

<sup>11</sup>Li, A., "Experimental and Numerical Study of Three-Dimensional Laminar Separated Flow Adjacent to Backward-Facing Step," Ph.D. Dissertation, Dept. of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering and Engineering Mechanics, Univ. of Missouri, Rolla, MO, 2001.

## Generalized Nonequilibrium Binary Scaling for Shock Standoff on Hypersonic Blunt Bodies

George R. Inger\*

Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011  
and

Charlotte Higgins<sup>†</sup> and Richard Morgan<sup>‡</sup>  
University of Queensland,  
Brisbane, Queensland 4072, Australia

### Introduction

THE shock standoff distance  $\delta_s$  in a blunt-body stagnation region (Fig. 1) is sensitive to the thermochemistry within the shock layer<sup>1</sup> and, hence, an important observable in hypervelocity test facilities.<sup>2</sup> Although numerical codes are available to predict  $\delta_s$ , they are expensive for engineering parametric studies and do not yield physical insight and similitude laws needed for experimental design and data interpretation. On the other hand, existing analytical methods may not permit extension to include multitemperature ionization. The present paper examines a new analytical theory<sup>3</sup> of shock standoff with a nonequilibrium-dissociated shock layer to demonstrate a generalized binary scaling property for high-altitude hypervelocity flight simulation work.

### Theoretical Formulation

We consider a blunt nose region at zero angle of attack under the following assumptions (Fig. 1): 1) The postshock static pressure is a known constant across the shock layer. 2) The tangential velocity component is of the form  $U \approx \beta_S x$ , where  $\beta_S$  is an appropriately defined known constant equal to the effective stagnation point velocity gradient reflecting the  $U(y)$  variation. 3) Low Reynolds number viscous shock layer effects are negligible. For shock layer Reynolds numbers above 300 (pertaining to many applications), these assumptions are sufficient to model the main aerothermochemical aspects of the flow along the stagnation line  $x \rightarrow 0$ .

Regardless of the gas or its chemistry, continuity yields the normal velocity component  $V$  as

$$\rho(y)V(y) = -(1+J)\beta_S \int_0^y \rho \, dy \quad (1)$$

where  $J = 0, 1$  for two-dimensional or axisymmetric flow, respectively. When the density-stretched coordinate  $\eta$  is introduced,

$$\eta \equiv (1+J) \frac{\beta_S R_B}{U_\infty} \int_0^y \frac{\rho}{\rho_\infty} d\left(\frac{y}{R_B}\right) \quad (2)$$

Received 15 April 2002; revision received 28 June 2002; accepted for publication 28 June 2002. Copyright © 2002 by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc. All rights reserved. Copies of this paper may be made for personal or internal use, on condition that the copier pay the \$10.00 per-copy fee to the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc., 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923; include the code 0887-8722/03 \$10.00 in correspondence with the CCC.

\*Professor, Department of Aerospace Engineering and Engineering Mechanics, Associate Fellow AIAA.

<sup>†</sup>Graduate Research Student, Centre for Hypersonics.

<sup>‡</sup>Professor, Centre for Hypersonics.




Fig. 1 Schematic of blunt-body stagnation shock layer.

Eq. (1) yields simply  $\rho V = -\rho_\infty U_\infty \eta$ . Because  $\rho V = -\rho_\infty U_\infty$  behind the shock,  $\eta = 1$  is, thus, the shock location regardless of the flow chemistry or dimensionality. The physical standoff distance  $\delta_s = (y)_{\eta=1}$  by Eq. (2) is then

$$\frac{\delta_s}{R_B} = \left( \frac{U_\infty}{\beta_S R_B} \right) \left( \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\rho_\infty}{\rho} \right) d\eta / (1+J) \right) \quad (3)$$

Equation (3) shows that once the density profile  $\rho(\eta)$  is found using the species, energy, and state equations, one integration yields  $\delta_s$  in a convenient nondimensional form.


For dissociation involving the net formation of an atom mass fraction  $\alpha$ , we have along the stagnation line (neglecting diffusion) that  $\rho V \, d\alpha/dy = \dot{w}_\alpha$ , where  $\dot{w}_\alpha$  is the net chemical rate of atom mass formation per unit volume. Then applying Eq. (2), we obtain the nondimensional equation

$$\eta \frac{d\alpha}{d\eta} = \frac{-(\dot{w}_\alpha/\rho)}{(1+J)\beta_S} = \frac{-(R_B U_\infty)(\dot{w}_\alpha/\rho)}{(1+J)(\beta_S R_B/U_\infty)} \quad (4)$$

where both  $\dot{w}_\alpha/\rho$  and  $U_\infty/R_B$  have the same units of reciprocal time.

### Application to Dissociating Diatomic Gases

We now consider the specific case of a diatomic gas that undergoes the dissociation-recombination reaction



where  $M$  is a third body (molecule  $A_2$  or atom  $A$ ),  $k_D$  is the dissociation rate, and  $k_R$  is the recombination rate. The corresponding  $\dot{w}_\alpha$  is formulated from the law of mass action combined with the principle of detailed balancing and the use of the usual mole-mass fraction relationship, giving Eq. (4) as<sup>3</sup>

$$\eta \frac{d\alpha}{d\eta} = \frac{-k_{DA2} \rho_\infty R_B U_\infty}{2(1+J)R_u T \lambda} \sqrt{\frac{(1-\varepsilon_F)}{2\varepsilon_F}} \left\{ 1 + \left[ 2 \left( \frac{k_{DA}}{k_{DA2}} \right) - 1 \right] \alpha \right\} \\ \times (1+\alpha)^{-1} \left\{ (1-\alpha) - \left( \frac{4\rho R_{A2} T}{K_{eq}} \right) \alpha^2 \right\} \quad (6)$$

where  $\varepsilon_F = \rho_\infty/\rho_F$ ,  $k_{DA2} \equiv CT^N e^{-T_D/T}$ ,  $c_j$  are mass fractions [ $c_A = \alpha$ ,  $c_{A2} = (1-\alpha)$ ], and  $K_{eq} = p_{ref}(T/T_{ref})^s e^{-(T_D/T)}$  in terms of the parameters  $p_{ref}$ ,  $T_{ref}$ ,  $s$ , and the dissociation temperature  $T_D$ .

The density  $\rho$  can be expressed in terms of  $\alpha$ , the pressure  $p$ , and the mixture temperature  $T$  by the equation of state, which on use of Dalton's law becomes

$$p = \rho(R_u/M_{A2})T(1+\alpha) \quad (7)$$

Here  $p \approx \rho_\infty U_\infty^2 (1 - \varepsilon_F)$  and  $\beta_S R_B / U_\infty \approx \sqrt{[2\varepsilon_F (1 - \varepsilon_F)] \lambda}$  from constant density shock layer Newtonian theory (see Ref. 4), where  $\lambda = k / \arcsin k$  with  $k = \sqrt{(1 - 3\varepsilon_F) / (3\varepsilon_F)}$  for  $J = 0$  and  $\lambda = [1 + \sqrt{(8\varepsilon_F/3)}] / \sqrt{(8\varepsilon_F/3)}$  for  $J = 1$ . The corresponding energy conservation equation for steady, adiabatic, nonradiating shock layer (constant total enthalpy) at hypersonic flight conditions ( $U_\infty^2 \gg R_{A_2} T_\infty$ ) is<sup>3</sup>

$$\alpha + \frac{5}{2} (T/T_D) \left[ 1 + \alpha + \frac{2}{5} (1 - \alpha) (J_V + 1) \right] \approx (U_\infty^2 / 2h_D) + \alpha_\infty \quad (8)$$

where  $h_D \equiv R_{A_2} T_D = R_u T_D / M_{A_2}$ ,  $M_{A_2}$  is the molecular weight and  $J_V$  is an index that indicates the fraction of classical vibrational internal molecular energy assumed to be activated, for example,  $J_V = 1$  for complete activation,  $J_V = 0$  for negligible vibration, and  $J_V = \frac{1}{2}$  for the Lighthill ideal dissociating gas model.

### Similitude Properties and Binary Scaling

The foregoing indicates that the shock properties obey the following general similitude law for dissociating diatomic gases:  $\alpha(\eta), \theta(\eta), \ell(\eta) = f_{ns}[\Gamma_D, \tau_D, \alpha_\infty, (1 - \varepsilon_F)\rho_\infty / \rho_{ref}]$ , where  $\theta \equiv T/T_D$ ,  $\mathcal{I} \equiv \rho / \rho_\infty (1 - \varepsilon_F)$  and  $\tau_D \equiv U_\infty^2 / 2h_D$ . Here  $\rho_{ref} \equiv p_{ref} M_{A_2} (T_D / T_{ref})^S / R_u T_D$ , and  $\Gamma_D$  is the blunt nose Damköhler number

$$\Gamma_D \equiv \frac{CT_D^{N-1} R_B (1 - \varepsilon_F) \rho_\infty U_\infty}{2(1 + J) R_u \sqrt{2\varepsilon_F (1 - \varepsilon_F)} \lambda} \quad (9)$$

The corresponding scaling law governing  $\delta_s$ , from Eq. (3), is

$$(1 + J) \frac{\delta_S}{R_B} (1 - \varepsilon_F) \sqrt{2\varepsilon_F (1 - \varepsilon_F)} = \int_0^1 \ell^{-1}(\eta) d\eta \quad (10)$$

$$\equiv I \left[ \Gamma_D, \tau_D, \alpha_\infty, \frac{(1 - \varepsilon_F) \rho_\infty}{\rho_{ref}} \right]$$

when  $I$  is an integral function of the indicated scaling parameters and the last term on the right derives from the recombination term  $\sim \alpha^2$  in Eq. (6).

The practical utility of Eq. (10) obtains in those high-altitude/hypervelocity regimes of flight where recombination is negligible and the last parameter drops out. Then the appropriately nondimensionalized standoff distance depends for a given  $\alpha_\infty$  only on the Damköhler number  $\Gamma_D$  and the parameter  $\tau_D$ , regardless of altitude  $\rho_\infty$ , flight velocity, or body size. A particular version of this binary scaling for fixed  $U_\infty$  and  $\rho_\infty \cdot R_B$  has in fact been used for some time<sup>5,6</sup> to simulate nonequilibrium flows. A second and newer aspect, which we will discuss, deals with the roles of the parameters  $\alpha_\infty$  and (especially)  $\tau_D$ . In particular, we examine when  $\tau_D$  ceases to be influential and, hence, no longer restricts the usual binary scaling to require a fixed type of gas and/or flight velocity. Such a situation is important in hypervelocity testing because then the similitude requirements are unhooked from the need to duplicate  $\tau_D$  and, hence,  $U_\infty$ .

### Parametric Study Results

#### Damköhler Number Correlation

Based on the chemical kinetic data of Park<sup>7</sup> and the assumption that  $J_V = \frac{1}{2}$ , Fig. 2 shows the Damköhler number effect in terms of the nondimensional standoff distance ratio  $r_s \equiv (\delta_S - \delta_{S,eq}) / (\delta_{S,F} - \delta_{S,eq})$ , where the subscripts eq and  $F$  denote equilibrium and frozen shock layer values, respectively. These results are plotted vs the modified Damköhler number  $\Omega$  (proportional to  $\Gamma_D$ ) defined by  $\Omega \equiv \theta_F^{N-1} e^{-1/\theta_F} (1 - \alpha_\infty) \Gamma_D [1 + [2(k_{DA}/k_{DA2}) - 1]\alpha_\infty] / (1 + \alpha_\infty)$ . When it is noted that  $r_s$  always lies between 0 in the equilibrium limit and unity in the opposite chemically frozen limit, this presentation efficiently collapses both theory and experiment to nearly universal sets of curves. Also shown is the envelope of combined experimental data/numerical computational fluid dynamic calculations.<sup>8-10</sup> It is seen that the present theory is in good agreement in predicting a significant decrease in  $r_s$  as  $\Omega$  increases from the chemically frozen limit ( $\Omega \rightarrow 0, r_s = 1$ )




Fig. 2 Correlation of nondimensional shock standoff distance vs Damköhler number.




Fig. 3a Effect of freestream dissociation on the  $r_s(\Omega)$  correlation.




Fig. 3b Influence of the similitude parameter  $\tau_D$  on the  $r_s(\Omega)$  correlation.

to the equilibrium-dissociated limit ( $\Omega \rightarrow \infty, r_s = 0$ ). The various analyses lie within the experimental accuracy ( $\pm 10\%$ ) of the available data; indeed, the present theory lies near its center.

#### Freestream Dissociation Effect

The effect of nonequilibrium freestream dissociation  $\alpha_\infty$  on  $r_s$  over the entire nonequilibrium range is shown in Fig. 3a. For  $0 \leq \alpha_\infty \leq 0.4$ , it is seen that  $\alpha_\infty$  has a negligible effect up to  $\Omega \leq 100$ ; within the experimental uncertainty, the  $\alpha_\infty$ -effect has, thus, been almost completely accounted for in the  $\delta_{S,eq}$  and  $\delta_{S,F}$  values involved in  $r_s$  and its influence on  $\Omega$ .

#### Nondimensional Kinetic Energy Parameter $\tau_D$

The similitude parameter  $\tau_D$  represents the ratio of the flight kinetic energy to the dissociation energy of the gas; its influence on

the  $r_s$  vs  $\Omega$  variation is shown in Fig. 3b. For  $\tau_D \ll 1$ , it has a significant influence on  $r_s$  by decreasing the relative nonequilibrium effect with increasing  $\tau_D$ . However, when  $\tau_D \geq 1$ , the  $r_s$  vs  $\Omega$  correlation becomes insensitive to  $\tau_D$ . Indeed, for  $\tau_D \geq 1$ , its parametric effect is far smaller than the typical experimental data uncertainty, and, thus,  $\tau_D$  ceases to be relevant. In such cases, which embrace a wide range of planetary entry vehicle conditions, we, thus, obtain the generalized binary scaling that the ratio  $r_s$  depends only on  $\Omega$  regardless of the specific values of  $\rho_\infty$ ,  $R_B$ ,  $U_\infty$ , and  $\alpha_\infty$  or type of gas.

With regard to practical applications, the following simple closed-form expression has been found to fit the curve (Fig. 3) throughout the entire nonequilibrium regime:

$$r_s \approx \frac{6\sqrt{1+5.6\Omega} - 1}{5 + 20\Omega} \quad (11)$$

Equation (11) yields the linear function  $r_s \approx 1 - 0.70\Omega$  in the nearly frozen limit  $\Omega \ll 1$ , and the inverse square root  $r_s \approx 0.70\Omega^{-1/2}$  for nearly equilibrium flow  $\Omega \gg 1$ .

### Conclusion

We have presented some new parametric study results from a recent analytical theory of hypersonic blunt nose shocks standoff<sup>3</sup> which establish an extended nonequilibrium-dissociation binary scaling concept wherein the need to simulate flight velocity is eliminated when the parameter  $\tau_D \equiv U_\infty^2/2hD$  is greater than unity.

### Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the Australian Research Council and the University of Queensland travel scheme.

### References

- Hornung, H. G., and Smith, G. H., "The Influence of Relaxation on Shock Detachment," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, Vol. 93, Pt. 2, 1979, pp. 225-239.
- McIntyre, T. J., Wegener, M. J., Bishop, A. I., and Rubinsztein-Dunlop, H., "Simultaneous Two-Wavelength Holographic Interferometry in a Super-orbital Expansion Tube Facility," *Applied Optics*, Vol. 36, No. 31, 1997, pp. 8128-8134.
- Inger, G. R., Higgins, C., and Morgan, R., "Shock Standoff on Hypersonic Blunt Bodies in Nonequilibrium Gas Flows," *Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer*, Vol. 16, No. 2, 2002, pp. 245-250.
- Hayes, W. D., and Probst, R. F., *Hypersonic Flow Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1959, pp. 150-162.
- Gibson, W., "Dissociation Scaling for Nonequilibrium Blunt Nose Flow," *ARS Journal*, Vol. 32, No. 4, 1962, pp. 285-287.
- Stalker, R. J., "A Similarity Transformation for Blunt-Body Flows," AIAA Paper 86-0125, June 1986.
- Park, C., "Assessment of Two-Temperature Kinetic Model for Dissociating and Weakly Ionizing Nitrogen," *Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1988, pp. 8-16.
- Freeman, N. C., "Non-Equilibrium Flow of an Ideal Dissociating Gas," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, Vol. 4, Pt. 3, 1958, pp. 407-425.
- Hornung, H. G., "Non-Equilibrium Dissociating Nitrogen Flow Over Spheres and Circular Cylinders," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, Vol. 53, 1972, pp. 149-176.
- Hornung, H. G., and Wen, C. Y., "Non-Equilibrium Dissociating Flow Over Spheres," *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, Vol. 299, 1995, pp. 389-405.

United States Postal Service

#### Statement of Ownership, Management, and Circulation

1. Publication Title <b>Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer</b>		2. Publication Number 0 8 8 7 - 8 7 2 2		3. Filing Date 12/1/02	
4. Issue Frequency Quarterly		5. Number of Issues Published Annually 4		6. Annual Subscription Price \$45/\$420	
7. Complete Mailing Address of Known Office of Publication (Not printer) (Street, city, county, state, and ZIP+4) American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics 1801 Alexander Bell Drive, Reston, VA, 20191				Contact Person Luke McCabe Telephone 703.264.7500	
8. Complete Mailing Address of Headquarters or General Business Office of Publisher (Not printer) AIAA (address above)					
9. Full Names and Complete Mailing Addresses of Publisher, Editor, and Managing Editor (Do not leave blank)					
Publisher (Name and complete mailing address) Norma Brennan, AIAA (address above)					
Editor (Name and complete mailing address) Alfred L. Crosbie, University of Missouri-Rolla, Rolla, MO, 65409					
Managing Editor (Name and complete mailing address) Luke McCabe, AIAA (address above)					
10. Owner (Do not leave blank. If the publication is owned by a corporation, give the name and address of the corporation immediately followed by the names and addresses of all stockholders owning or holding 1 percent or more of the total amount of stock. If not owned by a corporation, give the names and addresses of the individual owners. If owned by a partnership or other unincorporated firm, give its name and address as well as those of each individual owner. If the publication is published by a nonprofit organization, give its name and address.)					
Full Name American Inst. of Astronautics and Astronautics		Complete Mailing Address 1801 Alexander Bell Dr., Reston, VA, 20191			
11. Known Bondholders, Mortgagees, and Other Security Holders Owning or Holding 1 Percent or More of Total Amount of Bonds, Mortgages, or Other Securities. If none, check box <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None					
Full Name		Complete Mailing Address			
12. Tax Status (For completion by nonprofit organizations authorized to mail at nonprofit rates) (Check one) The purpose, function, and nonprofit status of this organization and the exempt status for federal income tax purposes: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Has Not Changed During Preceding 12 Months <input type="checkbox"/> Has Changed During Preceding 12 Months (Publisher must submit explanation of change with this statement)					

PS Form 3526, October 1999

(See Instructions on Reverse)

13. Publication Title <b>Journal of Thermophysics and Heat Transfer</b>		14. Issue Date for Circulation Data Below Oct-Dec 2002	
15. Extent and Nature of Circulation		Average No. Copies Each Issue During Preceding 12 Months	No. Copies of Single Issue Published Nearest to Filing Date
a. Total Number of Copies (Net press run)		1300	1300
(1) Paid/Requested Outside-County Mail Subscriptions Stated on Form 3541. (Include advertiser's proof and exchange copies)		743	732
(2) Paid In-County Subscriptions Stated on Form 3541. (Include advertiser's proof and exchange copies)			
(3) Sales Through Dealers and Carriers, Street Vendors, Counter Sales, and Other Non-USPS Paid Distribution			
(4) Other Classes Mailed Through the USPS			
c. Total Paid and/or Requested Circulation (Sum of 15b, (1), (2), (3), and (4))		743	732
d. Free Distribution by Mail (Samples, complimentary, and other free)			
(1) Outside-County as Stated on Form 3541		19	19
(2) In-County as Stated on Form 3541			
(3) Other Classes Mailed Through the USPS			
e. Free Distribution Outside the Mail (Carriers or other means)			
f. Total Free Distribution (Sum of 15d, and 15e.)		19	19
g. Total Distribution (Sum of 15c, and 15f)		762	751
h. Copies not Distributed		538	549
i. Total (Sum of 15g, and h.)		1300	1300
j. Percent Paid and/or Requested Circulation (15c, divided by 15g, times 100)		57%	56.3%
16. Publication of Statement of Ownership <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Publication required. Will be printed in the Jan-Mar 2003 issue of this publication. <input type="checkbox"/> Publication not required.			
17. Signature and Title of Editor, Publisher, Business Manager, or Owner Norma Brennan, Director of Publications Date 12/18/02			
I certify that all information furnished on this form is true and complete. I understand that anyone who furnishes false or misleading information on this form or who omits material or information requested on the form may be subject to criminal sanctions (including fines and imprisonment) and/or civil sanctions (including civil penalties).			
<b>Instructions to Publishers</b>			
1. Complete and file one copy of this form with your postmaster annually on or before October 1. Keep a copy of the completed form for your records.			
2. In cases where the stockholder or security holder is a trustee, include in items 10 and 11 the name of the person or corporation for whom the trustee is acting. Also include the names and addresses of individuals who are stockholders who own or hold 1 percent or more of the total amount of bonds, mortgages, or other securities of the publishing corporation. In item 11, if none, check the box. Use blank sheets if more space is required.			
3. Be sure to furnish all circulation information called for in item 15. Free circulation must be shown in items 15d, e, and f.			
4. Item 15h. Copies not Distributed, must include (1) newsstand copies originally stated on Form 3541, and returned to the publisher, (2) estimated returns from news agents, and (3), copies for office use, leftovers, spoiled, and all other copies not distributed.			
5. If the publication had Periodicals authorization as a general or requester publication, this Statement of Ownership, Management, and Circulation must be published; if must be printed in any issue in October or, if the publication is not published during October, the first issue printed after October.			
6. In item 16, indicate the date of the issue in which this Statement of Ownership will be published.			
7. Item 17 must be signed. Failure to file or publish a statement of ownership may lead to suspension of Periodicals authorization.			

PS Form 3526, October 1999 (Reverse)