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#### Abstract

The Minimal Resolution Conjecture that was formulated by A Lorenzini [2] has been shown to hold true for $\mathbf{P}^{2}, \mathbf{P}^{3}[3]$ they made use of Quadrics, here we tackle the $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ case but making use of variant methods i.e. mainly the method of Horace (mèthode d'Horace) to evaluate sections of fibres at given points. This was introduced by A Hirschowitz in 1984 in a letter he wrote to R Hartshorne. For a general set of points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m} \in$ $\mathbf{P}^{3}$, for a positive integer $m$, we show that the map $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d+1)\right) \longrightarrow$ $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d+1)_{\mid P_{i}}$ is of maximal rank.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbf{k}$ be an algebraically closed field, $\mathbf{P}^{n}$ be a projective space over $\mathbf{k}$ and $R=\mathbf{k}\left[x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be the homogeneous coordinate ring of $\mathbf{P}^{n}$. If $M=$ $\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{m}\right\}$ is a general set of $m$ points in $\mathbf{P}^{n}$, then the ideal, $I_{M}$ of polynomials vanishing at these $m$ points has the minimal resolution attained. In particular when $n=3$, i.e. for $\mathbf{P}^{3}$

The consequence is that the homogeneous ideal $I_{M} \subset k\left[X_{0}, X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right]$ has the expected number $\left(\frac{1}{2} d(d+2)(d+3)-3 m\right)_{+}$of minimal generators of degree $d+1$ and the expected number $\left(\frac{1}{2} d(d+2)(d+3)-3 m\right)$ _ of minimal relations of degree $d+1$,
where $(x)_{+}=\max (x, 0)$ and $(x)_{-}=\max (-x, 0)$.

## 2. Preliminary Notes

A guide for some of the symbols used
In general we have the sequences below for transformations:


The sequence for quotients;

$\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}^{\prime}(d+1 ; r, s, t) \equiv \boldsymbol{H}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d+1), \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d+1), r, s, t\right)$, where $r$ represents fibres of $\operatorname{dim} 3, s$ fibres of $\operatorname{dim} 2$ and $t$ represents 1 dimensional fibre in a quotient of $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d)_{\mid Q}$ for $Q \in \mathbf{P}^{2}$
$\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}(d-1 ; u, v, w) \equiv \boldsymbol{H}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d-1)^{\oplus 3}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d), u, v, w\right)$, where $u$ represents fibres of $\operatorname{dim} 3, v$ line bundles and $w$ represents a 2 dimensional fibre in a quotient of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d-1)_{\mid Q}^{\oplus 3}$ for $Q \in \mathbf{P}^{2}$
$\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}^{\prime}(d+1 ; r, s, t)$ is defined for specific $r, s$ and $t$ as in Lemma 1 while $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}^{\prime}(d+1)$ is the general case i.e. for all non negative integers $r, s, t$
$\boldsymbol{H}_{0,3}^{\prime}(d-1 ; u, v, w)$ is defined for specific $u, v$ and $w$ as in Lemma 2 while $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}(d-1)$ is the general case i.e. for all non negative integers $u, v, w$

## 3. Main Results

Hypothesis $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}^{\prime}(d+1 ; r, s, t)$. There exists $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{r} \in \mathbf{P}^{3}, S_{1}, \ldots, S_{s} \in$ $\mathbf{P}^{2}, T_{1}, \ldots, T_{t} \in \mathbf{P}^{2}$ and quotients $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2} \mid T_{\ell}} \rightarrow D_{\mid T_{\ell}}^{\prime}$ such that the restriction map (1) is bijective.

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d+1)\right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d+1) \mid R_{i} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{s} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d+1)_{\mid S_{j}} \oplus D_{\mid T_{\ell}}^{\prime} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hypothesis $\boldsymbol{H}_{\circlearrowleft, 3}^{\prime}(d-1 ; u, v, w)$. There exists $U_{1}, \ldots, U_{u} \in \mathbf{P}^{3}, V_{1}, \ldots, V_{v} \in$ $\mathbf{P}^{2}, W_{1}, \ldots, W_{w} \in \mathbf{P}^{2}$ and quotients $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3} \mid W_{\ell}}^{\oplus 3} \rightarrow D(V)_{\mid W_{\ell}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2} \mid W_{\ell}}$ with $\operatorname{dim} D(V)_{\mid W_{\ell}}=2$ such that the restriction map (2) is bijective.

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d-1)^{\oplus 3}\right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{u} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d-1)_{\mid U_{i}}^{\oplus 3} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{v} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d)_{\mid V_{j}} \oplus D(V)_{\mid W_{\ell}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above hypotheses we define two lemmas and give their proofs.

Lemma 1. (a) If $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}^{\prime}(d+1 ; r, s, t)$ is true, then we have

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
(3 \mathrm{a}) & 2 s+t & \leq h^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d+1)\right)=d(d+2), \\
& (3 \mathrm{~b}) & 2 s+t & \equiv h^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d+1)\right)=\frac{1}{2} d(d+2)(d+3) \equiv d(d-1) \quad(\bmod 3), \\
& (3 \mathrm{c}) & r & =\frac{1}{3}\left(h^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d+1)\right)-2 s-t\right)
\end{array}
$$

(b) If $d \geq 0, s \geq 0$, and $t \geq 0$ are non negative integers verifying (3a) and (3b), then the $r$ defined by (3c) satisfies $r \geq 0$.
Proof. (a) Suppose $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}^{\prime}(d+1 ; r, s, t)$ is true then we have the following exact sequences:
$0 \rightarrow H^{\circ}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d-1)^{\oplus 3}\right) \longrightarrow H^{\circ}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d+1)\right) \xrightarrow{\gamma} H^{\circ}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d+1)\right) \rightarrow 0$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\downarrow \text { inj } & \alpha \downarrow \cong & \beta \downarrow \text { surj } \\
0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3} \mid R_{i}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3} \mid R_{i}} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2} \mid S_{i}} \oplus D_{\mid T_{l}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2} \mid S_{i}} \oplus D_{\mid T_{l}}^{\prime} \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
$$

Bijectivity of $\alpha$ and surjectivity of $\gamma$ impose surjectivity on $\beta$ thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 s+t \leq h^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d+1)\right) \tag{3a}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $\alpha$ 's bijectivity we have that $3 r+2 s+t=h^{\circ}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d+1)\right)$ thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{1}{3}\left(h^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d+1)\right)-2 s-t\right) \tag{3c}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3c) and since $r$ is a non negative integer then $h^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d+1)\right)-2 s-t \equiv 0$
$(\bmod 3)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { thus } 2 s+t \equiv h^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d+1)(\bmod 3)\right. \tag{3b}
\end{equation*}
$$

proof for (b),
$r$ as defined by (3c) is bounded below by $\frac{1}{3}\left(h^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d+1)\right)-d(d+2)\right)$ i.e. equality on (3a) thus

$$
r \geq \frac{1}{3}(d+2)(d+1) d \geq 0 \quad \text { for all } d \geq 0
$$

Lemma 2. (a) If $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}(d-1 ; u, v, w)$ is true, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& v+w \leq h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d)\right) \text { and } w \leq h^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d)\right)  \tag{4a}\\
& v+2 w \equiv 0(\bmod 3)  \tag{4b}\\
& u=\frac{1}{3}\left(h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d-1)^{\oplus 3}-v-2 w\right)\right) \tag{4c}
\end{align*}
$$

(b) If $d \geq 1, v \geq 0$, and $w \geq 0$ are non negative integers verifying (4a) and (4b), then the $u$ defined by (4c) satisfies $u \geq 0$.
Proof. (a) Suppose $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}(d-1 ; u, v, w)$ is true then we have the following exact sequences:
$0 \longrightarrow H^{\circ}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d) \longrightarrow H^{\circ}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d-1)^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow H^{\circ}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d)\right) \longrightarrow 0\right.\right.$
$\downarrow$ inj $\quad \bar{\alpha} \downarrow \cong \quad \bar{\beta} \downarrow \operatorname{surj}$ $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{u} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3} \mid U_{i}}^{\oplus 3} \oplus D_{\mid W_{l}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{u} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3} \mid U_{i}}^{\oplus 3} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{v} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2} \mid V_{i}} \oplus D_{\mid W_{l}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{v} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2} \mid V_{i}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2} \mid W_{l}}$

Bijectivity of $\bar{\alpha}$ and surjectivity of $\bar{\gamma}$ impose surjectivity on $\bar{\beta}$ thus $v+w \leq$ $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d)\right)$ while $w \leq h^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d)\right)$ is true for all $d \geq 0$ (4a)

From $\bar{\alpha}$ 's bijectivity we have that $3 u+v+2 w=h^{\circ}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d-1)^{\oplus 3}\right)$ thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\frac{1}{3}\left(h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d-1)^{\oplus 3}\right)-v-2 w\right) \tag{4c}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4c) we have $3 u, h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d-1)^{\oplus 3}\right)$ are $\equiv 0(\bmod 3)$ then $v+2 w \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 3)$ thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
v+2 w \equiv 0(\bmod 3) \tag{4b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof (b)
$u$ as defined by $(4 \mathrm{c})$ is bounded below by $\frac{1}{6}[(d+2)(d+1) d-d(d+1)(d+2)]=0$ due to equality in (4a) thus $u \geq 0$ for all $d \geq 0$

Hypothesis $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}(d+1)$. For all $s \geq 0$, all $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $r$ verifying (3a), (3b), and (3c), the hypothesis $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}^{\prime}(d+1 ; r, s, t)$ is true.
Hypothesis $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}(d-1)$. For all $v \geq 0$, all $0 \leq w \leq 1$ and $u$ verifying (4a), (4b), and (4c), the hypothesis $\boldsymbol{H}_{\circlearrowleft, 3}^{\prime}(d-1 ; u, v, w)$ is true.

Goal. To prove $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}(d+1)$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_{0,3}(d-1)$ for $d \geq 1$.
This goal should suffice because we have the following theorem which is the main part dealing with Maximal Rank property that we mentioned we were going to show at the beginning.

Theorem 3. Suppose $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}(d+1)$ is true. Then for any non negative integer $m$, there exists a set $S=\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{m}\right\}$ of $m$ points in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ such that the evaluation map

$$
H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d+1)\right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3} \mid P_{i}}
$$

is of maximal rank.
Proof. Since $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}(d+1)$ is true then there exists a non negative integer $r$, such that we can find a set $R=\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{r}\right\}$ of $r$ points in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ such that the evaluation map

$$
H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d+1)\right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3} \mid P_{i}}
$$

is bijective. That is $r$ is the critical number of points for truth of $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}(d+1)$.
Suppose we have any set $S=\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{m}\right\}$ of $m$ points in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ where $m$ is an arbitrary non negative integer.
Then there exists 3 possiblities that
(i) If $m<r$, i.e. we have less points than the critical number of points, our map will surject, i.e. since $\alpha$ is bijective and $\gamma$ surjective then it follows that $\beta$ is surjective, i.e.

$$
\beta
$$

$H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d+1)\right) \quad \rightarrow \quad \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3} \mid P_{i}}$

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\searrow \alpha & \uparrow \gamma \\
\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3} \mid P_{i}} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=m+1}^{r} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3} \mid P_{i}}
\end{array}
$$

(ii) If $m=r$, i.e. we have the same number of points as the critical number, our map will biject, i.e. then since $\alpha$ is bijective and $\gamma$ the identity then it follows that $\beta$ is bijective, i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d+1)\right)^{\beta} \longrightarrow \\
& \searrow \alpha \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3} \mid P_{i}} \\
& \searrow \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$
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$$
\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \Omega_{\mathbf{P} \mid P_{i}} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=n+1}^{r} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}| | P_{i}}
$$

(iii) If $m>r$, i.e. we have more points than the critical number, our map will inject, i.e. then since $\alpha$ is bijective and $\gamma$ injective then it follows that $\beta$ is injective.

$$
\begin{gathered}
H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d+1)\right) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3} \mid P_{i}} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=r+1}^{m} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3} \mid P_{i}} \\
\searrow \alpha \\
\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3} \mid P_{i}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus we have proved the maximal rank property (injectivity, surjectivity or bijectivity) for any non negative integer $m$.
Lemma 4. The Initial Cases
(a) $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}(d+1)$ is true when $d=2$ and
(b) $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}(d-1)$ is true when $d=1$

Proof. (a) $d=2$ then $h^{\circ}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(1)^{\oplus 3}\right) \leq 3 r \leq h^{\circ}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(3)\right)$ i.e. $12 \leq 3 r \leq$ 20 i.e. $4 \leq r \leq 6$
and so $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}(\bar{d}+1)$ follows from truth of; (i) $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}^{\prime}(3 ; 6,1,0)$, (ii) $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}^{\prime}(3 ; 5,2,1)$, (iii) $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}^{\prime}(3 ; 4,0,0)$
(i) $\boldsymbol{H}_{0,3}^{\prime}(1 ; 3,3,0)$ implies $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}^{\prime}(3 ; 6,1,0)$ by lemma 5 i.e.
$0 \longrightarrow H^{\circ}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(1)^{\oplus 3}\right) \longrightarrow H^{\circ}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(3)\right) \longrightarrow H^{\circ}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(3)\right) \longrightarrow 0$
$\bigoplus_{i=1}^{3} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(1)_{\mid R_{i}}^{\oplus 3} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=4}^{6} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(2)_{\mid R_{i}} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{6} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3} \mid R_{i}} \oplus \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2} \mid S} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=4}^{6} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2} \mid R_{i}} \oplus \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2} \mid S}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}(1 ; 0,3,0)$ implies $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}(1 ; 3,3,0)$ as follows;

is proved below (b).
(ii) $\boldsymbol{H}_{0,3}^{\prime}(1 ; 3,1,1)$ implies $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}^{\prime}(3 ; 5,2,1)$ just like in (i)above and again as we have done above, $\boldsymbol{H}_{0,3}^{\prime}(1 ; 3,1,1)$ can be reduced to $\boldsymbol{H}_{0,0}^{\prime}(1 ; 0,1,1)$ is proved below (b).
(iii) $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}(1 ; 4,0,0)$ implies $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}^{\prime}(3 ; 4,4,0)$ just like in (i)above and again as we have done above, $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}(1 ; 3,1,1)$ can be reduced to $\boldsymbol{H}_{\circlearrowleft, 0}^{\prime}(1 ; 1,0,0)$ which is true.
(b) For $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}(0)$ it follows from 3 cases;
(i) $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}(0 ; 1,0,0)$ is true since we have triples of constants being evaluated at a point, and so the map $\rho: H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}^{\oplus 3}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3} \mid P}^{\oplus 3}$ is bijective.
(ii)For $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}(0 ; 0,3,0)$ consider the following:


Since $\iota$ is an identity, $\rho$ is bijetive and $\pi$ is bijective, and $\phi=\rho \circ \pi$ thus bijective, and $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}(0 ; 0,3,0)$ true for 3 general points $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ i.e. they generate it.
(iii) $\boldsymbol{H}_{\circlearrowleft, 3}^{\prime}(0 ; 0,1,1)$ follows from:
 $\pi$ is bijective and $\rho$ an identity hence $\phi$ is bijective.
Lemma 5. First Vectorial Method
Suppose d, $r, s, t$ satisfy (3a), (3b), and (3c). Write $h^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d+1)\right)-2 s-t=$ $2 v+w$ with $v, w$ non negative integers and $0 \leq w \leq 1$. Set $u=r-v-w$. If $u$ is a non negative integer, then $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}(d-1 ; u, v, w)$ implies $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}^{\prime}(d+1 ; r, s, t)$.
Proof. If $h^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d+1)\right)-2 s-t=0=2 v+w$ i.e. $2 s+t=h^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d+1)\right)-2 s-t$ and thus we have

suppose $\gamma$ is bijective (it is Lemma 8) and since $\alpha$ is bijective by proposition 13 then $\beta$ is bijective.

Next If $h^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d+1)\right)-2 s-t=2 v+w \neq 0$ then we have two possibilities i.e. that $2 v+w$ is an even or odd positive integer.

Suppose $2 v+w$ is even then $v=\frac{1}{2}\left[h^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d+1)\right)-2 s-t\right]$ and $w=0$ and thus

suppose $\gamma$ is bijective and since $\alpha$ is bijective by proposition 13 (we get bijectivity by specializing the required number of points, $v$ from $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ to $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ ) then $\beta$ is bijective.

So far we have used the méthode d'Horace simple only, see [4], méthode simple.
If $2 v+w$ is odd then we have a quotient so we use lemma 12 and we have
$\lambda: H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d+1)\right) \quad \rightarrow \quad \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2} \mid S_{i}} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{v} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2} \mid V_{i}} \oplus D_{\mid T_{l}}^{\prime}$ setting
$L=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2} \mid S_{i}} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{v} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2} \mid V_{i}} \oplus D_{\mid T_{l}}^{\prime}$ then $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d+1)\right) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{E} \oplus \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2} \mid W}$ thus

suppose $\gamma$ is bijective and since $\alpha$ is by proposition 13 (we get bijectivity by specializing the required number of points, $v+1$ from $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ to $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ ) then $\beta$ is bijective.
Other subcases are: If $s=t=0$ then $h^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d+1)\right)=2 v+w$ and
If $2 v+w$ is even then $w=0$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}(d-1 ; u, v, 0)$ implies $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}^{\prime}(d ; r, 0,0)$.

If $2 v+w$ is odd then $w=1$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}(d-1 ; u, v, 1)$ implies
$\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}^{\prime}(d ; r, 0,0)$.
If $s \neq 0$ but $t=0$ then we have $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}(d-1 ; u, v, w)$ implies $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}^{\prime}(d ; r, s, 0)$.

Remark 1. Now $u, v$, and $w$ defined above have to satisfy
(i) $v+w \leq h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d)\right)$ and
(ii) $u$ is a non negative integer.
(i) we know that $2 v+w=d(d+2)-2 s-t$ i.e. $2 v+w+w=d(d+2)-2 s-t+w$ $v+w=\frac{1}{2}(d(d+2)-2 s-t+w)=\frac{1}{2}\left(d^{2}+2 d+w-2 s-t\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(d^{2}+2 d+d+2\right)=$ $\frac{1}{2}(d+1)(d+2)=h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d)\right)$ i.e. $v+w \leq h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d)\right)$ since $d+2 \geq w-2 s-t$ for $\leq w \leq 0, s \geq 0,0 \geq t$ and $d \geq-1$
(ii) $u$ is defined thus $u=r-v-w$.
$u \geq r_{\text {min }}-(v+w)_{\text {max }}$
i.e. $r_{\text {min }}=\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}(d+3)(d+2) d-2 s-t\right)=\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}(d+3)(d+2) d-d(d+2)\right)=$ $\frac{1}{6}(d+2)(d+1) d(v+w)_{\text {max }}=\frac{1}{2}(d+1)(d+2)$
Thus $u \geq \frac{1}{6}(d+2)(d+1) d-\frac{1}{2}(d+1)(d+2)=\frac{1}{6}(d+2)(d+1)(d-3) \geq 0$ for all $d \geq 3$
For $d=0$ and $d=1$, we get less than 3 points in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ for which the MRC does not hold thus we do not need these cases. When $d=2$ then we have the initial cases, i.e. lemma 4

Lemma 6. Second Vectorial Method
Suppose $d$, $u, v, w$ satisfy $(4 a),(4 b)$, and $(4 c)$. Write $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d)\right)-v-w=\bar{s}$, where $\bar{s}$ is a non negative integer. Set $\bar{r}=u-\bar{s}$ and $\bar{t}=w$. If $\bar{r}$ is a non negative integer, then $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}^{\prime}(d ; \bar{r}, \bar{s}, \bar{t})$ implies $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}(d-1 ; u, v, w)$.
Proof. From the sequences below
The map $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d)\right) \quad \longrightarrow \quad \bigoplus_{i=1}^{v} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d)_{\mid V_{i}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d)_{\mid W_{l}}$ is surjective by Lemma 2. To make it bijective, we specilize $\bar{s}$ points from $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ to $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ and thus we have $\alpha$ being bijective ( by Proposition 14) (If $\bar{s}=0$, then we already have bijectivity )
If $\gamma$ is bijective and $\alpha$ (can always be as we have seen) then by the 3 Lemma $\beta$ us bijective thus $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}^{\prime}(d ; \bar{r}, \bar{s}, \bar{t})$ implies $\boldsymbol{H}_{\bigcirc, 3}^{\prime}(d-1 ; u, v, w)$.


Remark 2. For $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}^{\prime}(d ; \bar{r}, \bar{s}, \bar{t})$ to hold the $\bar{r}, \bar{s}, \bar{t}$ defined should satisfy
(i) $\bar{r} \geq 0$ and
(ii) $2 \bar{s}+\bar{t} \leq h^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d)\right)=(d-1)(d+1)$
(i) $\bar{s}$ is bounded above by $\left.h^{( } \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d)\right)=\frac{1}{2}(d+1)(d+2)$ i.e. $v+w=0$ and $u$ is bounded below by $\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}(d+2)(d+1) d-(v+2 w)\right) v+w=0$ implies $v+2 w=0$
whence $\bar{r} \geq \frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}(d+2)(d+1) d\right)-\frac{1}{2}(d+1)(d+2)=\frac{(d+2)(d+1)(d-3)}{6}$ i.e $\bar{r} \geq 0$ for $d \geq 3$
For cases where $d \leq 2$ see the following:
For $d=1$ we have $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}(0 ; 1,0,0), \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}(0 ; 0,3,0)$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}(0 ; 0,1,1)$ the initial cases.
For $d=2$ we can use the initial cases to prove bijectivity i.e.
In $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}(1 ; u, v, w)$,there are only 5 possibilities for $(u, v, w)$ i.e.
(a) $(4,0,0),(3,3,0)$, and $(3,1,1)$ are special cases of corollary 11 , by lemmas 5,6 or 7 .
(b) $(2,4,1)$ and $(2,6,0)$ and the initial case $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}^{\prime}(2 ; 2,0,0)$ implies $\boldsymbol{H}_{\circlearrowleft, 3}^{\prime}(1 ; u, v, w)$ when $v=4, w=1$ and when $v=6, w=0$
(ii) we know $\bar{s}=\frac{1}{2}(d+1)(d+2)-v-w$ so $2 \bar{s}=(d+1)(d+2)-2 v-2 w$ but $\bar{t}=w$ thus we have $2 \bar{s}+\bar{t}=(d+1)(d+2)-2 v-w$.
Question: When is $2 \bar{s}+\bar{t} \leq h^{0}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d)\right.$ ?
Answer: When $2 \bar{s}+\bar{t}=(d+1)(d+2)-2 v-w$ is less than $(d-1)(d+1)$ i.e. when $3 d+3 \leq 2 v+w$ i.e. $w \in\{0,1\}, d \geq 0$ and $v+\frac{1}{2} w \geq \frac{3}{2}(d+1)$
Lemma 7. Divisorial Method
Suppose $d, u, v, w$ are non negative integers satisfying the conditions of Lemma 2. Set $u^{\prime}=u-h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d-1)\right)=u-\frac{1}{2} d(d+1)$. If one has $u^{\prime} \geq 0$, then $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}\left(d-2 ; u^{\prime}, v, w\right)$ implies $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}(d-1 ; u, v, w)$.
Proof. Consider the following strict exact sequence
$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d-2)^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d-1)^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{H}(d-1)^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow 0$
The map $\rho: H^{0}\left(H, \mathcal{O}_{H}(d-1)^{\oplus 3}\right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{y} \mathcal{O}_{H}(d-1)^{\oplus 3}, Y_{i}$ is bijective if $y=\frac{1}{2} d(d+2)$. Thus if $\gamma$ is bijective then $\beta$ is bijective see the sequence;


Here we specialize $y$ points from $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ to a $H \cong \mathbf{P}^{2}$ but not containing $v$ and $w$ then $u^{\prime}=u-y$
Remark 3. Consider the following sequence
$u^{\prime}, v, w$ defined must be such that
(i) $v+w \leq h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d-1)\right.$ and so $d \geq 2$
(ii) $u^{\prime} \geq 0$ with $0 \leq w \leq 1$ and $d \geq 3$
i.e. $\quad u^{\prime}=u-\frac{1}{2} d(d+1)=\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{1}{2}(d+2)(d+1) d-v-2 w\right)-\frac{1}{2} d(d+1)=$ $\frac{1}{6}(d-1)(d+1) d-2(v+2 w)$
Using $v+w \leq \frac{1}{2} d(d+1)$ i.e. the upper bound we take equality we end up with $u^{\prime} \geq \frac{1}{6}(d-2)(d)(d+1)-w$ thus for $u^{\prime} \geq 0$, we must have $0 \leq w \leq 1$ and $d \geq 3$. For $d=2$ is an initial case.
Theorem 8. (a) For $d \geq 1, \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}(d-1)$ implies $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}(d+1)$
(b) For $d \geq 2, \boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}(d)$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}(d-2)$ imply $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}(d-1)$

Proof. (a) follows from lemma 4 and remark 1
(b) we shall prove it by 4 arguments
(i) If $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}(d)$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}(d-2)$ are both false then (b) is an initial case and thus is true.
(ii) If $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}(d)$ is true but $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}(d-2)$ false then (b) holds by lemma 6 and remark 2 for $d \geq 1$.
(iii) If $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}(d-2)$ is true but $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}(d)$ false then (b) holds by lemma 7 and remark 3 with $d \geq 2$
(iv)If $\boldsymbol{H}_{\Omega, 3}(d)$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}(d-2)$ are both true then (b) is true is true by lemma 6 and remark 2 for $d \geq 1$ or by lemma 7 and remark 3 for $d \geq 2$

We have now attained the Goal we set ourselves before Theorem 3 .
Lemma 9. For any integer $d \geq 1$, the hypothesis $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}\left(d-1 ; h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d-\right.\right.$ 1)), 0,0 ) is true.

Proof. $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}\left(d-1 ; h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d-1)\right), 0,0\right)$ is a special case of $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}(d-1)$ and thus is true as long as the number of points $u$ in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ that we require for the truth of $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}_{3},}^{\prime}\left(d-1 ; h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d-1)\right), 0,0\right)$ are $u=\frac{1}{3} h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d-1)^{\oplus 3}=h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d-1)\right.\right.$

Lemma 10. The hypothesis $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathcal{O}, 3}^{\prime}(0 ; 0,3,0)$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_{\odot, 3}^{\prime}(0 ; 0,1,1)$ are true.
Proof. Follow from lemma 4
A consequence of these last two lemmas is the following statement.
Corollary 11. Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer. Then $\boldsymbol{H}_{\circlearrowleft, 3}^{\prime}(d-1 ; u, v, w)$ holds in the following cases:
a. $u=h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d-1)\right), v=0, w=0$.
b. $u=h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d-1)\right)-1, v=3, w=0$.
c. $u=h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d-1)\right)-1, v=1, w=1$.

Proof. we use lemmas 7,8 and 9 i.e.
(a) follows from Lemma 9 , set $u=h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d-1)\right)$
(b) If $d=1$ then it is true by lemma 10 , if $d \geq 1$ and use lemma 7 to reduce it to lemma 9
(c) If $\mathrm{d}=1$ then it is true by lemma 10 , if $d \geq 1$ and use lemma 7 to reduce it to lemma 9 .

Lemma 12. The differential méthode d'Horace [1]
Suppose we are given a surjective morphism of vector spaces,

$$
\lambda: H^{\circ}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d+1)\right) \rightarrow L
$$

and suppose there exists a point $Z^{\prime}$ in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ such that

$$
H^{\circ}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d+1)\right) \hookrightarrow L \oplus \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d+1)_{\mid Z^{\prime}} \text { and }
$$

Suppose also that $H^{1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d-1)^{\oplus 3}\right)=0$. Then there exists a quotient $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d-1)_{\mid Z^{\prime}}^{3} \longrightarrow D$ with kernel contained in $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d)_{\mid Z^{\prime}}$ of dimension $\operatorname{dim}(D)=$ $\operatorname{rank}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(\mathrm{~d}+1)\right)-\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker} \lambda)$ having the following property.

$$
\text { Let } \mu: H^{\circ}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d+1)\right) \longrightarrow M
$$

be a morphism of vector spaces then there exists $Z$ in $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ such that if $H^{\circ}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d-1)^{\oplus 3}\right) \longrightarrow M \oplus D$ is of maximal rank then $\left.H^{\circ}\left(\mathbf{P}^{3}, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d+1)\right) \longrightarrow M \oplus L \oplus \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{3}}(d+1)\right|_{Z}$ is also of maximal rank.

Proposition 13. For all $d \geq 1$, there exists $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{p}, Q \in \mathbf{P}^{2}$ and a quotient $\Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2}, Q} \rightarrow D^{\prime}, Q$ such that the map $\left.H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}, \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d+1)\right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{p} \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{2} \mid P_{i}} \oplus D\right|_{Q}$ is of maximal rank.

Proof. Follows from truth of MRC for $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ for $p$ general points in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ and a point $Q$ in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ for which we have a quotient.

Proposition 14. For all $d \geq 1$, there exists $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{m}, N \in \mathbf{P}^{2}$ and a quotient $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2},{ }_{N}} \oplus D^{\prime}{ }_{, N} \rightarrow D^{\prime},{ }_{N}$ such that the map $H^{0}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2}}(d)\right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{2} \mid M_{i}} \oplus$ $\mathcal{O}_{\left.\mathbf{P}^{2}\right|_{N}}$ is of bijective.

Proof. Follows from truth of MRC for $\mathbf{P}^{2} m$ general set of points in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ and a point $N$ in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ for which we have a quotient.

Remark 4. The Geometric methods used always work for $\mathbf{P}^{3}$ but for higher dimensional projective spaces one would have to use other methods in addition.
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