

Lourés, C. R., Roger, T., Faccio, D. and Biancalana, F. (2017) Superresonant radiation stimulated by higher harmonics. *Physical Review Letters*, 118(4), 043902.

This is the author accepted manuscript.

The final published version is available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.043902

http://eprints.gla.ac.uk/155671/

Deposited on: 18 January 2018

Heriot-Watt University



Heriot-Watt University Research Gateway

## Superresonant Radiation Stimulated by Higher Harmonics

Redondo Lourés, Cristian; Roger, Thomas; Faccio, Daniele Franco Angelo; Biancalana, Fabio

Published in: Physical Review Letters

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.043902

*Publication date:* 2017

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication in Heriot-Watt University Research Gateway

*Citation for published version (APA):* Redondo Lourés, C., Roger, T., Faccio, D. F. A., & Biancalana, F. (2017). Superresonant Radiation Stimulated by Higher Harmonics. Physical Review Letters, 118(4), [043902]. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.043902



General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## Super-resonant radiation stimulated by higher-harmonics

Cristian Redondo Lourés, Thomas Roger, Daniele Faccio, and Fabio Biancalana School of Engineering and Physical Sciences, Heriot-Watt University, EH14 4AS Edinburgh, UK

Solitons propagating in media with higher order dispersion will shed radiation known as resonant radiation, with applications in frequency broadening, deep UV sources for spectroscopy and fundamental studies of soliton physics. Using a recently proposed equation that models the behaviour of ultrashort optical pulses in nonlinear media using the analytic signal, we find that the resonant radiation associated with the third-harmonic generation term of the equation is parametrically stimulated with an unprecedented gain. Resonant radiation levels, typically only a small fraction of the soliton, are now as intense as the soliton itself. The mechanism is universal and works also in normal dispersion and with harmonics higher than the third. We report experimental hints of this super-resonant radiation stimulated by the fifth harmonic in diamond.

PACS numbers: 42.65.-k Nonlinear optics; 42.65.Ky Frequency conversion; harmonic generation, including higher-order harmonic generation; 42.65.Sf Dynamics of nonlinear optical systems; optical instabilities, optical chaos and complexity, and optical spatio-temporal dynamics; 42.65.Wi Nonlinear waveguides

Introduction — The process of resonant radiation emission in nonlinear media is extremely general and has been studied in many different systems, like solitons in fibers and bulk media [1–6], 3D light bullets [7–9], dispersive shock waves [10], resonators [11–15], and complex scenarios combining a mixture of the above [16]. This emission is dictated by a nonlinear momentum conservation, i.e. the requirement is that the momentum of the pump is equal to the linear momentum of the dispersive wave propagating in normal dispersion [2, 4, 5] – known as the phase matching condition. For a system governed by the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLSE) it can only occur when a third (or higher) order dispersion term is present. In a recent work, Conforti et al proposed an equation for the analytic signal of an electric field that is formally similar to the NLSE but does not suffer from many of the limitations of the latter [17] and only relies on the reasonable assumption of neglecting backward propagating waves [18]. This equation has been found to predict features of the nonlinear interaction between light and matter that were not present in the original NLSE, related to the so-called *negative frequency compo*nents of the pulse [19, 21–24]. In [17] the authors discuss new phase matching conditions that arise from the new nonlinear polarisation terms in their equation, and theoretically predict the emission of the so-called *negative* resonant radiation (NRR) and third-harmonic resonant radiation (THRR). The former had been previously identified experimentally by Rubino *et al.* [19, 20]. However, the new THRR term was located in the deep infrared region of the spectrum for the system analysed (fused silica), where it was not efficiently fed by the pump and thus has never been observed.

In this Letter we explore the possibility of promoting the THRR signal into a very strongly resonant mode. When the THRR frequency is close to a higher-harmonic frequency, a surprisingly large amount of the pump energy can be transferred to the radiation via a *stimulated* process. This is a two step mechanism: the pump releases energy to a higher harmonic, and the higher-harmonic energy is then transferred to the resonant THRR mode. This mode then appears as a sharp, intense peak in the output spectrum. The surprising property of this novel radiation, which we dub *super-resonant radiation* (SRR), is its extremely powerful gain dynamics and the unprecedented transfer of energy from the soliton to the radiation itself – setting the SRR apart from any currently known dispersive wave emission, with interesting potential uses in frequency conversion applications. In the final part, we show a clear experimental hint of SRR in diamond, where intense pulses in normal dispersion are used to excite the THRR, which is then promoted to SRR when its frequency is close to the *fifth* harmonic of the pump.

Governing equations — The equation proposed by Conforti  $et \ al \ [17]$  is, in dimensionless units,

$$i\partial_{\xi}A + \hat{D}(i\partial_{\tau})A + \left(1 + \frac{i}{\mu}\partial_{\tau}\right) \left[|A|^{2}A + |A|^{2}A^{*}\exp(2i\phi) + \frac{1}{3}A^{3}\exp(-2i\phi)\right]_{+} = 0$$
(1)

where  $A = A(\xi, \tau)$  is the envelope of the analytic signal of the electric field,  $\xi$  and  $\tau$  are the dimensionless space and time variables (scaled with the second order dispersion length  $L_{\rm D} \equiv t_0^2/|\beta_2|$  and the input pulse duration

 $t_0$ , respectively),  $\hat{D} \equiv \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} b_m (i\partial_{\tau})^m / m!$  is the dispersion operator,  $b_m = \beta_m / (|\beta_2| t_0^{m-2})$  are the normalised dispersion coefficients,  $\phi \equiv \kappa \xi + \mu \tau$ ,  $\kappa \equiv (\beta_1 \omega_0 - \beta_0) L_D$  is a crucial parameter that measures the difference between the group and the phase velocities,  $\mu = \omega_0 t_0$  is the normalised pulse frequency,  $\beta_1$  is the inverse group velocity,  $\beta_0 / \omega_0$  the inverse phase velocity,  $L_D \equiv t_0^2 / |\beta_2|$  is the dispersion length, and  $\omega_0$  the central frequency of the pulse. Equation (1) has been successfully applied to optical fibers, crystals [17, 22] and fiber or microring cavities [23].

The analytic signal  $\mathcal{E} = A \exp(i\beta_0 z - i\omega_0 t)$  is the positive frequency part of the electric field E, which can be written as  $E = (\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{E}^*)/2$ , an equal mixture of positive and negative frequencies [21]. In the absence of nonlinear interactions, the fields  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{E}^*$  are completely decoupled, but the nonlinear polarisation in Eq. (1) mixes both fields in a non-trivial way. The first term of the polarisation inside the square brackets in Eq. (1) corresponds to the usual Kerr term. The third term is the 3rd-harmonic generation, and the second is the so called *negative-frequency Kerr term* [17]. The subscript + in Eq. (1) means that spectral filtering must be performed, since A must contain only the positive frequency components [17, 22, 23, 25, 26].

In Ref. [17] all the phase matching conditions for the emission of resonant radiationshave been derived – there are *three* in total, one associated with each term of the nonlinear polarisation:

$$D(\Delta) = 2m\kappa - (2m-1)q, \qquad (2)$$

where q = 1/2 is the normalised power of the incident pulse, and m = 1 for NRR, m = 0 for the usual RR, and m = -1 for the THRR, see also Ref. [17].  $D(\Delta) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n \Delta^n / n!$  is the Fourier transform of the dispersion operator, where  $\Delta$  is the dimensionless detuning between pulse and radiation. Since in experimentally accessible conditions  $\kappa \gg q$ , if we are in deep anomalous dispersion  $(b_2 < 0$  and all other dispersion coefficients can be ignored)  $D(\Delta) \equiv b_2 \Delta^2/2 \leq 0$  and neither the phase-matching for RR nor the one for NRR can be satisfied, see blue solid curve in Fig. 1. However, the phase matching for THRR can be fulfilled for two values of the detuning, one positive and one negative (see blue solid curve and dots showing crossings in Fig. 1). In the same figure we can see that when we include  $b_3$ , all three phase matching conditions can be satisfied for values of  $\Delta > 0$ , and there are three different detunings for which we expect to find THRR, see dashed black curve and dots showing the crossings in Fig. 1.

We have numerically found that, when the position of the THRR is close to the 3rd-harmonic that is created by the pump as it propagates through the medium, the radiation will grow rapidly and appears as a narrow, very intense peak in the spectrum in the position predicted by



FIG. 1: (Color online) Phase matching curves from Eq. (2). The three horizontal lines represent the three phase matching conditions: NRR (upper, red line), RR (medium, green line) and THRR (lower, brown line). The two curves represent the dispersion for  $b_2$  only (blue, solid line) and  $b_3 = 0.15$  (black, dashed line).  $\mu = 5$  and  $\kappa = 10$  in both cases.

the phase matching condition (2), with m = -1. At variance with previously known dispersive wave emissions in fibers or bulk, this is a two step mechanism: the pulse gives energy to its 3rd-harmonic during propagation, and then most of this energy is transferred to the phasematched THRR closest to the 3rd-harmonic frequency. This last step can only occur if the THRR is spectrally located close to the 3rd-harmonic frequency (see purple oval in Fig. 1). We therefore say that the THRR has been 'promoted' to SRR. This effect is extremely efficient: the 3rd-harmonic never manages to fully grow, since the THRR continuously absorbs almost all its energy, leading to the formation of an extremely intense and spectrally well-localized SRR peak. We have also checked that the possible emission of backward RR (which has its own phase-matching condition, and is far detuned and therefore very weak) is not detrimental to the formation of SRR [see also Fig. 6(c)].

Numerical simulations — Figure 2 shows the evolution in the time domain of a sech pulse with  $b_2 < 0$ ,  $b_3 = 0$ ,  $\mu = 5$  and  $\kappa = 10$  after  $\xi = 10$  dispersion lengths. These parameters are chosen in such a way that the THRR is phase-matched at a frequency between the pump ( $\omega/\omega_0 = 1$ ) and its 3rd-harmonic ( $\omega/\omega_0 = 3$ ), around  $\omega/\omega_0 \sim 2$ . An oscillation appears on the top of the pulse in the time domain and then moves faster than the soliton, thus creating a leading oscillating tail. These violent intra-soliton oscillations are characteristic of the SRR.

The spectral evolution of this pulse is shown in Fig. 3. The spectrum develops a very intense peak at the position predicted for the THRR, see Eq. (2). This starts as a small peak in the 3rd-harmonic peak but keeps growing with propagation, as energy is sucked from the 3rdharmonic. Note that for  $\xi = 100$  this peak has grown to be more intense than the pump pulse. The THRR is parametrically stimulated by the 3rd-harmonic, and is promoted to SRR. If the phase-matched THRR frequency is a bit outside 3rd-harmonic band, an important



FIG. 2: (Color online) Soliton in time domain after a propagation of  $\xi = 2.5$  (a), 5 (b), 7.5 (c) and 10 (d). We can see the oscillations on top of the pulse that leave it through the leading edge. Parameters:  $b_3 = 0$ ,  $\mu = 5$  and  $\kappa = 10$ .



FIG. 3: (Color online) Initial (red dashed) and final (thick blue) spectrum of a pulse after a propagation of  $\xi = 2.5$  (a), 5 (b), 7.5 (c) and 100 (d), with other parameters as in figure 2. One can see the evolution of a THRR peak into a stimulated SRR, Eq. (2) for m = -1 (vertical black dotted line).

growth can still be observed, however the THRR stimulation becomes increasingly weaker.

In Fig. 4 we show the XFROG spectrograms of the pulse evolution for  $\xi = 2.5, 5, 7.5$  and 10, again for the case  $b_3 = 0$ . The 3rd-harmonic radiation has two components, one that propagates alongside the pulse and another one leading it ('#1' and '#2', respectively). The SRR extends between these two components of the 3rd-harmonic, confirming our hypothesis that SRR is THRR stimulated by higher harmonics.

When  $b_3 = 0.15 \neq 0$  the situation changes significantly. As seen in Fig. 1, Eq. (2) predicts two (normally dispersive) phase-matched frequencies near the 3rd-harmonic (see the intersection between the black dashed line and the horizontal THRR line). Output spectra for the case  $b_3 \neq 0$  are shown in Fig. 5. Two peaks appear at the positions predicted by the phase matching conditions, with the one closer to the 3rd-harmonic frequency growing much faster than the other. Again, the radiation peak closer to the 3rd-harmonic (which is inside the purple oval in Fig. 1) is a stimulated THRR which is then pro-



FIG. 4: (Color online) XFROG for propagation lengths of  $\xi = 2.5$  (a), 5 (b), 7.5 (c) and 10 (d). We can see the two components of the 3rd-harmonic described in the text and the SRR between them. Note how the SRR band grows indefinitely during the  $\xi$ -evolution.



FIG. 5: (Color online) Snapshots of the initial (red dashed line) and final (blue solid line) spectra during a propagation of (a)  $\xi = 2.5$  and (b)  $\xi = 10$  in the case  $b_3 = 0.15$ . The two vertical lines show the predicted position of the two THRRs. The THRR closer to the 3rd-harmonic peak grows taller than the pump for  $\xi = 10$ , becoming a SRR. Other parameters are as in Fig. 2.

moted to SRR.

Experimental hints of SRR — We show now initial experimental evidence of THRR stimulated by the 5th-harmonic in diamond. Odd harmonics higher than the 3rd are generated in the sample during propagation due to cascaded four-wave mixing, for which the 3rdharmonic generation term of Eq. (1) is responsible. This term initially merges three photons of the pump with frequency  $\omega_0$  into a single photon with frequency  $3\omega_0$ , and then this secondary photon with two other photons of the pump so that a pulse of frequency  $5\omega_0$  is created. Therefore we expect the resonant radiation coming from the 3rd-harmonic term to be stimulated by any cascaded higher odd harmonic, albeit the resulting SRR would have smaller amplitude due to the decreasing intensity of higher harmonics. The use of the 5th-harmonic instead of the 3rd is useful in some materials, due to the unclean spectra surrounding the 3rd-harmonic when pumping with very high energies. In diamond (normally dispersive) we cannot propagate solitons. The emissions are in this case shock-front-assisted resonant radiations Ref. [27]. The specific nature of the pulse generating the resonant emission is not important, since the phasematching conditions still hold. SRR is a general phenomenon that appears whenever a nonlinear system that exhibits cascaded higher harmonic generation allows for resonant radiation associated with the higher harmonic generation term (for a similar process occurring in  $\chi^{(2)}$  media see Refs. [28, 29]).

We have used 50 fs pulses injected in a 500  $\mu$ m bulk diamond. An amplified Ti:Sapphire laser with central wavelength  $\lambda_0 = 785$  nm is used to pump an optical parametric amplifier (OPA, TOPAS-C, Light Conversion Ltd.) producing infrared light pulses whose wavelength can be tuned between 1750-2050 nm, repetition rate of  $100~\mathrm{Hz}$  and with pulse duration 70 fs. The IR pulses are focused with an f = 150 mm lens to a spot radius of  $\sim 36 \mu \mathrm{m}$  providing a peak intensity of  $I = 28 \mathrm{~TW/cm^2}$ . A single crystal diamond cut along the (100) axis is used to study the dynamics of the THRR vs pump wavelength. The output of the diamond crystal is imaged onto a spectrometer (Andor Shamrock 303i spectrometer and iDus CCD camera) providing visible spectrum data. In order to isolate the 5th harmonic from the intense 3rd harmonic contribution and have enough dynamic range, the high frequency component ( $\lambda < 510 \text{ nm}$ ) is blocked inside the spectrometer.

In Fig. 6(a) we show the high-energy part of the output spectrum after  $L = 500 \ \mu m$  propagation, when varying the input pulse wavelength from 1750 nm to 2050 nm. We can observe the 5th-harmonic peak shifting linearly towards longer wavelengths as expected [see red solid line and red squares in Fig. 6(a) that shows the pump wavelength  $\times 1/5$ ]. However, an additional peak is observed, which shifts towards shorter wavelengths when increasing the pump wavelength. This latter peak is due to THRR as shown by the perfect agreement with the predicted THRR position [black solid line and black dots in Fig. 6(a)]. The prediction is based on the THRR phasematching condition in normal dispersion and in presence of the shock term, as in Ref. [27]. When the THRR and 5th harmonic peaks have similar frequencies, i.e. when the pump wavelength is  $\sim 1960$  nm, the THRR amplitude grows considerably with a conversion efficiency larger than -50 dB from the pump. We have checked via an accurate phase-matching analysis that such enhancement is not due to a phase-matched cascaded 5thharmonic generation. The normal dispersion of diamond does not allow the formation of a soliton, i.e. the pulse intensity will quickly decrease in propagation: yet these results show that the phenomenon of SRR 'promotion' is very general and relies only on the crossing of the THRR emission with a higher-order harmonic.

Figure 6(b) shows the peak intensities of the 5th harmonic and THRR taken along the red and black solid lines from Fig. 6(a) respectively. There is a clear enhancement of the peaks at the point at which their emission wavelengths are overlapped ( $\lambda_{\rm p} \sim 1960$  nm), and significant enhancement of the combined peak [~40%



FIG. 6: (Color online) (a) Emission of THRR (black dots) and 5th harmonic (red squares) in diamond vs pump wavelength. There is a crossing point of the THRR and 5th harmonic emission for  $\lambda_{\rm p} \sim 1960$  nm. SRR peak is generated at 390 nm. (b) THRR and 5th harmonic peak maxima vs pump wavelength. We find an enhancement of 40% compared to that predicted by taking the sum of the average values away from their overlap wavelength (dashed red and black lines). (c) Phase-matching curves for all types of radiations in diamond.

larger than predicted, see blue dashed line] indicating the production of a *stimulated* SRR. Figure 6(c) shows the phase-matching curves of all the radiations in diamond for  $\lambda_{\rm p}$  = 1960 nm. Backward RR (green dashed line) is unimportant since it would be phase-matched at very short wavelengths (106 nm). The THRR is predicted at 390 nm, overlapping with the 5th-harmonic, as seen in the experiment. Phase-matching curves are not straight lines due to the strong contribution of the shock term for high intensities and normal dispersion, see Ref. [27]. The conversion efficiency from the pump pulse to the SRR peak is estimated to be  $\sim 10^{-5}$ , due to the short propagation distance and the rapid intensity drop in normal dispersion. However this is an important proof of concept of the SRR formation, which is open to improvements once the appropriate materials and waveguides that are able to phase match SRR over long distances are found.

*Conclusions* — We have shown that the THRR can be stimulated by a higher-harmonic when they are spectrally close. Resonant radiation peak could grow indefinitely in a stimulated fashion, with its amplitude even becoming *higher* than the pump itself in some cases. We have seen experimentally some preliminary hints of SRR in diamond, where a very intense pulse propagates in normal dispersion and the radiation is stimulated by the 5th harmonic. Our findings could lead, by using appropriate waveguides or bulk crystals, to super-efficient frequency conversion effects.

- J. N. Elgin, T. Brabec, and S. M. J. Kelly, Opt. Commun. 114, 3221 (1995).
- [2] N. Akhmediev and M. Karlsson, Phys. Rev. A 51, 2602 (1995).
- [3] A. V. Husakou and J. Herrmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 203901 (2001).
- [4] F. Biancalana, D. V. Skryabin, and A. V. Yulin, Phys. Rev. E 70, 016615 (2004).

- [5] D. V. Skryabin *et al.*, Science **301**, 1705 (2003).
- [6] M. Erkintalo, G. Genty, and J. M. Dudley, Opt. Lett. 35, 658 (2010).
- [7] M. Durand *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 115003 (2013).
- [8] M. Durand *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A 87, 043820 (2013).
- [9] T. Roger *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **90**, 033816 (2014).
- [10] M. Conforti, F. Baronio, and S. Trillo, Phys. Rev. A 89, 013807 (2014).
- [11] C. Millián and D. V. Skryabin, Opt. Express 22, 3732 (2014).
- [12] S. Coen, H. G. Randle, T. Sylvestre, and M. Erkintalo, Opt. Lett. 38, 37 (2013).
- [13] J. K. Jang et al., Opt. Lett. 39, 5503 (2014).
- [14] M. R. E. Lamont, Y. Okawachi and A. L. Gaeta, Opt. Lett. 38, 3478 (2013).
- [15] Y. Okawachi et al., Opt. Lett. 36, 3398 (2011).
- [16] S. Malaguti, M. Conforti, and S. Trillo, Opt. Lett. 39, 5626 (2014).
- [17] M. Conforti et al., Opt. Express 21, 31239 (2013).

- [18] P. Kinsler, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 24, 2363-2368 (2007).
- [19] E. Rubino et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 253901 (2012).
- [20] F. Biancalana, Physics 5, 68 (2012).
- [21] Sh. Amiranashvili, U. Bandelow and N. Akhmediev, Phys. Rev A 87, 013805 (2013); Sh. Amiranashvili and A. Demircan, Adv. Opt. Technol. 2011, 989515 (2011).
- [22] C. Redondo Lourés *et al.*, Opt. Lett. **40**, 613 (2015).
- [23] C. Redondo Lourés, D. Faccio, and F. Biancalana, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 193904 (2015).
- [24] J. McLenaghan and F. König, New Journal of Physics 16, 063017 (2014).
- [25] A. Demircan *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 233901 (2013).
- [26] A. Demircan *et al.*, Opt. Express **22**, 3866 (2014).
- [27] T. Roger *et al.*, Phys. Rev. A **88**, 051801 (2013).
- [28] B. Zhou, H. Guo, and M. Bache, Phys. Rev. A 90, 013823 (2014).
- [29] B. Zhou et al., arXiv:1606.00572v3 (2016).