

## Using the Family to Combat Childhood and Adult Obesity

By: Kenneth J. Gruber and Lauren A. Haldeman

Gruber, K.J., & Haldeman, L.A. (2009). Using the family to combat childhood and adult obesity. *Preventing Chronic Disease*, 6(3), A106. Retrieved from [http://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2009/jul/08\\_0191.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2009/jul/08_0191.htm)

**\*\*\*Note: This version of the document is not the copy of record. Made available courtesy of the National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. Link to Full Text: [http://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2009/jul/pdf/08\\_0191.pdf](http://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2009/jul/pdf/08_0191.pdf)**

### **Abstract:**

The purpose of this article is to emphasize the value of the family as a source of behavior change, particularly with respect to attaining achievable goals of weight loss and regular physical activity for youth and their families. We present a review of the literature, providing support for the value of the family in influencing children to form good diet and exercise behaviors and as a source of support and motivation for individuals seeking to lose or control their weight and to start and maintain a physically active lifestyle. Recognizing the importance of family behavior in the development of weight control and weight loss activities is essential. Future work should focus on identifying measurable parameters of family-level weight control behaviors and ways to apply those parameters to help create new interventions that use the strengths of the family for achieving weight control goals.

### **Article:**

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The extensiveness of the obesity issue and the potential for obesity to affect the quality of life of individuals and families underscore the urgent need for actions that can produce safe weight loss and result in effective weight management (1). The solution seems simple — take in fewer calories than you expend — but for most people this remedy is challenging. Diets and exercise routines can fail for many reasons. In part, this failure occurs because achieving weight loss through dieting or exercise requires maintenance of behavior change, which is difficult to sustain unless people have support (2-4). Support occurs most readily in a social environment that facilitates healthy eating and health-promoting exercise. Many efforts that help people to achieve weight loss fail to establish the supportive social and interpersonal context that can reinforce and help maintain weight loss–related behavior (5). Effective approaches should include these contextual influences and focus on making changes in the environment rather than in the individual. The social context most likely to support making healthy behavior changes is the family.

#### **WHY A FAMILY-BASED APPROACH?**

For many people, the family is a major mechanism of influence in effecting change both in other family members and in themselves (6). The concept of family has many connotations. For the purposes of this review we believe “family” should be defined inclusively rather than exclusively, similar to Medalie and Cole-Kelly’s (7) description of a family as a complex of

configurations representing census, biologic, household family, and functional family connections. We add the observation that family includes a parent-child connection and a sharing of responsibilities that functions for the welfare of both the individual members and the family unit.

The reciprocal nature of the adult-child relationship merits strong attention as a means of influencing health behavior of both children and adults (8). Efforts to achieve and maintain weight loss are more successful with family involvement (9). Positive eating behavior changes last longer if interventions are aimed at family rather than individuals' attitudes and habits (10).

It has been well established that physical, normative, and social characteristics of the family influence adoption and maintenance of health-promoting behavior. Family dynamics including family rules, emotional support, encouragement, reinforcement from other family members, and family member participation are important determinants of the family's health-behavior patterns (6). Viewed in this context, the family system is a major determinant of how and whether families engage in health-promoting physical activities (5).

Because most health behaviors are initiated in childhood, influencing the health behavior of individuals when they are children is reasonable and practical (10). It is well recognized that eating habits developed in childhood and adolescence may be difficult to change. Consequently, effecting behavior change when individuals are children is critical. The family shapes children's dietary intake and eating habits (11-13) and their physical activity patterns (14). Family influences also are present in the development and control of weight problems in children and adults (15-20).

The family is a highly suitable target for health promotion intervention because it provides many options and opportunities to communicate positive health behavior messages and change family member attitudes and behavior. Within the family context, meal planning, food shopping, meal preparation, eating, snacking, family recreation, and sedentary behaviors are all opportunities for intervention (16). The family provides the primary social learning environment for children and the primary setting for exposure to food choices, eating habits, and involvement in opportunities for play and other physical activity (21). Parental health behavior guides the development of health practices in children, and children can influence these same behaviors of their parents and siblings (10, 22-24).

Reciprocal reinforcing relationships among family members are important for acquiring and maintaining new behaviors (25). The family is an ideal mutually reinforcing environment in which healthy behaviors can be introduced, accepted, and maintained (26). Epstein et al (19) reported findings from a series of weight loss interventions targeting adults and their children with different conditions of reinforcement of parents and the children, for the children only, or for general family participation. Results revealed that reinforcing weight loss for both the parent and the child produced the greatest weight loss over a 5-year period. The authors concluded that the relationship between parent and child weight loss can serve as a reciprocal reinforcer for changes in diet and other weight loss-related behaviors.

Family-based behavioral obesity treatment programs are among the most effective for combating pediatric obesity. Wrotniak et al (26) reported that concurrent treatment of children with their obese parents tends to result in positive change for both, though the effects tend to be greater and longer lasting for children. This may be the result of more changes to the eating and activity environment in the home or to more healthy diet and exercise role modeling of the parents.

### *Family as a unit of measurement*

Analyzing the family as a unit merits consideration (5, 27-30). Blackwell and Reed (27) argue that a family-level analysis was more appropriate to accurately test the concepts and propositions of the power-control theory. They reasoned that because the family environment encompasses both shared and nonshared environmental influences and because of the differential effects of dyadic relationships within the family unit, analysis at the family level is appropriate when there is interest in the combination of effects of these relationships. Blackwell and Reed concluded that family-level data allowed them “to devise more methodologically appropriate measures and theoretically informative models than can be constructed with individual-level data” (p. 396). They further argued that family-level data provide control for “potential sources of ‘shared environmental’ characteristics” (p. 397).

Bonomi et al (28) suggested that to avoid over- and underestimations of health intervention cost effectiveness, a family-level assessment (eg, family functioning, family choices) is more appropriate. Because illness seldom affects a single individual but often affects the overall functioning of a family as a unit, determining the well-being of and costs borne by multiple family members is likely to represent a more accurate view of resource allocation. They suggest that a family well-being model, one that encompasses individuals within a family, relationships among those individuals, and the aggregation of the individuals constituting the unit, forms a good basis for addressing health at the family level. Their model is derived from systems theory, which posits that relationships between individuals and their family change over time in response to input and events that they experience alone and together (31).

### *Family as the unit of health promotion intervention*

Eating dinner together as a family has been associated with healthy weight and consumption of healthy foods (32-35). Gillman et al (33) found that intake patterns among children and older adolescents when eating dinner with their parents resulted in consumption of more fruits and vegetables, less fried food and soda, and less saturated and *trans* fat; lower glycemic loads; and more fiber and more micronutrients from food. Aside from the social context of the family, health similarities among family members make the family a good candidate for being the “unit” of health promotion intervention (36). In addition to the influence of genetic factors, fitness and health can be linked to the familial environment. Studies of eating habits (36,37), exercise routines (38), food and activity preferences (39), blood pressure levels (40-42), body weight (43,44), body composition and adiposity (45,46), and physical activity (47) have found that family members tend to share these characteristics.

### *Families as a Support Context*

Familial social support has been well demonstrated to be a key factor for promoting and sustaining health behavior change (2,48-50). Spousal support has been identified as an important factor influencing weight reduction among obese women with type 2 diabetes (18). Familial

support has been reported effective in producing health-promoting behaviors among patients with cardiovascular disease (51) and for chronically ill family members achieving physical activity guidelines and practicing better dietary behaviors (52). Finally, family support consistently correlates positively with physical activity levels (49,53,54).

### *Ethnic and sociocultural considerations in using families as a source for health promotion*

Because of traditional values, social networks, patterns of inter- and intrafamilial support, food preferences, and recreational choices, ethnic and sociocultural factors must be considered. Food habits are deeply rooted in a family's culture, which represents both their ethnic and community identity (55). Families must contend with outside influences that affect the availability of preferred foods and with the introduction of new foods and different ways of food preparation. As a result, the change in dietary practices, at least among families with children, often occurs at the family level; most family members adopt new food choices and eating habits. This process is evident among immigrant groups as they assimilate into a new culture. As families become more acculturated, traditional foods are consumed less often.

It is widely recognized that ethnic and sociocultural influences create differences in health behaviors. For example, research has shown that Hispanics tend to be less knowledgeable about cardiovascular risk factors, prepare more of their foods by frying, and engage in less physical activity than whites (56). Members of ethnic groups respond differently to health promotion messages and interventions. Nader et al (57) found that white families reported more change in their dietary and physical activity habits than did Mexican American families after an intervention to reduce cardiovascular risk among school children. The use of an ecological perspective as a means for understanding maintenance and change in dietary practices among immigrant ethnic groups is also applicable to the family unit.

Hispanic families are strongly family-centric, which makes the influence of the family both a facilitator and a barrier for participation in physical activity. For many Hispanic wives and mothers, both the family and care of the home comes before self (58). To overcome this barrier, Hispanic immigrants feel that activities that involve the family, particularly their children, can provide them the necessary incentives and opportunities to be physically active (58). Thus, family-based interventions developed within the cultural context of the target audience (taking cultural considerations into account) may result in more effective dietary and physical activity behavior change.

### FAMILY-BASED INTERVENTIONS

Dietary and exercise behaviors are well suited for family interventions because meals and recreational activities often involve the entire family. Lasting change is more likely when it involves the family unit because of the increased likelihood that family members will take action and sustain behaviors. Interventions that target the family unit also are likely to have a collective impact on the family. Cousins et al (54) compared a family-oriented intervention with a traditional (individualized) weight-loss program and an information-only control group involving obese Mexican American women. They found that, although the family-based individualized program was associated with significantly greater weight loss than the control group, the family-oriented (total family) intervention produced the greatest weight loss. The authors noted this occurred despite the fact that in the total family group other family members' (primarily the

husbands') attendance was inconsistent, and changes in meal planning often were not followed because of the lack of full family participation. With more consistent family member participation, family-oriented interventions could potentially produce more behavior change.

### *Family environment and childhood obesity*

Although it has been argued that, for successful child obesity treatment, the primary agent of change should be the parent (16,21,59), it is clear that the family environment plays a critical role in both the development and reduction of obesity. Parental influence is a critical determinant of children's food preferences (60,61). Though the data are limited, research does suggest that some food preferences developed in early childhood persist into adulthood (62). Evidence indicates that direct involvement of at least 1 parent improves a child's weight management (15). Parental support has been reported as a determinant of children's involvement in physical activity (63-66). In addition, parental involvement has been identified as an important determinant influencing young girls to be physically active (14,67,68).

Family environment factors, such as parental feeding practices (45,69,70) and family mealtime behaviors (32,71), have been linked to overweight in children. Birch and Fisher (45) found in an assessment of parent-to-child weight status that heavy mothers tend to have heavy daughters and that daughters' weight status was affected by mothers' feeding practices. Mothers often exert influence over their daughters' dietary intake, which has been shown to negatively impact self-control over energy intake. Birch and Fisher also reported that among preschool children, efforts by mothers to use control and restrictive feeding practices produced the unintended consequence of poor self-control over food intake. Parent food purchasing and mealtime behaviors have also been correlated with poor dietary intake. Ayala et al (72) found that among Mexican families, children of parents who purchase foods seen on television or who purchase fast foods were more likely to consume more soda and dietary fat. They identified family support for healthful eating and eating regular meals together as "two modifiable targets for family-based interventions."

Golan and colleagues argue that to effectively combat child obesity, it is essential to create a family or home environment that promotes healthy family habits (16,59,73). Part of that environment involves the establishment of effective parenting behavior, which includes parents being informed about both appropriate nutrition and eating habits and adopting a physically active lifestyle that includes regular exercise. Epstein (15) reported that, in treating obese children, involving at least 1 parent as an active participant in the weight loss process improves short- and long-term weight regulation of children. He concluded that improved outcomes occur because factors in the shared family environment are targeted for change. In a 7-year follow-up, Golan and Crow (21) reported a significant mean reduction in percentage of overweight among members of the parent-focused group compared with members of the child-focused group. Robinson (17) notes that one of the keys to successful treatment of childhood obesity is improved parenting behavior relating to goal setting, reward immediacy, use of praise, appropriate modeling, and limit setting.

### *The family as a solution to the obesity problem*

Although the purpose of this article has been to emphasize the value of the family as a source of health behavior change, by no means are we arguing that individual-based interventions are neither effective nor often the best practice. We share the perspective of Baranowski and Nader

(74) who suggest that rather than pit an individual approach and a family-oriented approach against each other, involving the entire family may be helpful in determining how to best promote behavior change among all its members. As Lindsay et al (75) write,

[p]arents play a critical role at home preventing childhood obesity, with their role changing at different stages of their child's development. By better understanding their own role in influencing their child's dietary practices, physical activity, sedentary behaviors, and ultimately weight status, parents can learn how to create a healthful nutrition environment in their home, provide opportunities for physical activity, discourage sedentary behaviors such as TV viewing, and serve as role models themselves. Obesity-related intervention programs can use parental involvement as one key to success in developing an environment that fosters healthy eating and physical activity among children and adolescents. (p. 179)

Because parents are often key to the development of a home environment that fosters healthful eating and participation in physical activity, their role is likely critical to most solutions to combating obesity. They reinforce and support healthy eating and exercise behaviors and may be best able to provide the necessary rewards to effect and maintain positive behavior change (15,75).

Many of the recommendations for addressing child and individual obesity and obesity-related factors, such as eating habits and exercise and physical activity patterns, are family-based. Suggestions include creating safe spaces to allow families to exercise or be physically active (76), increasing parental education and awareness (77,78), instructing parents to try to change children's eating and physical activity patterns (79), facilitating supportive family environments (80), and promoting positive parental support and modeling (81).

Most nonclinical interventions involving child and adolescent eating and physical activity patterns are school-based (82-86) rather than parent-based or family-based (87-90). Many school-based interventions, however, such as CATCH (Coordinated Approach to Child Health) (91), Hip-Hop to Health Jr. (92), and Students and Parents Actively Involved in Being Fit (93) include a family or parent component.

### *The family as a barrier to obesity prevention*

Because obesity tends to run in families, effective interventions should involve parents and other family members. However, this raises the question of how to best intervene with families. Epstein (15) and others (94-98) suggest that effective interventions for childhood obesity involve active participation by 1 or more parents. Parents need to learn how to talk with their children about exercising and eating well and how to encourage them to be more active (94). Many parents refuse to acknowledge that their children are obese (95,96). Some parents believe that actions that could help their children lose weight are ill-advised, so they refuse to support their engaging in strenuous activity or reducing their food consumption. In other cases cultural or familial factors affect parents' assessment of their children's weight and body image (97,98). As noted earlier, eating behaviors and physical activity habits must change, and if parents or children do not support such changes then weight of those at risk or already obese will likely not be well controlled (96).

In some cases it is not the intention of the family not to adopt or maintain healthy behaviors; other factors may prevent them from doing so. For example, in the case of a family member who needs to change dietary practices, family members may object or resist the introduction of new food choices (99,100). In other instances, family responsibilities such as child care responsibilities or managing the home are barriers to engaging in physical activity among parents (53). Roos et al (101) reported that the conflict between work and family life interfered with a Finnish sample of women and men in achieving recommended food habits or physical activity levels. Perceptions of environmental factors such as neighborhood safety also have been noted as barriers to physical activity (32).

#### NEED FOR A THEORY OF FAMILY BEHAVIOR CHANGE FOR REDUCING OBESITY

A further limitation to families providing the solution is that no theory involving family has been created to explain family involvement in promoting health behavior change (74). Because of the different ways (eg, modeling, support/encouragement, access to food, physical activity variety) a family may affect its members' dietary and exercise habits, it is difficult to conceive of 1 theory that accounts for family influence. As Baranowski and Nader (74) note, simply accounting for adolescent behavior and matching parental support influencing the adoption and maintenance of positive health behaviors is a major challenge. Behavior considered as positive and supportive in one parental-adolescent relationship may be perceived as controlling and confrontational in another. Soubhi et al (5) suggest that determining a family typology might be useful for focusing interventions to achieve behavior change so that the essential health-related message that is communicated is compatible with the family's structure, behavior, values, and beliefs.

To more effectively advance the notion that family (as defined by its members) be considered as a central unit for making behavior changes that support healthy eating and physical activity habits, recognizing the importance of family behavior in the development of weight control and weight loss activities is essential. A major challenge to determining family activity impact on individual members' weight management behaviors is the lack of this kind of framework with which specific activities are related to individual and family-level change. A framework by which the collection of individual-level data can be combined to form family-level aggregation of critical characteristics can combat this problem. This framework might capture who, how often, how much, to what extent, for how long, and how invested family members are as individuals and as a family unit to specific weight control actions and behaviors. A next step is to test the utility of such a framework.

Future work should build on the intricate relationships between diet and exercise and physical activity and food consumption built around the family environment. Achievable diet and physical activity goals are likely better enacted if determined by using the strengths and abilities of the family to develop and institute a plan agreed on by all family members. We hope we have described a perspective worthy of consideration by others who will build on our thesis and develop better means to convince individuals and families that a path to good health is through a lifestyle of dietary moderation and physical activity to the point of exhilaration and the desire to keep moving.

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author: Kenneth J. Gruber, PhD, School of Human Environmental Sciences, University of North Carolina at Greensboro, Greensboro, NC 27402-6170. Telephone: 336-256-0365. E-mail: kjgruber@uncg.edu.

Author Affiliation: Lauren A. Haldeman, University of North Carolina at Greensboro, Greensboro, North Carolina.

## REFERENCES

1. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Curtin LR, McDowell MA, Tabak CJ, Flegal KM. Prevalence of overweight and obesity in the United States, 1999-2004. *JAMA* 2006;295(13):1549-55.
2. Kelsey K, Earp JL, Kirkley BG. Is social support beneficial for dietary change? A review of the literature. *Fam Community Health* 1997;20(3):70-82.
3. Okun MA, Ruehlman L, Karoly P, Lutz R, Fairholme C, Schaub R. Social support and social norms: do both contribute to predicting leisure-time exercise? *Am J Behav* 2003;27(5):493-507.
4. Wing RR, Jeffrey RW. Benefits of recruiting participants with friends and increasing social support for weight loss and maintenance. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1999;67(1):132-38.
5. Soubhi H, Potvin L, Paradis G. Family process and parent's leisure time physical activity. *Am J Health Behav* 2004;28(3):218-30.
6. Sallis JF, Nader PR. Family determinants of health behaviors. In: Gochman DS, editor. *Health behavior: emerging research perspectives*. New York (NY): Plenum Press; 1988. pp. 107-24.
7. Medalie JH, Cole-Kelly K. The clinical importance of defining family. *Am Fam Physician* 2002;65(7):1277-9.
8. Tilson EC, McBride CM, Brouwer RN. Formative development of an intervention to stop family tobacco use: the Parents and Children Talking (PACT) intervention. *J Health Comm* 2005;10(6):491-508.
9. Epstein LH, Wing RR, Koeske R, Valoski A. Long-term effects of family-based treatment of childhood obesity. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1987;58(1):91-5.
10. Crockett SJ, Mullis RM, Perry CL. Parent nutrition education: a conceptual model. *J Sch Health* 1988;58(2):53-7.
11. Cullen KW, Baranowski T, Rittenberry L, Cosart C, Hebert D, de Moor C. Child-reported family and peer influences on fruit, juice and vegetable consumption: reliability and validity of measures. *Health Education Res* 2001;16(2):187-200.
12. Cullen KW, Klesges LM, Sherwood NE, Baranowski T, Beech B, Pratt C, et al. Measurement characteristics of diet-related psychosocial questionnaires among African-American parents and their 8- to 10-year old daughters: results from the Girls' Health Enrichment Multi-site Studies. *Prev Med* 2004;38(Suppl 1):S34-42.
13. Savage JS, Fisher JO, Birch LL. Parental influence on eating behavior: conception to adolescence. *J Law Med Ethics* 2007;35(1):22-34.
14. Davison KK, Cutting TM, Birch LL. Parents' activity-related parenting practices predict girls' physical activity. *Med Sci Sport Exerc* 2003;35(9):1589-95.
15. Epstein LH. Family-based behavioural intervention for obese children. *Int J Obes* 1996;20(Suppl 1):S14-21.



16. Golan M, Weitzman A. Familial approach to the treatment of childhood obesity: conceptual mode. *J Nutr Educ* 2001;33(2):102-7.
17. Robinson TN. Behavioural treatment of childhood and adolescent obesity. *Int J Obes* 1999;23(Suppl 2):S52-57.
18. van Dam HA, van der Horst FG, Knoops L, Ryckman RM, Crebolder HFJM, van den Borner BHW. Social support in diabetes: a systematic review of controlled intervention studies. *Pat Educ Couns* 2005;59(1):1-12.
19. Epstein LH, McCurley J, Wing RR, Valoski A. Five-year follow-up of family-based behavioral treatments for childhood obesity. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 1990;58(5):661-4.
20. Epstein LH, Valoski A, Wing RR, McCurley J. Ten-year follow-up of behavioral family-based treatment for obese children. *JAMA* 1990;264(19):2519-23.
21. Golan M, Crow S. Targeting parents exclusively in the treatment of childhood obesity: long-term results. *Obes Res* 2004;12(2):357-61.
22. Nader PR, Sallis JF, Patterson TL, Abramson IS, Rupp JW, Senn KL, et al. A family approach to cardiovascular risk reduction: results from the San Diego Family Health Project. *Health Educ Q* 1989;16(2):229-44.
23. Perry CL, Crockett SJ, Pirie P. Influencing parental health behavior: implications of community assessments. *Health Education* 1987;Oct/Nov:68-77.
24. Perry CL, Luepker RV, Murray DM, Kurth C, Mullis R, Crockett S, et al. Parent involvement with children's health promotion: the Minnesota home team. *Am J Public Health* 1988;78(9):1156-60.
25. Bandura A. Social foundations for thought and action: a social cognitive theory. Englewood Cliffs (NJ): Prentice Hall; 1986.
26. Wrotniak BH, Epstein LH, Paluch RA, Roemmich JN. Parent weight change as a predictor of child weight change in family-based behavioral obesity treatment. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2004;158(4):342-7.
27. Blackwell BS, Reed MD. Power-control as a between- and within-family model: reconsidering the unit of analysis. *J Youth Adolesc* 2003;32(5):385-99.
28. Bonomi AE, Boudreau DM, Fishman PA, Meenan RT, Revicki DA. Is a family equal to the sum of its parts? Estimating family-level well-being for cost-effectiveness analysis. *Qual Life Res* 2005;14(4):1127-33.
29. Chao J, Zyzanski S, Flocke S. Choosing a family level indicator of family function. *Fam Syst Health* 1998;16(4):367-74.
30. De Bourdeaudhuij I, Brug J. Tailoring dietary feedback to reduce fat intake: an intervention at the family level. *Health Educ Res* 2000;15(4):449-62.
31. Bluestein D, Bach PL. Working with families in long-term care. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2007;8(4):265-70.
32. Gable S, Chang Y, Krull JL. Television watching and frequency of family meals are predictive of overweight onset and persistence in a national sample of school-aged children. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2007;107(1):53-61.
33. Gillman MW, Rifas-Shiman SL, Frazier AL, Rockett HRH, Camargo CA, Field AE, et al. Family dinner and diet quality among older children and adolescents. *Arch Fam Med* 2000;9(3):235-40.
34. Larson NI, Neumark-Sztainer D, Hannan PJ, Story M. Family meals during adolescence are associated with higher diet quality and healthful meal patterns during young adulthood. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2007;107(9):1502-10.

35. Rockett HRH. Family dinner: more than just a meal. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2007;107(9):1498-501.
36. De Bourdeaudhuij I. Resemblance in health behaviors between family members. *Arch Public Health* 1996;54(7-8):251-73.
37. Patterson TL, Rupp JW, Sallis JF, Atkins CJ, Nader, PR. Aggregation of dietary calories, fats, and sodium in Mexican-American and Anglo families. *Am J Prev Med* 1988;4(2):75-82.
38. Sallis JF, Patterson TL, Buono MJ, Atkins CJ, Nader PR. Aggregation of physical activity habits in Mexican-American and Anglo families. *J Behav Med* 1988;11(1):31-41.
39. Wardle J, Guthrie C, Sanderson S, Birch L, Plomin R. Food and activity preferences in children of lean and obese parents. *Int J Obes* 2001;25(7):971-7.
40. Connor SL, Connor WE, Henry H, Sexton G, Keenan EJ. The effects of familial relationships, age, body weight, and diet on blood pressure and the 24 hour urinary excretion of sodium, potassium, and creatinine in men, women, and children of randomly selected families. *Circulation* 1984;70(1):76-85.
41. Patterson TL, Kaplan RM, Sallis JF, Nader PR. Aggregation of blood pressure in Anglo-American and Mexican-American families. *Prev Med* 1987;16(5):616-25.
42. Wilson DK, Klesges LM, Klesges RC, Eck LH, Hackett-Renner CA, Alpert BS, et al. A prospective study of familial aggregation of blood pressure in young children. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1992;45(9):959-69.
43. Fogleman M, Nuutinen O, Pasanen E, Myohanen E, Saatela T. Parent-child relationship of physical activity patterns and obesity. *Int J Obes* 1999;23(12):1262-8.
44. Whitaker RC, Wright JA, Pepe MS, Seidel KD, Dietz WH. Predicting obesity in young adulthood from childhood and parental obesity. *N Engl J Med* 1997;33(25):869-73.
45. Birch LL, Fisher JO. Mothers' child-feeding practices influence daughters' eating and weight. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2000;71(5):1054-61.
46. Treuth MS, Butte NF, Ellis KJ, Martin LJ, Couzzie AG. Familial resemblance of body composition in prepubertal girls and their biological parents. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2001;74(4):529-33.
47. Pérusse L, Tremblay A, Leblanc C, Bouchard C. Genetic and environmental influences on level of habitual physical activity and exercise participation. *Am J Epidemiol* 1989;29(5):1012-22.
48. Mulvaney-Day NE, Alegria M, Scribney W. Social cohesion, social support, and health among Latinos in the United States. *Soc Sci Med* 2007;64(2):477-95.
49. Shields CA, Spink KS, Chad K, Muhajarine N, Humbert L, Odnokon PJ. Youth and adolescent physical activity lapsed: examining self-efficacy as a mediator of the relationship between family social influence and physical activity. *Health Psych* 2008;13(1):121-30.
50. Wilson DK, Ampey-Thornhill G. The role of gender and family support on dietary compliance in an African American Adolescent Hypertension Prevention Study. *Ann Behav Med* 2001;23(1):59-67.
51. Heitman LK. The influence of social support on cardiovascular health in families. *Fam Community Health* 2006;29(2):131-42.
52. Bull S, Eakin E, Reeves M, Riley K. Multi-level support for physical activity and healthy eating. *J Adv Nurs* 2006;54(5):585-93.
53. Eyler AE, Wilcox S, Matson-Koffman D, Evenson KR, Sanderson B, Thompson J, et al. Correlates of physical activity among women from diverse racial/ethnic groups. *J Womens Health Gen Based Med* 2002;11(3):239-53.

54. Cousins JH, Rubovits DS, Dunn JK, Reeves RS, Ramirez AG, Foreyt JP. Family versus individually oriented intervention for weight loss in Mexican American women. *Public Health Rep* 1992;107(5):549-55.
55. McArthur LH, Anguiabo RPV, Nocetti D. Maintenance and change in the diet of Hispanic immigrants in Eastern North Carolina. *Fam Consum Sci Res J* 2001;29(4):309-35.
56. Nader PR, Sallis JF, Rupp J, Atkins C, Patterson T, Abramson I. The San Diego Family Health Project: reaching families through the schools. *J Sch Health* 1986;56(6):227-31.
57. Nader PR, Sallis JF, Patterson TL, Abramson IS, Rupp JW, Senn KL, et al. A family approach to cardiovascular risk reduction: results from the San Diego Family Health Project. *Health Educ Q* 1989;16(2):229-44.
58. Evenson KR, Samiento OL, Macon ML, Tawney KW, Ammerman AS. Environmental, policy, and cultural factors related to physical activity among Latina immigrants. *Women Health* 2002;36(2):43-56.
59. Golan M, Weizman A, Apter A, Fainaru M. Parents as the exclusive agents of change in the treatment of childhood obesity. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1998;67(6):1130-5.
60. Benton D. Role of parents in the determination of the food preferences of children and the development of obesity. *Int J Obes* 2004;28(7):858-69.
61. Contento IR, Williams SS, Michela JL, Franklin AB. Understanding the food choice process of adolescents in the context of family and friends. *J Adolesc Health* 2006;38(5):575-82.
62. Haire-Joshu D, Kreuter MK, Holt C, Steger-May K. Estimates of fruit and vegetable intake in childhood and adult dietary behaviors of African American women. *J Nutr Educ Behav* 2004;36(6):309-14.
63. Kohl HW, Hobbs KE. Development of physical activity behaviors among children and adolescents. *Pediatrics* 1998;101(Suppl 1):549-54.
64. Horn TS, Horn JL. Family influences on children's sport and physical activity participation, behavior, and psychosocial responses. In: Tenenbaum G, Eklund RC, editors. *Handbook of sport psychology*. (3rd ed). Somerset (NJ): John Wiley and Sons; 2007.
65. Sallis JF, Prochaska JJ, Taylor WC. A review of correlates of physical activity of children and adolescents. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2000;32(5):963-75.
66. Trost G, Sallis JF, Pate RR, Freedson PS, Taylor WC, Dowda M. Evaluating a model of parental influence on youth physical activity. *Am J Prev Med* 2003;25(4):277-82.
67. Sallis JF, Prochaska JJ, Taylor WC, Hill JO, Geraci JC. Correlates of physical activity in a national sample of girls and boys in Grades 4 through 12. *Health Psych* 1999;18(4):410-5.
68. Thompson VJ, Baranowski T, Cullen KW, Rittenberry L, Baranowski J, Taylor WC, et al. Influences on diet and physical activity among middle-class African American 8- to 10 year-old girls at risk of becoming obese. *J Nutr Educ Behav* 2003;35(3):115-23.
69. Stang J, Rehorst J, Golicic M. Parental feeding practices and risk of childhood overweight in girls: Implications for dietetics practice. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2004;104(7):1076-9.
70. Spruijt-Metz D, Lindquist CH, Birch LL, Fisher JO, Goran MI. Relation between mothers' child-feeding practices and children's adiposity. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2002;75(3):581-6.
71. Neumark-Sztainer D, Hannan PJ, Story M, Croll J, Perry C. Family meal patterns: associations with sociodemographic characteristics and improved dietary intake among adolescents. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2003;103(3):317-22.
72. Ayala GX, Baquero B, Arredondo EM, Campbell N, Larios S, Elder JP. Association between family variables and Mexican American children's dietary behaviors. *J Nutr Educ Behav* 2007;39(2):62-9.

73. Golan M, Fainaru M, Weizman A. Role of behavior modification in the treatment of childhood obesity with the parents as the exclusive agents of change. *Int J Obes* 1998;22(12):1217-24.
74. Baranowski T, Nader PR. Family involvement in health behavior change programs. In: Turk DC, Kerns RD, editors. *Health, illness, and families*. New York: John Wiley and Sons; 1985. pp. 81-107.
75. Lindsay AC, Sussner KM, Kim J, Gortmaker SL. The role of parents in preventing childhood obesity. *Future Child* 2006;16(1):169-86.
76. Chatterjee N, Blakely DE, Barton C. Perspectives on obesity and barriers to control from workers at a community center serving low-income Hispanic children and families. *J Community Health Nurs* 2005;22(1):23-36.
77. American Academy of Pediatrics. Prevention of pediatric overweight and obesity. *Pediatrics* 2003;112(2):424-30.
78. Sothorn MS. Obesity prevention in children: physical activity and nutrition. *Nutr* 2004;20(7-8):704-8.
79. American Dietetic Association. Position of the American Dietetic Association: dietary guidance for healthy children ages 2 to 11 years. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2004;104(4):660-77.
80. Kirk S, Scott BJ, Daniels SR. Pediatric obesity epidemic: treatment options. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2005;105(Suppl 1):S44-51.
81. Gustafson SL, Rhodes RE. Parental correlates of physical activity in children and early adolescents. *Sport Med* 2006;36(1):79-97.
82. Gortmaker SL, Cheung LWY, Peterson KE, Chomitz G, Cradle JH, Dart H, et al. Impact of a school-based interdisciplinary intervention on diet and physical activity among urban primary school children. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 1999;153(9):975-83.
83. Hawley SR, Beckman H, Bishop T. Development of an obesity prevention and management program for children and adolescents in a rural setting. *J Community Health Nurs* 2006;23(2):69-80.
84. Müller MJ, Danilezik S, Pust S. School- and family-based interventions to prevent overweight in children. *Proc Nutr Soc* 2005;64(2):249-54.
85. Peterson KE, Fox MK. Addressing the epidemic of childhood obesity through school-based interventions: what has been done and where do we go from here? *J Law Med Ethics* 2007;35(1):113-30.
86. Slawta J, Bentley J, Smith J, Kelly J, Syman-Degler L. Promoting healthy lifestyles in children: a pilot program of Be A Fit Kid. *Health Promot Pract* 2008;9(3):305-12.
87. Beech BM, Klesges RC, Kumanyika SK, Murray DM, Klesges L, McClanahan B, et al. Child- and parent-targeted interventions: the Memphis GEMS Pilot Study. *Ethn Dis* 2003;13(Suppl 1):40-53.
88. Ford BS, McDonald TE, Owens AS, Robinson TN. Primary care interventions to reduce television viewing in African-American children. *Am J Prev Med* 2002;22(2):106-9.
89. Golley RK, Magarey AM, Baur LA, Steinbeck KS, Daniels LA. Twelve-month effectiveness of a parent-led family-focused weight-management program for prepubertal children: a randomized, controlled trial. *Pediatrics* 2007;119(3):517-25.
90. Rodearmel SJ, Wyatt HR, Barry MJ, Dong F, Pan D, Israel RG, et al. A family-based approach to preventing excessive weight gain. *Obesity* 2006;14(8):1392-1401.

91. Coleman KJ, Tiller CL, Sanchez J, Heath EM, Sy O, Milliken G, et al. Prevention of the epidemic increase in child risk of overweight in low-income schools. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2005;159(3):217-24.
92. Fitzgibbon ML, Stolley MR, Schiffer L, Van Horn L, Kauferchrisoffel K, Dyer A. Two-year follow-up results for Hip-Hop to Health Jr.: a randomized controlled trial for overweight prevention in preschool minority children. *J Pediatrics* 2005;146(5):618-25.
93. Engels HJ, Gretebek RJ, Gretebek KA, Jiménez L. Promoting healthful diets and exercise: efficacy of a 12-week after-school program in urban African Americans. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2005;105(3):721-8.
94. Borra ST, Kelly L, Shirreffs MB, Neville K, Geiger CJ. Developing health messages: qualitative studies with children, parents, and teachers help identify communications opportunities for healthful lifestyles and the prevention of obesity. *J Am Diet Assoc* 2003;103(6):721-8.
95. Neumark-Sztainer D, Wall M, Story M, van den Berg P. Accurate parental classification of overweight adolescents' status: does it matter? *Pediatrics* 2008;121(6):e1495-e1502.
96. Eckstein KC, Mikhail LM, Ariza AJ, Thomson JS, Millard SC, Binns HJ, et al. Parents' perceptions of their child's weight and health. *Pediatrics* 2006;117(3):681-90.
97. Contento IR, Basch C, Zybert P. Body image, weight, and food choices of Latina women and their young children. *J Nutr Educ Behav* 2003;35(5):236-48.
98. Jain A, Sherman SN, Chamberlin LA, Carter Y, Powers SW, Whitaker RC. Why don't low-income mothers worry about their preschoolers being overweight? *Pediatrics* 2001;107(50):1138-46.
99. Laroche HH, Davis MM, Forman J, Palmisano G, Heisler M. What about the children? The experience of families involved in an adult-focused diabetes intervention. *Public Health Nutr* 2008;11(4):427-36.
100. Paisley J, Beanlands H, Goldman J, Evers S, Chappell J. Dietary change: what are the responses and roles of significant others? *J Nutr Educ Behav* 2008;40(2):80-8.
101. Roos E, Sarlio-Lähteenkorva S, Laauka T, Lahelma E. Associations of work-family conflicts with food habits and physical activity. *Public Health Nutr* 2007;10(3):222-9.