Reactive oxygen species and SRO proteins as regulators of gene expression in Arabidopsis thaliana

Tiina Blomster

Faculty of Biological and Environmental Sciences

Viikki Doctoral Programme in Molecular Biosciences

University of Helsinki

Academic dissertation

To be presented for public examination with the permission of the Faculty of Biological and Environmental Sciences of the University of Helsinki in the auditorium 1041 at the Viikki Biocenter 2 (Viikinkaari 5), on March 9th 2012 at 12 o´clock noon.

Helsinki 2012

Supervisors

Professor Jaakko Kangasjärvi Department of Biosciences University of Helsinki, Finland

Docent Kirk Overmyer Department of Biosciences University of Helsinki, Finland

Reviewers

Professor Paula Elomaa Department of Agricultural Sciences University of Helsinki, Finland

Professor Hely Häggman Department of Biology University of Oulu, Finland

Opponent

Professor Frank Van Breusegem Department of Plant Systems Biology Ghent University, Belgium

Custos

Professor Ykä Helariutta Department of Biosciences University of Helsinki, Finland

ISSN 1799-7372 ISBN 978-952-10-7701-2 (paperback) ISBN 978-952-10-7702-9 (PDF), http://ethesis.helsinki.fi Unigrafia Helsinki 2012

"To infinity ... and beyond!"

Buzz Lightyear (from Toy Story)

Table of Contents

Original publications
Abbreviations
Abstract
1 Introduction
1.1 Reactive oxygen species (ROS): matters of life and death1
1.1.1 Aerobic metabolism produces ROS1
1.1.2 ROS production in response to stress2
1.1.3 ROS in plant growth, development and stomatal function4
1.1.4 ROS have unique and overlapping functions in stress signaling5
1.1.5 Programmed cell death and ROS7
1.1.5.1 Programmed cell death in plants7
1.1.5.2 Hypersensitive response7
1.1.5.3 Ozone is an abiotic inducer of defense responses9
1.2 Plant hormone signaling13
1.2.1 Ethylene
1.2.2 Salicylic acid15
1.2.3 Jasmonic acid16
1.2.4 Auxin
1.2.5 Gibberellin
1.2.6 Abscisic acid20
1.2.7 Brassinosteroid21
1.2.8 Cytokinin
1.3 RADICAL-INDUCED CELL DEATH 1 (RCD1): a tip of an ice berg?22
1.3.1 Mutation in RCD1 causes altered development and stress responses22
1.3.2 SRO protein family23
2 Aims of the study
3 Materials and methods

4 Results and Discussion	27
4.1 Redundancy within SRO gene family	27
4.1.1 Complementation of <i>rcd1</i>	27
4.1.2 Single and double mutants reveal in planta functions of SROs	28
4.1.3 SRO conservation within plant kingdom: why?	30
4.2 Apoplastic ROS and transcriptomics	31
4.2.1 Apoplastic ROS regulate thousands of stress-responsive transcripts	31
4.2.2 Apoplastic ROS alter auxin signaling	33
4.2.3 Stress-induced morphogenic response	36
4.2.4 Cell death and gene expression	38
4.2.4.1 Are <i>rcd1</i> stress phenotypes pre-determined by clean air gene	
expression?	38
4.2.4.2 Marker genes of PCD	39
5 Conclusions and future perspectives	44
6 Acknowledgements	46
7 References	48

Original publications

This thesis is based on the following original publications, which are referred to in the text by their Roman numerals (I-IV). The publications are reprinted with the kind permission from Blackwell Publishing (I), BioMed Central (II) and the American Society of Plant Biologists (III). Additional unpublished data is presented in the thesis.

I) Jaspers P, **Blomster T**, Brosché M, Salojärvi J, Ahlfors R, Vainonen JP, Reddy RA, Immink R, Angenent G, Turck F, Overmyer K, Kangasjärvi J (2009) Unequally redundant RCD1 and SRO1 mediate stress and developmental responses and interact with transcription factors. Plant J 60: 268-279

II) Jaspers P*, Overmyer K*, Wrzaczek M*, Vainonen JP, **Blomster T**, Salojärvi J, Reddy RA, Kangasjärvi J (2010) The RST and PARP-like domain containing SRO protein family: analysis of protein structure, function and conservation in land plants. BMC Genomics 11: 170

III) **Blomster T**, Salojärvi J, Sipari N, Brosché M, Ahlfors R, Keinänen M, Overmyer K, Kangasjärvi J (2011) Apoplastic reactive oxygen species transiently decrease auxin signaling and cause stressinduced morphogenic response in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol 157: 1866-1883

IV) **Blomster T***, Brosché M*, Salojärvi J, Sipari N, Lamminmäki A, Cui F, Narayanasamy S, Reddy RA, Keinänen M, Overmyer K, Kangasjärvi J. Transcriptomics and functional genomics of ROS-induced cell death regulation by *RADICAL-INDUCED CELL DEATH1*. Manuscript.

* Equal contribution

Author's contribution

I) TB isolated *rcd1-3*, *rcd1-4*, and *sro1-1* mutants and identified the *rcd1 sro1* plants. TB cloned the promoter reporter lines and initialized the complementation lines. TB performed the microarray hybridizations. This publication was part of PJ's doctoral thesis.

II) TB isolated the *sro5-2* mutant, carried out microarray hybridizations and designed qPCR primers. This publication was part of PJ's doctoral thesis.

III) TB performed plant experiments, RNA isolation, and microarray hybridizations. NS and TB collected samples for the microarrays, NS ground the samples, scanned the microarrays and analyzed hormone concentrations. KO and TB performed SIMR experiments. JS analyzed the microarray data and publicly available data with input from TB, MB and KO, and performed statistical analysis. MB, KO and TB designed and performed qPCR experiments. TB, MB, KO and JK wrote the paper.

IV) Microarray practical work was done as in III by TB and NS. TB, MB, AL, FC and RAR performed ion leakage experiments. JS and SN made statistical analysis and data clustering with input from MB and TB. TB, MB, KO and JK wrote the manuscript.

Abbreviations

¹ O ₂	singlet oxygen	PAMP	pathogen-associated	
ABA	abscisic acid		molecular pattern	
AFB	auxin signaling F-box protein	PARP	poly-ADP-ribose polymerase	
AOX	alternative oxidase	PCD	programmed cell death	
APX	ascorbate peroxidase	PQ	paraquat (methyl viologen)	
ARF	auxin response factor	PR	pathogenesis-related	
Aux/IAA	auxin/IAA	PS	photosystem	
BR	brassinosteroid	PTI	pathogen-triggered	
BTH	SA-analog benzothiadiazole		immunity	
	S-methylester	qPCR	quantitative real-time PCR	
CAT	catalase	RBOH	respiratory burst oxidase	
СК	cytokinin		homolog	
COI	coronatine insensitive	RCD	radical-induced cell death	
Col-0	Columbia-0 ecotype	ROS	reactive oxygen species	
ERF	ethylene-responsive element	RST	RCD1-SRO-TAF4 domain	
	binding factor	SA	salicylic acid	
ETI	effector-triggered immunity	SAUR	small auxin up-regulated	
flg22	flagellin peptide	SCF	SKP1-CULLIN-F-box	
GA	gibberellin	SIMR	stress-induced morphogenic	
GO	gene ontology		response	
H_2O_2	hydrogen peroxide	SKP	s-phase kinase associated	
HR	hypersensitive response		protein	
IAA	indole-3-acetic acid	SOD	superoxide dismutase	
ICS	isochorismate synthase	SRO	similar to rcd-one	
JA	jasmonic acid	TAF	TBP-associated factor	
MPK	mitogen-activated protein	ТВР	TATA-box binding protein	
	kinase	TF	transcription factor	
NO	nitric oxide	TIR	transport inhibitor response	
NPR	non-expressor of PR proteins	UPOX	upregulated by oxidative	
02 ^{•-}	superoxide		stress	
O ₃	ozone	UV	ultraviolet	
OH	hydroxyl radical			

Abstract

Life on earth is largely dependent on plants, which provide us energy and oxygen. Plants are sessile organisms adapted to their respective growth environments. However, these environmental conditions are rarely constant and changes in growth conditions require modifications in plant metabolism. Abiotic environmental factors may suddenly become limited or excessive, or a pathogen attack may cause biotic stress. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are by-products of normal aerobic metabolism and their production is enhanced by biotic and abiotic stresses. ROS serve as signaling molecules, which regulate expression of stress-responsive genes together with other signaling pathways in order to achieve appropriate responses to the suboptimal environment. Human activities also modify the environment for instance by increasing levels of air pollutant ozone (O_3) which is a ROS causing foliar damage in sensitive species and cultivars. Therefore, understanding the mechanisms governing plant stress tolerance is of increasing importance. Importantly for this work, O_3 is known to cause production of apoplastic ROS in plants similar to other stresses and was therefore used here as a tool to study stress signaling.

The Arabidopsis thaliana mutant radical-induced cell death1 (rcd1) harbors several stress phenotypes related to ROS signaling. In order to identify novel genes and signaling pathways regulating plant stress responses, RCD1 and its homologs were studied further. RCD1 belongs to a plant-specific SIMILAR TO RCD-ONE (SRO) gene family present in all land plants analyzed. The molecular function of SROs remains unknown as they have no poly(ADPribose) polymerase (PARP) activity and lack catalytic amino acids in the conserved PARP catalytic domain. However, SROs are able to interact with transcription factors via their Cterminal RST domain which suggests that they may regulate gene expression. In addition to altered rosette morphology, rcd1 has changes in gene expression in normal growth conditions which may partially be attributed to RCD1 protein-protein interactions. However, sro1 and sro5 plants are similar to wild-type Col-0 regarding their growth habitus and gene expression. A. thaliana paralogs RCD1 and SRO1 share unequal genetic redundancy: the rcd1 sro1 double mutant is stunted and SRO1 can partially complement rcd1. Transcriptomic analysis of apoplastic ROS-induced signaling triggered by O₃ treatment revealed altered expression of thousands of genes in a time-dependent manner. In rcd1, this response was exaggerated, which may explain the triggering of programmed cell death in O_3 -treated *rcd1*. Transcription factor WRKY70 was identified as a positive regulator of cell death, putatively acting through altered balance of salicylic acid and jasmonic acid signaling. A transient decrease in auxin signaling together with altered expression of auxin-responsive transcripts by apoplastic ROS was observed. Decreased auxin signaling did not affect the extent of cell death caused by acute O₃, but caused more severe morphological changes in chronically O₃treated plants. Altogether, these results suggest that auxin-ROS interaction modulates plant development under stress.

1 Introduction

1.1 Reactive oxygen species (ROS): matters of life and death

1.1.1 Aerobic metabolism produces ROS

Aerobic metabolism by definition uses oxygen (O_2) in several vital reactions. O_2 is the final electron acceptor in the mitochondrial electron transport chain of respiration releasing energy from carbohydrates to cells. In plants, O_2 is formed after hydrolysis of water (H₂O) in the chloroplasts, and the electrons are utilized in photosynthesis to capture the energy of light in biomolecules. However, these electron transport reactions (redox reactions) and energy transfers also give rise to reactive oxygen species (ROS). ROS are oxygen forms, which are strong oxidants in biological systems and can therefore cause oxidative damage to proteins, lipids and nucleic acids with harmful effects on cellular functions. ROS include for instance hydrogen peroxide H_2O_2 , superoxide O_2^{\bullet} , singlet oxygen 1O_2 and hydroxyl radical OH^{\bullet} (Fig. 1). These ROS differ in their chemical properties: for example H₂O₂ can diffuse across membranes, unlike O2⁻⁻ which is a charged molecule. Specific water channels, aquaporins, also assist the diffusion of H_2O_2 (Bienert et al., 2007). Different ROS may also convert into other ROS non-enzymatically or enzymatically, for instance superoxide dismutases (SODs) catalyze the formation of H_2O_2 from $O_2^{\bullet-}$ (Fig. 1). This type of conversion is also a detoxification process, because $O_2^{\bullet \bullet}$ is more reactive and thus more harmful than H_2O_2 . For the most reactive ROS, OH[•], there is no known enzymatic detoxification system so plants must simply avoid its formation from Haber-Weiss reaction (from ROS precursors H_2O_2 and O_2^{\bullet}) and from Fenton reaction requiring transition metal ions (Fe²⁺ and Cu²⁺). Therefore iron-binding ferritin proteins limit OH[•] formation and are considered as part of ROS defense. Other systems for ROS detoxification include low molecular weight antioxidants, such as ascorbate and glutathione.



Figure 1. Formation of ROS (in gray boxes) by energy transfer (marked with lightning) or redox reactions. Adapted after Apel and Hirt (2004).

Plant cells are divided into several compartments each carrying out their specific functions. Similarly, also the ROS forming reactions, the particular ROS produced and the detoxification pathways are characteristic for each subcellular compartment. Chloroplasts produce mostly ${}^{1}O_{2}$ and $O_{2}^{\bullet-}$ as metabolic side-products and mitochondria $O_{2}^{\bullet-}$. ${}^{1}O_{2}$ is quenched by α -tocopherol and carotenoids in the chloroplast, whereas both chloroplasts and mitochondria contain specific SODs for conversion of $O_{2}^{\bullet-}$ into $H_{2}O_{2}$. Mitochondrial, chloroplastic and also cytosolic $H_{2}O_{2}$ can be reduced into $H_{2}O$ by ascorbate peroxidases (APXs) utilizing ascorbate as the reductant. The recycling of oxidized ascorbate back to the reduced form, the Halliwell-Asada pathway, includes glutathione and several enzymes (monodehydroascorbate reductase, dehydroascorbate reductase, gluthatione reductase and reduced ferredoxin). Large quantities of $H_{2}O_{2}$ formed in peroxisomes during photorespiration and in fatty acid catabolism in glyoxysomes are reduced into $H_{2}O$ by catalases (CAT) at the respective subcellular locations. Taken together, normal plant metabolism produces several interconvertible ROS hazardous for life, which differ in their chemical properties, sites of production and their detoxification pathways.

1.1.2 ROS production in response to stress

The oxidative state of a cell is determined by the balance between ROS formation and detoxification. Disturbance in this balance can be caused by environmental factors increasing ROS formation thereby creating so called oxidative stress. The increased ROS production by environmental perturbations can be divided into two main mechanisms: metabolic accumulation and regulated burst accumulation (Apel and Hirt 2004; Foyer and Noctor 2009). Firstly, metabolic ROS accumulation may increase at the sites of electron transport in chloroplasts and mitochondria when these electron transport chains become overreduced and can no longer adequately accept electrons. For instance, high light stress inhibits function of photosystem II (PSII) proteins (a process called photoinhibition), causing energy from exited chlorophyll to be transferred to O_2 resulting in the increase of 1O_2 . To reduce photoinhibition, plants revert to alternative electron sinks such as photorespiration or the water-water cycle (Asada 1999). Photorespiration increases ROS in peroxisomes (Apel and Hirt 2004). The role for plant mitochondria in ROS production is estimated to be miniscule compared to chloroplasts and peroxisomes under normal and high light conditions (Foyer and Noctor 2003). In addition to the major role of photosynthetic light reactions as ROS sources, the presence of mitochondrial alternative oxidase enzyme complex (AOX) provides an optional, plant-specific electron sink in mitochondria without producing energy (Maxwell et al., 1999). The increase of ROS in chloroplast and mitochondria has been mainly attributed to abiotic stresses such as high light, cold, heat and mechanical stress with a concomitant increase in ROS detoxification processes (Apel and Hirt 2004).

Due to the intimate linkage of ROS and metabolism, ROS formation is an important cue for plants to adjust their metabolism according to the environmental change. The role of ROS as

signaling molecules and not only toxic by-products of aerobic metabolism has nowadays been widely accepted. The dual nature of ROS is also indicated by the capability of plant cells to actively produce ROS, which is the second mechanism by which ROS concentrations are regulated by environmental factors. The rapid production of large amounts of ROS, the so called oxidative burst, by enzymes localized to plasma membrane and cell walls was first discovered in response to biotic stress. Plasma membrane bound O_2^{\bullet} -generating NADPHoxidases are called RESPIRATORY BURST OXIDASE HOMOLOGS (RBOHs) according to the similarity with enzyme complexes in mammalian neutrophils (Torres et al., 2002; Suzuki et al., 2011). This demonstrates that the ROS signaling network has similarities between plants and animals. There is genetic evidence that RBOHD and RBOHF are the main O_2^{\bullet} producers in plant defense using the model species Arabidopsis thaliana (Torres et al., 2002) but also other sources of apoplastic ROS, such as peroxidases, may play an important role in some cases of plant-pathogen interactions (Bindschedler et al., 2006). In plants, cell wall bound peroxidases participating in lignin formation may act also as NADPH oxidases and produce O_2^{\bullet} and consequently H_2O_2 . Horse-radish peroxidase has been demonstrated also to catalyze formation of highly reactive OH^{\bullet} in the presence of $O_2^{\bullet-}$ and H_2O_2 (Chen and Schopfer 1999). Also xanthine and amine oxidases may cause ROS production during pathogen attack. The increase of extracellular ROS has been interpreted as an attempt to kill the invading pathogen similarly to the action of phagocytes in animal cells. Recently it was shown that NADPH-oxidase activity causes changes in the cellular pH, which drives the antipathogenic effect (Segal 2008). Similarly, alkalinization of apoplastic environment is cooccurring with RBOH activity in plants due to Ca^{2+} influx (Monshausen et al., 2009), which may lead to elevated peroxidase activity and H₂O₂ production in the apoplast (Bolwell et al., 2002).

Importance of endogenously produced ROS as signals promoting plant stress survival and fitness is reinforced by the simultaneous decrease in antioxidant defenses, which is not usually the case in abiotic stress responses. However, ROS production and accumulation in response to environmental pertubations is complex with spatial and also temporal variation. Recently RBOHD was shown to generate O_2^{\bullet} in response to high light, wounding, heat, cold and salt stress as well (Miller et al., 2009). Therefore, abiotic stresses induce ROS production also in the apoplast and not merely in the electron transport chains of the mitochondria or chloroplast. Plants defective in RBOH function exhibit reduced salt tolerance (Leshem et al., 2007; Kaye et al., 2011). In addition to apoplastic ROS, pathogens may cause also mitochondrial ROS production (Amirsadeghi et al., 2007). Plants impaired in mitochondrial complex II and mitochondrial ROS production have increased pathogen susceptibility and partially lack plant hormone salicylic acid (SA) responses (Gleason et al., 2011b). Nuclear ROS accumulation has been observed in tobacco treated with fungal elicitor protein cryptogein (Ashtamker et al., 2007) and in salt-treated Arabidopsis roots (Kaye et al., 2011), but no specific role for nuclear ROS in stress signaling has yet been demonstrated.

In Arabidopsis at least 289 genes are directly involved in the production or detoxification of ROS (Gechev et al., 2006) thereby establishing a basal network for ROS signaling. It is noteworthy that although chloroplast and mitochondria possess their own genomes, still the majority of their proteins are encoded in the nucleus. Retrograde signaling, the process by which organellar signals affect nuclear gene expression is yet largely unresolved. Because ROS-derived signals interact with other signaling molecules, such as lipid-derived messengers, plant hormones and nitric oxide (NO), the number of genes involved in the fine-tuning of ROS signaling is even larger.

1.1.3 ROS in plant growth, development and stomatal function

ROS are important signaling molecules mediating stress responses, but ROS production is also necessary for plant development. Interestingly, analysis regarding the evolutionary history of O_2^{\bullet} -generating NADPH oxidases within the plant kingdom revealed that the basal plants do have a sophisticated antioxidative capacity, but they lack the NADPH oxidases, which have arisen with increased plant size presumably for advanced signaling purposes (Mittler et al., 2011). ROS produced by RBOHD triggers systemic signaling across large distances (Miller et al., 2009), which is in line with this interpretation. The rbohd and rbohf plants are smaller than the respective wild type (Torres et al., 2002), which supports the importance of ROS production in also plant development and growth. RBOH-produced ROS in stomatal guard cells regulate plant gas exchange by promoting stomatal closure (Kwak et al., 2003; Joo et al., 2005a). ROS are produced by NADPH oxidases also in the elongating root hairs (Foreman et al., 2003), pollen tubes (Potocký et al., 2007) and maize (Zea mays) leaves (Rodríguez et al., 2007). Concomitantly, the halt of Z. mays leaf elongation caused by salt stress could be reversed by ROS application (Rodríguez et al., 2004). However, it has also been frequently reported that plants defective in the antioxidant defenses or under constitutive stress have reduced growth, which highlights the importance of well-controlled ROS concentrations. Stress-induced morphogenetic response (SIMR) is a term used to describe similar alterations in plant growth and architecture caused by different stresses. These features include increased number of lateral organs (roots and shoots), decreased cell divisions in the apical meristems and decreased cell elongation. At molecular level SIMR is not well understood, but it is likely to encompass interaction between ROS and plant hormone auxin (Potters et al., 2007). Several plant hormones regulate plant growth and development also via ROS-dependent signals. This provides a regulatory node between development and stress responses, which will be addressed in chapter 1.2.

1.1.4 ROS have unique and overlapping functions in stress signaling

Increased ROS levels are among the most rapidly responding markers of several stresses. How are these signals transmitted further and converted into cellular responses? Many aspects of ROS signaling are still unknown, starting from the ROS perception. Several mechanisms for sensing extracellular ROS have been proposed, including yet unidentified ROS-receptors on the plasma membrane. Lipid peroxidation products resulting from oxidative damage could also account for secondary messengers sensed by the plant. Furthermore, the general redox-status of the cell may regulate the activity of transcription factors (Wormuth et al., 2007). An example of plant-specific redox-sensitive transcriptional regulator is NON-EXPRESSOR OF PATHOGENESIS-RELATED PROTEINS1 (NPR1) (discussed in detail in chapter 1.2.2). ROS perception and signaling in plants may be a combination of all these mechanisms. Intracellular ROS signals may be transmitted from one part of the cell to another, for instance ROS accumulation in chloroplasts and mitochondria is regulating the expression of nucleus-encoded genes (Woodson and Chory 2008). Enhancement of vesicle trafficking has been established as a ROS response, which may allow ROS themselves to be transported within the cell as intracellular signaling molecules (Leshem et al., 2007; Kaye et al., 2011). In the algae *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, chloroplast-derived ${}^{1}O_{2}$ can diffuse to nucleus and therefore act as putative intracellular signaling molecule (Fischer et al., 2007).

The signaling role of ROS has been studied by addressing the ROS-regulated transcriptome. Changes in transcript abundance can be measured reliably and in a high-throughput manner with the microarray hybridization-method. Different ROS in different subcellular compartments have unique effects on gene expression, but a subset of genes is also similarly regulated by different ROS (Gadjev et al., 2006; Suzuki et al., 2011). A fruitful approach in modulating ROS concentrations in specific subcellular compartments has been with the engineering of the antioxidative enzymes. High light induces abundant changes in gene expression, and the role of photorespiratory H₂O₂ was addressed with peroxisomal catalase-deficient Arabidopsis (Vandenabeele et al, 2004). Lack of cytosolic ASCORBATE PEROXIDASE1 (APX1) increases H_2O_2 in the cytosol, but also has a detrimental effect on the chloroplast redox status (Davletova et al., 2005a). Paraquat (PQ, also known as methyl viologen) is a herbicide, which causes chloroplastic O_2^{\bullet} (and subsequently H_2O_2) production by accepting electrons from PSI. Plants overexpressing thylakoid-specific APX (tAPX) are tolerant to oxidative stress caused by PQ (Murgia et al., 2004) and have reduced pathogen growth (Yao and Greenberg 2006). In contrast, overexpression of tAPX enhanced the chloroplastic ¹O₂ responses including electrolyte leakage and gene expression (Laloi et al., 2007), indicating that specific ROS even within the same subcellular compartment may antagonize one another.

The role of chloroplastic ${}^{1}O_{2}$ as signaling molecule has been studied with the conditional *fluorescent* (*flu*) mutant. In darkness, the *flu* mutant accumulates protochlorophyllide, which is degraded after dark-light switch thus producing ${}^{1}O_{2}$ within chloroplast. This leads to rapid

lipid oxidation, changes in gene expression and growth cessation (op den Camp et al., 2003). Studies with *flu* mutant and high light treatment of wild type plants share largely overlapping gene expression, which is expected due to the high amount of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ (instead of $H_{2}O_{2}$ or O_{2}^{\bullet}) produced under both conditions (González-Pérez et al., 2011). Furthermore, gene expression in the *flu* mutant after ${}^{1}O_{2}$ induction differs from the changes in gene expression caused by PQ. This reinforces the unique signaling properties of different ROS even within the same subcellular compartment (op den Camp et al., 2003). However, because PQ needs to be externally applied it is postulated that a longer response time is needed compared tofor instance for the dark-light shift response of the *flu* mutant (Gadjev et al., 2006).

Interestingly, high light-induced transcriptional changes are similar to *abscisic acid deficient1* (*aba1*) and *more axillary branching4* (*max4*) mutants lacking carotenoids that are required for dissipation of thermal energy and ROS detoxification (González-Pérez et al., 2011). Because *aba1* and *max4* are defective in the biosynthesis of plant hormones abscisic acid (ABA) and strigolactone, respectively, this result provides a link between ${}^{1}O_{2}$ and hormone signaling. Recently another research group showed that the lack of carotenoids lutein and zeaxanthin causes selective increase in ${}^{1}O_{2}$ under high light stress, but no significant overlap with *flu* gene expression was detected (Alboresi et al., 2011). Shao et al. (2007) presented a hypothesis that the differing location of ${}^{1}O_{2}$ production (within the chloroplast) in *flu* and carotenoid-deficient plants (peripherally at thylakoid membranes or within PSII reaction centers, respectively) could contribute to the non-overlapping transcriptional responses.

As discussed previously, the stress-induced increases in ROS are complex. Gadjev et al. (2006) studied the overlap between ROS-triggered and stress-induced gene expression. Quite unexpectedly transcripts specific to ¹O₂ were the most abundant group of ROSresponsive genes induced by abiotic stresses. Clustering of transcripts responsive to biotic, abiotic and chemical stresses identified more than hundred universal stress genes, such as UPREGULATED BY OXIDATIVE STRESS1 (UPOX1) and SIMILAR TO RCD-ONE5 (SRO5) (Ma and Bohnert 2007). Ultimately, different ROS might regulate the same transcription factor, but by modification of different redox-sensitive cysteine groups of protein. H_2O_2 and 1O_2 induce the HSP70A::luciferase reporter construct via distinct promoter elements in the algae C. reinhardtii (Shao et al., 2007). The same approach in Arabidopsis could be extremely informative when used to dissect the temporal role of individual ROS in stress responses. It has been reported that the ascorbate peroxidase (apx) and catalase (cat) double mutant has increased stress tolerance compared to the respective single mutants (Rizhsky et al., 2002). Later a constitutively active DNA damage repair mechanism protecting the DNA from oxidative damage in apx cat plants was discovered (Vanderauwera et al., 2011). Therefore, perturbations of the ROS signaling network may result in enhanced sensitivity to another type of ROS, and either to increased or decreased stress tolerance.

1.1.5 Programmed cell death and ROS

1.1.5.1 Programmed cell death in plants

For eukaryotes, the controlled disposal of certain cells during growth and development is important for the benefit of the whole organism. Programmed cell death (PCD) is defined by a series of genetically encoded events leading to the controlled disposal of the protoplast content. PCD comes in many forms during plant development: in the formation of xylem vessels, flower and pollen development, aerenchyma formation in response to flooding, senescing leaves turning yellow, shaping of leaf margins or the break-down of grain endosperm to nourish the germinating seedling (Greenberg 1996; van Doorn and Woltering 2005; Gunawardena 2008). PCD can be viewed as a series of time-dependent events: first, signaling leads to the cellular commitment to PCD followed by the loading of vacuole with signal-specific enzymes (proteases, cellulases, chitinases, phytoalexins and/or nucleases). Thereafter, PCD takes place due to Ca²⁺ flux and vacuole collapse, and the cell remnants are further processed according to the developmental fate of the cell (Jones 2001). In addition to genetically encoded developmental programs, also abiotic and biotic stresses induce PCD (Love et al., 2008). To dissect PCD from external, irreversible injury causing passive cell death, hallmarks of PCD such as chromatin condensation, fragmentation of nuclear DNA into ladders, nuclear shrinkage, ATP depletion and vesiculation of cytosol have been extensively studied (Jabs 1999; Jones 2001; Overmyer et al., 2005; van Doorn et al., 2011). According to recent view, plant PCD may be divided into necrotic and vacuolar cell death both with distinct features, which may be partially overlapping in several known cases of PCD (van Doorn et al., 2011). It has been concluded that plant PCD does not fulfill the criteria for the apoptotic cellular suicide pathway conserved in animal cells, because formation of apoptotic bodies engulfed by surrounding cells is hindered by the plant cell walls. However, several commonalities between PCD in plants and apoptosis exist, such as ROS signaling (Jabs 1999). Therefore, ROS are instrumental for both plant life and death. Due to the genetic programming of plant PCD, so called lesion mimic mutants with either spontaneous run-away cell death or conditional cell death induced for instance by light (long day) or SA have been isolated to elucidate signaling pathways resulting in PCD (Lorrain et al., 2003).

1.1.5.2 Hypersensitive response

Hypersensitive response (HR) includes induction of local and systemic defense responses to pathogens and a form of rapid plant PCD. HR cell death encompasses features of both vacuolar and necrotic PCD (van Doorn et al., 2011). For the HR cell death to occur, plants have to first recognize the pathogen. Pathogen presence results in conserved pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs), which may be for instance flagellin, harpin, glucan, chitosan, lipopolysaccharides or peptidoglycanes depending on the pathogen in question

(Torres 2010). PAMPs are recognized by the plant with pattern recognition receptors on the cell surface or in the cytoplasm leading to PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI). However, pathogenic effector molecules, so called virulence factors, may disturb PTI, which allows the disease to progress. In this compatible interaction, disease but no HR cell death occurs. In an incompatible plant-pathogen interaction the attacker's effector molecules are avirulent, they are identified by the plant and counteracted by *R* (*Resistance*)-genes. This line of defense is called effector-triggered immunity (ETI), which typically includes HR cell death. This interaction halts the spread of the disease; therefore, the plant and pathogen are incompatible. Clearly an elegant molecular warfare takes place between the pathogen and the plant during attempt to infect and defend, respectively (Jones and Dangl 2006). DAMPs (damage-associated molecular patterns), such as oligogalacturonides derived from damaged plant cell walls, may induce similar responses as PAMPs (Hématy et al., 2009).

ROS have a prominent role in the establishment of HR resulting in both local and systemic defense. Local production of $O_2^{\bullet\bullet}$ in response to avirulent pathogen was first reported in *Phytophtora infestans* infected potato tubers, whereas a virulent race of the same pathogen did not elicit ROS production (Doke 1985). Since then, it has been shown that ROS production in incompatible plant-pathogen interactions is biphasic and consists of an early and late apoplastic oxidative bursts, whereas the early apoplastic ROS burst is detected in both compatible and incompatible pathogen responses (Baker and Orlandi 1995; Lamb and Dixon 1997). Apoplastic ROS are produced by NADPH oxidases and peroxidases, which is followed by defense gene activation, cell wall fortification and, in incompatible plant-pathogen encounters, HR cell death (Torres et al., 2006; Torres 2010).

Both PTI (with PAMPS) and ETI (with effectors) induce ROS production (Torres 2010). The ETI reaction is generally considered stronger than PTI, and HR cell death is also more characteristic to ETI (Jones and Dangl 2006). However, flagellin (a PAMP) is able to trigger HR cell death in Arabidopsis (Naito et al., 2008), and also in other species such as rice, tomato and tobacco (Taguchi et al., 2003). Lipid signals from the chloroplast have been shown to activate NADPH oxidases and increase ROS production and HR cell death in response to avirulent bacteria Pseudomonas challenge (Yaeno et al., 2004). PCD may be triggered by H_2O_2 , $O_2^{\bullet-}$ and 1O_2 (Gechev et al., 2006). Levine et al. (1994) established that H₂O₂ is sufficient and required for PCD. Decreased antioxidative capaxity has been shown to increase high-light induced active cell death similar to hypersensitive cell death in catalasedeficient tobacco (Dat et al., 2003) and Arabidopsis (Vandenabeele et al., 2004). However, cell death in the lesion mimic mutant lesions simulating disease resistance1 (lsd1) can be initiated with O2^{•-} but not H2O2 (Jabs et al., 1996). RBOHD is not necessary for pathogeninduced cell death, but it limits the spread of the HR cell death (Torres et al., 2005). Altogether, the roles of ROS in hypersensitive cell death and the importance of HR in the establishment of successful defense may depend on the plant species and pathogen in question.

1.1.5.3 Ozone is an abiotic inducer of defense responses

Ozone (O₃) is an air pollutant with increasing concentrations in the troposphere due to industrialization (Sitch et al., 2007). O₃ is also a ROS which is harmful to plant and animal life. O₃ enters the plants via stomata, which are central in regulation of O₃ entry and quantity of oxidative stress caused (Vahisalu et al., 2008; Brosché et al., 2010). Once inside the foliar tissue, O₃ reacts with plant cell walls, apoplastic fluid and plasma membranes degrading rapidly into H₂O₂, O₂[•] and OH[•] (Heath 1994; Rao et al., 2000a). Consequently, concentration of O₃ inside the leaf even during O₃ treatment is close to zero (Laisk et al., 1989). Apoplastic ascorbate has been regarded as the first defense against O₃ (Conklin and Barth 2004; Baier et al., 2005). Reduced ascorbate levels resulted in O₃-sensitivity in *vitamin c defective1 (vtc1)* Arabidopsis mutant (Conklin et al., 1996; Conklin et al., 2000) and the cytosolic dehydroascorbate reductase mutant with significantly decreased apoplastic ascorbate and O₃ -sensitive (Yoshida et al., 2006). However, reaction between ascorbate and O₃ may also yield ¹O₂, and therefore add yet another ROS to the oxidative load generated by O₃ (Kanofsky and Sima 1995; Sandermann 2008).

Apoplastic ROS formed as degradation products of O3 may cause some damage within the tissues, but more importantly, they activate signaling events such as Ca²⁺ influx into the cytosol (Clayton et al., 1999; Evans et al., 2005) and endogenous production of ROS by plant cells (Rao and Davis 1999; Pellinen et al., 1999; Overmyer et al., 2000; Wohlgemuth et al., 2002; Mahalingam et al., 2006). Controlled O_3 treatments have indicated that endogenous ROS production is biphasic in O₃-sensitive species and Arabidopsis mutants, similar to incompatible plant-pathogen interactions, whereas only the early ROS production peak is present in O₃-tolerant plants (Schraudner et al., 1998; Joo et al., 2005a). The first ROS peak originates from stomatal guard cell chloroplasts and NADPH oxidases, which together trigger ROS production in adjacent epidermal cells (Joo et al., 2005a). Within minutes after the start of the O₃ treatment ROS production in guard cell chloroplasts coincides with rapid transient stomatal closure, which is dependent on the activation of guard-cell specific SLOW ANION CHANNEL-ASSOCIATED1 (SLAC1) anion channel (Vahisalu et al., 2008; Vahisalu et al., 2010). Peroxidases and oxidases localized at the cell wall may also be involved in the early endogenous ROS production (Pellinen et al., 1999). The later endogenous ROS burst is NADPH-oxidase dependent (Joo et al., 2005a). Intracellular ROS accumulation in cytoplasm, mitochondria and peroxisomes has also been observed after O_3 -treatment in birch (Betula pendula)(Pellinen et al., 1999).

In addition to ROS, O_3 induces production of NO (Mahalingam et al., 2006; Ederli et al., 2006; Ahlfors et al., 2009), which is known to regulate both plant development and stress responses (reviewed in Moreau et al., 2010). Plant hormones ethylene, jasmonic acid (JA), SA and ABA are produced in O_3 -treated plants in a time-dependent manner (discussed in detail later in chapter 1.2.). Altogether, although the mechanisms by which O_3 -derived apoplastic ROS are initially perceived are not completely characterized, they are known to activate a complex signaling network composed of early and late events (summarized in Fig. 2).



Figure 2. Summary of the O_3 entry, degradation, putative perception mechanisms and signaling events regulating gene expression and downstream responses. 1) calcium channels, 2) ascorbate oxidation and transport 3) direct diffusion of H_2O_2 across the plasma membrane 4) lipid peroxidation and 5) putative transmembrane ROS receptor. Asc, ascorbate; DHA, dehydroascorbate; LOOH, lipid hydroperoxide; TF, transcription factor; ET, ethylene, SA; salicylic acid, JA, jasmonic acid, ABA, abscisic acid, GSSG and GSH, oxidized and reduced glutathione, respectively.

The overlap between molecular responses caused by O_3 , pathogens and other stresses has been noticed almost 20 years ago (Kangasjärvi et al., 1994). In Arabidopsis, O₃ was shown to increase transcripts encoding antioxidative and defense-related proteins (SOD, PHENYLALANINE AMMONIA LYASE (PAL), GLUTATHIONE S-TRANSFERASE (GST), peroxidase and PR- proteins) (Sharma and Davis 1994; Sharma et al., 1996). Recently it was shown that O₃-derived changes in the expression of cysteine-rich receptor like kinases are the more similar to pathogen treatments than to for instance high light stress (Wrzaczek et al., 2010). Furthermore, O₃-induced PCD is largely similar to cell death occurring in HR, and this has allowed the use of O_3 as a noninvasive method for studying the signaling leading to programmed cell death (Rao et al., 2000a; Kangasjärvi et al., 2005). In comparison to other ROS sources and treatments causing ROS production, O₃ causes large-scale changes in gene expression in Arabidopsis (Gadjev et al., 2006). Number of O₃-responsive genes is dependent on the O_3 concentration, duration of O_3 exposure and experimental methods including the hybridization platform used to analyze the transcript abundance (summarized in Table I). In general, acute O₃-exposure induces genes mostly related to pathogen defense and cell death, whereas chronic O_3 mostly represses gene expression and may cause premature senescence.

Table I. Studies analyzing O₃-responsive gene expression using either suppression subtractive hybridization (SSH) or array hybridization techniques. NA, not available in the publication; * experiment information in the databases varies (also 1h 200 ppb O₃ treatment has been reported).

ase	5
tab	000
Da	à

Reference	Hybridization platform	Plant material	Plant age	O ₃ treatment	Time points	O ₃ -responsive genes	number
Mahalingam et al., 2003	cDNA macroarray 1K	Col-0	4 weeks	350 ppb 1h	1h	238	NA
Mahalingam et al., 2003	SSH stress library	Col-0	4 weeks	350 ppb 6h	1, 3, 6, 9, 12, 24h	257	NA
Mahalingam et al., 2003	SSH stress library	Col-0	3-4 weeks	150 ppb 6h for 6 days	2, 4, 6 days	69	NA
Tamaoki et al., 2003	cDNA macroarray 12K	Col-0	2 weeks	200 ppb 12h	12 h	205	NA
Ludwikow et al., 2004	Affymetrix 22K (ATH1)	Col-0	4 weeks	350 ppb 6h	3h, 6h	2385	NA
Miyazaki et al., 2004	Oligoarray 26K (Qiagen)	Col-0 ?	Ş	1.2 x ambient (60-90 ppb)	8-12 days	635	NA
Tuominen et al., 2004	cDNA array (126 oligos)	Col-0	3 weeks	250 ppb 6h	2h, 8h	NA (84 according to Table S1)	NA
Li et al., 2005	Oligoarray 26 K	Col-0, WS and Cvi-0	ę	1.2 x ambient (60-90 ppb)	8-12 days	630 (Col-0)	NA
Mahalingam et al., 2005	cDNA macroarray (1391 oligos)	Col-0	4 weeks	350 ppb 6h	3, 6, 9, 12h	200 (max. 533)	NA
D'Haese et al., 2006	Oligoarray 21,5 K (Agilent 2)	Col-0	3 weeks	150 ppb 8h for 2 days	2 days	582	NA
							NASCARRAYS-26 (also GSE5722,
Gadjev et al., 2006	Affymetrix 22K (ATH1)	Col-0	2 weeks	500 ppb 6h	6h	approximately 2250	E-MEXP-342*)
Mahalingam et al., 2006	Oligoarray 26K	Ws-0	4 weeks	300 ppb, 6h	1, 4, 8, 12 and 24h	330 (max. 371)	NA
Tosti et al., 2006	Affymetrix 22K (ATH1)	Col-0	4 weeks	300 ppb 6h	3h, 12h	569 (max. 2601)	NA
Ludwików et al 2009	Affvmetrix 22K (ATH1)	Col-0 and <i>abi1td</i>	4 weeks	350 ppb for 9 h	3h. 6h	NA (for Col-0)	E-MEXP-1863

HR-like cell death caused by O_3 treatment has been shown to be positively regulated by plant hormones ethylene and SA, whereas JA reduces O₃-triggered cell death lesions (Kangasjärvi et al., 2005). Reports of increased O₃ sensitivity due to a lack of mitochondrial ALTERNATIVE OXIDASE1a (AOX1a) in tobacco suggest that mitochondrial ROS are important for O₃-induced cell death (Pasqualini et al., 2007). Cyclic nucleotide-gated ion (Ca²⁺) channel lacking from *defense no death1* (*dnd1*) is required for O₃-induced cell death and signaling (Overmyer et al., 2005; Wrzaczek et al., 2010). Inhibitors of proteases, kinases, transcription and translation blocked O3-induded cell death, which highlights the role of active signaling and gene expression in PCD regulation (Overmyer et al., 2005). Anion channels (anion efflux), Ca²⁺ uptake and ROS were positive regulators of O₃-induced PCD in cultured Arabidopsis suspension cells and increased levels of VACUOLAR PROCESSING ENZYMEy (VPEy, At4g32940) transcript and protein were observed (Kadono et al., 2010). VPEs have caspase-like protease activity and may be directly involved in PCD execution (Hara-Nishimura and Hatsugai 2011). Altogether, plant hormones, Ca²⁺, ROS and active signaling events including transcription have been shown to be required for O₃-induced PCD. However, in planta O₃ may induce additionally also direct necrotic damage or chlorosis, which may coexist with HR-like damage (Pell et al., 1997; Overmyer et al., 2008). Therefore careful assessment of morphological markers is required to determine the type of cell death in O₃-treated plants (Overmyer et al., 2005). HR-related cell death is perhaps the best studied form of PCD in plants, and therefore many genes involved in the regulation of PCD have been identified from plants altered in pathogen-triggered PCD. Using O₃ in the study of for novel PCD -associated genes offers the advantage of screening large mutant populations with easily adjustable amount of stress applied without dependence of particular pathogen.

1.2 Plant hormone signaling

By definition, plant hormones are naturally occurring, organic substances which influence physiological processes at low concentrations. These processes entail all aspects of plant growth, development and stress responses. Plant hormones may directly affect the tissues in which they are synthesized, but also hormone gradients regulating plant development in different parts are formed by hormone transport, for instance from root to shoot (cytokinin, CK) or shoot to root (auxin). The advance of molecular tools in plant biology, especially with the model plant Arabidopsis, has significantly increased our understanding of plant hormone signaling within the last decade. Importantly, receptors for all major plant hormones apart from SA have been identified. The main mode of action for plant hormones is signaling via receptor binding, which results in changes in gene expression and eventually in adjustments in plant metabolism. Molecular studies on hormone signaling have been possible prior to detailed knowledge on the receptors by analysis of hormone-regulated transcripts. Individual hormones work in a complex web of crosstalk of antagonistic and synergistic effects between other hormones and signaling molecules, such as secondary messengers ROS or NO. Hormonal interactions may be classified into three groups: i) direct crosstalk i.e. targeting the same gene or protein, ii) indirect crosstalk at the level of perception, biosynthesis, transport or degradation and iii) co-regulation of the same process via several input pathways determining the output response (Chandler 2009). Comparative analysis of Arabidopsis seedling transcriptome after treatments with seven individual hormones regulating altogether 4666 genes revealed that a low amount of transcripts is regulated by more than one hormone. Instead, the transcripts responsive to different hormones were suggested to overlap at the level of biological processes (Nemhauser et al., 2006). Furthermore, the number of transcripts regulated by each phytohormone varied between 125 (gibberellic acid) and 2936 (ABA) (Nemhauser et al., 2006).

In the next chapters, the hormonal signaling pathways regulating gene expression are introduced together with their role in plant stress responses and development as well as selected interactions with other signaling pathways.

1.2.1 Ethylene

The gaseous plant hormone ethylene, a simple hydrocarbon, regulates many aspects of the plant life such as fruit ripening, leaf abscission, seed dormancy and senescence (Davies 2004). A well-studied ethylene-mediated growth response is the so called triple response with characteristic stunted dark-grown seedlings with exaggerated apical hooks and hypocotyl swelling (Neljubow 1901). Increased ethylene production is a rapid response to numerous biotic and abiotic stresses therefore creating the concept of "stress ethylene" (Yang and Hoffman 1984). Ethylene is produced from the methionine (Yang) cycle intermediate S-adenosyl methionine (SAM) in two consecutive reactions catalyzed by 1aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) synthases (ACSs) and ACC oxidases (ACOs), respectively (Yang and Hoffman 1984). The ACS activity has been estimated to be the ratelimiting step of ethylene biosynthesis (Liu and Zhang 2004). Phosphorylation by MITOGEN-ACTIVATED PROTEIN KINASE6 (MPK6) stabilizes the ACS2 and ACS6 proteins and leads to increased ethylene biosynthesis (Liu and Zhang 2004; Joo et al., 2008). MPK6 and MPK3 are themselves rapidly activated by phosphorylation after apoplastic ROS treatment onset independently from ethylene, JA or SA (Ahlfors et al., 2004b), which suggests that ethylene biosynthesis represents a node between hormone and ROS signaling. Increased ACS6 expression is a marker for O_3 -induced gene expression (Vahala et al., 1998; Ahlfors et al., 2009) and also ACS2 transcript levels increase in O_3 -treated Arabidopsis (Overmyer et al., 2005). ACC oxidases are induced by O_3 in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) (Moeder et al., 2002).

Ethylene is perceived by a family of five two-component histidine kinase receptors residing in the endoplasmic reticulum, which act as negative regulators of ethylene signaling (Hua and Meyerowitz 1998). In the absence of ethylene they are activating a negative regulator of ethylene signaling, Raf-like kinase CONSTITUTIVE TRIPLE RESPONSE1 (CTR1) (Huang et al., 2003). CTR1 further inactivates downstream positive ethylene signaling component ETHYLENE INSENSITIVE2 (EIN2), which is a protein of unknown biochemical function and upstream of EIN3 and EIN3-like (EIL) transcription factors. Binding of ethylene inactivates the ethylene receptors hence inactivating CTR1, activating EIN2, EIN3 and EILs and allowing ethylene-mediated gene expression. The primary targets of EIN3 and EILs are transcription factors ETHYLENE-RESPONSIVE ELEMENT BINDING FACTOR1 (ERF1) and ETHYLENE-RESPONSIVE DNA BINDING FACTOR1 (EDF1) to EDF4, which further broaden ethylene signaling to a second wave of ethylene-responsive genes (reviewed in Stepanova and Alonso 2009). These include for instance other ERFs, which bind to the ethylene responsive promoter element GGC box and may act as either positive or negative regulators of ethylene signaling (Fujimoto et al., 2000; Nakano et al., 2006). Treatment with ethylene precursor ACC altered expression of 500 genes in Arabidopsis seedlings (Nemhauser et al., 2006). Ethylene decreases expression of F-box ubiquitin ligases EBF1 and EBF2, which target EIN3 and its homologue EIL1 for ubiquitin-mediated degradation (An et al., 2010). Also EIN2 can be targeted for degradation (Qiao et al., 2009).

Ethylene production is an early in response to O_3 , occurring after MPK activation and simultaneously with the first endogenous ROS burst in the mesophyll (Kangasjärvi et al., 2005). Increased ethylene production compared to tolerant plants is a marker for O_3 sensitivity across several species and cultivars (Overmyer et al., 2003). Ethylene production in O_3 -treated plants is SA-dependent (Rao et al., 2002). Interestingly, ethylene signaling is also required for a PAMP -triggered oxidative burst (Mersmann et al., 2010), which places ethylene upstream of ROS production in chloroplasts. Ethylene signaling is known to interact with most, if not all, plant hormones (Yoo et al., 2009). In fact, this crosstalk is likely the reason why ethylene has such a wide range of effects on plant development and stress responses (Stepanova and Alonso 2009).

1.2.2 Salicylic acid

Salicylic acid (SA) is a phenolic plant hormone, which is involved in plant developmental processes such as seed germination, senescence and flowering (Rivas-San Vicente and Plasencia 2011). However, SA has preliminarily been regarded as a stress hormone, because it is produced in response to abiotic and especially biotic stresses (Vlot et al. 2009 and references therein). Arabidopsis mutants with constitutively higher SA levels have in general reduced growth, whereas in SA-depleted plants (for instance *NahG* plants transformed with bacterial SA-degrading salicylate hydroxylase) increased growth is observed (Rivas-San Vicente and Plasencia 2011). SA has well-known significance in the establishment of pathogen defenses, which is co-occurring with the expression of defense genes such as *PATHOGENESIS RELATED1 (PR1)* and the formation of systemic acquired resistance (SAR) (Rivas-San Vicente and Plasencia 2011). The SA signaling pathway is yet lacking knowledge

on directly SA-binding receptors. Interestingly, there are few tobacco proteins capable of SA-binding such as SAPB2 involved in SA methylation (Du and Klessig 1997) and a catalase (Chen et al., 1993). SA suppresses antioxidative enzymes (CAT and APX) together with NO (Chen et al., 1993; Durner and Klessig 1995; Klessig et al., 2000). SA and ROS have a complex relationship, because ROS induce SA production, but SA has also been shown to cause ROS production; therefore a self-amplifying loop between ROS and SA has been proposed (Overmyer et al., 2003; Vlot et al., 2009). Recently SA and ROS were proposed to regulate antagonistically the O₃-responsive *CYSTEINE RICH KINASE* (*CRK*) transcripts (Wrzaczek et al., 2010). ISOCHORISMATE SYNTHASE1 (ICS1) pathway has been shown to produce SA in response to O₃ (Ogawa et al., 2007).

SA signaling is largely dependent on NPR1 (Wang et al., 2006), but especially early SAresponsive transcripts may be NPR1-independent (Uquillas et al., 2004). NPR1 is localized in cytosol as multimers prior to stress/SA treatment, and after redox change in the cell (cytosol is reduced due to antioxidant formation) NPR1 is translocated as monomers to nucleus to activate gene expression through interaction with TGA transcription factors (Mou et al., 2003). NPR1 monomerization by SA treatment was shown to be mediated by increased thioredoxin expression followed by NPR1 S-nitrosylation (Tada et al., 2008). Furthermore, degradation of (phosphorylated) NPR1 is required NPR1-mediated gene expression (Spoel et al., 2009), which further adds complexity to NPR1 as a signaling protein. NPR1 may additionally serve as a node for hormonal signal integration for instance between brassinosteroid (BR) and SA (Divi et al., 2010) and JA and SA (Dong 2004). From the point of signal integration, it is interesting that stress-induced SA is originated from the chloroplast (Fragnière et al., 2011), which is a ROS-source also involved in the biosynthesis of several other plant hormones including gibberellins (GA), auxin, BR, ABA and JA. Abundant interactions between SA and other plant hormones, perhaps most importantly in the regulation of pathogen tolerance, have been observed. In general, SA promotes resistance against biotrophs, whereas JA and ET are required for tolerance against necrotrophic pathogens (Glazebrook 2005). During immune responses, ICS1 is negatively regulated by ethylene signaling (Chen et al., 2009).

1.2.3 Jasmonic acid

The scent of jasmine flowers includes the methyl derivative of plant hormone JA (Davies 2004). JA is a lipid-derived hormone synthesized initially in chloroplasts followed by β -oxidation in peroxisomes (Schaller and Stintzi 2009). The biologically active form of JA, amino acid conjugate jasmonoyl-isoleucin (JA-IIe), is catalyzed by jasmonate-amido synthase JASMONATE RESISTANT1 (JAR1) (Staswick and Tiryaki 2004). The JA-IIe molecule structure is mimicked by the bacterial phytotoxin coronatine (Staswick and Tiryaki 2004; Katsir et al., 2008). The similarities between coronatine and methyl-jasmonate (MeJa) responses led to the isolation of *coronatine insensitive1* (*coi1*) mutant, which also was insensitive to MeJa,

male sterile and increasingly tolerant to coronatine-producing *Pseudomonas syringae* strain (Feys et al., 1994). COI1 encodes an F-box protein (Xie et al., 1998), which is part of a SKP1(S-PHASE KINASE ASSOCIATED PROTEIN1)-CULLIN-F-box (SCF) E3 ubiquitin ligase complex SCF^{COI1} (Xu et al., 2002). Binding of JA-Ile to SCF^{COI1} targets the negative regulators of jasmonate signaling, JASMONATE ZIM-domain (JAZ) proteins, to ubiquitination and subsequent degradation via 26S proteosome (Chini et al., 2007; Thines et al., 2007). This releases basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factors MYC2, MYC3 and MYC4 to regulate expression of JA-responsive genes (Chini et al., 2007; Cheng et al., 2011; Fernández-Calvo et al., 2011). Binding of SCF^{COI1} to JAZ-proteins not only requires JA-Ile as the molecular glue, but also inositol phosphate is a co-factor in this complex (Sheard et al., 2010). Alternative splicing of the Jas domain interacting with the SCF^{COI1} complex may lead to ubiquitination-resistant JAZs proteins still able to repress *MYC2* (Yan et al., 2007; Chung et al., 2010).

Wounding or herbivore attack results in rapid increase in JA and JA-Ile levels, which induces expression of JAZ transcripts and JA biosynthesis genes (Chung et al., 2008). Additionally, JAinduced genes include members of ERF and MYB TF families, genes related to secondary metabolism and defense while genes related to abiotic stress responses are repressed by JA treatment (Devoto et al., 2005). JA is known to reduce growth, and mechanical wounding inducing JA has a similar effect (Yan et al. 2007). In general, JA promotes defense and reproduction (Browse 2009), while it reduces cell divisions and growth (Zhang and Turner 2008). JA and SA are well-known antagonists in the plant defense , and JA is required as a "pro-life" signal against necrotrophic pathogens, which benefit from cell death (Glazebrook 2005). Treatment with JA reduces the lesion formation triggered by O_3 (Örvar et al., 1997; Overmyer et al., 2000; Rao et al., 2000b; Tuominen et al., 2004) and the jar1 mutant exhibits increased cell death in response to O_3 (Overmyer et al., 2000; Rao et al., 2000b; Tuominen et al., 2004; Overmyer et al., 2005). Increased JA levels are connected to simultaneous O₃induced lesion occurrence in Arabidopsis (Tuominen et al., 2004; Overmyer et al., 2005) and silver birch (Betula pendula Roth) (Vahala et al., 2003). This might result from the release of JA precursors due to cell-death related membrane damage and subsequently synthesized JA may participate in the lesion containment (Overmyer et al., 2005).

1.2.4 Auxin

The word auxin is of Greek origin, and means "to grow". Since the initial studies of a putative mobile signal regulating the phototropic movement of grass coleoptiles (Darwin and Darwin 1880), auxin has been attributed to every aspect of plant growth and development (Benjamins and Scheres 2008). The most abundant active form of auxin is indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), which is perceived by a mechanism similar to JA. Indeed, the first auxin F-box receptor identified, *TRANSPORT INHIBITOR RESPONSE1 (TIR1)* (Dharmasiri et al., 2005a; Kepinski and Leyser 2005), contains high similarity to COI1. Five IAA-receptors

homologous to TIR1 are named AUXIN SIGNALING F-BOX PROTEINS (AFB) 1 to 5 (Dharmasiri et al., 2005b). AUXIN/INDOLE-3-ACETIC ACID (Aux/IAA) proteins are negative regulators of IAA responses acting also as IAA co-receptors binding to SCF^{TIR1/AFB} complex, which results in their ubiquitination and degradation (Gray et al., 2001; Zenser et al., 2001; Tan et al., 2007). Aux/IAAs have a high degree of functional redundancy (Overvoorde et al., 2005), but promoter-swap experiments have also revealed divergent functions of for them (Muto et al. 2007). Functional specificity of Aux/IAAs relates also to specific interaction pairs with AUXIN-RESPONSE FACTORs (ARFs), which are negatively regulated by Aux/IAAs (Weijers et al., 2005). The ARFs can be divided into positive and negative regulators of gene expression depending on the glutamine residues in their sequence (Guilfoyle and Hagen 2007). The most rapid auxin-responsive transcripts belong to Aux/IAA (hence forming a negative feedback loop), SMALL AUXIN UP-REGULATED (SAUR) and GRETCHEN HAGEN3 (GH3) gene families (Abel and Theologis 1996). Also members of LATERAL ORGAN BOUNDARY (LOB) and some ARFs are auxin-induced (Paponov et al., 2008). Non-transcriptional auxin response occurs via AUXIN BINDING PROTEIN1 (ABP1)-mediated endocytosis of clathrin-coated vesicles (Robert et al., 2010).

Recently auxin has been shown to have a role in plant stress responses. Decreased auxin signaling in tir1 afb mutants improves tolerance to PQ, H₂O₂ and salt stress (Iglesias et al., 2010). The tir1 mutant has also elevated resistance to P. syringae and degradation of TIR1/AFB transcripts by pathogen-induced microRNA 393 (miR393) decreases expression of auxin-responsive genes (Navarro et al., 2006). In another study, SA decreased auxin signaling, which was beneficial for pathogen tolerance, by the stabilization of Aux/IAAs (Wang et al., 2007). Auxin responses are on the other hand required for tolerance against necrotrophic fungi (Llorente et al., 2008). The relationship between ROS and auxin is complex, because some auxin-driven developmental responses such as gravitropism (Joo et al., 2001; Joo et al., 2005b) and coleoptile elongation (Schopfer et al., 2002) are ROSdependent. In contrast, glutathione and thioredoxin triple mutants have decreased levels of auxin and auxin responsive reporter construct DR5-uidA expression, as well as several developmental defects such as lack of flowers and secondary roots (Bashandy et al., 2010). H₂O₂ and activation of MPKs has been shown to reduce auxin-dependent gene expression (Kovtun et al., 1998; Kovtun et al., 2000; Nakagami et al., 2006). Heat stress decreased expression of auxin marker transcript BA and growth in cultured guard cell chloroplasts independently of exogenous application of synthetic auxin NAA, H_2O_2 or H_2O_2 and O_2^{\bullet} scavengers (Dong et al., 2007). Expression of auxin-responsive genes was reduced by fungal toxin ALL downstream of H_2O_2 and ethylene production (Gechev et al., 2004). Recently oligogalacturonides, which are plant-derived damage-associated molecules, were shown to decrease auxin signaling without altered stability of Aux/IAAs, TIR1/AFB receptor expression or miR393 levels and independently from RBOHD-produced ROS (Savatin et al., 2011). Conjugation i.e. inactivation of another form of auxin, indole-3-butyric acid (IBA), was recently shown to be induced by H_2O_2 and mediate tolerance to drought and salt stress (Tognetti et al., 2010).

1.2.5 Gibberellin

Gibberellins (GAs) are a vast group of diterpenoid compounds present in plants, animals and fungi. Bioactive GAs regulate plant processes such as stem elongation (cell divisions and elongation), bolting/flowering, seed germination and endosperm utilization in grains (Davies 2004). Indeed, GA₃ secreted by the pathogenic fungi *Gibberella fujikuroi* to promote stem growth in rice (Oryza sp.) led to the isolation of first GA which was named accordingly (Yabuta and Sumiki 1938). Somewhat later, the nuclear-localized soluble GA-receptor GIBBERELLIN INSENSITIVE DWARF1 (GID1) of rice was identified (Ueguchi-Tanaka et al., 2005). GID1 is has three functional orthologs (AtGID1a, AtGID1b and AtGID1c) acting also as GA receptors in Arabidopsis (Nakajima et al., 2006). The basic GA signaling pathway shows functional similarity to auxin and JA perception: GA receptors are nucleus-residing F-box proteins (E3 ligases) which upon binding to GA, are activated to bind to the inhibitors of GA signaling, the DELLA proteins. This leads to the polyubigitination of DELLAs and their subsequent degradation by the 26S proteosome, thereby allowing the expression of GAresponsive genes (reviewed in Hirano et al., 2008). There are five DELLA proteins in Arabidopsis (Dill and Sun 2001), towards which AtGIDs possess different binding affinities (Suzuki et al., 2009). Also the mere formation of the GA-GID1-DELLA complex releases DELLA targets from their inhibitory effect independently from proteolysis both in rice (Ueguchi-Tanaka et al., 2008) and Arabidopsis (Ariizumi et al., 2008). Knowledge on DELLA function and targets is crucial for the understanding of GA signaling. Several hundreds of GAresponsive genes have been identified by treating GA biosynthesis ga1-3 mutant seeds, flowers or seedlings with GA (Ogawa et al., 2003; Cao et al., 2006; Zentella et al., 2007), and overlap between DELLA-regulated genes has been observed (Cao et al., 2006; Zentella et al., 2007). DELLA proteins increase (and GA treatment decreases) the expression of GA biosynthesis genes and also GID receptors, thereby establishing a feedback regulation loop (Zentella et al., 2007). DELLAs have been shown to directly bind and inhibit bHLH transcription factors PHYTOCHROME INTERACTING FACTOR3 (PIF3) and PIF4 (Feng et al., 2008; de et al., 2008), and DELLA and PIF target genes are partially shared (Gallego-Bartolomé et al., 2011). Transcriptomic studies have also identified genes belonging to other hormone signaling pathways (such as ethylene, auxin, JA and ABA) as GA and/or DELLA responsive transcripts (Ogawa et al., 2003; Cao et al., 2006; Zentella et al., 2007; Gallego-Bartolomé et al., 2011). DELLAs have thereby emerged as integrators of several plant hormone signaling pathways.

Additionally, GA-GID1-DELLA pathway regulates plant growth and stress tolerance in adverse environmental conditions such as salt, flooding, cold and pathogen stress (Harberd et al., 2009). Plants lacking DELLAs were susceptible to necrotrophic pathogens due to

increased sensitivity to JA, a likely explanation why necrotrophic *G. fujikuroi* produces GA (Navarro et al., 2008). Interestingly for this study, DELLAs have been shown to induce expression of genes encoding antioxidative enzymes and thereby decrease ROS levels (Achard et al., 2008). H_2O_2 was shown to induce GA biosynthetic genes in germinating Arabidopsis seeds (Liu et al., 2010). Another putative link between ROS and GA might be *GAST1 PROTEIN HOMOLOG4* (*GASA4*), transcript induced by GA, which regulates flowering time and germination likely through activation of redox-regulated cysteins (Rubinovich and Weiss 2010).

1.2.6 Abscisic acid

Abscisic acid (ABA) regulates stomatal closure, inhibits seed germination and controls dormancy, but unlike the name would allow to assume, has no direct role in organ abscission (Davies 2004). ABA biosynthesis is induced under water-limiting conditions such as drought or salinity (Nambara and Marion-Poll 2005). ABA is important also for cold tolerance (Gilmour and Thomashow 1991) and wounding responses (Hildmann et al., 1992). The transcriptional responses to these stresses are partially ABA-dependent (Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki 2006). Members of soluble ABA-binding receptor family have in the past few years been identified by several independent research groups with different methods and therefore named in a complex manner as PYRABACTIN RESISTANCE (PYR)/PYR1-LIKE (PYL)/REGULATORY COMPONENT OF ABA RECEPTOR (RCAR) family (Ma et al., 2009; Park et al., 2009; Santiago et al., 2009; Nishimura et al., 2010). Binding of ABA to PYR/PYL/RCAR receptors facilitates their interaction with type 2 C protein phosphatases (PP2C), which are well-known negative regulators of ABA signaling. This relieves the SUCROSE-NONFERMENTING PROTEIN (SNF1)-RELATED KINASE 2 (SnRK2) kinases from negative regulation by PP2Cs and allows them to activate ABA responsive element (ABRE) binding factors (ABFs) by phosphorylation. ABFs belong to the family of bZIP transcription factors, which together with APETALA2 (AP2) transcription factors binding to GC-rich coupling elements regulate the expression of ABA-responsive genes. The PYR/PYL/RCAR-PP2C-SnRK2 pathway is regarded as the ABA core signaling pathway of transcriptional regulation, which may be modified for instance by other ABA-binding proteins, additional kinases phosphorylating ABFs, ABF-ABA INSENSITIVE3 (ABI3) interaction, regulation of ion channel activity by OPEN STOMATA1 (OST1/SnRK2.6), ABA-responsive transcription factors of other families than ABFs (NAC, HD-Zip, Zn-finger, WRKY) and cross-talk with other hormone signaling pathways (reviewed in Raghavendra et al., 2010; Cutler et al., 2010; Weiner et al., 2010).

Interestingly, ABA-mediated stomatal closure is dependent on ROS production by RBOHD and RBOHF (Kwak et al., 2003) and this ROS production is impaired in *ost1* mutant (Mustilli et al., 2002). This is because OST1 interacts with RBOHF and activates it by phosphorylation (Sirichandra et al., 2009). ABA amendment was shown to cause a rapid ROS production and

the H_2O_2 scavenger dimethylthiourea (DMTU) altered ABA-responses of a subset of 3494 ABA-regulated genes in Arabidopsis suspension cells (Böhmer and Schroeder 2011). The ABA-regulated genes overlap largely with drought and salinity responses, but also with H_2O_2 treatment (Seki et al., 2002; Takahashi et al., 2004), thereby validating ABA's importance in abiotic stress tolerance and the close connection between ABA and ROS signaling. The role of ABA in pathogen responses is complex: it has been presented that ABA has either a positive or negative effect depending on the pathogen life style (Robert-Seilaniantz et al., 2007). For instance, ABA signaling antagonizes SA-mediated defenses independently of the ethylene/JA pathway (Yasuda et al., 2008).

1.2.7 Brassinosteroid

First plant steroid hormone, brassinolide was isolated from Brassica napus flowers (Grove et al., 1978), after which approximately 70 additional brassinosteroids (BRs) have been identified. BRs are required for normal plant growth, development and reproduction, and the lack of the main BR receptor BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE1 (BRI1) results in severe dwarfism and male sterility (Clouse et al., 1996; Li and Chory 1997). BR1 belongs to a family of plasma-membrane localized leucine-rich repeat receptor kinases which can also bind BR (Kinoshita et al., 2005). The BR signal is transferred, by protein-protein interaction, to another plasma membrane localized leucine rich repeat-receptor like kinase (LRR-RLK), BRASSINOSTEROID ASSOCIATED KINASE1 (BAK1) and its homologs (Li et al., 2002; Karlova et al., 2006). Further downstream, BR signal leads to dephosphorylation of kinase BRASSINOSTEROID INSENSITIVE2 (BIN2) and subsequent activation of BRASSINOZOL RESISTANT1 (BZR1) and BZR2 (also known as BES1) transcription factors regulating expression of BR-responsive genes (Kim et al., 2009). The direct targets of these two transciption factors have been studied with chromatin immunoprecipitation microrrays (Sun et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2011), but only approximately 25% of them overlap with BR-regulated genes (Gudesblat and Russinova 2011), which suggests that the mechanical understanding of BR-mediated transcriptional changes is yet incomplete. Indeed, the number of BRregulated genes identified from microarray experiments from BR-treated plants and BR mutants may be several thousands (Yu et al., 2011). BR signaling and responses are entwined with other hormones such as ABA, ethylene, auxin, CK, GA and JA (Zhang et al., 2009; Bajguz and Hayat 2009; Sun et al., 2010). The especially close synergistic connection between BR and auxin may be explained by shared components of the auxin signaling cascade (Nakamura et al., 2006; Vert et al., 2008). BRs are involved also in responses to extreme temperatures, osmotic and oxidative stress, and pathogens (Bajguz and Hayat 2009). At the molecular level, BAK1 and its paralog BAK1-LIKE1 (BKK1) may directly act as co-receptors in the perception of PAMPs and further establishment of PTI (Roux et al., 2011).

1.2.8 Cytokinin

Cytokinins (CKs) are a group of adenine derivates, which are named after their classical role in promoting cell divisions. Cytokinins also regulate cell elongation and differentiation as well as delay senescence. Cytokinin is sensed by two-component histidine kinases AHK2, AHK3 and AHK4/CRE1 (AHKs) located on the plasma membrane and/or endoplasmic reticulum. Cytokinin binding triggers a phosphorelay cascade similar to animal twocomponent systems and ethylene signaling (Schaller et al., 2011). AHKs phosphorylate histidine phosphotransferase proteins (AHPs), which in the nucleus phosphorylate (and activate) response regulators (ARRs). Based on the domain structure, ARRS can be divided to types A, B and C. Types A and C lack DNA binding domain present in type-B ARRs, which are predominant positive regulators of cytokinin-mediated transcription (Argueso et al., 2010). In addition to type-B ARRs, several other transcription factors respond to cytokinin stimulus, such as CYTOKININ RESPONSE FACTORS (CRFs) (Rashotte et al., 2006). Recently CK has also been associated with abiotic stress responses, as plants deficient in CK were more tolerant to salt and drought (Nishiyama et al., 2011). Arabidopsis histidine kinase AHK1 has been identified as an osmotic sensor acting likely via ARRs (Tran et al., 2007; Wohlbach et al., 2008). It has been suggested that osmotic stress affects CK signaling pathway and that ARRs promote cytokinin signaling but inhibit stress/ABA responsive genes (Tran et al., 2010). CK and auxin have a complex interaction in the regulation of plant development and examples of this relationship are for instance ARF-ARR interactions in the shoot apical meristem (Zhao et al., 2010), cytokinin-induced auxin biosynthesis (Jones et al., 2010) and cytokinintransport regulated radial auxin distribution in roots (Bishopp et al., 2011). Pathogenproduced CK delays senescence which is apparent as "green islands" remaining nutrient-rich in otherwise senescing tissue; however, plant-produced CK promotes defense responses in concert with SA by interaction between ARR and TGA transcription factors (Choi et al., 2011).

As a conclusion, the boundary between so called stress hormones (ABA, SA, ethylene and JA) and developmental hormones (GA, auxin, BR and CK) has become more and more elusive. Both in plant stress and development, hormonal signals originating from deceivingly simplistic, linear pathways are integrated at multiple levels in order to achieve appropriate gene expression and responses. This output depends not only on the environmental stress but also the tissue type and developmental stage of the plant.

1.3 RADICAL-INDUCED CELL DEATH 1: a tip of an ice berg?

1.3.1 Mutation in RCD1 causes altered development and stress responses

Forward genetics approach utilizes mutagenized plant populations in the screen for mutants with altered phenotypes of interest, such as development or stress responses. *RADICAL*-

INDUCED CELL DEATH1 (RCD1) mutant sensitive to O₃ treatment was isolated from one of such pools of ethylmethylsulfonate (EMS)-mutagenized Arabidopsis seeds (Overmyer et al., 2000). The *rcd1* mutant is sensitive to apoplastic O_2^{\bullet} but not to apoplastic H_2O_2 (Overmyer et al., 2000) and tolerant to chloroplastic $O_2^{\bullet-}$ resulting from PQ treatment (Ahlfors et al., 2004a; Fujibe et al., 2004). Sensitivity to H₂O₂ in the growth media was detected together with salt sensitivity of rcd1 (Katiyar-Agarwal et al., 2006). Indeed, these rcd1 phenotypes again demonstrate the specificity of different ROS as well as the role of subcellular localization in ROS signaling. Later on the lesions forming in rcd1 in response to O₃ were confirmed to fulfill the hallmarks of PCD (Overmyer et al., 2005). Additional reported stress phenotypes of *rcd1* include UV-B tolerance (Fujibe et al., 2004; Jiang et al., 2009), glucose tolerance (Ahlfors et al., 2004a; Teotia and Lamb 2009), mannitol tolerance (Teotia and Lamb 2009) and freezing tolerance of unacclimated plants (Fujibe et al., 2004). The rcd1 mutant is also characterized by developmental phenotypes such as altered rosette morphology with more erected, curled leaves, premature flowering and increased stomatal conductance (Overmyer et al., 2000; Ahlfors et al., 2004a). Marker genes for ABA, ethylene and JA responses (RAB18, CHIB and VSP1, respectively) were all expressed at lower levels in rcd1 under normal growth conditions suggesting that RCD1 is an integrative node of hormone signaling (Ahlfors et al., 2004a). In clean air conditions, rcd1 also slightly overproduces ethylene (Overmyer et al., 2000) and NO (Ahlfors et al., 2009). Overall, rcd1 displays pleiotropic phenotypes regarding stress responses, hormone signaling and development.

1.3.2 SRO protein family

RCD1 belongs to a small gene family with five homologs named SIMILAR TO RCD-ONE (SRO) 1-5 in *A. thaliana* (Ahlfors et al., 2004a). The protein function of RCD1 and SROs is unknown, but conserved domains have been assigned to them. RCD1 and its closest paralog SRO1 contain nuclear localization signals and a WWE domain (PS50918) predicted to mediate protein-protein interactions involved in ubiquination and poly-ADP-ribosylation reactions (Aravind 2001). The WWE domain of the catalytic core of POLY-ADP-RIBOSE POLYMERASE (PARP) (PS51059) and a C-terminal domain participating in protein-protein interactions named RCD1-SRO-TAF4 (RST) domain (Belles-Boix et al., 2000; Citarelli et al., 2010; Jaspers et al., 2010; I; II). Interestingly, several interaction partners of RCD1 were either known or putative transcription factors (Belles-Boix et al., 2000; I). None of the SROs 1 to 5 had been identified through the forward genetics approach, until Borsani et al. (2005) isolated *sro5-1* as a salt sensitive mutant. However, the SRO5 function was assigned to endogenous RNA silencing mechanism due to sequence overlap with neighboring P5CDH-gene involved in proline catabolism: induction of *SRO5* by oxidative stress (salt/H₂O₂) results in degradation

of *P5CDH* transcript and therefore proline accumulates to protect the plant from the stress (Borsani et al., 2005).

2 Aims of the study

The aim of this study was to obtain novel information regarding ROS signaling and responses. For this purpose, several Arabidopsis mutants representing SRO gene family were isolated from T-DNA insertion mutant collections available from stock centers. Gene expression of respective plants was studied by microarrays in clean air conditions and in response to O_3 treatment.

1) To characterize the functional redundancy within the SRO gene family in the regulation of plant development and stress responses (I, II, IV)

2) To identify novel signaling pathways and biological processes regulated by apoplastic ROS (III)

3) To identify genes and signaling pathways responsible for O_3 -triggered PCD in *rcd1* mutant (IV)

3 Materials and methods

The methods used in this study are described in the respective publications I, II, III and IV (Table II). Plant material used is listed in Table III.

Table II. Methods used in publications I-IV. Parenthesis indicates that the method was used only by the co-authors in that publication.

Method	Publication
Auxin quantification	(111)
Floral dip transformation	I
Flowering time determination	(1)
GUS activity staining	(1), 111
Ion leakage	III, IV
Leaf shape determination	Ш
Microarray hybridizations	I, II, III, IV
O ₃ treatment	III, IV
Paraquat treatment	(1)
PARP-activity assay	(11)
Plant line genotyping and cloning	I, II, (III), (IV)
Quantitative real-time PCR	(I), II, III, (I∨)
Rosette diameter measurement	Ш
Subcellular localization	(1), (11)
Western hybridization	(1), (11)
Yeast two hybrid	(1), (11)

Genotype	Ecotype	Description	Publication
pRCD1:uidA	Col-0	<i>RCD1</i> promoter fused to <i>uidA</i> β-glucuronidase	I
pSRO1:uidA	Col-0	SRO1 promoter fused to uidA β-glucuronidase	I
pRCD1:RCD1	Col-0	RCD1 promoter fused to genomic RCD1 gene	I
pSRO1:RCD1	Col-0	RCD1 promoter fused to genomic SRO1 gene	I
sro1-1	Col-0	similar to rcd-one1-1	I
rcd1-2	Col-0	radical induced cell death1-2	I
rcd1-3	Col-0	radical induced cell death1-3	I
rcd1-4	Col-0	radical induced cell death1-4	I
rcd1-1	Col-0	radical induced cell death1-1	I, III, IV
sro5-2	Col-0	similar to rcd-one5-2	II
axr1-3	Col-0	auxin resistant1-3	Ш
DR5:uidA	Col-0	synthetic auxin responsive promoter fused to uidA	III
ein2	Col-0	ethylene insensitive2	III
NahG	Col-0	transgene encoding bacterial salicylate hydroxylase	III
npr1	Col-0	non-expressor of pathogenesis related genes1	III
sid2	Col-0	salicylic acid induction deficient2	III
aux1-7	Col-0	auxin resistant1-7	III
nit1-3	Col-0	nitrilase1-3	III
coi1-16	Col-0	coronatine insensitive1-16	III
iaa28-2	Col-0	indole-3-acetic acid inducible28-2	III
iaa28-1	Ws-0	indole-3-acetic acid inducible28-1	Ш
tir1-1 afb2-3	Col-0	transport inhibitor response1-1/ auxin signaling F-box2-3	III
mpk3	Col-0	mitogen activated protein kinase3	Ш
mpk6	Col-0	mitogen activated protein kinase6	111
wrky70	Col-0	wrky DNA-binding protein70	IV
lsd1	Col-0	lesions simulating disease resistance1	IV
acd2	Col-0	accelerated cell death2	IV
acd5	Col-0	accelerated cell death5	IV

Table III. Arabidopsis mutants and transgenic lines used in this study. Additional double mutants were created as described in I, III and IV.

4 Results and Discussion

4.1 Redundancy within SRO gene family

4.1.1 Complementation of rcd1

In this study, T-DNA insertion alleles rcd1-3 and rcd1-4 were isolated in order to dissect the role of a putative truncated *rcd1* protein in *rcd1-1* (I). The *rcd1-1* mutation is a guanine to adenosine transition at the third exon-intron junction, in the middle of the conserved PARP catalytic domain, which leads to two different sizes of misspliced transcripts each with premature stop codons (Ahlfors et al., 2004a). Also the rcd1-2 mutation causes a premature stop codon in exon III and *rcd1-2* plants contain a mutant transcript (Fujibe et al., 2006). The rcd1-3 mutant harbors a T-DNA insertion in exon IV also within the putative PARP domain and contains a mutant transcript (I) approximately the same size as in wild type Col-0 (data not shown). The *rcd1-4* line is mutated within the WWE domain (I) and no *rcd1* transcript has been detected (I). All these rcd1 alleles result in a similar mutant habitus in clean air growth conditions. Comparison of gene expression in rcd1-1, rcd1-3 and rcd1-4 mutants studied with a full-genome microarray yielded in similar results and enabled pooling of the data (I). Because the T-DNA insertion in *rcd1-4* is disrupting the WWE-domain and neither rcd1 transcript nor truncated protein is present in rcd1-4 plants, this result suggests that also rcd1-1 is a loss-of-function mutant. The premature flowering, plant habitus, PQ tolerance and expression of ANAC087 in both rcd1-2 and rcd1-4 were complemented by a RCD1 genomic construct pRCD1:RCD1 (I), which supports the conclusion of a-loss-of function mutant. Ahlfors et al. (2004a) suggested a gain-of-function by a truncated protein in *rcd1-1* based on a gene dosage experiment and due to the O_3 sensitivity and PQ tolerance of 35S::rcd1 plants. However, Fujibe et al. (2006) observed that overexpression of a genomic RCD1 fragment caused a weak rcd1 phenotype quantified as smaller plant size and slight increase in O_3 sensitivity in Col-0 background, but also complemented the *rcd1-2* phenotype. During this study, several transgenic RCD1 cDNA constructs under cauliflower mosaic virus-derived 35S promoter were cloned, but neither rcd1 growth habitus complementation nor reporter gene/epitope tag expression in Col-0 background was achieved (data not shown). Altogether the complex results from 35S::rcd1 and 35S::RCD1 plants point towards the *RCD1* expression level being under tight control.

The establishment of *rcd1* complementation was necessary for testing functional redundancy between RCD1 and SRO1 *in planta* (I). Whereas the *pRCD1:RCD1* construct fully complemented *rcd1* mutation, *RCD1* promoter fused to *SRO1* coding sequence provided partial complementation regarding flowering time while ANAC087 gene expression and no complementation of the PQ tolerance was observed (I). This established that paralogous RCD1 and SRO1 proteins are functionally partially overlapping, which may be expected due to the strong sequence similarity. The phenotype specific degree of *rcd1* complementation by SRO1 may suggest that the common function of RCD1 and SRO1 is mostly conserved in plant development (flowering, gene expression in clean air) whereas RCD1 has unique roles

in stress responses (such as PQ tolerance). However, because the recessive *rcd1* growth habitus was not complemented by *pRCD1:SRO1* in the T1 plants (data not shown), RCD1 is responsible for some unique functions also regarding plant development. The reason for only partial complementation of pleiotropic *rcd1* phenotypes by SRO1 is perhaps due to differences in protein catalytic activities, post-translational modifications or protein-protein interactions (discussed later in chapter 4.1.3).

4.1.2 Single and double mutants reveal in planta functions of SROs

Based on complementation studies, RCD1 and SRO1 display partial functional redundancy. A T-DNA insertion allele of *SRO1*, *sro1-1* was isolated and crossed to *rcd1-3* to study this redundancy further. The stunted, hardly viable *rcd1-3 sro1-1* double mutant phenotype suggested that *sro1-1* plants are deficient in a major developmental function shared between RCD1 and SRO1 (I). The *rcd1 sro1* plants were only recovered when grown *in vitro*, and after transfer to soil the number of seeds produced was miniscule. Abnormal development in *rcd1 sro1* double mutants is apparent already during embryonic development (Teotia and Lamb 2009). The *rcd1/rcd1 SRO1/sro1* plants are larger than the homozygous double mutant, which states that even a single functional SRO1 allele is able to partially rescue the severe *rcd1 sro1* double mutant (I).

Comparison of GUS activity in *pRCD1:uidA* and *pSRO1:uidA* plants show that *RCD1* and *SRO1* are expressed in the same tissues in leaves, roots and flowers (I). However, only *RCD1* was expressed in the stomatal guard cells (Katiyar-Agarwal et al., 2006; I). Transient expression analysis of 35S::RCD1-YFP and 35S::SRO1-YFP showed that both RCD1 and SRO1 are localized to nucleus, which is in accordance with the nuclear localization sequences in both proteins (I). RCD1 has previously been reported to localize to nucleus (Fujibe et al., 2006) but also to cytosol under salt stress (Katiyar-Agarwal et al., 2006).

Both developmental and stress-induced phenotypes of *sro1-1* plants characterized so far have been absent or non-severe (I; Teotia and Lamb 2009). Gene expression of *sro1-1* under normal growth conditions is very similar to Col-0, and the only gene with robustly altered expression was thylakoidal ascorbate peroxidase (tAPX; At1g77490) with two-fold decreased transcript level (I). The lowered amount of tAPX in *sro1* was confirmed with a western blot using a tAPX-specific antibody (Dr. S. Kangasjärvi, unpublished results). However, *rcd1 tapx* plants were indistinguishable from *rcd1* according to their growth phenotype (data not shown), which suggests that decrease in tAPX in *sro1* was not responsible for the severe developmental defects in *rcd1 sro1* plants. The *sro1-1* mutation resides in the C-terminal RST domain and a transcript is present upstream of this T-DNA insertion site (I). It is possible that the transcript present in *sro1* could yield a yet partially functional *sro1* protein with WWE and the PARP catalytic core domains that may explain the lack of a more marked single mutant phenotype. However, the severe phenotype of *rcd1*
sro1 double mutant and the partial complementation of *rcd1* mutation with *pRCD1:SRO1* construct point towards a severe loss-of-function of *sro1-1* allele lacking the C-terminus.

Like sro1 mutants, sro5 plants are indistinguishable from Col-0 under clean air growth conditions and show only minor alterations in gene expression (II). SRO5 transcript itself was over 500-fold induced in *sro5-2* plants suggesting that *SRO5* transcript levels are negatively regulated by SRO5 (II). Because SRO5 is consistently induced by a range of stresses (Gadjev et al., 2006; II), this may provide a necessary negative feedback loop. This feed-back regulation could possibly be associated with SRO5 being able to interact with transcription factors (II). The sro5 plants were not more O3-sensitive than Col-0 and rcd1 sro5 mutants have the same degree of cell death as rcd1 (Fig. 3), thereby reinforcing the view that SRO5 does not regulate sensitivity to apoplastic ROS. Instead the sro5 plants are salt sensitive, which has been linked to inadequate proline accumulation (Borsani et al., 2005; Babajani et al., 2009). Also the rcd1 mutant is salt sensitive, which may be caused by the lack of RCD1 interaction with plasma membrane Na^{+}/H^{+} antiporter SALT OVERLY SENSITIVE1 (SOS1) (Katiyar-Agarwal et al., 2006). Interestingly, rcd1 has a constitutively higher level of proline (N. Sipari, unpublished results), which might buffer the lack of proline accumulation caused by sro5 mutation in rcd1 sro5 plants. Proline is considered to protect plants from salt and cold stress, and perhaps also scavenge ROS directly (Szabados and Savouré 2010). However, proline degradation by proline dehydrogenase (ProDH) causes hypersensitive cell death in response to pathogens (Cecchini et al., 2011). Elevated proline levels resulted in heat sensitivity and increased mitochondrial ROS formation (Lv et al., 2011). More studies are needed in order to evaluate whether proline metabolism is involved in any of the stressrelated phenotypes of *rcd1*.

The phenotypes of *sro2*, *sro3* or *sro4* mutants are currently not characterized. Expression of *SRO2*, *SRO3* and *SRO5*, but not *SRO1*, is elevated in *rcd1* in clean air (II). All the SROs with detectable expression levels (all apart from SRO4) are induced by O₃ and salt stress (II). The biological roles of SRO2, SRO3 and SRO4 will remain to be determined in future studies. *SRO2* was induced by high light (II) and its expression is elevated in *tapx sapx* plants that lack chloroplast and mitochondria localized ascorbate peroxidases (Kangasjärvi et al., 2008), which suggests a connection to ROS signaling.



Figure 3. SRO5 does not regulate cell death triggered by apoplastic ROS in Col-0 or *rcd1*. Col-0, *rcd1*, *sro5* and *rcd1 sro5* were grown in environmentally controlled growth rooms and moved to growth chambers the day before the O_3 treatment. Three-week-old plants were treated with 400 nL L-1 for 6h and after 2h recovery in clean air plants harvested for ion leakage quantification. The means of three biological repeats are shown with standard deviation (n=14-15).

4.1.3 SRO conservation within plant kingdom: why?

Several plant genomes were analyzed for the presence of SROs (II). Two structural groups of SROs, type A and type B, could be identified based on the domains present in *Arabidopsis thaliana* SROs (II). Type A contains the longer WWE-PARP-RST structure, and type B consists of only PARP-RST domains. Interestingly, cryptogams (*Physcomitrella patens* and *Selaginella moellendorffii*) and monocots (*Oryza sativa* ssp. *japonica* and *Brachypodium distachyon*) contained only the type A, whereas eudicots (*Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Arabidopsis lyrata*, *Populus trichocarpa*, *Ricinus communis* and *Vitis vinifera*) contained both type A and type B SROs (II). SROs were absent from green algae, photosynthetic cyanobacteria, yeast and plant pathogen genomes (II), which suggests that SROs have evolved and diversified along the complexity and size of plants. The number of SROs falling into different structural types varied between the species studied: for instance, only in *Brassicaceae* RCD1 and SRO1 (both type A SROs) could be clearly defined. The Populus genome contained three type A SROs, which were equally related to both RCD1 and SRO1 of *A. thaliana*. Therefore, a unified nomenclature based on the SRO type was introduced, according to which only *A. thaliana* contains RCD1 (AtRCD1) (II).

What is the function that SROs perform? Biochemical data together with sequence analysis showed that RCD1 does not bind NAD⁺ nor can function as a PARP (II). Still, the PARP domain is highly conserved within the SRO family. RCD1, SRO1 and SRO5 all interact with transcription factors and these interaction partners may be identical or represent different

members of the same protein family (I, II). These partially unique but also overlapping protein-protein interactions might explain the partially redundant functions of RCD1 and SRO1. However, these interactions should be verified with further *in planta* experiments. The putative role of SROs in transcriptional regulation is also suggested by the presence of the RST-domain, which is found in plants only in SROs and in the TBP-ASSOCIATED FACTOR4 (TAF4) protein (I; II). TAF4 belongs to the core promoter binding transcription initiation complex TFIID with TATA-BOX BINDING PROTEIN (TBP) and several other TAF proteins, and is essential for the TFIID complex stability (Wright et al., 2006). The RST domain of RCD1 is required for interactions with DREB2A and COL10 (II). On the contrary, the lack of WWE domain did not abolish any protein-protein interactions but resulted in even more interaction partners for RCD1 (I; II). This suggests that the WWE-domain is not necessary to the protein-protein interactions of RCD1, but it rather may modulate their specificity. WWEdomain may interact with another WWE domain (Zweifel et al., 2005), ankyrin domain (Matsuno et al., 1995) or with a poly-ADP-ribose moiety attached to another protein by PARPs (Zhang et al., 2011). The effect of poly-ADP-ribosylation on individual Arabidopsis proteins is largely unknown, but PARP activity has been connected to both abiotic (Vanderauwera et al., 2007) and biotic (Adams-Phillips et al., 2010) stress responses. The only defined Arabidopsis proteins with WWE domains and thus the only candidates for WWE-poly-ADP-ribose interaction are currently RCD1 and SRO1 (I; II).

The *rcd1* mutant has pronounced changes in gene expression under clean air conditions (I; IV), but only few genes were regulated in *sro1* and *sro5* plants, which suggests that SROs have obtained unique roles as putative transcriptional co-regulators. The role of RCD1 in transcriptional regulation is further discussed in the section "4.2.4 Cell death and gene expression". In addition to regulating *P5CDH* expression, no role of SRO5 protein itself has been verified yet. However, the overlapping *SRO5-P5CDH* transcript pair is only present in *A. thaliana*, so this particular function is absent from other species analyzed so far (II).

4.2 Apoplastic ROS and transcriptomics

4.2.1 Apoplastic ROS regulate thousands of stress-responsive transcripts

Apoplastic ROS are formed in response to a variety of environmental stresses, and O_3 treatment has been established as a sophisticated method to trigger apoplastic ROS production. To gain a comprehensive view on the cellular signaling cascade and responses, including cell death, downstream of O_3 , we performed a time-series experiment with a nearly full-genome (21K) microarray platform with the Arabidopsis ecotype Col-0 and the *rcd1* mutant. Samples were harvested 0, 1, 2, 4, 8 and 24h from the start of the O_3 treatment (6h 350 nL L-1) (III; IV). Altogether, expression of 3635 genes (log ratio ±1; q<0.05) was changed in response to apoplastic ROS in Col-0 (III). This was clearly a larger number (2211) of O_3 -responsive genes than in previously reported publically available full-

genome array experiments analyzed with the same criteria (III). This result is mainly due to the number of technical and biological replicates in this study yielding in statistically significant results, because the discovery of only approximately five hundred new O_3 regulated genes resulted from the use of also late time points (8h and 24h) and different array platform (MWG oligoarray instead of ATH1) (III). All subsequent qPCR analysis from independent biological repeats were largely in accordance with the obtained array results (III; IV), and therefore provided evidence that the used experimental conditions and analysis methods were reproducible. Clustering showed distinct expression patterns of O_3 responsive genes which allowed the detection of time-dependent, transient changes: expression profiles could be divided into early increased, late increased and decreased profiles (III). Mahalingam et al. (2005) identified similar expression patterns in a time series experiment with approximately 200 O_3 -regulated genes. A larger number of O_3 -induced versus decreased transcripts in early time points (III) is also in concordance with a previous study by Mahalingam et al. (2006).

To gain understanding of the biological relevance of this vast amount of O_3 -responsive genes, we analyzed the Gene Ontology (GO) enrichments of O_3 -regulated genes at each time point. In total this gene set represented 2219 biological processes, of which 502 were significantly enriched among genes with increased expression and 301 among genes with decreased expression (time point specific analysis) (III). The large number of enriched biological processes may be partially explained by the hierarchical overlap of GO categories. More importantly, there was also a large range of different biological processes among the O₃-regulated genes, such as abiotic and biotic stress responses, photosynthesis and secondary metabolism (III). A snapshot of this is presented in Fig. 4 showing gene expression at 4 h after the start of O_3 treatment, the time point with the largest number of O_{3^-} regulated genes. This result is as expected according to the reported role of ROS as ubiquitous signaling molecules. Altogether one hundred biological processes were enriched among both increased and decreased transcripts, whereas individual O₃-regulated transcripts were generally only responsive in one of the two directions (up or down) (III). In general, stress treatments may simultaneously both activate and repress gene expression (Gadjev et al., 2006). The GO classifications of biological processes are based on different data sources: experimental data of microarray experiments (IEP; Inferred from Expression Pattern), mutant analysis (IMP; Inferred from Mutant Phenotype), but also computational predictions based on for instance sequence similarity (ISS; Inferred from Sequence Similarity) (http://www.geneontology.org/GO.evidence.shtml). These data sources bring heterogeneity to the GO groups, so a variety of responses may also be expected. Albeit there is constant updating of the annotations, the "response to ozone" classification (GO:0010193) contains merely 29 loci (TAIR10 annotation), which in light of the results obtained in this study (III) is an underestimate.



Figure 4. Apoplastic ROS may cause bidirectional changes in gene expression at the level of biological processes. The multitude of biological processes regulated by apoplastic ROS is exemplified with gene expression in Col-0 4h after the start of the O₃ treatment. 2516 O₃-regulated genes (log ratio ± 1 , q<0.05) were imported into the "cellular functions overview" pathway of MapMan 3.0.0 with TAIR8 Arabidopsis genome annotation (Thimm et al., 2004). The bars indicate the number of genes with increased (red) and decreased (green) expression annotated to different cellular functions.

4.2.2 Apoplastic ROS alter auxin signaling

From the GO analysis of O₃-responsive transcripts, it was apparent that apoplastic ROS affected the signaling of several plant hormones (III). Ethylene, SA and JA have all well characterized roles in responses to apoplastic ROS (Kangasjärvi et al., 2005). The biological process "response to ABA" was activated at all time points (III).Previous study by Overmyer et al. (2008) has shown that ABA concentration increases by O₃-treatment. In addition, auxin responsive transcripts were regulated by apoplastic ROS: response to auxin stimulus was enriched and comparison with transcripts regulated by IAA-treatment yielded in 60 overlapping transcripts, which comprised approximately 1/3 of the auxin responsive transcripts (III). In contrast to classical stress hormones ethylene, SA, JA and ABA, to which responses were almost exclusively induced, auxin-related transcriptional response was also

decreased. This decrease was especially abundant among Aux/IAA transcripts in O₃-treated plants and a similar response was observed for instance in UV-B, PQ, flagellin fragment flg22, SA-analog BTH and H₂O₂ experiments (III). Because Aux/IAAs negatively regulate their own expression via repressing ARF activity, this decrease may suggest a stabilization of Aux/IAA proteins as previously reported in response to flg22 (Navarro et al., 2006) and BTH (Wang et al., 2007). Because ARFs binding to AuxRE elements can be either positive or negative transcriptional regulators, simultaneous increased repression of both types of ARFs may explain partially reduced and increased auxin responses in O₃-treated plants. A rapid and transient decrease was observed in the expression of auxin reporter construct DR5-uidA and an auxin marker gene, transcription factor HAT2 (III). This could be concomitant with transiently increased Aux/IAA protein stability: Increased stability of Aux/IAAs leads to decreased auxin-dependent gene expression, including Aux/IAA transcripts themselves, which would eventually reset the amount of Aux/IAA proteins (Fig. 5). This model about apoplastic ROS-auxin interaction involving Aux/IAA stability could be in future addressed for instance with the DII-VENUS reporter construct (Vernoux et al., 2011). The Aux/IAA stability is known to be regulated at the SCF TR/AFB complex level: auxin-mediated interaction with SCF^{TIR/AFB} complex leads to Aux/IAA ubiquitination and targets them for proteosomal degradation (Fig. 5, described in detail in 1.2.4).

Apoplastic ROS decreased the expression of auxin F-box receptors TIR1, AFB1, AFB3 and AFB5 (III), while no change was observed in the expression levels of AFB2 or FBX14/AFB4 (III). This could explain a decrease of SCF^{TIR/AFB} interactions with Aux/IAA proteins leading to higher levels of Aux/IAA proteins. The TIR1, AFB1, AFB2 and AFB3 interact with Aux/IAA proteins in an auxin-dependent manner, are expressed in most cells and have redundant functions in auxin signaling (Dharmasiri et al., 2005b). However, TIR1 and AFB2 exhibit the strongest auxin-mediated Aux/IAA binding which suggests that they have more prominent roles in auxin signaling than AFB1 or AFB3 (Parry et al., 2009). Concordantly, tir1 afb2 mutants performed better than tir1 afb1 and tir1 afb3 plants in response to salt, PQ and H_2O_2 (Iglesias et al., 2010), which may be due to a larger loss of auxin responsiveness contributing to stress tolerance. FBX14/AFB4 and AFB5 also interact with IAA3 in an auxindependent manner which is indicative of an auxin receptor function (Greenham et al., 2011). Unlike AFB5, FBX14/AFB4 was unresponsive to apoplastic ROS (III) and was recently shown to have a negative effect on auxin responses in the hypocotyl (Greenham et al., 2011). The role of AFB5 in mediating auxin responses is yet poorly known, because afb5 mutants are resistant to synthetic auxins picolinate and dicamba but not to IAA or 2,4-D (Walsh et al., 2006; Greenham et al., 2011; Gleason et al., 2011a). AFB2 transcript is decreased in response to flg22 by miRNA393-mediated degradation (Navarro et al., 2006). Neither decrease of AFB2 transcript nor increase in miR393 in response to apoplastic ROS was observed (III), suggesting that the members of auxin F-box receptors are differentially regulated by stresses and that there are both miR393-dependent and independent mechanisms for their transcriptional regulation. It remains to be elucidated, whether stress-



Figure 5. A model describing the expression levels of *TIR1/AFB* transcripts (green), *HAT2* (red) and *Aux/IAAs* (yellow) in response to auxin treatment and apoplastic ROS (O₃) . A) In auxin treatment, the degradation of Aux/IAAs is enhanced in a TIR1/AFB dependent manner. Auxin treatment is known to transiently decrease the levels of Aux/IAA proteins (blue). B) Aux/IAA protein levels may be negatively correlated with the *Aux/IAA* transcript expression also in O₃-treated plants (blue, dashed line). Under O₃, the expression of several *TIR1/AFB* auxin receptors is decreased and thereby Aux/IAAs may become stabilized and have a negative effect on auxin responses, such as *HAT2* expression.

triggered reduction of TIR1/AFBs is required for the stabilization of AuX/IAAs. No role for ethylene, SA or MPK signaling was found in the rapid decrease of auxin-regulated gene expression in O₃-treated plants (III). MPK3 and MPK6 are rapidly and transiently activated by O₃ (Ahlfors et al., 2004b), but no effect of MPK3 or MPK6 on repression of *HAT2*, *SAUR68* or *TIR1* was observed (III). This might be caused by functional redundancy between MPK3 and MPK6, but it is also possible that for instance MPK4 is responsible for the MPK-dependent decrease of auxin-responsive transcripts *in planta* (Nakagami et al., 2006).

Because auxin-responsive genes are regulated by protein-protein interactions between TIR1/AFBs, Aux/IAAs and ARFs, these protein families with 6, 29 and 22 functional members, respectively, offer a wide range of possible output scenarios of developmental responses and cross-talk with other signaling pathways (Weijers et al., 2005; Teale et al., 2006; Vernoux et al., 2011). ARFs may interact with other transcription factors such as MYB77, which affects auxin responses (Shin et al., 2007). Also Aux/IAAs have additional interaction partners such as co-repressor TOPLESS (Szemenyei et al., 2008), and surprisingly, RCD1 (I). Aux/IAA transcripts are known to differ in their auxin responsiveness, and *IAA28* is atypically decreased by auxin treatment in the roots (Paponov et al., 2008). *IAA10* and *IAA28* expression increased in response to apoplastic ROS, which indicates a unique role in stress responses (III). No changes in the ARF expression or free IAA concentration by apoplastic ROS were observed in this study (III), which may be interpreted that ARF activity was post-transcriptionally regulated by apoplastic ROS. ARF activity may be also suppressed by phosphorylation (Vert et al., 2008), and phosphorylation cascades are known to be activated in response to O_3 (III).

4.2.3 Stress-induced morphogenic response

Comparison between auxin-responsive genes regulated by O_3 and other stresses such as UV-B, flg22, H₂O₂, PQ and BTH revealed both common and specific expression patterns (III). More generally, this may reflect how plant stress responses affect development and growth. Indeed, in case of auxin, plant stress and development are perhaps more entwined than in regard of any other plant hormone. It has been long established that chronic stress alters plant morphology, which in a wider sense may be interpreted as classical allocation between growth and defense. However, the molecular mechanisms governing the stressinduced morphogenic response (SIMR) are not yet established. SIMR can be defined as "growing out of trouble", which means redistribution of growth away from apical meristems into lateral organs (Potters et al., 2007). SIMR includes decrease in cell elongation, localized stimulation in cell division and alterations in cell differentiation (Potters et al., 2007). In roots, this is apparent as inhibition of root elongation and increased number of lateral roots, whereas in shoots inhibition of shoot elongation and increased axillary branching occurs (Potters et al., 2007). These could also be classical symptoms of increased and decreased auxin effects in roots and shoots, respectively. Interestingly, auxin has opposing effects in shoots and roots: in the shoot, auxin promotes cell elongation and in roots inhibits it. Auxin responsive HD-Zip transcription factor *HAT2* is a positive regulator of auxin signaling in shoots and negative regulator in roots (Sawa et al. 2002). The reason for such opposite effects in different tissues is not known.

Considering the longevity of auxin research, the knowledge about the detailed signaling mechanism is relatively new (Leyser 2010), and the molecular mechanisms of auxin in regulation of stress adaptation are beginning to be unraveled. Long-term O₃ exposure decreased leaf area, leaf length and fresh weight of Arabidopsis (III), and also induced epinastic leaf curling at leaf margins as previously described by Sharma and Davis (1994) and Booker et al. (2004). This may be viewed as a SIMR response, which was exaggerated in tir1 afb2 plants exhibiting curling also at the leaf tips marked by a larger decrease in leaf length and increased serrations compared to Col-0 (III). Therefore, auxin was concluded to be a negative regulator of SIMR in shoots in response to chronic apoplastic ROS (III). The altered leaf curling pattern in *tir1 afb2* might take place due to yet uncharacterized, cell-type specific auxin signaling events in leaves, for instance due to presence of cell-specific Aux/IAA-ARF protein-protein interaction pairs (Weijers et al., 2005). Auxin homeostasis is controlled by a multi-level protein network consisting of biosynthesis, transport, signaling, conjugation, hydrolysis and inactivation by oxidation. During chronic stress, one or several of these processes may be altered for stress adaptation, which makes it challenging to accomplish a comprehensive view. Auxin signaling may be decreased by several mechanisms depending on the stress signal and tissue type. Lack of glutathione has been shown to decrease auxin efflux carriers, PINs (Koprivova et al., 2010; Bashandy et al., 2010). These results relate plant redox status to auxin responses and development (Tognetti et al., 2011). Improved antioxidant status of *tir1 afb2* mutant was suggested as the reason for increased oxidative stress tolerance (Iglesias et al. 2010), but the precise mechanism how this is achieved is unknown. Increased apoplastic oxidation by ascorbate oxidase overexpression decreases responses to auxin treatment but simultaneously increases growth in tobacco, which might be due to constitutive auxin signaling taking place (Pignocchi et al., 2006). This may be related to the increased expression of several auxininduced genes observed in O_3 -treated plants (III). It might be that ROS have also auxinindependent effects on plant development (Tsukagoshi et al., 2010). Because some pathogens actively produce auxin (Kazan and Manners 2009), pathogen-related growth phenotypes may be due to complex effects on the plants auxin status. Detailed studies on auxin homeostasis mutants are required to assess the role of auxin network components in regulating SIMR in response to biotic and abiotic stress. Mutant studies should also take into consideration both the redundancy and divergence within the gene families of this network. Due to the importance of auxin for plant development, these studies are also complicated by putative developmental defects of these mutant genotypes, which may have a significant impact on the stress responses.

4.2.4 Cell death and gene expression

4.2.4.1 Are rcd1 stress phenotypes pre-determined by clean air gene expression?

The oxidative signaling in rcd1 was studied with microarrays to gain insight in the transcriptional regulation involved in PCD (IV). Additionally, the role of RCD1 as transcriptional co-regulator was addressed. The overall transcriptional responses of rcd1 and Col-0 to O_3 treatment were very similar: of the 3635 and 4102 genes regulated by apoplastic ROS in Col-0 and in *rcd1*, respectively, large majority (2897 genes) were similarly regulated in both genotypes in response to apoplastic ROS (IV). Expression levels of several hundreds of genes classified O_3 -responsive only in a particular genotype (419 genes in Col-0 and 845 in *rcd1*) showed less than two-fold differences between the genotypes (IV). The larger number of O_3 -regulated genes in *rcd1* at every individual time point indicated that O_3 -induced responses are exaggerated in *rcd1* (IV). More detailed analysis revealed that the majority of the O₃-responsive genes were more strongly regulated in *rcd1* than in Col-0 at all time points, however, with less than two-fold difference in the level of expression (IV). This suggested that the differences between these genotypes in response to apoplastic ROS may be mostly quantitative. Similarly, changes in Arabidopsis gene expression evoked by incompatible and compatible pathogen, causing PCD and not, respectively, are largely also quantitative (Tao et al. 2003). Therefore, it has been suggested that when oxidative stress exceeds a certain (yet unidentified) threshold, PCD occurs (Van Breusegem and Dat 2006; Mullineaux and Baker 2010). More open stomata might cause a higher initial O₃ dose before stomata close in response to O₃ (Ahlfors et al., 2004a; Vahisalu et al., 2008; Vahisalu et al., 2010). This effect alone could be sufficient to render $rcd1 O_3$ sensitive, as increasing the O_3 concentration also induces lesion formation in otherwise O₃-tolerant Col-0 (IV). However, the sensitivity of rcd1 to apoplastic O_2^{\bullet} produced by infiltration of xanthine and xanthine oxidase (Overmyer et al., 2000), which is independent of stomatal opening, suggests that there are additional components involved in PCD in rcd1 mutant. Furthermore, NO production is increased by O_3 treatment and *rcd1* mutant has a constitutively higher NO level in clean air (Ahlfors et al., 2009), which might contribute to $rcd1 O_3$ sensitivity due to its proposed role in cell death and synergistic interaction with ROS (Zago et al., 2006; Ahlfors et al., 2009).

As most of the genes exhibited similar O_3 -responses in both genotypes, only 361 genes had more than two-fold differences between the genotypes (IV). In addition to a stronger initial response, the O_3 response in *rcd1* was prolonged in comparison to Col-0 (III). This is apparent as higher numbers of O_3 -regulated genes still at 8 h and 24 h. The identities of these genes largely overlapped with earlier time points. This might be due to several reasons: stronger initial response, lack of negative regulation and/or prolonged induction of gene expression. Degradation of defense proteins may be involved in the recovery of stress, and proteosome function is enriched among O_3 -responsive transcripts in the late time points (III). Albeit there seems to be no difference between the genotypes in the proteosome-related transcripts, accumulating data hints towards proteosomal malfunction in *rcd1* (IV; Dr. J. Vainonen, unpublished data). ROS formation along the progressing lesions in *rcd1* has also been demonstrated (Overmyer et al., 2000), and this ROS source might also contribute to the prolonged expression of O_3 -responsive genes in *rcd1* (IV).

Is rcd1 primed for stress? And if so, to which one? A new, unexpected answer to these questions was discovered from the similarity between *rcd1* clean air gene expression and heat stress (IV). Furthermore, a mitochondrial double mutant msh1 recA3 tolerant to heat has constitutively higher expression of heat-induced genes such as AOX1a, UPOX1, NFXL1, ANAC013, UNIVERSAL STRESS PROTEIN (At3g03270), mitochondrial HSP70, CRF6 and an ACC oxidase (Shedge et al., 2010), which are also more highly expressed in *rcd1* in clean air (I; IV). Intriguingly, rcd1 may possess tolerance to heat shock (Dr. J. Vainonen, unpublished data). However, no role for mitochondrial genes UPOX1 and AOX1 were found governing the O_3 tolerance in *rcd1* suggesting that mitochondrial ROS do not regulate rapid PCD in Arabidopsis (IV). AOX1 has been interpreted to offer an alternative route for electrons in plants therefore relieving oxidative stress in mitochondria. The aox1a mutants have increased anthocyanin and O_2^{\bullet} concentrations and decreased plant size in abiotic stress responses (Giraud et al., 2008). Surprisingly, overexpression of AOX1 mediated O₃ sensitivity in tobacco (Pasqualini et al., 2007) but neither lack nor constitutive activation of AOX1a altered O_3 sensitivity in our experimental conditions (IV). Genes with constitutively elevated expression in rcd1 were also shown to be less expressed during PQ treatment (IV). Whether AOX1 and/or UPOX1 have a role in heat and PQ responses remains to be elucidated in future studies.

4.2.4.2 Marker genes of PCD

An exaggerated, prolonged expression of O₃-responsive genes was present in *rcd1* mutant (IV). However, the PCD in *rcd1* has been shown to be regulated by plant hormones (SA, JA and ethylene) and AtCNGC2, a cyclic-nucleotide-gated cation channel (Overmyer et al., 2005), which tells that in *rcd1* PCD is an active process controlled by specific signaling pathways. Furthermore, inhibitor studies suggested a role for ATPases, kinases, Ca²⁺, proteolytic activity and, most importantly, transcription in this cell death regulation (Overmyer et al., 2005). To explore these signaling pathways, several double mutants with *rcd1* defective in various defense and signaling pathways were created, and this led to the identification of *WRKY70* transcription factor as a positive regulator of O₃-induced PCD (IV). This result is in accordance with WRKY70 acting as a positive and negative regulator of SA and JA signaling, respectively (Li et al., 2004). To connect the transcriptional events of PCD to decreased cell death in *wrky70* and *rcd1 wrky70*, ten marker genes differentially expressed in *rcd1* mutant were selected for qPCR analysis of O₃-treated Col-0, *wrky70, rcd1* and *rcd1 wrky70* (IV): *RAP2.6, SAP12, RAP12* and *WRKY75* transcript levels were higher and *WRKY62* expression lower in O₃-treated *rcd1. FMO1, ALD1, WRKY70, WRKY38* and *NUDX6*

had lower expression in *rcd1* in clean air according to the array data (IV). The expression of the same genes was studied also in several lesion mimic mutants (*acd2*, *acd5* and *lsd1*) to get an overview of the PCD transcriptomics. All the marker transcripts were induced by O₃ and most of them had elevated expression in the lesion-containing leaves of lesion mimic mutants, most notably in the *acd2* background (IV). Unlike other marker genes studied, *WRKY70* and *WRKY38* were not regulated in the any of the lesion mimic mutants (IV). Interestingly, *WRKY62* and *NUDX6* expression was decreased by lesion occurrence in O₃treated plants and no increased expression was present in *acd2* either, which would be consistent with either a role of negative regulator of cell death or, alternatively, lesion formation may directly decrease their expression (IV). *ALD1* and *FMO1* have been shown to be positive regulators of cell death (Zhang et al., 2008), but their expression was increased in O₃-treated *wrky70* and *rcd1 wrky70* exhibiting reduced cell death (IV). One possible explanation might be that *wrky70* plants could be defective in *ALD1* and *FMO1* signaling. Future studies with *rcd1 ald1* and *rcd1 fmo1* plants will provide insight into the role of *ALD1* and *FMO1* in the regulation of PCD induced by apoplastic ROS.

RAP2.6 is an ERF transcription factor with increased expression during biotic stress (He et al., 2004) and abiotic stress involving ABA signaling (Zhu et al., 2010). Interestingly, the highest *RAP2.6* expression was detected in *rcd1* after lesion formation at 8 h, which may indicate a role for RAP2.6 in the cell death process together with the markedly elevated expression in *acd2* (IV). However, increased ABA levels are observed in plants 8 hours after the O₃-treatment start and O₃-sensitive mutants accumulate ABA more (Overmyer et al., 2008), so this late, high *RAP2.6* expression in O₃-treated *rcd1* may also be at least partially ABA-stimulated. *SAP12* and *ZAT12* were both induced early by O₃, and an increased response was observed in *rcd1* and *rcd1* wrky70 plants (IV). Transcription factor ZAT12 is a well-known marker for systemic ROS signaling (Davletova et al., 2005b; Miller et al., 2009). SAP12 is a stress-associated, redox-regulated protein with a rapid increase in expression levels in response to abiotic stress, which is co-expressed with *ZAT12* and *RAP2.6* (Ströher et al., 2009).

Stress, defense and cell death signaling in plants are largely overlapping, which has complicated the search for cell death marker genes. Methodological limitations also play a role in this complexity, as the transcriptomic analysis of whole rosettes provides a mixture of both dead tissues, cells about to die as well as parts remaining alive. O₃-responsive genes classified into the GO category "programmed cell death" (GO:0012501) had similar expression patterns in Col-0 and *rcd1* (Table IV), which may be due to this type of dilution effect. Cell-type specific gene expression analysis utilizing either microdissection of developing lesions or cellular sorting of dying tissues may resolve this limitation (Long 2011; Taylor-Teeples et al., 2011), but these approaches may also be dependent on cell death marker genes transgenically expressed *in planta*. Also protoplasts have successfully provided a more simple experimental system for cell death studies (Asai et al., 2000). However, gene expression of O₃-treated *rcd1* at late time points clustered together with

Pseudomonas syringae pv. *maculicola* ES4326 experiments and the *accelerated cell death11* (*acd11*) lesion mimic mutant under cell death inducing conditions, which suggests the presence of a cell-death specific gene expression signature. Genes directly related to lesion occurrence and PCD progress may include for instance *AUTOPHAGY 8E* (*At2g45170*), which belonged to cluster IIIa of genes misregulated in *rcd1* (IV). Autophagy, a process for nutrient cycling and controlled cellular debris management, may also regulate PCD (Hofius et al., 2009).

That here	values depict 1082 latios.		0	-00-	S. Co	-0 CC	ntrol		rcd	0	IS. rot	11 coi	trol		LCI	11 0,	vs.	0-0-0	ć	
AGI	Description	Protein	Ь	1h 2	h 4	н 8	4 7	0 _=	h 1	4	h 4	h 8	24h	ð	F	2	4	8	24	
At1g02170	Metacaspase 1	MC1	-0,2	0,7 2	,1 1	,7 1,	0	-(),2 0	8	,8 1	9 1,	1 0,7	-°	1 0,	2 0,3	0'0	0,0	0,2	
At1g08450	Calreticulin 3	CRT3	0,1	1,6 1	,8 1	,2 1,	3 1,	2 0	,1 1	,7 2	,0 1	5 1,	5 2,0	-1,(0- 0,	5 -0,	4 -0'	t -0,2	2,0- 2	
At1g12060	Bcl-2-associated athanogene 5	BAG5	0,0	0,9 1	,1 0	,2 -0	3 -0	,2 0	,0	,9 0	,2 -0	,1 0,	1 0,2	-0	3 0,	1 -0,	7 0,2	0,1	0,1	
At1g15890	Disease resistance protein (CC-NBS-LRR class)		0'0	0,2 1	,1 0	,6 0,	0-	,2 0	,1 0	,8 1	,0 0,	5 0,	1 0,4	0,2	0'	4 0,3	-0-	2 0,2	0,2	
At1g16420	Metacaspase 8	MC8	0,0	3,4 3	,9 2	,3 1,	3 1,	-0),2 2	,7 2	,6 2	5 1,	5 1,0	-0-	5 0,	0-0,	7 0,2	. 0,3	-0,2	
At1g17600	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS-LRR class)		-0,2	0,1 0	0- 0'	,4 -1	2 -0	,2 -(,1 0)- 0,),3 -1	,1 -1,	9 -0,7	-0-	1 -0,	1 -0,	3 -0,	3 -0.	ر -0,	
At1g19250	Flavin-containing monooxygenase	FM01	-0'9	-0,4 2	,0 3	,1 2,	7 0,	-(8),2 -1	,3 2	,6 4	3 2,	9 1,1	.'0-	7 -0,	4 0,(5 0,8	\$ 0,1	0,7	
At1g20850	Xylem cysteine peptidase 2	XCP2	0'0	0,0 -0	,1 -0	,7 -1	0-0	,4 –(,1 0)- 0,	0,2 -0	,7 -1,	1 -0,5	-0	3 -0,	2 -0,	3 -0.	2 -0,2	2,0- 2	
At1g21750	Protein disulfide isomerase 5	PDI5	0'0	0,1 0	ъ,	,3 1,	8)- 6	0,2 0	0	1	0 1,	7 1,0	-0-	Ŷ,	о, О,	0	-0,	-0'	
At1g27170	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS-LRR class)		-0,3	0,5 0	0	,2 0,	9 0	,2 0	8,	,2	0- 6'(,6 0,	1 -0,9	2'0	, Ó	5 0,	·'O-	t 0,2	0'0	
At1g28380	Necrotic spotted lesions 1	NSL1	-0,3	2,1 2	,8 1	,1 0,	1 0,	-(),1 2	5 3	,2 1	,9 0,	2 0,6	-0-	1 0,	2 0,2	0,4	1 0,2	0,1	
At1g29340	Plant U-box 17	PUB17	-0,1	0,8 1	о 0	,8 ,0	0 8	2 0	,0	,1	,8	.3 0	3 0,4	0'0	0	3 0,0	0,5	0,0	0'0	
At1g32230	Radical-induded cell death 1	RCD1	0,1	0,4 1	,0 1	,1 0,	8 0,	5 0	0,0	,1 C	,6 0	3 0,	1 0,3	0,2	0	2 -0,	1 0,0	0,1	0,0	
At1g56520	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS-LRR class)		0,5	0,6 0	,8	,2 0,	3 0	.1 -(,10	,9	,7 0	1 0,	2 0,2	-0	3 0,1	0,0	-0'	1 0,0	0'0	
At1g56540	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS-LRR class)		0,2	0,3 0	,3 0	,1 -0	5 -0	,2 0	,2 0	,8 1	,5 0	0-0	6 -0,3	-0	2 0,	3 0,8	3 0,2	-0	3 -0,3	
At1g61300	Disease resistance protein (NBS-LRR class)		0,0	-0,1 -0),6 -0	,9 -0	0-	,2 0	,1 -C	,1 -(),5 -0	,7 -1,	4 -0,4	-0	3 -0,	1 -0,	2 0,2	-0-	7 -0,3	
At1g63750	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS-LRR class)		0,0	0,5 0	6,	,0 0,	6 0,	.1 -(,1 1	,4 1	,8 0	1 -0	1 -0,1	0,0	0,0	6 0,9	9 0,0	-0	3 0,1	
At1g66090	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS class)		-0,2	2,2 2	,8 1	,9 1,	0 0	.2 0	,0 2	,7 2	,4 2	7 1,	3 0,6	-0-	1 0,	1 -0,	2 0,4	1 0,2	0,4	
At1g71220	UDP-glucose:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase	UGGT	0'0	0,0 -0	,1 0	,4 1,	0 0	7 0	,1 0	,0 0	0 0'	4 1,	2 0,6	0'0	-0,	1 0,(· 0- (1 0,2	-0,	
At1g72900	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS class)		-0,1	1,8 2	,4 2	,5 1,	4 0,	9- 6.),3 2	,0 2	,2 2	3 1,	2 1,6	-0-	5 -0,	3 -0,	5 -0,	5 0,1	0,3	
At1g72910	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS class)		0'0	1,9 0	,2 0	,7 -0	5 0	3 0	,0 2	,5 -(0,1 0	5 -0	4 0,7	.'0-	7 -0,	5 -0,	0- 6	3 -0,2	-0'	
At1g72940	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS class)		-0,3	1,5 0	, 8 1	,0 0	о 8	-0 -0),2 1	,2	,4 0	7 0,	4 0,4	0'0	Ϋ́	2 -0,	-0'	2 0,4	0,1	
At1g73260	Kunitz trypsin inhibitor 1	KTI1	-0,8	-0,2 0	,7 0	ς Έ	5 4	-0-	0,1 0	,4	,1	9,4,	5 5,0	7'0	°	, Ó	3 1,4	1,1	0,5	
At2g19860	Hexokinase 2	HXK2	0'0	-0,5 -0	0- 6'(,8 -0	4	-(,1 -0	- 4),8 -1	,1 -0,	5 -0,3	0,1	°	1 0,0	-0-	2 0,1	0,1	
At2g26560	Phospholipase A 2A	PLA2A	-0,5	4,3 4	,8 2	,0 0,	5 1,	4 0	,9 4	0	,7 3	6 1,	3 2,5	0,9	.0	2 -0,	4 0,0	.0- (2 1,0	
At2g41560	Calcium-transporting ATPase 4	ACA4	0,0	-0,1 -1	.,0 -1	,8 -1	2 -0	,4 -(),2 0)- 0,),8 -1	,9 -1	5 -0,6	·'0-	4 -0,	3 0,(.0- (2 -0,	-0'	
At2g46240	Bcl-2-associated athanogene 6	BAG6	-0,1	-0,1 0	,9	,3 0,	3	- 9	0,1 -0	,3 1	,2 2	0,0,	2 1,1	-0-	2 -0,	3 0,	0,5	-0-	L 0,4	
At3g04210	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS class)		-0,1	1,7 1	,6 1	,5 0,	1 1,	.3	0,1 2	,7 1	,5 1	6 0,	3 1,9	-1,	0,0	0-	-0,	-0;	3 -0,	
At3g04220	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS-LRR class)		-0,3	1,6 2	,6 1	,7 0,	8)- 6	,4 1	,6 3	,1 1	,0	7 0,7	0,1	0	6 0,	-0-	1 -0,	- 0,2	
At3g11820	Syntaxin 121	SYP121	0,0	3,1 3	,6 2	,5 1,	3	7 0	,2 3	4 3	,5 3	3 1,	5 1,2	-0-	4 0,	0-	4 0,1	-0-	-0,	
At3g13610	Oxoglutarate and Fe(II)-dependent oxygenase		-0,4	0,1 1	,8 3	,3 3,	7 0,	5 0	,9 -C	,5 C	,4 4	.2 3,	5 1,9	0,0	-0,	1 0,:	0,3	-0'	L 0,2	
At3g14470	Disease resistance protein (NBS-LRR class)		-0,3	0,4 1	,7 1	,4 0,	9 0	.3 -(),2 0	,4 2	,1 1	.8 1,	1 0,4	-0	3 -0,	1 0,	5 0,3	0,1	-0'7	
At3g27060	Ribonucleotide reductase	TS02	0,0	-0,1 -0),3 -0	,8 -1	0	4 0	0,0	,1 -(0,7 -1	,1 -1,	8 -0,3	0,8	0,0	8 0,4	1 0, T	, 0,1	0,2	
At3g44400	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS-LRR class)		0,6	0,3 1	,5 1	,0	6 0	2 0	,3 1	,2	,2 1	0 0,	3 0,5	-0-	0,0	7 0,(0,0	0,3	0,2	
At3g45860	Cysteine-rich receptor-like protein kinase 4	CRK4	0,4	0,9 0	,6 -0	,1 -0	1 0	4 0	,1 1	,2	0- 0(,5 -0,	5 0,0	-1,	4 ,0	6 -1,	3 -1,	-1,	t -1,7	
At3g48090	Enhanced disease susceptibility 1	EDS1	0,0	1,7 1	,7	,9 1,	0	6	,1	8	,5 1	5 1,	4 1,0	-0-	ې 0	2 0,3	3 0,0	0,0	-0,6	
At3g50950	Disease resistance protein (CC-NBS-LRR class)		0,0	1,9 1	8	,4 0,	4),2 2	,1	,2 2	,0 0	3 1,2	-0-	-0,	2 0,(-0-	2 0,1	.,0-	
At3g52400	Syntaxin 122	SYP122	-0,1	2,5 4	,3 2	,2 1,	3 1	4 0	,4 2	,3 4	,1 3	0 1,	2 2,2	0,6	0	8 0,9	0,0	-0.	2 0,1	

Table IV. O₃-responsive genes belonging to the GO category "Programmed cell death" (GO:0012501) have similar expression patterns in Col-O and red Values denict los ratios.

42

Table IV continues

I able IV CI	ontinues																			
			- 0	ő	vs. Co	ol-0 cc	ontrol	_	LCC	41 O ₃	vs. ro	d1 co	ntrol		-	cd1 C) ₃ vs.	Col-O	ő	
AGI	Description	Protein	ч	ц	2h 4	8 41	h 2	ू द	ЧO	1h	2h ,	th 8	р 2 ⁷	4	ч	1h	2h	th th	8h	4h
At3g54420	Class IV chitinase	EP3	-0,1 (3,5 ÷	l,1 0),2 -C),3 -0	,1	0,0	0,7	1,1 (0,5 0	,0 0	,2	-0,1	- 0'0	0,2	0,2 (0,2	0,1
At3g57330	Calcium-transporting ATPase 11	ACA11	0,1	1,6 2	2,1 1	,0 0	,6 0	,7	0,2	2,0	2,3	1,2 0	,9 0	,7	-0,2	- 0'0	0,3 -	0,2 (· 0'c	0,3
At4g08920	Crytochrome 1	CRY1	-0,1	0,3 -	1,0 -1	l,0 -C),2 -0	,1	-0,2	-0,3	1,0 -	1,4 0	,0 -C),1	0'0	-0,1 -	0,2 -	0,6 (· 0'c	0,1
At4g11280	ACC synthase 6	ACS6	0,1	2,3 2	2,7 1	.,5 0	,7 0	,4	-0,3	2,5	3,2	2,1 1	,0 1	,3	-0,2	- 0'0	0,2),4 (3,3	0,6
At4g12720	Nudix hydrolase 7	NUDX7	0,1	2,6 3	8,4 2	,5 2	,6 0	8,	0,3	2,9	2,8 3	3,5 2	,8 1	,6	-0,6	0,2 -	0,4	0,1 (D,1	0,1
At4g17580	Bax inhibitor-1 family protein		0,1	0,4 -	0,5 -1	l,2 -C),2 -0	,4	0,3	-0,1	- 0,7	0,8 -(,4 0	0,	0,1	- 0'0	0,4	0,1 -	0,3	0,0
At4g20380	Lesions simulating disease	LSD1	0'0	0,3 (0 6'0	0,4 0	,5 0	,2	0,1	0,5	1,6 (0 6'0	8,	,3	0,1	0,2 (0,7	0,5 (0,4	0,2
At4g20870	Fatty acid hydroxylase 2	FAH2	0,1 (),1 _	0,3 -1	l,0 -0),6 -0),6	0'0	0,1	0,5 -	0,7 -(),5 -0),6	-0,2	- 0'0	0,2	0,2 -	0,1	0,2
At4g23280	Cysteine-rich receptor-like protein kinase 20	CRK20	0'0	1,1 (),6 0	,4 0	,1 0	,3	-0,1	0'0	1,8 (),3 -(,3 1	,1	- 0'0-	-0,5 (),6 (J,3 -	0,3	0,2
At4g25230	RPM1 interacting protein 2	RIN2	0'0	0,1	l,3 1	.,2 0	,7 0	,4	0,1	0,0	1,5	1,9 0	,9 0	,7	0,1 -	-0,1 (0,1 (0,4 (3,3	3,3
At4g26090	Disease resistance protein RPS2 (CC-NBS-LRR class)	RPS2	0'0	1,1 (),5 1	.,1 0	,4 0	,5	0,3	0,9	0,4	l,0 0	,9 1	,2	- 0,4 -	-0,3 -	0,1 -	0,6 (0,0	0,1
At4g33300	Disease resistance protein RPS2 (CC-NBS-LRR class)	ADR1-L1	0'0	1,7 :	L,8 1	.7 1	,6 1	,1	-0,3	2,6	2,7	l,3 1	,8 1	,4	-0,9	0,1 (- 9'C	0,7 (· 0'c	0,4
At4g36150	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS-LRR class)		-0,3 (0,9 (0,7 0	0 6'(,3 0	,1	-0,1	1,2	0,6 (0,5 0	,1 0	,4	0'0	-0,2 (),1 -	0,3 -	0,4	0,2
At4g37000	Accelerated cell death2	ACD2	- 0'0	0,2	0,7 -1	l,0 -C	0- 8(,4	-0,1	-0,2	- 6'0	1,2 -(0- 6(),2	-0,3	-0,2 -	0,3 -	0,4 -	0,4	0,2
At4g37980	Mannitol dehydrogenase	ELI3-1/CAD7	0,1 -	0,4	0,8 0),1 0	,6 -0	,4	0,0	-0,3	0,7 (0,2 1	,3 -0),4	-0,2	-0,1 -	0,1	0,2 (3,8	0,1
At5g04720	Disease resistance protein (CC-NBS-LRR class)	ADR1-L2	0,1	1,4 (),8 1	.,4 1	,2 0	,5	0,0	1,7	1,4	l,1 1	,3 0	,7	-0,5	0,0),2 -	0,5 (· 0'c	0,1
At5g10380	Zinc finger (C3HC4-type RING) protein	RING1	0'0	0,9 (),6 0	,1 1	,8 1	,4	0,1	1,7	0,7 (0,7 1	,8 1	8,	-1,2	-0,1 -	0,6 -	- 6'0	0,8	0,7
At5g11250	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS-LRR class)		0,2 (0,3 (0 0(),3 -C	,4 0	,1	-0,4	1, 1	- 0'0	0,1 -(,1 0	,4	-0,8	0,1 -	0,3 -	- 9'0	0,1	0,1
At5g15410	Cyclic nucleotide-regulated ion channel 2	DND1	0,1 -	0,4 -	1,5 -1	L,3 -C	0,8,0	0,	0,1	-0,5	-1,8 -	2,0 -(0- 6(),3	-0,1 -	-0,2 -	0,5 -	- 6'0	0,3	0,5
At5g20480	LRR transmembrane protein kinase		0,5 (; 6,C	l,6 1	.,3 0	,4 0	,3	-0,3	1,3	2,2	l, 3 1	,0 1	,4	-0,1	0,3 (D,7	0,0	J,2	0,2
At5g22690	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS-LRR class)		-0,6	1,7 :	L,4 0)C),2 0	,2	-0,3	2,4	1,6 (0,7 0	,0 0	8,	0,0	0,7 (0,4 (0,2 (0,0	7,7
At5g44870	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS-LRR class)		-0,1	1,1	L,3 0	,7 0	,2 0	,1	0,1	0,9	1,6	l,0 0	,2 0	,4	-0,1 -	-0,1 (0,1 -	0,1 -	0,2	0,0
At5g45060	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS-LRR class)		-0,1 (0,7 3	L,2 0)C	,4 0	,3	0,1	0,7	1,5 (),7 -(),3 0	,1	-0,1 -	-0,1 (0,2 (0,1 (),2 ·	0,3
At5g45250	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS-LRR class)	RPS4	-0,1 (0,7	L,2 1	.,1 0	,4 0	,3	0,0	0,6	1,5	l,4 0	,4 0	,5	0,1 .	-0,1 (0,1	0,2 (D,1	0,2
At5g46450	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS-LRR class)		-0,1	1,1 (),5 0	,1 0	,2 -0	,1	0,0	1,2	0,8 -	0,2 -(),3 0	,2	-0,1	0,2 -	0,1 -	0,3 -	0,1	0,0
At5g46510	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS-LRR class)		0,0	1,0 (0,2 0),3 -C),2 -0	,4	0,1	1, 1	0,4 -	0,2 -(),3 -0	0,4	0,1	0,2 (0,1 -	0,2 -	0,1	0,0
At5g46520	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS-LRR class)		0,1	1,2	L,0 0	,8 0	,6 0	,5	0,4	1,6	2,0 (0,8 0	,5 0	,1	-0,2	0,1 (),5 -	0,3 (. 0,0	0,3
At5g47120	Bax inhibitor-1	BI-1	0,1 (0,3	2,0 2	,2 2	,3 1	,2	-0,1	0,1	1,9	2,5 2	,5 1	,9	-0,5	-0,2 (0,2 -	0,1 (0,2	0,2
At5g47910	Respiratory burst oxidase protein D	RBOHD	-0,2	2,3	2,8 1	.,6 0	,8	,1	0,1	2,2	2,7	2,4 0	,8 1	,2	-0,1	- 0,4 -	0,3	0,1 -	0,3	0,2
At5g51630	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS-LRR class)		0,1 (0,3	l,1 0	0 6(,6 0	,6	0,0	0,3	1,5	l,4 1	0 0	,7	-0,2	0'0	1,0	0,3 (D,1	D,1
At5g52060	Bcl-2-associated athanogene 1	BAG1	0,1 -	0,8 -	0- 6'0	0,3 0	,0 0	,5	0,0	-0,8	1,1 -	0,2 0	,0 ,	,9	0'0	-0,1 -	0,3	- 0'c	0,1	0,3
At5g54250	Cyclic nucleotide-regulated ion channel 4	DND2	0,1 -	0,2	0,8 -(0,7 0	,0 0	,5	0,2	-0,2	-1,0 -	0,5 -(,4 -0),5	-0,1	-0,3 -	0,3	- 0'c	0,3	0,0
At5g58120	Disease resistance protein (TIR-NBS-LRR class)		-0,3	1,3	2,1 0	,7 0	,7	0	-0,2	2,2	3,0	0,9 1	0	,3	-0,4	0,4 (0,3 -	0,1	0,2
At5g61900	Calcium-dependent phospholipid binding	BON1	0,1	1,4	2,1 0	,8 0	0	4	0,1	2,0	3,6	l,6 1	,1 0	8	-0,2	0,3	1,0	0,2 (),1	0,2
At5g62100	Bcl-2-associated athanogene 2	BAG2	0,1 -	1,0 -	0,1 -(0,2 -0),2 -0	,5	0,1	0'0	0,1 -	0,4 -(),3 0	,1	0,1	0,6 (0,2 -	0,2 -	0,1	D,1
At5g66630	DA1-related protein 5	DAR5	-1,1 (0,4 (),5 0),3 0	8	0	0,5	0,3	1,2 (0,3 0	,1 0	,1	1,0	-0,3 (0,2 -	0,2 -	0,3	0,3
At5g66900	Disease resistance protein (CC-NBS-LRR class)		0,2 (0,7	L,3 0	,4 0	0 0	,3	-0,2	0,9	1,4 (0,8 0	,4 0	č,	-0,2	0,1 (0,2 (0,5 (0,3	0,1
At5g66910	Disease resistance protein (CC-NBS-LRR class)		-0,3 (0,3 (),5 1	.,1 0	,6 0	,3	0,1	0,1	1,0	l,2 0	,9 0	,3	-0,1 .	-0,3 (0,3	0,0	. 0,0	0,2

43

5 Conclusions and future perspectives

Plants adapt to their environment by adjusting their cellular metabolism. Different stresses activate or repress partially overlapping signaling networks, in which plant hormones and ROS participate and interact with each other. In this work, auxin signaling was shown to be transiently decreased by apoplastic ROS in a manner independent from SA and ethylene signaling. Decreased expression of several auxin F-box receptor transcripts was observed, which may have resulted in stabilized Aux/IAA proteins. Approximately one third of auxin-responsive transcripts were regulated (either increased or decreased) by apoplastic ROS and similar expressional responses were observed in BTH, H_2O_2 , UV-B, auxin transport inhibitor TIBA and PQ treatments. Altogether, these stresses share a common effect on auxin-regulated gene expression, which may result in similar adaptations in plant development. Indeed, stress-induced morphogenic responses have similar features in common albeit the initiating stresses and signals may vary.

Plants have also a wealth of gene families, which have arisen from genome duplications and later diversified to fulfill various important tasks. However, certain functions have remained shared (Briggs et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2011). The plant-specific SRO protein family is an example of unequal genetic redundancy, in which RCD1 and SRO1 together regulate plant development. RCD1 appears as a unique member of this gene family, because sro1 or sro5 do not have altered growth habitus or altered sensitivity to apoplastic ROS. Additionally, the pleiotropic rcd1 mutant phenotype is also accompanied by changes of gene expression in the clean air whereas sro1 and sro5 showed only few genes misregulated under the same growth conditions. The conservation of the C-terminal RST-domain in the SRO proteins is detected as the capability of RCD1, SRO1 and SRO5 to interact with the same proteins or proteins belonging to the same transcription factor families. The WWE domain present in RCD1 and SRO1 but lacking from SRO5 (and SROs 2 to 4) may be involved in the fine-tuning of some protein-protein interactions, but altogether these interactions and their role in plant development and stress responses will need to be verified in planta. Yet unpublished and ongoing work with RCD1 protein has gained very interesting and promising results: It was long unsure, whether RCD1 even exists in adult plants, but epitope-tagged RCD1 is detected in *rcd1* complementation lines at very low levels in three-week- old plants and it has also been shown that the RCD1 protein levels are stress-regulated (Dr. J. Vainonen, submitted). Further challenge for RCD1 work will be the low amount of the protein for the verification of interaction partners and post-translational modifications. However, this work is well on its way and may provide novel aspects in plants stress responses and for the analysis of gene expression data.

Transcriptomic analysis of oxidative signaling in O_3 -treated *rcd1* revealed elevated expression in the late time points of some target genes of DREB2A, which is an ERF transcription factor interacting with RCD1 in the yeast-2-hybrid system. Because there are no published studies with DREB2A and apoplastic ROS, studies with DREB2A knock-out and

constitutively active DREB2A in the *rcd1* background are needed to determine whether lack of DREB2A interaction causes sensitivity to apoplastic ROS. The expression of O_3 -responsive targets of RCD1-interacting TGA2 and AS1 was not significantly altered in *rcd1*. It remains a possibility that O_3 sensitivity in *rcd1* is caused by a prestressed condition rather than the lack of protein-protein interactions during stress. These alternatives may also coexist. A suitable tool in the future studies to investigate these alternatives could an inducible RNAi line of *RCD1*, of which transgenic plants already exist (T. Blomster, unpublished). Cell death has been proposed to be regulated by a stress threshold, but clearly this threshold is adjusted in the case of *rcd1 wrky70* mutant by signaling pathways conveying the information to the cell death machinery. For the *rcd1* signaling leading to PCD, double mutants in several pathogen-related pathways are being analyzed. Future work with PCD may also try to narrow down the "cell death signature" of O_3 -treated *rcd1* with microarray data clustering and data mining, and compare that to cell-death specific tissue samples.

Analysis of full genome microarray experiments has provided valuable information about the biological processes during stress. As the amount of such data continues to pile up, new methods to analyze the results are being developed. Current understanding of the stressregulated transcriptome could benefit from more time-series in microarray studies. Also next-generation sequencing tools will increase the amount of transcripts detected and phenomena like miRNA and alternative splicing in stress responses can also be monitored. Arabidopsis genome contains approximately two thousand transcription factors, and a similar number is found in the human genome. The function of Arabidopsis transcription factors is still largely unknown: even within the best-characterized TF families the individual transcription factors may be either transcriptional regulators or activators, or even both, by mechanisms not well defined. Also the DNA-binding sites and their specificity will need more studies with chromatin immunoprecipitation. Therefore, the novel role for SRO proteins as transcriptional co-regulators may yet be masked under functional redundancy between SROs and their interacting partners. Solving the mystery of ROS perception will address mechanisms of both local and systemic stress responses, and direct regulation of protein (transcription factor) activity by redox changes will be of great importance for future plant stress and development research at the transcriptional level.

6 Acknowledgements

This work was carried out at the Division of Plant Biology (Department of Biosciences, University of Helsinki) in the Plant Stress Group led by Prof. Jaakko Kangasjärvi. Financial support from Viikki Doctoral Programme in Molecular Biosciences, Finnish Centre of Excellence in Plant Signal Research and University of Helsinki is acknowledged for making this thesis work possible.

I wish to thank my supervisor Prof. Jaakko Kangasjärvi for the opportunity to work in his group with such a fascinating/frustrating topic as RCD1. I still have his drawing of the SRO gene family which launched this thesis work years (and years) ago. His experience, knowledge and nerves of steel have certainly been greatly appreciated during this time. I warmly thank my second supervisor, Doc. Kirk Overmyer, for the lessions in scientific thinking and writing which were required to finalize my PhD project. I appreciate the time you took to for me from your busy schedule. Members of my Follow-Up Group, Prof. Ykä Helariutta and Prof. Paula Elomaa, were excellent scientific advisors and also sources of encouragement. I am thankful to Prof. Paula Elomaa and Prof. Hely Häggman for their valuable comments and efforts in evaluating this thesis. I am grateful for the scientific contribution of all my co-author's, and especially the chemistry and bioinformatics experts are acknowledged for their efforts to understand biology and endure me.

The past and present members of the Plant Stress Group, mostly "stressed in black", have all more or less contributed to this work, at least by providing the Team Spirit. Dr. Mikael Brosché has been indispensable as a colleague and co-author, and is the person I the least enjoy disagreeing with. Expect about Melodifestivalen and ABBA. Thanks to Dr. Pinja Jaspers, articles in this book advanced hugely even when I was absent for a couple of years, and I am in awe of her determination and energy as a scientist, tennis player, mother, sailor, lawyer... and most importantly, as a friend. Dr. Reetta "Kutale" Ahlfors taught me the ABC of scientific conferences (tax-free alcohol and the importance of speed in getting coffee before others) and was a fääntästik office mate, who shared my interest in owen sausage. Triin Vahisalu reassured me that everything was OK when I was in crisis and for that I am thankful. I would not have given up my old apartment to any lesser of a friend! Jarkko "Ahlfors" Salojärvi put my data in order with his statistical super powers, I must apologize for not making more hybridizations for you to analyze ;-) Tuomas "Abraham" Puukko is gratefully acknowledged for his superb technical assistance with qPCR, photographs and ion leakage samples. My post-doc role models, Jorma Vahala and Annikki Welling, have always been great company, both at work and at parties. Julia Vainonen has provided important peer support as an RCD1 co-worker and as a mom. I greatly appreciate Airi Lamminmäki's relaxed attitude and helpfulness, they have made my work so much easier over the years. Michi "Why do we have group meetings?" and Kirk "Why don't you ever agree with me?": Thanks for all your help, weird humor and ice hockey trivia. Raili, Adrien, Hannes, Saara, Niina, Johanna, Lauri, Maija, Sanna, Shaman, Fuqiang, Ramesha, Enjun, Eve: Thanks!

I wish to thank all the Plant Biology people working on the 6th floor of Biocenter 3 for the excellent working environment. Especially Kurt Fagerstedt has been extremely helpful with the last bureaucratic steps of completing this thesis, and his positive attitude in leading the Plant Biology Division is greatly appreciated. I wish to thank Maritta, Marjukka, Markku and Marja for their important technical help. Gardeners Pekka Lönnqvist and Leena Grönholm are acknowledged for plant care. The Plant Growth Dynamics Group, especially my current boss Dr. Ari Pekka Mähönen, is acknowledged for the warm support, a*s kicking and patience, which allowed me to complete this thesis. Those were not taken for granted! As a whole, I would like to thank all the Viikki plant scientists for creating such a fun and important network of colleagues.

My few friends outside from work, mostly former members of Unikoris, are appreciated for organizing fun get-togethers and keeping a hot-tub available for me in Pöytyä. My father Matti, mother Raija and sister Päivi have supported me all the way, and made sure that I spend holidays also elsewhere besides at work. Kiitos! My son Aki has also more or less successfully distracted me from work by being his adorable himself and teaching me the magic of Angry Birds. I owe this thesis to him.

Helsinki, February 2012

7 References

Abel S and Theologis A (1996) Early genes and auxin action. Plant Physiol 111: 9-17

Achard P, Renou JP, Berthomé R, Harberd NP, Genschik P (2008) Plant DELLAs restrain growth and promote survival of adversity by reducing the levels of reactive oxygen species. Curr Biol **18**: 656-660

Adams-Phillips L, Briggs AG, Bent AF (2010) Disruption of poly(ADP-ribosyl)ation mechanisms alters responses of Arabidopsis to biotic stress. Plant Physiol 152: 267-280

Ahlfors R, Brosché M, Kollist H, Kangasjärvi J (2009) Nitric oxide modulates ozone-induced cell death, hormone biosynthesis and gene expression in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J **58:** 1-12

Ahlfors R, Lång S, Overmyer K, Jaspers P, Brosché M, Tauriainen A, Kollist H, Tuominen H, Belles-Boix E, Piippo M, Inzé D, Palva ET, Kangasjärvi J (2004a) Arabidopsis RADICAL-INDUCED CELL DEATH1 belongs to the WWE protein-protein interaction-domain protein family and modulates abscisic acid, ethylene, and methyl jasmonate responses. Plant Cell **16**: 1925-1937

Ahlfors R, Macioszek V, Rudd J, Brosché M, Schlichting R, Scheel D, Kangasjärvi J (2004b) Stress hormone-independent activation and nuclear translocation of mitogen-activated protein kinases in *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants during ozone exposure. Plant J **40**: 512-522

Alboresi A, Dall'osto L, Aprile A, Carillo P, Roncaglia E, Cattivelli L, Bassi R (2011) Reactive oxygen species and transcript analysis upon excess light treatment in wild-type *Arabidopsis thaliana* vs a photosensitive mutant lacking zeaxanthin and lutein. BMC Plant Biol **11**: 62

Amirsadeghi S, Robson CA, Vanlerberghe GC (2007) The role of the mitochondrion in plant responses to biotic stress. Physiol Plant **129**: 253-266

An F, Zhao Q, Ji Y, Li W, Jiang Z, Yu X, Zhang C, Han Y, He W, Liu Y, Zhang S, Ecker JR, Guo H (2010) Ethylene-induced stabilization of ETHYLENE INSENSITIVE3 and EIN3-LIKE1 is mediated by proteasomal degradation of EIN3 binding F-box 1 and 2 that requires EIN2 in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell **22**: 2384-2401

Apel K and Hirt H (2004) Reactive oxygen species: metabolism, oxidative stress, and signal transduction. Annu Rev Plant Biol **55:** 373-399

Aravind L (2001) The WWE domain: a common interaction module in protein ubiquitination and ADP ribosylation. Trends Biochem Sci 26: 273-275

Argueso CT, Raines T, Kieber JJ (2010) Cytokinin signaling and transcriptional networks. Curr Opin Plant Biol 13: 533-539

Ariizumi T, Murase K, Sun TP, Steber CM (2008) Proteolysis-independent downregulation of DELLA repression in *Arabidopsis* by the gibberellin receptor GIBBERELLIN INSENSITIVE DWARF1. Plant Cell **20**: 2447-2459

Asada K (1999) THE WATER-WATER CYCLE IN CHLOROPLASTS: Scavenging of Active Oxygens and Dissipation of Excess Photons. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol **50**: 601-639

Asai T, Stone JM, Heard JE, Kovtun Y, Yorgey P, Sheen J, Ausubel FM (2000) Fumonisin B1-induced cell death in Arabidopsis protoplasts requires jasmonate-, ethylene-, and salicylate-dependent signaling pathways. Plant Cell **12**: 1823-1836

Ashtamker C, Kiss V, Sagi M, Davydov O, Fluhr R (2007) Diverse subcellular locations of cryptogeininduced reactive oxygen species production in tobacco Bright Yellow-2 cells. Plant Physiol **143**: 1817-1826

Babajani G, Effendy J, Plant AL (2009) *SI-SRO*[1 increases salt tolerance and is a member of the *radical-induced cell death* 1-*similar to RCD*1 gene family of tomato. Plant Science **176**: 214-222

Baier M, Kandlbinder A, Golldack D, Dietz KJ (2005) Oxidative stress and ozone: perception, signalling and response. Plant Cell Environ **28**: 1012-1020

Bajguz A and Hayat S (2009) Effects of brassinosteroids on the plant responses to environmental stresses. Plant Physiol Biochem **47:** 1-8

Baker CJ and Orlandi EW (1995) Active oxygen in plant pathogenesis. Annu Rev Phytopathol **33:** 299-321

Bashandy T, Guilleminot J, Vernoux T, Caparros-Ruiz D, Ljung K, Meyer Y, Reichheld JP (2010) Interplay between the NADP-linked thioredoxin and glutathione systems in *Arabidopsis* auxin signaling. Plant Cell **22**: 376-391

Belles-Boix E, Babiychuk E, Van Montagu M, Inzé D, Kushnir S (2000) CEO1, a new protein from *Arabidopsis thaliana*, protects yeast against oxidative damage. FEBS Lett **482**: 19-24

Benjamins R and Scheres B (2008) Auxin: the looping star in plant development. Annu Rev Plant Biol 59: 443-465

Bienert GP, Møller AL, Kristiansen KA, Schulz A, Møller IM, Schjoerring JK, Jahn TP (2007) Specific aquaporins facilitate the diffusion of hydrogen peroxide across membranes. J Biol Chem **282**: 1183-1192

Bindschedler LV, Dewdney J, Blee KA, Stone JM, Asai T, Plotnikov J, Denoux C, Hayes T, Gerrish C, Davies DR, Ausubel FM, Bolwell GP (2006) Peroxidase-dependent apoplastic oxidative burst in Arabidopsis required for pathogen resistance. Plant J 47: 851-863

Bishopp A, Help H, El-Showk S, Weijers D, Scheres B, Friml J, Benkova E, Mähönen AP, Helariutta Y (2011) A mutually inhibitory interaction between auxin and cytokinin specifies vascular pattern in roots. Curr Biol **21**: 917-926

Bolwell GP, Bindschedler LV, Blee KA, Butt VS, Davies DR, Gardner SL, Gerrish C, Minibayeva F (2002) The apoplastic oxidative burst in response to biotic stress in plants: a three-component system. J Exp Bot **53**: 1367-1376

Booker FL, Burkey KO, Overmyer K, Jones AM (2004) Differential responses of G-protein *Arabidopsis thaliana* mutants to ozone. New Phytol **162**: 633-641

Borsani O, Zhu J, Verslues PE, Sunkar R, Zhu JK (2005) Endogenous siRNAs derived from a pair of natural *cis*-antisense transcripts regulate salt tolerance in *Arabidopsis*. Cell **123**: 1279-1291

Briggs GC, Osmont KS, Shindo C, Sibout R, Hardtke CS (2006) Unequal genetic redundancies in *Arabidopsis*- a neglected phenomenon? Trends Plant Sci **11**: 492-498

Brosché M, Merilo E, Mayer F, Pechter P, Puzõrjova I, Brader G, Kangasjärvi J, Kollist H (2010) Natural variation in ozone sensitivity among *Arabidopsis thaliana* accessions and its relation to stomatal conductance. Plant Cell Environ **33**: 914-925

Browse J (2009) Jasmonate passes muster: a receptor and targets for the defense hormone. Annu Rev Plant Biol **60:** 183-205

Böhmer M and Schroeder JI (2011) Quantitative transcriptomic analysis of abscisic acid-induced and reactive oxygen species-dependent expression changes and proteomic profiling in Arabidopsis suspension cells. Plant J **67**: 105-118

Cao D, Cheng H, Wu W, Soo HM, Peng J (2006) Gibberellin mobilizes distinct DELLA-dependent transcriptomes to regulate seed germination and floral development in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol **142:** 509-525

Cecchini NM, Monteoliva MI, Alvarez ME (2011) Proline dehydrogenase contributes to pathogen defense in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol **155**: 1947-1959

Chandler JW (2009) Auxin as compère in plant hormone crosstalk. Planta 231: 1-12

Chen H, Xue L, Chintamanani S, Germain H, Lin H, Cui H, Cai R, Zuo J, Tang X, Li X, Guo H, Zhou JM (2009) ETHYLENE INSENSITIVE3 and ETHYLENE INSENSITIVE3-LIKE1 repress *SALICYLIC ACID INDUCTION DEFICIENT2* expression to negatively regulate plant innate immunity in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell **21**: 2527-2540

Chen SX and Schopfer P (1999) Hydroxyl-radical production in physiological reactions. A novel function of peroxidase. Eur J Biochem **260**: 726-735

Chen Z, Silva H, Klessig DF (1993) Active oxygen species in the induction of plant systemic acquired resistance by salicylic acid. Science **262**: 1883-1886

Cheng Z, Sun L, Qi T, Zhang B, Peng W, Liu Y, Xie D (2011) The bHLH transcription factor MYC3 interacts with the Jasmonate ZIM-domain proteins to mediate jasmonate response in *Arabidopsis*. Mol Plant **4**: 279-288

Chini A, Fonseca S, Fernández G, Adie B, Chico JM, Lorenzo O, García-Casado G, López-Vidriero I, Lozano FM, Ponce MR, Micol JL, Solano R (2007) The JAZ family of repressors is the missing link in jasmonate signalling. Nature **448**: 666-671

Choi J, Choi D, Lee S, Ryu CM, Hwang I (2011) Cytokinins and plant immunity: old foes or new friends? Trends Plant Sci 16: 388-394

Chung HS, Cooke TF, Depew CL, Patel LC, Ogawa N, Kobayashi Y, Howe GA (2010) Alternative splicing expands the repertoire of dominant JAZ repressors of jasmonate signaling. Plant J 63: 613-622

Chung HS, Koo AJ, Gao X, Jayanty S, Thines B, Jones AD, Howe GA (2008) Regulation and function of Arabidopsis *JASMONATE ZIM*-domain genes in response to wounding and herbivory. Plant Physiol **146:** 952-964

Citarelli M, Teotia S, Lamb RS (2010) Evolutionary history of the poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase gene family in eukaryotes. BMC Evol Biol **10**: 308

Clayton H, Knight MR, Knight H, McAinsh MR, Hetherington AM (1999) Dissection of the ozoneinduced calcium signature. Plant J 17: 575-579

Clouse SD, Langford M, McMorris TC (1996) A brassinosteroid-insensitive mutant in *Arabidopsis thaliana* exhibits multiple defects in growth and development. Plant Physiol **111**: 671-678

Conklin PL and Barth C (2004) Ascorbic acid, a familiar small molecule intertwined in the response of plants to ozone, pathogens, and the onset of senescence. Plant Cell Environ **27:** 959-970

Conklin PL, Saracco SA, Norris SR, Last RL (2000) Identification of ascorbic acid-deficient *Arabidopsis thaliana* mutants. Genetics **154:** 847-856

Conklin PL, Williams EH, Last RL (1996) Environmental stress sensitivity of an ascorbic acid-deficient Arabidopsis mutant. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **93**: 9970-9974

Cutler SR, Rodriguez PL, Finkelstein RR, Abrams SR (2010) Abscisic acid: emergence of a core signaling network. Annu Rev Plant Biol **61:** 651-679

D'Haese D, Horemans N, De Coen W, Guisez Y (2006) Identification of late O₃-responsive genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana* by cDNA microarray analysis. Physiol Plant **128**: 70-79

Darwin C and Darwin F (1880) The Power of Movement in Plants. London: John Murray.

Dat JF, Pellinen R, Beeckman T, Van De Cotte B, Langebartels C, Kangasjärvi J, Inzé D, Van Breusegem F (2003) Changes in hydrogen peroxide homeostasis trigger an active cell death process in tobacco. Plant J **33**: 621-632

Davies PJ (2004) The plant hormones: Their nature, occurrence and function. In PLANT HORMONES: Biosynthesis, Signal Transduction, Action!, PJ Davies, ed (Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers), pp. 1-15.

Davletova S, Rizhsky L, Liang H, Shengqiang Z, Oliver DJ, Coutu J, Shulaev V, Schlauch K, Mittler R (2005a) Cytosolic ascorbate peroxidase 1 is a central component of the reactive oxygen gene network of Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **17**: 268-281

Davletova S, Schlauch K, Coutu J, Mittler R (2005b) The zinc-finger protein Zat12 plays a central role in reactive oxygen and abiotic stress signaling in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol **139**: 847-856

de Lucas M, Davière JM, Rodríguez-Falcón M, Pontin M, Iglesias-Pedraz JM, Lorrain S, Fankhauser C, Blázquez MA, Titarenko E, Prat S (2008) A molecular framework for light and gibberellin control of cell elongation. Nature **451**: 480-484

Devoto A, Ellis C, Magusin A, Chang HS, Chilcott C, Zhu T, Turner JG (2005) Expression profiling reveals *COl1* to be a key regulator of genes involved in wound- and methyl jasmonate-induced secondary metabolism, defence, and hormone interactions. Plant Mol Biol **58**: 497-513

Dharmasiri N, Dharmasiri S, Estelle M (2005a) The F-box protein TIR1 is an auxin receptor. Nature 435: 441-445

Dharmasiri N, Dharmasiri S, Weijers D, Lechner E, Yamada M, Hobbie L, Ehrismann JS, Jürgens G, Estelle M (2005b) Plant development is regulated by a family of auxin receptor F box proteins. Dev Cell 9: 109-119

Dill A and Sun T (2001) Synergistic derepression of gibberellin signaling by removing RGA and GAI function in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Genetics **159**: 777-785

Divi UK, Rahman T, Krishna P (2010) Brassinosteroid-mediated stress tolerance in Arabidopsis shows interactions with abscisic acid, ethylene and salicylic acid pathways. BMC Plant Biol **10**: 151

Doke N (1985) NADPH-dependent O_2^- generation in membrane fractions isolated from wounded potato tubers inoculated with *Phytophthora infestans*. Physiol Plant Path **27**: 311-322

Dong MA, Bufford JL, Oono Y, Church K, Dau MQ, Michels K, Haughton M, Tallman G (2007) Heat suppresses activation of an auxin-responsive promoter in cultured guard cell protoplasts of tree tobacco. Plant Physiol **145:** 367-377

Dong X (2004) NPR1, all things considered. Curr Opin Plant Biol 7: 547-552

Du H and Klessig DF (1997) Identification of a soluble, high-affinity salicylic acid-binding protein in tobacco. Plant Physiol **113:** 1319-1327

Durner J and Klessig DF (1995) Inhibition of ascorbate peroxidase by salicylic acid and 2,6dichloroisonicotinic acid, two inducers of plant defense responses. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **92:** 11312-11316

Ederli L, Morettini R, Borgogni A, Wasternack C, Miersch O, Reale L, Ferranti F, Tosti N, Pasqualini S (2006) Interaction between nitric oxide and ethylene in the induction of alternative oxidase in ozone-treated tobacco plants. Plant Physiol **142**: 595-608

Evans NH, McAinsh MR, Hetherington AM, Knight MR (2005) ROS perception in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: the ozone-induced calcium response. Plant J **41**: 615-626

Feng S, Martinez C, Gusmaroli G, Wang Y, Zhou J, Wang F, Chen L, Yu L, Iglesias-Pedraz JM, Kircher S, Schäfer E, Fu X, Fan LM, Deng XW (2008) Coordinated regulation of *Arabidopsis thaliana* development by light and gibberellins. Nature **451**: 475-479

Fernández-Calvo P, Chini A, Fernández-Barbero G, Chico JM, Gimenez-Ibanez S, Geerinck J, Eeckhout D, Schweizer F, Godoy M, Franco-Zorrilla JM, Pauwels L, Witters E, Puga MI, Paz-Ares J, Goossens A, Reymond P, De Jaeger J, Solano R (2011) The *Arabidopsis* bHLH transcription factors MYC3 and MYC4 are targets of JAZ repressors and act additively with MYC2 in the activation of jasmonate responses. Plant Cell **23**: 701-715

Feys B, Benedetti CE, Penfold CN, Turner JG (1994) Arabidopsis mutants selected for resistance to the phytotoxin coronatine are male sterile, insensitive to methyl jasmonate, and resistant to a bacterial pathogen. Plant Cell **6**: 751-759

Fischer BB, Krieger-Liszkay A, Hideg E, Šnyrychová I, Wiesendanger M, Eggen RIL (2007) Role of singlet oxygen in chloroplast to nucleus retrograde signaling in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. FEBS Lett **581:** 5555-5560

Foreman J, Demidchik V, Bothwell JH, Mylona P, Miedema H, Torres MA, Linstead P, Costa S, Brownlee C, Jones JDG, Davies JM, Dolan L (2003) Reactive oxygen species produced by NADPH oxidase regulate plant cell growth. Nature **422**: 442-446

Foyer CH and Noctor G (2003) Redox sensing and signalling associated with reactive oxygen in chloroplasts, peroxisomes and mitochondria. Physiol Plant **119**: 355-364

Foyer CH and Noctor G (2009) Redox regulation in photosynthetic organisms: signaling, acclimation, and practical implications. Antioxid Redox Signal **11:** 861-905

Fragnière C, Serrano M, Abou-Mansour E, Métraux JP, L'Haridon F (2011) Salicylic acid and its location in response to biotic and abiotic stress. FEBS Lett **585**: 1847-1852

Fujibe T, Saji H, Arakawa K, Yabe N, Takeuchi Y, Yamamoto KT (2004) A methyl viologen-resistant mutant of Arabidopsis, which is allelic to ozone-sensitive *rcd1*, is tolerant to supplemental ultraviolet-B irradiation. Plant Physiol **134**: 275-285

Fujibe T, Saji H, Watahiki MK, Yamamoto KT (2006) Overexpression of the *RADICAL-INDUCED CELL DEATH1* (*RCD1*) gene of Arabidopsis causes weak *rcd1* phenotype with compromised oxidative-stress responses. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem **70:** 1827-1831

Fujimoto SY, Ohta M, Usui A, Shinshi H, Ohme-Takagi M (2000) Arabidopsis ethylene-responsive element binding factors act as transcriptional activators or repressors of GCC box-mediated gene expression. Plant Cell **12**: 393-404

Gadjev I, Vanderauwera S, Gechev TS, Laloi C, Minkov IN, Shulaev V, Apel K, Inzé D, Mittler R, Van Breusegem F (2006) Transcriptomic footprints disclose specificity of reactive oxygen species signaling in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol **141**: 436-445

Gallego-Bartolomé J, Alabadi D, Blázquez MA (2011) DELLA-induced early transcriptional changes during etiolated development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. PLoS One **6:** e23918

Gechev TS, Gadjev IZ, Hille J (2004) An extensive microarray analysis of AAL-toxin-induced cell death in *Arabidopsis thaliana* brings new insights into the complexity of programmed cell death in plants. Cell Mol Life Sci **61:** 1185-1197

Gechev TS, Van Breusegem F, Stone JM, Denev I, Laloi C (2006) Reactive oxygen species as signals that modulate plant stress responses and programmed cell death. Bioessays 28: 1091-1101

Gilmour SJ and Thomashow MF (1991) Cold acclimation and cold-regulated gene expression in ABA mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Mol Biol **17**: 1233-1240

Giraud E, Ho LHM, Clifton R, Carroll A, Estavillo G, Tan YF, Howell KA, Ivanova A, Pogson BJ, Millar AH, Whelan J (2008) The absence of ALTERNATIVE OXIDASE1a in Arabidopsis results in acute sensitivity to combined light and drought stress. Plant Physiol **147**: 595-610

Glazebrook J (2005) Contrasting mechanisms of defense against biotrophic and necrotrophic pathogens. Annu Rev Phytopathol **43:** 205-227

Gleason C, Foley RC, Singh KB (2011a) Mutant analysis in Arabidopsis provides insight into the molecular mode of action of the auxinic herbicide dicamba. PLoS One **6:** e17245

Gleason C, Huang S, Thatcher LF, Foley RC, Anderson CR, Carroll AJ, Millar AH, Singh KB (2011b) Mitochondrial complex II has a key role in mitochondrial-derived reactive oxygen species influence on plant stress gene regulation and defense. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **108**: 10768-10773

González-Pérez S, Gutíerrez J, García-García F, Osuna D, Dopazo J, Lorenzo O, Revuelta JL, Arellano JB (2011) Early transcriptional defense responses in Arabidopsis cell suspension culture under highlight conditions. Plant Physiol **156**: 1439-1456

Gray WM, Kepinski S, Rouse D, Leyser O, Estelle M (2001) Auxin regulates SCF^{TIR1}-dependent degradation of AUX/IAA proteins. Nature **414:** 271-276

Greenberg JT (1996) Programmed cell death: a way of life for plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **93**: 12094-12097

Greenham K, Santner A, Castillejo C, Mooney S, Sairanen I, Ljung K, Estelle M (2011) The AFB4 auxin receptor is a negative regulator of auxin signaling in seedlings. Curr Biol **21:** 520-525

Grove MD, Spencer GF, Rohwedder WK, Mandava N, Worley JF, Warthen JD Jr., Steffens GL, Flippen-Anderson JL, Cook JC Jr. (1978) Brassinolide, a plant growth-promoting steroid isolated from *Brassica napus* pollen. Nature **281**: 216-217

Gudesblat GE and Russinova E (2011) Plants grow on brassinosteroids. Curr Opin Plant Biol 14: 530-537

Guilfoyle TJ and Hagen G (2007) Auxin response factors. Curr Opin Plant Biol 10: 453-460

Gunawardena AHLAN (2008) Programmed cell death and tissue remodelling in plants. J Exp Bot 59: 445-451

Hara-Nishimura I and Hatsugai N (2011) The role of vacuole in plant cell death. Cell Death Differ 18: 1298-1304

Harberd NP, Belfield E, Yasumura Y (2009) The angiosperm gibberellin-GID1-DELLA growth regulatory mechanism: how an "inhibitor of an inhibitor" enables flexible response to fluctuating environments. Plant Cell **21**: 1328-1339

He P, Chintamanani S, Chen Z, Zhu L, Kunkel BN, Alfano JR, Tang X, Zhou JM (2004) Activation of a COI1-dependent pathway in *Arabidopsis* by *Pseudomonas syringae* type III effectors and coronatine. Plant J **37:** 589-602

Heath RL (1994) Possible mechanisms for the inhibition of photosynthesis by ozone. Photosynthesis Research **39:** 439-451

Hématy K, Cherk C, Somerville S (2009) Host-pathogen warfare at the plant cell wall. Curr Opin Plant Biol 12: 406-413

Hildmann T, Ebneth M, Peña-Cortés H, Sánchez-Serrano JJ, Willmitzer L, Prat S (1992) General roles of abscisic and jasmonic acids in gene activation as a result of mechanical wounding. Plant Cell 4: 1157-1170

Hirano K, Ueguchi-Tanaka M, Matsuoka M (2008) GID1-mediated gibberellin signaling in plants. Trends Plant Sci 13: 192-199 Hofius D, Schultz-Larsen T, Joensen J, Tsitsigiannis DI, Petersen NHT, Mattsson O, Jorgensen LB, Jones JDG, Mundy J, Petersen M (2009) Autophagic components contribute to hypersensitive cell death in *Arabidopsis*. Cell **137**: 773-783

Hua J and Meyerowitz EM (1998) Ethylene responses are negatively regulated by a receptor gene family in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Cell **94:** 261-271

Huang Y, Li H, Hutchison CE, Laskey J, Kieber JJ (2003) Biochemical and functional analysis of CTR1, a protein kinase that negatively regulates ethylene signaling in *Arabidopsis*. Plant J **33**: 221-233

Iglesias MJ, Terrile MC, Bartoli CG, D'Ippólito S, Casalongué CA (2010) Auxin signaling participates in the adaptative response against oxidative stress and salinity by interacting with redox metabolism in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Mol Biol **74**: 215-222

Jabs T (1999) Reactive oxygen intermediates as mediators of programmed cell death in plants and animals. Biochem Pharmacol 57: 231-245

Jabs T, Dietrich RA, Dangl JL (1996) Initiation of runaway cell death in an *Arabidopsis* mutant by extracellular superoxide. Science 273: 1853-1856

Jaspers P, Brosché M, Overmyer K, Kangasjärvi J (2010) The transcription factor interacting protein RCD1 contains a novel conserved domain. Plant Signal Behav 5: 78-80

Jiang L, Wang Y, Björn LO, Li S (2009) Arabidopsis *RADICAL-INDUCED CELL DEATH1* is involved in UV-B signaling. Photochem Photobiol Sci 8: 838-846

Jones AM (2001) Programmed cell death in development and defense. Plant Physiol 125: 94-97

Jones B, Gunnerås SA, Petersson SV, Tarkowski P, Graham N, May S, Dolezal K, Sandberg G, Ljung K (2010) Cytokinin regulation of auxin synthesis in *Arabidopsis* involves a homeostatic feedback loop regulated via auxin and cytokinin signal transduction. Plant Cell **22**: 2956-2969

Jones JDG and Dangl JL (2006) The plant immune system. Nature 444: 323-329

Joo JH, Bae YS, Lee JS (2001) Role of auxin-induced reactive oxygen species in root gravitropism. Plant Physiol 126: 1055-1060

Joo JH, Wang S, Chen JG, Jones AM, Fedoroff NV (2005a) Different signaling and cell death roles of heterotrimeric G protein α and β subunits in the Arabidopsis oxidative stress response to ozone. Plant Cell **17**: 957-970

Joo JH, Yoo HJ, Hwang I, Lee JS, Nam KH, Bae YS (2005b) Auxin-induced reactive oxygen species production requires the activation of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase. FEBS Lett **579:** 1243-1248

Joo S, Liu Y, Lueth A, Zhang S (2008) MAPK phosphorylation-induced stabilization of ACS6 protein is mediated by the non-catalytic C-terminal domain, which also contains the *cis*-determinant for rapid degradation by the 26S proteasome pathway. Plant J **54**: 129-140

Kadono T, Tran D, Errakhi R, Hiramatsu T, Meimoun P, Briand J, Iwaya-Inoue M, Kawano T, Bouteau F (2010) Increased anion channel activity is an unavoidable event in ozone-induced programmed cell death. PLoS One 5: e13373

Kangasjärvi J, Jaspers P, Kollist H (2005) Signalling and cell death in ozone-exposed plants. Plant Cell Environ 28: 1021-1036

Kangasjärvi J, Talvinen J, Utriainen M, Karjalainen R (1994) Plant defence systems induced by ozone. Plant Cell Environ 17: 783-794

Kangasjärvi S, Lepistö A, Hännikäinen K, Piippo M, Luomala EM, Aro EM, Rintamäki E (2008) Diverse roles for chloroplast stromal and thylakoid-bound ascorbate peroxidases in plant stress responses. Biochem J **412**: 275-285

Kanofsky JR and Sima PD (1995) Singlet oxygen generation from the reaction of ozone with plant leaves. J Biol Chem 270: 7850-7852

Karlova R, Boeren S, Russinova E, Aker J, Vervoort J, de Vries S (2006) The *Arabidopsis* SOMATIC EMBRYOGENESIS RECEPTOR-LIKE KINASE1 protein complex includes BRASSINOSTEROID-INSENSITIVE1. Plant Cell **18**: 626-638

Katiyar-Agarwal S, Zhu J, Kim K, Agarwal M, Fu X, Huang A, Zhu JK (2006) The plasma membrane Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter SOS1 interacts with RCD1 and functions in oxidative stress tolerance in *Arabidopsis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **103**: 18816-18821

Katsir L, Schilmiller AL, Staswick PE, He SY, Howe GA (2008) COI1 is a critical component of a receptor for jasmonate and the bacterial virulence factor coronatine. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105: 7100-7105

Kaye Y, Golani Y, Singer Y, Leshem Y, Cohen G, Ercetin M, Gillaspy G, Levine A (2011) Inositol polyphosphate 5-phosphatase7 regulates the production of reactive oxygen species and salt tolerance in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol **157**: 229-241

Kazan K and Manners JM (2009) Linking development to defense: auxin in plant-pathogen interactions. Trends Plant Sci 14: 373-382

Kepinski S and Leyser O (2005) The *Arabidopsis* F-box protein TIR1 is an auxin receptor. Nature **435**: 446-451

Kim TW, Guan S, Sun Y, Deng Z, Tang W, Shang JX, Sun Y, Burlingame AL, Wang ZY (2009) Brassinosteroid signal transduction from cell-surface receptor kinases to nuclear transcription factors. Nat Cell Biol **11**: 1254-1260

Kinoshita T, Caño-Delgado A, Seto H, Hiranuma S, Fujioka S, Yoshida S, Chory J (2005) Binding of brassinosteroids to the extracellular domain of plant receptor kinase BRI1. Nature **433**: 167-171

Klessig DF, Durner J, Noad R, Navarre DA, Wendehenne D, Kumar D, Zhou JM, Shah J, Zhang S, Kachroo P, Trifa Y, Pontier D, Lam E, Silva H (2000) Nitric oxide and salicylic acid signaling in plant defense. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97: 8849-8855

Koprivova A, Mugford ST, Kopriva S (2010) *Arabidopsis* root growth dependence on glutathione is linked to auxin transport. Plant Cell Rep **29:** 1157-1167

Kovtun Y, Chiu WL, Tena G, Sheen J (2000) Functional analysis of oxidative stress-activated mitogenactivated protein kinase cascade in plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97: 2940-2945 Kovtun Y, Chiu WL, Zeng W, Sheen J (1998) Suppression of auxin signal transduction by a MAPK cascade in higher plants. Nature **395:** 716-720

Kwak JM, Mori IC, Pei ZM, Leonhardt N, Torres MA, Dangl JL, Bloom RE, Bodde S, Jones JDG, Schroeder JI (2003) NADPH oxidase *AtrbohD* and *AtrbohF* genes function in ROS-dependent ABA signaling in *Arabidopsis*. EMBO J **22**: 2623-2633

Laisk A, Kull O, Moldau H (1989) Ozone concentration in leaf intercellular air spaces is close to zero. Plant Physiol 90: 1163-1167

Laloi C, Stachowiak M, Pers-Kamczyc E, Warzych E, Murgia I, Apel K (2007) Cross-talk between singlet oxygen- and hydrogen peroxide-dependent signaling of stress responses in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **104**: 672-677

Lamb C and Dixon RA (1997) THE OXIDATIVE BURST IN PLANT DISEASE RESISTANCE. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 48: 251-275

Leshem Y, Seri L, Levine A (2007) Induction of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase-mediated endocytosis by salt stress leads to intracellular production of reactive oxygen species and salt tolerance. Plant J 51: 185-197

Levine A, Tenhaken R, Dixon R, Lamb C (1994) H_2O_2 from the oxidative burst orchestrates the plant hypersensitive disease resistance response. Cell **79:** 583-593

Leyser O (2010) The power of auxin in plants. Plant Physiol 154: 501-505

Li J, Brader G, Palva ET (2004) The WRKY70 transcription factor: a node of convergence for jasmonate-mediated and salicylate-mediated signals in plant defense. Plant Cell 16: 319-331

Li J and Chory J (1997) A putative leucine-rich repeat receptor kinase involved in brassinosteroid signal transduction. Cell 90: 929-938

Li J, Wen J, Lease KA, Doke JT, Tax FE, Walker JC (2002) BAK1, an *Arabidopsis* LRR receptor-like protein kinase, interacts with BRI1 and modulates brassinosteroid signaling. Cell **110**: 213-222

Li P, Mane SP, Sioson AA, Vasquez Robinet C, Heath LS, Bohnert HJ, Grene R (2006) Effects of chronic ozone exposure on gene expression in *Arabidopsis thaliana* ecotypes and in *Thellungiella* halophila. Plant Cell Environ 29: 854-868

Liu Y, Ye N, Liu R, Chen M, Zhang J (2010) H_2O_2 mediates the regulation of ABA catabolism and GA biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis* seed dormancy and germination. J Exp Bot **61:** 2979-2990

Liu Y and Zhang S (2004) Phosphorylation of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid synthase by MPK6, a stress-responsive mitogen-activated protein kinase, induces ethylene biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 16: 3386-3399

Llorente F, Muskett P, Sánchez-Vallet A, López G, Ramos B, Sánchez-Rodríguez C, Jordá L, Parker J, Molina A (2008) Repression of the auxin response pathway increases *Arabidopsis* susceptibility to necrotrophic fungi. Mol Plant 1: 496-509

Long TA (2011) Many needles in a haystack:cell-type specific abiotic stress responses. Curr Opin Plant Biol 14: 325-331

Lorrain S, Vailleau F, Balagué C, Roby D (2003) Lesion mimic mutants: keys for deciphering cell death and defense pathways in plants? Trends Plant Sci 8: 263-271

Love AJ, Milner JJ, Sadanandom A (2008) Timing is everything: regulatory overlap in plant cell death. Trends Plant Sci 13: 589-595

Ludwikow A, Gallois P, Sadowski J (2004) Ozone-induced oxidative stress response in *Arabidopsis*: transcription profiling by microarray approach. Cell Mol Biol Lett **9**: 829-842

Ludwików A, Kierzek D, Gallois P, Zeef L, Sadowski J (2009) Gene expression profiling of ozonetreated *Arabidopsis abi1td* insertional mutant: protein phosphatase 2C ABI1 modulates biosynthesis ratio of ABA and ethylene. Planta **230**: 1003-1017

Lv WT, Lin B, Zhang M, Hua XJ (2011) Proline accumulation is inhibitory to Arabidopsis seedlings during heat stress. Plant Physiol 156: 1921-1933

Ma S and Bohnert HJ (2007) Integration of *Arabidopsis thaliana* stress-related transcript profiles, promoter structures, and cell-specific expression. Genome Biol **8:** R49

Ma Y, Szostkiewicz I, Korte A, Moes D, Yang Y, Christmann A, Grill E (2009) Regulators of PP2C phosphatase activity function as abscisic acid sensors. Science **324**: 1064-1068

Mahalingam R, Gomez-Buitrago AM, Eckardt N, Shah N, Guevara-Garcia A, Day P, Raina R, Fedoroff NV (2003) Characterizing the stress/defense transcriptome of *Arabidopsis*. Genome Biology **4**: R20

Mahalingam R, Jambunathan N, Gunjan SK, Faustin E, Weng H, Ayoubi P (2006) Analysis of oxidative signalling induced by ozone in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Cell Environ **29**: 1357-1371

Mahalingam R, Shah N, Scrymgeour A, Fedoroff N (2005) Temporal evolution of the Arabidopsis oxidative stress response. Plant Mol Biol 57: 709-730

Matsuno K, Diederich RJ, Go MJ, Blaumueller CM, Artavanis-Tsakonas S (1995) Deltex acts as a positive regulator of Notch signaling through interactions with the Notch ankyrin repeats. Development **121**: 2633-2644

Maxwell DP, Wang Y, McIntosh L (1999) The alternative oxidase lowers mitochondrial reactive oxygen production in plant cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **96:** 8271-8276

Mersmann S, Bourdais G, Rietz S, Robatzek S (2010) Ethylene signaling regulates accumulation of the FLS2 receptor and is required for the oxidative burst contributing to plant immunity. Plant Physiol **154**: 391-400

Miller G, Schlauch K, Tam R, Cortes D, Torres MA, Shulaev V, Dangl JL, Mittler R (2009) The plant NADPH oxidase RBOHD mediates rapid systemic signaling in response to diverse stimuli. Sci Signal 2: ra45

Mittler R, Vanderauwera S, Suzuki N, Miller G, Tognetti VB, Vandepoele K, Gollery M, Shulaev V, Van Breusegem F (2011) ROS signaling: the new wave? Trends Plant Sci 16: 300-309

Miyazaki S, Fredricksen M, Hollis KC, Poroyko V, Shepley V, Galbraith DW, Long SP, Bohnert HJ (2004) Transcript expression profiles of *Arabidopsis thaliana* grown under controlled conditions and open-air elevated concentrations of CO₂ and of O₃. Field Crops Research: 47-59

Moeder W, Barry CS, Tauriainen AA, Betz C, Tuomainen J, Utriainen M, Grierson D, Sandermann H, Langebartels C, Kangasjärvi J (2002) Ethylene synthesis regulated by biphasic induction of 1aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid synthase and 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid oxidase genes is required for hydrogen peroxide accumulation and cell death in ozone-exposed tomato. Plant Physiol **130**: 1918-1926

Monshausen GB, Bibikova TN, Weisenseel MH, Gilroy S (2009) Ca²⁺ regulates reactive oxygen species production and pH during mechanosensing in *Arabidopsis* roots. Plant Cell **21**: 2341-2356

Moreau M, Lindermayr C, Durner J, Klessig DF (2010) NO synthesis and signaling in plants - where do we stand? Physiol Plant 138: 372-383

Mou Z, Fan W, Dong X (2003) Inducers of plant systemic acquired resistance regulate NPR1 function through redox changes. Cell **113**: 935-944

Mullineaux PM and Baker NR (2010) Oxidative stress: antagonistic signaling for acclimation or cell death? Plant Physiol 154: 521-525

Murgia I, Tarantino D, Vannini C, Bracale M, Carravieri S, Soave C (2004) *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants overexpressing thylakoidal ascorbate peroxidase show increased resistance to Paraquat-induced photooxidative stress and to nitric oxide-induced cell death. Plant J **38**: 940-953

Mustilli AC, Merlot S, Vavasseur A, Fenzi F, Giraudat J (2002) *Arabidopsis* OST1 protein kinase mediates the regulation of stomatal aperture by abscisic acid and acts upstream of reactive oxygen species production. Plant Cell **14**: 3089-3099

Naito K, Taguchi F, Suzuki T, Inagaki Y, Toyoda K, Shiraishi T, Ichinose Y (2008) Amino acid sequence of bacterial microbe-associated molecular pattern flg22 is required for virulence. Mol Plant Microbe Interact **21**: 1165-1174

Nakagami H, Soukupová H, Schikora A, Žársky V, Hirt H (2006) A Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase mediates reactive oxygen species homeostasis in *Arabidopsis*. J Biol Chem **281**: 38697-38704

Nakajima M, Shimada A, Takashi Y, Kim YC, Park SH, Ueguchi-Tanaka M, Suzuki H, Katoh E, Iuchi S, Kobayashi M, Maeda T, Matsuoka M, Yamaguchi I (2006) Identification and characterization of Arabidopsis gibberellin receptors. Plant J **46**: 880-889

Nakamura A, Nakajima N, Goda H, Shimada Y, Hayashi K, Nozaki H, Asami T, Yoshida S, Fujioka S (2006) Arabidopsis *Aux/IAA* genes are involved in brassinosteroid-mediated growth responses in a manner dependent on organ type. Plant J **45**: 193-205

Nakano T, Suzuki K, Fujimura T, Shinshi H (2006) Genome-wide analysis of the ERF gene family in Arabidopsis and rice. Plant Physiol **140:** 411-432

Nambara E and Marion-Poll A (2005) Abscisic acid biosynthesis and catabolism. Annu Rev Plant Biol 56: 165-185

Navarro L, Bari R, Achard P, Lisón P, Nemri A, Harberd NP, Jones JDG (2008) DELLAs control plant immune responses by modulating the balance of jasmonic acid and salicylic acid signaling. Curr Biol **18**: 650-655

Navarro L, Dunoyer P, Jay F, Arnold B, Dharmasiri N, Estelle M, Voinnet O, Jones JDG (2006) A plant miRNA contributes to antibacterial resistance by repressing auxin signaling. Science **312**: 436-439

Neljubow J (1901) Ueber die horizontale Nutation der Stengel von *Pisum sativum* und einiger Anderer. Pflanzen Beih Bot Zentralbl **10:** 128-139

Nemhauser JL, Hong F, Chory J (2006) Different plant hormones regulate similar processes through largely nonoverlapping transcriptional responses. Cell **126**: 467-475

Nishimura N, Sarkeshik A, Nito K, Park SY, Wang A, Carvalho PC, Lee S, Caddell DF, Cutler SR, Chory J, Yates JR, Schroeder JI (2010) PYR/PYL/RCAR family members are major *in-vivo* ABI1 protein phosphatase 2C-interacting proteins in Arabidopsis. Plant J **61**: 290-299

Nishiyama R, Watanabe Y, Fujita Y, Le DT, Kojima M, Werner T, Vankova R, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K, Kakimoto T, Sakakibara H, Schmülling T, Tran LSP (2011) Analysis of cytokinin mutants and regulation of cytokinin metabolic genes reveals important regulatory roles of cytokinins in drought, salt and abscisic acid responses, and abscisic acid biosynthesis. Plant Cell **23**: 2169-2183

Ogawa D, Nakajima N, Tamaoki M, Aono M, Kubo A, Kamada H, Saji H (2007) The isochorismate pathway is negatively regulated by salicylic acid signaling in O₃-exposed *Arabidopsis*. Planta **226**: 1277-1285

Ogawa M, Hanada A, Yamauchi Y, Kuwahara A, Kamiya Y, Yamaguchi S (2003) Gibberellin biosynthesis and response during Arabidopsis seed germination. Plant Cell **15:** 1591-1604

op den Camp RGL, Przybyla D, Ochsenbein C, Laloi C, Kim C, Danon A, Wagner D, Hideg E, Göbel C, Feussner I, Nater M, Apel K (2003) Rapid induction of distinct stress responses after the release of singlet oxygen in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **15:** 2320-2332

Overmyer K, Brosché M, Kangasjärvi J (2003) Reactive oxygen species and hormonal control of cell death. Trends Plant Sci 8: 335-342

Overmyer K, Brosché M, Pellinen R, Kuittinen T, Tuominen H, Ahlfors R, Keinänen M, Saarma M, Scheel D, Kangasjärvi J (2005) Ozone-induced programmed cell death in the Arabidopsis *radical-induced cell death1* mutant. Plant Physiol **137**: 1092-1104

Overmyer K, Kollist H, Tuominen H, Betz C, Langebartels C, Wingsle G, Kangasjärvi S, Brader G, Mullineaux P, Kangasjärvi J (2008) Complex phenotypic profiles leading to ozone sensitivity in *Arabidopsis thaliana* mutants. Plant Cell Environ **31:** 1237-1249

Overmyer K, Tuominen H, Kettunen R, Betz C, Langebartels C, Sandermann H, Jr., Kangasjärvi J (2000) Ozone-sensitive Arabidopsis *rcd1* mutant reveals opposite roles for ethylene and jasmonate signaling pathways in regulating superoxide-dependent cell death. Plant Cell **12**: 1849-1862

Overvoorde PJ, Okushima Y, Alonso JM, Chan A, Chang C, Ecker JR, Hughes B, Liu A, Onodera C, Quach H, Smith A, Yu G, Theologis A (2005) Functional genomic analysis of the *AUXIN/INDOLE-3-ACETIC ACID* gene family members in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Cell **17:** 3282-3300

Paponov IA, Paponov M, Teale W, Menges M, Chakrabortee S, Murray JAH, Palme K (2008) Comprehensive transcriptome analysis of auxin responses in *Arabidopsis*. Mol Plant **1:** 321-337

Park SY, Fung P, Nishimura N, Jensen DR, Fujii H, Zhao Y, Lumba S, Santiago J, Rodrigues A, Chow TF, Alfred SE, Bonetta D, Finkelstein R, Provart NJ, Desveaux D, Rodriguez PL, McCourt P, Zhu JK,

Schroeder JI, Volkman BF, Cutler SR (2009) Abscisic acid inhibits type 2C protein phosphatases via the PYR/PYL family of START proteins. Science **324**: 1068-1071

Parry G, Calderon-Villalobos LI, Prigge M, Peret B, Dharmasiri S, Itoh H, Lechner E, Gray WM, Bennett M, Estelle M (2009) Complex regulation of the TIR1/AFB family of auxin receptors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 106: 22540-22545

Pasqualini S, Paolocci F, Borgogni A, Morettini R, Ederli L (2007) The overexpression of an alternative oxidase gene triggers ozone sensitivity in tobacco plants. Plant Cell Environ 30: 1545-1556

Pell EJ, Schlagnhaufer CD, Arteca RN (1997) Ozone-induced oxidative stress: Mechanisms of action and reaction. Physiol Plant 100: 264-273

Pellinen R, Palva T, Kangasjärvi J (1999) Subcellular localization of ozone-induced hydrogen peroxide production in birch (*Betula pendula*) leaf cells. Plant J **20:** 349-356

Pignocchi C, Kiddle G, Hernandez I, Foster SJ, Asensi A, Taybi T, Barnes J, Foyer CH (2006) Ascorbate oxidase-dependent changes in the redox state of the apoplast modulate gene transcript accumulation leading to modified hormone signaling and orchestration of defense processes in tobacco. Plant Physiol **141:** 423-435

Potocký M, Jones MA, Bezvoda R, Smirnoff N, Žárský V (2007) Reactive oxygen species produced by NADPH oxidase are involved in pollen tube growth. New Phytol **174:** 742-751

Potters G, Pasternak TP, Guisez Y, Palme KJ, Jansen MAK (2007) Stress-induced morphogenic responses: growing out of trouble? Trends Plant Sci 12: 98-105

Qiao H, Chang KN, Yazaki J, Ecker JR (2009) Interplay between ethylene, ETP1/ETP2 F-box proteins, and degradation of EIN2 triggers ethylene responses in *Arabidopsis*. Genes Dev **23**: 512-521

Raghavendra AS, Gonugunta VK, Christmann A, Grill E (2010) ABA perception and signalling. Trends Plant Sci 15: 395-401

Rao MV and Davis KR (1999) Ozone-induced cell death occurs via two distinct mechanisms in *Arabidopsis*: the role of salicylic acid. Plant J **17**: 603-614

Rao MV, Koch JR, Davis KR (2000a) Ozone: a tool for probing programmed cell death in plants. Plant Mol Biol 44: 345-358

Rao MV, Lee H, Creelman RA, Mullet JE, Davis KR (2000b) Jasmonic acid signaling modulates ozoneinduced hypersensitive cell death. Plant Cell **12:** 1633-1646

Rao MV, Lee HI, Davis KR (2002) Ozone-induced ethylene production is dependent on salicylic acid, and both salicylic acid and ethylene act in concert to regulate ozone-induced cell death. Plant J **32**: 447-456

Rashotte AM, Mason MG, Hutchison CE, Ferreira FJ, Schaller GE, Kieber JJ (2006) A subset of *Arabidopsis* AP2 transcription factors mediates cytokinin responses in concert with a two-component pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **103**: 11081-11085

Rivas-San Vicente M and Plasencia J (2011) Salicylic acid beyond defence: its role in plant growth and development. J Exp Bot **62**: 3321-3338

Rizhsky L, Hallak-Herr E, Van Breusegem F, Rachmilevitch S, Barr JE, Rodermel S, Inzé D, Mittler R (2002) Double antisense plants lacking ascorbate peroxidase and catalase are less sensitive to oxidative stress than single antisense plants lacking ascorbate peroxidase or catalase. Plant J **32**: 329-342

Robert S, Kleine-Vehn J, Barbez E, Sauer M, Paciorek T, Baster P, Vanneste S, Zhang J, Simon S, Čovanová M, Hayashi K, Dhonukshe P, Yang Z, Bednarek SY, Jones AM, Luschnig C, Aniento F, Zažímalová E, Friml J (2010) ABP1 mediates auxin inhibition of clathrin-dependent endocytosis in *Arabidopsis*. Cell **143**: 111-121

Robert-Seilaniantz A, Navarro L, Bari R, Jones JDG (2007) Pathological hormone imbalances. Curr Opin Plant Biol 10: 372-379

Rodríguez AA, Córdoba AR, Ortega L, Taleisnik E (2004) Decreased reactive oxygen species concentration in the elongation zone contributes to the reduction in maize leaf growth under salinity. J Exp Bot 55: 1383-1390

Rodríguez AA, Ramiro LH, Bustos D, Taleisnik E (2007) Salinity-induced decrease in NADPH oxidase activity in the maize leaf blade elongation zone. J Plant Physiol **164**: 223-230

Roux M, Schwessinger B, Albrecht C, Chinchilla D, Jones A, Holton N, Malinovsky FG, Tör M, de Vries S, Zipfel C (2011) The *Arabidopsis* leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinases BAK1/SERK3 and BKK1/SERK4 are required for innate immunity to hemibiotrophic and biotrophic pathogens. Plant Cell **23**: 2440-2455

Rubinovich L and Weiss D (2010) The Arabidopsis cysteine-rich protein GASA4 promotes GA responses and exhibits redox activity in bacteria and *in planta*. Plant J **64:** 1018-1027

Sandermann H (2008) Ecotoxicology of ozone: bioactivation of extracellular ascorbate. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 366: 271-274

Santiago J, Rodrigues A, Saez A, Rubio S, Antoni R, Dupeux F, Park SY, Márquez JA, Cutler SR, Rodriguez PL (2009) Modulation of drought resistance by the abscisic acid receptor PYL5 through inhibition of clade A PP2Cs. Plant J **60**: 575-588

Savatin DV, Ferrari S, Sicilia F, De Lorenzo G (2011) Oligogalacturonide-auxin antagonism does not require post-transcriptional gene silencing or stabilization of auxin response repressors in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Physiol

Schaller A and Stintzi A (2009) Enzymes in jasmonate biosynthesis - structure, function, regulation. Phytochemistry **70**: 1532-1538

Schaller GE, Shiu SH, Armitage JP (2011) Two-component systems and their co-option for eukaryotic signal transduction. Curr Biol 21: R320-R330

Schopfer P, Liszkay A, Bechtold M, Frahry G, Wagner A (2002) Evidence that hydroxyl radicals mediate auxin-induced extension growth. Planta **214**: 821-828

Schraudner M, Moeder W, Wiese C, Van Camp W, Inzé D, Langebartels C, Sandermann H, Jr. (1998) Ozone-induced oxidative burst in the ozone biomonitor plant, tobacco Bel W3. Plant J **16**: 235-245

Segal AW (2008) The function of the NADPH oxidase of phagocytes and its relationship to other NOXs in plants, invertebrates, and mammals. Int J Biochem Cell Biol **40**: 604-618

Seki M, Ishida J, Narusaka M, Fujita M, Nanjo T, Umezawa T, Kamiya A, Nakajima M, Enju A, Sakurai T, Satou M, Akiyama K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Carninci P, Kawai J, Hayashizaki Y, Shinozaki K (2002) Monitoring the expression pattern of around 7,000 *Arabidopsis* genes under ABA treatments using a full-length cDNA microarray. Funct Integr Genomics **2**: 282-291

Shao N, Krieger-Liszkay A, Schroda M, Beck CF (2007) A reporter system for the individual detection of hydrogen peroxide and singlet oxygen: its use for the assay of reactive oxygen species produced *in vivo*. Plant J **50**: 475-487

Sharma YK and Davis KR (1994) Ozone-induced expression of stress-related genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Physiol **105**: 1089-1096

Sharma YK, León J, Raskin I, Davis KR (1996) Ozone-induced responses in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: The role of salicylic acid in the accumulation of defense-related transcripts and induced resistance. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **93**: 5099-5104

Sheard LB, Tan X, Mao H, Withers J, Ben-Nissan G, Hinds TR, Kobayashi Y, Hsu FF, Sharon M, Browse J, He SY, Rizo J, Howe GA, Zheng N (2010) Jasmonate perception by inositol-phosphate-potentiated COI1-JAZ co-receptor. Nature **468**: 400-405

Shedge V, Davila J, Arrieta-Montiel MP, Mohammed S, Mackenzie SA (2010) Extensive rearrangement of the Arabidopsis mitochondrial genome elicits cellular conditions for thermotolerance. Plant Physiol **152**: 1960-1970

Shin R, Burch AY, Huppert KA, Tiwari SB, Murphy AS, Guilfoyle TJ, Schachtman DP (2007) The *Arabidopsis* transcription factor MYB77 modulates auxin signal transduction. Plant Cell **19:** 2440-2453

Sirichandra C, Gu D, Hu HC, Davanture M, Lee S, Djaoui M, Valot B, Zivy M, Leung J, Merlot S, Kwak JM (2009) Phosphorylation of the Arabidopsis AtrbohF NADPH oxidase by OST1 protein kinase. FEBS Lett **583**: 2982-2986

Sitch S, Cox PM, Collins WJ, Huntingford C (2007) Indirect radiative forcing of climate change through ozone effects on the land-carbon sink. Nature **448**: 791-794

Spoel SH, Mou Z, Tada Y, Spivey NW, Genschik P, Dong X (2009) Proteasome-mediated turnover of the transcription coactivator NPR1 plays dual roles in regulating plant immunity. Cell **137**: 860-872

Staswick PE and Tiryaki I (2004) The oxylipin signal jasmonic acid is activated by an enzyme that conjugates it to isoleucine in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **16:** 2117-2127

Stepanova AN and Alonso JM (2009) Ethylene signaling and response: where different regulatory modules meet. Curr Opin Plant Biol **12:** 548-555

Ströher E, Wang XJ, Roloff N, Klein P, Husemann A, Dietz KJ (2009) Redox-dependent regulation of the stress-induced zinc-finger protein SAP12 in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Mol Plant **2:** 357-367

Sun Y, Fan XY, Cao DM, Tang W, He K, Zhu JY, He JX, Bai MY, Zhu S, Oh E, Patil S, Kim TW, Ji H, Wong WH, Rhee SY, Wang ZY (2010) Integration of brassinosteroid signal transduction with the transcription network for plant growth regulation in *Arabidopsis*. Dev Cell **19**: 765-777

Suzuki H, Park SH, Okubo K, Kitamura J, Ueguchi-Tanaka M, luchi S, Katoh E, Kobayashi M, Yamaguchi I, Matsuoka M, Asami T, Nakajima M (2009) Differential expression and affinities of

Arabidopsis gibberellin receptors can explain variation in phenotypes of multiple knock-out mutants. Plant J **60:** 48-55

Suzuki N, Miller G, Morales J, Shulaev V, Torres MA, Mittler R (2011) Respiratory burst oxidases: the engines of ROS signaling. Curr Opin Plant Biol 14: 691-699

Szabados L and Savouré A (2010) Proline: a multifunctional amino acid. Trends Plant Sci 15: 89-97

Szemenyei H, Hannon M, Long JA (2008) TOPLESS mediates auxin-dependent transcriptional repression during *Arabidopsis* embryogenesis. Science **319**: 1384-1386

Tada Y, Spoel SH, Pajerowska-Mukhtar K, Mou Z, Song J, Wang C, Zuo J, Dong X (2008) Plant immunity requires conformational changes of NPR1 via S-nitrosylation and thioredoxins. Science **321:** 952-956

Taguchi F, Shimizu R, Inagaki Y, Toyoda K, Shiraishi T, Ichinose Y (2003) Post-translational modification of flagellin determines the specificity of HR induction. Plant Cell Physiol **44**: 342-349

Takahashi S, Seki M, Ishida J, Satou M, Sakurai T, Narusaka M, Kamiya A, Nakajima M, Enju A, Akiyama K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K (2004) Monitoring the expression profiles of genes induced by hyperosmotic, high salinity, and oxidative stress and abscisic acid treatment in Arabidopsis cell culture using a full-length cDNA microarray. Plant Mol Biol **56**: 29-55

Tamaoki M, Nakajima N, Kubo A, Aono M, Matsuyama T, Saji H (2003) Transcriptome analysis of O_3 -exposed Arabidopsis reveals that multiple signal pathways act mutually antagonistically to induce gene expression. Plant Mol Biol **53**: 443-456

Tan X, Calderon-Villalobos LIA, Sharon M, Zheng C, Robinson CV, Estelle M, Zheng N (2007) Mechanism of auxin perception by the TIR1 ubiquitin ligase. Nature **446**: 640-645

Taylor-Teeples M, Ron M, Brady SM (2011) Novel biological insights revealed from cell type-specific expression profiling. Curr Opin Plant Biol 14: 601-607

Teale WD, Paponov IA, Palme K (2006) Auxin in action: signalling, transport and the control of plant growth and development. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol **7:** 847-859

Teotia S and Lamb RS (2009) The paralogous genes *RADICAL-INDUCED CELL DEATH1* and *SIMILAR TO RCD ONE1* have partially redundant functions during Arabidopsis development. Plant Physiol **151**: 180-198

Thimm O, Bläsing O, Gibon Y, Nagel A, Meyer S, Krüger P, Selbig J, Müller LA, Rhee SY, Stitt M (2004) MAPMAN: a user-driven tool to display genomics data sets onto diagrams of metabolic pathways and other biological processes. Plant J **37**: 914-939

Thines B, Katsir L, Melotto M, Niu Y, Mandaokar A, Liu G, Nomura K, He SY, Howe GA, Browse J (2007) JAZ repressor proteins are targets of the SCF^{COI1} complex during jasmonate signalling. Nature **448**: 661-665

Tognetti VB, Mühlenbock P, Van Breusegem F (2012) Stress homeostasis - the redox and auxin perspective. Plant Cell Environ 35: 321-333

Tognetti VB, Van Aken O, Morreel K, Vandenbroucke K, van de Cotte B, DeClercq I, Chiwocha S, Fenske R, Prinsen E, Boerjan W, Genty B, Stubbs KA, Inzé D, Van Breusegem F (2010) Perturbation
of indole-3-butyric acid homeostasis by the UDP-glucosyltransferase *UGT74E2* modulates *Arabidopsis* architecture and water stress tolerance. Plant Cell **22:** 2660-2679

Torres MA (2010) ROS in biotic interactions. Physiol Plant 138: 414-429

Torres MA, Dangl JL, Jones JDG (2002) *Arabidopsis* gp91^{phox} homologues *AtrbohD* and *AtrbohF* are required for accumulation of reactive oxygen intermediates in the plant defense response. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **99:** 517-522

Torres MA, Jones JDG, Dangl JL (2005) Pathogen-induced, NADPH oxidase-derived reactive oxygen intermediates suppress spread of cell death in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Nat Genet **37**: 1130-1134

Torres MA, Jones JDG, Dangl JL (2006) Reactive oxygen species signaling in response to pathogens. Plant Physiol **141:** 373-378

Tosti N, Pasqualini S, Borgogni A, Ederli L, Falistocco E, Crispi S, Paolocci F (2006) Gene expression profiles of O_3 -treated *Arabidopsis* plants. Plant Cell Environ **29:** 1686-1702

Tran LSP, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K (2010) Role of cytokinin responsive two-component system in ABA and osmotic stress signalings. Plant Signal Behav 5: 148-150

Tran LSP, Urao T, Qin F, Maruyama K, Kakimoto T, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K (2007) Functional analysis of AHK1/ATHK1 and cytokinin receptor histidine kinases in response to abscisic acid, drought, and salt stress in *Arabidopsis*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **104**: 20623-20628

Tsukagoshi H, Busch W, Benfey PN (2010) Transcriptional regulation of ROS controls transition from proliferation to differentiation in the root. Cell **143:** 606-616

Tuominen H, Overmyer K, Keinänen M, Kollist H, Kangasjärvi J (2004) Mutual antagonism of ethylene and jasmonic acid regulates ozone-induced spreading cell death in *Arabidopsis*. Plant J **39**: 59-69

Ueguchi-Tanaka M, Ashikari M, Nakajima M, Itoh H, Katoh E, Kobayashi M, Chow TY, Hsing YIC, Kitano H, Yamaguchi I, Matsuoka M (2005) *GIBBERELLIN INSENSITIVE DWARF1* encodes a soluble receptor for gibberellin. Nature **437**: 693-698

Ueguchi-Tanaka M, Hirano K, Hasegawa Y, Kitano H, Matsuoka M (2008) Release of the repressive activity of rice DELLA protein SLR1 by gibberellin does not require SLR1 degradation in the *gid2* mutant. Plant Cell **20:** 2437-2446

Uquillas C, Letelier I, Blanco F, Jordana X, Holuigue L (2004) NPR1-independent activation of immediate early salicylic acid-responsive genes in *Arabidopsis*. Mol Plant Microbe Interact **17**: 34-42

Vahala J, Ruonala R, Keinänen M, Tuominen H, Kangasjärvi J (2003) Ethylene insensitivity modulates ozone-induced cell death in birch. Plant Physiol **132**: 185-195

Vahala J, Schlagnhaufer CD, Pell EJ (1998) Induction of an ACC synthase cDNA by ozone in lightgrown Arabidopsis thaliana leaves. Physiol Plant 103: 45-50

Vahisalu T, Kollist H, Wang YF, Nishimura N, Chan WY, Valerio G, Lamminmäki A, Brosché M, Moldau H, Desikan R, Schroeder JI, Kangasjärvi J (2008) SLAC1 is required for plant guard cell S-type anion channel function in stomatal signalling. Nature **452**: 487-491

Vahisalu T, Puzõrjova I, Brosché M, Valk E, Lepiku M, Moldau H, Pechter P, Wang YS, Lindgren O, Salojärvi J, Loog M, Kangasjärvi J, Kollist H (2010) Ozone-triggered rapid stomatal response involves the production of reactive oxygen species, and is controlled by SLAC1 and OST1. Plant J 62: 442-453

Van Breusegem F and Dat JF (2006) Reactive oxygen species in plant cell death. Plant Physiol 141: 384-390

van Doorn WG, Beers EP, Dangl JL, Franklin-Tong VE, Gallois P, Hara-Nishimura I, Jones AM, Kawai-Yamada M, Lam E, Mundy J, Mur LAJ, Petersen M, Smertenko A, Taliansky M, Van Breusegem F, Wolpert T, Woltering E, Zhivotovsky B, Bozhkov PV (2011) Morphological classification of plant cell deaths. Cell Death Differ 18: 1241-1246

van Doorn WG and Woltering EJ (2005) Many ways to exit? Cell death categories in plants. Trends Plant Sci 10: 117-122

Vandenabeele S, Vanderauwera S, Vuylsteke M, Rombauts S, Langebartels C, Seidlitz HK, Zabeau M, Van Montagu M, Inzé D, Van Breusegem F (2004) Catalase deficiency drastically affects gene expression induced by high light in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J **39**: 45-58

Vanderauwera S, De Block M, Van de Steene N, van de Cotte B, Metzlaff M, Van Breusegem F (2007) Silencing of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase in plants alters abiotic stress signal transduction. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **104**: 15150-15155

Vanderauwera S, Suzuki N, Miller G, van de Cotte B, Morsa S, Ravanat JL, Hegie A, Triantaphylidès C, Shulaev V, Van Montagu MCE, Van Breusegem F, Mittler R (2011) Extranuclear protection of chromosomal DNA from oxidative stress. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **108**: 1711-1716

Vernoux T, Brunoud G, Farcot E, Morin V, Van den Daele H, Legrand J, Oliva M, Das P, Larrieu A, Wells D, Guédon Y, Armitage L, Picard F, Guyomarc'h S, Cellier C, Parry G, Koumproglou R, Doonan JH, Estelle M, Godin C, Kepinski S, Bennett M, De Veylder L, Traas J (2011) The auxin signalling network translates dynamic input into robust patterning at the shoot apex. Mol Syst Biol **7**: 508

Vert G, Walcher CL, Chory J, Nemhauser JL (2008) Integration of auxin and brassinosteroid pathways by Auxin Response Factor 2. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **105**: 9829-9834

Vlot AC, Dempsey DA, Klessig DF (2009) Salicylic acid, a multifaceted hormone to combat disease. Annu Rev Phytopathol 47: 177-206

Walsh TA, Neal R, Merlo AO, Honma M, Hicks GR, Wolff K, Matsumura W, Davies JP (2006) Mutations in an auxin receptor homolog AFB5 and in SGT1b confer resistance to synthetic picolinate auxins and not to 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid or indole-3-acetic acid in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol **142:** 542-552

Wang D, Amornsiripanitch N, Dong X (2006) A genomic approach to identify regulatory nodes in the transcriptional network of systemic acquired resistance in plants. PLoS Pathog 2: e123

Wang D, Pajerowska-Mukhtar K, Culler AH, Dong X (2007) Salicylic acid inhibits pathogen growth in plants through repression of the auxin signaling pathway. Curr Biol **17**: 1784-1790

Wang Y, Wang X, Tang H, Tan X, Ficklin SP, Feltus FA, Paterson AH (2011) Modes of gene duplication contribute differently to genetic novelty and redundancy, but show parallels across divergent angiosperms. PLoS One 6: e28150

Weijers D, Benkova E, Jäger KE, Schlereth A, Hamann T, Kientz M, Wilmoth JC, Reed JW, Jürgens G (2005) Developmental specificity of auxin response by pairs of ARF and Aux/IAA transcriptional regulators. EMBO J 24: 1874-1885

Weiner JJ, Peterson FC, Volkman BF, Cutler SR (2010) Structural and functional insights into core ABA signaling. Curr Opin Plant Biol **13:** 495-502

Wohlbach DJ, Quirino BF, Sussman MR (2008) Analysis of the *Arabidopsis* histidine kinase ATHK1 reveals a connection between vegetative osmotic stress sensing and seed maturation. Plant Cell **20**: 1101-1117

Wohlgemuth H, Mittelstrass K, Kschieschan S, Bender J, Weigel HJ, Overmyer K, Kangasjärvi J, Sandermann H, Langebartels C (2002) Activation of an oxidative burst is a general feature of sensitive plants exposed to the air pollutant ozone. Plant Cell Environ 25: 717-726

Woodson JD and Chory J (2008) Coordination of gene expression between organellar and nuclear genomes. Nat Rev Genet 9: 383-395

Wormuth D, Heiber I, Shaikali J, Kandlbinder A, Baier M, Dietz KJ (2007) Redox regulation and antioxidative defence in *Arabidopsis* leaves viewed from a systems biology perspective. J Biotechnol **129:** 229-248

Wright KJ, Marr MT II, Tjian R (2006) TAF4 nucleates a core subcomplex of TFIID and mediates activated transcription from a TATA-less promoter. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA **103**: 12347-12352

Wrzaczek M, Brosché M, Salojärvi J, Kangasjärvi S, Idänheimo N, Mersmann S, Robatzek S, Karpiński S, Karpińska B, Kangasjärvi J (2010) Transcriptional regulation of the CRK/DUF26 group of receptor-like protein kinases by ozone and plant hormones in Arabidopsis. BMC Plant Biol **10**: 95

Xie DX, Feys BF, James S, Nieto-Rostro M, Turner JG (1998) COI1: an Arabidopsis gene required for jasmonate-regulated defense and fertility. Science **280**: 1091-1094

Xu L, Liu F, Lechner E, Genschik P, Crosby WL, Ma H, Peng W, Huang D, Xie D (2002) The SCF^{C011} ubiquitin-ligase complexes are required for jasmonate response in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell **14:** 1919-1935

Yabuta T and Sumiki Y (1938) On the crystal of gibberellin, a substance to promote plant growth. J Agric Chem Soc Japan 14: 1526

Yaeno T, Matsuda O, Iba K (2004) Role of chloroplast trienoic fatty acids in plant disease defense responses. Plant J 40: 931-941

Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K and Shinozaki K (2006) Transcriptional regulatory networks in cellular responses and tolerance to dehydration and cold stresses. Annu Rev Plant Biol 57: 781-803

Yan Y, Stolz S, Chételat A, Reymond P, Pagni M, Dubugnon L, Farmer EE (2007) A downstream mediator in the growth repression limb of the jasmonate pathway. Plant Cell **19:** 2470-2483

Yang SF and Hoffman NE (1984) Ethylene biosynthesis and its regulation in higher plants. Annu Rev Plant Physiol **35:** 155-189

Yao N and Greenberg JT (2006) Arabidopsis ACCELERATED CELL DEATH2 modulates programmed cell death. Plant Cell 18: 397-411

Yasuda M, Ishikawa A, Jikumaru Y, Seki M, Umezawa T, Asami T, Maruyama-Nakashita A, Kudo T, Shinozaki K, Yoshida S, Nakashita H (2008) Antagonistic interaction between systemic acquired resistance and the abscisic acid-mediated abiotic stress response in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell **20**: 1678-1692

Yoo SD, Cho Y, Sheen J (2009) Emerging connections in the ethylene signaling network. Trends Plant Sci **14:** 270-279

Yoshida S, Tamaoki M, Shikano T, Nakajima N, Ogawa D, Ioki M, Aono M, Kubo A, Kamada H, Inoue Y, Saji H (2006) Cytosolic dehydroascorbate reductase is important for ozone tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Cell Physiol **47**: 304-308

Yu X, Li L, Zola J, Aluru M, Ye H, Foudree A, Guo H, Anderson S, Aluru S, Liu P, Rodermel S, Yin Y (2011) A brassinosteroid transcriptional network revealed by genome-wide identification of BESI target genes in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J **65**: 634-646

Zago E, Morsa S, Dat JF, Alard P, Ferrarini A, Inzé D, Delledonne M, Van Breusegem F (2006) Nitric oxide- and hydrogen peroxide-responsive gene regulation during cell death induction in tobacco. Plant Physiol **141**: 404-411

Zenser N, Ellsmore A, Leasure C, Callis J (2001) Auxin modulates the degradation rate of Aux/IAA proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98: 11795-11800

Zentella R, Zhang ZL, Park M, Thomas SG, Endo A, Murase K, Fleet CM, Jikumaru Y, Nambara E, Kamiya Y, Sun TP (2007) Global analysis of della direct targets in early gibberellin signaling in *Arabidopsis*. Plant Cell **19**: 3037-3057

Zhang S, Wei Y, Lu Y, Wang X (2009) Mechanisms of brassinosteroids interacting with multiple hormones. Plant Signal Behav 4: 1117-1120

Zhang Y, Liu S, Mickanin C, Feng Y, Charlat O, Michaud GA, Schirle M, Shi X, Hild M, Bauer A, Myer VE, Finan PM, Porter JA, Huang SMA, Cong F (2011) RNF146 is a poly(ADP-ribose)-directed E3 ligase that regulates axin degradation and Wnt signalling. Nat Cell Biol **13**: 623-629

Zhang Y and Turner JG (2008) Wound-induced endogenous jasmonates stunt plant growth by inhibiting mitosis. PLoS One 3: e3699

Zhang Z, Lenk A, Andersson MX, Gjetting T, Pedersen C, Nielsen ME, Newman MA, Hou BH, Somerville SC, Thordal-Christensen H (2008) A lesion-mimic syntaxin double mutant in *Arabidopsis* reveals novel complexity of pathogen defense signaling. Mol Plant 1: 510-527

Zhao Z, Andersen SU, Ljung K, Dolezal K, Miotk A, Schultheiss SJ, Lohmann JU (2010) Hormonal control of the shoot stem-cell niche. Nature **465**: 1089-1092

Zhu Q, Zhang J, Gao X, Tong J, Xiao L, Li W, Zhang H (2010) The *Arabidopsis* AP2/ERF transcription factor *RAP2.6* participates in ABA, salt and osmotic stress responses. Gene **457:** 1-12

Zweifel ME, Leahy DJ, Barrick D (2005) Structure and Notch receptor binding of the tandem WWE domain of Deltex. Structure **13:** 1599-1611

Örvar BL, McPherson J, Ellis BE (1997) Pre-activating wounding response in tobacco prior to highlevel ozone exposure prevents necrotic injury. Plant J **11**: 203-212