1	Seasonal and short-term variation in denitrification and anammox at a coastal
2	station on the Gulf of Finland, Baltic Sea
3	
4	
5	Susanna Hietanen* and Jorma Kuparinen
6	
7	Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, P.O. Box 65, 00014
8	University of Helsinki, Finland, and Tvärminne Zoological Station, University of
9	Helsinki, 10900 Hanko, Finland
10	
11	*corresponding author
12	Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences
13	P.O. Box 65
14	00014 University of Helsinki, Finland
15	Tel. +358-9-19157833
16	Fax. +358-9-19157847
17	e-mail susanna.hietanen@helsinki.fi
18	
19	
20	Keywords: denitrification, anammox, benthic bacterial production, oxygen
21	consumption, Baltic Sea
22	
23	
24	This paper has not been submitted elsewhere in similar or identical form, nor will it be
25	during the first three months after its submission to Hydrobiologia.
26	

# 1 Abstract

3	Benthic processes were measured at a coastal deposition area in the northern Baltic
4	Sea, covering all seasons. The $N_2$ production rates, 90-400 $\mu mol \ N \ m^{-2} \ d^{-1},$ were
5	highest in autumn-early winter and lowest in spring. Heterotrophic bacterial
6	production peaked unexpectedly late in the year, indicating that in addition to the
7	temperature, the availability of carbon compounds suitable for the heterotrophic
8	bacteria also plays a major role in regulating the denitrification rate. Anaerobic
9	ammonium oxidation (anammox) was measured in spring and autumn and contributed
10	10% and 15%, respectively, to the total $N_2$ production. The low percentage did,
11	however, result in a significant error in the total N2 production rate estimate,
12	calculated using the isotope pairing technique. Anammox must be taken into account
13	in the Gulf of Finland in future sediment nitrogen cycling research.
14	

- 1 1 Introduction
- 2

3 The Gulf of Finland is a eutrophic, highly seasonal sub-estuary of the Baltic Sea. It is 4 directly connected to the Baltic Proper at its western end and is under the influence of 5 the Neva River at the eastern end. In the easternmost part of the Gulf, primary 6 production is limited by phosphorus availability, whereas the central and western 7 parts are nitrogen-limited (Kivi et al. 1993, Pitkänen & Tamminen, 1995). Nutrient 8 loading into the Gulf has decreased in recent decades, due to the active protection of 9 the Gulf and economic changes (depression) in the surrounding states of Russia and 10 Estonia (Pitkänen et al. 2001). Still, 120 kt of nitrogen enter the Gulf of Finland every 11 year (Kiirikki et al. 2003). Denitrification, the sequential reduction of nitrate to 12 nitrogen gas, is a process that removes nitrogen from the aquatic ecosystem. Mass 13 balance calculations (Perttilä et al. 1995) as well as ecosystem models (Kiirikki et al. 14 2006) indicate that about 70 kt nitrogen is denitrified in the Gulf of Finland annually. 15 Denitrification has been extensively measured in the open Baltic Sea depositional 16 areas (Tuominen et al. 1998). However, the rates measured have been lower than 17 predicted, and based on these measurements, denitrification has been calculated to 18 remove only 45 kt of nitrogen from the Gulf of Finland annually. This estimate is 19 based solely on measurements performed in the open Baltic Sea depositional areas, 20 assuming that denitrification proceeds at the same rate throughout the entire basin. 21 The shallower coastal areas are hypothesized to be sites of more intense 22 denitrification due to differences in temperature and nitrate and carbon input. So far, 23 no data have been published concerning coastal denitrification in the Gulf of Finland, 24 except from the inner Neva estuary, where it was very low (Gran & Pitkänen, 1999). 25 Another natural process removing fixed nitrogen from the aquatic ecosystem,

1	anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox), has recently been found in marine
2	sediments also (Dalsgaard & Thamdrup, 2002, Thamdrup & Dalsgaard, 2002,
3	Trimmer et al. 2003). No information on the importance of this process, in which
4	ammonium is oxidized with nitrite to form nitrogen gas, is available from the Baltic
5	Sea area.
6	To estimate seasonal and short-term variation in coastal nitrogen removal and carbon
7	cycling, we measured denitrification and benthic bacterial production, oxygen
8	consumption and oxygen penetration into the sediment at a coastal station in the
9	northern Gulf of Finland. The processes were measured in May, August, October and
10	December 2003 and in April 2004. The contribution of anammox to nitrogen
11	reduction was estimated in May and August.
12	

13 2 Methods14

15

# 2.1 Study area and sampling

16

17 Samples were collected from a coastal station in the northern Gulf of Finland 18 (Tvärminne Storfjärden, 59°51'21, 23°15'56), representing a typical outer archipelago 19 depositional area consisting of soft mud. The water depth at the sampling station is 33 20 m, and the water column usually is thermally stratified from June to September. The highest bottom water temperatures, up to 13 °C, are found in late autumn when 21 22 thermal stratification breaks, and the lowest, below 2 °C, in early spring when the 23 water column has yet to stabilize after ice-out. Sedimentation at the station shows a 24 typical pattern of about 80% of the sedimenting carbon reaching the bottom at the end 25 of the spring bloom in May, with little sedimentation during the rest of the year

1	(Heiskanen & Leppänen, 1995, Heiskanen & Tallberg, 1999). In an intensive study in
2	1992, the total primary sedimentation at the station from March to October was 34 g
3	C m <sup>-2</sup> (Heiskanen & Tallberg, 1999), of which phytoplankton carbon contributed 8.3 g
4	C m <sup>-2</sup> (Tallberg & Heiskanen, 1998).
5	In the present study, samples were collected throughout the year in 1-2-week periods,
6	with several sampling days in each period (Table 1). Temperature and salinity of the
7	near-bottom water were recorded daily using a CTD probe (SIS CTD plus 100,
8	Klausdorf/Schwentine, Germany). The sediment was sampled with a Gemini twin
9	corer (ID of the cores 80 mm, length 80 cm). The oxygen and nitrate concentrations in
10	the overlying water were measured from a single core, about 2 cm above the sediment
11	surface, on every sampling day. The sediment dry weight and organic content (loss on
12	ignition) were measured from a single core once every sampling period from the
13	topmost 1 cm, and C% and N% were measured in the same samples in all except the
14	April 2004 sampling period. The oxygen profiles in the sediment were measured in
15	October, December and April in undisturbed subsample cores (see denitrification),
16	using Clark-type oxygen microelectrodes having 100-µm tips (OX-100, Unisense
17	A/S, Denmark), giving a spatial resolution of about 200 $\mu$ m.

## **2.2** Denitrification and anaerobic ammonium oxidation

Denitrification was measured every sampling day, using the isotope pairing technique
(IPT; Nielsen, 1992). Three replicate subsamples were taken in clear plastic (acrylic)
cores (diameter 2.6 cm, height 9 cm) so that about half the core was filled with the
sediment and half with the water from above. The samples were enriched with
K<sup>15</sup>NO<sub>3</sub> (98 atom.% labelling; Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, MA, USA) to a final

1 concentration of 100  $\mu$ M and incubated, with a magnetic stirrer on the lid of the cores, 2 at in situ temperature in darkness for 3 hours. Microbial activity was then terminated 3 by adding 1 ml of 100% ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, the samples were mixed, and subsamples of the 4 sediment-water slurry were transferred into gastight 12 ml glass vials (Exetainer; 5 Labco, High Wycombe, UK). These were sent to the National Environmental Research Institute, Silkeborg, Denmark, for analysis of N2 isotopic composition, using 6 a gas chromatographic column coupled to a triple-collector isotopic mass ratio 7 8 spectrometer (RoboPrep  $G^+$  in line with Tracer-Mass, Europa Scientific, Crewe, UK). 9 In May and August, the validity of the IPT at this coastal site was evaluated by testing 10 a major assumption behind the method, namely the independency of the rate of denitrification of the  ${}^{15}NO_3$  concentration used in the incubations (Nielsen, 1992). 11 Briefly, in sediments where denitrification is the only N<sub>2</sub> -producing process, the 12 estimated dinitrogen ( $^{28}N_2$ ) production rate, based on naturally occurring  $^{14}NO_3^{-}$ , is 13 independent of the incubation concentration of the added  ${}^{15}NO_3$ , whereas the 14 production rate of labelled compounds ( $^{29}N_2$  and  $^{30}N_2$ ) is linearly dependent on the 15 concentration added. If anammox is present, both the  ${}^{28}N_2$  production rate and the 16 production rate of labelled compounds correlate positively with the  ${}^{15}NO_3^{-1}$ 17 concentration used in the experiment. If this is the case, the true rate of  ${}^{28}N_2$ 18 production must be estimated from the  ${}^{14}NO_{3}/{}^{15}NO_{3}$  ratios in the reducing zone and 19 the ratios of labelled compounds produced at different <sup>15</sup>NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> incubation 20 21 concentrations, using revised equations (r-IPT; Risgaard-Petersen et al., 2003, 2004b). The resulting new <sup>28</sup>N<sub>2</sub> production estimate is lower than the estimate calculated using 22 the classical IPT, since part of the observed <sup>29</sup>N<sub>2</sub> production is attributed to anammox 23 24 instead of denitrification. Three sets of samples were incubated with different concentrations of  ${}^{15}NO_3^-$  (40, 100 and 400  $\mu$ M) using randomized blocks design. The 25

1 results from these experiments were first analysed for significant differences between 2 rates in different concentrations using one-way randomized blocks ANOVA ( $\alpha =$ 3 0.05) and then further recalculated according to r-IPT.

- 4
- 5 6

# 2.3 Benthic bacterial production

7 Benthic bacterial production was measured every sampling day (except one) in the 8 topmost 1 cm of the sediment, using the leucine incorporation method modified for 9 sediment samples (Hietanen et al. 1999). In short, 100-µl sediment samples were 10 slurried with filtered near-bottom water in microcentrifuge tubes. At the beginning of every sampling period, the saturation level of the <sup>14</sup>C-leucine (3.7 MBg/ml, Perkin 11 Elmer Life Sciences, MA, USA) was measured by incubating samples with different 12 <sup>14</sup>C-leucine concentrations. The measured saturating concentration was then used in 13 14 all the following incubations, run in three replicates and one blank. The samples were 15 incubated at *in situ* temperature for 40-60 minutes (tested for linearity of the uptake) 16 and killed with 10% TCA. The unincorporated isotope was removed by repeated 17 centrifugation after washing once with 80% ethanol and twice with 10% TCA. The 18 samples were then suspended in a scintillation cocktail (Instagel Plus; Packard 19 Instruments, Frankfurt, Germany) and gel was formed by adding water according to 20 the manufacturer's instructions. Radioactivity was recorded in a scintillation counter 21 (WALLAC 1414 LSC; Wallac, Turku, Finland). Isotope dilution was measured once 22 every sampling period, except in May 2003, by adding increasing amounts of unlabelled leucine to samples incubated with a constant amount of <sup>14</sup>C-leucine (at 23 24 saturation level) and using the reciprocal plot for calculation (Findlay, 1993). Leucine

incorporation was converted to carbon production according to Simon & Azam
 (1989), using the measured isotope dilution factor.

3

## 2.4 Diffusive oxygen consumption in sediment

4 5

6 The sediment oxygen consumption was calculated in August, October and December 7 from the concentration change during incubation in plastic (acrylic) chambers similar 8 to those used for the denitrification measurements, but equipped additionally with a 9 sampling tube at the side, 1 cm higher than the middle of the chamber. A series of 3-6 10 samples were incubated in the dark, with one sample killed at the beginning of the 11 incubation and thereafter every 45 minutes. The oxygen concentration was measured 12 using Winkler titration. Oxygen diffusion into the chambers through the walls was 13 measured in August. Sterile (0.2-µm filtered) seawater was bubbled with nitrogen gas 14 until the ambient 90- $\mu$ M O<sub>2</sub> concentration was attained. The incubation chambers 15 were filled with the bubbled seawater, incubated in the coldroom the same way as the 16 samples and the concentration increase in the sterile water was followed over time by 17 Winkler titration. Diffusion of oxygen into the chambers through the walls was 50 µM  $O_2 h^{-1}$ . This was taken into account in calculating the oxygen consumption rates in 18 19 August. During all the other measuring periods the ambient oxygen concentration was 20 approximately at saturation level and, according to Fick's First law, no diffusion into 21 the chambers was expected. After incubation the sediment samples were sieved (mesh 22 size 100 µm) to check for the presence of macrofauna. The few samples with animals, 23 mainly Macoma baltica (L.), were omitted from the final calculations. Therefore the 24 calculated rates represent diffusive oxygen consumption, not total sediment uptake.

25

### 2 **3** Results

3

4

# 3.1 Annual variation in hydrography and oxygen conditions

5

6 The temperature in the near-bottom water varied from 1.1 °C to 6.5 °C between the 7 sampling periods, showing the typical annual dynamics of a coastal station. The water 8 column was completely mixed in the first sampling period in May 2003, with good 9 oxygen conditions (330  $\mu$ M O<sub>2</sub>) in the bottom water, and stratified by temperature and 10 salinity in August 2003, with a clearly lowered oxygen level (95 µM O<sub>2</sub>). By October 11 2003, the stratification had deteriorated and the mixing had replenished the depleted 12 oxygen stores (Table 1). The average depth of oxygen penetration into the sediment 13 was 3.2 mm in October (SD 0.3), 3.6 mm in December (SD 0.7) and 2.5 mm in April 14 (SD 1.3).

15

### 16 **3.2** Seasonal and short-term variability in denitrification

17

18 There was wide and statistically significant variation in denitrification activity

19 between seasons, whereas the short-term variation between the days within a

20 sampling period was not significant, except in December when a value from one day

21 was exceptionally high (ANOVA,  $\alpha = 0.05$ , p < 0.01). Denitrification was highest in

- 22 October-December and lowest in April and May (Figure 2B). The bulk of
- 23 denitrification was always coupled with nitrification (Figure 2B). Coupled
- 24 nitrification-denitrification (Dn) was positively correlated (p < 0.05) with sediment
- 25 oxygen consumption and bacterial carbon production, as well as with temperature and

nitrate concentration. Denitrification based on the nitrate available in the water
 column (Dw) correlated positively with nitrate availability and bacterial carbon
 production, but was not significantly correlated with temperature. Neither Dn nor Dw
 were correlated with the O<sub>2</sub> concentration.

5

# 6 **3.3** Contribution of anammox to nitrogen reduction

7

In the experiments with increasing  ${}^{15}NO_3$  concentrations, both  ${}^{14}NO_3$  reduction ( ${}^{28}N_2$ 8 production) and  ${}^{15}NO_3^-$  reduction ( ${}^{29}N_2$  and  ${}^{30}N_2$  production) correlated with the 9 10 concentration added (May p = 0.00 and 0.00, August p = 0.01 and 0.00, respectively, Figure 1). Therefore the contribution of anammox was calculated according to the r-11 IPT. The rate of anammox was about 10  $\mu$ mol N m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, corresponding to 10% of the 12 total N<sub>2</sub> production rate in May, and about 30 µmol N m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, corresponding to 14% 13 14 in August. The anammox caused the IPT to overestimate the N<sub>2</sub> production rate by 80% in May and 150% in August, at the routinely used 100  $\mu$ M <sup>15</sup>NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> incubation 15 16 concentration (Figures 2A and 2B). Since we have no reason to believe that anammox 17 would disappear for the rest of the year, the results from the IPT (shown uncorrected 18 in Figure 2A) were also corrected for the effect of anammox outside the measured 19 seasons. We used a conservative estimate of 10% contribution to the total N<sub>2</sub> 20 production (ra) as measured in May to recalculate the results from October, December 21 and April (Figure 2B), because we have no information on the seasonality of the 22 process in the Baltic Sea. However, if the increase in relative importance from May to 23 August were correlated with the overall increase in activity caused by the rising 24 temperature and proceeding of the mineralization of the sedimented spring bloom, the 25 higher *ra* levels could have lasted for the rest of the year and, consequently, the values

1	given here for the N <sub>2</sub> production could still be overestimates. On the other hand, if the
2	percentage of anammox would for some reason decrease from October to April, these
3	new values would be underestimates of the true $N_2$ production. The effect is clear
4	when the annual $N_2$ production in the Gulf of Finland is calculated by multiplying the
5	rates measured at the coastal station (which are similar to the rates measured in the
6	open sea (Tuominen et al., 1998)) for the entire area of the Gulf of Finland (29 600
7	km <sup>2</sup> ). Using 10% anammox, 90% denitrification for May (measured), October,
8	December and April and 15% anammox, 85% denitrification for August (measured)
9	gives 39 100 t N removed per year (Figure 2B). Using the same data so that 10%
10	anammox, 90% denitrification are used for May (measured) and 15% anammox, 85%
11	denitrification for August (measured), and no anammox is assumed for October,
12	December and April when it was not measured, gives 43 100 t N. If anammox is
13	totally overlooked and only the results from classical IPT are used, denitrification
14	removes 53 800 t N annually from the Gulf of Finland (Figure 2A).
15	
16 17	<b>3.4</b> Seasonal and short-term variability in benthic bacterial production
18	Benthic leucine uptake became saturated at about 4 $\mu$ M in all seasons. The isotope
19	dilution, measured in all but the May samples, varied from no dilution (factor 1) in
20	April to the highest dilution (factor 5.2) in December. Benthic bacterial production
21	was low in the early spring and increased towards the end of the year (Figure 1).
22	Assuming no isotope dilution in May 2003 (none occurred in April 2004), bacterial
23	production increased from 6.5 mmol C $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ in May to 84 mmol C $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ in
24	December. It varied in a statistically significant manner ( $\alpha = 0.05$ , p < 0.01) daily

25 within a season except in April 2004 (when there were only 3 sampling days) and

between the seasons as well. Benthic bacterial carbon production correlated with
 denitrification (Dn and Dw), sediment oxygen consumption, temperature and nitrate
 concentration.

- 4
- 5

# 3.5 Sediment oxygen consumption

6

7 Oxygen consumption did not vary in a statistically significant manner ( $\alpha = 0.05$ , 8 p>0.01) daily within a season except in October (p < 0.01), but varied between the 9 seasons (Figure 1), showing the lowest respiration rates in low oxygen concentrations in August (average 5.4 mmol  $O_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  at 95  $\mu M O_2$ ). There was no difference 10 between the rates in October and December (averages 10.1 and 11.5 mmol  $O_2 m^{-2} d^{-1}$ 11 12 at 310 and 380  $\mu$ M O<sub>2</sub>). Oxygen consumption correlated positively with anammox 13 and Dn (but not with Dw), benthic bacterial carbon production, temperature and 14 oxygen concentration. Unfortunately it was only measured in August, October and 15 December, leaving the low-activity season, early spring, unaccounted for. 16 In August, live animals were found in some of the subsamples almost every day. In 17 these samples the average oxygen consumption was twice the diffusive oxygen 18 consumption. Surprisingly, while the oxygen concentration increased towards the end 19 of the year (Table 1), the amount of live macrofauna decreased, so that in October 20 only 8 animals were found in the 63 subsamples and in December only 3 in 45 21 subsamples. In these rare samples with animals the oxygen consumption was 22 increased by about 40% and 75%, respectively, compared with the diffusive oxygen 23 uptake.

24

#### 1 4 Discussion

2

### 3 Seasonal variation in N<sub>2</sub> production

Rates of  $N_2$  production at the coastal depositional bottom studied, 90-400  $\mu mol \ N \ m^{-2}$ 4  $d^{-1}$  (exception: one day in December 550 µmol N m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>), were slightly lower than the 5 rates measured in the central Gulf of Finland (100-650  $\mu$ mol N m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, Tuominen et 6 al., 1998). The N<sub>2</sub> production, of which denitrification contributed 85-90%, followed 7 8 an annual cycle similar to that of the open Baltic Sea areas, with low spring values 9 and higher late summer-early winter rates. The driving forces behind the seasonal 10 variation in denitrification in the open Baltic Sea areas are presumably organic carbon 11 (sedimentation), temperature, nitrate concentration and oxygen availability in the 12 sediments. Carbon sedimentation has a twofold impact, since it increases the activity 13 of the denitrifying heterotrophic bacteria through substrate availability, but also 14 causes a decline in the oxygen concentration. Sedimentation of carbon in the study area was much higher than in the open Gulf of Finland (study area 34 g C m<sup>-2</sup> in an 8-15 month study (Heiskanen & Tallberg, 1999), vs. station GF2, 21 g C m<sup>-2</sup> in a 6-month 16 17 study (Leivuori & Vallius, 1998)). Still, denitrification was lower in the coastal area. 18 The carbon content of the sediment was measured in May, August, October and 19 December 2003, with values decreasing from 6.5% in the spring to 5.5% in 20 December. At the same time, denitrification tended to increase. Therefore, the 21 absolute carbon sedimentation and content alone could not explain the observed 22 denitrification rates. Instead, a key to the denitrification dynamics may be the 23 availability of carbon compounds suitable for the heterotrophic denitrifying bacteria. 24 In the study area, most of the annual sedimenting carbon reaches the seafloor 25 immediately after the spring bloom, in the form of diatoms (Heiskanen & Leppänen,

1	1995, Tallberg & Heiskanen, 1998). Tallberg (1999) found that 68% of the spring
2	diatoms disappeared within 1 month in 9-12 ° C lake sediments. Mineralization of the
3	diatom cells at the coastal station is most likely slower and can support benthic
4	processes up to late summer. The increasing leucine isotope dilution factors suggested
5	that there were abundant mineralization products available for the bacteria in autumn,
6	indicating increased substrate supply for the heterotrophic denitrification bacteria.
7	Why denitrification peaked in October rather than in December, when the bacterial
8	carbon production did, may be related to the decreasing temperature.
9	Being microbial processes, both denitrification and anammox are temperature-
10	dependent, but apparently respond differently to changes in environmental
11	temperature. In the permanently cold (< -1°C year-round) sediments of Greenland,
12	anammox was maximal at 12 °C, whereas denitrification exhibited maximum activity
13	at 24 °C (Rysgaard et al., 2004). In the Skagerrak, where the annual temperature
14	variation is from 4 °C to 6 °C, the optimal temperature for anammox was 15 °C and
15	the activity decreased sharply above 25 °C, whereas denitrification had a wider
16	optimal range (15-32 °C) and was still detectable at 45 °C (Dalsgaard & Thamdrup,
17	2002). In the open Gulf of Finland the annual variation is limited to a few degrees
18	around 3 °C, and the denitrification rates measured showed no temperature
19	dependency (Tuominen et al. 1998). In the study area, temperatures ranged from near
20	zero in spring to 6.5 °C in autumn, and all the measured process rates (N <sub>2</sub> production,
21	bacterial production and oxygen consumption) correlated positively with temperature.
22	The denitrification rate doubled and anammox rate tripled from May to August, while
23	at the same time the temperature rose from 2 °C to 3 °C. Denitrification nearly
24	doubled again and anammox rate increased by 30% (since lower ra levels were used
25	in the calculations) from August to October, while the temperature doubled to 6 °C.

1 This suggests that although the increase in the rate in summer may have been related 2 to the small increases in temperature, other factors such as carbon and O<sub>2</sub> 3 concentration (through nitrification) apparently co-limit the rates. In December, when 4 bacterial production and sediment oxygen consumption peaked, the N<sub>2</sub> production 5 rates were already decreasing, as was the temperature. 6 The availability of nitrate in the bottom water had only a minor effect on the 7 denitrification rate. While the uncoupled denitrification (Dw) was enhanced by higher 8 nitrate concentrations, the amount of total denitrification was closely connected to the 9 nitrate formed by nitrification in the sediment (Dn). Nitrification, in turn, is largely 10 regulated by O<sub>2</sub> availability in the sediment, and therefore the anoxic denitrification 11 process does not simply increase with decreasing O<sub>2</sub> concentration. In Storfjärden, the 12 percentage of Dw in total denitrification was about 5% for May, October and 13 December, and as low as 0.4% in April, but increased to 10% in August when the O<sub>2</sub> 14 concentration was low. This indicates either that the nitrification/denitrification ratio 15 decreased or that nitrification was concentrated in a shallower layer closer to the 16 sediment surface, leading to nitrate diffusion into the water column and thereby 17 uncoupling from denitrification. Unfortunately, there were no measurements of oxygen penetration in August. The surface of the sediment, however, was oxidized in 18 19 all sampling seasons, as demonstrated by the light brown layer covering the darker, 20 deeper, reduced layers. This oxidized layer was thinner, but still present, in August. 21 We calculated the approximate oxygen penetration depth, using the oxygen 22 consumption rate, oxygen concentration in the bottom water and oxygen diffusion 23 coefficient into the sediment in August; the depth was about 1.6-1.9 mm, which is half 24 the depth measured during good oxygen conditions in the bottom water. Similarly, the 25 Dn rates were 40-50% lower than the rates in October and December when much

1	higher O <sub>2</sub> concentrations and consumption rates were measured (Figure 2B, Table 1).
2	A direct linear relationship between denitrification and sediment oxygen demand
3	exists in a wide variety of estuarine, freshwater and continental shelf sediments
4	(Seitzinger, 1987, Seitzinger & Giblin, 1996). A positive correlation between oxygen
5	consumption and Dn (but not Dw) could also be seen in the present study in the late
6	summer- winter period. Kemp et al. (1990) found that the nitrification rates in
7	Chesapeake Bay were negligible at $O_2$ concentrations < 125 $\mu$ M, because the
8	sediment O <sub>2</sub> consumption exceeded O <sub>2</sub> diffusion into the sediment and restricted
9	nitrification to the sediment surface. In Storfjärden, the O <sub>2</sub> concentration dropped to
10	95 $\mu$ M in August, but the sediment did not become totally anoxic, because the O <sub>2</sub>
11	consumption also decreased. Clearly the oxygen deficiency did not totally block
12	nitrification at the concentrations observed, since the denitrification values were high
13	in August. Previous exposure to low O <sub>2</sub> concentrations or even anoxia can also cause
14	adaptations in nitrifying communities, so that bacteria repeatedly experiencing such
15	conditions have higher affinity for O <sub>2</sub> than bacteria from permanently oxic
16	environments (Bodelier et al. 1996). This may also be the case in the Storfjärden area
17	

18 Spa

#### Spatial variation in N<sub>2</sub> production

So far, all the published denitrification data from the Gulf of Finland have been obtained from depositional areas. Extrapolation from these to the entire Gulf resulted in an estimate of 30% removal of the annual nitrogen loading to the Gulf of Finland (Tuominen et al., 1998, this study). However, only 25-35% of the Gulf of Finland bottom can be classified as depositional areas (H. Kankaanpää, Finnish Institute of Marine Research; H. Vallius, Geological Survey of Finland, pers. comm.) and thus the present estimates for the Gulf of Finland may be biased, since no approximation of the

variability between different (transport or erosion) bottoms can be given. Stockenberg
& Johnstone (1997) measured denitrification in the Bothnian Bay in accumulation as
well as transportation areas, finding that the denitrification capacity of transportation
bottoms was only 30% of that of the accumulation basins. If this is the case in the
Gulf of Finland as well, the nitrogen removal via denitrification and anammox would
only cover 15-20% of the annual loading, further illustrating the discrepancy between
the measured and modelled removal rates.

8

### 9 Anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox)

10 In the present study, the presence of anammox was explored for the first time in the 11 Gulf of Finland. Anammox produced 10-15% of the total N<sub>2</sub> production at the coastal 12 station studied. The relative contribution of anammox to the overall nitrogen 13 reduction is often minor in coastal environments with high denitrification rates and 14 increases with depth, as the rate of denitrification decreases (Thamdrup & Dalsgaard, 15 2002, Risgaard-Petersen et al., 2004a, Engström et al., 2005). Accordingly, a higher 16 relative contribution in this study could have been expected in May, when 17 denitrification was low, than in August, when denitrification was maximal. However, 18 the absolute rate of anammox tripled from May to August, while the denitrification 19 rate doubled. A concomitant increase of 1 °C (from 2 °C to 3 °C) at these low 20 temperatures may have had a larger effect on anammox than on denitrification 21 (Dalsgaard & Thamdrup, 2002, Rysgaard et al., 2004), causing an increase in the 22 relative percentage of anammox from 10% to 15% of the total N<sub>2</sub> production. A trend 23 similar to the one observed here – increase in importance of anammox with increasing 24 overall activity - was described in the Thames Estuary (Trimmer et al., 2003) and in 25 subtropical mangrove sediments in Australia (Meyer et al., 2005). In the Thames

1 Estuary, anammox was positively correlated with the organic carbon content, whereas 2 in the subtropical mangrove sediments, nitrite and nitrate availability regulated 3 anammox activity. Organic carbon was may control the autotrophic anammox 4 community in the Thames Estuary by enhancing heterotrophic denitrification, which 5 in turn produces nitrite for the anammox bacteria (Trimmer et al., 2003). At low nitrate concentration (below 10 µM), not only the relative contribution but also the 6 7 absolute rate of anammox diminishes, probably as a consequence of competition for 8 substrate with heterotrophic nitrate and nitrite reducers (Trimmer et al., 2005). In the 9 present study the concentrations of combined nitrate and nitrite were most of the year 10 below 2  $\mu$ M and never exceeded 3  $\mu$ M, which supports the findings of low anammox 11 activities in the area.

12 Two methods are currently used to estimate the contribution of anammox to the total N<sub>2</sub> production in sediments where both processes exist. The site-specific, intact core 13 14 method (r-IPT, Risgaard-Petersen et al. 2003, 2004b) used in this study gives the best 15 estimates of anammox and denitrification activity because it does not call for 16 destruction of the natural stratification in the sediment. It also measures the activity of 17 the entire sediment core instead of a selected layer. However, it requires minimal sediment heterogeneity, because high scatter in the raw data can mask significant 18 19 differences in rates (Trimmer et al., 2006). The slurry incubations method (Thamdrup 20 & Dalsgaard, 2002) is based on collection of the active surface/subsurface layer of 21 sediment for anoxic incubation with different combinations of labelled and unlabelled nitrogen compounds  $(NO_2^-, NO_3^-, NH_4^+)$ . The method breaks the chemical 22 23 stratification of the sediment, and the processes are not necessarily measured in the 24 layer in which they are most active. Therefore, it is prone to giving underestimates of 25 the processes (Trimmer et al., 2006.) At the coastal station studied here, the

1	macrofauna was limited to a few small Macoma baltica mussels. These were found
2	occasionally (not even daily) in the samples, and the results from these samples were
3	always omitted from the calculations. Therefore the intact core method probably gave
4	a reliable approximation of the $N_2$ production in the study area. The calculated
5	contribution of 10-15% caused a dramatic 80-150% overestimate in the $N_2$ production
6	when the classical IPT was used, due to the high $^{15}NO_3^-$ incubation concentration
7	used. This indicates a need to verify the measurements performed earlier in the Gulf
8	of Finland using the IPT and high <sup>15</sup> NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> concentrations, since no data are yet
9	available on the bias anammox may have caused in the denitrification estimates.
10	

- 11 5 Conclusions
- 12

13 Coastal denitrification, measured here for the first time in the northern Baltic Sea, is 14 of similar magnitude as denitrification in the open Baltic Sea depositonal areas. It 15 follows a clear seasonal cycle of low rates in early spring, high rates from late 16 summer to late autumn and diminishing rates again in winter. This variation is 17 strongly related to temperature and mineralization of the sedimented spring diatom 18 bloom, the main carbon source to the sediment in the basin studied. Anammox was 19 explored for the first time in the Gulf of Finland. It was measured in spring and 20 autumn and contributed less than 10% to the total N<sub>2</sub> production. The low percentage 21 did, however, cause a significant error in the total N<sub>2</sub> production rate estimate, calculated using the classical IPT. Therefore we recommend that anammox be taken 22 23 into account in future research in Gulf of Finland sediment nitrogen cycling. Since no 24 data on the seasonal and spatial variability of anammox rates from the Gulf of Finland 25 are yet available, the true N<sub>2</sub> production rates cannot be reliably estimated. Both N

1	budgets and models, however, indicate that some 70 000-86 000 t N are removed
2	from the Gulf of Finland annually (Perttilä et al., 1995, Savchuk 2005, Kiirikki et al.,
3	2006). The $N_2$ production rates measured in this project release 39 100 t N annually,
4	leaving 30 900- 46 900 t N to be removed by other processes, or by more efficient $N_{\rm 2}$
5	production in some areas. Since some of the highest $N_2$ production values have been
6	measured from coastal and river estuarine basins (Silvennoinen et al., 2007), these
7	seem likely places to begin looking for the "mysteriously disappearing nitrogen". The
8	high spatial and temporal variability in the sediment processes, caused by
9	heterogeneity in the bottom topography, flow rates, environmental conditions and
10	interannual changes in these, leave room for speculation. Clearly, there are still large
11	gaps in our understanding of the nitrogen dynamics of the Gulf of Finland.
12	
13	Acknowledgements
14	
15	We thank S. Hauta-aho (University of Helsinki) warmly for the oxygen consumption
16	data. This study was funded by the Academy of Finland BIREME programme, and
17	conducted through the SEGUE Consortium.
18	
19	References
20	Bodelier, P. L. E., J. A. Libochant, C. W. P. M. Blom, & H. J. Laanbroek, 1996.
21	Dynamics of nitrification and denitrification in root-oxygenated sediments and
22	adaptation of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria to low-oxygen or anoxic habitats.
23	Applied and Environmental Microbiology 62: 4100-4107.

1	Dalsgaard, T. & B. Thamdrup, 2002. Factors controlling anaerobic ammonium
2	oxidation with nitrite in marine sediments. Applied and Environmental
3	Microbiology 68: 3802-2808.
4	Engström, P., T. Dalsgaard, S. Hulth & R. C. Aller, 2005. Anaerobic ammonium
5	oxidation by nitrite (anammox): Implications for N <sub>2</sub> production in coastal marine
6	sediments. Geochimica Cosmochimica Acta 69: 2057-2065.
7	Findlay, S. 1993. Thymidine incorporation into DNA as an estimate of sediment
8	bacterial production. In Kemp, P. F., B. F. Sherr, E. B. Sherr & J.J. Cole (eds),
9	Handbook of methods in aquatic microbial ecology. Lewis Publishers, Boca Raton,
10	USA: 505-508.
11	Gran, V. & H. Pitkänen, 1999. Denitrification in estuarine sediments in the eastern
12	Gulf of Finland, Baltic Sea. Hydrobiologia 393: 107-115.
13	Heiskanen, A. S. & J. M. Leppänen, 1995. Estimation of export production in the
14	coastal Baltic Sea: effect of resuspension and microbial decomposition on
15	sedimentation measurements. Hydrobiologia 316: 211-224.
16	Heiskanen, A. S. & P. Tallberg, 1999. Sedimentation and particulate nutrient
17	dynamics along a coastal gradient from a fjord-like bay to the open sea.
18	Hydrobiologia 393: 127-140.
19	Hietanen, S., L. Tuominen & J. Kuparinen, 1999. A modified <sup>14</sup> C-leucine uptake
20	method to measure benthic bacterial production in the northern Baltic Sea. Aquatic
21	Microbial Ecology 20: 13-20.
22	Kemp, W. M., P. Sampou, J. Caffrey & M. Mayer, 1990. Ammonium recycling
23	versus denitrification in Chesapeake Bay sediments. Limnology and Oceanography
24	35: 1545-1563.

1	Kiirikki, M., J. Lehtoranta, A. Inkala, H. Pitkänen, S. Hietanen, P. O. J. Hall, A.
2	Tengberg, J. Koponen & J. Sarkkula, 2006. A simple sediment process description
3	suitable for 3D-ecosystem modelling — Development and testing in the Gulf of
4	Finland. Journal of Marine Systems 61: 55-66.
5	Kiirikki, M., P. Rantanen, R. Varjopuro, A. Leppänen, M. Hiltunen, H. Pitkänen, P.
6	Ekholm, E. Moukhametshina, A. Inkala, H. Kuosa & J. Sarkkula, 2003. Cost
7	effective water protection in the Gulf of Finland. Focus on St. Petersburg. The
8	Finnish Environment 632. Edita Publishing Ltd, Vantaa, Finland.
9	Kivi, K., S. Kaitala, H. Kuosa, J. Kuparinen, E. Leskinen, R. Lignell, B. Marcussen &
10	T. Tamminen, 1993. Nutrient limitation and grazing control of the Baltic plankton
11	community during annual succession. Limnology and Oceanography 38: 893-905.
12	Leivuori, M. & H. Vallius, 1998. A case of seasonal variation in the chemical
13	composition of accumulating suspended sediments in the central Gulf of Finland.
14	Chemosphere 36: 2417-2435.
15	Meyer, R. L., N. Risgaard-Petersen & D. E. Allen, 2005. Correlation between
16	anammox activity and microscale distribution of nitrite in subtropical mangrove
17	sediment. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 71: 6142-6149.
18	Nielsen, L. P., 1992. Denitrification in sediment determined from nitrogen isotope
19	pairing. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 86: 357-362.
20	Perttilä, M., L. Niemistö & K. Mäkelä, 1995. Distribution, development and total
21	amounts of nutrients in the Gulf of Finland. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science
22	41: 345-360.
23	Pitkänen, H. & T. Tamminen, 1995. Nitrogen and phosphorus as production limiting
24	factors in the estuarine waters of the eastern Gulf of Finland. Marine Ecology
25	Progress Series 129: 283-294.

1	Pitkänen, H., J. Lehtoranta & A. Räike, 2001. Internal nutrient fluxes counteract
2	decreases in external load: the case of the estuarial eastern Gulf of Finland. Ambio
3	30: 195-201.
4	Risgaard-Petersen, N., R. L. Meyer, M. Schmid, M. S. M. Jetten, A. Enrich-Prast, S.
5	Rysgaard & N. P. Revsbech, 2004a. Anaerobic ammonium oxidation in an
6	estuarine sediment. Aquatic Microbial Ecology 36: 293-304.
7	Risgaard-Petersen, N., L. P. Nielsen, S. Rysgaard, T. Dalsgaard & R. L. Meyer, 2003.
8	Application of the isotope pairing technique in sediment where anammox and
9	denitrification coexist. Limnology and Oceanography Methods 1: 63-73.
10	Risgaard-Petersen, N., L. P. Nielsen, S. Rysgaard, T. Dalsgaard & R. L. Meyer,
11	2004b. Erratum: Application of the isotope pairing technique in sediment where
12	anammox and denitrification coexist. Limnology and Oceanography Methods 2:
13	315-315.
14	Rysgaard, S., R. N. Glud, N. Risgaard-Petersen & T. Dalsgaard, 2004. Denitrification
15	and anammox activity in Arctic marine sediments. Limnology and Oceangraphy
16	49: 1493-1502.
17	Savchuk, O., 2005. Resolving the Baltic Sea into seven subbasins: N and P budgets
18	for 1991-1999. Journal of Marine Systems 56: 1-15
19	Seitzinger, S. P., 1987. Nitrogen biogeochemistry in an unpolluted estuary: The
20	importance of benthic denitrification. Marine Ecology Progress Series 41: 177-186.
21	Seitzinger, S. P. & A. E. Giblin, 1996. Estimating denitrification in North Alantic
22	continental shelf sediments. Biogeochemistry 35: 235-260.
23	Silvennoinen H, Hietanen S, Liikanen A, Stange CF, Russow R, Kuparinen J,
24	Martikainen PJ, 2007. Denitrification in the river estuaries of the northern
25	Baltic Sea. Ambio, in press.

1	Simon, M. & F. Azam, 1989. Protein content and protein synthesis rates of planktonic
2	marine bacteria. Marine Ecology Progress Series 51: 201-213.
3	Stockenberg, A. & R. W. Johnstone, 1997. Benthic denitrification in the Gulf of
4	Bothnia. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science 45: 835-843.
5	Tallberg, P., 1999. The magnitude of Si dissolution from diatoms at the sediment
6	surface and its potential impact on P mobilization. Archiv für Hydrobiologie 144:
7	429-438.
8	Tallberg, P. & A. S. Heiskanen, 1998. Species-specific phytoplankton sedimentation
9	in relation to primary production along an inshore-offshore gradient in the Baltic
10	Sea. Journal of Plankton Research 20: 2053-2070.
11	Thamdrup, B. & T. Dalsgaard, 2002 Production of N2 throught anaerobic ammonium
12	oxidation coupled to nitrate reduction in marine sediments. Applied and
13	Environmental Microbiology 68: 1312-1318.
14	Trimmer, M., J. C. Nicholls & B. Deflandre, 2003. Anaerobic ammonium oxidation
15	measured in sediments along the Thames estuary. Applied and Environmental
16	Microbiology 69: 6447-6454.
17	Trimmer, M., J. C. Nicholls, N. Morley, C. A. Davies & J. Aldridge, 2005. Biphasic
18	behaviour of anammox regulated by nitrite and nitrate in an estuarine sediment.
19	Applied and Environmental Microbiology 71: 1923-1930.
20	Trimmer, M., N. Risgaard-Petersen, J. C. Nicholls & P. Engström, 2006. Direct
21	measurements of anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) and denitrification in
22	intact sediment cores. Marine Ecology Progress Series 326: 37-47.
23	Tuominen, L., A. Heinänen, J. Kuparinen & L. P. Nielsen, 1998. Spatial and temporal
24	variability of denitrification in the sediments of the northern Baltic Proper. Marine
25	Ecology Progress Series 172: 13-24.

# 2 Tables

- 4 Table 1. Sampling periods, number of measurements and environmental conditions 5
- 5 cm above the sediment surface within periods.

	May-03	Aug-03	Oct-03	Dec-03	Apr-04
Denitrification	3	8	8	8	3
Anammox	1	1	0	0	0
Benthic bacterial production	3	8	8	7	3
Sediment oxygen consumption	0	7	8	8	0
O <sub>2</sub> profiles	0	0	9	21	3
Temperature °C	2.0	3.0	5.9	4.4	2.6
Salinity	6.35	6.83	6.62	6.46	5.82
$O_2 \mu M$	330	95	310	380	390
NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> +NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N μM	0.29	1.40	1.64	2.26	0.29

## 1 Figure captions

2

Figure 1. N<sub>2</sub> production (µmol N m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) in May and August 2003 at different <sup>15</sup>NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>
incubation concentrations, calculated using the classical IPT equations (diamonds),
and using the r-IPT with r<sub>14</sub> estimated from concentration series (triangles). Average
and standard deviation.

7

8	Figure 2. A) Denitrification ( $\mu$ mol N m <sup>-2</sup> d <sup>-1</sup> ) measured using classical IPT; grey
0	rigure 2. A) Demumication (µmor N m 'u') measured using classical if 1, grey

9 columns Dn, white columns Dw B) total N<sub>2</sub> production ( $\mu$ mol N m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) calculated

10 using r-IPT; black columns anammox, grey columns Dn, white columns Dw C)

11 benthic bacterial carbon production (mmol C  $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ ) and D) sediment oxygen

12 consumption (mmol  $O_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ ). Average and standard deviation.