1	
2	A new integrative model of lateral epicondylalgia
3	
4	
5	Keywords / Phrases: tennis elbow, lateral humeral epicondylitis
6	tendinopathy, tendinitis
7	
8	
9	Word count 4307
10	
11	

12	Abstract
13	
14	Tennis elbow or lateral epicondylalgia is a diagnosis familiar to many
15	within the general community and presents with an uncomplicated
16	clinical picture in most cases. However, the underlying
17	pathophysiology presents a more complex state and its management
18	has not been conclusively determined. Research on this topic extends
19	across anatomical, biomechanical and clinical literature, however
20	integration of findings is lacking. We propose that the current
21	understanding of the underlying pathophysiology of lateral
22	epicondylalgia can be conceptualised as encompassing three
23	interrelated components: (i) the local tendon pathology, (ii) changes in
24	the pain system, and (iii) motor system impairments. This paper
25	presents a model that integrates these components on the basis of a
26	literature review with the express aim of assisting in the targeting of
27	specific treatments or combinations thereof to individual patients.
28	
29	

30	INTRODUCTION
31	Pain over the lateral epicondyle associated with gripping and
32	manipulation of the hand is generally linked with a diagnosis of tennis
33	elbow or lateral epicondylalgia (LE). With an annual incidence of 4 to
34	7 cases per 1000 patients in general practice [1, 2] and 1-3% within
35	the general population [3-7], LE is a common condition that
36	significantly impacts on the individual and society. It occurs primarily
37	between the ages of 35 and 54 years, and typically affects the
38	dominant arm in men and women alike.[1, 2, 7] Tennis players [8] and
39	those working in industries requiring manual tasks with a combination
40	of force, repetition and poor posture are at greater risk.[7, 9, 10]
41	or rosses, repetition and poor posterior are an greater rismit, 1, 2, 10]
42	LE is commonly recognised as being challenging to treat and prone to
43	recurrent episodes. The average duration of a typical episode ranges
44	from 6 to 24 months, with most patients (89%) reporting recovery by
45	one year.[1] High recurrence rates have been reported with
46	corticosteroid injection, a common conservative treatment of LE. In a
47	recent randomised controlled trial, 72% of patients reported a
48	recurrence in their condition within twelve months of receiving a
49	corticosteroid injection in comparison to 9% with a "wait and see"
50	policy.[11] It has been estimated that between 5-10% of patients
51	develop chronic symptoms and eventually undergo surgical
52	intervention.[12-15]
53	
54	The clinical presentation of LE is reasonably straightforward and easy
55	to recognise, which contrasts to a more complex underlying
56	pathophysiology. Whilst our knowledge of clinically effective
57	treatments is increasingly evidence based, the challenge for the
58	healthcare practitioner, whether in clinic or the laboratory, is to
59	reconcile this to emerging findings of the condition's
60	pathophysiology. This paper provides a synopsis of the current
61	evidence of the pathology of LE and proposes a model that seeks to
62	reconcile this evidence with emerging best practice strategies in the
63	management of the condition.
64	
<i>~</i> =	A DRODOGED BATHODINGIOI OCICAL MODEL OF
65 66	A PROPOSED PATHOPHYSIOLOGICAL MODEL OF LATERAL EPICONDYLALGIA
67	
	A new model is proposed to assist integration of current evidence of
68 69	LE's pathophysiology with the purpose of providing a better rationale
	for emerging management strategies. We propose that LE can be
70 71	conceptualised as comprising three interrelated components: (i) the
71	local tendon pathology, (ii) changes in the pain system, and (iii)

Page 3 of 26

impairment in the motor system (Figure 1). In this model it is

It is proposed that through comprehensive evaluation, different

recognised that not all LE patients have the same clinical presentation.

proportions of tendon pathology, pain system dysfunction and motor

72

73

74

76 system impairments can be used to define subgroups of LE in the 77 clinic and research laboratory. This will assist in the matching of 78 individual patient presentations to effective treatment approaches. 79 80 <<< insert Figure 1 here>>> 81 EVIDENCE OF LOCAL TENDON PATHOLOGY 82 Similar tendon changes have been identified in LE, Achilles and 83 patellar tendinopathies, suggestive of a consistent underlying 84 process.[16] Microscopic and histological analyses of affected tendons 85 have identified four key changes, collectively termed 86 angiofibroblastic hyperplasia: (1) increased cell numbers and ground 87 substance; (2) vascular hyperplasia or neovascularisation; (3) 88 increased concentration of neurochemicals and (4) disorganised and 89 immature collagen.[17-19] Consistent absence of inflammatory cells 90 has resulted in the general consensus that the process is non-91 inflammatory in nature, although neurogenic inflammation may play a 92 role.[19, 20] Instead, the pathological process has been described as 93 'degenerative', or one of 'dysfunctional, immature healing'. [17, 18, 94 21] A continuum of tendon cellular and structural changes has been 95 recently proposed to occur in tendinopathy accounting for 96 heterogeneity of presentation.[22] Neovessel ingrowth has recently 97 received increased attention as a source of pain in LE, owing to the 98 close association between neural structures, microvasculature and 99 neurochemicals at the proximal tendinous insertion of extensor carpi 100 radialis brevis (ECRB).[23-25] 101 102 Tendons are a living tissue and respond to mechanical forces by 103 altering their structure, composition and mechanical properties, a 104 process referred to as mechanotransduction.[22, 26-29] Physical 105 training promotes both synthesis and degradation of collagen with a dominance of the former process, resulting in increased Type I 106 107 collagen.[29, 30] Stress-deprivation adversely affects tendons, 108 resulting in increased fibroblasts, decreased longitudinally aligned 109 collagen, decreased tendon stiffness and tensile strength.[29, 31] 110 altered gene expression, imbalance of matrix metalloproteinases, a 111 group of enzymes involved in remodeling of the extracellular matrix, 112 and growth factors are currently being studied to better understand the 113 dynamic response of tendon to mechanical loading.[32] 114 115 LE is traditionally described as an overuse injury, where the ability of the tendon to repair itself becomes overwhelmed, leading to micro-116 117 and macroscopic changes.[17, 19, 33] however, recent studies of 118 patellar and achilles tendons have identified lower strain levels in the 119 deeper regions of the tendon associated with tendinopathic change. 120 [34, 35] It was suggested that stress-shielding, a term used to describe 121 the tissue experiencing lower strain levels, may predispose specific

regions of the tendon to structural weakening, making it more

123 susceptible to overload.[22, 27, 36, 37] It has also been argued that 124 insertional tendinopathies may not be purely tensile injuries, but that 125 compressive and shear forces may be involved.[21, 38, 39] The 126 fibrocartilaginous composition of the ECRB enthesis may reflect a 127 functional adaptation to these forces.[40] 128 129 Pathological changes have been reported in the deep and anterior 130 fibres of the proximal insertion of the ECRB tendon, defining LE as 131 an 'insertional tendinopathy' or 'enthesopathy'.[15, 18, 41, 42] An 132 understanding of the unique structure and function of the extensor 133 region of the elbow is useful for appreciation of pathology. The ECRB 134 enthesis comprises a superficial, narrow attachment to the lateral 135 epicondyle and a broad attachment to an intermuscular septum.[40, 136 431 The deeper aspect merges directly with the lateral collateral 137 ligament and indirectly with the annular ligament. The extensive 138 connections of this enthesis are believed to be involved in the natural 139 dissipation of stress across a broad area.[33, 40, 43] High levels of 140 stress within the ECRB musculotendinous unit has been suggested as 141 contributing to the overuse changes seen in LE.[44, 45] In summary, 142 local tendon pathology may be the result of overuse, underuse, tensile, 143 compressive or shear forces, which leave the tendon in a debilitated 144 state. Diagnostic imaging of local pathology 145 146 While LE is usually diagnosed clinically, recent research using 147 imaging suggests that certain modalities may be helpful in diagnosing 148 local tissue pathology. Ultrasound imaging has been used to identify 149 grey-scale or structural changes in affected tendons in LE, including 150 tendon thickening or thinning, focal areas of hypoechogenicity, 151 tendon tears, calcification or bony irregularity.[42, 46-48] Tendon 152 neovascularisation in LE has been detected with Doppler ultrasound 153 and correlated with degenerative tissue on biopsy.[41, 47] 154 Comparison of these two imaging modalities by du Doit et al. (2008), 155 found neovascularity detected by power-Doppler to be diagnostically 156 superior in identifying chronic LE compared to grey-scale changes.[47] 157 The absence of both tendon neovascularity and grey-scale changes 158 was shown to conclusively rule out LE as a diagnosis and should 159 prompt further investigation.[47] However, the amount of 160 neovascularity was not correlated with clinical measures of pain 161 severity or function.[47] In summary, current evidence suggests that 162 imaging is useful for confirmation of the diagnosis of LE and that neovascularity, but not structure might be related to clinical findings. 163 164 There is currently no evidence to suggest that findings on imaging 165 should dictate management of the condition or be used as an outcome measure.[39, 49] 166

168 **EVIDENCE OF PAIN SYSTEM CHANGES** 169 In chronic musculoskeletal pain states such as LE, the patient's pain 170 experience may culminate from changes in both the peripheral and 171 central nervous systems, possibly involving both nociceptive and non-172 nociceptive processes as well as neuronal and non-neuronal tissues. 173 We use the term 'pain system changes' to define this complex phenomenon. It is increasingly recognised that a disordered pain 174 175 system itself may contribute to the pathophysiology of the 176 condition.[24, 25, 50, 51] Microdialysis of LE-affected tendons has 177 demonstrated increased concentrations of glutamate.[20] Substance P 178 and calcitonin gene-related peptide reactive nerve fibres have been 179 located in the proximal ECRB tendon in conjunction with small blood 180 vessels.[23-25] These neurochemicals are known to be potent 181 modulators of pain in the human nervous system, with additional roles 182 in regulating the local tendon circulation and neurogenic 183 inflammation.[19, 23-25, 50] 184 185 Quantitative sensory testing has been used to better understand the 186 pain processing mechanisms underlying LE symptoms. In brief, LE is 187 typically characterised by hyperalgesia, defined as an exaggerated or 188 increased response to a noxious stimulus.[52] Reduction in pressure 189 pain thresholds by an average of 45-54% has been demonstrated over 190 the lateral epicondyle of affected elbows compared to unaffected 191 elbows of LE sufferers.[53-56] On comparison with a healthy control 192 group, Slater et al (2005) demonstrated significant bilateral 193 hyperalgesia in LE.[57] It was suggested that transition from a 194 unilateral localised pain to chronic LE with bilateral manifestations 195 may be a time-dependent process. [57] Whilst thermal pain threshold 196 is not affected in the majority of LE [54, 58], cold hyperalgesia was 197 found in a subgroup of patients with chronic LE who responded to a 198 regional block with guanethidine, that is, those with a component of 199 sympathetically maintained chronic pain.[59] 200 Secondary Hyperalgesia in Lateral Epicondylalgia 201 A number of interacting neurophysiologic mechanisms may explain the hyperalgesia observed in LE. The presence of bilateral deficits in 202 203 pain thresholds [57], along with bias towards mechanical rather than 204 thermal hyperalgesia [51], is characteristic of secondary hyperalgesia. 205 This implicates some form of altered processing within the neuraxis 206 (spinal or supraspinal centres), often referred to as central 207 sensitisation.[52] Extrapolation from other neurophysiological studies 208 suggest that this process is initiated by activity in peripheral 209 nociceptors, but may be sustained in the absence of peripheral 210 nociceptor input.[52] Release of excitatory amino acids and 211 neuropeptides, such as glutamate and Substance P from presynaptic 212 nociceptive afferents may be involved in initiation of a cascade of

changes that enhance the neuron's responsiveness, which include

increased excitability of wide-dynamic range neurons and increased

213

215	receptive field size.[52] Further supporting the involvement of this
216	process in LE, is evidence of myelinated group A fibres mediating the
217	reduced mechanical pain thresholds in LE.[51]
218	
219	A defining feature of secondary hyperalgesia is the spread of the
220	reduced mechanical pain threshold beyond that of the original site of
221	tissue injury.[52] This may explain how symptoms of LE can arise
222	from tissues, such as the cervical spine and neural tissues, that are
223	neurologically related to, but not at, the injured tissue site.[53, 60-64]
224	Positive findings on manual examination of the cervical spine have
225	been documented in 56% of LE sufferers.[61] Comparison with an
226	age-matched control population, found a significantly higher
227	prevalence of self-reported neck pain in LE participants, suggesting
228	
	that degenerative and age-related changes do not sufficiently account
229	for neck pain in people with LE.[60] Several studies have also
230	reported positive radial nerve neurodynamic testing in LE
231	participants. [54, 61, 62] The presence of concomitant neck pain has
232	been associated with higher pain scores at 1 year follow-up[1], while
233	female patients with nerve symptoms (pins and needles or numbness)
234	were more likely to experience a poorer short-term outcome after 8
235	weeks of physical therapy.[61]
236	EVIDENCE OF MOTOR IMPAIRMENTS
237	Evidence of dysfunction of the motor system has been demonstrated
237 238	Evidence of dysfunction of the motor system has been demonstrated in LE, including diminished strength [56, 57, 65], morphological
238	in LE, including diminished strength [56, 57, 65], morphological
238 239	in LE, including diminished strength [56, 57, 65], morphological changes [66] and altered motor control.[67-70] Consistent with the
238	in LE, including diminished strength [56, 57, 65], morphological changes [66] and altered motor control.[67-70] Consistent with the pattern of impairments in the pain system, some of the motor system
238 239 240	in LE, including diminished strength [56, 57, 65], morphological changes [66] and altered motor control.[67-70] Consistent with the
238 239 240 241 242	in LE, including diminished strength [56, 57, 65], morphological changes [66] and altered motor control.[67-70] Consistent with the pattern of impairments in the pain system, some of the motor system changes are apparent bilaterally [67, 71] and at both local and remote sites.[72]
238 239 240 241 242 243	in LE, including diminished strength [56, 57, 65], morphological changes [66] and altered motor control.[67-70] Consistent with the pattern of impairments in the pain system, some of the motor system changes are apparent bilaterally [67, 71] and at both local and remote sites.[72] Deficits of gripping capacity
238 239 240 241 242 243 244	in LE, including diminished strength [56, 57, 65], morphological changes [66] and altered motor control.[67-70] Consistent with the pattern of impairments in the pain system, some of the motor system changes are apparent bilaterally [67, 71] and at both local and remote sites.[72] Deficits of gripping capacity The wrist extensors are strongly activated in a stabilising role to
238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245	in LE, including diminished strength [56, 57, 65], morphological changes [66] and altered motor control.[67-70] Consistent with the pattern of impairments in the pain system, some of the motor system changes are apparent bilaterally [67, 71] and at both local and remote sites.[72] Deficits of gripping capacity The wrist extensors are strongly activated in a stabilising role to prevent wrist flexion during gripping activities.[33] Interestingly,
238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246	in LE, including diminished strength [56, 57, 65], morphological changes [66] and altered motor control.[67-70] Consistent with the pattern of impairments in the pain system, some of the motor system changes are apparent bilaterally [67, 71] and at both local and remote sites.[72] Deficits of gripping capacity The wrist extensors are strongly activated in a stabilising role to prevent wrist flexion during gripping activities.[33] Interestingly, pain-free grip is more sensitive to change than maximum grip
238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247	in LE, including diminished strength [56, 57, 65], morphological changes [66] and altered motor control.[67-70] Consistent with the pattern of impairments in the pain system, some of the motor system changes are apparent bilaterally [67, 71] and at both local and remote sites.[72] Deficits of gripping capacity The wrist extensors are strongly activated in a stabilising role to prevent wrist flexion during gripping activities.[33] Interestingly, pain-free grip is more sensitive to change than maximum grip strength, and is the recommended clinical outcome measure in
238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248	in LE, including diminished strength [56, 57, 65], morphological changes [66] and altered motor control.[67-70] Consistent with the pattern of impairments in the pain system, some of the motor system changes are apparent bilaterally [67, 71] and at both local and remote sites.[72] Deficits of gripping capacity The wrist extensors are strongly activated in a stabilising role to prevent wrist flexion during gripping activities.[33] Interestingly, pain-free grip is more sensitive to change than maximum grip strength, and is the recommended clinical outcome measure in LE.[73] Pain-free grip force is reduced in LE by an average of 43-
238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249	in LE, including diminished strength [56, 57, 65], morphological changes [66] and altered motor control.[67-70] Consistent with the pattern of impairments in the pain system, some of the motor system changes are apparent bilaterally [67, 71] and at both local and remote sites.[72] Deficits of gripping capacity The wrist extensors are strongly activated in a stabilising role to prevent wrist flexion during gripping activities.[33] Interestingly, pain-free grip is more sensitive to change than maximum grip strength, and is the recommended clinical outcome measure in LE.[73] Pain-free grip force is reduced in LE by an average of 43-64% on comparison to unaffected side.[54, 55, 67, 74, 75] By
238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250	in LE, including diminished strength [56, 57, 65], morphological changes [66] and altered motor control.[67-70] Consistent with the pattern of impairments in the pain system, some of the motor system changes are apparent bilaterally [67, 71] and at both local and remote sites.[72] Deficits of gripping capacity The wrist extensors are strongly activated in a stabilising role to prevent wrist flexion during gripping activities.[33] Interestingly, pain-free grip is more sensitive to change than maximum grip strength, and is the recommended clinical outcome measure in LE.[73] Pain-free grip force is reduced in LE by an average of 43-64% on comparison to unaffected side.[54, 55, 67, 74, 75] By definition, this measure reflects the amount of force required to first
238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251	in LE, including diminished strength [56, 57, 65], morphological changes [66] and altered motor control.[67-70] Consistent with the pattern of impairments in the pain system, some of the motor system changes are apparent bilaterally [67, 71] and at both local and remote sites.[72] Deficits of gripping capacity The wrist extensors are strongly activated in a stabilising role to prevent wrist flexion during gripping activities.[33] Interestingly, pain-free grip is more sensitive to change than maximum grip strength, and is the recommended clinical outcome measure in LE.[73] Pain-free grip force is reduced in LE by an average of 43-64% on comparison to unaffected side.[54, 55, 67, 74, 75] By definition, this measure reflects the amount of force required to first reproduce pain and as such it is an indirect measure of the pain
238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252	in LE, including diminished strength [56, 57, 65], morphological changes [66] and altered motor control.[67-70] Consistent with the pattern of impairments in the pain system, some of the motor system changes are apparent bilaterally [67, 71] and at both local and remote sites.[72] Deficits of gripping capacity The wrist extensors are strongly activated in a stabilising role to prevent wrist flexion during gripping activities.[33] Interestingly, pain-free grip is more sensitive to change than maximum grip strength, and is the recommended clinical outcome measure in LE.[73] Pain-free grip force is reduced in LE by an average of 43-64% on comparison to unaffected side.[54, 55, 67, 74, 75] By definition, this measure reflects the amount of force required to first reproduce pain and as such it is an indirect measure of the pain system, rather than a measure of strength. Testing of maximal grip
238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253	in LE, including diminished strength [56, 57, 65], morphological changes [66] and altered motor control.[67-70] Consistent with the pattern of impairments in the pain system, some of the motor system changes are apparent bilaterally [67, 71] and at both local and remote sites.[72] Deficits of gripping capacity The wrist extensors are strongly activated in a stabilising role to prevent wrist flexion during gripping activities.[33] Interestingly, pain-free grip is more sensitive to change than maximum grip strength, and is the recommended clinical outcome measure in LE.[73] Pain-free grip force is reduced in LE by an average of 43-64% on comparison to unaffected side.[54, 55, 67, 74, 75] By definition, this measure reflects the amount of force required to first reproduce pain and as such it is an indirect measure of the pain system, rather than a measure of strength. Testing of maximal grip strength in LE participants has revealed differing results between
238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254	in LE, including diminished strength [56, 57, 65], morphological changes [66] and altered motor control.[67-70] Consistent with the pattern of impairments in the pain system, some of the motor system changes are apparent bilaterally [67, 71] and at both local and remote sites.[72] Deficits of gripping capacity The wrist extensors are strongly activated in a stabilising role to prevent wrist flexion during gripping activities.[33] Interestingly, pain-free grip is more sensitive to change than maximum grip strength, and is the recommended clinical outcome measure in LE.[73] Pain-free grip force is reduced in LE by an average of 43-64% on comparison to unaffected side.[54, 55, 67, 74, 75] By definition, this measure reflects the amount of force required to first reproduce pain and as such it is an indirect measure of the pain system, rather than a measure of strength. Testing of maximal grip strength in LE participants has revealed differing results between studies with unilateral weakness [57], bilateral weakness [72] and no
238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255	in LE, including diminished strength [56, 57, 65], morphological changes [66] and altered motor control.[67-70] Consistent with the pattern of impairments in the pain system, some of the motor system changes are apparent bilaterally [67, 71] and at both local and remote sites.[72] Deficits of gripping capacity The wrist extensors are strongly activated in a stabilising role to prevent wrist flexion during gripping activities.[33] Interestingly, pain-free grip is more sensitive to change than maximum grip strength, and is the recommended clinical outcome measure in LE.[73] Pain-free grip force is reduced in LE by an average of 43-64% on comparison to unaffected side.[54, 55, 67, 74, 75] By definition, this measure reflects the amount of force required to first reproduce pain and as such it is an indirect measure of the pain system, rather than a measure of strength. Testing of maximal grip strength in LE participants has revealed differing results between studies with unilateral weakness [57], bilateral weakness [72] and no weakness [67] reported. Unpublished data from the latter study
238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256	in LE, including diminished strength [56, 57, 65], morphological changes [66] and altered motor control.[67-70] Consistent with the pattern of impairments in the pain system, some of the motor system changes are apparent bilaterally [67, 71] and at both local and remote sites.[72] Deficits of gripping capacity The wrist extensors are strongly activated in a stabilising role to prevent wrist flexion during gripping activities.[33] Interestingly, pain-free grip is more sensitive to change than maximum grip strength, and is the recommended clinical outcome measure in LE.[73] Pain-free grip force is reduced in LE by an average of 43-64% on comparison to unaffected side.[54, 55, 67, 74, 75] By definition, this measure reflects the amount of force required to first reproduce pain and as such it is an indirect measure of the pain system, rather than a measure of strength. Testing of maximal grip strength in LE participants has revealed differing results between studies with unilateral weakness [57], bilateral weakness [72] and no weakness [67] reported. Unpublished data from the latter study showed that maximal grip strength testing reproduced an average pain
238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257	in LE, including diminished strength [56, 57, 65], morphological changes [66] and altered motor control.[67-70] Consistent with the pattern of impairments in the pain system, some of the motor system changes are apparent bilaterally [67, 71] and at both local and remote sites.[72] Deficits of gripping capacity The wrist extensors are strongly activated in a stabilising role to prevent wrist flexion during gripping activities.[33] Interestingly, pain-free grip is more sensitive to change than maximum grip strength, and is the recommended clinical outcome measure in LE.[73] Pain-free grip force is reduced in LE by an average of 43-64% on comparison to unaffected side.[54, 55, 67, 74, 75] By definition, this measure reflects the amount of force required to first reproduce pain and as such it is an indirect measure of the pain system, rather than a measure of strength. Testing of maximal grip strength in LE participants has revealed differing results between studies with unilateral weakness [57], bilateral weakness [72] and no weakness [67] reported. Unpublished data from the latter study showed that maximal grip strength testing reproduced an average pain intensity on visual analogue scale of 53 mm, indicating that this test in
238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256	in LE, including diminished strength [56, 57, 65], morphological changes [66] and altered motor control.[67-70] Consistent with the pattern of impairments in the pain system, some of the motor system changes are apparent bilaterally [67, 71] and at both local and remote sites.[72] Deficits of gripping capacity The wrist extensors are strongly activated in a stabilising role to prevent wrist flexion during gripping activities.[33] Interestingly, pain-free grip is more sensitive to change than maximum grip strength, and is the recommended clinical outcome measure in LE.[73] Pain-free grip force is reduced in LE by an average of 43-64% on comparison to unaffected side.[54, 55, 67, 74, 75] By definition, this measure reflects the amount of force required to first reproduce pain and as such it is an indirect measure of the pain system, rather than a measure of strength. Testing of maximal grip strength in LE participants has revealed differing results between studies with unilateral weakness [57], bilateral weakness [72] and no weakness [67] reported. Unpublished data from the latter study showed that maximal grip strength testing reproduced an average pain

rather than maximum grip strength as an outcome measure.[73]

261	
262	Specific muscle strength deficits
263 264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277	Flexor and extensor strength deficits have been observed at the wrist and hand in LE participants compared to healthy controls [57, 72], with the exception of extension of the metacarpophalangeal joint.[72] It was suggested that LE sufferers may maintain or increase strength of the finger extensors to compensate for weakness in the wrist extensors.[72] Assessment of shoulder rotation strength identified weakness in LE participants, indicating the local and remote impact of the condition.[72] In a subsequent study, Alizadehkhaiyat (2007) assessed muscle function in participants with a history of LE who had been asymptomatic for at least 6 months.[70] Remaining weakness was demonstrated on all upper limb strength measures except for strength of muscles of the metacarpophalangeal joint, compared to control participants, indicating incomplete functional recovery despite attenuation of pain.[70]
278	Morphological changes of muscle
279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286	Morphological abnormalities have been identified in the ECRB muscle of patients with long standing LE.[66] These include motheaten fibres, fibre necrosis and signs of muscle fibre regeneration as well as higher percentages of the fast twitch oxidative muscle fibre type.[66] These changes are consistent with the identified strength deficits and would likely contribute to ongoing motor system impairment.
287	Motor control deficits
288 289 290 291 292 293 294 295 296 297 298 299 300 301	Electromyographic activity of the forearm muscles has been studied during the backhand tennis stroke.[68] Activity within ECRB muscle in LE affected players was significantly lower during the early acceleration phase, while greater at ball impact compared to uninjured players. Recently, reduced activity of extensor carpi radialis (ECR) muscles was demonstrated in participants with LE, during isometric wrist extension [69] and gripping tasks,[72] implicating an endurance deficit. Follow up testing of participants with symptomatic recovery from LE revealed improved ECR activity, suggestive of a link between neuromuscular activity and symptoms.[70] Pain-related inhibition or fear of pain and further injury were suggested as underlying mechanisms, but no comment was made about the pain responses during testing.[72]
302 303 304 305	Bilateral deficits in wrist position during gripping (11° less extension) [67] and bilateral impediments in reaction time and speed of movement with reaching tasks [67, 71] have been identified in unilateral LE, possibly reflecting a motor correlate to alterations in

306 307 308 309 310 311 312 313 314 315	central processing found in the pain system. Consistent with this is greater error in detection of movement found in affected elbows of participants with LE when compared to a healthy control group, and suggests that poorer proprioception may contribute to impairments in motor function.[76] The optimal wrist posture for maximal grip force in healthy adults is reported to be slight wrist extension [77-79], with wrist flexion reducing maximal force development according to proposed models of length-tension relationships at the wrist.[44] This may account for grip strength deficits found in some LE patients.
316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328	HETEROGENEITY OF CLINICAL PRESENTATION The clinical presentation of LE varies between individuals and possibly over the time course of the disorder. We propose that the three model components discussed above do not occur in isolation and independently do not provide a complete explanation for a patient's clinical presentation. Some patients with acute LE may exhibit increased involvement of the pain system, while others with more recalcitrant conditions, may present with marked local tendon pathology. It is our contention that health care practitioners should seek to identify the relative expression of local pathology, pain and motor system dysfunction in individual patients, so that treatment strategies may be better matched to the clinical presentation.
329 330 331 332 333 334 335 336 337 338 339 340	Ideally, management should involve the integration of the patient's clinical presentation with the evidence base of treatment efficacy and the condition's underlying pathophysiology. We propose that our model be used to aid in interpreting the evidence base in order to customise the management approach for each individual patient. The following section will present a synopsis of the current evidence for conservative management of LE and highlight potential links to pathophysiological bases. Pharmacotherapy, electrophysical therapy, exercise and multi-modal therapy tend to be the main conservative management strategies for LE.
341 342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 350	Pharmacotherapy Pharmacotherapy may be prescribed to facilitate early symptomatic relief and indirectly, through reduced nociceptive input, may limit potential sensitisation processes and motor impairment. Corticosteroid injection is considered effective in terms of short-term relief of symptoms in LE, supported by level 1 evidence from multiple randomised controlled trials.[11, 80-82] However, poor long-term outcomes have been consistently reported following this treatment, [82-84] including evidence of greater use of pain-relieving medication

and significantly higher recurrence rates than physiotherapy.[11] The physiological basis for these positive and negative effects has been attributed to alterations in release of noxious chemicals [19, 23, 85] and inhibition of collagen and granulation tissue [23, 86] respectively.

Polidocanol, an aliphatic non-ionised nitrogen-free surface anaesthetic that is used as a sclerosing agent [87], has been used in LE to predominantly target neovessels under ultrasound guidance.[88-90] Injection of polidocanol has been shown to be comparable to an injection of lidocaine and epinephrine in effecting an approximate 34mm improvement in pain on visual analogue score (VAS) at 12-months.[88] Considering this improvement is of similar magnitude to that of corticosteroid injection [11, 91, 92], further consideration should be given to evaluating their relative clinical efficacy, including recurrence rates.

Pharmacology research has also focused on the role of various agents in stimulating tendon healing. The efficacy of topical application of nitric oxide patches in LE has been investigated in LE and other tendinopathies due to hypothesised effect on collagen and matrix synthesis.[93] A clinical trial with placebo comparison in LE, demonstrated a 21% greater effect than with exercise alone.[94] The major complications of this medication were headache, weakness, dizziness and skin irritation, with 12% discontinuing treatment due to side-effects. Notably, the positive clinical effects of nitrous oxide patches were not supported in a recent dosing study [95] in which these patches were combined with stretching only (not the

concentric and eccentric exercises of the previous study [94]). This appears to infer that the beneficial clinical effects of nitrous oxide patches in treating LE may be dependent upon the physical stimulus of specific concentric-eccentric exercise. Preliminary case series studies of injection of autologous blood or platelet-rich plasma have reported positive effects on pain and patient satisfaction in LE, however no randomised clinical trials have been reported.[96-98]

While the above pharmacological agents are promising, selectively treating those patients who present with a predominance of pain system involvement or with identifiable structural tendon pathology may enhance their effectiveness. We suggest that implementation of the model may be used by clinicians and researchers to match patient presentations with appropriate pharmacological agents.

Electrophysical agents

The efficacy of electrophysical agents in treatment of LE has been evaluated in a number of systematic reviews.[99-102] The rationale for their clinical use is generally attributed to either stimulation of soft tissue healing and/or inhibition of pain receptors.[99, 102] Bjordal et al (2008) recommend that low level laser therapy (LLLT) may be

399 considered as an alternative therapy to pharmacological agents in 400 management of tennis elbow.[99] Meta-analysis of data from 10 trials 401 found a significantly greater improvement in pain (VAS of 10.2mm) 402 with LLLT over controls at the end of the treatment period. The 403 narrowly defined regime of 908nm wavelength directly at the tendon 404 site provided greater pain relief (17.2 mm (95% CI: 8.5 to 25.9) and 405 RR of 1.53 (95% CI: 1.28 to 1.83) in the short term, which highlights 406 the importance of considering specificity of dosing parameters. 407 Currently there is no consensus on the use of shock wave therapy for 408 this condition, owing to a lack of high quality trials and contradictory 409 evidence between trials and between systematic reviews.[100, 102] 410 Weak evidence was reported for the effectiveness of ultrasound in 411 comparison to placebo on the basis of two small trials [103], while a 412 recent study found no significant effects of this modality.[99, 104] 413 414 In lieu of evidence from the literature, it is difficult to recommend or 415 dissuade the clinical use of electrophysical agents as the sole 416 intervention in LE. We contend that these treatments should be 417 considered adjunctive treatments, largely to target the pain system to 418 allow optimal, pain-free tendon loading. Further research regarding 419 the effects of electrotherapy on accelerated and long-term healing of 420 tendon is necessary. 421 422 423 Manual therapy 424 There is some evidence, albeit low level, of positive initial effects of 425 several manipulative therapy techniques for pain relief and restoration 426 of function when compared to control interventions.[55, 74, 105-107] 427 It is hypothesised that the manipulation induced analgesia is primarily 428 mediated via **non-opioid**, descending pain inhibitory mechanisms. [55, 429 75, 107, 108] Soft tissue manipulations in the form of transverse 430 frictions and Mill's manipulations have been advocated for targeting 431 the local tendon pathology, but results of clinical trials have not 432 supported their use when compared to exercise [109], or corticosteroid 433 injection. [110] No firm conclusions were made regarding use of 434 orthotic devices for LE by two systematic reviews [111, 112] while a 435 third reported an early positive, but inconclusive effect.[113] 436 437 **Exercise** 438 The effect of exercise training on stimulating tendon remodelling and 439 producing muscular adaptive responses has been clearly 440 documented.[26, 29, 30] Thus, there exists a rationale for use of

444 445 patients.[114]

441

442

443

exercise to address two characteristic impairments in LE as outlined in

observed following specific therapeutic exercise in chronic neck pain

Figure 1. In addition, exercise may have local analgesic effects, as

446 Surprisingly, few studies have investigated the effect of therapeutic 447 exercise as the sole treatment of LE compared to a control or no 448 intervention.[111] Positive benefits after concluding an eight week 449 exercise program were demonstrated in a chronic LE population, who 450 had high baseline pain (73/100mm on VAS), and had failed other 451 conservative treatments including corticosteroid injection.[115] On 452 following a similar group of patients (Exercise N=12, Ultrasound N = 453 11) for an average 36 months, these researchers showed that compared 454 to an ultrasound treatment, exercise resulted in fewer medical 455 consultations, less surgery (RR: 0.18 (95% CI: 0.03 to 1.33); NNT: 3) 456 and 586 fewer sick days.[116] In another randomised controlled trial, 457 the supervised exercise program produced the largest reduction of pain and improvement in function at all time points in the 6 month 458 459 follow-up period, compared to Biopton light and soft tissue frictions 460 with elbow manipulation.[109]

461 462

463

464 465

466

467

468 469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

The most effective exercise protocols in treating LE are not clearly established.[117, 118] The successful program utilised by Pienimaki et al (1996) comprised a combination of exercise modes - isometric and isotonic forearm exercises, forearm stretches and in the final stages functional exercises including gripping and manipulation tasks. Alizedehkhaiyat et al (2007) assert that a comprehensive rehabilitation program may be necessary to address the widespread upper limb weakness and changes in muscle activity found in LE.[72] Retraining of the functional task of gripping using a more efficient, slightly extended wrist posture may need to be factored into the design of rehabilitation programs. [67] Recently, there has been an increased emphasis placed on the role of isolated eccentric strengthening exercises for LE, modelling the apparently successful use of such exercise for lower limb tendinopathies.[119, 120] However, a recent systematic review concluded that there is currently insufficient evidence to support eccentric over concentric exercise for LE.[121] The intensity and frequency of tendon loading are also important variables, and should be attempted to be matched to the stage and reversibility of tendon pathology.[22] The pain system must be acknowledged to avoid peripheral nociceptive input reinforcing the hyperalgesic state. Reduction of load may be necessary in the early phases of rehabilitation through avoidance of aggravating activities.

483 484 485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

Given hypotheses concerning stress-shielding [27, 36, 37] and the role of compressive forces in the aetiology of insertional tendinopathies [21, 38, 39], further research is necessary to determine the most efficient positions and exercises for tendon loading in LE. Greater success has been demonstrated for insertional Achilles tendinopathy with restriction of eccentric exercise to avoid full dorsiflexion.[122] As elbow extension has been found to be a more provocative position for gripping in LE [123], likely due to compressive forces at insertion,

flexed elbow position. Multimodal management Given the complexity of the pathophysiology of LE and the heterogeneity of clinical presentation, we propose a multimodal approach to management of this condition. Multimodal programs are recommended in other chronic musculoskeletal conditions [124] and have been studied in a number of randomised controlled trials of LE.[11, 92] The physiotherapy program utilised by Bisset et al (1996), combining concentric, eccentric and isometric exercise with 'Mobilisation with Movement' manipulation techniques to the elbow, has shown positive results. It was superior to 'wait and see' at 6 weeks (RR: 2.44 (95% Cl: 1.55 to 3.85); NNT: 3) and to corticosteroid injection at 26 weeks (RR: 1.88 (95% Cl: 1.41 to 2.5); NNT: 2).[11] Other studies utilising exercise, ultrasound and friction massage have not found significant benefits over a wait and see approach.[92] In clinical practice, injections are commonly prescribed in conjunction with active exercise. Comparison of corticosteroid injection alone or combined with a progressive exercise program has only been made in one short-term study [125], but it suffered from a high drop out rate and was unable to support or refute the combined approach. CONCLUSION A new model of the pathophysiology of LE is presented, integrating local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as	493	we recommend that exercise of the wrist extensors be commenced in a
496 Multimodal management 497 Given the complexity of the pathophysiology of LE and the 498 heterogeneity of clinical presentation, we propose a multimodal 499 approach to management of this condition. Multimodal programs are 500 recommended in other chronic musculoskeletal conditions [124] and 501 have been studied in a number of randomised controlled trials of 502 LE.[11, 92] The physiotherapy program utilised by Bisset et al (1996), 503 combining concentric, eccentric and isometric exercise with 504 'Mobilisation with Movement' manipulation techniques to the elbow, 505 has shown positive results. It was superior to 'wait and see' at 6 weeks 607 (RR: 2.44 (95% CI: 1.55 to 3.85); NNT: 3) and to corticosteroid 508 injection at 26 weeks (RR: 1.88 (95% CI: 1.41 to 2.5); NNT: 2).[11] 509 Other studies utilising exercise, ultrasound and friction massage have 509 not found significant benefits over a wait and see approach.[92] In 510 clinical practice, injections are commonly prescribed in conjunction 511 with active exercise. Comparison of corticosteroid injection alone or 512 combined with a progressive exercise program has only been made in 513 one short-term study [125], but it suffered from a high drop out rate 514 and was unable to support or refute the combined approach. 515 516 517 CONCLUSION 518 A new model of the pathophysiology of LE is presented, integrating 519 local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. 520 This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients 521 may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon 522 pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. 523 Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient 524 the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that 525 this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but 526 with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. 527 Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development 528 of clinical predictio	494	flexed elbow position.
Given the complexity of the pathophysiology of LE and the heterogeneity of clinical presentation, we propose a multimodal approach to management of this condition. Multimodal programs are recommended in other chronic musculoskeletal conditions [124] and have been studied in a number of randomised controlled trials of LE.[11, 92] The physiotherapy program utilised by Bisset et al (1996), combining concentric, eccentric and isometric exercise with 'Mobilisation with Movement' manipulation techniques to the elbow, has shown positive results. It was superior to 'wait and see' at 6 weeks (RR: 2.44 (95% CI: 1.55 to 3.85); NNT: 3) and to corticosteroid injection at 26 weeks (RR: 1.88 (95% CI: 1.41 to 2.5); NNT: 2).[11] Other studies utilising exercise, ultrasound and friction massage have not found significant benefits over a wait and see approach.[92] In clinical practice, injections are commonly prescribed in conjunction with active exercise. Comparison of corticosteroid injection alone or combined with a progressive exercise program has only been made in one short-term study [125], but it suffered from a high drop out rate and was unable to support or refute the combined approach. CONCLUSION A new model of the pathophysiology of LE is presented, integrating local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as	495	-
heterogeneity of clinical presentation, we propose a multimodal approach to management of this condition. Multimodal programs are recommended in other chronic musculoskeletal conditions [124] and have been studied in a number of randomised controlled trials of LE.[11, 92] The physiotherapy program utilised by Bisset et al (1996), combining concentric, eccentric and isometric exercise with 'Mobilisation with Movement' manipulation techniques to the elbow, has shown positive results. It was superior to 'wait and see' at 6 weeks (RR: 2.44 (95% CI: 1.55 to 3.85); NNT: 3) and to corticosteroid injection at 26 weeks (RR: 1.88 (95% CI: 1.41 to 2.5); NNT: 2).[11] Other studies utilising exercise, ultrasound and friction massage have not found significant benefits over a wait and see approach.[92] In clinical practice, injections are commonly prescribed in conjunction with active exercise. Comparison of corticosteroid injection alone or combined with a progressive exercise program has only been made in one short-term study [125], but it suffered from a high drop out rate and was unable to support or refute the combined approach. CONCLUSION A new model of the pathophysiology of LE is presented, integrating local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as	496	Multimodal management
approach to management of this condition. Multimodal programs are recommended in other chronic musculoskeletal conditions [124] and have been studied in a number of randomised controlled trials of LE.[11, 92] The physiotherapy program utilised by Bisset et al (1996), combining concentric, eccentric and isometric exercise with 'Mobilisation with Movement' manipulation techniques to the elbow, has shown positive results. It was superior to 'wait and see' at 6 weeks (RR: 2.44 (95% CI: 1.55 to 3.85); NNT: 3) and to corticosteroid injection at 26 weeks (RR: 1.88 (95% CI: 1.41 to 2.5); NNT: 2).[11] Other studies utilising exercise, ultrasound and friction massage have not found significant benefits over a wait and see approach.[92] In clinical practice, injections are commonly prescribed in conjunction with active exercise. Comparison of corticosteroid injection alone or combined with a progressive exercise program has only been made in one short-term study [125], but it suffered from a high drop out rate and was unable to support or refute the combined approach. CONCLUSION A new model of the pathophysiology of LE is presented, integrating local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as	497	Given the complexity of the pathophysiology of LE and the
recommended in other chronic musculoskeletal conditions [124] and have been studied in a number of randomised controlled trials of LE.[11, 92] The physiotherapy program utilised by Bisset et al (1996), combining concentric, eccentric and isometric exercise with 'Mobilisation with Movement' manipulation techniques to the elbow, has shown positive results. It was superior to 'wait and see' at 6 weeks (RR: 2.44 (95% CI: 1.55 to 3.85); NNT: 3) and to corticosteroid injection at 26 weeks (RR: 1.88 (95% CI: 1.41 to 2.5); NNT: 2).[11] Other studies utilising exercise, ultrasound and friction massage have not found significant benefits over a wait and see approach.[92] In clinical practice, injections are commonly prescribed in conjunction with active exercise. Comparison of corticosteroid injection alone or combined with a progressive exercise program has only been made in one short-term study [125], but it suffered from a high drop out rate and was unable to support or refute the combined approach. CONCLUSION A new model of the pathophysiology of LE is presented, integrating local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as	498	heterogeneity of clinical presentation, we propose a multimodal
have been studied in a number of randomised controlled trials of LE.[11, 92] The physiotherapy program utilised by Bisset et al (1996), combining concentric, eccentric and isometric exercise with 'Mobilisation with Movement' manipulation techniques to the elbow, has shown positive results. It was superior to 'wait and see' at 6 weeks (RR: 2.44 (95% CI: 1.55 to 3.85); NNT: 3) and to corticosteroid injection at 26 weeks (RR: 1.88 (95% CI: 1.41 to 2.5); NNT: 2).[11] Other studies utilising exercise, ultrasound and friction massage have not found significant benefits over a wait and see approach.[92] In clinical practice, injections are commonly prescribed in conjunction with active exercise. Comparison of corticosteroid injection alone or combined with a progressive exercise program has only been made in one short-term study [125], but it suffered from a high drop out rate and was unable to support or refute the combined approach. CONCLUSION A new model of the pathophysiology of LE is presented, integrating local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as	499	approach to management of this condition. Multimodal programs are
LE.[11, 92] The physiotherapy program utilised by Bisset et al (1996), combining concentric, eccentric and isometric exercise with 'Mobilisation with Movement' manipulation techniques to the elbow, has shown positive results. It was superior to 'wait and see' at 6 weeks (RR: 2.44 (95% CI: 1.55 to 3.85); NNT: 3) and to corticosteroid injection at 26 weeks (RR: 1.88 (95% CI: 1.41 to 2.5); NNT: 2).[11] Other studies utilising exercise, ultrasound and friction massage have not found significant benefits over a wait and see approach.[92] In clinical practice, injections are commonly prescribed in conjunction with active exercise. Comparison of corticosteroid injection alone or combined with a progressive exercise program has only been made in one short-term study [125], but it suffered from a high drop out rate and was unable to support or refute the combined approach. CONCLUSION A new model of the pathophysiology of LE is presented, integrating local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as	500	recommended in other chronic musculoskeletal conditions [124] and
combining concentric, eccentric and isometric exercise with 'Mobilisation with Movement' manipulation techniques to the elbow, has shown positive results. It was superior to 'wait and see' at 6 weeks (RR: 2.44 (95% CI: 1.55 to 3.85); NNT: 3) and to corticosteroid injection at 26 weeks (RR: 1.88 (95% CI: 1.41 to 2.5); NNT: 2).[11] Other studies utilising exercise, ultrasound and friction massage have not found significant benefits over a wait and see approach.[92] In clinical practice, injections are commonly prescribed in conjunction with active exercise. Comparison of corticosteroid injection alone or combined with a progressive exercise program has only been made in one short-term study [125], but it suffered from a high drop out rate and was unable to support or refute the combined approach. CONCLUSION A new model of the pathophysiology of LE is presented, integrating local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as	501	have been studied in a number of randomised controlled trials of
'Mobilisation with Movement' manipulation techniques to the elbow, has shown positive results. It was superior to 'wait and see' at 6 weeks (RR: 2.44 (95% CI: 1.55 to 3.85); NNT: 3) and to corticosteroid injection at 26 weeks (RR: 1.88 (95% CI: 1.41 to 2.5); NNT: 2).[11] Other studies utilising exercise, ultrasound and friction massage have not found significant benefits over a wait and see approach.[92] In clinical practice, injections are commonly prescribed in conjunction with active exercise. Comparison of corticosteroid injection alone or combined with a progressive exercise program has only been made in one short-term study [125], but it suffered from a high drop out rate and was unable to support or refute the combined approach. CONCLUSION A new model of the pathophysiology of LE is presented, integrating local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as	502	LE.[11, 92] The physiotherapy program utilised by Bisset et al (1996),
has shown positive results. It was superior to 'wait and see' at 6 weeks (RR: 2.44 (95% CI: 1.55 to 3.85); NNT: 3) and to corticosteroid injection at 26 weeks (RR: 1.88 (95% CI: 1.41 to 2.5); NNT: 2).[11] Other studies utilising exercise, ultrasound and friction massage have not found significant benefits over a wait and see approach.[92] In clinical practice, injections are commonly prescribed in conjunction with active exercise. Comparison of corticosteroid injection alone or combined with a progressive exercise program has only been made in one short-term study [125], but it suffered from a high drop out rate and was unable to support or refute the combined approach. CONCLUSION A new model of the pathophysiology of LE is presented, integrating local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as	503	combining concentric, eccentric and isometric exercise with
(RR: 2.44 (95% CI: 1.55 to 3.85); NNT: 3) and to corticosteroid injection at 26 weeks (RR: 1.88 (95% CI: 1.41 to 2.5); NNT: 2).[11] Other studies utilising exercise, ultrasound and friction massage have not found significant benefits over a wait and see approach.[92] In clinical practice, injections are commonly prescribed in conjunction with active exercise. Comparison of corticosteroid injection alone or combined with a progressive exercise program has only been made in one short-term study [125], but it suffered from a high drop out rate and was unable to support or refute the combined approach. CONCLUSION A new model of the pathophysiology of LE is presented, integrating local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as	504	'Mobilisation with Movement' manipulation techniques to the elbow,
507 injection at 26 weeks (RR: 1.88 (95% CI: 1.41 to 2.5); NNT: 2).[11] 508 Other studies utilising exercise, ultrasound and friction massage have 509 not found significant benefits over a wait and see approach.[92] In 510 clinical practice, injections are commonly prescribed in conjunction 511 with active exercise. Comparison of corticosteroid injection alone or 512 combined with a progressive exercise program has only been made in 513 one short-term study [125], but it suffered from a high drop out rate 514 and was unable to support or refute the combined approach. 515 516 517 CONCLUSION 518 A new model of the pathophysiology of LE is presented, integrating 519 local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. 520 This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients 521 may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon 522 pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. 523 Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient 524 the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that 525 this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but 526 with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. 527 Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development 528 of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as	505	has shown positive results. It was superior to 'wait and see' at 6 weeks
Other studies utilising exercise, ultrasound and friction massage have not found significant benefits over a wait and see approach. [92] In clinical practice, injections are commonly prescribed in conjunction with active exercise. Comparison of corticosteroid injection alone or combined with a progressive exercise program has only been made in one short-term study [125], but it suffered from a high drop out rate and was unable to support or refute the combined approach. CONCLUSION A new model of the pathophysiology of LE is presented, integrating local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as	506	
not found significant benefits over a wait and see approach.[92] In clinical practice, injections are commonly prescribed in conjunction with active exercise. Comparison of corticosteroid injection alone or combined with a progressive exercise program has only been made in one short-term study [125], but it suffered from a high drop out rate and was unable to support or refute the combined approach. CONCLUSION A new model of the pathophysiology of LE is presented, integrating local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
clinical practice, injections are commonly prescribed in conjunction with active exercise. Comparison of corticosteroid injection alone or combined with a progressive exercise program has only been made in one short-term study [125], but it suffered from a high drop out rate and was unable to support or refute the combined approach. CONCLUSION A new model of the pathophysiology of LE is presented, integrating local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as		
with active exercise. Comparison of corticosteroid injection alone or combined with a progressive exercise program has only been made in one short-term study [125], but it suffered from a high drop out rate and was unable to support or refute the combined approach. CONCLUSION A new model of the pathophysiology of LE is presented, integrating local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as		
combined with a progressive exercise program has only been made in one short-term study [125], but it suffered from a high drop out rate and was unable to support or refute the combined approach. CONCLUSION A new model of the pathophysiology of LE is presented, integrating local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
one short-term study [125], but it suffered from a high drop out rate and was unable to support or refute the combined approach. CONCLUSION A new model of the pathophysiology of LE is presented, integrating local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as		<u> -</u>
and was unable to support or refute the combined approach. CONCLUSION A new model of the pathophysiology of LE is presented, integrating local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as		
CONCLUSION A new model of the pathophysiology of LE is presented, integrating local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as		
CONCLUSION A new model of the pathophysiology of LE is presented, integrating local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as		and was unable to support or refute the combined approach.
CONCLUSION A new model of the pathophysiology of LE is presented, integrating local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as		
A new model of the pathophysiology of LE is presented, integrating local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as		
local tendon pathology, pain system changes and motor impairment. This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as		
This model encompasses an understanding that individual patients may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as		
may present with relatively different contributions of local tendon pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as		
pathology along with pain and motor system impairments. Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as		1
Importantly, it is our contention that to optimally manage each patient the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as		
the clinician should consider this relativity. It must be appreciated that this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as		
this model is conceptual in nature and reductionist by definition, but with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as		
with capacity for development as new knowledge emerges. Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as		
Furthermore, it may be seen as a precursor stage to the development of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as		
of clinical prediction rules, classification and subgrouping studies as		
		, , ,
has occurred for other musculoskeletal conditions, albeit spinal. [126-		
530 129]		-
531		1
532		

Page 13 of 26

- 533 **REFERENCES**
- 534 1. Smidt N, Lewis M, DA VDW, Hay EM, Bouter LM, Croft P.
- Lateral epicondylitis in general practice: course and prognostic
- 536 indicators of outcome. *J Rheumatol*. 2006 Oct;**33**(10):2053-59.
- 537 2. Hamilton PG. The prevalence of humeral epicondylitis: a
- survey in general practice. J R Coll Gen Pract. 1986 Oct;36(291):464-
- 539 5.
- 3. Walker-Bone K, Palmer KT, Reading I, Coggon D, Cooper C.
- 541 Prevalence and impact of musculoskeletal disorders of the upper limb
- in the general population. Arthritis Rheum. 2004 Aug 15;51(4):642-
- 543 51.
- 4. Allander E. Prevalence, incidence, and remission rates of some
- 545 common rheumatic diseases or syndromes. Scand J Rheumatol.
- 546 1974;**3**(3):145-53.
- 547 5. Kivi P. The etiology and conservative treatment of humeral
- 548 epicondylitis. *Scand J Rehabil Med.* 1983;**15**(1):37-41.
- 549 6. Verhaar JA. Tennis elbow. Anatomical, epidemiological and
- therapeutic aspects. *Int Orthop*. 1994 Oct;**18**(5):263-7.
- 551 7. Shiri R, Viikari-Juntura E, Varonen H, Heliovaara M.
- 552 Prevalence and determinants of lateral and medial epicondylitis: a
- 553 population study. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2006 Dec 1;**164**(11):1065-74.
- 554 8. Gruchow HW, Pelletier D. An epidemiologic study of tennis
- elbow. Incidence, recurrence, and effectiveness of prevention
- 556 strategies. *Am J Sports Med.* 1979 Jul-Aug;**7**(4):234-8.
- 557 9. Viikari-Juntura E, Kurppa K, Kuosma E, Huuskonen M,
- Kuorinka I, Ketola R, et al. Prevalence of epicondylitis and elbow
- pain in the meat-processing industry. Scand J Work Environ Health.
- 560 1991 Feb;**17**(1):38-45.
- 561 10. Haahr JP, Andersen JH. Physical and psychosocial risk factors
- for lateral epicondylitis: a population based case-referent study. *Occup*
- 563 Environ Med. 2003 May;**60**(5):322-9.
- 564 11. Bisset L, Beller E, Jull G, Brooks P, Darnell R, Vicenzino B.
- Mobilisation with movement and exercise, corticosteroid injection, or
- wait and see for tennis elbow: randomised trial. *BMJ*. 2006 Nov
- 567 4;**333**(7575):939.
- 568 12. Boyd HB, McLeod AC, Jr. Tennis elbow. J Bone Joint Surg
- 569 Am. 1973 Sep:**55**(6):1183-7.
- 570 13. Coonrad RW, Hooper WR. Tennis elbow: its course, natural
- 571 history, conservative and surgical management. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*.
- 572 1973 Sep;**55**(6):1177-82.
- 573 14. Baker CL, Jr., Murphy KP, Gottlob CA, Curd DT.
- Arthroscopic classification and treatment of lateral epicondylitis: two-
- year clinical results. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2000 Nov-Dec;9(6):475-
- 576 82.
- 577 15. Nirschl RP, Pettrone FA. Tennis elbow. The surgical treatment
- of lateral epicondylitis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 1979 Sep;61(6A):832-
- 579 9.

- 580 16. Maffulli N, Testa V, Capasso G, Ewen SW, Sullo A, Benazzo
- 581 F, et al. Similar histopathological picture in males with Achilles and
- patellar tendinopathy. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2004 Sep;**36**(9):1470-5.
- 583 17. Nirschl RP. Elbow tendinosis/tennis elbow. Clin Sports Med.
- 584 1992 Oct;**11**(4):851-70.
- 585 18. Regan W, Wold LE, Coonrad R, Morrey BF. Microscopic
- 586 histopathology of chronic refractory lateral epicondylitis. Am J Sports
- 587 *Med.* 1992 Nov-Dec;**20**(6):746-9.
- 588 19. Fredberg U, Stengaard-Pedersen K. Chronic tendinopathy
- tissue pathology, pain mechanisms, and etiology with a special focus
- on inflammation. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 2008 Feb;18(1):3-15.
- 591 20. Alfredson H, Ljung BO, Thorsen K, Lorentzon R. In vivo
- 592 investigation of ECRB tendons with microdialysis technique--no signs
- of inflammation but high amounts of glutamate in tennis elbow. Acta
- 594 *Orthop Scand.* 2000 Oct;**71**(5):475-9.
- 595 21. Benjamin M, Toumi H, Ralphs JR, Bydder G, Best TM, Milz
- 596 S. Where tendons and ligaments meet bone: attachment sites
- ('entheses') in relation to exercise and/or mechanical load. *J Anat*.
- 598 2006 Apr;**208**(4):471-90.
- 599 22. Cook J, Purdam CR. Is tendon pathology a continuum? A
- pathology model to explain the clinical presentation of load-induced
- tendinopathy. Br J Sports Med. 2008 Sep 23.
- 602 23. Uchio Y, Ochi M, Ryoke K, Sakai Y, Ito Y, Kuwata S.
- Expression of neuropeptides and cytokines at the extensor carpi
- radialis brevis muscle origin. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. 2002 Nov-
- 605 Dec;**11**(6):570-5.
- 606 24. Ljung BO, Forsgren S, Friden J. Substance P and calcitonin
- gene-related peptide expression at the extensor carpi radialis brevis
- muscle origin: implications for the etiology of tennis elbow. *J Orthop*
- 609 Res. 1999 Jul; **17**(4):554-9.
- 610 25. Ljung BO, Alfredson H, Forsgren S. Neurokinin 1-receptors
- and sensory neuropeptides in tendon insertions at the medial and
- 612 lateral epicondyles of the humerus. Studies on tennis elbow and
- medial epicondylalgia. *J Orthop Res.* 2004 Mar;**22**(2):321-7.
- 614 26. Kjaer M. Role of extracellular matrix in adaptation of tendon
- and skeletal muscle to mechanical loading. *Physiol Rev.* 2004
- 616 Apr;**84**(2):649-98.
- 617 27. Maganaris CN, Narici MV, Almekinders LC, Maffulli N.
- Biomechanics and pathophysiology of overuse tendon injuries: ideas
- on insertional tendinopathy. Sports Med. 2004;34(14):1005-17.
- 620 28. Riley G. Chronic tendon pathology: molecular basis and
- therapeutic implications. Expert Rev Mol Med. 2005 Mar 24;7(5):1-
- 622 25.
- 623 29. Wang JHC. Mechanobiology of tendon. *J Biomech*.
- 624 2006;**39**:1563-82.
- 625 30. Langberg H, Ellingsgaard H, Madsen T, Jansson J, Magnusson
- 626 SP, Aagaard P, et al. Eccentric rehabilitation exercise increases

- 627 peritendinous type I collagen synthesis in humans with Achilles
- 628 tendinosis. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2007 Feb;**17**(1):61-6.
- 629 31. Yasuda K, Hayashi K. Changes in biomechanical properties of
- tendons and ligaments from joint disuse. Osteoarthritis Cartilage.
- 631 1999 Jan;**7**(1):122-9.
- 632 32. Mackey AL, Heinemeier KM, Anneli Koskinen SO, Kjaer M.
- 633 Dynamic adaptation of tendon and muscle connective tissue to
- mechanical loading. Connect Tissue Res. 2008;49(3):165-8.
- 635 33. Snijders CJ, Volkers AC, Mechelse K, Vleeming A.
- Provocation of epicondylalgia lateralis (tennis elbow) by power grip
- 637 or pinching. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 1987 Oct;**19**(5):518-23.
- 638 34. Lyman J, Weinhold PS, Almekinders LC. Strain behavior of
- 639 the distal achilles tendon: implications for insertional achilles
- 640 tendinopathy. *Am J Sports Med*. 2004 Mar;**32**(2):457-61.
- 641 35. Almekinders LC, Vellema JH, Weinhold PS. Strain patterns in
- the patellar tendon and the implications for patellar tendinopathy.
- 643 Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2002 Jan; **10**(1):2-5.
- 644 36. Arnoczky SP, Lavagnino M, Egerbacher M. The
- mechanobiological aetiopathogenesis of tendinopathy: is it the over-
- stimulation or the understimulation of tendon cells? *Int J Exp Path.*
- 647 2007;**88**:217-26.
- 648 37. Haraldsson BT, Aagaard P, Krogsgaard M, Alkjaer T, Kjaer
- 649 M, Magnusson SP. Region-specific mechanical properties of the
- 650 human patella tendon. *J Appl Physiol*. 2005 Mar;**98**(3):1006-12.
- 651 38. Hamilton B, Purdam C. Patellar tendinosis as an adaptive
- 652 process: a new hypothesis. *Br J Sports Med*. 2004 Dec;**38**(6):758-61.
- 653 39. Cook J, Vicenzino B. Exercise prescription for tendinopathy.
- Kibler B, Khan KM, editors. Illinois: American Academy of
- 655 Orthopaedic Surgeons; In Press.
- 656 40. Milz S, Tischer T, Buettner A, Schieker M, Maier M, Redman
- S, et al. Molecular composition and pathology of entheses on the
- medial and lateral epicondyles of the humerus: a structural basis for
- epicondylitis. Ann Rheum Dis. 2004 Sep;63(9):1015-21.
- 41. Zeisig E, Ohberg L, Alfredson H. Extensor origin vascularity
- related to pain in patients with Tennis elbow. *Knee Surg Sports*
- 662 *Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2006 Jul;**14**(7):659-63.
- 663 42. Connell D, Burke F, Coombes P, McNealy S, Freeman D,
- Pryde D, et al. Sonographic examination of lateral epicondylitis. Am J
- 665 Roentgenol. 2001 Mar; **176**(3):777-82.
- 666 43. Stoeckart R, Vleeming A, Snijders CJ. Anatomy of the
- extensor carpi radialis brevis muscle related to tennis elbow. Clin
- 668 *Biomech.* 1989;**4**(4):210-2.
- 669 44. Lieber RL, Friden J. Musculoskeletal balance of the human
- wrist elucidated using intraoperative laser diffraction. J Electromyogr
- 671 Kinesiol. 1998 Apr;8(2):93-100.
- 672 45. Lieber RL, Ljung BO, Friden J. Sarcomere length in wrist
- extensor muscles. Changes may provide insights into the etiology of

- 674 chronic lateral epicondylitis. Acta Orthop Scand. 1997 Jun;68(3):249-
- 675 54.
- 676 46. Levin D, Nazarian LN, Miller TT, O'Kane PL, Feld RI, Parker
- 677 L, et al. Lateral epicondylitis of the elbow: US findings. *Radiology*.
- 678 2005 Oct;**237**(1):230-4.
- 679 47. du Toit C, Stieler M, Saunders R, Bisset L, Vicenzino B.
- 680 Diagnostic Accuracy Of Power-Doppler Ultrasound In Patients With
- 681 Chronic Tennis Elbow. *Br J Sports Med.* 2008 Mar 4.
- 682 48. Struijs PA, Spruyt M, Assendelft WJ, van Dijk CN. The
- predictive value of diagnostic sonography for the effectiveness of
- 684 conservative treatment of tennis elbow. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2005
- 685 Nov;**185**(5):1113-8.
- 686 49. Cook JL, Khan KM, Kiss ZS, Coleman BD, Griffiths L.
- Asymptomatic hypoechoic regions on patellar tendon ultrasound: A 4-
- year clinical and ultrasound followup of 46 tendons. Scand J Med Sci
- 689 Sports. 2001 Dec;11(6):321-7.
- 690 50. Khan KM, Cook JL, Maffulli N, Kannus P. Where is the pain
- coming from in tendinopathy? It may be biochemical, not only
- 692 structural, in origin. *Br J Sports Med*. 2000 Apr;**34**(2):81-3.
- 693 51. Smith J, Wright A. The effect of selective blockade of
- 694 myelinated afferent neurons on mechanical hyperalgesia in lateral
- 695 epicondylalgia. *The Pain Clinic*. 1993;**6**(1):9-16.
- 696 52. Wright A. Recent concepts in the neurophysiology of pain.
- 697 *Man Ther*. 1999 Nov;**4**(4):196-202.
- 698 53. Wright A, Thurnwald P, O'Callahan J, Smith J, Vicenzino B.
- 699 Hyperalgesia in tennis elbow patients. *J Musculoskel Pain*.
- 700 1994;**2**:83097.
- 701 54. Sran M, Souvlis T, Vicenzino B, Wright A. Characterisation
- of chronic laterl epicondylalgia using the McGill pain questionnaire,
- visual analog scales and quantitative sensory tests. *Pain Clin*.
- 704 2002;**13**:251-9.
- 705 55. Vicenzino B, Paungmali A, Buratowski S, Wright A. Specific
- 706 manipulative therapy treatment for chronic lateral epicondylalgia
- 707 produces uniquely characteristic hypoalgesia. *Man Ther.* 2001
- 708 Nov;**6**(4):205-12.
- 709 56. Pienimaki T, Tarvainen T, Siira P, Malmivaara A, Vanharanta
- 710 H. Associations between pain, grip strength, and manual tests in the
- 711 treatment evaluation of chronic tennis elbow. Clin J Pain. 2002 May-
- 712 Jun;**18**(3):164-70.
- 713 57. Slater H, Arendt-Nielsen L, Wright A, Graven-Nielsen T.
- Sensory and motor effects of experimental muscle pain in patients
- with lateral epicondylalgia and controls with delayed onset muscle
- 716 soreness. *Pain*. 2005 Mar;**114**(1-2):118-30.
- 717 58. Wright A, Thurnwald P, Smith J. An evaluation of mechanical
- and thermal hyperalgesia in patients with lateral epicondylalgia. *Pain*
- 719 *Clin.* 1992;**5**:221-7.
- 720 59. Smith J, O'Callahan J, Vicenzino B, Thurnwald P, Wright A.
- The influence of regional sympathetic blockade with guanethidine on

- hyperalgesia in patients with lateral epicondylitis. *J Musculoskel Pain*.
- 723 1999;**7**(4):55-71.
- 724 60. Berglund KM, Persson BH, Denison E. Prevalence of pain and
- dysfunction in the cervical and thoracic spine in persons with and
- without lateral elbow pain. Man Ther. 2007 Oct 15.
- 727 61. Waugh EJ, Jaglal SB, Davis AM, Tomlinson G, Verrier MC.
- Factors associated with prognosis of lateral epicondylitis after 8 weeks
- of physical therapy. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2004 Feb;85(2):308-18.
- 730 62. Yaxley GA, Jull GA. Adverse tension in the neural system. A
- 731 preliminary study of tennis elbow. Aust J Physiother. 1993;39(1):15-
- 732 22.
- 733 63. Cleland JA, Whitman JM, Fritz JM. Effectiveness of manual
- 734 physical therapy to the cervical spine in the management of lateral
- epicondylalgia: a retrospective analysis. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*.
- 736 2004 Nov;**34**(11):713-22; discussion 22-4.
- 737 64. Gunn CC, Milbrandt WE. Tennis elbow and the cervical spine.
- 738 *Can Med Assoc J.* 1976 May 8;**114**(9):803-9.
- 739 65. Alizadehkhaiyat O, Fisher AC, Kemp GJ, Frostick SP. Pain,
- 740 functional disability, and psychologic status in tennis elbow. Clin J
- 741 *Pain.* 2007 Jul-Aug;**23**(6):482-9.
- 742 66. Ljung BO, Lieber RL, Friden J. Wrist extensor muscle
- pathology in lateral epicondylitis. J Hand Surg [Br]. 1999
- 744 Apr;**24**(2):177-83.
- 745 67. Bisset LM, Russell T, Bradley S, Ha B, Vicenzino BT.
- 746 Bilateral sensorimotor abnormalities in unilateral lateral
- epicondylalgia. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2006 Apr;87(4):490-5.
- 748 68. Kelley JD, Lombardo SJ, Pink M, Perry J, Giangarra CE.
- 749 Electromyographic and cinematographic analysis of elbow function in
- 750 tennis players with lateral epicondylitis. Am J Sports Med. 1994 May-
- 751 Jun;**22**(3):359-63.
- 752 69. Rojas M, Mananas MA, Muller B, Chaler J. Activation of
- 753 forearm muscles for wrist extension in patients affected by lateral
- 754 epicondylitis. Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc. 2007;**2007**:4858-
- 755 61.
- 756 70. Alizadehkhaiyat O, Fisher AC, Kemp GJ, Vishwanathan K,
- 757 Frostick SP. Assessment of functional recovery in tennis elbow. J
- 758 Electromyogr Kinesiol. 2008 Mar 13.
- 759 71. Pienimaki TT, Kauranen K, Vanharanta H. Bilaterally
- decreased motor performance of arms in patients with chronic tennis
- 761 elbow. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 1997 Oct;**78**(10):1092-5.
- 762 72. Alizadehkhaiyat O, Fisher AC, Kemp GJ, Vishwanathan K,
- 763 Frostick SP. Upper limb muscle imbalance in tennis elbow: a
- functional and electromyographic assessment. J Orthop Res. 2007
- 765 Dec;**25**(12):1651-7.
- 766 73. Stratford PW, Levy DR. Assessing Valid Change over Time in
- Patients with Lateral Epicondylitis at the Elbow. *Clin J Sports Med.*
- 768 1994;**4**:88-91.

- 769 74. Abbott JH, Patla CE, Jensen RH. The initial effects of an
- elbow mobilization with movement technique on grip strength in
- subjects with lateral epicondylalgia. *Man Ther*. 2001 Aug;**6**(3):163-9.
- 772 75. Paungmali A, O'Leary S, Souvlis T, Vicenzino B. Naloxone
- fails to antagonize initial hypoalgesic effect of a manual therapy
- treatment for lateral epicondylalgia. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther*.
- 775 2004 Mar-Apr;**27**(3):180-5.
- 776 76. Juul-Kristensen B, Lund H, Hansen K, Christensen H,
- 777 Danneskiold-Samsoe B, Bliddal H. Poorer elbow proprioception in
- patients with lateral epicondylitis than in healthy controls: a cross-
- sectional study. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg*. 2008 Jan-Feb;**17**(1
- 780 Suppl):72S-81S.
- 781 77. Kraft GH, Detels PE. Position of function of the wrist. *Arch*
- 782 *Phys Med Rehabil.* 1972 Jun;**53**(6):272-5.
- 783 78. Mogk JP, Keir PJ. The effects of posture on forearm muscle
- 784 loading during gripping. *Ergonomics*. 2003 Jul 15;**46**(9):956-75.
- 785 79. Pryce JC. The wrist position between neutral and ulnar
- 786 deviation that facilitates the maximum power grip strength. J
- 787 *Biomech.* 1980;**13**(6):505-11.
- 788 80. Smidt N, Assendelft WJ, van der Windt DA, Hay EM,
- 789 Buchbinder R, Bouter LM. Corticosteroid injections for lateral
- epicondylitis: a systematic review. *Pain*. 2002 Mar;**96**(1-2):23-40.
- 791 81. Hay EM, Paterson SM, Lewis M, Hosie G, Croft P. Pragmatic
- 792 randomised controlled trial of local corticosteroid injection and
- 793 naproxen for treatment of lateral epicondylitis of elbow in primary
- 794 care. *BMJ*. 1999 Oct 9:**319**(7215):964-8.
- 795 82. Solveborn SA, Buch F, Mallmin H, Adalberth G. Cortisone
- 796 injection with anesthetic additives for radial epicondylalgia (tennis
- 797 elbow). Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1995 Jul(316):99-105.
- 798 83. Smidt N, Lewis M, Hay EM, Van der Windt DA, Bouter LM,
- 799 Croft P. A comparison of two primary care trials on tennis elbow:
- issues of external validity. *Ann Rheum Dis.* 2005 Oct;**64**(10):1406-9.
- 801 84. Korthals-de Bos IB, Smidt N, van Tulder MW, Rutten-van
- Molken MP, Ader HJ, van der Windt DA, et al. Cost effectiveness of
- interventions for lateral epicondylitis: results from a randomised
- controlled trial in primary care. *Pharmacoeconomics*. 2004;**22**(3):185-
- 805 95.
- 806 85. Paavola M, Kannus P, Jarvinen TA, Jarvinen TL, Jozsa L,
- 307 Jarvinen M. Treatment of tendon disorders. Is there a role for
- corticosteroid injection? *Foot Ankle Clin.* 2002 Sep;**7**(3):501-13.
- 809 86. Speed CA. Fortnightly review: Corticosteroid injections in
- 810 tendon lesions. *BMJ*. 2001 Aug 18;**323**(7309):382-6.
- 811 87. Alfredson H, Ohberg L. Sclerosing injections to areas of neo-
- vascularisation reduce pain in chronic Achilles tendinopathy: a
- double-blind randomised controlled trial. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol*
- 814 Arthrosc. 2005 May;**13**(4):338-44.

- 815 88. Zeisig E, Fahlstrom M, Ohberg L, Alfredson H. Pain relief
- after intratendinous injections in patients with tennis elbow: results of
- a randomised study. *Br J Sports Med*. 2008 Apr;**42**(4):267-71.
- 818 89. Zeisig E, Ohberg L, Alfredson H. Sclerosing polidocanol
- 819 injections in chronic painful tennis elbow-promising results in a pilot
- study. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2006 Nov;14(11):1218-
- 821 24.
- 822 90. Zeisig EC, Fahlstrom M, Ohberg L, Alfredson H. A 2-year
- 823 sonographic follow-up after intratendinous injection therapy in
- patients with tennis elbow. *Br J Sports Med.* 2008 Jul 29.
- 825 91. Bisset L, Smidt N, Van der Windt DA, Bouter LM, Jull G,
- 826 Brooks P, et al. Conservative treatments for tennis elbow do
- subgroups of patients respond differently? *Rheumatology (Oxford)*.
- 828 2007 Oct;**46**(10):1601-5.
- 829 92. Smidt N, van der Windt DA, Assendelft WJ, Deville WL,
- 830 Korthals-de Bos IB, Bouter LM. Corticosteroid injections,
- physiotherapy, or a wait-and-see policy for lateral epicondylitis: a
- randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2002 Feb 23;**359**(9307):657-62.
- 833 93. Murrell GA. Using nitric oxide to treat tendinopathy. *Br J*
- 834 Sports Med. 2007 Apr;**41**(4):227-31.
- 835 94. Paoloni JA, Appleyard RC, Nelson J, Murrell GA. Topical
- 836 nitric oxide application in the treatment of chronic extensor tendinosis
- at the elbow: a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled
- 838 clinical trial. *Am J Sports Med.* 2003 Nov-Dec;**31**(6):915-20.
- 839 95. Paoloni JA, Murrell GA, Burch R, Ang R. Randomised,
- 840 Double Blind, Placebo Controlled, Multicentre Dose-Ranging Clinical
- Trial of a New Topical Glyceryl Trinitrate Patch for Chronic Lateral
- 842 Epicondylosis. Br J Sports Med Online;
- 843 doi:10.1136/bjsm.2008.053108.
- 844 96. Connell DA, Ali KE, Ahmad M, Lambert S, Corbett S, Curtis
- M. Ultrasound-guided autologous blood injection for tennis elbow.
- 846 *Skeletal Radiol.* 2006 Jun;**35**(6):371-7.
- 847 97. Mishra A, Pavelko T. Treatment of chronic elbow tendinosis
- with buffered platelet-rich plasma. Am J Sports Med. 2006
- 849 Nov;**34**(11):1774-8.
- 850 98. Edwards SG, Calandruccio JH. Autologous blood injections
- for refractory lateral epicondylitis. J Hand Surg [Am]. 2003
- 852 Mar;**28**(2):272-8.
- 853 99. Bjordal JM, Lopes-Martins RA, Joensen J, Couppe C,
- Ljunggren AE, Stergioulas A, et al. A systematic review with
- procedural assessments and meta-analysis of low level laser therapy in
- lateral elbow tendinopathy (tennis elbow). BMC Musculoskelet
- 857 Disord. 2008;9:75.
- 858 100. Buchbinder R, Green SE, Youd JM, Assendelft WJ, Barnsley
- 859 L, Smidt N. Systematic review of the efficacy and safety of shock
- wave therapy for lateral elbow pain. J Rheumatol. 2006
- 861 Jul;**33**(7):1351-63.

- 862 101. Bisset L, Beller E, Brooks P, Darnell R, Vicenzino B.
- Physiotherapy intervention for patients with lateral epicondylalgia.
- 864 Unpublished data. 2006.
- 865 102. Rompe JD, Maffulli N. Repetitive shock wave therapy for
- lateral elbow tendinopathy (tennis elbow): a systematic and qualitative
- 867 analysis. *Br Med Bull*. 2007;**83**:355-78.
- 868 103. Smidt N, Assendelft WJ, Arola H, Malmivaara A, Greens S,
- 869 Buchbinder R, et al. Effectiveness of physiotherapy for lateral
- epicondylitis: a systematic review. *Ann Med.* 2003;**35**(1):51-62.
- 871 104. D'Vaz AP, Ostor AJ, Speed CA, Jenner JR, Bradley M,
- Prevost AT, et al. Pulsed low-intensity ultrasound therapy for chronic
- 873 lateral epicondylitis: a randomized controlled trial. *Rheumatology*
- 874 (Oxford). 2006 May;45(5):566-70.
- 875 105. Vicenzino B. Physiotherapy for tennis elbow. *Evid Based Med*.
- 876 2007 Apr;**12**(2):37-8.
- 877 106. Vicenzino B. Lateral epicondylalgia: a musculoskeletal
- physiotherapy perspective. *Man Ther.* 2003 May;**8**(2):66-79.
- 879 107. Vicenzino B, Collins D, Wright A. The initial effects of a
- cervical spine manipulative physiotherapy treatment on the pain and
- dysfunction of lateral epicondylalgia. *Pain*. 1996 Nov;**68**(1):69-74.
- 882 108. Paungmali A, O'Leary S, Souvlis T, Vicenzino B. Hypoalgesic
- and sympathoexcitatory effects of mobilization with movement for
- lateral epicondylalgia. *Phys Ther*. 2003 Apr;**83**(4):374-83.
- 885 109. Stasinopoulos D, Stasinopoulos I. Comparison of effects of
- 886 Cyriax physiotherapy, a supervised exercise programme and polarized
- polychromatic non-coherent light (Bioptron light) for the treatment of
- lateral epicondylitis. Clin Rehabil. 2006 Jan; **20**(1):12-23.
- 889 110. Verhaar JA, Walenkamp GHIM, van Mameren H, Kester
- 890 ADM, Van Der Linden AJ. Local Corticosteroid Injection Versus
- 891 Cyriax-Type Physiotherapy for Tennis Elbow. *J Bone Joint Surg Br*.
- 892 1995;**77**(B):128-32.
- 893 111. Bisset L, Paungmali A, Vicenzino B, Beller E. A systematic
- review and meta-analysis of clinical trials on physical interventions
- for lateral epicondylalgia. Br J Sports Med. 2005 Jul;**39**(7):411-22;
- 896 discussion -22.
- 897 112. Struijs PA, Smidt N, Arola H, Dijk CN, Buchbinder R,
- 898 Assendelft WJ. Orthotic devices for the treatment of tennis elbow.
- 899 *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2002(1):CD001821.
- 900 113. Borkholder CD, Hill VA, Fess EE. The efficacy of splinting
- 901 for lateral epicondylitis: a systematic review. J Hand Ther. 2004 Apr-
- 902 Jun;**17**(2):181-99.
- 903 114. O'Leary S, Falla D, Hodges PW, Jull G, Vicenzino B. Specific
- therapeutic exercise of the neck induces immediate local hypoalgesia.
- 905 *J Pain*. 2007 Nov;**8**(11):832-9.
- 906 115. Pienimaki TT, Tarvainen TK, Siira PT, Vanharanta H.
- 907 Progressive strengthening and stretching exercises and ultrasound for
- 908 chronic lateral epicondylitis. *Physiotherapy*. 1996;**82**(9):522-30.

- 909 116. Pienimaki T, Karinen P, Kemila T, Koivukangas P,
- Vanharanta H. Long-term follow-up of conservatively treated chronic
- 911 tennis elbow patients. A prospective and retrospective analysis. Scand
- 912 J Rehabil Med. 1998 Sep;**30**(3):159-66.
- 913 117. Stasinopoulos D, Stasinopoulou K, Johnson MI. An exercise
- 914 programme for the management of lateral elbow tendinopathy. Br J
- 915 Sports Med. 2005 Dec;**39**(12):944-7.
- 916 118. Fedorczyk JM. Tennis elbow: blending basic science with
- 917 clinical practice. *J Hand Ther*. 2006 Apr-Jun;**19**(2):146-53.
- 918 119. Croisier JL, Foidart-Dessalle M, Tinant F, Crielaard JM,
- 919 Forthomme B. An isokinetic eccentric programme for the
- management of chronic lateral epicondylar tendinopathy. Br J Sports
- 921 *Med.* 2007 Apr;**41**(4):269-75.
- 922 120. Martinez-Silvestrini JA, Newcomer KL, Gay RE, Schaefer
- 923 MP, Kortebein P, Arendt KW. Chronic lateral epicondylitis:
- 924 comparative effectiveness of a home exercise program including
- stretching alone versus stretching supplemented with eccentric or
- 926 concentric strengthening. *J Hand Ther*. 2005 Oct-Dec;**18**(4):411-9,
- 927 quiz 20.
- 928 121. Woodley BL, Newsham-West RJ, Baxter GD. Chronic
- 929 tendinopathy: effectiveness of eccentric exercise. *Br J Sports Med*.
- 930 2007 Apr;**41**(4):188-98; discussion 99.
- 931 122. Jonsson P, Alfredson H, Sunding K, Fahlstrom M, Cook JP.
- New regimen for eccentric calf muscle training in patients with
- 933 chronic insertional Achilles tendinopathy: Results of a pilot-study. Br
- 934 *J Sports Med*. 2008 Jan 9.
- 935 123. Dorf ER, Chhabra AB, Golish SR, McGinty JL, Pannunzio
- 936 ME. Effect of elbow position on grip strength in the evaluation of
- 937 lateral epicondylitis. J Hand Surg [Am]. 2007 Jul-Aug;32(6):882-6.
- 938 124. Scascighini L, Toma V, Dober-Spielmann S, Sprott H.
- 939 Multidisciplinary treatment for chronic pain: a systematic review of
- 940 interventions and outcomes. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2008
- 941 May;**47**(5):670-8.
- 942 125. Tonks JH, Pai SK, Murali SR. Steroid injection therapy is the
- best conservative treatment for lateral epicondylitis: a prospective
- randomised controlled trial. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2007 Feb;**61**(2):240-6.
- 945 126. Cleland JA, Fritz JM, Whitman JM, Heath R. Predictors of
- short term outcome in people with a clinical diagnosis of cervical
- 947 radiculopathy. *Phys Ther*. 2007;**87**(12):1619-32.
- 948 127. Schellingerhout JM, Verhagen AP, Heymans MW, Pool JJ,
- Vonk F, Koes BW, et al. Which subgroups of patients with non-
- 950 specific neck pain are more likely to benefit from spinal manipulation
- therapy, physiotherapy, or usual care? *Pain.* 2008 Sep 4.
- 952 128. O'Sullivan P. Classification of lumbopelvic pain disorders--
- 953 why is it essential for management? Man Ther. 2006 Aug;11(3):169-
- 954 70.
- 955 129. Jull G, Sterling M, Kenardy J, Beller E. Does the presence of
- sensory hypersensitivity influence outcomes of physical rehabilitation

May; 129 (1-
,

962	
963	LEGEND TO FIGURES
964	
965	
966	Figure 1: A new model of lateral epicondylalgia emphasising its
967	multifactorial pathology
968	
969	
970	

971	
972	SUMMARY BOXES
973	
974	What is already known on this topic
975	 Tendinopathies appear to share similar pathological features.
976	 Lateral epicondylalgia can be challenging to treat with many
977	treatment options available to the clinician
978	•
979	What this study adds
980	• An appreciation of the heterogenous clinical presentation of lateral
981	epicondylalgia
982	 A model that conceptualises lateral epicondylalgia as involving
983	local tendon pathology, abnormal pain processing and motor
984	system impairments
985	 A rationale for physical interventions to be customised to each
986	individual patient on the basis of proportional representation of
987	local tendon, pain and motor deficits in the patient's clinical
988	presentation.
989	 Multi-modal management approaches may offer practitioners
990	better coverage of the problems facing patients.
991	
992	
993	
994	
995	

996	Acknowledgements
997	None
998	Competing interests
999	None
1000	
1001	Funding
1002	National Health & Medical Research Council Grant #511238.
1003	
1004	"The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all
1005	authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence
1006	(or non exclusive for government employees) on a worldwide basis to
1007	the BMJ Publishing Group Ltd and its Licensees to permit this article
1008	(if accepted) to be published in Journal (British Journal of Sports
1009	Medicine) editions and any other BMJPGL products to exploit all
1010	subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence
1011	
1012	(http://bjsm.bmjjournals.com/misc/ifora/licenceform.shtml).
1013	