerase chain reaction in patients undergoing elective surgery with hardware implantation. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2015;36:738-741.
- 48. McAllister SK, Albrecht VS, Fosheim GE, et al. Evaluation of the impact of direct plating, broth enrichment, and specimen source on recovery and diversity of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolates among HIV-infected outpatients. J Clin Microbiol 2011;49:4126-4130.
- 49. Hos NJ, Wiegel P, Fischer J, Plum G. Comparative evaluation of two fullyautomated real-time PCR methods for MRSA admission screening in a tertiary-care hospital. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2016;35:1475-1478.
- 50. Nonhoff C, Denis O, Brenner A, et al. Comparison of three chromogenic media and enrichment broth media for the detection of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus from mucocutaneous screening specimens: comparison of MRSA chromogenic media. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2009;28:363-369.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Orthopaedic Department Research Nurses, Microbiology Biomedical Scientists, and Edinburgh Clinical Trials Unit for the support received during the data collection and processing.

Funding Statement

This research was supported by the Royal College of Surgeons Edinburgh (Joint RCSEd/Cutner Research fellowship awarded to S. T. J. Tsang), Leonardo (Selex Medical) (Research grant awarded to T. S. Walsh), and Arthritis Research UK (Research grant awarded to A. H. R. W. Simpson).

Author Contribution

- S. T. J. Tsang: Study conception and design, Collecting and analyzing the data, Writing and editing the manuscript.
- M. P. McHugh: Collecting and analyzing the data, Writing and editing the manuscript. D. Guerendiain: Collecting and analyzing the data, Editing the manuscript.
- P. J. Gwynne: Analyzing the data, Writing and editing the manuscript.
 J. Boyd: Study conception and design, Collecting and analyzing the data, Writing
- and editing the manuscript. A. H. R. W. Simpson: Study conception and design, data analysis, writing and edit-
- ing of manuscript T. S. Walsh: Study conception and design, Analyzing the data, Writing and editing the manuscript.
- I. F. Laurenson: Study conception and design, Analyzing the data, Writing and editing the manuscript.
- K. E. Templeton: Study conception and design, Analyzing the data, Writing and editing the manuscript

Ethical Statement

This study was approved by the South-east Scotland Research Ethics Committee (reference 15/SS/0091) and witnessed consent was obtained from all enrolled patients.

Conflicts of Interest Statement

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

© 2018 Tsang et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attributions licence (CC-BY-NC), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, but not for commercial gain, provided the original author and source are credited.