Rural and Remote Health

The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education, Practice and Policy

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Rural Mental Health Units - Is there a role for a GP?

KL Porche, SA Margolis

Rural Clinical Division CQ Region, School of Medicine, University of Queensland, Rockhampton, Queensland, Australia

Submitted: 19 February 2006; Resubmitted: 21 August 2006; Published: 15 December 2006

Porche KL, Margolis SA Rural Mental Health Units - Is there a role for a GP? *Rural and Remote Health* 6: 566. (Online), 2006

Available from: http://rrh.deakin.edu.au

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Mental illness is a common medical condition which is increasing in frequency. In Australia, almost one in five persons have experienced an anxiety, depressive or substance abuse disorder in the last 12 months, but less than half have sought professional assistance. In rural and remote areas, there is limited access to psychiatrists, and the majority of mental health care resides with the GP. This study aimed to ascertain the opinions of GPs in rural and remote areas of Queensland on the concept of locating a GP within in a mental health unit.

Methods: Participants were all general practitioners listed on the databases of the Rural Divisions of General Practice covering RRMA 5-7 in Queensland, Australia, excluding those who could potentially refer patients to the mental health unit where the principal investigator worked. A specially designed questionnaire was forwarded to eligible GPs in a series of three mailings.

Results: In total, 145 GPs returned the questionnaire, giving a 69% response rate. The majority of GP respondents believed that there was a significant number of patients with mental illness who would benefit from the contribution of a GP, and that locating a GP within a mental health unit was a viable option, especially for enhancing continuity of care and consequently overall health. The majority of respondents said they felt confident in treating mental illness, especially those with higher mental health caseloads and those with a professional college fellowship. However, there were varying inclinations towards working in such a unit, with no definite preferred method of remuneration identified.

Conclusions: Rural and remote GPs in Queensland believe there is a case for placing a GP within established mental health units, subject to addressing logistic and remuneration issues, as they believe this would enhance continuity of care and improve overall health for those with mental health problems.

Keywords: general practitioner, mental health, primary health care.

© KL Porche, SA Margolis, 2006. A licence to publish this material has been given to ARHEN http://rrh.deakin.edu.au/

The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

Introduction

Mental illness is a common medical condition. The World Health Organisation predicts that depression will rank as the second highest burden of disease by 2020¹. In the *National Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing*, almost one in five Australians had experienced an anxiety, affective or substance abuse disorder in the last 12 months; however, less than half of these sought professional help².

In Australia, approximately one-third of the population live in rural and remote areas, but only 8% of psychiatrists practise in such locations³. Thus, the majority (or all) of the medical care for mental illness resides in the primary care environment, with the GP often being the first port of call⁴. Rural GPs already have a substantial workload and the statistics of mental illness will only serve to increase this workload. The GP's ability to provide effective care is limited due to time and varying capacities to assess, diagnose and treat psychological disorders⁵.

Federal government policy encouraged a shift in mental health care from the hospital to the community^{6,7}. This movement has seen the establishment of mental health services throughout metropolitan, as well as rural and remote locations. However, the integration of these services with primary care has presented a challenge. Consequently, numerous service models have been proposed along the lines of a similar process that has already occurred in the UK⁸.

The models which have been implemented in Australia include:

- 1. community mental health team
- 2. shifted outpatient clinic
- 3. attached mental health professional
- 4. consultant liaison model.

The *community mental health team model* was a step outside the hospital environs; however, there appeared to be little collaboration with primary care. In Townsville, Queensland, GPs reported difficulty accessing the mental health service due to the differing views on the role of the service⁹. A St George study in New South Wales revealed that mental health professionals thought the GPs were not interested in the treatment of mental illness and lacked specific skills¹⁰. The difficulties with communication and continuity of care were raised as issues that needed to be addressed.

The *shifted outpatient clinic model* saw a psychiatrist consult patients in a non-hospital setting; however, this did little to promote linkages to primary care. Tobin and Norris stated that if continuous care of patients with chronic mental illness was going to be successful, then linkages at all levels of service delivery needed to be established¹⁰.

An example of the *attached mental health professional model* was reported from rural Tasmania, where a mental health professional was attached to a medical practice on a salaried basis, a process successful in decreasing the suicide rate in this area¹¹. However, the funding for this type of care was an issue preventing the widespread adoption of this model.

The consultant liaison attachment model saw increased liaison between the mental health workers, psychiatrist and the GP. This program type has proved to be successful in Canada, where it involved a large population and a health service organisation¹². It brought the psychiatrists and mental health services into the family practice. In Victoria, Australia, a travelling mental health service provided education and training to GPs and mental health providers on the assessment and treatment of patients with anxiety and depression¹³. The CLIPP (Consultation Liaison in Primary Care Psychiatry) project in Melbourne linked the consultant liaison model with shared care, and proved to be successful¹⁴. Interestingly, it also saw the mental health service referring patients to GPs. Sixty percent of these patients reported that they felt their physical health had improved with the involvement of the GP in their care.



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

Models 1 and 2 did not particularly enhance the integration of mental health services with primary care GP services, while models 3 and 4 brought mental health services into the settings occupied by primary care providers. Nevertheless, all of these four models reinforced the role of the GP as a provider of mental health care and supported the up-skilling of the GP in mental health care. Some have proved effective in improving the health care of those with mental illness. However, these projects have involved small geographical areas and have focussed on integrating the mental health services with the GP.

Another possible model for improving mental health care in rural and remote areas is to relocate the GP to within the mental health service. There are a number of mental health units throughout rural and remote Queensland which have infrequent access to visiting specialists and rely on the advice and skills of a GP for the treatment of their patients with mental illness. The incorporation of the GP into the mental health unit may serve to improve communication between mental health providers and the GP, as well as upskilling the GP in mental health care. An extensive review of the medical literature on MEDLINE by the principal investigator failed to find any mention of this particular model of service delivery in rural and remote areas.

The aim of this study was to determine the attitude of GPs in rural and remote Queensland to the concept of locating a GP within rural mental health units, and especially:

- whether they believed there is a need and a role for this GP position
- aspects of how this could assist health care for those with mental illness
- whether they personally would be interested in such a role
- whether they feel professionally competent to manage mental illness
- what form of remuneration they would prefer.

Methods

Setting

This survey was conducted in rural and remote Queensland in locations classified as rural, remote and metropolitan area classification (RRMA) 5-7¹⁵. Within this classification system, RRMA 1 are capital cities, RRMA 2 are other densely populated urban areas, RRMA 3 are regional cities, with RRMA 4-7 being increasingly isolated rural/remote locations, RRMA 7 being the most remote.

Participants

The participants were all general practitioners listed on the databases of the Rural Divisions of General Practice covering RRMA 5-7 in Queensland. These GPs were entitled to refer patients with mental illness to the mental health units located in RRMA 5-7. However, to eliminate a potential conflict of interest, the GPs who could potentially refer patients to the Central Highlands Mental Health Service, due to their geographical proximity, were excluded because the principal investigator was providing services at that location.

Questionnaire

The questionnaire was designed to gather the opinions of the rural and remote GPs regarding the need for a GP within a rural mental health unit. The first nine questions used a 5 point Likert scale (strongly disagree = -2, disagree = -1, uncertain = 0, agree = 1, and strongly agree = 2) to ascertain opinions about each of the following:

- the prevalence of mental health patients without a GP
- the GP's contribution to the health of the patients with mental illness
- the GP's confidence in treating mental illness
- the GP's willingness to provide services to the mental health unit
- the GP's chosen method of remuneration for work at a mental health unit.



The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

The last six questions gathered demographic data: number of patients seen, age, gender, practice work structure and professional college fellowship. Participants were invited to provide free-text comments. The questionnaire is included (Appendix I).

Methodology

The participants were identified through the databases of the four rural Divisions of General Practice in Queensland. The Central Queensland Rural Division of General Practice liaised with the other three rural divisions of GP in Queensland to obtain assistance in distributing the surveys to GPs meeting the inclusion criteria. An information sheet and questionnaire were then forwarded to 210 GPs who met the inclusion criteria. The questionnaire was anonymous and voluntary. To increase the response rate, two follow-up mailings were performed at 1 and 2 months following the initial mailing.

Ethics

Ethical approval was gained from the University of Queensland Medical Research Ethics Committee.

Analysis

Data were analysed using SPSS software vers. 13 (SPSS Inc; Chicago, IL, USA). The demographic data was described using simple frequency analysis. Analysis of co-variance using the general linear model was used to calculate the significance of each opinion item with the demographic factors as covariants. The level of statistical significance was defined as p<0.05.

Results

In total 145 surveys were returned by participating GPs, a 69% response rate. The demographics of the participants are detailed (Table 1).



The responses to the nine opinion questions are detailed (Table 2). The respondents who stated that they were more confident in treating mental illness were more likely to have a college fellowship (p=0.01) or to see a higher number of mental health problems each week (p=0.004). Female practitioners were more likely to accept a sessional arrangement (p=0.04), while older practitioners were more likely to accept payment for services through Medicare, the national compulsory government insurance scheme (p=0.02).

A variety of free-text responses were reported by participants which primarily focussed around the theme of the GPs as the major provider of primary care in the community. Comments considered the importance of continuity of care and looking after families, rather than simply individuals. As such, some respondents viewed the role of mental health professionals to be as secondary providers supporting the GP, rather than the reverse. However, the importance of providing mental health care and the need for GPs to further improve their skills in this area were frequently reported.

An important second theme was the working relationship between GPs and mental health providers. Although many respondents stated that they have an effective working relationship with their mental health providers, others expressed concern about the mechanics of a future closer relationship. The issues noted by respondents were:

- 1. time
- 2. finances
- 3. staff
- 4. communication

Mental health care consumes a considerable amount of time and in a busy, often already over-booked general practice, it may be very difficult to allocate sufficient time to provide the appropriate care.

Many felt they were not adequately remunerated for the time they did allocate to providing mental health care.

*

The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

Characteristic	Variable	n (%)
Average number of patients with mental illness seen	0–5	29 (20.3)
per week	6-10	50 (35.0)
	11-20	42 (29.4)
	21-30	17 (11.9)
	>30	5 (3.5)
Sex	Male	95 (67.4)
	Female	46 (32.6)
Age group (years)	25-35	32 (22.4)
	36 - 45	47 (32.9)
	46 - 55	43 (30.1)
	>55	21 (14.7)
Work practice	Full time	113(77.9)
	Part time	32 (22.1)
Practice type	Solo	27 (18.9)
	Group	116 (81.1)
College fellowship	Yes	90 (62.1)
	No	55 (37.9)

Table 1: Demo	graphics of	general	nractitioner	respondents
Table 1. Dello	graphics or	general	practitioner	respondents

Chronic shortages of medical practitioners in rural and remote areas meant that the counselling and therapy often required by these patients may not be able to be provided.

Poor communication between the local mental health service and the GP has been noted previously to be a problem^{9,10}, and in this study comments were once again made. These GPs felt that an improvement in communication between these services would definitely assist in the care of their patients. Some services do have regular meetings between the GPs and the mental health service and, in their opinion, provide a well-functioning system of care.

Discussion

The majority of GPs in this study believed that there is a role for a GP in a rural mental health unit and that this may improve the continuity of care of patients and also promote a more holistic approach to their care. With GPs providing care across the family and beyond, outcomes could be enhanced because mental illness management often extends beyond the individual. GPs provide important opportunities for continuity of care with their relative location stability, when compared with the often considerable turnover of mental health professionals and visiting psychiatrists.

If GPs are to be the primary provider of mental health care, they need to feel confident in providing such a service. It is interesting that the majority of GPs in this study indicated confidence in treating patients with mental illness, when earlier studies in New South Wales (2001) had demonstrated that GPs were largely providing non-specific, nonpharmacological interventions for patients with mental health problems¹⁶. This discrepancy may reflect the substantial increase in continuing education programs concerning mental health that have since become available, such as the 'Better Outcomes in Mental Health Care' program¹⁷. This is further suggested by a recent finding from New Zealand (2006), which showed GPs were managing mental health problems more in line with evidence-based practice than previously¹⁸. The other possibility is that these rural/remote based GPs have, by necessity due to distance, isolation and limited support services, been required to obtain higher levels of skills than their metropolitan counterparts who formed the majority of participants in the studies mentioned above.





The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

Opinion question	Rating	n (%)
1.I think there are a significant number	Strongly disagree	3(2.10)
of patients who attend the mental health	Disagree	16(11.0)
unit but have no GP	Uncertain	25(17.2)
	Agree	63(43.4)
	Strongly agree	38(26.2)
2 . I think there is a role for a GP in a	Strongly disagree	2(1.4)
mental health unit	Disagree	8(5.5)
	Uncertain	21(14.5)
	Agree	69(47.6)
	Strongly agree	45(31.0)
3 . I think a GP would contribute to	Strongly disagree	1(0.7)
improving the physical health of mental	Disagree	4(2.8)
health patients	Uncertain	11(7.6)
neurin putients	Agree	79(54.9)
	Strongly disagree	49(34.0)
4. I think a GP would improve the	Strongly disagree	2(1.4)
continuity of care for mental health	Disagree	2(1.4) 2(1.4)
patients	Uncertain	12(8.3)
patients	Agree	68(46.9)
	-	61(42.1)
5 I feel and felent in tracting matients	Strongly agree	
5. I feel confident in treating patients with mental illness	Strongly disagree	2(1.4)
with mental fillness	Disagree Uncertain	12(8.3)
		32(22.1)
	Agree	77(53.1)
	Strongly agree	22(15.2)
6 . I would be able to improve my skills	Strongly disagree	2(1.4)
in treating mental illness through work	Disagree	4(2.8)
with a mental health unit	Uncertain	13(9.1)
	Agree	79(55.2)
	Strongly agree	45(31.5)
7. If I was adequately remunerated I	Strongly disagree	12(8.3)
would be interested in working in a	Disagree	36(24.8)
mental health unit	Uncertain	33(22.8)
	Agree	33(22.8)
	Strongly agree	31(21.4)
8. I believe sessional rates would be	Strongly disagree	8(5.6)
appropriate remuneration	Disagree	6(4.2)
	Uncertain	54(37.5)
	Agree	62(43.1)
	Strongly agree	14(9.7)
9. I believe Medicare billing would be	Strongly disagree	14(9.8)
appropriate remuneration	Disagree	36(25.2)
	Uncertain	58(40.6)
	Agree	30(21.0)
	Strongly agree	5(3.5)

Table 2: Perceptions of general practitioner respondents

Ŷ

The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

Rural and remote GPs expressed some reservations about working within the mental health unit, related in part to uncertainty of expectations, remuneration, possible fragmentation of care and time management. This may pertain to the different organisational structure of general practice, compared with the mental health unit. GPs are centred on a predominantly private, small-business model where income is mostly from fees raised from individual patients, although much, if not all of these fees, are reimbursed through Medicare, the compulsory government insurance scheme. In contrast, mental health units are government-run and publicly funded, with the local staff and administration supported by large centralised bureaucratic processes located (at times) in geographically distant regional centres or the state capital city.

Conclusion

Rural and remote GPs in Queensland, Australia, believe there is a case for locating a GP within established mental health units, subject to addressing logistic and remuneration issues, because they believe this would enhance continuity of care and improve overall health for those with mental illness.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the Central Queensland Rural Division of General Practice for assistance in distributing questionnaires to GPs; GPs in rural and remote Queensland for completing the questionnaires; Rural and Regional Queensland Consortium and General Practice Education and Training for providing funding for the academic position; and the Royal Australian College of General Practice for provision of funding.

References

1. Malcolm H. A Primary mental health-care model for rural Australia: outcomes for doctors and the community. *Australian Journal of Rural Health* 2000; **8:** 167-172.

2. Andrews G, Hill W, Teeson M, Henderson S. *The mental health of Australians*. Canberra: Mental Health Branch, Commonwealth Department of Health & Aged Care, 1999.

3. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. *Mental health services in Australia 2000-2001*. Canberra: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 2003.

4. Caldwell TM, Jorm AF, Knox S, Braddock D, Dear KBG, Britt H. General practice encounters for psychological problems in rural, remote and metropolitan areas in Australia. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* 2004; **38**: 774-780.

5. Vines RF, Richards JC, Thomson DM, Brechman-Toussaint M, Kluin M, Vesely L. Clinical psychology in general practice: a cohort study. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2004; **181**: 74-77.

6. Commonwealth Department of Health and Aged Care. *National action plan for promotion, prevention and early intervention for mental health*. Canberra: Mental Health and Special Programs Branch, Commonwealth Department of Health and Aged Care, 2000.

 Australian Health Ministers. National mental health plan 2003-2008. Canberra: Australian Government, 2003.

8. Gask L, Sibbald B, Creed F. Evaluating models of working at the interface between mental health services and primary care. *British Journal of Psychiatry* 1997; **170:** 6-11.

9. Alsop M, Battye K. Integration of general practitioners and mental health services: the northern Queensland integrated mental health program. *Australian Journal of Primary Health – Interchange* 1999; **5:** 20-26.

10. Tobin M, Norris G. Mental health and general practice: improving linkages using a total quality management approach. *Australian Health Review* 1998; **21:** 100-110.

The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

11. Malcolm H. Primary mental health-care model in rural Tasmania: outcomes for patients. *Australian Journal of Rural Health* 2002; **10:** 20-25.

12. Kates N, Craven M, Crustolo A M, Nikolaou L, Allen C. Integrating mental health services within primary care. A Canadian program. *General Hospital Psychiatry* 1997; **19**: 324-332.

13. Judd K, Davis J, Hodgins G, Scopelliti J, Agin B, Hulbert C. Rural integrated primary care psychiatry programme: a systems approach to education, training and service integration. *Australasian Psychiatry* 2004; **12:** 42-47.

14. Meadows GN. Establishing a collaborative service model for primary mental health care. *Medical Journal of Australia* 1998; **168**: 162-165.

15. Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. *Rural, regional and remote health: a guide to remoteness classifications.* AIHW cat. no. PHE 53. Canberra: AIHW, 2004.

 Hickie IB, Davenport TA, Naismith SL, Scott EM, Hadzi-Pavlovic D, Koschera A. Treatment of common mental disorders in Australian general practice. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2001; 175(Suppl) S25-S30.

17. Department of Health and Ageing, Australian Government. *Better outcomes in mental health care program.* (Online) 2006. Available: http://www.health.gov.au/internet/wcms/publishing.nsf/ content/mental-boimhc (Accessed: 13 December 2006).

 Bushnell J, McLeod D, Dowell A, Salmond C, Ramage S, Collings S et al., MaGPIe Research Group. The treatment of common mental health problems in general practice. *Family Practice* 2006; 23: 53-59

The International Electronic Journal of Rural and Remote Health Research, Education Practice and Policy

Appendix I

Questionnaire on the opinions of Queensland rural and remote GPs regarding the need for a GP within a rural mental health unit



0 _ 5

6 - 10

Is There A Need For A GP Within A Rural Mental Health Unit?

Thank you for agreeing to participate in this study.

Please note there are questions on 2 pages.

For questions 1 - 9 please mark the column that best represents your opinion with a cross or tick

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly disagree
1. I think there are a significant number of patients who attend the mental health unit but do					
not have a regular GP					
2. I think there is a role for a GP within the mental health unit			-		
3. I think a GP would contribute to improving the physical health of patients of the mental					
health unit					
4. I think a GP would improve the continuity of care for patients with mental health issues					
5. I feel confident in treating patients with mental illness					
6. I would be able to improve my skills in treating mental illness through my work with a					
mental health unit					
7. If I was adequately remunerated, I would be interested in working in a mental health unit					
8. I believe sessional rates would be appropriate remuneration					
9. I believe Medicare billing would be appropriate remuneration					

10. Please indicate the average number of patients seen each week with mental illness:

21 - 30

11 - 20

0-5 0-10 11-20 21-50	- 50
11. Please indicate your gender Male	Female D
12 . Please indicate your age range 25 - 35	36 - 45
13. Do you work Full-time	Part-time
14 . Are you a solo or group practitioner? Solo	Group 🗆
15. Do you have a College Fellowship? Yes □ If yes, please indicate FRACGP	No FACCRM
Please feel free to provide your written comments	

> 30

Thank you for agreeing to participate in this study.