



University of Dundee

Determinants of hepatitis C antiviral effectiveness awareness among people who inject drugs in the direct-acting antiviral era

Valerio, Heather; McAuley, Andrew ; Innes, Hamish A.; Palmateer, Norah E.; Goldberg, David J.; Munro, Alison; Taylor, Avril; Hutchinson, Sharon J.

Published in:
International Journal of Drug Policy

DOI:
[10.1016/j.drugpo.2017.12.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2017.12.014)

Publication date:
2018

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Valerio, H., McAuley, A., Innes, H. A., Palmateer, N. E., Goldberg, D. J., Munro, A., ... Hutchinson, S. J. (2018). Determinants of hepatitis C antiviral effectiveness awareness among people who inject drugs in the direct-acting antiviral era. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 52, 115-122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2017.12.014>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 **Determinants of hepatitis C antiviral effectiveness**
2 **awareness among people who inject drugs in the**
3 **direct-acting antiviral era**

4 Heather Valerio^{a,b}, Andrew McAuley^{b,a}, Hamish Innes^{a,b}, Norah Palmateer^{a,b}, David J Goldberg^{b,a},
5 Alison Munro^c, Avril Taylor^d, Sharon J Hutchinson^{a,b}

6 *^a School of Health and Life Sciences, Glasgow Caledonian University, Glasgow, UK;*

7 *^b Blood-borne Viruses and Sexually Transmitted Infections Section, Health Protection Scotland,*
8 *Glasgow, UK;*

9 *^c Scottish Improvement Science Collaborating Centre, University of Dundee, Dundee, UK;*

10 *^d School of Media, Culture and Society, University of the West of Scotland, Paisley, UK*

11
12 CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

13 Heather Valerio, MPH, Glasgow Caledonian University School of Health and Life Sciences,
14 Cowcaddens Road, G4 0BA, United Kingdom; Email: heather.valerio@nhs.net

15 **Keywords:** Hepatitis C, People who inject drugs, Direct-acting antiviral therapy, Treatment
16 effectiveness

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48

49 **ABSTRACT**

50 **Background & Aims:** Although people who inject drugs (PWID) are at greatest risk of hepatitis
51 C (HCV), treatment uptake in this population has historically been low. Highly effective direct
52 acting antiviral (DAA) treatments for HCV have recently become available. Our aim was to
53 assess the awareness among PWID of these new therapies and their effectiveness.

54 **Methods:** A national survey of PWID attending injecting equipment provision sites in Scotland
55 during 2015-2016 included questions to gauge the awareness in this population of antiviral
56 treatment and the high cure rates associated with new therapies (defined here as >80%).

57 **Results:** Among 2,623 PWID, 92% had ever been tested for HCV. After excluding those ever
58 treated for HCV (n=226), 79% were aware of HCV treatment. Awareness was more likely among
59 those who had ever been tested and self-reported either a positive (adjusted odds ratio: 16.04,
60 95%CI 10.57–24.33) or negative (3.11, 2.30–4.22) test result, compared to those who were
61 never tested. The minority of all respondents (17%) were aware of high cure rates. This
62 awareness was more likely among those who had ever been in HCV specialist care (9.76, 5.13–
63 18.60) and those who had not been in specialist care but had been tested and self-reported
64 either a positive (3.91, 2.20–7.53) or negative (2.55, 1.35–4.81) test result, compared to those
65 who had never been tested.

66 **Conclusion:** We found poor awareness of the high cure rates associated with DAAs among
67 PWID in Scotland, despite relatively high rates of HCV testing in this population. Increased
68 effort is needed to ensure population groups with high risk of HCV infection are fully informed
69 of the highly effective antiviral medications now available to treat this chronic disease.

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87 **INTRODUCTION**

88 People who inject drugs (PWID) are at the greatest risk of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection.
89 Globally, there are an estimated 15.6 million (range: 10.2–23.7) individuals currently injecting
90 drugs of whom 52.3% (42.4–62.1%) have ever been infected with HCV [Degenhardt et al.,
91 2017]. If left untreated, HCV can lead to severe complications of the liver including end stage
92 liver disease and hepatocellular carcinoma; however, HCV is curable [Hajarizadeh, Grebely,
93 Dore, 2013]. The therapeutic landscape of HCV has shifted greatly from less effective, often
94 intolerable interferon-based therapy regimens into the highly anticipated era of direct acting
95 antivirals (DAAs). New DAAs are associated with much optimism and enthusiasm as they are
96 accompanied by high sustained viral response (SVR) rates (>90%), fewer and less severe side
97 effects, simpler regimen, and shorter course duration [Dore, Feld, 2015; Gogela et al., 2015;
98 Walker et al., 2015].

99 The World Health Organization (WHO) has published a global health sector strategy detailing
100 the actions needed to work towards the elimination of viral hepatitis as a public health threat by
101 2030 [WHO, 2016], but this goal will only be achieved if those people at high risk of, or living
102 with, infection have access to hepatitis prevention, diagnosis, and treatment services. Based on
103 modelling studies which have illustrated the potential benefit of treating active PWID by
104 reducing incidence through prevention of onward infections, EASL and WHO guidelines
105 recommend the prioritization of HCV therapy among this group [Martin et al., 2011; Martin et
106 al., 2013; EASL, 2015; WHO, 2016b]. Despite these guidance, the restriction of both active and
107 recently abstinent PWID is a persistent barrier to initiation on to HCV therapy in Europe and
108 elsewhere [Lazarus et al., 2017; Marshall et al., 2017; Ooka et al., 2017; Barua et al., 2015].
109 Access to treatment among those living with HCV could be further compromised if basic
110 information about DAA treatment fails to reach PWID and other populations at high risk of
111 infection and transmission.

112 Uptake of HCV-related prevention and care services among PWID, a traditionally difficult to
113 reach population, has historically been limited due to a range of barriers operating at the
114 patient, service provider, and system level [Paterson, Hirsch, Andres, 2013; Bruggmann,
115 Grebely, 2015; Bruggmann, 2012]. Education of both patients and providers may help to
116 address barriers preventing HCV care [Bruggmann, 2012; Marinho et al., 2016]. Research has
117 suggested that adequate knowledge regarding HCV treatment may be an integral precursor to
118 increased engagement with HCV-related care and treatment uptake [Marinho et al., 2016;
119 Treloar et al., 2011]. In spite of this, data reporting the extent to which PWID are cognisant of
120 the latest developments in HCV treatment, particularly their high cure rates, are scarce. Thus,
121 herein, we used data from a national survey of PWID to examine knowledge of hepatitis C

122 treatment—and the individual-level characteristics associated with that knowledge—in the
123 interferon-free therapeutic era. This study aims to identify if there are key gaps in knowledge of
124 DAAs among PWID in Scotland, a country like many others which has initially prioritized DAAs
125 to those with advanced liver disease, and inform the need for further interventions to address
126 these potential gaps [Scottish Government, 2015; Lazarus et al., 2017; Marshall et al., 2017].

127 **METHODS**

128 **Data sources**

129 The Needle Exchange Surveillance Initiative (NESI) is a voluntary, anonymous, cross-sectional
130 survey conducted biennially since 2008 to monitor HCV infection and related behaviours among
131 PWID who assess injecting equipment provision (IEP) sites throughout mainland Scotland.
132 Injection equipment provision in Scotland relates to both the distribution of needles and
133 syringes and other injecting equipment, as described previously [NHS, 2017; Scottish
134 Government, 2010]. Clients were approached at 118 IEP sites (relating to approximately 63%
135 of all sites across the country) from February 2015-June 2016 and invited to participate if they
136 had ever injected drugs [NHS, 2017]. Recruitment was done by trained interviewers who
137 obtained informed consent prior to data collection. All surveyed participants were encouraged
138 to submit a dried blood spot (DBS) sample to test anonymously for presence of HCV antibodies
139 and RNA. Individuals who completed the survey received a £5 shopping voucher. NESI
140 sampling and laboratory testing methods have been previously described [Allen et al., 2012].
141 Ethical approval for the NESI survey was granted by the NHS Health Research Authority
142 Research Ethics Committee (REC Ref: 08/S0709/46).

143 **Outcomes**

144 Two outcome measures – on a) awareness of HCV treatment and b) knowledge of treatment
145 effectiveness- were generated based on questions in the NESI survey conducted during 2015-
146 2016, subsequent to the introduction of the first DAA therapies in Scotland in May 2014.

147 In relation to a), participants were asked if there is a treatment for hepatitis C; responses of *Yes*
148 were compared to those reporting *No* or *Don't Know*. In relation to b), participants were asked
149 “what are the chances of HCV being cured with current treatment?” with responses categorised
150 as *Very High (81-100%)*, *High (61-80%)*, *Reasonable (41-60%)*, *Low (21-40%)*, *Very Low (0-20%)*,
151 and *Don't Know*. For our base-case analysis, we compared those responding *Very High (81-*
152 *100%)* – in line with SVR rates typically observed with DAAs – to the rest.

153 **Exposures of interest**

154 We assessed outcomes according to relevant demographic and behavioural factors: (i)
155 biological sex; (ii) age at survey (<35 years, 35+ years); (iii) NHS board of interview (Greater
156 Glasgow & Clyde [GGC], outwith GGC); (iv) time since onset of injecting (<5 years, 5+ years); (v)
157 history of recent injecting (injected >6 months previous to survey date, injected within 6
158 months previous to survey date); (vi) currently prescribed methadone; (vii) prisoner status
159 (never imprisoned, imprisoned more than one year before survey date, imprisoned within one
160 year of survey date); (viii) excessive alcohol use (<50 units per week, >50 units per week
161 sustained for 12 months)[Brown et al., 2014]; and (ix) awareness of HCV infection status and
162 uptake of HCV testing and care (never tested, ever tested and self-reported never HCV infected,
163 ever tested and self-reported ever HCV infected but never attended HCV specialist care, ever
164 tested and self-reported ever HCV infected and attended appointment at HCV care). Self-
165 reported HCV diagnosis, as opposed to serology results, was examined to assess whether
166 individuals who have been tested, diagnosed, and engaged with services have greater
167 awareness of HCV treatment.

168 **Analysis**

169 Individuals were excluded if demographic data were insufficient or missing, resulting in 2,623
170 participants available for analysis.

171 Unadjusted and adjusted logistic regression was used to identify factors associated with a) HCV
172 treatment awareness and b) the perceived effectiveness of HCV treatment as very high (defined
173 as >80%). For our first analysis a), participants who were HCV treatment experienced were
174 excluded. In relation to b), we restricted our population to those whose DBS test result
175 indicated chronic infection (i.e. those eligible for antiviral therapy) in a supplementary analysis.
176 Further, we also explored factors associated with the perceived effectiveness of HCV treatment
177 as high (defined as >60%) in a sensitivity analysis.

178 All analyses were completed using Stata v.13.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

179 **RESULTS**

180 **Participant characteristics**

181 Among the 2,623 participants, the mean age at survey date was 38.2 years (standard deviation
182 ± 7.1 years; range 18.8–71.7 years) and 71% were male. Eighty-six percent had been injecting
183 drugs for five or more years (median time injecting 14.3 years, IQR: 8.6–19.9 years) and the
184 majority had injected within the 6 months previous to the survey date (82%). Of all
185 participants, the vast majority (92%) had ever been tested for HCV, 40% reported they had ever

186 been diagnosed (44% of those ever tested), and 9% had a history of HCV treatment (relating to
187 21% of those who self-reported as having previously tested positive for HCV).

188 **Awareness of HCV treatment**

189 Of the 2,397 participants who had never received HCV treatment, 1,899 (79%) were aware that
190 HCV treatment exists. Awareness of HCV treatment was highest among those who had been
191 diagnosed with HCV and ever attended HCV specialist care (99%) and lowest for those who had
192 reported never receiving a test (44%). (Table 1)

193 **Factors associated with awareness of HCV treatment**

194 The odds of HCV treatment awareness was greatest for those who had ever been tested for HCV
195 and self-reported a positive test result/HCV infected (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 16.04, 95%
196 confidence interval [CI] 10.57–24.33) or negative test result/HCV uninfected (aOR 3.11, 95% CI
197 2.30–4.22), compared to those who had never been tested. (Table 2)

198 The odds of treatment awareness were also significantly higher for: females compared to males
199 (aOR 1.30 95%CI 1.01–1.67); those who had commenced injecting 5+ years ago compared to
200 those who had commenced within the previous 5 years (aOR 1.35, 95% CI 1.02–1.78); those
201 who were currently prescribed methadone compared to those who were not (aOR 1.68, 95%CI
202 1.33–2.13); and those who had been imprisoned – within the last year (aOR 1.89, 95%CI 1.41–
203 2.52) or more than one year ago (aOR 1.72, 95%CI 1.32–2.24) compared to those who were
204 never imprisoned. While the odds of treatment awareness was lower for those interviewed
205 within GGC NHS Board (aOR 0.78, 95% CI 0.62–0.98) compared to those interviewed elsewhere.

206 **Awareness of very high HCV treatment effectiveness**

207 The minority of survey participants (17%) perceived the effectiveness of HCV treatments as
208 very high (defined as >80% cure rate). This perception was highest among those who had been
209 diagnosed with HCV and have ever attended specialist HCV specialist care (35%) and lowest
210 among those who had never been tested for HCV (5%). (Table 3)

211 Ninety one percent of those surveyed had a sufficient DBS sample for HCV RNA testing. Of those
212 with a HCV RNA test result (n=2378), 879 (37%) were regarded as having chronic HCV infection
213 at the time of survey (Appendix 2). Awareness of the very high effectiveness of HCV therapy
214 was only marginally higher among those infected with chronic HCV (20%) compared to all
215 participants (17%). (Appendix 2.1)

216 **Factors associated with awareness of very high HCV treatment effectiveness**

217 The odds of awareness of very high HCV treatment effectiveness was greatest for those who had
218 been tested for HCV, self-reported a positive test result, and had attended a specialist service
219 (aOR 9.76, 95%CI 5.13–18.59), for those who had been tested for HCV, self-reported a positive
220 test result, but had never attended a specialist service (aOR 3.91, 95%CI 2.03–7.53), and for
221 those who had been tested for HCV and self-reported a negative test result (aOR 2.56, 95%CI
222 1.36–4.81), compared to those who had never been tested. While the odds of awareness of very
223 high HCV treatment effectiveness were significantly lower for those interviewed within GGC
224 NHS Board (aOR 0.75, 95%CI 0.60–0.94) compared to those interviewed elsewhere. (Table 4)

225 When confined to only those with chronic HCV (n=879), the odds of awareness of very high HCV
226 treatment effectiveness was similarly greater for those who had been tested for HCV, self-
227 reported a positive test result, and had ever attended a specialist service (aOR 7.01, 95% CI
228 2.10–23.10), compared to those who had never been tested. (Appendix 2.2)

229 **Sensitivity analysis**

230 Thirty percent of participants perceived the effectiveness of HCV treatment as above 60%. In
231 multivariate analysis, the odds of perceived HCV treatment effectiveness above 60% was
232 greatest for those who had been tested for HCV, self-reported a positive test result, and had
233 attended a specialist service (aOR 11.05, 95% CI 6.70–18.23), for those who had been tested for
234 HCV, self-reported a positive test result, and had never attended a specialist service (aOR 4.40,
235 95%CI 2.66–7.28), and for those who had been tested for HCV and self-reported a negative test
236 result (aOR 2.91, 95% CI 1.80–4.70), compared to those who had never been tested. (Appendix
237 1)

238 **DISCUSSION**

239 Our study shows that the majority of PWID in Scotland are aware that HCV is treatable, however
240 more than 80% do not appreciate the high effectiveness of current therapies. Similarly, when
241 we restricted this analysis to those with chronic HCV, only one in five know that HCV treatment
242 is highly effective (defined as >80%).

243 To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine awareness of HCV treatment and its
244 effectiveness among a large, national sample of active PWID in the DAA-era. Due to the high
245 cost of new therapies and large numbers people of infected with HCV (~37,000 individuals,
246 relating to 0.74% of the population), Scotland initially prioritised DAA treatment by disease
247 stage *vis-à-vis* timing of treatment initiation [Scottish Government, 2015]. Consequently, efforts
248 to raise awareness of the new HCV therapies among groups typically with mild HCV disease,
249 such as PWID, may have been limited; however, Scotland's prioritization strategy does not

250 confine the prescription of DAA therapy to those with advanced disease. As such, approximately
251 40% of those initiated onto HCV treatment in 2015/16 in Scotland had mild, F0-F1 liver fibrosis
252 [Scottish Government, 2015; data generated as part of HCV Quality Indicators, Health Protection
253 Scotland]. Further, through implementation of the Scottish Government's HCV Action Plan
254 (2008 onwards), once hailed by the Global Commission on Drug Policy as "an impressive
255 example of a national strategy", Scotland considerably improved access to HCV testing and
256 treatment services among PWID [Hutchinson et al., 2015; GCDP, 2013]. Therefore, we believe
257 this work presents a contextual forewarning of the understanding of new HCV therapies among
258 PWID which may be similar, or indeed worse, elsewhere.

259 Moreover, the population studied here had a reasonably high uptake of HCV testing (92% ever
260 and 55% in the last year, among those who were not already diagnosed) and as such it was
261 disappointing to find that the majority of PWID (66%) perceived treatment effectiveness to be
262 low ($\leq 40\%$; i.e. below that expected from interferon-based therapies) or did not know that HCV
263 therapy is effective. Thus, the results highlight that additional efforts will be needed to ensure
264 PWID and those at high risk of infection are fully informed of the new HCV therapies.

265 We observed an increase in treatment knowledge and awareness of DAA effectiveness
266 associated with increased engagement with HCV service providers. Participants who had been
267 tested for HCV and had ever attended a specialist service had the highest odds of awareness of
268 HCV treatment effectiveness compared with those who had never received a test. However,
269 PWID engagement with the HCV care cascade remains suboptimal [Bruggmann, 2015]. Forty-
270 eight percent of our population who had self-reported a positive test result had ever attended
271 an HCV specialist; therefore, more than half of those who had received a positive diagnosis for
272 HCV had never engaged at the optimal level of care. Thus, there is a clear need for service
273 providers outwith the specialist setting to equip PWID with information on HCV treatment and
274 its effectiveness.

275 Education on therapies need not be limited to healthcare settings. In a recent survey among a
276 group of former PWID attending Narcotics Anonymous (NA) in England, 30% were able to name
277 new DAAs [Gilman, Littlewood, 2017]. This study also highlighted the negative perspectives of
278 interferon that still exist and are shared amongst at-risk networks, indicating an immediate
279 need to educate and shift the perspective of treatment. Negative views of interferon and its
280 related side effects are persisting through the DAA era and have been shown to affect PWIDs'
281 willingness to seek treatment [Mah et al., 2017; Whiteley et al., 2016]. Peer support and
282 educational groups, such as NA, have been effective in linking PWID and former PWID with HCV

283 treatment and care [Gilman, Littlewood, 2017; Whiteley et al., 2016; Grebely et al., 2009] and
284 could prove crucial in promoting the new HCV therapies.

285 Although our findings indicate that knowledge increases with service engagement, there
286 remains a population who are most engaged (i.e. have received antiviral therapy) but remain
287 uninformed. This has also been highlighted in a Scottish qualitative study which reports the
288 lived experience of eight patients who were prescribed interferon-free therapies, and suggests
289 that HCV treatment continues to be associated with the negative legacy left behind by
290 interferon-based therapies. This qualitative assessment highlighted the need for improved and
291 more educational rhetoric between patient and provider in relation to the evolved treatment
292 regimens for HCV [Whiteley et al., 2016].

293 Hepatitis C-related educational sessions delivered in a harm reduction setting by both
294 healthcare staff and peers has been shown to enhance HCV knowledge among PWID; however,
295 these are most effective when coupled with action to address the social determinants of health
296 inequity common in PWID populations [Galea et al., 2002; Norton et al., 2014; Mukherjee et al.,
297 2017]. When successful, such educational interventions have been shown to positively
298 influence attitudes toward engagement with HCV services and attitudes toward treatment
299 [Treloar et al., 2011; Surjadi et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2013; Zeremski et al., 2014; Norton et al.,
300 2014; Lafferty et al., 2016; Mukherjee et al., 2017]. Greater knowledge of HCV has been
301 associated with a change in risk behaviour and engagement with the HCV care [Kwaikowski,
302 Corsi, Booth, 2002]. Treatment willingness among those who are HCV infected has increased as
303 diagnostic tools and treatments have become better tolerated [Alavi et al., 2015; Higgs, Hsieh,
304 Hellard, 2015]. Accordingly, high HCV knowledge scores are associated with treatment
305 willingness [Mah et al., 2017, Alavi et al., 2015; Shah et al., 2013; Gupta et al., 2007]. Thus,
306 increasing the awareness of more tolerable and effective treatments may not only promote
307 treatment willingness, but could also spur greater health service engagement and opportunity
308 for health behaviour interventions which contribute to preventing transmission and/or disease
309 progression among PWID.

310 Although measures have been taken to control for confounding, this study has limitations in
311 respect to population and sampling bias. Our study is expected to over represent the true
312 awareness of treatment effectiveness in the PWID population, as recruitment was done in a
313 harm reduction setting, which also functions as a point of HCV care. Additionally, surveys such
314 as NESI rely on participation willingness and self-report. Although self-report is considered a
315 reliable source of data collection among people who use drugs [Darke, 1998], it is still
316 reasonable to expect that some, albeit a minority of, participants provide what they perceive as

317 socially desirable answers to risk-related behavioural questions. Additionally, the 2015/16
318 NESI survey commenced in February 2015, eight months after the Scottish Medicines
319 Consortium published approval of sofosbuvir, which may not have allowed sufficient time for
320 therapeutic information to reach all PWID surveyed here [Scottish Medicines Consortium,
321 2014]. The 2017/18 NESI survey will contribute follow up data to determine if there has been a
322 shift in HCV treatment-related knowledge as more time has elapsed since DAA approval in 2014
323 and interferon is phased out completely.

324 In spite of the great shift in the therapeutic landscape of HCV, what many consider a
325 tremendous clinical advancement in medical history, our research suggests that the optimism
326 regarding treatment may not have reached those infected or at risk of infection. Our study
327 suggests an overall suboptimal awareness of DAA effectiveness among PWID exists in Scotland
328 and highlights groups at all stages in the HCV continuum of care who should be targeted for
329 educational interventions if the ambitions WHO HCV elimination goals are to be realised.

330 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

331 The authors would like to acknowledge the contributions of the following individuals and
332 organisations for their roles in the NESI study: Aisha Ashraf, Morven Campbell, Heather Gourlay,
333 Jane Gow, Tony Knox, Peter McCulloch, Charlotte McEleney, Emmett McSheffrey, Sarah
334 Murdoch, Danielle Sharkey, Christine Stevenson, Marsha Wilson, Michele Winsborough (NESI
335 interviewers), as well as Samantha Shepherd, Rory Gunson, Anthony Bimpson, Jennifer
336 Campbell, Scott Howie, James McGuigan, and Pauline Sykes (West of Scotland Virology Centre).
337 Finally, we would like to thank all the respondents who volunteered their time and NSP/OST
338 sites and pharmacies that participated in the NESI study.

339 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

340 AT, SJH, DJG, and AM conceived and designed the NESI survey. AM and AT implemented the
341 survey. HV, NP, AMc, and SJH contributed to study conception and data analysis. HV, NP, AMc,
342 HI, DJG, and SJH provided interpretation of findings. HV wrote the first draft of the manuscript,
343 all remaining co-authors contributed to critical review and development of final manuscript.

344 **FINANCIAL SUPPORT STATEMENT**

345 This work was supported by funding from Health Protection Scotland. The funding source did
346 not have any role in study design, data collection, analysis, or interpretation, in the writing of
347 the report, or in the decision to submit this work for publication.

348 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT**

349 HI reports receipt of a speakers fee from Gilead Sciences in the past two years; DJG has received
350 personal fees from Gilead Sciences, Bristol-Myers Squibb and Abbvie, all unrelated to this study.
351 All remaining authors have nothing to disclose.

352 REFERENCES

- 353 Alavi M., Micallef M., Fortier E., Dunlop A.J., Balcomb A.C., Day A.C., Treloar C., Bath N., Haber P.S.,
354 Dore G.J., Grebely J., ETHOS Study Group. (2015). Effect of treatment willingness on specialist
355 assessment and treatment uptake for hepatitis C virus infection among people who use drugs:
356 the ETHOS study. *Journal of viral hepatitis*, 22(11), 914-925.
- 357 Allen E.J., Palmateer N.E., Hutchinson S.J., Cameron S., Goldberg D.J., Taylor A. (2012).
358 Association between harm reduction intervention uptake and recent hepatitis C infection
359 among people who inject drugs attending sites that provide sterile injecting equipment in
360 Scotland. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 23(5), 346-352.
- 361 Barua S., Greenwald R., Grebely J., Dore G.J., Swan T., Taylor L.E. (2015). Restrictions for
362 Medicaid reimbursement of sofosbuvir for the treatment of hepatitis C virus infection in the
363 United States. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 163(3):215-223.
- 364 Brown L., Christie S., Gill V., Gray L., Hinchliffe S., Ilic N., Lepps H., Leyland A.H. (2015). The
365 Scottish health survey 2014: Volume 1: Main Report. Available:
366 <http://www.gov.scot/Publications/2015/09/6648/0> Assessed: 29 November 2017.
- 367 Bruggmann P. (2012). Accessing hepatitis C patients who are difficult to reach: it is time to
368 overcome barriers. *Journal of Viral Hepatitis*, 19(12), 829-835.
- 369 Bruggmann P., Grebely J. (2015). Prevention, treatment and care of hepatitis C virus infection
370 among people who inject drugs. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 26 (Suppl.1), S22-S26.
- 371 Chen E.Y., North C.S., Fatunde O., Bernstein I., Salari S., Day B., Jain M.K. (2013). Knowledge and
372 attitudes about hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection and its treatment in HCV mono-infected and
373 HCV/HIV co-infected adults. *Journal of Viral Hepatitis*, 20(10), 708-714.
- 374 Darke S. (1998). Self-report among injecting drug users: a review. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*,
375 51(3), 253-263.
- 376 Degenhardt L., Peacock A., Colledge S., Leung J., Grebely J., Vickerman P., Stone J., Cunningham
377 E.B., Trickey A., Dumchev K., Lynskey M., Griffiths P., Mattick R.P., Hickman M. Larney S. (2017).
378 Global prevalence of injecting drug use and sociodemographic characteristics and prevalence of
379 HIV, HBV, and HCV in people who inject drugs: a multistage review. *Lancet Global Health*,
380 5:e1192-1207.
- 381 Dore G.J., Feld J.J. (2015). Hepatitis C virus therapeutic development: In pursuit of "perfectovir".
382 *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 60 (12), 1829-1836.

383 European Association for the Study of the Liver. (2015). EASL recommendations on treatment
384 of hepatitis C 2015. *Journal of Hepatology*, 63, 199-236.

385 Galea S., Vlahov D. (2002). Social determinants and the health of drug users: socioeconomic
386 status, homelessness, and incarceration. *Public Health Reports*, 117(Suppl 1): S135-S145.

387 Gilman M., Littlewood R. (2017). A pilot survey of hepatitis C knowledge and awareness of novel
388 treatment options engaged with Narcotics Anonymous: How can group therapy help? *Journal of*
389 *Groups in Addiction & Recovery*, 12(1), 37-44.

390 Global Commission of Drug Policy (GCDP) (2013). *The negative impact of the war on drugs on*
391 *public health: The hidden hepatitis C epidemic*. Geneva: GCDP. Available:
392 http://www.globalcommissionondrugs.org/hepatitis/gcdp_hepatitis_english.pdf . Accessed 06
393 December 2017.

394 Gogela N.A., Lin M.V., Wisocky J.L. (2015). Chung R.T. Enhancing our understanding of current
395 therapies for hepatitis C virus (HCV) *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep*, 12 (1), 68-78.

396 Grebely J., Knight E., Genoway K.A., Viljoen M., Khara M., Elliott D., Gallagher L., Storms M., Raffa
397 J.D., DeVlaming S., Duncan F., Conway B. (2009). Optimizing assessment and treatment for
398 hepatitis C virus infection in illicit drug users: a novel model for incorporating multidisciplinary
399 care and peer support. *European Journal of Gastroenterology & Hepatology*, 22(3), 270-277.

400 Gupta K., Romney D., Briggs M., Benker K. (2007). Effects of a brief educational program on
401 knowledge and willingness to accept treatment among patients with hepatitis C in inner-city
402 hospitals. *Journal of Community Health*, 32(4), 221-230.

403 Hajarizadeh B., Grebely J., & Dore G.J. (2013). Epidemiology and natural history of HCV infection.
404 *Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology*, 10(9), 553-562.

405 Higgs, P. Hsieh K., Hellard M. (2015). "You're better off waiting" Knowledge and awareness of
406 hepatitis C direct-acting antivirals in a cohort of people who inject drugs. *Journal of Hepatology*,
407 62, S834.

408 Hutchinson S.J., Dillon J.F., Fox R., McDonald S.A., Innes H., Weir A., McLeod A., Aspinall E.J.,
409 Palmateer N.E., Taylor A., Munro A., Valerio H., Brown G., Goldberg D.J. (2015). Expansion of
410 HCV treatment access to people who have injected drugs through effective translation of
411 research into public health policy: Scotland's experience. *International Journal of Drug Policy*,
412 26(11), 1041-1049.

413 Kwaikowski C.F., Corsi K.F., Booth R.E. (2002). The association between knowledge of hepatitis
414 C virus status and risk behaviours in injection drug users. *Addiction*, 97(10), 1289-1294.

415 Lafferty L., Treloar C., Guthrie J., Chambers G.M., Butler T. (2016). Social capital strategies to
416 enhance hepatitis C treatment awareness and uptake among men in prison. *Journal of Viral*
417 *Hepatitis*, 24(2), 111-116.

418 Lazarus J.V., Safreed-Harmon K., Stumo S.R., Jauffret-Roustide J., Maticic M., Reic T., Schatz E.,
419 Tallada J., Harris M. On behalf of the Hep-CORE Study Group. (2017). Restrictions on access to
420 direct-acting antivirals for people who inject drugs: The European Hep-CORE study and the role
421 of patient groups in monitoring national HCV responses. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 47,
422 47-50.

423 Mah A., Hull M.W., DeBeck K., Milloy M.J., Dobrer S., Nosova E., Wood E., Kerr T., Hayshi K.
424 (2017). Knowledge of hepatitis C and treatment willingness amongst people who inject drugs in
425 an era of direct acting antivirals. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 47, 137-143.

426 Marshall A.D., Cunningham E.B., Nielsen S., Aghemo A., Alho H., Backmund M., ... Grebely J.
427 (2017). Restrictions for reimbursement of interferon-free direct-acting antiviral drugs for HCV
428 in Europe. *The Lancet Gastroenterology & Hepatology* S2468-1253(17)30284-4.

429 Marinho R.T, Costa A., Pires T., Raposo H., Vasconcelos C., Polonia C., Borges J., Soares M., Vilar
430 G., Nogueira A.M., and on behalf of the LIGUE-C Investigators. (2016). A multidimensional
431 education program at substance dependence treatment centers improves patient knowledge
432 and hepatitis C care. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 16(1), 565-576.

433 Martin, N.K., Vickerman, P., Foster, G. R., Hutchinson, S.J., Goldberg, D.J., Hickman, M. (2011). Can
434 antiviral therapy for hepatitis C reduce the prevalence of HCV among injecting drug user
435 populations? A modelling analysis of its prevention utility. *Journal of Hepatology*, 54(6), 1137-
436 1144.

437 Martin N.K., Vikerman P., Grebely J., Hellard M., Hutchinson S.J., Lima V.D., Foster G.R., Dillon D.J.,
438 Dore G.J., Hickman M. (2013). Hepatitis C virus treatment for prevention among people who
439 inject drugs: Modelling treatment scale-up in the age of direct-acting antivirals. *Hepatology*, 58
440 (5), 1598-609.

441 Mukherjee T.I., Pillai V., Hafizah Ali S., Altice F.L., Kamarulzaman A., Wickersham J.A. (2017).
442 Evaluation of a hepatitis C education intervention with clients enrolled in methadone
443 maintenance and needle/syringe programs in Malaysia. *International Journal of Drug Policy*,
444 47:144-152.

445 NHS National Services Scotland Information Services Division. Injecting Equipment Provision in
446 Scotland 2015/16. 13 June 2017. Available from: [https://www.isdscotland.org/Health-
447 Topics/Drugs-and-Alcohol-Misuse/Publications/2017-06-13/2017-06-13-IEP-Report.pdf](https://www.isdscotland.org/Health-Topics/Drugs-and-Alcohol-Misuse/Publications/2017-06-13/2017-06-13-IEP-Report.pdf)
448 (Accessed 26 September 2017).

449 Norton B.L., Volis C.I., Timberlake S.H., Hecker E.J., Goswami N.D., Huffman K.M., Landgraf A.,
450 Naggie S., Stout J.E. (2014). Community-based HCV screening: knowledge and attitudes in a high
451 risk urban population. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 14:74.

452 Ooka K., Connolly J.J., Kim J.K. (2017). Medicaid reimbursement for oral direct acting antiviral
453 agents for the treatment of chronic hepatitis C. *American Journal of Gastroenterology*. 112:828-
454 832.

455 Paterson B., Hirsch G., Andres K. (2013). Structural factors that promote stigmatization of drug
456 users with hepatitis C in hospital emergency departments. *International Journal of Drug Policy*,
457 24 (5), 471-478.

458 Scottish Government (2010). *Guidelines for services providing injecting equipment*.
459 <http://www.gov.scot/Publications/2010/03/29165055/0> (Assessed 29 November 2017).

460 The Scottish Government HCV Treatment & Therapies Group Report, 2015.
461 [http://www.hepatitisscotland.org.uk/files/2814/4431/5598/treatment_and_therapies_group.
462 pdf](http://www.hepatitisscotland.org.uk/files/2814/4431/5598/treatment_and_therapies_group.pdf) (Accessed 26 September 2017).

463 Scottish Medicines Consortium. Providing advice about the status of all newly licensed
464 medicines: sofosbuvir 400mg tablet, SMC No (964/14), 2014. Published 09 May. Accessed 26
465 September 2017.

466 Shah H.A. & Abu-Amara M. (2013). Education provides significant benefits to patients with
467 hepatitis B virus or hepatitis C virus infection: a systematic review. *Clinical Gastroenterology and
468 Hepatology*, 11(8), 922-933.

469 Surjadi M, Torruellas C., Ayala C., Yee, H.F. Jr., Khalili M. (2011). Formal patient education
470 improves patient knowledge of hepatitis C in vulnerable populations. *Digestive Diseases and*
471 *Sciences*, 56(1), 213-219.

472 Treloar C., Hull P., Bryant J., Hopwood M., Grebely J., Lavis Y. (2011). Factors associated with
473 hepatitis C knowledge among a sample of treatment naive people who inject drugs. *Drug and*
474 *Alcohol Dependence*, 116(1-3), 52-56.

475 Walker D.R., Pendrosa M.C., Manthena S.R., Patel N., Marx S.E. (2015). Early view of the
476 effectiveness of new direct-acting antiviral (DAA) regimens in patients with hepatitis C virus
477 (HCV). *Adv Ther*, 32 (11), 1117-27.

478 Whiteley D., Whittaker A., Elliott L., Cunningham-Burley S. (2016). The lived experience of
479 interferon-free treatments for hepatitis C: A thematic analysis. *International Journal of Drug*
480 *Policy*, 38, 21-28.

481 World Health Organization. (2016). Global health sector strategy on viral hepatitis 2016-2021.
482 Towards ending viral hepatitis. Available from: [www.who.int/hepatitis/strategy2016-](http://www.who.int/hepatitis/strategy2016-2021/ghss-hep/en)
483 [2021/ghss-hep/en](http://www.who.int/hepatitis/strategy2016-2021/ghss-hep/en), Accessed 06 March 2017.

484 World Health Organization. (2016). Guidelines for the screening, care and treatment of persons
485 with chronic hepatitis C infection. Available from:
486 http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/205035/1/9789241549615_eng.pdf?ua=1,
487 Accessed 06 December 2017.

488 Zeremski M., Dimova R.B., Zavala R., Kritz S., Lin M., Smith B.D., Zibbell J.E., Talal A.H. (2014).
489 Hepatitis C virus-related knowledge and willingness to receive treatment among patients on
490 methadone maintenance. *J Addict Med*, 8(4), 249-257.

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506 TABLES

507 **Table 1.** Characteristics of 2,397 PWID surveyed during 2015/16 who had never received HCV
 508 antiviral treatment

Covariate	N [†] (col %)	Aware of HCV treatment (% of N) 509
All survey participants	2397 (100)	1899 (79)
Sex		
Male	1676 (70)	1312 (78)
Female	721 (30)	587 (81)
Age at survey		
<35	857 (36)	642 (75)
35+	1540 (64)	1257 (82)
Health board of interview		
Outwith-GGC	1549 (65)	1238 (80)
GGC	848 (35)	661 (78)
Time since onset of injecting (years)		
<5	356 (15)	229 (64)
5+	2041 (85)	1670 (82)
Injected in last 6 months		
No	433 (18)	357 (82)
Yes	1964 (82)	1542 (79)
Ever received methadone		
No	598 (25)	406 (68)
Yes	1799 (75)	1493 (83)
Excessive alcohol consumption		
No	2124 (89)	1682 (79)
Yes*	273 (11)	217 (79)
Prison history		
Never imprisoned	942 (39)	663 (70)
Imprisoned > 1 year ago	832 (35)	709 (85)
Imprisoned within last year	623 (26)	527 (85)
HCV test uptake, self-reported infection status, and attendance at HCV specialist care		
Never tested	233 (9)	98 (44)
Tested, not HCV infected	1338 (56)	1009 (75)
Tested, HCV infected, never attended clinic	545 (28)	503 (92)
Tested, HCV infected, ever attended clinic	291 (12)	289 (99)
Where last HCV tested (confined to those who have been HCV tested)		
GP	454 (21)	382 (84)
Drug Service	836 (38)	680 (81)
Hospital	410 (19)	333 (81)
Prison	408 (19)	348 (85)
Other	66 (3)	58 (88)

510 Abbreviations; HCV, hepatitis C virus; GGC, Greater Glasgow & Clyde; GP, general practitioner office

511 [†] Excluding patients who ever received treatment for HCV

512 *defined as consuming >50 units per week, sustained for 12 months

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523 **Table 2.** Odds ratios for the awareness of HCV treatment among 2,397 PWID surveyed during
 524 2015/16 survey participants who never received HCV antiviral treatment
 525

Covariate	Aware of HCV treatment			
	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	p-value	Adjusted OR (95%CI)	p-value
Sex				
Male	1.00		1.00	
Female	1.22 (0.97 - 1.52)	0.083	1.30 (1.01 - 1.67)	0.044
Age at Survey*				
<35	1.00			
35+	1.48 (1.21 - 1.82)	<0.001		
Health board of interview				
Outwith-GGC	1.00		1.00	
GGC	0.89 (0.72 - 1.09)	0.255	0.78 (0.62 - 0.98)	0.034
Time since onset of injecting (years)				
<5	1.00		1.00	
5+	2.50 (1.96 - 3.19)	<0.001	1.35 (1.02 - 1.78)	0.031
Injected in last 6 months				
No	1.00		1.00	
Yes	0.78 (0.59 - 1.01)	0.068	0.84 (0.63 - 1.13)	0.255
Ever received methadone				
No	1.00		1.00	
Yes	2.30 (1.87 - 2.85)	<0.001	1.68 (1.33 - 2.13)	<0.001
Excessive alcohol consumption				
No	1.00		1.00	
Yes*	1.01 (0.75 - 1.39)	0.909	0.90 (0.64 - 1.28)	0.564
Prison history				
Never imprisoned	1.00		1.00	
Imprisoned > 1 year ago	2.42 (1.91 - 3.07)	<0.001	1.72 (1.32 - 2.24)	<0.001
Imprisoned within last year	2.31 (1.78 - 2.99)	<0.001	1.89 (1.41 - 2.52)	<0.001
HCV test uptake and self-reported infection status				
Never tested	1.00		1.00	
Tested, not HCV infected	3.91 (2.92 - 5.24)	<0.001	3.11 (2.30 - 4.22)	<0.001
Tested, HCV infected	22.95 (15.35 - 34.34)	<0.001	16.04 (10.57 - 24.33)	<0.001

526 Abbreviations; HCV, hepatitis C virus; GGC, Greater Glasgow & Clyde; OR, odds ratio; aOR, adjusted odds-ratio; CI,
 527 confidence interval

528 Age at interview excluded from multivariate model due to collinearity with time since onset of injecting

529 Nearly 100% of the population attending HCV specialist services were aware of treatment, as such this exposure is
 530 not included in regression models.

531 *defined as consuming >50 units per week, sustained for 12 months

532

533

534

535

536 **Table 3.** Characteristics and perceived effectiveness of current HCV treatment among 2,623
 537 PWID surveyed during 2015/16

Covariate	N (col %)	Perceived effectiveness of current HCV treatment (% of N)			
		Very High (81-100%)	High (61-80%)	Reasonable (41 - 60%)	Low/DK (<41%)
All survey participants	2623	456 (17)	323 (12)	115 (4)	1729 (66)
Sex					
Male	1862 (71)	332 (18)	238 (13)	76 (4)	1216 (65)
Female	761 (29)	124 (16)	85 (11)	39 (5)	513 (67)
Age at survey					
<35	917 (35)	141 (15)	91 (10)	31 (3)	654 (71)
35+	1706 (65)	315 (18)	232 (14)	84 (5)	1075 (63)
Health board of interview					
Outwith-GGC	1707 (65)	315 (18)	193 (11)	56 (3)	1143 (67)
GGC	916 (35)	141 (15)	130 (14)	59 (6)	586 (64)
Time since onset of injecting (years)					
<5	367 (14)	43 (12)	29 (8)	6 (2)	289 (79)
5+	2256 (86)	413 (18)	294 (13)	109 (5)	1440 (64)
Injected in last 6 months					
No	476 (18)	86 (18)	69 (14)	21 (4)	300 (63)
Yes	2147 (82)	370 (17)	254 (12)	94 (4)	1429 (67)
Ever received methadone					
No	644 (25)	98 (15)	72 (11)	21 (3)	453 (70)
Yes*	1979 (75)	358 (18)	251 (13)	94 (5)	1276 (64)
Excessive alcohol consumption					
No	2333 (89)	400 (17)	289 (12)	102 (4)	1542 (66)
Yes	290 (11)	56 (19)	34 (12)	13 (4)	187 (64)
Prison History					
Never imprisoned	1013 (39)	154 (15)	108 (11)	38 (4)	713 (70)
Imprisoned > 1 year ago	939 (36)	169 (18)	120 (13)	45 (5)	605 (64)
Imprisoned within last year	671 (25)	133 (20)	95 (14)	32 (5)	411 (61)
Test uptake, self-reported infection status, and attendance at HCV specialist care					
Never tested	223 (8)	11 (5)	9 (4)	3 (1)	200 (90)
Tested, not HCV infected	1340 (51)	167 (12)	142 (11)	54 (4)	977 (73)
Tested, HCV infected, never attended clinic	550 (21)	100 (18)	77 (14)	29 (5)	344 (63)
Tested, HCV infected, ever attended clinic	510 (19)	178 (35)	95 (19)	26 (6)	208 (41)

*defined as consuming >50 units per week, sustained for 12 months

538
 539
 540
 541
 542
 543
 544
 545
 546
 547
 548
 549
 550
 551
 552
 553
 554
 555

556 **Table 4.** Odds ratios for the perceived effectiveness of HCV treatment as very high (defined as
 557 >80%) among 2,623 PWID surveyed during 2015/16
 558

Covariate	Perceived effectiveness of current HCV treatment as very high (81-100%)			
	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	p-value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	p-value
Sex				
Male	1.00		1.00	
Female	0.89 (0.72 - 1.12)	0.346	0.94 (0.74 - 1.20)	0.621
Age at Survey*				
<35	1.00			
35+	1.24 (1.00 - 1.55)	0.047		
Health board of interview				
Outwith-GGC	1.00		1.00	
GGC	0.80 (0.65 - 0.99)	0.049	0.75 (0.60 - 0.94)	0.014
Time since onset of injecting (years)				
<5	1.00		1.00	
5+	1.68 (1.21 - 2.26)	0.002	1.19 (0.83 - 1.70)	0.342
Injected in last 6 months				
No	1.00		1.00	
Yes	0.94 (0.3 - 1.22)	0.664	0.90 (0.69 - 1.19)	0.470
Ever received methadone				
No	1.00		1.00	
Yes	1.23 (0.96 - 1.57)	0.095	1.04 (0.81 - 1.35)	0.723
Excessive alcohol consumption				
No	1.00		1.00	
Yes*	1.16 (0.85 - 1.58)	0.359	1.14 (0.82 - 1.58)	0.420
Prison History				
Never imprisoned	1.00		1.00	
Imprisoned > 1 year ago	1.22 (0.96 - 1.55)	0.097	0.95 (0.73 - 1.23)	0.682
Imprisoned within last year	1.38 (1.06 - 1.78)	0.014	1.19 (0.87 - 1.57)	0.232
Test uptake, self-reported infection status, and attendance at HCV specialist care				
Never tested	1.00		1.00	
Tested, not HCV infected	2.74 (1.47 - 5.13)	0.002	2.56 (1.36 - 4.81)	0.004
Tested, HCV infected, never attended clinic	4.28 (2.25 - 8.15)	<0.001	3.91 (2.03 - 7.53)	<0.001
Tested, HCV infected, ever attended clinic	10.33 (5.48 - 19.46)	<0.001	9.76 (5.13-18.59)	<0.001

559 Abbreviations; HCV, hepatitis C virus; GGC, Greater Glasgow & Clyde; OR, odds ratio; aOR, adjusted odds-ratio; CI,
 560 confidence interval

561 Age at interview excluded from multivariate model due to collinearity with time since onset of injecting

562 *defined as consuming >50 units per week, sustained for 12 months
 563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571 **SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

572

573 **APPENDIX 1.** Odds ratios for the perceived effectiveness of HCV treatment as high (defined as >60%)
 574 among 2,623 PWID surveyed during 2015/16

575

Covariate	Perceived effectiveness of current HCV treatment as high (61-100%)			
	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	p-value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	p-value
Sex				
Male	1.00		1.00	
Female	0.85 (0.71 – 1.03)	0.109	0.89 (0.73 – 1.10)	0.280
Age at Survey*				
<35	1.00			
35+	1.39 (1.16 – 1.67)	<0.001		
Health board of interview				
Outwith-GGC	1.00		1.00	
GGC	0.99 (0.93 – 1.18)	0.926	0.95 (0.79 – 1.15)	0.610
Time since onset of injecting (years)				
<5	1.00		1.00	
5+	1.87 (1.42 – 2.45)	<0.001	1.33 (0.99 – 1.78)	0.055
Injected in last 6 months				
No	1.00		1.00	
Yes	0.85 (0.69 – 1.05)	0.131	0.81 (0.65 – 1.10)	0.067
Ever received methadone				
No	1.00		1.00	
Yes	1.24 (1.01 – 1.51)	0.035	1.02 (0.82 – 1.25)	0.882
Excessive alcohol consumption				
No	1.00		1.00	
Yes*	1.07 (0.82 – 1.40)	0.598	1.02 (0.77 – 1.35)	0.865
Prison History				
Never imprisoned	1.00		1.00	
Imprisoned > 1 year ago	1.27 (1.04 – 1.55)	0.016	0.93 (0.74 – 1.15)	0.495
Imprisoned within last year	1.47 (1.19 – 1.82)	<0.001	1.24 (0.98 – 1.58)	0.069
Test uptake, self-reported infection status, and attendance at HCV specialist care				
Never tested	1.00		1.00	
Tested, not HCV infected	3.01 (1.88 – 4.89)	<0.001	2.91 (1.80 – 4.70)	<0.001
Tested, HCV infected, never attended clinic	4.81 (2.94 – 7.89)	<0.001	4.40 (2.66 – 7.28)	<0.001
Tested, HCV infected, ever attended clinic	11.69 (7.15 – 19.10)	<0.001	11.05 (6.70 – 18.23)	<0.001

576 Age at interview excluded from multivariate model due to collinearity with time since onset of injecting

577 *defined as consuming >50 units per week, sustained for 12 months

578 Abbreviations; HCV, hepatitis C virus; GGC, Greater Glasgow & Clyde; OR, odds ratio; aOR, adjusted odds-
 579 ratio; CI,

580

581

582

583

584

585

586 **APPENDIX 2** Serology results from HCV DBS and corresponding self-reported HCV status for 2,623 PWID
 587 surveyed during 2015/16

DBS HCV result	Self-reported HCV status		Total (%n*)
	Never Diagnosed	Ever Diagnosed	
Ab+ PCR+	318	561	879 (37)
Ab+ PCR-	156	263	419 (18)
Ab+ PCR NK	63	108	171 (NA)
Ab-	981	99	1080 (45)
NK	45	29	74 (NA)
Total	1563	1060	2623

588 *proportion confined to those with known result (n=2378)

589 Abbreviations; DBS, dried blood spot; HCV, hepatitis C virus; Ab, antibody; PCR, polymerase chain
 590 reaction

591 **APPENDIX 2.1** Characteristics and perceived effectiveness of current HCV treatment among 879 PWID
 592 with chronic HCV infection surveyed during 2015/16

Covariate	N (col%)	Perceived effectiveness of current HCV treatment (% of N)			
		Very High (81-100%)	High (61-80%)	Reasonable (41 - 60%)	Low/DK (<41%)
HCV PCR +	879 (100)	183 (20)	127 (14)	39 (4)	530 (60)
Sex					
Male	650 (74)	139 (21)	98 (15)	27 (4)	386 (59)
Female	229 (26)	44 (19)	29 (13)	12 (5)	144 (63)
Age at survey					
<35	242 (28)	53 (22)	26 (11)	6 (2)	157 (65)
35+	637 (72)	130 (20)	101 (16)	33 (5)	373 (59)
Health board of interview					
Outwith GGC	496 (56)	111 (22)	64 (13)	12 (2)	309 (62)
GGC	383 (44)	72 (19)	63 (16)	27 (7)	221 (58)
Time since onset of injecting (years)					
<5	86 (10)	15 (17)	7 (8)	2 (2)	62 (72)
5+	793 (90)	168 (21)	120 (15)	37 (5)	468 (59)
Injected in last 6 months					
No	144 (16)	35 (24)	19 (13)	6 (4)	84 (58)
Yes	735 (84)	148 (20)	108 (15)	33 (4)	446 (61)
Ever received methadone					
No	206 (23)	43 (21)	31 (15)	5 (2)	127 (62)
Yes	673 (77)	140 (21)	96 (14)	34 (5)	403 (60)
Excessive alcohol consumption					
No	757 (86)	156 (21)	109 (14)	32 (4)	460 (61)
Yes*	122 (14)	27 (22)	18 (15)	7 (6)	70 (57)
Prison history					
Never imprisoned	253 (29)	64 (25)	29 (11)	10 (4)	150 (59)
Imprisoned >1 year ago	362 (41)	58 (16)	56 (15)	18 (5)	230 (64)
Imprisoned within last year	264 (30)	61 (23)	42 (16)	11 (4)	150 (57)
Test uptake, self-reported infection status, and attendance at HCV specialist care					
Never tested	47 (5)	3 (6)	4 (8)	1 (2)	39 (83)
Tested, not HCV infected	271 (31)	42 (15)	30 (11)	11 (5)	188 (69)
Tested, HCV infected, never attended clinic	262 (30)	46 (18)	34 (13)	8 (3)	174 (66)
Tested, HCV infected, ever attended clinic	299 (34)	92 (31)	59 (18)	19 (6)	129 (73)

593 *defined as consuming >50 units per week, sustained for 12 months

594 Abbreviations; HCV, hepatitis C virus; GGC, Greater Glasgow & Clyde

595 **Appendix 2.2** Odds ratios for the perceived effectiveness of current HCV treatment as very high (defined
 596 as >80%) among 879 PWID with chronic HCV infection surveyed during 2015/16

Covariate	Perceived effectiveness of current HCV treatment as very high (81-100%)			
	Unadjusted OR (95% CI)	p-value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	p-value
Sex				
Male	1.00		1.00	
Female	0.87 (0.60 - 1.28)	0.487	0.78 (0.20 - 1.17)	0.234
Age at Survey*				
<35	1.00			
35+	0.91 (0.64 - 1.31)	0.626		
Health board of interview				
Outwith-GGC	1.00		1.00	
GGC	0.80 (0.58 - 1.12)	0.195	0.79 (0.56 - 1.12)	0.181
Time since onset of injecting (years)				
<5	1.00		1.00	
5+	1.27 (0.71 - 2.28)	0.418	1.19 (0.64 - 2.22)	0.576
Injected in last 6 months				
No	1.00		1.00	
Yes	0.78 (0.51 - 1.20)	0.261	0.72 (0.46 - 1.12)	0.144
Ever received methadone				
No	1.00		1.00	
Yes	0.99 (0.69 - 1.46)	0.982	0.92 (0.61 - 1.38)	0.695
Excessive alcohol consumption				
No	1.00		1.00	
Yes*	1.09 (0.69 - 1.74)	0.701	1.19 (0.73 - 1.92)	0.479
Prison History				
Never imprisoned	1.00		1.00	
Imprisoned > 1 year ago	0.56 (0.38 - 0.84)	0.005	0.48 (0.31 - 0.74)	0.001
Imprisoned within last year	0.88 (0.59 - 1.32)	0.561	0.82 (0.53 - 1.28)	0.388
Test uptake, self-reported infection status, and attendance at HCV specialist care				
Never tested	1.00		1.00	
Tested, not HCV infected	2.69 (0.80 - 9.06)	0.110	2.61 (0.77 - 8.55)	0.124
Tested, HCV infected, never attended clinic	3.12 (0.93 - 10.50)	0.066	3.16 (0.93 - 10.72)	0.065
Tested, HCV infected, attended clinic	6.51 (1.97 - 21.53)	0.002	7.01 (2.10 - 23.10)	0.002

597 Age at interview excluded from multivariate model due to collinearity with time since onset of injecting

598 *defined as consuming >50 units per week, sustained for 12 months

599 Abbreviations; HCV, hepatitis C virus; GGC, Greater Glasgow & Clyde; OR, odds ratio; aOR, adjusted odds-

600 ratio; CI, confidence interval

601

602

603