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# Synthesis and inclusion behaviour of a heterotritopic receptor based on hexahomotrioxacalix[3]arene 
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#### Abstract

A heterotritopic hexahomotrioxacalix[3]arene receptor with the capability of binding two alkali metals and a transition metal simultaneously in a cooperative fashion was synthesized. The binding model was investigated by using ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR titration experiments in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ( $10: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ), and the results revealed that the transition metal was bound at the upper rim and the alkali metals at the lower and upper rims. Interestingly, the alkali metal ions $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$bind at the lower and upper rim respectively depending on the dimension of the alkali metal ions versus the size of the cavities formed by the calix[3]arene derivative. The hexahomotrioxacalix[3]arene receptor is acting as a heterotritopic receptor, simultaneously binding with the transition metal ion $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$and the alkali metals ions $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$. These findings were not applicable to other different sized alkali metals, such as $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$.


## Introduction

Calixarenes and their derivatives are attractive compounds for use in host-guest and supramolecular chemistry. In particular, hexahomotrioxacalix[3]arene derivatives with $C_{3}$-symmetry can selectively bind ammonium ions which play important roles in both chemistry and biology. ${ }^{1,2}$ Furthermore, the incorporation of two types of recognition sites via the introduction of different ionophores on the homotrioxacalix[3]arene will create potential heteroditopic receptors with the capability of binding cations and anions, eg. ammonium ions and halides.
Recently, we reported a novel ditopic receptor possessing two complexation sites and bearing a thiacalix[4]arene in the 1,3alternate conformation. The binding behaviour with $\mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{K}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ions was examined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR titration experiments. Although the formation of a heterogeneous di-nuclear complex was not clearly observed, the exclusive formation of mononuclear complexes of the 1,3-alternate-derivative with metal cations is of particular interest with respect to the observation of positive/negative allosteric effects within the thiacalix[4]arene family. ${ }^{3}$
On the other hand, Nabeshima et al. reported a novel calix[4]arene derivative bearing two 2,2 '-bipyridine moieties and two ester groups at the lower rim in the cone conformation to construct sophisticated molecular devices and systems. ${ }^{4}$ Indeed, bipyridyl containing calixarenes have been extensively used to complex various metal ions. ${ }^{5-12}$ Di- or polytopic receptors are those constructed with two or more binding subunits within the same macrocyclic structure. ${ }^{13-15}$ It is well known that these kinds of systems are suitable candidates for the allosteric regulation ${ }^{5-7}$ of host-guest interactions with metal cations which play a major role in biological systems.
Moving from our interest in the synthesis of heteroditopic or heteropolytopic receptors that function as multiple types of cation binder, we introduced a $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridyl group linked via a carbonyl group at the upper rim and diethylacetamides group at the lower rim of the hexahomotrioxacalix[3]arene. Herein, we report the synthesis
and complexation studies of these conehexahomotrioxacalix[3]arene triamide derivatives that serve as tritopic receptors simultaneously for $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}, \mathrm{Li}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ions. The recognition behaviour towards multiple types of cation was investigated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR experiments in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}-\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solution.

## Results and discussion

## Synthesis

The preparation of cone-7,15,23-triethoxycarbonyl-25,26,27-tris( $N, N$-diethylaminocarbonylmethoxy)-2,4,10,12,18,20-hexahomo-3,11,19-trioxacalix[3]arene (cone-4) is shown in Scheme 1. Thus, bis(hydroxymethylation) of ethyl 4-hydroxybenzoate (1) with formaldehyde in aqueous NaOH for one week afforded ethyl 3,5-bis(hydroxymethyl)-4-hydroxybenzoate (2) ${ }^{16}$ in $41 \%$ yield. Heating compound (2) to reflux in $p$-xylene for 24 h afforded hexahomotrioxacalix[3]arene (3). ${ }^{17}$ The $O$-alkylation of compound (3) with $N, N$-diethylchloroacetamide in the presence of $\mathrm{NaI} / \mathrm{NaH}$ in refluxing THF/DMF ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}=5 / 1$ ) gave cone-tris $(N, N$-diethylaminocarbonylmethoxy)hexahomotrioxacalix[3]arene cone-4 $4^{17}$ in $45 \%$ yield. Hydrolysis of the $O$-alkylated compound, cone-4, was carried out with NaOH in a mixture of ethanol/water (4:1) at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h to

yield the cone-hexahomotrioxacalix[3]arene tricarboxylic acid cone5. ${ }^{17}$

Scheme 1. Synthesis of hexahomotrioxacalix[3]arene cone-5.
cone-Hexahomotrioxacalix[3]arene triamide derivative (cone-7) was prepared by a condensation reaction of cone- 5 with 6 in the presence of dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) and 4-dimethylaminopryidine (DMAP) at room temperature for 3 days in dichloromethane (Scheme 2).


cone-7
Scheme 2. Synthesis of hexahomotrioxacalix[3]arene cone-7.
Cone-7 immobilised in a 'flattened cone' conformation (in which the phenolic rings are tilted to open up the calixarene cavity), was obtained in moderate yield. Conformational assignments for cone-7 were firmly established by the presence of the bridging methylene protons with a $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ separation between $\mathrm{H}_{a x}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{e q}$ of 0.41 ppm in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$. For the calix[4]arenes, the $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ value of the $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ar}$ protons has been correlated with the orientation of adjacent aromatic rings. ${ }^{2 d-e, 18,19}$ The same findings were observed for homotrioxacalix[3]arenes. ${ }^{20}$

## UV-vis spectroscopy studies

Cone-7 as a tritopic hexahomotri-oxacalix[3]arene ligand was synthesized, which possessed $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diethylacetamide group at the lower rim and $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridyl group at the upper rim linked by carbonyl group. Consequently, the binding behaviour of cone-7 towards different metal cations can be investigated by UV-vis absorption spectroscopy. As shown in Fig. 1, the UV-vis spectra of cone-7 displayed a typical absorption at around 290 nm in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$. The effects of the addition of various metal ions such as $\mathrm{Li}^{+}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{K}^{+}, \mathrm{Cs}^{+}, \mathrm{Ag}^{+}, \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}, \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}, \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$ as their perchlorate salts in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solution have been studied. As can be seen, an obvious absorption change in the UV-vis spectrum occurred upon addition of $\mathrm{Li}^{+}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}$and transition metal ions. The electronic absorption spectrum of cone-7 exhibited a red shift in the presence of transition metals, whereas only an intensity change was observed for alkali metals. For the metals $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}, \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$, it was noticed that the absorption band was split into two absorption bands at around 310 nm and 320 nm , respectively. No significant UV-vis absorption changes were observed upon the addition of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ and $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$ions. Thus, it can be explained that the $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridyl group acted as a

Fig. 1. UV-vis absorption spectra response of cone-7 $\left(1 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}\right)$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ to $1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ various tested metal ions. $\lambda_{\text {max }}=290 \mathrm{~nm}, \varepsilon=$ $1.89 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$.
chromophore displaying a red-shift absorption upon binding with transition metals. According to this observation, we can demonstrate that the transition metals bind with the $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridyl group at the upper rim and the alkali metal binds with the other sites. This finding also can be proved by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR titration experiments.

## ${ }^{1}$ H NMR titration studies

To investigate the binding behaviour of cone-7 with $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$, $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ions, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic studies were carried out in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$. The spectral differences are shown in Fig. 2, In the presence of an equivalent of $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$, for example, the $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ value for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ax}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ for the $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ methylene protons changed from $\delta 0.39 \mathrm{ppm}$ to $\delta 0.27 \mathrm{ppm}$, The $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime}}$ value for the $-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ methylene proton changed from $\delta 0.11 \mathrm{ppm}$ to $\delta 0.30 \mathrm{ppm}$. In comparison with the complex cone-7 $\supset \mathrm{Li}^{+}$, in the spectra of cone-7 $\supset \mathrm{Na}^{+}$complex, the $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ value for the $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ methylene protons was barely changed, but the signals for the $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ methylene protons were both shifted upfield, i.e $\delta 0.19 \mathrm{ppm}$, The $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime}}$ value for the $-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ methylene proton was changed from $\delta 0.11 \mathrm{ppm}$ to $\delta 0.25 \mathrm{ppm}$. In addition, obvious downfield chemical shifts for $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ( $\delta 0.33 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and Bipy- $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ( $\delta 0.11 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) were observed for the complex cone- $7 \supset \mathrm{Na}^{+}$.

The addition of an equiv. of $\mathrm{AgClO}_{4}$ to cone- 7 caused instant complexation at the upper rim as demonstrated by the downfield shifts of the $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridyl protons $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}, \Delta \delta=-0.08 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \Delta \delta=-\right.$ 0.10 ppm, ) and the upfield shifts of the 2,2'-bipyridyl protons $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right.$, $\left.\Delta \delta=+0.10 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{H}_{3}, \Delta \delta=+0.10 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$ for the $1: 1$ complex of cone- 7 $\supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}\left(K_{\mathrm{a}}=2.24 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1}\right)$ as shown in Fig. 2d, whereas the lower rim protons were scarely affected in the presence of $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$. This results strongly suggested that $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$can be selectively bound by the nitrogen atoms of the $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridyl group.


Fig. 2. Partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR titration of cone-7/guest complex ( $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{G}=1: 1$ ); a) free cone-7; b) cone-7 $\supset \mathrm{Li}^{+}$; c) cone- $\mathbf{7} \supset \mathrm{Na}^{+}$; d) cone-7 $\supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$; Solvent: $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$.

The $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$formed a complex with the $N, N-$ diethylmethoxycarbonylmethoxy group of cone-7 and adopted the more-upright $C_{3}$-symmetric form. It is known that the introduction of bulky substituents onto the OH groups forces the phenol units to stand upright from the calixarene ring plane. ${ }^{1}$ This inclination was reflected by the chemical-shift difference $\left(\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\right)$ between the axial and equatorial ArCH 2 protons, the small $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ value for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ax}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ indicated that the phenol groups in the complex are positioned in a more-upright orientation. We have already reported that when $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$ cation was bound to the ionophoric group at the lower rim, the calix
cavity changed from a "flattened cone" to a more-upright form. ${ }^{21}$ The $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ion was bound in the cavity formed by the three phenoxy rings, as evidenced by the upfield chemical shift of the axial and equatorial $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2}$ protons (i.e. $\delta 0.19 \mathrm{ppm}$ ), the downfield chemical shifts for the $\operatorname{Ar}-H(\delta 0.33 \mathrm{ppm})$ and bipy- $\mathrm{CH}_{2}(\delta 0.11 \mathrm{ppm})$. When $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ion is bound in the cavity formed by the three benzene rings with oxygen atoms framework, had intermolecular electron transfer from the upper rim to binding sites and caused the corresponding chemical shifts - cannot understand the English here.

We also carried out ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR titration experiments for cone-7 with $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$ions (Figures S 4 and S 5 ). An equivalent of $\mathrm{KClO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{CsClO}_{4}$ were added to the solution of cone-7, and no obvious chemical shift change was observed. Because of the size of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$ions, they are not suitable for binding with the lower rim or upper rim cavities.

The complexation modes of receptor cone-7 with $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$ were investigated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The addition of an equiv. of $\mathrm{AgClO}_{4}$ to cone-7 caused instant complexation at the upper rim as demonstrated in Fig. 3b.


Fig. 3. Partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR titration of cone-7/guest complex $(\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{G}=1: 1)$; a) free cone-7; b) cone-7 $\supset \mathrm{AgClO}_{4} ;$ c) $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4} \subset\left[\right.$ cone- $\left.7 \supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right] ;$Solvent: $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$.

Fig. 3c showed the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum after the addition of $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$ ion to the cone-7 $\supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$complex. When an equivalent of $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}$ was added, the $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ value for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ax}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ for the $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ methylene protons changed, the $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ value (from peaks around $\delta$ 4.42-4.69 ppm) for the $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4} \subset\left[\right.$ cone- $\left.7 \supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right](\delta 0.27 \mathrm{ppm})$ was smaller than that of the cone-7 $\supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$(from peaks around $\delta 4.42-4.80 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) ( $\delta 0.38 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). The $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime}}$ value for the $-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ methylene protons ( $\delta 0.29 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) of $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4} \subset\left[\right.$ cone- $\left.7 \supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]$was larger than that of the cone- $7 \supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\delta 0.12 \mathrm{ppm})$. This result implied that $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$formed a complex with the $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diethylmethoxycarbonylmethoxy group after cone-7 complexed with $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$and adopted the more-upright $C_{3^{-}}$ symmetric form. This result was also observed after changing the binding sequence of metal ions, first to form the complex cone-7 $\supset$ $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$and then to form the complex $\mathrm{AgClO}_{4} \subset\left[\right.$ cone- $\left.7 \supset \mathrm{Li}^{+}\right]$(Figure S6). Thus, the cone-hexahomotrioxacalix[3]arene triamide derivative cone-7 can serve as a receptor for $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$simultaneously. Similar findings were observed for the $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4} \subset\left[\right.$ cone- $\left.7 \supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]$ complex.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR titration experiments were also carried out with the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ ion and solutions of cone-7 $\supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$as shown in Fig. 4c and 4d. When 0.4 equivalents of $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}$ was added, the complex $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4} \subset\left[\right.$ cone- $\left.7 \supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]$and the uncomplexed species [cone$\left.7 \supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]$both existed in the system. However, when 1

equivalent of $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}$ was added to the solution of cone-7 $\supset$ $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$, the uncomplex species $\left[\right.$cone- $\left.7 \supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]$gradually disappeared and only the complex $\mathrm{Na}^{+} \subset\left[\right.$ cone- $\left.7 \supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]$, as shown in Fig. 4d, was observed The corresponding protons shifts were given by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR complexation experiments. Thus, cone- 7 first bound with $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$at the upper rim, then bound with $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ion in the cavity formed by the three phenoxy rings of the oxacalix[3]arene. $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ value for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ax}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ for $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
Fig. 4. Partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR titration of cone-7/guest complex ( $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{G}=1: 1$ ); a) free cone-7; b) cone-7 $\supset \mathrm{AgClO}_{4}$; c) $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}(0.4$ equiv $) \subset\left[\right.$ cone- $\left.7 \supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]$; d) $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}(1$ equiv $) \subset\left[\right.$ cone- $\left.7 \supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right] ;$Solvent: $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$.
methylene protons mostly did not change, however the signals for the $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ methylene protons were both shifted upfield, i.e. $\delta 0.20$ $\mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{eq}}\right.$,
$\delta 4.45 \mathrm{ppm}$ to $\delta 4.23 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ax}}, \delta 4.84 \mathrm{ppm}$ to $\delta 4.64 \mathrm{ppm}$, respectively). The $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime}}$ value for the $-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ methylene protons ( $\delta 0.24 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) for $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4} \subset\left[\right.$ cone- $\left.\mathbf{7} \supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]$was larger than that of the cone- $7 \supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\delta 0.11 \mathrm{ppm})$. The $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ proton was downfield chemical shift ( $\delta 0.32 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and the bipy- $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ proton was shifted downfield ( $\delta 0.20 \mathrm{ppm}$ ).

When 0.4 equivalents of $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}$ was added to the complex cone$7 \supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$, the complex $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4} \subset\left[\right.$ cone- $\left.7 \supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]$and the uncomplexed species [cone-7 $\supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$] both existed in the system. It was necessary to consider whether the negative allosteric effect caused by the binding of $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$existed or not, so the sequence of metal ions addition was changed, viz initially bind with $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ion, then to the $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ion. However, when 0.4 equivalents of $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}$ was added to cone-7, the complex cone-7 $\supset \mathrm{Na}^{+}$and the uncomplexed species cone- 7 were both observed. On further addition of the metal ion $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ (1 equiv.), the uncomplexed species disappeared and only the complex cone-7 $\supset \mathrm{Na}^{+}$existed. In most other work, a passive/negative allosteric effect was caused by the binding with $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$, but here, there was no observation of the allosteric effect.


Fig. 5. Partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR titration of cone-7 /guest complex $(\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{G}=1: 1)$; a) free cone-7; b) cone-7 $\supset \mathrm{NaClO}_{4}$; c) $\mathrm{AgClO}_{4} \subset\left[\right.$ cone- $\left.7 \supset \mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$; Solvent: $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10: 1$, v/v).

Until now, the ability of the cone-7 to serve as a heteroditopic receptor has been demonstrated, but now, to illustrate that cone-7 can serve as a heterotritopic
Fig. 6. Partial ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR titration of cone-7 /guest complex ( $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{G}=1: 1$ ); a) free cone-7; b) cone-7 $\supset \mathrm{LiClO}_{4}$; c) $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4} \subset\left[\right.$ cone- $\left.7 \supset \mathrm{Li}^{+}\right]$; d) $\mathrm{Ag}^{+} \subset\left\{\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right.$ $\subset\left[\right.$ cone-7 $\left.\left.\supset \mathrm{Li}^{+}\right]\right\}$; Solvent: $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$.


Fig. 7. Plaussible complexation mode of host cone-7 with $\mathrm{Li}^{+}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ ions.
receptor, cone- 7 was to complex with $\mathrm{Li}^{+}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$metal ions simultaneously, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic titration experiments were carried out by addition of $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$ions to the solution of cone-7, by $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ ions to the solution of cone- $7 \supset \mathrm{Li}^{+}$and by $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ions to the solution of $\mathrm{Na}^{+} \subset$ [cone-7 $\left.\supset \mathrm{Li}^{+}\right]$as shown in Fig. 6. In the presence of an equivalent of $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$, the $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ values for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ax}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ for the $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ methylene protons changed from $\delta 0.40 \mathrm{ppm}$ to $\delta 0.24 \mathrm{ppm}$, and the $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}^{\prime}}$ value for $-\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ methylene protons changed from $\delta 0.11$ ppm to $\delta 0.28$ ppm. When 1 equiv. of $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}$ was added to the solution of cone-7 $\supset \mathrm{Li}^{+}$, the $\Delta \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ value for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ax}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{eq}}$ of the $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ methylene protons changed from $\delta 0.24 \mathrm{ppm}$ to $\delta 0.34$ ppm, and the signals for the $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ methylene protons were both shifted upfield, i.e $\delta 0.18 \mathrm{ppm}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{eq}}, \delta 4.48 \mathrm{ppm}\right.$ to $\delta 4.30 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ax}}, \delta 4.72 \mathrm{ppm}$ to $\delta 4.64 \mathrm{ppm}$, respectively), indicating that binding was occurring between the cone- $7 \supset \mathrm{Li}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$, corresponding chemical shifts were the coefficient effects? by the $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ions.

The Ar- $H$ proton was downfield chemical shift ( $\delta 0.15 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) and the

bipy- $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ proton was shifted downfield ( $\delta 0.06 \mathrm{ppm}$ ). After addition of $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ion to the solution of $\mathrm{Na}^{+} \subset\left[\right.$ cone- $\left.7 \supset \mathrm{Li}^{+}\right]$, we also observed the same downfield shifts for the $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridyl protons $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}, \Delta \delta=-\right.$ $0.08 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \Delta \delta=-0.10 \mathrm{ppm}$, ). Thus, the cone-7 can serve as a heterotritopic receptor. This result was also observed after changing the binding sequence of the metal ions. Firstly, the complex of cone$7 \supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$was formed, then the complex

Table 1 Chemical shift of pyridine protons in cone-7.

| Compd. | Chemical shift, $\mathrm{ppm}^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3}{ }^{\prime}$ |
| cone-7 | 8.55 | $7.67^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $8.21^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 8.37 | $7.50^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $8.14^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| cone-7〕 Ag+ | 8.51 | 7.77 | 8.11 | 8.36 | 7.58 | 8.04 |
|  | +0.04 | -0.10 | +0.10 | +0.01 | -0.08 | +0.10 |

${ }^{a} \Delta \delta$ values are the difference of the chemical shift between cone- 7 in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{b} \mathrm{~A}$ minus sign (-) denotes a shift to lower magnetic field, a plus sign (+) denotes a shift to higher magnetic. ${ }^{c}$ The midpoint values of multiplet are indicated.
$\mathrm{LiClO}_{4} \subset\left[\right.$ cone $\left.-7 \supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right], \mathrm{Na}^{+} \subset\left\{\mathrm{Li}^{+} \subset\left[\right.\right.$ cone- $\left.\left.\mathbf{7} \supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]\right\}$(Figure S7) was formed. We observed the same ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum as shown in Figure 6d and Figure S7d, and thus it was proved that cone-7 can serve as a heterotritopic receptor for the $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}, \mathrm{Li}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ions simultaneously (Fig. 7).

As shown in Table 1, the nitrogen atom $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ in the bipyridine ring pointed away from the calix cavity in free cone-7 because of the electron repulsion between the nitrogens. After complexation, the nitrogen turned inwards towards the cavity to complex with the $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ and thus affected the $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridyl protons with downfield shifts for $\mathrm{H}_{2},(\Delta \delta=-0.08 \mathrm{ppm})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\Delta \delta=-0.10 \mathrm{ppm})$, upfield shifts for $\mathrm{H}_{3}$, $(\Delta \delta=+0.10 \mathrm{ppm}), \mathrm{H}_{3}(\Delta \delta=+0.10 \mathrm{ppm})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{1}(\Delta \delta=+0.04 \mathrm{ppm})$ (Table 1) due to the tetrahedral interaction of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$motif. Furthermore, after complexation, $\mathrm{H}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ have similar magnetic environments, and therefore the downfield/upfield shifts were similar.

## Complexation studies

The stoichiometries of the cone- 7 complexes with $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$were determined by UV-vis absorption spectra $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$ (10:1, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ ], using the continuous variation method, the absorption reached a maximum at around 0.5 mol fraction for this cation (Fig. 8), which
clearly indicated that the $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$formed a $1: 1$ complex with cone-7. Thus, $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$was completely bound by the soft bipyridine cavity of cone- 7 and the homotrioxacalix[3]arene cavity did not participate in the complexation. The stoichiometry of the cone- $\mathbf{7}$ complexes with $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$was also determined by UV-vis absorption spectra $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})\right]$ (Figure S8), using the continuous variation method. The absorption also reached a maximum at 0.5 mol fraction for this cation, indicating that the $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$ion formed


Fig. 8. Job plots of the extractions of $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$with host cone-7.
a $1: 1$ complex with cone-7, and the $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$ion was completely bound by the $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diethylaminocarbonylmeth-oxy groups. The molar ratio method was used to determine the stoichiometry of cone-7 complexed with $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$by UV-vis absorption spectra $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$ ( $10: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ )] (Figure S9), which also indicated that the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ion formed a $1: 1$ complex with cone- 7 .

UV-vis spectrophotometric analysis was employed to detemine the association constant of the inclusion complex of cone-7 and $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$. The decrease in absorbance at 290 nm versus the increase in concentration of $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$was fitted to a $1: 1$ binding model to determine the association constant, which was found to be $2.24 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$. The association constant for cone-7 and $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$was $2.58 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$ and for cone- 7 and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$, which was found to be $1.55 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1}$ (Figures S10-12).

## Conclusions

A cone-hexahomotrioxacalix[3]arene receptor cone-7 bearing 2,2'bipyridyl linked via a carbonyl group at the upper rim and $N, N-$ diethylacetamide chains at the lower rim, respectively, has been synthesized. The receptor cone-7 can serve as a heterotritopic hexahomotrioxacalix[3]arene receptor with capability for binding two types of cation simultaneously in a cooperative fashion. The binding of the alkali metal ion $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$took place at the lower rim, and the alkali metal ion $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and transition metal ion $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$at the upper rim, respectively. In addition, given the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ion is larger than the $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$ion, the $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$ion bound with the lower rim cavity through the oxygens, whereas the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ion chose to bind with the larger cavity formed by the three phenoxy rings of the oxacalix[3]arene, which was verified by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR titration experiments.

The nitrogen atom in the bipyridine ring pointed away from the calix cavity in the cone-7 because of the electronic repulsion between the nitrogens. After complexation, the nitrogen atom in the bipyridine ring turned inwards towards the cavity to complex with $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$to allow for the tetrahedral disposition of the $\mathrm{N}---\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$motif.

Further studies on the synthesis of tritopic receptors based on the hexahomotrioxacalix[3]arene are also underway in our laboratory.

## Experimental

General: All melting points (Yanagimoto MP-S1) are uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Nippon Denshi JEOL FT-300 NMR spectrometer and Varian-400MR-vnmrs400 with $\mathrm{SiMe}_{4}$ as an internal reference: $J$-values are given in Hz . IR spectra were measured for samples as KBr pellets on a Nippon Denshi JIR-AQ2OM spectrophotometer. Mass spectra were obtained with a Nippon Denshi JMS-HX110A Ultrahigh Performance mass spectrometer at 75 eV by using a direct-inlet system. UV-vis spectra were recorded using a Shimadzu UV-3150UV-vis-NIR spectrophotometer. Elemental analyses were performed by a Yanaco MT-5.
Materials: cone-7,15,23-Tris(hydroxycarbonyl)-25,26,27-tris( $N, N$ -diethylaminocarbonylmethoxy)-3,11,19-trioxacalix[3]arene triacid (cone-5) was synthesized from cone-7,15,23-tris(ethoxycarbonyl)-25,26,27-trihydroxy-2,4,10,12,18,20-hexahomo-3,11,19-trioxacalix[3]arene cone-3 as following the reported procedure. ${ }^{21} 5^{\prime}$-Methyl-2,2'-bipyridyl-5-ylmethanol 6 was prepared according to the reported procedure. ${ }^{22}$

## Synthesis of 7,15,23-tris ( $5^{\prime}$-methyl-2,2'-bipyridyl-5-yl-methyl-oxycarbonyl)-25,26,27-tris( $N, N$-diethylaminocarbonyl-methoxy)-3,11,19-trioxacalix[3]arene (cone-7)

To a solution of cone-5 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $5^{\prime}$ '-methyl-2,2'-bipyridyl-5-ylmethanol $6(110 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $1-$ hydroxybenzotriazole (DMAP) $(67.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10$ mL ), was added dropwise a solution of dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC) $(190 \mathrm{mg}, 0.92 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 days at room temperature then condensed under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with ethyl acetate $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined extracts were washed with $10 \%$ citric acid $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}), 5 \%$ sodium bicarbonate $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$; the solution was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and condensed under reduced pressure. The cone- 7 was obtained from column chromatography $\left[\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}(5: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})\right)\right.$ $(88 \mathrm{mg}, 56 \%)$ as colorless prisms. M.p. $84.5-85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 1.11-1.12\left(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.40\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, Bipy $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $3.30-3.41\left(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.50\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.67$ $\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.92\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.21(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, Bipy- $C H_{2}$ ), $7.57(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy- $H$ ), $7.58(6 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \operatorname{Ar}-H), 7.74(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.2, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy- $H$ ), $8.21(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy $-H), 8.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy $-H), 8.45(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, Bipy- $H$ ) and $8.62\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, Bipy- $H$ ) ppm. IR: $\mathrm{v}_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}=1723$ (COOR) and 1650 (CONRR'). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 13.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 18.5$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 40.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 63.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 67.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 72.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 120.7-160.1$ (Ar- $C$, Bipy- $C$ ), $165.0(C=O)$ and $167.0(C=O) \mathrm{ppm}$. FABMS: $m / z$ : $1426.78\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) . \mathrm{C}_{81} \mathrm{H}_{87} \mathrm{O}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{9}$ (1426.61): calcd C $68.19, \mathrm{H} 6.15 ; \mathrm{N}$ 8.84. Found: C 68.31, H 6.24, N 8.93.

## ${ }^{1} H$ NMR complexation experiments

To a $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution ( $500 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ ) of cone- 7 in an NMR tube was added a $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solution $\left(50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}\right)$ of $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}$, $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}, \mathrm{KClO}_{4}, \mathrm{CsClO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{AgClO}_{4}$. The spectrum for each was recorded after the addition metal ions. The temperature of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR probe was kept constant at $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data of the most representative complexes are given below.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data of the most representative complexes was given below:
cone- $7 \supset \mathrm{Li}^{+}(1: 1): \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right): 3.12-3.42(12 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.46\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.59(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.73\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.12\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bipy}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $7.54(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy- $H$ ), $7.56(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$, 7.66 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy-H), $8.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1$ Hz, Bipy- $H$ ), 8.15 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy- $H$ ), 8.35 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, Bipy- $H$ ) and 8.45 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, Bipy-H) ppm.
cone- $7 \supset \mathrm{Na}^{+}(1: 1): \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right): 3.10-3.35(12 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.26\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.64(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.66\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.26\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bipy}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $7.56(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy-H), $7.85(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$, $7.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy- $H$ ), $8.16(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1$ Hz, Bipy- $H$ ), 8.25 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy-H), 8.40 (3H, s, Bipy- $H$ ) and $8.63(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, Bipy-H) ppm.
cone-7 $\supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(1: 1): \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right): 3.21-3.32(12 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.45\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.62(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.84\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.10\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bipy}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $7.58(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy-H), $7.47(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$, 7.77 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy-H), $8.04(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1$ Hz, Bipy- $H$ ), 8.11 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy- $H$ ), 8.36 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, Bipy- $H$ ) and $8.51(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, Bipy-H) ppm.
[cone- $7 \supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$] $\supset \mathrm{Li}^{+}(1: 1): \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right): 3.08-$ $3.37\left(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.42\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.54(6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.69\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.08(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, Bipy$\left.C H_{2}\right), 7.62(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy- $H$ ), $7.51(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ $H), 7.78(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy-H), $8.01(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ 8.1 Hz, Bipy- $H$ ), $8.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy- $H$ ), $8.33(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, Bipy-H) and 8.43 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, Bipy-H) ppm.
[cone-7 $\left.\supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right] \supset \mathrm{Na}^{+}(1: 0.4): 3.13-3.35\left(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.23$ $\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ complex, $4.45(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) uncomplex, $4.64\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.64(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ar- $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) complex, $4.84\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ uncomplex, $5.29\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bipy}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ complex, 5.09 ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bipy}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) uncomplex, $7.64(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy- $H$ ) uncomplex, $7.72(3 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy- $H$ ) complex, $7.81(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$ complex, $7.48(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, Ar- $H$ ) uncomplex, $7.99(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy- $H$ ), $8.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy- $H$ ), $8.23(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J$ $=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy $-H)$ complex, $8.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy $-H)$ uncomplex, 8.37 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, Bipy-H) and 8.59 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, Bipy- $H$ ) complex and $8.53(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, Bipy- $H$ ) uncomplex ppm.
[cone-7 $\supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$] $\supset \mathrm{Na}^{+}(1: 1): \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right): 3.11-$ $3.35\left(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.23\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.65(6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.64\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.29(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, Bipy$\left.C H_{2}\right), 7.72(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy- $H$ ), $7.80(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ $H), 7.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy- $H$ ), $8.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ 8.1 Hz, Bipy- $H$ ), $8.23(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy- $H$ ), $8.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, Bipy-H) and $8.59(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, Bipy-H) ppm.
cone-7 $\supset \mathrm{Na}^{+}(1: 0.4): \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right): 3.15-3.31$ $\left(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.25\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, Ar- $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ complex, 4.40 $\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ uncomplex, $4.62\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)$, $4.62\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ complex, $4.79(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) uncomplex, $5.24\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, Bipy- $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ complex, $5.14(6 \mathrm{H}$, s, Bipy- $C H_{2}$ ) uncomplex, $7.50(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy$H$ ), $7.83(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$ complex, $7.50(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}-H)$ uncomplex, 7.68 $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy- $H$ ), $8.14(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy- $H$ ), $8.20(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy- $H$ ), $8.39(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, Bipy- $H$ ) and 8.56 (3H, s, Bipy-H) ppm.
[cone-7 $\supset \mathrm{Na}^{+}$] $\supset \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(1: 1): \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 10: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}\right): 3.06-$ $3.31\left(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m},-\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 4.19\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.62(6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.60\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 5.26(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bipy}-$ $\left.C H_{2}\right), 7.65(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy- $H$ ), $7.78(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ $H), 7.90(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy- $H$ ), $8.13(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ 8.1 Hz, Bipy- $H$ ), $8.21(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Bipy- $H$ ), $8.32(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, Bipy- $H$ ) and 8.56 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, Bipy- $H$ ) ppm.

## Stoichiometry of metal complexation and determination of association constants

Job plot experiment was carried out using the absorption spectrum, make the volume fixed and the concentration of [Host] + [Guest] $=$ $1.25 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M},[$ Guest $] /([$ Host $]+[$ Guest $])$ changed from 0.1 to 0.9 , and the association constants also determined by the absorption spectrum in a varying guest concentration of $0-1.25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and a constant concentration of host receptors with $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$. As a probe the absorption intensity signal was used. The association constant values were calculated by the intensity changes in the complex and the free host molecules.
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