

# WEST MEXICAN STELAE FROM JALISCO AND NAYARIT

[Joseph B. Mountjoy](#)

Department of Anthropology, University of North Carolina, Greensboro, NC 27412-5001, USA

## Abstract

The process of Mesoamerican cultural expansion into western and northwestern Mexico has been a subject of speculation and investigation for over three centuries. Although many cultural traits have been "implicated" in this process, the practice of erecting stelae has not been one of them. Fieldwork by the author in Jalisco and Nayarit over the past

14 years has resulted in the discovery of 48 stone monuments which are proposed to be rustic versions of the usually more sophisticated stelae associated with cultures of central Mesoamerica. These west Mexican stelae are described and discussed in terms of their context, date, and possible function. Similar stone monuments from other sites in western and

northwestern Mexico are also described, and relevant ethnohistoric data are presented. Finally, the diffusion of the practice of erecting stelae is discussed in relation to the process of mesoamericanization in western and northwestern Mexico.

## THE NORTHWESTERN EXPANSION OF MESOAMERICA

A long-standing problem in Mesoamerican Prehispanic studies has been the extent of mesoamericanization of northwestern Mexico, including both how and when mesoamericanization occurred. As early as 1650, Tello (1968:26-27) described the great fortified center of La Quemada, Zacatecas, and related it to the ethnohistoric accounts of the legendary wanderings of the Mexica. Subsequent investigators also related these ruins to Mexica culture or to the Tarascans (Batres 1903). In 1908, Gamio (1910) excavated at Alta Vista, Zacatecas, and recognized that site as marking the northernmost limit of several architectural traits which linked it to central Mexican cultures. Then, in a pioneering archaeological study of the Nayarit and Sinaloa coastal plain, Sauer and Brand (1932) focused on the problem of a "cultural corridor" which might link central Mexico with the native cultures of the southwestern United States. Their fieldwork revealed the presence of a highly developed Prehispanic culture in Nayarit and Sinaloa that they called Aztatlán. A few years later, Mason (1937) reported on Prehispanic sites of high cultural development, identified as the Chalchihuites culture, which were found to extend into northwestern Durango as far as the site of Zape (see also Brand 1939). Next, studies by Ekholm (1940, 1941, 1942) and Kelly (1941) made further contributions to the problem of northwest Mexico/central Mexico Prehispanic relations, and ties between central Mexico and the eastern part of West Mexico were studied by Noguera (1942), Lister (1949), and Porter (1956).

Another major contribution to this problem was made by Kirchhoff in his classic study of 1943, in which he delimited the geographic boundaries of Mesoamerican culture based on sixteenth-century ethnographic traits. His demarcation of the northern boundary revealed a salient of Mesoamerican culture protruding far northwest into Durango and Sinaloa (Kirchhoff

1943). In regard to time depth, Kirchhoff (1948:134-135) later suggested that at some time in the past western Mexico did not pertain to Mesoamerica, and that there must have been a gradual mesoamericanization of the area. He noted that the closer one gets to Jalisco, Colima, and Nayarit, the less Mesoamerican *and* more specifically western Mexican are the culture traits. He went on to propose that west Mexican studies ought to establish the ways in which that area contrasts with the rest of Mesoamerica.

More recently, investigations by Armillas (1964), Kelly and Braniff de Torres (1966), Kelley and Abbott (1966), Sáenz (1966a, 1966b), Matos and Kelly (1974), Oliveros (1974), Pickering (1974, 1985), Schöndube (1974, 1980), Kelley (1974), Weigand (1974, 1978, 1985), Kelley and Kelley (1976), Aveni, Hartung, and Kelley (1982), Kelly (1985), and Trombold (1985) have shown a continued interest in determining the relationships between the northwestern peripheral area of Mesoamerica and the Mesoamerican heartland.

Some of the archaeological traits that have been cited as perhaps indicating a degree of mesoamericanization of the northwestern area at one time or another are rare Olmecoid artifacts, Thin Orange pottery, talud-tablero architecture, astronomical petroglyph markers, cloisonné pottery, human sacrifice, the skull rack, Mazapan pottery figurines, Plumbate pottery, Mixtec codex-like designs on pottery, and representations of various central Mexican deities. There is evidence of contacts between heartland Mesoamerica and northwestern peripheral Mesoamerica from at least Middle Formative times through the Classic and into the Postclassic period.

## THE EXTENSION OF STELAE INTO NORTHWESTERN MESOAMERICA

One archaeological trait that has not been researched in regard to the mesoamericanization of northwestern Mexico is the stela.




Figure 1. Map of locations in western and northwestern Mexico which have stone monuments mentioned in the text.

Such stone monuments, especially those attributed to the Maya, are one of the more outstanding archaeological remnants of Mesoamerican ceremonialism.

The practice of erecting stelae appears to have originated during the Middle Formative period (Grove 1989; Lowe 1989). Formative period stelae have been reported in some abundance from the Mexican states of Veracruz, Tabasco, Chiapas, Oaxaca, and Morelos, as well as from southern Guatemala and at least one from Belize (Hammond 1982; Marcus 1976). The farthest extension of the stela trait northwestward during the Formative appears to be into Guerrero (Grove and Paradis 1971; Martinez 1986).

Classic period stelae have been found throughout most of Maya territory (Proskouriakoff 1950), as well as in the Mexican states of Oaxaca (Brockington 1957; Jorriin 1974), Morelos (Sáenz 1966c), Puebla (Marquina 1970; von Winning 1979), Queretaro (Carlos Castañeda, personal communication, 1985), Veracruz (Cervantes 1976; Kelly 1982), and they are relatively common in Guerrero (Diaz 1986; Lister 1971; Muller 1966). The farthest northwestern extension of stela erection recorded for the Late Classic or Epiclassic is into the border area of southeastern Michoacan near Huetamo (Figure 1) (Chadwick 1971; Osborne 1943).

Mesoamerican stela production appears to decline rapidly in the Postclassic, but stelae which may date to the Postclassic period have been found in El Salvador (Kelly 1982), the northern Yucatan Peninsula (Proskouriakoff 1950), in the Peten, Guatemala (Bullard 1970), and in the Mexican states of Oaxaca, Puebla, Mexico, and Veracruz (Jorriin 1974; Kelly 1982). The farthest northwestern extension of Postclassic stelae is recorded to be at Huetamo in southeastern Michoacan (Figure 1) (Chadwick 1971).

Certainly, the diffusion of the practice of erecting stelae into northwestern Mexico would be another clear sign of mesoamericanization, but the published literature on the matter indicates that with the exception of a corner of southeastern Michoacan, northwestern Mexico did not participate in this important expression of Mesoamerican ceremonialism at any period. However, this article presents evidence that stelae were erected in many areas of northwestern Mexico in Prehispanic times, although the expression of this Mesoamerican trait was altered in both form and function to suit better the cultural context into which it was introduced, apparently by stimulus diffusion.

#### DEFINITION OF A STELA

A stela is "an upright stone slab or pillar bearing an inscription or design and serving as a monument, marker, or the like" (*Random House Dictionary of the English Language* 1966: 1391) or "a slab or pillar of stone usually carved or inscribed and used for commemorative purposes (as to mark a grave)" (*Webster's Third New International Dictionary of the English Language* [unabridged] 1966:2235). Although it is common for stelae in the Mesoamerican heartland to be rather tall (2.44 m to 3.05 m) (Morley 1956:308), generally rectangular, and the surface of the stone pecked or carved in such a way that there are designs which stand out in low relief, none of these characteristics seems essential for defining a stone as a stela. In her extensive study of Classic Maya sculpture, Proskouriakoff (1950:204) defined "stela" simply as:

An upright independent monument. Usually in the form of a thick slab, approximately rectangular or slightly wedge-shaped in form and often rounded on the upper end.

Even in the Maya area many rock pillars identified as stelae bear no carved or pecked inscriptions, although it is possible they once bore painted designs (Brockington 1957:96-97). Furthermore, stones identified as stelae in some areas of Mesoamerica, Oaxaca, for example, have considerable variation in height, degree of intentional shaping and in their final form (Gamio 1963; Jorrín 1974).

#### STELAE FOUND RECENTLY IN JALISCO AND NAYARIT


##### Description

During fieldwork in Jalisco and Nayarit from 1975 through 1990, I or my fieldwork associates discovered 48 stones which I believe are local versions of Mesoamerican stelae. Subsequent investigation of the archaeological and ethnohistorical literature of northwestern Mesoamerica has revealed other stones which may be stelae. First, the 48 stones discovered in Jalisco and Nayarit will be described, and their apparent date and possible function will be discussed. Then, possible stelae found in other sites or areas will be discussed, along with their apparent date and possible function. Last, ethnohistorical data on similar stones will be presented, especially those data which relate to the function of such stones.

Eighteen stelae were found at eight different sites in the Tomatlan river valley of central coastal Jalisco (Figure 1) during fieldwork in 1975-1977 and in 1984. Another stela was discovered in the municipality of San Blas, Nayarit, in 1983 (Figure 1), and 29 more were found in the municipality of Puerto Vallarta during 1986-1990. Basic data on all of these stones are presented in the Appendix. Thirty four of the monuments were found essentially whole; the remaining fourteen were missing one or more portions. Measurements of length, width, and thickness have been recorded for most of the stones, whole or broken. When the measurements recorded in the Appendix are for incomplete portions of the stone, they are enclosed in parentheses and have not been included in the summary statistical characterizations of the monuments.

The height of the stones ranges from 0.60 m to 2.34 m, with a mean of 1.14 m, a median of 1.07 m, and a standard deviation of 0.43 m. The width ranges from 0.16 m to 0.72 m, with a mean of 0.34 m, a median of 0.30 m, and a standard deviation of 0.15 m. Thickness ranges from 0.09 m to 0.32 m, with a mean of 0.17 m, a median of 0.14 m, and a standard deviation of 0.06 m.

The stones give the general impression of being roughly rectangular in outline, and rectangular, triangular, or roundish in cross-section. The complete specimens usually have some degree of pointedness at the top. It is sometimes not clear whether the similarities in form and size were produced through careful selection of naturally shaped stones, or if the form or size was intentionally achieved by breaking, pecking, and grinding. Some intentional shaping is possible for all of the stelae found at the Tom-31 site (Figures 2b and 2d; Figure 3), as well as for some of those found at other sites in the Tomatlan basin. On the other hand, Stela 1 from the Tom-57 site does not appear intentionally shaped, and although Stela 1 from the Tom-8 site (Figure 2a) has some deliberate chipping along one edge, it mostly was formed naturally, perhaps by river action. The angularity



**Figure 2.** Stelae with petroglyph designs found at sites in the Tomatlan area of Jalisco and the San Blas area of Nayarit: (a) Stela 1 from the Tom-8 site; (b) Stela 4 from the Tom-31 site; (c) Stela 1 from the SB-1 site; (d) Stela 8 from the Tom-31 site.

of some of the Tomatlan area stelae, for example, Stela 5 at the Tom-31 site and Stela 1 from the Tom-56 site, may indicate selection from rock deposits of the sort commonly exposed by arroyos in the hilly areas close to many of the habitation sites.

Stela 1 from the SB-1 (Aticama) site (Figure 2c) might have been picked up along the cobble-strewn Los Cocos beach nearby, although it certainly would have been a uniquely large cobble. The only possible alteration of this stone is within an area of 0.14 m by 0.08 m on the top where the stone may have been intentionally flattened.

One of the stelae (Stela 1) from the PV-1 (Ixtapa) site (Figure 4) was definitely shaped into rectangular form, but the other five stelae at the site appear to have been selected for their natural shape and not further shaped. Such selection of naturally shaped stones seems to be the case for both of the stelae from the PV-12 (Los Cimientos) site. However, the one stela from the PV-15 (La Mesa del Huizcoyul) was definitely shaped into the unusual form of an inverted T (Figure 5). The expanded base of the stela was apparently designed to better anchor the stone in an upright position. All 20 of the stelae found at the PV-16 (La Soledad) are slivers or slabs of broken rock, but one of them (Stela 5) has large scars where flakes were struck off along the edge of one side, and one other stela (Stela 20) appears extensively pecked into its final form.

The type of rock selected for stelae (Appendix) was often grano-diorite of whitish or cream color, occasionally grading to a bluish diorite which appears slightly harder or denser, or a




Figure 3. Floor plan of excavated Structure 1 at the Tom-31 site showing the context of Stelae 1, 2, and 3.




**Figure 4.** Stela 1 from the PV-1 site, positioned out in front of Mound 2. The lower end of the stone monument, as oriented in the photograph, is missing.

cream, bluish- or reddish-colored rhyolite. Whitish grano-diorite is extremely common in the Tomatlan area, but the bluish diorite has been found at only a few places along the Tomatlan river. In the case of Stela 1 at the Tom-57 site, not only was the stela itself of bluish diorite, apparently brought in from a nearby deposit along the river, but also most of the rocks placed around the base of the stela were of the same stone. In several cases, for example at the Tom-31 site, the precise color and grain size of the grano-diorite stelae stones contrasts with the grano-diorite which is native to that particular site locality, indicating a nonlocal source for the stelae stone.

In the San Blas area, fairly soft vesicular basalt is abundant, but grano-diorite of which the stela was made is much less common, although it was frequently utilized for Late Postclassic (Santa Cruz phase) metates and can be found in cobble form along the Los Cocos beach.

In the Puerto Vallarta area rhyolite is the most common type of stela stone, with granite next in frequency, while only one stela of diorite has been recorded. At least the stelae at the PV-I (Ixtapa) site were hauled in from some distant source because such stones are foreign to the flood plain alluvium deposits where the site is located.

Only 4 of the 48 stelae have any designs. In all cases (Figures 2a to 2d) these designs are petroglyphs, and they appear to have been pecked, as opposed to carved or abraded, into the surface. Two of the stelae were found to have petroglyphs on one side only (Figures 2b and 2d); it is therefore possible that these petroglyphs could have been pecked onto the one face after the slabs had fallen over. Thus these petroglyphs might post-date the utilization of the stones as stelae. However, the other two stelae (Figures 2a and 2c) have designs pecked on both the front and back, so these stones must have been standing upright in order for all the petroglyphs to have been visible. In the case of Stela 1 from the Tom-8 site (Figure 2a), the proper upright



**Figure 5.** Stela 1 from the PV-15 site.

orientation of the stela is apparent from the orientation of the anthropomorphic figures pecked into the stone. The base of this stone may lack designs because it was partially sunken into the ground. Stela 1 from the SB- I site (Figure 2c) can likewise be oriented properly upright due to the anthropomorphic head near the top of the stone. There are only three small petroglyphs on one side of the base of the monument, suggesting that the stone may have been positioned at the front of a low platform, of the sort common at the SB-1 site. The stela was found within 5 m of one such platform; it had apparently been uncovered and pulled out of primary context by agricultural machinery.

#### Context

Maps showing the location of stelae in Tomatlan sites 31, 52, 56, 57, and 62 can be consulted in Mountjoy (1982:Figs. 34, 46, 50, and 51, respectively), and a map of Tom-8 site can be con-

sited in Mountjoy (1987b). The two stelae at Tom-8 were found in the northeastern part of the site. A map of the SB-1 site can be found in Mountjoy (1970:Fig. 9). The SB-1 stela was found along the eastern edge of the cluster of low platforms in the central section in the northeastern part of the site. A map of the PV-1 site can be found in Mountjoy (1989). According to a local informant, all six PV-1 stelae were originally located in front of Mound 2 and were moved to the fence line to facilitate cultivation. The PV-12 stelae were found on the interior side of the rock foundations of a large rectangular structure situated in a plaza some 35 m from a ceremonial mound. The upper half of the PV-15 stela was found at the foot of the largest ceremonial mound on that site, and the base was found in the adjacent plaza, 14 m to the northeast. The 20 stelae at the PV-16 site were found in a single plaza adjacent to an area with rock foundations and three ceremonial mounds.

All 19 of the Tomatlan and San Blas stelae were found either within a domestic area, or on the edge of such an area. This is even the case for Stela 1 at the Tom-52 site, where the stela was found on the top of a hill but at the edge of the domestic area. It was found near the earthen foundation of what may have been a sweat bath or menstrual hut. Some terraces, presumably for houses, were located a short distance downslope from the stela.

In the case of Tomatlan sites 31, 52, 57, and 62, the stelae were found within hamlet-sized settlements that contain circular rock foundations of three to eight small houses. These houses open onto one or more plazas on the top or on the side of a hill which has been artificially leveled. Such sites are usually near the Tomatlan river or along a small stream that feeds into the river, and are associated with patches of alluvial bottomland which may have been farmed utilizing small-scale gravity irrigation. Grinding stones, hammerstones, and adzes are commonly found around the habitation area or in refuse dumps downslope along the edge of the site. Three of the eight stelae at Tom-31 were found in close association with one of the house foundations (Figure 3). In some other sites, stelae were found away from the houses, on the edge of the habitation area. The three stelae found at the Tom-8 site were probably not in their exact original location, for there has been a lot of destruction of the archaeological deposit due to modern village activities. However, all three Tom-8 stelae were found close to rock foundations of rectangular shape, and the entire site has an extremely dense cover of domestic trash.

The SB-1 stela was found near low platforms, apparently for supporting houses, and in general association with quantities of domestic pottery sherds and oyster shell debris.

In contrast to the Tomatlan and San Blas stelae, all 29 Puerto Vallarta stelae were found in contexts which could be interpreted to be ceremonial—there are architectural features closely associated or at least nearby which do not seem to be domestic. The six PV-1 (Ixtapa) stelae appear to have originally been situated out in front of a 2.6 m high ceremonial mound. Excavation on the top of this mound in 1990 revealed a structure which appears to have been an altar. Two burials of the remains of cremated infants, plus eight pottery vessels, five of which contained bones of cremated infants, were found on the periphery of the altar. The PV-12 stelae were found inside a large rectangular structure 35 m from a mound which according to an informant contained jars with skulls and some blue stone pendants inside. The upper half of the PV-15 stela was

found at the base of a 2.4 m high ceremonial mound, and the lower half of the stela was found in the adjacent plaza. The 20 PV-16 (La Soledad) stelae were found scattered about the surface of a plaza 20 m long by 10 m wide, situated adjacent to a cluster of three apparently ceremonial mounds. Three of these stelae are reported by a local farmer to have been standing as late as 1972.

On the basis of artifact samples obtained from the surface of the sites (Appendix) and in some instances the architectural features, plus the data from the excavation of one of the houses (no. 1 at the Tom-31 site) (Figure 3), it seems that most if not all Tomatlan area stelae pertain to the Late Postclassic (Nahuapa) phase occupation of the valley, believed to date within the span A.D. 1000 to the A.D. 1500s (Mountjoy 1982, 1983). It is possible, however, that the stelae at one site (Tom-8) could pertain to the Early Postclassic (Azatlan, A.D. 800-1000) phase at that site because both Postclassic phases are strongly represented at that site. At an overwhelmingly Azatlan phase site (Tom-28) adjacent to Tom-8, a large disk-shaped stone altar was found near a ceremonial mound that covered an urn burial, but there was no stela associated with the altar.

As for the SB-1 stela, 96% of the 50 decorated pottery sherds collected from the surrounding area are of the local Late Postclassic (Santa Cruz) phase (Appendix), the latest prehispanic phase in the San Blas area (Mountjoy 1970), believed to date within the range A.D. 1100 to the A.D. 1500s.

Based on the style of the pottery offerings recovered in the excavations conducted on the top of Mound 2 at the PV-1 (Ixtapa) site in 1990, the six stelae associated with the mound probably date to the early part of the Late Postclassic. The high percentage (83%; Appendix) of Early Postclassic (Azatlan phase) sherds recovered from the surface of the mound appears due to the use of earth containing mostly Early Postclassic sherds as fill for the construction of the Late Postclassic mound. One carbon sample from an Early Postclassic (Azatlan phase) deposit at the PV-1 site has been radiocarbon dated to between A.D. 1070 and A.D. 1230 (Mountjoy 1990). The stelae presumably date somewhat later. At the other Puerto Vallarta sites where stelae have been found, surface collecting at PV-12 recovered 100% Early Postclassic (Azatlan) decorated sherds, but this is not very reliable since it is based on only three decorated sherds. Surface collecting at PV-15 in the area of the ceremonial mounds and the stela yielded 56% Late Postclassic sherds and 44% Early Postclassic sherds, and the surface of the PV-16 site yielded 95% Late Postclassic sherds.

### Function

What may be inferred about the function of these 48 stones on the basis of the archaeological data? The stelae from the Puerto Vallarta area Ixtapa site are found in a context which is definitely ceremonial—in front of a mound supporting an altar surrounded by cremated infant remains and pottery offerings. The stelae at the Puerto Vallarta area La Soledad site are also in a ceremonial context, but the high number concentrated in a plaza is unusual, and hard to interpret. The stelae at the other Puerto Vallarta sites (Los Cimientos, and La Mesa del Huizcoyal) are also found in a context which appears to be ceremonial.

The data available at present suggest that stelae were introduced into Jalisco, and perhaps Navarit as well, at least as early



as the beginning of the Late Postclassic. Because of the strong central Mesoamerican ties of the Early Postclassic Aztatlan culture, it is tempting to believe that the practice of stela erection was initially involved in the Aztatlan expansion. However, there is only meager support for that idea in the field data accumulated up to this point. Nevertheless, the earliest stelae probably represent the expansion of the common Mesoamerican cultural pattern in which stelae played a ritual role in ceremonial centers. But this original function of stelae was probably altered in the process of the adoption of stela erection in domestic settings by some west Mexican societies, although the stelae themselves continued to have a ceremonial function.

One major clue to the function of at least some of the Late Postclassic stelae comes from the analysis of 339 boulders with petroglyphs recorded in the Tomatlan area (Mountjoy 1987b). There is substantial evidence supporting the idea that the small pit, large pit, circle, bulls eye, circle with rays, spiral, and the anthropomorphic stick figures on those boulders are all various ways of depicting the sun god—its eye, face, or whole body—and that many of the petroglyphs were pecked in an attempt to secure from the sun god the rains of the summer-fall wet season (Mountjoy 1984, 1987b). Virtually all of the petroglyphs on the Tomatlan stelae are sun symbols of one sort or another. Since so many of the Tomatlan stelae appear to have been free-standing within or on the edge of hamlets or villages of agriculturalists, some of them may have functioned as rustic sun dials which through the length and direction of the shadow cast could have been useful for tracing daylight time as well as the passage of the seasons. Two stelae could have been used for seasonal calculations by spacing them at such a distance that the shadow of one stone would reach the other during its maximum noon-time extension of December 21st; the minimum noontime shadow extension would occur on June 21st (Jerry Meisner, personal communication, 1987). Perhaps the accuracy of shadow marking was increased by using stones pointed at the top.

The stela from the SB-1 site in Nayarit may have functioned in the same way. The spiral petroglyphs on the stone may be sun symbols, as they appear to be in the Tomatlan, Jalisco, area, or they may stand more directly for water (Mountjoy 1974, 1987a). The anthropomorphic head with horns near the top of the stone may either represent a shaman who engaged in rain-making rituals, or be another variation for representing the sun god (Mountjoy 1984, 1987b). Something such as an offer-tory bowl could have been placed on the flat top of this stone.

#### OTHER POSSIBLE WEST MEXICAN STELAE

Stones in some aspects similar to the 48 stelae reported here from Jalisco and Nayarit have been found at a few other sites in western and northwestern Mexico, or are present in some museum and private collections (Williams 1988). The northwestern limit of their distribution within Mesoamerica is found at Zape, Durango, about 75 km south of the Chihuahua border. The Zape stones were reported by J. Ramirez in 1604. An abstract of his report was published by Perez de Ribas (1645), in which it is said that on the *peñol* at Zape could be found idols which Ramirez attributed to the Mexicans, who were believed to have carried an idol with them in their wanderings. Hewett provides another account of these Zape idols:

In this region, not many miles from the present town of Zape, one encounters for the first time in southward bound exploration, stone monuments that begin to forecast the monumental remains of the Mexican plateau. These consist of small monoliths of from 1 to 4 feet in height, some anthropomorphic, some of phallic motive. As they are set in the valleys, or at least allowed to remain there by the present farmers who regard them with superstitious respect, one suspects a connection with the fructification and growth ceremonials. The Indians neither affirm nor deny this significance. (Hewett 1936:59)

Very crude stone figures, all less than 60 cm in height, have been found in the Callon Molino area of central Durango (Figure 1, Ganot and Peschard 1985), and Cabrero G. (1984) reported finding a vertical stone slab in the doorway of a rectangular house structure at the La Florida site near Valparaiso in southwestern Zacatecas (Figure 1). But the stones from these two locations do not appear very similar to the stelae found in the Tomatlan, Puerto Vallarta, and San Blas areas. There is also a report by Hrdlicka on the site of Banco de las Casas, Zacatecas (Figure 1), some 11 km south of Totoate, in which he mentioned finding "a number of oblong conical stones without markings, some of which stood upright in the ground, apparently as originally planted . . ." (Hrdlicka 1903:396).

From Tiristaran Michoacan, in the northeastern corner of the state (Figure 1), there is a report of about 100 stone statues with anthropomorphic features, 50 cm to 100 cm in height, which originally were planted in the ground around two circular altars. Associated pottery is reported to be Classic or Postclassic (Corona 1970).

For Jalisco, there is one uniquely shaped stela at the Museum of Guadalajara which was reported to Otto Schöndube to have been found on the north side of the Banderas valley near the town of San Juan de Abajo. This stela is of a bluish slatelike stone. It has a pointed base which widens to a maximum of 0.49 m at the central part, and the upper half has been pecked into the form of a heron. This stela measures 1.71 m high and has a triangular cross-section of 0.08 m maximum thickness.

Also, Schöndube (1973-1974) reported 17 objects of sculpted stone, all but one with anthropomorphic features, found in the extreme southern part of the state near Tuxpan (Figure 1). Most are quite small, none being over 70 cm high; one of them (Schöndube 1973-1974:Lámina 97,3) is quite similar to the statues found at Tiristaran Michoacan. Pares Arias (1963) reported on what he calls "quarry stone stelae" from the extreme south of Jalisco. However, the stones which he illustrated are small square stone blocks with carvings on one face, representing Tlaloc in one case and perhaps Quetzalcoatl Ehecatl in another. Pares' designation of these square blocks as stelae appears inappropriate.

In Nayarit, apart from the SB-1 stela the only other stelalike archaeological find of which I am aware is a feature on a ceramic temple model excavated from a grave at the Amapa site (Figure I; Meighan 1976:41-43; Plate 12). This model has a round temple with a roof ornament, and the temple sits on top of a two-stage stepped rectangular platform. A stairway extends from the base of the platform up to the front door of the temple. In front of the temple doorway, almost filling its width but only filling about a third of its height, is a square slab, painted white and lacking designs. Meighan (1976:42) suggested that

this feature may represent a stela, a screen, or an altar. The form of the piece seems to me very much like that of a rectangular stela, and it is reminiscent of the stela in front of the temple doorway at the Aztec site of Santa Cecilia, Mexico (Kelly 1982:74). Site context and obsidian hydration measurements suggest to Meighan that the temple model dates within the period A.D. 1200-1300.

South of Culiacan, Sinaloa (Figure 1), Ortiz de Zárate (1976:205) reported a stela-like stone with crude anthropomorphic features. Also in Sinaloa, between Mazatlan and Culiacan (Figure 1), Sauer and Brand (1932:28) reported finding sculptures of volcanic stone, about 60 cm to 90 cm high, which had been ground to a lozenge or cylindrical shape and then the facial features and limbs rudely outlined. Spirals and circles were found on the bodies of at least two of the idols. Sauer and Brand suggested that these stone figures were virtually identical to a stone figure Digué (1903:19; Plate 3) illustrated from Cocolan (Cocula) in the central highlands of Jalisco (Figure 1), and which Digué identified as a representation of a deity called Teopilzintli (*teotl* god, *pilzintli* infant) which was the principal deity of indigenous peoples in a large area of Jalisco and Nayarit.

This Teopilzintli "idol" is quite similar to several of the eight stone idols Lumholtz (1902 11:338-339) illustrated from the Tuxpan area of southern Jalisco (Figure 1), most of which measure close to but not higher than 50 cm. Teopilzintli was identified by Schöndube (1972:361) as a sun god, and Schöndube cited Tello (1891:24,104) as reporting that this god was a child who guided (Mexica) groups from Aztatlan; a god that did not require human sacrifices but which in one instance is represented as a warrior. This same god was mentioned by Arias de Sabedra in 1673 (Arias de Sabedra 1899:18-27; McCarty and Matson 1975) as a Cora deity who had his seat in the east, and that the rising of the Pyltzingtli sun on March 21, September 21, and December 21, awakened in turn the other three gods who held dominion over fall, winter, and spring, and the produce associated with each of these seasons. This account by Arias de Sabedra also clearly indicated the relation of the god Pyltzingtli to a calendar based on solar observations made along the horizon, some of the sighting points being marked by statues and forms of stone.

#### ETHNOHISTORIC DATA RELATING TO WEST MEXICAN STELAE

There are other early Spanish accounts of stone-idol worship in northwestern Mesoamerica that are relevant to the interpretation of stelae which can be attributed to the Late Postclassic phase. One of these accounts, published in 1754, mentions the worship of idols among Huichol-Cora people in the Sierra Madre Occidental area of Jalisco and Nayarit (Ortega 1944). Ortega mentioned three primary deities which were the most powerful and commonly worshipped. Two of these, "Father of the Living" and "Our Mother," were of white stone, the first of a single stone and the second consisting of two stones (Ortega 1944:19). The first idol, cited as the foremost deity, was believed to have been made by the sun, and the spirit of the sun was said to reside within its body. Ortega mentioned many other minor idols which were also worshipped, some of which he describes as small boulders or cobblestones. About these, Ortega related the following:

It would be impossible to calculate the exact number of this multitude of little idols, because there would hardly be a hill in almost half of this province that I have not inspected for one reason or another and on which I have not stumbled onto these infernal statuettes: some seem forgotten in a state of disrepair with uncleaned courtyards; many are well cared for, and the places in which they are worshipped are very clean. And although temples are not made for them, an enclosure has been made with rocks stuck into the ground, the idol being placed in the center, and taking care that the area within the circle be always swept clean. They would do the same thing in high nooks and crannies of the rivers, where they would place similar deities, in order to have this resource closer at hand when they wanted an abundant catch of fish. In a distance of barely eighteen leagues of only one of the many rivers which flow through these mountains there were fourteen of these idols, which today lie buried and completely forgotten. (Ortega 1944:21; my translation)

Other accounts which are relevant for the interpretation of the stelae come from descriptions of the Acaxee culture of Sinaloa and Durango which was centered about the headwaters of the Culiacan river at the time of the Spanish conquest (Spicer 1969). Beals' summary description of Acaxee culture included a lengthy section of their idol worship which was drawn from documents dated around A.D. 1600 (Beals 1933:22-27). He said their idols were often of stone and might be painted with features, carved, or both carved and painted. Frequently the Acaxee idols were in the form of persons, although some are mentioned in the form of birds, deer, rabbits, turtles, and snakes. According to Beals, some idols appear to represent a pantheon, although many were personal idols that could be inherited. At least one idol performed a divinatory function for a whole community, giving out information on cause of death, method for revenge, and success in warfare. Some of the Acaxee idols were connected with warfare, but others were related to agriculture, fishing, hunting, rain-making, and curing.

#### CONCLUSION

In the archaeological literature, the northwestern boundary of stela distribution is generally stated to be along the Guerrero-Michoacan border. However, this is actually the limit only of rather tall stelae that are well shaped (generally rectangular), and pecked or carved in such a fashion that the designs stand out in low relief. Southeast of this border such stone monuments, as well as many smaller and cruder stone monuments, some of which lack carved or pecked designs, are usually referred to as stelae in the archaeological literature. But northwest of the Guerrero-Michoacan border, the generally cruder and less well-shaped stone monuments, some of which have designs pecked into the stone, are referred to in the archaeological literature as idols or statues. It is argued here that even though they are generally more rustic and smaller than the stelae found in heartland Mesoamerica, many of these stone monuments from northwestern Mesoamerica should be called stelae.

The field evidence relating to stelae from sites in the Tomatlan, Jalisco, Puerto Vallarta, Jalisco, and San Blas, Nayarit, areas indicates that all of these west Mexican stelae date to the Postclassic, and those found so far probably all date to the Late phase of the Postclassic. This means that the practice of erect-



ing stelae in peripheral northwestern Mesoamerica was flourishing to some degree after the practice of stela erection was severely curtailed if not abandoned in many areas of the Mesoamerican heartland.

Insofar as some west Mexican stelae have pecked sun-symbol designs, and some may have been utilized for solar observations or calculations, they can be linked to the astronomical association of stela raising and inscribing in other parts of Mesoamerica, such as the Maya site of Copan (Aveni 1977). Also, the anthropomorphic designs of some west Mexican stelae appear to represent a god (apparently the sun), as is sometimes the case with stelae in the Mexican area of the Mesoamerican heartland which have carvings of deities such as the rain god Tlaloc. The association of cremated infant remains with the stelae at the PV-I site could be construed to lend some further support to the association of the west Mexican stelae with the sun god. At least in Tarascan society in Michoacan there was a link between the practice of cremation of their ruler the Cazontzi and the sun god Curicaueri (the maximum fire deity) who the Cazontzi represented on earth (Corona Núñez 1946).

In central Mesoamerica the presence and number of stelae appears to relate in direct proportion to the sociopolitical status of certain centers (Marcus 1973). This seems to be the case in regard to the stelae found in the Puerto Vallarta area at the PV-1 (Ixtapa) site, which appears to be the major Postclassic

center on the southern side of the Banderas valley. On the other hand, if the other three Puerto Vallarta area sites with stelae were ceremonial centers, they were definitely minor centers in comparison with Ixtapa, even though one of them had far more stelae.

In contrast, the stelae of the Tomatlan, Jalisco, and San Blas, Nayarit, areas are associated with what appear to be non-elite domestic remains. They are found in remote hamlet-sized settlements as well as in large villages. Their context in sites of either size in most cases appears to be non-temple and non-ceremonial centered. Also, there are no ethnohistorical data to suggest that by European contact times these west Mexican stone monuments served a commemorative or historical function, as they often did in Classic Maya culture.

As for the practice of stela erection, the west Mexican monuments are a component of a continuing process of mesoamericanization of the area during the Postclassic period. This process appears to have involved the transmission of foreign ceremonial practices in the early part of the Late Postclassic, which in some areas were reworked or adapted to the exigencies of native west Mexican societies in which considerations of status, power, and history were of less importance than idol worship, especially as it related to the water-giving sun god.

## SUMARIO

El proceso de la expansión de la cultura mesoamericana al occidente y noroeste de México ha sido un tema de especulación e investigación durante más de tres siglos. Aunque varios rasgos culturales mesoamericanos han sido señalados como parte de este proceso, la costumbre de erigir estelas no ha sido uno de estos rasgos. Investigaciones de campo llevadas a cabo por el autor en los estados de Jalisco y Nayarit durante los últimos 14 años han resultado en el hallazgo de 48 monumentos de piedra que aquí se proponen ser versiones rústicas

de las estelas, generalmente más sofisticadas, que están asociadas con las culturas de Mesoamérica central. Se describen estas estelas del occidente, y se discute su contexto, fecha, y posible función. Además, se describen otros monumentos similares de piedra de otros sitios en el occidente y noroeste de México, y se presentan algunos datos etnohistóricos pertinentes a su interpretación. Por último, se discute la costumbre de erigir estelas en relación al proceso de la mesoamericanización del occidente y noroeste de México.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This article is based on data gathered in the municipalities of Tomatlan, Jalisco, Puerto Vallarta, Jalisco, and San Blas, Nayarit, during field research supported by the Mexican Secretariat of Agriculture and Water Resources, a Fulbright grant (1030212) from the United States Government, a grant from the Wenner-Gren Foundation for Anthropological Research, and grants from the University of North Carolina-Greensboro Graduate Research Council. The fieldwork was conducted under permits granted by the Mexican Institute of Anthropology and History, and with the cooperation of the I.N.A.H. Regional Center in

Guadalajara. I especially want to acknowledge the aid of J. Charles Kelley and Otto Schöndube. J. Charles provided several research leads which proved fruitful. Otto provided access to and information on the San Juan de Abajo stela, and it was he who discovered the stela illustrated in Figure 5 while we were recording the PV-15 site. This article is a revised and expanded version of papers presented in 1985 at the Annual Meeting of the Society of American Archaeology in Denver and at the Round Table meeting of the Sociedad Mexicana de Antropología in Queretaro.

## REFERENCES

Arias de Sabedra, Antonio

1899 Información rendida por el P. Antonio Arias y Saavedra, acerca del estado de la sierra del Nayarit, en el siglo XVII. In *Nayarit: Colección de documentos inéditos, históricos y etnográficos, acerca de la sierra de ese nombre*, edited by Alberto Santoscoy, pp. 7-35. Guadalajara.

Armillas, Pedro

1964 Condiciones ambientales y movimientos de pueblos en la frontera septentrional de Mesoamérica. In *Homenaje a Fernando Mdrquez-Miranda*, pp. 62-82. Publicaciones del Seminario de Estudios Americanistas y Seminario de Antropología Americana, Universidades de Madrid y Sevilla, Madrid.

Aveni, Anthony F.

1977 Concepts of Positional Astronomy Employed in Ancient Mesoamerican Architecture. In *Native American Astronomy*, edited by A. Aveni, pp. 3-19. University of Texas Press, Austin.

Aveni, Anthony F., H. Hartung, and J.C. Kelley

1982 Alta Vista (Chalchihuites): Astronomical Implications of a Mesoamerican Ceremonial Outpost at the Tropic of Cancer. *American Antiquity* 47:316-335.

Batres, Leopold

1903 *Visita a los monumentos arqueológicos de "La Quemada," Zacatecas*. Viuda de Francisco Diaz de Lean, Mexico.

- Beals, Ralph L.  
1933 *The Acaxee: A Mountain Tribe of Durango and Sinaloa*. Ibero-Americana 6. University of California Press, Berkeley.  
Brand, Donald D.  
1939 Notes on the Geography and Archaeology of Zape, Durango. In *So Live the Works of Men*, edited by D. Brand and F.E. Harvey, pp. 75-105. University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque.
- Brockington, Donald L.  
1957 *Piedra Parada: A Comparative Study of a Site in Jamiltepec, Oaxaca*. M.A. thesis, Mexico City College, Mexico.
- Bullard, William R., Jr.  
1970 Topoxte, A Postclassic Site in Peten, Guatemala. In *Mono-graphs, and Papers in Maya Archaeology*, edited by W.R. Bullard, Papers of the Peabody Museum of American Archaeology and Ethnology, vol. 61. Harvard University, Cambridge, MA.
- Cabrero G., Maria Teresa  
1984 *Civilización en el norte de México*. Instituto de Investigaciones Antropológicas, Serie Antropológica, 103. Universidad Autónoma de México.
- Cervantes, Maria Antonieta  
1976 La estela de Alvarado. *Actas del XLI Congreso Internacional de Americanistas* 2:309-322.
- Chadwick, Robert  
1971 Archaeological Synthesis of Michoacan and Adjacent Regions. In *Handbook of Middle American Indians*, vol. 11, edited by G. Ekholm and I. Bernal, pp. 657-693. University of Texas Press, Austin.
- Corona Núñez, José  
1946 La religion de los tarascos. In *Anales del Museo Michoacano*, Número 4, Segunda Epoca, pp. 13-38. Morelia.
- Corona S., Eduardo  
1970 Hallazgo arqueológico en Tistarán. *LN.A.H. Boletín*, 42:31-33, México.
- Diguet, Leon  
1903 Contribution à l'ethnographie précolombienne du Mexique. Le Chimalhuacan et ses populations avant la conquête espagnole. *Journal Societe des Américanistes de Paris* 1-57.
- Diaz O., Clara  
1986 La presencia teotihuacana en las estelas de Tepecuacuilco. In *Arqueología y etnohistoria del estado de Guerrero*, pp. 203-208. Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia y Gobierno del Estado de Guerrero.
- Ekholm, Gordon F.  
1940 The Archaeology of Northwestern and Western Mexico. In *The Maya and Their Neighbors*, edited by C.L. Hay, R.L. Linton, S.K. Lothrop, H.L. Shapiro, and G. Vaillant, pp. 320-330. Applcton-Century, New York and London.  
1941 Tula and Northwestern Mexico. *Revista Mexicana de Estudios Antropológicos* 5:193-198.  
1942 Excavations at Guasave, Sinaloa, Mexico. *Anthropological Papers of the American Museum of Natural History* 38:23-139.  
Gamio, Lorenzo  
1963 Estela de Yaguila. *IN.A.H. Boletín* 12:11-13.
- Gamio, Manuel  
1910 Los monumentos arqueológicos de los inmediaciones de Chalchihuites, Zacatecas. *Anales del Museo Nacional de Mexico*. Ganot R., Jaime, and A. Peschard F.  
1985 *La cultura Aztatlán: Frontera del occidente y norte de mesoamérica en el postclásico*. Paper presented at the XIX Mesa Redonda of the Sociedad Mexicana de Antropología, Querétaro, Mexico.
- Grove, David C.  
1989 Chalcatzingo and Its Olmec Connection. In *Regional Perspectives on the Olmec*, edited by R. Sharer and D. Grove, pp. 122-147. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Grove, David C., and L.I. Paradis  
1971 An Olmec Stela from San Miguel Amuco, Guerrero. *American Antiquity* 36:95-102.
- Hammond, Norman  
1982 A Late Formative Period Stela in the Maya Lowlands. *American Antiquity* 47:396-403.
- Hewett, Edgar L.  
1936 *Ancient Life in Mexico and Central America*. Bobbs-Merrill, Indianapolis and New York.
- Hrdlicka, Alés  
1903 The Region of the Ancient "Chichimecs," with Notes on the Tepecanos and the Ruins of La Quemada, Mexico. *American Anthropologist* 5:385-440.
- Jorrín, Maria  
1974 Stone Monuments. In *The Oaxacan Coastal Project*, part 1, edited by D.L. Brockington, M. Jorrín, and J.R. Long. Vanderbilt University Publications in Anthropology 8, pp. 23-81. Vanderbilt University, Nashville.
- Kelley, J. Charles  
1974 Speculations on the Culture History of Northwestern Mesoamerica. In *The Archaeology of West Mexico*, edited by B. Bell, pp. 19-39. Sociedad de Estudios Avanzados del Occidente de México, Ajijic, Jalisco.
- Kelley, J. Charles, and E. Abbott  
1966 The Cultural Sequence on the North Central Frontier of Mesoamerica. *Actas y memorias, 36avo Congreso Internacional de Americanistas* 1:325-344.
- Kelley, J. Charles, and E.A. Kelley  
1976 Alta Vista: Outpost of Mesoamerican Empire on the Tropic of Cancer. *Las fronteras de Mesoamérica* 1:21-40. Sociedad Mexicana de Antropología, Tegucigalpa.
- Kelley, Isabel T.  
1941 The Relationship Between Tula and Sinaloa. *Revista Mexicana de Estudios Antropológicos* 5:199-207.  
1985 Some Gold and Silver Artifacts from Colima. In *The Archaeology of West and Northwest Mexico*, edited by M.S. Foster and P.C. Weigand, pp. 153-179. Westview Press, Boulder and London.
- Kelley, Isabel T., and B. Braniff de Torres  
1966 Una relación cerámica entre Occidente y la Mesa Central. *IN.A.H. Boletín* 23:26-27.
- Kelley, Joyce  
1982 *The Complete Visitor's Guide to Mesoamerican Ruins*. University of Oklahoma Press, Norman.
- Kirchhoff, Paul  
1943 Mesoamérica: sus límites geográficos, composición étnica y caracteres culturales. *Acta Americana* 1:92-107.  
1948 Etnografía antigua. In *El Occidente de Mexico*, pp. 134-136. Sociedad Mexicana de Antropología, México.
- Lister, Robert H.  
1949 *Excavations at Cojumatlan, Michoacan, Mexico*. University of New Mexico Publications in Anthropology 5. University of New Mexico, Albuquerque.  
1971 Archaeological Synthesis of Guerrero. In *Handbook of Middle American Indians*, vol. 11, edited by G. Ekholm and I. Bernal, pp. 619-631. University of Texas Press, Austin.
- Lowe, Gareth W.  
1989 The Heartland Olmec: Evolution of Material Culture. In *Regional Perspectives on the Olmec*, edited by R. Sharer and D. Grove, pp. 33-67. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Lumholtz, Carl  
1902 *Unknown Mexico: A Record of Five Years' Exploration among the Tribes of the Western Sierra Madre in the Tierra Caliente of Tepic and Jalisco; and among the Tarascans of Michoacan*, II. Rio Grande Press (1973 edition), Glorieta, New Mexico.
- McCarty, Kieran, and D. Matson  
1975 Franciscan Report on the Indians of Nayarit, 1673. *Ethnohistory* 22(3):193-221.
- Marcus, Joyce  
1973 Territorial Organization of the Lowland Classic Maya. *Science* 180:911-916.  
1976 *Emblem and State in the Classic Maya Lowlands*. Dumbarton Oaks, Washington, DC.
- Marquina, Ignacio  
1970 *Proyecto Cholula*. Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia, Número 19. Mexico.
- Martínez D., Guadalupe  
1986 Teopantecuanitlan. In *Arqueología y etnohistoria del estado de Guerrero*. Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia, Gobierno del Estado de Guerrero.
- Mason, J. Alden  
1937 Late Archaeological Sites in Durango, Mexico, from Chalchihuites to Zape. *Publications of the Philadelphia Anthropological Society* 1:127-146.

- Matos M., Eduardo, and I. Kelly  
1974 Una vasija que sugiere relaciones entre Teotihuacán y Colima. In *The Archaeology of West Mexico*, edited by B. Bell, pp. 202-205. Sociedad de Estudios Avanzados del Occidente de México, Ajijic, Jalisco.
- Meighan, Clement W.  
1976 *The Archaeology of Amapa, Nayarit*. Monumenta Archaeologica 2. The Institute of Archaeology, University of California, Los Angeles.
- Morley, Sylvanus G.  
1956 *The Ancient Maya*. Third edition, revised by G.W. Brainerd. Stanford University Press.
- Mountjoy, Joseph B.  
1970 *Prehispanic Culture History, and Cultural Contact on the Southern Coast of Nayarit, Mexico*. Ph.D. dissertation, Southern Illinois University. University Microfilms, Ann Arbor.  
1974 *Some Hypotheses Regarding the Petroglyphs of West Mexico*. Mesoamerican Studies 9. Research Records of the University Museum, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale.  
1982 *El proyecto Tomatlán de salvamento arqueológico: fondo etnohistórico y arqueológico, desarrollo del proyecto, estudios de la superficie*. I.N.A.H. Colección Científica: Arqueología 122. Mexico.  
1983 Investigaciones arqueológicas en la cuenca del río Tomatlán, Jalisco: 1975-1977. *Pantoc* 5:21-50. Universidad Autónoma de Guadalajara.  
1984 Significado de dos documentos del siglo XVII en la interpretación de petroglifos encontrados en la cuenca del río Tomatlán, Jalisco. *Investigaciones Recientes En El Area Maya* 4:487-494. Proceedings of the Mesa Redonda of the Sociedad Mexicana de Antropología, San Cristóbal de las Casas, Chiapas.  
1987a Antiquity, Interpretation, and Stylistic Evolution of Petroglyphs in West Mexico. *American Antiquity* 52:161-174.  
1987b *El proyecto Tomatlán de salvamento arqueológico: el arte rupestre*. I.N.A.H. Colección Científica: Arqueología 163. Mexico.  
1989 *Proyecto arqueológico Valle de Banderas: segunda temporada*. Report on file in the technical archives of the Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia, Mexico.  
1990 El desarrollo de la cultura Aztatlán visto desde su frontera sureste. In *Mesoamérica y norte de México: siglo IX-XII*, vol. 2, edited by Federica Sodi M., pp. 541-564. Museo Nacional de Antropología, Mexico.
- Muller, Florencia  
1966 La estela del Coracero, Guerrero. In *Summa antropológica en homenaje a Roberto J. Weitlander*, pp. 91-98. I.N.A.H., Mexico.  
Noguera, Eduardo  
1942 Exploraciones en El Opeño, Michoacán. *Proceedings of the 27avo Congreso Internacional de Americanistas* 1:574-586.  
Oliveros, Arturo  
1974 Nuevas exploraciones en El Opeño Michoacan. In *The Archaeology of West Mexico*, edited by B. Bell, pp. 182-201. Sociedad de Estudios Avanzados del Occidente de México. Ajijic, Jalisco.
- Ortega, José  
1944 *Apostólicos afanes de la compañía de Jesús en su provincia de México, libro Maravillosa reducción y conquista de la provincia de San Joseph del Gran Nayar, nuevo reino de Toledo*. Editorial Layac, Mexico.
- Ortiz de Zárate, Gonzálo  
1976 *Petroglifos de Sinaloa*. Fomento Cultural Banamex, Mexico.
- Osborne, Douglas  
1943 An Archaeological Reconnaissance in Southeastern Michoacan, Mexico. *American Antiquity* 9:59-73.
- Pares Arias, José  
1963 Esculturas y estelas liticas prehispánicas del sur del estado de Jalisco. *Eco, Revista del Instituto Jalisciense de Antropología e Historia* 16:3-4.
- Pérez de Ribas, Andrés  
1645 *Historia de los triunfos de nuestra santa fe entre las gentes las mks bárbaras y fieras del Nuevo Orbe*. Madrid.
- Pickering, Robert B.  
1974 A Preliminary Report on the Osteological Remains from Alta Vista, Zacatecas. In *The Archaeology of West Mexico*, edited by B. Bell, pp. 240-248. Sociedad de Estudios Avanzados del Occidente de México. Ajijic, Jalisco.
- 1985 Human Osteological Remains from Alta Vista, Zacatecas: An Analysis of the Isolated Bone. In *The Archaeology of West and Northwest Mexico*, edited by M.S. Foster and P.C. Weigand, pp. 289-325. Westview Press, Boulder and London.
- Porter, Muriel  
1956 Excavations at Chupicuaro, Guanajuato, Mexico. *Transactions of the American Philosophical Society* (new series) 46(5). Proskouriakoff, Tatiana  
1950 *A Study of Classic Maya Sculpture*. Carnegie Institution of Washington Publication 593. Washington, DC.  
*Random House Dictionary of the English Language*  
1966 Random House, New York.
- Sáenz, César A.  
1966a Exploraciones en El Ixtepete, Jalisco. *I.N.A.H. Boletín* 23:14-18.  
1966b Cabecitas y figurillas de barro del Ixtepete, Jalisco. *I.N.A.H. Boletín* 24:47-49.  
1966c Exploraciones en Xochicalco. *I.N.A.H. Boletín* 26: 24-34. Sauer, Carol O., and D.D. Brand  
1932 *Aztatlan: Prehistoric Mexican Frontier on the Pacific Coast*. Ibero-Americana 1. University of California Press, Berkeley. Schöndube B., Otto  
1972 La religión en el occidente de México. In *Religión en Mesoamérica*, XII Mesa Redonda de la Sociedad Mexicana de Antropología, pp. 357-363. Mexico.  
1973-1974 *Tamazula-Tuxpan-Zapotlán: Pueblos de la frontera septentrional de la antigua Colima*. Professional thesis, Escuela Nacional de Antropología e Historia, Mexico.  
1974 Deidades prehispánicas en el arca de Tamazula-Tuxpan Zapotlán en el estado de Jalisco. In *The Archaeology of West Mexico*, edited by B. Bell, pp. 168-181. Sociedad de Estudios Avanzados del Occidente de México, Ajijic, Jalisco.  
1980 Epoca prehispánica. In *Historia de Jalisco*, edited by José María Muria, pp. 111-258. Gobierno de Jalisco Secretaria General Unidad Editorial, Guadalajara.
- Spicer, Edward H.  
1969 Northwest Mexico: Introduction. In *Handbook of Middle American Indians*, vol. 8, edited by Evon G. Vogt, pp. 777-791. University of Texas Press, Austin.
- Tello, Antonio  
1891 *Crónica miscelánea en que se data de la conquista espiritual y temporal de la Santa Provincia de Xalisco en el Nuevo Reino de Galicia y Vizcaya y descubrimientos de Nuevo México*. Imprenta La República Literaria, Guadalajara.  
1968 *Crónica miscelánea de la Sancta Provincia de Xalisco, Libro* vol. 1. Instituto Jalisciense de Antropología e Historia, Guadalajara. Trombold, Charles D.  
1985 A Summary of the Archaeology in the La Quemada Region. In *The Archaeology of West and Northwest Mexico*, edited by M.S. Foster and P.C. Weigand, pp. 237-267. Westview Press, Boulder and London.
- Webster's Third New International Dictionary of the English Language* (unabridged)  
1966 G.C. Merriam, Springfield, MA.
- Weigand, Phil C.  
1974 The Ahualulco Site and the Shaft-Tomb Complex of the Etzatlán Area. In *The Archaeology of West Mexico*, edited by B. Bell, pp. 120-131. Sociedad de Estudios Avanzados del Occidente de México, Ajijic, Jalisco.  
1978 The Prehistory of the State of Zacatecas: An Interpretation, Part 1 and Part 2. *Anthropology* 2(1):67-87; 1(2)103-117.  
1985 Evidence for Complex Societies during the Western Mesoamerican Classic Period. In *The Archaeology of West and Northwest Mexico*, edited by M.S. Foster and P.C. Weigand, pp. 47-91. Westview Press, Boulder and London.
- Williams, Eduardo  
1988 *The Stone Sculpture of Ancient West Mexico: Analysis and Classification*. Ph.D. dissertation, University of London.
- von Winning, Hasso  
1979 Dos estelas en la Mixteca Baja del sur de Puebla. *Anales del Instituto de Investigaciones Estéticas* 13(4):13-22.

## APPENDIX

Stelae from the municipalities of Tomatlan, Jalisco, and San Blas, Nayarit

Site	Stela	Whole	Height	Width	Thickness	Form	Rock	Glyphs	Context	Phase (%)
Tom-8	1	yes	1.20 m	0.40 m	0.28 m	oblong, pointed, ▽-section	grano-diorite	yes	domestic area	L. Postclassic (68)
	2	yes	1.25 m	0.48 m	(?)	rect., pointed	grano-diorite	no	domestic area	L. Postclassic (68)
	3	yes	1.73 m	0.35 m	0.25 m	rect., pointed, O-section	grano-diorite	no	domestic area	L. Postclassic (68)
Tom-13	1	yes	1.50 m	0.35 m	(?)	rect., pointed, ▽-section	grano-diorite	no	domestic area	L. Postclassic (100)
	2	yes	(?)	(?)	(?)	rect., pointed, ▽-section	grano-diorite	no	domestic area	L. Postclassic (100)
Tom-31	1	yes	2.34 m	0.60 m	0.12 m	losenge, pointed, O-section	grano-diorite	no	domestic area	L. Postclassic (80)
	2	yes	2.10 m	0.52 m	0.19 m	rect., pointed, □-section	grano-diorite	no	domestic area	L. Postclassic (80)
	3	yes	1.85 m	0.41 m	0.09 m	rect., pointed, □-section	grano-diorite	no	domestic area	L. Postclassic (80)
	4	no	1.51 m	0.72 m	0.16 m	trapezoid, □-section	grano-diorite	yes	domestic area	L. Postclassic (80)
	5	yes	1.98 m	0.52 m	0.25 m	rect., pointed, □-section	grano-diorite	no	domestic area	L. Postclassic (80)
	6	no	(1.19 m)	0.86 m	0.12 m	rect., pointed, □-section	grano-diorite	no	domestic area	L. Postclassic (80)
	7	no	(1.40 m)	0.67 m	0.09 m	rect., □-section	grano-diorite	no	domestic area	L. Postclassic (80)
	8	no	(0.46 m)	0.59 m	0.12 m	rect., □-section	grano-diorite	yes	domestic area	L. Postclassic (80)
Tom-52	1	yes	1.25 m	0.44 m	0.10 m	rect., pointed, □-section	grano-diorite	no	top of hill	L. Postclassic (?)
Tom-56	1	yes	1.40 m	0.25 m	0.14 m	rect., pointed, □-section	diorite	no	edge domestic area	L. Postclassic (?)
	2	yes	1.00 m	0.22 m	0.16 m	oblong, pointed, O-section	grano-diorite	no	edge domestic area	L. Postclassic (?)
Tom-57	1	yes	1.10 m	0.21 m	0.10 m	cy lind., pointed, O-section	diorite	no	edge domestic area	L. Postclassic (?)
Tom-62	1	no	(0.83 m)	0.22 m	0.10 m	cy lind., pointed, O-section	grano-diorite	no	edge domestic area	L. Postclassic (?)
SB-1	1	yes	1.03 m	0.36 m	0.26 m	oblong, flat top, O-section	grano-diorite	yes	domestic area	L. Postclassic (96)

## Stelae from the municipality of Puerto Vallarta

Site	Stela	Whole	Height	Width	Thickness	Form	Rock	Glyphs	Context	Phase (%)
PV-1	1	no	(1.20 m)	0.40 m	0.26 m	rect., □-section	rhyolite	no	ceremonial ctr.	E. Postclassic (83)
	2	yes	1.12 m	0.35 m	0.21 m	rect., pointed, ▽-section	rhyolite	no	ceremonial ctr.	E. Postclassic (83)
	3	no	(0.52 m)	0.36 m	0.25 m	rect., pointed, □-section	granite	no	ceremonial ctr.	E. Postclassic (83)
	4	yes	0.96 m	0.36 m	0.24 m	rect., pointed, ▽-section	granite	no	ceremonial ctr.	E. Postclassic (83)
	5	yes	0.81 m	0.28 m	0.22 m	losenge, pointed, ○-section	diorite	no	ceremonial ctr.	E. Postclassic (83)
	6	yes	0.84 m	0.36 m	0.32 m	rect., □-section	granite	no	ceremonial ctr.	E. Postclassic (83)
PV-12	1	no	(1.10 m)	0.36 m	0.21 m	rect., pointed, □-section	granite	no	ceremonial ctr.	E. Postclassic (100)
	2	no	(0.67 m)	0.30 m	0.26 m	rect., pointed, ○-section	granite	no	ceremonial ctr.	E. Postclassic (100)
PV-15	1	yes	1.37 m	0.27 m	0.14 m	rect., pointed, □-section	granite	no	ceremonial ctr.	L. Postclassic (56)
PV-16	1	no	(0.90 m)	0.29 m	0.15 m	rect., ○-section	rhyolite	no	ceremonial ctr.	L. Postclassic (95)
	2	yes	1.42 m	0.28 m	0.23 m	rect., pointed, △-section	rhyolite	no	ceremonial ctr.	L. Postclassic (95)
	3	yes	1.12 m	0.34 m	0.18 m	rect., pointed, □-section	rhyolite	no	ceremonial ctr.	L. Postclassic (95)
	4	yes	0.82 m	0.24 m	0.13 m	rect., pointed, □-section	granite	no	ceremonial ctr.	L. Postclassic (95)
	5	yes	1.30 m	0.20 m	0.20 m	rect., pointed, △-section	granite	no	ceremonial ctr.	L. Postclassic (95)
	6	yes	0.79 m	0.23 m	0.12 m	rect., pointed, △-section	granite	no	ceremonial ctr.	L. Postclassic (95)
	7	yes	0.60 m	0.17 m	0.12 m	rect., pointed, ▽-section	rhyolite	no	ceremonial ctr.	L. Postclassic (95)
	8	yes	0.62 m	0.18 m	0.13 m	rect., pointed, □-section	granite	no	ceremonial ctr.	L. Postclassic (95)
	9	yes	0.65 m	0.25 m	0.16 m	oblong, pointed, △-section	rhyolite	no	ceremonial ctr.	L. Postclassic (95)
	10	no	(0.43 m)	0.19 m	0.14 m	rect., □-section	granite	no	ceremonial ctr.	L. Postclassic (95)
	11	yes	0.74 m	0.20 m	0.15 m	rect., □-section	rhyolite	no	ceremonial ctr.	L. Postclassic (95)
	12	yes	0.81 m	0.37 m	0.14 m	rect., pointed, □-section	rhyolite	no	ceremonial ctr.	L. Postclassic (95)
	13	no	(0.85 m)	0.35 m	0.12 m	rect., ▽-section	rhyolite	no	ceremonial ctr.	L. Postclassic (95)
	14	yes	0.73 m	0.16 m	0.10 m	rect., pointed, △-section	rhyolite	no	ceremonial ctr.	L. Postclassic (95)
	15	no	(0.76 m)	0.19 m	0.17 m	rect., □-section	rhyolite	no	ceremonial ctr.	L. Postclassic (95)
	16	yes	0.69 m	0.27 m	0.10 m	rect., □-section	granite	no	ceremonial ctr.	L. Postclassic (95)
	17	yes	0.61 m	0.23 m	0.14 m	rect., □-section	rhyolite	no	ceremonial ctr.	L. Postclassic (95)
	18	no	(0.64 m)	0.27 m	0.14 m	rect., □-section	rhyolite	no	ceremonial ctr.	L. Postclassic (95)
	19	yes	0.61 m	0.23 m	0.14 m	rect., pointed, □-section	rhyolite	no	ceremonial ctr.	L. Postclassic (95)
	20	yes	0.78 m	0.22 m	0.12 m	rect., pointed, □-section	granite	no	ceremonial ctr.	L. Postclassic (95)