



University of Dundee

The regulation of lymphocyte activation and proliferation

Heinzel, Susanne; Marchingo, Julia M.; Horton, Miles B.; Hodgkin, Philip D.

Published in:
Current Opinion in Immunology

DOI:
[10.1016/j.coi.2018.01.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coi.2018.01.002)

Publication date:
2018

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Heinzel, S., Marchingo, J. M., Horton, M. B., & Hodgkin, P. D. (2018). The regulation of lymphocyte activation and proliferation. *Current Opinion in Immunology*, 51, 32-38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coi.2018.01.002>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

Title: The regulation of lymphocyte activation and proliferation

Susanne Heinzl^{1,2,*}, Julia M Marchingo³, Miles B Horton^{1,2} and Philip D Hodgkin^{1,2}

¹ The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, Parkville, Victoria, Australia.

² Department of Medical Biology, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia.

³ Division of Cell Signalling and Immunology, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee, UK.

* Corresponding author: Susanne Heinzl (heinzl@wehi.edu.au)

18

19 **Abstract**

20 Activation induced proliferation and clonal expansion of antigen specific lymphocytes is a
21 hallmark of the adaptive immune response to pathogens. Recent studies identify two distinct
22 control phases. In the first T and B lymphocytes integrate antigen and additional costimuli to
23 motivate a programmed proliferative burst that ceases with a return to cell quiescence and
24 eventual death. This proliferative burst is autonomously timed, ensuring an appropriate
25 response magnitude whilst preventing uncontrolled expansion. This initial response is subject
26 to further modification and extension by a range of signals that modify, expand and direct the
27 emergence of a rich array of new cell types. Thus, both robust clonal expansion of a small
28 number of antigen specific T cells, and the concurrent emergence of extensive cellular
29 diversity, confers immunity to a vast array of different pathogens. The *in vivo* response to a
30 given pathogen is made up by the sum of all responding clones and is reproducible and
31 pathogen specific. Thus, a precise description of the regulatory principles governing
32 lymphocyte proliferation, differentiation and survival is essential to a unified understanding of
33 the immune system.

34

35 **Introduction**

36 According to classic two-signal theory, lymphocytes face a binary decision when stimulated
37 by antigen and must choose between tolerance (death) and activation (proliferation). A second
38 signal is needed to tip the balance from one state to the other. Careful studies of the control of
39 T and B cells are substantially modifying this view and replacing the binary decision with a
40 quantitative signal integration model that tempers the overall strength and type of response to
41 the nature of the threat. As a result, the magnitude and duration of the immune response must
42 be seen as continuously variable. How this T cell behaviour is modulated, at molecular, single
43 cell and population levels to achieve such a rich set of alternative outcomes remains under
44 intensive investigation. **Figure 1** illustrates the control of lymphocyte proliferation as a two-
45 stage process with each naive cell integrating activation signals, stochastic probabilities and
46 ongoing signals to control the rich heterogenous population outcome.

47

48 **Early programming cooperates with ongoing signal integration to control the response** 49 **magnitude**

50 In reviewing progress to date, it is helpful to distinguish two separate stages for lymphocyte
51 activation. In the first, an autonomously programmed response, leading to multiple changes in

52 fate are motivated by the initial stimuli. T cells divide several times subsequent to removal of
53 stimuli. In CD8+ T cells this can be after a very brief initial exposure [1-4] whereas in CD4+
54 T cells a longer antigen exposure is required to commit cells to an autonomous proliferative
55 burst [5,6]. A similar initial autonomous clonal division is observed in B cells [7-9]. In the
56 second phase of control, the early cell programming is further modified by ongoing signals.
57 For example, T cells modify their own environment by producing the growth factor IL-2 that
58 can promote their continued division [10]. As signalling inputs can operate simultaneously on
59 both phases of the response it can be difficult to determine individual control mechanisms.
60 Costimulatory signals as well as cytokines and chemokines all play fundamental roles in
61 regulating one or more parameters that determine the final cell numbers. Additional features of
62 signal control, such as increased TCR affinity, enhanced dose, duration or mechanical
63 properties of TCR-pMHC contacts or prolonged antigen exposure also result in a greater
64 response magnitude, with increased rounds of cell division or greater recruitment of cells into
65 division [11,12]. In many cases, the early expansion rate of activated T cells is unchanged in
66 these systems. Instead, the duration of their expansion is increased [2,11,12] suggesting an
67 equal proliferation rate of activated cells with more cells dropping out of division or dying
68 sooner under weak stimulation conditions.

69

70 For T cells the extent of the initial proliferative burst is a major determinant of response
71 magnitude *in vitro* and *in vivo*. The average number of divisions undergone can vary with
72 stimulation. T cells integrate all the signals they receive through the TCR, costimulatory
73 molecules and cytokines receptors to determine the size of the initial burst, referred to as their
74 initial 'division destiny' (DD) [13]**. Multiple contributions to DD, provided at the same time,
75 added arithmetically allowing predictions to be made for the final response. In this study the
76 authors demonstrate that many different combinations of costimulatory signals are capable of
77 adding to a significant response outcome. These experiments also highlight control of the
78 second phase of the T cell response: Cytokines such as IL-2 and IL-4 play both a major role in
79 initiating, as well as sustaining / extending cell division beyond their initial autonomous DD.
80 This maintenance was shown to be particularly important for T cells that have migrated to sites
81 of infection or inflamed tissue [14,15]. T cells modulate IL-2 production and integration of IL-
82 2 signals as a mechanism of paracrine communication in order to fine tune and optimise the
83 response magnitude [10,16,17]. Furthermore, although the proliferative effect of a particular
84 stimulus can act predominantly on initial programming, it may also have alternate modulatory
85 roles during the subsequent progression of the response. For instance, CD28 signalling

86 increases IL-2 production and sensitivity [18]. If IL-2 is blocked, the CD28 signal is still
87 effective at programming and promoting division destiny changes into naïve T cells but the
88 signal must be received prior to the first division to have an effect [13]. Later engagement of
89 CD28 alters downstream fate selection during the response without having any further
90 proliferative impact [19].

91

92 The regulatory precision of the initial burst of proliferation and return to quiescence by
93 stimulated T and B cells [9] suggested a common mechanism might be found. This proved
94 correct. The cell division-promoting proto-oncogene Myc [20]** is induced upon activation
95 and lost over time until a minimal threshold is crossed, and division ceases. Rather than diluting
96 by division, as was expected, Myc levels degraded over time at a predictable rate that was
97 faithfully passed on to daughter cells independently of division number. As expected for this
98 form of control, the level of Myc protein induced after activation was found proportional to the
99 strength and number of signals received by the cell, and its level highly correlated with
100 subsequent DD. Therefore, Myc translates the signals the cell receives into the time that each
101 founder cell is given to divide before returning to quiescence [20].

102 Furthermore, in addition of providing stimulatory signals on their own, inflammatory signals
103 such as IL-12 and IFN- α can increase sensitivity to IL-2 signalling [14,21,22]. Continuous
104 signalling via IL-2 or other cytokines slows the loss of Myc protein and therefore extending
105 the period of time for which the cells can divide [20] [23]* [24]. Understanding how these
106 factors function as part of a subcellular network, combining and cooperating to determine the
107 ultimate proliferative potential of an individual T cell remains a major objective in the field of
108 lymphocyte biology.

109

110 These studies may have a further counterpart in the germinal centre. During affinity maturation
111 germinal centre B cells travel from the light zone where they undergo positive selection to the
112 dark zone and undergo somatic hypermutation [25]. Interaction with Tfh cells during the
113 positive selection process provides proliferation and survival signals. In this context Myc
114 expression is induced through interaction with Tfh cells and is seen in a proportion of,
115 presumably, recently activated cells [26,27]. Affinity dependent stimulation is thought to
116 control proliferation and survival with low affinity B cells dying in the light zone while
117 dysfunctional BCR induced through mutation leads to cell death in the dark zone [28,29] [30]*.
118 In parallel with the control of T and B cell DD, it seems likely that higher affinity B cell clones
119 receive stronger stimuli and accumulate more Myc, extending their duration of proliferation in

120 the dark zone and therefore licensing these clones for more extensive somatic hypermutation
121 [29].

122

123 **Clonal concordance, probabilistic events and fate inheritance shape the response**

124 The proliferative and phenotypic profile of a responding T cell population is highly
125 heterogeneous, even under highly controlled *in vitro* conditions. Despite this extensive
126 diversity, there is a remarkable concordance of the proliferative fate within a clonal family
127 while a considerable disparity is observed between different clones even in response to
128 identical stimulation conditions [31] [32]* [33]*. *In vivo* studies have also demonstrated
129 extensive heterogeneity in clone size and a distinct correlation between clonal proliferative
130 potential and cellular phenotype [34-39]. The phenotypic correlation with clonal burst size
131 points to a role for both heritability and division as determinants of the emergence of cellular
132 heterogeneity [35,36,40]. This is complemented by studies that have elucidated a role for
133 division progression in regulating specific components of T cell differentiation, such as
134 cytokine production, cytotoxicity and surface marker expression [40-45].

135

136 Although there is a clear influence of clonal membership on division progression and
137 phenotype, *in vivo* studies demonstrate substantially greater intraclonal diversity than the
138 striking concordance observed *in vitro* [32,37,38]. TCR signal quality strongly determines the
139 response outcome on a population basis, and although weakly stimulated cells expand less,
140 many are still able to acquire effector functions and differentiate into memory cells [11,46,47].
141 Therefore the fate of T cell clones is not controlled by the TCR ligation quality alone, but TCR
142 ligation works in concert with quantitative integration of additional signalling and stochastic
143 events to determine the fate outcome of the clonal progeny [13,33,35] [46]** [48] [49]*
144 [50,51].

145

146 Many of the above studies highlight early stochasticity and familial heritability as key drivers
147 of emergent heterogeneity. Several different models have been proposed to explain the
148 diversity observed in T cell fates. The concept of asymmetric division of the founder cell
149 resulting in two distinct fate outcomes of the daughter cells and their progeny has been
150 proposed as a determinant of T cell fate and population heterogeneity [5,52-54]. Recent studies
151 have proposed a role for the polarised segregation of Myc and subsequent asymmetric
152 inheritance of metabolic programming as a determinant of CD8+ T cell fate selection [55,56].
153 However, the uneven inheritance of Myc between first-division T cells is at odds with its role

154 as a regulator of the highly symmetrical clonal phenomenon of DD [20]. In an alternative
155 model the strong familial concordance in concert with early stochasticity are sufficient to
156 describe the emergence of the clonal diversity [46,57]. Computational descriptions of clonal
157 heterogeneity have also highlighted the capacity to resolve patterns of T and B cell
158 diversification without the requirement of asymmetric fate segregation [50,58]. Furthermore,
159 impairment of the capacity of cells to polarise their contents does not hinder the generation of
160 lymphocyte diversity [59].

161

162 **Recruitment into division as a first step directing the response magnitude**

163 The number of cells recruited into an immune response is another key determinant of response
164 magnitude. Two main factors determine the number of cells recruited into division: firstly, the
165 induction of a new survival program driven by the strength of stimulation selecting for strongly
166 activated cells to survive [60]. Secondly, whether the surviving cells reach the activation
167 threshold to enter proliferation. On a single cell level this threshold is controlled by the sum of
168 TCR affinity and dose [12] [61]* [62,63] and other signals received by the cells. Consistent
169 with the mechanism of signal addition, IL-2 or additional costimulation increases this precursor
170 frequency and promotes the entry of weakly stimulated cells into division [61,64-66]. Similarly
171 stimulation through the costimulatory receptor CD27 lowers the affinity threshold required for
172 activation, recruiting more low affinity clones into the response, potentially as a measure to
173 broaden the subsequent memory pool repertoire [67].

174 On a population level, whether a response is observed is determined by the sum of all individual
175 outcomes and the complex interaction between these cells and the molecules they produce.
176 This can be described as a collective decision made by the T cell population [68]. IL-2 produced
177 by strongly activated cells plays a critical role in this activation phase, as some low affinity T
178 cells can reach the threshold to enter division through integration of IL-2 produced by strongly
179 activated cells. The response rate of a mixed population of high and low affinity cells can be
180 modelled and predicted accurately using a dynamic system incorporating IL-2, IL2R and PIK3
181 levels controlling the accumulation of Cyclin D to reach the threshold of cell cycle entry [69]**
182 demonstrating how the signal integration by individual cells controlling their fates fine tunes
183 the overall response on the population basis.

184

185 **Survival as an additional and independent mechanism shaping the immune repertoire**

186 The survival of activated T cells critically underpins the ability to form an immune response.
187 This process is carefully regulated by a quantitative balance between pro- and anti-apoptotic

188 members of the Bcl-2 family proteins of the intrinsic apoptotic pathway (reviewed in [70]). A
189 survival program is induced after T activation, that is distinct from their naïve survival program
190 and operates simultaneously but independently to the proliferation program [71,72]. Both pro-
191 survival and pro-apoptotic proteins are induced by T cell activation signals through the TCR,
192 costimulatory molecules (i.e. CD28) or cytokines such as IL-2 [60,73-75]. A similar
193 quantitative switch in survival programs occurs in B lymphocytes [70,76]. In cells receiving
194 strong signals this balance favours a pro-survival state, however low affinity populations are
195 more sensitive to shaping through differential survival as stimulation with a lower affinity TCR
196 or a reduction in costimulatory signals and cytokines, favours death and the elimination of
197 weaker responders [75,77-79]. This points to a key function in the regulation of the response
198 quality.

199 As the lymphocyte survival program is initiated by many of the same signals as proliferation it
200 is often difficult to distinguish the relative importance of contributions of these processes in
201 shaping the immune response; however several lines of evidence suggest their regulation is
202 independent, and can be uncoupled. For instance, CD28 signalling and other growth factors
203 promote survival in the absence of proliferation [80]. CD8+ T cells deficient in the kinase Erk2
204 [81] or the transcription factor Bach2 [82] have been shown to have no defect in proliferative
205 potential, but have a reduced response magnitude due to impaired survival. Furthermore the
206 rate and extent of clonal expansion in strongly stimulated cells is not greatly impacted by cell
207 death. When pro-apoptotic molecule Bim is deleted or pro-survival molecule Bcl-2 is
208 overexpressed in T or B lymphocytes they undergo the same number of divisions in response
209 to a given stimulus irrespective of the enhanced cell survival [13,20,83,84]. A similar effect
210 can be observed in vivo, with Bim-deficient CD8+ T cells expanding to the same extent but
211 taking longer to contract [85], further demonstrating the independence of cell division and
212 survival. The significance of this is highlighted by the consequences of changes to either
213 parameter. The combined effect of small changes in survival or DD time synergise to a greatly
214 enhanced response when applied in combination and can be predicted by combining the
215 probability distributions for each timer [20].

216

217

218 **Conclusions:**

219 When the number of alternative cell fates, and the large number of known modifiers are
220 enumerated, the regulation of T and B cell responses appears impossibly complex. When
221 combined with the fine detail of cellular niches in different tissues, and the potential for

222 transient and persistent signal exposure, it is easy to imagine a daunting combinatorial problem
223 for control. This pessimistic view is at odds with the general observation that immune responses
224 are typically robust and reproducible, and many features of cell responses can be recreated in
225 much simpler *in vitro* environments.

226 In resolving this paradox, we suggest that a timed cellular program, that includes an automated
227 return to quiescence and even eventual death, can serve as a powerful new paradigm for
228 interpreting the complex control of T, B and GC cell responses. Manipulation of this core
229 cellular program by multiple modifying inputs provides the foundation for building a
230 reproducible, but highly regulated system. Such a model can also help explain how the present
231 complex system may have evolved from more primitive developmental states that utilised a
232 higher level of cellular autonomy to affect an adaptive immune outcome [7]. We envisage that
233 continued work on this activation paradigm with increasingly quantitative tools will deliver
234 scalable models with the power of prediction and significant potential for immune system
235 control.

236

237

238

239 **Acknowledgments**

240 This work was supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia
241 via Project Grant 1010654, Program Grant 1054925 and a fellowship to P.D.H. as well as an
242 Australian Government National Health and Medical Research Council Independent Research
243 Institutes Infrastructure Support Scheme Grant 361646. J.M.M. was supported by a European
244 Molecular Biology Organisation long-term fellowship (ALTF 1543-2015, including support
245 from Marie Curie Action LTFCOFUND2013, GA-2013-609409) and has received funding
246 from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie
247 Skłodowska-Curie Action Individual Fellowship Grant Agreement No 705984.

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255 **References**

256

- 257 1. Kaech SM, Ahmed R: **Memory CD8+ T cell differentiation: initial antigen encounter**
258 **triggers a developmental program in naive cells.** *Nat Immunol* 2001, **2**:415-422.
- 259 2. Mercado R, Vijn S, Allen SE, Kerksiek K, Pilip IM, Pamer EG: **Early Programming of T**
260 **Cell Populations Responding to Bacterial Infection.** *The Journal of Immunology*
261 2000, **165**:6833-6839.
- 262 3. Prlic M, Bevan MJ: **Exploring regulatory mechanisms of CD8+ T cell contraction.**
263 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2008, **105**:16689-16694.
- 264 4. van Stipdonk MJ, Hardenberg G, Bijker MS, Lemmens EE, Droin NM, Green DR,
265 Schoenberger SP: **Dynamic programming of CD8+ T lymphocyte responses.** *Nat*
266 *Immunol* 2003, **4**:361-365.
- 267 5. Arsenio J, Kakaradov B, Metz PJ, Kim SH, Yeo GW, Chang JT: **Early specification of**
268 **CD8(+) T lymphocyte fates during adaptive immunity revealed by single-cell gene-**
269 **expression analyses.** *Nat Immunol* 2014, **15**:365-372.
- 270 6. Bajenoff M, Wurtz O, Guerder S: **Repeated antigen exposure is necessary for the**
271 **differentiation, but not the initial proliferation, of naive CD4(+) T cells.** *J Immunol*
272 2002, **168**:1723-1729.
- 273 7. Hawkins ED, Turner ML, Wellard CJ, Zhou JH, Dowling MR, Hodgkin PD: **Quantal and**
274 **graded stimulation of B lymphocytes as alternative strategies for regulating**
275 **adaptive immune responses.** *Nat Commun* 2013, **4**:2406.
- 276 8. Rush JS, Hodgkin PD: **B cells activated via CD40 and IL-4 undergo a division burst but**
277 **require continued stimulation to maintain division, survival and differentiation.**
278 *European Journal of Immunology* 2001, **31**:1150-1159.
- 279 9. Turner ML, Hawkins ED, Hodgkin PD: **Quantitative Regulation of B Cell Division**
280 **Destiny by Signal Strength.** *The Journal of Immunology* 2008, **181**:374-382.
- 281 10. Tkach KE, Barik D, Voisinne G, Malandro N, Hathorn MM, Cotari JW, Vogel R,
282 Merghoub T, Wolchok J, Krichevsky O, et al.: **T cells translate individual, quantal**
283 **activation into collective, analog cytokine responses via time-integrated feedbacks.**
284 *eLife* 2014, **3**:e01944.
- 285 11. van Stipdonk MJB, Sluijter M, Han WGH, Offringa R: **Development of CTL memory**
286 **despite arrested clonal expansion.** *European Journal of Immunology* 2008, **38**:1839-
287 1846.

- 288 12. Zehn D, Lee SY, Bevan MJ: **Complete but curtailed T-cell response to very low-affinity**
289 **antigen.** *Nature* 2009, **458**:211-214.
- 290 13. Marchingo JM, Kan A, Sutherland RM, Duffy KR, Wellard CJ, Belz GT, Lew AM,
291 Dowling MR, Heinzl S, Hodgkin PD: **T cell signaling. Antigen affinity,**
292 **costimulation, and cytokine inputs sum linearly to amplify T cell expansion.**
293 *Science* 2014, **346**:1123-1127.
- 294 ** This paper describes a linear calculus for the summing of costimulatory and cytokine signal
295 integration determining the number of times T cells divide before automatically returning to
296 quiescence. This calculus can be used to predict the net T cell response when combining
297 multiple stimuli. These findings reconcile long-standing inconsistencies with the current two-
298 signal paradigm of T cell activation.
- 299
- 300 14. Starbeck-Miller GR, Xue HH, Harty JT: **IL-12 and type I interferon prolong the division**
301 **of activated CD8 T cells by maintaining high-affinity IL-2 signaling in vivo.** *J Exp*
302 *Med* 2014, **211**:105-120.
- 303 15. Sutherland RM, Londrigan SL, Brady JL, Carrington EM, Marchingo JM, Heinzl S,
304 Hodgkin PD, Graham KL, Kay TW, Zhan Y, et al.: **Cognate antigen engagement on**
305 **parenchymal cells stimulates CD8+ T cell proliferation in situ.** *Nat Commun* 2017,
306 **8**:14809.
- 307 16. Fuhrmann F, Lischke T, Gross F, Scheel T, Bauer L, Kalim KW, Radbruch A, Herzl H,
308 Hutloff A, Baumgrass R: **Adequate immune response ensured by binary IL-2 and**
309 **graded CD25 expression in a murine transfer model.** *Elife* 2016, **5**.
- 310 17. Hart Y, Reich-Zeliger S, Antebi Yaron E, Zaretsky I, Mayo Avraham E, Alon U, Friedman
311 **N: Paradoxical Signaling by a Secreted Molecule Leads to Homeostasis of Cell**
312 **Levels.** *Cell* 2014, **158**:1022-1032.
- 313 18. Fraser J, Irving B, Crabtree G, Weiss A: **Regulation of interleukin-2 gene enhancer**
314 **activity by the T cell accessory molecule CD28.** *Science* 1991, **251**:313-316.
- 315 19. Linterman MA, Denton AE, Divekar DP, Zvetkova I, Kane L, Ferreira C, Veldhoen M,
316 Clare S, Dougan G, Espeli M, et al.: **CD28 expression is required after T cell priming**
317 **for helper T cell responses and protective immunity to infection.** *Elife* 2014, **3**.
- 318 20. Heinzl S, Binh Giang T, Kan A, Marchingo JM, Lye BK, Corcoran LM, Hodgkin PD: **A**
319 **Myc-dependent division timer complements a cell-death timer to regulate T cell**
320 **and B cell responses.** *Nat Immunol* 2017, **18**:96-103.

321 ** This paper describes a new role for Myc in the regulation of the immune response. The
322 authors found that the proliferative response is regulated by independently functioning timers
323 induced upon activation controlling division and death and identified the proto-oncogene Myc
324 as the main driver of the division timer. Lymphocytes accumulate Myc as a function of all
325 perceived stimulatory signals, which in turn licenses cells to divide until Myc is diluted below
326 a division-cessation threshold in a time-dependent manner.

327

328 21. Pipkin ME, Sacks JA, Cruz-Guilloty F, Lichtenheld MG, Bevan MJ, Rao A: **Interleukin-**
329 **2 and inflammation induce distinct transcriptional programs that promote the**
330 **differentiation of effector cytolytic T cells.** *Immunity* 2010, **32**:79-90.

331 22. Joshi NS, Cui W, Chandele A, Lee HK, Urso DR, Hagman J, Gapin L, Kaech SM:
332 **Inflammation Directs Memory Precursor and Short-Lived Effector CD8(+) T Cell**
333 **Fates via the Graded Expression of T-bet Transcription Factor.** *Immunity* 2007,
334 **27**:281-295.

335 23. Preston GC, Sinclair LV, Kaskar A, Hukelmann JL, Navarro MN, Ferrero I, MacDonald
336 HR, Cowling VH, Cantrell DA: **Single cell tuning of Myc expression by antigen**
337 **receptor signal strength and interleukin-2 in T lymphocytes.** *Embo j* 2015, **34**:2008-
338 2024.

339 * This paper describes a molecular mechanism for the fine-tuning of signal integration around
340 a key regulator of proliferation. TCR signaling increases Myc RNA expression whereas IL-2
341 increases the stability of post-translation Myc protein, both of which are determined by signal
342 strength.

343

344 24. Tan TCJ, Knight J, Sbarrato T, Dudek K, Willis AE, Zamoyska R: **Suboptimal T-cell**
345 **receptor signaling compromises protein translation, ribosome biogenesis, and**
346 **proliferation of mouse CD8 T cells.** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
347 2017.

348 25. Oracki SA, Walker JA, Hibbs ML, Corcoran LM, Tarlinton DM: **Plasma cell development**
349 **and survival.** *Immunological Reviews* 2010, **237**:140-159.

350 26. Calado DP, Sasaki Y, Godinho SA, Pellerin A, Kochert K, Sleckman BP, de Alboran IM,
351 Janz M, Rodig S, Rajewsky K: **The cell-cycle regulator c-Myc is essential for the**
352 **formation and maintenance of germinal centers.** *Nat Immunol* 2012, **13**:1092-1100.

- 353 27. Dominguez-Sola D, Victora GD, Ying CY, Phan RT, Saito M, Nussenzweig MC, Dalla-
354 Favera R: **The proto-oncogene MYC is required for selection in the germinal center**
355 **and cyclic reentry.** *Nat Immunol* 2012, **13**:1083-1091.
- 356 28. Gitlin AD, Mayer CT, Oliveira TY, Shulman Z, Jones MJK, Koren A, Nussenzweig MC:
357 **T cell help controls the speed of the cell cycle in germinal center B cells.** *Science*
358 2015, **349**:643-646.
- 359 29. Gitlin AD, Shulman Z, Nussenzweig MC: **Clonal selection in the germinal centre by**
360 **regulated proliferation and hypermutation.** *Nature* 2014, **509**:637.
- 361 30. Mayer CT, Gazumyan A, Kara EE, Gitlin AD, Golijanin J, Viant C, Pai J, Oliveira TY,
362 Wang Q, Escolano A, et al.: **The microanatomic segregation of selection by**
363 **apoptosis in the germinal center.** *Science* 2017, **358**.
- 364 * Describes the differential regulation of cell survival in the light and dark zone of the germinal
365 centre. The authors found that in the light zone positive selection protects cells (using Myc as
366 an indicator) cells from apoptosis. Dark zone cells undergo apoptosis due to antigen receptor
367 damage caused by hypermutation to eliminate B cells carrying deleterious mutations.
368
- 369 31. Hawkins ED, Markham JF, McGuinness LP, Hodgkin PD: **A single-cell pedigree analysis**
370 **of alternative stochastic lymphocyte fates.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009,
371 **106**:13457-13462.
- 372 32. Kimmerling RJ, Lee Szeto G, Li JW, Genshaft AS, Kazer SW, Payer KR, de Riba Borrajo
373 J, Blainey PC, Irvine DJ, Shalek AK, et al.: **A microfluidic platform enabling single-**
374 **cell RNA-seq of multigenerational lineages.** *Nat Commun* 2016, **7**:10220.
- 375 * Single cell RNAseq analysis of multigenerational lineage tracked CD8+ reveal a greater intra-
376 than inter-lineage transcriptional similarity.
377
- 378 33. Marchingo JM, Prevedello G, Kan A, Heinzl S, Hodgkin PD, Duffy KR: **T-cell stimuli**
379 **independently sum to regulate an inherited clonal division fate.** *Nat Commun* 2016,
380 **7**:13540.
- 381 * Using a novel technique for the tracking of cell fates of cell families the authors demonstrate
382 that the fate of individual T cell clones is strongly lineage imprinted and that effects of multiple
383 costimulatory signals sum together with stochastic independence.
384

- 385 34. Bosch AJT, Bolinger B, Keck S, Stepanek O, Ozga AJ, Galati-Fournier V, Stein JV, Palmer
386 **E: A minimum number of autoimmune T cells to induce autoimmunity?** *Cellular*
387 *Immunology* 2017, **316**:21-31.
- 388 35. Buchholz VR, Flossdorf M, Hensel I, Kretschmer L, Weissbrich B, Graf P, Verschoor A,
389 Schiemann M, Hofer T, Busch DH: **Disparate individual fates compose robust CD8+**
390 **T cell immunity.** *Science* 2013, **340**:630-635.
- 391 36. Gerlach C, Rohr JC, Perié L, van Rooij N, van Heijst JWJ, Velds A, Urbanus J, Naik SH,
392 Jacobs H, Beltman JB, et al.: **Heterogeneous Differentiation Patterns of Individual**
393 **CD8 T Cells.** *Science* 2013, **340**:635.
- 394 37. Lonnberg T, Svensson V, James KR, Fernandez-Ruiz D, Sebina I, Montandon R, Soon MS,
395 Fogg LG, Nair AS, Liligeto U, et al.: **Single-cell RNA-seq and computational**
396 **analysis using temporal mixture modelling resolves Th1/Tfh fate bifurcation in**
397 **malaria.** *Sci Immunol* 2017, **2**.
- 398 38. Plumlee CR, Sheridan BS, Cicek BB, Lefrancois L: **Environmental cues dictate the fate**
399 **of individual CD8+ T cells responding to infection.** *Immunity* 2013, **39**:347-356.
- 400 39. Tubo NJ, Pagán AJ, Taylor JJ, Nelson RW, Linehan JL, Ertelt JM, Huseby ES, Way SS,
401 Jenkins MK: **Single Naive CD4+ T Cells from a Diverse Repertoire Produce**
402 **Different Effector Cell Types during Infection.** *Cell* 2013, **153**:785-796.
- 403 40. Schlub TE, Venturi V, Kedzierska K, Wellard C, Doherty PC, Turner SJ, Ribeiro RM,
404 Hodgkin PD, Davenport MP: **Division-linked differentiation can account for CD8+**
405 **T-cell phenotype in vivo.** *Eur J Immunol* 2009, **39**:67-77.
- 406 41. Jenkins MR, Mintern J, La Gruta NL, Kedzierska K, Doherty PC, Turner SJ: **Cell Cycle-**
407 **Related Acquisition of Cytotoxic Mediators Defines the Progressive**
408 **Differentiation to Effector Status for Virus-Specific CD8+ T Cells.** *The Journal of*
409 *Immunology* 2008, **181**:3818-3822.
- 410 42. Gett AV, Hodgkin PD: **Cell division regulates the T cell cytokine repertoire, revealing**
411 **a mechanism underlying immune class regulation.** *Proceedings of the National*
412 *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 1998, **95**:9488-9493.
- 413 43. Bird JJ, Brown DR, Mullen AC, Moskowitz NH, Mahowald MA, Sider JR, Gajewski TF,
414 Wang C-R, Reiner SL: **Helper T Cell Differentiation Is Controlled by the Cell**
415 **Cycle.** *Immunity* 1998, **9**:229-237.
- 416 44. Kinjyo I, Qin J, Tan S-Y, Wellard CJ, Mrass P, Ritchie W, Doi A, Cavanagh LL, Tomura
417 M, Sakaue-Sawano A, et al.: **Real-time tracking of cell cycle progression during**

- 418 **CD8(+) effector and memory T-cell differentiation.** *Nature Communications* 2015,
419 **6:6301.**
- 420 45. Proserpio V, Piccolo A, Haim-Vilmovsky L, Kar G, Lonnberg T, Svensson V, Pramanik J,
421 Natarajan KN, Zhai W, Zhang X, et al.: **Single-cell analysis of CD4+ T-cell**
422 **differentiation reveals three major cell states and progressive acceleration of**
423 **proliferation.** *Genome Biol* 2016, **17:103.**
- 424 46. Cho Y-L, Flossdorf M, Kretschmer L, Höfer T, Busch DH, Buchholz VR: **TCR Signal**
425 **Quality Modulates Fate Decisions of Single CD4+ T Cells in a Probabilistic**
426 **Manner.** *Cell Reports* 2017, **20:806-818.**
- 427 ** This elegant study shows that CD4+ carrying identical TCRs generate highly variable
428 phenotypic and proliferative responses challenging the view that the TCR is the determinant
429 for the response outcome. The authors propose a mathematical model incorporating
430 probabilistic behaviour accurately predicting fate outcomes.
- 431
- 432 47. Ozga AJ, Moalli F, Abe J, Swoger J, Sharpe J, Zehn D, Kreutzfeldt M, Merkler D, Ripoll
433 J, Stein JV: **pMHC affinity controls duration of CD8⁺ T cell–DC**
434 **interactions and imprints timing of effector differentiation versus expansion.** *The*
435 *Journal of Experimental Medicine* 2016, **213:2811-2829.**
- 436 48. Antebi YE, Reich-Zeliger S, Hart Y, Mayo A, Eizenberg I, Rimer J, Putheti P, Pe'er D,
437 Friedman N: **Mapping Differentiation under Mixed Culture Conditions Reveals a**
438 **Tunable Continuum of T Cell Fates.** *PLOS Biology* 2013, **11:e1001616.**
- 439 49. Eizenberg-Magar I, Rimer J, Zaretsky I, Lara-Astiaso D, Reich-Zeliger S, Friedman N:
440 **Diverse continuum of CD4+ T-cell states is determined by hierarchical additive**
441 **integration of cytokine signals.** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
442 2017, **114:E6447-E6456.**
- 443 * This paper describes that signals are integrated and summed in a predictable manner to drive
444 CD4 T cell phenotype. The elucidation of a cytokine-integration hierarchy influencing CD4+
445 T cell differentiation enables the construction of a model describing the impact of individual
446 signals on fate selection across a continuum.
- 447
- 448 50. Flossdorf M, Rössler J, Buchholz VR, Busch DH, Hofer T: **CD8(+) T cell diversification**
449 **by asymmetric cell division.** *Nat Immunol* 2015, **16:891-893.**
- 450 51. Mingueneau M, Krishnaswamy S, Spitzer MH, Bendall SC, Stone EL, Hedrick SM, Pe'er
451 D, Mathis D, Nolan GP, Benoist C: **Single-cell mass cytometry of TCR signaling:**

- 452 **amplification of small initial differences results in low ERK activation in NOD**
453 **mice.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2014, **111**:16466-16471.
- 454 52. Chang JT, Palanivel VR, Kinjyo I, Schambach F, Intlekofer AM, Banerjee A, Longworth
455 SA, Vinup KE, Mrass P, Oliaro J, et al.: **Asymmetric T Lymphocyte Division in the**
456 **Initiation of Adaptive Immune Responses.** *Science* 2007, **315**:1687-1691.
- 457 53. King CG, Koehli S, Hausmann B, Schmalzer M, Zehn D, Palmer E: **T Cell Affinity**
458 **Regulates Asymmetric Division, Effector Cell Differentiation, and Tissue**
459 **Pathology.** *Immunity* 2012, **37**:709-720.
- 460 54. Oliaro J, Van Ham V, Sacirbegovic F, Pasam A, Bomzon Z, Pham K, Ludford-Menting
461 MJ, Waterhouse NJ, Bots M, Hawkins ED, et al.: **Asymmetric cell division of T cells**
462 **upon antigen presentation uses multiple conserved mechanisms.** *J Immunol* 2010,
463 **185**:367-375.
- 464 55. Verbist KC, Guy CS, Milasta S, Liedmann S, Kaminski MM, Wang R, Green DR:
465 **Metabolic maintenance of cell asymmetry following division in activated T**
466 **lymphocytes.** *Nature* 2016.
- 467 56. Pollizzi KN, Sun I-H, Patel CH, Lo Y-C, Oh M-H, Waickman AT, Tam AJ, Blosser RL,
468 Wen J, Delgoffe GM, et al.: **Asymmetric inheritance of mTORC1 kinase activity**
469 **during division dictates CD8+ T cell differentiation.** *Nat Immunol* 2016, **advance**
470 **online publication.**
- 471 57. Buchholz VR, Schumacher TNM, Busch DH: **T Cell Fate at the Single-Cell Level.** *Annual*
472 *Review of Immunology* 2016, **34**:65-92.
- 473 58. Duffy KR, Wellard CJ, Markham JF, Zhou JHS, Holmberg R, Hawkins ED, Hasbold J,
474 Dowling MR, Hodgkin PD: **Activation-Induced B Cell Fates Are Selected by**
475 **Intracellular Stochastic Competition.** *Science* 2012, **335**:338-341.
- 476 59. Hawkins ED, Oliaro J, Kallies A, Belz GT, Filby A, Hogan T, Haynes N, Ramsbottom
477 KM, Van Ham V, Kinwell T, et al.: **Regulation of asymmetric cell division and**
478 **polarity by Scribble is not required for humoral immunity.** *Nat Commun* 2013,
479 **4**:1801.
- 480 60. Koenen P, Heinzl S, Carrington EM, Happo L, Alexander WS, Zhang J-G, Herold MJ,
481 Scott CL, Lew AM, Strasser A, et al.: **Mutually exclusive regulation of T cell survival**
482 **by IL-7R and antigen receptor-induced signals.** *Nat Commun* 2013, **4**:1735.
- 483 61. Au-Yeung BB, Smith GA, Mueller JL, Heyn CS, Jaszczak RG, Weiss A, Zikherman J: **IL-**
484 **2 Modulates the TCR Signaling Threshold for CD8 but Not CD4 T Cell**
485 **Proliferation on a Single-Cell Level.** *J Immunol* 2017.

486 * In the absence of IL-2 a stronger TCR signal is required to drive CD8 T cell proliferation
487 whereas in CD4 T cells the same level of TCR signal strength is required irrespective of IL-2.
488 Myc functions as an integrator determining a minimal threshold for cells to proliferate.

489

490 62. Allison KA, Sajti E, Collier JG, Gosselin D, Troutman TD, Stone EL, Hedrick SM, Glass
491 **CK: Affinity and dose of TCR engagement yield proportional enhancer and gene**
492 **activity in CD4+ T cells.** *Elife* 2016, **5**:e10134.

493 63. Man K, Miasari M, Shi W, Xin A, Henstridge DC, Preston S, Pellegrini M, Belz GT, Smyth
494 GK, Febbraio MA, et al.: **The transcription factor IRF4 is essential for TCR**
495 **affinity-mediated metabolic programming and clonal expansion of T cells.** *Nat*
496 *Immunol* 2013, **14**:1155-1165.

497 64. Deenick EK, Gett AV, Hodgkin PD: **Stochastic model of T cell proliferation: a calculus**
498 **revealing IL-2 regulation of precursor frequencies, cell cycle time, and survival.** *J*
499 *Immunol* 2003, **170**:4963-4972.

500 65. Mehlhop-Williams ER, Bevan MJ: **Memory CD8+ T cells exhibit increased antigen**
501 **threshold requirements for recall proliferation.** *The Journal of Experimental*
502 *Medicine* 2014, **211**:345-356.

503 66. Waysbort N, Russ D, Chain BM, Friedman N: **Coupled IL-2-Dependent Extracellular**
504 **Feedbacks Govern Two Distinct Consecutive Phases of CD4 T Cell Activation.** *The*
505 *Journal of Immunology* 2013, **191**:5822-5830.

506 67. van Gisbergen Klaas PJM, Klarenbeek Paul L, Kragten Natasja AM, Unger P-Paul A,
507 Nieuwenhuis Marieke BB, Wensveen Felix M, ten Brinke A, Tak Paul P, Eldering E,
508 Nolte Martijn A, et al.: **The Costimulatory Molecule CD27 Maintains Clonally**
509 **Diverse CD8+ T Cell Responses of Low Antigen Affinity to Protect against Viral**
510 **Variants.** *Immunity* 2011, **35**:97-108.

511 68. Butler TC, Kardar M, Chakraborty AK: **Quorum sensing allows T cells to discriminate**
512 **between self and nonself.** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2013,
513 **110**:11833-11838.

514 69. Voisinne G, Nixon Briana G, Melbinger A, Gasteiger G, Vergassola M, Altan-Bonnet G:
515 **T Cells Integrate Local and Global Cues to Discriminate between Structurally**
516 **Similar Antigens.** *Cell Reports* 2015, **11**:1208-1219.

517 ** This study describes the recruitment of low affinity cells through integration of IL-2
518 produced by strongly activated cells as a mechanism to reliably generate robust receptor

519 diversity amongst a responding T cell population. The response rate of a mixed population of
520 high and low affinity cells can be modelled and predicted accurately.

521

522 70. Carrington EM, Tarlinton DM, Gray DH, Huntington ND, Zhan Y, Lew AM: **The life and**
523 **death of immune cell types: the role of BCL-2 anti-apoptotic molecules.** *Immunol*
524 *Cell Biol* 2017, **95**:870-877.

525 71. Koenen P, Heinzl S, Carrington EM, Happo L, Alexander WS, Zhang JG, Herold MJ,
526 Scott CL, Lew AM, Strasser A, et al.: **Mutually exclusive regulation of T cell survival**
527 **by IL-7R and antigen receptor-induced signals.** *Nat Commun* 2013, **4**:1735.

528 72. Cippa PE, Kraus AK, Lindenmeyer MT, Chen J, Guimezanes A, Bardwell PD, Wekerle T,
529 Wuthrich RP, Fehr T: **Resistance to ABT-737 in activated T lymphocytes: molecular**
530 **mechanisms and reversibility by inhibition of the calcineurin-NFAT pathway.** *Cell*
531 *Death Dis* 2012, **3**:e299.

532 73. Boise LH, Minn AJ, Noel PJ, June CH, Accavitti MA, Lindsten T, Thompson CB: **CD28**
533 **costimulation can promote T cell survival by enhancing the expression of Bcl-XL.**
534 *Immunity* 1995, **3**:87-98.

535 74. D'Souza WN, Chang C-F, Fischer AM, Li M, Hedrick SM: **The Erk2 MAPK Regulates**
536 **CD8 T Cell Proliferation and Survival.** *The Journal of Immunology* 2008, **181**:7617-
537 7629.

538 75. Wensveen FM, van Gisbergen KP, Derks IA, Gerlach C, Schumacher TN, van Lier RA,
539 Eldering E: **Apoptosis threshold set by Noxa and Mcl-1 after T cell activation**
540 **regulates competitive selection of high-affinity clones.** *Immunity* 2010, **32**:754-765.

541 76. Wensveen FM, Derks IA, van Gisbergen KP, de Bruin AM, Meijers JC, Yigittop H, Nolte
542 MA, Eldering E, van Lier RA: **BH3-only protein Noxa regulates apoptosis in**
543 **activated B cells and controls high-affinity antibody formation.** *Blood* 2012,
544 **119**:1440-1449.

545 77. Ream RM, Sun J, Braciale TJ: **Stimulation of naive CD8+ T cells by a variant viral**
546 **epitope induces activation and enhanced apoptosis.** *J Immunol* 2010, **184**:2401-
547 2409.

548 78. van Gisbergen KP, Klarenbeek PL, Kragten NA, Unger PP, Nieuwenhuis MB, Wensveen
549 FM, ten Brinke A, Tak PP, Eldering E, Nolte MA, et al.: **The costimulatory molecule**
550 **CD27 maintains clonally diverse CD8(+) T cell responses of low antigen affinity to**
551 **protect against viral variants.** *Immunity* 2011, **35**:97-108.

- 552 79. Zehn D, Roepke S, Weakly K, Bevan MJ, Prlic M: **Inflammation and TCR signal**
553 **strength determine the breadth of the T cell response in a bim-dependent manner.**
554 *J Immunol* 2014, **192**:200-205.
- 555 80. Boise LH, Minn AJ, June CH, Lindsten T, Thompson CB: **Growth factors can enhance**
556 **lymphocyte survival without committing the cell to undergo cell division.** *Proc Natl*
557 *Acad Sci U S A* 1995, **92**:5491-5495.
- 558 81. D'Souza WN, Chang CF, Fischer AM, Li M, Hedrick SM: **The Erk2 MAPK regulates**
559 **CD8 T cell proliferation and survival.** *J Immunol* 2008, **181**:7617-7629.
- 560 82. Roychoudhuri R, Clever D, Li P, Wakabayashi Y, Quinn KM, Klebanoff CA, Ji Y,
561 Sukumar M, Eil RL, Yu Z, et al.: **BACH2 regulates CD8(+) T cell differentiation by**
562 **controlling access of AP-1 factors to enhancers.** *Nat Immunol* 2016, **17**:851-860.
- 563 83. Spierings DC, Lemmens EE, Grewal K, Schoenberger SP, Green DR: **Duration of CTL**
564 **activation regulates IL-2 production required for autonomous clonal expansion.**
565 *Eur J Immunol* 2006, **36**:1707-1717.
- 566 84. Turner ML, Hawkins ED, Hodgkin PD: **Quantitative regulation of B cell division destiny**
567 **by signal strength.** *J Immunol* 2008, **181**:374-382.
- 568 85. Prlic M, Bevan MJ: **Exploring regulatory mechanisms of CD8+ T cell contraction.** *Proc*
569 *Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2008, **105**:16689-16694.
- 570
571
572

573 **Figure legends**

574

575 **Figure 1.** An autonomous self-limiting response underlies T and B cell activation. All
576 lymphocytes receive and process a large number of signals from their environment, antigen
577 and accessory cells. These signals serve to set timers for the burst of division and the eventual
578 death of these cells, as well as either division-linked or timed differentiation changes. Similar
579 cells do not perceive signals in identical manner, leading to clonal family dependent variation
580 that may have a stochastic basis (1). All cell functions governing this initial internal immune
581 program can be affected by external signals, and further fate changes regulated by division or
582 time ensures sensitive and broad ranging fate control (2, 3).

583

584