



University of Dundee

The influence of smoking, age and stage at diagnosis on the survival after larynx, hypopharynx and oral cavity cancers in Europe

Abrahão, Renata; Anantharaman, Devasena; Gaborieau, Valérie; Abedi-Ardekani, Behnoush; Lagiou, Pagona; Lagiou, Areti; Ahrens, Wolfgang; Holcatova, Ivana; Betka, Jaroslav; Merletti, Franco; Richiardi, Lorenzo; Kjaerheim, Kristina; Serraino, Diego; Polesel, Jerry; Simonato, Lorenzo; Alemany, Laia; Agudo Trigueros, Antonio; Macfarlane, Tatiana V.; Macfarlane, Gary J.; Znaor, Ariana; Robinson, Max; Canova, Cristina; Conway, David I.; Wright, Sylvia; Healy, Claire M.; Toner, Mary; Cadoni, Gabriella; Boccia, Stefania; Gheit, Tarik; Tommasino, Massimo; Scelo, Ghislaine; Brennan, Paul

Published in:
International Journal of Cancer

DOI:
[10.1002/ijc.31294](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.31294)

Publication date:
2018

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Abrahão, R., Anantharaman, D., Gaborieau, V., Abedi-Ardekani, B., Lagiou, P., Lagiou, A., ... Brennan, P. (2018). The influence of smoking, age and stage at diagnosis on the survival after larynx, hypopharynx and oral cavity cancers in Europe: The ARCAGE study. *International Journal of Cancer*, 143(1), 32-44. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.31294>

1 **The influence of smoking, age and stage at diagnosis on the survival after larynx,**
2 **hypopharynx and oral cavity cancers in Europe: the ARCAGE study**

3
4 **Authors:** Renata Abrahao,¹ Devasena Anantharaman,² Valerie Gaborieau,¹ Behnoush Abedi-
5 Ardekani,³ Pagona Lagiou,⁴ Areti Lagiou,⁵ Wolfgang Ahrens,^{6,7} Ivana Holcátová,⁸ Jaroslav Betka,⁹
6 Franco Merletti,¹⁰ Lorenzo Richiardi,¹⁰ Kristina Kjaerheim,¹¹ Diego Serraino,¹² Jerry Polesel,¹²
7 Lorenzo Simonato,¹³ Laia Alemany,^{14,15} Antonio Agudo Trigueros,^{14,15} Tatiana V. Macfarlane,^{16,17}
8 Gary J. Macfarlane¹⁶ Ariana Znaor,¹⁸ Max Robinson,¹⁹ Cristina Canova,²⁰ David I. Conway,²¹ Sylvia
9 Wright,²² Claire M. Healy,²³ Mary Toner,²³ Gabriella Cadoni,²⁴ Stefania Boccia,²⁵ Tarik Gheit,²⁶
10 Massimo Tommasino,²⁶ Ghislaine Scelo,¹ Paul Brennan^{1*}

11
12 **Authors affiliation (s)**

13 1 Genetic Epidemiology Group, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France

14 2 Cancer Research Program, Rajiv Gandhi Centre for Biotechnology, Thiruvananthapuram, India

15 3 Genetic Cancer Susceptibility Group, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon,
16 France

17 4 Department of Hygiene, Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, University of Athens Medical School,
18 Athens, Greece

19 5 Department of Public Health and Community Health, School of Health Professions, Athens
20 University of Applied Sciences, Athens, Greece

21 6 Institute for Epidemiology and Prevention Research (BIPS), Bremen, Germany

22 7 Institute for Statistics, University Bremen, Germany

23 8 Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology, 1st Faculty of Medicine, Charles University of Prague,
24 Prague, Czech Republic

25 9 Department of Otorhinolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery, 1st Faculty of Medicine,
26 Charles University of Prague, Prague Czech Republic.

27 10 Unit of Cancer Epidemiology, Department of Medical Sciences, University of Turin, Turin, Italy

28 11 Cancer Registry of Norway, Oslo, Norway

29 12 Unit of Cancer Epidemiology, National Cancer Institute, IRCCS, Aviano, Italy

30 13 Department of Cardiovascular and Thoracic Sciences, University of Padova, Padova, Italy

31 14 Institut Català d'Oncologia, IDIBELL, L'Hospitalet de Llobregat, Catalonia, Spain

32 15 CIBER en Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Spain

33 16 Epidemiology Group, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK

34 17 Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, University of Dundee, Dundee, UK

35 18 Cancer Surveillance Section, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France

36 19 Center for Oral Health Research, Newcastle University, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, Newcastle, UK

37 20 Respiratory Epidemiology and Public Health, National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial
38 College, London UK

39 21 School of Medicine, Dentistry, and Nursing, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

40 22 Department of Pathology, Queen Elizabeth University Hospital, Glasgow, UK

41 23 Trinity College School of Dental Science, Dublin, Ireland

42 24 Institute of Othorinolaringoiatry, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Fondazione Policlinico
43 'Agostino Gemelli', Rome, Italy

44 25 Section of Hygiene-Institute of Public Health, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore,
45 Fondazione Policlinico 'Agostino Gemelli', Rome, Italy

46 26 Infections and Cancer Biology Group, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon,
47 France

48

49 ***Corresponding author:** Dr. Paul Brennan

50 Head Section of Genetics, International Agency for Research on Cancer

51 150 Cours Albert Thomas, Lyon, France 69372 Cedex 08

52 Email: gep@iarc.fr

53

54 **Key words:** head and neck cancer; predictors of survival; ARCAGE study

55 **Word count**=4,438

56

57 **Funding** European Commission's 5th Framework Program (Paul Brennan, Principal
58 Investigator, contract QLK1-2011-00182), European Commission's 7th Framework
59 Program (Massimo Tomassimo Principal Investigator, contract FP7-HEALTH-2011-
60 282562), and Health General Directorate of the French Social Affairs and Health Ministry)

61

62

63 **Brief description – “Novelty and Impact”**

64 Head and neck cancer (HNC) is a complex and difficult-to-treat malignancy that leads to
65 severe disabilities and high mortality. We investigated if, after major improvements in
66 diagnosis and therapeutic modalities, HNC survival has increased in Europe, and what are
67 the main determinants of outcome. We found that survival from HNC remains low in
68 Europe and, alongside with late stage at diagnosis, older age at diagnosis and smoking
69 are strong predictors of outcome.

70

71 **Abstract**

72 Head and neck cancer (HNC) is a preventable malignancy that continues to cause
73 substantial morbidity and mortality worldwide. Using data from the ARCAGE and Rome
74 studies, we investigated the main predictors of survival after larynx, hypopharynx and oral
75 cavity (OC) cancers. We used the Kaplan-Meier method to estimate overall survival, and
76 Cox proportional models to examine the relationship between survival and
77 sociodemographic and clinical characteristics. 604 larynx, 146 hypopharynx and 460 OC
78 cancer cases were included in this study. Over a median follow-up time of 4.6 years, nearly
79 50% (n=586) of patients died. Five-year survival was 65% for larynx, 55% for OC, and 35%
80 for hypopharynx cancers. In a multivariable analysis, we observed an increased mortality
81 risk among older (≥ 71 years) vs. younger (≤ 50 years) patients with larynx/hypopharynx
82 combined (LH) and OC cancers [HR=1.60, 95% CI 1.09–2.37 (LH) and HR=2.10, 95% CI
83 1.34–3.29 (OC)], current vs. never smokers [HR=2.70, 95% CI 1.42–5.14 (LH) and
84 HR=2.11, 95% CI 1.29–3.46 (OC)], and advanced vs. early stage disease at diagnosis [IV
85 vs. I, HR=2.61, 95% CI 1.78–3.81(LH) and HR=3.22, 95% CI 2.08–4.96 (OC)]. Survival
86 was not associated with sex, alcohol consumption, education, oral health, p16 expression,
87 presence of HPV infection, or body mass index 2 years before cancer diagnosis. Despite
88 advances in diagnosis and therapeutic modalities, survival after HNC remains low in
89 Europe. In addition to the recognized prognostic effect of stage at diagnosis, smoking
90 history and older age at diagnosis are important prognostic indicators for HNC.

91

92 **Introduction**

93 Head and neck cancer (HNC) is mostly comprised of oral cavity, oropharynx, hypopharynx,
94 and larynx tumors. When taken together, HNC represents the 5th most common
95 malignancy in males in the high-income countries, with a lower incidence among females
96 (male to female ratio varies from 2:1 to 4:1).¹ Over 90% of cases are squamous cell
97 carcinomas.² HNC can be cured if the tumor is diagnosed at early stage and limited to the
98 head and neck region. However, prognosis is very poor when HNC is diagnosed at later
99 stages with metastatic or recurrent disease. A decision between aggressive multimodality
100 and function-preserving treatment should be based on patient's health and comorbidities,
101 and on the extent to which therapy may affect the patient's quality of life.³

102 Tobacco exposure (including active and smokeless tobacco use) and alcohol
103 consumption are well-established risk factors for HNC.⁴ *Human Papillomavirus (HPV)*
104 infection is an additional independent risk factor for oropharynx cancer. Studies have
105 shown that HPV-related HNC is genetically and biologically different from smoking-
106 associated HNC, with HPV-related HNC demonstrating improved clinical outcomes.³ HPV
107 positive oropharynx cancer patients commonly have greater survival than HPV negative
108 cases.⁵⁻⁷ However, the same HPV causal and prognostic associations have not been
109 observed for larynx, hypopharynx, or oral cavity cancer where HPV infections are rare.⁸

110 Stage at diagnosis has been considered one of the strongest predictors of survival
111 among patients with HNC,⁹ whereas the role of smoking and alcohol on survival remains
112 controversial. Robust epidemiological data may help to identify modifiable prognostic
113 factors and guide cancer prevention programs aimed to reduce the burden of HNC
114 worldwide.¹⁰ In this study we focused on the determinants of survival from larynx,
115 hypopharynx, and oral cavity cancers in Europe. A separate study has examined survival
116 from oropharynx cancer including the role of HPV.¹¹

117

118 **Patients and methods**

119 *Patients*

120 Data was obtained from 14 centers located in 9 European countries. Thirteen centers were
121 participants of the ARCAGE* case-control study¹² as follows: Czech Republic (Prague),
122 Germany (Bremen), Greece (Athens), Italy (Aviano, Padova, and Turin), Ireland (Dublin),
123 Norway (Oslo), United Kingdom (Glasgow, Manchester, and Newcastle), Spain
124 (Barcelona), and Croatia (Zagreb). The remaining data were obtained from a case-control
125 study in Rome.¹³ The recruitment of cases was performed from 2002 to 2005 for the
126 ARCAGE study (n=1,066) and from 2003 to 2011 for the Rome study (n=144). Details of
127 the ARCAGE and Rome projects can be found elsewhere.^{12,13}

128 Cases eligible for inclusion in our study were all patients with a primary squamous
129 cell carcinoma of the larynx, hypopharynx or oral cavity confirmed by histology or cytology.
130 We included the following topography codes from the *International Classification of*
131 *Diseases for Oncology*, 3rd edition (ICD-O-3)¹⁴: C320-C32.9 for larynx, C12.9 and C13.0-
132 C13.9 for hypopharynx, and C00.3-C00.9, C02.0-C02.3, C03.0-C03.9, C04.0-C04.9,
133 C05.0, and C06.0-C06.9 for oral cavity cancers. Following a standard protocol, participants
134 underwent an identical questionnaire-based interview within 6 months of diagnosis in order
135 to obtain sociodemographic information, complete lifetime smoking and alcohol histories,
136 dietary habits, dental health and care, and education level attained. Biological samples
137 (blood and/or tumor blocks) were also collected. Data on stage at diagnosis, overall
138 treatment, and clinical outcome were subsequently obtained from population-based
139 registries, medical records, linkage with regional or national death index, as well as
140 doctor's contact. Participants were followed from the date of diagnosis to the date of death,
141 loss to follow-up or end of study (31st December 2011), whichever occurred first. Patient's
142 follow-up was performed once from 2012 to 2015 to obtain last known vital status (alive,
143 death, or lost to follow-up) and date of last contact.

144 145 *Sociodemographic, clinical and lifestyle variables*

146 The sociodemographic, clinical and lifestyle variables were classified as follows. Age at
147 diagnosis was categorized in 4 groups (≤ 50 , 51–60, 61–70, and ≥ 71 years). Tumor stage
148 at diagnosis was classified in stage I to IV based on the TNM system of the American Joint
149 Commission on Cancer (AJCC) Staging Manual, 6th edition.¹⁵ Smoking was examined in

*Alcohol-Related Cancers and Genetic Susceptibility in Europe

150 3 different ways: overall history (never, former or current smokers), duration (never, 1–9,
151 10–19, 20–29, 30–39 and ≥ 40 years), or intensity (number of pack of cigarettes per year:
152 never, <20 , 20–39, 40–59, ≥ 60). Smokers were individuals who used any tobacco product
153 (estimated based on cigarette equivalents) at least once a week for one year. Alcohol
154 consumption was also examined in 3 ways: overall history (never, former or current
155 drinkers), duration (never, 1–9, 10–19, 20–29, 30–39 and ≥ 40 years), and intensity
156 (number of drinks per day: <5 or ≥ 5). Information on overall smoking and alcohol histories
157 were obtained from all centers, whereas Rome did not have information on duration and
158 intensity of these variables. Therefore, overall histories were included in the main models
159 and separate models, excluding Rome cases, were performed to examine the effect of
160 smoking and alcohol duration and intensity on survival, and were included in the
161 supplementary materials (Table S1).

162 Education was categorized as level of education attained by the time of diagnosis:
163 primary school, secondary school or university degree. Body mass index (BMI, kg/m^2):
164 was examined using self-reported height and weight 2 years before cancer diagnosis,
165 which decreases the probability that low BMI is secondary to cancer development.¹⁶ BMI
166 was classified according to the World Health Organization into 4 categories: underweight
167 (<18.5), normal weight (18.5–24.9), overweight (25.0–29.9) and obese (≥ 30.0). Dental
168 care and oral hygiene scores were created and classified as good, moderate, and poor as
169 described elsewhere.¹⁷

170 Binary variables were sex (male/female) and the HPV tumor markers HPV16 DNA
171 and p16 protein expression (positive/negative). HPV16 DNA genotyping was done using
172 the type-specific E7 polymerase chain reaction bead-based multiplex assay (TS-E7-MPG,
173 IARC, Lyon, France) as described elsewhere.¹⁷ The qualitative assessment of antigen
174 p16^{INK4A} was performed by immunohistochemistry, using the CINtec Histology kit
175 according to the manufacturer's instructions (www.mtmlabs.com). P16 expression was
176 scored based on the intensity and the proportion of nuclear and cytoplasmic stained cells,
177 and was considered positive when the combined score was equal to 4 or higher. Studies
178 have shown that combined p16 expression and HPV16 DNA testing are needed to predict
179 outcome for HNC.¹⁸ We examined p16 expression alone and combined with HPV16 DNA
180 as follow: p16 (–) DNA (–), p16 (+) DNA (–), p16 (+) DNA (+), and p16 (–) DNA (+). In
181 addition to the variables above, we provided a descriptive analysis on relapse occurrence
182 and overall treatment.

183

184 *Statistical analyses*

185 We used the Kaplan-Meier method to estimate 2-, 5- and 8-year overall (all-cause)
186 survival, and used the log-rank test to examine differences in survival across strata of each
187 variable. Overall survival is presented by anatomic site and, sample size allowing, by tumor
188 subsite (glottis vs. supraglottis, tongue vs. other regions of the mouth, as well as pyriform
189 sinus and other hypopharynx regions).

190 Multivariable Cox regression models were used to obtain the hazard ratios (HRs) of
191 death and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CI). We used the likelihood ratio test
192 as an overall significance test for the association of each independent variable with the
193 hazard ratio of death. We tested the proportional hazard (PH) assumption by examining
194 log-log survival plots, and confirmed the results by using Schoenfeld's global test. The PH
195 assumption was met for all variables in the multivariable models. We included in the
196 multivariable models the variables with *a priori* hypothesized or previously observed
197 associations with survival (sex, age and stage at diagnosis, smoking and alcohol histories,
198 BMI 2 years before diagnosis, education level, and dental care). A separate model was
199 performed to examine the association between HPV tumor markers and survival.

200 Given the modest number of hypopharynx cases, they were pooled with larynx
201 cases for the multivariable analysis. When we performed separate Cox models, we
202 observed the same pattern of associations for both larynx and hypopharynx cases, but
203 with larger confidence intervals and p-values for hypopharynx cases due to the smaller
204 sample size. Cases from Rome did not provide data on education, BMI pre-diagnosis and
205 oral health. Missing data were handled by including them as "unknown" categories in the
206 multivariable models (omitted in the tables). A complete analysis where missing data were
207 excluded was also conducted, and similar results were obtained. We tested for interactions
208 between tumor sites and each variable and found no significant interaction. Statistical
209 analyses were performed using Stata 14 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA),
210 and a 2-sided p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

211

212 **Ethics approval**

213 The ARCAGE study was approved by the Ethical Review Board of the International Agency
214 for Research on Cancer (IARC), as well as the respective local boards in the individual
215 participating centers. The Rome study was approved by the ethical committee of
216 Fondazione Policlinico Universitario "A. Gemelli". All participants provided written informed
217 consent for their participation in the study.

218 Results

219 A total of 604 (50%) larynx, 146 (12%) hypopharynx and 460 (38%) oral cavity cancer
220 cases were included in this study. The sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of
221 patients are summarized by anatomic site in Table 1. Overall, most of patients were males
222 (82%), ever smokers (91%), and ever drinkers (93%), had a median age at diagnosis of
223 60 years, and were diagnosed with advanced stage disease (55% stages III or IV vs. 45%
224 stages I or II).

225

226 Overall survival

227 The median follow-up time was 4.6 years. Of 1,210 patients, nearly half (n=586) died over
228 the course of follow-up. Five-year survival was 65% for larynx (95% CI 61.1–69.2), 55%
229 for oral cavity (95% CI 50.1–59.7) and 35% for hypopharynx (95% CI 26.8–42.5) cancers
230 (Tables 2A & 2B, Figure 1A). When an adequate sample size was available, survival was
231 also examined by anatomic subsite. Based on the log-rank test, we observed that 5-year
232 survival was higher among patients with glottic vs. supraglottic cancer (77% vs. 58%), and
233 for those with tumor of the tongue vs. other regions of the mouth (63% vs. 50%). There
234 was no evidence of difference in survival between patients with cancer of the pyriform
235 sinus and other hypopharynx regions (Figures 1B-D).

236 For all anatomic sites, we found strong evidence of an association between worse
237 survival and smoking history (former or current smoker) (Tables 2A & 2B,) or advanced
238 stage disease at diagnosis (Tables 2A & B, Supplementary Figure S1). Among oral cavity
239 cancer patients, we also found associations of lower survival with older age at diagnosis,
240 male sex, lower level of education, and low BMI 2 years before cancer diagnosis). There
241 was no evidence of survival differences by p16 protein expression alone or combined with
242 HPV testing for any cancer site (Table 2A & 2B). Survival did not vary by cancer center or
243 country (data not shown).

244

245 Hazard ratio of death

246 In a multivariable Cox regression analysis, in which all variables were mutually adjusted
247 for, we found, among larynx/hypopharynx cases, an increased risk of death for
248 hypopharynx vs. larynx cancer (HR=2.30, 95% CI 1.79–2.95), older compared to younger
249 patients (≥ 71 vs. ≤ 50 years, HR=1.60, 95% CI 1.09–2.37), current vs. never smokers
250 (HR=2.70, 95% CI 1.42–5.14) and advanced vs. early stage disease at diagnosis (IV vs.
251 I, HR=2.61, 95% CI 1.78–3.81). Likewise, among oral cavity cancer patients, we observed

252 an increased risk of death for older compared to younger patients (≥ 71 vs. ≤ 50 years,
253 HR=2.10, 95% CI, HR=1.34–3.29; and 61–70 vs. ≤ 50 years, HR=1.67, 95% CI 1.13–
254 2.47), current vs. never smoker (HR=2.11, 95% CI 1.29–3.46), and for those with
255 advanced vs. early stage at diagnosis (IV vs. I, HR=3.22, 95% CI 2.08–4.96) (Table 3).
256 We did not find significant associations between the risk of death and sex, dental care or
257 BMI 2 years pre-diagnosis.

258 In separate analyses, when we used the number of packs of cigarettes smoked per
259 year or duration of smoking instead of overall smoking history (Rome cases excluded),
260 similarly strong associations were found. For instance, larynx/hypopharynx patients who
261 smoked ≥ 20 cigarette pack years had approximately 3 times higher risk of death than never
262 smokers. Likewise, for oral cavity cancer, patients who smoked ≥ 20 cigarette pack years
263 had a risk of death about 2.5 times higher than never smokers. (Supplementary Table S1)
264 When we examined alcohol duration and intensity, we also did not find evidence of an
265 association between the risk of death and alcohol consumption (Supplementary Table S1).
266 There was no evidence of an association between the risk of death and p16 expression,
267 whether examined alone or combined with HPV testing (Figure 2, Supplementary Table
268 S2).

269

270 *Descriptive analysis*

271 Data on relapse was available for approximately 80% of cases. Out of 973 patients, 341
272 (35%) relapsed. Higher incidence of relapse was observed among patients with
273 hypopharynx (46%), followed by oral cavity (38%) and larynx (30%) cancers ($p=0.002$).
274 After excluding cases to whom relapse occurred less than 90 days from diagnosis ($n=49$),
275 we observed that the majority of patients ($n=194$, 72%) relapsed within 2 years of HNC
276 diagnosis, whereas 19% ($n=52$) and 9% ($n=25$) relapsed within >2 to 5 years and >5 to 10
277 years respectively (Supplementary Figure S2). Time to relapse did not differ significantly
278 by anatomic site.

279 Overall information on type of treatment was available for approximately 97% of
280 cases. Surgery was performed in most of patients (66%), alone (34%) or combined with
281 radiotherapy (28%), chemotherapy (1%), or both (11%). About 12% of patients received
282 radiotherapy alone, 10% received chemotherapy and radiotherapy, and 1% received
283 chemotherapy alone. For about 2% of patients no type of treatment was reported.

284

285 Discussion

286 Our results reveal that survival from head and neck cancer remains low in Europe. Except
287 for patients with tumors of the glottis, 8-year survival was lower than 50% for all tumor sites
288 and subsites. In the multivariable models, the main predictors of survival were age at
289 diagnosis, stage at diagnosis, smoking history, and anatomic site.

290 Age at diagnosis is often considered an independent predictor of outcome for many
291 types of cancer.^{19,20} The influence of age on HNC survival remains controversial. In a
292 recent review, which included surgical, radiation-alone, and chemoradiation studies from
293 1980 to 2012, the authors concluded that even though elderly patients may experience
294 higher treatment-related toxicities than their younger counterparts, there was not sufficient
295 evidence that survival is worse among older than younger patients (the majority of the
296 studies investigated overall rather than disease-free or cancer-specific survival).²¹ Another
297 study which use data from the Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER)
298 program in the United States (US) and estimated overall survival of patients diagnosed
299 with larynx, tongue or tonsil cancer between 1988 and 1998, supported these findings.²²

300 In contrast, our findings of increased risk of death among older patients (≥ 71 years
301 for larynx/hypopharynx and ≥ 61 years for oral cavity cancers) support the results of several
302 population-based studies in Europe and in the US. For instance, a European study used
303 data from 15 French cancer registries on patients diagnosed with HNC between 1989 and
304 1997. The authors found that relative survival (which accounts for competing causes of
305 death) was consistently lower for elderly compared to younger patients. The excess
306 mortality among patients aged >75 years was apparent during the first 3 months and after
307 3 years of diagnosis, with no significant influence of age between 1 and 3 years after
308 diagnosis.²³ Likewise, in a later European study on HNC, relative survival was lower among
309 elderly (≥ 75 years) vs. younger patients diagnosed from 1999 to 2007.⁹ In the US, a study
310 from a large university-based cancer registry used data from 1990 to 2005 and found that,
311 after adjusting for potential confounders, patients with HNC aged ≥ 70 years at diagnosis
312 had a risk of death about twice as high as that of patients younger than 70 years.²⁴ Notably,
313 the authors showed that when older patients with advanced disease (stage at diagnosis
314 III–IV) were treated with multimodality therapy, 5-year overall survival was close to that of
315 younger patients who received similar therapeutic management. However, older patients
316 who received single-modality treatment had dramatically lower 5-year survival than their
317 younger counterparts. Older age is commonly associated with moderate to severe

318 comorbidities, which may diminish the patient's ability to tolerate surgery and intensive
319 cancer adjuvant treatment, such as radiotherapy and/or chemotherapy.¹⁰ Comorbidities
320 such as cardiovascular and pulmonary diseases in HNC patients are mostly secondary to
321 smoking and excessive alcohol use. In addition, advanced age is associated with a decline
322 in immune function,²⁵⁻²⁷ which may not only facilitate cancer progression, but also weaken
323 the host immune response against cancer.¹⁰ Nonetheless, studies suggest that, since
324 cancer is the main cause of death among elderly patients with advanced HNC, the
325 competing causes of death likely contribute to a small fraction of the lower survival
326 observed among these patients.²⁴ The main challenge in the treatment of elderly patients
327 with HNC is to decide for which patients the benefit of intensive multimodality therapy
328 compensates the risk of treatment toxicity.

329 Stage at diagnosis is widely considered a main determinant of cancer survival and
330 this is also true for HNC.⁹ Our results showed that even with the advance on diagnosis
331 procedures observed in the last decades, the majority of patients (55%) with HNC are still
332 diagnosed with advanced disease (stage III–IV) in Europe. This proportion is close to the
333 EUROCORE-5 study,⁹ which used data from 29 European countries on patients diagnosed
334 from 1999 through 2007. The authors emphasized that over 54% of patients were
335 diagnosed with regional or metastatic disease. We found that the risk of death was
336 approximately 2 or 3 times greater among patients with stage III or IV, respectively, than
337 those with stage I at diagnosis. While HNC can be often cured when diagnosed at early
338 stage, late stage disease may be untreatable or involve aggressive multimodality treatment
339 that often leads to severe physical and psychological disabilities. It has been reported that
340 HNC have the highest risk of disability and work quitting, together with central nervous
341 system and hematologic malignancies²⁸

342 We observed a strong association between smoking and survival. This association
343 was significant for all investigated variables (overall smoking history, duration, and
344 intensity) and highlights the importance of intensifying tobacco prevention and control in
345 Europe. According to the World Health Organization, smoking kills closely 6 million people
346 per year, more than HIV/AIDS, malaria and tuberculosis combined. It has been estimated
347 that this number can increase to over 8 million people by 2030 if more immediate and
348 severe actions are not taken.²⁹ While some previous studies had shown negative^{30,31} or
349 limited^{32,33} association between smoking and HNC survival, our findings support a large
350 population-based study conducted in Ireland which revealed that smoking at diagnosis was
351 associated with worse survival.³⁴ The authors highlighted that this association was

352 stronger among patients who had surgical treatment for their HNC, and neither
353 chemotherapy nor radiotherapy influenced the effect of smoking on survival. One relevant
354 question in the clinical setting is whether smoking cessation after cancer diagnosis can
355 improve prognosis of HNC, for instance decreasing treatment complications and the risk
356 of relapse or second primary malignancy.³⁵ Post-treatment smoking history was not
357 available in our study.

358 While our results support the influence of smoking on survival from HNC, we did not
359 find the same association regarding alcohol consumption and survival when we examined
360 overall alcohol history, duration or intensity. Our findings differ from a US study³⁶ which
361 found that alcohol consumption pre- and post-diagnosis adversely affected HNC survival,
362 and highlighted the need for aggressive interventions to help patients to abstain from or
363 decrease alcohol intake. In another US study,³⁷ which enrolled over 1,000 patients with
364 HNC, about 17% of patients had secondary tumors. Strikingly, alcohol consumption
365 combined with smoking after diagnosis was found to significantly increase the risk of
366 secondary tumors among these patients. More studies in Europe are needed to investigate
367 the association between alcohol pre- and post-diagnosis and HNC outcomes.

368 In our study, HNC prognosis varied significantly by anatomic site, with better
369 survival for larynx, intermediate for oral cavity, and worse for hypopharynx cancer patients.
370 These results are consistent with previous survival studies in Europe. For example, the
371 EUROCORE II study,³⁸ which used data from 17 countries on patients diagnosed from
372 1985 to 1989, revealed that overall, 5-year relative survival was approximately 63% for
373 larynx, 41% for oral cavity, and 22% for hypopharynx cancer, with wide geographic
374 variations (higher survival in Western than Eastern European countries). The authors
375 suggested that possible reasons for the observed survival disparities are late diagnosis,
376 late referral to treatment, and lack of access to effective treatment. The subsequent
377 EUROCORE-5 study⁹ showed that 5-year relative survival after larynx cancer has not
378 improved over time (from 1999–2001 to 2005–2007), whereas survival improved by 3–5%
379 (absolute difference) for oral cavity, oropharynx, and hypopharynx. However, 5-year
380 relative survival was still low: 25% for hypopharynx and 45% for oral cavity cancer patients.
381 Although our results are not directly comparable, the same survival pattern was observed
382 in our cohort of patients, suggesting no or little improvement in the last few decades,
383 despite progresses in diagnosis procedures and therapeutic management. This finding is
384 concerning and emphasizes the need for increased healthcare policy aimed at decreasing

385 modifiable risk factors (such as smoking and alcohol consumption) for HNC occurrence in
386 Europe.

387 Curative treatment for HNC is complex and often negatively impacts patient's quality
388 of life (e.g. causing difficulty to speak, breath, swallow, as well as facial deformity).
389 Advancements in treatment such as new surgical techniques, the use of concurrent or
390 alternating chemoradiation, hyperfractionated or accelerated radiotherapy, and more
391 recently immunotherapy, may improve HNC survival and reduce the burden of
392 complications secondary to treatment.³⁹ However, improvement in HNC outcomes have
393 been disappointing. Despite treatment advances, larynx cancer is one of the few types of
394 cancer in which survival has recently decreased in the US (from 66% during 1975–1977
395 and 1987–1989 to 63% during 2005–2011).⁴⁰ It has been postulated that the declining
396 survival trends are due to changes in treatment toward a nonsurgical (organ preservation)
397 approach.^{41,42}

398 For hypopharynx cancer, a recent population-based study⁴³ using SEER data
399 showed evidence of increasing survival trends since 1990: 5-year overall survival improved
400 from 38% during 1973–1989 to 41% during 1990–2003. Through the study period, there
401 was a trend toward reduced surgical treatment and increased use of radiation-only therapy.
402 In contrast to what has been observed for larynx cancer in the US, this study suggests that
403 organ preservation may have a survival benefit for hypopharynx cancer patients. For oral
404 cavity cancer, surgery remains the first-line treatment, while⁴⁴ radiotherapy and lymph
405 node resection are usually performed for advanced stage disease or for those patients
406 considered ineligible for surgical interventions.

407 It has been recognized that approximately 50% of patients with HNC have
408 substantial weight loss at diagnosis and just before start of therapy in consequence of
409 cancer symptoms (e.g. dysphagia, odynophagia, and anorexia),⁴⁵ and this has been
410 shown to negatively impact survival.⁴⁶ Therefore, we aimed to investigate whether BMI 2
411 years before diagnosis also influence survival after HNC. After multiple adjustments, we
412 did not observe a significant association between the risk of death and underweight, which
413 may be explained by the small number of patients in this category (fewer than 3.5%).
414 Likewise, overweight or obesity pre-diagnosis was not found to impact survival among our
415 patients.

416 Finally, when tumor samples were available, we evaluated whether p16 expression
417 alone or associated with HPV16 testing predicts prognosis for non-oro-pharynx cancers.
418 P16 is a tumor suppressor gene considered a good proxy for HPV infection in tumors.³

419 Our results support the lack of an association between survival and p16 overexpression
420 examined alone, as reported by other authors.^{47,48} We also did not find any association
421 with survival when p16 was considered with HPV DNA testing. It is possible that, in our
422 study, the small number of HNC cases that were both HPV DNA and p16 positive have
423 contributed for the negative association we observed. Further studies to investigate the
424 prognostic role of these markers on non-oropharynx cancer outcomes are warranted.

425 Our study has several limitations. Since the ARCAGE study was initially designed
426 to look at risk factors of head and neck cancer, collection of clinical data such as detailed
427 treatment approach and relapse (including dates of treatment and relapse) were restricted.
428 Therefore it was not possible to investigate the impact of treatment modality on survival or
429 relapse. We used self-reported weight and height 2 years before diagnosis, which may be
430 subject to inaccuracy and bias. However, previous studies have shown high correlation
431 ($r>0.9$) between self-reported and measured height, weight and BMI.^{49,50} Overall, data
432 were missing on stage at diagnosis in about 21% of cases. However, the strong
433 association we found between stage at diagnosis and survival supports previous studies
434 and emphasizes the impact of late diagnosis on HNC prognosis. Although Rome did not
435 have information on certain variables, the data provided by this center were valuable and
436 the associations we found remained even when these cases were excluded from the
437 analyses. We also lacked information on comorbidities, performance status, and treatment
438 complications. Although these data would likely have contributed additional findings,
439 predictors of HNC outcome such as smoking, stage and age at diagnosis are of paramount
440 importance and were clearly demonstrated in our study. In addition, the strengths of the
441 ARCAGE study includes a standard protocol, data from several European centers with
442 detailed information on smoking and alcohol histories, tumor histological or cytological
443 confirmation for all patients, as well as blood and tumor samples for several cases.

444 In summary, HNC is a complex malignancy that involves vital anatomic structures,
445 which make it difficult to treat. Surprisingly, despite the advances in diagnosis and
446 therapeutic modalities, survival after HNC remains low in Europe. Most patients continue
447 to be diagnosed with disease at advanced stage, which often requires aggressive
448 treatment and may lead to substantial disabilities and psychological disorders, reducing
449 quality of life among survivors. The association between older age and inferior survival
450 suggests that treatment should be personalized based on patients' comorbidities and
451 tolerability. Importantly, public health efforts in Europe should focus on primary prevention
452 to deter the initiation of tobacco use, promote smoking cessation, and prevent excessive

453 alcohol consumption. In addition, secondary prevention to detect HNC at an earlier stage
454 is crucial.

455

456 **Acknowledgements**

457 The authors thank H el ene Renard for her support in data management. Manchester center
458 thanks numerous staff of hospitals, pathology departments, primary care clinics and North
459 West Cancer Intelligence service (Public Health England) for help with data collection and
460 sample retrieval, Dr Elisabeth Ferguson-Jones for help with coordination of follow up, and
461 Catherine A. Macfarlane for clerical assistance.

462

463 **Author contributions**

464 RA had full access to all of the data and performed the statistical analyses. DA designed
465 and coordinated survival data collection, managed and curated the ARCAGE database.
466 PB coordinated the ARCAGE study and advised and reviewed the statistical analyses. RA
467 led the writing and review of the manuscript. All authors participated in the interpretation
468 of data and critical review of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final
469 manuscript.

470

471 **Conflict of interest**

472 We declare no conflict of interests.

473 **Bibliography**

- 474 1. Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, Ferlay J, Lortet-Tieulent J, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics,
475 2012. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2015; **65**(2): 87-108.
- 476 2. El-Naggar A, Chan J, Grandis J, Takata T, Slotweg P. WHO Classification of Head and
477 Neck Tumours. 4th ed. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC);
478 2017.
- 479 3. Hayes DN, Van Waes C, Seiwert TY. Genetic Landscape of Human Papillomavirus-
480 Associated Head and Neck Cancer and Comparison to Tobacco-Related Tumors. *J Clin Oncol*
481 2015; **33**(29): 3227-34.
- 482 4. Hashibe M, Brennan P, Benhamou S, et al. Alcohol drinking in never users of tobacco,
483 cigarette smoking in never drinkers, and the risk of head and neck cancer: pooled analysis in the
484 International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology Consortium. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2007; **99**(10):
485 777-89.
- 486 5. Ang KK, Harris J, Wheeler R, et al. Human papillomavirus and survival of patients with
487 oropharyngeal cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2010; **363**(1): 24-35.
- 488 6. Gillison ML, Zhang Q, Jordan R, et al. Tobacco smoking and increased risk of death and
489 progression for patients with p16-positive and p16-negative oropharyngeal cancer. *J Clin Oncol*
490 2012; **30**(17): 2102-11.
- 491 7. D'Souza G, Anantharaman D, Gheit T, et al. Effect of HPV on head and neck cancer
492 patient survival, by region and tumor site: A comparison of 1362 cases across three continents.
493 *Oral Oncol* 2016; **62**: 20-7.
- 494 8. D'Souza G, Kreimer AR, Viscidi R, et al. Case-control study of human papillomavirus and
495 oropharyngeal cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2007; **356**(19): 1944-56.
- 496 9. Gatta G, Botta L, Sanchez MJ, Anderson LA, Pierannunzio D, Licitra L. Prognoses and
497 improvement for head and neck cancers diagnosed in Europe in early 2000s: The EURO CARE-5
498 population-based study. *Eur J Cancer* 2015; **51**(15): 2130-43.

- 499 10. Kim S, Smith B, BG. H. Prognostic Factors in Patients with Head and Neck Cancer. In:
500 Harrison L, Sessions R, MS. K, eds. *Head and Neck Cancer: A Multidisciplinary Approach*. 4th
501 ed. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer; 2013: 87–111.
- 502 11. Anantharaman D, Billot A, Waterboer T, et al. Predictors of oropharyngeal cancer survival
503 in Europe. *Submitted* 2017.
- 504 12. Laggiou P, Georgila C, Minaki P, et al. Alcohol-related cancers and genetic susceptibility in
505 Europe: the ARCAGE project: study samples and data collection. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 2009; **18**(1):
506 76-84.
- 507 13. Giraldi L, Panic N, Cadoni G, Boccia S, Leoncini E. Association between Mediterranean
508 diet and head and neck cancer: results of a large case-control study in Italy. *Eur J Cancer Prev*
509 2016.
- 510 14. Fritz A, Percy C, Jack A, et al. *International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, Third*
511 *Edition*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2013.
- 512 15. Greene F, Page D, Fleming I, et al. *AJCC Cancer Staging Manual*. Chicago, IL, USA,
513 2001.
- 514 16. Kreimer AR, Randi G, Herrero R, Castellsague X, La Vecchia C, Franceschi S. Diet and
515 body mass, and oral and oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinomas: analysis from the IARC
516 multinational case-control study. *Int J Cancer* 2006; **118**(9): 2293-7.
- 517 17. Ahrens W, Pohlmann H, Foraita R, et al. Oral health, dental care and mouthwash
518 associated with upper aerodigestive tract cancer risk in Europe: the ARCAGE study. *Oral Oncol*
519 2014; **50**(6): 616-25.
- 520 18. Coordes A, Lenz K, Qian X, Lenarz M, Kaufmann AM, Albers AE. Meta-analysis of
521 survival in patients with HNSCC discriminates risk depending on combined HPV and p16 status.
522 *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2016; **273**(8): 2157-69.
- 523 19. Walter RB, Othus M, Borthakur G, et al. Prediction of early death after induction therapy
524 for newly diagnosed acute myeloid leukemia with pretreatment risk scores: a novel paradigm for
525 treatment assignment. *J Clin Oncol* 2011; **29**(33): 4417-23.

- 526 20. Quaglia A, Tavilla A, Shack L, et al. The cancer survival gap between elderly and middle-
527 aged patients in Europe is widening. *Eur J Cancer* 2009; **45**(6): 1006-16.
- 528 21. VanderWalde NA, Fleming M, Weiss J, Chera BS. Treatment of older patients with head
529 and neck cancer: a review. *Oncologist* 2013; **18**(5): 568-78.
- 530 22. Bhattacharyya N. A matched survival analysis for squamous cell carcinoma of the head
531 and neck in the elderly. *Laryngoscope* 2003; **113**(2): 368-72.
- 532 23. Colonna M, Bossard N, Remontet L, Grosclaude P. Changes in the risk of death from
533 cancer up to five years after diagnosis in elderly patients: a study of five common cancers. *Int J*
534 *Cancer* 2010; **127**(4): 924-31.
- 535 24. Moye VA, Chandramouleeswaran S, Zhao N, et al. Elderly patients with squamous cell
536 carcinoma of the head and neck and the benefit of multimodality therapy. *Oncologist* 2015; **20**(2):
537 159-65.
- 538 25. Foster AD, Sivarapatna A, Gress RE. The aging immune system and its relationship with
539 cancer. *Aging health* 2011; **7**(5): 707-18.
- 540 26. Pawelec G, Goldeck D, Derhovanessian E. Inflammation, ageing and chronic disease.
541 *Curr Opin Immunol* 2014; **29**: 23-8.
- 542 27. Wu D, Meydani SN. Age-associated changes in immune and inflammatory responses:
543 impact of vitamin E intervention. *J Leukoc Biol* 2008; **84**(4): 900-14.
- 544 28. Short PF, Vasey JJ, Tunceli K. Employment pathways in a large cohort of adult cancer
545 survivors. *Cancer* 2005; **103**(6): 1292-301.
- 546 29. World Health Organization. Tobacco fact sheet No. 339. 2013.
547 <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs339/en> (accessed 30 August 2017).
- 548 30. Lassig AA, Yueh B, Joseph AM. The effect of smoking on perioperative complications in
549 head and neck oncologic surgery. *Laryngoscope* 2012; **122**(8): 1800-8.

- 550 31. Lopez RV, Zago MA, Eluf-Neto J, et al. Education, tobacco smoking, alcohol
551 consumption, and IL-2 and IL-6 gene polymorphisms in the survival of head and neck cancer.
552 *Braz J Med Biol Res* 2011; **44**(10): 1006-12.
- 553 32. Boffetta P, Merletti F, Faggiano F, et al. Prognostic factors and survival of laryngeal
554 cancer patients from Turin, Italy. A population-based study. *Am J Epidemiol* 1997; **145**(12): 1100-
555 5.
- 556 33. Kawakita D, Hosono S, Ito H, et al. Impact of smoking status on clinical outcome in oral
557 cavity cancer patients. *Oral Oncol* 2012; **48**(2): 186-91.
- 558 34. Sharp L, McDevitt J, Carsin AE, Brown C, Comber H. Smoking at diagnosis is an
559 independent prognostic factor for cancer-specific survival in head and neck cancer: findings from
560 a large, population-based study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2014; **23**(11): 2579-90.
- 561 35. Sitas F, Weber MF, Egger S, Yap S, Chiew M, O'Connell D. Smoking cessation after
562 cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2014; **32**(32): 3593-5.
- 563 36. Mayne ST, Cartmel B, Kirsh V, Goodwin WJ, Jr. Alcohol and tobacco use prediagnosis
564 and postdiagnosis, and survival in a cohort of patients with early stage cancers of the oral cavity,
565 pharynx, and larynx. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2009; **18**(12): 3368-74.
- 566 37. Do KA, Johnson MM, Doherty DA, et al. Second primary tumors in patients with upper
567 aerodigestive tract cancers: joint effects of smoking and alcohol (United States). *Cancer Causes*
568 *Control* 2003; **14**(2): 131-8.
- 569 38. Berrino F, Gatta G. Variation in survival of patients with head and neck cancer in Europe
570 by the site of origin of the tumours. EUROCARE Working Group. *Eur J Cancer* 1998; **34**(14 Spec
571 No): 2154-61.
- 572 39. Budach W, Hehr T, Budach V, Belka C, Dietz K. A meta-analysis of hyperfractionated and
573 accelerated radiotherapy and combined chemotherapy and radiotherapy regimens in unresected
574 locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *BMC Cancer* 2006; **6**: 28.
- 575 40. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2016. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2016; **66**(1): 7-
576 30.

- 577 41. Hoffman HT, Porter K, Karnell LH, et al. Laryngeal cancer in the United States: changes
578 in demographics, patterns of care, and survival. *Laryngoscope* 2006; **116**(9 Pt 2 Suppl 111): 1-
579 13.
- 580 42. Chen AY, Fedewa S, Zhu J. Temporal trends in the treatment of early- and advanced-
581 stage laryngeal cancer in the United States, 1985-2007. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2011;
582 **137**(10): 1017-24.
- 583 43. Newman JR, Connolly TM, Illing EA, Kilgore ML, Locher JL, Carroll WR. Survival trends
584 in hypopharyngeal cancer: a population-based review. *Laryngoscope* 2015; **125**(3): 624-9.
- 585 44. Gogarty DS, Lennon P, Deady S, et al. Variation in treatment and outcome in the early
586 stage oral cavity squamous cell carcinoma. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2017; **274**(2): 953-60.
- 587 45. Chasen MR, Bhargava R. A descriptive review of the factors contributing to nutritional
588 compromise in patients with head and neck cancer. *Support Care Cancer* 2009; **17**(11): 1345-51.
- 589 46. Li ZQ, Zou L, Liu TR, Yang AK. Prognostic value of body mass index before treatment for
590 laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Biol Med* 2015; **12**(4): 394-400.
- 591 47. Young RJ, Urban D, Angel C, et al. Frequency and prognostic significance of p16(INK4A)
592 protein overexpression and transcriptionally active human papillomavirus infection in laryngeal
593 squamous cell carcinoma. *Br J Cancer* 2015; **112**(6): 1098-104.
- 594 48. Salazar CR, Anayannis N, Smith RV, et al. Combined P16 and human papillomavirus
595 testing predicts head and neck cancer survival. *Int J Cancer* 2014; **135**(10): 2404-12.
- 596 49. Spencer EA, Appleby PN, Davey GK, Key TJ. Validity of self-reported height and weight
597 in 4808 EPIC-Oxford participants. *Public Health Nutr* 2002; **5**(4): 561-5.
- 598 50. Weaver TW, Kushi LH, McGovern PG, et al. Validation study of self-reported measures of
599 fat distribution. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 1996; **20**(7): 644-50.

600

601

Table 1: Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of patients with larynx, hypopharynx, and oral cavity cancers

Description	Larynx, N (%)	Hypopharynx, N (%)	Oral cavity N (%)
Total= 1,210	604 (49.9)	146 (12.1)	460 (38.0)
Age at diagnosis, years			
Median (IQR)	62 (55-69)	58 (52-64)	59 (52-68)
≤50	75 (12.4)	31 (21.2)	97 (21.1)
51–60	195 (32.2)	54 (37.0)	165 (35.9)
61–70	219 (36.3)	44 (30.1)	119 (25.9)
≥71	111 (18.4)	17 (11.6)	79 (17.2)
Unknown	4 (0.7)	0	0
Sex			
Male	526 (87.1)	131 (89.7)	329 (71.5)
Female	75 (12.4)	15 (10.3)	131 (28.5)
Unknown	3 (0.5)	0	0
Smoking history			
Never	35 (5.8)	10 (6.9)	69 (15.0)
Former	231 (38.2)	36 (24.6)	107 (23.3)
Current	335 (55.5)	100 (68.5)	284 (61.7)
Unknown	3 (0.5)	0	0
Alcohol use history			
Never	45 (7.4)	0 (0)	39 (8.5)
Former	67 (11.1)	24 (16.4)	60 (13.0)
Current	482 (79.8)	122 (83.6)	362 (78.5)
Unknown	10 (1.7)	0	0
Stage at diagnosis			
I	131 (21.7)	8 (5.5)	86 (18.7)
II	95 (15.7)	12 (8.2)	93 (20.2)
III	111 (18.4)	29 (19.9)	47 (10.2)
IV	140 (23.2)	66 (45.2)	134 (19.1)
Unknown	127 (21.0)	31 (21.2)	100 (21.7)
Level of education*			
Finished primary school	207 (34.3)	47 (32.2)	140 (30.4)
Finished secondary school	263 (43.5)	89 (60.0)	270 (58.7)
University degree	25 (4.1)	4 (2.7)	20 (4.4)
Unknown	109 (18.1)	6 (4.1)	30 (6.5)
BMI 2 years pre-diagnosis (kg/m²)*			
Underweight (<18.5)	6 (1.0)	4 (2.7)	14 (3.1)
Normal weight (18.5–24.9)	223 (36.9)	66 (45.2)	193 (42.0)
Overweight (25.0–29.9)	181 (30.0)	49 (33.6)	151 (32.8)
Obesity (≥30)	79 (13.1)	18 (12.3)	54 (11.7)
Unknown	115 (19.0)	9 (6.2)	48 (10.4)
Dental care*			
Good	85 (14.1)	27 (18.5)	79 (17.2)
Moderate	397 (65.7)	106 (72.6)	338 (73.5)
Poor	9 (1.5)	5 (3.4)	9 (2.0)
Unknown	109 (18.7)	8 (5.5)	34 (7.4)
Oral Hygiene*			
Good	197 (32.6)	72 (49.3)	207 (45.0)
Moderate	108 (17.9)	22 (15.1)	84 (18.3)
Poor	190 (31.5)	46 (31.5)	140 (30.4)
Unknown	113 (18.0)	6 (4.1)	29 (6.3)
Subset of patients with available data on HPV tumor markers* p16 expression (n=561)			
Negative	237 (78.7)	56 (88.9)	169 (85.8)
Positive	64 (21.3)	7 (11.1)	28 (14.2)
HPV16 DNA (n=715)			
Negative	280 (72.7)	49 (64.5)	186 (73.2)

Description	Larynx, N (%)	Hypopharynx, N (%)	Oral cavity N (%)
Positive	105 (27.3)	27 (35.5)	68 (26.8)
p16/HPV16 DNA status (n=535)			
p16 (-) DNA (-)	164 (56.8)	29 (50.0)	116 (61.7)
p16 (+) DNA (-)	35 (12.1)	4 (6.9)	18 (9.6)
p16 (+) DNA (+)	27 (9.3)	3 (5.2)	7 (3.7)
p16 (-) DNA (+)	63 (21.8)	22 (37.9)	47 (25.0)

Abbreviations: HPV, Human Papillomavirus; p16, protein expression; BMI, body mass index. *Cases from Rome did not provide data on education, BMI, and oral health (dental care and oral hygiene).

Table 2A: Two-, 5- and 8-year overall survival after larynx and hypopharynx cancers in Europe

	2-y survival (%) (95% CI)	5-y survival (%) (95% CI)	8-y survival (%) (95% CI)	p-value‡
Overall	76.0 (72.7-79.0)	59.0 (55.2-62.7)	39.5 (33.7-45.3)	
Larynx	81.3 (77.8-84.3)	65.3 (61.1-69.2)	43.5 (36.4-50.4)	
Hypopharynx	55.3 (46.7-63.0)	34.6 (26.8-42.5)	23.8 (16.4-32.0)	<0.0001
Age at diagnosis, years				
≤50	75.9 (66.2-83.2)	55.0 (44.6-64.2)	44.1 (33.7-54.0)	
51–60	76.4 (70.4-81.4)	63.0 (56.3-68.9)	41.9 (31.1-52.2)	
61–70	76.6 (70.8-81.4)	61.0 (54.4-66.9)	40.9 (30.6-51.0)	
≥71	74.2 (65.4-81.1)	51.2 (41.5-60.0)	28.5 (16.7-41.4)	0.2071
Sex				
Male	75.5 (71.9-78.7)	59.4 (55.3-63.2)	40.0 (33.8-46.2)	
Female	80.1 (69.6-87.4)	57.3 (45.2-67.7)	36.3 (21.0-51.8)	0.9185
Smoking history				
Never	85.6 (70.8-93.3)	77.7 (61.4-87.8)	72.1 (55.2-83.6)	
Former	79.8 (74.2-84.2)	58.1 (51.4-64.3)	37.0 (25.9-48.0)	
Current	72.7 (68.1-76.8)	57.5 (52.5-62.1)	37.4 (30.1-44.7)	0.0111
Alcohol use history				
Never	89.1 (73.3-95.8)	66.7 (47.7-80.1)	*	
Former	73.0 (62.5-81.1)	57.2 (46.0-66.9)	46.8 (34.0-58.6)	
Current	75.5 (71.8-78.9)	58.8 (54.6-62.8)	38.3 (31.7-44.7)	0.4631
Stage at diagnosis				
I	89.4 (82.7-93.6)	78.9 (70.7-85.0)	64.7 (50.2-75.9)	
II	83.0 (74.0-89.1)	60.5 (50.0-70.0)	43.9 (31.6-55.5)	
III	77.3 (68.8-83.8)	61.7 (52.2-69.9)	26.6 (9.50-47.5)	
IV	63.5 (56.3-69.8)	43.7 (36.4-50.8)	22.9 (13.0-34.5)	<0.0001
Level of education				
Finished primary school	74.5 (68.4-79.6)	58.1 (51.4-64.1)	34.7 (25.7-43.8)	
Finished secondary school	76.7 (71.8-80.8)	61.5 (56.1-66.5)	45.6 (38.3-52.7)	
University degree	74.2 (53.3-86.8)	58.1 (37.0-74.3)	29.0 (2.0-67.7)	0.2775
BMI 2 years pre-diagnosis (kg/m²)				
Underweight (<18.5)	53.3 (17.7-79.6)	26.7 (4.1-57.9)	*	
Normal weight (18.5-24.9)	74.3 (68.7-79.0)	57.5 (51.4-63.1)	35.2 (27.1-43.4)	
Overweight (25-29.9)	80.5 (74.6-85.2)	64.2 (57.4-70.3)	52.9 (44.4-60.7)	
Obesity (≥30)	72.4 (62.1-80.3)	61.1 (50.4-70.2)	29.3 (8.7-54.1)	0.0033
Dental care				
Good	82.6 (74.1-88.5)	65.0 (55.2-73.2)	53.8 (43.4-63.1)	
Moderate	74.4 (70.2-78.1)	58.9 (54.2-63.2)	37.1 (29.5-44.7)	
Poor	64.3 (34.3-83.3)	50.0 (22.9-72.2)	*	0.1878
Oral Hygiene				
Good	73.9 (68.1-78.9)	60.7 (54.4-66.4)	43.5 (34.4-52.1)	
Moderate	77.3 (68.6-83.8)	65.2 (55.8-73.1)	36.7 (21.7-51.9)	
Poor	76.9 (70.8-81.9)	56.4 (49.6-62.7)	40.5 (32.7-48.8)	0.3682
p16 expression				
Negative	78.2 (72.9-82.6)	58.7 (52.4-64.4)	36.9 (27.8-46.0)	
Positive	80.8 (69.2-88.4)	59.3 (46.1-70.3)	37.1 (19.2-55.2)	0.7634
p16/HPV16 DNA				
p16 (-) DNA (-)	80.2 (73.6-85.3)	63.5 (55.6-70.3)	40.0 (27.1-52.5)	
p16 (+) DNA (-)	78.1 (60.8-88.4)	51.8 (33.3-67.5)	36.1 (13.9-59.1)	
p16 (+) DNA (+)	83.1 (64.0-92.6)	68.6 (48.3-82.3)	38.4 (12.8-64.0)	
p16 (-) DNA (+)	71.0 (59.9-79.5)	49.6 (38.2-59.9)	27.6 (14.9-42.0)	0.1755

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; HPV, Human Papillomavirus; p16, protein 16; CI, confidence interval; ‡Log-rank test p-value. *Could not be assessed.

Table 2B: Two-, 5- and 8-year overall survival after oral cavity cancer in Europe (table was not changed)

	2-y survival (%) (95% CI)	5-y survival (%) (95% CI)	8-y survival (%) (95% CI)	p-value‡
Overall	72.7 (68.2-76.6)	55.0 (50.1-59.7)	30.3 (23.4-37.4)	
Age at diagnosis, years				
≤50	76.2 (66.2-83.7)	60.7 (49.9-69.9)	42.8 (28.4-56.4)	
51–60	76.8 (69.3-82.7)	58.6 (50.3-66.0)	42.4 (32.0-52.5)	
61–70	63.9 (54.2-72.1)	46.8 (37.2-55.9)	23.3 (12.2-36.3)	
≥71	72.6 (60.4-81.6)	52.1 (39.5-63.4)	11.5 (2.8-27.0)	0.0076
Sex				
Male	71.5 (66.0-76.2)	52.3 (46.4-57.8)	27.0 (19.9-34.6)	
Female	75.7 (67.1-82.3)	61.6 (52.3-69.6)	35.8 (17.0-55.2)	0.0474
Smoking history				
Never	82.6 (70.7-89.9)	69.8 (56.8-79.6)	.*	
Former	75.1 (65.4-82.5)	58.1 (47.4-67.4)	29.5 (15.2-45.4)	
Current	69.4 (63.5-74.6)	50.3 (44.0-56.2)	26.7 (19.3-34.6)	0.0211
Alcohol use history				
Never	72.1 (54.4-83.9)	57.6 (39.7-71.9)	43.5 (25.8-59.9)	
Former	80.8 (68.0-88.9)	68.3 (54.4-78.7)	39.9 (23.3-56.1)	
Current	71.3 (66.2-75.9)	52.5 (46.9-57.7)	29.3 (22.1-37.0)	0.4431
Stage at diagnosis				
I	89.3 (80.4-94.3)	78.4 (68.0-85.8)	57.6 (43.0-69.7)	
II	79.7 (69.4-86.9)	63.9 (52.6-73.2)	33.3 (18.0-49.5)	
III	72.5 (56.6-83.3)	54.5 (38.2-68.3)	19.5 (1.91-50.9)	
IV	60.6 (51.2-68.8)	38.1 (29.2-46.9)	7.4 (0.8-24.7)	<0.0001
Level of education				
Finished primary school	69.3 (60.3-76.6)	48.3 (39.1-56.8)	25.7 (15.2-37.5)	
Finished secondary school	75.2 (69.5-80.0)	58.9 (52.6-64.6)	32.2 (23.5-41.3)	
University degree	83.3 (56.8-94.3)	61.1 (35.3-79.2)	50.9 (23.6-73.0)	0.0175
BMI 2 years pre-diagnosis (kg/m²)				
Underweight (<18.5)	54.5 (25.4-76.5)	39.0 (14.3-63.3)	.*	
Normal (18.5–24.9)	74.6 (67.7-80.3)	54.3 (46.8-61.2)	29.5 (19.4-40.3)	
Overweight (25-29.9)	77.9 (70.2-83.8)	63.1 (54.6-70.4)	34.8 (23.7-46.1)	
Obese (≥30)	72.0 (57.4-82.4)	51.9 (37.3-64.6)	19.9 (2.0-51.5)	0.3210
Dental care				
Good	79.5 (68.7-86.9)	65.4 (53.7-74.8)	38.9 (20.7-56.8)	
Moderate	72.8 (67.6-77.4)	54.1 (48.4-59.5)	29.0 (21.6-36.8)	
Poor	74.1 (28.9-93.0)	44.4 (10.4-74.8)	.*	0.0837
Oral Hygiene				
Good	74.5 (67.8-80.0)	59.7 (52.5-66.2)	36.8 (26.8-46.8)	
Moderate	71.8 (60.4-80.5)	46.3 (34.8-57.0)	22.4 (9.9-37.9)	
Poor	73.8 (65.2-80.6)	55.2(46.0-63.4)	26.2 (6.6-39.7)	0.1046
p16 expression				
Negative	68.8 (60.8-75.5)	49.4 (41.0-57.3)	27.7 (15.0-41.9)	
Positive	70.1 (49.0-83.8)	66.2 (45.0-80.8)	.*	0.7036
p16/HPV16 DNA				
p16 (–) DNA (–)	69.2 (59.3-77.2)	49.4 (39.1-59.0)	21.8 (6.6-42.7)	
p16 (+) DNA (–)	66.7 (40.4-83.4)	61.1 (35.3-79.2)	.*	
p16 (+) DNA (+)	64.3 (15.2-90.2)	64.3 (15.2-90.2)	.*	
p16 (–) DNA (+)	72.8 (57.1-83.6)	51.6 (35.9-65.2)	51.6 (35.9-65.2)	0.7821

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; HPV, Human Papilloma virus; p16, protein 16; CI, confidence interval. ‡Log-rank test p-value. *Could not be assessed.

611 **Table 3: Hazard ratios of death after larynx and hypopharynx (combined) and oral cavity cancers in Europe**

	Larynx/hypopharynx		Oral cavity	
	Multivariable HR (95% CI)*	p-value‡	Multivariable HR (95%CI)*	p-value‡
Age at diagnosis, years				
≤50	Reference		Reference	
51–60	1.01 (0.72-1.43)		1.10 (0.75-1.60)	
61–70	1.19 (0.84-1.67)		1.65 (1.12-2.44)	
≥71	1.61 (1.09-2.38)	0.0158	2.12 (1.35-3.33)	0.0012
Sex				
Male	0.96 (0.67-1.37)		1.42 (0.99-2.02)	
Female	Reference	0.8091	Reference	0.0474
Smoking history				
Never	Reference		Reference	
Former	1.87 (0.98-3.55)		1.15 (0.65-2.02)	
Current	2.67 (1.40-5.08)	0.0010	2.16 (1.32-3.54)	0.0002
Alcohol use history				
Never	Reference		Reference	
Former	1.20 (0.62-2.30)		0.72 (0.37-1.37)	
Current	1.22 (0.69-2.17)	0.9083	0.75 (0.43-1.31)	0.5753
Stage at diagnosis				
I	Reference		Reference	
II	1.77 (1.15-2.72)		1.52 (0.94-2.47)	
III	1.87 (1.23-2.86)		2.13 (1.24-3.67)	
IV	2.60 (1.78-3.79)	<0.0001	3.17 (2.05-4.89)	<0.0001
Education				
Primary school	0.93 (0.51-1.72)		1.10 (0.52-2.35)	
Secondary school	0.73 (0.40-1.34)		0.85 (0.41-1.78)	
University degree	Reference	0.2069	Reference	0.2208
BMI 2 years pre-diagnosis (kg/m²)				
Underweight (<18.5)	1.64 (0.75-3.60)		1.83 (0.84-4.00)	
Normal (18.5–24.9)	Reference		Reference	
Overweight (25.0–29.9)	0.75 (0.58-0.98)		0.98 (0.72-1.34)	
Obese (≥30)	1.09 (0.78-1.52)	0.0970	1.41 (0.92-2.18)	0.0592
Tumor site				
Larynx	Reference		N/A	
Hypopharynx	2.29 (1.79-2.94)	<0.0001		

Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index; HR=hazard ratio of death; CI=confidence interval. *Additionally adjusted for year of diagnosis. ‡Likelihood ratio test.

613

Modified supplementary Tables S1 and S2

614

Table S1: Hazard ratios of death after larynx, hypopharynx, and oral cavity cancers using smoking and drinking duration or intensity.[&]

615

	Larynx/hypopharynx		Oral cavity	
	Multivariable HR [‡] (95% CI)	p-value [†]	Multivariable HR [‡] (95% CI)	p-value [†]
Smoking duration, years				
Never smokers	Reference		Reference	
1–9	4.14 (1.13-15.3)		0.55 (0.16-1.89)	
10–19	3.53 (1.28-9.74)		1.35 (0.60-3.05)	
20–29	1.93 (0.78-4.81)		1.45 (0.78-2.72)	
30–39	2.88 (1.22-6.78)		2.09 (1.21-3.63)	
≥40	3.98 (1.70-9.34)	0.0006	2.92 (1.70-5.02)	<0.0001
Smoking intensity, pack/years				
Never smokers	Reference		Reference	
<20	2.67 (1.10-6.48)		1.61 (0.93 -2.82)	
20–39	3.12 (1.33-7.32)		2.53 (1.47-4.34)	
40–59	3.69 (1.56-8.72)		2.28 (1.28-4.07)	
≥60	3.37 (1.41-8.09)	0.0082	2.47 (1.34-4.59)	0.0156
Drinking duration, years				
Never drinkers	Reference		Reference	
1–9	1.15 (0.30-4.36)		.*	
10–19	1.44 (0.54-3.82)		0.57 (0.26-1.27)	
20–29	1.31 (0.60-2.84)		0.62 (0.32-1.21)	
30–39	1.32 (0.63-2.74)		0.71 (0.39-1.33)	
≥40	1.43 (0.70-2.94)	0.5036	0.80 (0.44-1.47)	0.0167
Drinking intensity, drinks/day				
<5 drinks per day	Reference		Reference	
≥ 5 drinks per day	0.97 (0.74-1.27)	0.0655	1.02 (0.74-1.42)	0.8886

[&]Cases from Rome were excluded due to lack of data. Missing information from other centers: smoking duration: larynx/hypopharynx (LH)=4, oral cancer (OC)=3; smoking intensity: LH=6, OC=4. ; drinking duration: LH =4, OC=2; drinking intensity, LH=8, OC=5. [‡]Adjusted for sex, age and stage at diagnosis, education, year of diagnosis, and tumor site. [†]Likelihood ratio test. *Could not be assessed.

616

617 **Table S2: Hazard ratios of death by p16 expression and HVP16 DNA status after larynx, hypopharynx, and**
 618 **oral cancers in Europe**
 619

	Number	Univariable HR* (95% CI)	p-value†	Multivariable HR* (95% CI)	p-value†
p16 expression					
Negative	462	Reference		Reference	
Positive	99	0.91 (0.66-1.25)	0.5699	0.99 (0.72-1.37)	0.9451
p16/HPV16 DNA					
p16 (-) DNA (-)	309	Reference		Reference	
P16 (+) DNA (-)	57	1.13 (0.76-1.68)		1.09 (0.73-1.64)	
P16 (+) DNA (+)	37	0.86 (0.51-1.44)		1.11 (0.65-1.89)	
p16 (-) DNA (+)	132	1.17 (0.88-1.56)	0.5795	1.21 (0.90-1.62)	0.6554

Abbreviations: HPV, Human Papilloma virus; p16, protein expression. *Adjusted by age and stage at diagnosis, sex, smoking history, year of diagnosis, and tumor site. †Likelihood ratio test.

620

621

622 **Figure legends**

623

624 1- Overall survival from head and neck cancers by: A, anatomic site; B, larynx subsite; C,
 625 hypopharynx subsite; and D, oral cavity subsite.

626

627 2- The hazard ratios of death by HPV tumor markers among patients with larynx, hypopharynx,
 628 and oral cavity cancers, 2002–2011, the ARCAGE study