

Non-Neuronal Roles for GDNF and Novel GDNF Family Receptors

MARIA LINDAHL

Institute of Biotechnology and Faculty of Biosciences Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences Division of Genetics University of Helsinki



Dissertationes Biocentri Viikki Universitatis Helsingiensis

21/2004

NON-NEURONAL ROLES FOR GDNF AND NOVEL GDNF FAMILY RECEPTORS

Maria Lindahl

Institute of Biotechnology and Faculty of Biosciences, Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, Division of Genetics,

University of Helsinki

Academic dissertation

To be presented for public criticism, with the permission of the Faculty of Biosciences, University of Helsinki, in auditorium 1041 at Viikki Biocenter (Viikinkaari 5), on September 17th, 2004, at 12 o'clock.

Helsinki 2004

Supervised by:

Professor Mart Saarma PhD Institute of Biotechnology Viikki Biocenter University of Helsinki Finland

and

Docent Matti Airaksinen MD, PhD Neuroscience Center Viikki Biocenter University of Helsinki Finland

Reviewed by:

Professor Dan Lindholm MD, PhD Minerva Medical Research Institute Helsinki Finland

and

Professor Jorma Palvimo PhD Department of Medical Biochemistry University of Kuopio Finland

Opponent:

Professor Massimo Santoro MD, PhD Department of Biology and Cellular and Molecular Pathology University Federico II of Naples Italy

ISBN 952-10-1942-5 (print) ISBN 952-10-1943-3 (ethesis, PDF) Press: Yliopistopaino, Helsinki 2004

"Bättre sent än aldrig"

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SELECTED ABBREVIATIONS

LIST OF ORIGINAL PUBLICATIONS

Ał	BST	RACT	
1.	RE	VIEW OF THE LITERATURE	. 1
	1.1	Introduction to neurotrophic factors	. 1
	1.2	Roles for neurotrophic factors outside the nervous system	. 4
	1.3	Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor Family Ligands (GFLs)	
		are distant members of the TGF- β family	. 5
		1.3.1 GDNF	. 5
		1.3.2 Neurturin (NRTN)	. 7
		1.3.3 Persephin (PSPN)	. 8
		1.3.4 Artemin (ARTN)	. 9
	1.4	GDNF family receptor α 's (GFR α 's)	. 9
	1.5	RET receptor tyrosine kinase	12
	1.6	Gene ablation studies reveal distinct activities for GFLs in vivo	14
		1.6.1 GDNF, GFR α 1 and RET are regulators in kidney	
		morphogenesis and in the development of the enteric and	
		parasympathetic nervous system	14
		1.6.2 NRTN and GFR α 2 regulate the development of parasympathetic and subsets of enteric neurons	16
		1.6.3 ARTN and GFRα3 control sympathetic neuronal migration and initial axon growth	18
		1.6.4 PSPN is suggested to protect the brain from ischemic insult	19
	1.7	RET-dependent signaling	19
		1.7.1 Assembly of the GFL/GFRα/Ret complex	19
		1.7.2 RET tyrosine kinase activation	22
	1.8	RET-independent GFL/GFRα signaling	24
	1.9	RET in disease	25
		1.9.1 Loss-of-function mutations in RET cause developmental	-
		enteric nervous system defects	25
		1.9.2 Gain-of-function mutations in RET promote thyroid tumors	26
		1.9.3 Oncogenic RET signaling	28
		1.9.4 Proposed mechanisms for the tissue-specific tumor formation in MEN2	29
		1.9.5 RET MEN2 mutations in transgenic mice models	31

	1.10 Endocrine organs relevant in RET signaling	32
	1.10.1 The thyroid gland	32
	1.10.2 The adrenal gland	33
	1.10.3 The pituitary gland	34
	1.10.4 The testis	35
2.	AIMS OF THIS STUDY	39
3.	MATERIALS AND METHODS	40
	3.1 Generation of the GFRα4-deficient mice	40
	3.2 Generation of the hGDNF-transgenic mice	41
	3.3 <i>In situ</i> hybridization	41
	3.4 Immunonistochemistry	42
4.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	43
	4.1 Mammalian <i>GFRA4</i> mRNAs encode for receptors lacking the	12
	4.2 The second and found in other GFRa receptors	43
	4.2 The mammalian GFR α 4 is the functional receptor for PSPN	4/
	4.3 Tissue specific splicing produces mRNA encoding for functional $GFR\alpha4$ only in mouse and human endocrine tissues	48
	4.3.1 mRNA encoding for functional GFRα4 is not present in the normal mouse and human nervous system	48
	4.3.2 Full-length <i>GFRA4</i> is expressed in neuroendocrine cells of the pituitary, thyroid, and adrenal glands	51
	4.4 High expression of <i>GFRA4</i> and <i>RET</i> in medullary thyroid tumors suggests roles for GFRα4 in tumorigenesis	53
	4.5 Calcitonin synthesis by C-cells is reduced in newborn and	
	juvenile GFRα4-deficient thyroid	55
	4.6 Expression of GDNF, Gfra1 and Ret in wild-type and	
	GDNF-transgenic mouse testis	57
	4.7 High doses of GDNF lead to spermatogonial accumulation and testicular tumors in hGDNF-transgenic mice	57
	4.8 Low doses of GDNF result in depletion of stem cells in	
	heterozygous GDNF+/- mice	60
	4.9 Does NRTN or PSPN have a role in testis?	60
5.	CONCLUSIONS	62
6.	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	64
7.	REFERENCES	66

SELECTED ABBREVIATIONS

aa	amino acid
ARTN	artemin
bp	base pair
cDNA	complementary DNA
CNS	central nervous system
C-cells	clear cells
cAMP	cyclic adenosine monophosphate
СТ	calcitonin
Е	embryonic day
ENS	enteric nervous system
ERK	extracellular signal-regulated kinase
ES	embryonic stem cell
FMTC	familial medullary thyroid carcinoma
GDNF	glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor
GFL	GDNF family ligand
GFRα	GDNF family receptor α
GPI	glycosylphosphatidylinositol
HSCR	Hirschsprung's disease
kb	kilobase pair
kDa	kilodalton
K _d	equilibrium dissociation constant
KÖ	knockout
MAPK	mitogen-activated protein kinase
MEN2	multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2
MTC	medullary thyroid carcinoma
mRNA	messenger RNA
NRTN	neurturin
6-OHDA	6-hydroxydopamine
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PI3-K	phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase
PI-PLC	phosphoinositide-specific pospholipase
PNS	peripheral nervous system
PSPN	persephin
PTC	papillary thyroid carcinoma
PTH	parathyroid hormone
RACE	rapid amplification of cDNA ends
RET	rearranged during transfection
RT-PCR	reverse transcription PCR
SDS-PAGE	sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
SFK	Src family kinase
TGF-β	transforming growth factor-β
WT	wild type

LIST OF ORIGINAL PUBLICATIONS

This thesis is based on the following original articles, which are referred to in the text by their Roman numerals (I-IV) and, on unpublished results presented in the text.

- I Lindahl*, M., Timmusk*, T., Rossi, J., Saarma, M., and Airaksinen, M.S. (2000). Expression and alternative splicing of mouse *Gfra4* suggest roles in endocrine cell development. *Mol. Cell. Neurosci.* 15, 522-533.
- II Lindahl*, M., Poteryaev*, D., Yu., L., Arumäe, U., Timmusk, T., Bongarzone, I., Aiello, A., Pierotti, M.A., Airaksinen, M.S. and Saarma, M. (2001). Human glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor receptor α4 is the receptor for persephin and is predominantly expressed in normal and malignant thyroid medullary cells. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 9344-9351.
- III Hiltunen, P.H., **Lindahl, M.**, Rossi, J., Saarma, M. and Airaksinen, M.S. (2004). Ablation of persephin receptor GFR α 4 impairs calcitonin production in young mice. Submitted manuscript.
- IV Meng, X., Lindahl*, M., Hyvönen*, M.E., Parvinen, M., de Rooij, D.G., Hess, M.W., Raatikainen-Ahokas, A., Sainio, K., Rauvala, H., Lakso, M., Pichel, J.G., Westphal, H., Saarma, M., and Sariola, H. (2000). Regulation of cell fate decision of undifferentiated spermatogonia by GDNF. *Science* 287, 1489-1493. (Supplementary material for publication IV, http://www.sciencemag.org/feature/data/1046816.shl, web Figures 1-5).

*Equal contribution to the publication

The original publications are reproduced with the permission of the copyright owner. Copyright © 2000 by Academic Press (I), Copyright © 2001 by the American Society for Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Inc. (II), Copyright © 2000 by the American Association for the Advancement of Science (IV).

Cover image

Structure of the mouse *Gfra4* gene (top, left) compared to the mouse *Gfra2* gene (bottom, left). Exon colors refer to the homologous Cys-rich domains (D1-D3) and hinge regions in the domain model. N- and C-terminal signal sequences are in grey. Proposed domain structures of the mouse GFRa4 (middle) and GFRa2 receptor (right) (Airaksinen et al., 1999; Leppänen et al., 2004).

ABSTRACT

The glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) family ligands (GFLs) comprise four related molecules GDNF, neurturin (NRTN), persephin (PSPN) and artemin (ARTN) that support the survival of many neurons *in vitro* and show different biological actions on distinct neuronal populations *in vivo*. Outside the nervous system, GDNF is crucial for the development of the embryonic kidney. Secreted GFLs activate the transmembrane tyrosine kinase receptor RET through a GPI-linked co-receptor GFR α . GDNF binds preferentially to GFR α 1, NRTN to GFR α 2, ARTN to GFR α 3 and PSPN to chicken GFR α 4. Activating mutations in the *RET* gene cause tumors in organs of neuroendocrine origin such as the human medullary thyroid and adrenal medulla. Inactivating mutations in *RET* are associated with Hirschsprung's disease or congenital megacolon.

In this work, we have characterized the mouse and human GFR α 4 receptor that, upon PSPN binding activates Ret. In mouse, *Gfra4* is predominantly expressed in endocrine organs, namely the thyroid, adrenal and pituitary glands. Tissue specific alternative splicing of the mouse *Gfra4* gene produces functional GPI-anchored GFR α 4 isoforms mainly in juvenile thyroid gland. In human, *GFRA4* is expressed together with *RET* in thyroid calcitonin producing C-cells, and in medullary thyroid carcinomas (MTC) originating from C-cells. This suggests that GFR α 4 may be involved in the pathogenesis of MTC, as expression of other GFR α -receptors is absent in these tumors.

We generated GFR α 4-deficient (-/-) mice which are viable and fertile and show no obvious defects in the nervous system or other organs. However, although the number of C-cells is normal in *Gfra4-/-* mice compared to wild-type littermates, calcitonin production is significantly reduced in young but not adult *Gfra4-/-* mice compared to wild-type littermates. It has been shown that extracellular calcium affects intracellular pathways leading to calcitonin production by activating G-protein coupled Ca-sensing receptor in C-cells. Thus, GFR α 4/Ret signaling may be important for calcitonin production possibly through the Ca-sensing receptor. Calcitonin is used therapeutically to treat diseases, such as osteoporosis, characterized by increased bone resorption. As the functional GFR α 4 continues to be expressed in adult human C-cells, GFR α 4 may be relevant in osteoporosis.

GDNF is produced by Sertoli cells, and its receptors GFR α 1 and Ret by spermatogonia in the testis. To investigate the roles for GDNF in the testis, we produced transgenic mice overexpressing GDNF specifically in testis. We also analyzed spermatogenesis in testes from heterozygous GDNF-deficient mice. Overexpression of GDNF favored self-renewal of spermatogenic stem cells resulting in depletion of spermatids, whereas low dosage of GDNF in GDNF+/- mice resulted in depletion of undifferentiated stem cells. Therefore we concluded that the GDNF dosage in testis is crucial for the regulation of cell fate decision of undifferentiating spermatogonia. The heterozygous GDNF-deficient and overexpressing transgenic mouse lines may thus serve as useful tools for studying the pathogenesis of male infertility. Furthermore, signaling pathways activated by GDNF may act as potential targets for developing male contraceptives.

Key words: GFRa4, GDNF, PSPN, Ret, thyroid, MTC, calcitonin, testis, spermatogenesis

1. REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

1.1 Introduction to neurotrophic factors

The development of the nervous system is a complex process involving numerous proteins implicated in cell fate decision of neural progenitors, migration of neural crest cells to proper targets and axonal guidance etc. The ectodermally derived neural tube forms the central nervous system, including the brain, the spinal cord, motor neurons and the neural pituitary in the developing embryo. Neural crest cells are derived from the embryonic dorsal-most part of the neural tube. Following epithelial to mesenchymal transition the neural crest cells migrate to their destined places in the developing embryo to generate the peripheral nervous system (PNS), facial cartilage and bone, dentine of teeth, melanocytes in the skin, connective and smooth muscle tissue of large arteries from the heart, neuroendocrine cells such as chromaffin cells of the adrenal medulla and thyroid parafollicular cells (C-cells) and enteric neural cells (Gilbert, 2003). The fate of the precursor neural crest cells is in large determined by the cues of the environment and soluble factors secreted at their potential targets. The eventual location of the neural crest precursor cell is largely determining the fate of the cell. After commitment to a neuronal fate, the neuron ceases to divide and differentiate through axonal outgrowth to specific targets, and formation of synaptic connections, finally undergoing a period of programmed cell death.

Neurotrophic factors control several vitally important functions in the nervous system, both during development and in

the mature brain. The most important and best studied roles of the neurotrophic factors are the control of neuronal number and stimulation of neurite growth, the functions through which they were initially discovered. In the development of almost every neuronal population, there is a period of programmed death, during which a significant portion of the initially generated neurons die (reviewed by Oppenheim, 1991). Thus, in most regions of the nervous system, the neurons are initially overproduced, but during the period of programmed death, the number is reduced to correspond to the actual requirement of a given tissue. 20-80% of the initial neurons die during the programmed death period. corresponding generally to the time of target field innervation. In fact, during the programmed death period, the target tissues control their own innervation density by regulating the number of surviving neurons, especially in the peripheral nervous system (sympathetic and sensory ganglia), but also in the motor neurons. The neurons are basically apoptotic during programmed death period and tend to commit suicide by default. Neurotrophic factors, produced from target tissues, neutralize the apoptotic program, thereby rescuing the neurons from death. By the current targetderived neurotrophic factor model (Barde, 1989), the target tissues produce neurotrophic factors in limiting amounts that are sufficient to support only a fraction of the neurons seeking to innervate the tissue, whereas the neurons remaining without neurotrophic support, die by default. Thus, by producing suitable levels of neurotrophic factors, the

tissues maintain alive only that number of neurons that is required for their innervation (reviewed by Davies, 2003; Reichardt. Huang and 2001). Neurotrophic factors secreted by target tissues, bind to their receptors on the nerve terminals, are endocytosed and carried to the somae (reviewed by Campenot and MacInnis, 2004), where they actively block the ongoing apoptotic program. The activated receptors trigger survival pathways and suppress various pro-apoptotic proteins (c-Jun, Bax, Bim, DP5 etc.) (reviewed by Kaplan and Miller, 2000; Putcha and Johnson, 2004). Different neurotrophic factors support survival of distinct, but partially overlapping populations of peripheral neurons, in addition to motor neurons (reviewed by Henderson et al., 1998). The importance of neurotrophic factors in the control of neuronal number is best proven in knockout mice of neurotrophins or their receptors, where the main phenotype was mostly the death of expected populations of peripheral ganglion neurons during the programmed death period (reviewed by Snider, 1994). Neurotrophic factors are indeed important in the induction and guidance of (at least) peripheral axons. In the central nervous system, the survivalpromoting and neuritogenic functions of neurotrophic factors are not as obvious as in the peripheral ganglia, as evidenced by essentially mild brain phenotypes in the knockout mice (Snider, 1994). Thus, in the brain, neurotrophic factors appear to be involved in the differentiation and functional modulation of the neurons, rather than in survival. Indeed, neurotrophins have been shown to have both acute effects on synaptic transmission and plasticity, and long-term

effects on synapse formation and function (reviewed by Lu, 2003) and have been shown to be important in the pathophysiology of mood disorders including major depressive disorder and bipolar disorder (reviewed by Hashimoto et al., 2004). Thus, neurotrophic factors seem to have a role in all main functions of the nervous system. Recent detailed *in vitro* and *in vivo* investigations have revealed important additional functions for neurotrophic factors in non-neural tissues and diseases (reviewed by Sariola, 2001).

Three families of polypeptides namely the neurotrophins, the neurokines and the glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) family ligands (GFLs) are traditionally classified as neurotrophic factors because they were initially described as factors acting on neuronal survival and growth. However, other growth factors such as fibroblast growth factors (FGF), insulin-like growth factors (IGF), members of the transforming growth factor- β family (TGF- β), stem cell factor (SCF) and several other growth factors have in culture been shown to have neuronal survival promoting effects (Arumäe et al., 1997; Barde, 1989). Most neurotrophic factors are synthesized as precursor pre-pro proteins with a consensus secretory signal sequence which is cleaved in the endoplasmatic reticulum (ER) (Lessmann et al., 2003). Additional modifications occur in the Golgi where the pro-domain is cleaved. The neurotrophic factors are transported in vesicles to the cell membrane where they are released into the extracellular space. Most of the biologically active neurotrophic factors act as homodimers either by binding to single- or multicomponent receptors located on the

same cell (autocrine) or on neighbouring cells (paracrine mode of action). The signaling components are usually transmembrane tyrosine or serine/ threonine kinase receptors that autophosphorylate themselves upon growth factor binding and thus initiate intracellular signaling cascades leading to immediate, early and late responses in the cell.

The prototypic neurotrophic factor, nerve growth factor (NGF), was identified 50 years ago as a factor that induced neuronal growth (Levi-Montalcini, 1952). Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) isolated from pig brain in the beginning of 1980's was shown to be highly homologous to NGF. Shortly thereafter the family of neurotrophins was expanded to include two structurally and functionally similar members, neurotrophin 3 (NT-3) and neurotrophin 4/5 (NT-4/5) (reviewed by Huang and Reichardt, 2001; Sofroniew et al., 2001). The neurotrophins share a common transmembrane low affinity receptor (p75^{NTR}) but use different members of the Trk (tropomyosin-related receptor kinase) family tyrosine kinase receptors for high affinity binding and signaling. NGF is the preferred ligand for TrkA, BDNF and NT-4 for TrkB and NT-3 for TrkC. The p75^{NTR} receptor, belonging to the family of tumor necrosis factor receptors (TNFR), can induce death in both neuronal and non-neuronal cells in the presence of neurotrophin when its high affinity receptor Trk is absent (reviewed by Teng and Hempstead, 2004). Although proneurotrophins have been considered as inactive precursors, at least secreted proNGF has recently been reported to induce apoptosis by binding with high affinity to p75^{NTR} and sortilin, a 95 kDa receptor for neurotensin, in sympathetic neurons and cells expressing both receptors (Nykjaer et al., 2004).

Neuropoietic cytokines such as ciliary neurotrophic factor (CNTF), interleukin-6 (IL-6) and leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) are pleiotrophic cytokines which are produced by immune cells, Schwann cells, fibroblasts and sensory neurons. Together with neurotrophins they act as communicators between the immune and nervous system and are known to act in response to injury and stress (Otten et al., 2000; Sariola et al., 1994; Sleeman et al., 2000). All neurokines bind to a common transmembrane glycoprotein receptor gp130. In addition CNTF binds to a glycosyl-phospatidylinositol (GPI)linked CNTFR receptor and LIFR B, LIF to LIF-R and IL-6 to IL-6-R (Heinrich et al., 2003). The ligand binding leads to activation of Janus kinases (JAK) and subsequent activation of STATs (signal transducer and activator of transcription).

The GDNF family ligands (GFLs) include four members: GDNF (Lin et al., 1993), neurturin (NRTN, Kotzbauer et al., 1996), persephin (PSPN, Milbrandt et al., 1998) and artemin (ARTN, Baloh et al., 1998b; Enovin, Masure et al., 1999; Neublastin, Rosenblad et al., 2000). They are small dimeric proteins secreted by the target tissue which promote the survival of central and peripheral neurons in vitro and/or in vivo. GDNF protects dopamine neurons in animal models of Parkinson's disease and rescues motor neurons in vivo which makes it a valuable candidate for therapeutic use in several neurodegenerative diseases (reviewed by Airaksinen and Saarma, 2002). Outside the nervous system GDNF acts as a morphogen in kidney development. Each ligand binds to a preferred GDNF family

co-receptor (GFR α 1-4) which is linked to the outer leaflet of the cell membrane with a GPI-anchor. Ligand binds to the co-receptor and triggers the transmembrane Ret tyrosine kinase dimerizing receptor, and transphosphorylating its intracellular tyrosine residues. GDNF preferentially binds to GFRa1, NRTN to GFRa2, ARTN to GFRa3 and PSPN to GFRa4. Activating mutations in the human RET receptor cause different types of cancers in the thyroid gland, whereas inactivating mutations cause Hirschsprungs's disease which is characterized by the absence of neuronal ganglia in various parts of the colon (reviewed by Sariola and Saarma, 2003). The different actions and features of GFLs and their receptors will be discussed in detail below. Furthermore, the results and discussion chapter will deal with the characterization and roles of the mammalian GFR α 4 in more detail (publications I, II and III).

1.2 Roles for neurotrophic factors outside the nervous system

The neurotrophins have been extensively studied as molecules important for the survival and differentiation of both central and peripheral neurons (reviewed by Fariñas, 1999; Huang and Reichardt, 2001). However, recent findings have pointed to a diversity of roles for the neurotrophins outside the nervous system. NGF (and to some extent NT-3 and BDNF) stimulate proliferation, antibody synthesis and differentiation of a broad spectrum of cell types in the immune system (reviewed by Vega et al., 2003). Gene ablation studies for Trk receptors and their ligands have revealed that TrkC and NT-3 are important for

cardiac outflow tract development (Donovan et al., 1996; Srivastava and Olson, 1996). Furthermore, both TrkAand TrkB-deficient mice showed structurally abnormal thymus with lower density of thymocytes and increased apotosis leading to depletion of T- and Bcells, suggesting that both NGF and BDNF are important for the survival of immune cells and in thymic organogenesis (García-Suárez et al., 2000; García-Suárez et al., 2002). Levels of NGF are increased during inflammation, allergies and diseases of the immune system secondary to an initial rise in anti-inflammatory cytokines (reviewed by Levi-Montalcini et al., 1996). In agreement with this, NGF can suppress inflammation in the brain in an animal model for human multiple sclerosis (Villoslada and Genain, 2004). Neurotrophins may thus function in the maintenance of immune cells as well as in repressing the inflammatory response. BDNF-deficiency in mice has been shown to result in cardiac vascular hemorrhage leading to early postnatal death (Donovan et al., 2000). Furthermore, BDNF has been shown to support the survival of cardiac endothelial cells endogenously expressing TrkB and capillarv increase densitv if overexpressed. NGF has been shown to indirectly stimulate the release of vascular endothelial growth factors from endothelial cells and to stimulate wound healing after experimental limb ischemia (Emanueli et al., 2003). The complete absence of p75^{NTR} results in defects of blood vessel formation (von Schack et al., 2001), which further suggests an angiogenic role for neurotrophins.

Expression of neurotrophins and their receptors in germ cells and Sertoli cells

of the testis has suggested a role in germ cell development (Ayer-LeLièvre et al., 1988; Park et al., 2001). According to this, increased germ cell apoptosis and developmentally delayed gonad morphology detected was from embryonic and postnatal testicles of TrkA and TrkC homozygous knockout mice compared to wild-type mice (Cupp et al., 2002). Neurotrophic factors are also involved in hair follicle cycle as NGF/ TrkA and NT-3/TrkC signaling appear to stimulate hair follicle development (anagen), whereas NT-4, BDNF and p75^{NTR} are involved in the induction of apototic hair follicle regression (catagen) (Botchkarev et al., 2004).

Gene knockout studies of gp130, the common signaling receptor for the IL-6 family of cytokines and IL-6 itself, have revealed that gp130/IL-6 signaling is important for protective response of hepatocytes in liver injury (Streetz et al., 2003; Wuestefeld et al., 2003). Specific inactivation of gp130 in germ cells resulted in a major defect in ovulation whereas only a slight decrease in the number of germ cells (Molyneaux et al., 2003). The IL-6 family members are potent inhibitors of stem cell differentiation. LIF is commonly used in maintaining the undifferentiated state of embryonic stem cells in culture (Williams et al., 1988). Furthermore, LIF has been shown to be critical for blastocyst implantation (Robb et al., 2002). In addition, LIF has been found to induce differentiation of kidney mesenchyme to epithelia (Barasch et al., 1999) and is also suggested to be involved in regeneration of renal epithelium after acute injury (Yoshino et al., 2003). LIF and CNTF have in vitro shown to enhance the survival of Sertoli cells and gonocytes, which suggests that these factors may play a role in the initial steps of spermatogenesis (De Miguel et al., 1996).

GDNF family members may also contribute to the control of the follicle hair cycle. Gfra1, Gfra2 and their ligands are highly expressed in the hair follicle during the anagen (active growth)catagen (growth regression) transition (Botchkareva et al., 2000). Furthermore, NRTN and GDNF administered to mouse skin organ cultures retard hair follicle regression. In line with the previous results, Ret immunostaining has been shown in mouse hair follicles after birth (Kato et al., 2001). Interestingly, promotion of hair growth occurred in a transgenic mouse line overexpressing Ret in the skin (Kato et al., 2001), suggesting potential roles for GDNF/RET in human hair growth promotion.

GDNF and its receptors GFR α 1 and Ret are crucial for ureteric branching in kidney development as respective gene knockout mice show relatively similar phenotypes, all lacking kidneys (See chapter 1.6.1). Furthermore, GDNF expressed by Sertoli cells in the testis is implicated in sperm differentiation and will be discussed in the result and discussion part of this thesis (publication IV).

1.3 Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor Family Ligands (GFLs) are distant members of the TGF-β family

1.3.1 GDNF

GDNF was biochemically purified in 1993 from a rat glioma cell line B49 growth medium on the basis of its ability to promote the survival of embryonic dopamine neurons in culture (Lin et al., 1993; Lin et al., 1994). The full-length GDNF cDNA (633 bp) codes for a 211 amino acid precursor polypeptide with an amino-terminal signal sequence and a pro-sequence which is cleaved from the mature domain by a furin-like endoproteinase at a RXXR cleavage site (Lin et al., 1993) (Fig. 1B; Table 1). However, little is known about the processing and secretion of GDNF by neurons and non-neuronal cells in vivo. The mature protein consists of 134 amino acids (Mr 18-22kDa) and contains two putative glycosylation sites (Lin et al., 1993). GDNF contains seven cysteines in the same relative spacing as members of the TGF- β family (Chang et al., 2002; Eigenbrot and Gerber, 1997) although mature GDNF shares less than 20% sequence homology on amino acid level with any of the other TGF- β family members (Lin et al., 1993). According to the crystal structure the GDNF monomer forms two finger-like (Finger 1 and Finger 2) structures by pairs of antiparallel β -strands with a α -helix at the opposite end (Chen et al., 2000; Eigenbrot and Gerber, 1997; Eketjäll et al., 1999) (Fig. 1A). A compact cystine knot structure, also common for other TGF- β superfamily members is produced by six cysteines forming three intermolecular cystinyl bonds (Eigenbrot and Gerber, 1997; Ibáñez, 1998). The biologically active GDNF dimer is formed by a covalent disulfide bond between the unpaired cysteines in monomers, which positions the monomers in a head-to-tail orientation with the knot structure in the middle of the dimer (Eigenbrot and Gerber, 1997; Eketjäll et al., 1999).

GDNF mRNA is expressed in many areas of the central and peripheral

nervous system during embryonic development (Henderson et al., 1994; Nosrat et al., 1996; Golden et al., 1999) and after birth (Golden et al., 1998; Holm et al., 2002; Nosrat et al., 1996; Trupp et al., 1996). GDNF mRNA is also expressed by developing skeletal muscles (Arce et al., 1998; Chen et al., 2003; Henderson et al., 1994: Nosrat et al., 1996) and muscle layers of the gut wall (Golden et al., 1999; Nosrat et al., 1996; Suvanto et al., 1996). High levels of GDNF mRNA is expressed in the developing kidney mesenchyme surrounding the tips of ureteric buds (Nosrat et al., 1996; Sainio et al., 1997; Suvanto et al., 1996), in developing mouse whisker follicles and testis (Suvanto et al., 1996; Trupp et al., 1995). Expression of GDNF mRNA has also been detected in the mesenchyme of developing limb buds, tongue, teeth and cartilage and in adult ovary (Golden et al., 1999; Luukko et al., 1997; Nosrat et al., 1996; Trupp et al., 1995), but the exact role of GDNF in these organs is unclear.

GDNF supports in vitro the survival of midbrain dopamine neurons (Lin et al., 1993; Tomac et al., 1995), motoneurons (Henderson et al., 1994; Oppenheim et al., 1995), central noradrenergic neurons (Arenas et al., 1995), basal forebrain cholinergic neurons (Williams et al., 1996), peripheral sensory, parasympathetic, and sympathetic neurons (Arce et al., 1998; Buj-Bello et al., 1995; Forgie et al., 1999; Mount et al., 1995; Oppenheim et al., 1995; Trupp et enteric neurons al.. 1995) and (Heuckeroth et al., 1998).

In vivo exogenous GDNF has been shown to prevent axotomized embryonic or injured adult motoneurons from atrophy and death and to induce sprouting

(Henderson et al., 1994; Hottinger et al., 2000; Li et al., 1995), which suggest that GDNF could be a potential therapeutic agent for the treatment of adult-onset motoneuron diseases. such as amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), a progressively paralysing disease affecting motoneurons Animal models of Parkinson's disease (PD) have shown that GDNF delivered to the striatum or substantia nigra can prevent further cell loss and also to restore function in dysfunctional or atrophic neurons in the degenerating nigrostriatal system (Björklund et al., 2000; Åkerud et al., 1999). In a recent clinical trial where GDNF was delivered directly to the putamen of five human PD patients, improvement in motorfunction with no side effects was reported (Gill et al., 2003), suggesting further GDNF as a potent candidate drug for treatment of PD. In rodents, an increase in GDNF mRNA and its receptors have been observed in the forebrain, hippocampus and in the striatum after experimentally induced global and focal forebrain ischemia and after kindling-stimulated epilepsy (Arvidsson et al., 2001; Kokaia et al., 1999). Direct delivery of GDNF before ischemic insult has shown to block the elevation of extracellular nitric oxide and reduce the infarction size (Wang et al., 1997). However, high doses of GDNF may increase ischemic cell death due to a yet unknown mechanism (Arvidsson et al., 2003). In animal models of experimentally induced neuropathic pain arising from injury in peripheral nerves, infusion of GDNF has been shown to normalize abnormal pain behaviour and restore the changes in neurochemical markers observed in neuropathic pain (reviewed by Sah et al., 2003). However, the broad expression range of the receptors for GDNF may elicit complicated side effects by activating cells normally silent.

1.3.2 Neurturin (NRTN)

NRTN was isolated from conditioned medium of Chinese hamster ovary cells on the basis of its ability to promote the survival of neonatal rat sympathetic neurons (Kotzbauer et al., 1996). Mature NRTN shows 42% sequence similarity with GDNF and has a predicted Mr of 12.5 kDa (Kotzbauer et al., 1996). Like GDNF, NRTN is synthesized as a prepro protein with seven cysteines in the same relative spacing as GDNF (Fig. 1B; Table 1). Since seven cysteines are also conserved in NRTN, it is likely that the general fold of NRTN is very similar to that of GDNF. However, data about the three dimensional structure of NRTN is lacking.

NRTN mRNA is detected in the developing brain, in dental epithelium and in developing lacrimal gland (Golden et al., 1999; Luukko et al., 1998; Rossi et al., 1999); Widenfalk et al., 1997). In addition, high NRTN mRNA levels are detected before birth in blood vessels, skin, testis, circular muscle layer of the gut, cutaneous glands, submandibular gland, and parotid gland (Golden et al., 1999; Widenfalk et al., 1997; Åkerud et al., 1999). Postnatally, NRTN expression remains high in skin, stomach, intestine, prostate, testis and urethra (Golden et al., 1999; Viglietto et al., 2000). In vitro NRTN supports the survival of populations of sensory and sympathetic neurons (Forgie et al., 1999; Kotzbauer et al., 1996), spinal motor neurons (Garcès et al., 2001), dopaminergic neurons

(Horger et al., 1998; Kotzbauer et al., 1996; Åkerud et al., 1999), enteric neuronal precursor cells (Heuckeroth et al., 1998) and basal forebrain cholinergic neurons (Golden et al., 2003).

In vivo, exogenous NRTN has been shown to prevent the death of dopamine neurons in mouse models of Parkinson's disease (Horger et al., 1998; Åkerud et al., 1999) but in contrast to GDNF, it does not induce sprouting, neuritogenesis or hypertrophy. However, the mechanism for NRTN action is elusive, as GFR $\alpha 2$ expression has not been detected in dopamine neurons.

1.3.3 Persephin (PSPN)

PSPN was identified in 1998 by PCR, and subsequent rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE), using degenerate primers corresponding to very similar sequences in GDNF and NRTN (Milbrandt et al., 1998). Rat, mouse and human PSPN is a 156-amino acid long prepro protein with a predicted 21-residue signal sequence, a 39-residue pro region, a RXXR consensus cleavage site and a predicted 96-amino acid mature PSPN protein of Mr 10-12 kDa. PSPN shows about 40% sequence identity to GDNF and 50% to NRTN, with seven cysteines in the same characteristic spacing as other members of the TGF- β family (Milbrandt et al., 1998) (Fig. 1B; Table 1).

The expression of *PSPN* mRNA is very low in many rat embryonic and adult peripheral tissues as well as in many parts of the CNS and some sensory ganglia (Jaszai et al., 1998; Milbrandt et al., 1998). Because of its low expression, *PSPN* mRNA has been detected only by



Figure 1. (A) Alpha-carbon chain feature of the GDNF homodimer. Adapted from (Eketjäll et al., 1999). **(B) Schematic organization of the prepro GFLs.** According to (Airaksinen et al., 1999). The relative lengths (number of amino acids) of pre-, pro- and mature domains of GFLs are shown. Conserved cysteines in the mature domains are marked by black lines and arrows.

reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR). Curiously, the cDNA clones obtained from mouse, rat and human cDNA libraries contained an 88-bp intronic sequence, which was in vitro removed by splicing in mammalian cell lines transfected with the cDNA containing the short intron, to generate a transcript coding for the prepro PSPN (Milbrandt et al., 1998). In contrast, the intronic, unspliced transcript generates а premature stop codon when translated which does not give rise to a functional protein. As shown for GDNF and NRTN, PSPN can promote the survival of cultured rat embryonic midbrain dopamine neurons and rat embryonic motor neurons (Milbrandt et al., 1998; Åkerud et al., 2002). PSPN also promotes neurite outgrowth from chicken embryonic oculomotor neurons in vitro (Chen et al., 2003), but not postnatal rat motor neurons (Bilak et al., 1999). Recently, PSPN was also reported to promote the survival of embryonic rat basal forebrain cholinergic neurons (Golden et al., 2003). However, in contrast to GDNF and NRTN, PSPN does not promote the survival of cultured rat peripheral sympathetic, sensory or enteric neurons (Milbrandt et al., 1998). In vivo, PSPN signaling may protect the brain from insults as PSPN administered intraventricularly prior to experimentally induced focal cerebral ischemia, is shown to reduce the infarction size in mice and rats (Tomac et al., 2002). Furthermore, PSPN expressed by a neural stem cell line grafted to the striatal area in mouse was shown to rescue dopamine neurons from degeneration in a mouse model of Parkinson's disease (Åkerud et al., 2002).

1.3.4 Artemin (ARTN)

ARTN (also named Enovin or Neublastin) the fourth member of the GDNF family, was independently identified by three groups using database homology search (Baloh et al., 1998b; Masure et al., 1999; Rosenblad et al., 2000). Full-length ARTN cDNA was obtained by RACE PCR from mouse and human cDNA libraries. Sequence data revealed that ARTN is a member of the GDNF family ligands (Fig. 1B; Table 1). Mature ARTN has the highest sequence identity to NRTN (51%) and PSPN (53%) and the lowest to GDNF (39%) (Masure et al., 1999). In rat and mouse embryos, ARTN mRNA expression is localized in close proximity to migration routes for sympathetic neuroblasts, in the wall of the dorsal aorta near the site of the organization of the primitive sympathetic chain and later its expression is localized to blood vessels and arteries along which sympathetic neurons migrate and differentiate (Enomoto et al., 2001; Honma et al., 2002). Artn mRNA has not been detected in the CNS. In vitro, ARTN is found to support the survival of a subset of sensory and sympathetic neurons (Baloh et al., 1998b; Enomoto et al., 2001). Recent studies have shown that ARTN can reverse experimental neuropathic pain, which suggests ARTN application as a treatment for different types of neuropathic pain (Gardell et al., 2003).

1.4 GDNF family receptor α's (GFRα's)

GFR α 1, the preferential binding receptor for GDNF, initially termed GDNFR- α , was cloned by expression cDNA library screening and characterized independently by two groups (Jing et al., 1996; Treanor et al., 1996) (Table 1). This proved to be a novel class of cysteine rich proteins (31 Cys of 468 amino acids) with three N-glycosylation sites and a predicted Mr of 47 kDa. GFR α 1 contains a N-terminal hydrophobic area characteristic for a secretory signal sequence (von Heijne, 1986) and a C-terminal sequence with 23 hydrophobic amino acids. A group of three small amino acids (ASS) proceeding the stretch of hydrophobic amino acids indicated a

possible GPI-binding/cleavage site (Udenfriend and Kodukula, 1995). The GPI-linkage was verified by treatment of GFR_{\alpha}1 expressing cells with phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase C (PI-PLC) which cleaves GPI-linked proteins from the cell surface (Jing et al., 1996; Treanor et al., 1996). The GFRa1 receptor was found to bind ¹²⁵I-GDNF with high affinity, and this binding was effectively displaced with an excess of unlabeled GDNF (Cik et al., 2000). Immunoprecipitation of Ret

Gene	Human	Mouse	Rat	Chicken
	Localization	Localization	Localization	Localization
	Accession ¹ , bp ²	Accession, bp	Accession, bp	Accession, bp
GDNF	5p13.1	15A2	2q16	Unknown*
	NM_000514	NM_010275	NM_019139	AF176017
	633 bp	720 bp	717 bp	Partial cDNA
NRTN	19p13.3	17D	9q11	28*
	NM_004558	NM_008738	NM_053399	
	591 bp	585 bp	671 bp	
PSPN	19p13.3	17E1.1	9q11	Unidentified*
	NM_004158	NM_008954	NM_013014	
	468 bp	468 bp	468 bp	
ARTN	1p34.1	14D1	5q36	8*
	NM_003976	NM_009711	AF_184919	
	660 bp	672 bp	675 bp	
GFRA1	10q25.3	19D3	1q55	6*
	NM_005264	NM_010279	NM_012959	NM_205102
	1395 bp	1404 bp	1407 bp	1407 bp
GFRA2	8p21.3	14D1	15p11	Unknown*
	NM_001495	NM_008115	NM_012750	NM_205101,
	1392 bp	1389 bp	1392 bp	
GFRA3	5q31.2	18	18p12	13*
	NM_001496	NM_010280	XM_341593	
	1200 bp	1191 bp	1267 bp	
GFRA4	20p13	2F3	3q36	4*
	AJ291673, 807 bp (a)	AJ276870, 780 bp (GPI)	NM_023967	NM_204991
	AJ291674, 897 bp (b)	AJ276871, 879 bp (TM)	822 bp	1293 bp
RET	10q11.21	6F1	4q42	6?*
	NM_000323 long	NM_009050 long	AJ_299016 long	NM_205190
	3342 bp	3345 bp	3348 bp	3192 bp short
	NM_020630 short	AY_326937 short	AJ_299017 short	
	3216 bp	3219 bp	3222 bp	

Table 1. Chromosomal localization of GFL, GFRA and RET genes.

¹ Genbank Accession number, ² coding region, * localization based on BLAST analysis of the draft chicken genome assembly (http://www.genome.gov/11510730).

protein tyrosine kinase after cross-linking of ¹²⁵I-labeled GDNF to GFR α 1 revealed that GDNF-GFR α 1 forms a complex with the Ret receptor. The pattern of bands in reducing and non-reducing gels suggested that a GDNF dimer binds to a GFR α 1 dimer that forms a complex with RET. GDNF was also shown to activate Ret tyrosine kinase when bound to either soluble or GPI-linked GFR α 1 receptor (Jing et al., 1997).

Gfra1 mRNA is expressed in many brain areas of the developing and adult mouse which often is complementary to the sites where GDNF is expressed (Golden et al., 1998; Golden et al., 1999; Nosrat et al., 1997; Trupp et al., 1997). High *Gfra1* mRNA levels are detected in some peripheral organs of the developing mouse and rat such as the ureteric buds and mesenchyme of the kidney, the ganglionic plexuses of the developing gastrointestinal tract and whisker follicles (Golden et al., 1999; Nosrat et al., 1997; Yu et al., 1998).

Homology database searching using GFR α 1 as a query resulted in the simultaneous cloning of GFR α 2 (TrnR2, Baloh et al., 1997; NTNR-α, Buj-Bello et al., 1997; GFRα-2, Jing et al., 1997; NTNR- α , Klein et al., 1997; RETL2, Sanicola et al., 1997; GDNFR-β, Suvanto et al., 1997; GDNFR-β, Wang et al., 1998; GDNFR-β, Widenfalk et al., 1997) (Table 1). GFR α 2 contains 464 amino acids with a predicted molecular weight of approximately 51 kDa and shares a significant homology with GFR α 1 (48%) as well as nearly identical spacing of 30 of its 31 cysteines. GFRa2 contains a signal peptide at the amino terminus, three potential glycosylation sites and a GPI cleavage/binding site (Udenfriend and Kodukula, 1995). On the basis of

internal homologous cysteine-rich sequences in GFR α 1 and GFR α 2, a secondary structure analysis prediction suggested three putative globular cysteine-rich domain structures in the two receptors (D1-D3), linked with less conserved hinge domains (Airaksinen et al., 1999; Suvanto, 1997) (Fig. 2). This domain model was recently verified by crystallization of GFRa1 D3 which revealed a new protein fold (Leppänen et al., 2004). The D3 domain forms a bundle of five α -helices connected with three less well-conserved loops. Five disulfide bridges form a compact structure including five conserved hydrophobic phenylalanines which form the core of the bundle. D1 and D2 were predicted to have the same structure as D3 on the basis of α -helical structure and conserved cysteines with the same positions with respect to disulfide bridges (Leppänen et al., 2004). Binding data revealed that NRTN binds with high affinity (K_{d} 10 pM) to the GFR α 2 receptor (Cik et al., 2000; Klein et al., 1997). NRTN like GDNF was found to stimulate Ret phosphorylation in cell lines coexpressing Ret and GFR α 2 (Baloh et al., 1997; Jing et al., 1997; Klein et al., 1997; Suvanto et al., 1997).

Gfra2 mRNA is expressed in the embryonic as well as adult CNS and PNS neurons and glia such as parasympathetic and enteric neurons and glia, in some sensory neurons, in the developing and postnatal myenteric plexus of the gastrointestinal tract and many peripheral organs (Golden et al., 1998; Golden et al., 1999; Heuckeroth et al., 1999; Jing et al., 1997; Rossi et al., 1999; Rossi et al., 2003; Suvanto et al., 1997; Widenfalk et al., 1997).

GFR α 3, the third member of the

GFRa family was cloned by PCR (Baloh et al., 1998a; Jing et al., 1997; Masure et al., 1998; Naveilhan et al., 1998; Nomoto et al., 1998; Trupp et al., 1998; Widenfalk et al., 1998; Worby et al., 1998) (Table 1). GFRa3 (Mr 39 kDa) is 34% identical to GFR α 1 and 36% identical to GFR α 2 (Fig. 2). The conserved 28 cysteines suggested a similar domain structure as for GFR α 1 and GFR α 2 but with a shorter, less conserved C-terminal. Gfra3 mRNA is expressed in some embryonic and adult sensory ganglia and throughout the entire sympathetic nervous system but not in the brain (Baloh et al., 1998a; Honma et al., 2002; Trupp et al., 1998; Widenfalk et al., 1998; Worby et al., 1998).

Chicken GFRa4 was identified from an embryonic chicken brain cDNA library by low stringency hybridization to a GFR α 1 probe. The amino acid sequence revealed a 431-amino acid long protein with 28 conserved cysteines, a N-terminal putative hydrophobic signal sequence, and a putative consensus GPI-linkage sequence in the C-terminus, characteristic for the other GFRα receptors (Thompson et al., 1998). Sequence alignment revealed that chicken GFR α 4 shares higher identity with both mouse and chicken GFR α 1 and GFR α 2 (40%) than with mouse GFR_a3 (27%). In displacement binding experiments with different ligands, only mouse PSPN, but not rat GDNF nor human NRTN, was capable of binding to chicken GFR α 4 (Enokido et al., 1998). However, higher concentrations (K_{λ} approximately 1 nM) of unlabelled PSPN were needed for displacement compared to GDNF binding to GFR α 1 and NRTN to GFR α 2 (Enokido et al., 1998). Furthermore, Ret was shown to be the signaling receptor for PSPN together with $GFR\alpha 4$ as

sympathetic neurons co-expressing GFR α 4 and Ret, but not GFR α 1 or GFR α 2, survived in the presence of PSPN (Enokido et al., 1998). Chicken *Gfra4* mRNA was found to be expressed in embryonic kidney, skeletal muscle, skin, intestine and lung and within the CNS in spinal cord, cerebellum, midbrain, medulla oblongata and pons (Thompson et al., 1998). The mammalian GFR α 4 was characterized in this work and its features compared to the other GFR α -receptors will be described in detail in the results and discussion part (I and II) (Fig. 2) (Table 1).

1.5 RET receptor tyrosine kinase

RET was identified in 1985 as an oncogene resulting from a chromosomal translocation during transfection of human lymphoma DNA into a fibroblast (REarranged cell line during Transfection) (Iwamoto et al., 1993; Takahashi et al., 1985; Takahashi, 1988) (Table 1). The RET proto-oncogene encodes for a single span transmembane protein with an extracellular domain (607 amino acids) consisting of four cadherinlike repeats (CDL1-4) based on computer modeling (Anders et al., 2001), a cysteine rich domain (Iwamoto et al., 1993; Kuma et al., 1993; Takahashi, 1988; Takahashi hydrophobic et al., 1989), а transmembrane region, and a cytoplasmic intracellular part (414 or 457 aa) with a kinase domain (amino acids 724-1016) divided in human RET into two lobes by a hinge region (Scott, 2002) (Fig. 2). A Ca²⁺-binding site is localized between cadherin-like domains 2 and 3 (Anders et al., 2001). Two RET polypeptides with different molecular masses are usually seen in western blots, one fully processed,

12

membrane-bound protein of approximately 170 kDa and one smaller, partially glycosylated protein of approximately 150 kDa band that is thought to retain in the endoplasmatic reticulum (Carlomagno et al., 1996; Cosma et al., 1998). The RET gene encodes for multiple alternative 5' and 3'end splice variants (Ivanchuk et al., 1997; Lorenzo et al., 1995; Myers et al., 1995; Tahira et al., 1990). Alternative splicing of the 3' RET mRNA gives rise to at least three RET isoforms differing in their C terminus downstream of amino acid 1062. The short isoform (1072 aa) with a 9-amino acid unique C-terminus and the long isoform (1114 aa) with 51amino acid unique C terminus, are highly conserved between species (Carter et al., 2001). The short isoform (RET9) is important for early kidney and enteric nervous system development (de Graaff et al., 2001; Lee et al., 2002), whereas the long isoform (RET51) is important for the

metabolism and growth of mature sympathetic neurons (Tsui-Pierchala et al., 2002a). RET transcripts are expressed in cells and tissues derived from the neural crest, branchial arches and ureteric bud (Lorenzo et al., 1995) often overlapping with the expression of GFR α receptor mRNAs (Trupp et al., 1997). Expression of *RET* is high in dopamine neurons, in motor neurons, in a subset of trigeminal neurons, gastrointestinal tract and kidney (Nosrat et al., 1997). However, the expression of *RET* is low or absent in many developing and adult brain areas such as hippocampus and developing cerebellum (Golden et al., 1998; Golden et al., 1999; Trupp et al., 1997). Expression of RET mRNA is also detected in the developing and adult thyroid parafollicular C-cells (Belluardo et al., 1999; Golden et al., 1999). High levels of RET mRNAs have been observed in human tumors with a neural crest origin, such as medullary thyroid



Figure 2. Interaction of GFLs with their cognate receptors. All GFLs activate the transmembrane tyrosine kinase RET through their preferred GFR α receptor (solid arrows). Weak cross-talk has been observed with NRTN and ARTN binding to GFR α 1 and GDNF binding to GFR α 2 (dotted arrows). The mammalian GFR α 4 lacks the first Cys-rich domain D1 (I, II). Adapted from (Airaksinen and Saarma, 2002).

carcinoma and pheochromocytomas derived from the adrenal medullary chromaffin cells (Takaya et al., 1996). Several gain-of-function mutations in the RET gene result in human diseases including familial medullary thyroid carcinomas (FMTC), multiple endocrine neoplasia types 2A and 2B (MEN2A and MEN2B) and sporadic medullary and papillary thyroid carcinoma characterized by neoplasias of the thyroid gland (See Loss-of-function chapter 1.9.2). mutations in RET cause Hirschsprung's disease (HSCR) characterized by megacolonic aganglionosis (See chapter 1.9.1).

1.6 Gene ablation studies reveal distinct activities for GFLs *in vivo*

1.6.1 GDNF, GFRα1 and RET are regulators in kidney morphogenesis and in the development of the enteric and parasympathetic nervous system

Many of the *in vivo* roles for GFLs, GFR α - and RET receptors and their interactions inside and outside the nervous system have been elucidated by gene ablation studies. Homozygous Retdeficient mice (Schuchardt et al., 1994) as well as GDNF- (Moore et al., 1996; Pichel et al., 1996; Sánchez et al., 1996) and GFRa1-deficient mice (Cacalano et al., 1998; Enomoto et al., 1998) all display unilateral or bilateral renal agenesis or severe dysgenesis and lack of enteric neurons from the myenteric plexus in the small and large intestine (intestinal aganglionosis) (Table 2). However, the rest of the urogenital system such as gonads and the adrenal glands develop normally (Allmendinger et al., 2003).

Consequently, these mice die 12-24 hours after birth possibly due to an inability to consume milk, a renal failure or/and a breathing defect.

Kidney development is characterized by a successive interaction of inductive signals between the metanephric blastema and the ureteric bud which branches from the Wolffian (nephric) duct into the metanephric blastema. The mesenchyme signals the proliferating bud both to divide and to elongate its collecting ducts (Suvanto, 1997). Gdnf mRNA is highly expressed in the condensing metanephric mesenchyme (Hellmich et al., 1996; Suvanto et al., 1996), whereas Ret and Gfra1 mRNA are expressed by the tips of the ureteric bud (Sainio et al., 1997). Gfra1 mRNA is also found in the nephrogenic mesenchyme (Sainio et al., 1997). GDNF has been shown to bind directly to tips of the ureteric buds and induce bud formation from the Wolffian duct from local sources in organ cultures (Sainio et al., 1997). In homozygous GDNF-, GFRa1- and RET-deficient mice, the ureteric bud is not developed and if it is, it never reaches the metanephric mesenchyme (Cacalano et al., 1998; Enomoto et al., 1998; Moore et al., 1996; Pichel et al., 1996; Sánchez et al., 1996; Schuchardt et al., 1994; Schuchardt et al., 1996), which suggests GDNF and its receptors to be critical for inducing the development of the ureteric bud. GDNF heterozygous mice display agenesis bilateral unilateral or dysgenesis, indicating that the level of GDNF produced by the nephrogenic mesenchyme is critical in the inductive process. Misexpression of RET mRNA throughout the bud also causes variable inhibition of ureteric bud growth and branching, probably due to reduction of GDNF availability to the tips of the ureteric bud (Srinivas et al., 1999). However, signals other than GDNF are likely to count for the growth and elongation of the branches (Sariola and Saarma, 1999). Although both NRTN and PSPN *in vitro* can promote ureteric branching (Davies et al., 1999; Milbrandt et al., 1998), NRTN-, GFR α 2- and PSPN-deficient mice show no obvious defect in kidney development (Heuckeroth et al., 1999; Rossi et al., 1999; Tomac et al., 2002).

The mammalian enteric nervous system (ENS) controls digestive activities such as peristaltic movements, endocrine and exocrine secretions. The development of the ENS starts with the migration of vagal and trunk (somites 1-7) neural crest cells (NC) from the neural tube ventrolaterally to invade the foregut mesenchyme. Previously, in vitro studies have shown that GDNF and NRTN promote the survival and proliferation of mammalian ENS progenitor cells and their differentiation into neurons and glia (Heuckeroth et al., 1998; Taraviras and Pachnis, 1999). GDNF has been shown to be important for guiding NC cells to their proper targets in the ENS and is expressed in the mesenchyme ahead of the invading NC cells that successively invade almost the entire length of the bowel in the developing mouse (Young et al., 2001; Natarajan et al., 2002). Homozygous GDNF-, GFRα1-and Ret-deficient mice all lack neural crest derived enteric neurons posterior to the stomach (Cacalano et al., 1998; Enomoto et al., 1998; Moore et al., 1996; Pichel et al., 1996; Sánchez et al., 1996; Schuchardt et al., 1994), a condition that resembles Hirschsprung's disease (HSCR), or colonic aganglionosis in humans (Parisi and Kapur, 2000). In a recent study, knock-in mice were generated expressing monoisoformic forms of either RET9 or RET51 (de Graaff et al., 2001). The majority of mice homozygous for RET9 died as neonates with a slightly milder kidney- and ENS phenotype than Retdeficient mice. The RET9 homozygous mice are characterized by distal colon aganglionosis which is analogous to the condition observed in HSCR (de Graaff et al., 2001). In contrast to RET9 mice, the RET51 homozygous mice are normal, suggesting that RET9 is sufficient for normal development of the kidneys and the ENS (de Graaff et al., 2001).

Peripheral neuronal deficits were also observed in GDNF-, GFRa1- and RETdeficient mice. Certain cranial (otic and sphenopalatine) parasympathetic ganglia are missing in newborn GDNF-, GFRa1and Ret-mutant mice, indicating that GDNF signaling is important for early development of parasympathetic ganglia (Enomoto et al., 2000; Marcos and Pachnis, 1996; Rossi et al., 2000). Furthermore, there is a significant loss of a subset of motoneurons in homozygous GDNF- and GFRa1-deficient embryos (Cacalano et al., 1998; Moore et al., 1996; Oppenheim et al., 2000; Sánchez et al., 1996). Accordingly, the death of motoneurons is prevented by overexpression of GDNF in developing muscle or by exogenously injecting GDNF in utero (Oppenheim et al., 2000). Furthermore, transgenic mice overexpressing GDNF under a musclespecific promoter show hyperinnervation of neuromuscular junctions (Nguyen et al., 1998), suggesting GDNF to be important for motor axon branching and synapse elimination. Even though Gfra1 and to a lesser extent GDNF and Ret, are

highly expressed in the central nervous system of wild type mice, no obvious defects were found in the CNS of these mice at birth (Cacalano et al., 1998: Enomoto et al., 1998; Moore et al., 1996; Pichel et al., 1996; Sánchez et al., 1996). Although GDNF is known to be a potent survival factor for embryonic and mature midbrain dopamine neurons, no loss of dopamine neurons or projections to the striatum was observed in GDNF-, GFRα1- or Ret-deficient mice (Cacalano et al., 1998; Enomoto et al., 1998; Marcos and Pachnis, 1996; Moore et al., 1996; Sánchez et al., 1996). However, these mice die before maturation of the dopaminergic system. In rodents DA neurons undergo natural cell death in two phases the first peaking just after birth and the second 2 weeks after birth (Oo and Burke, 1997). It has recently been demonstrated that GDNF administred in vivo into the striatal target is able to suppress the natural apotosis during the first biphasic death event (Oo et al., 2003). In the same paradigm blocking antibodies to endogenous GDNF induced apotosis (Oo et al., 2003). Midbrain dopamine neuronal grafts transplanted from GDNF-null mouse fetuses, show poor survival compared to wild type or heterozygous tissue, when transplanted to wild-type adult mouse brain (Granholm et al., 2000), suggesting that GDNF is important for the survival of adult dopamine neurons. Moreover, GDNF is suggested to have many functions in the adult brain like in learning behavior, age related motor dysfunctions and in adaptation to drug abuse (Gerlai et al., 2001; Grondin et al., 2003; Messer et al., 2000). The best solution to in vivo study the effect of GDNF-, GFRα1- and Retdeficiency in the young and adult mouse

would be to generate conditional knockout mice with deficiency in targeted tissues.

1.6.2 NRTN and GFRα2 regulate the development of parasympathetic and subsets of enteric neurons

Homozygous GFRa2- and NRTNdeficient mice are both viable and fertile, show no obvious defects in major organs and brain, but have a similar defect in the cholinergic innervation of some parasympathetic cranial ganglia and enteric myenteric plexus (Heuckeroth et al., 1999; Rossi et al., 1999) (Table 2). Both mice show a reduction in tear production caused by deficits in the cholinergic innervation of the lacrimal gland. Otic and sphenopalatine ganglia are present in GFRa2- and NRTNdeficient mice but reduced in neuronal size (Heuckeroth et al., 1999; Rossi et al., 1999). The sacral parasympathetic system is also affected as GFR α 2-deficient mice have fewer parasympathetic axons innervating the penis (Laurikainen et al., 2000). It was shown recently that the innervation of the mucosa of reproductive organs by a subset of parasympathetic cholinergic neurons was reduced in GFR α 2- and NRTN-deficient mice. whereas the smooth muscle layers and the urinary bladder were not affected (Wanigasekara et al., 2004). The reduction in parasympathetic neuronal number and size in many of the cranial and sacral parasympathetic ganglia indicates NRTN/GFRa2/Ret signaling to be important for parasympathetic target innervation and maintenance of cell size (Heuckeroth et al., 1999; Rossi et al., 1999; Rossi et al., 2000; Wanigasekara et al., 2004). Furthermore, a temporal

Gene Knock-	RET	GDNF/GFRa1	NRTN/GFRa2	ARTN/GFRa3	PSPN/GFRa4
Out	DO lathal	D0 lathal	Vichle fortile	Viehle fertile	Viehle fertile
gross phenotype	Poletnai	Po letnal	Pseudoptosis growth retardation**	Ptosis	<i>Gfra4-/-</i> (III)
PNS:					ND
Sensory: PG	Breathing defect	<40%, breathing defect soma reduced			
DRG			Soma size reduced, loss of heat sensitivity	NS	
TG		loss in whisker follicles			
Autonomic: Sympathetic	SCG; migration defect	SCG; <35% in Gdnf-/- mice NS in Gfra1-/- mice	NS	SCG and other ganglia; defect in migration and axon growth	
Sympathetic cholinergic			Soma size,target innervation reduced		
Parasympathetic Cranial: SPG	Lack of ganglia	Lack of ganglia	NS		
OG	Lack of ganglia	Lack of ganglia	40% reduced no. of neurons		
SMG	Reduced number and soma size	Reduced number and soma size	42% reduced number of neurons	NS	
Enteric neurons	No neurons in bowel below stomach	No neurons in bowel below stomach	Moderate loss of fibers in small intestine	NS	
CNS: Spinal	Loss in various	22-31% loss of	No gross defects	No gross defects	No gross defects
motorneurons	nuclei	neurons			
Brain	Substantia nigra; ns	impaired learning, reduced loco- motor activity*	impaired behavioral flexibility and memory **	No gross defects	<i>Pspn-/-</i> : hypersensitive to cerebral ischemia
Other tissues	No kidneys, moderate thyroid C- cell loss(I)	No kidneys, testis degeneration in adult <i>Gdnf</i> +/- mice (IV)	ND	ND	<i>Gfra4-/-</i> mice; calcitonin levels reduced in young mice (III)

 Table 2. Phenotypes of mice lacking GFLs and their receptors. Modified from (Airaksinen and Saarma, 2002).

NS, not significantly different from wild-type; ND, not determined; PG, petrosal ganglion; DRG, dorsal root ganglion; TG, trigeminal ganglion; SCG, superior cervical ganglion; SPG, sphenopalatine ganglion; OG, otic ganglion; SMG, submandibular ganglion; *Gdnf+/-, ** Gfra2-/- mice.

switch from GDNF-NRTN to dependence is suggested to occur in parasympathetic and enteric neurons late in embryonic development (Airaksinen and Saarma, 2002). A subpopulation of exicatory enteric neurons is lost in the small intestine of GFRa2- and NRTNdeficient mice and the motility of the gut shows impairment in vitro (Heuckeroth et al., 1999; Rossi et al., 1999). Interestingly, NRTN/GFR α 2/Ret signaling has also proven to be important for adult cholinergic sympathetic neurons as threeweek-old and adult GFR α 2-deficient mice have a reduced number of cholinergic sympathetic neurons and soma size in footpad sweat glands in comparison to wild-types (Hiltunen and Airaksinen, 2004).

GFR α 2-deficient mice experience growth retardation after birth compared to wild-type littermates, which is most pronounced at 4-6 weeks (Rossi et al., 1999; Rossi et al., 2003), while this phenotype is not observed in the NRTNdeficient mice (Heuckeroth et al., 1999). The differences between GFR α 2-and NRTN-deficient mice in growth, even though fed with the same diet and bred almost in the same background, suggest that GFR α 2/Ret signals yet through another ligand *in vivo* (Wanigasekara et al., 2004).

Although the morphology of the CNS in GFR α 2-deficient mice appears normal, the GFR α 2-deficient mice display a suppressed response to epileptic stimulus in a hippocampal kindling model (Nanobashvili et al., 2000), which further suggests functional roles for GFR α 2 and NRTN in the brain.

1.6.3 ARTN and GFRα3 control sympathetic neuronal migration and initial axon growth

As substantial sympathetic defects were found in the homozygous RET knock-out mice (Durbec et al., 1996; Enomoto et al., 2001), it was logical to assume that GFRa3 and ARTN are important signaling mediators in the development of sympathetic ganglia. Profound deficits in the superior cervical ganglion (SCG) and other sympathetic ganglia are found in homozygous ARTN- and GFRa3deficient mice (Andres et al., 2001; Honma et al., 2002; Nishino et al., 1999) (Table 2). These mice are viable and fertile and show no obvious defects in major organs, but 30% of the mice suffer from unilateral or bilateral ptosis caused by loss of sympathetic innervation from the SCG of the superior tarsus muscle (Nishino et al., 1999). The SCG on the ipsilateral side of the eye affected by ptosis is lacking or smaller in size and more caudally located. Newborn GFRa3and ARTN-deficient mice exhibit smaller and aberrantly segmented sympathetic chain ganglia with a deficiency in axonal growth. It is well established that sympathetic axons follow blood vessels to reach their targets and it is now clear that ARTN expressed by smooth muscle cells in the wall of blood vessels probably acts as a chemoattractant for the developing sympathetic axons (Honma et al., 2002). It is thus suggested that ARTN is critical for guiding the migrating embryonic sympathetic neuroblasts to their targets and for guiding the axons to their innervating target organs, whereas other neurotrophic factors including NGF and NT-3, are important for their postnatal survival and target innervation (Airaksinen and Saarma, 2002; Honma et al., 2002a). Compensatory mechanisms in the sympathetic nervous system must exist as most tissues eventually become at least to some part innervated by sympathetic neurons in the ARTN- and GFR α 3-deficient mice.

1.6.4 PSPN is suggested to protect the brain from ischemic insult

PSPN-deficient mice are viable and fertile and exhibit no gross abnormalities in peripheral tissues or in the central nervous system (Tomac et al., 2002) (Table 2). Furthermore, extensive behavioral tests showed no significant abnormalities between PSPN-knockout mice and wild-type littermates. However, homozygous PSPN-deficient mice demonstrated an increased sensitivity to experimentally induced focal cerebral (Tomac ischemia et al., 2002). Furthermore, pretreatment of PSPNdeficient mice with low dose of PSPN $(0.1 \,\mu g \text{ and } 0.5 \,\mu g)$ before middle cerebral artery occlusion (MCAO) was shown to markedly reduce the infarction size and enhanced vertical locomotor activity compared to vechile-treated animals. Interestingly, a high dose of PSPN $(10 \,\mu g)$ seemed toxic, as it increased the infarction volume. In vitro, low doses of PSPN were also able to inhibit cell death of cortical neurons after hypoxia and reoxygenation (Tomac et al., 2002). These results suggest PSPN signaling through mammalian GFR α 4/Ret or yet another receptor. The in vivo effects of the mouse Gfra4 gene ablation will be discussed in the results and discussion part of this thesis (III).

1.7 RET-dependent signaling

1.7.1 Assembly of the GFL/GFRα/Ret complex

GFLs are known to bind to a preferred GFR α co-receptor and activate the transmembrane receptor Ret (Baloh et al., 1997; Baloh et al., 1998b, Enokido et al., 1998; Jing et al., 1996; Klein et al., 1997; Treanor et al., 1996; Trupp et al., 1996). However, some cross-talk, at least in vitro, can occur between receptors and ligands (Fig. 2). NRTN and ARTN show weak interaction with GFR α 1, and GDNF with GFRα2 (Baloh et al., 1997; Baloh et al., 1998a, Baloh et al., 2000; Klein et al., 1997; Sanicola et al., 1997; Suvanto et al., 1997; Wang et al., 1998). The physiological role for the cross-talk is however not understood. Since Ret cannot bind GDNF on its own, a model was first proposed that GDNF dimer binds GFRa1 monomer/dimer recruiting thereafter two Ret monomers into the complex leading to c-Ret dimerization and activation of the tyrosine kinase (Jing et al., 1996). However, it has been also suggested that GFR α -receptors and Ret are arranged in a preformed complex and that ligand binding stabilizes the complex (Eketjäll et al., 1999; Klein et al., 1997; Scott and Ibáñez, 2001; Treanor et al., 1996). The latter part of this hypothesis is supported by competition binding experiments, showing higher affinity of GDNF to GFR α 1 in the presence of Ret (Cik et al., 2000; Leppänen et al., 2004). Clearly, further studies are needed to understand the molecular events of GDNF/GFR α 1/ Ret interaction.

Mutagenesis studies revealed 9 amino acid residues (of which 5 are conserved between other GFLs) on finger 1 and 2 of

GDNF that are critical for binding to GFRa1 (Eketjäll et al., 1999) (Fig. 1A). Studies with truncated or chimeric GFRα-receptors furthermore showed that the N-terminal cysteine-rich domain (D1) is dispensable for ligand binding to GFRa-receptors and Ret phosphorylation (Scott and Ibáñez, 2001). However, binding of GDNF is reduced in GFRα1 lacking D1, which could be caused by a loss of one of the two heparan sulphate binding consensus sequences or by loss of interaction with other receptors (Barnett et al., 2002; Scott and Ibáñez, 2001). Heparan sulphates which are borne by proteoglycans on the cell surface have been shown to be important for GDNF binding to GFRa1 in cell lines and suggested to concentrate the ligand in the vicinity of the receptor or to stabilize the ligand-receptor complex (Barnett et al., 2002; Rickard et al., 2003). Distinct ligand binding epitopes in domains 2 and 3 of GFR1 α have been demonstrated to be important for ligand binding and Ret activation (Scott and Ibáñez, 2001). Furthermore, the highly conserved amino acid triplets ²¹¹MLF and ²²⁴RRR in D2 domain of GFR α 1 show complementary properties to the receptor binding surface identified in GDNF (Eketjäll et al., 1999; Scott and Ibáñez, 2001). In agreement with previous results, a recent study based on crystal structure of D3 and homologous domain modeling of D2 of rat GFRa1, combined with site-directed mutagenesis studies, revealed that Phe213 of the MLF triplet, Arg224 and Arg225 of the RRR triplet and in addition Ile229 generate a putative binding surface for GDNF (Leppänen et al., 2004). The GFRa1 Arg224 and Arg225 as well as a hydrophobic residue at 229 are fully conserved between GFRa-receptors,

which suggest that all GFR α -GFL complexes share these interaction sites (Leppänen et al., 2004). However, future studies are needed to identify the sequence and nature of interaction between the other GFL-GFR α complexes and Ret.

Ret activation requires both ligand and GFRa-receptor binding. A recent mutagenic analysis of the extracellular domain of human RET showed that three of the four N-terminal cadherin-like domains 1-3 (CLD1-3) are required for ligand binding, whereas the most important ligand determinants appeared to be in CLD1 (Kjaer and Ibáñez, 2003). Calcium binding to the extracellular Ret^{Ca2+}-binding site between CLD2 and CLD3 is crucial for GDNF- and NRTNinduced GFL/GFRa/RET oligomerization, for RET phosphorylation, to stabilize the signaling complex and for the transport of fully maturated Ret to the plasma membrane (Anders et al., 2001; Nozaki et al., 1998; van Weering et al., 1998).

According to the original model by Jing and co-workers where GFLs/GFRas bind and activate Ret, both receptors should be expressed and interact on the same cell (Jing et al., 1996). This in cis model is supported by the overlapping expression of the Ret receptor and at least one of the GFR α -receptors in the same cell population (Golden et al., 1998; Golden et al., 1999; Rossi et al., 2000; Widenfalk et al., 1997; Widenfalk et al., 1999) (Fig. 3A). The ligand can act as a trophic factor or morphogen when the preferred ligand for the GFR α /Ret complex is expressed in cells complementary to those expressing GFR α and Ret, for example in the target organ for innervating neurons (Nosrat et

al., 1996; Rossi et al., 2000; Trupp et al., 1997; Widenfalk et al., 1997) or in the mesenchyme of the developing ureteric bud (Nosrat et al., 1996; Sainio et al., 1997; Suvanto et al., 1996). However, Gfra1 and Gfra2 mRNA levels are high in brain regions such as in the adult mouse cortex not found to express Ret (Golden et al., 1998; Trupp et al., 1997), indicating different Ret-independent signaling mechanisms. However, several in vitro experiments have shown that GFRareceptors can be released from the cell surface by cleavage of the GPI-anchor and act as soluble receptors presenting the ligand to Ret located in the membrane of another cell in trans (Jing et al., 1996; Klein et al., 1997; Treanor et al., 1996) (Fig. 3B). GFR α 1 has in fact been shown to be released into the conditioned medium after lesion of *ex vivo* cultured sciatic nerve, suggesting that GFR α 1 could be released also *in vivo* at least as injury response (Paratcha et al., 2001). Furthermore, supernatants containing released GFR α 1 from tissue explants have been shown to transactivate Ret in the presence of GDNF in sympathetic neurons (Ledda et al., 2002). Transactivation of Ret also occurs in cell lines after GDNF treatment, even though GFR α 1 is immobilized on beads, on surface or on other cells (Yu et al., 1998; Paratcha et al., 2001).

Most GPI-linked proteins are suggested to be localized to specialized detergent-resistant membrane fractions, called lipid rafts. These rafts are reported to be floating membrane platforms in the exoplasmic leaflet of the membrane



Figure 3. GFL signaling in lipid rafts (in cis) and outside lipid rafts (in trans). Inactive RET is located outside rafts but upon stimulation GFR α 1 recruits RET by an unknown mechanism to the rafts. Activated RET in lipid rafts is preferentially associated with FRS2, whereas outside rafts RET is associated with Shc. Adapted from (Airaksinen and Saarma, 2002).

bilayer that are rich in cholesterol and sphingolipids (Simons and Ikonen, 1997). Many intracellular signaling molecules may be attached to these lipid rafts such as Src-family kinases (SFK) and small GTPases (eg. H-Ras), and have been implicated as signaling platforms for various transmembrane and GPI-linked proteins (Saarma, 2001; Simons and Toomre, 2000). However, the lipid raft concept is based on biochemical detergent extraction studies, and no direct visual evidence of their existence has yet been presented (Munro, 2003). Reports based on biochemical studies have shown that the GPI-linked GFR α 1 is localized to lipid rafts and that GDNF bound to GFRa1 rapidly recruits Ret from the nonraft compartment of the cell membrane into the lipid rafts in cis (Tansey et al., 2000). Soluble GFR α 1 bound to GDNF, is also able to recruit Ret to lipid rafts in trans, but in a more sustained manner which requires Ret activation (Paratcha et al., 2001) (Fig. 3). There is evidence that TGF- β acts in a synergistic way with GDNF to promote the survival of PNS and some CNS neurons (Krieglstein et al., 1998). TGF- β may cause clustering of GFRa1 receptors to lipid rafts and in that way aids in GDNF recognizing its receptor (Peterziel et al., 2002). However, the mechanism by which GDNFsignalling is assisted by TGF- β is still unknown.

1.7.2 RET tyrosine kinase activation

Upon ligand binding to GFR α -receptors and Ret, two transmembrane Ret molecules dimerize and transphosphorylate cytoplasmic tyrosines. The cytoplasmic domain of the long isoform of RET (RET51) contains 18 tyrosine residues of which 14 are known to be autophosphorylated, whereas 12 tyrosines out of 16 are identified as autophosphorylated in the short isoform of RET (RET9) (Encinas et al., 2004; Liu et al., 1996). These autophosphorylated tyrosines serve as docking sites for various proteins with phosphotyrosine binding (PTB) motifs or Src-homology 2 (SH2) domains which determine the pathways leading to survival, neurite outgrowth, differentiation etc. (Kurokawa et al., 2003). Several important cell signaling cascades are activated by RET transphosphorylation. Phosphorylated tyrosine 905 binds GRB7 (growth factor receptor- bound protein 7) and GRB10, pTyr1015 binds PLCy (phospholipase $C\gamma$), and pTyr1096 only present on RET51 isoform binds GRB2. The phosphorylated tyrosine 1062 present in both isoforms serves as a docking site for many effector proteins including Shc (SH2 domain protein C1), FRS2 (FGF receptor substrate), IRS1 (insulin reseptor substrate 1) and DOK4/5 (downstream of tyrosine kinase 4/5), and is a crucial docking site for signaling during embryogenesis and carcinogenesis (reviewed by Ichihara et al., 2004; Manié et al., 2001; Takahashi, 2001) (Fig 4). This tyrosine includes a PTB binding motif NXXpY (Kurokawa et al., 2003). Enigma, a protein consisting of a PDZdomain and three LIM-domains, can bind to Tyr1062 in RET9 but poorly to RET51 regardless of its phosphorylation status (Borrello et al., 2002). Enigma may be involved in the clustering and recruitment of RET to the plasma membrane (Durick et al., 1996). GDNF induces intracellular signaling cascades in Ret which include RAS/mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and the phosphatidylinositol 3kinase (PI3K)/AKT, p38 MAPK and c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK), pathways known to be activated also by other protein kinases. Activation by GDNF of both the MAPK and the PI3K/AKT pathways requires binding of Shc adaptor protein to the pTyr1062 of RET which further associates with GAB1/2 and GRB2 to activate the RAS/ERK or the PI3K/AKT pathways. The MAPK signaling pathway mediated by SHC binding to pTyr1062, results in activation of downstream targets GRB2+Sos, Ras, ERK1/2 and transcription factor cAMP responsive element (CREB), whereas FRS2 binding to pTyr1062 results in activation of SHP2 tyrosine phophatase, ERK and CREB (Hayashi et al., 2000). FRS2 is a lipid anchored docking protein proposed to activate the MAPK signaling cascade mainly after recruiting Ret to the lipid rafts by GDNF/GFRα1, whereas Shc may be associated to activated Ret outside the lipid raft in order to keep balance



Figure 4. Intracellular pathways through activated RET and sites for activating mutations in RET. Transphosphorylation of intracellular tyrosines in RET generate binding sites for various adapter molecules which specify the down-stream signaling pathway and biological response. between the two competing signaling pathways (Kurokawa et al., 2003; Paratcha and Ibáñez, 2002) (Fig. 3). The binding of FRS2 is suggested to be necessary for sustained MAPK activation and neuronal differentiation. The MAPK pathway is important for neurite outgrowth and survival, neuronal differentiation and ureteric epithelial branching morphogenesis (Califano et al., 2000; Fisher et al., 2001; De Vita et al., 2000).

Recruitment of Shc to pTyr1062 also results in the phosphorylation PI3K and downstream activators serine-threonine kinase Akt (protein kinase B) and focal adhesion kinase (FAK) activation which both activate transcription factor NFKB. pTyr1096 seems to activate only the PI3K/AKT pathway although it binds GRB2 (Bessett et al., 2000). The PI3K/ AKT pathway has proven to be important in neurite lamellopodia formation, neuronal survival, neurite outgrowth and cell proliferation (Fukuda et al., 2002; Sariola and Saarma, 2003). Nerve growth cones are enriched in Src family kinases and the activation of Ret by ligand generates Src-SH2 docking site on Ret irrespective of the localization of the receptor (Tansey et al., 2000). Recently, the major binding site for c-Src was localized to pTyr981 in Ret (Encinas et al., 2004) (Fig. 4). C-Src has been shown to be essential for GDNF- but not NGFinduced maximal neuronal survival and differentiation signaling (Encinas et al., 2001), which implies a RET specific neuronal SFK response.

Lamellopodia formation in neurons by GDNF is controlled through at least two different signaling pathways, via tyrosine 1062 and serine 696 in Ret (Fukuda et al., 2002). The phosphorylation of Ser696 was shown to be mediated by protein kinase A (PKA) through elevated intracellular cAMP-levels regulated by unknown G-protein-linked receptors. This result suggests cross-talk with Ret and G-protein-linked receptors in the regulation of cytoskeletal structures. Furthermore, cross-talk between Ret and TrkA receptor has been observed, as NGF promoted Ret phosphorylation without GFL stimulation in sympathetic postnatal neurons (Tsui-Pierchala et al., 2002b). Among RET autophosporylated tyrosines and phosphorylated serines/threonines, additional important signaling and inhibiting pathways mediated by RET are likely to be discovered in the future.

1.8 RET-independent GFL/GFRα signaling

In many brain areas especially in the forebrain, cortex and inner ear, GFRa receptors are more widely expressed than Ret (Kokaia et al., 1999; Trupp et al., 1997; Ylikoski et al., 1998), which suggests that GFLs can signal independently of Ret in collaboration with other transmembrane receptors. GDNF treatment of a cell line lacking Ret but expressing GFR α 1 resulted in Src family kinase (SFK)-dependent CREB phosphorylation, leading to a fast upregulation of transcription factor c-fos mRNA (Trupp et al., 1999). Additionally, GDNF was shown to induce SFK activation, PLCy, CREB and MAPK phosphorylation in DRG neurons derived from homozygous Ret-deficient embryos and in Ret-negative cell lines (Poteryaev et al., 1999). However, the mechanisms of how intracellular SFKs are activated by GDNF binding to extracellular GFRα1 remained unclear. The finding that

exogenous GDNF is able to increase branching morphogenesis in kidney explants from Ret^{-/-} mice led to the discovery of an alternative signaling receptor for GDNF, namely the receptor tyrosine kinase Met (Popsueva et al., 2003). Hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), the ligand for Met, induces scattering, tubule formation and chemotaxis of Met expressing dog epithelial MDCK cells. GDNF was shown to activate Met and induce tubulogenesis through GFRa1 and SFK in MDCK cells which lack endogenous Ret receptor (Popsueva et al., 2003). However, the activation of Met through SFK was not mediated by direct binding of GFR α 1/GDNF to Met, and the role for GDNF-induced Met activation in vivo is still unresolved.

In a recent study, exogenous GDNF was able to induce Schwann cell migration and axonal growth of hippocampal and cortical neurons from Ret-deficient mice known to express GFR α 1 and the neural cell adhesion molecule NCAM (Paratcha et al., 2003). GDNF was shown to bind NCAM with high affinity in the presence of GFRa1 and to rapidly activate cytoplasmic SFK, Fyn as well as focal adhesion kinase (FAK) in cells lacking Ret. This suggested that NCAM is an alternative binding and signaling receptor for GDNF and GFR α 1. Furthermore, GFR α 1 was able to associate with NCAM in the absence of GDNF and downregulate NCAMmediated cell adhesion, indicating a ligand independent role for GFRa receptors. Interestingly, NCAM knockout mice and heterozygous GDNFdeficient mice have impairments in spatial learning (Cremer et al., 2000; Gerlai et al., 2001). Moreover, mice lacking GFR α 2 have impaired behavioral

flexibility and recall in different memory and learning tasks (Võikar et al., 2004). These results indicate that GFLs and GFRas can regulate neuronal synaptic plasticity through NCAM in the developing and postnatal brain. The survival effect of GDNF on dopaminergic neurons could be inhibited by using blocking antibodies to NCAM, which further supports the importance of NCAM in GDNF signaling (Chao et al., 2003). Furthermore, there may be interplay between Ret and NCAM signaling by GFLs in cells expressing both receptors or even between adjacent recepors expressed by different cells in trans.

1.9 RET in disease

1.9.1 Loss-of-function mutations in RET cause developmental enteric nervous system defects

Hirschsprung disease (HSCR) or congenital aganglionosis of variable segments of the large bowel in humans is a relatively common disorder (1/5000 births), leading to intestinal obstruction or chronic constipation usually already at birth (reviewed by Parisi and Kapur, 2000). Most HSCR cases are sporadic, with 10-15% being familial forms. Mutations in different genes, many of which are involved in the RET and endothelin signaling pathways, have been associated to HSCR. Mutations in the RET gene accounts for 50% of the familial cases and for 10-20% of the sporadic cases. These mutations constitute deletions, insertions, missense, nonsense, and frameshift mutations. On the basis of the localization of a RET mutation, these can be divided into two main types types; those that impair the RET cell surface expression and those that impair the activation of the RET tyrosine kinase (reviewed by Iwashita et al., 2001; Manié et al., 2001; Takahashi, 2001). All these mutations are likely to affect the GDNF-mediated enteric precursor cell migration from the neural crest, and they are believed to be one causative means in HSCR. Furthermore, premature cell death of enteric neural crest cells in HSCR may be caused by a recently discovered death pathway mediated by binding of caspase-3 directly to the kinase domain of RET which mediates cell self-destruction in the absence of impaired ligand activation (Bordeaux et al., 2000). Germline mutations in the genes encoding for $GFR\alpha 1$ - $GFR\alpha 4$ are not major contributors to HSCR (Borrego et al., 2003). Although mutations in the GDNF and NRTN genes have been found in only a minority of HSCR cases, only a few mutations affect the ligand binding to GFRα-receptor (Eketjäll and Ibáñez, 2002). However, they may in conjuction with other lesions contribute to the pathogenesis of HSCR.

1.9.2 Gain-of-function mutations in RET promote thyroid tumors

Ret mRNA is expressed already in neural crest cells of the developing nervous system (Pachnis et al., 1993). High expression of *RET* is also detected in many tumors of neural crest origin (Miya et al., 1992; Nakamura et al., 1994; Santoro et al., 1990). Germline missense mutations in the *RET* gene cause multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2A and 2B (MEN2A and MEN2B) syndromes and familial medullary thyroid carcinoma (FMTC) (Table 3). The MEN2A syndrome is characterized by multifocal and bilateral medullary thyroid carcinoma (MTC) of the parafollicular Ccells, pheochromocytoma (pheo, 50%) of adrenal medulla the and hyperparathyroidism (20%), all with a neural crest origin. MEN2A is the most common form of MEN2 syndromes (90%) with a clinical onset below 20 years of age. MEN2B accounts for approximately 5% of all MEN2 cases but is associated with a more aggressive disease progression and an earlier tumor onset (<10 years of age). MEN2B patients suffer from MTC, pheochromocytoma intestinal mucosal (50%). and ganglioneuromatosis, musculoskeletal abnormalities including marfanoid features, and a male reproductive defect (reviewed by Bachelot et al., 2002; Ponder, 1999). The only disease phenotype in FMTC is bilateral, multifocal MTC with a later onset than in MEN2A and MEN2B and with a good prognosis. Missense mutations involved in the RET gene of MEN2A and FMTC are mainly clustered to exons 10 and 11, coding for the extracellular cysteine rich iuxtamembrane domain. Cysteine substitutions in FMTC families occur frequently in codons 609, 611, 618, 620, 630 and 634 (Ponder and Smith, 1996). FMTC mutations are also identified in the tyrosine kinase domain of RET at codons 768, 790, 791, 804 and 891. The most common mutation found among MEN2A families is Cys634 substituted by Arg (52%) or Tyr (26%), but mutations in cysteines 609, 611, 618, 620 and 630 are also known to cause MEN2A. MEN2B is mainly caused by a single substitution of a methionine residue at position 918 to a threonine (95%) in the tyrosine kinase

domain, but rare MEN2B cases with mutations in codons 883 and 922 have also been reported. Approximately 75% of the diagnosed MTCs are sporadic with no familial background and 30-70% of these are reported to contain a somatic RET mutation which most frequently is the M918T mutation also found in MEN2B families (reviewed by Eng and Mulligan, 1997).

Papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC) is a sporadic and somatic tumor derived from follicular epithelial cells of the thyroid. PTC is frequently associated with chromosomal rearrangements joining together the 5'end of a heterologous gene to the cytoplasmic tyrosine kinase domain of RET, generating a chimeric oncogene designated RET/PTC (reviewed by Santoro et al., 1999; Takahashi, 2001). RET is normally silent in thyroid follicular cells but production of RET/ PTC oncoproteins can be driven by the promoter of the fusion partner. The

chimeric proteins do not usually contain signal sequence for membrane a transport. Thus the dimerized RET/PTC proteins remain in the cytoplasm, activating RET specific signaling pathways leading to tumorigenesis. To date, 9 different fusion partner genes have been found to be associated with the RET kinase domain in **RET/PTC** rearrangements in which the RET/PTC1 and RET/PTC3 are the most frequently found with activating genes H4 and ELE1 (Pierotti et al., 1996; Santoro et al., 1994). The RET/PTC rearrangements are found with a highly variable frequency among different countries and populations, suggesting that genetic background and environmental factors play a role in their birth. Additionally, RET/PTC is likely to develop in the thyroid gland as a direct consequence of radiation exposure and the ability of thyroid follicular cells to concentrate radioactive iodine (Santoro et al., 2000).

MEN2 type	MEN2A	MEN2B	FMTC
Medullary thyroid cancer	70-80% early onset (age)	100% earliest onset (age)	100% late onset
Pheochromocytoma	50% C634any	50%	-
Parathyroid hyperplasia	20-30% C634R	-	-
Other phenotypes	-	Mucosal neuromas Musculoskeletal abnormalities Impotence Infertility	-
RET mutation	Extracellular C634 85%	Intracellular or TK2* M918T (95%) **	Cys-rich domain or TK1* C634other (not R 30%)

Table 3. Clinical features associated with MEN2 syndromes

*TK1 and TK2; Tyrosine kinase domain

** Most somatic RET mutations in MTC (>70%) are of MEN2B (M918T) type
1.9.3 Oncogenic Ret signaling

The signaling mechanisms for MEN2A, MEN2B and RET/PTC oncoproteins have been extensively studied in murine fibroblasts over-expressing respective oncoproteins. These are well known tools used in cancer studies because of their capacity to form foci in culture (Asai et al., 1995; Santoro et al., 1994; Santoro et al., 1995). A cell line derived from a metastatic MTC tumor heterozygous for a RET MEN2A mutation, known as TT, and a rat pheochromocytoma cell line, PC12, are also widely used for RET in vitro oncogenesis studies (Borrello et al., 1995; Carlomagno et al., 1995). In contrast to the ligand-induced Ret, the MEN2 and RET/PTC proteins are constitutively active. Upon activation, the cysteines in the extracellular Cys-rich region form intermolecular disulfide bridges in wild type RET, whereas the unpaired cysteines in MEN2A RET are believed to form intramolecular covalent disulfide bonds. This leads to constitutive dimerization of two RET MEN2A molecules and thereby constitutive transphosphorylation of tyrosines in the kinase domain (Santoro et al., 1995). The activation is thought to resemble that of the ligand induced RET activation. The weaker transforming activity by FMTC and some MEN2A mutations is thought to depend on a misfolding of the oncoproteins which results in an impared cell surface expression (Chappuis-Flament et al., 1998; Takahashi et al., 1999). The mechanism of MEN2B RET activation differs from that of MEN2A, as MEN2B and intracellular FMTC proteins are constitutively active as monomers (Santoro et al., 1995). The tyrosine kinase domain of RET is highly conserved

among other tyrosine kinases and especially those motifs that are involved in ATP-binding, catalytic activity and substrate binding (Hubbard and Till, 2000: Scott. 2002). The autophosphorylation of tyrosines in the activation loop induces drastic changes in the conformation of the activation loop (Kawamoto et al., 2004). The MEN2B M918T mutation is thought to change the substrate specificity of the activation loop in the RET kinase domain, as Src family and most others non-receptor tyrosine kinases also have a threonine residue at this position (Zhou et al., 1995; Santoro et al., 1995; Songyang et al., 1995). Accordingly, c-Src is phosphorylated and associated with RET oncoproteins (Melillo et al., 1999). Additionally, dominant-negative Src has been shown to reduce tumorigenic activation in a tumor caused by a homologous MEN2B mutation in the MET receptor tyrosine kinase (Nakaigawa et al., 2000). These studies suggest a critical role for Src in MEN2B mediated cell transformation. The distinct activities of MEN2A and MEN2B RET oncoproteins are supported by phosphopeptide mapping analysis, downstream target protein activation and differential display analysis of genes that are induced or suppressed by MEN2A and MEN2B proteins (Bocciardi et al., 1997; Liu et al., 1996; Murakami et al., 1999; Murakami et al., 2002; Salvatore et al., 2001; Watanabe et al., 2002). The more aggressive phenotype in MEN2B might be explained by the stronger activation of the JNK-pathway through the association of pY1062 with Dok1 and Nck1 (Murakami et al., 2002). Upregulation of the stanniocalcin I (STC1) gene in MTC of MEN2B patients suggest that stanniocalcin might be

involved in the skeletal phenotype in MEN2B (Watanabe et al., 2002). GDNF is further reported to enhance the phosphorylation status of the MEN2A and MEN2B oncoproteins (Bongarzone et al., 1998; Borrello et al., 1995; Carlomagno et al., 1998; Mograbi et al., 2001). Tyrosine 1062 activation and subsequent binding of Shc and FRS2 adaptor proteins have both *in vivo* and *in* vitro proven to be crucial for the activation of PI3K/Akt and MAPK pathways in MEN2A, MEN2B and RET/ PTC oncogenic signaling (Asai et al., 1996; Hayashi et al., 2000; Iwashita et al., 2000; Kurokawa et al., 2001; Ludwig et al., 2001; Melillo et al., 2001; Salvatore et al., 2000; Segouffin-Cariou and Billaud, 2000; De Vita et al., 2000). In addition, a double substitution of Y900 and Y905 to phenylalanine in RET MEN2A completely abolished the kinase activity, whereas the kinase activity and transforming activity was impaired in the MEN2A mutants Y806F and Y809F (Kawamoto et al., 2004).

RET9 and RET51 isoforms appear to be equally co-expressed in MTC and pheochromocytomas (Myers et al., 1995), although the oncoproteins of RET isoforms have different transforming activities. *In vitro*, the RET51 MEN2B and FMTC oncoproteins have been reported to have a more potent transforming ability than RET9 and can induce a more robust neurite outgrowth in PC12 cells (Iwashita et al., 1999; Pasini et al., 1997; Rossel et al., 1997).

To date, the primary treatment of tumors developed in MEN2 syndromes and sporadic thyroid tumors (MTC and PTC) is restricted to surgical removal of the tumor or gland. Specific molecules known to downregulate RET activation

have been suggested to function as potential therapeutic agents for these endocrine tumors. Grap-2, a novel RETbinding protein has been shown to downregulate NF-kB activation and specifically reduce the focus formation ability induced by oncogenic RET (Ludwig et al., 2003). PP1, a pyrazolopyrimidine inhibitor was shown to induce oncoprotein MEN2A and MEN2B degradation and therefore been proposed as an efficient drug in the treatment of MTC tumors (Carniti et al., 2003). Indolocarbazole derivatives, CEP-701 and CEP-751 are able to effectively block RET phosphorylation and cell growth in MTC cell culture and xenographs (Strock et al., 2003). Furthermore, a specific orally available anilinoquinazoline tyrosine kinase inhibitor can effectively block RET oncoprotein phosphorylation in cell lines and RET/PTC3-induced tumor formation in nude mice (Carlomagno et al., 2002). It may thus be a promising drug in the treatment of RETinduced tumors, especially medullary thyroid carcinoma which responds poorly to conventional chemotherapeutics.

1.9.4 Proposed mechanisms for the tissue-specific tumor formation in MEN2

One major unresolved question concerning the roles of RET MEN2A and MEN2B in the development of endocrine tumors is why tumor formation is restricted only to distinct tissues in the syndromes, although the mutated heterozygous RET is expressed in many other types of cells during development (Pachnis et al., 1993; Tsuzuki et al., 1995).

Several possible explanations have been proposed. RET MEN2 activation in cell lines derived from different origin has been shown to lead to distinct cellular responses suggesting that RET MEN2 may be capable of tumor formation only in distinct cells (Califano et al., 1996; D'Alessio et al., 1995; Rizzo et al., 1996). Takahashi and co-workers suggested that co-expression of GFRa-receptors would interfere with the dimerization of at least MEN2A proteins and therefore lead to reduced and controlled signaling of RET in tissues co-expressing GFR α and RET (Kawai et al., 2000). In agreement with this, GFRa1 was co-immunoprecipitated with MEN2A RET in the presence of GDNF in a cell line transfected with MEN2A RET and GFRa1 cDNAs (Mograbi et al., 2001). Leukocyte common antigen-related protein (LAR), а cell surface protein-tyrosine phosphatase, was shown in vitro if overexpressed to reduce the constitutive tyrosine autophosphorylation and kinase activity of RET MEN2A and MEN2B proteins by interfering with the dimerization of RET (Qiao et al., 2001). Thus, it seems likely that expression of certain cell surface proteins in affected cells can modify the clinical phenotypes of MEN2 syndromes by impairing the RET dimerization. Interestingly, the LAR gene is localized to a region in the short arm of chromosome 1 known to be frequently deleted in tumors of neuroendocrine origin (Jirik et al., 1992; Mulligan et al., 1993).

Furthermore, similarly to wild type RET, MEN2A RET was shown to promote the survival of neuroendocrine cells in the presence of GDNF and GFR α 1, but triggered apoptosis when GDNF/GFR α 1 was omitted (Mograbi et

al., 2001). GDNF has also been shown to inhibit the proliferation of an embryonic carcinoma cell line NT2/D1 with an accompanying increase in levels of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor p27kip1, leading to a growth arrest and subsequent neuronal differentiation (Baldassarre et al., 2002). This indicates that at least those neural crest-derived cell lineages that co-express RET MEN2A and GFR α 1 are able to respond to endogenous GDNF stimulation by differentiation rather than proliferation and are therefore able to develop normally.

Endocrine cells affected in MEN2 syndromes might be more susceptible to tumor formation caused by inactivation of the tumor suppression system. The retinoblastoma susceptibility gene (Rb) product is a tumor suppressor inactivating E2F transcription factor that is known to be important for activating genes involved in cell-cycle progression (Harbour and Dean, 2000). Interestingly, Rb+/- mice developed MEN2-like syndromes with tumors of the thyroid C-cells, adrenal gland, intermediate lobe of the pituitary and parathyroid (Nikitin et al., 1999). Furthermore, some Rb +/- mice showed a missense mutation in the RET gene corresponding to human MEN2A/FMTC cysteine rich domain (Coxon et al., 1998). MTC-samples from the corresponding mice did not stain for Rb, which suggests a multistep progression in the formation of MTC. However, RB downregulation in human endocrine tumors has not yet been clearly demonstrated.

Recently, the constitutively phosphorylated tyrosine 1062 in oncogenic forms of RET/PTC has been shown to transmit not only mitogenic, but also proapototic signals in rat thyroid epithelial cells by downregulation of the antiapototic protein Bcl-2 and upregulation of the apoptotic protein Bax through the Ras/ERK pathway (Castellone et al., 2003). Thus it might be possible that cells involved in MEN2 syndromes have aquired a lower threshold for malignancy than other cells through their tendency to escape the apoptotic pathway.

1.9.5 RET MEN2 mutations in transgenic mice models

Several. transgenic mice models expressing the corresponding human MEN2A or MEN2B RET mutation under tissue-specific promoters have been created in order to address issues concerning the role of RET in the pathophysiology MTC of and sympathoadrenal tumors (Acton et al., 2000; Kawai et al., 2000; Michiels et al., 1997; Reynolds et al., 2001; Smith-Hicks et al., 2000; Sweetser et al., 1999). Transgenic mice expressing multiple copies of RET9 or RET51 MEN2A or MEN2B in thyroid C-cells developed Ccell hyperplasia and subsequent bilateral MTC accompanying high levels of serum calcitonin (CT) (Acton et al., 2000; Michiels et al., 1997). However, tumor phenotype was shown to differ between transgene copy lines. Since transgenic mice expressing the corresponding wild type allele for RET did not develop tumors, it was evident that overexpression of RET51 was not responsible for the tumors found in the RET mutant mice (Reynolds et al., 2001). Furthermore, tumors were not found in tissues expressing the transgenic MEN2 RET but co-expressing Gfra1, Gfra2 or Gfra3 (Kawai et al., 2000). In addition, in western blot analysis disulfide-linked MEN2A homodimers were detected in non-reducing conditions from MTC tissue, but not from other tissues expressing the MEN2A-transgene (Michiels et al., 1997), suggesting that *RET* transgene was not able to form transsignaling dimers in other tissues.

In a knock-in MEN2B mouse model. the M919T RET mutation corresponding to the human M918T was introduced to the mouse genome using the Cre/loxP site-specific recombination (Smith-Hicks et al., 2000). The heterozygous Ret^{MEN2B} knock-in mice displayed bilateral C-cell chromaffin cell hyperplasia and resembling those features found in human disease. The homozygous mice displayed a more severe thyroid and adrenal phenotype (pheochromocytoma), ganglioneuromas of the adrenal medulla, enlargements of the adrenal associated sympathetic ganglia and male infertility due to unknown mechanism. However, thyroid C-cell hyperplasia never developed into MTC. Surprisingly, the Ret^{MEN2B} homozygous mice did not show developmental abnormalities, any suggesting that GFL signaling through Ret^{MEN2B} must be basically normal or then the Ret^{MEN2B} introduced change in substrate specificity does not impair normal development of kidneys or ENS. Importantly, Ret^{MEN2B} mice containing one Ret kinase-deficient allele did not show any of the characteristics found in Ret^{MEN2B} homozygous mice. This demonstrates that the ganglioneuromas and male infertility are due to a gene dosage effect rather than a loss-offunction effect (Smith-Hicks et al., 2000).

MTC tumor penetrance appears to differ significantly between different mouse background strains (Cranston and Ponder, 2003). This important finding indicates that genetic background could modulate the tumor onset. As tumor phenotypic expression varies both within and between MEN2 families, it would be of importance to identify and elucidate the effects of modifier genes involved in this disease. Furthermore, the relevance of the latter finding must be taken into consideration when studying the effect of other oncogenes. Crossing MEN2transgenic mice with mutant mice deficient in a RET signaling component, should reveal the contribution for the signaling molecule in transforming capacity. Furthermore, these mice will be important tools for testing pharmaceutical compounds which might inhibit RET oncogenic function.

1.10 Endocrine organs relevant in RET signaling

The endocrine system is based on a number of glands which synthesize and secrete hormones into circulating blood to act on target tissues. Together with the nervous- and immune systems, the endocrine system regulates the internal physiological homeostasis in the body by making appropriate adaptive changes to internal and external stimuli. The major endocrine glands are the pituitary, the thyroid, the parathyroid, the adrenal, the pancreas (islets of Langerhans), ovary and testis. Hormones can be chemically divided into three classes: the amino acidtyrosine-derived hormones, peptide and protein hormones and cholesterol-derived steroid or steroid-like hormones. Hormones are either released to the extracellular space to act on the same cell (autocrine hormones) or on neighboring cells (paracrine hormones) or released into the bloodstream. Amino acid and peptide/protein hormones act by binding to specific tyrosine kinase- or G-proteincoupled receptors on the target-cell surface, whereas steroids and steroid-like hormones are recognized by intracellular receptors.

1.10.1 The thyroid gland

The structures that contribute to the mature thyroid gland, the follicular cells and the parafollicular cells, are derived from different pharyngeal regions during embryonic development. The thyroid gland forms from cells of two different origins. The posterior migration of cells of the diverticulum from the ventral floor of the pharynx and the medial and ventral migration of the ultimobranchial bodies from the fourth pharyngeal pouch, fuse to form the mature thyroid gland (Fontaine, 1979; Pearse and Carvalheira, 1967; Pearse and Polak, 1971). The parathyroids derived from the third pharyngeal pouch, migrate lateral to the thyroid gland (Manley and Capecchi, 1995). The parafollicular C-cells are derived from the ultimobranchial bodies that are embryonic structures derived from the pharyngeal pouch and the vagal neural crest ectoderm. Most of the thyroid follicular cells are derived from the endodermal diverticulum whereas a minority of the follicular cells is derived from ultimobranchial bodies (Reynolds et al., 2001). The thyroid develops into two distinct lobes on either side of the trachea and larynx connected by a narrow isthmus of thyroid tissue at the midline. The parafollicular C-cells are interspersed in small groups between the follicles in the intermediate part of the thyroid lobes. Capillaries and lymphatic vessels

surround the follicles and postganglionic sympathetic nerve fibers from the superior and middle cervical ganglia control the blood flow through the thyroid gland.

The characteristic features of follicle cells are to concentrate iodine from the bloodstream and produce the hormones thyroxine (T_4) and its bioactive triiodothyronine (T₂) from thryroglobulin which stimulate cell metabolism and are for body growth. essential The parafollicular C-cells secrete calcitonin (CT) which is a peptide of 32 amino acids. Exogenous calcitonin hormone binds to specific CT receptors on bone osteoclasts and suppresses the activity of osteoclasts to mobilize calcium from bone and thus may have a stimulatory effect on bone formation (Lin et al., 1991; Nicholson et al., 1986). Secretion of calcitonin is stimulated by elevation in serum Ca²⁺ levels which at the same time suppress secretion of parathyroid hormone (PTH), the main hormone for calcium resorption. Specific calcium-sensing G proteincoupled receptors contribute to the calcitonin secretion in C-cells, whereas similar receptors in parathyroid cells contribute to the inhibition of parathyroid hormone secretion (Freichel et al., 1996). Although calcitonin is effectively used to osteoporosis, the normal treat physiological role of calcitonin in bone formation remains unclear (Civitelli et al., 1988). Surprisingly, mice lacking the CT/ CGRPa-gene or its receptor show normal bone resorption but increased bone mass due to increased bone formation (Dacquin et al., 2004; Hoff et al., 2002), which suggests an unrecognized effect of CT/ CGRP in bone formation postnatally.

The role for Ret, $GFR\alpha$ -receptors and GFLs in the development of the thyroid

is unclear. Ret mRNA has been localized to the endoderm of branchial arches and thyroid C-cells probably express Ret mRNA already as neural crest progenitors in the ultimobranchial body (Pachnis et al., 1993). The expression of Ret is reduced postnatally in the thyroid (Golden et al., 1999) but is, however, detected in the C-cells of normal adult thyroid (Belluardo et al., 1999; Tsuzuki et al., 1995). Gfra1 and Gfra2 mRNA has not been detected in thyroid C-cells but Gfra1 was present in thyroid follicular cells (Belluardo et al., 1999; Golden et al., 1999). High RET expression together with elevated calcitonin levels has been localized in thyroid C-cell hyperplasia and consequently in MTC (Golden et al., 1999; Santoro et al., 1990; Takaya et al., 1996). GDNF mRNA has not been detected in the thyroid gland (Belluardo et al., 1999). However, NRTN was present in some thyroid follicular cells (Belluardo et al., 1999). No expression data of Gfra3 mRNA in thyroid is available, but ARTN mRNA has been detected by Northern blot from thyroid gland (Baloh et al., 1998b). Transcripts for Ret, Gfra1, GDNF and NRTN were not found in the parathyroids of the developing mouse (Golden et al., 1999). However, Gfra2 was highly expressed in the developing mouse parathyroid from E12 to E18 (Golden et al., 1999).

1.10.2 The adrenal gland

The adrenal gland consists of two main parts, the medulla and the cortex. The medullary chromaffin cells originate from the trunk neural crest or sympathoadrenal (SA) cell lineage which also give rise to sympathetic neurons (reviewed by Anderson, 1993). During embryonic development, the SA cells migrate to the dorsal aorta to form the sympathetic primordium. The cells differentiate to cathecholaminergic tyrosine hydroxylase positive neuronal progenitor cells and remigrate to their final destinations in response to different cues from the dorsal aorta. The cells that migrate to the adrenal medulla loose their neuronal traits and differentiate into chromaffin cells. The adrenal cortex originates from mesothelial cells located at the cranial end of the mesonephros. The cortex is divided into three zones on the basis of cell types which release glucocorticoids aldosterone. and androgens. The adrenal medulla comprises about 20% of the adrenal gland and is composed of catecholamineproducing chromaffin cells, ganglion cells, venules and capillaries. Two distinct sets of chromaffin cells are found in the medulla: those that secrete epinephrine (85%) and those that secrete norepinephrine synthesized from phenylalanine. The release of catecholamines in concert with glucocorticoids prepares the body for maximum use of energy through an increase in blood pressure, vasodilation, increased heart rate and rate of breathing. Each chromaffin cell is innervated by a cholinergic, pregangliotic sympathetic neuron which releases acethylcholine, which stimulates the chromaffin cells to release catecholamine.

Expression of *Ret* mRNA is observed in the SA cells aggregating at the dorsal aorta (Pachnis et al., 1993). While *Ret* continues to be expressed in the developing sympathetic neurons, its expression is very low in the developing mouse adrenal and in adult adrenal cortex (Schober et al., 2000). Nerve fibers in the adult adrenal cortex are reported to express *Gfra1* and *Gfra2* but not *Ret*, whereas ganglion cells in the adrenal medulla express *Gfra1* and *Ret* (Schober et al., 2000). However, chromaffin cells express high levels of *Gfra2* and its ligand *Nrtn* but no *Ret* (Golden et al., 1999; Schober et al., 2000; Widenfalk et al., 1997). *GDNF* mRNA is expressed from E16 onward by rat chromaffin cells, but its function in the adrenal medulla is still enigmatic. GDNF is however suggested to offer trophic support for sensory neurons innervating chromaffin cells (Krieglstein et al., 1996).

Pheochromocytomas (pheos) are benign tumors originating from adrenal chromaffin cells of which approximately 80% are sporadic and 20% familial. Sporadic pheos are rarely associated with activating mutations in RET although the wild-type *RET* gene is frequently expressed in pheos (Miya et al., 1992; Santoro et al., 1990; Takaya et al., 1996). Additionally, the level of RET phosphorylation in pheos is comparable to that of MEN2 constitutively activated RET (Le Hir et al., 2000). Moreover, levels of GDNF transcripts are several times higher in pheos than in normal adrenals but the Gfra1 transcript remains low in normal adrenal and pheos (Le Hir et al., 2000). The contribution for GDNF in pheos is thus unclear.

1.10.3 The pituitary gland

The hypothalamopituitary axis plays a central role in the endocrine system. It organizes appropriate hormonal response from the brain in response to changes in the external environment by positive/ negative feedback. The pituitary has three functional components the anterior lobe

(adenohypophysis), consisting of glandular epithelial tissue and the intermediate lobe, which derive from evagination of the ectoderm of the oropharynx or the Rathke's pouch (Amar and Weiss, 2003). The posterior lobe (the neurohypophysis), is derived from a ventral extension of the dienchephalon, the infundibulum. The adenohypophysis is rich in secretory cells which release different hormones regulated via the hypothalamic portal vessel system. The acidophilic somatotrophs secrete growth hormones (GH) and the mammotrophs prolactin (PRL) whereas the basophilic thyrotrophs secrete thyroid stimulating hormone corticotrophs (TSH), adrenocorticotrophin (ACTH), and the gonadotrophs luteinizing and follicle stimulating hormones (LH and FSH). The neurohypophysis secretes two hormones, vasopressin and oxytosin originally released from neurons whose cell bodies are located in the hypothalamus. The intermediate lobe is rudimentary in humans but produces several hormones whose significance is only now being established.

In the mouse embryonic pituitary, transcripts for Ret, Gfra1, Gfra2 and their respective ligands have been detected between E12 to E16 (Golden et al., 1999). In the adult rat pituitary, GDNF has been detected in the intermediate lobe (Saland et al., 2000) but it is also expressed together with Ret and Gfra1 in anterior and posterior lobes (Urbano et al., 2000). GDNF in the anterior lobe was detected immunohistochemistry by in corticotrophs and gonadotrophs, while expressed Ret was mainly by somatotrophs (Urbano et al., 2000). In human pituitary. most of the somatotrophs express both GDNF and RET (Japon et al., 2002), suggesting possible autocrine regulation of somatotroph cell growth or/and function. Furthermore, a strong immunostaining for RET, GDNF or/and GFR α 1 was found in different types of pituitary tumors secreting GH or ACTH (Japon et al., 2002), implying that GDNF and RET could be involved in the pathogenesis of pituitary tumors.

1.10.4 The testis

The two primary functions of the testis are the production of male gametes by a process of spermatogenesis and synthesis of testosterone, a major male sex hormone. The first stage in mouse testis development is the initiation of the primary testis cord formation from genital ridges at approximately E12 (Tilmann and Capel, 1999). The gonadal sex is determined by the SRY gene located in the sex determining region of the Ychromosome. Testis-determining factor (TDF) encoded by the Sry gene is responsible for the testicular development. In addition, proper signaling via insulin receptors has been shown to directly influence the expression of the Srv gene in mice, as XY mice deficient for all three insulin and insulin growth factor receptors develop ovaries and a complete female phenotype (Nef et al., 2003). The primordial germ cells (PGC) migrate from the allantois along the hindgut endoderm to the genital ridges where they are surrounded by the primary sex cords. If the embryo contains one X and one Y chromosome the sex cords continue to proliferate and fuse to form a network of internal sex cords. loose contact with the surface epithelium and become surrounded by a thick

extracellular matrix, the tunica albuginea. The primary testis cords are composed of germ cells and precursor Sertoli cells which are surrounded by smooth muscle cells. Under hormonal influence of TDF and subsequent testosterone, these cords differentiate into seminiferous tubules, rete testis and septa separating the seminiferous tubules. The PGCs differentiate into gonocytes which are centrally located within the seminiferous tubules. The gonocytes proliferate for a few days and are then arrested in the $G_0/$ G, phase of the cell cycle until birth. Mesenchyme separating the seminiferous tubules gives rise to Leydig (interstitial) cells that produce testosterone which is important for the development of the primordium into a testis and for the growth and differentiation of the Wolffian ducts to male genital ducts. The Sertoli cells within the seminiferous tubules produce Müllerian-inhibiting factor (MIF) which inhibits cell division of the Müllerian ducts, thus inhibiting the development of female reproductive organs.

Within a week (6 days) after birth the gonocytes resume proliferation and start to migrate and establish contact with the basement membrane of the seminiferous tubules and give rise to adult type spermatogonia (Orth et al., 1997). By postnatal (P) day 18-20 the appearance of secondary spermatocytes and haploid spermatids signify the onset of spermatogenesis. At this time, the bloodtestis barrier is formed by tight junctions created by Sertoli cells. These junctions divide the seminiferous tubules into two compartments: the basal compartment where the spermatogonia and the earliest primary spermatocytes reside and the adluminal compartment which contains

the advanced more secondary spermatocytes and spermatids. An essential role for the testis-blood barrier is to isolate the spermatogenic cells from the adult immune system. The initiation and maintenance of spermatogenesis requires the action of FSH and LH (reviewed by Toppari and Huhtaniemi, 1999). LH induces Leydig cells to produce testosterone which in turn regulate spermatogenesis through Sertoli cells, whereas FSH binds directly to regulates Sertoli cells and spermatogenesis by controlling the proliferation of Sertoli cells and the secretion of different Sertoli cell substances like inhibin and activin, both members of the TGF- β family (reviewed by Cooke and Saunders, 2002). In mammalian adult testes, sperms are continuously produced from spermatogonial stem cells within seminiferous tubules by spermatogenesis. This process consists of three phases (reviewed by de Rooij, 2001). During the mitotic phase, stem cells divide to give rise both to undifferentiated stem cells (self-renewal) and differentiated spermatogonia that proliferate and differentiate. The first phase takes place in the basal compartment between the blood-testis barrier and the basement membrane. In the last mitotic division of spermatogonia, the type B spermatogonia divide by mitosis to form early primary spermatocytes. During meiosis of spermatocytes, the spermatocytes move through tight junctions from the basal compartment of the seminiferous tubules towards the adluminal side (Lui et al., 2003). Before the first meiotic division, the primary spermatocytes replicate their DNA to contain twice the number of chromosomes (4n) and undergo

homologous recombination. The first meiotic division reduces the number of chromosomes to 2n and the cells now become secondary spermatocytes. The secondary spermatocytes quickly enter the second reduction division to form the haploid spermatids. The final phase, the spermiogenesis consists of the morphological change of the haploid germ cells to the mature spermatids that are released to the lumen of the seminiferous tubules and transported through rete tetis to the epididymal duct.

Spermatogenesis continues via a cyclic process during adult life if male spermatogonial stem cells are capable of both self-renewal and differentiation (de Rooij, 2001). It is assumed that spermatogonial stem cells either renew themselves produce two or interconnected spermatogonia differentiate predestined to into spermatids (symmetrical division), or that one stem cell can divide asymmetrically into one stem cell and one spermatogonia destined to differentiate. To preserve the steady state kinetics, the ratio between cell renewal and differentiation has to be close to one (de Rooij, 2001). In a situation where germ cells are severely damaged by exposure of the testis to irradiation or toxic substances, the surviving stem cells initially prefer selfrenewal (van Beek et al., 1990). The mechanism regulating the balance between self-renewal and differentiation has until our study remained largely unknown. In this study we show that GDNF determines the spermatogonial stem cell fate decision (IV).

Spermatogenesis is a complex process requiring not only hormonal regulation to transfer the intracellular stimuli but also

large number of intracellular а mechanisms that are mediated by local cell-cell interactions. The functions of somatic Sertoli cells in spermatogenesis are mediated by numerous paracrine and autocrine local factors. Being in close contact with the developing germ cells through desmosome-gap junctions they nurture them and regulate their proliferation differentiation and (Parvinen et al., 1986; Russell et al., 1983). Sertoli cells can also interact physically and chemically through paracrine factors with the somatic Leydig cells and the peritubular myoid cells in the interstitial space. Recent evidence from transgenic or gene knockout mice suggests that distinct growth factors play crucial roles in different aspects of spermatogenesis. Reduction of circulating epidermal growth factor (EGF) through removal of salivary gland in rodents reduces spermatogenesis and sperm count (Tsutsumi et al., 1986). However, transgenic mice overexpressing EGF showed the same testis phenotype, suggesting that the proper levels of EGF expression is important for completion of spermatogenesis (Wong et al., 2000). Targeted mutagenesis of the gene coding for bone morphogenic protein 8b (BMP8b) causes male infertility, whereas the homozygous Bmp8a mice show a normal initiation of spermatogenesis but a degeneration of germ cells in adult homozygous males (Zhao et al., 1998). Analysis of mice with naturally occurring mutations in the genes encoding for stem cell factor (SCF) and its receptor c-Kit have implicated that these proteins are crucial for primordial germ cell migration and survival, and in spermatogonial differentiation, survival and adhesion (reviewed by Sette et al.,

2000). Several studies indicate that the CSF/c-Kit system is important for the continuing differentiation of early undifferentiated spermatogonia (reviewed by de Rooij, 2001). SCF is produced by Sertoli cells and its receptor c-Kit is temporally upregulated at 6-7 days postnatum (dpn) in early differentiating spermatogonia (Schrans-Stassen et al., 1999). FSH has been reported to increase SCF mRNA in Sertoli cells (Rossi et al., BMP4 1993). Recently, (bone morphogenetic protein 4) which is produced by Sertoli cells very early in the postnatal life has been shown to regulate early spermatogonia differentiation and proliferation through its receptors in spermatogonia and to upregulate the expression of c-Kit (Pellegrini et al., 2003). Thus, FSH and many paracrine factors produced by Sertoli cells contribute to the complex regulation of spermatogenesis. However, little is known about the factors involved in spermatogonial stem cell renewal.

Several studies (IV) have shown that GDNF and its receptors are produced by distinct cell types in the testis. GDNF is expressed by the Sertoli cells and Gfra1 and Ret by spermatogonia in prenatal and early postnatal testes (Hellmich et al., 1996; Hu et al., 1999; Golden et al., 1999; Trupp et al., 1995; Viglietto et al., 2000). GDNF has been shown to stimulate postnatal germ cell proliferation (Viglietto et al., 2000) and together with FSH stimulate the proliferation of Sertoli cells (Hu et al., 1999). FSH also stimulates GDNF expression in Sertoli cells and promotes spermatogonial proliferation (Tadokoro et al., 2002). Although *NRTN* and *Gfra2* are highly expressed in the postnatal testis (Baloh et al., 1997; Golden et al., 1999; Viglietto et al., 2000), no testis phenotype has been found in NRTN- and GFRα2-deficient mice. However, transgenic mice overexpressing NRTN in the testis show a transient disruption of spermatogenesis (Meng et al., 2001b).

2. AIMS OF THIS STUDY

The aims of this thesis were to characterize mammalian GFR α 4 and to study its biological roles in mouse and human. Another aim was to generate transgenic GDNF-overexpressing mice and study the biological consequences for the GDNF gene dosage primarily in testis.

The specific aims were:

- To characterize the mammalian receptors for PSPN
- To reveal sites of *Gfra4*-expression in human and mouse
- To study the features of GFR α 4 *in vitro*
- To study the *in vivo* roles for GFR α 4 by creating GFR α 4-deficient mice
- To produce mice over-expressing GDNF
- To study the GDNF gene dosage effect in spermatogenesis

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Most of the methods and materials used in this work have been described in detail in the original articles (Table 4).

3.1 Generation of the GFR α 4-deficient mice

A mouse BAC (Bacterial Artificial Chromosome) clone 389B9, a gift from Dr. Gunn (Stanford, California) containing the full coding region of mouse *Gfra4* gene, was digested with XbaI and approximately 8 kb fragments were isolated from agarose gel and cloned into XbaI-opened CIP-treated pGEM11f+ vector. Gfra4 positive clones were screened by colony hybridization. A chromosomal region covering exons 2-5 of Gfra4 (1440 bp) was replaced by a 1.6 PGKneo kb cassette (Neomycin resistance gene under the phosphoglycerate kinase promoter). The targeting vector was linearized and electroporated into R1 embryonic stem

Table 1 I ist of mothods used in th	in work (articles I IV)	and uppublished regults)
Table 4, LASL OF MELBOOS USED IN LD	IS WORK CAPTICLES I-IV	and undudusned results).

Method	Reference or reagent	Used in
RACE cloning	Marathon-Ready cDNA (Clontech),	I, II
_	GeneRacer Kit (Invitrogen)	
RNA isolation	Trizol reagent (Life Technologies)	I, IV
	RNAwiz (Ambion)	II, III
Human blood RNA	QIAamp (Qiagen)	II
Human total RNA	Human Total RNA Panels I-IV (Clontech)	II
Human thyroid tumors	Ultraspec-II (Biotecx Laboratories, Inc.)	II
Reverse transcription	SuperscriptII-III (Life Technologies)	I, II, III
PCR	GC-rich PCR-kit (Roche)	I, II, III
	DynazymeII (Finnzymes)	I, II, III
	Expand Long Template PCR system (Roche)	II
	Expand High Fidelity PCR system (Roche)	I, II
PCR cloning	TOPO TA PCR cloning system (Invitrogen)	I, II
Sequencing	ALF, Pharmacia or ABI Prism 377XL, DMSO 5-	I, II, IV
	10% (DNA sequencing facility, Institute of	
	Biotechnology)	
Northern blot hybridization	Sambrook and Russell, 2001	I, IV
Southern blot hybridization	Sambrook and Russell, 2001	III, IV
Colony hybridization	Sambrook and Russell, 2001	III
In situ hybridization	Rossi et al., 1999; Kokaia et al., 1999	I, II, III,
		IV
RNase protection analysis	RPAII kit (Ambion), Timmusk et al., 1993	
Immunohistochemistry	See below	I, III, IV
Cell transfections	Fugene6 (Roche), Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen)	II
¹²⁵ I PSPN binding assays	Laurikainen et al., 2000	II
Chemical cross-linking	Suvanto et al., 1997	II
Ret phosphorylation assay	Suvanto et al., 1997	II
Immunoprecipitation	Protein A and G Sepharose (Amersham)	II, IV
Neural microinjection	Hamnér et al., 2001	II
Oocyte microinjection for transgene	Hogan et al., 1994	IV
production		
ES cell work, morula aggregation	Papaioannou and Johnson, 2000	III
Calcitonin measurement	Rat calcitonin IRMA kit (Immunotopics)	III
Cell proliferation assay	BrdU (Amersham)	IV
In situ cell death assay	ApoTag (Intergen)	IV

cells, selected with G418 and screened by southern blot analysis. Chimeras produced by morula aggregation (Papaioannou and Johnson, 2000) and the mutant Gfra4 allele was transferred into both C57BL/6/6JOlaHsd and 129/SvHsd mouse backgrounds by backcrossing for at least five generations. Resulting offspring was genotyped from tail DNA by PCR using primers 5'-CGA TTC GCA GCG CAT CGC CTT C-3', 5'-ATA CAA GCC TTT GAC AGC TTG C-3' and 5'-TGG ACA AGA TGC CTA CTG ACG-3'. In most experiments, we used F1 hybrid wild-type and GFRa4-KO littermates obtained from inter-crosses of the congenic heterozygous parents. F1 heterozygous hybrid GFRa4-KO mice were crossed with Ret^{MEN2B} knock-in mice in a mixed (129/terSV, C57BL/6J, FVB/ N) background (Smith-Hicks et al., 2000) GFR α 4/RET^{MEN2B}to generate heterozygous mice. For thyroid C-cell calcitonin antibody staining and C-cell counting double homozygous mutant mice for Gfra4-/- and Ret MEN2B/MEN2B and control mice were obtained from intercrosses of the heterozygous mice.

3.2 Generation of the hGDNF transgenic mice

The full-length human cDNA for GDNF (GenBank Accession No. L15306) was cloned into the *Xba*I site of the eukaryotic expression vector pEFBos, directed to express the transgene under a testis specific human translation elongation factor 1 α (EF-1 α) promoter (Furuchi et al., 1996; Mizushima and Nagata, 1990). The resulting construct was sequenced and expression of human GDNF protein was verified by expressing the transgene in COS7 cells followed by western blot

analysis with GDNF antibodies. A PvuI-HindIII fragment of the construct containing the EF-1 α promoter, the hGDNF insert, and the polyadenylation site, was injected into the pronuclei of newly fertilized FVB mouse eggs to produce the transgenic mice. Southern blot analysis using the human GDNF as a probe was used to analyze the founder mice. The transgenic offspring were genotyped from tail DNA by PCR using human specific GDNF forward primer 5'-TGT CGT GGC TGT CTG CCT GGT GC-3' and reverse primer 5'-AAG GCG ATG GGT CTG CAA CAT GCC-3'. Four founder lines with different transgene copy numbers were used in this study. Whereas the phenotypic effect can be different in each mouse backround strain. the GDNF-transgenic mice were backcrossed to a NMRI mouse strain.

3.3 In situ hybridization

Radioactive in situ hybridization was performed as described (Kokaia et al., 1999). Antisense and sense cRNA probes (Table 5) were synthesized using appropriate RNA polymerases and ³⁵Slabelled UTP. Hybridization was performed in 52°C overnight, slides were rinsed to high stringency and treated with RNaseA. Thereafter the slides were dehydrated, air dried and dipped in NTB-2 emulsion (Kodak), exposed for 1-4 weeks and developed. The sections were counterstained by haematoxylin and photographed with an Olympus AX70 Provis microscope (Olympus Optical Co., Japan) equipped with a SenSys CCD camera (Photometrics Ltd.). The images were processed using Image Pro Plus 4.0 (MediaCybernetics) or Adobe PhotoShop (4.0-6.0) software.

3.4 Immunohistochemistry

For thyroid and adrenal histology, anesthesized mice were perfused transcardially with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS or Bouin's solution. Those that were perfused with Bouin's solution were postfixed for 12 h and washed for 2-3 days with PBS before processing into paraffin, serially sectioned at 10 um and stained with primary antibody against (Santa calcitonin Cruz). For immunofluorescence staining, sections were stained with Cy2-conjugated secondary antibody (Jackson Laboratories).

histology For on testes and epididymides, freshly dissected tissues were fixed in Bouin's solution or 4% paraformaldehvde for 2-24 hours. depending on the size of the biopsy. Thereafter the tissue was dehvdrated and processed in paraffin, cut at 5-7 µm and stained with haematoxylin/eosin. The deparaffinized sections were incubated over night with primary antibodies diluted according to Table 6. Following washes with PBS and incubation with secondary IgG antibody, standard biotin-streptavidinperoxidase labeling was performed according to manufacturer's instructions (Vector Laboratories, Inc., CA).

Table 5. List of probes used for *in situ*, northern blot, southern blot, colony hybridization and RNase protection assay

Probe	Size	Nucleotides	Vector	Accession	Used in
Mouse Gfra4 3'EST	497 bp	1-497	pT7T3	AA823200	I, III
Mouse Gfra4 5'exon1a	127 bp	1-5, 122 bp 5'UTR	pCRII	AJ276870	IV
Mouse Gfra1	777 bp	1-777	pT7T3	AF012811	I, III, IV
Rat Gfra2	2002 bp	1-2002	pBS	AF003825	III
Mouse Gfra3	1193 bp	95-1288	pCDNA3	NM_010280	I, III
Mouse Ret	646 bp	2534-3217	pBS	X67812	I, III
Mouse Gfra4 RPA	460 bp	95-555	pCRII	AJ276870	Ι
Mouse Pspn	471 bp	1-471	pCDNA3	NM_008954	Unpubl.
Mouse Gdnf	328 bp	Exon 3	pCRII	U36449	IV
Human GFRA1	379 bp	491-870	pGEM-T	AF042080	II
Human GFRA2	279 bp	148-427	pGEM-T	U93703	II
Human GFRA3	629 bp	574-1203	pGEM-T	NM001496	II
Human GFRA4 5'probe	206 bp	1-150+56 bp 5'UTR	pCR2.1	AJ291673	II
Human RET	281 bp	833-1114	pGEM-T	X12949	Π
Human GDNF	636 bp	1-636	pCRII	L15306	IV

Table 6. List of priv	mary antibodies us	sed in immunopro	ecipitation or in	nmunohistochemistry

Antibody/Antigen	Host	Source/Reference	Dilution	Used in
Calcitonin	Goat/polyclonal	Santa Cruz, CA.	1:500	Ι
Calcitonin	Rabbit/polyclonal	Oncogene	1:500	III
Calcitonin	Rabbit/polyclonal	DAKO	1:500	Unpub.
RET C-19	Rabbit/polyclonal	Santa Cruz, CA.	1 μg/ml IP*	II
Agarose conjugated phosphotyrosine	Mouse/monoclonal	4G10, Upstate	30 µl/ml IP*	II
EE2	Rat/monoclonal	Koshimizu et al., 1995 Gift from Dr. Y. Nishimune	1:200	IV
GATA1	Rat/monoclonal	Santa Cruz, CA.	1:50	IV
c-Kit	Rabbit/polyclonal	Santa Cruz, CA.	1:200	IV
GDNF	Rabbit/polyclonal	Santa Cruz, CA.	1:500 1:1000 W**	IV
GDNF	Rabbit/polyclonal	R&D Systems	1µg/ml IP*	IV
c-Ret	Rabbit/polyclonal	IBL, Fujioka, Japan	1:500	IV

* Used in immunoprecipitation, ** used in western blotting.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Mammalian *GFRA4* mRNAs encode for receptors lacking the first Cys-rich domain found in other GFRα receptors (I, II)

A putative mouse Gfra4 locus was reported in an approximately 48 kb BAC genomic sequence near the mouse mahogany locus (Gunn et al., 1999). From this raw genomic sequence, we identified by BLAST analysis putative Gfra4 exon sequences homologous to other Gfra receptor genes and identified three expressed sequence tags (ESTs) from the public database that partially matched the 3' exons of the Gfra4 locus. We performed in situ hybridization analysis of newborn and adult mouse sections with one of these ESTs (GenBank Accession No. AA823200). From this initial information of Gfra4 tissue expression, RACE cloning and reverse transcriptase (RT)-PCR of Gfra4 cDNA from various tissues were used to identify the 5' and 3' sequences and splice variants of Gfra4 mRNA. The mouse Gfra4 gene was found to contain 6 exons with 2 alternative exons (1a and 1b) encoding two GFR α 4 alternative signal sequences (I). Gfra4 transcripts with exon 1a were obtained from mouse thyroid but not from brain or testis. Exon 1a was predicted to encode for a prototypic strong signal sequence, whereas the exon 1b signal sequence is weak, suggesting that proteins encoded by signal 1b remain in the cytoplasm. Alternative splicing of exon 6 gave rise to transcripts encoding for putative GPIlinked or transmembrane Gfra4 proteins. Expression of full-length Gfra4 mRNA (780 bp) containing the exon 1a and the GPI-tail (GenBank Accession No.

AJ276870) (Fig. 5A), was detected in the thyroid gland of embryonic, young and adult mice ((I) and unpublished results), in the juvenile (4 wk) and adult pituitary and in the juvenile adrenal gland (I). The full-length Gfra4 encoding for the transmembrane GFR α 4 protein (879 bp, GenBank Accession No. AJ276871) (Fig. 5A) was also detected in thyroid, in adrenal and in pituitary glands. Semiquantitative RT-PCR analysis using primers that amplify the full-length Gfra4 mRNAs suggests that the relative expression of the GPI-anchored and transmembrane forms is rather similar between newborn, juvenile and adult thyroid (unpublished results), in contrast to RT-PCR using primers recognizing only the 3'end of the transcript (I). Additionally, a full-length transcript containing the small 53 bp intron was found in thyroid, adrenal and pituitary glands. This transcript was predicted to encode for a small putative secreted protein, as intron inclusion resulted in frame-shift with a premature stop codon in exon 3 (GenBank Accession No. AJ276872) (Fig. 5A). However, as the premature stop codon is located more than 50 nucleotides upstream of the last exon-exon junction of the full-length Gfra4 mRNA, the mRNA for the putative secreted isoform is likely to be degraded by nonsense mediated decay (NMD), a surveillance identified recently mechanism that selectively degrades nonsense mRNAs (Lewis et al., 2003; Singh and Lykke-Andersen, 2003).

All transcripts analyzed from testis and brain contained a small 53 bp intron between exon 2 and exon 3 but lacked the sequence encoding for a putative signal peptide which suggests an involvement of tissue-specific regulatory elements in the *Gfra4* promoter.

Alternative splicing increases the diversity of proteins produced by a single gene. It is estimated that alternative splicing occurs in more than one third of all pre-mRNAs of human genes (reviewed by Lou and Gagel, 2001). The 3'donor splice site sequence in the short 53-bp intron between exons 2 and 3 of mouse *Gfra4* was found to be weak with a suboptimal 3'polypyrimidine tract and branchpoint sequence (Lou and Gagel, 1998). Weak splice sites are typically found at alternatively spliced sites. For

example, the calcitonin/CGRP gene contains six exons and differential splicing of the pre-mRNA gives rise to either calcitonin (CT, exons 1-4) mRNA in thyroid C-cells or calcitonin generelated peptide (CGRPa, exons 1-3 and 5-6) mRNA in neurons. In the CT/CGRP pre-mRNA, a weak pseudo 3'splice with a non-canonical branchpoint nucleotide and a short stretch of purine-interrupted polypyrimidine tract were found in the intron preceding exon 4 and a weak polyadenylation signal was found in the 3'UTR (Lou et al., 1995; Lou and Gagel, 1998). Normal RNA splicing factors do not recognize these weak sequences and



Figure 5. Structure of the mouse (A) and human (B) *GFRA4* genes, splice forms and GFRa4 protein variants. Mouse and human GFRA4 transcripts are alternatively spliced generating different GFRa4 variants, including putative GPI-linked and transmembrane (TM) variants. Asteriks denote stop codons. Transcripts in brackets contain premature stop codons and are probably subjected to nonsense-mediated mRNA decay. Putative N-glycosylation sites are indicated (Y).

additional factors are required to recognize these weak sites. Recently, exonic splice enhancer elements (ESE) have been identified in exon 4 of the CTgene, that upon binding of two splicing proteins, SRp55 and human transformer β , increase CT splicing *in vitro* (Tran and Roesser, 2003; Tran et al., 2003). A splice regulator (CSR) isolated from rat brain has in vitro been demonstrated to bind specifically to the ESE element in brain and thus inhibit the CT-specific splicing in neurons (Coleman et al., 2003). Other sequence-specific splicing elements and factors are presumably used for alternative splicing of Gfra4 pre-mRNA in endocrine cells versus brain, since the consensus sequence for ESE found in exon 4 of the CT-gene was not found in mouse or human GFRA4 genes (unpublished data).

The GPI-linked and TM GFR α 4 proteins have predicted molecular weights of 26 kDa and 30 kDa, respectively and contain one putative Nglycosylation site at position 184. The mammalian GFRα4 proteins are predicted to form a two domain (D2-D3) structure with 20 conserved cysteines in the same relative spacing as in other GFRα-receptors (Airaksinen et al., 1999). These domains contain highly conserved sequences homologous to the α -helix structures found in D3 of rat GFR α 1, interrupted with less conserved loop regions found in GFRa1 (Leppänen et al., 2004) (Fig. 6). However, the mammalian Gfra4 gene lacks the exons that encode for the first cysteine rich domain (D1) found in other GFRa receptors. Interestingly, isoforms lacking D1 are produced in many tissues by alternative



Figure 6. (A) Crystal structure of rat GFRa1 domain 3 shown as a ribbon diagram. α -helices are shown as coils, disulfide bridges (dsb-1-5) are numbered from 1-5. Modified from (Leppänen et al., 2004) with permission, from the EMBO journal, © 2004 European Molecular Biology Organization.

(B) Electrostatic potential surface representation of the proposed putative two-domain model for human GFR α 4. Potential PSPN binding sites marked by arrows according to conserved binding sites for GDNF to GFR α 1. By permission and courtesy of V.-M. Leppänen (2004).

splicing of *Gfra2* pre-mRNA (Dolatshad et al., 2002; Too, 2003; Wong and Too, 1998; Wong et al., 2002).

The amino acids in domain D2 of GFR α 1 known to be responsible for GDNF binding (Phe213, Arg 224, Arg225 and Ile229) (Eketjäll et al., 1999; Leppänen et al., 2004) were also conserved in the corresponding domain (D2) of GFR α 4 with a hydrophobic amino acid Phe at the position corresponding to Ile229 in Gfra1 (Leppänen et al., 2004). Furthermore, the loop1 in D2 is longer in mouse GFR α 4 (14 residues) compared to mouse GFR α 1 (8 residues), GFR α 2 (9 residues) and GFR α 3 (4 residues), which may reflect allosteric positioning of D2 relative to D3 and thus ligand binding (Fig. 6). The region between D3 and the GPI-binding/ cleavage site is shorter in GFR α 4 (9 residues) compared to mouse GFR α 1 (87 residues) and GFR α 2 (90 residues) and chicken GFR α 4 (67 residues), which may reflect differencies in the interaction between GFRa4 versus GFRa1/GFRa2 to RET.

Mouse Gfra4 gene sequence data was used to characterize the human GFRA4 gene and transcripts (II). As in mouse, the human GFRA4 gene contains 6 exons (Fig. 5B). A putative strong signal sequence showing high homology to mouse signal sequence 1a was found in human. However, no alternative signal sequence corresponding to exon 1b was found among human thyroid 5'RACE clones and no homologous sequence to mouse exon 1b is present in the GFRA4 genomic sequence. Alternative splicing of GFRA4 mRNA in human thyroid results in transcripts which encode for two GPIlinked and two putative soluble proteins. The short introns between GFRA4 exon

2 and exon 3, and between exon 3 and exon 4 contain weak or pseudo splice sites like in mouse Gfra4 gene. Splicing of all introns in the GFRA4 pre-mRNA, gives rise to the GFRα4a protein (GenBank Accession No. AJ291673) (290 amino acids, Fig. 5B) with a predicted Nglycosylation site at position 178 and a stretch of hydrophobic amino acids in the C-terminus preceded by a hydrophilic linker region consistent with a GPIlinkage anchor (Udenfriend and Kodukula, 1995). The amino acid identity between mouse and human GFRα4a is 79%. Translation of GFRA4b mRNA in which the 79 bp intron is retained between exon 2 and exon 3, results in a protein that differs from GFR α 4a in the N-terminal part of domain D3 (GenBank Accession No. AJ291674) (Fig. 5B). The globular domain structure of D3 is disrupted in GFRa4b by an additional proline- and glycine rich loop in the N-terminal part of domain D3, while two of the α -helical structures ($\alpha 2$ and $\alpha 3$) and two of the cysteine bridges (dsb-3 and dsb-4) are missing as compared to the determined GFRa1 D3 structure (Leppänen et al., 2004), making the D3 structure in GFR α 4b different than D3 in GFR α 4a. GFRA4 transcripts, that retain both intron 2 and intron 3 (Accession No. AJ291675) or just intron 3 (unpublished data) code for putative soluble proteins with premature stop codons. As discussed with mouse Gfra4, these transcripts are susceptible to nonsense mediated RNA decay.

After completion of the study on mouse (I) and human GFR α 4 (II), *Gfra4* cDNAs encoding for putative GPI-linked and soluble receptors were cloned from rat brain (Masure et al., 2000). The rat *Gfra4* gene was shown to contain 6 exons

as in mouse and human. The reported sequence GFRa4 signal in rat corresponded to the weak signal sequence encoded by exon 1b in mouse Gfra4. However, we identified by 5'RACE from rat thyroid a prototypic signal sequence for rat GFR α 4 that shows high homology to the signal sequences encoded by the mouse Gfra4 exon 1a (76%) and by human GFRA4 exon 1 (67%). The rat GFRa4 showed 89% identity with the mouse GFRa4-GPI and 80% identity with the human GFR α 4a.

4.2 The mammalian GFRα4 is the functional receptor for PSPN (II)

To study whether the mammalian GFR α 4 receptor binds PSPN, a neuroblastoma cell line, Neuro-2a, expressing human GFRa4a and endogenous Ret was used in displacement binding experiments. Low concentrations of PSPN (~1 nM) effectively displaced ¹²⁵I- PSPN from the receptor, whereas not even high levels of GDNF (up to 300 nM), NRTN (up to 200 nM) or ARTN (up to 200 nM) were able to displace the bound ¹²⁵I-PSPN from the GFR α 4-receptor. In the presence of Ret, PSPN binds to human GFR α 4a with a dissociation constant (K_d) of ~100 pM, which is similar to the binding affinities reported for GFRa1, GFRa2 and GFRa3 and their cognate ligands (Baloh et al., 1998b; Klein et al., 1997; Trupp et al., 1998). The reported equilibrium dissociation constant for PSPN binding to rat GFR α 4 ($K_d \sim 6$ nM) (Masure et al., 2000) is more than 50 times higher than the K_d for human GFR α 4 that we determined. This probably reflects the nature of the rat GFRa4 fusion protein created by using a human signal sequence together with the rat coding sequence and an IgG-Fc domain. Furthermore, the reported binding affinity of mouse PSPN to chicken GFR $\alpha 4$ ($K_d \sim 1$ nM) (Enokido et al., 1998) is also lower than found here, which might be due to the species difference in the PSPN and GFR $\alpha 4$ structure.

Cross-linking studies with ¹²⁵I-PSPN in cell lines expressing human GFRa4a revealed that PSPN could bind to human GFR α 4a either alone or together with RET and that excess amounts of unlabelled PSPN reduce the levels of ¹²⁵I-**PSPN** bound to GFR α 4/Ret. Furthermore, ¹²⁵I-PSPN cross-linked to GFR α 4 and Ret in Neuro2a cells immunoprecipitated with Ret antibodies, suggesting interaction with PSPN, GFR α 4 and Ret ((II), and Yang et al., 2004). These data are consistent with the model proposed by Jing and co-workers that the GFR α receptor first binds to the preferred ligand and that the ligand-GFR α receptor complex subsequently binds to Ret (Jing et al., 1996). However, these data do not rule out the possibility that a GFRa4 and Ret might be preassociated before PSPN binding as suggested by an alternative model (Sanicola et al., 1997). Treatment of cells GFRα4a, expressing with phosphoinositide-specific phospholipase C (PI-PLC) after cross-linking reduced the levels of cross-linked complexes, confirming that the GFR α 4a receptor is linked to the cell membrane by a GPIanchor.

To determine whether PSPN could induce Ret autophosphorylation through GFR α 4, hGFR α 4/Neuro-2a cells were treated with different doses of PSPN and the levels of autophosporylated Ret were shown by Ret staining after precipitation with phosphotyrosine antibody. In the presence of GFR α 4, low levels of PSPN (0.1 ng/ml) rapidly (10 min) induced Ret autophosphorylation, whereas no Ret phosphorylation was detected in mocktransfected Ret-expressing cells. Moreover, we also demonstrated that PSPN can promote the survival of cultured mouse SCG neurons injected with expression vectors encoding for mouse Gfra4-GPI or human GFRA4a and RET. No survival promoting effect was seen when PSPN was added to either Ret or mock-injected neurons. Introduction of Gfra4 alone was already sufficient to confer a PSPN promoting survival effect, probably due to endogenous expression of Ret.

Displacement binding and crosslinking studies with the mouse transmembrane GFR α 4 isoform revealed a much weaker affinity for PSPN binding than for the GPI-anchored isoform (Yang et al., 2003). Cross-linking studies indicated that ¹²⁵I-PSPN did not bind to human GFR α 4b in the presence or absence of Ret (unpublished data). This is consistent with the predicted disrupted secondary structure of the region corresponding to D3 in GFRa4b (See chapter 4.1). It remains unclear if GFR α 4-TM or hGFR α 4b can bind RET. Thus the functional roles for these putative alternative isoforms of mouse and human GFR α 4 remain elusive. However, it is tempting to speculate that the mouse transmembrane GFR α 4 and human GFR α 4b isoforms may act as dominant negative forms of the receptors, or in fine tuning the function of the PSPN binding isoforms.

4.3 Tissue-specific splicing produces mRNA encoding for functional GFRα4 only in mouse and human endocrine tissues (I, II, III)

4.3.1 mRNA encoding for functional GFRα4 is not present in the normal mouse and human nervous system

In situ hybridization and northern blot analysis of Gfra4 mRNA in embryonic and adult mouse showed relatively high levels of Gfra4 mRNA expression in neurons of the adult and newborn mouse forebrain including cerebral cortex and hippocampus. Lower levels of Gfra4 mRNA were detected in the brainstem including the ventral midbrain, where Gfra4 appeared to be partially colocalized with Ret. Gfra4 mRNA was also detected in the spinal cord where it did not co-localize with Ret. However, RT-PCR and RNase protection assay revealed that Gfra4 transcripts did not contain exons coding for the signal sequences 1a or 1b. Consistent with this, in situ hybridization on brain sections from adult mouse with a probe specific for the GFR α 4 signal sequence 1a, did not show any labeling above background (unpublished results). Gfra4 transcripts with yet another alternative signal sequence might exist in brain, but may not have been retrieved by conventional cloning systems. Sequencing of GFR α 4 cDNA clones required special conditions (high concentrations of DMSO and linearized products) presumably due to the GC-rich nature of the Gfra4 mRNA (70%) and possible secondary structures. Even so, all the Gfra4 transcripts from mouse brain

retained the intron (intron 2) that codes for a transcript with a premature stop codon, and which is likely to be degraded by nonsense mediated mRNA decay (See chapter 4.1). Unfortunately, lack of good antibodies to GFR α 4 has so far prevented us from studying whether soluble GFR α 4 is produced in vivo. In the human CNS, no GFRA4 expression was detected from mRNA pools of either fetal or adult brain or in the adult spinal cord (II). Thus our results indicate that functional GPIanchored GFR α 4 is not produced (or produced in very low amounts) in the normal mouse and human CNS. This is supported by another study that failed to obtain the 5'end of human GFRA4 from brain cDNA libraries (Zhou et al., 2001).

It has been reported that PSPN can in vitro support the survival of rat embryonic dopamine, motor and basal forebrain cholinergic neurons (Golden et al., 2003; Milbrandt et al., 1998; Åkerud et al., 2002) (Table 7), which suggests that a functional GFR α 4 receptor exists in the embryonic rat brain or that PSPN is able to signal via a yet uncharacterized receptor. In vivo, exogenous PSPN has been reported to prevent the death of adult rat DA neurons following neurotoxic injury by 6-OHDA and to rescue rat P2 sciatic nerves from axotomy-induced neuronal cell death (Milbrandt et al., 1998). Moreover, PSPN produced by PSPN-overexpressing neural stem cells grafted to the striatum was able to protect adult mouse dopamine neurons from intrastriatally administered 6-OHDA induced cell death (Åkerud et al., 2002) (Table 7). Furthermore, exogenous and endogenous PSPN was reported to protect the mouse brain from experimentally

induced ischemic insult (Tomac et al., 2002) (Table7). In these studies, it was assumed that PSPN induced neuronal protection and repair through GFR α 4 and Ret, although expression of full-length GFR α 4 was shown in none of these studies. GDNF and GDNF family receptor gene expression have been shown to be upregulated in neurons following insults to the nervous system, such as kindling-induced epileptogenesis and experimentally induced cerebral ischemia (Arvidsson et al., 2001; Kokaia et al., 1999). Although, PSPN mRNA levels. were not affected after experimentally induced focal cerebral ischemia (Arvidsson et al., 2001) (Table 7), upregulation of Gfra4 mRNA levels in brain insults has not been studied. Thus, although our results indicate that functional GFR α 4 is not produced in intact normal brain, it remains possible that GFR α 4 is upregulated *in vitro* and after brain lesion in vivo. Alternatively, PSPN may signal yet through other receptors. One alternative receptor could be NCAM, as PSPN has been shown to bind NCAM in vitro (Paratcha et al., 2003). This binding was further potentiated in the presence of chicken GFRa4.

In human and mouse CNS, *PSPN* expression was detected in cerebellum and in the spinal cord. In rat, low level of *Pspn* has been shown to be expressed throughout the CNS (Jaszai et al., 1998). The expression level of PSPN in the rat ventral midbrain and striatum has been reported to peak before birth and at P0, respectively and to be downregulated postnatally to reach low levels in adult (Åkerud et al., 2002).

Model	Species	Age	<i>Gfra4</i> expression	Effect of exogenous PSPN	References
Cultured PNS and CNS neurons	Rat Rat	E14 E14	ND ND	No survival effect on peripheral neurons Survival effect on midbrain DA neurons and motor	Milbrandt et al. (1998)
6-OHDA injections (striatum)	Rat	Adult	ND	In vivo protection (10 µg PSPN into SN)	
Sciatic nerve axotomy	Rat	P2	ND	Survival effect (140 µg/ml) following lesion	
Ureteric bud branching	Rat	E11	ND	Increase in number of ureteric buds (0.5-1.5 µg/ml)	
ChAT activity in	Rat	P8	ND	No increase	Bilak et al.
Exitotoxic motor neuron death	Rat	P8	ND	High dose (100 ng/ml) added toxicity, low dose (0.1 ng/ml) protective against abrenia clutemeta toxicity	(1999)
Neurite outgrowth from spinal cord explants	Rat	P8	ND	No neurite outgrowth (100- 200 ng/ml)	
Exitotoxic motor neuron death	Rat	Р8	ND	Protective effect against chronic glutamate toxicity on motor neurons from 500 ng/ml No motor neurite outgrowth	Ho et al. (2000)
Focal cerebral ischemia induced by MCAO	Rat	Adult	ND	No upregulation of <i>PSPN</i> mRNA expression (<i>in situ</i> hybridization)	Arvidsson et al. (2001)
Focal cerebral ischemia induced by MCAO	Mouse Rat	Adult	ND	Neuroprotective activity by low dose of PSPN before ischemia (0.1 µg)	Tomac et al. (2002)
Cortical cell death induced by glutamate and hypoxia	Mouse	E17.5	ND	Low dose of PSPN reduced intracellular calcium influx (5 ng/ml) and hypoxia- induced cell death (10 pM)	
Cultured DA	Rat	E14	ND	Promotes DA neurite	Åkerud et al.
neurons 6-OHDA (striatum)	Mouse	Adult	+, in situ*	outgrowth (30 ng/ml) Protective effect on DA neurons (PSPN expressed by grafted neural stem cells)	(2002)
Basal forebrain neurons	Rat	E16	+, PCR (exons 4-5, 157 bp)	Promotes survival of basal cholinergic neurons (30-50 ng/ml)	Golden et al. (2003)

Table 7.	Reported	effects of	f exogenous	persephin	in vi	vo and i	n vitro.
			0				

* *Gfra4* was expressed in the adult rat ventral tegmental area and in the substantia nigra pars compacta by *in situ* hybridization. The *Gfra4* cDNA used as probe was not described. PNS, peripheral nervous system; CNS, central nervous system; ND, not determined; 6-OHDA, 6-hydroxydopamine; SN, substantia nigra; DA, dopamine; MCAO, middle cerebral artery occlusion.

Peripheral ganglia studied including newborn and adult dorsal root ganglia, trigeminal ganglia, superior cervical ganglia, sympathetic chain ganglia and enteric ganglia, were positive for Gfra4 mRNA by in situ hybridization. However, full-length Gfra4 was not detected by RT-PCR from peripheral ganglia. Gfra4 transcripts were detected by in situ hybridization in several other mouse tissues, including the condensing mesenchyme of developing limbs, postnatal testis and embryonic kidney (I). Nevertheless, in embryonic kidney, testis, liver and intestine, full-length transcripts encoding for membrane anchored GFR α 4 were not produced, as assayed by RT-PCR (unpublished results). Of 26 adult human tissues examined only thyroid expressed GFRA4, and of the fetal tissues examined only fetal thyroid and adrenal gland showed expression of GFRA4 (II, Table 8 and unpublished results), indicating a more restricted expression of human GFR α 4 than rodent and chicken (where Gfra4 is expressed in many tissues) (Masure et al., 2000; Thompson et al., 1998). Consistent with these findings, no human ESTs for GFRA4 were found in public databases, in contrast to several mouse ESTs for Gfra4 (I). The chicken and mammalian GFR α 4 differ in domain structure and expression pattern which suggests that their biological functions are also different. The endogenous source of ligand for chicken GFR α 4 is also unclear, since BLAST analysis of the draft chicken genome assembly failed to find a chicken homolog for mammalian PSPN (III). Furthermore, chicken GFR α 4 shows only ~50% amino acid identity with the mammalian GFR α 4. This indicates a less conserved role for PSPN/GFR α 4 in vertebrate evolution compared to GDNF/ GFR α 1 and NRTN/GFR α 2.

4.3.2 Full-length *GFRA4* mRNA is expressed in neuroendocrine cells of the pituitary, thyroid, and adrenal glands (I, II)

In the embryonic and adult mouse pituitary, Gfra4 mRNA expression was located to the intermediate lobe, whereas Ret mRNA was expressed in the adjacent neurohypophysis, suggesting the possibility of Ret-independent signaling of GFRa4 in pituitary. Putative functional isoforms of GFR α 4 proteins were produced in the mouse juvenile (4 wk) and adult pituitary with the transmembrane GFR α 4 as the dominant form. However, GFRA4 expression was not detected by RT-PCR in adult human pituitary probably due to the rudimentary nature of the pituitary intermediate lobe in human.

In the mouse adrenal gland, Gfra4 and Ret mRNAs were highly expressed at P0 and P8 by a subpopulation of cells that seemed to be a subpopulation of chromaffin cells of the adrenal medulla. In adult adrenal medulla, Gfra4 appeared to be evenly expressed in all cells, whereas Ret expression was only seen in a few cells in small clusters probably representing sympathetic ganglion cells, according to results by Schober et al., (2000) (Table 8). The majority of Gfra4 transcripts obtained by RT-PCR from postnatal and adult mouse adrenal gland were shown to contain the short 53 bp intron between exon 2 and 3, encoding for the putative soluble protein. However, a minor fraction of Gfra4 mRNAs in mouse adrenal gland encoded for the full-length GPI- or TM protein. In human, full-length GFRA4 was detected in fetal (unpublished data) but not in adult adrenal gland (II). Expression of mRNA encoding for the functional correct spliced PSPN was detected in embryonic and adult mouse adrenal gland and in adult human adrenal gland, suggesting a role for GFR α 4/Ret signaling in adrenal chromaffin cell development (II, Table 8). Alternatively, if adrenal PSPN would be secreted to the circulating blood, it would be able to act on a broad range of cells/ tissues.

In mouse, *Gfra4* mRNA was identified together with *Ret* mRNA in the ultimobranchial body at E12, and at E16 at the beginning of fusion with the endothelial-derived diverticulum, and subsequent formation of the mature thyroid. At E18 *Gfra4* was co-localized with *Ret* in cells in the inner part of the thyroid lobe, which probably represents the parafollicular C-cells (Belluardo et al., 1999; Tsuzuki et al., 1995). In the adult human thyroid gland *GFRA4* and *RET* (consistent with previous reports, Belluardo et al., 1999; Tsuzuki et al., 1995) expression was detected by RT-PCR. As in mouse, *in situ* hybridization of human thyroid indicated co-

Table 8. mRNA expression of *RET*, *GFRAs* and *GFL*s in selected mammalian endocrine organs.

Tissue	Ret	Gfra1	Gfra2	Gfra3	Gfra4	GDNF	NRTN	ARTN	PSPN
Thyroid:	1, II, 9, 10	III, 9	ш	ш	⊥I, II, III	9	12	+ ¹⁶	_II ND
C-cells Follicular cells	⊤ I, II, 9, 10	III			_I, II, III	_9	- + ¹²	ND	ND
i officialiti cens								n D	T(D)
Parathyroid	-1	-4	$+^{4}$	ND	- ^{I, III}	ND	ND	ND	ND
Adrenal:				$+^{11}$				$+^{16}$	$+^{II,}$
Medulla:					$+^{I}$				
Ganglion cells	$+^{1}$	$+^{1}$	-1	ND		- ²	-12	ND	ND
Chromaffin	- ¹	-1	$+^{1,5}$	ND	$+^{I}$	$+^{2}$	$+^{12}$	ND	ND
cells									
Cortex	_1	$+^{1}$	$+^{1}$	ND	_I	- ²	$+^{12}$	ND	ND
Pituitary:			ND	$+^{11}$				$+^{16}$	_11
Anterior lobe	$+^{3}$	$+^{3}$		ND	- ^I	$+^{3}$	$+^{12}$	ND	ND
Interm. lobe	_ ^I	ND		ND	$+^{I}$	-6	$+^{12}$	ND	ND
Posterior lobe	+ ^{I, 3}	$+^{3}$		ND	_I	$+^{3}$	-12	ND	ND
Testis:				$+^{11, 13}$				$+^{15, 16}$	+ ^{II} , unpub.
Sertoli cells	_ ^{IV, 8}	- ^{IV, 8}	-7	ND	_I, unpub.	+ ^{IV, 7, 8}	$+^{5, 7, 8}$	ND	ND
Spermatogonia	+ ^{IV, 8}	+ ^{IV, 8}	-7	ND	_I, unpub.	- ^{IV, 7, 8}	-7	ND	ND
Spermatocytes	$+^{14}$	- ^{IV}	$+^{7}$	ND	+ ^{I, npub.}	- ^{IV, 7, 8}	-7	ND	ND
Spermatids	- ^{IV}	- ^{IV}	$+^{7}$	ND	_I, unpub.	- ^{IV, 7, 8}	-7	ND	ND
Oviduct	_4	-4	-4	ND	ND	-4	$+^{5}$	ND	ND
Ovary	-4	-4	-4	$+^{11, 13}$	ND	$+^{4}$	$+^{4}$	$+^{15, 16}$	ND

mRNA expression determined in this work is marked by +, whereas no expression is marked by – and a Roman numeral (I-IV) referring to article number. Expression determined by others is marked by a given number referred to as follows: ¹Schober et al., 2000 (rat), ²Krieglstein et al., 1996 (rat), ³Urbano et al., 2000 (rat), ⁴Golden et al. 1999 (mouse), ⁵Widenfalk et al., 1997 (mouse), ⁶Saland et al., 2000 (rat), ⁷Meng et al., 2001 (mouse), ⁸Viglietto et al., 2000, ⁹Belluardo et al., 1999 (rat), ¹⁰Tsuzuki et al., 1995 (rat), ¹¹Baloh et al., 1998a (human), ¹²Xian et al., 1999 (rat), ¹³Masure et al., 1998 (human), ¹⁴Creemers et al., 2002 (mouse), ¹⁵Masure et al., 1999 (human), ¹⁶Baloh et al., 1998b (rat). ND, not determined. Unpub., unpublished results.

localization of GFRA4 and RET in thyroid C-cells. By RT-PCR Gfra4 was expressed in the mouse thyroid by most developmental stages analyzed. Still, the overall expression level of Gfra4 seemed lower in embryonic and adult than in neonatal and juvenile thyroid as measured by RT-PCR (unpublished data). In human, the two identified GPI-linked GFRA4a and GFRA4b transcripts were expressed in equal amounts in adult and fetal thyroid, as shown by RT-PCR (II and unpublished data). Strong positive signal for Gfra4, but not for Ret, was detected in the newborn and postnatal mouse parathyroid gland by in situ hybridization. However, in situ hybridization with an exon la-specific Gfra4 probe that recognizes the mRNA encoding for the functional signal sequence in mouse GFR α 4 (III, Table 8) did not reveal any signal above background in the parathyroid gland, suggesting that functional GFR α 4 is not produced in the parathyroid gland. In conclusion, mRNAs encoding for functional PSPN, GFRa4, as well as other GFLs and their receptors are expressed in several distinct types of endocrine tissues and cells (Table 8). However, their roles in these tissues have not yet been determined (but see III and IV).

Except for the brain, adrenal gland and testis, *PSPN* expression was not detected in other mouse and human tissues, including thyroid at any developmental stages analyzed (I, II), raising questions about the source of ligand for the GFR α 4/ Ret signaling complex in thyroid. Members of the neurotrophin family, such as NGF and BDNF have been found in circulating blood (Aloe et al., 1986; Nakahashi et al., 2000). Unlike GDNF, that binds strongly to heparan sulfate

proteoglycans (HSPG) in the extracellular matrix (Barnett et al., 2002; Hamilton et al., 2001), PSPN lacks the heparinbinding properties and does not bind to heparan sulphate (M. Bespalov and M. Saarma, personal communication). Thus it is possible that PSPN, if secreted from, for example, adrenal gland to the circulating blood, might be able to act in a hormone-like manner, binding to GFR α 4/Ret on the surface of C-cells in the thyroid.

4.4 High expression of *GFRA4* and *RET* in medullary thyroid tumors suggests roles for GFRα4 in tumorigenesis (II)

As the RET proto-oncogene is known to play an important role in the oncogenesis of C-cell-derived tumors and Gfra4 was shown to be co-expressed with Ret in developing C-cells, expression of GFRA4 and RET mRNA was analyzed by RT-PCR from RNA derived from human thyroid tissue tumor samples. GFRA4 mRNAs encoding the two GPI-linked isoforms, GFR α 4a and GFR α 4b were highly expressed in all samples derived from medullary thyroid tumors (MTC), whereas no expression was detected in any of the other primary thyroid tumors including papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC), follicular thyroid carcinoma (FTC) and follicular thyroid adenoma (FTA). Consistent with previous reports (Nakamura et al., 1994; Santoro et al., 1990), RET was also highly expressed by the MTC tumors. In situ hybridization on sections from the same tumor samples, revealed that GFRA4 and RET were highly and evenly expressed by virtually all the malignant cells in the MTC samples, indicating that the expression of

GFRA4 is C-cell specific. In line with a recent study that showed expression of GFRA1 and GFRA2 in some cells of MTC (Frisk et al., 2000) very low or undetectable levels of GFRA1, GFRA2 and/or GFRA3 were detected in a subset of tumor cells in some MTCs. However, low levels of GFRA1-3 were also detected in PTCs, FTCs and FTAs, indicating that their expression is not specific to particular thyroid tumor cell type. Furthermore, low expression of GFRA1, GFRA2 and GFRA3 was also detected in the normal human thyroid. This strong expression of GFRA4 (and not other GFRAs) in human C-cells, suggest that GFR α 4 may be critical in the oncogenic action of RET MEN2 that leads to initial hyperplasia of C-cells and subsequent MTC. On the other hand, the absence of other GFRas in C-cells may also be important. GFRα-receptors could interfere with the dimerization or/and constitutive activation of RET mutant receptors, and therefore inhibit tumor formation in tissues that are not involved in MEN2 syndromes but express RET (Kawai et al., 2000). Even GFRα4 might be able to suppress C-cell tumor forming signaling formation by



complexes with RET, but it may not be able to do so as effectively as the other $GFR\alpha$'s because of the limited amount of PSPN available, or because GFR α 4 may be weaker than the other GFR α 's in its suppression of RET-mediated oncogenic activity. Thus it would be of importance to test whether GFR $\alpha 4$ is able to inhibit **RET MEN2A** dimerization as reported Furthermore, for GFRa1. these hypotheses could be tested by examining whether tumor development is altered when transgenic MEN2 mice are crossed with different GFR α -deficient mice. To test whether lack of GFR α 4 could C-cell suppress hyperplasia, pheochromocytoma and ganglioneuromas of the adrenal medulla GFR α 4-deficient mice (III) were crossed with Ret^{MEN2B} knock-in mice (Smith-Hicks et al., 2000). Preliminary data revealed that in the thyroid of 10-monthold double mutant mice as compared to MEN2B-mice, the number of C-cells is reduced (Fig. 7). This suggests a positive effect of GFRa4 in thyroid tumor formation or a reduced number of calcitonin expressing cells (See chapter 4.5). Unfortunately, C-cell hyperplasia did not develop in the 10-12-months-old Ret^{MEN2B/MEN2B} mice in the mixed background strain, and thus we could not use this animal model to study the effect of GFR α 4-deficiency in MTC.

To test the possibility that mutations in the *GFRA4* gene would be found in thyroid tumors, full-length *GFRA4*

Figure 7. Number of C-cells in the thyroid of double Ret MEN2B/2B; *Gfra4-/-* and Ret MEN2B/2B; *Gfra4+/+* littermate mice. Lack of GFR α 4 appears to reduce the number of calcitonin positive (CT) C-cells in the thyroid of aged Ret^{MEN2B/MEN2B} knock-in background. cDNAs from eight MTC tumors, the TT cell line and normal thyroid were sequenced. No mutations except for some allelic polymorphisms were found in the coding region of the *GFRA4* gene (II).

4.5 Calcitonin synthesis by C-cells is reduced in newborn and juvenile GFRα4-deficient thyroid (III)

The GFR α 4-deficient mice produced in this study are viable and show no obvious defects in growth and gross behavior (III). Basic histological analysis of CNS and pituitary did not reveal differences between wild type and GFR α 4-deficient mice. No structural differences were found in the adrenal medulla, including tyrosine hydroxylase or PNMTimmunostaining of adrenal chromaffin cells or their cholinergic innervation (Hiltunen et al., 2001). However, signs of mild testis degeneration were observed in these mice, with significantly elevated TUNEL numbers of positive spermatogonia in the seminiferous tubules (Hiltunen et al., 2001). Yet, this defect does not have an obvious effect on male fertility. The mechanism for the testis phenotype remains unclear (See chapter 4.9).

As *Gfra4* is highly expressed in thyroid C-cells, and C-cells appear to be the only cells that clearly co-express functional GFR α 4 and Ret, we focused our studies on the effect of GFR α 4deficiency in the development and function of C-cells. No differences in the number, distribution or morphology of the C-cells were observed between the genotypes in newborn or adult mice. To rule out the possibility that expression of other GFR α -receptors might compensate for the lack of GFR α 4, *in situ* hybridization was used to detect RNA for *Gfra1*, *Gfra2* and *Gfra3* in the thyroid of 1-week-old wild-type and GFR α 4-deficient thyroids. No expression of *Gfra1* and *Gfra3* was detected in wt or *Gfra4-/-* thyroid. However, *Gfra2* mRNA was detected in both wild-type and GFR α 4-deficient thyroid glands (Table 8), but immunostaining with calcitonin and GFR α 2-specific antibodies showed that *Gfra2* is not expressed in the C-cells (III).

Ret signaling is likely to be necessary for the early development of at least some C-cells, as Ret-deficient mice (E18) show a clear reduction in number of C-cells (37%) (I). Gfra1 was shown to be coexpressed together with Ret in the ultimobrachial body, the structure from where C-cells are derived, but not in neonatal thyroid C-cells (III). Therefore it is tempting to speculate that Ret/ GFRa1/GDNF signaling might be important for the migration of C-cells to the thyroid gland. To address this issue, detailed analysis of the developing thyroid gland of GFR α 1 or GFR α 1/ GFR α 4 double knockout mice should be carried out.

The physiological effect of GFR α 4deficiency was studied in juvenile compared to adult and newborn thyroid by measuring thyroid calcitonin levels using an immunoradiometric assay. The tissue calcitonin content was significantly reduced (by 80%) in newborn and (by ~45%) in juvenile but not in the adult GFR α 4-deficient mice compared to wild type littermates. The reduced calcitonin production in young GFR α 4-deficient mice, appear to correlate with the coexpression of functional GFR α 4 and Ret in thyroid C-cells. Furthermore, the expression levels of functional GFR α 4 and Ret seem to be higher in young mouse thyroid C-cells than in adult ((I) and unpublished results). Additional work is needed to quantify the relative expression of different GFRa4 isoforms and Ret in mouse C-cells at different developmental stages. In conclusion, these results suggest that GFR α 4 is not necessary for the development of thyroid C-cells but is required in young, and not in adult mouse thyroid, for the synthesis of calcitonin. Thus, our results indicate a physiological role for GFR α 4 in the production of calcitonin in the thyroid of juvenile mice. Recent observations have shown that GFLs via their cognate receptors may regulate neurotransmitter release at some synapses (Bourque and Trudeau, 2000; Pothos et al., 1998; Ribchester et al., 1998; Wang et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2001). Nevertheless, the present study is the first to show that GFR α 4 regulates calcitonin production in C-cells. This result further suggests that other GFR α receptors may also regulate transmitter production in other cells.

Our results suggest that GFR α 4, presumably via Ret signaling, helps to regulate calcitonin synthesis, but the mechanism remains to be studied. The mechanisms that regulate the signal transduction pathway leading to calcitonin production are only partially known. Elevated extracellular calcium [Ca²⁺] activates G protein-coupled calcium sensing receptors (CaR) on Ccells, resulting in an upregulated expression of the calcitonin and CaR genes (reviewed by Brown and MacLeod, 2001; Freichel et al., 1996) presumably through activation of the thyroid transcription factor 1 (TTF-1) (Suzuki et al., 1998). CaR-induced calcitonin secretion from cultured thyroid C-cells is

triggered by the activation of PI3-K β and downstream effector protein kinase CZ $(PKC\zeta)$ via G $\beta\gamma$ (Liu et al., 2003). Recent studies have shown evidence for crosstalk between G protein-coupled receptors and tyrosine kinase receptors in the regulation of cell proliferation (Brown and MacLeod, 2001). Interestingly, in cells co-expressing the EGF receptor and CaR, dominant negative CaR inhibited EGF induced ERK-1 phosphorylation (McNeil et al., 1998). Furthermore, the levels of PKCs, including PKCζ, are upregulated upon EGF-stimulation in fibroblasts expressing a chimeric EGF-receptor consisting of a RET kinase domain (Andreozzi et al., 2003). Thus, one mechanism for GFR α 4/Ret regulation of calcitonin synthesis could be synergistic activation of signaling cascades mediated by GFRa4/Ret and CaR. Whether PSPN is required for calcitonin production, awaits verification from PSPN-deficient mice (Tomac et al., 2002). Furthermore, it might be of importance to study whether enhanced Ret signaling in MTC caused by activating mutations in RET can increase the calcitonin production in C-cells.

The calcitonin production rate in osteoporotic women has been reported to be reduced (Reginster et al., 1989; Tiegs et al., 1985). Furthermore, calcitonin is successfully used to treat hypercalcemia and metabolic bone diseases such as osteoporosis and Paget's disease reflecting high osteoclast activity (Civitelli et al., 1988; Zaidi et al., 2002). In humans, the functional GPI-linked GFR α 4 receptor continues to be expressed in adult thyroid calcitonin producing C-cells (II), which suggests that GFR α 4 signaling may control calcitonin production in adult humans and thus be relevant in osteoporosis.

4.6 Expression of *GDNF*, *Gfra1* and *Ret* in wild type and GDNF-transgenic mouse testis

Transgenic mice expressing the human GDNF-gene were produced under the human translation elongation factor-1 α (eEF1A) promoter. The *eEF1A* gene is known to be highly expressed in various cell types (Wakabayashi-Ito and Nagata, 1994). Surprisingly, transgenes driven by the eEF1a promoter in the pEFBos construct are mainly expressed in the testes (Furuchi et al., 1996). Accordingly, the expression of hGDNF transgene was detected only in the testes of the transgenic mice analyzed by northern blotting. Four independent transgenic founders were analyzed with the transgene copy number ranging from 3-20 analyzed by southern hybridization. The males were sterile independent of strain background, and defects were not found in other organs than in testis. Transgenic mice showed significant reduction of the testicular weight at 4 and 8 weeks of age. Northern blot analysis showed high endogenous expression of GDNF, Ret and Gfra1 mRNA in neonatal wild type mouse testes, but the levels were down-regulated after the first postnatal weeks. In situ hybridization has revealed that GDNF is expressed by Sertoli cells (Trupp et al., 1995; Viglietto et al., 2000) (Table 8). Consistent with these results, GDNF protein was detected in Sertoli cells by immunohistochemistry unpublished results). Meng, (X. Messenger RNAs for Gfra1 and Ret were shown to be expressed by a subset of spermatogonial cells that might include spermatogonial stem cells (IV). However, Ret protein detected by immunohistochemistry has been reported also in early spermatocytes (Creemers et al., 2002) (Table 8). In hGDNF overexpressing transgenic testis, elevated levels of human *GDNF* mRNA and protein were detected in postnatal testis. *Gfra1* and *Ret* mRNA levels were high in spermatogonia from birth to adulthood in transgenic testis. Endogenous *Gdnf* mRNA level was also elevated in the transgenic testis that may be due to the alteration of the ratio of cell population (Sertoli/germ cell).

Sertoli cells are known to release soluble factors regulating germ cell differentiation (Skinner, 1991). The distribution of GDNF and its receptors in wild type mouse testis thus suggests that GDNF can regulate spermatogonial cell proliferation and differentiation by binding to GFR α 1 and Ret in a paracrine way. However, in the transgenic GDNFoverexpressing mouse testis, the expression of GDNF was targeted in spermatogonia thus acting in an autocrine regulation manner on the of spermatogonial proliferation and differentiation.

4.7 High doses of GDNF lead to spermatogonial accumulation and testicular tumors in hGDNFtransgenic mice (IV)

The testicular morphology of hGDNFtransgenic mice was normal at birth but began to display large cell clusters in the seminiferous tubules after 2-3 weeks. The large cell clusters expressed spermatogonial and germ line cell markers, but not markers for Leydig or Sertoli cells, suggesting that the cells of these clusters are derived from spermatogonia. Furthermore, they did not express c-Kit which is a marker for differentiating spermatogonia (Schrans-Stassen et al., 1999), suggesting that the cells within the clusters are undifferentiated spermatogonia including stem cells. In the hGDNF-overexpressing mice the normal segmental nature of cell proliferation was disrupted, showing some mitotic figures in all the clusters, but the overall proliferation rate of spermatogonia was not enhanced. In addition, these cells were unable to respond properly to differentiation signals but underwent apotosis instead of differentiation when treated with retinoic acid, which is known to be important for spermatogonial differentiation (Akmal et al., 1997). These results suggested that the majority of cells in the clusters mainly represent stem cells of spermatogenesis and their differentiation pathway was inhibited by high dose of GDNF. Furthermore, this idea is supported by a germ cell transplantation recent experiment where GDNF-overexpressing spermatogonia were transplanted to testes devoid of endogenous spermatogonia due to prior irradiation (Creemers et al., 2002). The transplanted spermatogonia formed similar clusters to those in the young GDNF-overexpressing mice, verifying that the undifferentiated state is unchanged because of the high expression of GDNF in the host clusters. More recent supportive data showed that mouse testes overexpressing GDNF only in Sertoli cells (transfected by electroporation) developed spermatogonial clusters similar to those found in our GDNF-overexpressing mice. When these clusters were transplanted to progeny cell-deficient mouse testes, proliferation and regeneration of normal

spermatogenesis was continued in the absence of high GDNF levels (Yomogida et al., 2003). This study verifies that the transplanted cells possess the normal stem cell property. Furthermore, FSH was shown to induce the expression of GDNF in Sertoli cells cultures (Tadokoro et al., 2002) and to stimulate rat Sertoli cell proliferation *in vitro* (Hu et al., 1999), suggesting a hormonal control of spermatogenesis conducted via the GDNF/GFR α 1/Ret system.

The undifferentiated spermatogonia in GDNF overexpressing transgenic mice (IV) were able to proliferate, but not differentiate, which eventually lead to germ cell degeneration and sterility. Apotosis in cell clusters was increased with the peak at week 4. At 10 weeks of age only remnants of clusters were visible and a rim of spermatogonia remained at the base of atrophic seminiferous tubules. No sperm was visible in seminiferous tubules or the epididymis, and the somatic cells of the seminiferous tubules were normal as compared to wild type testes.

Bilateral (56%) and unilateral testicular tumors frequently developed in mice over 1 year (89%) from the dormant spermatogonia which spread into the interstitial tissue but did not distantly metastasize. Interestingly, morphological and histological analysis of the GDNFtransgenic mouse tumors revealed many of the characteristics found in human classical seminomas, a common testicular tumor in young men (Meng et al., 2001a). They consist of homogenous round cells with large nuclei, express germ cell markers and are positive for placental alkaline phosphatase. In contrast, spermatocytic seminomas are more heterogenous; often showing gigantic cells derived from spermatocytes or B

spermatogonia and usually not positive for alkaline phosphatase staining (Chaganti and Houldsworth, 2000). The GDNF transgenic mouse can thus serve as the first experimental animal model for this tumor type. However, although murine and human seminomatous tumors are similar in many aspects, some differences exist which have to be taken into consideration when using this model. The tumors appear in old transgenic mice in contrast to tumors in young men, they show no lymphocyte infiltrates that are common in human seminomas and they do not stain for c-Kit which is frequently detected in human classical seminomas (Devouassoux-Shisheboran et al., 2003; Meng et al., 2001a).

High expression of transgenic *GDNF*, and endogenous *Gfra1* and *Ret* was detected from tumors along with high Ret phosphorylation (Meng et al., 2001a). Expression of GDNF and its receptors has also been detected in human seminomas in contrast to non-seminomas (Viglietto et al., 2000), which indicates that GDNF signaling is involved in the pathogenesis of classic seminomas. This issue could be addressed *in vitro* by measuring the proliferative effect of GDNF on a recently



Figure 8. Control of spermatogonial stem cell differentiation and renewal by GDNF dosage. Appropriate level of GDNF controls the balance between differentiation and proliferation of spermatogenic stem cells. Low dosage results in depletion of stem cells, whereas high dosage results in depletion of differentiating spermatogonia.

established rat spermatogonial stem cell line (van Pelt et al., 2002) or by overexpressing GDNF in mutant mice with progeny-deficient testes (Tadokoro et al., 2002).

4.8 Low doses of GDNF result in depletion of stem cells in heterozygous *GDNF+/-* mice (IV)

While mice lacking GDNF die during the first postnatal day, most GDNF+/- mice survive to adulthood but show developmental and renal ENS abnormalities probably due to haploinsufficiency (Moore et al., 1996; Pichel et al., 1996; Sanchez et al., 1996). In order to reveal the role for decreased GDNF expression in mouse testis, histological analysis of testes from heterozygous GDNF+/- mice (Pichel et al., 1996) was performed. The GDNF+/mice survived to adulthood and were fertile though spermatogenesis was disturbed. Histological analysis of 5 and 8 week-old GDNF+/- testes revealed that atrophy was detected in about half of the seminiferous tubules but spermatids could be observed. However, sperm cells were observed in the epididymal ducts and in well preserved seminiferous tubules. In older GDNF+/- mice, spermatogonia were depleted and cell proliferation was reduced resulting in Sertoli cell-only tubular segments. In conclusion. the spermatogonia differentiate in excess in GDNF+/- mice, leading to depletion of undifferentiated germ cells.

In summary, by using GDNFoverexpression and loss-of-function approaches we have shown *in vivo* that GDNF dosage determines the cell fate decision of spermatogonial stem cell renewal and differentiation in mouse. High dosage inhibits stem cell differentiation, leading to degeneration of tubular germ cells, whereas low dosage leads to progressing stem cell depletion (Sertoli-cell-only) due to the prevailing differentiation exceeding proliferation of stem cells (Fig. 8).

4.9 Does NRTN or PSPN have a role in testis?

Apart from GDNF, NRTN is also expressed by Sertoli cells and can stimulate proliferation in *Ret* expressing spermatogonia (Viglietto et al., 2000). However, no testis phenotype has been reported in NRTN- or GFRα2-deficient mice (Heuckeroth et al., 1999; Rossi et al., 1999). Yet, transgenic mice overexpressing NRTN in testes, although fertile, show a transient degeneration of spermatocytes at 3-5 weeks of age in a fraction of mice (Meng et al., 2001b), which indicates that а proper concentration of NRTN is needed for differentiation of spermatocytes. Gfra2 mRNA is highly expressed by spermatids in wild type mouse testis, whereas Nrtn expression is upregulated in testes after puberty. The Gfra2 transcript in postnatal testis is smaller in size (1-2 kb) compared to Gfra2 in somatic tissues (~4 kb) (Baloh et al., 1997; Meng et al., 2001b). RT-PCR and RACE-cloning revealed that the fulllength Gfra2 is expressed in embryonic and newborn testis, whereas only truncated Gfra2 transcripts are expressed in adult mouse testis (unpublished results). These transcripts lack sequences corresponding to exons 1-4 of the Gfra2 gene which encode for the N-terminal part of GFR α 2 (signal sequence and domains D1 and D2). These results suggest that the functional GFR α 2 receptor is not produced in pubertal and adult spermatocytes. The role for NRTN produced by Sertoli cells thus remains elusive, but may signal through GFR α 1, as the expression of *Gfra1* and *Ret* mRNA is downregulated but not absent from the postnatal mouse testis (IV).

PSPN was shown to be expressed in human and mouse adult testis by RT-PCR (I, II). The cellular localization of PSPN expression in testis could not be determined because the expression levels were beyond detection by in situ hybridization. In adult mouse testis, moderate levels of Gfra4 transcripts were found in pachytene spermatocytes (unpublished data, Table 8). Northern blot analysis also revealed a clear Gfra4 transcript from testis that was smaller in size (1.2 kb) than the mRNA retrieved from thyroid (1.4 kb) (I). RT-PCR showed aberrant splicing of the Gfra4 transcript and 5'RACE using adult testis cDNA resulted in short clones containing only the transcript encoding for D3 of GFR α 4 without signal sequence. Despite several attempts, transcripts encoding for fulllength GFR α 4 containing a signal sequence were never achieved by RACE cloning.

Many genes normally expressed in somatic cells appear to be overexpressed

in mammalian pachytene spermatocytes and round spermatids (reviewed by Kleene, 2001). These transcripts differ in size and structure from the somatic transcripts and often use TATAindependent spermatogenic cell-specific transcription start sites (SCS) located upstream or downstream the somatic start site and alternative splicing as well as upstream polyadenylation sites. Some of these spermatogenic cell-altered transcripts (SCATs) are at least partially translationally repressed or encode for severely truncated proteins that cannot perform the same functions as the proteins encoded by the same gene in somatic cells. The role for this leaky nonspecific process in spermatocytes is unknown, but at least some of these truncated proteins appear to be functional. For example, the CSC isoform of c-Kit in round spermatids lacks its extracellular and transmembrane domains but it may still participate in the activation of development of fertilized oocytes (Sette et al., 1997). Thus, truncated mRNAs of Gfra2 and Gfra4 in testis probably represent SCATs and, if anything, they might have a distinct function in testis or in the fertilization process. It would be necessary to confirm whether truncated GFR α 2- and GFR α 4-proteins indeed exist in spermatogenic cells.

5. CONCLUSIONS

- Mouse and human GFR α 4 receptor is smaller in size lacking the first Cysrich domain found in other GFR α -receptors, including chicken GFR α 4. PSPN, but not other GFLs, can bind with high affinity to the mammalian GFR α 4 receptor and autophosphorylate Ret tyrosine kinase. In the presence of GFR α 4 and RET, PSPN, but not other GFLs, can induce survival of cultured rat sympathetic neurons. Thus GFR α 4 is the functional PSPN receptor.
- Mammalian *GFRA4* mRNA expression is restricted to endocrine cells, such as thyroid C-cells and adrenal chromaffin cells. Tissue specific splicing of *Gfra4* pre-mRNA produces functional GFRα4 in young mouse thyroid gland. High expression of *GFRA4* together with RET in human medullary thyroid carcinoma suggest a role for GFRα4 in tumor formation.
- Decreased calcitonin synthesis in young, but not adult GFR α 4-deficient thyroid, indicate a novel role for GFR α signaling in controlling transmitter synthesis. This also suggests that GFR α 4/Ret-signaling regulates calcium homeostasis and may therefore be relevant in human osteoporosis.
- In testis, the ratio between stem cell renewal and differentiation is regulated by GDNF dosage as spermatogonial stem cells are exhausted (Sertoli-cellonly) in GDNF-deficient mice, while overproduction of GDNF leads to clustering of spermatogonial stem cells. These mouse lines could serve as useful tools for studying the pathogenesis of male infertility and testicular cancers. The importance of GDNF signaling in spermatogenesis suggests that specific molecules in the GDNF/GFRa1/Ret signaling pathway could serve as potential targets for designing male contraceptives.
6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The present study was carried out at the Institute of Biotechnology, University of Helsinki, during ~1998-2004.

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to Professor Mart Saarma, Director of the Institute, my supervisor and tutor, for encouraging me to carry out this work with his endless support and enthusiasm and his vast scientific knowledge. I am grateful for his advice in various situations and for providing me an excellent working athmosphere.

I warmly thank Docent Matti Airaksinen for his excellent scientific guidance and supervision. His sharp interpretations and helpful discussions have been of fundamental importance throughout my study.

Professor Dan Lindholm and Professor Jorma Palvimo are acknowledged for giving their time to pre-examine and improve the manuscript of this thesis.

The whole personnel of the Institute of Biotechnology are acknowledged for the stimulating and friendly environment and for the help in practical issues whenever needed.

I am grateful to Dr. Urmas Arumäe for his initial guidance in the world of primary neuronal cultures, his help in improving this manuscript and his friendly support during the years in the Neuro lab.

Professor Leevi Kääriäinen is acknowledged for pushing me to write this thesis and for guiding me in the practical issues concerning dead-lines for faculty meetings.

I especially thank Dr. Tonis Timmusk without whom the cloning of *Gfra4* would ever have been accomplished and for his friendship and support.

I want to thank Dr. Xiaojuan Meng for sharing the early life of GDNF-overexpressing mice and for her help in improving the testis part of this manuscript.

All of my co-authors are acknowledged for fruitful collaboration.

Many thanks go to Päivi Hiltunen, Jari Rossi and Kaija Berg from Mattis lab, for sharing the ups and downs of the GFR α 4 knockout mice.

Jukka Hiltunen, my former colleague, is acknowledged for his kind support during the early years in Marts lab. and recently for his help in patenting matters.

My gratitude extends to the people at the computer helpdesk and especially Tinde for his excellent assistance in preparing the manuscript into a printable format.

I am truly thankful to Matthew Phillips for improving the language in this manuscript.

I wish to thank members from the Kidney group, especially Kirmo, Marjo, Tiina, Mervi, Kirsi and Hannu, for the brief but enjoyable time we shared in C-noppa.

Thanks BIKO (especially Miika and Henri) for the great fun at the Sähly court.

I warmly thank all members of the Neuro group, both present and previous for their help, and friendship, and for numerous memorable occasions: Päivi L., Pia, Judith, Svetlana, Maria L., Maili, Marjo, Yu, Ulla, Johanna, Anastasia, Hong, Heidi, Kristiina, Miika, Yang, Maxim, Misha, Claudio, Johan, Antti, Veli-Matti, Janne, Juha, Pavel, Tero, Petro, Ülo and Maxim M.

I am truly grateful to Satu, Eila, Maria v. N, Mari and Maarit for their skilful assistance in the lab, and for sharing many good laughs and jokes.

I highly appreciate the support and affection from all of my friends and relatives near and far!

I am privileged to have such a wonderful family! Special thanks go to my mother Cirre, my father Ronnie, Heicci, Anja, my brother Pate, Ebba and Tor-Anders and all of the Lindahl clan for their big support, help and love. I could not have managed without my sisters Lotta and Miccus, including families. Their endless support, trust and true friendship have been of utmost importance to me.

My deepest gratitude goes to my husband Thomas and our children Anna and Filip for sharing the most precious moments in life. You are the essence of my life!

Helsinki, August 2004

7. REFERENCES

Acton DS, Velthuyzen D, Lips CJ, Hoppener JW (2000) Multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2B mutation in human RET oncogene induces medullary thyroid carcinoma in transgenic mice. Oncogene 19: 3121-3125.

Airaksinen MS, Saarma M (2002) The GDNF family: signalling, biological functions and therapeutic value. Nat Rev Neurosci 3: 383-394.

Airaksinen MS, Titievsky A, Saarma M (1999) GDNF family neurotrophic factor signaling: four masters, one servant? Mol Cell Neurosci 13: 313-325.

Akmal KM, Dufour JM, Kim KH (1997) Retinoic acid receptor alpha gene expression in the rat testis: potential role during the prophase of meiosis and in the transition from round to elongating spermatids. Biol Reprod 56: 549-556.

Allmendinger A, Stoeckel E, Saarma M, Unsicker K, Huber K (2003) Development of adrenal chromaffin cells is largely normal in mice lacking the receptor tyrosine kinase c-Ret. Mech Dev 120: 299-304.

Aloe L, Alleva E, Bohm A, Levi-Montalcini R (1986) Aggressive behavior induces release of nerve growth factor from mouse salivary gland into the bloodstream. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 83: 6184-6187.

Amar AP, Weiss MH (2003) Pituitary anatomy and physiology. Neurosurg Clin N Am 14: 11-23, v.

Anders J, Kjar S, Ibanez CF (2001) Molecular modeling of the extracellular domain of the RET receptor tyrosine kinase reveals multiple cadherinlike domains and a calcium-binding site. J Biol Chem 276: 35808-35817.

Anderson DJ (1993) Cell fate determination in the peripheral nervous system: the sympathoadrenal progenitor. J Neurobiol 24: 185-198.

Andreozzi F, Melillo RM, Carlomagno F, Oriente F, Miele C, Fiory F, Santopietro S, Castellone MD, Beguinot F, Santoro M, Formisano P (2003) Protein kinase Calpha activation by RET: evidence for a negative feedback mechanism controlling RET tyrosine kinase. Oncogene 22: 2942-2949.

Andres R, Forgie A, Wyatt S, Chen Q, de Sauvage FJ, Davies AM (2001) Multiple effects of artemin on sympathetic neurone generation, survival and growth. Development 128: 3685-3695.

Arce V, Pollock RA, Philippe JM, Pennica D, Henderson CE, deLapeyriere O (1998) Synergistic effects of schwann- and muscle-derived factors on motoneuron survival involve GDNF and cardiotrophin-1 (CT-1). J Neurosci 18: 1440-1448.

Arenas E, Trupp M, Akerud P, Ibáñez CF (1995) GDNF prevents degeneration and promotes the phenotype of brain noradrenergic neurons in vivo. Neuron 15: 1465-1473.

Arumäe U, Sariola H, Haltia M (1997) Apoptosis during development of the nervous system and in degenerative diseases. Duodecim 113: 1590-1596.

Arvidsson A, Kirik D, Lundberg C, Mandel RJ, Andsberg G, Kokaia Z, Lindvall O (2003) Elevated GDNF levels following viral vector-mediated gene transfer can increase neuronal death after stroke in rats. Neurobiol Dis 14: 542-556.

Arvidsson A, Kokaia Z, Airaksinen MS, Saarma M, Lindvall O (2001) Stroke induces widespread changes of gene expression for glial cell linederived neurotrophic factor family receptors in the adult rat brain. Neuroscience 106: 27-41.

Asai N, Iwashita T, Matsuyama M, Takahashi M (1995) Mechanism of activation of the ret protooncogene by multiple endocrine neoplasia 2A mutations. Mol Cell Biol 15: 1613-1619.

Asai N, Murakami H, Iwashita T, Takahashi M (1996) A mutation at tyrosine 1062 in MEN2A-Ret and MEN2B-Ret impairs their transforming activity and association with she adaptor proteins. J Biol Chem 271: 17644-17649.

Ayer-LeLièvre C, Olson L, Ebendal T, Hallbook F, Persson H (1988) Nerve growth factor mRNA and protein in the testis and epididymis of mouse and rat. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 85: 2628-2632.

Bachelot A, Lombardo F, Baudin E, Bidart JM, Schlumberger M (2002) Inheritable forms of medullary thyroid carcinoma. Biochimie 84: 61-66.

Baldassarre G, Bruni P, Boccia A, Salvatore G, Melillo RM, Motti ML, Napolitano M, Belletti B, Fusco A, Santoro M, Viglietto G (2002) Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor induces proliferative inhibition of NT2/D1 cells through RET-mediated up-regulation of the cyclindependent kinase inhibitor p27(kip1). Oncogene 21: 1739-1749. Baloh RH, Gorodinsky A, Golden JP, Tansey MG, Keck CL, Popescu NC, Johnson EM, Jr., Milbrandt J (1998a) GFRalpha3 is an orphan member of the GDNF/neurturin/persephin receptor family. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95: 5801-5806.

Baloh RH, Tansey MG, Golden JP, Creedon DJ, Heuckeroth RO, Keck CL, Zimonjic DB, Popescu NC, Johnson EM, Jr., Milbrandt J (1997) TrnR2, a novel receptor that mediates neurturin and GDNF signaling through Ret. Neuron 18: 793-802.

Baloh RH, Tansey MG, Johnson EM, Jr., Milbrandt J (2000) Functional mapping of receptor specificity domains of glial cell linederived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) family ligands and production of GFRalpha1 RETspecific agonists. J Biol Chem 275: 3412-3420.

Baloh RH, Tansey MG, Lampe PA, Fahrner TJ, Enomoto H, Simburger KS, Leitner ML, Araki T, Johnson EM, Jr., Milbrandt J (1998b) Artemin, a novel member of the GDNF ligand family, supports peripheral and central neurons and signals through the GFRalpha3-RET receptor complex. Neuron 21: 1291-1302.

Barasch J, Yang J, Ware CB, Taga T, Yoshida K, Erdjument-Bromage H, Tempst P, Parravicini E, Malach S, Aranoff T, Oliver JA (1999) Mesenchymal to epithelial conversion in rat metanephros is induced by LIF. Cell 99: 377-386.

Barde YA (1989) Trophic factors and neuronal survival. Neuron 2: 1525-1534.

Barnett MW, Fisher CE, Perona-Wright G, Davies JA (2002) Signalling by glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) requires heparan sulphate glycosaminoglycan. J Cell Sci 115: 4495-4503.

Belluardo N, Mudo G, Caniglia G, Corsaro M, Cheng Q, Frasca F, Belfiore A, Condorelli DF (1999) Expression of neurotrophins, GDNF, and their receptors in rat thyroid tissue. Cell Tissue Res 295: 467-475.

Besset V, Scott RP, Ibáñez CF (2000) Signaling complexes and protein-protein interactions involve the activation of the Ras and phosphatidyl 3-kinase pathways by the c-Ret receptor tyrosine kinase. J Biol Chem 275: 39159-39166.

Bilak MM, Shifrin DA, Corse AM, Bilak SR, Kuncl RW (1999) Neuroprotective utility and neurotrophic action of neurturin in postnatal motor neurons: comparison with GDNF and persephin. Mol Cell Neurosci 13: 326-336. Björklund A, Kirik D, Rosenblad C, Georgievska B, Lundberg C, Mandel RJ (2000) Towards a neuroprotective gene therapy for Parkinson's disease: use of adenovirus, AAV and lentivirus vectors for gene transfer of GDNF to the nigrostriatal system in the rat Parkinson model. Brain Res 886: 82-98.

Bocciardi R, Mograbi B, Pasini B, Borrello MG, Pierotti MA, Bourget I, Fisher S, Romeo G, Rossi B (1997) The multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2B point mutation switches the specificity of the Ret tyrosine kinase towards cell substrates that are susceptible to interact with Crk and Nck. Oncogene 15: 2257-2265.

Bongarzone I, Vigano E, Alberti L, Borrello MG, Pasini B, Greco A, Mondellini P, Smith DP, Ponder BA, Romeo G, Pierotti MA (1998) Full activation of MEN2B mutant RET by an additional MEN2A mutation or by ligand GDNF stimulation. Oncogene 16: 2295-2301.

Bordeaux MC, Forcet C, Granger L, Corset V, Bidaud C, Billaud M, Bredesen DE, Edery P, Mehlen P (2000) The RET proto-oncogene induces apoptosis: a novel mechanism for Hirschsprung disease. EMBO J 19: 4056-4063.

Borrego S, Fernandez RM, Dziema H, Niess A, Lopez-Alonso M, Antinolo G, Eng C (2003) Investigation of germline GFRA4 mutations and evaluation of the involvement of GFRA1, GFRA2, GFRA3, and GFRA4 sequence variants in Hirschsprung disease. J Med Genet 40: e18.

Borrello MG, Mercalli E, Perego C, Degl'Innocenti, Ghizzoni S, Arighi E, Eroini B, Rizzetti MG, Pierotti MA (2002) Differential interaction of Enigma protein with the two RET isoforms. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 296: 515-522.

Borrello MG, Smith DP, Pasini B, Bongarzone I, Greco A, Lorenzo MJ, Arighi E, Miranda C, Eng C, Alberti L, . (1995) RET activation by germline MEN2A and MEN2B mutations. Oncogene 11: 2419-2427.

Botchkarev VA, Botchkareva NV, Peters EM, Paus R (2004) Epithelial growth control by neurotrophins: leads and lessons from the hair follicle. Prog Brain Res 146: 493-513.

Botchkareva NV, Botchkarev VA, Welker P, Airaksinen M, Roth W, Suvanto P, Muller-Rover S, Hadshiew IM, Peters C, Paus R (2000) New roles for glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor and neurturin: involvement in hair cycle control. Am J Pathol 156: 1041-1053. Bourque MJ, Trudeau LE (2000) GDNF enhances the synaptic efficacy of dopaminergic neurons in culture. Eur J Neurosci 12: 3172-3180.

Brown EM, MacLeod RJ (2001) Extracellular calcium sensing and extracellular calcium signaling. Physiol Rev 81: 239-297.

Buj-Bello A, Adu J, Pinon LG, Horton A, Thompson J, Rosenthal A, Chinchetru M, Buchman VL, Davies AM (1997) Neurturin responsiveness requires a GPI-linked receptor and the Ret receptor tyrosine kinase. Nature 387: 721-724.

Buj-Bello A, Buchman VL, Horton A, Rosenthal A, Davies AM (1995) GDNF is an age-specific survival factor for sensory and autonomic neurons. Neuron 15: 821-828.

Cacalano G, Fariñas I, Wang LC, Hagler K, Forgie A, Moore M, Armanini M, Phillips H, Ryan AM, Reichardt LF, Hynes M, Davies A, Rosenthal A (1998) GFRalpha1 is an essential receptor component for GDNF in the developing nervous system and kidney. Neuron 21: 53-62.

Califano D, D'Alessio A, Colucci-D'Amato GL, De Vita G, Monaco C, Santelli G, Di Fiore PP, Vecchio G, Fusco A, Santoro M, de F, V (1996) A potential pathogenetic mechanism for multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2 syndromes involves ret-induced impairment of terminal differentiation of neuroepithelial cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93: 7933-7937.

Califano D, Rizzo C, D'Alessio A, Colucci-D'Amato GL, Cali G, Bartoli PC, Santelli G, Vecchio G, de F, V (2000) Signaling through Ras is essential for ret oncogene-induced cell differentiation in PC12 cells. J Biol Chem 275: 19297-19305.

Campenot RB, MacInnis BL (2004) Retrograde transport of neurotrophins: fact and function. J Neurobiol 58: 217-229.

Carlomagno F, De Vita G, Berlingieri MT, de F, V, Melillo RM, Colantuoni V, Kraus MH, Di Fiore PP, Fusco A, Santoro M (1996) Molecular heterogeneity of RET loss of function in Hirschsprung's disease. EMBO J 15: 2717-2725.

Carlomagno F, Melillo RM, Visconti R, Salvatore G, De Vita G, Lupoli G, Yu Y, Jing S, Vecchio G, Fusco A, Santoro M (1998) Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor differentially stimulates ret mutants associated with the multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2 syndromes and Hirschsprung's disease. Endocrinology 139: 3613-3619.

Carlomagno F, Salvatore D, Santoro M, de F, V, Quadro L, Panariello L, Colantuoni V, Fusco A (1995) Point mutation of the RET proto-oncogene in the TT human medullary thyroid carcinoma cell line. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 207: 1022-1028.

Carlomagno F, Vitagliano D, Guida T, Ciardiello F, Tortora G, Vecchio G, Ryan AJ, Fontanini G, Fusco A, Santoro M (2002) ZD6474, an orally available inhibitor of KDR tyrosine kinase activity, efficiently blocks oncogenic RET kinases. Cancer Res 62: 7284-7290.

Carniti C, Perego C, Mondellini P, Pierotti MA, Bongarzone I (2003) PP1 inhibitor induces degradation of RETMEN2A and RETMEN2B oncoproteins through proteosomal targeting. Cancer Res 63: 2234-2243.

Carter MT, Yome JL, Marcil MN, Martin CA, Vanhorne JB, Mulligan LM (2001) Conservation of RET proto-oncogene splicing variants and implications for RET isoform function. Cytogenet Cell Genet 95: 169-176.

Castellone MD, Cirafici AM, De Vita G, De F, V, Malorni L, Tallini G, Fagin JA, Fusco A, Melillo RM, Santoro M (2003) Ras-mediated apoptosis of PC CL 3 rat thyroid cells induced by RET/PTC oncogenes. Oncogene 22: 246-255.

Chaganti RS, Houldsworth J (2000) Genetics and biology of adult human male germ cell tumors. Cancer Res 60: 1475-1482.

Chang H, Brown CW, Matzuk MM (2002) Genetic analysis of the mammalian transforming growth factor-beta superfamily. Endocr Rev 23: 787-823.

Chao CC, Ma YL, Chu KY, Lee EH (2003) Integrin alphav and NCAM mediate the effects of GDNF on DA neuron survival, outgrowth, DA turnover and motor activity in rats. Neurobiol Aging 24: 105-116.

Chappuis-Flament S, Pasini A, De Vita G, Segouffin-Cariou C, Fusco A, Attie T, Lenoir GM, Santoro M, Billaud M (1998) Dual effect on the RET receptor of MEN 2 mutations affecting specific extracytoplasmic cysteines. Oncogene 17: 2851-2861.

Chen J, Butowt R, Rind HB, von Bartheld CS (2003) GDNF increases the survival of developing oculomotor neurons through a target-derived mechanism. Mol Cell Neurosci 24: 41-56.

Chen ZY, He ZY, He C, Lu CL, Wu XF (2000) A Structure-function Analysis of Human GDNF.

Sheng Wu Hua Xue Yu Sheng Wu Wu Li Xue Bao (Shanghai) 32: 243-247.

Cik M, Masure S, Lesage AS, Van DL, I, Van Gompel P, Pangalos MN, Gordon RD, Leysen JE (2000) Binding of GDNF and neurturin to human GDNF family receptor alpha 1 and 2. Influence of cRET and cooperative interactions. J Biol Chem 275: 27505-27512.

Civitelli R, Gonnelli S, Zacchei F, Bigazzi S, Vattimo A, Avioli LV, Gennari C (1988) Bone turnover in postmenopausal osteoporosis. Effect of calcitonin treatment. J Clin Invest 82: 1268-1274.

Coleman TP, Tran Q, Roesser JR (2003) Binding of a candidate splice regulator to a calcitoninspecific splice enhancer regulates calcitonin/ CGRP pre-mRNA splicing. Biochim Biophys Acta 1625: 153-164.

Cooke HJ, Saunders PT (2002) Mouse models of male infertility. Nat Rev Genet 3: 790-801.

Cosma MP, Cardone M, Carlomagno F, Colantuoni V (1998) Mutations in the extracellular domain cause RET loss of function by a dominant negative mechanism. Mol Cell Biol 18: 3321-3329.

Coxon AB, Ward JM, Geradts J, Otterson GA, Zajac-Kaye M, Kaye FJ (1998) RET cooperates with RB/p53 inactivation in a somatic multi-step model for murine thyroid cancer. Oncogene 17: 1625-1628.

Cranston AN, Ponder BA (2003) Modulation of medullary thyroid carcinoma penetrance suggests the presence of modifier genes in a RET transgenic mouse model. Cancer Res 63: 4777-4780.

Creemers LB, Meng X, den Ouden K, van Pelt AM, Izadyar F, Santoro M, Sariola H, de Rooij DG (2002) Transplantation of germ cells from glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor-overexpressing mice to host testes depleted of endogenous spermatogenesis by fractionated irradiation. Biol Reprod 66: 1579-1584.

Cremer H, Chazal G, Lledo PM, Rougon G, Montaron MF, Mayo W, Le Moal M, Abrous DN (2000) PSA-NCAM: an important regulator of hippocampal plasticity. Int J Dev Neurosci 18: 213-220.

Cupp AS, Tessarollo L, Skinner MK (2002) Testis developmental phenotypes in neurotropin receptor trkA and trkC null mutations: role in formation of seminiferous cords and germ cell survival. Biol Reprod 66: 1838-1845. D'Alessio A, De Vita G, Cali G, Nitsch L, Fusco A, Vecchio G, Santelli G, Santoro M, de F, V (1995) Expression of the RET oncogene induces differentiation of SK-N-BE neuroblastoma cells. Cell Growth Differ 6: 1387-1394.

Dacquin R, Davey RA, Laplace C, Levasseur R, Morris HA, Goldring SR, Gebre-Medhin S, Galson DL, Zajac JD, Karsenty G (2004) Amylin inhibits bone resorption while the calcitonin receptor controls bone formation in vivo. J Cell Biol 164: 509-514.

Davies AM (2003) Regulation of neuronal survival and death by extracellular signals during development. EMBO J. 22: 2537-2545.

Davies JA, Millar CB, Johnson EM, Jr., Milbrandt J (1999) Neurturin: an autocrine regulator of renal collecting duct development. Dev Genet 24: 284-292.

de Graaff E, Srinivas S, Kilkenny C, D'Agati V, Mankoo BS, Costantini F, Pachnis V (2001) Differential activities of the RET tyrosine kinase receptor isoforms during mammalian embryogenesis. Genes Dev 15: 2433-2444.

De Miguel MP, Boer-Brouwer M, Paniagua R, van den HR, de Rooij DG, Dissel-Emiliani FM (1996) Leukemia inhibitory factor and ciliary neurotropic factor promote the survival of Sertoli cells and gonocytes in coculture system. Endocrinology 137: 1885-1893.

de Rooij DG (2001) Proliferation and differentiation of spermatogonial stem cells. Reproduction 121: 347-354.

De Vita G, Melillo RM, Carlomagno F, Visconti R, Castellone MD, Bellacosa A, Billaud M, Fusco A, Tsichlis PN, Santoro M (2000) Tyrosine 1062 of RET-MEN2A mediates activation of Akt (protein kinase B) and mitogen-activated protein kinase pathways leading to PC12 cell survival. Cancer Res 60: 3727-3731.

Devouassoux-Shisheboran M, Mauduit C, Tabone E, Droz JP, Benahmed M (2003) Growth regulatory factors and signalling proteins in testicular germ cell tumours. APMIS 111: 212-224.

Dolatshad NF, Silva AT, Saffrey MJ (2002) Identification of GFR alpha-2 isoforms in myenteric plexus of postnatal and adult rat intestine. Brain Res Mol Brain Res 107: 32-38.

Donovan MJ, Hahn R, Tessarollo L, Hempstead BL (1996) Identification of an essential nonneuronal function of neurotrophin 3 in

mammalian cardiac development. Nat Genet 14: 210-213.

Donovan MJ, Lin MI, Wiegn P, Ringstedt T, Kraemer R, Hahn R, Wang S, Ibanez CF, Rafii S, Hempstead BL (2000) Brain derived neurotrophic factor is an endothelial cell survival factor required for intramyocardial vessel stabilization. Development 127: 4531-4540.

Durbec PL, Larsson-Blomberg LB, Schuchardt A, Costantini F, Pachnis V (1996) Common origin and developmental dependence on c-ret of subsets of enteric and sympathetic neuroblasts. Development 122: 349-358.

Durick K, Wu RY, Gill GN, Taylor SS (1996) Mitogenic signaling by Ret/ptc2 requires association with enigma via a LIM domain. J Biol Chem 271: 12691-12694.

Eigenbrot C, Gerber N (1997) X-ray structure of glial cell-derived neurotrophic factor at 1.9 A resolution and implications for receptor binding. Nat Struct Biol 4: 435-438.

Eketjäll S, Fainzilber M, Murray-Rust J, Ibáñez CF (1999) Distinct structural elements in GDNF mediate binding to GFRalpha1 and activation of the GFRalpha1-c-Ret receptor complex. EMBO J 18: 5901-5910.

Eketjäll S, Ibáñez CF (2002) Functional characterization of mutations in the GDNF gene of patients with Hirschsprung disease. Hum Mol Genet 11: 325-329.

Emanueli C, Schratzberger P, Kirchmair R, Madeddu P (2003) Paracrine control of vascularization and neurogenesis by neurotrophins. Br J Pharmacol 140: 614-619.

Encinas M, Crowder RJ, Milbrandt J, Johnson EM, Jr. (2004) Tyrosine 981, a novel Ret autophosphorylation site, binds c-Src to mediate neuronal survival. J Biol Chem.

Encinas M, Tansey MG, Tsui-Pierchala BA, Comella JX, Milbrandt J, Johnson EM, Jr. (2001) c-Src is required for glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) family ligandmediated neuronal survival via a phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase (PI-3K)-dependent pathway. J Neurosci 21: 1464-1472.

Eng C, Mulligan LM (1997) Mutations of the RET proto-oncogene in the multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2 syndromes, related sporadic tumours, and hirschsprung disease. Hum Mutat 9: 97-109.

Enokido Y, de Sauvage F, Hongo JA, Ninkina N, Rosenthal A, Buchman VL, Davies AM (1998) GFR alpha-4 and the tyrosine kinase Ret form a functional receptor complex for persephin. Curr Biol 8: 1019-1022.

Enomoto H, Araki T, Jackman A, Heuckeroth RO, Snider WD, Johnson EM, Jr., Milbrandt J (1998) GFR alpha1-deficient mice have deficits in the enteric nervous system and kidneys. Neuron 21: 317-324.

Enomoto H, Crawford PA, Gorodinsky A, Heuckeroth RO, Johnson EM, Jr., Milbrandt J (2001) RET signaling is essential for migration, axonal growth and axon guidance of developing sympathetic neurons. Development 128: 3963-3974.

Enomoto H, Heuckeroth RO, Golden JP, Johnson EM, Milbrandt J (2000) Development of cranial parasympathetic ganglia requires sequential actions of GDNF and neurturin. Development 127: 4877-4889.

Fariñas I (1999) Neurotrophin actions during the development of the peripheral nervous system. Microsc Res Tech 45: 233-242.

Fisher CE, Michael L, Barnett MW, Davies JA (2001) Erk MAP kinase regulates branching morphogenesis in the developing mouse kidney. Development 128: 4329-4338.

Fontaine J (1979) Multistep migration of calcitonin cell precursors during ontogeny of the mouse pharynx. Gen Comp Endocrinol 37: 81-92.

Forgie A, Doxakis E, Buj-Bello A, Wyatt S, Davies AM (1999) Differences and developmental changes in the responsiveness of PNS neurons to GDNF and neurturin. Mol Cell Neurosci 13: 430-440.

Freichel M, Zink-Lorenz A, Holloschi A, Hafner M, Flockerzi V, Raue F (1996) Expression of a calcium-sensing receptor in a human medullary thyroid carcinoma cell line and its contribution to calcitonin secretion. Endocrinology 137: 3842-3848.

Frisk T, Farnebo F, Zedenius J, Grimelius L, Hoog A, Wallin G, Larsson C (2000) Expression of RET and its ligand complexes, GDNF/GFRalpha-1 and NTN/GFRalpha-2, in medullary thyroid carcinomas. Eur J Endocrinol 142: 643-649.

Fukuda T, Kiuchi K, Takahashi M (2002) Novel mechanism of regulation of Rac activity and lamellipodia formation by RET tyrosine kinase. J Biol Chem 277: 19114-19121.

Furuchi T, Masuko K, Nishimune Y, Obinata M, Matsui Y (1996) Inhibition of testicular germ cell apoptosis and differentiation in mice misexpressing Bcl-2 in spermatogonia. Development 122: 1703-1709.

Garcès A, Livet J, Grillet N, Henderson CE, deLapeyrière O (2001) Responsiveness to neurturin of subpopulations of embryonic rat spinal motoneuron does not correlate with expression of GFR alpha 1 or GFR alpha 2. Dev Dyn 220: 189-197.

García-Suárez O, Blanco-Gelaz MA, Lopez ML, Germana A, Cabo R, Diaz-Esnal B, Silos-Santiago I, Ciriaco E, Vega JA (2002) Massive lymphocyte apoptosis in the thymus of functionally deficient TrkB mice. J Neuroimmunol 129: 25-34.

García-Suárez O, Germana A, Hannestad J, Ciriaco E, Laura R, Naves J, Esteban I, Silos-Santiago I, Vega JA (2000) TrkA is necessary for the normal development of the murine thymus. J Neuroimmunol 108: 11-21.

Gardell LR, Wang R, Ehrenfels C, Ossipov MH, Rossomando AJ, Miller S, Buckley C, Cai AK, Tse A, Foley SF, Gong B, Walus L, Carmillo P, Worley D, Huang C, Engber T, Pepinsky B, Cate RL, Vanderah TW, Lai J, Sah DW, Porreca F (2003) Multiple actions of systemic artemin in experimental neuropathy. Nat Med 9: 1383-1389.

Gerlai R, McNamara A, Choi-Lundberg DL, Armanini M, Ross J, Powell-Braxton L, Phillips HS (2001) Impaired water maze learning performance without altered dopaminergic function in mice heterozygous for the GDNF mutation. Eur J Neurosci 14: 1153-1163.

Gill SS, Patel NK, Hotton GR, O'Sullivan K, McCarter R, Bunnage M, Brooks DJ, Svendsen CN, Heywood P (2003) Direct brain infusion of glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor in Parkinson disease. Nat Med 9: 589-595.

Golden JP, Baloh RH, Kotzbauer PT, Lampe PA, Osborne PA, Milbrandt J, Johnson EM, Jr. (1998) Expression of neurturin, GDNF, and their receptors in the adult mouse CNS. J Comp Neurol 398: 139-150.

Golden JP, DeMaro JA, Osborne PA, Milbrandt J, Johnson EM, Jr. (1999) Expression of neurturin, GDNF, and GDNF family-receptor mRNA in the developing and mature mouse. Exp Neurol 158: 504-528.

Golden JP, Milbrandt J, Johnson EM, Jr. (2003) Neurturin and persephin promote the survival of embryonic basal forebrain cholinergic neurons in vitro. Exp Neurol 184: 447-455.

Granholm AC, Reyland M, Albeck D, Sanders L, Gerhardt G, Hoernig G, Shen L, Westphal H, Hoffer B (2000) Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor is essential for postnatal survival of midbrain dopamine neurons. J Neurosci 20: 3182-3190.

Gilbert SF (2003) Developmental biology. 7th ed. Sinauer Associates, Inc., Publishers. MA.

Grondin R, Cass WA, Zhang Z, Stanford JA, Gash DM, Gerhardt GA (2003) Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor increases stimulus-evoked dopamine release and motor speed in aged rhesus monkeys. J Neurosci 23: 1974-1980.

Gunn TM, Miller KA, He L, Hyman RW, Davis RW, Azarani A, Schlossman SF, Duke-Cohan JS, Barsh GS (1999) The mouse mahogany locus encodes a transmembrane form of human attractin. Nature 398: 152-156.

Hamilton JF, Morrison PF, Chen MY, Harvey-White J, Pernaute RS, Phillips H, Oldfield E, Bankiewicz KS (2001) Heparin coinfusion during convection-enhanced delivery (CED) increases the distribution of the glial-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) ligand family in rat striatum and enhances the pharmacological activity of neurturin. Exp Neurol 168: 155-161.

Hamnér S, Arumäe U, Li-Ying Y, Sun YF, Saarma M, Lindholm D (2001) Functional characterization of two splice variants of rat bad and their interaction with Bcl-w in sympathetic neurons. Mol Cell Neurosci 17: 97-106.

Harbour JW, Dean DC (2000) Rb function in cellcycle regulation and apoptosis. Nat Cell Biol 2: E65-E67.

Hashimoto K, Shimizu E, Iyo M (2004) Critical role for brain-derived neurotrophic factor in mood disorders. Brain Res Rev 45: 104-114.

Hayashi H, Ichihara M, Iwashita T, Murakami H, Shimono Y, Kawai K, Kurokawa K, Murakumo Y, Imai T, Funahashi H, Nakao A, Takahashi M (2000) Characterization of intracellular signals via tyrosine 1062 in RET activated by glial cell linederived neurotrophic factor. Oncogene 19: 4469-4475.

Heinrich PC, Behrmann I, Haan S, Hermanns HM, Muller-Newen G, Schaper F (2003) Principles of interleukin (IL)-6-type cytokine signalling and its regulation. Biochem J 374: 1-20. Hellmich HL, Kos L, Cho ES, Mahon KA, Zimmer A (1996) Embryonic expression of glial cell-line derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) suggests multiple developmental roles in neural differentiation and epithelial-mesenchymal interactions. Mech Dev 54: 95-105.

Henderson CE, Phillips HS, Pollock RA, Davies AM, Lemeulle C, Armanini M, Simmons L, Moffet B, Vandlen RA, Simpson LC [corrected to Simmons, . (1994) GDNF: a potent survival factor for motoneurons present in peripheral nerve and muscle. Science 266: 1062-1064.

Henderson CE, Yamamoto Y, Livet J, Acre V, Garcès A, deLapeyrière O (1998) Role of neurotrophic factors in motoneuron development. J Physiol Paris 92: 279-281.

Heuckeroth RO, Enomoto H, Grider JR, Golden JP, Hanke JA, Jackman A, Molliver DC, Bardgett ME, Snider WD, Johnson EM, Jr., Milbrandt J (1999) Gene targeting reveals a critical role for neurturin in the development and maintenance of enteric, sensory, and parasympathetic neurons. Neuron 22: 253-263.

Heuckeroth RO, Lampe PA, Johnson EM, Milbrandt J (1998) Neurturin and GDNF promote proliferation and survival of enteric neuron and glial progenitors in vitro. Dev Biol 200: 116-129.

Hiltunen PH, Airaksinen MS (2004) Sympathetic cholinergic target innervation requires GDNF family receptor GFR α 2. Mol Cell Neurosci, In press.

Hiltunen PH, Lindahl M, Rossi J, Piepponen TP, Timmusk T, Saarma S, Airaksinen MS (2001) Initial characterization of GDNF family receptor GFRalpha4-deficient mice. Soc Neurosci Abstr 27, Progr no 364.31.

Ho TW, Bristol LA, Coccia C, Li Y, Milbrandt J, Johnson E, Jin L, Bar-Peled O, Griffin JW, Rothstein JD (2000) TGFbeta trophic factors differentially modulate motor axon outgrowth and protection from excitotoxicity. Exp Neurol 161: 664-675.

Hoff AO, Catala-Lehnen P, Thomas PM, Priemel M, Rueger JM, Nasonkin I, Bradley A, Hughes MR, Ordonez N, Cote GJ, Amling M, Gagel RF (2002) Increased bone mass is an unexpected phenotype associated with deletion of the calcitonin gene. J Clin Invest 110: 1849-1857.

Hogan BLM, Beddington R, Constantini F, Lacy E (1994). Manipulating the mouse embryo: A laboratory manual. 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.

Holm PC, Åkerud P, Wagner J, Arenas E (2002) Neurturin is a neuritogenic but not a survival factor for developing and adult central noradrenergic neurons. J Neurochem 81: 1318-1327.

Honma Y, Araki T, Gianino S, Bruce A, Heuckeroth R, Johnson E, Milbrandt J (2002) Artemin is a vascular-derived neurotropic factor for developing sympathetic neurons. Neuron 35: 267-282.

Horger BA, Nishimura MC, Armanini MP, Wang LC, Poulsen KT, Rosenblad C, Kirik D, Moffat B, Simmons L, Johnson E Jr, Milbrandt J, Rosenthal A, Bjorklund A, Vandlen RA, Hynes MA, Phillips HS (1998) Neurturin exerts potent actions on survival and function of midbrain dopaminergic neurons. J Neurosci 18: 4929-4937.

Hottinger AF, Azzouz M, Deglon N, Aebischer P, Zurn AD (2000) Complete and long-term rescue of lesioned adult motoneurons by lentiviralmediated expression of glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor in the facial nucleus. J Neurosci 20: 5587-5593.

Hu J, Shima H, Nakagawa H (1999) Glial cell linederived neurotropic factor stimulates sertoli cell proliferation in the early postnatal period of rat testis development. Endocrinology 140: 3416-3421.

Huang EJ, Reichardt LF (2001) Neurotrophins: roles in neuronal development and function. Annu Rev Neurosci 24: 677-736.

Hubbard SR, Till JH (2000) Protein tyrosine kinase structure and function. Annu Rev Biochem 69: 373-398.

Ibáñez CF (1998) Emerging themes in structural biology of neurotrophic factors. Trends Neurosci 21: 438-444.

Ichihara M, Murakumo Y, Takahashi M (2004) RET and neuroendocrine tumors. Cancer Lett 204: 197-211.

Ivanchuk SM, Eng C, Cavenee WK, Mulligan LM (1997) The expression of RET and its multiple splice forms in developing human kidney. Oncogene 14: 1811-1818.

Iwamoto T, Taniguchi M, Asai N, Ohkusu K, Nakashima I, Takahashi M (1993) cDNA cloning of mouse ret proto-oncogene and its sequence similarity to the cadherin superfamily. Oncogene 8: 1087-1091.

Iwashita T, Kato M, Murakami H, Asai N, Ishiguro Y, Ito S, Iwata Y, Kawai K, Asai M, Kurokawa K,

Kajita H, Takahashi M (1999) Biological and biochemical properties of Ret with kinase domain mutations identified in multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2B and familial medullary thyroid carcinoma. Oncogene 18: 3919-3922.

Iwashita T, Kurokawa K, Qiao S, Murakami H, Asai N, Kawai K, Hashimoto M, Watanabe T, Ichihara M, Takahashi M (2001) Functional analysis of RET with Hirschsprung mutations affecting its kinase domain. Gastroenterology 121: 24-33.

Iwashita T, Murakami H, Kurokawa K, Kawai K, Miyauchi A, Futami H, Qiao S, Ichihara M, Takahashi M (2000) A two-hit model for development of multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2B by RET mutations. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 268: 804-808.

Japon MA, Urbano AG, Saez C, Segura DI, Cerro AL, Dieguez C, Alvarez CV (2002) Glial-derived neurotropic factor and RET gene expression in normal human anterior pituitary cell types and in pituitary tumors. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 87: 1879-1884.

Jaszai J, Farkas L, Galter D, Reuss B, Strelau J, Unsicker K, Krieglstein K (1998) GDNF-related factor persephin is widely distributed throughout the nervous system. J Neurosci Res 53: 494-501.

Jing S, Wen D, Yu Y, Holst PL, Luo Y, Fang M, Tamir R, Antonio L, Hu Z, Cupples R, Louis JC, Hu S, Altrock BW, Fox GM (1996) GDNFinduced activation of the ret protein tyrosine kinase is mediated by GDNFR-alpha, a novel receptor for GDNF. Cell 85: 1113-1124.

Jing S, Yu Y, Fang M, Hu Z, Holst PL, Boone T, Delaney J, Schultz H, Zhou R, Fox GM (1997) GFRalpha-2 and GFRalpha-3 are two new receptors for ligands of the GDNF family. J Biol Chem 272: 33111-33117.

Jirik FR, Harder KW, Melhado IG, Anderson LL, Duncan AM (1992) The gene for leukocyte antigen-related tyrosine phosphatase (LAR) is localized to human chromosome 1p32, a region frequently deleted in tumors of neuroectodermal origin. Cytogenet Cell Genet 61: 266-268.

Kaplan DR, Miller FD (2000) Neurotrophin signal transduction in the nervous system. Curr Opin Neurobiol 10: 381-391.

Kato M, Takeda K, Kawamoto Y, Tsuzuki T, Dai Y, Nakayama S, Toriyama K, Tamada Y, Takahashi M, Nakashima I (2001) RET tyrosine kinase enhances hair growth in association with

promotion of melanogenesis. Oncogene 20: 7536-7541.

Kawai K, Iwashita T, Murakami H, Hiraiwa N, Yoshiki A, Kusakabe M, Ono K, Iida K, Nakayama A, Takahashi M (2000) Tissue-specific carcinogenesis in transgenic mice expressing the RET proto-oncogene with a multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2A mutation. Cancer Res 60: 5254-5260.

Kawamoto Y, Takeda K, Okuno Y, Yamakawa Y, Ito Y, Taguchi R, Kato M, Suzuki H, Takahashi M, Nakashima I (2004) Identification of RET autophosphorylation sites by mass spectrometry. J Biol Chem 279: 14213-14224.

Kjaer S, Ibáñez CF (2003) Identification of a surface for binding to the GDNF-GFR alpha 1 complex in the first cadherin-like domain of RET. J Biol Chem 278: 47898-47904.

Kleene KC (2001) A possible meiotic function of the peculiar patterns of gene expression in mammalian spermatogenic cells. Mech Dev 106: 3-23.

Klein RD, Sherman D, Ho WH, Stone D, Bennett GL, Moffat B, Vandlen R, Simmons L, Gu Q, Hongo JA, Devaux B, Poulsen K, Armanini M, Nozaki C, Asai N, Goddard A, Phillips H, Henderson CE, Takahashi M, Rosenthal A (1997) A GPI-linked protein that interacts with Ret to form a candidate neurturin receptor. Nature 387: 717-721.

Kokaia Z, Airaksinen MS, Nanobashvili A, Larsson E, Kujamäki E, Lindvall O, Saarma M (1999) GDNF family ligands and receptors are differentially regulated after brain insults in the rat. Eur J Neurosci 11: 1202-1216.

Kotzbauer PT, Lampe PA, Heuckeroth RO, Golden JP, Creedon DJ, Johnson EM, Jr., Milbrandt J (1996) Neurturin, a relative of glialcell-line-derived neurotrophic factor. Nature 384: 467-470.

Krieglstein K, Deimling F, Suter-Crazzolara C, Unsicker K (1996) Expression and localization of GDNF in developing and adult adrenal chromaffin cells. Cell Tissue Res 286: 263-268.

Krieglstein K, Henheik P, Farkas L, Jaszai J, Galter D, Krohn K, Unsicker K (1998) Glial cell linederived neurotrophic factor requires transforming growth factor-beta for exerting its full neurotrophic potential on peripheral and CNS neurons. J Neurosci 18: 9822-9834. Kuma K, Iwabe N, Miyata T (1993) Motifs of cadherin- and fibronectin type III-related sequences and evolution of the receptor-typeprotein tyrosine kinases: sequence similarity between proto-oncogene ret and cadherin family. Mol Biol Evol 10: 539-551.

Kurokawa K, Iwashita T, Murakami H, Hayashi H, Kawai K, Takahashi M (2001) Identification of SNT/FRS2 docking site on RET receptor tyrosine kinase and its role for signal transduction. Oncogene 20: 1929-1938.

Kurokawa K, Kawai K, Hashimoto M, Ito Y, Takahashi M (2003) Cell signalling and gene expression mediated by RET tyrosine kinase. J Intern Med 253: 627-633.

Laurikainen A, Hiltunen JO, Thomas-Crusells J, Vanhatalo S, Arumäe U, Airaksinen MS, Klinge E, Saarma M (2000) Neurturin is a neurotrophic factor for penile parasympathetic neurons in adult rat. J Neurobiol 43: 198-205.

Le Hir H, Colucci-D'Amato LG, Charlet-Berguerand N, Plouin PF, Bertagna X, de F, V, Thermes C (2000) High levels of tyrosine phosphorylated proto-ret in sporadic phenochromocytomas. Cancer Res 60: 1365-1370.

Ledda F, Paratcha G, Ibáñez CF (2002) Targetderived GFRalpha1 as an attractive guidance signal for developing sensory and sympathetic axons via activation of Cdk5. Neuron 36: 387-401.

Lee DC, Chan KW, Chan SY (2002) RET receptor tyrosine kinase isoforms in kidney function and disease. Oncogene 21: 5582-5592.

Leppänen VM, Bespalov MM, Runeberg-Roos P, Puurand U, Merits A, Saarma M, Goldman A (2004) The structure of GFRalpha1 domain 3 reveals new insights into GDNF binding and RET activation. EMBO J 23: 1452-1462.

Lessmann V, Gottmann K, Malcangio M (2003) Neurotrophin secretion: current facts and future prospects. Prog Neurobiol 69: 341-374.

Levi-Montalcini R (1952) Effects of mouse tumor transplantation on the nervous system. Ann N Y Acad Sci 55: 330-344.

Levi-Montalcini R, Skaper SD, Dal Toso R, Petrelli L, Leon A (1996) Nerve growth factor: from neurotrophin to neurokine. Trends Neurosci 19: 514-520.

Lewis BP, Green RE, Brenner SE (2003) Evidence for the widespread coupling of alternative splicing and nonsense-mediated mRNA decay in humans. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 100: 189-192. Li L, Wu W, Lin LF, Lei M, Oppenheim RW, Houenou LJ (1995) Rescue of adult mouse motoneurons from injury-induced cell death by glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 92: 9771-9775.

Lin HY, Harris TL, Flannery MS, Aruffo A, Kaji EH, Gorn A, Kolakowski LF, Jr., Lodish HF, Goldring SR (1991) Expression cloning of an adenylate cyclase-coupled calcitonin receptor. Science 254: 1022-1024.

Lin LF, Doherty DH, Lile JD, Bektesh S, Collins F (1993) GDNF: a glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor for midbrain dopaminergic neurons. Science 260: 1130-1132.

Lin LF, Zhang TJ, Collins F, Armes LG (1994) Purification and initial characterization of rat B49 glial cell line- derived neurotrophic factor. J Neurochem 63: 758-768.

Liu KP, Russo AF, Hsiung SC, Adlersberg M, Franke TF, Gershon MD, Tamir H (2003) Calcium receptor-induced serotonin secretion by parafollicular cells: role of phosphatidylinositol 3kinase-dependent signal transduction pathways. J Neurosci 23: 2049-2057.

Liu X, Vega QC, Decker RA, Pandey A, Worby CA, Dixon JE (1996) Oncogenic RET receptors display different autophosphorylation sites and substrate binding specificities. J Biol Chem 271: 5309-5312.

Lorenzo MJ, Eng C, Mulligan LM, Stonehouse TJ, Healey CS, Ponder BA, Smith DP (1995) Multiple mRNA isoforms of the human RET protooncogene generated by alternate splicing. Oncogene 10: 1377-1383.

Lou H, Gagel RF (1998) Alternative RNA processing—its role in regulating expression of calcitonin/calcitonin gene-related peptide. J Endocrinol 156: 401-405.

Lou H, Gagel RF (2001) Alternative ribonucleic acid processing in endocrine systems. Endocr Rev 22: 205-225.

Lou H, Yang Y, Cote GJ, Berget SM, Gagel RF (1995) An intron enhancer containing a 5' splice site sequence in the human calcitonin/calcitonin gene-related peptide gene. Mol Cell Biol 15: 7135-7142.

Lu B (2003) BDNF and activity-dependent synaptic modulation. Learn Mem 10: 86-98.

Ludwig L, Kessler H, Hoang-Vu C, Dralle H, Adler G, Boehm BO, Schmid RM (2003) Grap-2, a novel RET binding protein, is involved in RET mitogenic signaling. Oncogene 22: 5362-5366. Ludwig L, Kessler H, Wagner M, Hoang-Vu C, Dralle H, Adler G, Bohm BO, Schmid RM (2001) Nuclear factor-kappaB is constitutively active in C-cell carcinoma and required for RET-induced transformation. Cancer Res 61: 4526-4535.

Lui WY, Mruk D, Lee WM, Cheng CY (2003) Sertoli cell tight junction dynamics: their regulation during spermatogenesis. Biol Reprod 68: 1087-1097.

Luukko K, Saarma M, Thesleff I (1998) Neurturin mRNA expression suggests roles in trigeminal innervation of the first branchial arch and in tooth formation. Dev Dyn 213: 207-219.

Luukko K, Suvanto P, Saarma M, Thesleff I (1997) Expression of GDNF and its receptors in developing tooth is developmentally regulated and suggests multiple roles in innervation and organogenesis. Dev Dyn 210: 463-471.

Manié S, Santoro M, Fusco A, Billaud M (2001) The RET receptor: function in development and dysfunction in congenital malformation. Trends Genet 17: 580-589.

Manley NR, Capecchi MR (1995) The role of Hoxa-3 in mouse thymus and thyroid development. Development 121: 1989-2003.

Marcos C, Pachnis V (1996) The effect of the retmutation on the normal development of the central and parasympathetic nervous systems. Int J Dev Biol Suppl 1: 137S-138S.

Masure S, Cik M, Hoefnagel E, Nosrat CA, Van DL, I, Scott R, Van Gompel P, Lesage AS, Verhasselt P, Ibáñez CF, Gordon RD (2000) Mammalian GFRalpha -4, a divergent member of the GFRalpha family of coreceptors for glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor family ligands, is a receptor for the neurotrophic factor persephin. J Biol Chem 275: 39427-39434.

Masure S, Cik M, Pangalos MN, Bonaventure P, Verhasselt P, Lesage AS, Leysen JE, Gordon RD (1998) Molecular cloning, expression and tissue distribution of glial-cell-line-derived neurotrophic factor family receptor alpha-3 (GFRalpha-3). Eur J Biochem 251: 622-630.

Masure S, Geerts H, Cik M, Hoefnagel E, Van Den KG, Tuytelaars A, Harris S, Lesage AS, Leysen JE, Van Der HL, Verhasselt P, Yon J, Gordon RD (1999) Enovin, a member of the glial cell-linederived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) family with growth promoting activity on neuronal cells. Existence and tissue-specific expression of different splice variants. Eur J Biochem 266: 892-902. McNeil SE, Hobson SA, Nipper V, Rodland KD (1998) Functional calcium-sensing receptors in rat fibroblasts are required for activation of SRC kinase and mitogen-activated protein kinase in response to extracellular calcium. J Biol Chem 273: 1114-1120.

Melillo RM, Barone MV, Lupoli G, Cirafici AM, Carlomagno F, Visconti R, Matoskova B, Di Fiore PP, Vecchio G, Fusco A, Santoro M (1999) Retmediated mitogenesis requires Src kinase activity. Cancer Res 59: 1120-1126.

Melillo RM, Santoro M, Ong SH, Billaud M, Fusco A, Hadari YR, Schlessinger J, Lax I (2001) Docking protein FRS2 links the protein tyrosine kinase RET and its oncogenic forms with the mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling cascade. Mol Cell Biol 21: 4177-4187.

Meng X, de Rooij DG, Westerdahl K, Saarma M, Sariola H (2001a) Promotion of seminomatous tumors by targeted overexpression of glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor in mouse testis. Cancer Res 61: 3267-3271.

Meng X, Pata I, Pedrono E, Popsueva A, de Rooij DG, Janne M, Rauvala H, Sariola H (2001b) Transient disruption of spermatogenesis by deregulated expression of neurturin in testis. Mol Cell Endocrinol 184: 33-39.

Messer CJ, Eisch AJ, Carlezon WA, Jr., Whisler K, Shen L, Wolf DH, Westphal H, Collins F, Russell DS, Nestler EJ (2000) Role for GDNF in biochemical and behavioral adaptations to drugs of abuse. Neuron 26: 247-257.

Michiels FM, Chappuis S, Caillou B, Pasini A, Talbot M, Monier R, Lenoir GM, Feunteun J, Billaud M (1997) Development of medullary thyroid carcinoma in transgenic mice expressing the RET protooncogene altered by a multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2A mutation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 94: 3330-3335.

Milbrandt J, de Sauvage FJ, Fahrner TJ, Baloh RH, Leitner ML, Tansey MG, Lampe PA, Heuckeroth RO, Kotzbauer PT, Simburger KS, Golden JP, Davies JA, Vejsada R, Kato AC, Hynes M, Sherman D, Nishimura M, Wang LC, Vandlen R, Moffat B, Klein RD, Poulsen K, Gray C, Garces A, Johnson EM, Jr., . (1998) Persephin, a novel neurotrophic factor related to GDNF and neurturin. Neuron 20: 245-253.

Miya A, Yamamoto M, Morimoto H, Tanaka N, Shin E, Karakawa K, Toyoshima K, Ishizaka Y, Mori T, Takai S (1992) Expression of the ret protooncogene in human medullary thyroid carcinomas and pheochromocytomas of MEN 2A. Henry Ford Hosp Med J 40: 215-219.

Mizushima S, Nagata S (1990) pEF-BOS, a powerful mammalian expression vector. Nucleic Acids Res. 18: 5322.

Mograbi B, Bocciardi R, Bourget I, Juhel T, Farahi-Far D, Romeo G, Ceccherini I, Rossi B (2001) The sensitivity of activated Cys Ret mutants to glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor is mandatory to rescue neuroectodermic cells from apoptosis. Mol Cell Biol 21: 6719-6730.

Molyneaux KA, Schaible K, Wylie C (2003) GP130, the shared receptor for the LIF/IL6 cytokine family in the mouse, is not required for early germ cell differentiation, but is required cell-autonomously in oocytes for ovulation. Development 130: 4287-4294.

Moore MW, Klein RD, Farinas I, Sauer H, Armanini M, Phillips H, Reichardt LF, Ryan AM, Carver-Moore K, Rosenthal A (1996) Renal and neuronal abnormalities in mice lacking GDNF. Nature 382: 76-79.

Mount HT, Dean DO, Alberch J, Dreyfus CF, Black IB (1995) Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor promotes the survival and morphologic differentiation of Purkinje cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 92: 9092-9096.

Mulligan LM, Gardner E, Smith BA, Mathew CG, Ponder BA (1993) Genetic events in tumour initiation and progression in multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 6: 166-177.

Munro S (2003) Lipid rafts: elusive or illusive? Cell 115: 377-388.

Murakami H, Iwashita T, Asai N, Shimono Y, Iwata Y, Kawai K, Takahashi M (1999) Enhanced phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase activity and high phosphorylation state of its downstream signalling molecules mediated by ret with the MEN 2B mutation. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 262: 68-75.

Murakami H, Yamamura Y, Shimono Y, Kawai K, Kurokawa K, Takahashi M (2002) Role of Dok1 in cell signaling mediated by RET tyrosine kinase. J Biol Chem 277: 32781-32790.

Myers SM, Eng C, Ponder BA, Mulligan LM (1995) Characterization of RET proto-oncogene 3' splicing variants and polyadenylation sites: a novel C-terminus for RET. Oncogene 11: 2039-2045.

Nakahashi T, Fujimura H, Altar CA, Li J, Kambayashi J, Tandon NN, Sun B (2000) Vascular endothelial cells synthesize and secrete brainderived neurotrophic factor. FEBS Lett 470: 113-117.

Nakaigawa N, Weirich G, Schmidt L, Zbar B (2000) Tumorigenesis mediated by MET mutant M1268T is inhibited by dominant-negative Src. Oncogene 19: 2996-3002.

Nakamura T, Ishizaka Y, Nagao M, Hara M, Ishikawa T (1994) Expression of the ret protooncogene product in human normal and neoplastic tissues of neural crest origin. J Pathol 172: 255-260.

Nanobashvili A, Airaksinen MS, Kokaia M, Rossi J, Asztely F, Olofsdotter K, Mohapel P, Saarma M, Lindvall O, Kokaia Z (2000) Development and persistence of kindling epilepsy are impaired in mice lacking glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor family receptor alpha 2. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97: 12312-12317.

Natarajan D, Marcos-Gutierrez C, Pachnis V, de Graaff E (2002) Requirement of signalling by receptor tyrosine kinase RET for the directed migration of enteric nervous system progenitor cells during mammalian embryogenesis. Development 129: 5151-5160.

Naveilhan P, Baudet C, Mikaels A, Shen L, Westphal H, Ernfors P (1998) Expression and regulation of GFRalpha3, a glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor family receptor. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95: 1295-1300.

Nef S, Verma-Kurvari S, Merenmies J, Vassalli JD, Efstratiadis A, Accili D, Parada LF (2003) Testis determination requires insulin receptor family function in mice. Nature 426: 291-295.

Nguyen QT, Parsadanian AS, Snider WD, Lichtman JW (1998) Hyperinnervation of neuromuscular junctions caused by GDNF overexpression in muscle. Science 279: 1725-1729.

Nicholson GC, Moseley JM, Sexton PM, Mendelsohn FA, Martin TJ (1986) Abundant calcitonin receptors in isolated rat osteoclasts. Biochemical and autoradiographic characterization. J Clin Invest 78: 355-360.

Nikitin AY, Juarez-Perez MI, Li S, Huang L, Lee WH (1999) RB-mediated suppression of spontaneous multiple neuroendocrine neoplasia and lung metastases in Rb+/- mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96: 3916-3921.

Nishino J, Mochida K, Ohfuji Y, Shimazaki T, Meno C, Ohishi S, Matsuda Y, Fujii H, Saijoh Y, Hamada H (1999) GFR alpha3, a component of the artemin receptor, is required for migration and survival of the superior cervical ganglion. Neuron 23: 725-736.

Nomoto S, Ito S, Yang LX, Kiuchi K (1998) Molecular cloning and expression analysis of GFR alpha-3, a novel cDNA related to GDNFR alpha and NTNR alpha. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 244: 849-853.

Nosrat CA, Tomac A, Hoffer BJ, Olson L (1997) Cellular and developmental patterns of expression of Ret and glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor receptor alpha mRNAs. Exp Brain Res 115: 410-422.

Nosrat CA, Tomac A, Lindqvist E, Lindskog S, Humpel C, Stromberg I, Ebendal T, Hoffer BJ, Olson L (1996) Cellular expression of GDNF mRNA suggests multiple functions inside and outside the nervous system. Cell Tissue Res 286: 191-207.

Nozaki C, Asai N, Murakami H, Iwashita T, Iwata Y, Horibe K, Klein RD, Rosenthal A, Takahashi M (1998) Calcium-dependent Ret activation by GDNF and neurturin. Oncogene 16: 293-299.

Nykjaer A, Lee R, Teng KK, Jansen P, Madsen P, Nielsen MS, Jacobsen C, Kliemannel M, Schwarz E, Willnow TE, Hempstead BL, Petersen CM (2004) Sortilin is essential for proNGF-induced neuronal cell death. Nature 427: 843-848.

Oo TF, Burke RE (1997) The time course of developmental cell death in phenotypically defined dopaminergic neurons of the substantia nigra. Brain Res Dev Brain Res 98: 191-196.

Oo TF, Kholodilov N, Burke RE (2003) Regulation of natural cell death in dopaminergic neurons of the substantia nigra by striatal glial cell linederived neurotrophic factor in vivo. J Neurosci 23: 5141-5148.

Oppenheim RW (1991) Cell death during development of the nervous system. Annu Rev Neurosci 14: 453-501.

Oppenheim RW, Houenou LJ, Johnson JE, Lin LF, Li L, Lo AC, Newsome AL, Prevette DM, Wang S (1995) Developing motor neurons rescued from programmed and axotomy-induced cell death by GDNF. Nature 373: 344-346.

Oppenheim RW, Houenou LJ, Parsadanian AS, Prevette D, Snider WD, Shen L (2000) Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor and developing mammalian motoneurons: regulation of programmed cell death among motoneuron subtypes. J Neurosci 20: 5001-5011.

Orth JM, Qiu J, Jester WF, Jr., Pilder S (1997) Expression of the c-kit gene is critical for migration of neonatal rat gonocytes in vitro. Biol Reprod 57: 676-683.

Otten U, Marz P, Heese K, Hock C, Kunz D, Rose-John S (2000) Cytokines and neurotrophins interact in normal and diseased states. Ann N Y Acad Sci 917: 322-330.

Pachnis V, Mankoo B, Costantini F (1993) Expression of the c-ret proto-oncogene during mouse embryogenesis. Development 119: 1005-1017.

Papaioannou V, Johnson R (2000) Production of chimeras by blastocyst and morula injections of targeted ES cells. In Gene Targeting, AL Joyner, ed. Oxford University Press, Oxford. 133-175.

Paratcha G, Ibáñez CF (2002) Lipid rafts and the control of neurotrophic factor signaling in the nervous system: variations on a theme. Curr Opin Neurobiol 12: 542-549.

Paratcha G, Ledda F, Baars L, Coulpier M, Besset V, Anders J, Scott R, Ibáñez CF (2001) Released GFRalpha1 potentiates downstream signaling, neuronal survival, and differentiation via a novel mechanism of recruitment of c-Ret to lipid rafts. Neuron 29: 171-184.

Paratcha G, Ledda F, Ibáñez CF (2003) The neural cell adhesion molecule NCAM is an alternative signaling receptor for GDNF family ligands. Cell 113: 867-879.

Parisi MA, Kapur RP (2000) Genetics of Hirschsprung disease. Curr Opin Pediatr 12: 610-617.

Park C, Choi WS, Kwon H, Kwon YK (2001) Temporal and spatial expression of neurotrophins and their receptors during male germ cell development. Mol Cells 12: 360-367.

Parvinen M, Vihko KK, Toppari J (1986) Cell interactions during the seminiferous epithelial cycle. Int Rev Cytol 104: 115-151.

Pasini A, Geneste O, Legrand P, Schlumberger M, Rossel M, Fournier L, Rudkin BB, Schuffenecker I, Lenoir GM, Billaud M (1997) Oncogenic activation of RET by two distinct FMTC mutations affecting the tyrosine kinase domain. Oncogene 15: 393-402. Pearse AG, Carvalheira AF (1967) Cytochemical evidence for an ultimobranchial origin of rodent thyroid C cells. Nature 214: 929-930.

Pearse AG, Polak JM (1971) Neural crest origin of the endocrine polypeptide (APUD) cells of the gastrointestinal tract and pancreas. Gut 12: 783-788.

Pellegrini M, Grimaldi P, Rossi P, Geremia R, Dolci S (2003) Developmental expression of BMP4/ALK3/SMAD5 signaling pathway in the mouse testis: a potential role of BMP4 in spermatogonia differentiation. J Cell Sci 116: 3363-3372.

Peterziel H, Unsicker K, Krieglstein K (2002) TGFbeta induces GDNF responsiveness in neurons by recruitment of GFRalpha1 to the plasma membrane. J Cell Biol 159: 157-167.

Pichel JG, Shen L, Sheng HZ, Granholm AC, Drago J, Grinberg A, Lee EJ, Huang SP, Saarma M, Hoffer BJ, Sariola H, Westphal H (1996) Defects in enteric innervation and kidney development in mice lacking GDNF. Nature 382: 73-76.

Pierotti MA, Bongarzone I, Borello MG, Greco A, Pilotti S, Sozzi G (1996) Cytogenetics and molecular genetics of carcinomas arising from thyroid epithelial follicular cells. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 16: 1-14.

Ponder BA (1999) The phenotypes associated with ret mutations in the multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2 syndrome. Cancer Res 59: 1736s-1741s.

Ponder BA, Smith D (1996) The MEN II syndromes and the role of the ret proto-oncogene. Adv Cancer Res 70: 179-222.

Popsueva A, Poteryaev D, Arighi E, Meng X, Angers-Loustau A, Kaplan D, Saarma M, Sariola H (2003) GDNF promotes tubulogenesis of GFRalpha1-expressing MDCK cells by Srcmediated phosphorylation of Met receptor tyrosine kinase. J Cell Biol 161: 119-129.

Poteryaev D, Titievsky A, Sun YF, Thomas-Crusells J, Lindahl M, Billaud M, Arumae U, Saarma M (1999) GDNF triggers a novel retindependent Src kinase family-coupled signaling via a GPI-linked GDNF receptor alpha1. FEBS Lett 463: 63-66.

Pothos EN, Davila V, Sulzer D (1998) Presynaptic recording of quanta from midbrain dopamine neurons and modulation of the quantal size. J Neurosci 18: 4106-4118.

Putcha GV Johnson EM Jr (2004) Men are but worms: neuronal cell death in C elegans and vertebrates. Cell Death Differ 11: 38-48.

Qiao S, Iwashita T, Furukawa T, Yamamoto M, Sobue G, Takahashi M (2001) Differential effects of leukocyte common antigen-related protein on biochemical and biological activities of RET-MEN2A and RET-MEN2B mutant proteins. J Biol Chem 276: 9460-9467.

Reginster JY, Deroisy R, Albert A, Denis D, Lecart MP, Collette J, Franchimont P (1989) Relationship between whole plasma calcitonin levels, calcitonin secretory capacity, and plasma levels of estrone in healthy women and postmenopausal osteoporotics. J Clin Invest 83: 1073-1077.

Reynolds L, Jones K, Winton DJ, Cranston A, Houghton C, Howard L, Ponder BA, Smith DP (2001) C-cell and thyroid epithelial tumours and altered follicular development in transgenic mice expressing the long isoform of MEN 2A RET. Oncogene 20: 3986-3994.

Ribchester RR, Thomson D, Haddow LJ, Ushkaryov YA (1998) Enhancement of spontaneous transmitter release at neonatal mouse neuromuscular junctions by the glial cell linederived neurotrophic factor (GDNF). J Physiol 512 (Pt 3): 635-641.

Rickard SM, Mummery RS, Mulloy B, Rider CC (2003) The binding of human glial cell linederived neurotrophic factor to heparin and heparan sulfate: importance of 2-O-sulfate groups and effect on its interaction with its receptor, GFRalpha1. Glycobiology 13: 419-426.

Rizzo C, Califano D, Colucci-D'Amato GL, De Vita G, D'Alessio A, Dathan NA, Fusco A, Monaco C, Santelli G, Vecchio G, Santoro M, de F, V (1996) Ligand stimulation of a Ret chimeric receptor carrying the activating mutation responsible for the multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2B. J Biol Chem 271: 29497-29501.

Robb L, Dimitriadis E, Li R, Salamonsen LA (2002) Leukemia inhibitory factor and interleukin-11: cytokines with key roles in implantation. J Reprod Immunol 57: 129-141.

Rosenblad C, Gronborg M, Hansen C, Blom N, Meyer M, Johansen J, Dago L, Kirik D, Patel UA, Lundberg C, Trono D, Bjorklund A, Johansen TE (2000) In vivo protection of nigral dopamine neurons by lentiviral gene transfer of the novel GDNF-family member neublastin/artemin. Mol Cell Neurosci 15: 199-214. Rossel M, Pasini A, Chappuis S, Geneste O, Fournier L, Schuffenecker I, Takahashi M, van Grunsven LA, Urdiales JL, Rudkin BB, Lenoir GM, Billaud M (1997) Distinct biological properties of two RET isoforms activated by MEN 2A and MEN 2B mutations. Oncogene 14: 265-275.

Rossi J, Herzig KH, Voikar V, Hiltunen PH, Segerstrale M, Airaksinen MS (2003) Alimentary tract innervation deficits and dysfunction in mice lacking GDNF family receptor alpha2. J Clin Invest 112: 707-716.

Rossi J, Luukko K, Poteryaev D, Laurikainen A, Sun YF, Laakso T, Eerikainen S, Tuominen R, Lakso M, Rauvala H, Arumae U, Pasternack M, Saarma M, Airaksinen MS (1999) Retarded growth and deficits in the enteric and parasympathetic nervous system in mice lacking GFR alpha2, a functional neurturin receptor. Neuron 22: 243-252.

Rossi J, Tomac A, Saarma M, Airaksinen MS (2000) Distinct roles for GFRalpha1 and GFRalpha2 signalling in different cranial parasympathetic ganglia in vivo. Eur J Neurosci 12: 3944-3952.

Rossi P, Dolci S, Albanesi C, Grimaldi P, Ricca R, Geremia R (1993) Follicle-stimulating hormone induction of steel factor (SLF) mRNA in mouse Sertoli cells and stimulation of DNA synthesis in spermatogonia by soluble SLF. Dev Biol 155: 68-74.

Russell LD, Tallon-Doran M, Weber JE, Wong V, Peterson RN (1983) Three-dimensional reconstruction of a rat stage V Sertoli cell: III. A study of specific cellular relationships. Am J Anat 167: 181-192.

Saarma M (2001) GDNF recruits the signaling crew into lipid rafts. Trends Neurosci 24: 427-429.

Sah DW, Ossipo MH, Porreca F (2003) Neurotrophic factors as novel therapeutics for neuropathic pain. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2: 460-472.

Sainio K, Suvanto P, Davies J, Wartiovaara J, Wartiovaara K, Saarma M, Arumäe U, Meng X, Lindahl M, Pachnis V, Sariola H (1997) Glial-cellline-derived neurotrophic factor is required for bud initiation from ureteric epithelium. Development 124: 4077-4087.

Saland LC, Cunningham LA, Su C, Morales M, Gaddy J (2000) Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor in the rat pituitary gland. Brain Res Bull 52: 109-113.

Salvatore D, Barone MV, Salvatore G, Melillo RM, Chiappetta G, Mineo A, Fenzi G, Vecchio G, Fusco A, Santoro M (2000) Tyrosines 1015 and 1062 are in vivo autophosphorylation sites in ret and retderived oncoproteins. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 85: 3898-3907.

Salvatore D, Melillo RM, Monaco C, Visconti R, Fenzi G, Vecchio G, Fusco A, Santoro M (2001) Increased in vivo phosphorylation of ret tyrosine 1062 is a potential pathogenetic mechanism of multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2B. Cancer Res 61: 1426-1431.

Sambrook J, Russell DW (2001) Molecular cloning: A laboratory manual. 3rd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press. Cold Spring Harbor, NY.

Sánchez MP, Silos-Santiago I, Frisen J, He B, Lira SA, Barbacid M (1996) Renal agenesis and the absence of enteric neurons in mice lacking GDNF. Nature 382: 70-73.

Sanicola M, Hession C, Worley D, Carmillo P, Ehrenfels C, Walus L, Robinson S, Jaworski G, Wei H, Tizard R, Whitty A, Pepinsky RB, Cate RL (1997) Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factordependent RET activation can be mediated by two different cell-surface accessory proteins. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 94: 6238-6243.

Santoro M, Carlomagno F, Romano A, Bottaro DP, Dathan NA, Grieco M, Fusco A, Vecchio G, Matoskova B, Kraus MH, . (1995) Activation of RET as a dominant transforming gene by germline mutations of MEN2A and MEN2B. Science 267: 381-383.

Santoro M, Dathan NA, Berlingieri MT, Bongarzone I, Paulin C, Grieco M, Pierotti MA, Vecchio G, Fusco A (1994) Molecular characterization of RET/PTC3; a novel rearranged version of the RETproto-oncogene in a human thyroid papillary carcinoma. Oncogene 9: 509-516.

Santoro M, Melillo RM, Carlomagno F, Visconti R, De Vita G, Salvatore G, Fusco A, Vecchio G (1999) Different mutations of the RET gene cause different human tumoral diseases. Biochimie 81: 397-402.

Santoro M, Rosati R, Grieco M, Berlingieri MT, D'Amato GL, de F, V, Fusco A (1990) The ret proto-oncogene is consistently expressed in human pheochromocytomas and thyroid medullary carcinomas. Oncogene 5: 1595-1598. Santoro M, Thomas GA, Vecchio G, Williams GH, Fusco A, Chiappetta G, Pozcharskaya V, Bogdanova TI, Demidchik EP, Cherstvoy ED, Voscoboinik L, Tronko ND, Carss A, Bunnell H, Tonnachera M, Parma J, Dumont JE, Keller G, Hofler H, Williams ED (2000) Gene rearrangement and Chernobyl related thyroid cancers. Br J Cancer 82: 315-322.

Sariola H (2001) The neurotrophic factors in nonneuronal tissues. Cell Mol Life Sci 58: 1061-1066.

Sariola H, Saarma M (1999) GDNF and its receptors in the regulation of the ureteric branching. Int J Dev Biol 43: 413-418.

Sariola H, Saarma M (2003) Novel functions and signalling pathways for GDNF. J Cell Sci 116: 3855-3862.

Sariola H, Sainio K, Arumäe U, Saarma M (1994) Neurotrophins and ciliary neurotrophic factor: their biology and pathology. Ann Med 26: 355-363.

Schober A, Arumäe U, Saarma M, Unsicker K (2000) Expression of GFR alpha-1, GFR alpha-2, and c-Ret mRNAs in rat adrenal gland. J Neurocytol 29: 209-213.

Schrans-Stassen BH, van de Kant HJ, de Rooij DG, van Pelt AM (1999) Differential expression of c-kit in mouse undifferentiated and differentiating type A spermatogonia. Endocrinology 140: 5894-5900.

Schuchardt A, D'Agati V, Larsson-Blomberg L, Costantini F, Pachnis V (1994) Defects in the kidney and enteric nervous system of mice lacking the tyrosine kinase receptor Ret. Nature 367: 380-383.

Schuchardt A, D'Agati V, Pachnis V, Costantini F (1996) Renal agenesis and hypodysplasia in retk- mutant mice result from defects in ureteric bud development. Development 122: 1919-1929.

Scott RP (2002) Signal transduction mechanisms mediated by the GDNF family ligands and their receptors. Academic dissertation. Karolinska Institutet. Stockholm.

Scott RP, Ibáñez CF (2001) Determinants of ligand binding specificity in the glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor family receptor alpha S. J Biol Chem 276: 1450-1458.

Segouffin-Cariou C, Billaud M (2000) Transforming ability of MEN2A-RET requires activation of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/ AKT signaling pathway. J Biol Chem 275: 3568-3576.

Sette C, Bevilacqua A, Bianchini A, Mangia F, Geremia R, Rossi P (1997) Parthenogenetic activation of mouse eggs by microinjection of a truncated c-kit tyrosine kinase present in spermatozoa. Development 124: 2267-2274.

Sette C, Dolci S, Geremia R, Rossi P (2000) The role of stem cell factor and of alternative c-kit gene products in the establishment, maintenance and function of germ cells. Int J Dev Biol 44: 599-608.

Simons K, Ikonen E (1997) Functional rafts in cell membranes. Nature 387: 569-572.

Simons K, Toomre D (2000) Lipid rafts and signal transduction. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 1: 31-39.

Singh G, Lykke-Andersen J (2003) New insights into the formation of active nonsense-mediated decay complexes. Trends Biochem Sci 28: 464-466.

Skinner MK (1991) Cell-cell interactions in the testis. Endocr Rev 12: 45-77.

Sleeman MW, Anderson KD, Lambert PD, Yancopoulos GD, Wiegand SJ (2000) The ciliary neurotrophic factor and its receptor, CNTFR alpha. Pharm Acta Helv 74: 265-272.

Smith-Hicks CL, Sizer KC, Powers JF, Tischler AS, Costantini F (2000) C-cell hyperplasia, pheochromocytoma and sympathoadrenal malformation in a mouse model of multiple endocrine neoplasia type 2B. EMBO J 19: 612-622.

Snider WD (1994) Functions of the neurotrophins during nervous system development: what the knockouts are teaching us. Cell 77: 627-638.

Sofroniew MV, Howe CL, Mobley WC (2001) Nerve growth factor signaling, neuroprotection, and neural repair. Annu Rev Neurosci 24: 1217-1281.

Songyang Z, Carraway KL, III, Eck MJ, Harrison SC, Feldman RA, Mohammadi M, Schlessinger J, Hubbard SR, Smith DP, Eng C, . (1995) Catalytic specificity of protein-tyrosine kinases is critical for selective signalling. Nature 373: 536-539.

Srinivas S, Wu Z, Chen CM, D'Agati V, Costantini F (1999) Dominant effects of RET receptor misexpression and ligand-independent RET signaling on ureteric bud development. Development 126: 1375-1386.

Srivastava D, Olson EN (1996) Neurotrophin-3 knocks heart off Trk. Nat Med 2: 1069-1071.

Streetz KL, Wustefeld T, Klein C, Kallen KJ, Tronche F, Betz UA, Schutz G, Manns MP, Muller W, Trautwein C (2003) Lack of gp130 expression in hepatocytes promotes liver injury. Gastroenterology 125: 532-543.

Strock CJ, Park JI, Rosen M, Dionne C, Ruggeri B, Jones-Bolin S, Denmeade SR, Ball DW, Nelkin BD (2003) CEP-701 and CEP-751 inhibit constitutively activated RET tyrosine kinase activity and block medullary thyroid carcinoma cell growth. Cancer Res 63: 5559-5563.

Suvanto P (1997) Developmental roles of GDNF and characterization of its receptors. Academic dissertation. University of Helsinki.

Suvanto P, Hiltunen JO, Arumäe U, Moshnyakov M, Sariola H, Sainio K, Saarma M (1996) Localization of glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) mRNA in embryonic rat by in situ hybridization. Eur J Neurosci 8: 816-822.

Suvanto P, Wartiovaara K, Lindahl M, Arumäe U, Moshnyakov M, Horelli-Kuitunen N, Airaksinen MS, Palotie A, Sariola H, Saarma M (1997) Cloning, mRNA distribution and chromosomal localisation of the gene for glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor receptor beta, a homologue to GDNFR-alpha. Hum Mol Genet 6: 1267-1273.

Suzuki K, Lavaroni S, Mori A, Okajima F, Kimura S, Katoh R, Kawaoi A, Kohn LD (1998) Thyroid transcription factor 1 is calcium modulated and coordinately regulates genes involved in calcium homeostasis in C cells. Mol Cell Biol 18: 7410-7422.

Sweetser DA, Froelick GJ, Matsumoto AM, Kafer KE, Marck B, Palmiter RD, Kapur RP (1999) Ganglioneuromas and renal anomalies are induced by activated RET(MEN2B) in transgenic mice. Oncogene 18: 877-886.

Tadokoro Y, Yomogida K, Ohta H, Tohda A, Nishimune Y (2002) Homeostatic regulation of germinal stem cell proliferation by the GDNF/FSH pathway. Mech Dev 113: 29-39.

Tahira T, Ishizaka Y, Itoh F, Sugimura T, Nagao M (1990) Characterization of ret proto-oncogene mRNAs encoding two isoforms of the protein product in a human neuroblastoma cell line. Oncogene 5: 97-102.

Takahashi M (1988) Structure and expression of the ret transforming gene. IARC Sci Publ 189-197.

Takahashi M (2001) The GDNF/RET signaling pathway and human diseases. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 12: 361-373.

Takahashi M, Buma Y, Hiai H (1989) Isolation of ret proto-oncogene cDNA with an amino-terminal signal sequence. Oncogene 4: 805-806.

Takahashi M, Iwashita T, Santoro M, Lyonnet S, Lenoir GM, Billaud M (1999) Co-segregation of MEN2 and Hirschsprung's disease: the same mutation of RET with both gain and loss-offunction? Hum Mutat 13: 331-336.

Takahashi M, Ritz J, Cooper GM (1985) Activation of a novel human transforming gene, ret, by DNA rearrangement. Cell 42: 581-588.

Takaya K, Yoshimasa T, Arai H, Tamura N, Miyamoto Y, Itoh H, Nakao K (1996) Expression of the RET proto-oncogene in normal human tissues, pheochromocytomas, and other tumors of neural crest origin. J Mol Med 74: 617-621.

Tansey MG, Baloh RH, Milbrandt J, Johnson EM, Jr. (2000) GFRalpha-mediated localization of RET to lipid rafts is required for effective downstream signaling, differentiation, and neuronal survival. Neuron 25: 611-623.

Taraviras S, Pachnis V (1999) Development of the mammalian enteric nervous system. Curr Opin Genet Dev 9: 321-327.

Teng KK, Hempstead BL (2004) Neurotrophins and their receptors: signaling trios in complex biological systems. Cell Mol Life Sci 61: 35-48.

Thompson J, Doxakis E, Pinon LG, Strachan P, Buj-Bello A, Wyatt S, Buchman VL, Davies AM (1998) GFRalpha-4, a new GDNF family receptor. Mol Cell Neurosci 11: 117-126.

Tiegs RD, Body JJ, Wahner HW, Barta J, Riggs BL, Heath H, III (1985) Calcitonin secretion in postmenopausal osteoporosis. N Engl J Med 312: 1097-1100.

Tilmann C, Capel B (1999) Mesonephric cell migration induces testis cord formation and Sertoli cell differentiation in the mammalian gonad. Development 126: 2883-2890.

Timmusk T, Belluardo N, Metsis M, Persson H (1993) Widespread and developmentally regulated expression of neurotrophin-4 mRNA in rat brain and peripheral tissues. Eur J Neurosci 5: 605-613.

Tomac A, Lindqvist E, Lin LF, Ogren SO, Young D, Hoffer BJ, Olson L (1995) Protection and repair of the nigrostriatal dopaminergic system by GDNF in vivo. Nature 373: 335-339.

Tomac AC, Agulnick AD, Haughey N, Chang CF, Zhang Y, Backman C, Morales M, Mattson MP, Wang Y, Westphal H, Hoffer BJ (2002) Effects of cerebral ischemia in mice deficient in Persephin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99: 9521-9526.

Too HP (2003) Real time PCR quantification of GFRalpha-2 alternatively spliced isoforms in murine brain and peripheral tissues. Brain Res Mol Brain Res 114: 146-153.

Toppari J, Huhtaniemi I (1999) [Testis]. Duodecim 115: 1853-1860.

Tran Q, Coleman TP, Roesser JR (2003) Human transformer 2beta and SRp55 interact with a calcitonin-specific splice enhancer. Biochim Biophys Acta 1625: 141-152.

Tran Q, Roesser JR (2003) SRp55 is a regulator of calcitonin/CGRP alternative RNA splicing. Biochemistry 42: 951-957.

Treanor JJ, Goodman L, de Sauvage F, Stone DM, Poulsen KT, Beck CD, Gray C, Armanini MP, Pollock RA, Hefti F, Phillips HS, Goddard A, Moore MW, Buj-Bello A, Davies AM, Asai N, Takahashi M, Vandlen R, Henderson CE, Rosenthal A (1996) Characterization of a multicomponent receptor for GDNF. Nature 382: 80-83.

Trupp M, Arenas E, Fainzilber M, Nilsson AS, Sieber BA, Grigoriou M, Kilkenny C, Salazar-Grueso E, Pachnis V, Arumae U (1996) Functional receptor for GDNF encoded by the c-ret protooncogene. Nature 381: 785-789.

Trupp M, Belluardo N, Funakoshi H, Ibanez CF (1997) Complementary and overlapping expression of glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF), c-ret proto-oncogene, and GDNF receptor-alpha indicates multiple mechanisms of trophic actions in the adult rat CNS. J Neurosci 17: 3554-3567.

Trupp M, Raynoschek C, Belluardo N, Ibanez CF (1998) Multiple GPI-anchored receptors control GDNF-dependent and independent activation of the c-Ret receptor tyrosine kinase. Mol Cell Neurosci 11: 47-63.

Trupp M, Ryden M, Jornvall H, Funakoshi H, Timmusk T, Arenas E, Ibanez CF (1995) Peripheral expression and biological activities of GDNF, a new neurotrophic factor for avian and mammalian peripheral neurons. J Cell Biol 130: 137-148.

Trupp M, Scott R, Whittemore SR, Ibáñez CF (1999) Ret-dependent and -independent mechanisms of glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor signaling in neuronal cells. J Biol Chem 274: 20885-20894.

Tsui-Pierchala BA, Ahrens RC, Crowder RJ, Milbrandt J, Johnson EM, Jr. (2002a) The long and short isoforms of Ret function as independent signaling complexes. J Biol Chem 277: 34618-34625.

Tsui-Pierchala BA, Milbrandt J, Johnson EM, Jr. (2002b) NGF utilizes c-Ret via a novel GFLindependent, inter-RTK signaling mechanism to maintain the trophic status of mature sympathetic neurons. Neuron 33: 261-273.

Tsutsumi O, Kurachi H, Oka T (1986) A physiological role of epidermal growth factor in male reproductive function. Science 233: 975-977.

Tsuzuki T, Takahashi M, Asai N, Iwashita T, Matsuyama M, Asai J (1995) Spatial and temporal expression of the ret proto-oncogene product in embryonic, infant and adult rat tissues. Oncogene 10: 191-198.

Udenfriend S, Kodukula K (1995) How glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored membrane proteins are made. Annu Rev Biochem 64: 563-591.

Urbano AG, Suarez-Penaranda JM, Dieguez C, Alvarez CV (2000) GDNF and RET-gene expression in anterior pituitary-cell types. Endocrinology 141: 1893-1896.

van Beek ME, Meistrich ML, de Rooij DG (1990) Probability of self-renewing divisions of spermatogonial stem cells in colonies, formed after fission neutron irradiation. Cell Tissue Kinet 23: 1-16.

van Pelt AM, Roepers-Gajadien HL, Gademan IS, Creemers LB, de Rooij DG, Dissel-Emiliani FM (2002) Establishment of cell lines with rat spermatogonial stem cell characteristics. Endocrinology 143: 1845-1850.

van Weering DH, Moen TC, Braakman I, Baas PD, Bos JL (1998) Expression of the receptor tyrosine kinase Ret on the plasma membrane is dependent on calcium. J Biol Chem 273: 12077-12081.

Vega JA, Garcia-Suarez O, Hannestad J, Perez-Perez M, Germana A (2003) Neurotrophins and the immune system. J Anat 203: 1-19. Viglietto G, Dolci S, Bruni P, Baldassarre G, Chiariotti L, Melillo RM, Salvatore G, Chiappetta G, Sferratore F, Fusco A, Santoro M (2000) Glial cell line-derived neutrotrophic factor and neurturin can act as paracrine growth factors stimulating DNA synthesis of Ret-expressing spermatogonia. Int J Oncol 16: 689-694.

Villoslada P, Genain CP (2004) Role of nerve growth factor and other trophic factors in brain inflammation. Prog Brain Res 146: 403-414.

Võikar V, Rossi J, Rauvala H, Airaksinen MS (2004) Impaired behavioural flexibility and memory in mice lacking GDNF family receptor $\alpha 2$. Eur J Neurosci, In Press.

von Heijne G (1986) A new method for predicting signal sequence cleavage sites. Nucleic Acids Res 14: 4683-4690.

von Schack D, Casademunt E, Schweigreiter R, Meyer M, Bibel M, Dechant G (2001) Complete ablation of the neurotrophin receptor p75NTR causes defects both in the nervous and the vascular system. Nat Neurosci 4: 977-978.

Wakabayashi-Ito N, Nagata S (1994) Characterization of the regulatory elements in the promoter of the human elongation factor-1 alpha gene. J Biol Chem 269: 29831-29837.

Wang CY, Ni J, Jiang H, Hsu TA, Dugich-Djordjevic M, Feng L, Zhang M, Mei L, Gentz R, Lu B (1998) Cloning and characterization of glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor receptor-B: a novel receptor for members of glial cell linederived neurotrophic factor family of neurotrophic factors. Neuroscience 83: 7-14.

Wang CY, Yang F, He X, Chow A, Du J, Russell JT, Lu B (2001) Ca(2+) binding protein frequenin mediates GDNF-induced potentiation of Ca(2+) channels and transmitter release. Neuron 32: 99-112.

Wang Y, Lin SZ, Chiou AL, Williams LR, Hoffer BJ (1997) Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor protects against ischemia-induced injury in the cerebral cortex. J Neurosci 17: 4341-4348.

Wanigasekara Y, Airaksinen MS, Heuckeroth RO, Milbrandt J, Keast JR (2004) Neurturin signalling via GFRalpha2 is essential for innervation of glandular but not muscle targets of sacral parasympathetic ganglion neurons. Mol Cell Neurosci 25: 288-300.

Watanabe T, Ichihara M, Hashimoto M, Shimono K, Shimoyama Y, Nagasaka T, Murakumo Y, Murakami H, Sugiura H, Iwata H, Ishiguro N,

Takahashi M (2002) Characterization of gene expression induced by RET with MEN2A or MEN2B mutation. Am J Pathol 161: 249-256.

Widenfalk J, Nosrat C, Tomac A, Westphal H, Hoffer B, Olson L (1997) Neurturin and glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor receptor-beta (GDNFR-beta), novel proteins related to GDNF and GDNFR-alpha with specific cellular patterns of expression suggesting roles in the developing and adult nervous system and in peripheral organs. J Neurosci 17: 8506-8519.

Widenfalk J, Tomac A, Lindqvist E, Hoffer B, Olson L (1998) GFRalpha-3, a protein related to GFRalpha-1, is expressed in developing peripheral neurons and ensheathing cells. Eur J Neurosci 10: 1508-1517.

Widenfalk J, Widmer HR, Spenger C (1999) GDNF, RET and GFRalpha-1-3 mRNA expression in the developing human spinal cord and ganglia. Neuroreport 10: 1433-1439.

Williams LR, Inouye G, Cummins V, Pelleymounter MA (1996) Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor sustains axotomized basal forebrain cholinergic neurons in vivo: doseresponse comparison to nerve growth factor and brain-derived neurotrophic factor. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 277: 1140-1151.

Williams RL, Hilton DJ, Pease S, Willson TA, Stewart CL, Gearing DP, Wagner EF, Metcalf D, Nicola NA, Gough NM (1988) Myeloid leukaemia inhibitory factor maintains the developmental potential of embryonic stem cells. Nature 336: 684-687.

Wong RW, Kwan RW, Mak PH, Mak KK, Sham MH, Chan SY (2000) Overexpression of epidermal growth factor induced hypospermatogenesis in transgenic mice. J Biol Chem 275: 18297-18301.

Wong YW, Sia GM, Too HP (2002) Quantification of mouse glial cell-line derived neurotrophic factor family receptor alpha 2 alternatively spliced isoforms by real time detection PCR using SYBR Green I. Neurosci Lett 320: 141-145.

Wong YW, Too HP (1998) Identification of mammalian GFRalpha-2 splice isoforms. Neuroreport 9: 3767-3773.

Worby CA, Vega QC, Chao HH, Seasholtz AF, Thompson RC, Dixon JE (1998) Identification and characterization of GFRalpha-3, a novel Coreceptor belonging to the glial cell line-derived neurotrophic receptor family. J Biol Chem 273: 3502-3508. Wuestefeld T, Klein C, Streetz KL, Betz U, Lauber J, Buer J, Manns MP, Muller W, Trautwein C (2003) Interleukin-6/glycoprotein 130-dependent pathways are protective during liver regeneration. J Biol Chem 278: 11281-11288.

Yang F, Feng L, Zheng F, Johnson SW, Du J, Shen L, Wu CP, Lu B (2001) GDNF acutely modulates excitability and A-type K(+) channels in midbrain dopaminergic neurons. Nat Neurosci 4: 1071-1078.

Yang J, Lindahl M, Lindholm P, Virtanen H, Coffey E, Runeberg-Roos P, Saarma M (2004) PSPN/GFR α 4 has a significantly weaker capacity than GDNF/GFR α 1 to recruit RET to rafts, but promotes neuronal survival and neurite outgrowth. FEBS Lett. 569: 267-271.

Yang J, Runeberg-Roos P, Lindahl M, Suominen RK, Saarma M (2003) Characterization of mouse GFRa4 alternative splice isoforms. Program No. 677.3.2003 Abstract Viewer/ Itinerary Planner. Washington, DC: Society for Neuroscience, Online.

Ylikoski J, Pirvola U, Virkkala J, Suvanto P, Liang XQ, Magal E, Altschuler R, Miller JM, Saarma M (1998) Guinea pig auditory neurons are protected by glial cell line-derived growth factor from degeneration after noise trauma. Hear Res 124: 17-26.

Yomogida K, Yagura Y, Tadokoro Y, Nishimune Y (2003) Dramatic expansion of germinal stem cells by ectopically expressed human glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor in mouse Sertoli cells. Biol Reprod 69: 1303-1307.

Yoshino J, Monkawa T, Tsuji M, Hayashi M, Saruta T (2003) Leukemia inhibitory factor is involved in tubular regeneration after experimental acute renal failure. J Am Soc Nephrol 14: 3090-3101. Young HM, Hearn CJ, Farlie PG, Canty AJ, Thomas PQ, Newgreen DF (2001) GDNF is a chemoattractant for enteric neural cells. Dev Biol 229: 503-516.

Yu T, Scully S, Yu Y, Fox GM, Jing S, Zhou R (1998) Expression of GDNF family receptor components during development: implications in the mechanisms of interaction. J Neurosci 18: 4684-4696.

Zaidi M, Inzerillo AM, Moonga BS, Bevis PJ, Huang CL (2002) Forty years of calcitonin where are we now? A tribute to the work of Iain Macintyre, FRS. Bone 30: 655-663.

Zhao GQ, Liaw L, Hogan BL (1998) Bone morphogenetic protein 8A plays a role in the maintenance of spermatogenesis and the integrity of the epididymis. Development 125: 1103-1112.

Zhou B, Bae SK, Malone AC, Levinson BB, Kuo YM, Cilio MR, Bertini E, Hayflick SJ, Gitschier JM (2001) hGFRalpha-4: a new member of the GDNF receptor family and a candidate for NBIA. Pediatr Neurol 25: 156-161.

Zhou G, Bao ZQ, Dixon JE (1995) Components of a new human protein kinase signal transduction pathway. J Biol Chem 270: 12665-12669.

Åkerud P, Alberch J, Eketjäll S, Wagner J, Arenas E (1999) Differential effects of glial cell linederived neurotrophic factor and neurturin on developing and adult substantia nigra dopaminergic neurons. J Neurochem 73: 70-78.

Åkerud P, Holm PC, Castelo-Branco G, Sousa K, Rodriguez FJ, Arenas E (2002) Persephinoverexpressing neural stem cells regulate the function of nigral dopaminergic neurons and prevent their degeneration in a model of Parkinson's disease. Mol Cell Neurosci 21: 205-222