

Article

Use of thoracic ultrasound by physiotherapists: a scoping review of the literature

Hayward, S.A. and Janssen, Jessica

Available at <http://clock.uclan.ac.uk/21554/>

Hayward, S.A. and Janssen, Jessica ORCID: 0000-0002-5961-2736 (2018) Use of thoracic ultrasound by physiotherapists: a scoping review of the literature. Physiotherapy . ISSN 0031-9406

It is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from the work.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2018.01.001>

For more information about UCLan's research in this area go to <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/researchgroups/> and search for <name of research Group>.

For information about Research generally at UCLan please go to <http://www.uclan.ac.uk/research/>

All outputs in CLoK are protected by Intellectual Property Rights law, including Copyright law. Copyright, IPR and Moral Rights for the works on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Terms and conditions for use of this material are defined in the <http://clock.uclan.ac.uk/policies/>

Use of thoracic ultrasound by physiotherapists: a scoping review of the literature

ABSTRACT

Background

Use of diagnostic thoracic ultrasound (TUS) in medical professions to examine the pleura, lung parenchyma and diaphragm is gaining in popularity, however the ways in which physiotherapists are using TUS is unclear.

Objective

The aim of this scoping review is to gain an understanding of the emerging evidence base surrounding physiotherapy use of TUS to inform research and clinical practice.

Data Sources

A systematic search was conducted of the following databases: Cochrane, EPPI centre, PROSPERO, Medline, CINAHL, AMED, EMBASE, HMIC, and BNI.

Study Selection

Inclusion criteria: primary research reporting the use of diagnostic TUS; a physiotherapist as part of the study design or as the chief investigator; published in English.

Synthesis Methods

Data regarding demographics, design, type of conditions and anatomical structures investigated and profession leading the TUS of included papers were compiled in a tabular format.

Results

22 Of the 26 included papers, 9 studied healthy participants, 4 studied COPD and 4 studied
23 critical care patients. Most papers (n=23) involved scanning the diaphragm. In 8 studies the
24 physiotherapist operated the TUS.

25 Limitations

26 The paper selection process was performed by one author; with no cross-checking by another
27 individual.

28 Conclusion

29 Use of TUS by physiotherapists is an emerging area in both diaphragm and lung diagnostics.
30 A wide range of patient populations may benefit from physiotherapists using TUS. Papers in
31 this review are heterogeneous making any generalisability difficult but does show its potential
32 for varied uses. TUS is an innovative skill in the hands of physiotherapists, but more research
33 is needed.

34

35 Funding: National Institute of Health Research (NIHR): Internship programme – no
36 involvement

37

38 Contribution of the paper:

- 39 • Thoracic ultrasound is gaining popularity amongst physiotherapists.
- 40 • The diaphragm is the most investigated structure by physiotherapists.
- 41 • Physiotherapists use thoracic ultrasound on a broad range of pathologies and patient
42 populations.

43

44 Keywords: Thoracic ultrasound, lung ultrasound, physiotherapy, scoping review

45 **Introduction**

46 A growing body of evidence is now available reporting on the efficacy of thoracic ultrasound
47 (TUS), also known as lung ultrasound (LUS) [1-5]. Within the medical profession TUS has
48 been shown to have improved efficacy in the diagnosis of pulmonary conditions such as
49 pneumonia [1-2] and pleural effusions [3] as well as diaphragmatic dysfunction [4-5] when
50 compared to chest radiography (CXR).

51 Accurate diagnosis of respiratory conditions is of paramount importance to physiotherapists
52 to enhance treatment selection and monitor treatment effectiveness [6]. TUS may provide an
53 alternative, and more accurate, imaging option for physiotherapists that can be performed at
54 the bedside and in real-time. This bedside imaging technique has been termed point-of-care
55 ultrasound or “POCUS” within the literature. The ability of physiotherapists to perform TUS
56 would allow autonomous imaging and may improve the effectiveness of physiotherapy
57 treatment through more accurate diagnostic ability. Additionally, compared to traditional CXR
58 or computed tomography (CT), TUS does not expose patients to ionising radiation.

59 A pioneer of POCUS acknowledged that if physiotherapists adopted the use of TUS, existing
60 protocols could change as imaging feedback is instant [7]. Two narrative reviews focused on
61 the potential use of TUS by physiotherapists have previously been published [8-9]. Leech et
62 al reviewed the diagnostic performance of TUS when compared to auscultation and CXR.
63 They found that TUS increased diagnostic accuracy of acute pulmonary pathologies and
64 identify those amenable to physiotherapy treatments. However, they continued to report a
65 lack of specific training standards for physiotherapists to learn TUS [8]. Le Neindre et al
66 focused on the basics of TUS, its semiology and how physiotherapists could apply this in
67 practice. They also highlighted how TUS performed better than CXR and auscultation and
68 should be considered as an outcome measure to inform physiotherapy clinical decision
69 making [9]. Both papers discussed how TUS could help to differentiate between pathologies

70 that may or may not respond to physiotherapy treatments thus potentially making
71 physiotherapy interventions more targeted and effective [8-9].

72 The two previously mentioned reviews did not include a formal search strategy. Neither did
73 they comment on the differing patient populations that may benefit from physiotherapists use
74 of TUS. The aim of this scoping review was to collate the emerging evidence around
75 physiotherapy and the use of TUS in order to create an understanding of how the
76 international physiotherapy community is using TUS to inform their research and clinical
77 practice.

78

79 **Methods**

80 This scoping review followed the guidance of Arksey and O'Malley [10] and Levac et al [11].
81 Its purpose was to examine and present a broad overview of the emerging evidence
82 available irrespective of the quality to identify gaps or common usage, clarify key concepts
83 and report on the types of evidence that address and inform practice in an emerging topic
84 area [10-11].

85

86 Research Question

87 The Participants, Concept and Concept (PCC) method [12] has been employed to formulate
88 the following research question:

89 "In what ways do physiotherapists use TUS to inform their clinical or research practice?"

90

91 P (Participants) – Human adult and paediatric participants.

92 C (Concept) – The use of TUS where a physiotherapist was involved.

93 C (Context) – Any publication type, except review, was included in this review. No limitation
94 on location, outcome measure or date has been imposed.

95

96 Objectives

97 To explore the current evidence base use of TUS by physiotherapists with regards to:

98 a) Design

99 b) Type of conditions investigated

100 c) Anatomical structures investigated

101 d) Profession operating the TUS

102

103 Search strategy

104 A systematic electronic search was conducted of the following databases: Cochrane
105 database of systematic reviews, EPPI centre, PROSPERO, Medline, CINAHL, AMED,
106 EMBASE, HMIC, and BNI. The search string was developed to capture as wide a selection
107 of papers as possible. The search was conducted up until November 2016. Following the
108 initial database searches, grey literature searching was performed. A second search using
109 all identified keywords and index terms was then undertaken across all included databases.

110

111 “physio*.ti.ab” OR “(physical AND therap*).ti.ab” OR “(respiratory AND therap*).ti.ab”

112 AND

113 “lung*.ti.ab” OR “thora*.ti.ab” OR “diaphragm*.ti.ab” OR “respirat*.ti.ab” OR “chest*.ti.ab”

114 AND

115 “ultras*.ti.ab” OR “sonogr*.ti.ab”.

116

117 Paper selection

118 Papers were included when all the following inclusion criteria were observed:

119 1) primary research reporting the use of TUS.

120 2) involvement of a physiotherapist as part of the study design OR a physiotherapist as the
121 chief investigator (This was achieved by cross referencing with ResearchGate).

122 3) published in the English language.

123 Research abstracts from conference or meeting proceedings were included. There was no
124 limitation of the search based on publication date, or participant age. Papers were excluded
125 when they were review articles or when they involved animal or tissue studies. Hand
126 searching of reference lists were undertaken on the papers deemed eligible to ensure a
127 comprehensive search was undertaken (Figure1).

128

129 Data analysis

130 Data was extracted and analysed by one reviewer ("X"). Extracted data included: first author,
131 year of publication, country, sample size, study design, subject population, outcome
132 measures, comparison, profession of the ultrasound operator and findings. Methodological
133 design of the papers can be found in the characteristics of included papers table (Table 1).

134

135 Key definitions

136 "Thoracic ultrasound - TUS"

137 TUS can be used to describe the use of US when examining the pleura, lung parenchyma
138 and diaphragm. LUS can, in some instances, be used to describe the examination of the
139 pleura and lung parenchyma without direct involvement of the diaphragm. These two terms

140 along with chest US are used interchangeably throughout the literature. TUS will be the term
141 used throughout this scoping review.

142 “Physiotherapist”

143 For ease of consistency throughout this scoping review the term “Physiotherapist” will
144 encompass the roles of a “Respiratory Therapist” or “Physical Therapist”. There are
145 international differences between job titles and job roles within physiotherapy and to get a
146 truly global indication of the use of TUS these alternate titles were acknowledged and
147 included.

148 **Results**

149 A total of 3075 titles and abstracts were identified in the database searches. All titles were
150 evaluated for relevance to the research question. Once shortlisted the remaining papers
151 were screened against the inclusion and exclusion criteria and a total of 3049 papers were
152 excluded. The remaining 26 papers were obtained in full and assessed for their eligibility. An
153 additional 7 papers were identified thorough the hand searching of reference lists. Seven
154 papers were subsequently excluded as physiotherapists were not part of the research
155 design (Figure 1).

156

157 The current evidence base of physiotherapy use of TUS.

158 Following study selection 26 papers were included in this scoping review (Table 1): five
159 randomised controlled trials (RCTs) [13-17], nine cross-sectional studies [18-26], two case
160 series [27-28], four case reports [29-32], five conference abstracts [33-37], one audit [38]
161 (Table 1). Five papers were in conference abstract form only [33-37] without a corresponding
162 full published paper therefore only minimal methodological information could be gathered.
163 Included studies were published over a 19-year period between the years of 1997 and 2016.
164 No qualitative studies were found that focused on the experiences of physiotherapists using

165 TUS in their practice or research. Based on the country of the lead author, the majority of
166 studies were conducted by authors residing in Brazil and Australia (Table 1). The
167 participants included in the studies ranged in age from 3 months through to 80 years old.

168 Of the twenty-six papers nine were performed on healthy subjects [17,20,22,23,25,28,33-35]
169 which accounted for 220 of the total 849 study participants (26%). Pathologies or conditions
170 investigated included; post-operative upper abdominal surgery [15,26], chronic obstructive
171 pulmonary disease (COPD) [13,14,24,29,30], critical care patients [19,32,36,37], post
172 cerebral vascular accident (CVA) [21], spinal cord injury (SCI) [27,31], morbidly obese [16],
173 adolescents with scoliosis [18] and healthy infants [35] (Table 1).

174 A clear majority of papers (23 of the 26) included in this review involved the use of TUS to
175 scan the diaphragm. The three remaining papers involved scanning the pleura and lung
176 parenchyma [32,36,38]. These include a prospective audit on a TUS training curriculum by
177 See et al [38] and two papers on the use of TUS in critical care and included a conference
178 abstract by Riley et al [36] and a case report by Leech et al [32]. See et al [38] is the only
179 paper that looked at the training of physiotherapists in TUS.

180 Either a radiologist/radiographer (termed sonographer) or one of the research team's
181 physiotherapists would perform the TUS (termed operator). As can be seen in Figure 2, up
182 until 2013 most of the US scanning was performed by a non-physiotherapist. However, since
183 2013 at least eight of the sixteen papers that did report the profession of the US operator
184 have been physiotherapists. The other six of the remaining eight papers did not report on the
185 TUS operator's profession.

186 **Discussion**

187 This scoping review explored the current evidence base of physiotherapy use of TUS on study
188 design, type of condition, type of anatomical structures, and professionals operating the TUS.
189 It found that across a widely varying research design, the diaphragm of healthy participants,

190 patients with COPD or patients on critical care was most often investigated. In a minority of
191 the papers physiotherapists operated the TUS, and these have taken place since 2013.

192 The evidence of the papers varied widely, ranging from case reports to randomised control
193 trials. Across the included papers there were numerous scanning techniques, used with
194 different methodologies, on a wide range of patients/populations. Very few of them have any
195 overlap making the applicability of TUS in clinical and research practice difficult. The
196 previously mentioned review Leech et al [8] also discussed that it remains unclear how the
197 increased accuracy TUS affords physiotherapists will be applicable without further research.

198 Healthy participants were used to test reliability or validity of assessing the diaphragm using
199 TUS. This is a normal first step into introducing a new method into a profession [4] and fits
200 with this novel assessment tool. Use of TUS in patients with COPD was reported in five
201 papers and in a critical care environment and four papers (Table 1). It highlights potential
202 areas where the use of TUS by physiotherapists to assess the pleura, lung parenchyma and
203 diaphragm could enhance diagnosis and improve patient outcomes, as previously
204 highlighted by Leech et al [8]. Additionally, this scoping review highlights the potential that
205 TUS might have in other areas such as CVA, morbidly obesity and paediatrics. There are
206 only two papers that have investigated physiotherapists' use of TUS on a paediatric
207 population [31,35]. There is a need for significant work into this population especially
208 considering the added safety benefits of US when compared to the ionising radiation of CXR
209 and CT.

210 A large proportion of the papers looked at the use of TUS to assess the diaphragm as a way
211 to influence physiotherapy practice. The papers included reported on multiple ways to
212 assess diaphragm function. However, those assessments involved numerous different
213 scanning techniques making comparisons difficult. This scoping review has demonstrated a
214 lack of research aimed specifically at the physiotherapy professions use of TUS to assess
215 the pleura or lung parenchyma.

216 This review found a progressive increase in papers involving physiotherapists use of TUS,
217 with more published in the last three years than in the preceding sixteen, indicating that this
218 assessment tool is gaining in popularity.

219 Training of physiotherapists in the use of TUS is necessary for the use of this assessment
220 tool to researched further. See et al [38] hinted at the ability of non-medically trained
221 personnel to become proficient in image acquisition and image interpretation. When these
222 skills are used to inform clinical reasoning, it takes the operator beyond the role of a
223 “technician” to that of a professional. This issue is raised by both Leech et al [8] and Le
224 Neindre et al [9] as the greatest challenge facing physiotherapists wishing to gain
225 competency in TUS. This is something that has also been highlighted in the medical
226 literature [1]. Guidance can be found in the international expert statement on training in TUS
227 for non-physiotherapists [39] and much of this information will be relevant to inform future
228 physiotherapy focused training programmes. The difficulty lies in negotiating the medico-
229 legal and governance structures for each individual country depending on that professions
230 scope of practice. In the future it seems prudent to adapt currently existing, robust,
231 competency based US training programmes to meet the needs of physiotherapists as a
232 priority if they are to take advantage of this diagnostic technology.

233 Many aspects of the use of TUS by physiotherapists warrants further investigation. Robust
234 methods of training for physiotherapists need to be established. Ways in which TUS can be
235 used as a diagnostic tool and as an outcome measure to assess the effectiveness of
236 physiotherapy interventions also warrants further work. There is also a need to show how
237 these new diagnostic and assessment skills, in the hands of physiotherapists, affect patient
238 outcomes and experiences as well as a financial benefit to health providers or society as a
239 whole.

240

241 **Limitations**

242 Papers in other languages were excluded from this review which may have added bias to
243 the selection process. As previously mentioned a thorough critical appraisal of the quality of
244 papers within this review was not completed as the aim was to report on as wide a scope of
245 TUS use as possible. The paper selection process was performed by one author; with no
246 cross-checking by another individual leaving this review open to selection bias, however due
247 to the sparsity of papers in this topic the 26 papers included seem a fair representation of the
248 evidence in this area.

249

250 **Conclusion**

251 Use of TUS by physiotherapists is an emerging area regarding both diaphragm and lung
252 diagnostics. There are a wide range of patient populations that might be able to benefit from
253 physiotherapists using TUS as well as the different applications of TUS itself. The collection
254 of papers in this review is heterogeneous in their research questions, participant populations
255 and methodology. This variety makes any generalisability difficult but does show the
256 potential diverse uses of TUS. The evidence suggests that even within this emerging
257 discipline, critical illness and COPD are two popular areas being investigated. However,
258 robust methods of training for physiotherapists need to be established. The potential of TUS
259 and its impact on patients from diagnosis through to monitoring long term outcomes on
260 society need to be explored. This makes TUS a potentially very novel and innovative skill in
261 the hands of the physiotherapy profession.

262

263 **Ethical Approval:** Ethical approval was not required for this study.

264 **Funding:** National Institute of Health Research (NIHR): Internship programme – no
265 involvement

266 **Conflicts of interest:** None

267

268

269 **References**

270 [1] Chavez MA, Shams N, Ellington LE, Naithani N, Gilman RH, Steinhoff MC, Santosham
271 M, Black RE, Price C, Gross M, Checkley W. Lung ultrasound for the diagnosis of
272 pneumonia in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Respiratory Research*.
273 2014;15:50. DOI:10.1186/1465-9921-15-50

274 [2] Qian-Jing H, Yong-Chun S, Liu-Qun J, Shu-Jin G, Hong-Yu L, Cia-Shuang P, Ting Y, Fu-
275 Qiang W. Diagnostic performance of lung ultrasound in the diagnosis of pneumonia:
276 bivariate meta-analysis. *International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Medicine*.
277 2014;7(1):115-121.

278 [3] Grimberg A, Shigueoka DC, Atallah AN, Ajzen S, Iared W. Diagnostic accuracy of
279 sonography for pleural effusion: systematic review. *Sao Paulo Medical Journal*
280 2010;128(2):90-95.

281 [4] Dubé B-P, Dres M. Diaphragm dysfunction: Diagnostic approaches and management
282 strategies. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*. 2016;5(113). DOI:10.3390/jcm5120113

283 [5] Zambon M, Greco M, Bocchino S, Cabrini L, Beccaria PF, Zangrillo A. Assessment of
284 diaphragmatic dysfunction in the critically ill patient with ultrasound: a systematic review.
285 *Intensive Care Medicine*. 2017;43:29-38. DOI:10.1007/s00134-016-4524-z

286 [6] Gosselink R, Bott J, Johnson M, Dean E, Nava S, Norrenberg M, Schönhofer B, Stiller K,
287 van de Leur H, Vincent JL. Physiotherapy for adult patients with critical illness:
288 recommendations of the European Respiratory Society and European Society of Intensive
289 Care Medicine Task Force on Physiotherapy for Critically Ill Patients. *Intensive Care Med*.
290 2008;34(7):1188-99. DOI:10.1007/s00134-008-1026-7

291 [7] Lichtenstein DA. Lung Ultrasound in the Critically Ill: The BLUE Protocol. Springer
292 International Publishing. 2016:p293 ISBN 978-3-319-15370-4

293 [8] Leech M, Bissett B, Kot M, Ntoumenopoulos G. Lung ultrasound for critical care
294 physiotherapists: A narrative review. *Physiotherapy Research International* 2015;20:69–76.

295 [9] Le Neindre A, Mongodi S, Philippart F, Bouhemad B. Thoracic ultrasound: Potential new
296 tool for physiotherapists in respiratory management. A narrative review. *Journal of Critical*
297 *Care*. 2016;31:101-109.

298 [10] Arksey H, O'Malley L. Scoping studies: towards a methodological framework.
299 *International Journal of Social Research Methodology: Theory & Practice*. 2005;8(1):19-32.

300 [11] Levac D, Colquhoun H, O'Brien KK. Scoping studies: advancing the methodology.
301 *Implementation Science*. 2010;5(1):1-9. DOI:10.1186/1748-5908-5-69

302 [12] Peters MDJ, Godfrey CM, McInerney P. Methodology for JBI scoping reviews. The
303 Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewers' Manual 2015. Adelaide, South Australia: The Joanna
304 Briggs Institute; 2015.

305 [13] Yamaguti WPS, Claudino RC, Neto AP, Chammas MC, Gomes AC, Salge JM, Moriya
306 HT, Cukier A, Carvalho CRF. Diaphragmatic breathing training program improves abdominal
307 motion during natural breathing patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A
308 randomized controlled trial. *Archives of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*. 2012;93:571-
309 577. DOI:10.1016/j.apmr.2011.11.026

310 [14] Rocha T, Souza H, Brandao DC, Rattes C, Ribeiro L, Campos SL, Aliverti A, Dornelas
311 de Andrade A. The manual diaphragm release technique improves diaphragmatic mobility,
312 inspiratory capacity and exercise capacity in people with chronic obstructive pulmonary
313 disease: a randomised trial. *Journal of Physiotherapy*. 2015;61:182-189.
314 DOI:10.1016/j.phys.2015.08.009

- 315 [15] Alaparathi GK, Augustine AJ, Anand R, Mahale A. Comparison of diaphragmatic
316 breathing exercises, volume and flow incentive spirometry, on diaphragm excursion and
317 pulmonary function in patients undergoing laparoscopic surgery: a randomised controlled
318 trial. *Minimally Invasive Surgery*. 2016 DOI:10.1155/2016/1967532
- 319 [16] Tenório LHS, Santos AC, Neto JBC, Amaral FJ, Passos VMM, Lima AMJ, Brasileiro-
320 Santos M. The influence of inspiratory muscle training on diaphragmatic mobility, pulmonary
321 function and maximum respiratory pressures in morbidly obese individuals: a pilot study.
322 *Disability and Rehabilitation*. 2013;35(22). DOI:10.3109/09638288.2013.769635
- 323 [17] Souza H, Rocha T, Pessoa M, Rattes C, Brandao D, Fregonezi G, Campos S, Aliverti A,
324 Dornelas A. Effects of inspiratory muscle training in elderly women on respiratory muscle
325 strength, diaphragm thickness and mobility. *Journal of Gerontology Series A, Biological
326 Sciences and Medical Sciences*. 2014;69(12):1545-1553. DOI:10.1093/Gerona/glu182
- 327 [18] Noh DK, Koh JH, You JSH. Inter- and intratester reliability values of ultrasound imaging
328 measurements of diaphragm movement in the thoracic and thoracolumbar curves in
329 adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. *Physiotherapy Theory and Practice*. 2016;32(2):139-143.
330 DOI:10.3109/09593985.2015.1091871
- 331 [19] Baldwin CE, Bersten AD. Alterations in respiratory and limb muscle strength and size in
332 patients with sepsis who mechanically ventilated. *Physical Therapy*. 2014;94(1):68-82.
333 DOI:10.2522/ptj.20130048
- 334 [20] Grams ST, von Saltiel R, Mayer AF, Schivinski CIS, Nobre LF de S, Nobrega IS,
335 Jacomino MEML, Paulin E. Assessment of the reproducibility of the indirect ultrasound
336 method of measuring diaphragm mobility. *Clinical Physiology and Functional Imaging*.
337 2014;34:18-25. DOI:10.1111/cpf.12058
- 338 [21] Grigorova-Petrova K, Lubenova D, Dimitrova A, Balaranov D, Lozeva J. Feasibility of
339 early physical therapy program in-hospital patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Macedonian*

340 Journal of Medical Sciences. 2014;7(3): 452-455. DOI: 10.3889/MJMS.1857-
341 5773.2014.0424

342 [22] Baldwin CE, Paratz JD, Bersten AD. Diaphragm and peripheral muscle thickness on
343 ultrasound: Inter-rater reliability and variability of a methodology using non-standard
344 recumbent positions. *Respirology*. 2011;16:1136-1143. DOI:10.1111/j.1440-
345 1843.2011.02005.x

346 [23] Yamaguti WPS, Sakamoto ET, Panazzolo D, da Cunha Peixoto C, Cerri GG,
347 Alnuquerque ALP. Diaphragmatic mobility in healthy subjects during incentive spirometry
348 with a flow-oriented device and with a volume-oriented device. *Journal of Brazilian
349 Pneumology*. 2010;36(6):738-745. DOI:10.1590/S1806-37132010000600011

350 [24] Yamaguti WPS, Paulin E, Salge JM, Chammas MC, Cukier A, Carvalho CRF.
351 Diaphragmatic dysfunction and mortality in patients with COPD. *Journal of Brazilian
352 Pneumology* 2009;35(12):1174-1181. DOI:10.1590/S1806-37132009001200003

353 [25] Yamaguti WPS, Paulin E, Shibao S, Kodaira S, Chammas MC, Carvalho CRF.
354 Ultrasound evaluation of diaphragmatic mobility in different postures in healthy subjects.
355 *Journal of Brazilian Pneumology* 2007;33(4):407-413. DOI:10.1590/S1806-
356 37132007000400009

357 [26] Blaney F, Sawyer T. Sonographic measurement of diaphragmatic motion after upper
358 abdominal surgery: A comparison of three breathing manoeuvres. *Physiotherapy Theory and
359 Practice*. 1997;13:207-215. DOI:10.3109/09593989709036464

360 [27] Hardy F, Walker J, Sawyer T. Sonographic measurement of diaphragm movement in
361 patients with tetraplegia. *Spinal Cord*. 2009;47:832-834. DOI:10.1038/sc.2009.45

362 [28] Blaney B, English C, Sawyer T. Sonographic measurement of diaphragmatic
363 displacement during tidal breathing manoeuvres – a reliability study. *Australian Journal of
364 Physiotherapy*. 1999;45:41-43.

365 [29] Yamaguti WPS, Paulin E, Shioa S, Chammas MC, Salge JM, Ribeiro M, Cukier A,
366 Carvalho CRF. Air trapping: The major factor limiting diaphragm mobility in chronic
367 obstructive pulmonary disease patients. *Respirology*. 2008;13:138-144. DOI:10.1111/j.1440-
368 1843.2007.01194.x

369 [30] Paulin E, Yamaguti WPS, Chammas MC, Shioa S, Stelmach R, Cukier A, Carvalho
370 CRF. Influence of diaphragmatic mobility on exercise tolerance and dyspnoea in patients
371 with COPD. 2007;101:2113-2118. DOI:10.1016/j.rmed.2007.05.024

372 [31] Kim JS, Oh DW. Real-time ultrasound imaging biofeedback training in diaphragmatic
373 function in nontraumatic cervical spinal cord injury: a single-subject experimental study.
374 *European Journal of Physiotherapy*. 2016. DOI: 10.1080/21679169.2016.1247909

375 [32] Leech M, Bissett B, Kot M, Ntoumenopoulos G. Physiotherapist-initiated lung
376 ultrasound to improve intensive care management of a deteriorating patient and prevent
377 intubation: a case report. *Physiotherapy Theory and Practice*. 2015;31(5):372-376.
378 DOI:10.3109/09593985.2014.1003629

379 [33] Sota T, Wada T, Miyagi M, Tamaki A, Osaki M, Hagino H. The relationship of diaphragm
380 thickening ratio and respiratory muscle strength in young healthy adults. WCPT Congress
381 2015. *Physiotherapy*;101(Supplement 1 eS1425). DOI:10.1016/j.physio.2015.03.1382

382 [34] Schewitz J, Roos R, van Aswegen H, Manda S. The effect of two passive head down
383 diaphragm length in healthy adult individuals. WCPT Congress 2015.
384 *Physiotherapy*;101(Supplement 1 eS1344). DOI:10.1016/j.physio.2015.03.1276

385 [35] Silva LM, Reinaux CMA, Souza HM, Campos S, Souza TD, Dornelas A. Movement of
386 diaphragm before and after use of prolonged slow expiration technique in healthy infants: A
387 pilot study. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*. 2014;189:A3238.

388 [36] Riley ML, Cork G, Osman L, Ntoumenopoulos G. Does the addition of diagnostic lung
389 ultra-sound impact on chest physiotherapy intervention in critically-ill patients? Journal of the
390 European Society of Intensive Care Medicine. 2014;Supplement 1:S203.

391 [37] Parry S, Sarwal A, Cartwright M, Morris P, Denehy L. Reliability of quantitative muscle
392 ultrasound analysis in the critically ill population. Critical Care Medicine.
393 2013;41(12)Supplement - 447.

394 [38] See KC, Ong V, Wong SH, Leanda R, Santos J, Taculod J, Phua J, Teoh CM. Lung
395 ultrasound training: curriculum implementation and learning trajectory among respiratory
396 therapists. Intensive Care Medicine. 2016;42:63-71. DOI:10.1007/s00134-015-4102-9

397 [39] Cholley BP. International expert statement on training standards for critical care
398 ultrasonography. Intensive Care Medicine. 2011;27:369-373. DOI:10.1007/s00134-011-
399 2246-9

