ACTIVITY RECOGNITION USING GREY-MARKOV MODEL

By

Kirke Shouse

A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate School of Western Carolina University in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Technology

Committee:	
	Director
	Dean of the Graduate School
Date:	
	Fall 2011

Fall 2011 Western Carolina University Cullowhee, North Carolina

ACTIVITY RECOGNITION USING GREY-MARKOV MODEL

A thesis presented to the faculty of the Graduate School of Western Carolina University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Technology.

By

Kirke Shouse

Director: Dr. James Zhang Associate Professor Department of Engineering and Technology

Committee Members: Dr. Peter Tay, ECET Prof. Paul Yanik, ECET

December 2011

©2011 by Kirke Shouse

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Tables	V
List of Figures	v
Abstract	vi
CHAPTER 1. Introduction	7
CHAPTER 2. Literature Review	0
2.1 Activity Recognition	0
2.2 Predominant Methods in Activity Recognition	1
2.3 Grey Prediction and Markov Model	2
2.3.1 Grey Prediction Method	3
2.3.2 Grey Markov Method	5
CHAPTER 3. Methodolody	7
3.1 Algorithm	7
3.2 Test with Existing AR Data	0
3.3 Design of AR Test	1
3.4 Test-bed Design and Data Collection	2
3.4.1 Test Apparatus Design and Construction	2
3.4.2 Data Collection	3
3.5 Experiment Design and Data Treatment	4
3.5.1 Participant Selection	4
3.5.2 State transition design	5
3.5.3 Data Treatment	7
CHAPTER 4. Results and Analysis	9
4.1 Results on Collected Data	9
CHAPTER 5. Conclusions and Future Work	1
Bibliography 4	3
Appendix	
APPENDIX A. One Month DJI Data	7
APPENDIX B. Three Month DJI Data	8

APPENDIX C.	One Year DJI Data	49
APPENDIX D.	Easily Detectable Data Set	53
APPENDIX E.	Nominally Detectable Data Set	55
APPENDIX F.	Poorly Detectable Data Set	57

LIST OF TABLES

3.1	Dow Jones Industrial Closing Average Table	19
3.2	Participant Information	24
3.3	Transition Classification	26
4.1	Steady State Detection Results	36
4.2	Transition Detection Rate	40

LIST OF FIGURES

3.1	Algorithm Flow Chart	17
3.2	Dow Jones Industrial Closing Average Results	19
3.3	Transition State Diagram	21
3.4	Four Steady State Positions	23
3.5	Original Data versus Filtered Data	27
4.1	Easily Detectable Steady State	31
4.2	Nominally Detectable Steady State	33
4.3	Poorly Detectable Steady State	35
4.4	Transition Detection	38

ABSTRACT

ACTIVITY RECOGNITION USING GREY-MARKOV MODEL

Kirke Shouse, M.S.T.

Western Carolina University (December 2011)

Director: Dr. James Zhang

Activity Recognition (AR) is a process of identifying actions and goals of one or more agents of interest. AR techniques have been applied to both large and small scale activity identification. Examples of AR techniques include Genetic Algorithm, Markov Chain, and so on.

This research proposes a novel method, Grey Markov Model (GMM), for detection and prediction of pre-defined activities. There were three objectives of this research. The first objective was to establish a database of pre-defined human activities. The second objective was to establish the Grey Markov Model. The final objective was to verify the model performance using the established database.

This thesis describes the methodology of test setup and data collection, as well as the procedures of model generation. Furthermore, experimental results of model performance verification test are also reported.

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

Activity Recognition (AR) is an ongoing field of study. Activity Recognition (AR) is a process of identifying actions and goals of one or more agents of interest. AR techniques have been applied to both large and small scale activity identification. An example of large scale recognition is traffic pattern for a major street and its intersections to help generate a better flow of traffic. Small scale recognition is where AR is most frequently seen. An example of small scale recognition is Activities of Daily Living (ADL). ADL is used to help assisted living facilities determine whether or not a patient is in need of care. This thesis focuses on small scale recognition, and in particular identifying and predicting the current position of someone's posture in a chair.

The importance of AR is becoming more and more prevalent as the life expectancy and number of elderly people increases. By being able to identify and predict someone's current state and future state, the care for the elderly can be vastly improved. For example, if the current state of a patient is one that is known to lead to one of the negative future states, such as falling down, then the healthcare provider knows that intervention is necessary to prevent injury. As sensory technology improves the non-invasive approaches can even help with predicting internal problems, such as heart and other vital organ failures.

Most methods of AR lack the speed and accuracy needed to provide the responses needed for healthcare concerns. The most predominant method of AR is considered to be Markov Model. This method is primarily used in speech recognition, and not designed to deal with AR. While it does boast decent accuracy and speed, it still lacks the precision needed for healthcare predicaments. This thesis proposes a new method for AR that has yet to be explored in great depth using a Grey-Markov Model (GMM) as the algorithm for detection. GMM is a combination of the previously stated Markov Model and Grey Model. Grey Model was originally designed for control systems. Its inclusion with Markov Model helps to reduce error previously seen in Markov Model alone.

Through this research the generation of the GMM algorithm was constructed in MatLab. This algorithm used the Markov Model as it's main source of interpretation, but as previously stated the introduction of Grey Model was appended to eliminate error and improve detection rates. The main focus of the Markov Model is to link the states of interest with a transition matrix. A Markov chain takes a stochastic process and makes the next state dependent on the current state and not the past states. The Grey Model takes the transition matrix and transition probabilities and uses a differential equation to predict the current and future state. The predictions error is further reduced by implementing the residual error calculated from one state to any other state, and determining the most likely next state.

To test the algorithm, Dow Jones stock exchange data was used to determine if, given a specific amount of closing averages, could the following day's closing average be predicted. This was done over one month, three month, and one year time intervals. The predictions were used as a comparison between Grey Model and GMM to test the improvements. The prediction results showed that the algorithm was consistently more accurate than Grey Model by itself.

The next step was to test the algorithm on previously collected data used for AR. This data was provided by a faculty member which was obtained during his dissertation work. The data consisted of participants positioned on a couch in five unique states. The data was tested and predicted accurately what the current state was. To further test this data set the original data was scrambled so that the original order of states was altered to confirm the accuracy of the algorithm. The results showed the algorithm was just as accurate as before.

Once the initial test of the algorithm was done with actual AR data, new data was generated using a similar concept. The new data was collected by placing four load cells underneath the legs of a chair. Four states were established and consisted of *front*, *back*, *left*, and *right*. The new data acquired was tested by the algorithm, and again the accuracy shown was sufficient for AR.

This thesis is organized as follows, chapter two provides a literature review discussing the basic concepts of AR, Markov Model, Grey Model, and GMM. In chapter three the design methodology is discussed in detail pertaining to the algorithm, data collection, and data testing. Chapter four shows the results and analysis attained through this research. Lastly, chapter five concludes the research and recommends future work.

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Activity Recognition

Activity Recognition(AR) is a process of identifying actions and goals of one or more agents of interest. AR techniques have been applied to both large and small scale activity identification. Examples of AR techniques include Genetic Algorithm, Markov Chain, and so on. AR can be viewed in numerous ways dependent upon its application. For example, if the actions of interest are behavioral then AR can be classified as behavioral recognition. Other common references to AR are goal recognition, intent recognition, plan recognition, and location-based recognition [1–4].

Since the inception of the digital age and devices such as smartphones, AR has become more prevalent. Its significance has lead to vast amounts of research in the varying fields of AR. One important field that research has arisen is the medical field. The importance of AR for medical purposes is vast, but benefits to the elderly have emerged as the prevailing source of interest in the field [2,4].

One such benefit is the use of AR to help with assisted living patients. These patients require consistent attention, and with more patients than healthcare workers the advent of AR to help identify and diagnose problems has been a reprieve to the health-care providers. Preventative measures can be used to help identify potential injuries and problems before they occur. The ultimate goal would be to identify and solve potential problems autonomously if at all possible, but further progress in the field must occur for that revelation [2, 4].

2.2 Predominant Methods in Activity Recognition

There have been many different approaches to AR, but with so many facets to AR it is difficult to generalize the methods. Some prevalent methods consist of data mining, robotics, and most commonly used sensors. An example of robotic assistance in AR can be found in the work of Pollack et al. about memory loss in the elderly [4]. This research explores the assisted living of the elderly with a robot to assure activities of daily living are remembered and accomplished as planned. This may range from simple task such as using the restroom to taking important medication. The use of adaptivity in the robots algorithm allows for daily routines to be completed without the monotonus approach of an alarm clock reminder.

Want et al. uses the more commonly used approach, sensors [2]. This approach uses a badge worn by agents that tracks movement in varying locations. Two examples of where the badge was used were in an office building setting and in a hospital setting. In the office building setting the use of the badge allowed a receptionist to easily keep track of where individuals were when they were needed. In the hospital setting the badge was used to keep up to date information on patients and staff in case medical emergencies arose. This may seem that AR is not heavily relied upon, but once the badges are used for an extended period of time training occurs allowing an algorithm to not only see where someone is, but also to predict where they may be going using previous data. The problem with the badge method is that an individual's privacy may be violated with this invasive approach.

Another example of AR using a sensor approach can be seen using a non-invasive approach [3]. This approaches uses sensor data on household objects instead of the per-

son. By using these sensors on items such as a coffee-maker, the authors investigate if they could determine recognizable patterns with objects in daily life. Through decision trees which classify patterns they were able to achieve a high success rate of detection without being invasive to the members of the household.

The method used in this research was a non-invasive approach using sensors to determine a person orientation in a chair. The algorithm used to determine and predict the current and future states was a combination of Grey Prediction and Markov Model. The combination of this algorithm is known as Grey-Markov Model, and allowed for the short-comings of both to be surpassed.

2.3 Grey Prediction and Markov Model

Grey prediction was originally introduced by Deng [5] in 1982. Grey prediction was originally designed for use with control systems. It has been widely used in control systems since its inception. The theory states that if a system consisted of all unknowns then it was considered black. Conversely if a system consisted of all knowns it was considered white. Therefore a grey system consisted of known and unknowns. The goal of grey prediction was to whiten the system and reveal the unknowns. Since control system data tend to follow an exponential trend the method was ideal for the task. When using grey prediction in a field such as AR the exponential trend tends to propagate error through the process.

A series of papers were published in the 1960s first referencing Hidden Markov Models. One of the first applied uses of Hidden Markov Models was found in speech recognition. As people became more familiar with Hidden Markov Models, more fields of study began to use the prediction method. Some examples of fields are Biology, Stock Market, Power Consumption, and DNA research. In 1989 Lawrence R. Rabiner published a paper explaining Hidden Markov Models and their application in speech recognition. [6]. First Rabiner explains the process in constructing a Hidden Markov Model. The author further explained Hidden Markov Models for prediction using two examples. The first example used is the simple task of flipping a coin that has heads on one side and tails on the other. Rabiner sets up the experiment by explaining that you are in a room with a curtain in between you and the person flipping the coin. The person flipping the coin will not tell you anything they are doing just the outcome of every coin flip. To construct the Hidden Markov Model one must first determine what a given state will correspond to, i.e. state one would be heads, while state two would be tails. Next one must determine how many states; in this case there are two states, either head or tails. Lastly, the determination of the probability of the coin being head or tails must be decided. It is fairly obvious in the case of the coin what the probabilities would be for the coin to be either heads or tails, so Rabiner goes on to show a two coin model and a three coin model. These would allow you to generate a Hidden Markov Model that can predict a sequence of coin flips [6].

2.3.1 Grey Prediction Method

The first step of implementing the Grey Prediction Method is data treatment. Let the original data sequence be denoted as such:

$$\{x^{(0)}(1), x^{(0)}(2), \cdots, x^{(0)}(n)\}$$
(2.1)

The original data can be reconstructed with the following equation:

$$\hat{x}^{(0)}(k+1) = \hat{x}^{(1)}(k+1) - \hat{x}^{(1)}(k) - D_{k+1}$$
(2.2)

Where:

$$D_i = D_{i-1} + 2[x^{(0)}(i-1) - x^{(0)}(i)]$$
(2.3)

The second step is to build the GM(1,1) Model. The Accumulated Generation Operation(AGO) sequence $X^{(1)}$ can be obtained by:

$$X^{(1)} = \{x^{(1)}(1), x^{(1)}(2), \cdots, x^{(1)}(n)\}$$
(2.4)

Where:

$$x^{(1)}(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} x^{(0)}(i), k = 1, 2, \cdots, n$$
(2.5)

The grey GM(1,1) model can be made by establishing the first-order differential equation for $x^{(1)}(t)$ as:

$$\frac{dx^{(1)}(t)}{dt} + ax^{(1)}(t) = u$$
(2.6)

Where a and u are the parameters to be estimated, and can be obtained by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{a} \\ \hat{u} \end{pmatrix} = [B^T B]^{-1} B^T Y$$
(2.7)

Where
$$B = \begin{bmatrix} -[x^{(1)}(1) + x^{(1)}(2)]/2 & 1 \\ -[x^{(1)}(2) + x^{(1)}(3)]/2 & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ -[x^{(1)}(n-1) + x^{(1)}(n)]/2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (2.8)

 $Y = [x^{(0)}(2), x^{(0)}(3), \cdots, x^{(0)}(n)]^T$

We can obtain the time response function by solving the differential equation:

$$\hat{x}^{(1)}(k+1) = [x^{(1)} - \frac{\hat{u}}{\hat{a}}]e^{-\hat{a}k} + \frac{\hat{u}}{\hat{a}}$$
(2.9)

This can be rewritten as:

$$\hat{x}^{(1)}(k+1) = be^{-\hat{a}k} + c \tag{2.10}$$

Lastly, the residual errors must be calculated by using the following:

$$E = \{e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n\}$$
(2.11)

Where:

$$e_i = x^{(0)}(i) - \hat{x}^{(0)}(i) \tag{2.12}$$

2.3.2 Grey Markov Method

The Grey-Markov Model(GMM) is an extension of Grey Model(GM) to further reduce detection and prediction errors. The first step in building the GMM is to divide the residual errors into q states where each state satisfies the equi-probability principle, and is defined as R_1, R_2, \dots, R_q .

Next the construction of the transition matrix is done by determining the probability from state R_i to state R_j , which results in the transition matrix P:

$$P^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{11}^{(1)} & P_{12}^{(1)} & \cdots & P_{1q}^{(1)} \\ P_{21}^{(1)} & P_{22}^{(1)} & \cdots & P_{2q}^{(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ P_{q1}^{(1)} & P_{q2}^{(1)} & \cdots & P_{qq}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.13)

The transition probability can be calculated directly as follows:

$$P_{ij}^{(m)} = \frac{M_{ij}^{(m)}}{M_i} (i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, L)$$
(2.14)

Where $M_{ij}^{(m)}$ stands for the transition from R_i to R_j in *m* steps, where M_i is the number of state R_i .

The resultant transition probability matrix is as follows:

$$P^{(m)} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{11}^{(m)} & P_{12}^{(m)} & \cdots & P_{1q}^{(m)} \\ P_{21}^{(m)} & P_{22}^{(m)} & \cdots & P_{2q}^{(m)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ P_{q1}^{(m)} & P_{q2}^{(m)} & \cdots & P_{qq}^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.15)

Next, the residual error must be confirmed. Let the interval median in $[R_{i-}, R_{i+}]$ be residual error forecasting value as follows:

$$\hat{e}_i = 0.5(R_{i-} + R_{i+}) \tag{2.16}$$

Once the residual error e_i and $\hat{x}^{(0)}$ are determined the prediction of the original data can be constructed:

$$\hat{y}(i) = \hat{x}^{(0)}(i) + \hat{e}_i \tag{2.17}$$

Comparing $\hat{y}(i)$ against the original data will determine the success rate of the process.

CHAPTER 3: METHODOLODY

In this chapter, we first introduce the algorithm for this research. The results of algorithm test with known data sets are shown. The method for obtaining data to be tested is also explained. The method for pre-processing the collected data is also shown in this chapter.

3.1 Algorithm

The algorithm for this research is based on the theories described in chapter two. The flow-chart of the algorithm is shown in Figure 3.1.



Figure 3.1: Algorithm Flow Chart

As can be seen in Figure 3.1 the algorithm begins with an initial steady state. Once the initial steady state has been recognized the algorithm begins to check for a transition. Once a large enough change is noticed on the data a transition is considered to have occurred. Once the transition is detected the algorithm attempts to predict the next state based on the previous steady state and the transition which was detected. After the prediction is made the algorithm confirms the predicted steady state and establishes it as the new current steady state for the prediction of the next state.

An initial performance verification test was performed using the algorithm and Dow Jones Industrial Closing Average. The test used the GMM algorithm previously constructed and the original Grey Model to verify the improvement of the proposed algorithm. Three tests were conducted using the data, the first test used the first twenty-nine days of a month to predict the thirtieth day. The next test used three months of data to predict the first day of the fourth month. The last test used one year worth of data to predicted the first day of the following year. The results of this test are shown below:





Figure 3.2: Dow Jones Industrial Closing Average Results

	GM	Error(%)	GMM	Error(%)	Actual
One Month	11265	1.2576	11174	0.4396	11125
Three Month	11591	4.1902	11301	1.5834	11125
One Year	13532	21.637	12036	8.1898	11125

Table 3.1: Dow Jones Industrial Closing Average Table

It can be seen in Figure 3.2 the original data is a solid blue line, the GMM prediction is a solid red line, and the GM prediction is a dotted black line. The results shown in Table 3.1 display the actual closing average and the percentage error associated with each prediction method. There is a noticeable increase in error which correlates to the number of data points, but an error of 8.1898% shown for GMM over a year of prediction towards a value of 11,125 is not that significant. As opposed to an error percentage of 21.637% for GM over a year this value is clearly significant. This clearly shows that the GMM method is more accurate then the original GM method. The same closing day was used for all three tests and the previous data was constructed with that in mind.

3.2 Test with Existing AR Data

This research was an extension of the dissertation work of a faculty member and therefore data was readily available for testing. The data set was based on the sitting position of three participants assuming five distinct positions on a couch. Four load cells were placed underneath each leg of the couch to produce a reading that would vary based on a position. The original data was separate with regards to each state being an independent data set and no transitions were available. To attempt to test this data the individual data sets of the five positions for one participant was combined into one larger data set. This new data set was then scrambled, keeping the four load cell readings for one state together, but moving the states themselves into random order. The final data sets consisted of five states randomly scrambled 1500 times and tested for 1000 iterations. The prediction rate over 1000 iterations was 89% accurate. This detection rate was satisfactory but the data was not ideal for the algorithm proposed since it was missing the transitions between states.

3.3 Design of AR Test

To overcome the lack of transitions in the previous existing data sets new data was collected following the same approach. Instead of a couch for the testing apparatus a chair was chosen and four distinct states were selected. The four states were sitting with your back in the chair, sitting towards the front of the chair, leaning on the right arm of the chair, and leaning on the left arm of the chair. Next a transition state diagram was designed to insure that each state and its corresponding possible transitions were accounted for. The state diagram is shown below:



Figure 3.3: Transition State Diagram

The participants began the test standing up and were told to sit down in the front position. They held each steady state for 10 seconds before transitioning to the new state.

Once all transitions were complete the participants would then get up from the chair and the data collection would end. This was done twice for each participant with a cushion in the chair and twice for each participant with no cushion in the chair. These multiple runs allowed for an averaging of each particular steady state to try and compensate for the lack of training needed for the transition probability matrix.

3.4 Test-bed Design and Data Collection

The design and implementation of a test-bed as well as data collection strategy are described in the following subsections.

3.4.1 Test Apparatus Design and Construction

The test apparatus is depicted in this subsection. The test-bed was designed similar to that used in the faculty member's dissertation work. A chair was chosen, but the same load cells, and data acquisition method were implemented to maintain consistency. The four steady states were chosen for their distinct difference from one another. The four states are shown in the figure below:



front



Figure 3.4: Four Steady State Positions

As can be observed in Figure 3.4, the load cells were attached to the legs of the chair and held in place to maintain consistent readings. A cushion was used in two of the four each participants data runs to insure there was no effect in distribution in the weight of the chair. The results confirmed this hypothesis and the four runs were considered consistent.

3.4.2 Data Collection

The data was collected using four FC23 Compression Load Cells as the sensors attached to the legs of the chair. A NI-USB-6008 DAQ was used to collect the data through a Labview program. The Labview program recorded the four load cell values and amplify their output by 10,000. This amplification was needed due to the load cell's high end weight capacity. This amplification did not obscure the data because six significant digits were shown to maintain accuracy. Each state was maintained for a minimum of ten seconds, and the sampling rate was ten samples per second.

3.5 Experiment Design and Data Treatment

This section discusses the design of the experiment and data treatment.

3.5.1 Participant Selection

There were 14 participants chosen for this research. There were 11 males and 3 females chosen. The participants were chosen to add variation, not only to gender but weight. Shown below is a table of the 14 participants, their corresponding weight, and how many iterations of data were collected from them:

Participant	Gender	Weight	Runs
1	Female	120	4
2	Female	125	4
3	Female	130	4
4	Male	150	4
5	Male	160	4
6	Male	170	4
7	Male	175	4
8	Male	180	4
9	Male	185	4
10	Male	205	4
11	Male	205	4
12	Male	250	4
13	Male	250	4
14	Male	315	4

Table 3.2: Participant Information

As seen in Table 3.2 each participant's weight varied from 120 pounds to 315 pounds to see if a general classification of steady state and transitions could be generated. Each participant completed four iterations to combine for an average steady state value.

3.5.2 State transition design

A state transition matrix was designed in the algorithm to help determine the correct state. Shown below is the methodology behind that design:

$$Reference \, State(ref) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{1}f & b_{1}f & c_{1}f & d_{1}f \\ a_{2}f & b_{2}f & c_{2}f & d_{2}f \\ & \vdots & \\ a_{n}f & b_{n}f & c_{n}f & d_{n}f \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.1)

Where $1, \dots, n$ refers to the *n* possible transitions, and *f* is a notation of the reference state and holds no mathematical significance.

Then the measured/predicted state is shown as:

$$Measured/Predicted State(mea) = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & c_1 & d_1 \\ & \vdots & & \\ & \vdots & & \\ & a_1 & b_1 & c_1 & d_1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.2)

Where the corresponding state can be determined from below using the same size matrices for *ref* and *mea*:

$$[minval ind] = min(sum(abs(ref - mea)))$$

Where *ind* is the state closest to the reference, and sum is notation associated with MatLab referencing Summation.

Not only was there a design needed for the steady state matrix, but one was also required for the transition matrix. That design is described below:

Measured Transition(MT) =
$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{1} & b_{1} & c_{1} & d_{1} \\ & \vdots & & \\ & a_{1} & b_{1} & c_{1} & d_{1} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.3)

$$Reference\ Transition(ref) = \begin{bmatrix} a_1f & b_1f & c_1f & d_1f \\ a_2f & b_2f & c_2f & d_2f \\ & \vdots & \\ a_nf & b_nf & c_nf & d_nf \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.4)

$$Ref * (MT)' = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 f * a_1 & b_1 f * b_1 & c_1 f * c_1 & d_1 f * d_1 \\ \vdots & & \\ a_n f * a_n & b_n f * b_n & c_n f * c_n & d_n f * d_n \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.5)

Then the corresponding state can be determined from below:

$$[maxval ind] = max(Ref * (MT)')$$

Where the *ind* value returned is the predicted state.

These two methods allowed for the algorithm to detect the steady state and to predict the next steady state based off the transition. The results showed that the algorithm's use of the two methods had a very high detection rate.

The transitions themselves were able to be characterized into four groups. Depending on what the current state was a combination of the four loads magnitude and direction could characterize the transition. A table describing this process is shown below:

State Transition	Load Cell Trend	Coded Transition
Front \rightarrow Any	$\uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow$	1 1 -1 -1
Any \rightarrow Front	$\downarrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow$	-1 -1 1 1
$Back \rightarrow Right$		
$Left \rightarrow Right$	$\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow$	1 -1 1 -1
$Left \rightarrow Back$		
$Right \rightarrow Back$		
$Back \rightarrow Left$	$\downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow$	-11-11
$Right \rightarrow Left$		

Table 3.3: Transition Classification

The arrows in Table 3.3 dictate the direction the load cell's magnitude is going. The four arrow combination is for right rear, left rear, right front, left front load cells respectively. The trouble in prediction occurs with the last two groups, but the magnitude becomes the deciding factor in determining the next steady state.

3.5.3 Data Treatment

The original data collected had poor results initially. This was due to a lot of high frequency contents in the transitions and steady states. To eliminate the errors associated with this problem the data was passed through a lowpass filter. An example of the original data and resultant filtered data is shown below:



Figure 3.5: Original Data versus Filtered Data

This transformation was accomplished using the Zero-Phase Forward and Reverse Digital Filtering function, *filtfilt*, in MatLab. The filtfilt function requires three parameters to accomplish this. The function is done using filtfilt(b,a,x), where a is [0,1], x is the data, and b is finite impulse response. The resultant differential equation is shown below:

$$y(n) = b(1) * x(n) + b(2) * x(n-1) + \dots + b(nb+1) * x(n-nb) -a(2) * y(n-1) - \dots - a(na+1) * y(n-na)$$
(3.6)

This process allowed for the high frequency content to be eliminated and the data to be more easily interpreted.

The method's used in this section allowed for the construction of the algorithm, the data collection, the data pre-processing, and data interpretation. Using these processes, results and analysis were gathering for a conclusion to be drawn on the effectiveness of the proposed method in the field of AR.

CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

4.1 Results on Collected Data

This chapter provides results and analysis of real-world data using GMM for AR. This data consisted of the 14 participants of varying weight and gender positioned in four unique postures. Original data can be found in Appendix(D,E,F). The first step in the process was to make sure steady state detection was identifiable on its own merit without the use of transitions. An example of an easily, nominally, and poorly detectable steady state is shown in the plots below:



(a) Rear Right





Figure 4.1: Easily Detectable Steady State





(c) Front Right



Figure 4.2: Nominally Detectable Steady State



(a) Rear Right







Figure 4.3: Poorly Detectable Steady State

The combination of the four load cell values correlates directly to a given steady state. For example the right rear is shown in (a), the left rear is shown in (b), the front right is shown in (c), and the front left is shown in (d). There are four steady states and transitions shown in the figures. The GMM algorithm can correctly identify and mimic these changes resulting in a high detection rate for steady state. With the steady state identification alone there were 53 data sets with 13 states to be identified. The algorithm correctly identified 670 out of 689 states which equates to a 97.2% detection rate. Below is a table identifying the state and correctly identified and wrongly identified amounts out of the 53 data sets:

Steady State	Correct	Wrong	%
1	53	0	100
4	53	0	100
1	53	0	100
2	51	2	96.2
4	53	0	100
2	52	1	98.1
3	48	5	90.6
4	51	2	96.2
3	49	4	92.4
2	50	3	94.3
1	53	0	100
3	51	2	96.2
1	53	0	100
Total	670	19	97.2

 Table 4.1: Steady State Detection Results

In Table 4.1, steady state 1 refers to the front steady state, 2 refers to the back steady state, 3 refers to the left steady state, and 4 refers to the right steady state. This is promising, but prediction, not identification, is the true goal of this research. The next step in the process was to use the steady state detection in conjunction with transition detection to produce a prediction for the next steady state.

As previously shown in Table 3.3, the transitions could be characterized into four groups. There was a two step process in equating the current state using transitions. The first step was to make a decision about which group the transition belonged to. The second step was to determine which transition in the corresponding group occurred. Once the transition was identified the steady state associated with it could be classified. The first test done for transition detection is based on this assumption. Identifying the correct groups would eliminate possible errors found when associating with transitions outside of the groups. Shown below are figures of the transition detection method used to identify the direction and magnitude of a transition from steady state to steady state:



(b) Rear Left



Figure 4.4: Transition Detection

In Figure 4.4 (a) refers to the rear right, (b) refers to the rear left, (c) refers to the front right, and (d) refers to the front left load cells. This transition detection used a

windowing method to identify the correct direction of the transitions at each steady state. Without this windowing the detection rate would either identify too many transitions or not enough transitions. With this approach, if there were too many transitions detected in a specific window then the one with greatest magnitude detected first would be declared the correct transition. Also if there was no transition detected in the window then one would be designated with a magnitude of one as to not have a great effect. Once the transition detection method was complete all 54 data sets were applied to the algorithm to determine the detection rate for the four group identification. There were 642 out of 756 correctly identified transition groups. This equates to an 84.92% detection rate of the transition groups. Once the group detection rate was complete the next step was to identify each individual transition using the knowledge gained from the transition group detection. Once again there were 54 data sets ran through the algorithm and there were 544 out of 756 correctly identified transitions. This equates to a 71.96% detection rate for each individual transition. Shown below is a table depicting the number of transitions, correctly identified group transitions, and correctly identified individual transitions:

Participant	Transitions	Group	Individual	Group %	Individual %
1	56	50	46	89.3	82.1
2	56	38	30	67.8	53.5
3	56	52	50	92.8	89.2
4	56	42	34	75	60.7
5	56	55	50	98.2	89.2
6	56	54	50	96.4	89.2
7	56	45	39	80.3	69.6
8	56	56	55	100	98.2
9	56	49	40	87.5	71.4
10	56	45	30	80.3	53.5
11	56	50	45	89.2	80.3
12	56	56	54	100	96.4
13	42	22	10	52.3	23.8
14	42	28	11	66.7	26.2
Total	756	642	544	84.92	71.96

Table 4.2: Transition Detection Rate

The last step in this process was to generate new data by asking participants to repeat the data collection, but this time they were told to choose their own sequence of postures. The participant was to hold the position for the usual ten seconds, and to transition twenty times. This was done for four participants and allowed for 80 new steady states to be created at random. There were 72 out of 80 steady states predicted correctly for a detection rate of 90%. This used both the transition detection and steady state detection to predict the next steady state.

CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

The proposed thesis investigated the addition of Markov Model to improve the performance of the Grey Model for activity recognition. Through research a better understanding of the proposed techniques and methods for AR was achieved. The need for AR, in particular for healthcare, is paramount. The use of AR to help alleviate the problems associated with assisted living for not only the patient, the healthcare provider, but the family of the patients is unmeasurable.

The initial research identified the proposed method Grey Markov Model as an approach to be explored in great depth for AR. The success of the Grey Markov Model in other fields showed promise that its application in AR could improve performance. This thesis work achieved the three goals set for the research: data collection, algorithm development, and algorithm verification. In this research, a test apparatus was designed and implemented to collect real-world data for verification purposes. An algorithm was developed and refined to achieve the detection rates reported in this thesis. This algorithm was constructed and verified throughout multiple procedures. The use of the Dow Jones data, data collected previous data, and newly acquired data provided a relatively thorough investigation of the algorithm.

There were also algorithms developed to both detect steady state and transitions. The corresponding results were shown and the original data provided for reproduction of the experiments. Both the steady state detection and the transition detection show satisfactory results for the field of activity recognition. The last set of data gathered used the previously collected data as training, and allowed for a true correlation between prediction and detection to be seen. The detection rate of 90% on the final data sets acquired showed that the two detection methods could predict the next state very consistently.

Future work for this research should consist of collecting more data sets for future testing. The acquisition of more data sets will allow for a training of the system to increase detection rates. Also an investigation of statistical significance of factors such as gender and weight is necessary as they pertain to the thresholds for detection. If direct correlations could be established with these factors then a more general and better threshold could be established and detection speed would be greatly increased.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Eugene Charniak and Robert P. Goldman, "A bayesian model of plan recognition," *Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 53 – 79, 1993.
- [2] Roy Want, Andy Hopper, Veronica Falcao, and Jonathon Gibbons, "The active badge location system," ACM Transactions on Information Systems, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 91– 102, January 1992.
- [3] Mark Hodges and Martha Pollack, "An object-use fingerprint: The use of electronic sensors for human identification," in *UbiComp 2007: Ubiquitous Computing*, John Krumm, Gregory Abowd, Aruna Seneviratne, and Thomas Strang, Eds., vol. 4717 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pp. 289–303. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, 2007.
- [4] Martha E. Pollack, Laura Brown, Dirk Colbry, Colleen E. McCarthy, Cheryl Orosz, Bart Peintner, Sailesh Ramakrishnan, and Ioannis Tsamardinos, "Autominder: an intelligent cognitive orthotic system for people with memory impairment," *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, vol. 44, no. 3-4, pp. 273 – 282, 2003.
- [5] Ju-Long Deng, "Control problems of grey systems," *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 1, no. 5, pp. 288–294, March 1982.
- [6] Lawrence R. Rabiner, "A tutorial on hidden markov models and selected applications in speech recognition," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 77, no. 2, pp. 257–286,

February 1989.

- [7] Ivandro Sanches, "Noise-compensated hidden markov models," *IEEE Transactions* On Speech and Audio Processing, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 533–540, September 2000.
- [8] Petar M. Djuric and Joon-Hwa Chun, "An mcmc sampling approach to estimation of nonstationary hidden markov models," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 50, no. 5, pp. 1113–1123, May 2002.
- [9] L. A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets," Information and Control, vol. 8, pp. 338–352, 1965.
- [10] Yujian Li, "Hidden markov models with states depending on observations," *Pattern Recognition Letters*, vol. 26, no. 7, pp. 977–984, 2005.
- [11] Che-Chiang Hsu and Chia-Yon Chen, "Applications of improved grey prediction model for power demand forecasting," *Energy Conversion and Management*, vol. 44, no. 14, pp. 2241–2249, 2003.
- [12] Ying Peng and Ming Dong, "A hmm and grey model based erl forecasting method," in *Reliability, Maintainability and Safety*. IEEE Conference, September 2009, 8th International Conference, pp. 208–212.
- [13] Dongqing Zhang, Xuanxi Ning, Xueni Liu, and Hongwei Ma, "Prediction in hidden markov models using sequential monte carlo methods," in *Grey Systems and Intelligent Services*. IEEE International Conference, November 2007, pp. 718–722, IEEE.
- [14] Li Qingfu, Hu Qunfang, and Zhang Peng, "Application of grey-markov model in predicting traffic volume," in *Grey Systems and Intelligent Services*, 2007. GSIS 2007. IEEE International Conference on, November 2007, pp. 707–711.

- [15] Xi-Ping Wang and Ming Meng, "Forecasting electricity demand using grey-markov model," in *Machine Learning and Cybernetics*, 2008 International Conference on, July 2008, vol. 3, pp. 1244–1248.
- [16] Guoqiang Xiong and Qingjing Gao, "An algorithm of similarity mining in time series data on the basis of grey markov scgm(1,1) model," in *Network and Parallel Computing Workshops, 2007. NPC Workshops. IFIP International Conference on*, September 2007, pp. 937–940.
- [17] Liu Tiexin and Jiang Weijian, "Application of grey-markov model in order forecasting of distribution center," in *Intelligent Computation Technology and Automation* (ICICTA), 2008 International Conference on, October 2008, vol. 1, pp. 576–579.
- [18] Chen Yonjun, Chen Yimin, He Yong, and Huang Min, "Approach to power requirement by the grey-markov forecasting model," in *Electricity Distribution*, 2008. *CICED 2008. China International Conference on*, December 2008, pp. 1–4.
- [19] Cuifeng Li, "Grey markov model based on parameter fits and its application in stock price prediction," in *Intelligent Systems Design and Applications*, 2006. ISDA '06. Sixth International Conference on, October 2006, vol. 1, pp. 594–598.
- [20] Erdal Kayacan, Baris Ulutas, and Okyay Kaynak, "Grey system theory-based models in time series prediction," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 1784–1789, 2010.

Appendix

APPENDIX A: ONE MONTH DJI DATA

Day	Closing Average
1	10829.68
2	10751.27
3	10944.72
4	10967.65
5	10948.58
6	11006.48
7	11010.34
8	11020.4
9	11096.08
10	11096.92
11	11062.78
12	11143.69
13	10978.62
14	11107.97
15	11146.57
16	11132.56
17	11164.05
18	11169.46
19	11126.28
20	11113.95
21	11118.4
22	11124.62

APPENDIX B: THREE MONTH DJI DATA

Day	Closing Avg	Day	Closing Avg	Day	Closing Avg
1	10674.38	26	10340.69	51	11020.4
2	10636.38	27	10387.01	52	11096.08
3	10680.43	28	10415.24	53	11096.92
4	10674.98	29	10462.77	54	11062.78
5	10653.56	30	10544.13	55	11143.69
6	10698.75	31	10526.49	56	10978.62
7	10644.25	32	10572.73	57	11107.97
8	10378.83	33	10594.83	58	11146.57
9	10319.95	34	10607.85	59	11132.56
10	10303.15	35	10753.62	60	11164.05
11	10302.01	36	10761.03	61	11169.46
12	10405.85	37	10739.31	62	11126.28
13	10415.54	38	10662.42	63	11113.95
14	10271.21	39	10860.26	64	11118.4
15	10213.62	40	10812.04	65	11124.62
16	10174.41	41	10858.14		
17	10040.45	42	10835.28		
18	10060.06	43	10788.05		
19	9985.81	44	10829.68		
20	10150.65	45	10751.27		
21	10009.73	46	10944.72		
22	10014.72	47	10967.65		
23	10269.47	48	10948.58		
24	10320.1	49	11006.48		
25	10447.93	50	11010.34		

APPENDIX C: ONE YEAR DJI DATA

Day	Closing Avg	Day	Closing Avg	Day	Closing Avg
1	9789.44	26	10285.97	51	10710.55
2	9771.91	27	10337.05	52	10609.65
3	9802.14	28	10405.83	53	10725.43
4	10005.96	29	10471.5	54	10603.15
5	10023.42	30	10501.05	55	10389.88
6	10226.94	31	10452	56	10172.98
7	10246.97	32	10441.12	57	10196.86
8	10291.26	33	10308.26	58	10194.29
9	10197.47	34	10328.89	59	10236.16
10	10270.47	35	10414.14	60	10120.46
11	10406.96	36	10464.93	61	10067.33
12	10437.42	37	10466.44	62	10185.53
13	10426.31	38	10520.1	63	10296.85
14	10332.44	39	10547.08	64	10270.55
15	10318.16	40	10545.41	65	10002.18
16	10450.95	41	10548.51	66	10012.23
17	10433.71	42	10428.05	67	9908.39
18	10464.4	43	10583.96	68	10058.64
19	10309.92	44	10572.02	69	10038.38
20	10344.84	45	10573.68	70	10144.19
21	10471.58	46	10606.86	71	10099.14
22	10452.68	47	10618.19	72	10268.81
23	10366.15	48	10663.99	73	10309.24
24	10388.9	49	10627.26	74	10392.9
25	10390.11	50	10680.77	75	10402.35

Day	Closing Avg	Day	Closing Avg	Day	Closing Avg
76	10383.38	101	10895.86	126	10926.77
77	10282.41	102	10907.42	127	10868.12
78	10374.16	103	10856.63	128	10520.32
79	10321.03	104	10927.07	129	10380.43
80	10325.26	105	10973.55	130	10785.14
81	10403.79	106	10969.99	131	10748.26
82	10405.98	107	10897.52	132	10896.91
83	10396.76	108	10927.07	133	10782.95
84	10444.14	109	10997.35	134	10620.16
85	10566.2	110	11005.97	135	10625.83
86	10552.52	111	11019.42	136	10510.95
87	10564.38	112	11123.11	137	10444.37
88	10567.33	113	11144.57	138	10068.01
89	10611.84	114	11018.66	139	10193.39
90	10624.69	115	11092.05	140	10066.57
91	10642.15	116	11117.06	141	10043.75
92	10685.98	117	11124.92	142	9974.45
93	10733.67	118	11134.29	143	10258.99
94	10779.17	119	11204.28	144	10136.63
95	10741.98	120	11205.03	145	10024.02
96	10785.89	121	10991.99	146	10249.54
97	10888.83	122	11045.27	147	10255.28
98	10836.15	123	11167.32	148	9931.97
99	10841.21	124	11008.61	149	9816.49
100	10850.36	125	11151.83	150	9939.98

Day	Closing Avg	Day	Closing Avg	Day	Closing Avg
151	9899.25	176	10359.31	201	10271.21
152	10172.53	177	10097.9	202	10213.62
153	10211.07	178	10154.43	203	10174.41
154	10190.89	179	10229.96	204	10040.45
155	10404.77	180	10120.53	205	10060.06
156	10409.46	181	10322.3	206	9985.81
157	10434.17	182	10424.62	207	10150.65
158	10450.64	183	10525.43	208	10009.73
159	10442.41	184	10537.69	209	10014.72
160	10293.52	185	10497.88	210	10269.47
161	10298.44	186	10467.16	211	10320.1
162	10152.8	187	10465.94	212	10447.93
163	10143.81	188	10674.38	213	10340.69
164	10138.52	189	10636.38	214	10387.01
165	9870.3	190	10680.43	215	10415.24
166	9774.02	191	10674.98	216	10462.77
167	9732.53	192	10653.56	217	10544.13
168	9686.48	193	10698.75	218	10526.49
169	9743.62	194	10644.25	219	10572.73
170	10018.28	195	10378.83	220	10594.83
171	10138.99	196	10319.95	221	10607.85
172	10198.03	197	10303.15	222	10753.62
173	10216.27	198	10302.01	223	10761.03
174	10363.02	199	10405.85	224	10739.31
175	10366.72	200	10415.54	225	10662.42

Day	Closing Avg	Day	Closing Avg
226	10860.26	251	11118.4
227	10812.04	252	11124.62
228	10858.14		
229	10835.28		
230	10788.05		
231	10829.68		
232	10751.27		
233	10944.72		
234	10967.65		
235	10948.58		
236	11006.48		
237	11010.34		
238	11020.4		
239	11096.08		
240	11096.92		
241	11062.78		
242	11143.69		
243	10978.62		
244	11107.97		
245	11146.57		
246	11132.56		
247	11164.05		
248	11169.46		
249	11126.28		
250	11113.95		

APPENDIX D: EASILY DETECTABLE DATA SET

	Load Cell A	Load Cell B	Load Cell C	Load Cell D
1	1.1203940e+002	1.3733390e+002	8.6122600e+001	4.0194100e+001
2	1.1153010e+002	1.3784290e+002	8.5612900e+001	4.1721300e+001
3	1.0898380e+002	1.3631610e+002	8.5612900e+001	4.1721500e+001
4	1.1203920e+002	1.3835210e+002	8.6122700e+001	4.1212300e+001
5	1.1203930e+002	1.3580710e+002	8.6122600e+001	4.3248900e+001
6	1.0847460e+002	1.3733400e+002	8.4084000e+001	4.1721400e+001
7	1.1051150e+002	1.3936990e+002	9.1728800e+001	4.1212200e+001
8	1.0949300e+002	1.3936990e+002	8.6122600e+001	4.1212100e+001
9	1.1102070e+002	1.3835200e+002	8.4593600e+001	4.3758100e+001
10	1.1051140e+002	1.4089690e+002	8.3064800e+001	4.1721600e+001
11	3.9726300e+001	8.1857500e+001	1.2944420e+002	8.6015600e+001
12	3.8707600e+001	8.1348300e+001	1.3607000e+002	8.8052000e+001
13	3.9726200e+001	7.9312600e+001	1.3454100e+002	9.3143700e+001
14	3.8198500e+001	7.9821700e+001	1.3097330e+002	8.8052100e+001
15	4.0744700e+001	8.0839400e+001	1.3352160e+002	8.8052300e+001
16	3.9217100e+001	7.9821500e+001	1.3708940e+002	9.1106900e+001
17	4.1254100e+001	7.9821500e+001	1.3759910e+002	9.0597900e+001
18	3.9217000e+001	8.2366400e+001	1.3657970e+002	8.8561400e+001
19	3.9726300e+001	8.0330500e+001	1.3657970e+002	9.2125300e+001
20	3.9726300e+001	7.8294500e+001	1.3250230e+002	8.9579600e+001

	Load Cell A	Load Cell B	Load Cell C	Load Cell D
21	1.2477010e+002	1.0679630e+002	1.0345130e+002	5.3431400e+001
22	1.2578850e+002	1.0526950e+002	1.0294160e+002	4.8849200e+001
23	1.2171480e+002	1.0476050e+002	9.9883600e+001	4.8340100e+001
24	1.2171470e+002	1.0374280e+002	1.0141250e+002	4.9358500e+001
25	1.2273330e+002	1.0628730e+002	1.0345130e+002	5.0376500e+001
26	1.2273320e+002	1.0476040e+002	1.0090310e+002	4.8849200e+001
27	1.2578850e+002	1.0679630e+002	1.0345110e+002	4.8340100e+001
28	1.2171470e+002	1.0679620e+002	1.0141250e+002	5.1904100e+001
29	1.2120550e+002	1.0730530e+002	1.0294150e+002	4.9867500e+001
30	1.2324240e+002	1.0679630e+002	1.0141260e+002	4.7321900e+001
31	6.9262400e+001	1.5769290e+002	9.2748400e+001	6.1577700e+001
32	6.9262300e+001	1.5616600e+002	9.1219400e+001	6.0559500e+001
33	6.8243800e+001	1.5616600e+002	9.0709700e+001	6.5141500e+001
34	6.9262400e+001	1.5616600e+002	9.2238800e+001	6.5141500e+001
35	7.0280700e+001	1.5514810e+002	9.3767700e+001	6.0559400e+001
36	7.0280700e+001	1.5769280e+002	9.3767700e+001	6.2086800e+001
37	7.1808400e+001	1.5616600e+002	9.2748400e+001	6.2086800e+001
38	7.0280800e+001	1.5667490e+002	9.2748400e+001	6.2595900e+001
39	6.8243700e+001	1.5616590e+002	9.5296800e+001	6.2595900e+001
40	6.7225300e+001	1.5820190e+002	9.2748400e+001	6.5650700e+001

APPENDIX E: NOMINALLY DETECTABLE DATA SET

	Load Cell A	Load Cell B	Load Cell C	Load Cell D
1	1.3393640e+002	1.4853120e+002	1.0803840e+002	6.7687200e+001
2	1.3037160e+002	1.4802220e+002	1.0599970e+002	6.3614100e+001
3	1.2884400e+002	1.4954900e+002	1.1058650e+002	6.5141500e+001
4	1.3088110e+002	1.5107610e+002	1.0599950e+002	6.7687000e+001
5	1.3037160e+002	1.4751330e+002	1.0650930e+002	6.5141500e+001
6	1.3139030e+002	1.4802230e+002	1.0854810e+002	6.6669000e+001
7	1.2935310e+002	1.4700450e+002	1.0650940e+002	6.2086800e+001
8	1.2986250e+002	1.4649550e+002	1.0803830e+002	6.3614100e+001
9	1.2884380e+002	1.5056720e+002	1.1160590e+002	6.4123200e+001
10	1.3037170e+002	1.4598650e+002	1.0905760e+002	6.5650600e+001
11	4.4818600e+001	7.2187200e+001	1.7174620e+002	1.0536290e+002
12	4.3290900e+001	7.2187200e+001	1.7225590e+002	1.0332600e+002
13	4.5837400e+001	7.2696100e+001	1.7276560e+002	1.0281700e+002
14	4.4818600e+001	7.1169300e+001	1.7072690e+002	9.9762300e+001
15	4.7874200e+001	7.2696100e+001	1.7276560e+002	1.0027140e+002
16	4.5837100e+001	7.1169200e+001	1.7123650e+002	1.0281700e+002
17	4.4309500e+001	7.2187200e+001	1.7225590e+002	1.0383520e+002
18	4.3291000e+001	6.9642500e+001	1.7327520e+002	1.0383540e+002
19	4.3290900e+001	7.2696200e+001	1.7225580e+002	1.0230780e+002
20	4.2272500e+001	7.1678200e+001	1.7072670e+002	1.0332620e+002

	Load Cell A	Load Cell B	Load Cell C	Load Cell D
21	1.4055670e+002	1.1341300e+002	1.2638630e+002	7.0742000e+001
22	1.3546410e+002	1.1544900e+002	1.2587650e+002	7.0232800e+001
23	1.3699200e+002	1.1595800e+002	1.2587650e+002	7.0742000e+001
24	1.3597350e+002	1.1493990e+002	1.2944420e+002	7.2269500e+001
25	1.3801040e+002	1.1697580e+002	1.2587680e+002	6.9723600e+001
26	1.3648260e+002	1.1290400e+002	1.2842500e+002	6.9723600e+001
27	1.3953820e+002	1.1443100e+002	1.2842500e+002	7.2778600e+001
28	1.3953810e+002	1.1290400e+002	1.2536690e+002	7.0742000e+001
29	1.3902910e+002	1.1341300e+002	1.2791540e+002	6.9214500e+001
30	1.3851970e+002	1.1188600e+002	1.2893450e+002	7.0232900e+001
31	1.1051150e+002	1.6227340e+002	1.0090280e+002	6.8705400e+001
32	1.1203940e+002	1.6227330e+002	1.0294160e+002	6.8196200e+001
33	1.1102070e+002	1.6227340e+002	1.0090270e+002	6.7178000e+001
34	1.1458540e+002	1.6176450e+002	1.0039330e+002	7.2269500e+001
35	1.1356690e+002	1.6023750e+002	1.0396090e+002	7.4306000e+001
36	1.1254840e+002	1.6227340e+002	1.0090280e+002	7.2778600e+001
37	1.1254850e+002	1.5921970e+002	1.0039320e+002	7.2269500e+001
38	1.1458530e+002	1.6023760e+002	1.0447060e+002	7.0232900e+001
39	1.1254860e+002	1.5769280e+002	1.0447060e+002	7.3287700e+001
40	1.1356720e+002	1.5820180e+002	1.0599960e+002	7.2778700e+001

APPENDIX F: POORLY DETECTABLE DATA SET

	Load Cell A	Load Cell B	Load Cell C	Load Cell D
1	8.2502700e+001	1.1901170e+002	8.3064600e+001	3.8157400e+001
2	8.6067600e+001	1.1901170e+002	8.3064800e+001	3.6630000e+001
3	8.5558200e+001	1.2002970e+002	8.1026200e+001	3.7648400e+001
4	8.7595300e+001	1.1595790e+002	8.1535800e+001	3.9175700e+001
5	8.2502600e+001	1.1748500e+002	8.2554900e+001	3.8157300e+001
6	8.2502700e+001	1.1799390e+002	8.6122800e+001	4.0194000e+001
7	8.5558300e+001	1.1697590e+002	8.3574300e+001	3.7139000e+001
8	8.7595300e+001	1.1850280e+002	8.0516400e+001	3.8157300e+001
9	8.4539800e+001	1.1799380e+002	8.2555100e+001	3.7139000e+001
10	8.4539700e+001	1.1748480e+002	8.2555000e+001	3.7648400e+001
11	3.6670800e+001	6.6588800e+001	1.1058680e+002	8.0415400e+001
12	3.7180200e+001	6.2516900e+001	1.0956730e+002	7.8888100e+001
13	3.8198700e+001	6.4043800e+001	1.0854800e+002	7.9906400e+001
14	3.8707800e+001	6.6079700e+001	1.0752870e+002	7.7360700e+001
15	4.0235400e+001	6.3025900e+001	1.0701900e+002	7.7360800e+001
16	3.7689300e+001	6.3535000e+001	1.0803850e+002	8.0415400e+001
17	3.8707600e+001	6.3534800e+001	1.1058650e+002	7.8888000e+001
18	3.8198500e+001	6.5570800e+001	1.0752870e+002	7.7360800e+001
19	3.9216900e+001	6.4552800e+001	1.0752860e+002	7.7869900e+001
20	3.7689200e+001	6.0990000e+001	1.0956730e+002	7.7869900e+001

	Load Cell A	Load Cell B	Load Cell C	Load Cell D
21	7.2317700e+001	1.1341300e+002	8.1026200e+001	4.6812800e+001
22	7.4354700e+001	1.1544890e+002	8.0006900e+001	4.7321800e+001
23	7.2826900e+001	1.1748490e+002	8.1535800e+001	5.1904000e+001
24	7.6391800e+001	1.1697590e+002	8.0006700e+001	4.9358300e+001
25	7.4354700e+001	1.1697590e+002	8.0006900e+001	4.6812800e+001
26	7.3336200e+001	1.1392190e+002	8.2045500e+001	4.8340100e+001
27	7.3336300e+001	1.1748490e+002	8.4083800e+001	4.9867400e+001
28	7.4354700e+001	1.1697590e+002	8.4084000e+001	4.7321900e+001
29	7.1808500e+001	1.1901180e+002	8.3574400e+001	4.8340100e+001
30	7.2317700e+001	1.1748470e+002	8.3574300e+001	4.8849400e+001
31	7.4864000e+001	1.1901160e+002	8.4593700e+001	3.9175600e+001
32	7.5882400e+001	1.2053840e+002	8.4593700e+001	3.8157300e+001
33	7.6391700e+001	1.1697580e+002	8.5103200e+001	4.0703200e+001
34	7.3336100e+001	1.1748480e+002	8.8161300e+001	3.7648200e+001
35	7.7919400e+001	1.1901180e+002	8.3574400e+001	4.2230600e+001
36	7.4863900e+001	1.1748470e+002	8.6122600e+001	3.9684800e+001
37	7.5882600e+001	1.1697590e+002	8.3064800e+001	4.1212400e+001
38	7.2827100e+001	1.1850290e+002	8.5612900e+001	3.9684900e+001
39	7.4864100e+001	1.1799380e+002	8.4593700e+001	4.0703000e+001
40	7.3336100e+001	1.1850280e+002	8.4593800e+001	4.0703100e+001