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#### Abstract

This dissertation is a synchronic description of the phonology and grammar of two dialects of the Rājbanshi language (Eastern Indo-Aryan) as spoken in Jhāpā, Nepal. The grammatical analysis is based, for the most part, on a corpus of narrative text which was recorded and transcribed from three informants from north-east Jhāpā. Additional material elicited from a fourth informant from south-west Jhāpā has also been consulted. I have primarily confined the analysis to the oral expression, since the emerging literary form is still in its infancy.

I have attempted to describe the phonology, morphology and syntax of the language, and also one aspect of its discourse structure. For the most part the phonology follows the basic Indo-Aryan pattern. Derivational morphology, compounding, reduplication, echo formation and onomatopoetic constructions will be considered, as well as number, noun classes (their assignment and grammatical function), pronouns, and case and postpositions.

In verbal morphology I will cover the causative stems, the copula, primary and secondary agreement, tense, aspect, mood, auxiliary constructions and non-finite forms. The term "secondary agreement" here refers to genitive agreement, dative-subject agreement and patient (and sometimes patient-agent) agreement. The breaking of default agreement rules has a range of pragmatic inferences, and I will advance a governing principle of "affectedness" to explain this phenomenon. I will argue for a distinction to be made between conjunct verbs, derivational compound verbs and quasi-aspectual compound verbs based on formal, semantic and statistical grounds.

Rājbanshi has an open set of adjectives, and it additionally makes use of a restricted set of nouns which can function as adjectives. Various particles, and the emphatic and conjunctive clitics will also be considered. The syntactic structures studied include: non-declarative speech acts, phrase-internal and clause-internal constituent order, negation, subordination, coordination and valence adjustment.

I will explain how the future, present and past tenses in Rājbanshi oral narratives seem not to maintain a time reference, but to indicate a distinction between background and foreground information. I will call this "tense neutralisation".

Appendix 1 presents verb paradigms, mostly from the Jhāpā dialects, but also including an incomplete paradigm from two dialects spoken in Morang. Appendix 2 comprises a complete lexicon of the words found in this work. Appendix 3 consists of the text corpus on which this grammatical analysis is based.

Audio recordings of the transcribed text corpus, together with twenty-four other nontranscribed texts from a range of dialects throughout Morang and Jhāpā, can be found on the accompanying CD.
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## Transcription, transliteration and orthography

The phonetic symbols used in Chapter 2 on phonology follow the International Phonetic Alphabet (IPA; revised to 2005). In that chapter, phonetic data will be presented in square brackets [ ], and phonemic data in slanted brackets / /. Both phonetic and phonemic data will be displayed in the IPA format.

For the sake of convenience, and to avoid confusion, I have used a more common broad transcription elsewhere in this grammar. This transcription differs from the IPA as follows:

```
/a/central open vowel (IPA /æ/ with variants [æ] and [๕])
/y/ palatal approximant (IPA /j/)
/c/ unaspirated voiceless affricate (IPA /ts/)
/ch/ aspirated voiceless affricate (IPA /ts /h/ or /f /}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{h}}/\mathrm{ )
/j/ unaspirated voiced affricate (IPA /dz/)
/j}/\mathrm{ /aspirated voiced affricate (IPA /dz }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{h}}/\mathrm{ or /d }\mp@subsup{3}{}{\textrm{h}}/\mathrm{ )
```

The apico-retroflex plosives will be transcribed in the section on phonology with the IPA apical diacritic ///, and in the rest of the work with the subscript dot.
/ṭ/ unaspirated voiceless apico-retroflex plosive (IPA /t/f; Harvard-Kyoto /T/)
$/ \underline{t}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ aspirated voiceless apico-retroflex plosive (IPA $/ \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} /$; Harvard-Kyoto $/ \mathrm{Th} /$ )
/ḍ/ unaspirated voiced apico-retroflex plosive (IPA /ḍ/; Harvard-Kyoto /D/)
$/ \mathbf{d}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ aspirated voiced apico-retroflex plosive (IPA /d $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} /$; Harvard-Kyoto /Dh/)

This broad transcription for transcribed data differs slightly from the IAST (International Alphabet of Sanskrit Transliteration). Divergences from this scheme are as follows:
a. Aspiration in conjunction with consonants will be represented with a superscript ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ (and therefore not as the IAST lower case ' $h$ '),
b. The vowel अ will be represented as $/ \Lambda /$ or $/ 2 /$ (and therefore not as the IAST ' $a$ '),
c. The vowel आ will be represented as 'a' (and therefore not as the IAST 'a'),
d. The consonant व will be represented as ' $w$ ' (and therefore not as the IAST ' $v$ '),
e. The anusvara will be represented as ' $n$ ' or ' $n$ ' according to the pronunciation in each case (and therefore not as the IAST ' $m$ '), and
f. The consonant ङ will be represented as ' y ' (and therefore not as the IAST ' n ')

When transliterating the Devanāgari in other than transcribed data, however, I will for the most part follow the IAST transliteration scheme.

Examples in Chapter 2 will have the item written in the Devanāgari script followed by a phonemic transcription in slanted brackets and finally by a phonetic approximation and gloss.


Transcription elsewhere will have the item given first in the Devanāgari script, followed again by a broad (phonemic) transcription in italics, but with a concluding morpheme-bymorpheme gloss in inverted commas.
(ii) लोकेर नाक्सा lok-er naksa 'man-GEN figure'

In more extended examples the Devanāgari will be on the top line, a broad transcription on the second, a morpheme-by-morpheme gloss on the third and then a free gloss on the final line.

## (iii) खबे हासुवाचित रे!

$k^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda b=\mathrm{e} \quad$ has-(u)wa-c-it re
very $=$ EMPH laugh-ICAUS-PRES-2sg VOC
'You are really making me laugh!'

If an example in the grammar is from the transcribed text corpus, it is marked with a reference number which corresponds to the text and sentence number in Appendix 3. Therefore, the reference number (MR.05.002) in (iv) indicates that the sentence is the second sentence (002) of the fifth text (05) elicited from informant MR. The same sentence is found with the same reference number in Appendix 3.

If the reference number is marked as (cf. GR.02.036), as in (v), it means that the sentence from the text corpus has been slightly modified, and that the original form may be seen at the corresponding location in Appendix 3.

Examples such as (iii) above, which do not carry any specific reference number are, unless otherwise stated, elicited from the informant PR. Most of these examples were elicited out of any context, though short texts from this informant's Korobāri dialect have also been used in various sections.
(iv) उहाँर बेटाड राजार घरत काम करेकि। (MR.05.002)
uhã-r beṭa-ḍ $\Lambda$ raja-r $g^{\text {h }} \Lambda r-\wedge t \quad$ kam k $\wedge r-e k i$
3sg[pro]-GEN son-NCLS king-GEN house-LOC work do-(PST.HAB)SA3
'Her son used to work in the king's house.'
(v) तुइँ हाल कियाँ नि बहचिस? (cf. GR.02.036)
tui hal kiã ni b $\quad$ h $\Lambda$-c-is
$2 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro ] plough why NEG plough-PRES-2sg
'Why are you not ploughing?'

Finally, the Devanāgari orthography used in this work has been compiled following discussions with over twenty mother-tongue writers of Rājbanshi. These discussions were held during three language workshops. The first workshop (राजवंशी लिखानी कार्यशाला; "Rājbanshi Orthography Workshop") was held in Dharan in January 2005, and was jointly organised by NNLPI (Nepali National Languages Preservation Institute) and the Department of Linguistics (Tribhuvan University). The discussion at this workhop was based on an orthography proposal written by Rājbanshi \& Wilde (2004). The orthography discussion was then continued during two subsequent "Creative Writers workshops" in Birtāmoḍ (December 2005, and December 2006).


Photo 1. Rājbanshi writers in Birtāmoḍ, December 2006 (photograph by May Wong)

The Devanāgari conventions agreed during these workshops differ from the Indo-Aryan norm in the following respects:
a. All 'i' phonemes, including word-finals, are written with a short इ,
b. All vowel sequences are represented by full vowels. Therefore, the diphthong symbols ऐ and औ are avoided, and the vowel sequences अइ / $\Lambda \mathrm{i} /$ and एइ /ei/ are used instead,
c. Aspiration is marked on the following characters with a subscript dot: +. $/ \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} /$, न $/ \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{h}} /$, ड $/ \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{h}} /$, ल/1 $\mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ and र $/ \mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ (traditionally म्ह, न्ह, ङ्ह, ल्ह and ह/ह्न respectively), and
d. Symbols श, श्र, क्ष, ष, ण and ॠ are avoided in all but tatsama-loans. (This has immediate repercussions as regards the spelling of the name of the language itself. Traditionally, the language has been spelt as राजबंशी whereas there is a growing trend amongst writers to spell the name राजबंसि. I will follow that inclination in this work.)

It is hardly surprising that the development of an orthography can be a prolonged process, and final concensus concerning establishment of the many and necessary spelling rules for written Rājbanshi has still to be reached. In consequence the orthography used in this work will be based on the discussions held, the conclusions reached and those decisions made during the three above-mentioned workshops.

## Abbreviations

Abbreviations used in this work include the following:

| ABL | ablative | FEM | feminine |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABS | absolutive | FUT | future tense |
| ADJ | adjective | HPCL | honorific particle |
| ADJR | adjectivising suffix; | ICAUS | indirect causative |
|  | adjectiviser | IMP2pl | imperative 2 pl |
| ADV | adverb | IMP2sg | imperative 2 sg |
| ADVL | adverbialiser | INF | infinitive |
| AG | agent | INJ | interjection |
| Agr | agreement | INSTR | instrumental |
| AUX | (quasi-aspectual) auxiliary | IO | indirect object |
| C | consonant | [ip] | impersonal |
| CAUS | causative | itr | intransitive |
| CL | clitic | LGH | low-grade honorific (Nepali) |
| CMPL | complementiser | lit. | literally |
| CNJ | conjunctive (clitic) | LOC | locative case |
| COND.PTCL | conditional participle | MGH | mid-grade honorific (Nepali) |
| CONJ.PTCL | conjunctive participle | MIA | Middle Indo-Aryan |
| CONT | continuous aspect | n | noun |
| CONT.PTCL | continuous participle | n/a | not applicable |
| CORR | correlative pronoun | NCLS | noun classifier |
| DAT | dative-accusative case | NEG | negative particle |
| DEM[prox] | demonstrative (proximate) | NIA | New Indo-Aryan |
| DEM[rem] | demonstrative (remote) | NOM | nominative case |
| DIM | diminutive | NML | nominalising suffix |
| DIR | directional | NP | noun phrase |
| DO | direct object | NP[poss] | possessor noun phrase |
| DS | dative subject | NUM | numeral |
| DVBL | deverbalising morpheme | [obl] | oblique (stem) |
| EMPH | emphatic (clitic) | OIA | Old Indo-Aryan |
| ERG | ergative | OS | object-subject |
| GEN | genitive |  | (constituent order) |


| p | person | T/A | tense aspect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [p] | personal | TERM | terminative (clitic) |
| PASS.AUX | passive auxiliary | tr | transitive |
| PAT | patient | V | vowel (phonology); verb |
| PCL | particle | VBL | verbaliser |
| PCL[TAG] | tag question particle | VOC | vocative (particle) |
| PCLS | numeral classifier | VP | verb phrase |
|  | (for human referent) | ? | grammaticality questionable |
| PERF | perfect | * | ungrammatical |
| PL | plural | 1 | or |
| PP | postposition (phrase) | $1 /$ | phonemic transcription |
| PQM | polar question marker | [] | phonetic transcription |
| Pr.ADV | pronominal adverb | () | variant; optional |
| PRES | present tense | $\sigma$ | syllable |
| PRES.STEM present stem |  |  | syllable break |
| [pro] | pronoun | - | morpheme break |
| pron. | pronounced as | $=$ | clitic break; equal to |
| [pro/prox] | pronoun (proximate) | $\varnothing$ | zero morpheme; unmarked |
| PST | past tense | 1sg | first person singular |
| PST.COND | past conditional (clitic) | 2sg | second person singular |
| PST.HAB | past habitual | 3sg | third person singular |
| PST.PTCL | past participle | 1 pl | first person plural |
| PST.STEM | past stem | 2pl | second person plural |
| PTCL | participle | 3 pl | third person plural |
| QNT | quantifier |  |  |
| QUOT | quotative (particle) |  |  |
| REFL | reflexive |  |  |
| REFL[pro] | reflexive pronoun |  |  |
| REL | relative pronoun |  |  |
| REM.PSTSA | remote past, past continuous |  |  |
|  | secondary agreement |  |  |
| SO | subject-object |  |  |
|  | (constituent order) |  |  |
| SOV | subject-object-verb |  |  |
|  | (constituent order) |  |  |
| SU | subject |  |  |
| SUBJ | subjunctive |  |  |
| SUBORD | subordinator |  |  |
| TAM | tense-aspect-mood |  |  |

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Rājbanshi language and location

Rājbanshi is an Indo-Aryan language spoken in south-eastern Nepal in the districts of Jhāpā and Morang, in north-eastern India (Bihār, West Bengal and possibly western Assam), and in certain parts of northern Bangladesh (northern Dinajpur). Voegelin \& Voegelin (1977:167) state that Rājbanshi is spoken in:
> "Bangladesh in the districts of Jalpaiguri, Cooch Behar, and Darjeeling of West Bengal, and the western borders of the districts of Goalpara and the Garo Hills of Assam [including Bahe, spoken in Darjeeling]".

As Toulmin (2006) notes, for various socio-political reasons the term "Rājbanshi" does not adequately cover the range of related dialects spoken across south-eastern Nepal and north-eastern India. He therefore chooses the wider ranging term of "Kamta, Rajbanshi and Northern Deshi Bangla" (the "KRNB lects") to cover the dialect continuum. I have, however, chosen to refer collectively to all those dialects spoken in Nepal as "Rājbanshi" for simplicity's sake, and due to the fact that the majority of the speakers in Morang and Jhāpā do identify themselves with this name. Having said this, one should also note that there are (at least) two castes in this part of Nepal, namely the Tajpuri(a) and the Gangai, who are reported to speak virtually the same language. Social constraints most likely prohibit any consideration of the issue, and they consequently call their languages "Tajpuria" and "Gangai" respectively. Though this grammar deals with a language which I here call "Rājbanshi", any negative inference concerning these other groups has by no means been intended.

One of the first to refer to the Rājbanshi language was Hamilton (1971 [1818]:156) who mentions varieties of Indo-Aryan in both the east and the west of Vijaypur (situated in modern-day Jhāpā):
"The inhabitants of Vijaypur, towards the east, are chiefly Koch or Rajbangsis, who are considered as the same, live on the plain, and speak the dialect of Bengal; on the lower hills are mainly Mech. Both these tribes are original inhabitants of Kamrup. In the western parts, most
of the cultivators are of the Gangaye cast, who speak the dialect of Mithila, and adhere to the doctrines of purity, as established in that country."

Other names for the language and dialects include Kamata Bihari (cf. Barma 1991), Kamrupa/i, Koch, Rangpuri, Bahe, Surjapuri, Dekhia and Dekhri (cf. Toulmin 2006:13-15; Clark 1970:70-71). ${ }^{1}$ Clark (1970:61) points out that the language is in fact referred to by an even wider range of names:


#### Abstract

"The confusion which has arisen in attempting to distinguish Rājbangshi, Koch and Paliyā is compounded by the fact that many in these groups refer to themselves by other names, or that other groups, sometimes amounting to subcastes, exist within the larger limits of the Rājbangshi group. It may be impossible to assemble an exhaustive list of these alternate names and subcastes, but a considerable number exist in the literature."


Rājbanshi merges into Assamese in the east, Maithili in the west and Bengali in the south. This is a common Indo-Aryan phenomenon as Masica (1991:25) explains:
"Lacking clearcut geographical units of the European type where dialectal variants can crystallize in semi-isolation, or longwithstanding political boundaries, the entire Indo-Aryan realm (except for Sinhalese) consitutes one enormous dialectal continuum, where continued contact inhibits such crystallization, and differentiated dialects continue to influence one another."

Considering the centuries-old language contacts in south-eastern Nepal and the use of Maithili as a literary language and lingua franca (cf. also Jha 1958:28-29; van Driem 2001:1160-1161), it is hardly surprising that Maithili was to have an effect on the Rājbanshi varieties bordering the Maithili language area. Grierson (1881:2) noted that some dialects in the Indian state of Bihār showed features of both Maithili and Bengali: "Maithili... has various dialects... that of Bhágálgur on the east which contains a few forms tending towards Bengali." (Note that Bhagalpur is located south of the Bihārian town of Purnia, which again is situated directly south of the Nepalese district of Morang.) In a later publication, Grierson (1963b [1903]:12) once again refers to a dialect in northern Bihār that is influenced by both what Grierson calls "Bihārī" (that is, Maithili, Magadhi and Bhojpuri) and Bengali:

[^1]"North of the Ganges, in the districts of Malda and Purnea, there is an intermediate dialect, partly Bengali and partly Bihārī, but with its grammatical construction mainly based on the stronger and more cultivated language of Bengali."

Voegelin \& Voegelin (1977) refers to Rājbanshi as "Northern Bengali". According to Chatterji (1975a [1926]:6[table]), Oṛiyā, Bengali (and its dialects) and Assamese are the eastern branches of Māgadhī (Apabhrañśa), whereas the languages "Maithilī, Magahī and Bhōjpuriyā" constitute the western branch. Chatterji (1975a [1926]:140), following Grierson, also maintains that "North Bengali" is a western branch of the "Kāma-rūpa dialects" whereas the eastern branch is Assamese. ${ }^{2}$ Gordon (2005), likewise following Grierson, classifies language as: "Eastern zone, Bengali-Assamese."

Based on a reconstruction of the "KRNB lects", Toulmin (2006:341) argues that protoKamta emerged parallel to proto-Bangla and proto-Assamiya (and therefore should not be considered to be a corrupt form of standard Bengali):
> "This historical stage, proto-Kamta, is reconstructed as historically parallel, not subordinate, to the historical emergence of proto-Bangla and proto-Asamiya from the common Magadhan stage. The implication of this statement is that the KRNB lects reflect a linguistic (and cultural) tradition equally as ancient as the Bangla and Asamiya linguistic traditions. This study thus confirms Clark's proposal, following Henry Frowde, that 'Northern Bengali may be as old or older than standard Bengali' (1969:85), and Grierson's statement that 'Northern Bengal and Assam did not get their language from Bengal proper, but directly from the west' (Grierson 1903-28 Vol. 1:126)."

There is often mention in literature of the fact that the Rajjbanshis originated, at least in the east, from the Koch, Bodo and Meche tribes (Tibeto-Burman; cf. Sanyal 1965 and van Driem 2001, amongst others). Hunter (1991 [1896]:291) referring to Brian Hodgson's essay on Dhimal, Bodo and Koch (i.e. Rājbanshi) asserts:
"The original structure of the language of Koch had been so completely overlaid with Aryan forms that it was merged into a corrupt Bengali."

Van Driem (2001:538; 535; 1176) questions whether there are perhaps traces of TibetoBurman still evident in current Rājbanshi:
"In view of the origins of the Rajbangsi, it comes as no surprise that the form of Bengali spoken by the Rajbangsis is somewhat different from standard Bengali ... No study has been made of possible Tibeto-Burman substrate influence in the Rajbangsi dialect of Bengali. ... Rajbangsi is

[^2]reported to share some of its lexicon with the Tibeto-Burman language Garo and to exhibit considerable Maithili influence, but these reports have yet to be supported by a substantive account of the Rajbangsi language."

In this work on the far-western variety of Rājbanshi I have encountered no apparent Tibeto-Burman constructions which are distinct from the non-Aryan constructions already found in related Indo-Aryan languages. Firstly, the Rājbanshi noun classifier system may be of non-Aryan origin, but is also found in Assamese and Bengali (cf. Emeneau 1965:3040; Masica 1991:250). Secondly, the sound symbolism constructions found in Rājbanshi are similar to those in Bengali and other Indo-Aryan languages (Emeneau 1980:250-293), which according to Klaiman (1987:511) are due to previous foreign influence. Thirdly, the Rājbanshi secondary agreement system may be due to a Munda (or even Tibeto-Burman) substratum, but the same participant cross-referencing system is found in several adjacent Indo-Aryan languages (Maithili, Magahi, Darai and possibly Dhanwar).
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Map 1. Districts of Morang and Jhāpā in south-eastern Nepal
Rājbanshi, in its diverse forms, does however differ from the major Indo-Aryan languages surrounding it. The dialects covered in this work are generally only partially understood by the Maithili population. Bengali speakers from West Bengal, who are unfamiliar with the peculiarities of this vernacular, likewise find these dialects only partly intelligible. Klaiman (1987:511) notes major differences in the local and standard variants of Bengali throughout the eastern Indian Bengali-speaking region:
"...although throughout the Bengali-speaking area a single, more or less uniform variety of the language is regarded as the standard dialect, the bulk of speakers have at best a passing acquaintance with it. That is, horizontal differentiation of Bengali lects is very extensive (if poorly researched), both in terms of the number of regional dialects that occur and in terms of their mutual divergence."

As already mentioned, Rājbanshi is spoken in south-eastern Nepal in the districts of Jhāpā and Morang. The latest census states the number of speakers of Rājbanshi in Nepal as approximately 129800 (NIDI 2006:NP15), and the language is therefore held to be the 14th largest language group in Nepal. The districts of Morang and Jhāpā are marked on Map 1.


Map 2. Language informants in Jhāpā
The initial purpose of this research was to document the basic constructions of the Rājbanshi language as spoken in Nepal. However, to satisfactorily describe the range of Rājbanshi dialects spoken would be a colossal undertaking, and so I have restricted the enquiry to two dialects used in Jhāpā. I have mainly spent time with three informants from the northern region of Jhāpā (Dharma L. Rājbanshi and Gokul Rājbanshi from Ghailāḍubbā, and Manorath Rājbanshi from Lakharigaddi), and one informant from southwestern Jhāpā (Pāmar P. Rājbanshi from Korobāri). I have also studied the secondary agreement system which occurs in southern Morang (Dādar Bairiā and Rangeli), although I have not delved very deeply into this dialect.

In addition to the dialects in Morang, extensive study is still required to cover those dialects on the extreme south-eastern border of Nepal; the Rājbanshi dialects spoken on
the eastern border of Jhāpā are reported to be somewhat different from the dialects studied in this work. They are said to be more like the dialectal variants spoken over the border in the Indian state of West Bengal, and thus lack such grammatical features as secondary agreement.

My hope is that this work will lay the foundation for more extensive research on the Rājbanshi varieties spoken in Nepal. In no way do I claim a complete adequacy or accuracy of the data, or even of the presentation for that matter. I do believe, however, that it constitutes a preliminary milestone, which can then be corrected, refined and added to, in order to finally arrive at a full understanding of this language which represents just one part of the immensely rich linguistic heritage of Nepal. I sincerely hope that this work will help to support the Rājbanshi community in their endeavours to develop and promote the use of their language, and to encourage the continuation of its use by the future generations.

MORANG DISTRICT


| Omec for the Coardinntion or Humanilarinn Afrairs (OCHN) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| United Nations, Nepal |

Map 3. Language informants in Morang

### 1.2 Theoretical background

This work is by nature primarily descriptive and synchronic. Its theoretical basis is what has been coined "Basic Linguistic Theory", as maintained by Dixon (2002 [1997]:128):
"The term Basic Linguistic Theory has recently come into use for the fundamental theoretical concepts that underlie all work in language description and change, and the postulation of general properties of human languages."

Basic Linguistic Theory is primarily based on traditional grammar, though it has also been considerably influenced by various linguistic approaches, including typological research, as Dryer (in press) states:
> "In a number of respects, typological work was (and is) closer to traditional grammar than to more formal theoretical approaches. This fact, the informal nature of typology, and the direct relevance of typology to the sorts of descriptive issues faced by descriptive linguists meant that typological work had a tremendous impact on descriptive work. ... basic linguistic theory is traditional grammar modified in various ways by other theoretical traditions over the years."

One might of course question whether Basic Linguistic Theory can be regarded as a "theoretical framework". Nichols (2007:232), who links Basic Linguistic Theory closely to typology, states that Basic Linguistic Theory is a "framework-neutral theory":
> "Typological theory is much like what Dixon (1997:128-135) calls "Basic Linguistic Theory" (though I would remove the capital letters because it is not a framework but rather a framework-neutral theory): the body of knowledge about grammar built up over the years by analysis and comparison of different languages."

A second question which could be raised about descriptive linguistics is whether it can, in any sense, be considered "theoretical". Often "descriptive research" is regarded as "descriptive", and "explanatory research" (be it formal or functional) as "theoretical". Discussing the distinction between "descriptive" and "explanatory" theories, Dryer (in press) affirms that a descriptive theory can also be (indirectly) explanatory:
> "...there is a sense in which what I am calling descriptions are themselves explanatory at a different level. Namely, if the grammar is a representation of what is inside speakers' heads and hence what underlies linguistic behaviour, then the grammar itself can be viewed as part of the explanation for linguistic behaviour, and the grammar serves as an explanation for particular facts of the language."

The role of description in linguistic science was, to a degree, undermined during the generativist era. Chomsky (1965:5) naturally insisted that descriptive linguistics ("traditional grammar", "structuralism") was inadequate from the point of view of linguistic science since it does not pay attention to the "creative" aspect of language.
"This is the traditional problem of descriptive linguistics, and traditional grammars give a wealth of information concerning structural descriptions of sentences. However, valuable as
they obviously are, traditional grammars are deficient in that they leave unexpressed many of the basic regularities of the language with which they are concerned. ... An analysis of the best existing grammars will quickly reveal that this is a defect of principle, not just a matter of empirical detail or logical preciseness."

Having said this, even Chomsky (1965:5) did give some credit to (empirical) linguistic description:
> "Nevertheless, it seems obvious that the attempt to explore this largely uncharted territory can most profitably begin with a study of the kind of structural information presented by traditional grammars and the kind of linguistic processes that have been exhibited, however informally, in these grammars."

Dixon (2002 [1997]:133-134), however, disputes the logical outcome of this stance.
"There is one major myth in modern linguistics which is responsible more than anything for the discipline losing contact with its subject matter, the study of languages. It goes as follows. There are essentially two types of linguist. The descriptivists, who do field work and write grammars. And the 'theoreticians' ... who do not gather data themselves but rather interpret it, from the point of view of their chosen formalism. The myth is that the work done by the 'theoreticians' is more difficult, more important, more intellectual, altogether on a higher plane than the basic work undertaken by the descriptivists. This is wrong, from every angle."

I personally view description as more than just an empirical endeavour. Description is inherently theoretical, as Dixon (2002 [1997]:134) states: "Every point in a grammatical description is a theoretical statement." Moreover, language descriptions are essential for on-going typological research and, in this respect, are also necessary for the advancement of theoretical linguistics in all its different forms. Along these lines, Croft (1996 [1990]:4) asserts:
> "The descriptive work which has been and, I hope, will continue to be done on the tremendous number of languages in the world is absolutely essential not just to typological theory but to all linguistic theories... The attitude that descriptive work is not valued (it is "just" descriptive or "descriptivist") must be abandoned for there to be progress in linguistic theory."

Here Dryer's (in press) distinction between "descriptive theory" and "explanatory theory" (be this formal or functional) is useful:
"Structuralism is fully compatible with functionalism. Structuralism is a descriptive theory, while functionalism is an explanatory theory. There is no incompatibility in describing a language from a structuralist perspective and then explaining, in functionalist terms, the things described."

Coming from another perspective, Croft (1996 [1990]:248-249) maintains that grammatical analysis can be more accurately described in terms of levels of generalisation:
> "Instead of the dichotomy of "description" vs. "explanation," one can describe grammatical analysis ... with a scalar concept of degrees of generalization. The basic concept is that a more general linguistic statement can be said to explain a more specific one, though it may itself be explained by yet a more general statement. ... In linguistics, we may distinguish three levels of generalization that are significant for approaches to human languages.
> The first level is the lowest, the level of observation, that is what constitutes the basic facts of language. There is essential agreement on the level of observation between generative and typological approaches: both begin with basic facts of linguistic structure.
> The second level is actually a set of levels, the levels of internal generalization.
> The third level is that of external generalization, at which the linguist invokes concepts from psychology, biology and other realms outside the structure of language.
> Finally, there is a cross-cutting level of generalization, diachronic generalization."

Based on the above, this sketch of the Rājbanshi language can be said to be based on "descriptive theory" (Dryer), or to be an "observational" (or, "surface-oriented") grammatical analysis (Croft). The terminology necessary for describing the structures of the language are drawn from previous studies on Indo-Aryan languages on the one hand, and general linguistic sources on the other. Having stated this, I will assume the adequacy of various concepts in the analysis, and I will discuss these in the following section.

### 1.2.1 Basic concepts

In the course of this grammar I will assume that it is legitimate to use a range of traditional categories and terminology. These include the following:
a. Syntactic roles such as subject (SU), direct object (DO) and indirect object (IO),
b. Fillmorean case roles such as agent, patient, recipient, beneficiary, etc.,
c. Parts-of-speech classes such as noun, adjective, adverb and verb, and
d. Phrases such as NP, PP and AdvP.

While acknowledging that the universality, or "pre-established" status, of these categories, has been questioned (Croft 2001; Haspelmath 2007; inter alia), I find them a practical necessity in basic linguistic description. I would fall into the category of linguists, concerning whom Haspelmath (2007:121) writes:
"For descriptive linguists, there would have to be a list that contains the pre-established categories that general linguists have figured out in some way. These would not necessarily
have to be innate, but they would have to be universal in the sense that a descriptive linguist can be sure that the categories needed for describing his/her language are on the list."

However, terminology needs to be used flexibly, bearing in mind that one may require a given term to be redefined according to the specific needs of the language under study. The "historical present" discussed in Chapter 8, is one such category.

Likewise, the notion of "subject" is not unproblematic in NIA languages as Masica (1991:339-364) maintains. Particularly notable in relation to the Rājbanshi subject are constructions such as secondary agreement (where the verb can agree with arguments other than the subject), the nominative case (which is used to mark clause constituents other than merely the subject), the dative ("experiencer") subject (which is marked with the dative case), and the position of the subject (which is clause-initial in default clauses, but can also be found in other positions due to displacement).

I will use the terms "direct object" and "indirect object", though the positions of these arguments are all but fixed (for example, the direct object is quite frequently found topicalised in clause-initial position), though the direct object may be marked with the nominative case (if it is inanimate), and though in transitive clauses the verb may agree with the direct object of the clause in place of the subject. In order to distinguish between different arguments I will at times employ the Fillmorean case roles mentioned above.

Regarding the classification of parts-of-speech, I will consider a notional class analysis as inadequate (following Schachter 1985:3; Croft 2001:63), but I will follow Schachter's (1985:3) classification which is based on grammatical criteria:
"The grammatical properties of a word that are here taken to be relevant to its parts-of-speech classification include the word's distribution, its range of syntactic functions, and the morphological or syntactic categories for which it is specifiable."

I will assume that there is ample empirical evidence to assert that Rājbanshi has distinct word classes such as noun, verb and adjective. Distribution is an insufficient criterion for word classification, given the wide range of displacement features in the Rājbanshi clause. Nouns, however, function as prototypical subjects and objects, though also verbs can have nominal properties when they function as infinitival complements (cf. section 7.4.2.1.3). Nouns are not marked for tense, aspect or mood; these are categories reserved for verbs. Moreover, number morphology is distinct for nouns and verbs. Nouns are marked for case, whereas only the verbal infinitive in Rājbanshi can be marked with the genitive case. Unlike verbs, Rājbanshi nouns are assigned to one of two noun classes. Finally, Rājbanshi has a distinct class of adjectives, though (as discussed in section 4.2) one also finds a restricted set of nouns which can likewise function as adjectives.

Finally, I will refer to the phrase structure of the NP, PP and AdjP. A phrase contains a "head" which may have a dependent (cf. sections 7.2.1-7.2.3). By the term "verb phrase" I
imply the structure of simple or complex verbs (conjunct and compound verbs; cf. section 7.2.4).

As shown in section 7.3.3.5, some infringement of the noun phrase is found in conjunction with displacement in that noun phrase-internal elements may be separated by certain phrase-external elements. For example, in a displaced order the head of a noun phrase may be in clause-initial position, while its dependent (e.g. the determiner) may be displaced to clause-final position. Similarly, a subject may be interposed between the possessor and its governing noun phrase head. Initially, it would seem that such reordering is due to focus and de-emphasis, and that stylistic implications may also need to taken into consideration. Nevertheless, what is certain is that such constructions are not the default (and they are relatively infrequent), and therefore I assume the legitimacy of the noun phrase.

### 1.2.2 The corpus

This analysis of the grammar of Rājbanshi is based on two main research principles: the corpus should, as far as possible, be natural (that is, it should originate from a natural context), and that it should be based on the spoken form.

According to the first premise, evidence for the structures of the language have, as far as possible, been drawn from narrative material. A reasonable corpus of elicitational material was also collected (elicitation was, for example, used extensively in determining the language's verbal morphology). Whenever this kind of material is used it is, if at all possible, crosschecked against text material, since grammatical judgements, even from the best of informants, proved to be at times inconsistent. This observation corresponds well with Bresnan (2007:297; cf. also Givón 1979:23, 1984:10-11; inter alia):

> "Although grammaticality judgments are considered an extremely rich source of data, it has long been evident that introspections about decontextualized, constructed examples - especially in syntactic and semantic domains - are unreliable and inconsistent, as pointed out by sociolinguists and dialectologists (Labov 1975, 1996; Cornips \& Poletto 2004)."

The text corpus comprises approximately 1000 sentences of recorded and transcribed oral text (Appendix 3). This corpus was elicited from three mother tongue speakers each of whom uses the dialect spoken in Ghailāạubbā and Lakharigaddi, somewhat south-west of the town of Birtāmoḍ, Jhāpā. The informants are Dharma Lal Rājbanshi (texts DLR.01DLR.06), Gokul Rājbanshi (texts GR.01-GR.02) and Manorath Rājbanshi (texts MR.01MR.05). ${ }^{3}$ Audio recordings of the narratives can be found on the accompanying CD.

[^3]In addition to the transcribed corpus in Appendix 3, I recorded twenty four samples representing many of the dialects of Rājbanshi spoken throughout Morang and Jhāpā (cf. Map 2 and Map 3). Though these narratives are not in transcribed form, they are nevertheless occasionally referred to during the course of this grammar. The reader can refer to the audio recordings on the accompanying CD.


Photographs 2 and 3. Pāmar P. Rājbanshi (left) and Manorath Rājbanshi
Two types of text have been elicited: narrative and descriptive. The descriptive texts were obtained by showing the language informant a photograph relating to some aspect of Rājbanshi culture or surroundings. The informant was then requested to talk about what he saw in the picture. The researcher may have prompted as necessary with extra questions in order to elicit further material. The natural flow of the descriptive texts is however questionable, as will be evident from the audio recordings. The process was new to the informants and therefore they may in places have been too conscious of their own speech. Conversely, they performed well when eliciting narrratives since by that time they had become used to the recording apparatus and were quite obviously more at ease in their role as language informants. Admittedly, one weakness of the corpus at hand is that it consists primarily of narratives, and it lacks other genres, and face-to-face conversation.

| DLR | Age: 35 yrs | Education: below SLC | Occupation: social worker |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GR | Age: 60 yrs | Education: SLC | Occupation: farmer |
| MR | Age: 32 years | Education: Intermediate | Occupation: private service |
| PPR | Age: 40 yrs | Education: BA(Commerce) | Occupation: writer |

Initially everything was transcribed from the recording, including mistakes. The informant and the researcher then checked the text. Generally two types of corrections were requested. Firstly, corrections of blatant mistakes, broken sentences or incorrect choice of words (eg. "This is my mistake - we do not say it like that..."). Secondly, the informant may have felt that changes in sentence structure or the ordering of sentences were necessary (eg. "This sentence is correct, but it could be said better in this way...", "This whole section should be re-ordered to make more sense", "I said that, but my facial expression was like this ... so what I meant was..."). I made note of the original forms for later reference, but almost always made the changes requested by the informant.


Photographs 4 and 5. Gokul Rājbanshi (left) and Dharma L. Rājbanshi
At several stages informants felt it necessary to change the style of the text from oral to literary, based on the presumption that the language is spoken in one way but written in another. I often hesitated to make these kinds of changes since the written form has not yet fully evolved.

The second main research principle was to base observations primarily on the spoken form. This is due to the fact that the literary tradition in the language is very young and there is little consensus on a "correct" written form. I was soon to find out that what was spoken and what was written deviated quite considerably from each other. Admittedly, as Nida (1967:156) suggests, in languages where the written form is in its infancy, the spoken style and the written style will inevitably and rapidly exhibit differences:
"It is interesting ... to note that in languages with a very short literary tradition, for example, those in which people have written for only twenty or thirty years, certain significant differences of written and oral style rapidly emerge. Accordingly, one cannot set down as a criterion of good written style for a new language merely the oral style of good speakers, for there are significant modifications which inevitably take place and which must be carefully considered."

However, what has also been noticed is a tendency in Rājbanshi to structure the written form according to the more prestigious and developed languages of the area (in this case, Nepali, Hindi and Bengali). This is not necessarily always a bad trend, but it does have certain implications.

One very clear example is the tendency to avoid constituent displacement in the written form. As the reader will notice from the text material, constituent displacement plays an important role in topicalisation and focus. It took little effort to determine that the basic order of Rājbanshi is SOV, but it was only from studying natural discourse that I was able to ascertain how frequently displaced constructions do actually occur in the language (in approximately $20 \%$ of all narrative sentences).

If one were to straight-jacket the texts to a strict constituent order, the text would appear to be dry and unexciting; bones without substance as it were. As a result I have refrained from changing the original oral style to what may be deemed its literary counterpart, and have based the grammar, as far as possible, on the spoken form.

The most substantial works on the Rājbanshi language to date are Grierson (1963a [1903]), Toulmin (2006) and Poudel (2006). Toulmin presents an impressive historical reconstruction of a wide variety of Rājbanshi dialects ("Kamta, Rajbanshi and Northern Deshi Bangla") stretching from south-eastern Nepal and northern Bangladesh into western Assam. Shorter works on the language include Barma (1991), Upādhyāyā (1998), Rājbanshi D. S. (VS 2057), Eppele \& Grimes (2001), Toulmin M. (2002a; 2002b) and Poudel (2005). In addition, works published on cultural, demographic and educational aspects include Sanyal (1965), Clark (1970), Bertie (1982), Gautam \& Thapa-Magar (1994), Rājbanshi D. (VS 2057), Toulmin D. (2002), Gautam (2005) and Rājbanshi P. (VS 2064a,b).

Important sources for information on Indo-Aryan grammar and structure have been Jha (1958) and Yadav ([1997] 1996) on Maithili, Kellogg (1955 [1875]) and Tikkanen (1991) on Hindi, Kakati (1962) on Assamese, Tiwari (1960) and Shukla (1981) on Bhojpuri, and Masica (1991) on Indo-Aryan in general. References to Bengali are from Chatterji (1926a,b), Klaiman (1987) and Masica (1991).

## 2. Phonology

### 2.1 Introduction

In this section I will describe the phonemic elements of three of the Rājbanshi dialects spoken in the Jhāpā district (Korobāri, Lakhanpur and Ghailāạubbā-Lakharigaddi). I will cover the vowel and consonant segmentals as well as notes on the syllable structure, and present initial observations on nasalisation, length and stress. The content of this section is based primarily on an unpublished manuscript (Rājbanshi \& Wilde 2004) and a published article (Wilde 2005), both of which focus on Rājbanshi phonology.

I have approached the data according to the principles of American structuralism, much along the lines followed by Pike (1971 [1947]). Words have been contrasted in isolation from connected speech in order to determine the contrastive segmental and suprasegmental elements and their complementary and free variation. Simple as this may seem, several difficulties need mentioning.

Firstly, I have not attempted to differentiate between tadbhavas (that is, Sanskrit loans which reflect the phonological structure of the current form) and cognates (or, the deśaya/deśī category, cf. Masica 1991:65-67). I have viewed tadbhavas as reliable resource words alongside cognates. This has a negligible effect from the point of view of the phonology, but any work on the structure of the contemporary lexicon (not attempted here) would need to distinguish between the two.

The tatsama element (that is, the Sanskrit form), which is present particularly in literary work, is often easier to distinguish than the tadbhava element. For example, wordinitial phonotactics in tatsamas and contemporary Rājbanshi differ considerably (cf. Table 10). Borrowing from Sanskrit is a common feature in New Indo-Aryan languages, as Yadav (1997 [1996]:24) explains with reference to Maithili:
"...Maithili has borrowed extensively from Sanskrit... All this has had a curious impact upon the phonology of Maithili. In literate and educated varieties of speech, for instance, the native speakers of Maithili tend to retain the original pronunciation of the borrowed words. In less literary styles, however, they abandon it."

Furthermore I have found it difficult at times to determine which words are loans from current Hindi, Nepali, Maithili or Bengali, and which words can be considered to be cognates (but are nevertheless identical to the words in related languages).

Secondly, working on phonology alongside the orthographic representation can be misleading, especially when the orthography employed carries a strong historic weighting, as is the case with the Devanāgari script. As Masica (1991:86) warns: "The writer ... may be unduly influenced by the orthography if one exists". In certain cases the language informants' perceptions of the sound system of their own language may be influenced by spelling conventions which have emerged in recent Rājbanshi literature. Examples of this are possibly the mid-centralised vowel [ I , and intervocalic voiced retroflex/rhotic segments.

Thirdly, from a strictly structuralist point of view, non-contrastive elements such as nasalisation should not present a problem in the phonology. However, though there is no basis for postulating phonemic nasalisation (cf. also Toulmin 2006:138-139), it is indeed used by many speakers. Whereas many informants are of the opinion that nasalised vowels are used and should be marked in the orthography, cross-informant examination reveals that there is little consensus as to where it exists. Whether this situation is due to a shift in the phonology is uncertain. It is also possible that nasalisation is deemed necessary since it is contrastive in the neighbouring and influential Indo-Aryan languages such as Maithili, Nepali and Hindi.

Likewise, the phonemes $/ \mathrm{j} /$ and $/ \mathrm{w} /$ do not appear to have phonemic status in contemporary Rājbanshi but they do nevertheless occur as distinctive components in some words. A cross-linguistic study of neighbouring languages would most likely reveal reasons for irregularities of this kind, but I have not attempted that here. Nasalisation and central approximants will be discussed in more detail in the corresponding sections, but suffice it to say here that focusing on an Indo-Aryan language in isolation without paying attention to the wider Indo-Aryan context may be misleading.

### 2.2 Vowels

### 2.2.1 Vowel phonemes

The vowel phonemes detected during this research are: $/ \mathrm{i} /$, $/ \mathrm{e} /$, $/ \mathrm{s} /$, $/ \mathfrak{x} /$, $/ \mathrm{o} /$ and $/ \mathrm{u} /$. The vowels were found to occur in the following environments:

Table 1. Vowel distribution

|  |  | word-initial | word-medial |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| इ | i | इ- /i-/ [?i] 'DEM[prox]-' | चिला /tsil ${ }^{\text {ha/ }}$ / [tsilhæ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 'eagle' |
| ए | e | एक /ek/ [Pek] 'one' |  |
| आ | æ | आङोल /æyol/ [? $\mathfrak{x} y$ õl] 'finger; toe' | पाथि /pætr ${ }^{\text {h }}$ / [pætr ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}$ ] 'leaf' |

Table 1. (cont.)

| अ | $\Lambda$ | अगिन / अघिन / $\Lambda \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{in} /{ }^{\text {/ }}$ [ $\left.33 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i} . \mathrm{n}\right]$ 'fire' | मधु /mıd ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{u} /\left[\bmod ^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}^{\prime}\right]$ 'honey' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ओ | 0 | ओर /or/ [Por] '(in, ओर ह- or ha-) end' | गोरोल / gorol/ [gor/rol] 'heron' |
| उ | u | उकुस /ukus/ [?ukus] 'hawk' | कुत्ता /kuț:æ/ [kutr:æ] 'dog' |
|  |  | closed syllable | word-final |
| इ | i | करिप /kлrip/ [kлcı/ip] 'approximately' | चुलि /tsuli/ [tsuli] 'hair' |
| ए | e | खेत $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{et} /\left[\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{et}\right]$ 'field' | दारे /diære/ [diære/e] 'by' |
| आ | æ | हात /hæt/ [hæt] 'hand, arm' | चेम्रा /tsemræ/ [tsemræ] 'skin' |
| अ | $\Lambda$ | सरक /s $\Lambda$ r $\Lambda \mathrm{k} /\left[\mathrm{s} \Lambda \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{r} \Lambda \mathrm{k}^{7} / \mathrm{k}\right]$ 'road' | कल /k $\Lambda^{\prime} \Lambda /\left[\mathrm{k} \Lambda \mathrm{l}_{3} / \Lambda\right]$ 'banana' |
| ओ | 0 | लोक /lok/ [lo'k] 'person; man' | तारो /taro/ [ṫæ'ro] 'star' |
| उ | u | थुप /tr ${ }^{\text {h }} u p /\left[\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}^{\prime} \mathrm{p}\right]$ 'spit' | घुगु / $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ugu} /\left[\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u} \mathrm{gu}\right]$ 'dove' |

The vowel phoneme /i/ is articulated as [i]. Word-initial /i/ is rare: in the whole data base /i/ was found to occur word-initially in the demonstrative इ- /i-/ [?i] 'DEM[prox]' (for example, in इला /i-læ/ [Rilæ] 'DEM[prox]-PL'), and loan words such as इनाम /inæm/ [?inæm] 'reward' and इत्यादि /itjædi/ [?itjæædi] 'etcetera (Skt)'. Utterance-initial vowels are often preceded by a (non-phomemic) glottal plosive [?].

Though there is only limited evidence for semivowels in Rājbanshi phonology, the word-initial [j] in the pronoun याहाँ(ए) /jæhæ(e)/ [jæhæ/ $\widetilde{\text { ( }}$ (e)] '3sg[pro/prox]', and loan words such as या /jæ/ [jæ] 'or' and योजनT /jodzıñæ/ [jodzıñæ] 'plan', should be interpreted as a semivowel (cf. section 2.3.4 on central approximants).

Some informants felt a need to distinguish between three front unrounded vowels: /e/ $[\varepsilon]$, /i/ [i] and [r]. There is indeed a phonemic distinction between /e/ [e/ $\varepsilon]$, and $/ \mathrm{i} /[\mathrm{i}]$. However, there is no evidence for positing a third contrasting front vowel/I/. Initially, the data would suggest that:
(a) Phone $[\mathrm{I}]$ could be an allophone of $/ \mathrm{i} /$ when following non-word-initial liquids $/ \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{and} / \mathrm{r} /$.
(b) Phone [r] could be an allophone of /e/ in closed syllables which are checked with a syllable-final voiceless plosive.
(c) Having said this, it is also possible that [ I$]$ is an allophone of /e/ in (non-word-initial) non-stressed syllables.

The preceding liquids $/ \mathrm{l} /$ and $/ \mathrm{r} /$ can not be the only conditioning factor however, since the vowel $/ \mathrm{i} /$ is pronounced as [i] when following the word-initial liquids in:
(1) a. रिस /ris/ ['ris] 'anger'
b. लिहानि /lihani/ ['lihæni] 'blanket'
c. लिले/li-l-I/ ['lilr] 'take-PST-3'

Additionally, the mid-centralised [ I ] is found in the 3p future -बे $/-\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{I} /$ [br] '-FUT-3'. Whether this is due to stress (that is, /i/ or /e/ have the conditioned variant $[\mathrm{I}]$ in unstressed syllables) is unclear.

Table 2. Phonemes /e/ and /i/, and allophone [r]

|  | /e/ [ $\varepsilon$ ] | /i/ or /e/ [r] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| position 1- | मर्ले /mar-le/ [mırle] 'die-COND.PTCL’ | ठुक्ले /tuk-1-I/ [tuklı/e] 'hit-PST-3' <br> ठुकिस्ले /tuk-isl-I/ [tukislı/e] 'hit-REM.PST-3' <br> ठुक्लेतन /tuk-1-I t tnn/ [tuklı/et̃nn] 'hit-PST-3 PST.COND' |
| position $r_{-}$ | रे /re/ [re/e] 'PCL' | $\begin{aligned} & \text { आरे /ærI/ [?ærI] 'VOC' } \\ & \text { रे /ri/ [rI] 'VOC' } \\ & \text { घरेर /g } \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{er} /\left[\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{rI} / \mathrm{er}\right] \text { 'house-GEN' } \end{aligned}$ |
| position b_ | ठुक्बे /tuk-b-e/ [țukbe/ع] 'hit-INF-EMPH' | ठुक्बे /tuk-b-i/ [tukbi/e] 'hit-FUT-3' |
| closed $\sigma$ |  | मरेक /m^r-ek/ [m^rI/ek] 'die-IMP2sg' <br> ठुकेक /tuk-ek/ [țuki/ek] 'hit-IMP2sg' <br> बिख /bik ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} /\left[\mathrm{brk}^{\mathrm{h}}\right]$ 'poison' |

This becomes a problem when comparing the phonology with the orthography. Most occurrences of [I] in current Rājbanshi orthography have been represented as ए /e/ (in fact [ I ] does fluctuate with [ e$]$, but this stands in contrast with the open-mid [ $\varepsilon$ ]-variant of the phoneme /e/). Surprisingly, no informants feel it would be appropriate to represent the phone [ I ] as इ / $\mathrm{i} /$. Whether this is due to a subconscious native perception of the phonological system, or an adherence to spelling conventions which have emerged in recent literature is unclear.

Chart 1 presents results of the formant analysis of the vowels [i], [r] and [ $\varepsilon$ ]. The vowels $[\mathrm{I}]$ and $[\varepsilon]$ were extracted from 59 verbs contrasting the past tense $3 p$ form (-ले $[-1-\mathrm{I}])$ and the conditional participle (-ले $[-1-\varepsilon])$. Though variation of the vowel $[\varepsilon]$ is considerable, it is substantially more open than the vowel [r]. Formant values for the vowel [i] were extracted from 67 recorded samples of words where the vowel [i] occurs in the initial syllable. Moreover, though there is a degree of (somewhat predictable) overlap between the vowels [i] and [r], there is a clear tendency for the vowel [ I ] to be slightly more open.

Masica (1991:115) mentions a contrast in Bengali which would seem to be very similar:

[^4]It is doubtful that the vowel [ I ] found in Rājbanshi is the same as the Bengali vowel mentioned by Masica. In the data collected so far, the mid-centralised [r] was only found
in the environments shown in Table 2. No nouns where found to show the distinction. ${ }^{4}$ Moreover, if [r] does indeed have phonemic status in Rājbanshi, one would expect to find a three-way contrast. This was not so; only two-way contrasts between /e/ and [r], and /e/ and /i/ were found. Throughout this work I have transcribed [r] as /i/ or /e/ when I am certain, but as /I/ when I am uncertain.


Chart 1. Formant analysis of [i], [I] and [ $\varepsilon$ ]
The vowel phoneme /e/ is articulated as [e] or [ $\varepsilon$ ] and is found in all positions. The "inherent vowel" $/ \Lambda /$ is often articulated as $[\Lambda]$ and is found in all positions, and has the free variants [3] and [ə]. The vowel is at best only slightly rounded ([^今]), and never as round as the Bengali "inherent vowel" / $/$ / (cf. Figure 1 for lip positions for Rājbanshi vowels).

The low front vowel $/ æ /$ is articulated as front $[\mathfrak{x}]$ or central $[\mathrm{e}]$ and is found in all positions. Note that the vowel/æ/ does not diphthongise as in the Maithili [əə̆], [aĕ] and [aĭ] (cf. Yadav 1997 [1996]:15-16). Vowel sequences of /æ.e/ are found but are pronounced as [æe].

The vowel phoneme $/ \mathrm{u} /$ is articulated as [ u ], and the phoneme $/ \mathrm{o} /$ as a close-mid rounded vowel [ o ]. Both vowels are found in all positions, though the vowel/o/ is rare in word-initial position.

[^5]

Figure 1. Lip positions for Rājbanshi vowel phonemes

### 2.2.2 Vowel sequences and diphthongs

I will attempt a brief analysis of the vowel sequences and diphthongs here, though I must state that further research is required in order to reach a final conclusion on the issue. I will refer to the term "diphthong" according to the definitions given by Laver (1994) and Kenstowich (1996 [1994]):
"When the medial phase shows an audible change of quality, with the change consistently progressing towards a single target, as it were, then the sound is classified as a diphthong." (Laver 1994:146)
"The term 'diphthong' is often used more broadly to denote any sequence of tautosyllabic vowels. They need not necessarily share any phonological features and the entire sequence may count as a single timing unit (mora)." (Kenstowich 1996 [1994]:46)

Despite the observation that the "majority of languages of the world do not use diphthongs in their phonological inventory" (Laver 1994:285; emphasis mine), there is a high probablity that diphthongs will be found in NIA languages, as Masica (1991:116) maintains:
"Sanskrit has only the two diphthongs /ai/ and /au/, for which special symbols were eventually provided in later Brahmi ... the NIA languages generally have an expanded inventory of falling diphthongs ... These naturally have to be represented in other ways, either as sequences of vowels (which may also represent disyllabic sequences) or of vowel + the semivowels $/ y /$ and $/ W /$. As there is a reluctance in some descriptions to recognize any diphthongs other than the traditional two (and therefore go into the question at all), it is difficult to be exhaustive in comparisons, but it is clear enough that the Eastern languages have the greatest number of true diphthongs (as well as disyllabic vowel sequences."

The vowel sequences and diphthongs encountered in the data include:

Table 3. VV-sequences and diphthongs

|  | second vowel |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | i इ | [1] | e ए | $\mathfrak{x}$ आ |
|  | i इ |  | - | बठिए /bstitie/ [bstitije] 'sit-CONJ.PTCL' | खेटिया $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e}$ tiæ/ [ $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ etijæ] ‘jackal’ |
|  | [I] | - |  | - | - |
|  | e ए | पेइसा /peisa/ [pejsæ] 'money' | - |  | - |
|  | $\mathfrak{m}$ | माइ /mæi/ [mæj] 'y_sister' | - | आच्छाए/æts ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} æ \mathrm{e} /$ [æts ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathfrak{\text { }}$ ] 'well' | (खाआ(ल) $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} æ æ(\mathrm{l}) /\left[\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} æ(\mathrm{l})\right]$ ‘eat-PST.PTCL’) |
|  | $\Lambda$ अ | पइन /p^in $\wedge$ / $p \wedge j n \wedge$ ] 'irrigation channel' | - | सएल /s sel/ [s sel] 'neck_guide' | थआल / $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge æ l /\left[\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge æ l\right]$ 'keep-PST.PTCL' |
|  | o ओ | - | - | कोधोए /kod ${ }^{\text {hoe }} /\left[\mathrm{kod}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{oe}\right]$ 'never' | - |
|  | u उ | तुँइ /tui/ [tta/uĩ/j] '2sg[pro]' भुइ $/ b^{h} u i /\left[b^{h} u ̣ j\right]$ 'ground' | - | - | पुवाल /puæl/ [pu(w)æl] 'straw' |
|  | second vowel |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\Lambda$ अ |  | o ओ | u उ |
|  | i इ | सिन्ठिअ $/ \operatorname{sint}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \Lambda /\left[\operatorname{sint}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i} \Lambda\right.$ ] 'plant_marrow-CNJ' |  | - | घिउँ /ghiu/ [g ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}$ w/w] $/$ 'ghee' |
|  | [I] | - |  | - | - |
|  | e ए | देअँ /den/ [deñ] '(local) divinity |  | - | फेउर /p ${ }^{\text {heur }}$ [ [feur] 'fox' |
|  | $\mathfrak{m}$ आ | माअँ /mæл/ [mæர)/ $]^{\prime}$ 'mother' |  | खाओक /k $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ æok/ [ $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} æ \mathrm{ok}$ ] 'eat-SUBJ2sg' | बाउ /bæu/ [beu/w] 'father' |
|  | $\Lambda$ अ |  |  | - | तउलिया /tıulia/ [tı̧wlijæ] 'towel' |
|  | o ओ | - |  |  | - |
|  | u उ | गुअ /gu^/ [gu^] 'feces-CNJ' |  | - |  |

In the language data to hand, three types of vowel sequences are found. Firstly there are the vowel sequences which can be clearly identified as disyllabic sequences (2a). Secondly, there is a set of vowels which are potential candidates for CV-sequences or
falling diphthongs (2b), and thirdly, there is a set of vowels which are potential candidates for VC-sequences or rising diphthongs (2c). Vowel sequences which do not occur in the data corpus are listed in (2d).
(2) a. Clear (disyllabic) vowel sequences /eл, ле, лæ, ое, æе, æл, æо, (ææ?)/
b. Potential falling dipthongs or CV-sequences /iu, ie, iæ, iц, uæ, u^, ui/
c. Potential rising dipthongs or VC-sequences /eu, iu, æu, $u$, ei, æi, si, ui/
d. Non-occuring vowel sequences /ii, ee, oо, uи, лл, io, eæ, eо, oæ, ол, ou, oi, ие, ио, ло/

I find a diphthong / CV-analysis for most of the vowel sequences in (2b) to be unwarranted. Generally both vowels are given syllabic prominence, and they are often mediated by an intervocalic glide (3a-b). ${ }^{5}$ Moreover, a CV-analysis of the absolutive in (3c) would lead to an erroneous analysis of the (default) absolutive in (3d; cf. section 2.4 for discussion on phonotactics).
(3) a. Disyllabic CVCV छुवा /ts ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u} . æ /\left[\mathrm{ts} / \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{uwæ}\right]$ 'child' (*monosyllabic *CCV */ts ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{w}$ ()
b. Trisyllabic मलिया /m^liæ/ [mılijæ] 'muddy' (*disyllabic *CVCCV */m^ljæ/)
c. Trisyllabic CVCVV रकिए/rık-ie/ [rıki(j)e] 'stop-ABS' (*disyllabic *CVCCV */rık.je/)
d. Disyllabic CVCV रकि /rık-i/ [rıki] 'stop-ABS' (*monosyllabic *CVCC */rıkj/)

The vowel sequences in (2c) are more difficult to deal with. It would seem possible to analyse (but not to write) some of these as VC-sequences, since the second vowel tends not to be given syllabic prominence (4), (5a), (6a) and (7a). However, as shown in (5b), (6b) and (7b), the syllable structure of Rājbanshi restrains the VC-sequence analysis, and therefore, for the sake of consistency, one is compelled to disgard the VC-sequence analysis in the other examples.
(4) $a$. भुइ CV.V $/ b^{h} u . i /, C V / b^{h} u i /$ or $C V C / b^{h} u j /\left[b^{h} u \underline{j}\right]$ 'ground'
b. माइ CV.V /mæ.i/, CV /mæi/ or CVC /mæj/ [mæj] 'younger sister'
c. एइड V.V.CV /e.i.d $\Lambda /$, V.CV /ei.d $\Lambda /$ / or VC.CV /ej.d $\Lambda /[$ ejd $\Lambda$ ] 'DEM[prox/emph]-NCLS'

b. फेठर CV.VC /p ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e}$.ur/ or CVC /p $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eur/ [phewr] 'fox' (*monosyllabic *CVCC */p ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ewr}$ /)
(6) a. बाउ CV.V /bæ.u/, CV /bæu/ or CVC /bæw/ [bew] 'father'

 'DEM[prox/emph]-place'

[^6]b. एइठ्ना V.VC.CV /e.it ${ }^{\text {h. }}$.næ/ or VC.CV /ei t. t.næ/ [ejtnæ] 'DEM[prox/emph]-place' (*disyllabic *VC.CCV */ej.t ${ }^{\text {h }}$ næ/)

The diphthong analysis is certainly more plausible phonotactically in the examples (4a-c), (5a) and (7a-b) above. ${ }^{6}$ This is supported by the observation that examples (8a-b) were regarded by the informant PPR as disyllabic.
(8) a. कइना possibly disyllabic CV.CV /kıi.næ/ [kıjñæ] 'bride'
b. एइला possibly disyllabic V.CV /ei.1æ/ [ejlæ] 'DEM[prox/emph]-PL'
c. पइनि possibly disyllabic CV.CV /p^i.nini/ [pıjni] 'irrigation channel'
 requires some consideration. The sequence $/ \mathfrak{\text { æ }}$. $/$ should not be considered akin to the Maithili / $\partial \mathrm{u} /$, which according to Yadav (1997 [1996]:17) is a variant of / $\mathrm{o} /$ :
"Of the back vowels, $/ 0 /$ is the least common - especially in the final position. Also, in some dialects, / $\omega /$ varies with /o/ and / $\partial u / . "$

Consider also Masica's (1991:114) warning concerning vowel comparison between New Indo-Aryan languages:
> "Purely systematic comparisons can be misleading. Hindi, Bengali, and Gujarati /o/, for example, are different phonetically, historically, and in their written representation. The first is a long vowel, the result of monophthongization of the diphthong *au, which remains its written symbol. (In Bengali this has evolved instead into the diphthong /ou/.) The second is a phonetic development of the vowel $*_{a}>[\rho]$, therefore etymologically equivalent to Hindi and Gujarati $/ \partial /$ and as the "inherent vowel" represented by zero graphemically."

Some informants, possibly following the Hindi convention, interpret (that is, write) the final "inherent vowel" as the central approximant व /w/, suggesting a rounded articulation. Regarding the more easterly Rājbanshi dialects, Sanyal (1965:250) observes that:

[^7]"Contraction of vowels as in S.C.B. (West Bengal) does not occur in this dialect. ... There are cases where instead of contraction there is lengthening of words as in:- mao $<$ ma (mother) ... pa-o < pa (leg). Some of these words are often nasalised e.g. mão, pão etc."

Since no (or very little) rounding occurs with the "inherent" vowel (cf. figure 1), the interpretation of the vowel sequence as non-rounded $/ \mathfrak{\text { ® }} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} /$ is well supported, and hence the phonemic representations of the two examples given above are नाअँ/næ $/$ and गाअँ/gæл/ respectively.

### 2.3 Consonants

In the following sections I will consider the consonants of the language. The following consonant phonemes were detected:

Table 4. Contrastive consonant phonemes and their Devanāgari equivalents

|  |  | Bilabial | Dental | Apicoretroflex | Palatal | Velar | Glottal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plosive | voiceless unaspirated | प p | त t | टt |  | क k |  |
|  | voiceless aspirated | फ $\mathrm{p}^{\text {h }}$ | थ $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}$ | ठ $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}$ |  | ख $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ |  |
|  | voiced unaspirated | ब b | द d | ड d |  | ग g |  |
|  | voiced aspirated | भ $b^{\text {b }}$ | ध d ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | ढ d ${ }^{\text {h }}$ |  | घ $\mathrm{g}^{\text {h }}$ |  |
| Affricate | voiceless unaspirated |  | च ts |  |  |  |  |
|  | voiceless aspirated |  | छ ts $^{\text {h }}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | voiced unaspirated |  | ज dz |  |  |  |  |
|  | voiced aspirated |  | झ $\mathrm{dz}^{\text {h }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Fricative | voiceless |  | स s |  |  |  | h ह |
| Nasal | unaspirated | म m | न n |  |  | ङ y |  |
|  | aspirated | म ( (म्ह) $\mathrm{m}^{\text {h }}$ | न (न्ह) $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{h}}$ |  |  | ड. (ङ्न्ह) $\mathrm{y}^{\text {h }}$ |  |
| Rhotic | unaspirated |  | र r |  |  |  |  |
|  | aspirated |  | र (ह) $\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{h}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Approx- | lateral |  | ल 1 |  |  |  |  |
| imant | lateral aspirated |  | $\cdots \mathrm{M}$ (ल्ह) $\mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{h}}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | central | (व) (w) |  |  | (य) (j) | (व) (w) |  |

### 2.3.1 Plosives

All plosives occur word-initially, and all except for /d $/$ and /d ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} /$ occur intervocalically. In word-final position voiceless unaspirated plosive finals tend to be unreleased or slightly released (for example in the words जेअँत [dze乞̃̃ ${ }_{n}$ ] 'alive' and छात [ts ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} æ \mathrm{t}$ ] 'beehive'). In most cases voiced plosives are devoiced or voiceless in word-final position, for example in the
word बाध [bæ:d/t] 'field'. Where aspiration is marked in the orthography (for example बाध [bæ:d/t] 'field'), the actual phonetic realisation tends to disregard the aspiration. The voiceless aspirated apico-retroflex [ $\left.\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}\right]$ was recorded as strongly aspirated word-finally, for
 also recorded slightly aspirated (or at least with an audible release).

Neutralisation of the distinction between /r/ and the apico-retroflex voiced plosives /d/ and $/ \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ demands some attention. In word initial position $/ \mathrm{d} /$ and $/ \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ are realised as [d] and [ $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ] respectively, for example in the words डेगर /deg $\wedge r /$ [d $\varepsilon g \Lambda / 3 \mathrm{r}$ ] 'path' and ढेरि /d $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} e r i /$ [d $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eni] 'pile'. In this position they contrast with the rhotic /r/. When /d/ is followed or preceded by [d]] as in हड्डि [h3d:i] 'bone', or when it is preceded by the nasal $/ \mathrm{n} /$ as in the word कुन्ड /kund̃ $\Lambda /[k u \pi n d \Lambda \Lambda$ ] 'type_of_pond', the apico-retroflex plosive is realised as [d]. Masica states (1991:97; addition in brackets mine):
> "The retroflex flap $/ \mathrm{r} /$ is often taken as an allophone of $/ \underset{d}{ } /$, with which it often stands in complementary distribution: initial, geminate, and postnasal for [d]; intervocalic, final, and before or after other consonants for [r]. ... The sound (retroflex flap /r r /) is absent altogether from Assamese, East and North Bengali dialects, and Bishnupriya - in all of which it has merged with /r/..."

Likewise, in Rājbanshi, in intervocalic and word-final positions, and word-medially following the bilabial $/ \mathrm{m} /$, the apico-retroflex plosive $/ \mathrm{d} /$ is neutralised with the rhotic phoneme $/ \mathrm{r} /$ and is realised as $[\mathrm{r}]$ or $[\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{r}]$. Consider, for example, the words घेरा $/ \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eræ/ [ $\left.\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \underset{\Gamma}{ } / / \mathfrak{x}\right]$ 'extinguish-IMP2sg' (sometimes written as घेडा) and काम्रा /kæmræ/ [kæmr/ææ] 'bite-IMP2sg' (sometimes written as काम्डा)

The aspirated counterpart /d $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ is realised as $\left[\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{h}}\right.$ ], as in दारि $/ \mathrm{drr}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i} /$ [d $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$ ] ] 'beard' (sometimes written as दाढि), जेर $/$ dzer $^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda /\left[\mathrm{dzer}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda{ }^{\Lambda}\right]$ 'herd' (sometimes written as जेढ) and पर् /psrr${ }^{\text {h/ }}$ [parr/r] 'read-IMP2sg' (sometimes written as पढ् ). For reasons of consistency, I posit the addition of the phoneme $/ \mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ to the phoneme inventory though this only occurs in intervocalic and word-final position.

The bilabial plosive $/ \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ is often realised as [f], as in the words फाल्तु / $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} æ \mathrm{l}$ ltu/ [fæltur] 'extra' and देफेदार /dep ${ }^{\text {h }}$ diær/ [defedær] 'leader'. In intervocalic position /b/ has the free variants $[\mathrm{w}] /[\beta]$.

Table 5 indicates the distribution of the plosive phonemes in Rājbanshi.
Table 5. Distribution of plosives

|  |  | word-initial | intervocalic | word-final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| क | k |  | पका /pıkæ/ [p^kæ] 'insect' | नाक /ñk/ [ñ̃:k] 'nose' |
|  | $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ | खेत /k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{t}^{\text {/ }}$ [ $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e}$ t] 'field' | चखु /ts $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u} /\left[\mathrm{ts} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{ku}\right.$ ] 'eye' | मुख /muk ${ }^{\text {/ }}$ [mu'k']'mouth' |
| ग | g | गरम /g 1 rım/ [g3r3m] 'warm' | लगत /lıgnt/ [1^g3t] 'near' | गोग /gog/ [gog] 'loud voice' |

Table 5. (cont.)

| घ | $\mathrm{g}^{\text {b }}$ | घन / $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \underline{\sim} \Lambda /\left[\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{n}^{\prime} \Lambda\right]$ 'dense' | अघिन $/ \mathrm{Lg} / \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{in} /{ }^{\text {/ }}$ [ $3 \mathrm{3g} / \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}}$ inn] 'fire' | मेघ / $\mathrm{meg}^{\mathrm{h}} /[\mathrm{me} \cdot \mathrm{g}$ ] 'cloud, sky' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ट | t | टुटि /tutui/ [tutit 'throat' | बेटि /betil [beti] 'daughter' | पेट /pet/ [pet] 'belly' |
| ठ | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}$ | ठाल//t'æl/ [ $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathfrak{\mathrm { W }} \mathrm{l}$ l] 'branch' |  | उठ् /uts ${ }^{\text {h/ }}$ [ $\left.\mathrm{uts}^{\text {th}}\right]$ 'rise-IMP2sg' |
| ड | d | डेगर /degar/ [dgeg $/$ /ri] 'path' | (सरक /s $\Delta \mathrm{r} \Lambda \mathrm{k} /[\mathrm{s} \Lambda \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{h} \Lambda \mathrm{k}]$ 'road') neutralised with /r/ | (जार /dzær/ [dzæ:r/r] 'cold') neutralised with /r/ |
| ढ | $\mathrm{d}^{\text {h }}$ | ढरा / $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge r æ /\left[\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}}\right.$ ạræ] 'kind_of_snake’ | ```(बुऱा /bur'ha/ [bus/ra@] 'old; old man') neutralised with/r}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{h}}``` | ```(बार् /bær'/ [bæ̣%/ro] 'grow- IMP2sg') neutralised with/r }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{h}``` |
| त | t | तारो /tæro/ [tıæ'ro] 'star' |  | भात / $\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathfrak{r}$ / $/ \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathfrak{\mathrm { h }}$ ] 'rice(cooked)' |
| थ | $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}$ | थुप / $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{up} /$ [t $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}$ 'p] 'spit' | मुथा /mut ${ }^{\text {h }}$ / $/ \mathrm{mut}^{\text {h }} \mathfrak{x}$ ] 'head' | data not found |
| द | d | दारु /dææru/ [dæ'ru] 'liquor; medicine' | निदुर /nidur/ [nidur ${ }_{\text {r }}$ ] 'rat' | दुद /dud/ [ ${ }_{\text {dud }} /$ /t $]^{\prime}$ 'milk; breast' |
| ध | $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}}$ | धन /d $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \underline{n} /\left[\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}}\right.$ ¢ n ] 'wealth' | मधु /mıd ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathbf{u} /\left[\mathrm{m}^{\text {d }} \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}}\right.$ ụ$\left.{ }^{\prime}\right]$ 'honey | बाध /bæ:d ${ }^{\text {h/ }}$ / [bæ:d/d/t] 'field' |
| प | p | पाथि /pæ告 ${ }^{\text {h }}$ / [pæ告 ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ] 'leaf' | रुपा /rupæ/ [rupæ] 'silver' | आप /æp/ [æ'p] 'PCL' |
| फ | $\mathrm{p}^{\text {h }}$ | फल / $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{l}^{1 /}\left[\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{f} \Lambda \mathrm{l}\right]$ 'fruit' | साफा /sæp ${ }^{\text {h} æ / ~[s æ f æ] ~ ' w h i t e ' ~}$ | (निसाफ /nisæp ${ }^{\text {h/ }}$ [nisæf] 'judgement(loan)') |
| ब | b | बेटि /betil/ [betij] 'daughter' | बाबा /bæbæ/ [bæb/wæ] 'priest' | छब /ts ${ }^{\text {h }} \Lambda \mathrm{b} /\left[\mathrm{ts} / \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{b}\right]$ 'beauty' |
| भ | $\mathrm{b}^{\text {h }}$ | भालुक /b $\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}} æ$ luk/ [ $\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}} \ngtr \mathrm{luk}$ ] 'bear' | जिभा /dzib ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathfrak{\not} /\left[\mathrm{dzib} / \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathfrak{P}\right]$ 'tongue' | data not found |

### 2.3.2 Affricates and fricatives

Table 6 indicates the distribution of the affricate and fricative phonemes in Rājbanshi:

Table 6. Distribution of affricates and fricatives

|  |  | word-initial | intervocalic | word-final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Affricates |  |  |  |  |
| च | ts | चान /tsæn/[tsæ/æ̃'ñ] 'moon' | घेचा / $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}}$ etsæ/ [ $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ¢̣tsæ] 'neck' | चाच /næts/ [næ/æ̃.ts] 'dance' |
| छ | ts $^{\text {b }}$ | छार /ts ${ }^{\text {h }}$ t/ [ $\mathrm{ts}^{\mathrm{h}}$ æt] 'beehive' | बाछा /bæts ${ }^{\text {h} æ / ~[~} \mathrm{bæts}^{\text {h} æ] ~ ' c a l f(m a l e) ' ~}$ | गाछ/gæts'/ [gæts] 'tree' |
| ज | dz | जाक /dzæk/ [dzæk] 'stack' | माजा /mædzæ/ [mædzæ] 'taste' | लाज /lædz/ [læ(d)z] 'shame' |
| झ | dz ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | झाका /dz ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} æ \mathrm{k} æ /$ [dz ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} æ \mathrm{ek}$.] 'cover' | बझा /b $\wedge$ dz ${ }^{\text {h }}$ / $/\left[\mathrm{b} \wedge \mathrm{dz} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathfrak{e}\right]$ 'bundle' | data not found |
| Fricatives |  |  |  |  |
| स | s | $\begin{aligned} & \text { साफा /sæp }{ }^{\mathrm{h}} æ /\left[\mathrm{sæf} / \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} æ\right] \\ & \text { 'white' } \end{aligned}$ | \|धुसा / ${ }^{\text {h }}$ usæ/ [ $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ụææ] 'blanket' | साहास /sæhæs/ [sæhæ̣s] 'boldness' |
| ह | h | हाट /hæt/ [hæt] 'marketplace' | लिहा /lihæ/ [lifæ] 'feeding trough' | data not found |

The affricates have a mainly alveolar articulation（［ts， $\left.\mathrm{ts}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{dz}, \mathrm{dz}^{\mathrm{h}}\right]$ ）．Post－alveolar articulation of the aspirated affricates was encountered，particularly when preceding the back vowels，such as in the word छुवा／ts ${ }^{\text {h }} u æ /\left[t \int^{h}\right.$ uwæ］＇child＇．Like the plosives，the aspi－ ration contrast tends to be neutralised in word－final position，for example in the word पुछ् ／puts ${ }^{\text {h } /[p u t s / S] ~ ' a s k-I M P 2 s g ' . ~ T h e ~ p h o n e m e s ~ झ ~ / ~} \mathrm{dz}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ and ह／h／were not found word－finally．

Word－initially the glottal fricative $/ \mathrm{h} /$ is realised as voiceless［ h ］or voiced［ h ］，as in होल्को／holko／［h／họlko］＇light（weight）＇．The glottal fricative and the voiced aspirated obstruents often cause breathiness on the following vowel．

## 2．3．3 Nasals，rhotics and laterals

Table 7 indicates the distribution of the nasal，rhotic and lateral phonemes in Rājbanshi：
Table 7．Distribution of nasals，rhotics and laterals

|  |  | word－initial | intervocalic | word－final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nasals |  |  |  |  |
| म | m | माक्रा／mækræ／［mækræ］ ＇spider＇ |  | घाम／ $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} æ \mathrm{~m} /$［ $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} æ \mathrm{~m}$ ］＇sweat＇ |
| म | $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}}$ |  |  | नाम्／næm ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} /[\mathrm{n} \tilde{n} \underset{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{m}} / \mathrm{m}$ ］ ＇descend－IMP2sg＇ |
| न | n | नाक／ñk／［ñ／$\left.{ }^{\text {x }}(\mathrm{l}) \mathrm{k}\right]$＇nose＇ |  | चान／tsæn／［tsæ／$\tilde{æ}^{\prime}$ n］＇moon＇ |
| न | $\mathrm{n}^{\text {h }}$ | － | जाऩाक／dzænn ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathfrak{\mathrm { k }}$／［dzænfiæ̣k］ ＇light＇ | बान्／bæn̄h／［bæ̣／ạṇ̂／n］＇tie－ IMP2sg＇ |
| ङ | ๆ | － | नेङ．र／ney $\frac{\mathrm{r}}{} /[$ nnẽn3r］＇tail＇ | भाङ／b $b^{\mathrm{h}} æ \mathfrak{y} /\left[b^{\mathrm{h}} æ \sim / \tilde{x} y\right]$＇a narcotic liquid＇ |
| ड़． | $\mathrm{y}^{\text {h }}$ | － | साङ़ान／sæn ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} æ \mathfrak{n} /$［sæ⿹勹䶹æ̣n］ ＇friend（female）＇ |  ‘shoulder＇ |
| Rhotics |  |  |  |  |
| र | r | राजा／rædzæ／［rædzæ］＇king＇ | आराम／æræm／［æræm］＇rest＇ |  ＇husband＇ |
| र | $\mathrm{r}^{\text {b }}$ | － | लाऱि／lær ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}$［［lær／（thị ］＇umbilical cord＇ | बार्／bær ${ }^{\text {h／} /[b æ r / r] ~ ' g r o w-~}$ IMP2sg＇ |
| Laterals |  |  |  |  |
| ल | 1 |  | काला／kælæ／［kælæ］＇pea＇ | गाल／gæl／［gæl］＇cheek＇ |
| ल | $11^{\text {h }}$ | － |  | － |

The nasal $/ \mathrm{n} /$ is realised as［n］in intervocalic position，［n］preceding an alveolar consonant （fricative，affricate or lateral）and $[\mathrm{n}]$ preceding an apico－retroflex plosive．Word－final／r／ tends to be voiceless［r］．

In word－medial position aspiration from the phonemes $/ \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} /, / \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{h}} /, / \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{h}} /, / \mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ and $/ \mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ causes breathiness on the following vowel，for example in the word लाम／læm ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} /$／［læ̃mfị］ ＇long＇．

Stem-final aspiration tends to merge with affixal components. Examples of this type of sandhi are found as follows:
(9) a. चिन्भा /tsinn ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{b} æ /$ 'know-INF' $>$ [tsinb $\left.\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathfrak{e}\right]$ (also written as चित्बा)

c. पर्भा /p $\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{h}}$-bæ/ 'read-INF' $>$ [pлгb $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \underset{\text { e. }}{ }\right]$ (also written as पर्बा)

Additionally, there is a tendency for word-final voiced aspiration to cause breathiness towards the left.
(10) a. चित् /tsinn ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} /$ 'know-IMP2sg' > [tsị ṇ̣n]
b. नाम् $/ \mathrm{nn}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} \varnothing /$ 'descend-IMP2sg' $>[$ ñæm $/ \mathrm{m}]$

### 2.3.4 Central approximants

Table 8 shows the distribution of the palatal approximant [j] and labio-velar approximant [w]:

Table 8. Distribution of central approximants

|  | word-initial | intervocalic | word-final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | य j |  |  |
|  | pronoun, loan <br>  <br> '3sg[pro/prox]' <br> या $/ \mathrm{j} æ /[j æ]$ 'or' |  |  |
|  |  | छियाँ /ts ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathfrak{i æ /}$ / $\left.\mathrm{ts}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ijx}\right]\left[\mathrm{ts}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ij} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}\right]$ ‘shadow' | कोय /koi/ [koi/j] 'something' (also found as कोए /ko.e/) |
|  | व w |  |  |
|  | ```pronoun, loan उहाँ(ए)/wæhæ(e)/ [w\tilde{æ̃h\tilde{æ(}(e)]}] '3sg[pro]' बा (वा)/wæ/ [wæ] 'or'``` | pronoun <br> केबा (केवा) /kewæ/ [kewæ] 'some' |  |
|  |  | छुवा (छुआ) /ts ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} u æ /\left[t \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u} w æ\right]$ 'child' | घिउँ /ghiu/ [g ${ }^{\text {hin }}$ w/w] ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 'ghee' |

Masica (1991:99-100) maintains:
"The semivowels $/ y /$ and $/ W /$ are a somewhat shaky part of the NIA inventory. In a number of languages their occurrence is practically restricted to semi-predictable intervocalic glides... For
orthography，the choice is often a matter of convention rather than dictated by the phonology．．． However，both $/ y /$ and $/ W /$ do exist in Hindi outside of diphthongs（i．e．initially），albeit only in deictics（again，leaving out loans）．＂

This is much like the central approximants in Rājbanshi．Other than in loan words，the
 ＇3sg［pro］＇and the indefinite pronoun केबा（केवा）／kewæ／［kewæ］＇some＇．The phone is also used as an intervocalic glide when the first vowel of the sequence is $/ \mathrm{u} /$ ．Similarly the palatal／j／only occurs in the 3sg pronoun याहाँ（ए）／jæ．hã（e）／［j̃̃̂थ̃（ẽ）］＇3sg［pro／prox］＇． Elsewhere in the phonology（again，apart from loan words）［j］was found to be caused by glidal emergence between two vowels when the first vowel of the sequence is $/ \mathrm{i} /$ ．
 exception to this rule however，on comparative grounds．That is，due to comparison with Hindi and Maithili，the approximant $/ \mathrm{w} /$ is deemed phonemic，while the vowel $[\mathrm{u}]$ is regarded as epenthetic．

## 2．4 Syllable structure and CC－clusters

The following syllable structures were encountered：

Table 9．Syllable structures in mono－，di－and trisyllabic words

|  | monosyllabic words | disyllabic words | trisyllabic words |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V | － | अघिन／$\Lambda . \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{in}$／＇fire＇ | सभाए／sı．b ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ．e／＇all＇ |
| CV | गु／gu／＇feces＇ | पता／ps．tæ／＇grandson＇ | बेछानि／be．chæ．ni／＇woman＇ |
| VC | आम／æm／＇mango＇ | आन्धार／æn．d ${ }^{\text {h}} æ \mathrm{r} /{ }^{\text {／}}$ dark＇ | उस्नाबा／us．ñ．bæ／＇boil＿solids－INF＇ |
| CVC | कान／kæn／＇ear＇ | बदला／bıd⿱亠乂．læ／＇revenge＇ | खन्जरा／k＾ñ．dzı．ræ／＇feeding＿trough＇ |

Aspirated affricates and plosives $/ \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}, \underline{\underline{h}}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{ts}^{\mathrm{h}}$ and $\mathrm{dz} \mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ have been interpreted as units．The following CC－clusters occur word－initially in loan words from Sanskrit，Nepali and English，and perhaps also Hindi and Urdu：

Table 10．Word－initial CC－clusters（in loan words）

| ग gr | ग्रोहोल（गोरोल）／grohol／＇heron＇ | प्य pj | प्यास／pjas／＇thirst＇ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| प्र pr | प्रशस्त／prısısts／＇abundant＇ | व्य wj | ब्यवहारिक／bj＾wsharik／［bewshærik］＇practical＇ |
| दृ dri | दृश्य／drisj $\Lambda$／＇view＇ | ग्ल gl | ग्लुकोज／glukodz／＇glucose＇ |
| पृ pri | पृथ्मी／prit ${ }^{\text {h mi／＇earth，planet＇}}$ | ग्य gj | ग्याँस／gjas／＇gas＇ |
| बृ bri | वृहत／brihst／＇large＇ | स्क sk | （इ）स्कुल／（i）skul／［（1i）skul］＇school＇ |

These word-initial loan CC-clusters have been interpreted as CC-sequences. Word-initial CC-clusters do not occur in contemporary vocabulary. Neither were CC-clusters encountered in syllable-final or word-final position. Intervocalic CC-clusters occur over syllable boundaries, for example in the words नात्नि /næt.ni/ [næt nị] 'granddaughter' and पेन्द्धा /pen.ts ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} æ /$ [pents ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} æ$ ] 'sour'. CCC-clusters were only encountered in loans such as the Sanskrit word केन्द्र /keñ.drı/ [kendrã 3 'centre'.

The aspirated sonorants $/ \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} /, / \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{h}} /, / \mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ and $/ \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ have been interpreted as units. Masica (1991:103; addition in square brackets mine) states:
"...contrastive aspiration has extended its domain to nasals, laterals, flaps, and even semivowels in a number of N.I.A. languages. Here again analytical opinions differ (unit vs. cluster)... but... the sounds [/mh-, nh-/] are found non-initially also in Gujarati, Sindhi, other Hindi dialects, the Bihari languages, Kalasha, and most West Pahari dialects. A /ṇh/ occurs in Gujarati and some West Pahari dialects, and a $/ \mathrm{gh} /$ occurs in Maithili, Bhojpuri, and Chhattisgarhi."

Research on Maithili tends to interpret the sounds as CC-clusters of sonorant +h (cf. Yadav 1997 [1996]:27-29; Jha 2001:42-43), although Jha (2001:153) seems to refer to $/ \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ also as a phoneme in its own right. Likewise, Tiwari (1960:11-13) views the Bhojpuri aspirated sonorants as phonemes. According to Yadav's data, Maithili has sonorant +h CC-clusters in verbs, nouns and adjectives. Yadav (1997 [1996]:27-29) writes:
"...Maithili resonants (i.e. nasals, taps and laterals) also show a two-way phonetic contrast between aspirated and unaspirated. Below it will be argued that the phonetically aspirated resonants should be treated as clusters rather than units on grounds of their distributional and syllabificational constraints. ...Distributional constraints ... Maithili stops and affricates show complete phonological contrasts in all positions. The resonants, however, do not... Syllabificational contraints... The resonant +h segments, which also surface as aspirated with syllable divisions... however, have a syllable boundary between the two segments."

If the components $/ \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} /, / \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{h}} /, / \mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ and $/ \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ are interpreted as clusters in Rājbanshi, the analysis faces syllabification problems. Maintaining a break between the components would result in a syllable type "C" which is phonotactically unattested in the language. This could possibly be avoided by maintaining a $\varnothing$-morpheme for the imperative singular form, although maintaining a $\varnothing$-morpheme for other verb forms seems unwarranted.

Conversely, maintaining a syllable boundary between the sonorant and the glottal fricative [h] would mean creating a new syllable structure, with syllable-final and wordfinal CC-clusters, which again is unattested in the language. Based on these observations, compare the syllable structure of the verbs सम्ठ-/s $s \mathrm{mt}^{\mathrm{h}}$-/ 'finish' (CC-final stem) and चित्र$/$ cin $^{\mathrm{h}}-/$ 'know/recognise' (/n ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} /$-final stem) in Table 11:

Table 11. Interpretation of $\overline{\mathrm{T}} / \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ based on syllabificational constraints

|  | Stem: सम्ठ-/sımt ${ }^{\text {h }}$-/ 'finish' | illegal $\sigma$-structure | possible $\sigma$-structure | proposed <br> $\sigma$-structure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | समठ [sımst ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ] 'finish-IMP2sg' सम्ठेक [s^mṭt ek ] 'finish-IMP2sg' *सम्ठ् *[sımt $\left.{ }^{\text {h }}\right]$ | *CV.CVC.C <br> *CVC.CCVC; *CVCC.CVC; <br> *CVCCC.VC | (CV.CVC) | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \text { CVC.VC } & \text { /s } s m . \Delta t^{\mathrm{h}} / \\ \text { CVC.CVC } & \text { /s } s \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ek} / \end{array}$ |
| 2. | सम्ठ [sımt ${ }^{\text {h }} \Lambda$ ] 'finish-IMP2pl' | *CVC.CCV; *CVCC.CV; <br> *CVCCC.V | - | CVC.CV /sım..$^{\text {th }}$ / |
| 3. | सम्ठिबा [sımṭ ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ibæ] 'finish-INF' | *CVC.CCV.CV; *CVCC.CV.CV; *CVCCC.V.CV | - | CVC.CV.CV /sım.t. ${ }^{\text {thi.bæ/ }}$ |
| 4. | 4. सम्ठिले [sımṭ ${ }^{\text {hill }}$ ] 'finish-PST-3' | *CVC.CCV.CV; <br> *CVCC.CV.CV; <br> *CVCCC.V.CV | - | CVC.CV.CV /ssm.t ${ }^{\text {hi.li/ }}$ |


|  | Stem: चितन- /tsin ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$-/ 'know' | illegal $\sigma$-structure | possible $\sigma$-structure | proposed <br> $\sigma$-structure |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. | चित् 'know-IMP2sg' [tsin//n] | *CVCC; *CVC.C | (CVC.C $\varnothing$ ) | CVC | /tsin ${ }^{\text {h/ }}$ |
| 6. | चिन 'know-IMP2pl' [tsiṇ | *CVCC.V | (CVC.CV) | CVC.V | $/ \sin ^{\mathrm{h}} . \mathrm{N} /$ |
| 7. | चित्बा 'know-INF' [tsinb ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ¢ $]$ | *CVCC.CV; *CVC.C.CV | - | CVC.CV | $/ \operatorname{tsin}^{\text {h }}$.bæ/ |
|  | चिन्ले 'know-PST-3' [tsiṇ'1 $\mathrm{e} / \mathrm{e}$ ] | *CVCC.CV; *CVC.C.CV | - | CVC.CV | /tsinin ${ }^{\text {h }}$.1/ |

As shown in Table 11, I have interpreted the sounds $/ \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} /, / \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{h}} /, / \mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ and $/ \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{h}} /$ as units (i.e. phonemes) on grounds of syllabification constraints. Word-final sonorant +h components have been encountered in an imperative construction of verbs and certain nouns. One could argue for a sequence interpretation on grounds of distribution, but then words such as examples 7 and 8 in Table 11 would prove problematic. If the sonorant $+h$ is interpreted as a cluster, one would have to maintain the syllable structures CVCC.CV or CVC.C.CV, neither of which fit the syllable structure of the language (cf. illegal $\sigma$-structure). However, if the sonorant +h is interpreted as a unit, the syllable structure of examples 7 and 8 would be CVC.CV (cf. proposed $\sigma$-structure). Refer to sections 3.7.1.2 and 3.7.8.1 for further discussion on verb inflection following CC-final stems.

### 2.5 Nasalisation, length and stress

As mentioned in section 2.1, though there is no evidence for contrastive nasalisation in Rājbanshi, nasalisation is frequent in speech.

Conditioned nasalisation is found in two instances. Firstly, anticipatory assimilation can occur on vowels which precede nasal consonants, for example, in the words बान /bæn/ [bజ̃:n] 'arrow' and लङ /lıy/ [l̃̃'v] 'finger nail' and नुन /ñun/ [ñũn] 'salt'.

Secondly, it is found on vowels in closed syllables which have a nasal onset and obstruent coda, as in the words मँत /mst/ [mर̃t] 'liquor' and नाद /næd/ [ñ̃̃d] 'feeding_trough'. Some speakers even nasalise a vowel preceding an obstruent which forms the onset for the following syllable. For example the word मट/mıțл/ 'thick' is pronounced as मँट [m $n ̃ \Lambda \Lambda]$ by some speakers, and [m $\Delta t \wedge$ ] by others.

In addition to the above-mentioned conditioning, nasalisation is also found in many common Indo-Aryan words which are generally considered to be nasalised anyway. For example, the words बाँस /b̃̃̃s/ [b̃̃s] 'bamboo', घाँस /gh $\tilde{x} s /\left[g^{\mathrm{h}} \tilde{x} s\right]$ 'grass' and साँप /s̃̃p/ [s̃̃p] 'serpent'.

Non-conditioned nasalisation also occurs in some nouns and pronouns. This is rather unusual since there seems to be no evidence for contrastive nasalisation elsewhere in the phonology. Nepali, Maithili and Hindi influence is possibly reflected here. For example, most speakers would apply nasalisation to the 1 sg (11a), 2 sg (11b) and 3 sg ( $11 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}$ ) pronouns, the relative pronoun (11e) and some interrogative pronouns (11f). I have marked nasalisation in the broad transcription in the 1 sg , 2 sg and 3 sg pronouns, relative and interrogative pronouns which are deemed nasalised by most speakers. Note that nasalisation is left-spreading, and that the domain of nasalisation seems to be the whole morpheme, unless spreading is blocked by an obstruent component.
(11) a. मुईँ /mui/ [mũ̃̃] or [mui] '1sg[pro]'
b. तुईँ /tuui/ [tữ/ũi] or [țo/ui] '2sg[pro]'
c. उहाँ(ए)/wæh $\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}(\mathrm{e}) /[\mathrm{w} \tilde{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{f} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}(\mathrm{e})]$ ' $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]^{\prime}$
d. याहाँ(ए) $\mathrm{j} \nsupseteq h \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}(\mathrm{e}) /$ / $[\tilde{\tilde{x}} \mathrm{f} \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}(\tilde{\mathrm{e}})]$ '3sg[pro/prox]'

f. काहें /kæhẽ/ [k̃̃(f)ễ] 'who'

Vowel length and stress are not contrastive. Preliminary observations would suggest primary stress is placed on the first syllable. ${ }^{7}$ Understanding how stress placement is affected by verbal morphology, and how stress affects the length of syllables (both stressed and unstressed), requires further research.

Though some examples of word-medial lengthened consonants were encountered, length in consonants was not found to be contrastive. Consider, for example, consonant

[^8]length in the following words: चिन्नात /cinñæt/ 'messy; disorderly', धाम्मा /d ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} æ m m æ / ~ ' r o p e ', ~$ धाक्कर /d ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} æ \mathrm{kk} \wedge \mathrm{r} /$ 'bull', हिम्मत /himm $\Delta$ // 'courage', रस्सि /rıssi/ 'string', लात कुच्चर /læt kuccır/ 'stiff necked; stubborn' and घस्सि $/ \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ ssi/ 'dung'.

Length is interpreted in two ways. Firstly, in some cases, as two identical consonant phonemes belonging to adjacent syllables, as, for example, the phonotaxis of the words
 and $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} u t . t$ tæ/ respectively. Secondly, short and geminate consonants quite frequently occur in free variation. Therefore, the word खाम्मा $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} æ \mathrm{mmæ/}$ ' 'pillar' (with the geminate [m:]) can also be pronounced as खामा $/ \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} æ \mathrm{mæ} /$.

## 3. Morphology

This section will deal with a range of morphology-related topics from compounding and derivation to nominal and verbal morphology. It is difficult at times to draw a clear line between suffixes and clitics, since these are at times closely interrelated in relation to function. Especially when discussing case, it was essential for purposes of clarity to discuss case inflection and case-marking clitics in the same section. According to Masica (1991:212) a flexible definition of "morphology" is necessary in the analysis of IndoAryan languages:
"To be sure, we can still call this subject 'morphology', if we adopt Zograph's suggested (1976) more flexible definition of the latter (or more precisely of inflection, taken to be the central concern of 'morphology'), that is, as including certain analytical elements (e.g. case particles, auxiliary verbs) entering into paradigmatic contrasts. There are compelling reasons to do so. For one, the line between such analytic elements and agglutinative affixes is uncertain, particularly since the former are generally ancestral to the latter.
... It becomes a question, therefore, of stages in a common historical process, wherein it is difficult to determine the precise point of transition from independent particle to suffix. Complicating the problem is the tendency, as older erstwhile analytic elements assume a suffixal value, to spawn a further, outer layer of secondary analytic elements."

Similarly, in order to present a simplified account of the aspectual system as a whole, I discuss purely morphological elements alongside syntactic constructions (for example various auxiliary constructions).

Morphophonemic processes play only a minor part in the interaction of the phonology and morphology of contemporary Rājbanshi, and consequently I have not included a specific section on them. I will cover such issues whenever necessary in the corresponding sections. Morphophonological issues related to nominal forms and categories, and clitics include:
(a) Deletion of vowel $\Lambda$ in vowel sequence $a \Lambda$ (or $a \tilde{x}$ ) in nouns when preceding the genitive case,

(c) Phonological dependence of certain case markers on the stem (-(ए)र -(e)r 'GEN', -(अ)क -( 1 ) $\mathrm{k}^{\text {DAT', and -(अ)त -(4)t 'LOC'), }}$
(d) The oblique stems of the 1 sg and 2 sg personal pronouns, and
(e) Fluctuation of the conjunctive clitic (-अ $=\Lambda$, - अउ $=\Lambda u$, $-उ=u$ and $-(अ) ह ~=(\Lambda) h \Lambda)$, and the emphatic clitic $(=ए=e$ and $=$ इ(ए) $)=i(e))$.

### 3.1 Compounding

I will distinguish between three types of compounds: endocentric, exocentric and copulative compounds. Verb formation (conjunct verbs, derivational compound verbs and quasi-aspectual compound verbs) will be covered in sections 3.7.3 and 3.7.9.2 respectively.

I will follow the definition of the compound as put forward by Anderson (1995a [1985]:40), according to whom compounding is "word formation based on the combination of two or more members of (potentially) open lexical classes." Furthermore, in contrast to coordinated phrases, "compounds are lexical items, formed by rules of word formation, they are words, while phrases are not" (ibid.).

In endocentric compounds the modifying member assigns some property to the head member of the compound. In Rājbanshi the modifying member is first in sequence, while the head member is second.
(12) a. गरु गारि garu gari 'bullock cart' (cf. MR.02.001)
b. गहम बारि gıhım bari 'wheat field'
c. जन कामा jın kama 'labour earner' (cf. DLR.06.051)

Exocentric compounds (traditionally referred to as bahuvrīhi) are made up from two components, where the first component modifies the second, and the second component modifies some other entity.
(13) a. बान्दर मुहाँ bandır muha 'monkey mouth' = 'ugly'
b. कइरा चखा ksira $c \wedge k^{h} a^{\prime}$ 'brown eye' $=$ 'brown-eyed (man)'
c. गोरोल ठेडि gorol $t^{h} \mathrm{e} \eta-\mathrm{i}$ 'heron leg-FEM' = 'skinny legged (woman)'

A copulative compound in Rājbanshi consists of the juxtaposition of two words which form a new, closely related entity. Abbi (2001:173) defines this category as follows:

[^9]salient characteristics of the same semantic field. The referential range of such a compound form therefore includes the whole semantic field to which the two constituents belong."

If the compound consists of two [+HUMAN] nouns these will refer to both of the original entities (14a-c).
(14) a. बुऱा बुऱि bur $^{h} a$ bur ${ }^{h} i$ 'old_man old_woman' (i.e. 'old couple')
b. माअँ बाप mas bap 'mother father' (i.e. 'parents'; cf. MR.05.309)
c. जाहान बाच्चा jahan bacca 'spouse child(Hindi)' (i.e. 'one's family'; cf. DLR.03.004)

If the noun is [-HUMAN] as in (15a-b), reference to the original entities is not required (unless the plural marker is used). Therefore in (15c) the speaker is referring to cattle in the general sense; the cattle in this case may consist of either bulls or cows, or both bulls and cows.
(15) a. गरु गाएँ $g_{\Lambda}$ ru gae 'bullock cow' ('cattle'; 'livestock')
b. घर दुवार $g^{h} \Lambda r$ duar 'house door' ('property')
c. जा त तुरिखानत गरु गाएँ प'इचे ने कि।
ja- $\varnothing$ t $\Lambda$ turi-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an- $\Lambda \mathrm{t}$ garu gae $\mathrm{p} \Lambda(\mathrm{r})$-ic-e ne ki
go-IMP2sg PCL mustard_field-NCLS-LOC bullock cow fall-PERF-3 PCL[TAG] PQM 'Go to the mustard field and check whether cattle have gone there.'

In addition to the parallel copulative compounds in (14a-c) and (15a-b), Rājbanshi also has synonym (16a) and antonym (16b) copulative compounds (following terminology from Anderson 1995a [1985]:50-51).
(16) a. धन सम्पति $d^{h} \Lambda n$ ssmpıti 'wealth possessions' (i.e. 'wealth'; cf. DLR.05.021)
b. काल गर संसारखाने
kalı g $\wedge$ r $\Lambda$ s snsar-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an $=e$
black white world-NCLS $=\mathrm{EMPH}$
'the black white world' (i.e. 'the world of all colours of people')

Copulative compounds may also formed from adverbs (17).
(17) सान बेहान झाग्राखान लागाल (रहचे) दने झनार।
san behan $j^{h} a g \Lambda r a-k^{h} a n$ lag-al (r $\left.\wedge h \Lambda-c-e\right) \quad d \Lambda n^{h} e j^{h} \Lambda n a-r$
evening morning fighting-NCLS apply-PST.PTCL (AUX(CONT)-PRES-3) both PCLS-GEN 'The two (of them) fight all day (lit. 'evening morning').'

### 3.2 Reduplication

In this section I will discuss the use of reduplication and its effect on the semantics of the phrase. I will cover this according to its use in the different parts of speech.

### 3.2.1 Reduplication of the noun

Firstly, noun reduplication is adjectival when the first noun is marked with the emphatic suffix $-ए=e \quad=E M P H '$ and the second is unmarked. The construction indicates exclusiveness (that is, it denotes a "nothing but $x$ "-quality).
(18) a. हड्डिए हड्डि $h \wedge d ̣ d ̣ i=e ~ h \wedge d ̣ ̣ ̣ i ~ ' b o n e=E M P H ~ b o n e ' ~(' n o t h i n g ~ b u t ~ b o n e s ' ; ~ ' a l l ~ b o n e s ') ~(c f . ~$ MR.04.111)
b. हेत्ना गुहे गु छे। (cf. MR.04.174; cf. also MR.04.168)
hetna $\mathrm{gu}=$ he $\quad \mathrm{gu} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
here stool=EMPH stool be-PRES3
'It is filthy here.' (Lit. 'There is (nothing but) feces here.')

Secondly, noun reduplication accompanied with the emphatic suffix -ए -e has a distributive connotation.

```
        a. गाए गाए
    ga=e ga=e
    village = EMPH village = EMPH
    'village to village'
    b. लदिडर काछारे काछारे
    l\Lambdadi-d}\Lambda-r kachar=e kachar=
    river-NCLS-GEN river_bank=EMPH river_bank=EMPH
    'along the river bank'
    c. सरके सरके आस्नु।
    s sr\Lambdak=e s srsk =e as-n-u
    road = EMPH road = EMPH come-PST-1sg
    'I came along the road.'
```

There are also cases where reduplication accompanied by the suffix -ए -e can function as an adverb, but have a non-distributive connotation. Whether the suffix should be interpreted as the instrumental case, an adverbialiser suffix or the emphatic clitic is uncertain (cf. sections 3.6.4.1.5 and 6.1.2 for further discussion). The adverbial
connotation would seem to logically develop from the instrumental, though similar constructions in Hindi have the emphatic clitic, such as the adverbial मन-ही-मन mon-himən 'mind-EMPH-mind' ('in one's own mind'). Based on comparison with Hindi, I have interpreted the suffix as the emphatic. The process is non-productive.
(20) a. रान्डियानिड मने मने सच्ले। (cf. DLR.05.022)
ranḍiani-ḍ $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{m} \wedge \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{m} \wedge \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{s} \wedge \mathrm{c}-1-\mathrm{I}$
widow-NCLS mind $=$ EMPH mind $=$ EMPH think-PST-3
'The widow thought secretly.'
b. दुवारे दुवारे बठिए ना खा।
duar $=\mathrm{e} \quad$ duar $=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{b} \Lambda \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{ie} \quad$ na $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\varnothing$
door $=$ EMPH door $=$ EMPH sit-CONJ.PTCL NEG eat-IMP2sg
'Don't eat (while you're) sitting in the doorway.'

### 3.2.2 Reduplication of the adjective

The adjective is obligatorily reduplicated if the entity it modifies is plural (21a). Reduplication of the adjective may also denote 'varieties of $x$ ' (21b). Further research is required to determine what triggers the distinction between these two senses.
(21) a. इला, जेइला लाम लाम़ देखा जाछे... (cf. MR.02.021)
i-la jei-la $\quad \operatorname{lam}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \operatorname{lam}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a} \quad$ ja-c ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-e$
DEM[prox]-PL REL[obl/ip]-PL long long see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
'The lengthy things that can be seen (here)...'
b. आच्छा आच्छा नाथे (cf. DLR.04.006)
$\operatorname{acc}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a} \operatorname{acc}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ nad $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
good good cook-PST.HAB3
'They used to cook (a variety of) good (food).'

### 3.2.3 Reduplication of the adverb

Adverb reduplication designates distributiveness.
(22) a. समय समयत आस्ते रहिस। (cf. DLR.03.010)
sımse sımse-t as-te rah-is
time time-LOC come-CONT.PTCL AUX(CONT)-SUBJ2sg
'Keep visiting once in a while (or, 'from time to time').'
b. महिनाए महिनाए
mshina $=e \quad$ mshina $=e$
month $=\mathrm{EMPH}$ month $=\mathrm{EMPH}$
'each month'
c. सब दिन सब दिन अइला काथा कहप्कि... (cf. DLR.05.015)
s $\Delta b$ din s $\Delta b$ din $\Lambda i-l a \quad$ kat ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ k $\wedge h \Lambda-p-k i$
every day every day DEM[rem/emph]-PL thing say-FUT-SA3
'Every (single) day he said the same things (to her)...'

### 3.2.4 Reduplication of the verb

Reduplication of stems marked with the continuous participle -ते -te (23a-c) denotes simultaneous or overlapping action, as indicated by the paraphrase in (23c). Distinguishing the semantics of the non-reduplicated form and the reduplicated form (if this is indeed possible) requires further research (cf. section 3.7.10.4). ${ }^{8}$
${ }^{8}$ This is very similar to the Nepali constructions -दा -दै -da -dəi and -दै -दै -dəi -dəi:
(i) Nepali (Acharya 1991:193;200; morpheme-to-morpheme gloss mine)

देखदादेखदै देवीरमणको विशाल आँगन तीर्थयात्रीका कुम्ले फौजले भरियो।
$\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}$-da dek ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$-d-əi dewirəməṇ-ko ãgən tirt ${ }^{\text {h}} \partial$ yatri-ka
look-CONT.PTCL look-CONT.PTCL-EMPH Dewiramaṇ-GEN courtyard pilgrimage-GEN kumle $\quad p^{\text {h }}$ әuj-le $\quad b^{h}$ ər-i-yo
baggage army-INSTR fill-PASS-PST3[LGH]
'While one was looking on, Dewiramaṇ's courtyard became filled with an army of pilgrims loaded with their baggages.'

Matthews maintains (1984:224; transliteration and morpheme-to-morpheme gloss in square brackets mine): "The participle in -दै [- $d-ə i{ }^{\text {'CONT.PTCL-EMPH'] is morphologically an emphatic }}$ form. When it follows the participle in -दा [-da 'CONT.PTCL'] ... the participle phrase is temporal, but more emphatic ... In English, such phrases may be translated as 'just as I was doing', 'at the very moment of doing', etc. More often, however, गर्दा गर्दै [gər-da gər-d-əi 'do-CONT.PTCL do-CONT.PTCL-EMPH'] is simply the equivalent of गर्दा [gər-da 'do-CONT.PTCL']."
Grammatical judgements of the Rājbanshi construction varied. All Rājbanshi informants were of the opinion that the reduplicated form in (23a-b) is the most natural. Some informants, however, maintained that reduplication is obligatory, whereas others felt (similar to Matthews on Nepali) that the reduplicated form is either an emphatic, or perhaps simply a variant of the non-reduplicated counterpart. Further research is necessary in this regard. In the text data the continuous participle is found reduplicated in 18 cases (DLR.05.049, GR.02.060, MR.04.081a, MR.04.199, MR.05.028, MR.05.035, MR.05.089, MR.05.093, MR.05.116, MR.05.125, MR.05.136, MR.05.177, MR.05.189, MR.05.218, MR.05.228, MR.05.288, MR.05.337 and MR.05.338), whereas the non-
(23) a. एनेङे कर्ते कर्ते त लोकट मरिअ जाबा पारे अप्सोसेर चुटे। (DLR.05.049)
en $n$ k kr-te k kr-te t $\Lambda$ lok-ṭ $\Lambda \quad m \wedge r-i=\Lambda \quad$ ja-ba
like_this do-CONT.PTCL do-CONT.PTCL PCL man-NCLS die-ABS = CNJ AUX-INF par-e $\quad$ spsos-er cuṭe
can-PRES3 grief-GEN due_to
'While continuing like this the man could even die out of grief.'
b. गटलाए भुक्ते भुक्ते खेटियालाक पिटाए लिछे। (cf. MR.04.199)
g $\Lambda$ t-la $=e \quad b^{h} u k-t e \quad b^{h} u k-t e \quad k^{h}$ eṭia-la-k
all-PL $=$ EMPH bark-CONT.PTCL bark-CONT.PTCL jackal-PL-DAT
piṭa-e $\quad 1-$ ic $^{\text {h }}-\mathrm{e}$
chase-ABS AUX-PERF-3
'They all chased the jackals while barking and barking.'
c. लाराइ कर्ते कर्ते, लाराइ कर्बार खुनि... (cf. MR.05.028)
larai k $\wedge$-te k $\wedge$ r-te, larai k $\wedge$ r-ba-r $k^{\text {h }}$ uni
fighting do-CONT.PTCL do-CONT.PTCL, fighting do-INF-GEN during 'While they were fighting, while they were fighting..."

The semantics of the reduplicated conjunctive participle -इए(ने) -ie(ne) is uncertain. Further research is required in order to determine whether the feature denoted is continuity/iteration (as in Hindi, according to Abbi 1977; 1980:55-57; 2001:167), or intensification of the action (24a-b). Reduplication does not occur with the absolutive in quasi-aspectual compound verbs (24c).
(24) a. खुदिए खुदिए... (cf. MR.04.020)
$k^{\text {h }}$ ud-ie $\quad k^{\text {h }}$ ud-ie dig-CONJ.PTCL dig-CONJ.PTCL
'Having (really) dug (them all up)...'
b. अइठिना हागिए हागिए राखि दिच्कि। (cf. MR.04.027)

лi-t ${ }^{\text {hina }}$ hag-ie hag-ie rak $^{\text {h }}$-i
DEM[rem/emph]-place defecate-CONJ.PTCL defecate-CONJ.PTCL put-ABS
d-ic-ki
AUX-PERF-SA3
'They really defecated (right) there.'
c. *देखि देखि फेकाल्कि * $\operatorname{dek}^{h}-i \operatorname{dek}^{h}-i p^{h} e k a-1-k i{ }^{*}$ 'look-ABS look-ABS AUX-PST-SA3'
reduplicated form is found only in four cases (cf. MR.04.030, MR.04.201, MR.05.235 and MR.05.283).

Abbi finds that reduplication of the "present imperfect" in Hindi indicates simultaneous action (1980:26-27), or duration / resultant state, though not iteration (ibid.:39). Abbi (1980:45) continues that the reduplicated and the non-reduplicated forms are semantically distinct.

Reduplication of the past participle reinforces the concept of plurality. ${ }^{9}$ Reduplication in this case is nevertheless optional.
(25) उस्नाल उस्नाल कचुला खेटियाला खाए गिछे। (cf. MR.04.042; see also MR.04.026)
usn-al (usn-al) kıcu-la $k^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-e gi-c ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-e$ boil-PST.PTCL (boil-PST.PTCL) [kacu]vegetable-PL jackal-PL eat-ABS AUX-PRES-3
'The jackals have eaten (the) boiled [kacu]vegetables.'

Verb stem reduplication is found when the first verb stem is marked with the deverbalising morpheme -आ $-a$, and the second stem with the deverbalising morpheme - --i (26a-b). This construction may imply a back-and-forth action (compare (26d) and (26e)), and, depending on the semantic qualities of the verb, may also denote reciprocity ( 26 c ; with reciprocal verbs) or repetition (26e). ${ }^{10}$ In (26f) the reduplicated construction constitutes two different (but semantically related) verbs marked with the same deverbalising morphemes used in (26a-e).
(26) a. बेरा बेरि ber-a ber-i 'walk-DVBL walk-DVBL'
b. काटा काटि kat-a kat-- 'cut-DVBL cut-DVBL' (cf. DLR.06.090)

[^10](iii) a. नाना नानि nana nani 'grandfather grandmother' ('grandparents')
b. बुरा बुरि bur ${ }^{h}$ a bur ${ }^{h} i$ 'old_man old_woman' ('old couple')
3. Morphology
c. ए छुवाला, मारा मारि ना कर।
e $c^{\text {h }}$ ua-la mar-a mari-i na k $\wedge$ - $\Lambda$
INJ child-PL hit-DVBL hit-DVBL NEG do-IMP2pl
'Eh children, don't fight (each other).'
d. गहम बारिखानेर पाके ना बेराअ।

wheat field-NCLS-GEN through NEG walk-IMP2pl
'Don't walk through the field of wheat.'
e. गहम बारिखानेर पाके बेरा बेरि ना करिस।

wheat field-NCLS-GEN through walk-DVBL walk-DVBL NEG do-SUBJ2sg
'Don't walk back and forth through the field of wheat.'
f. आसा जाइ (cf. DLR.04.008)
as-a ja-i
come-DVBL go-DVBL
'visiting'

Somewhat similarly to the verbal construction in (26f), different (but semantically related) verbs of the forms V-PST.PTCL in (27a), V-DVBL in (27b) and V-INF-GEN in (27c-d) can also be reduplicated.
(27) a. पऱा लिखा $p \Delta r^{h}-a l^{h} k^{h}-a$ 'read-PST.PTCL write-PST.PTCL' (i.e. 'educated')
b. किना बेचा kin-a bec-a 'buy-DVBL sell-DVBL' (i.e. 'commerce')
c. खाबार लिबार $k^{h} a$-ba-r li-ba-r 'eat-INF-GEN take-INF-GEN' (i.e. 'rich')
d. खाबार लिबार (लोकट) केनङ हाँ कि हाँ! गरिब हइ गेल्कि!
$k^{\text {ha}}$ a-ba-r li-ba-r (lok-ṭ $\left.\Lambda\right)$ ken^y hã_ki_hã
eat-INF-GEN take-INF-GEN (man-NCLS) how INJ[surprise]
garib hл-i ge-l-ki
poor be-ABS AUX-PST-SA3
'How has that happened to the rich man! He has become poor!'

Categorising the verbal constructions in (26f) and (27a-d) under reduplication somewhat disguises the similarity which they bear with the copulative compounds mentioned in section 3.1. Consider examples (28a-b) where combinations of two semantically related entities form a single entity. These can be considered to be noun compounds. ${ }^{11}$

[^11](28) a. बुऱा बुऱि burr ${ }^{h}$ a bur ${ }^{h} i$ 'old_man old_woman' (i.e. 'old couple')
b. घर दुवार $g^{h} \Lambda r$ duar 'house door' (i.e. 'property')

The verbal elements in (26f) and (27a-c) are very similar in that they are juxtaposed and that they refer to entities, properties or actions, the meaning of which are determined by the joint semantics of both of the elements. However, insofar as they are inflected verbal elements, they can not be considered to be compounds in the traditional sense.

Finally, mention should also be made of two specified constructions of reduplication. Firstly, the adverb जे je 'still' is followed by a reduplicated and present tense verb. The construction implies repetition or continuity (29a), often also counter-expectancy (29b), and sometimes even both $(29 \mathrm{c}$; cf. section 5.2 . for further discussion). (Note that in examples (29a) and (29c) the present tense is used for past reference: the behaviour of the tense markers in narrative discourse will be discussed in Chapter 8.)
(29) a. कुकुरला जे पिटाच्कि पिटाच्कि खेटियालाक। (MR.04.202)
kukur-la je piṭa-c-ki piṭa-c-ki kheṭia-la-k dog-PL still chase-PRES-SA3 chase-PRES-SA3 jackal-PL-DAT 'The dogs chased and chased the jackals.'
b. बुऱाड जे आसा जाइ करेचे करेचे। (DLR.04.008)
bur $^{\text {ha }}$-ḍ $\Lambda$ je as-a ja-i kır-ec-e k $\wedge r-e c-e$
old_man-NCLS still come-DVBL go-DVBL do-PRES-3 do-PRES-3
'The old man still keeps on visiting.' (Implying, '...contrary to what you would expect.')
c. चेङराड जे निदाचे निदाचे... (cf. MR.05.090)
cegra-ḍ $\Lambda$ je nida-c-e nida-c-e
young_man still sleep-PRES-3 sleep-PRES-3
'The young man was still sleeping...' (Implying, '...contrary to what you would expect.')

The second construction is the reduplication of the verb in the future tense which is then followed by the verbaliser कर- kır- 'do'. The result is the prospective aspect. The reduplication in this particular (non-productive) construction is obligatory (hence, (11b) is ungrammatical).
from syntactic operations. On the other hand, since both are instances of the combination of independent elements into larger units whose form and meaning are (at least in part) based on those of the items combined, we might also expect them to show interesting similarities."
Anderson (1995a [1985]:50) continues to describe coordinate compounds in Mandarin as follows: "In all of these cases we have to do with compounds in which neither element can be identified exclusively as the center, and they are typically described as 'coordinate' compounds."
a. मुइ आसिम आसिम करिस्नु तात त तुहें चलि आस्लो।
mui as-im as-im knr-isn-u tat ts tu=he
$1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] come-FUT1sg come-FUT1sg do-REM.PST-1sg while PCL $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]=\mathrm{EMPH}$
csl-i as-l-o
AUX-ABS come-PST-2sg
'I was about to come, (but) in the meantime, you came.'
b. *मुइ आसिम करिस्तु...
*mui as-im kır-isn-u...

* $1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro] come-FUT1sg do-REM.PST-1sg...


### 3.2.5 Reduplication of the pronoun

Personal pronoun reduplication is non-productive in Rājbanshi. It is however encountered in idioms such as (31).
(31) अप़ार तोर तोर मोर मोरखान लागाल।
$\Lambda m^{\text {ha }}$ ar to-r mo-r mo-r-k ${ }^{\text {han }}$ to-r laga-l
3pl[pro]-GEN 2sg[obl]-GEN 2sg[obl]-GEN 1sg[obl]-GEN 1sg[obl]-GEN-NCLS apply-PST3
'They lived selfishly.' (Lit. 'your-your my-my (habit)')
Reduplication of the (reflexive) possessive pronoun in the form आप्ना आप्नि apna apni 'each one's own' implies the possession of multiple participants; it is interchangeable with the reduplicated reflexive pronoun in the genitive case आप्नार आप्नार apna-r apna-r 'own-GEN own-GEN'. The reduplicated pronoun can not be used for a single referent.
(32)
a. आप्ता आप्ति घरेर कामेर कारन(त) (cf. DLR.03.012)
apna apni $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge$ r-er kam-er $\operatorname{kar} \wedge n(-\wedge t)$
REFL[pro] REFL[pro] house-GEN work-GEN reason(-LOC)
'because of each one's own house work'
b. गटे खेटियाला आप्ना आप्नि चुलि गेल। (cf. MR.04.087)
gnte $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la apna apni cul-i ge-1 all jackal-PL REFL[pro] REFL[pro] AUX-ABS go-PST3 'All of the jackals went (to) their own (places).'

Reduplication of the interrogative pronoun implies plurality and a group of single (i.e. variety of different) entities (cf. section 3.6.3.6.2). In comparison, the non-reduplicated pronoun in (33c) refers to a single entity.
(33)
a. कि कि बल्वे थिना इड चेडरा। (cf. MR.05.081)
ki ki bsl-c-e $d^{\text {h }}$ ina i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ cenra what what speak-PRES-3 let's_see DEM[prox]-NCLS young_man 'What (things) this young man is saying, let's see.'
b. घरटर भितरत कि कि (सामान) छिकि... (cf. DLR.02.005)
$\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{t} \Lambda-\mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{itr}^{\prime} \Lambda \mathrm{t}$ ki ki $\quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-i-ki
house-NCLS-GEN inside what what be-PRES-SA3
'Which (of his things) are in the house...'
c. घरटर भितरत कि छिकि...
$g^{h} \Lambda r-t ̣-r \quad b^{h} \operatorname{itr} \Lambda t \quad k i \quad c^{h}-\mathrm{i}-k i$
house-NCLS-GEN inside what be-PRES-SA3
'What (thing belonging to him) is in the house...'

### 3.2.6 Reduplication of the numeral

The reduplication of numerals implies a distributive quality.
(34) a. दुइ दुइडा dui dui-ḍa 'two two-NCLS' (i.e. 'two by two'; 'in twos')
b. तिन तिनझना करे tin tin- $j^{h} \Lambda n a$ kıre 'three three-PCLS ADVL' (i.e. '(in groups of) three (people)')

### 3.2.7 Reduplication of the postposition

The reduplication of postpositions appears to have an intensifying effect. For example, the single postpositions सङे saye 'with' in (35a), and पाछु pach $u$ 'after' in (35c) are unmarked. Conversely, reduplication of the postposition stresses the immediacy, simultaneity or intensity of the action (35b,d).
(35) a. उहाँर सङे सुना चलि गेल। (cf. MR.04.176)
uhã-r saye suna cal-i ge-1
3sg[pro]-GEN with PCL AUX-ABS go-PST3
'They went with her.'
b. बुऱिडर सङे सङे सुना आस्ले। (MR.04.177)
bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda-\mathrm{r}$ sıye sıye suna as-l-I
old_woman-NCLS-GEN with with PCL come-PST-3
'They came (right along) with the old woman.'
c. मोर पाछ्छ mo-r pachu ' $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ after' ('after me')
d. मोर पाछु पाछु mo-r pach $u$ pach $^{h} u$ '1sg[obl]-GEN after after' ('right after me')

Complex postpositions of the type उपरत upr $\Lambda$ t 'on top of', तलत $t_{\Lambda} l_{\Lambda} t$ 'underneath' or बगलत bıglıt 'close to' can not be reduplicated (36a). Reduplication of the simple form is, however, encountered (36b-d).
(36) a. *घरटर उपरत उपरत * $g^{h} \Delta r-t ̣ \Lambda-r ~ u p r ı t ~ u p r ı t ~ * ' h o u s e-N C L S-G E N ~ u p o n ~ u p o n ' ~$
b. उपरे उपरे upre upre 'on the surface'
c. तले तले tale tale 'inside; (from) underneath'
d. बग्ले बग्ले bagle bagle 'along the side of

### 3.3 Echo formation

In echo formation a word is followed by a repetition of the same word in a slightly modified form. In Rājbanshi the initial consonant of the repeated word is usually replaced by त- $t$-. Less common is a construction where the " $\Lambda$ " vowel of the initial syllable changes to " $a^{\prime \prime}$ in the echo counterpart, for example in खबर-खाबर $k^{h} \Delta b_{\Delta r} k^{h} a_{\Delta r}$ 'news [echo]news'. ${ }^{12}$ Note that in Bengali the initial of the repeated counterpart is replaced by $t$ - and in Assamese by $s$ - (cf. Masica 1991:81). In Hindi the initial is generally replaced by $v$ - but occasionally by $m$-, $p$ - or $t$ - In Maithili the initial consonant is generally replaced by $t$ -

Echo formation conveys the meaning of " X and the like" (37a). Inasmuch as echo formation may carry a note of "attitudinal lack of concern or care toward his collocutor" (cf. Masica's 1991:80 reference to Bahl), a certain level of either derogation or lack of respect may be implied with referring to humans ( $37 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{c}$ ). The echo formation process is productive.

Echo forms are found in all major (lexical) word classes. Note, however, that echo formation may only occur with adjectives when the adjective represents a noun phrase (38a), or in conjunct verbs (38b).
(37) noun

b. proper name पामर तामर pamır [t]amır 'Pāmar [echo]Pāmar' ('Pāmar ${ }_{\text {[DEROGATORY] }}$ and his associates')
c. बुरा तुरा bur ${ }^{h}$ a tur ${ }^{h}$ a 'old_man [echo]old_man' ('the old $\operatorname{man}_{\text {[DEROGATORY] }}$ and his associates'; cf. MR.04.078)
(38) adjective
a. लाल ताल नि, मोक कालए कलम आनि दिस।

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { lal tal ni mo-k } k a l_{\Lambda}=e \quad \text { k } \Lambda l_{\Lambda m} \text { an-i } d-i s \\
& \text { red [echo]red NEG 1sg[obl]-DAT black=EMPH pen bring-ABS AUX-SUBJ2sg } \\
& \text { 'Not (a) red (one, or anything like that), bring me a black pen.' }
\end{aligned}
$$

[^12]b. नि लागे गरम तरम कर्बा, जुरालेखान ले आन्।
ni lag-e g $\wedge r \wedge m[t] \Lambda r \wedge m \quad k \wedge r-b a$
NEG need-PRES3 hot [echo]hot do-INF
jura-l $=$ e-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an $\quad$ l-e an- $\varnothing$
cool_down-PST.PTCL = EMPH-NCLS bring-CONJ.PTCL bring-IMP2sg
'There is no need to heat it up (or something), bring (the) cooled down (one).'
(39) verb
a. finite form, as in मरे तरे (cf. MR.04.078)
mare [t]Are
die-PST.HAB-3 [echo]die-PST.HAB-3
'died and the like; died at all'
b. conjunctive participle, as in उस्नाए तुस्नाए (cf. MR.04.022)
usna-e [t]usna-e
boil-CONJ.PTCL [echo]boil-CONJ.PTCL
'boiling this way and that'
c. past participle, as in बुनाल तुनाल
bunal [t]unal
plant-PST.PTCL [echo]plant-PST.PTCL
'planted this way and that'
d. nominalised verb, as in मार तार (cf. MR.04.087)
mar [t]ar
beating [echo]beating
'beating and the like'
(40) adverb (temporal), as in काल़ि तालि नि, मोक आल़ाए लागे।
kal $^{h_{i}} \quad[t] a l^{h}{ }_{i} \quad$ ni mo-k $\quad$ al $^{h^{h}}=\mathrm{e} \quad$ lag-e tomorrow [echo]tomorrow NEG $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{DAT}$ now $=$ EMPH need-PRES3
'Not tomorrow (or anything like that), I need (it) right now.'

### 3.4 Onomatopoeia and sound symbolism

In onomatopoeic structures the word representing the imitation of the sound is repeated and then followed by the adverbialiser करे kıre.
(41) a. डाङ डाङ करे ḍaŋ ḍaŋ kare '[ḍay ḍay] ADVL' ('with a clashing sound')
b. खेटियार गुला पेचेत पेचेत करे निक्लेच्कि। (cf. MR.04.039)
$k^{\text {hettia-r gu-la pecet pecet kıre nikl-ec-ki }}$ jackal-GEN stool-PL [pecet]sound [pecet]sound ADVL go_out-PRES-SA3 'Then jackals' stools came out (of the soil) with a slopping sound.'

## 3. Morphology

c. बुऱाड खेटियालाक ढिप ढिप ढिप करे मार्चे। (cf. MR.04.075)
 \left. old_man-NCLS jackal-PL-DAT [ ${\underset{~}{d}}^{h} i p\right]$ sound [ $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ip}$ ]sound [ $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ip}$ ]sound ADVL beat-PRES-3 'The old man beat the jackals with a whack whack whack sound.'

However, onomatopoeia in NIA covers more than just sound-related senses as Emeneau (1980:263) points out:


#### Abstract

"We are dealing only in the most marginal way with blatantly sound-imitative forms (like English choo-choo or the like). Perhaps is would be more just to say that the class denotes varied types of sensation, the impingement of the material world, outside or within the person, upon the senses - not merely the five conventionally identified senses, but all the feelings, both external and internal."


I will use the term "sound symbolism" for this phenomenon, following Masica (1991:79). Klaiman (1987:511) maintains that the feature, which is also found in standard Bengali, is unlikely to have Indo-Aryan origins.


#### Abstract

"...it may be mentioned that Bengali has two lexical features of a type foreign to Indo-Aryan. These features are, however, not atypical of languages of the general South Asian language area (and are even more typical of South-East Asian languages). One of these is a class of reduplicative expressives, words such as: kickic (suggesting grittiness), miṭmiṭ (suggesting flickering), tolmol (suggesting an overflowing or fluid state). There are dozens of such lexemes in current Standard Bengali. ... It is probable that the features discussed above were absorbed from other languages into Bengali after the thirteenth century..."


Sound symbolism is commonly used in all of the dialects where a reduplicated monosyllable modifies the preceding noun (42a) or adjective (42b). The reduplicated stem is specific to the adjective or noun in question, and has no meaning in itself. ${ }^{13}$ The quality

[^13](iv) a. काच $k^{h} a c \Lambda$ 'unripe' > काच गेद गेद (or, गद गद) $k^{h a c \Lambda}$ ged ged (or, $g_{\Lambda} d g_{\Lambda} d$ ) 'really unripe'
b. ठेन्डा $t^{h} e n d ̣ a ~ ' c o l d ' ~>~ ठ े न ् ड ा ~ क ु न ~ क ु न ~(o r, ~ क न ~ क न) ~ t ~ t h e n d ̣ a ~ k u n ~ k u n ~(o r, ~ k ı n ~ k \wedge n) ~ ' f r e e z i n g ~ c o l d ' ~$
c. भारि $b^{h}$ ari 'heavy' > भारि गद गद (or, लद लद) $b^{h}$ ari $g_{\Lambda} d g_{\Lambda d}$ (or, $1 \Lambda d \Lambda l_{\Lambda} d \Lambda$ ) 'very heavy'
d. पाका paka 'ripe' > पाका गुल गुल paka gul gul 'really ripe'
e. बहिरा b^hira 'deaf' > बहिरा गुल गुल b^hira gul gul 'stone deaf'
f. घन $g^{h} \Lambda n \Lambda$ 'dense' > घन गिज गिज $g^{h} \Lambda n \Lambda ~ g i j g i j ~ ' r e a l l y ~ d e n s e ' ~$
g. काल kalı 'black' > काल घुट घुट (or, कुट कुट, मिस मिस) kalı $g^{h} u t ̣ g^{h} u t ̣$ (or, kuṭ kuṭ, mis mis) 'really black'
h. आन्धार $a n d^{h} a r$ 'dark' > आन्धार घुट घुट (or, घिट घिट, कुट कुट) and ${ }^{h} a r g^{h} u t g^{h} u t$ (or, $g^{h} i t g^{h} i t$, kut kuṭ) 'really dark'
of the adjective is emphasised by using sound symbolism constructions such as these (the emphatic clitic on the adjective, conversely, indicates attenuation). Note that these cases differ from the onomatopoeic forms mentioned earlier. For example, डाङ डाङ ḍay day in (41a) represents the clashing sound implied, whereas चाँए चाँए cãe cãe in (42a), and गिज गिज gij gij in (42b) are in no way imitations of a real-world auditory sensation.
(42) a. फेर धुपअ चाँए चाँए हेतिना। (cf. MR.04.167)
$p^{h} e r \quad d^{h} u p=\Lambda$ cãe cãe hetina
again sun $=C N J$ [cãe cãe] here
'And moreover, the sun is scorching hot here.'
b. फुलेर गेचला घन गिज गिज ना बुन्।
$p^{h}$ ul-er gec-la $\quad g^{h} \Lambda n \Lambda$ gij gij na bun- $\varnothing$
flower-GEN sprout-PL dense [gij gij] NEG plant-IMP2sg
'Don't plant the flower sprouts too densely together.'
The duplicated monosyllables are found to modify certain adverbs (43a). Similarly, the monosyllables can function with an adverbialiser as an adverbial (43b). They can also form a conjunct verb with कर- kır- 'do' (43c).
(43) a. जोग जोग (or, फट फट) बेहान
jog jog (or, $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{t}$ ) behan
[jog jog] (or, [ $\left.\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda!\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda t\right]$ ) morning
'very clear morning'
b. जोग जोग करे देखा जाछे।
jog jog kare dek ${ }^{\text {h }}$-a ja-ch-e
[jog jog] ADVL see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
'It can be seen very clearly.'
i. खाटा $k^{h} a t a a^{\prime}$ 'sour' > खाटा चाँए चाँए (or, चाङ चाङ) $k^{h}$ ata cae cae (or, can cay) 'really sour'
j. चख $c \wedge k^{h}{ }_{\Lambda}$ 'salty' > चख चाँए चाँए $c \wedge k^{h}{ }_{\Lambda}$ cae cae 'really salty'
k. गर $g_{\Delta r \Lambda}$ 'white-skinned' > गर चुक चुक (or, फेक फेक) $g_{\Delta r \Lambda}$ cuk cuk (or, $p^{h} e k p^{h} e k$ ) 'really white-skinned'

1. मिठ $m i t^{h}{ }_{\Lambda}$ 'sweet' > मिठ चुन चुन mit ${ }^{h} \Lambda$ cun cun 'really sweet'
m. चख $c \wedge k^{h}{ }_{A}$ 'salty' > चख चुन चुन $c \wedge k^{h} \Lambda$ cun cun 'really salty'
n. पात्ला patla 'thin' > पात्ला चुन चुन patla cun cun 'really thin'
o. पात्ला patla 'thin' > पात्ला झाङ झाङ patla $j^{h}$ ay $j^{h}$ ay 'so thin that it is see-through'
p. पात्लि patl-i 'thin-FEM' > पात्लि छिन छिन patli $c^{h}$ in $c^{h}$ in 'devouringly slim (of a woman)'
q. लाल lal 'red' > लाल टक टक lal ṭ̂k ț $\wedge k$ 'really red'
r. गरम garam 'hot' > गरम टाँअ टाँअ garsm ṭas tas 'boiling hot'
s. नायाँ naya 'new' > नायाँ टिल टिल naya ṭil ṭil 'brand new'
t. साफा sap ${ }^{h}$ a 'white' > साफा धग धग sap ${ }^{h}$ a $d^{h} \Lambda g d^{h} \wedge g$ 'really white'
u. सुरु suru 'fine/thin' > सुरु मिन मिन suru min min 'really fine/thin'
c. खुच खुच ना करु, मार खाबो।

[ $\left.\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{uc} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{uc}\right]$ NEG do-IMP2sg beating eat-FUT-2sg
'Don't fiddle around, (or else) you will get a beating.'
The reduplicated monosyllables can modify nouns when the second component is marked with the adjectivising suffix -इया -ia 'ADJR'.
a. फेस-फेसिया माटि
$p^{h} e s \quad p^{h}$ es-ia maṭi
[ $p^{\mathrm{h}}$ es $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{es}$ ]-ADJR soil
'very unstable soil'
b. गुथानिड बारा मिच-मिचिया इखान धक्रार
gut ${ }^{\text {h }}$-ani-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ bara mic mic-ia i-k ${ }^{\text {han }} \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ kra-r
weave-DVBL-NCLS tight [mic mic]-ADJR this-NCLS carpet-GEN
'This (jute) carpet's (quality of) weaving is very tight.'
A final common feature related to sound symbolism is the addition of the suffix -आ -a to the second reduplicated monosyllable. It is uncertain whether the suffix should be considered to be the causative stem or a mere verbaliser. I have, however, analysed the suffix as a causative stem based on research on related Indo-Aryan languages (cf. for example Masica (1976:45) on Hindi). The process is in most cases productive, though the verbs are semantically highly specified. ${ }^{14}$

[^14](v) a. गेद-गेदुवा-
ged ged-(u)wa-
[ged ged]-ICAUS
'to slurp (one's food)'
b. हासेर पाए गेद-गेदुवाए दिबो।
has-er pae ged ged-(u)wa-e di-b-o
goose-GEN INSTR [ged ged]-ICAUS-ABS AUX-FUT-2sg
Literally: 'You will cause the geese to slurp (the food, and mix it with mud).'
Meaning of idiom: 'You will spoil the food.'
Other examples using the simple causative stem include:

b. चिम चिम cim cim > चिम-चिमाबा cim cim-a-ba '[cim cim]-CAUS-INF' ('to ache/pinch' (of the surface of the skin))
(45)
a. खिल-खिलाबा
$k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{il} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{il}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ba}$
[ $\left.\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{ill}} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{il}\right]$-CAUS-INF
'to giggle'
b. कियाँ खिल-खिलाचन गे?
kiã $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{il} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{il}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}-\wedge \mathrm{n}$ ge
why [ $\left.\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ill}^{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{il}\right]$-CAUS-PRES-2pl VOC[fem]
'Why are you (girls) giggling?'
c. सिक-सिकाबा
sik sik-a-ba
[sik sik]-CAUS-INF
'to itch'
d. पिठिखान सिक-सिकाचे।
pit ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ an sik sik-a-c-e
back-NCLS [sik sik]-CAUS-PRES-3
'(My) back is itching.'

### 3.5 Derivation

One is likely to encounter a wide range of Indo-Aryan derivational prefixes and suffixes in Rājbanshi (especially when studying the language with an educated language informant), many of which are widely in use in the Indo-Aryan context. In order to avoid repetition of previous works I have not attempted a comprehensive coverage of derivational morphology. I have merely considered those affixes found in the corpus at hand. For detailed studies on derivation in related IA-languages, refer to Chatteriji (1975b [1926]), Kellogg (1955 [1875]), Kakati (1962), Jha (1958) and Tiwari (1960), amongst others.

Table 12 shows which derivational suffixes were encountered, and what their corresponding functions were found to be.

Table 12. Derivational suffixes and corresponding functions

|  |  | N fr. V | N fr. N | ADJ fr. V | ADJ fr. N | ADJ fr. <br> onomatp. word |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| manner, <br> action | -आनि -ani | 'DVBL' |  |  |  |  |
| action | -अन -an <br> -आन -an | 'DVBL' |  |  |  |  |

c. कुत कुत kut kut > कुत-कुताबा kut kut-a-ba '[kut kut]-CAUS-INF' ('to tickle')
d. फक फक $p^{h} \Delta k p^{h} \Delta k>$ फक-फकाबा $p^{h} \Delta k p^{h} \Lambda k$-a-ba ' $\left[p^{h} \Delta k p^{h} \Delta k\right]$-CAUS-INF' ('to burn' (of boils))

Table 12. (cont.)

|  | -इ -i | 'DVBL' | 'NML; <br> FEM; DIM' |  | 'ADJR' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -इया -ia |  |  |  | 'ADJR' | 'ADJR' |
|  | -आ -a |  |  | 'DVBL' |  |  |
|  | (-आ(ल) -a(l)) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -वालि -u(w)ali |  |  |  | 'ADJR' |  |
| actor | -इयानि -iani |  | 'FEM' |  |  |  |
|  | -ने बाला -ne wala (Hindi) | 'NML' |  |  |  |  |

Areas related to verbal derivation will be covered in other sections of this work. For discussion on conjunct verb constructions refer to section 3.7.3, and on derivational compound verbs see section 3.7.9.2.1. The causative stem will be covered in section 3.7.2.

### 3.5.1 Nominal derivation

The derivational suffix -आनि -ani 'DVBL' denotes the manner of an action.
(46) a. गुथानि gut ${ }^{h}$-ani 'weave-DVBL' ('manner of weaving')
b. बेरानि ber-ani 'walk-DVBL' ('manner of walking')
c. उहाँर बेरानिड भान करे देखिस त! केनङ एक कर्छिया एक कर्छिया।
uhã-r ber-ani-d $\Lambda \quad b^{h}$ an kıre $\operatorname{dek}^{h}$-is $t_{\Lambda}$
3sg[pro]-GEN walk-DVBL-NCLS attention ADVL look-SUBJ2sg PCL
ken $\wedge$ y ek $k \wedge r c^{\mathrm{h}}$-ia ek $\mathrm{k} \wedge \mathrm{rc}^{\mathrm{h}}$-ia
how one side-ADJR one side-ADJR
'Look at his manner (i.e. style) of walking. How he is walking to one side!'

In some cases the suffix may also carry a specific meaning.
(47) देख्बा $d e k^{h}$-ba 'look/see-INF' > देखानि dek $^{h}$-ani 'pretence'

In some cases the derivational suffix -अन -ın 'DVBL' (allomorph -आ(न) $-\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{n})$ ) is distinct from manner nominalisation in that it focuses on the action or state of the verb (cf. Comrie \& Thompson 1995 [1985]:350-351).
(48) a. कानन kan-An 'cry-DVBL' ('(act of) crying')
b. सिना(न) $\sin -\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{n})$ 'wash-DVBL' ('(act of) washing(body)')

Having said this, the above-mentioned processes are by no means fully productive. In some cases there is no distinction between manner and action nominals. Compare, for
example (49a-b) both of which refer to an action or a state. Moreover, some verbs tend to collocate with certain suffixes. Therefore compare (49c) with (46a), and (49d) with (46ab). The issue clearly requires further research.
(49) a. टेकन teck-лn 'support-DVBL' ('act/state of supporting')
b. टेकानि tek-ani 'support-DVBL' ('act/state of supporting')
c. *गुथान (*गुथन) *gut ${ }^{h}$-a/sn *'weave-DVBL'
d. *बेरान (*बेरन) *ber-a/sn *'walk-DVBL'

The suffix -इ -i has a deverbalising effect (50a-c). It also functions as a nominalising suffix and forms action nouns (50d).
(50) a. आप्नार दानार बोजित... (cf. DLR.05.006a)
apna-r dana-r $k^{\text {h}}$ oj-i-t....
REFL[pro]-GEN seed-GEN search-DVBL-LOC...
'...in search for his seeds.'
b. आसा जाइ... (cf. DLR.03.011)
as-a ja-i
come-DVBL go-DVBL
'coming and going' (i.e. 'visiting')
c. हाँसि मुहाँ hãs-i muhã 'laugh-DVBL mouth' (i.e. 'smiling')
d. खेति $k^{h} e t-i$ 'farming' (lit. 'field-NML'; cf. DLR.03.003)

### 3.5.2 Adjectival derivation

The suffix -आ -a forms adjectives from verbs (51a-b).
(51) a. भिका माङा भान्डा
$b^{\text {hika }}{ }^{\text {man-a }} \quad b^{\text {hanḍa }}$
alm beg-DVBL pot
'alm-begging pot'
b. छागल काटा भर्द्छुला
$c^{\text {hag }}$ Al kat-a $\quad b^{\text {h }}$ rrc $^{\text {h }}$ ula
goat cut-DVBL knife
'a knife used for slaughtering goats'

Having said this, the suffix -आ -a is also found as a variant of the past participle -आ(ल) $-\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{l})$ 'PST.PTCL', and indeed the past participles in the following examples are all adjectival (i.e. noun-modifying clauses).
(52) a. पऱा लिखा
$\mathrm{p} \Lambda \mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a} \quad \quad \mathrm{lik}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a}$
read-PST.PTCL write-PST.PTCL
'educated'
b. सरा(ल) आलुला
s $\Delta r-a(1) \quad a l u-l a$
rot-PST.PTCL potatoes-PL
'rotten potatoes'
c. उस्नाल उस्नाल कचुला (cf. MR.04.026)
usn-al usn-al kıcu-la
boil-PST.PTCL boil-PST.PTCL [kacu]vegetable-PL
'boiled [kacu]vegetables'

In comparison, both Assamese and Bengali use the suffix -ā for verbal nouns and/or imperfective adjectival forms, whereas Hindi uses the suffix -(y)ā for the verbal noun and/or perfective adjectival (Masica 1991:324-325). For the Rājbanshi varieties studied in this work I must posit distinct morphemes for the deverbaliser -आ -a and the past participle -आ(ल) $-\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{l})$. This is based on two observations. Firstly, the past participle can not be used in constructions such as आसा जाइ as-a ja-i 'come-DVBL go-DVBL' (*आसाल जाइ *as-al ja-i *'come-PST.PTCL go-DVBL'). Secondly, the deverbaliser and the past participle are semantically distinct: unlike the past participal, the deverbalising suffix has no aspectual overtones. (Refer to the section 3.7.10.5 for further discussion.)

Based on these observations, it would be ungrammatical in example (53) to use the full participle form (compare with (50a) above).
*भिका माङाल भान्डा

*alm beg-PST.PTCL pot
*'alm-begging pot'

The adjectivising suffix -इ -i 'ADJR' derives adjectives from nouns (54a-b). The suffix -वालि - $u(w)$ ali 'ADJR' functions in the same manner (55). Whether the latter suffix is related to the indirect causative (-(उ)वा -(u)wa 'ICAUS') is uncertain.
(54) a. हाप हाति साफा जामा (cf. MR.02.008)
hap hat-i sap ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ jama
half arm-ADJR white shirt
'a half-sleeved white shirt'
b. सतसंगि लोक (cf. DLR.03.003)
s $\Lambda$ ts $\wedge$ y-i lok
religious_fellowship-ADJR man
'a (religiously) devout man'
(55) कादुवालि पानि (cf. GR.01.011)
kad-u(w)ali pani
mud-ADJR water
'muddy water'

Finally, the adjectivising suffixes -लि -li 'ADJR' (56a) and -इया -ia 'ADJR' (56b-d) derive adjectives from nouns.
(56) a. बुऱालि bur ${ }^{h}$ a-li 'old man-ADJR' (i.e. 'old aged')
b. माटिया भुइ
mați-a $\quad b^{h} u i$
soil-ADJR ground
'soily ground'
c. दाहाड केन्ति मलिया हइच्कु। (MR.05.281)
daha-d $\Lambda$ kenti m $\Lambda l-\mathrm{ia} \mathrm{h} \Lambda$-ic-ku
body-NCLS how mud-ADJR be-PERF-SA2sg
'How muddy (your) body has become.'
d. उड नकरिया चेङ.राड (cf. MR.05.010)
u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{n} \wedge \mathrm{k} \wedge$ r-ia ceŋra-ḍ $\Lambda$
that-NCLS servant-ADJR young_man-NCLS
'that working young (man)'

### 3.5.3 Feminine derivational suffixes

Rājbanshi makes use of the feminine suffix -इ -i 'FEM'. The suffix denotes the feminine sex in kinship terms (57a; cf. Masica 1991:217-218). ${ }^{15}$ (Exceptions, however, include

[^15]kinship terms such as भाइ $b^{h}$ ai 'younger brother'). It also indicates a male/female distinction in words concerning humans in general (57b) or animals (57c).
a. kinship terms

बेटा beṭa 'son' vs. बेटि beṭi 'daughter'
नाना nana 'grandfather'
vs. नानि nani 'grandmother'
b. human

चेङरा cenra 'boy; young [MALE] vs. चेङरि cepri 'girl; young [FEMALE]
बुऱा bur ${ }^{h}$ a 'old man; old [MALE] $^{\prime} \quad$ vs. बुऱि ${ }^{\text {bur }}{ }^{h}$ ' 'old woman; old [FEMALE] ${ }^{\prime}$
c. animal

बाछा $b a c^{h} a$ 'calf(male)' vs. बाछि $b a c^{h} i{ }^{\prime}$ calf(female)'
नरा nara 'pigeon(male)' vs. नुरि nuri 'pigeon(female)'

Also the suffix -इयानि -iani 'FEM' conveys the feminine sex.
(58) a. पाहारियानि pahar-iani 'mountain-FEM' ('woman of the mountain')
b. पिल्ला pilla 'dog(male)' vs. पिलियानि pil-iani $\operatorname{dog}($ female $) '$

A male/female distinction is also made between the vocative particles (cf. masculine particles आरे are and रे re, versus feminine particles आगे age and गे ge in section 6.1.4).

### 3.5.4 Diminutive

The suffix -इ -i expresses the diminutive (59a). It also often carries an overtone of affection (59b-c). Though the morpheme is formally similar to the feminine derivational suffix -इ-i, the diminutive does not imply the feminine sex (59c).
(59) noun
a. चखुड $c \wedge k^{h} u-d_{\Lambda}$ 'knife-NCLS' > चखुडि $c \wedge k^{h} u-d-i^{\prime}$ 'knife-NCLS-DIM'
b. रडिनन हबे जिबनडि तोर। (from a song by Pāmar Rājbanshi)
rıyin h h-b-i jib nn-ḍ-i to-r
colourful be-FUT-3 life-NCLS-DIM 2sg[obl]-GEN
'Your little life will be colourful (with affection).'
c. बुऱाडि bur ${ }^{h}$ a-d-di 'old_man-NCLS-DIM' (i.e. 'little old man (with affection)')

The suffix can only attach to the noun classifier -s -d. $\Lambda$ 'NCLS' (59a-c), the article (60) or the numeral (61a-b). It can not occur in conjunction with the noun classifier -खान $-k^{h}$ an 'NCLS' (61c), and it can not attach directly to the head noun.
(60) article

दिलो एकटि झला। (from a song by Pāmar Rājbanshi)
di-l-o ek-ṭ-i jh 1 la
give-PST-2sg one-NCLS-DIM bag
'you gave a (small) bag'
(61) numeral
a. दुइडि dui-ḍ-i 'two-NCLS-DIM'
b. चारटि car-t-i 'four-NCLS-DIM'
c. *दुइखानि *dui-k ${ }^{h}$ an- $i$ *'two-NCLS-DIM'

### 3.6 Nominal morphology

### 3.6.1 Number

### 3.6.1.1 General

Number is marked on Rājbanshi nouns by the plural suffix -ला -la 'PL' which attaches directly to the right of the stem (62b), and to the left of any possible case marking (62c).
(62) a. काति kati 'foundation'
b. कातिला kati-la 'foundation-PL'
c. कातिलाक kati-la-k 'foundation-PL-DAT'

Modifying numerals are marked with the appropriate (singular) noun classifier (63a-b). In some cases informants also marked the head noun with the plural (63c). This practice was, however, considered to be ungrammatical by other informants. Adverbs are not marked with the plural (63d).
a. दुइखान बाहाँ
dui- ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an baha
two-NCLS arm
'two arms'
b. दुइडा छुवा
dui-da $c^{\text {h }} u a$
two-NCLS child
'two children'
c. *दुइडा छुवाला (cf. MR.03.013)
*dui-ḍa $c^{\text {h }}$ ua-la
*two-NCLS child-PL
d. दुइ महिना (cf. MR.04.030)
dui mıhina
two month
'two months'
 head noun.
(64) गटे खेटियाला मिलिएने बुऱिडर सङे गेले। (MR.04.067)
g $\Lambda$ ṭe $k^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la mil-iene bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda$-r r s
all jackal-PL unite-CONJ.PTCL old_woman-NCLS-GEN with go-PST-3
'All the jackals went together with the old woman.'

In noun phrases where the noun is modified by a demonstrative, the plural suffix is generally only found on the demonstrative (65a). Case marking is in this case attached to the right side of the stem (65b). When both a demonstrative and the quantifier गटे gatce 'every; all' modify the head noun, plural marking is found on both the demonstative and the quantifier (65c). Adjectival modifiers are reduplicated (65d).
(65)
a. इला बाँस
i-la bãs
DEM[prox]-PL bamboo
b. इला बाँसेर
i-la bãs-er
DEM[prox]-PL bamboo-GEN
c. इला गटला बाँस
i-la gnṭ-la bãs
DEM[prox]-PL all-PL bamboo
d. इला लाम़ा लाम़ा बाँस
i-la $\quad \operatorname{lam}^{h}$ a $\operatorname{lam}^{\mathrm{h}}$ a bãs
DEM[prox]-PL long long bamboo

One informant was found to use plural marking on both the demonstrative and the head noun (66a-b). Whereas he was of the opinion that double marking as in (66a) is a free variant form of (65a), and therefore perfectly grammatical, several other informants were
strongly of the opinion that the double plural marking in (66a-b) is ungrammatical. Further research is needed to determine whether this is due to idiolectal or dialectal variation.
(66) a. */? इला बाँसला
*/? i-la bãs-la
*/? DEM[prox]-PL bamboo-PL
b. */? इला खेटियाला त हामाक ठग्ले! (cf. MR.04.040)
*/? i-la $\quad k^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la ts hama-k $t^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge \mathrm{g}-\mathrm{l}-\mathrm{I}$
*/? DEM[prox]-PL jackal-PL PCL 1pl[pro]-DAT cheat-PST-3
'These jackals have cheated us!' ${ }^{16}$

Masica (1991:225-226) maintains that in Bengali and some other Eastern Indo-Aryan languages, plural marking is optional: ${ }^{17}$
"...The new agglutinative affixes, especially in the Eastern languages, tend to be optional. In those Eastern languages, such as Bengali, with optional suffixes and no agreement, it is open to question whether number exists as an inflectional category, as distinct from a notion capable of facultative expression."

In those dialects of Rājbanshi studied for this work, noun classifier (67a-b) and plural marking ( $67 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}$ ) is (usually) obligatory if the head noun is specific. If the head noun is

[^16]${ }^{16}$ Several informants found the following phrase to be ungrammatical if it represents a single noun

If it however constitutes a copula clause where इला गटला $i$ i-la $g \Lambda t-l a$ is the copula subject and बाँसला bãs-la the copula complement, and where the copula predicate (i.e. the copula verb) has been omitted, would of course be acceptable:
(viii) इला गटला ते बाँसला (हए)!
[i-la g $\mathrm{g} t \mathrm{t}-\mathrm{la}]$ te [bãs-la] (h -e$)$
$[\mathrm{DEM}[\text { prox }]-\mathrm{PL} \text { all-PL] }]_{[\text {SUBJECT] }} \mathrm{PCL}[\text { bamboo-PL] }]_{\text {[COMPLEMENT] }}$ (be-PRES3)
'(But) these are all bamboos!'

17 Similarly Klaiman (1987:500) on Bengali: "Generally, the plural markers are added only to count nouns having animate or definite referents; otherwise plurality tends to be unmarked."
generic the plural is not marked, even though plurality is implied (67e). This does not seem to be dependent on animateness or humanness. Having said this, there are (relatively uncommon) cases where the plural is for some reason missing from the specific NP (67f).
(67)
a. बुऱाड आसेचे?
bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda \quad$ as-ec-e
old_man-NCLS come-PRES-3
'Is the old man coming?'
b. तोर भइँसट छोक?
to-r $\quad b^{\text {h }}$ Ais-ṭ $\Lambda \quad c^{\text {h }}$-ok
$2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ buffalo-NCLS be-SA2sg
'Do you have your buffalo?' (Implying, 'Is your buffalo missing?')
c. बुऱाला आसेचे?
bur ${ }^{\text {ha-la }}$ as-ec-e
old_man-PL come-PRES-3
'Are the old men coming?'
d. तोर भहँसला छोक?
to-r $\quad b^{\text {h }}$ ^is-la $c^{\text {h }}$-ok
2sg[obl]-GEN buffalo-PL be-SA2sg
'Do you have your buffaloes?' (Implying, 'Are your buffaloes missing?')
e. तोर भइँस छोक?
to-r $\quad b^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ is $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-ok
$2 \mathrm{sg}[$ obl]-GEN buffalo be-SA2sg
'Do you have (some/any) buffaloes?'
f. आर गोरु(ला) काम करेचे। (GR.02.004)
ar goru(-la) kam kar-ec-e
and bullock(-PL) job do-PRES-3
'And (the) bullock(s) work.'

### 3.6.1.2 Plural marking in echo formations

In echo formations, plural marking is normally optional (68a). In some cases with human referents it is obligatory ( $68 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{c}$ ). Plural marking in (68d) was reported to be optional, regardless of the human referent(s). The reason for this is uncertain.
(68)
a. कचु तचु(ला) बुना हल्कन?
kıcu tıcu(-la) bun-a h
[kacu]vegetable [echo][kacu]vegetable plant-PST.PTCL be-PST-SA2pl
'Did you finish planting the [kacu]vegetables (and other such things)?'
b. बुऱा तुऱाला आसेचे।
bur $^{\text {ha }}$ tur ${ }^{\text {ba-la as-ec-e }}$
old_man [echo]old_man-PL come-PRES-3
'The old man (and his friends; associates; family) is coming.'
c. मुइ बुरा तुरालाक देखेचु।
mui bur ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ tur $^{\text {ha }}$ a-la-k dek $^{h}-e c-u$
1sg[pro] old_man [echo]old_man-PL-DAT see-PRES-1sg
'I am looking after the old man (and his friends; associates; family).'
d. पामर तामर(ला) आसेचे।
pamır tamır(la) as-ec-e
Pāmar [echo]Pāmar(-PL) come-PRES-3
'Pāmar (and his friends; associates; family) is coming.'

### 3.6.1.3 Plural marking in noun compounds

In human (69a) and animal (69b) noun compounds, plural marking is obligatory when the compound is specific. This rule apparently applies to inanimate compounds also, though examples such as (70c) demand further research.
(69) a. मुइ बुरा बुरिलाक देखेचु।
mui bur ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{a}$ bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-1 \mathrm{la}-\mathrm{k} \quad$ dek $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{ec}-\mathrm{u}$
1sg[pro] old_man old_woman-PL-DAT see-PRES-1sg
'I am looking after the old man and old woman.'
b. गरु भइसलाक घाँस खिल्बार ताने... (cf. MR.02.026)
gnru $b^{\text {h }}$ ^is-la-k $\quad g^{\text {hãs }} k^{\text {h }}$ il-ba-r tane
bullock water_buffalo-PL-DAT grass feed-INF-GEN for
'in order to feed grass to the cattle'

In the free speech of two informants from northern Jhāpā, plural marking on noun compounds was, on several occasions, found to be optional.
(70) a. बुऱा बुऱि मिलिएने (cf. MR.04.005)
bur ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ bur ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}$ mil-iene
old_man old_woman unite-CONJ.PTCL
'the old man and old woman together'
b. बुऱा बुरि सचेचे... (cf. MR.04.014)
bur $^{{ }^{h} \mathrm{a}}$ bur ${ }^{{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}}$ s^c-ec-e
old_man old_woman think-PRES-3
'The old man and the old woman thought...'
c. अइड त मोर धन सम्पति। (DLR.05.036)
$\Lambda i-d ̣ \Lambda \quad$ t $\Lambda \quad$ mo-r $d^{\text {h }} \Lambda n \quad$ s $\Lambda m p \wedge t i$
DEM[rem/emph]-NCLS PCL 1sg[obl]-GEN wealth possessions
'That (was) my wealth and possessions.'

While reviewing the plural marking used in his own speech, one informant was of the opinion that the plural marking was optional. However, a second informant was under the impression that the deletion of the plural marker in (70a-c) is ungrammatical. This informant maintained that:
a. It is possibly due to second language interference (i.e. Nepali),
b. In (70a-b) a pause between the two words (बुरा bur ${ }^{h}$ a 'old_man' [pause] बुऱि bur ${ }^{h} i$ [pause] 'old_woman'), or rising intonation, would give a conjunctional effect: 'old man and old woman'. The plural suffix would then be optional, or
c. The lack of plural marking in (70a-b) would be acceptable if the compound बुऱा बुऱि bur ${ }^{h} a$ bur ${ }^{h}$ ' 'old_man old_woman' was modified by दने $d \Delta n^{h} e$ 'both'. Therefore दने बुरा बुऱि $d \Delta n^{h} e$ bur ${ }^{h}$ a bur ${ }^{h} i^{\prime}$ 'both the old man and the old woman' would be acceptable.

Dialectal variation of plural marking (that is, double marking on the one hand, and the lack of marking on the other) requires further research.

Plural marking on the demonstrative (71a) and interrogative adjectival (71b) is obligatory.
a. नि जाबे रहा इला झाग्रा दनत। (DLR.04.030)
ni ja-b-i rıh-a i-la jhag $\quad$ ra d $\Lambda n-\Lambda t$

NEG PASS.AUX-FUT-3 remain-PST.PTCL DEM[prox]-PL fight quarrel-LOC
'One can not stay in this fighting.'
b. केतेला धन सम्पति छिकि याहाँर? (DLR.05.021)
kete-la $\quad d^{\text {h }} \Lambda n \quad$ s $\Lambda m p \Lambda t i \quad c^{\text {h }}-\mathrm{i}$-ki $\quad$ yaha-r
how_much-PL wealth possessions be-PRES-SA3 3sg[pro/prox]-GEN
'How much wealth does he have?'

### 3.6.1.4 Pluralia tantum

Collective nouns occur in the plural (72a-c). Collective nouns can also often be used as count nouns (72d-f). In such cases they occur in the singular with the appropriate noun classifier. ${ }^{18}$

[^17](x) a. धनला $d^{h} \Lambda n-l a ~ ' w e a l t h-P L ' ~(m a s s) ~(~) ~$
b. सम्पतिला sampsti-la 'possession-PL' (mass)
(72) a. पुवालला pual-la 'straw-PL' (cf. DLR.01.002; 'straw (mass)')
b. चुलिला culi-la 'hair-PL' (cf. MR.05.221; 'hair (mass)')
c. भातला $b^{h}$ at-la 'rice-PL' ('rice (mass)')
d. इखान चुलि $i-k^{h}$ an culi 'DEM[prox]-NCLS hair' (cf. MR.05.224; 'this strand of hair')
e. इखान पुवाल $i-k^{h}$ an pual 'DEM[prox]-NCLS straw' ('this stalk of straw')
f. इड भात $i-\underset{d}{ } \Lambda b^{h}$ at 'DEM[prox]-NCLS rice' ('this grain of rice')

When a collective noun forms an endocentric compound with another noun it is not marked with the plural suffix.
(73) पुवाल ढेरिड pual $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eri-ḍ $\Lambda$ 'straw pile-NCLS' (i.e. 'the pile of straw'; cf. MR.02.005)

### 3.6.2 Noun classes

### 3.6.2.1 Introduction

Rājbanshi nouns are assigned to one of two noun classes: -ट - $t \uparrow$ (with allomorph -ड $-\underset{\mu}{\text { d }} \Lambda$, or vice versa) or -खान $-k^{h}$ an. ${ }^{19}$ The use of the noun classifier is closely linked to specific definite/indefinite reference. Concerning Bengali, Chatterji (1975b [1926]:777) maintains:
c. खानाला (खानला) $k^{h}$ ana/ $\Lambda$-la 'food-PL' (mass)
d. खराकला $k^{h}$ srak-la 'food-PL' (mass)
e. मोचला moc-la 'moustache-PL' (mass)
f. दाऱिला dar ${ }^{h}$ i-la 'beard-PL' (mass)
g. गपसपला gлps $\wedge p-l a$ 'chat-PL' (mass)
h. मुसिला musi-la 'ashes-PL' (mass)
i. कालाला kala-la 'peas-PL' (mass)
j. पेइसाला peisa-la 'money-PL' (mass)
${ }^{19}$ I have refrained from using the term "gender" for the noun class system since it does not involve verb agreement (cf. Corbett 1995 [1991]:5;136-137), and since the noun classes bear no semantic correlation with sex (cf. Dixon 1982:160).

The Rājbanshi noun classes comply to the three criterion for noun classes put forward by Dixon (1982:160, revised according to (ibid.:163)): "We can say that the category of noun classes is (1) a grouping of all the nouns of a language into a smallish number of classes, (2) so that there is some overt indication of the class of a noun within certain types of sentence in which it occurs with one of a certain set of syntactic functions, (3) and this indication is not entirely within the noun-word."

I use the term "noun classifier" to refer to the two above-mentioned morphemes which indicate noun class assignment. Therefore, I use the term similarly to Aikhenwald's (2003 [2000]:92) "overt noun class marker" (Aikhenwald distinguishes between "noun classifiers" and "overt noun class markers", the latter being derived from the former via grammaticalisation processes).
"Pronouns other than those of the first and second persons also take these postpositions, which are attached to the words and practically become a part of them, the case-affixes coming after them. These postpositional words are commonly described as articles. When a noun (or pronoun) is in the singular, the article or definite comes after it; when in the plural (and pronouns are not used in the plural here), it must be qualified by a numeral, with which the post-positional is combined : where the number is vague or unknown, the definite is not used."

Similar patterns are also found in other Eastern Indo-Aryan languages as Masica (1991:250) explains:
"The Eastern type uses specifier suffixes (sometimes called 'articles' - Kakati calls them enclitic definitives or numeratives) derived from a numeral-classifier system (B. -ṭā, -tic, -khāna, -khāni; O. -ṭa, -tic; A. -to, -zpn, -khbn, -khpni, -gDs, -dāl, etc.) which itself is most elaborate and intact in Assamese, and clearly of non-Aryan origin. (It is a prominent feature of mainland Southeast Asian languages.) Added to nouns these suffixes specify Identified status (B. cheletti 'the child'); added to numerals preceding nouns they specify Unidentified status (B. ektic chele 'a child'). The distinctions among the suffixes involve not gender, which is absent in these languages (although animacy is relevant), but size, shape, affect, etc. Use of an element with numerals does not imply its use as a suffixed specifier to nouns: B. ægjhon bhodrolok 'a gentleman', but not *bhodrologion 'the gentleman'. In both types, case suffixes follow these elements in declension: B. cheletike, chelettir 'to the child, the child's'..."

Rājbanshi also has a numeral classifier -झना $-j^{h} \Delta n a$ 'PCLS' which is used for human referents. This is only found in conjunction with numerals and some pronouns, and does not constitute a noun class of its own. In addition, many quantifying expressions have elements which function as numeral classifiers.

In the following sections I will discuss noun classes, assignment to noun classes and numeral classifiers. Quantifying expressions will also be discussed, though they will not be regarded as numeral classifiers as such. I will then look at the use of classifiers from a structural perspective and consider the use of noun classifiers for specificity and definiteness.

### 3.6.2.2 Noun classes and class assignment

As stated previously, in Rājbanshi the noun is marked with one of two noun classifiers: -ट $-t, \Lambda$ (with allomorph -ड - $d \Lambda$, or vice versa) or -खान - $k^{h}$ an.
(74) a. जाकट jak-ṭ ${ }^{\prime}$ 'stack-NCLS'
b. ढिप्रिड $d^{h}$ ipri-d $\Lambda$ 'mound-NCLS'
c. पेटानिखान petani-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'skirt-NCLS'

The classifier -ट -ṭ $\Lambda$ (-ड - $\underset{\sim}{ } \Lambda$ ) is found as -टा -ṭa (-डा -ḍa) when it occurs after numerals. Dialects on the eastern border with India use the forms -टा -ṭa (or -डा -ḍa) for the first classifier even after nouns (cf. Narrative 3 in section 8.1.7).
(75) a. एकटा ek-ṭa 'one-NCLS'
b. दुइडा dui-ḍa 'two-NCLS'

Whether the primary form of the first classifier is $-ट-t_{\Lambda}$ or -ड $-\underset{\Lambda}{ } \Lambda$ is not as easy to determine as it would seem. Based on a strictly structuralist analysis, one would take -s - $d_{\Lambda}$ as the primary form, and $-ट-t . \Lambda$ as the environmentally conditioned allomorph since the former (76a-b) is found in a wider set of environments than the latter (77a-b):
(76) a. Following all vowels: -ड - ${\underset{\Lambda}{\Lambda} \Lambda}^{\text {(or eastern }-ड ा ~-d ̣ a) . ~}$
e.g. बाबाडर baba- ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda-r$ 'priest-NCLS-GEN'
b. Following all voiced consonants except for $r$ : -ड - $\boldsymbol{d}_{\Lambda}$ (or eastern -डा - $\underset{a}{ }$ ).
e.g. चिलिमड cilim- $\Lambda_{\Lambda}$ 'pipe-NCLS'
(77) a. Following all voiceless consonants: - $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{t} \Lambda$ (or eastern -टा -ṭa).
e.g. लङ•गटट lıng $\Lambda t-t+\Lambda$ 'ill-behaved_person-NCLS'
b. Following the consonant $r$ : -ट - $t \wedge$ (or eastern -टा -ṭa).
e.g. सोरट sor- $\dagger \uparrow$ 'boar-NCLS'

However, in the far-western varieties spoken in western Morang the voiceless morpheme -ट - $t \uparrow$ is used in all positions regardless of the word-final component. It is therefore possible (and Toulmin (2006:176) takes this position) that the underlying, and historically original form could be $-\tau-t!\Lambda$. I have chosen to transcribe the allomorphs as they are used in the dialects studied: -ट as $-\underline{t} \Lambda$ and -ड as $-\underset{\Lambda}{ } \Lambda$ according to their respective pronunciation.

Masica (1991:250) maintains that in Eastern Indo-Aryan languages "the distinctions among the suffixes involve... size, shape, affect, etc". ${ }^{20}$ In Rājbanshi distributional principles based on semantic features can provide guidelines to the assignment of the classifiers when the entity has a physical shape. However, even this does not necessarily apply in all cases since noun class assignment can vary between adjacent dialects (78a-d).
(78) a. जामाड jama-ḍ $\Lambda$ 'shirt-NCLS' (Ghailāḍubbā) versus जामाखान jama-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'shirt-NCLS' (Korobāri)

[^18]b. गारिखान gari-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'cart-NCLS' (Ghailāḍubbā) versus गारिड gari-ḍ $\Lambda$ 'cart-NCLS' (Korobāri)
c. संसारट sansar-ṭ $\Lambda$ 'world-NCLS' (Lakharigaddi/Ghailāḍubbā) versus संसारखान sınsar-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'world-NCLS' (Korobāri)
d. बाहाखान baha-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'wedding-NCLS' (Lakharigaddi/Ghailāḍubbā) versus बाहाड baha- ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda$ 'wedding-NCLS' (Korobāri)

For nouns which do not have a physical shape which one is able to evaluate, the assignment of the noun class is of course arbitrary. The -ट - $\mathrm{t}_{\Lambda}$ (or -s - $\boldsymbol{d}_{\Lambda}$ ) class is more common than the -खान $-k^{h}$ an class. In a count of 225 nouns (which are used in the text data corpus in Appendix 3), $38 \%$ were of the -खान $-k^{h}$ an class and $62 \%$ of the -ट - $t \wedge$ (or -ड $-(d)$ class. A comparison of the assignment of classifiers in Rājbanshi, Assamese and Bengali would have been fruitful at this point, but such an undertaking was not possible for this work. ${ }^{21}$

Research did highlight that some nouns could actually be marked with either of the classifiers.

## (79) a. कामखान kam-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'work-NCLS' (Korobāri and Ghailāḍubbā)

b. कामड kam- ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda$ 'work-NCLS' (Korobāri and Ghailāḍubbā)

Initially this seemed to point to free variation of the classifiers with some nouns, but examples such as (80) led to additional implications for the classifier system.
(80) कामड दिबो तुहें।
kam-ḍ di-b-o tu=he
work-NCLS give-FUT-2sg $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]=\mathrm{EMPH}$
'You gave your worth.' (Meaning, 'You are late.')

Namely that, though we have seen that each noun has a default classifier (the choice of which in abstract nouns is arbitrary), some abstract nouns can take a non-default classifier. Whether the default be -खान $-k^{h}$ an '-NCLS' or -ड - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda$ 'NCLS', the opposite (non-default) classifier will imply a somewhat redefined entity which is nevertheless related to the original (cf. also Corbett 1995 [1991]:136; Aikhenvald 2003 [2000]:83-84).

Consider, for example, the word बुद्धि budd ${ }^{h} i$ 'wisdom; intelligence' which generally uses the classifier -खान - $k^{h}$ an '-NCLS' (81a), and गित git 'song' which normally uses the classifier -ड $-\underset{d}{ } \Lambda$ 'NCLS' (81b). If the opposite classifier is used for (81a), it implies a

[^19]single unit, that is, 'idea' ( $81 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}$ ). If the opposite classifier is used for (81b) it implies the content of the song, that is, the wording (81d).
(81) a. बुद्धिखान budd ${ }^{h}$ i-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'wisdom/intelligence-NCLS' (whole entity)
b. गितट git-ṭ 'song-NCLS' (whole entity)
c. बुद्धिड budd ${ }^{h}$ i-d $\Lambda$ 'wisdom/intelligence-NCLS' (that is, 'an idea'; single entity)
d. गितखान git-k ${ }^{\text {han }}$ 'song-NCLS' (that is, 'the wording of a song'; content)
e. लेङरि भइसट एकटा याहाँक बुद्धि दिष्कि। (cf. MR.05.156)
leyri $\quad b^{\text {h }} \Lambda i s-t ̣ \Lambda \quad$ ek-ṭa $\quad$ yaha-k $\quad$ budd $^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i} \quad$ d-ip-ki
lame[FEM] buffalo-NCLS one-NCLS DEM[pro/prox]-DAT wisdom give-FUT-SA3 'Then the lame wild buffalo gave him an idea.'

Other word pairs include:
(82) a. धानड $d^{h}$ an-d $d \Lambda$ 'grain_of_rice(with_husk)-NCLS' versus धानखान $d^{h} a n-k^{h}$ an 'field/crop_of_rice-NCLS'
b. बाधड $b a d^{h}-d_{\Lambda}$ 'length_of_a_field-NCLS' versus बाधखान $b a d^{h}-k^{h} a n ~ ' f i e l d-N C L S ' ~$
c. तुरिड turi-ḍ $\Lambda$ 'mustard_seed-NCLS' versus तुरिखान turi-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'mustard_field-NCLS'
d. दुदड dud-ds 'breast-NCLS' versus दुदखान dud-khan 'milk'
e. बदलाड bıdla-ḍ '(specific_act_of)revenge-NCLS' versus बदलाखान badla-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'revenge(general sense)-NCLS'

There would, however, seem to be too little evidence at this stage to posit that the classifiers are in a process of reanalysis towards the designation of the property [ $\pm$ COUNT]. Only some words can take either classifier (83a-d), and in some cases the corresponding pairs are unrelated entities altogether (84a-d).
(83) a. दिमागखान dimag-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'brain-NCLS'
b. *दिमागड *dimag-d $d_{4}$ 'brain-NCLS'
c. डरखान $d a r$-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'fear-NCLS'
d. *डरड *ḍ $\mu$ - $d \mu$ *'fear-NCLS'
(84) a. नाअखान na 1 -k han 'boat-NCLS' versus नाअँड nas/त̃- $\lambda_{\Lambda}$ 'name-NCLS'
b. जाग्गाड jagga-d $\lambda$ 'container for keeping fire-NCLS' versus जाग्गाखान jagga-k ${ }^{h} a n ~ ' l a n d-~$ NCLS'
c. दोसखान dos-k ${ }^{\text {han }}$ 'accusation-NCLS' versus दोसड dos-ḍ '(covenant) friend-NCLS'
d. चालखान cal-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'roof-NCLS' versus चालड cal-d $\alpha$ 'character-NCLS'

I will now return to considering the semantic features of the classifiers. If a Rājbanshi speaker is shown a previously unknown, but physically visual, entity s/he should be able to assign the correct classifier to it. For example, a Rājbanshi speaker will use either
question (85a) or question (85b) depending on the shape and size of the entity. Additionally, which classifier is associated with the noun पात pat leaf seems to be determined by the size and shape of the leaf itself ( $86 a-b$ ). From this we should be able to say definitely that semantic features play at least some part with some nouns.
a. इड किड?
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ ki-ḍ $\Lambda$
DEM[prox]-NCLS what-NCLS
'What is this?'
b. इखान किखान?
i-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an ki-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an
DEM[prox]-NCLS what-NCLS
'What is this?'
a. पातट pat- $t \uparrow$ 'leaf-NCLS' (e.g. a short and round leaf)
b. पातखान pat-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'leaf-NCLS' (e.g. a (long) leaf of a banana tree)

One clear semantic distinction is that the classifier -खान $-k^{h}$ an is not used for animates (87a-d), though it may be used for body parts (87e).
(87) a. human entity घरनिड $g^{h} \Lambda r-n i-d_{\Lambda}$ 'house-FEM-NCLS' (i.e. 'wife')
b. human entity पताड $p \wedge t ̣ a-d_{\Lambda} \Lambda$ 'grandson-NCLS'
c. animal entity खेटियाड $k^{h}$ eṭia- ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda ~ ' j a c k a l-N C L S ' ~$
d. animal entity छागलड $c^{h} a_{\Lambda} l-d_{\Lambda}$ 'goat-NCLS'
e. body part हातखान hat-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'hand-NCLS'

The classifier -खान $-k^{h}$ an tends to be used where there is an association with liquid or airborne substances.
(88) a. liquid substance दहिखान $d \Delta(h) i-k^{h}$ an 'curd-NCLS'
b. liquid substance दुनिखान $d u n^{h} i-k^{h}$ an 'milk-NCLS'
c. airborne substance धुवाँखान $d^{h} u a ̃-k^{h}$ an 'smoke-NCLS'
d. airborne substance अघिनखान $\Lambda g^{h} i n-k^{h}$ an 'fire-NCLS'
e. illumination जाऩाकखान $j a n^{h} a k-k^{h} a n$ 'light-NCLS'

Note that both classifiers can be used for abstract entities.
(89) a. abstract entity धर्मड $d^{h} \Lambda r m \Lambda-d_{\Lambda}$ 'religion-NCLS'
b. abstract entity कारनड karın- $\boldsymbol{d}_{\Lambda}$ 'reason-NCLS'
c. abstract entity डरखान $\underset{\sim}{d} r-k^{h}$ an 'fear-NCLS'
d. abstract entity बुद्धिखान budd ${ }^{h} i-k^{h}$ an 'wisdom/intelligence-NCLS'

Whether the classifier -खान $-k^{h}$ an is used for elongated or flat/thin entities is less certain. At a glance this may certainly seem to be the case. ${ }^{22}$
(90) a. elongated entity बाहाँखान baha- $k^{h}$ an 'arm-NCLS'
b. elongated entity पुवालखान pual-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'straw-NCLS'
c. flat/elongated entity खेतखान $k^{h} e t-k^{h} a n$ 'field-NCLS'
d. flat/thin entity बिछ्नाखान bic $^{h} n a-k^{h}$ an 'bed_sheet-NCLS'
e. flat/thin entity खस्लाखान $k^{h} \Lambda s l a-k^{h}$ an 'woven_straw_mat-NCLS'
f. flat/thin entity चाचखान cac- $k^{h}$ an 'jute_mat-NCLS'

However, in many instances the choice is as arbitrary as the semantic features "elongated" and "flat/thin". Note that in the following cases, the elongated and flat entities have been assigned the classifier -ट - $t \uparrow$ (or -ड - $d \Lambda$ ).
(91) a. elongated entity चिलिमड cilim- $\mu_{\Lambda}$ 'pipe-NCLS'
b. elongated entity खामाड $k^{h}$ ama- ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda$ 'pillar-NCLS'
c. elongated entity कलमड $k \wedge l_{\Lambda m}-d_{\Lambda}$ 'pen-NCLS'
d. elongated entity पइनड $\mathrm{p}_{\Lambda} \mathrm{in}(\Lambda)$ - $\mathrm{d}_{\Lambda}$ 'irrigation_channel-NCLS'

[^20]
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e. flat/thin/elongated entity दामालड damal- $d_{\Lambda} \Lambda$ 'track-NCLS'
f. flat/thin entity कानड kan-d $\Lambda$ 'ear-NCLS'
g. flat/thin entity लिहानिड lihani- ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda$ 'blanket-NCLS'
h. flat/thin entity गिलापट gilap- $t \mathrm{\Lambda} ~$ 'shawl-NCLS'
i. flat/thin entity धुसाड $d^{h} u s a-d{ }_{c} \quad$ 'blanket-NCLS'

Similarly, entities which are related to nature are arbitrarily assigned to the noun classes. Though some entities are indeed assigned to the -खान $-k^{h}$ an class (92a-c), others are assigned to the -ट -ṭ (or, -ड - $\mu_{\Lambda}$ ) class (93a-d).
(92) a. छियारिखान $c^{h}{ }^{h} a^{2} i-k^{h}$ an 'shady_place_for_sitting-NCLS'
b. जङलखान $j_{\Lambda} \wedge \Lambda l-k^{h}$ an 'jungle/forest-NCLS'
c. माटिखान maṭi-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'soil-NCLS'
(93) a. लदिड $1 \Lambda d i-d \Lambda_{\Lambda}$ 'river-NCLS'
b. फुलड $p^{h} u l-\underset{d}{ }$ 'flower-NCLS'
c. कचुड $k \wedge c u-d \Lambda \quad$ ' $[k a c u]$ vegetable-NCLS'
d. खादिड $k^{h} a d i-d d_{\Lambda}$ 'hole-NCLS'

To posit yet further semantic features in order to accommodate the use of the classifiers for the following cases would merely lead to increasing confusion caused by an evergrowing inventory of subcategorising semantic features.
(94) a. चिजखान cij-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'thing; article-NCLS'
b. साँपट sãp-ṭ $\Lambda$ 'serpent-NCLS'
c. लख्रिखान $l \Delta k^{h} r i-k^{h}$ an 'firewood-NCLS'
d. मासुखान masu-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'meat-NCLS'
e. लडड $l \Lambda \eta-d_{\Lambda}{ }^{\prime}($ finger $)$ nail'

Perhaps the best that can be said (in line with Anderson (1995b [1985]:176)) is that historically the noun class assignment was (most likely) based on semantic features, and that even in contemporary Rājbanshi the noun class assignment is still partially determined by such (if the entity is physically visual). For the most part, however, noun class assignment seems to be arbitrary. ${ }^{23}$
${ }^{23}$ On the origin of the suffix, Chatterji (1975b [1926]:779; addition in square brackets mine) "But in the ŚKK. [Śrī-Krrṣna-Kīrttana], it is used in an expression like बाতিণী थাণী «nātinī khān̄̄»the (little) granddaughter ... from Early Assamese কণ্যা খাণি «kånyā khāni» the little daughter ... This numerative was thus established in Bengali by the eMB. period at least. Cf. also ... ষাঁতারিয়া আন্ন ভৃগু অরজুন্নের শরীর-খান «sātāriyā ānē Bhrgu Arjunērð sårīrðx-khānð» B. swims and brings the body of A. ... দুইথান হাত «dui-khāna hātå» the two hands..."

Klaiman (1987:511-512) asserts that the Bengali classifier system (amongst other features foreign to Indo-Aryan) were probably "...absorbed from other languages into Bengali after the

### 3.6.2.3 Numeral classifier and quantifying expressions

### 3.6.2.3.1 Numeral classifier

In addition to the classifiers mentioned in the previous section, Rājbanshi uses a numeral classifier -झना (-झन) $-j^{h} \Lambda n$ (a) 'PCLS' for human referents. Characteristically, the numeral classifier is a clitic which is bound to a preceding numeral (95a), or indefinite pronoun (95b). The indefinite article is formed by attaching the classifier to the numeral एक ek 'one' (95c).
a. दुइझन dui-j ${ }^{h} \Lambda n$ 'two-PCLS' (i.e. 'two (people)')
b. केबाझन लोक kewa-j ${ }^{h} \Lambda n$ lok 'some-PCLS man' (i.e. 'some people')
c. एकझना $e k-j^{h} \Lambda n a$ 'one-PCLS' (i.e. 'a/one (person)')

As is evident from (95a-c), there are structural similarities between pure noun classifiers and the numeral classifier. ${ }^{24}$ Should the numeral classifier, therefore, be regarded as a pure noun classifier such as -ट -ṭ $\Lambda$ (-ड -ḍ $\Lambda$ ) and -खान $-k^{h} a n ?$ Aikhenvald (2003 [2000]:105) in fact maintains that all Bengali classifiers are numeral classifiers:
"Numeral classifiers can be attached to numerals as suffixes, or, more rarely, as prefixes. Numeral classifier systems of this kind are found in South and North American Indian languages and in inflecting Indic languages... Bengali has five numeral classifiers which are suffixed to the numeral: -ṭâ 'countable non-human'; -ṭi 'diminutive of -têa'; -jan 'human'; -khana 'solid objects with rectangular or flat shape'; -khâni 'diminutive of -khana'..."

Masica (1991:250) mentions that the Eastern Indo-Aryan classifiers originate from numeral classifiers:
"The Eastern type uses specifier suffixes (sometimes called 'articles' - Kakati calls them enclitic definitives or numeratives) derived from a numeral-classifier system (B. -ṭā, -ṭi, -khāna, -khāni; O. -ṭa, -ṭi; A. -to, -zpn, -khpn, -khpni, -gds, -dāl, etc.) which itself is most elaborate and intact in Assamese, and clearly of non-Aryan origin."
thirteenth century, as the language came to be increasingly used east of the traditional sociocultural centre of Bengal." Additionally, according to Emeneau (1965:38) language contact with the Tai language Ahom - the Ahom people having been an influencial political force in the eastern Assam valley since A.D. 1228 - may have had some influence on the Magadhan classifier system.

24 Aikhenwald (2003 [2000]:90) distinguishes between noun classifiers and numeral classifiers as follows: "The basic difference between the two types is that numeral classifiers occur in numerical - and often in quantifying - expressions. Noun classifiers occur independently of the presence of other modifiers in a noun phrase. ... Noun classifiers may not be obligatory in a noun phrase, unlike numeral classifiers which are generally obligatory in a numerical NP."

However, though there are structural similarities, I have regarded the two sets as morphologically separate, and have viewed -झना $-j^{h} \wedge n a$ 'PCLS' as a numeral classifier for three reasons. Firstly, the numeral classifier only occurs with human referents and is structurally restricted to use with numerals and pronominals. Secondly, all nouns fall into one of two noun classes: the -ट-t $\Lambda$ (or -ड $-\frac{d}{} \Lambda$ ) class, or the -खान -k ${ }^{h}$ an class. Conversely, the numeral classifier does not constitute a third noun class: all human nouns are assigned
 the numeral classifier -सना $-j^{h}$ Ana 'PCLS' (96d). Thirdly, the numeral classifier can not be joined to the head noun (96f).
(96) a. compare एकटा ek-ta 'one-NCLS' with एकझना $e k-j^{h}$ Ana 'one-PCLS'
b. compare केवाडा kewa-ḍa 'some-NCLS' with केवाझना kewa-jh ${ }^{\text {h }}$ na 'some-PCLS'
c. एकटा लोक ek-taa lok 'one-NCLS man' (Korobāri, Ghailāạubbā and Lakharigaddi)
d. एकझना लोक ek-jh ${ }^{\text {h }}$ na $\operatorname{lok}$ 'one-PCLS man' (Ghailāḍubbā and Lakharigaddi)
e. लोकट lok-ṭ $\wedge$ 'man-NCLS'
f. *लोकझना *lok-jh ${ }^{\text {n }}$ na *'man-PCLS'

Two informants from northern Jhāpā (Ghailāḍubbā and Lakharigaddi) tended to vary between the noun classifier (96c) and the numeral classifier (96d). Unlike Assamese (cf. Aikhenvald 2003 [2000]:102-103), there seems to be no implication of respect or honorifics involved. Conversely, the informant from Korobāri would only use the numeral classifier in pronominal phrases such as (95a,c). ${ }^{25}$ According to this informant (95b), (96d) and (97a) are ungrammatical. The example (97b) would, however, be considered acceptable.

[^21](xi) [एकझन] [मास्टर] रहए।

$[\text { one-PCLS }]_{[\text {SUBJECT] }}[\text { teacher }]_{\text {[COMPLEMENT] }}$ be-PST.HAB-3
'One of them used to be a teacher.'
(xii) [एकटा मास्टर] रहए।
[ek-ṭa masṭır] rıhs-e
[one-NCLS teacher] $]_{\text {SUB.JECT] }}$ be-PST.HAB-3
'There was once a teacher.'
The issue clearly demands further research. One should also be careful, not only to study dialectal variation in this respect, but also to distinguish between the contemporary spoken form and the developing literary form.
(97) a. दुइझन भातार मगि रहे... (cf. DLR.05.002)
dui-j ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}$ atar m mi r$\Lambda h-e . .$.
two-PCLS husband wife live-PST.HAB3...
'Two of them were married (i.e. were husband and wife)...'
b. दुइ भातार मगि रहे...
dui $b^{h}$ atar mıgi rsh-e...
two husband wife live-PST.HAB3...
'Two of them were married (i.e. were husband and wife)...'

### 3.6.2.3.2 Quantifying expressions

In quantifying expressions, the numeral or indefinite pronoun is followed by a noun indicating volume or weight, which is again followed by the head noun.

## (98) Volume

a. दुइ लटा पानि dui luṭa pani 'two brass_pot water'
b. केवा तारि पानि kewa tari pani 'some clay_bottle water'

## (99) Weight

दुइ सेर चाउ दे त!
dui ser cau de- $\varnothing$ t $\Lambda$
two [ser] rice give-IMP2sg PCL
'Give me two sers of rice.' ( $1 \mathrm{ser} \approx \mathrm{c} .0,9 \mathrm{~kg}$ )

The lexemes लटा 1sṭa 'brass_pot' in (98a), तारि tari 'clay_bottle' in (98b), and सेर ser 'ser[measure_of_weight]' in (99) all fall into the classifier slot, so that the numeral or indefinite pronoun no longer requires an (otherwise obligatory) noun classifier. Whether these should be considered as numeral classifiers in the traditional sense is, however, doubtful. I have regarded the two categories distinct for two reasons.

Firstly, the quantifying expressions (98a-b) and (99) can occur as independent nouns (100a-c). This is unlike the numeral classifier which is a pure (grammaticalised) clitic; it can not occur without a numeral or pronominal head. Neither can it, having lost lexical quality, take a classifier itself (100d).
(100) a. लटाड $1 \Lambda t ̣ a-d ̣ \Lambda ~ ' b r a s s \_p o t-N C L S ' ~$
b. तारिड tari- ${ }^{\boldsymbol{d} \Lambda}$ 'clay_bottle-NCLS'
c. सेरट ser- $\mathrm{t}_{\Lambda}$ '[ser]-NCLS' = 'a shallow dish-shaped weight which measures the equivalent of 1 ser
d. ${ }^{*}{ }^{\text {सनाड }}{ }^{*} j^{h} \Lambda n a-d_{\Lambda} *$ 'PCLS-NCLS'

Secondly, unlike the quantifying expressions, the numeral classifier is similar to the noun classifiers in that it is used for pragmatic functions such as discourse reference.
(101) a. एकझन ढुक्ले।
ek-j ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{uk}-1-\mathrm{I}$
one-PCLS enter-PST-3
'a person ${ }_{[\text {SPECIFIC.INDEFINITE] }}$ entered'
b. त दने झना दिले झाग्रा लागाए। (DLR.04.023)
t $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{d} \wedge \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ na di-l-I $\quad \mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ag $\Lambda$ ra laga-e
PCL both PCLS AUX-PST-3 fight begin-ABS
'And both (of them ${ }_{\text {[REFERRED.TO.PREVIOUSLY] }}$ ) began to fight.'

### 3.6.2.4 Structural considerations

The noun classifier is marked on the head noun (102a). Noun classifiers -ट - $\mathrm{t}_{\Lambda}\left(-\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{d}_{\Lambda}\right)$ and -खान $-k^{h}$ an are bound morphs and attach directly to the right of the stem. Case marking follows to the right of the classifier ( $102 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{d}$ ).
(102) a. थर्कानिड $t^{h} \Lambda r k a n i-d_{\Lambda}$ 'step-NCLS'
b. भातारटक $b^{h}$ atar- $-t-k$ - 'husband-NCLS-DAT'
c. बाबाडर baba-d $A-r$ 'priest-NCLS-GEN'
d. पान्जारखानेर panjar-k ${ }^{h}$ an-er 'rib-NCLS-GEN'

When the noun classifier occurs with a numeral it may also have multiple referents. In such constructions the plural marker is not used.
(103) a. तिनडा बेटा tin-ḍa beṭa 'three-NCLS son'
b. दुइखान चप्पल dui-khan cappıl 'two-NCLS slipper'

Proper nouns are (usually) marked with the classifier -ट -ṭ ( $-\mathrm{s}-\underset{d}{ })$.
(104) a. पामरट pamar-ṭs 'Pāmar-NCLS'
b. टंकड $t \wedge \eta k \Lambda-d ̣ \Lambda$ 'Tanka-NCLS'

Proper nouns and human referents are usually marked with the noun classifier (105a), when they function as the modifying element in genitive constructions or the direct/indirect object. In some cases, however, the modifying noun (105b) or the direct object/indirect object (105c) are not marked with the noun classifier. Initial observations suggested that this may point to intimacy (or, social proximity).
(105) a. पामरटर घर कुऩा?
pam $\Lambda r-t ̣ \Lambda-r \quad g^{h} \Lambda r \quad \operatorname{kun}^{h} a$
Pāmar-NCLS-GEN house where
'Where is Pāmar's (neutral reference) house?'
b. पामरेर घर कुऩा?
pamır-er $g^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge \mathrm{r} \quad \operatorname{kun}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$
Pāmar-GEN house where
'Where is Pāmar's (intimate reference) house?'
c. बापक भेट पाइ जाबो।
bap- $\wedge \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}$ et pa-i $\quad$ ja-b-o
father-DAT meeting receive-ABS AUX-FUT-2sg
Literally: 'You will meet father.'
Meaning: 'You'll learn a lesson!'

However, quite often the level of intimacy is not affected, irrespective of whether the possessive modifier is marked with a classifier: compare (106a-b) with (106c). The issue requires further research.
(106) a. रानिर बेटिड सुना एक चटि जाबे आन्बा। (cf. MR.05.234)
rani-r beți-ḍ $\Lambda$ suna ek csṭi ja-b-i an-ba queen-GEN daughter-NCLS PCL one moment go-FUT-3 bring-INF 'The queen's daughter went once to take (it).'
b. उहाँर बेटाड राजार घरत काम करेकि। (MR.05.002; see also MR.05.003, MR.05.236, MR.05.338, DLR.03.003, DLR.03.012)
uhã-r beṭa-ḍ $\Lambda$ raja-r $g^{h} \Lambda r-\Lambda t \quad$ kam k $\Delta r$-eki
3sg[pro]-GEN son-NCLS king-GEN house-LOC work do-(PST.HAB)SA3
'Her son used to work in the king's house.'
c. चेङराड राजाडर घरत रहबा धर्बे। (cf. MR.05.295)
cenra-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ raja-ḍ $\Lambda-r \quad g^{\text {h }} \Lambda r-\Lambda t \quad$ r $\Lambda h \Lambda-b a d^{h} \Lambda r-b-I$
young_man-NCLS king-NCLS-GEN house-LOC live-INF begin-FUT-3
'The young man started to live at the king's house.'

The use of the classifier can be optional in locative (107a-b) and directional (107c) phrases.
(107) a. एख्लाए अन्सट लागि जाच्कि घरत। (cf. DLR.04.009)
$e^{\mathrm{h}}$ lae $\Lambda n s \wedge t ̣ \Lambda$ lag-i ja-c-ki $\quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\Lambda \mathrm{t}$
alone bored feel-ABS AUX-PRES-SA3 house-LOC
'(He) is bored at home all on his own.'
3. Morphology
b. थान(ड)त पुगि गेले। (cf. MR.05.327)
$t^{\text {han }}$-(d $\left.\Lambda\right)-\Lambda \mathrm{t}$ pug-i ge-1-I
place-(NCLS)-LOC reach-ABS AUX-PST-3
'They arrived at (the) place.'
c. त सचिएने याहाँ घर आस्ले। (DLR.06.046)
t $\Lambda$ ssc-iene yaha $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge \mathrm{r}$ as-l-I

PCL think-CONJ.PTCL DEM[pro/prox] house come-PST-3
'Thinking (this) she came home.'

### 3.6.2.4.1 Marking on phrase modifiers

The noun classifier (-ट -ṭ $(-$ ड $-\underset{d}{ } \Lambda)$ or - खान $\left.-k^{h} a n\right)$ appears only once in the phrase. The classifier is marked on the modifying numeral (108a) or demonstrative (108b) if it is present. Otherwise the classifier is marked on the head noun (108c).
(108) a. एकटा पाँइया ek-ṭa pãia 'one-NCLS wheel' ('a wheel')
b. इड पाँइया i-ḍィ pãia 'DEM[prox]-NCLS wheel' ('this wheel')
c. पॉइडयाड $p a ̃ i a-d_{\Lambda}$ 'wheel-NCLS' ('the wheel')

In poetic style, the classifier may be left off the article. Compare, for example, the unmarked construction in (109a) where the article is marked with the classifier. In (109b) the numeral alone denotes the indefinite article.
(109) a. एकटा बुऱा रहे (आर) एकटा बुऱि रहे। (cf. also DLR.04.001)
ek-ṭa bur ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ rsh-e (ar) ek-ṭa bur ${ }^{\text {hi }}$ rsh-e
one-NCLS old_man be-PST.HAB3 (and) one-NCLS old_woman be-PST.HAB3
'There was an old man and an old woman.'
b. एक रहे बुऱा, एक रहे बुरि। (cf. introduction to narrative PKR.01)
ek rah-e bur ${ }^{\text {h }}$, ek rah-e bur ${ }^{\text {h }}{ }^{\mathrm{i}}$
one be-PST.HAB3 old_man, one be-PST.HAB3 old_woman
'There was an old man and an old woman.'

In speech, two informants from northern Jhāpā sometimes marked the noun classifier on both the demonstrative and the noun phrase head. This was considered by informants from another area to be ungrammatical. Further study of dialectal variation is necessary to determine how widespread this kind of double marking actually is (cf. section 3.6.1.2-3 for a similar discussion on the double marking of the plural).
(110) a.
?/* इड काटाल भातारट (cf. DLR.06.076; see also mr.04.095;171-172, mr.05.034;036;050)
?/* i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ kaț-al b ${ }^{\text {hatar-ṭ }}$
?/* DEM[prox]-NCLS cut-PST.PTCL husband-NLC
?/* 'this cut-up husband'
b. ?/* इड दुक बासिड (cf. MR.05.179)
?/* i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ duk basi-ḍ $\Lambda$
?/* DEM[prox]-NCLS trouble flute-NCLS
?/* 'this trouble flute'

The classifier is marked on the head noun when this is modified by an adjective (111a-b), or a possessive pronominal (111c-d).
(111) a. सुक्टि बुरिड (cf. MR.04.115)
sukt-i bur ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda$
dry-FEM old_woman-NCLS
'skinny old woman'
b. तोर कादुवालि करा(ल) पानिखान (cf. GR.01.012-013)
to-r kaduali kar-a(l) pani-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an
2sg[obl]-GEN muddy do-PST.PTCL water-NCLS
'the water made muddy by you'
c. आप्नार जानड (cf. MR.04.206)
apna-r jan-ḍ $\Lambda$
REFL[pro]-GEN life-NCLS
'(her) own life'
d. तोर स्रिमानडक (cf. DLR.06.042)
to-r sriman-d $\Lambda$-k
2sg[obl]-GEN husband-NCLS-DAT
'your husband'

The adjective is marked with the noun classifier only when it is functioning as the head noun.
(112) छटडक (cf. GR.01.029)
$c^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda t ̣ \Lambda-\mathrm{d} \Lambda-\mathrm{k}$
small-NCLS-DAT
'the small (person)'

When the head noun is modified by both a demonstrative (or numeral) and an adjective, the classifier is marked on the demonstrative (or numeral).
(113) एकटा हाप हाति साफा जामा (cf. MR.02.008)
ek-ṭa hap hat-i sap $^{\text {ha }}$ jama one-NCLS half sleeve-ADJR white shirt 'a half-sleeved white shirt.'

### 3.6.2.5 Noun classifiers and specificity

### 3.6.2.5.1 Terminology

I will begin by defining the terms "specific indefinite", "specific definite" and "nonspecific". The term "specific indefinite" refers to a particular entity whose identity is not (yet/previously) disclosed; it is "identifiable to the speaker but not to the addressee" (Lambrecht 1994:80). Conversely, "specific definite" denotes an entity which is known and whose identity is disclosed, or is apparent in the real-world context. ${ }^{26}$

Consider, for example, 'a village' and 'an old man' in (114a). Both are specific indefinite since they are introduced here for the first time in the discourse. Conversely, 'that old man' in (114b) is specific definite since it has already been introduced in the previous sentence. The referent 'the daughter' in (114b) is specific definite although she has not been mentioned previously. This is due to an assumption (that is, based on a "realworld context") that the man has an offspring.
(114) a. एकटा गाअँत एकटा बुऱा रहे। (cf. DLR.04.001)
ek-ṭa gã̃-t ek-ṭa bur ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ rлh-e
one-NCLS village-LOC one-NCLS old_man live-PST.HAB-3
'In a village [SPECIFIC.INDEFINITE] there lived an old $\operatorname{man}_{\text {[SPECIFIC.INDEFINITE]] }}{ }^{\text {. }}$
b. त उड बुऱा माने बेटिडक बाहे दिले। (DLR.04.002)
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\mathrm{t} \Lambda & \mathrm{u}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda & \text { bur }^{\mathrm{h} a} & \text { mane } & \text { beṭi-d } \Lambda-\mathrm{k} & \text { bahe } & \text { di-l-ı } \\ \text { PCL } & \text { DEM }[\mathrm{rem}]-N C L S & \text { old_man } & \text { PCL } & \text { daughter-NCLS-DAT } & \text { marriage } & \text { give-PST-3 }\end{array}$
'That old $\operatorname{man}_{[\text {SPECIFIC.DEFINITE] }}$ had (his) daughter [SPECIFIC.DEFINITE] married.'

[^22]Following Masica (1986) I will use the term "nonspecific" to include "generic" and "nonspecific nongeneric" reference. Consider, for example, the referent "a basket" in (115a) and "a soldier" in (115b). Their reference is specific indefinite if the speaker is referring to a particular basket/soldier, and s/he is introducing this participant for the first time in the current discourse. If the reference is nonspecific, the speaker is referring to a category "basket"/"soldier" without reference to a particular entity/individual. ${ }^{27}$
(115) a. Nonspecific/specific indefinite: 'I am looking for a basket.'
a. Nonspecific/specific indefinite: 'Have you seen a soldier?'

The final distinction to make is "nonspecific nongeneric", which is a second subcategory of "nonspecific". In an article on definiteness-marking in South Asian languages, Masica (1986) uses this term for the noun element in conjunct verbs. ${ }^{28}$ Consider, for example, the noun मुठि $m u t^{h} i$ in the conjunct verb मुठि कर- mut ${ }^{h} i$ kar- 'to make a bundle; to bundle' (116). Note that the noun मुठि $m_{t}{ }^{h} i$ is not in any grammatical relationship to the other clause constituents; the direct object is पुवालला pual-la 'straw-PL'.
(116) एकटा बुऱा लोक पुवालला मुठि करेचे। (cf. DLR.01.002)
ek-ṭa bur ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ lok pual-la muṭ ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}$ kлr-ec-e
one-NCLS old man straw-PL bundle make-PRES-3
'An old man is bundling ${ }_{[C O N J U N C T . V E R B]}$ straw.'

[^23]
### 3.6.2.5.2 Use of classifiers for specificity, definiteness and the generic

Firstly, if the classifier is attached to the noun, it is identified as specific definite (117a). Classifier marking on the numeral implies that the agent is specific indefinite (117b).
(117) a. लोकट माने खेतिपातिरे काम कर्चे। (cf. DLR.03.003)
lok-ṭ mane ket-i-pat-i-r =e kam kır-c-e
man-NCLS PCL field-NML-leaf-NML-GEN $=$ EMPH work do-PRES-3
'The $\operatorname{man}_{\text {[SPECIFIC.DEFINITE] }}$ works in farming'
b. एकटा लोक घर छा'चे। (cf. DLR.01.001)
ek-ṭa lok $g^{h} \Lambda r \quad c^{h} a(r)-c-e$
one-NCLS man house thatch-PRES-3
'A man ${ }_{[S P E C I F I C . I N D E F I N I T E]}$ is house-thatching (conjunct verb).'

If the subject of a clause in Rājbanshi has generic reference it is often marked by the plural on [+COUNT] nouns (118a-b), and the noun classifier on [-COUNT] nouns (118cd). Insofar as the plural marker and noun classifiers are also used for specific definite reference, the sentences can often be interpreted (depending on the context) in two ways (i-ii). (Note the effect of the noun classifier on collective nouns in section 3.6.1.4.)
(118) a. सएलला गरूलार घेचाला बहिरिति नि जाबार ताने राखा जाछे। (cf. MR.02.023)
ssel-la gлru-la-r gheca-la bshiriti ni ja-ba-r tane neck_guide-PL bullock-PL-GEN throat-PL outside NEG go-INF-GEN for rak $^{\mathrm{h}}$-a $\quad \mathrm{ja}-\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$ put-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
i. 'Neck-guides ${ }_{[G E N E R I C]}$ are put (there) in order that the bullocks' throats would not slip off (the cross-bar).'
ii. '(The) neck-guides ${ }_{\text {[SPECIFIC.DEFINITE] }}$ are put (there) in order that the bullocks' throats would not slip off (the cross-bar).'
b. राजबंसिला भात खाचे।
rajbııysi-la $\quad b^{\text {hat }} k^{\text {ha }}$ ac-e
Rājbanshi-PL rice eat-PRES-3
i. 'Räjbanshis ${ }_{[G E N E R I C]}$ eat rice (conjunct verb).'
ii. 'The(se) Rājbanshis ${ }_{[\text {SPECIFIC.DEFINITE] }}$ eat rice (conjunct verb).'
c. उपर से पानिखान देखिन मुखे जाछे। (GR.01.003)
upır se pani-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an dek $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{muk}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e}$ ja-ch-e above ABL water-NCLS south towards go-PRES-3
i. 'Water ${ }_{\text {[GENERIC] }}$ flows from above towards the south.'
ii. 'The water ${ }_{[S P E C I F I C . D E F I N I T E]}$ is flowing from above towards the south.'
d. ठेन्डा पानिखान माजा हचे।
$t^{\mathrm{h}}$ enḍa pani-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an maja h h -c-e cold water-NCLS tasty be-PRES-3
i. 'Cold water ${ }_{[\text {GENERIC }]}$ is tasty.'
ii. 'The cold water [SPECIFIC.DEFINITE] is tasty.'

In (119a-c) the classifier denotes specificity alone. The patient जाहाज jahaj 'aeroplane; vessel' in (119a) is specific indefinite. This is indicated by the indefinite article which is formed by the numeral 'one' followed by the noun classifier. In (119b), the entity 'aeroplane' within the locative phrase is specific definite. The patient in (119c) is likewise specific definite. Conversely, in (119d) the noun classifier is not used due to the nonspecific status of the noun.
(119) a. मुइ एकखान जाहाज देख्नु।
mui ek-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an jahaj $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{u}$
$1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro one-NCLS aeroplane see-PST-1sg
'I saw an aeroplane [SPECIFIC.INDEFINITE]. .
b. जाहाजखानत एकखान झान्डा रहकि।

aeroplane-NCLS-LOC one-NCLS flag be-SA3
'On the aeroplane ${ }_{[\text {SPECIFIC.DEFINITE] }}$ there was a flag.'
c. मुइ जाहाजखान उराते देख्नु।
mui jahaj-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an ur-a-te $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{u}$
1sg[pro] aeroplane-NCLS rise-CAUS-CONT.PTCL see-PST-1sg
'I saw the plane ${ }_{[S P E C I F I C . D E F I N I T E]}$ taking off.'
d. तुइ जाहाज देखिचित?
tui jahaj dek $^{\mathrm{h}}$-ic-it
2 sg [pro] aeroplane see-PERF-2sg
'Have you seen an aeroplane ${ }_{[\text {NONSPECIFIC] }}$ ?'

Nonspecific reference is found in the text data in examples such as the following.
(120) a. इडक "गरु गारिर पाँइया" कहच्चे। (MR.02.017)
i-ḍ $\Lambda-\mathrm{k}$ g $\quad$ ru gari-r pãia k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda$-c-e
DEM[prox]-NCLS-DAT bullock cart-GEN wheel say-PRES-3
'This is called (a) bullock cart's wheel ${ }_{[\text {NONSPECIFIC] }}$.'
3. Morphology
b. बेर्छानि एनङति हबा लागे! (cf. DLR.06.108)
berc $^{\text {hani }}$ enıyti ha-ba lag-e
woman like_this be-INF must-PRES3
'A woman ${ }_{[\text {NONSPECIFIC }]}$ should be like this.'
c. कचु बुनेचि। (cf. MR.04.005)
kлcu bun-ec-i
[kacu]vegetable plant-PRES-1pl
'We are planting [kacu] vegetables ${ }_{[\text {NONSPECIFIC] }}{ }^{\prime}$.
d. काटेचु, ते मुइ रानि हइ जाछु। (cf. DLR.06.058)
kaț-ec-u te mui rani h $\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{i}$ ja-c ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{u}$
cut-PRES-1sg PCL 1 sg[pro] queen be-ABS AUX-PRES-1sg
'If I cut (him) I will become (a) queen ${ }_{[N O N S P E C I F I C]}$."
e. मुइ जन कामाए रहे जाछ्छा। (cf. DLR.06.058)
mui j $\wedge$ n $k a m a=e \quad$ rıh-e ja-ch $-u$
$1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] labour earner $=\mathrm{EMPH}$ remain-ABS AUX-PRES-1sg
'I will remain a labourer ${ }_{[\text {NONSPECIFIC] }]}$.
f. मनड याहाँर आधाङि से बेसि हइ गेल्कि रानि बन्बारे। (cf. DLR.06.055)
$m \wedge n-\underset{\lambda}{ } \quad$ yaha-r ad ayi se besi h $\Lambda$-i ge-l-ki rani mind-NCLS DEM[pro/prox]-GEN half ABL more be-ABS AUX-PST-SA3 queen b $\wedge$ n-ba-r $=$ e
become-INF-GEN $=$ EMPH
'Her mind became more than half (favourable of) becoming a queen ${ }_{\text {[NONSPECIFIC] }}$.'
g. पखिड उराएने चुलि गेल दाना पानि खाबा। (cf. DLR.05.053; see also GR.01.006, GR.02.015, GR.02.012, MR.05.243, MR.05.245 and MR.04.053. Compare with the use of the classifier in MR.05.210, and the plural in MR.04.025)
p $k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$-ḍ $\Lambda$ ura-ene cul-i ge-l dana pani $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ba}$
bird-NCLS fly-CONJ.PTCL AUX-ABS go-PST3 grain water eat-INF
'The bird flew off (in order) to eat [grain (and) water] ${ }_{\text {[NONSPECIFIC]. }}{ }^{\prime}$

Additionally copula complement (121) mass nouns tend not to be marked with the classifier. ${ }^{29}$

[^24](121) उड गेसटर बगलत पानिअ छकन। (cf. MR.04.172; see also GR.02.071, MR.04.172, MR.04.181 and MR.04.167, DLR.03.007-008, etc)
u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ ges-ṭ $\Lambda-r \quad b \wedge g l \Lambda t \quad$ pani $=\Lambda \quad c^{h}-\Lambda-k \Lambda n$
DEM[rem]-NCLS tree-NCLS-GEN near water $=$ CNJ be-PRES-SA2pl
'Close to that tree (there) is also water (for you).'

The noun classifier is often used for specific reference in genitive modifiers. In (122a) the genitive modifier बासिर basi-r 'flute-GEN' is nonspecific, and therefore the noun is not marked with the classifier. Conversely, the genitive modifier बासिडर basi-ḍ $\Lambda$ - $r$ 'flute-NCLS-GEN' in (122b) is specific definite. Like (122a), the genitive modifiers in (122c-d) are nonspecific. Conversely, the reason why the classifier is occasionally deleted from a specific definite possessive modifier requires further research (cf. section 3.6.2.4).

b. भइसला बासिडर आवाजड सुनिए...
$b^{\mathrm{h}}$ лis-la basi-d $\Lambda$-r awaj-d $\Lambda \quad$ sun-ie water_buffalo-PL flute-NCLS-GEN sound-NCLS hear-CONJ.PTCL
'Hearing the sound [SPECIFIC.DEFINITE] of the flute ${ }_{\text {[SPECIFIC.DEFINITE] }}$, the wild buffaloes...'
c. लदिर माचला ओर्कोट जोर्कोट लागिएने मरि जाबे। (cf. MR.05.223)

1^di-r mac-la orkoṭ jorkoṭ lag-iene river-GEN fish-PL mixed_up [echo]mixed_up apply_to-CONJ.PTCL
m $\wedge$ r-i ja-b-I
die-ABS AUX-FUT-3
'The river ${ }_{[\text {NONSPECIFIC }]}$ fish $_{\text {[SPECIFIC.DEFINITE] }}$ will get entangled and will die.'
d. अग्ले बग्ले देख्बे ते बाघेर टापला। (cf. MR.05.108)

ィgle_bıgle dek ${ }^{\text {h}}$-b-I te bag $^{\text {h}}$-er ṭap-la
here_and_there look-FUT-3 PCL tiger-GEN footprint-PL
'He looked around and saw tiger's ${ }_{[\text {NONSPECIFIC }]}$ footprints ${ }_{[S P E C I F I C . D E F I N I T E]}$.'

### 3.6.2.5.3 Conclusion

Masica (1986:126) considers specificity and definiteness in Indo-Aryan languages as follows:
"Languages adopt varied strategies with respect to the four basic categories. Some elect to mark the basic specified/nonspecified distinction itself, e.g., Vietnamese, where this is accomplished through the use or non-use of classifiers with the noun... An interesting question is whether South Asian languages with classifier systems (primarily Bengali and Assamese) work that way, in view of the fact that these 'definiteness particles', as they are sometimes misleadingly called... also are attached to numerals, including 'one' in an Indefinite Article function, and to express 'some'... If so, it would give us one indigenous South Asian criterion for sorting out, for example, generic vs. specifying usages... on a simple formal basis."

Based upon the observations in the previous sections, there would seem to be some evidence to suggest that the noun classifiers are used primarily for specificity, not definiteness. That is, the classifier is used for both specific definite and specific indefinite reference alike. It is the position of the classifier that varies in these two cases: for specific indefinite reference the classifier is marked on the indefinite article, and for specific definite reference it is marked on the head of the phrase or the demonstrative. Conversely, in nonspecific and generic reference the noun classifier does not occur.

The exceptions to this hypothesis, based on research to date, are:
(a) The use of the classifier for generic reference of [-COUNT] nouns, and
(b) The (relatively frequent) deletion of the noun classifier in noun phrases which have specific definite possessive modifiers (cf. 3.6.2.4).

### 3.6.3 Pronouns

### 3.6.3.1 Personal pronouns

### 3.6.3.1.1 General

Rājbanshi distinguishes between 1st, 2nd and 3rd [+human] personal pronouns in both singular and plural:
(123) a. मुइ (मुईँ) mui ' $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]^{\prime}$
b. तुइ (तुॅँ) tui '2 $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ '
c. उहाँ(ए) uhã(e) '3sg[pro]'
d. हामा hama ' $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]$ '
e. तमा, तामा $t \Lambda m^{h} a / \operatorname{tam}^{h} a^{\prime} 2 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]$ '
e. अमा, आमा $\Lambda m^{h} a / a^{h} a^{\prime} 3 p l[p r o] '$

The 3sg and 3pl [+ human] pronouns have distinct proximate forms.
(124) a. याहाँ(ए), इहा yahã(e) '3sg[pro/prox]' (cf. DLR.05.016, GR.01.007, MR.05.045)
b. एमा $\mathrm{em}^{h a}{ }^{\text {a }} 3 \mathrm{pl[pro/prox]'} \mathrm{(cf}. \mathrm{DLR.06.089)}$

The demonstrative bases इ- $i-$ 'DEM[prox]' (i.e. 'this') and उ- $u$ - 'DEM[rem]' (i.e. 'that') are used as pronouns when referring to [-human] entities (125b-c). The noun classifier is determined by the nominal entity being referred to.

```
(125) a. Human
    उहाँक हेत्ना आन्।
    uhã-k hetna an- }
    3sg[pro]-DAT here bring-IMP2sg
    'Bring him/her here.'
    b. Animal
    उडक हेत्ना आन्। (referring to खसिड }\mp@subsup{k}{}{h}\Lambdasi-\,d\Lambda 'goat(male/castrated)-NCLS'
    u-ḍ^ hetna an-\varnothing
    DEM[rem]-NCLS-DAT here bring-IMP2sg
    'Bring it here.'
    c. Inanimate
    उखान हेत्ना आन्। (referring to खस्लाखान }\mp@subsup{k}{}{h}\Lambda\mathrm{ sla-k }\mp@subsup{}{}{h}\mathrm{ an 'woven_straw_mat-NCLS'; cf. also
    MR.05.252, DLR.05.060, GR.02.017 and MR.02.018)
    u-k}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{h}}\mathrm{ an hetna an- }
    DEM[rem]-NCLS here bring-IMP2sg
    'Bring it here.'
```

Juxtaposing the pronouns तुइ tui '2sg[pro]' and मुइ mui '1sg[pro]' renders the meaning 'you and I; the two of us'. There is, however, no reason to posit a dual category or an inclusive/exclusive distinction since the verb agrees with the 1 pl subject, and since no other pronouns can be juxtaposed in this fashion. At best, one could argue that the construction is used for the intensification of the two parties involved as compared to the neutral pronoun हामा hama '1p1[pro]'.
(126) तुइ मुइ सुना आराम से बठिए खाम। (cf. DLR.04.016)
tui mui suna aram se b $\Delta t^{\mathrm{h}}$-ie $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-m- -
$2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}] 1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ PCL rest ADVL sit-CONJ.PTCL eat-FUT-1pl 'You and I will sit and eat in peace.'

In the Rangeli and Dādar Bairiā dialects, plural marking on the plural personal pronoun is obligatory (127a-b). The lack of plural marking indicates singular honorific (127c-d).
(127) a. तम़ाला $t_{\Lambda m^{h} a-l a ~ ' 2 p l[p r o] ' ~(R a n g e l i, ~ D a ̄ d a r ~ B a i r i a ̄) ~}^{\text {a }}$
b. अमाला $\Lambda m^{h} a-l a \quad$ '3pl[pro]' (Rangeli, Dādar Bairiā)
c. तमा $t \wedge m^{h} a^{\prime} 2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{hon}]$ ' (Rangeli, Dādar Bairiā)
d. अम़ा $\Lambda m^{h} a^{\prime} 3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{hon}]$ ' (Rangeli, Dādar Bairiā)

The stem of the 2 pl pronoun has two forms, which vary among speakers of even the same dialect: तम़ा $t \Lambda m^{h}$ a and ताम़ा $t a m^{h} a^{\prime}$ '2pl[pro]'. Likewise, the 3 pl pronoun variates between अमा $\Lambda m^{h} a$ and आमा $a m^{h} a$. The 3 pl pronoun is only used for reference to humans. Though nasalisation is non-contrastive (cf. section 2.5), nasalisation is often found on the 2sg and 3 sg pronouns and sometimes even on the 1 sg pronoun. The use of nasalisation for pronouns varies between speakers.

The 3sg pronoun उहाँ uhã and the proximate pronoun याहाँ yaha '3sg[pro/prox]' are only used for human reference. The pronunciation of the 3 sg pronoun उहाँ uhã varies considerably. The pronoun in dialects from western and south-western Jhāpā is pronounced with a final -e, therefore wahãe (128b), whereas in dialects from northern Jhāpā (Birtāmoḍ, Lakharigaddi) the pronoun is उहाँ / वाहाँ uhã (128c) and the pronoun-final -ए -e stands for the emphatic clitic (उहाँए uhã = e '3sg[pro] = EMPH').
(128) a. [wãe] '3sg[pro]' southern Morang (Rangeli, Dādar Bairiā)
b. [wahãe] '3sg[pro]' south-western and western Jhāpā (Pirālbāri, Korobāri, Pācgāchi)
c. [wahã] '3sg[pro]' northern Jhāpā (Ghailāḍubbā, Lakharigaddi, Birtāmoḍ)
d. [ıe] '3sg[pro]' far-eastern Jhāpā (Bhadrapur, Mahespur)

### 3.6.3.1.2 Oblique stems

The dialects studied here have three oblique stems for 1 sg and 2 sg pronouns. The first oblique stems मो- mo- '1sg[obl]-' and तो- to- '2sg[obl]-' are used in conjunction with the genitive and dative-accusative cases.
(129) oblique stem 1
a. मुइ mui '1sg[pro]' > मोर mo-r'1sg[obl]-GEN'; मोक mo-k'1sg[obl]-DAT'
b. तुइ tui ' $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ ' > तोर to-r ' $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ '; तोक to-k ' $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{DAT}^{\prime}$

The second oblique stems म- $m_{\Lambda}$ - ' $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]$ ' and त- $t \Lambda$ - ' $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]$ ' are used with the - ह $=h \Lambda$ variant of the conjunctive clitic $-अ=_{\Lambda}{ }^{\prime}=\mathrm{CNJ}$ '. Again, non-contrastive nasalisation is sometimes present in the phonetic realisation (and hence, orthographic representation).
(130) oblique stem 2
a. मुइ mui ' $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]^{\prime}>$ मह (महँ) $m \wedge=h \wedge$ ' $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]=$ CNJ' ('also I'; cf. DLR.06.097)
b. तुइ tui ' $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]^{\prime}>$ तह (तहँ) $t_{\Lambda}=h_{\Lambda}{ }^{\prime} 2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]=\mathrm{CNJ}$ ' ('also you')

The third oblique stems are मह- $m \wedge h \Delta-' 1 \operatorname{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-$ ' and तह- $t \wedge h \Delta$ - ' $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-$ '. These are used either when both case marking and the emphatic clitic - ए $=e^{\prime}=E M P H^{\prime}$ are present (131a), or when case marking and the conjunctive are present (131b). Here, case marking attaches to the oblique stem, and the conjunctive clitic or emphatic clitic attaches to the right of the case marker. Exceptions to this rule are also found (131c).
(131) oblique stem 3 with case marking and conjunctive and emphatic clitic
a. महरे (महँरे) $m \Delta h \Delta-r=e^{\prime} 1 \mathrm{sg}[o b l]-G E N=E M P H '(c f . D L R .05 .057 a) ~$
b. तहकअ (तहँकअ) $t \Lambda h \Lambda-k=\Lambda$ ' $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{DAT}=\mathrm{CNJ}{ }^{\prime}$
c. महक $m \Lambda=h \wedge-k{ }^{\prime} 1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]=\mathrm{CNJ}-\mathrm{DAT}{ }^{\prime}(\mathrm{cf} . \operatorname{DLR} .06 .065)$

Finally, the primary stems of 1 sg (132a), 2 sg (132b) and 3 sg (132c-d) pronouns undergo morphophonemic alternation when they occur with the emphatic clitic.
(132) morphophonemic alternation of $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}], 2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ and $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ stems
a. 1 sg मुइ mui ' $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]^{\prime}+-$ ए =e ' $=E M P H^{\prime}>$ मुहे (मुहें) $m u=$ he ' $1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $]=E M P H^{\prime}$
b. 2 sg तुइ tui ' $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ ' +- ए $=\mathrm{e}^{\prime}=\mathrm{EMPH}$ ' $>$ तुहे (तुहें) $t u=$ he ' $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]=E M P H^{\prime}$
c. 3 sg (northern Jhāpā) उहाँ uhã +- ए $=e^{\prime}=\mathrm{EMPH}^{\prime}>$ उहाँइ $u h a ̃=i^{\prime} 3 \operatorname{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]=E M P H '$
d. 3 sg (south-western Jhāpā) उहाँए uhãe +- ए $=e^{\prime}=E M P H^{\prime}>$ वाहिं $w a=h \tilde{\imath}$ $' 3 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $]=E M P H '$

Table 13 gives an overview of the above-mentioned personal pronouns.

Table 13. Personal pronoun declension

|  | CASE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NOM | -GEN | -DAT |
| 1sg[pro] | मुइ (मुइँ) mui | मोर mo-r | मोक mo-k |
| CNJ | मह ( महँ) $m_{\Lambda}=h_{\Lambda}$ | महरअ (महँरअ) $m \wedge h \Delta-r=\Lambda$ | महक ( महँकअ) $m \wedge h \Lambda-k=\Lambda$ |
| EMPH | मुहे (मुहैँ) $m u=h e$ | महरे (महँरे) $m \Delta h \Delta-r=e$ | महके (महँके) $m \Delta h \Delta-k=e$ |
| 2sg[pro] | तुइ (तुईँ) tui | तोर to-r | तोक to-k |
| CNJ | तह (तहँ) $t_{\Lambda}=h \Lambda$ | तहरअ (तहँरअ) $t \Lambda h \Lambda-r=\Lambda$ | तहक (तहँकअ) $t \lambda h \Lambda-k=\Lambda$ |
| EMPH | तहे (तुहैं) $t u=h e$ | तहरे (तहँरे) $t \Lambda h \Lambda-r=\mathrm{e}$ | तहके (तहँके) $t \wedge h \Lambda-k=\mathrm{e}$ |
| 3sg[pro] (western) | उहाँए uhãe [wahãe] | उहाँर uhã-r [wahãr] | उहाँक uhã-k [wahãk] |
| CNJ | उहाँउ (वाहुँ) $u h a \tilde{a}=u[\operatorname{wah}(\tilde{\mathrm{a}}) \tilde{\mathrm{u}}]$ | उहाँरअ uhã- $r=\Lambda$ [wahãr^] | उहाँकअ uhã- $k=\Lambda$ [wahãk^] |
| EMPH | उहाँइ (वाहिं) uhã = i [wah(ã)i] | उहाँरे uhã-r $=e$ [wahãre] | उहाँके uhã-k=e [wahãke] |
| 3 sg [pro] (northern) | उहाँ uhã [wahã] | उहाँर uhã-r [wahãr] | उहाँक uhã-k [wahãk] |
| CNJ | उहाँअ uhã $=\Lambda$ [wahã $\Lambda$ ] | उहाँरअ $u h a ̃-r=\Lambda$ [wahãr^] | उहाँकअ uhã- $k=\Lambda$ [wahãk $\rfloor$ ] |
| EMPH | उहाँए uhã =e [wahãe] | उहाँरे $u h a ̃-r=e$ [wahãre] | उहाँके $u h a ̃-k=e$ [wahãke] |
| 3sg[pro/prox] | याहाँ yaha/ã | याहाँर yaha/ã-r | याहाँक yaha/ã-k |
| CNJ | याहाँअ yaha/ $/{ }_{\text {a }}=\Lambda$ | याहाँरअ yaha/ã-r $=\Lambda$ | याहाँकअ yaha/ã- $k=\Lambda$ |
| EMPH | याहाँए yaha/ $/$ =e | याहाँरे yaha/ã-r=e | याहाँके yaha/ã-k=e |
| $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] | हामा hama | हामार hama-r | हामाक hama-k |
| CNJ | हामाअ/उ hama $=\Lambda / u$ | हामारअ hama-r $=\Lambda$ | हामाकअ hama-k=A |
| EMPH | हामाए hamae | हामारे hama-r $=e$ | हामाके hama-k=e |

Table 13. (cont.)

| 2pl[pro] | तमा $t \wedge m^{h}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | तमार $t_{\text {A }}{ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{r}$ | तमाक $t^{\prime} m^{h} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{k}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CNJ | तम़ाअ/उ $t \wedge m^{h} a=\Lambda / u$ | तम़ारअ $t \wedge m^{h}{ }^{\text {a }}$ - $={ }_{\Lambda}$ | तमाकअ $t_{\lambda} m^{h} a-k=\Lambda$ |
| EMPH | तम़ाए/इ $t \wedge m^{h} a=e / i$ | तमारेरे $t \wedge m^{\text {h }}$ a-r $=e$ | तमाके $t \wedge m^{h} a-k=e$ |
| 2pl[pro] variant | ताम़ा $\operatorname{tam}^{h} a$ | ताप़ार $\operatorname{tam}^{h}{ }^{\text {a-r }}$ | तामाक $\operatorname{tam}^{h}{ }^{\text {a }}$-k |
| CNJ | तामाअ/उ $\operatorname{tam}^{h} a=\Lambda / u$ | तामारअ $\operatorname{tam}^{h} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{r}=\Lambda$ | तामाकअ $\operatorname{tam}^{h} a-k=\Lambda$ |
| EMPH | तामाए/इ $\operatorname{tam}^{h} a=e / i$ | तामारे $\operatorname{tam}^{\text {ha }}$ - $-r=e$ | ताप़के $\operatorname{tam}^{h} a-k=e$ |
| 3pl[pro] | अप़ा $1 m^{h}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | अप़ार $\Lambda m^{\text {b }}$ a-r | अम़ाक $1 m^{h} a-k$ |
| CNJ | अम़ाअ/उ $\Lambda m^{h} a=\Lambda / u$ | अप़ारअ $\Lambda m^{h} a-r=\Lambda$ | अमाकअ $\Lambda m^{h} a-k=\Lambda$ |
| EMPH | अप़एए/इ $\mathrm{sm}^{\text {b }}{ }^{\text {a }}=\mathrm{e} / \mathrm{i}$ | अम़ारे $A m^{\text {a }}$ a-r $=e$ | अपाके $\Lambda m^{h} a-k=e$ |
| 3pl[pro] variant | आम़ा $\mathrm{am}^{h}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | आमार $a m^{\text {a }}$ a-r | आमाक $a^{h} a-k$ |
| CNJ | आमाअ/उ $\mathrm{am}^{h}{ }^{\text {a }}=\Lambda / u$ | आमारअ $a m^{h} a-r=\Lambda$ | आ |
| EMPH | आपाए/इ $\mathrm{am}^{\text {h }} \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{e} / \mathrm{i}$ | आमारे $a m^{\text {h }}$ - $-r=e$ | आ ${ }^{\text {m }}$ के $a m^{h} a-k=e$ |
| 3pl[pro/prox] | एमा $\mathrm{em}^{\text {ha }}$ a | एम़ार em ${ }^{\text {a }}$-r $r$ | एम़ाक em ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{k}$ |
| CNJ | एम़अ/उ $\mathrm{em}^{\text {h }}$ a $=\Lambda / u$ | एम़ारअ $\mathrm{em}^{h} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{r}=\Lambda$ | एम़ाकअ $\mathrm{em}^{\text {h }} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{k}=\Lambda$ |
| EMPH | एम़ए/इ $\mathrm{em}^{\text {h }}$ a $=\mathrm{e} / \mathrm{i}$ |  | एमाके $\mathrm{em}^{\text {a }} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{e}$ |

### 3.6.3.1.3 Honorifics

There are no honorific pronouns as such, but the plural pronouns can be used for singular referents to indicate respect. The use of the honorific plural is determined according to various cultural conventions, e.g. strangers and in-laws are referred to with the respective forms (133a-b), whereas older persons who are close relatives of the speaker are generally not. There is usually number agreement between participants of a discourse if the honorific plural is used. That is, if a single person is referred to in the plural, that person also refers to him/herself in the plural (133c). When a plural pronoun is used for a singular referent, the verb is likewise marked in the plural. In (133d) the singular marking on the verb is considered a mistake.
(133) a. ताम़ाक दुइडा तिनडा पोखर खुद्वा हफ्कन। (cf. MR.05.315)
$\operatorname{tam}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{k}$ dui-ḍa tin-ḍa pok ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ud}-\mathrm{ba} \mathrm{h} \Lambda-\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{k} \wedge n$
2pl[pro]-DAT two-NCLS three-NCLS pond dig-INF must-FUT-SA2pl
'You ${ }_{\text {[SG.HONORIFIC] }}$ must make two or three ponds.' (speaker talking to his father-in-law)
b. एक दुइ चटि माने मुइ अभार घर गिस्नु... (cf. DLR.03.009)
ek dui caṭi mane mui $\quad \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{g}$-isn- u one two time PCL $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] 3pl[pro]-GEN house go-REM.PST-1sg
'I went to his ${ }_{[\text {SG.HONORIFIC] }}$ house once or twice...' (speaker referring to a close friend of his father)
c. [Speaker A] तमा आल़ा कि काम करेचन?

2pl[pro] now what work do-PRES-2pl
'What are you ${ }_{[\text {SG. HONORIFIC] }}$ doing at the moment?'
[Speaker B] हामा राजबंसि भासा सिकेचि।
hama rajbıysi $b^{\text {hasa }}$ sik-ec-i
$1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] Rājbanshi language learn-PRES-1pl
' [SG.HONORIFIC] am learning the Rājbanshi language.'
d. हामाअ कहबा नि *पारिम (पार्म) काहें आसिए काट्ले। (cf. DLR.06.087)
hama $=\Lambda \quad$ k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda$-ba ni $\quad$ *par-im (par-m- $) \quad$ kahe as-ie
$1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]=\mathrm{CNJ}$ say-INF NEG ${ }^{*}$ can-FUT1sg (can-FUT-1pl) who come-CONJ.PTCL
kat-1-I
cut-PST-3
'Neither can $\mathrm{I}_{\text {[SG.HONORIFIC] }}$ say who came (and) cut (him).'

### 3.6.3.2 Reflexive pronouns and intensifiers

I will distinguish between the terms "reflexive" and "intensifier" along the lines of König \& Siemund (2005:194).
"Reflexive pronouns (or 'reflexive anaphors') are expressions which are prototypically used to indicate that a nonsubject argument of a transitive predicate is coreferential with (or bound by) the subject... By intensifiers we mean expressions ... which can be adjoined to either NPs or VPs, are invariably focused and thus are prosodically prominent."

Though the two categories have distinct functions and semantics (cf. Saxena \& Subbarao 1985), I will cover them both in this section since in Rājbanshi they are closely related in form. I will also discuss cases where the genitive form of the reflexive pronoun is used to refer to a coreferential subject.

Table 14 gives an overview of reflexive pronoun and intensifier declensions. Note that the pronoun आपुन apun 'REFL[pro]' has no inflected forms of its own.

Table 14. Reflexive pronoun and intensifier declension

|  | CASE |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | -NOM | -GEN | -DAT |
| REFL[pro] | आप्ने apne | आप्नार apna-r | (आप्ने) आप्नाक (apne) apna-k |
| EMPH | आप्नेइ apne-i | आप्नारे apna-r-e | आप्नाके apna-k-e |
| REFL[pro] | आपुन apun | - | - |
| REFL[pro]-PL | आप्ने आप्ने apne apne | आप्नार आप्नार apna-r apna-r | आप्नाक आप्नाक apna-k apna-k |
| possessive | आप्ना आप्नि apna apni | - | - |
| REFL[pro] | खुद $k^{\text {h }}$ ud (Hindi; used by <br> some writers) | - | - |

Following the aforementioned definition of the reflexive pronoun, Rājbanshi makes use of one reflexive pronoun आप्नाक apna-k 'REFL[pro]-DAT' which is coreferential with the subject of the transitive clause (134a). The form आप्ने आप्नाक apne apna-k 'REFL[pro] REFL[pro]-DAT' in (134b) seems to be merely a variant form, though this should be verified through further research
(134) a. केनङ करे बचाम आप्नाक ते? (cf. MR.04.142; see also MR.04.158-159)
kenıy kare bıc-a-m apna-k te
how ADVL save-CAUS-FUT1sg REFL[pro]-DAT PCL
'How will/can I save myself?'
b. मुइ [आप्मे आप्नाक] देख्नु।
mui [apne apna-k] dek ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$-n-u
1sg[pro] [REFL[pro] REFL[pro]-DAT] see-PST-1sg
'I saw [myself].'

In intransitive clauses the intensifying pronoun आप्ने apne 'REFL[pro]' intensifies the subject (135a). In this case it may be replaced by the emphatic form of the personal pronoun (135b). The intensifying pronoun itself may also be emphasised (135c).
(135) a. (मुइ) आप्ने आस्नु।
(mui) apne as-n-u
(1sg[pro]) REFL[pro] come-PST-1sg
'I came myself.'
b. मुहें आस्तु।
$\mathrm{mu}=\mathrm{hẽ} \mathrm{\quad} \mathrm{as-n-u}$
1sg[pro] = EMPH come-PST-1sg
'I came (myself).'
c. (मुइ) आप्नेइ आस्नु।
(mui) apne $=\mathrm{i}$ as-n-u
(1sg[pro]) REFL[pro]=EMPH come-PST-1sg
'I came myself.'

Conversely, the subject of a transitive clause can only be intensified using the emphatic form of the personal pronoun (136b).
(136) a. *मुइ आप्ने उहाँक ठुकेन्किन।

```
*mui apne uhã-k \(t^{\text {h}} u k-e m-k i-n\)
*1sg[pro] REFL[pro] 3sg[pro]-DAT hit-PST-SA3-1sg
*'I hit him myself.'
```

b. मुहें उहाँक ठुकेन्किन।

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { mu = hẽ } & \text { uhã-k } \\
\text { 1sg[pro] }=\text { EMPH } & \text { them-em-ki-n } \\
\text { 'I hit him (myself).' }
\end{array}
$$

A second pronoun आपुन apun 'REFL[pro]' was also found to be used for intensification. The distinction between the pronouns आप्ने apne and आपुन apun requires further study. Initial observations suggested a semantic distinction: it was found to occur frequently in negative contexts (137a-b), perhaps due to which some informants regarded the pronoun आपुन apun to have an impolite connotation. However, in examples ( $137 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}$ ) the pronoun seems not to indicate impoliteness but intensification. ${ }^{30}$
(137) a. आपुन जाबे नि, पोरक जाबा कहबे!
apun ja-b-i ni por-лk ja-ba kлh $\Lambda$-b-I
REFL[pro] go-FUT-3 NEG someone(else)-DAT go-INF say-FUT-3
'He himself won't go, he tells someone else to go!'
b. आपुन खाचित, आर मोक कहचित नि खाबा!
apun $k^{\mathrm{h} a-c-i t ~ a r ~ m o-k ~ k s h \Lambda-c-i t ~ n i ~} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ba}$
REFL[pro] eat-PRES-2sg and 1 sg[obl]-DAT say-PRES-2sg NEG eat-INF
'You yourself are eating, and you tell me not to eat!'
c. आपुन थारे हामार घरत आस्ले।
apun $\quad \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}$ are hama-r $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda r-\Lambda \mathrm{t} \quad$ as-1-I
REFL[pro] being_standing $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ house-LOC come-PST-3
'(S/he) her/himself came to our house.'
d. आपुन हाते मारि माच, तब पुरे मनेर आस।
apun hat-e mar-i mac tıb pur=e mıner as
REFL[pro] hand-INSTR kill-SUBJ1pl fish then completely = EMPH mind-GEN hope '(If we) ourselves catch (lit. kill) fish, then (our) mind will be full of hope.'

It is also likely that the pronouns आप्ने apne 'REFL[pro]' and आपुन apun 'REFL[pro]' have distinct distributional properties. That is, whereas आपुन apun functions as an independent intensifier in examples (137a-d), आप्ने apne can only occur in (137a-b,d) if it occurs with a

[^25]noun phrase head. Therefore the intensifying expression in (138a) can replace the pronoun आपुन apun in example (137a), (138b) the pronoun in (137b), and (138c) the pronoun in (137d).
(138) a. उहाँ आप्ने uhã apne '3sg[pro] REFL[pro]'
b. तुइ आप्ने tui apne ' $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ REFL[pro]'
c. d. हामा आप्ने hama apne '1pl[pro] REFL[pro]'

As with Hindi (Kachru \& Bhatia 1977:22-23) and Bhojpuri (Shukla 1981:168), if a possessive pronoun is coreferential with the subject of the clause, it obligatorily takes the reflexive form आप्नार apna-r 'REFL[pro]-GEN' (139a). If the subject of the clause is a plural entity, the possessive pronoun is reduplicated as आप्ना आप्नि apna apni 'REFL[pro] REFL[pro]' (139b-c). This expression is freely substitutable with the reduplicated reflexive pronoun आप्नार आप्नार apna-r apna-r 'REFL[pro]-GEN REFL[pro]-GEN'.
(139) a. आप्नार बेटिर घर (cf. MR.04.088; see also DLR.04.007, DLR.05.008, MR.04.129)
apna-r beṭi-r $g^{h} \Lambda r$

REFL[pro]-GEN daughter-GEN house
'own (= her) daughter's house'
b. आप्ता आप्ति घरेर कामेर कारन(त) (cf. DLR.03.012)
apna apni $g^{\text {h }} \Lambda r$-er $\operatorname{kam}-e r \quad \operatorname{kar} \wedge n(-\Lambda t)$
REFL[pro] REFL[pro] house-GEN work-GEN reason(-LOC)
'because of each one's own ( = their) house work'
c. गटे खेटियाला आप्ना आप्नि चुलि गेल। (cf. MR.04.087)
gnṭe $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la apna apni cul-i ge-l
all jackal-PL REFL[pro] REFL[pro] AUX-ABS go-PST3
'All of the jackals went (to) own (= their) (places).'

The intensified possessive pronoun (140a) may be substituted by the emphatic form of the personal pronoun (140b). The possessive pronoun may also be emphasised (140c).
(140) a. इड धुसा तोर आप्नार हकु।
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad d^{\text {h usa }}$ to-r apna-r h $\Lambda-k u$
DEM[prox]-NCLS blanket 2sg[obl]-GEN REFL[pro]-GEN be-SA2sg
'This blanket is your own.'
b. इड धुसा तहरे हकु।
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad d^{\text {h }}$ usa t $\Lambda h \Lambda-r=e \quad h \Lambda-k u$
DEM[prox]-NCLS blanket $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}=\mathrm{EMPH}$ be-SA2sg
'This blanket is yours.'
c. आप्नारे बुद्धि, आप्ने पाचे दुर्गति। (GR.02.086)
apna-r $=$ e budd ${ }^{h} \mathrm{i}$ apne pa-c-e durgnti REFL[pro]-GEN $=$ EMPH wisdom REFL[pro] get-PRES-3 misery '(His) own wisdom, (and he) himself got the misery!'

### 3.6.3.3 Reciprocal pronoun

Two pronominal structures are reported to be used for the reciprocal pronoun: (एक) आपसत (ek) ap $\wedge s-\wedge t$ '(one) amongst-LOC' and एक दस्रा झनाक ek d $\Delta s r a j^{h} \wedge n a-k$ 'one second PCLSDAT'. In particular the second structure is used by some Rājbanshi writers. According to one informant, however, these are both loan structures. ${ }^{31}$ Original Rājbanshi structures would be either the adverbial phrase (आप्नारे) बिचत apna-r =e bic- $\Lambda$ t 'own-GEN = EMPH midst-LOC' in (141a-b), or the reduplicated verb in (141c-d). The latter case would seem to be restricted to reciprocal verbs (cf. Givón 1984:102).
(141) a. हामा आप्नारे बिचत मारा मारि कर्न।
hama apna-r=e bic- $\Lambda$ t mar-a mar-i knr-n- $\Lambda$
1pl[pro] REFL[pro]-GEN = EMPH midst-LOC hit-DVBL hit-DVBL do-PST-1pl
'We quarreled amongst ourselves.'
b. अप़ारे बिचत लागि गेल्कि झाग्रा।
$\Lambda \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{e} \quad$ bic- $\Lambda \mathrm{t}$ lag-i ge-l-ki jhagra
$3 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{GEN}=\mathrm{EMPH}$ midst-LOC begin-ABS AUX-PST-SA3 quarrel
'They begun to quarrel with each other.'
c. कियाँ मारा मारि कर्चन रे, छुवाला?
kiã mar-a mar-i k $\Delta r-c-\wedge n \quad$ re, $c^{h} u a-l a$
why hit-DVBL hit-DVBL do-PRES-2pl VOC child-PL
'Why are you hitting each other, children?'
d. अम़ा दने़ झना दिले मारा मारि लागाए... (cf. DLR.04.028)
$\Lambda m^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{d} \Lambda n^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda n a$ di-l-I mar-a mar-i laga-e
3pl[pro] both PCLS AUX-PST-3 beat-DVBL beat-DVBL begin-ABS
'...they both began to beat each other...'

[^26]
### 3.6.3.4 Demonstratives

Rājbanshi has two demonstratives, इ- i- 'DEM[prox]' (i.e. 'this') and उ- u- 'DEM[rem]' (i.e. 'that'). Their use as personal pronouns was noted in section 3.6.3.1. When used as demonstrative determiners, they mark inanimate (142a), animate (142b) and human (142c) referents. They also occur with pronominal adverbs and adjectives (cf. section 5.6).
(142) a. इड घर फुसेर छे। (MR.03.001)
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad g^{\text {h }} \Lambda r \quad p^{h}$ us-er $\quad c^{\text {h}}-e$
DEM[prox]-NCLS house thatch-GEN be-PRES3
'This house is thatched.'
b. उड बाघ उहाँक पक्रि फेकाल्कि। (cf. MR.05.013)
u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ bag $^{\text {h }}$ uhã-k p $k k r-i \quad p^{\text {h }}$ eka-l-ki
that-NCLS tiger 3sg[pro]-DAT catch-ABS AUX-PST-SA3
'The tiger caught him.'
c. इड लोक गचिमारिर हए। (cf. DLR.03.001)
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ lok g $\Lambda$ cimari-r $h \Lambda$-e
DEM[prox]-NCLS man Gacimāri-GEN be-PRES3
'This man is from Gacimāri.'

The demonstratives have the emphatic forms एइ- ei- 'DEM[prox/emph]' (143a) and अइ- $\Lambda i-$ 'DEM[rem/emph]' (143b) respectively.
(143) a. एइखान मुखेर गुने हारानु। (cf. DLR.05.040)
ei-k ${ }^{\text {h an }}$ muk $^{\mathrm{h}}$-er gun-e hara-n-u
DEM[prox/emph]-NCLS mouth-GEN quality-INSTR/EMPH(?) lose_sthg-PST-1sg
'I lost it because of the quality of this very mouth.'
b. सब दिन अइला काथा कहप्कि... (cf. DLR.05.015)
s $\Lambda$ b din $\Lambda$ i-la kat ${ }^{h}$ k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda$-p-ki
every day DEM[rem/emph]-PL thing say-FUT-SA3
'Every single day he said the same things (to her)...'

Full declensions of the above-mentioned demonstratives are given in Table 15.

Table 15. Demonstrative declension

|  | NOUN CLASS AND CASE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Noun class -ड - d $^{\text {' }}$ 'NCLS' |  |  | Noun class -खान -k ${ }^{h}$ an 'NCLS' |  |  |
|  | NOM | -GEN | -DAT | NOM | -GEN | -DAT |
| DEM[prox] | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { इड } \\ i-d_{\Lambda} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { इडर } \\ & \text { i- } d_{\Lambda}-r \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { इडक } \\ i-d \Lambda \Lambda-k \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { इखान } \\ i-k^{h} \end{array}$ | इखानेर <br> $i-k^{h} a n-e r$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { इखानक } \\ & i-k^{h}{ }^{2}-\Lambda k \end{aligned}\right.$ |

Table 15. (cont.)

| CNJ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { एहड (यहड) } \\ & \text { e-h } \Lambda-d_{\Lambda} \end{aligned}$ | एहडर <br> e-h $\Lambda-\underset{d}{ } \Lambda-r$ | एहडक <br> e-h $\Lambda-d \lambda-k$ | एहखान <br> e-hs-k ${ }^{h}$ an | एहखानेर <br> e-hs-k ${ }^{h} a n-e r$ | एहखानक <br> e-h $h-k^{h a n-\Lambda k}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EMPH | $\begin{aligned} & \text { एइड } \\ & \text { ei- }{ }_{\Lambda} \end{aligned}$ | एइडर <br> ei- $\langle\Lambda-r$ | एइडक <br> ei- $d \lambda-k$ | एइखान <br> ei-k ${ }^{h}$ an | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { एइखानेर } \\ \text { ei-k }{ }^{h} \text { an-er } \end{array}$ | एइखानक <br> ei-k ${ }^{h}$ an-ık |
| DEM[rem] | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { उड } \\ & u-d ̣ \Lambda \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { उडर } \\ & u-d_{\Lambda}-r \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { उडक } \\ & u-\frac{d}{n-k} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { उखान } \\ & u-k^{h} a n \end{aligned}$ | उखानेर <br> $u-k^{h} a n-e r$ | उखानक <br> $u-k^{h} a n-\wedge k$ |
| CNJ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { अहड } \\ & \Lambda-h \Lambda-d_{\Lambda} \end{aligned}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { अहडर } \\ & \Lambda-h \Lambda-d_{\Lambda}-r \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { अहडक } \\ \Lambda-h \Lambda-d ̣ \Lambda-k \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { अहखान } \\ & \Lambda-h \Lambda-k^{h} a n \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { अहखानेर } \\ \Lambda \text {-h } A-k^{h} a n-e r \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { अहखानक } \\ & \Lambda-h \Lambda-k^{h} a n-\Lambda k \end{aligned}$ |
| EMPH | $\begin{aligned} & \text { अइड } \\ & \Lambda i-d_{\Lambda} \end{aligned}$ | अइडर <br> $\Lambda i-d \Lambda-r$ | अइडक <br> ${ }_{\Lambda i}-d_{\Lambda}-k$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { अइखान } \\ & \text { } i \text { i- } k^{h} \text { an } \end{aligned}$ | अइखानेर <br> si-k ${ }^{h} a n-e r$ | अइखानक <br> si-k ${ }^{h} a n-\wedge k$ |


|  | NUMBER AND CASE |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -ला -la 'PL' |  |  |
|  | NOM | -GEN | -DAT |
| DEM[prox]-PL | इला i-la | इलार i-la-r | इलाक i-la-k |
| CNJ | एहला e-hs-la | एहलार e-ha-la | एहलाक e-hı-la-k |
| EMPH | एइला ei-la | एइलार ei-la-r | एइलाक ei-la-k |
| DEM[rem]-PL | उला u-la | उलार u-la-r | उलाक u-la-k |
| CNJ | अहला $\Lambda$-ha-la | अहलार $\Lambda$-hs-la-r | अहलाक $\Lambda$-hs-la-k |
| EMPH | अइला 1 i-la | अइलार $\Lambda i-l a-r$ | अइलाक si-la-k |

### 3.6.3.5 Indefinite pronouns

The following indefinite pronouns have been identified.
Firstly, the personal indefinite pronoun काहुँ kahu (or, काहँ kahı) 'anyone, someone' (144a). This has an oblique stem काहाँ- kaha- which is used with genitive (144b) and dative-accusative (144c) case marking.
(144) a. काहँ घेस्केटिते घेस्केटिते भागेचे... (cf. MR.04.081a)
$\operatorname{kah} \tilde{\Lambda} \quad g^{\text {hes eskeṭi-te }} \quad g^{h}$ eskeṭi-te $\quad b^{h}$ ag-ec-e
someone crawl-CONT.PTCL crawl-CONT.PTCL escape-PRES-3
'...some fled while crawling...'
b. काहाँर कम्मरखाने भाङि गिछे। (cf. MR.04.076)
kaha-r kлmmır-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an $=e \quad b^{h}$ ay-i $\quad g$-ich-e someone-GEN waist-NCLS = EMPH break-ABS AUX-PERF-3
'...someone's lower back was broken.'
c. काहाँकअ kaha- $k=\Lambda$ 'anyone-DAT $=$ CNJ' (cf. DLR.05.065)

Secondly, the pronoun कुछु $\mathrm{kuc}^{h} u$ 'some(thing); a little' is found in both affirmative (145a) and negative ( $145 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{c}$ ) clauses. When negated the meaning of the pronoun is 'not anything;
nothing'. The plural marker is also used on the indefinite pronoun (145d). In addition to functioning as a pronominal (145d), the pronoun can modify a noun (145e) or an adjective (145f).
(145) a. इला कचु कुछु हइचे, हपाए। (MR.04.037)
i-la kлcu kuc ${ }^{h} u$ hл-ic-e h^pae
DEM[prox]-PL [kacu]vegetable some(thing) be-PERF-3 perhaps
'Something has perhaps happened to these [kacu]vegetables.'
b. उहाँ आरकि कुछु नि बले। (cf. DLR.05.013)
uhã arki kuc ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ni bsl-e
3sg[pro] PCL some(thing) NEG speak-PST3
'She didn't say anything.'
c. कुछु ना कुछु (cf. MR.04.032)
$\operatorname{kuc}^{\mathrm{h}} u$ na $\operatorname{kuc}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}$
some(thing) NEG some(thing)
'absolutely nothing'
d. एङनाखानत कुछ्छुला राखाल देखा जाछे। (MR.03.020)
eŋna-k ${ }^{\text {han-st }} \quad$ kuc $^{h} u$-la rak $^{h}-a l \quad \operatorname{dek}^{h}-a \quad$ ja-ch $-e$ yard-NCLS-LOC some-PL put-PST.PTCL see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
'One can see some things have been put in the yard.'
e. कुछ्छु दारु छेइए नि। (cf. GR.01.027; see also MR.04.143, MR.04.187, MR.04.197)
kuc $^{\text {h }} u$ daru $c^{\text {h }}-\mathrm{e}=$ ie ni
some medicine be-PRES3 = EMPH NEG
'There is (absolutely) no medicine.' (In the sense, "There is nothing that can be done")
f. कट्टुखान कुछु छट हइ गेल्कि।
kuț̣u-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an $\quad \operatorname{kuc}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u} \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda ̣_{\Lambda} \Lambda \mathrm{h}_{\Lambda}-\mathrm{i} \quad$ ge-l-ki underwear-NCLS some short be-ABS AUX-PST-SA3
'The underwear is a little short.'

Thirdly, the pronoun कोए koe (also found as कोइ / कोय koi) is akin to the Hindi कोई koi 'someone; something' and indicates indefiniteness. In addition to functioning as an independent pronoun (146a), कोए koe can function as an adjectival modifier (146b-d).
(146) a. कोए आस्ले कहि दिस कि मुइ नि छु।
koe as-le k^h-i d-is ki mui ni
someone come-COND.PTCL say-ABS AUX-SUBJ2sg SUBORD 1sg[pro] NEG
$c^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{u}$
be-PRES1sg
'If someone comes, say that I am not here.'
b. काहाँकअ काहाँर पर कोए अन्याय नि कर्बा... (cf. DLR.05.065; see also DLR.06.039, DLR.06.091, DLR.06.094, MR.05.127, MR.05.174, MR.05.188, MR.05.343)
kaha-k= kaha-r pır koe snyae ni k $\wedge$ r-ba anyone-DAT $=$ CNJ anyone-GEN on_top some injustice[loan] NEG do-INF 'no-one should do anything unjust to anyone...'
c. कोए कोएला लोक
koe koe-la lok
some some-PL man
'some people'
d. कोय एकटा लोक
koe ek-ṭa lok
some one-NCLS man
'any man; a man (indefinite)'

Finally, the pronoun पोर por indicates 'another, other'.
(147) पोरक बुद्धि दिले। (cf. GR.02.084)
por- k budd ${ }^{\text {h }}$ di-l-I
other-DAT wisdom give-PST-3
'He gave advice to someone else.'

### 3.6.3.5.1 Indefinite pronouns of uncertainty

There are four indefinite pronouns of uncertainty: काभा- $k a b^{h} a$ - 'someone', कुमा- kuma'some', किवा kiwa 'some' and केवा kewa 'some_size/amount'. They imply that the speaker is uncertain about the person/entity which $\mathrm{s} /$ he is referring to. ${ }^{32}$

Table 16. Indefinite pronouns of uncertainty

|  | कुमा- kuma- 'some-' | किवा kiwa 'some' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOM | कुमाड kuma-ḍ $\Lambda$ 'some-NCLS' <br> कुमाखान kuma- $k^{h}$ an 'some-NCLS' | किवा kiwa 'some' <br> किवाड kiwa-d $\boldsymbol{d}_{\Lambda}$ 'some-NCLS' <br> किवाखान kiwa-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'some-NCLS' |
| LOC | कुमा ठिना kuma $t^{h}$ ina 'some place' ('somewhere uncertain') |  |

[^27]Table 16. (cont.)

| directional | कुमा माखे (कुमाखाए) kuma $\operatorname{mak}^{h} e$ <br> (kumak ${ }^{h} a e$ ) 'in some direction' <br> कुमा (बि)ति kuma (bi)ti 'in some direction' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| manner | किवालाखा kiwa-lak ${ }^{h} a$ 'something like' <br> ('like something unexpected') |  |
| amount | कुमाड kuma-d $\Lambda$ 'some-NCLS' <br> कुमाखान kuma-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'some-NCLS' |  |
| time | कुमाखुना kuma-k ${ }^{h} u n a$ 'some time uncertain/unknown' |  |
|  | केवा- kewa- 'some-' | काभा- $k a b^{h}{ }^{\text {a }}$ ' 'someone' |
| NOM | केवाडा kewa-ḍa 'some-NCLS' केवाखान kewa-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'some-NCLS' | काभाड $k a b^{h} a-d_{\Lambda}$ 'someone-NCLS' <br> काभाखान $k a b^{h} a-k^{h}$ an 'someone-NCLS' <br> काभाला $k a b^{h} a-l a$ 'someone-PL' |
| time | इकेवा दिन i-kewa din 'DEM[prox]-some day' ('for some of these days') उकेवा दिन u-kewa din 'DEM[rem]-some day' ('for some of those days') |  |

The indefinite personal pronoun implies that the speaker does not know the referents, or is in doubt about their identity. The pronoun is derived from the interrogative pronoun काहें kahe 'who'.
(148) काभाला बेराचे।
kab ${ }^{\text {h }}$ a-la bera-c-e
who-PL walk-PRES-3
'Some (people) are walking (and I don't know who they are).'

The pronoun कुमा- kuma- 'some' (149a,c) is derived from कुन kun 'which' (149b).
(149) a. कुमाखान पानि खालो।
kuma-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an pani $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-1-\mathrm{o}$
some-NCLS water drink-PST-2sg
'You drank some water (but I am uncertain which you water drank).'
b. [Question] 'कुन माखे गेल?'
kun mak ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e}$ ge-l
which direction go-PST3
'(In) which direction did he go?'
[Answer] 'के जान, कुमा माखे गेल।'
ke jan, kuma mak ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ge-l
who knows some direction go-PST3
'Who knows, he went in some direction (or the other).'

The pronoun किवा kiwa 'some' is derived from the interrogative कि 'what'. Consider the following examples. In (150a) the speaker knows the entity $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ is referring to. Conversely, in ( $150 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{c}$ ) the speaker is unfamiliar with the referent.
(150) a. मुइ कुछ्छ देखनु।
mui kuc $^{\text {h }} \mathbf{u} \quad \operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{u}$
1sg[pro] something see-PST-1sg
'I saw something (and I know what it was).'
b. मुइ किवा देख्नु।
mui kiwa $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{u}$
1 sg [pro] something see-PST-1sg
'I saw something (but I don't know what it was).'
c. किवा नाम कहले।
kiwa nam kıhл-l-I
some name say-PST-3
i. 'S/he gave some name (but I don't know what it was).' (neutral intonation)
ii. 'Did s/he give a name (I didn't hear what s/he said)?' (rising intonation)

Finally, the pronoun केवा kewa 'some_size/amount' is derived from the pronominal adverb केत 'how big; how much'. Again, the pronoun implies uncertainty of the size or amount (151a-b).
(151) a. केवाखान पन्ना महकअ दिस त।
kewa-k ${ }^{h}$ an pınna m $\mathrm{d} \wedge$-is $-\mathrm{k}=\Lambda \quad \mathrm{t} \Lambda$
some-NCLS leaf(paper) 1sg[obl]-DAT=CNJ give-SUBJ2sg PCL
'Give some leaves (unspecified amount) of paper to me too.'
b. अप़ार मइधे केवाझनाक मह चिनेचु।
$\Delta m^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-r msid ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e}$ kewa-j ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ na-k $\quad \mathrm{m} \Lambda=\mathrm{h} \Lambda \quad \operatorname{cin}^{\mathrm{h}}$-ec-u
3pl[pro]-GEN amongst some-PCLS-DAT 1sg[obl]=CNJ know-PRES-1sg
'I know some of them too (but I am not sure how many of them; I haven't counted).'

### 3.6.3.6 Interrogative pronouns

### 3.6.3.6.1 Personal interrogative pronoun

Declension of the personal pronoun काहें kahe 'who' is as follows:

Table 17. Declension of personal interrogative pronoun


This personal interrogative is used in both direct (152a-b) and embedded (152c) interrogative constructions.
(152) a. आप काहें ले गेल? (cf. DLR.05.037b)
ap kahe 1-e ge-1
PCL who take-CONJ.PTCL go-PST3
'So who has taken it (away)?'
b. तुइ काहें? (MR.05.275)
tui kahe
2 sg [pro] who
'Who are you?'
c. तोक देख्बा हप्कु, काहें जितेचि काहें हारेचि। (MR.05.015)
to-k dek ${ }^{\text {h}}$-ba h $\wedge$-p-ku kahe jit-ec-i kahe har-ec-i
2sg[obl]-DAT see-INF must-FUT-SA2sg who win-PRES-1pl who lose-PRES-1pl 'You will have to watch (and tell) who (of us) wins and who (of us) loses.'

Interrogative adjectives and adverbs, such as (153), are charted in section 5.6.
(153) काहाँर चुलि हए इखान? (MR.05.239)
kaha-r culi h $\Lambda$-e i-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an
who-GEN hair be-PRES3 DEM[prox]-NCLS
'Whose hair is this?'

The personal interrogative pronoun के ke (or, केइ kei) 'who' in the idiom below is possibly a loan, or a reduced form of काहें kahe (or, काहाँए kahae) 'who'.
(154) केइ जान? (cf. DLR.06.087)
kei jan
who knows
'Who knows!'

The personal pronoun has two contrastive forms in the plural: काहेंला kahe-la 'who-PL' and काहें काहें kahe kahe 'who who'. The question asked in (155a) implies that the answer is expected to indicate referents without individualization (155b). The question asked in (155c) could also produce the answer (155b). However, (155c) can also imply that the referents should be specifically individualized (155d).
(155) a. काहेंला बेराचे?
kahe-la bera-c-e
who-PL walk-PRES-3
'Who is walking?'
b. छुवाला।
$c^{\text {h }} \mathbf{u a - l a ~}$
child-PL
'(The) children.'
c. काहें काहें बेराचे?
kahe kahe bera-c-e
who who walk-PRES-3
'Who is walking?'
d. पामर आर राम।
pamar ar ram
Pāmar and Rām
'Pāmar and Rām.'

### 3.6.3.6.2 Impersonal interrogative pronouns

The declension of the impersonal interrogative pronouns कि ki 'what' and कुन kun 'which' is as follows.

Table 18. Impersonal interrogative pronoun declension

|  | कि ki impersonal 'what' | कुन kun impersonal 'which' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOM (sg) | कि ki 'what; PQM; SUBORD' <br> किड ki- $\lambda_{\Lambda}$ 'what-NCLS' <br> किखान ki-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'what-NCLS' | कुन kun 'which' <br> कुनड kun- ${ }_{\Lambda}$ ' 'which-NCLS' <br> कुनखान kun-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'which-NCLS' |
| NOM (pl) | किला ki-la 'what-PL' (neutral) <br> कि कि ki ki 'what what' (group of single entities) <br> कि किला ki ki-la 'what what-PL' (group of plural entities) | कुनला kun-la 'what-PL' <br> कुन कुनड kun kun- ${ }^{\prime}$, 'which which-NCLS' <br> कुन कुनला kun kun-la 'which which-PL' |

Table 18. (cont.)

| -GEN | किडर ki-d $\Lambda_{\Lambda} r$ 'what-NCLS-GEN' किखानेर ki-k ${ }^{h}$ an-er 'what-NCLS-GEN' | कुनडर kun- ${ }^{d} \Lambda-r$ 'which-NCLS-GEN' <br> कुनखानेर kun-k ${ }^{h}$ an-er 'which-NCLS-GEN' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -DAT | किडक ki-d ${ }^{\prime}-k$ 'what-NCLS-DAT' <br> किखानक $k i-k^{h} a n-\Lambda k$ 'what-NCLS-DAT' | कुनडक kun- $d_{\Lambda-k}$ 'which-NCLS-DAT' <br> कुनखानक kun- $k^{h}$ an- $\wedge k$ 'which-NCLS-DAT |

The impersonal कि ki 'what' is used in direct interrogative constructions.
(156) याहाँर खताडत कि छिकि? (cf. DLR.05.018)
yaha-r $\quad k^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ ta-ḍ $\Lambda$-t $\quad$ ki $\quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-i-ki
3sg[pro/prox]-GEN nest-NCLS-LOC what be-PRES-SA3
'What does he have in his nest?'

The reduplicated impersonal pronoun is adjectival. कि कि ki ki 'what what' conveys plurality, but it also indicates a group of single entities. (Compare this with काहें काहें kahe kahe 'who who' described above, which refers to specific referents.)
(157) आर घरटर भितरत कि कि छिकि... (cf. DLR.02.005)
ar $\quad g^{h} \Lambda r-t ̣ \Lambda-r \quad b^{h}$ itr $\Lambda t$ ki ki $\quad c^{h}-$ i-ki
and house-NCLS-GEN inside what what be-PRES-SA3
'And which (of his things) are in the house...'

In some contexts, the pronoun कि ki functions as the adverb "why".
(158) हेत्ना कि खाबन? (cf. MR.04.145)
hetna ki $\quad k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{n} \mathrm{n}$
here what eat-FUT-2pl
'Why would you eat me here?'

Note that कि ki is also used as a polar question marker (159a) and a subordinating conjunction (159b).
(159) a. तोक कि कान्बार लाखाति लागिस्लुकु? (cf. DLR.04.038)
to-k ki kan-ba-r lak ${ }^{\text {hati }}$ lag-islu-ku
2sg[obl]-DAT PQM cry-INF-GEN like feel-REM.PST-SA2sg
'...did you feel as if I was (really) crying?'
b. उहाँक थाहा छिल्कि कि... (cf. MR.04.130)
uhã-k $t^{\text {h }}$ aha $c^{\text {h }}$ i-1-ki ki...
3sg[pro]-DAT knowledge(Nepali) be-PST-SA3 SUBORD
'She knew that...'

The interrogative कुन kun functions as an interrogative article (cf. Schachter 1985:34). In this case, the article is obligatorily marked with the noun classifier (160a) or plural marker (160b).
(160) a. कुनखान किताप?
kun- ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an kitap
which-NCLS book
'Which book?' (Implying, 'Which book (out of many)?')
b. कुनला नुआँ
kun-la nua
which-PL clothe(s)
'Which clothes (out of many)?'

### 3.6.3.7 Relative and correlative pronouns

Table 19 shows relative pronoun declension.

Table 19. Relative pronoun declension


The personal relative pronoun जाहें (जाहाँए) jah(a)e/ẽ 'REL[p]' denotes 'who' (161a), and the impersonal जे je 'REL[ip]' denotes 'what' (161b-c).The impersonal pronoun uses the oblique stem जेइ- jei- 'REL[obl/ip]' before noun class and plural marking.
(161) a. जाहें बड हच्चे छटडक खाए जाछे। (cf. GR.01.029)

who large be-PRES-3 short-NCLS-DAT eat-ABS AUX-PRES-3
'Whoever is big (i.e. socially), eats up the (socially) small (person).'
b. जे दितोक से खाए लिस।
je di-t-ok se $k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$-e l-is
REL[ip] give-FUT-SA2sg CORR eat-ABS AUX-SUBJ2sg
'Whatever he gives (to you), eat it.'
c. अम़ा जे जे कर्ले उला गटेला काथा उहाँक सुनाले।
$\Delta \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ je je k j $\quad$-l-I
3pl[pro] REL[ip] REL[ip] do-PST-3
u-la g gtee-la kıt ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ uhã-k sun-a-l-ı
DEM[rem]-PL all-PL story 3sg[pro]-DAT listen-CAUS-PST-3
'They told him all of the things that they had done.'

The correlative of the personal relative pronoun is the 3 sg pronoun उहाँ(ए) uhã(e) (162a), or the 3 pl pronoun अम़ा $\Lambda m^{h} a(162 b)$, depending on the number of the relative pronoun.
(162) a. जाहाँए कर्बे उहाँइ पाबे।
jahae k^r-b-i uhã=i pa-b-i
REL[p] do-PRES-3 3sg[pro]=EMPH receive-FUT-3
'Whoever works, $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ (i.e. that very person) will receive.'
b. जाहाँए जाहाँए इड घटना देख्ले, अमाए इड घटना बलिए बेराले।
jahae jahae i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ ṭna $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-1-\mathrm{I}$
REL[p] REL[p] DEM[prox]-NCLS event see-PST-3
$\Lambda m^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{e} \quad$ i-d $\Lambda \quad g^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ ṭna b $\wedge$ l-ie bera-l-I
3pl[pro]=EMPH DEM[prox]-NCLS event speak-CONJ.PTCL walk-PST-3
'Whoever saw this event, they walked (away) talking about it.'

Other correlatives to relative pronouns are as follows (cf. section 5.6 for a comprehensive list of pronominal adjectives and adverbs):

Table 20. Relative pronouns (or pronominals) and corresponding correlative pronouns

|  | Relative pronoun | Correlative pronoun |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| personal |  |  |  |
| 80 | जाहें (जाहाँए) jah(a)e 'REL[p]' | उहाँ(ए) uhã(e) '3sg[pro]' |  |
|  | जाहें जाहें (जाहाँए जाहाँए) <br> jah(a)e jah(a)e 'REL[p] REL[p]' | अम़ा $\wedge^{\text {m }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ ' $3 \mathrm{pl}\left[\mathrm{pro}{ }^{\text {] }}\right.$ | ताहाए tahae 'CORR' |
| impersonal |  |  |  |
|  | Relative pronoun | Correlative pronoun |  |
|  | जे $j e \mathrm{REL}[\mathrm{ip}]$ |  | से $s$ ' 'CORR' |
| 80 | जेइड jei-d, ${ }^{\text {'REL[obl/ip]-NCLS' }}$ | उड $u$ - $\downarrow$ s 'DEM[rem]-NCLS' <br> अइड $\Delta i-d_{\Lambda}$ 'DEM[rem/emph]-NCLS' | सेइड sei-d, 'CORR[obl]-NCLS' |

Table 20. (cont.)

|  | जेइखान jei-k ${ }^{\text {han }}$ 'REL[obl/ip]NCLS' | उखान $u-k^{h}$ an 'DEM[rem]-NCLS' अइखान $\lambda i-\mathrm{k}^{h}$ an 'DEM[rem/emph]NCLS' | सेइखान sei-k'an 'CORR[obl]-NCLS' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{\square}$ | जेइला $j e i-1 a$ 'REL[obl/ip]-PL' <br> जे जे $j e j e ~ ' R E L[i p] ~ R E L[i p] ' ~$ <br> (group of single entities) <br> जेइ जेइला jei jei-la 'REL[obl/ip] <br> REL[obl/ip]-PL' (group of plural <br> entities) | उला $u$-la 'DEM[rem]-PL' | सेइला sei-la 'CORR[obl]-PL' |
| pronominal adjectives and adverbs |  |  |  |
|  | Relative pronoun (or pronominal) | Correlative pronoun (or pronominal) |  |
| $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ | जेइखुना jei-k'una ‘REL[obl]-time’ | उखुना $u-k^{h} u n a$ 'DEM[rem]-time' अइखुना $\Lambda i-k^{h} u n a$ 'DEM[rem/emph]time' | सेइखुना sei-k ${ }^{\text {b }}$ una ${ }^{\text {'CORR[obl]-time }}$ ' |
|  | जब $j_{\Delta} b$ 'when(Hindi)' | आप $a p$ 'now' <br> तब $t \Lambda b$ 'then (Hindi)' | - |
|  | जेइदिन jei-din 'REL[obl]-day' | अइदिन $\Delta i$-din 'DEM[rem/emph]-day' | सेइदिन sei-din 'CORR[obl]-day' |
| $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { जेइठिना (जेइठा) jei-t }{ }^{\text {h}} \text { (in)a } \\ & \text { 'REL[obl]-place’ } \end{aligned}$ | अइठिना (अइठा) $\boldsymbol{\lambda - t ^ { h }}{ }^{h}($ in $) a$ 'DEM[rem/emph]-place' | सेइठिना (सेइठा) sei-t (in)a 'CORR[obl]-place' |
| $\stackrel{a}{a}$ | जेइधर $j e i-d^{h} A r$ 'REL[obl]direction' |  direction' | सेइधर sei-d ${ }^{\text {h }}$ r ${ }^{\text {'CORR[obl]-direction' }}$ |
|  | जेत्ला jet-la 'how_many-PL' | गटलाए $g_{\text {stlae }}$ 'all' | सेत्ला set-la 'that_many-PL' |
|  | जेतेक jetek 'as_much_as' | अतेक stek 'that_much' | सेतेक setek 'that_much' |

The correlative pronoun से $s e$ is found in certain written texts, especially in conjunction with certain pronominal adverbs. The pronoun uses the oblique stem सेइ- sei- 'CORR[obl]' before noun classifiers, plural marking, and adverbs. The same pronoun is found in Maithili (cf. Yadav 1997 [1996]:117-118), and Hindi (the anaphoric clitic सो so).
(163) a. जे दितोक से खाए लिस।
je di-t-ok se k ka-e l-is
REL[ip] give-FUT-SA2sg CORR eat-ABS AUX-SUBJ2sg
'Whatever he gives (to you), eat it.'
b. आर जेइबेला मन जाकु (सेइबेला) चुलि आसिस।
ar jei-bela man ja-ku (sei-bela) cul-i as-is
and REL[obl/ip]-time mind go-SA2 (CORR[obl]-time) AUX-ABS come-SUBJ2
'And when you want to, come at that time.'
c. ...आर्नाला जेइधर जेइधर बासिड बल्चे, सेइधर सेइधर एकदम दउर मार्चे। (cf. MR.05.336)
...ar $\wedge n a-l a \quad$ jeid $^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge r \quad$ jeid $^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge r \quad$ basi-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ b $\Lambda 1-\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{e}$
...buffalo-PL over_where over_where flute-NCLS play(instrument)-PRES-3
seid $^{\text {h }} \Lambda$ r $\operatorname{seid}^{\text {h }} \Lambda$ r ekd $\wedge m$ d $\lambda u r$ mar-c-e
over_there over_there very run kill-PRES-3
'...in whatever direction the flute sounded, in that direction the wild buffaloes ran.'

### 3.6.4 Case and postpositions

I will cover case marking and postpositions together since the two are so closely related in Rājbanshi. Typologically-speaking, this is not surprising, as Payne (1999 [1997]:100) maintains: "there is no necessary universal distinction between the two; like most structural distinctions, the two categories describe extremes of a continuum." To begin this section I will discuss the NIA "layers of forms with case-like function" detailed by Masica (1991:230-248). I will then describe the different types of case marking and postpositions encountered in Rājbanshi. In the subsequent sections I will discuss the use of the case markers and postpositions in more detail, and in some cases also compare these with neighbouring, genetically affiliated Indo-Aryan languages.

As a rule of thumb, Payne (1999 [1997]:100-101) suggests differentiating between grammatical (i.e. case) and postpositional functions. In Rājbanshi the two systems overlap in such a way that a distinction of this kind would be difficult since grammatical functions are maintained in Rājbanshi by both inflectional case markers and some postposition-like clitics.

One should also be careful to avoid overdifferentiation of the case system, as has happened in some traditional descriptions of Maithili (cf. Yadav 1997 [1996]:70-71). Therefore, for example, although the Rājbanshi morpheme -क -( 1$) k$ expresses the role of patient in transitive clauses, it also marks the role of recipient in ditransitive clauses, and the dative subject. Instead of separating the morpheme into different categories of accusative and dative, it has been merged into one comprehensive category of "dativeaccusative" ('DAT'). Similarly, the category "locative" ('LOC') stands for the functions of illative, inessive and adessive, all of which use the same case marker - - -( 1$)$ t.

Masica (1991:230-248) posits at least three layers of case marking and postposition constructions in NIA. Layer I affixes are inherited, with varying degrees of phonological reduction and changes of function, from OIA or MIA (Apabhramsa). They attach directly to the stem. On the other hand, Layer II elements, which are either suffixes or analytic particles, are usually mediated by a Layer I element and attach to the base indirectly. Layer III elements are mediated by a Layer II element (often the genitive, though in some NIA languages the use of the genitive is optional in this context).

The Rājbanshi case system and postposition constructions coincide well with Masica's outline. Without accounting for the unmarked (or $\varnothing$-marked) nominative case, I will divide case and postposition encountered in Rājbanshi into six different types:

Type 1. The instrumental case -ए -e attaches directly to the stem, eg. हाते hat-e 'handINSTR'. (In comparison, the Maithili instrumental is ee, the instrumental in Assamese is (-ere) and the instrumental in Bengali is (-te) (Masica 1991:246.)

Type 2. Case marking on the stem which can be separated from the stem by the noun classifiers and the plural morpheme -ला -la. When marked with the genitive -र -(e)r or the dative-accusative -क -( 1$) k$, nouns and pronouns behave in the same manner, eg. pronoun forms मोर mo-r '1sg[obl]-GEN', मोक mo-k '1sg[obl]-DAT', and noun forms घरेर $g^{h} \Lambda r$-er 'house-GEN' and घरटक $g^{h} \Lambda r-\frac{t}{\Lambda} \Lambda-k$ 'house-NCLS-DAT'. Note that both of the case markings are phonologically dependent on the stem; that is, if the stem ends in a consonant an epenthetic vowel is necessary between the stem and the suffix. Hence genitive -र -(e)r and dative-accusative -क -( $\Lambda$ )k.

Type 3 . The locative marker -त -(A)t connects directly to the noun stem, but in the case of pronouns it requires a postpositional construction. Therefore, consider घरटत $g^{h} \Lambda r-t, \Lambda-t$ 'house-NCLS-LOC' or पानिखानत pani-k ${ }^{h} a n-\Lambda t$ 'water-NCLS-LOC', but मोर भितरत mo-r $b^{h}$ itrat ' 1 sg[obl]-GEN inside' (*मोत *mo-t ${ }^{*}$ ' 1 sg[obl]-LOC'). Note that, as with Type 2 case marking, the locative marker is phonologically dependent on the stem. Therefore if the stem ends in a consonant, an epenthetic vowel अ $\Delta$ is necessary between the stem and the suffix (cf. section 3.6.4.1.4 for an exception).

Type 4. This case marking is similar to Type 2 when marking nouns, in that there is no
 differs in that the genitive case is required on pronominal stems.

Type 4 consists of the ablative से se and the terminative तक $t_{\lambda} k$. The pronoun is mediated by the genitive, as in मोर से mor se ' $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ ABL', thus resembling an analytic case marker (cf. Blake 1994:9-10). Though the case marker is not phonologically dependent on the stem (in that it has a CV structure and therefore, unlike the locative and dative-accusative markers, has no need of an epenthetic vowel), it is still dependent on the phonological word, in that it does not carry stress.

It is difficult to determine whether Type 4 forms are case marking affixes or case enclitics (as the Hindi "primary postpositions"). Inasmuch as the ablative से se triggers genitive marking on the pronominal stem, it would seem reasonable to regard it as an enclitic, rather than an affix. The differentiation may, however, be superficial and in some cases conventional as Masica (1991:233) maintains (cf. also Mohanan 1994:60).

Type 5. These postpositions are obligatorily mediated by the genitive case on both the noun, eg. गरु गारिखानेर पर garu gari-khan-er par 'bullock cart-NCLS-GEN upon', and the pronoun, e.g. काहाँर पर kaha-r par 'anyone-GEN upon'.

Type 6. Complex postpositions are compounds of two postpositions. The noun head and the postposition are obligatorily mediated by the genitive case, though in actual speech the genitive may not be (clearly) pronounced. It would seem that these compounds are
lexicalised, eg. भितर-त $b^{h}$ itra-t 'inside', बगल-त baglat 'close (to)' and ठिना-से tina-se 'from the vicinity of'.

These six types will be covered in the following sections with the clear understanding that certain postposition-like clitics function as analytic case markers. In Figure 2 I have distinguished between "inflectional case" (Category 1), "analytic case" (Category 2) and "postpositions" (Category 3); Category 2 and Category 3 do however fall into the main class of postpositions. The analytic case markers (से $s e$, तक $t \wedge k$, ले $l e$, दे $d e$, पाए pae and दारे dare) which I have attributed to Category 2 (and are therefore classified as postpositions) are akin to the NIA simple postpositions noted by Masica (1991:235):
"On the other side of the problem are postpositions like Hindi me~ ('in'), par ('on'), and tak ('up to'), which fulfill all the formal requirements of Layer II elements in terms of lexical opacity and non-Genitive linkage, but are too many (as well as a bit too specific) for a basic case function like Locative (as compared, again, with Bengali -te or Marathi -t)."


Figure 2. Case and postposition categories in Rājbanshi

### 3.6.4.1 Case

### 3.6.4.1.1 Nominative

The case marking system of Rājbanshi is arranged on a nominative/accusative basis. The nominative case in Rājbanshi is unmarked, and it is used for the subject and complement
in copula clauses. ${ }^{33}$ (In this section I have indicated the nominative case with the symbol $-\varnothing$ '-NOM'; elsewhere in this work the nominative has not been marked in the parse or the morpheme-to-morpheme gloss.)
(164) a. nominal

इखान लेपा-मुछा करा(ल) टाटि हए।

DEM[prox]-NCLS-NOM plaster-DVBL wipe-DVBL do-PST.PTCL wall-NOM be-PRES3
'This is a wall (which is) plastered (with soil and dung) and wiped (over).'
b. इड त मोर खाबार चिज! (GR.01.008)
i-ḍ $\Lambda-\varnothing$ ts mo-r kija-ba-r cij- $\varnothing$
DEM[prox]-NCLS-NOM PCL 1sg[obl]-GEN eat-INF-GEN thing-NOM
'This is my food!'
c. descriptive

एकटा लोक बुऱा छे। (cf. MR.01.002)
ek-ṭa lok- $\varnothing \quad$ bur $^{\text {h }}{ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{c}^{\text {h }}-\mathrm{e}$
one-NCLS man-NOM old be-PRES3
'One man is old.'

Subjects (apart from the "dative subject") are marked with the nominative case regardless of the animacy or humanness of the subject or verb tense/aspect.
(165) a. subject in intransitive clause

आर इड बेर्छानि हाँसेचे। (MR.02.013)
ar i-d $\Lambda \quad$ berc $^{h}$ ani- $\varnothing$ has-ec-e
CNJ DEM[prox]-NCLS woman-NOM laugh-PRES-3
'And this woman is laughing.'
b. subject in transitive clause

खेटियाला देखि फेकाल्कि अमाक कचु बुन्बा। (MR.04.003)
$k^{\mathrm{h}}$ eția-la- $\varnothing \quad \operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eka-l-ki $\quad \Lambda^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ha}$-k $\quad$ kлcu bun-ba jackal-PL-NOM see-ABS AUX-PST-SA3 3pl[pro]-DAT [kacu]vegetable plant-INF
'Jackals saw them planting [kacu]vegetables.'

[^28]The direct object of a transitive clause is generally marked with the nominative case if this is inanimate (166a). The inanimate direct object can be marked with the dative-accusative case (166b). The (possible) contrast between these two examples is uncertain. ${ }^{34}$
(166) a. direct object (inanimate, neutral)

सुक बासिड लिएने उराएने चुलि जाफ्कि कुवाड। (cf. MR.05.201)
suk basi-ḍ li-ene ura-ene cul-i ja-p-ki
happiness flute-NCLS take-CONJ.PTCL fly-CONJ.PTCL AUX-ABS go-FUT-SA3
kua-ḍィ
crow-NCLS
'The crow took the flute and flew off.'
b. direct object (inanimate)

गरु गारिखानक उठाए राख्बार ताने... (cf. MR.02.020; also DLR.05.029)
garu gari-k ${ }^{\text {h}}$ an-ık ut ${ }^{\text {h}}$-a-e rak $^{\mathrm{h}}$-ba-r tane...
bullock cart-NCLS-DAT rise-CAUS-CONJ.PTCL put-INF-GEN for...
'In order to lift up and hold the bullock_cart...'

Animate and human direct objects are generally marked with the dative-accusative case (cf. section 3.6.4.1.2 for further discussion). However, they can be marked with the nominative case if they are specific indefinite.

```
(167) direct object (human; specific indefinite)
    एकटा बाच्चा छुवा धरिए आस्बे! (cf. DLR.04.008)
    ek-ṭa bacca chua- }\varnothing\mathrm{ d}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{h}}\Lambdar\mathrm{ -ie as-b-I
    one-NCLS small child-NOM hold-CONJ.PTCL come-FUT-3
    'He brings a small child with him.'
```

The nominative case is obligatorily marked on direct objects in ditransitive clauses.

[^29](168) अम़ा हामाक एकखान खस्ला दिले।
\[

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\Lambda \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a} & \text { hama-k } & \mathrm{ek}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \text { an } \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \text { sla- } \varnothing & \text { di-1-I } \\
3 \mathrm{pl[pro}] & 1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{DAT} & \text { one-NCLS } & \text { woven_straw_mat-NOM } & \text { give-PST-3 } \\
\text { 'They gave us a woven_mat } \\
\text { [SPECIFIC.INDEFINITE].' }
\end{array}
$$
\]

When the (optional) locative case is deleted in locational adverbial phrases the result is a nominative case-like marking.
(169) एक चटि माने हामार गाअँ(त) माने आसिस्ले। (cf. DLR.03.011)
ek csṭi mane hama-r gã̃(-t) mane as-isl-I one time PCL $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ village(-LOC) PCL come-REM.PST-3
'Once he came to our village.'

Finally, nouns are not marked for case relationships in conjunct verb constructions such as मुठि कर- mut ${ }^{h} i k a r$ - 'to bundle make' (170a), or मार खिला- mar $k^{h} i l a-$ 'to beating feed' (170b). This "unmarked status" (with no marking) and the nominative case (with a possible $\varnothing$ morpheme) therefore appear identical, but are functionally distinct.
(170) a. आर एकटा बुऱा लोक बठिएने पुवालला मुठि करेचे। (DLR.01.002)
ar ek-ṭa bur ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ lok- $\varnothing$ bst ${ }^{\text {h}}$-iene pual-la- $\varnothing$ muṭ ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}$ ksr-ec-e
and one-NCLS old man-NOM sit-CONJ.PTCL straw-PL-NOM bundle make-PRES-3
'An old man is sitting (and) is bundling straw.'
b. अइ हामाक ते मार खिलाइस्ले (cf. MR.04.092)
$\Lambda \mathrm{i}$ hama-k te mar $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hila-isl-I }}$
INJ 1 pl[pro]-DAT PCL beating feed-REM.PST-3
'she gave us a beating'

### 3.6.4.1.2 Dative-accusative

The dative-accusative case was referred to in the previous section but I will repeat the statements relating to dative-accusative marking.

The dative-accusative case marker is -क -( $\Lambda) k$. It is phonologically dependent on the stem, in that after stem-final vowels the marker is $-k$, but after stem-final consonants the morpheme requires the epenthetic vowel $-\Lambda$, hence the allomorph $-\Lambda k$.

As mentioned in section 3.6.4 the dative and accusative have merged into one category. Masica (1991:365) maintains the absence of the accusative case in NIA:
"The Object is not distinguished from the subject by case marking in many NIA languages... Both may be in the Nominative. Unlike Sanskrit... there is generally no distinctive Accusative case in NIA. Historically, the Indo-Aryan Accusative merged with the Nominative... This is not
to say that Objects are always bereft of case marking. They may take it, in the form of the Dative marker (in the absence of an Accusative: the marker is often called a Dative-Accusative as a result). Its functions, however, are often more pragmatic than syntactic. That is, in the case of non-human nouns, it generally indicates a "definite" object, that is, one that is already known; in the case of human nouns, it stresses their Patienthood, a marked status (human nouns normally being Agents). In languages with other means of marking definiteness... the first of these functions is minimalised."

Due to the overlap of the morpheme -क $-(\Lambda) k$ for both "dative" and "accusative" functions, it is unnecessary to try distinguishing between the case marking forms of the patient (accusative) and recipient (dative) roles. I have called the case "dative-accusative" and I have glossed the case marker -क $-(\Lambda) k$ as 'DAT' whenever it occurs, regardless of whether it marks the patient or the recipient.

Human and animate objects are generally marked with the dative-accusative case (171a) unless they are specific indefinite in reference (171b). Personal pronouns are obligatorily marked with the dative-accusative when these function as objects (171c). Case marking on inanimate objects is discussed in the previous section.
(171) a. याहाँक आझि मार्बा लागे। (cf. MR.04.094)
yaha-k $\quad \mathrm{aj}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$ mar-ba lag-e
3sg[pro/prox]-DAT today kill-INF should-PRES3
'(We) should kill her today.'
b. एकटा बाच्चा छुवा धरिए आस्बे! (cf. DLR.04.008)
ek-ṭa bacca chua- $\varnothing \quad d^{\text {h }} \Lambda r$-ie as-b-I
one-NCLS young child-NOM hold-CONJ.PTCL come-FUT-3
'(He) brings a child with him.'
c. तोक मुइ छेच्कारि नि ठुकिम्कुन। (cf. DLR.04.025)
to-k mui $c^{\text {h }}$ eckari ni $t^{\text {h } u k-i m-k u-n ~}$
$2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{DAT} 1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] really NEG hit-FUT-SA2sg-1sg
'I won't hit you for real.'

In ditransitive clauses the indirect object is obligatorily marked with the dative-accusative case (172a-c). In such cases the direct object is never marked with the dative-accusative regardless of its humanness or animateness (172d). However if the direct object is in a subordinate clause, it may be marked with the dative-accusative (172e).
(172) a. जुवाँड आप्नार घरनिडक कहच्कि... (cf. DLR.04.007)
juã-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ apna-r $\quad g^{h} \Lambda r-n i-d ̣ \Lambda-k \quad k \wedge h \Lambda-c-k i$
son_in_law REFL[pro]-GEN wife-NCLS-DAT say-PRES-SA3
'The son-in-law said to his (own) wife...'
b. गरु भइसलाक घाँस खिल्बार ताने... (cf. MR.02.026)
gлru $\quad b^{h} \Lambda$ is-la-k $\quad g^{h}$ ãs $k^{h}$ il-ba-r tane
bullock water_buffalo-PL-DAT grass feed-INF-GEN for 'in order to feed grass to the cattle'
c. अमा हामाक एकखान किताप दिले।
$\Lambda m^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ hama-k ek-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an kitap di-1-I
3pl[pro] 1pl[pro]-DAT one-NCLS book give-PST-3
'They gave us a book (specific, indefinite).'
d. उहाँए तोक बेटिड दिल्कु। तुइ उहाँक किखान दिल्कि?
uhãe to-k beți-ḍ $\Lambda$ di-l-ku
$3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}] 2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{DAT}$ daughter-NCLS give-PST-SA2sg
tui uhã-k ki-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ di-l-ki?
2sg[pro] 3sg[pro]-DAT what-NCLS give-PST-SA3
'He [AGENT] gave you [RECIPIENT] (his) daughter ${ }_{\text {[PATIENT] }}$.
'What ${ }_{[P A T I E N T]} \operatorname{did}^{\text {you }}{ }_{[\text {AGENT] }}$ give him [RECIPIENT] ?' (Implying, 'You gave him nothing in return.')
e. मुइ पामरटक कहेने रामडक घुरुवानु।
mui pamır-ṭ -k kıh-ene ram-ḍ $\Lambda-\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ur}-(\mathrm{u})$ wa-n-u
1sg[pro] Pāmar-NCLS-DAT say-CONJ.PTCL Rām-NCLS-DAT turn-ICAUS-PST-1sg
'I made Pāmar make Rām return.'

### 3.6.4.1.2.1 Dative subject

The dative subject is used for physical sensations such as hunger, thirst (173a) and mental states like anger (173b), grief, fear (173c), affection and boredom (173d), and in this regard is semantically related to the "experiencer". ${ }^{35}$ The dative subject is obligatorily marked with the dative-accusative case.
(173) a. हामाक बेत्ताल भोक लागेचे।
hama-k bettal $b^{h}$ ok lag-ec-e
1pl[pro]-DAT very hunger apply-PRES-3
'We are really hungry.'
b. त बुरा बुरिलाक गेल्कि रिस उठिए। (MR.04.045)
$t_{\Lambda} \quad$ bur ${ }^{\text {h }}$ a bur ${ }^{h}$ i-la-k ge-l-ki ris ut ${ }^{\text {h}}-\mathrm{ie}$
PCL old_man old_woman-PL-DAT AUX-PST-SA3 anger rise-ABS
'And the old man and old woman got furious.'

[^30]c. उहाँक जल्लुकेर बेत्ताल डर लागेच्कि।
uhã-k jalluk-er bettal ḍar lag-ec-ki
3sg[pro]-DAT leach-GEN very fear apply-PRES-SA3
'He is very afraid of leaches.'
d. एख्लाए अन्सट लागि जाच्कि हपाए घरत। (DLR.04.009)

alone boredom apply-ABS AUX-PRES-SA3 perhaps home-LOC
'Perhaps he is bored at home all on his own.'

The dative subject construction is also used in conjunction with the following modal auxiliaries: the obligatives ह- $h \Delta$ - 'must, need to' (174a) and लाग- lag- 'must, should, need to', and the desiderative मेना- mena- 'want to' (174c). The loan obligative पर- par- 'must, need to' also triggers dative subject agreement. The semantic parameter of nonvolitionality (cf. Klaiman 1986:181-187) could be seen to be related to the use of the dative subject in conjunction with the obligative auxiliaries; whether nonvolitionality is linked with the desiderative is uncertain. ${ }^{36}$
(174) a. मोक सुट करे जाएने देख्बा हबे। (cf. DLR.06.019)
mo-k suṭ kare ja-ene dek ${ }^{\text {h}}$-ba ha-b-I
1sg[obl]-DAT secret ADVL go-CONJ.PTCL see-INF be-FUT-3
'I will have to go secretly and see.'
b. बदला लिबा लागे अम़ार सङे हामाक। (cf. MR.04.048)
bıdla li-ba lag-e $\quad \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-r s r ge hama-k
revenge take-INF must-PRES3 3pl[pro]-GEN with 1pl[pro]-DAT
'We have to take revenge on them.'
c. मोक मनडत कान्बा मेनाचे। (cf. GR.02.069; also MR.05.210, MR.05.218)
mo-k mın-ḍ $\Lambda$ t kan-ba mena-c-e
1 sg[obl]-DAT mind-NCLS-LOC cry-INF want_to-PRES-3
'I want to cry in my mind.'

The direct object of the embedded infinitival clause in (175a-b) is marked with the dativeaccusative case. The dative subject, if overt, is also usually marked with the dativeaccusative case; the verb agrees with the dative subject (175c).

[^31](175) a. (हामाक) याहाँक आझि मार्बा लागे। (MR.04.094)
(hama-k) yaha-k aj ${ }^{h^{i}}$ mar-ba lag-e
(1pl[pro]-DAT) 3sg[pro/prox]-DAT today kill-INF must-PRES3
'(We) should kill her today.'
b. (मोक) बुरिडक बच्बा हबे (cf. MR.04.198)
(mo-k) bur ${ }^{\text {hi-ḍ } \Lambda-k ~ b \Lambda c-b a ~ h ~} \Lambda-b-I$
1sg[DAT] old_woman-NCLS-DAT save-INF must-FUT-3
'(I) must save the old woman.'
c. (तोक) हामाक देख्बा हप्कु। (cf. MR.05.018)

| (to-k) | hama-k $\quad$ dek $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{ba}$ h $\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ku}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (2sg[obl]-DAT) | 1pl[pro]-DAT look-INF must-FUT-SA2sg |
| '(You) will have to watch us.' |  |

Finally, the subject of a conjunct verb such as थाहा छ- $t^{h}$ aha $c^{h}$ - 'knowledge be-' ('to know') is marked with the dative-accusative case.

```
(176) उहाँक थाहा छिल्कि कि... (cf. MR.04.130)
    uhã-k thaha col}\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathrm{ h}}\textrm{i
    3sg[pro]-DAT knowledge be-PST-SA3 SUBORD
    'She knew that...'
```


### 3.6.4.1.3 Genitive

The genitive case is marked by the suffix -(ए)र -(e)r. After stem-final vowels the morpheme is $-r$, and after stem-final consonants it is -er. Noun attribution is marked on the dependent by the genitive case (177a). Multiple-embedded genitive modifiers are leftbranching (177b).
(177) a. तिनडा लोकेर नाक्सा (cf. MR.03.012)
tin-ḍa lok-er naksa
three-NCLS man-GEN figure
'three person's figures'
b. आप्नार बेटिर घर (cf. MR.04.088)

| apna-r | beți-r | $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| REFL[pro]-GEN | daughter-GEN | home |

'the house of (her) own daughter's'

In south-western Jhāpā the vowel $\Lambda$ in the vowel sequence a ${ }_{\Lambda}$ (or $a \tilde{\Lambda}$ ) is deleted when followed by the genitive case marker -(e)r. Therefore, (178a) is the genitive form of the
noun गाअँ gas (or gañ) 'village'. In the Ghailāḍubbā and Lakharigaddi dialect, genitive marking merely attaches to the final $\Lambda$ (178b).
(178) a. गाएँर (Korobāri)
ga-er (or, ga-ẽr)
village-GEN
'village's'
b. नाॅँर (Ghailāḍubbā, Lakharigaddi)
naл-r (or, nã̃-r)
name-GEN
'name's'

The case marker attaches to the noun classifier (179a) or plural marker (179b). However, if the phrase is modified by a demonstrative (179c) or numeral (179d), the noun classifier or plural attaches to the modifier, while the genitive is linked to the NP[poss].
(179) a. बुऱाडर पाल bur ${ }^{h}$ a-d $\Lambda$-r pals 'old_man-NCLS-GEN turn' (cf. MR.04.075)
b. घरलार ठाट $g^{h}$ Ar-la-r $t^{\text {h }}$ at 'house-PL-GEN roof_frame' (cf. MR.02.007)
c. इखान खिसार मतलब $i k^{h}$ an $k^{h}$ isar matlab 'DEM[prox]-NCLS story-GEN meaning' (cf. GR.01.029)
d. एकटा फुसेर घर ek-taa $p^{h} u s-e r g^{h} \Delta r$ 'one-NCLS thatch-GEN house' (cf. MR.02.003)

The genitive attaches the nominal phrase to the lexical head of (most) postpositional phrases.
(180) a. घरटर भितरत (cf. DLR.02.005)
$\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge$ - $\mathrm{t} \Lambda$-r $\quad \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}$ itr $\Lambda t$
house-NCLS-GEN inside
'inside the house'
b. खेतखानेर ति (cf. MR.04.055)
$k^{\text {h }}$ et-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ n-er $\quad \mathrm{ti}$
field-NCLS-GEN to
'to the field'

The genitive is found to be used in a variety of semantic domains (for similar features in Maithili cf. Yadav (1997 [1996]:90-94); and in Hindi cf. Tikkanen (1991:86-91)). Firstly, it is used for possession and social relationship (181a-b). Note, however, that certain
inalienable social relations are not marked with an overt $1 \mathrm{sg} / 1 \mathrm{pl}$ possessor ( $181 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{f}$ ). ${ }^{37}$ These are presumed to be related to the 1 p when an overt 2 p or 3 p possessor is not present (and possessor agreement is not shown by the verb).
(181) a. हामार गाअँ hama-r gã̃ '1pl[pro]-GEN village' (cf. DLR.03.011)
b. तमार दादोड $t \Lambda m^{h} a-r$ dado- $d_{\Lambda}$ '2pl[pro]-GEN grandfather' (cf. MR.04.060)
c. */? मोर बाउड mo-r bau- $\Lambda_{\Lambda}$ ' $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ father-NCLS'
d. बाउड bau-d $\Lambda^{\prime}$ 'father-NCLS' = '(my/our) father'
e. तोर बाउड to-r bau-ḍ $\Lambda$ ' $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ father-NCLS' (cf. GR.01.024)
f. आल़ा जाछु, बेटिर घर। (MR.04.107)
al $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ ja-ch ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{u}$ beṭi-r $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Delta \mathrm{r}$
now go-PRES-1sg daughter-GEN house 'Now I am going to (my) daughter's house.'

Secondly, the genitive has an attributive function. It conveys source/origin (182a) and construction materials (182b).
(182) a. इड लोक गचिमारिर हए। (cf. DLR.03.001)
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ lok g $\Lambda$ cimari-r $\quad$ h $\Lambda$-e
DEM[prox]-NCLS man Gacimāri-GEN be-PRES3
'This man is from Gacimāri.'
b. आर घरट माटिरे हए (cf. DLR.02.007)
ar $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{t} \Lambda \quad$ matii-r $=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{h} \Lambda-\mathrm{e}$
and house-NCLS soil-GEN = EMPH be-PRES3
'And the house is made from clay/mud.'

The genitive is also used within the noun phrase to mark the demoted agent in the (statal passive/past) participial clause (183a-b), or the patient in the (active/present) participial clause (183c).

[^32](183) a. पामरेर लिखाल कितापखान
pamır-er lik $^{\mathrm{h}}$-al kitap- $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ an
Pāmar-GEN write-PST.PTCL book-NCLS
'the book written by Pāmar'
b. तोर कादुवालि करा(ल) पानिखान (cf. GR.01.012-013)
to-r kaduali kır-a(l) pani-k ${ }^{\text {han }}$
2sg[obl]-GEN muddy do-PST.PTCL water-NCLS
'the water made muddy by you'
c. मोर निसाफ कर्ने बाला तुइ काहाँए?
mo-r nisap $^{h}$ kar-ne wala tui kahae
$1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ judgement do-NML(Hindi) CL(Hindi) $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] who 'Who are you to judge me?'

The construction V-INF-GEN has an attributive (184a-b), or a nominal function (184c). The process is productive. (See sections 7.4.2.2.1 and 7.4.2.1.3 for further discussion on participial clauses and infinitival complements.)
(184) a. एकटा राजार बेटा आसिचे, एकदम देखबार। (DLR.06.008)
ek-ṭa raja-r beṭa as-ic-e, ekd^m dek ${ }^{\text {h}}$-ba-r
one-NCLS king-GEN son come-PERF-3, really see-INF-GEN
'The son of a king has come, (he is) really good-looking.'
b. तने खाबार माजा आस्बे। (MR.04.121)
tıne $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$-ba-r maja as-b-I
then eat-INF-GEN taste come-FUT-3
'Then it will be tasty.' (lit. 'Then the taste of eating will come.')
c. खान पिनेर व्यवस्था कर्बार सुबिस्ता हबे। (cf. MR.05.316)

[food drink-GEN arrangement(loan) do-INF-GEN] $]_{[\text {SUBJECT] }}$ easy(loan) be-FUT-3
'[Arranging for eating and drinking] will be easy.'

Finally, the genitive marker -कार -kar 'GEN' was found in conjunction with several locative expressions. Note that the Maithili genitive (Yadav 1997 [1996]:111) is -ka or $-k-\partial r$, and that the Hindi genitive is $k \bar{a}$.
(185) a. इड त हामार तिकार आम! (MR.05.208)

| i-d $\Lambda$ | t $\Lambda$ | hama-r | ti-kar | am |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DEM[prox]-NCLS | PCL | 1 pl[pro]-GEN | towards-GEN mango |  |

'This is a mango from our region!'
b. आर हुत्नाकार ताने एकटा आच्छा लोक हए। (DLR.03.007)
ar hutna-kar tane ek-ṭa acc ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ lok h $\Lambda$-e and there-GEN for one-NCLS good man be-PRES3 'And he is a good man for that area.'

### 3.6.4.1.4 Locative

The locative case marker is -त -( $\Lambda) t$. As with the genitive and dative-accusative, the locative morpheme is phonologically dependent on the stem: after stem-final vowels the suffix is $-t$, but after stem-final consonants it requires the epenthetic vowel $\Lambda$, hence $-\Lambda t$ (186a). This does not apply if the locative is followed by a vowel such as the emphatic clitic (186b). As previously mentioned, usually the case marker can not attach directly to a pronoun (187a), but requires a postpositional construction (187b), though exceptions to this rule are also found (187c).
(186) a. दनत d $d \Lambda n-\Lambda t$ 'quarrel-LOC' (cf. DLR.04.030)
b. ठेङ•ते $t^{h} \mathrm{e} \eta-t=\mathrm{e}$ 'leg-LOC = EMPH' (cf. MR.04.076)
(187) a. *मोत *mo-t *'1sg[obl]-LOC'
b. मोर भितरत mo-r $b^{h}$ itrst ' $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ within'
c. स्रिमान स्रिमतिला एमात काटा काटि नि कर्बे! (cf. DLR.06.090)
sriman srimıti-la em ${ }^{\text {h }}$ a-t kaṭ-a kaț-i ni kır-b-i
husband wife-PL 3pl[pro/prox]-LOC cut-DVBL cut-DVBL NEG do-FUT-3
'Husbands and wives do not cut (i.e. kill) each other.' (Lit. 'amongst each other')

Firstly, the locative was found to be used in an illative sense ('to, towards') as in (188a-b), or an inessive sense ('in, inside') as in (189a-b). The illative may express movement towards a concrete (188a) or an abstract (188b) location.
(188) a. इला घरत लेइ जाएने... (cf. MR.04.017)
i-la $g^{\text {h }} \Lambda \mathbf{r}-\Lambda \mathrm{t}$ le-i ja-ene...
DEM[prox]-PL home-LOC take-CONJ.PTCL go-CONJ.PTCL...
'After taking these home...'
b. उहाँर घरेर लोकट एकदिन कामत चलि गेल्कि। (cf. DLR.06.018)
uhã-r $\quad g^{h} \Lambda r-e r$ lok-ṭ $\Lambda$ ek-din kam- $\Lambda t \quad$ c $\Lambda l-i \quad$ ge-l-ki
3sg[pro]-GEN house-GEN man-NCLS one-day work-LOC AUX-ABS go-PST-SA3
'One day her husband went to work.'
(189) a. एन्ति गान्धा ठाअँखानत खाबन मोक? (cf. MR.04.169)
enti gand ${ }^{\text {ha }} t^{\text {h }} \mathrm{a} \Lambda-\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} a n-\Lambda \mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}-\Lambda \mathrm{n} \quad$ mo-k
like_this dirty place-NCLS-LOC eat-FUT-2pl 1sg[obl]-DAT
'Are you going to eat me in such a dirty place like this?'
b. तम़ार दादोड आरह नि छे इड संसारत। (cf. MR.04.060)
t $\Lambda m^{h}{ }^{\text {a }}$-r dado-d $\Lambda \quad$ arh $\Lambda$ ni $c^{h}-e \quad$ i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ s $n s a r-\Lambda t$
2pl[pro]-GEN grandfather-NCLS more NEG be-PRES3 DEM[prox]-NCLS world-LOC 'Your grandfather is no longer in this world.'

Note that locative marking for the illative is often optional.
(190) a. आला जाछु, बेटिर घर। (MR.04.107)
$\mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ ja-c $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{u} \quad$ beṭi-r $\quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$
now go-PRES-1sg daughter-GEN house
'Now I am going to (my) daughter's house.'
b. हेंटकार पानिखान पाहाड(त) त नि उठ्बे (cf. GR.01.005)
hett-kar pani-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an $\operatorname{pahar}(-\Lambda t)$ t $\Lambda \quad$ ni $u t^{\text {h}}-b-I$
below-GEN water-NCLS mountain(-LOC) PCL NEG rise-FUT-3
'The water from below can not rise to the mountain(s).'

Secondly, the locative conveys an adessive ('on, on top of') sense.
(191) a. चेङराड छप्परखानत बठिए... (cf. MR.01.003)
cenra-ḍ $\Lambda \quad c^{h} \Lambda p p \wedge r-k^{h} a n-\wedge t \quad b \Delta t^{h}-i e$
young_man-NCLS roof-NCLS-LOC sit-CONJ.PTCL
'The young man is sitting on the roof (and)...'
b. इड बेर्छानि गालाडत एकखान माला पिनिचे। (cf. MR.02.011)
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ berch $^{h}$ ani gala-ḍ $\Lambda$-t $e^{\text {t }}$ an mala pin ${ }^{h}$-ic-e
DEM[prox]-NCLS woman neck-NCLS-LOC one-NCLS garland put_on-PERF-3
'This woman is wearing a garland on (i.e. around) her neck.'

Thirdly, it occurs with certain temporal adverbs.
(192) a. आर केवा बछरत
ar kewa b $\Delta c^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda r-\Lambda t$
more some year-LOC
'during the next few years'
b. समय समयत आस्ते रहिस। (cf. DLR.03.010)
sımıe sımлe-t as-te rah-is
time time-LOC come-CONT.PTCL AUX(CONT)-SUBJ2sg
'Keep visiting once in a while.'
c. एइ समयत आप जुत छे। (cf. DLR.05.024a)
ei-s $\wedge$ mıe-t ap jut $c^{\text {h}}$-e
DEM[prox/emph]-time-LOC PCL way be-PRES3
'Now there is a way.'

Fourthly, the locative marker attaches to nominalised verbs to convey the undertaking of an action. The construction may collocate with verbs which portray spatial motion.

```
(193) दस्रा पखिड एकदिन चुलि गेल, फेर दानार खोजित। (cf. DLR.05.023; also DLR.05.006a)
    d\Lambdasra p <k hi-ḍ ek-din cul-i ge-l p pher dana-r k k
    other bird-NCLS one-day AUX-ABS go-PST3 again seed-GEN search-DVBL-LOC
    'One day the other bird went away, looking for seeds again.'
```


### 3.6.4.1.5 Instrumental

The Rājbanshi instrumental -ए -e is used for inanimates (for other instrumentals cf. section 3.6.4.2.2).
(194) a. मुइ पामरटर मुखे खबरट पानु।
mui pamır-ṭ $\Lambda$-r muk ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{b} \Lambda r-\mathrm{t} \Lambda$ pa-n-u
1sg[pro] Pāmar-NCLS-GEN mouth-INSTR news-NCLS receive-PST-1sg
'I received the message from Pāmar.' (i.e. Pāmar told me orally.)
b. मुइ पामरटर हाते चिट्टि पानु।
mui pamır-ṭ^-r hat-e ciț̣i pa-n-u
1sg[pro] Pāmar-NCLS-GEN hand-INSTR letter receive-PST-1sg
'I received a letter via Pāmar.' (i.e. Pāmar brought a letter to me by hand.)

I have assumed that a distinction between the instrumental case -ए -e and the emphatic clitic -ए -e is warranted, though it is difficult in some instances to distinguish between the two (cf. section 6.1 .2 for further discussion). Compare, for example, (195a) where the suffix -ए -e has been considered as instrumental, and (195b) where the suffix has been left unresolved. Example (195c) appears to be the emphatic clitic, but could equally well be the instrumental case.
(195) a. manner (adverbial)

लाजे सरमे (cf. DLR.04.042)
laj-e sarım-e
shame-INSTR shame-INSTR
'with (great) shame'
b. reason (adverbial)

मुइ एइखान मुखेर गुने हारानु। (DLR.05.043)
mui ei-k ${ }^{\text {han }}$ muk $^{\mathrm{h}}$-er gun-e
1sg[pro] DEM[prox/emph]-NCLS mouth-GEN quality-INSTR/EMPH(?)
hara-n-u
lose_sthg-PST-1sg
'I lost (it) today because of the quality of this (very) mouth.'
c. बिना अघिने भात नाधा जाबे?

| bina | $\Lambda \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}}$ in-e | $\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}$ at $n a d^{\mathrm{h}}$-a | ja-b-I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| without | fire $=$ EMPH(or,_-INSTR?) | rice | cook-PST.PTCL | PASS.AUX-FUT-3

'Can rice be cooked without fire?'

To further complicate matters, it is also possible that Rājbanshi has a distinct adverbialiser suffix -ए -e. The Hindi emphatic clitic has similar functions. Matthews (1984:62) maintains that in Nepali the emphatic suffix -ऐ $\partial i$ (note, the Nepali instrumental is -ले -le) is not only used for emphasis but also in an adverbialising function:
"Many emphatic forms have special modified meanings, which cannot be explained simply in
 'slowly'... बिहानै बेलुकै (bihan-əi beluk-əi 'morning-EMPH evening-EMPH') 'morning and evening'." (transliteration and morpheme-by-morpheme gloss in brackets mine)

The use of the suffix -ए -e as an adverbialiser in Rājbanshi has quite possibly originated from the instrumental, though whether it should now be regarded as a category of its own is uncertain. Compare (196a) with (196b), and (196c) with (196d). In (196a) the word आच्छा acc $^{h}$ a 'good' functions as an adjective. When marked with the suffix -ए -e it becomes an adverb. The same applies with (196c-d), though in (196e) the suffix appears to be merely an emphatic. Based on the behaviour of the Hindi and Nepali emphatic, I have considered the suffix -ए -e to be an emphatic clitic in cases such as these.
(196) a.

```
मुइ आच्छा छु।
mui accha ch
1sg[pro] good be-PRES1sg
'I am good.' (attributive)
```

b. मुइ आच्छाए छु
mui $\operatorname{acc}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{u}$
1sg[pro] good-ADVL/INSTR/EMPH? be-PRES1sg
'I am fine.'
c. मोक भोक लागेचे।
mo-k $\quad b^{h}$ ok lag-ec-e
1sg[obl]-DAT hunger apply-PRES-3
'I am hungry.' (dative subject)
d. मुइ भोके छु।
mui $b^{\text {h }}$ ok-e $c^{\text {h }}$-u
1sg[pro] hunger-ADVL/INSTR/EMPH? be-PRES1sg
'I am hungry.'
e. सुके छे हामार बेटाड। (cf. MR.05.184)
suk $=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$ hama-r beṭa-ḍ $\Lambda$
happiness $=$ EMPH be-PRES3 1pl[pro]-GEN son-NCLS
'He is (really) happy, our son.'

### 3.6.4.2 Postpositions

### 3.6.4.2.1 Ablative

The Rājbanshi ablative clitic से se 'ABL' is used for various case marking and postpostional functions. For its use as an adverbialiser, cf. section 5.1.

Firstly, it is used for the ablative 'from somewhere' (197a-b) or 'from someone' (197c).
(197) a. हेतिन से आर नि जाम हुदर। (MR.04.182)
hetin se ar ni ja-m- $\quad$ hud $\Lambda r$
here ABL more NEG go-FUT-1pl over_there
'From here we won't go any further over there.'
b. बुऱाड कान्टाखान से घुरिए... (cf. DLR.04.015)
bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}_{\Lambda} \quad$ kanṭa-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an se $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ur-ie...
old_man-NCLS vicinity-NCLS ABL turn_around-CONJ.PTCL
'The old man will turn around from that place close by...'
c. आमार से केनङ करे बचिम? (cf. MR.04.185)
$a^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{r}$ se ken^y kıre bлc-im
3pl[pro]-GEN ABL how ADVL save-FUT1sg
'How I am to be saved from them?'

Secondly, it is used for the temporal 'since' (198a-c) or 'until' (198d). It is optional in the adverbial phrase (198e).
(198) a. दुइ घान्टा से dui $g^{h}$ antaa se 'two hour ABL' ('for two hours')
b. अइबेला से $\wedge$ i-bela se 'DEM[rem/emph]-time ABL' ('since that (very) time')
c. ...हिदर से आसा जाइ नि कर्चे। (cf. DLR.03.011)
hid $\Delta r$ se as-a ja-i ni kır-c-e
over_here ABL come-DVBL go-DVBL NEG do-PRES-3
'...since then he has not been visiting.'
d. केतखुन से कान्बो? (MR.04.190)
ket-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ un se kan-b-o
how_much-time ABL cry-FUT-2sg
'Until when will you cry?'
e. जाबार (से) आगुत
ja-ba-r (se) agut
go-INF-GEN (ABL) before
'before going'

Thirdly, the ablative is used in complex postpositional constructions such as:
(199) a. अम़ार मइधे से $\Lambda m^{h}$ ar msid ${ }^{h} \mathrm{e}$ se '3pl[pro]-GEN amongst ABL' ('from among them')
b. अभ़ार बिच से $\Lambda m^{h}$ ar bic se '3pl[pro]-GEN middle ABL' ('from among them')
c. पुरुब बिति से purub biti se 'east towards ABL' ('from the direction of the east')
d. पेइसाला पामरटर ठिन से आनिए राखिस।
peisa-la pamır-ṭィ-r ṭin(a) se an-ie rak $^{h}$-is money-PL Pāmar-NCLS-GEN close ABL bring-CONJ.PTCL place-SUBJ2sg 'Bring the money from (where) Pāmar (is).' or 'Get the money from Pāmar.'

Fourthly, the ablative is used in comparative (200a) and superlative (200b) constructions.
(200) a. इड घर से उड घर बड।
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad g^{\text {h }} \Lambda r$ se u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad g^{\text {h }} \Lambda r \quad$ b $\Lambda r \Lambda$
DEM[prox]-NCLS house ABL DEM[rem]-NCLS house big
'That house is bigger than this house.'
b. इड कलम सभारे से मँट छे।

DEM[prox]-NCLS pen all-GEN = EMPH ABL thick be-PRES3
'This pen is the thickest of all.'

Though ablative marking is occasionally found on the direct object in dative subject clauses (201a), it is considered by the informant from south-western Jhāpā to be a loan construction. More natural would be to mark the object with the genitive case (201b), or to resort to periphrastic means, such as use of the subordinate clause तोक देखिए to-k dek ${ }^{h}$-ie '2sg[obl]-DAT see-CONJ.PTCL' in (201c).
(201) a

चित्ताबाषेर खल्काला से हामाक डर लागेचे।

leopard-GEN claw-PL ABL 1pl[pro]-DAT fear apply-PRES-3
'We are afraid of leopard's claws.'
b. मोक तोर डर लागेचे।
mo-k to-r $\quad$ d $A \mathrm{r}$ lag-ec-e
1sg[obl]-DAT
2sg[obl]-GEN fear apply-PRES-3
'I am afraid of you.'
c. तोक देखिए मोक डर लागेचे।
to-k $\quad$ dek $^{\mathrm{h}}$-ie $\quad$ mo-k $\quad$ d $\wedge$ rr
2sg[obl]-DAT
lag-ec-e
'I am afraid of you.' (Lit. 'I am afraid at seeing you.')

Finally, the ablative can be used as an instrumental clitic in place of the instrumental दे de (cf. section 3.6.4.2.2).
(202) तोर पठाल टाकाला से माहाजन मार्न। (Rājbanshi VS 2064b:106)
to-r pıṭ ${ }^{\text {h}}$-al taka-la se maha-j $\wedge n$ mar-n- $\Lambda$
2sg[obl]-GEN send-PST.PTCL coin-PL ABL( = INSTR) great-person kill-PST-1pl
'We paid the debt (lit. 'debt-collector-killed') with the money you sent.'

### 3.6.4.2.2 Instrumental

Several instrumental/agentive clitics were found to be used in contemporary Rājbanshi. Firstly, the instrumental clitic दे $d e$ is likely to have originated from the conjunctive participle form of the verb दि- di- 'give': दिए(ने) $d$-ie(ne) 'give-CONJ.PTCL' (lit. 'by giving') $>$ दे de. One informant was of the opinion that the instrumental clitic ले le is a loan (possibly from the Nepali ergative case marker and instrumental -ले -le, or Hindi लिये liye), whereas दे $d e$ is an original Rājbanshi form. The clitic ले le does indeed seem to be used less frequently. It is, however, also possible that the clitic ले le is a conjunctive participle form of the verb लि- li- 'take': लिएने l-ie(ne) 'take-CONJ.PTCL' (lit. 'by taking'). Both clitics are used with non-animates.
(203) a. कलम दे kılım de 'pen INSTR' ('by pen')
b. कलमड दे $k \wedge l_{\Lambda m-d \Lambda}$ de 'pen-NCLS INSTR' ('with the pen')
c. उहाँ मोक लाठिखान दे ठुक्ले।
uhã mo-k lat ${ }^{\text {hi}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ an de $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} u k-1-\mathrm{I}$
3sg[pro] 1sg[obl]-DAT stick-NCLS INSTR hit-PST-3
'He hit me with the stick.'
d. अहड घर माटि दे लेपाल, मुछाल छे। (MR.03.009)
$\Lambda=\mathrm{h} \Lambda-\mathrm{d} \Lambda \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$ maṭi de lep-al, muc $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{al} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-e
DEM[rem] $=$ CNJ-NCLS house soil INSTR plaster-PST.PTCL, wipe-PST.PTCL be-PRES3
'Also that house has been plastered with mud, and wiped (over).'

An instrumental/agent दारे dare, which is a Rājbanshi derivation of the instrumental द्वारा dwara found in Hindi and Nepali (and originating from Sanskrit), is sometimes used to mark a human agent.

```
(204) उहाँर दारे गटे कामला करुवानु।
    uhã-r dare g^ṭe kam-la knr-(u)wa-n-u
    3sg[pro]-GEN by all task-PL do-ICAUS-PST-1sg
    'I had all (of the) jobs done by him.'
```

Finally, an instrumental postposition पाए pae for marking the human agent was found to be used by some writers from western Jhāpā (possibly originating from a conjunctive participle of the verb पा- pa- 'receive'). Several informants from more eastern parts of Jhāpā were not familiar with the term.
(205) मोर पाए mo-r pae '1 sg[obl]-GEN INSTR' ('by me')

### 3.6.4.2.3 Terminative

The terminative clitic तक $t \Lambda k$ is used to convey the terminal point of a state of affairs, either in terms of location (206a), time (206b-c) or amount (206d). Several informants were of the opinion that तलिक $t_{\Lambda} l i k$ is the correct Rājbanshi form (206a).
(206) a.

```
भद्रपुर से दमक त(लि)क
    b}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{h}}\Lambdadr\Lambdapur se d\Lambdam\Lambdak t\Lambda(li)
    Bhadrapur ABL Damak TERM
    'from Bhadrapur to Damak'
```

b. आस्बा छाबिस्ले, आल़ा तक नि आसे।
as-ba $\quad c^{\text {h}}$ ab-isl-I, $\quad$ al ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ t $\Lambda k$ ni as-e
come-INF want-REM.PST-3, now TERM NEG come-PST.HAB3
'S/he has wanted to come, but until now hasn't come.'
c. कोइखुना आमा एक महिना तक नि आसे। (cf. MR.05.125)
koi-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ una $\mathrm{am}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ ek mıhina tık ni as-e some-time $3 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] one month TERM NEG come-PST.HAB3 'Sometimes they didn't use to come for one month.'
d. कम से कम दुइ स, तिन स, पाच स एत्ला तक... (cf. MR.05.142)
k $\wedge \mathrm{m}$ se k $\wedge \mathrm{m}$ dui $\mathrm{s} \Lambda$ tin $\mathrm{s} \Lambda$ etla t $\wedge \mathrm{k}$
less ABL less two hundred three hundred this_many TERM 'At least two hundred, three hundred, up to this many...'

### 3.6.4.2.4 Location

Locative and directional postpositions in Rājbanshi are attached to the head with the genitive case. Locative and directional postpositions include the following.
(207) a. पर $p \Delta r$ 'on; upon' (cf. MR.03.004)
b. (बि)ति (bi)ti 'to; towards' (cf. MR.04.129)
c. माखाए (माखे) $\mathrm{mak}^{h}(a) \mathrm{e}$ 'via; through' and पाके pake 'through'
d. ठिना ṭina 'close; vicinity' (the original meaning of țina appears to have been the noun 'place')

Complex postpositions पाछ्छुति pachuti 'behind' and आगुति aguti 'in front' have been interpreted as originating from the forms $\mathrm{pac}^{h} u-t i$ and agu-ti respectively. The simple forms पाछु $p \mathrm{ac}^{h} u$ and आगु agu only occur in reduplicated constructions such as पाछु पाछु pach $u \operatorname{pac}^{h} u$ 'behind' and आगु आगु agu agu 'ahead' (cf. section 3.2.7).
(208) a. गारिखानेर पाछुति (cf. MR.02.003)
gari- ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an-er pac $^{\text {h }} u$ uti
cart-NCLS-GEN behind
'behind the cart'
b. घरटर आगुति (cf. MR.03.010)
$\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{t} \Lambda-\mathrm{r} \quad$ aguti
house-NCLS-GEN in_front_of
'in front of the house'

Likewise, the following complex postpositions have been considered to be lexicalised forms of previous PP-compounds:
(209) a. अ/उपरत sprst, uprst 'upon'
b. बगलत baglat 'close'
c. भितरत $b^{h}$ itrst 'inside'
d. बिचत bicst 'in between'
e. तलत $t \wedge l \Delta t$ 'below'
f. बाहारत baharst 'outside'

They function as postpositions in their own right, as the following examples indicate.
(210) a. बुऱाडर बगलत (cf. MR.01.005)
bur ${ }^{\text {h }}$-ḍ $\Lambda$-r b $\wedge$ gl $\Lambda t$
old_man-NCLS-GEN close
'close to the old man'
b. मुन्दिरटर भितरत
mundir-ṭ $\Lambda$-r $\quad b^{h}$ itrıt
temple-NCLS-GEN inside
'inside the temple'

Some directionals, such as मुखे muk ${ }^{h} e$ 'to, towards' (note, मुख $m u k^{h}$ is the noun for 'face') and (बि)ति (bi)ti 'towards; to', attach to adverbs of location without the genitive case.
(211) a. पुरुब (बि)ति
purub (bi)ti
east towards
'towards (the) east'
b. उपर से पानिखान देखिन मुखे जाछे। (GR.01.003)
upır se pani-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an $\operatorname{dek}^{h}$ in muk ${ }^{\text {h }}$ e ja-ch-e
above ABL water-NCLS south towards go-PRES-3
'The water flows from up(stream) to the south.'

Many locational and directional postpositions can attach to demonstratives and certain pronouns to form pronominal adverbs (cf. section 5.6).
(212) a. जेइठिना (cf. MR.04.025)
jei-t ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ina
REL[obl/ip]-place/vicinity
'where'
b. अइमाखाए (cf. MR.04.088)
si-mak ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ae}$
DEM[rem/emph]-through
'that way'

### 3.6.4.2.5 Temporal

The temporal postpositions खुना $k^{h} u n a$ 'during' and बाद bad 'after' occur after nouns (213a), verbs (213b)/(214a) and adverbs (214b). Occasionally बाद bad 'after' attaches to the head without the genitive case (214c).
(213) a. हामार गाअँ आसिस्ले सतसंगेर खुना...। (cf. DLR.03.011)
hama-r gas as-isl-I ssts yy-er khan
$1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ village come-REM.PST-3 religious_fellowship-GEN during 'He came to our village at the time of (a) religious (gathering)...'
b. आस्बार खुना फेर एइमाखाए आसिस। (MR.04.123)
as-ba-r $\quad k^{h} u n a \quad p^{h} e r \quad$ ei-mak ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ ae as-is
come-INF-GEN during again DEM[prox/emph]-through come-SUBJ2sg
'When it is time to come, come this way again.'
(214) a. सुन्बार बाद sun-ba-r bad 'listen-INF-GEN after'
b. कुछु दिनेर बाद $k u c^{h} u$ din-er bad 'some day-GEN after'
c. केवा दिन बाद kewa din bad 'some day after'

The temporal postposition घुरि $g^{h} u r i ~ ' d u r i n g ' ~ o c c u r s ~ a f t e r ~ t e m p o r a l ~ a d v e r b s . ~ I t ~ n e v e r ~$ requires genitive case marking on the adverb. The postposition is interchangeable with the adverbialiser करे kıre 'ADVL' (cf. section 5.1).
(215) राति घुरि आसिए (cf. MR.04.025)
rati $g^{\text {h }}$ uri as-ie
night during come-CONJ.PTCL
'coming during the night'

### 3.6.4.2.6 Cause and purpose

The causal postposition कारन(त) $\operatorname{kar} \wedge n(\Lambda t)$ is attached to the head noun (216a), or the nonfinite verb (216b), with the genitive case. The postposition originates from a noun-LOC construction: कारन-त karın- $\Lambda$ t 'reason-LOC' (some writers prefer the traditional spelling कारण karıṇ).
(216) a. आप्ना आप्नि घरेर कामेर कारन(त) (cf. DLR.03.012)
apna apni $g^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda r$-er $\operatorname{kam}-\mathrm{er} \quad \operatorname{kar} \Lambda n(-\Lambda t)$
REFL[pro] REFL[pro] house-GEN work-GEN reason(-LOC)
'because of each one's own house work'
b. फुर्सत नि हबार कारन(त) (cf. DLR.03.012)
$p^{h} u r s \Lambda t \quad n i \quad h \Delta-b a-r \quad \operatorname{kar} \Lambda n(-\Lambda t)$
free_time NEG be-INF-GEN reason(-LOC)
'because of not having free time'

Purpose is marked with the postposition ताने tane 'for; in order to' and is found in conjunction with verbs.
(217) a. टाटि बेन्बार ताने (cf. MR.02.007)
taṭi ben-ba-r tane
wall build-INF-GEN for
'in order to build wall(s)'
b. त भोज खाबार ताने (cf. MR.04.053)
$b^{\text {h }}$ oj $k^{\text {ha}}$ a-ba-r tane
feast eat-INF-GEN for
'in order to feast.'

### 3.6.4.2.7 Other postpositions

Finally, several other postpositions need mentioning. The following postpositions attach to the phrase head with the genitive case.
(218) a. सङे sıŋe 'with' (cf. MR.04.067)
b. बादे bade 'on behalf of ${ }^{\prime}$
c. रुपत rupst 'in the form of' (cf. MR.02.007)
d. लाखाति lak ${ }^{h}$ ati 'just like; as if ' (cf. MR.03.010; DLR.04.040)

The postposition ले le in -कार ले -kar le is most likely a loan from the Hindi के लिये ke liye 'for'. The Rājbanshi equivalent is the postposition ताने tane 'for'.
(219) आर हुत्नाकार ले (=ताने) एकटा आच्छा लोक हए। (DLR.03.007)
ar hutna-kar le ek-ṭa acc ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ lok h $\Lambda$-e
and there-GEN for(loan) one-NCLS good man be-PRES3
'And he is a good man for that area.'

In addition, the following postpositions attach to the head without the genitive case.
(220) a. भरे $b^{h}{ }_{\Delta r e}$ 'throughout'
b. मुहाँ muha 'as if' (cf. MR.03.019)

The postposition बिना bina 'without' attaches to the head with the genitive (221a), except when it functions as an adverb, in which case it precedes the head ( $221 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{c}$ ).
(221) a. अभार बिना काम नि हबे।
[ $\Lambda m^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-r bina] kam ni h $\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{I}$
[3pl[pro]-GEN without] work NEG be-FUT-3
'Without them the work can't be done.'
b. अम़ा बिना खाए नि जाबे।
$\wedge \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a} \quad\left[\mathrm{bina} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e}\right] \quad$ ni ja-b-I
3pl[pro] [without eat-CONJ.PTCL] NEG go-FUT-3
'They will/can not leave without eating.'
c. बिना दोसे केनङ करे मारा जाबे याहाँक? (GR.01.009)
[bina dos-e] ken $1 \mathfrak{y}$ kлre mar-a ja-b-ı
[without accusation-INSTR] how ADVL kill-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-FUT-3
yaha-k
DEM[pro/prox]-DAT
'How to kill this without any accusation?'

### 3.7 Verbal morphology

### 3.7.1 Verb stem types

Based on minor inflectional differences, there appear to be six verb stem types. These can be divided into two distinct groups: vowel-final stems and consonant-final stems. Detailed paradigms for each verb stem type can be found in Appendix 1.

### 3.7.1.1 Vowel-final stems

Rājbanshi verbs only have stem-final vowels $-a$ and $-\Lambda$. The vowel $-i$ is found as an epenthetic vowel in restricted environments, but does not belong to the stem.

Verb stem Type 1 consists of most verbs with a stem-final -a. In most cases inflection attaches directly to the stem-final vowel (222a).
(222) a. खाले $k^{h} a-1-I \quad$ 'eat-PST-3'
b. खाचे $k^{h} a-c-e$ 'eat-PRES-3'
c. खाइचे $k^{h} a-i c-e ~ ' e a t-P E R F-3 ' ~$

Type 2 verb stems have a stem-final - . Verbs of this type include, for example: ह- $h \Lambda$ 'be', रह- rıhs- 'remain; live; be', कह- kıha- 'say' and बह- bshs- 'flow; plough'. The stemfinal $-\Lambda$ is optionally deleted in certain circumstances, as the following variants indicate:
(223) a. कहए(ने) kıhı-e(ne) 'say-CONJ.PTCL'
b. कहे(ने) kıh-e(ne) 'say-CONJ.PTCL'

Type 2 verb stems also often have an irregular stem when occurring with past tense (224a), imperative (224b) and subjunctive (224c) inflection.
(224) a. रह- rahs- 'stay' + -l'PST3' > रोहोल roho-l 'stay-PST3'
b. कह- kshs- 'say' $+-\varnothing$ 'IMP2sg' > कोहो koho- $\varnothing$ 'say-IMP2sg'
c. ह- $h \wedge$ - 'be' + -ओक -ok 'SUBJ3' > होक $h-o k$ 'be-SUBJ3'

Verb stem Type 3 consists of three irregular verbs (225a-c) which inflect in present and perfect tenses with an aspirated affricate $-c^{h}$ instead of the unaspirated affricate $-c$ found in all other Rājbanshi verbs. This applies to the dialect used in northern Jhāpā (226a-b); in the dialect used in south-western Jhāpā all verbs inflect in the present and perfect tenses with $-\mathrm{c}(226 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d})$. A wider survey concerning the variation was not possible for this work.
(225) a. जा- ja- 'go; AUX; PASS.AUX' (irregular past root ग- $g$-)
b. लि- l(i)- 'take; AUX'
c. दि- $d(i)-$ 'give; $A U X '$
(226) a. जाछे $j a-c^{h}$-e 'go-PRES-3' (cf. GR.01.029; Ghailāḍubbā and Lakharigaddi)
b. गिछे $g$-iche 'go-PERF-3' (cf. MR.05.004; Ghailāḍubbā and Lakharigaddi)
c. जाचे ja-c-e 'go-PRES-3' (Korobāri)
d. गेइचे ge-ic-e 'go-PERF-3' (Korobāri)

Even in the northern Jhāpā dialect, aspirated $-c^{h}$ is suppressed to $-c$ when preceding secondary agreement.
(227) a. गिच्कि g-ic-ki 'go-PERF-SA3' (Ghailāḍubbā, Lakharigaddi and Korobāri)
b. दिच्कन d-ic-kın 'give-PERF-SA2pl' (Ghailāḍubbā, Lakharigaddi and Korobāri)

### 3.7.1.2 Consonant-final stems

Verb stem Type 4 includes most verbs which have a single stem-final consonant. Inflection attaches directly to stems which have single consonant codas.
(228) a. ठुकिचु $t^{h} u k-i c-u$ 'hit-PERF-1 $\mathrm{sg}^{\prime}$
b. भाग्ले $b^{h} a g-1-I$ 'escape-PST-3'
c. बानेचे ban ${ }^{h}$-ec-e 'tie-PRES-3'

Verb stem Type 5 consists of verbs which have a weak stem-final -r. When the $-r$ is deleted, some writers will compensate for it with a hyphen in order to differentiate verbs which do not have a stem-final -r. For example, the reduced form of पार- par- 'be able to' (229c) resembles पा- pa- 'receive' (229d).
(229) a. ध'इचे $d^{h} \Lambda(r)$-ic-e [pron. $d^{h}{ }_{\Lambda}$ ice] 'begin-PERF-3' (stem धर- $d^{h} \Lambda r$-)
b. क'ए $k \wedge(r)-\mathrm{e}$ [pron. kıe] 'do-CONJ.PTCL' (stem कर- kır-)
c. खेटियाला बुरिडक खाबा नि पा'ले। (MR.04.204)
$k^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda-\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ba}$ ni pa(r)-l-I
jackal-PL old_woman-NCLS-DAT eat-INF NEG can-PST-3
'The jackals couldn't eat the old woman.'
d. अप़ा खाबा पाचे पुवाल। (GR.02.005)
$\Lambda m^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ba}$ pa-c-e pual
3pl[pro] eat-INF get-PRES-3 straw
'They get to eat straw.'

Verb stem Type 6 consists of verbs which have a stem-final CC-cluster. Due to the restriction against CCC-clusters in the language, in these verbs an epenthetic vowel $-i$ - or
-e- occurs between the stem and those morphemes which have a consonant initial (that is, the past (230a), future (230b), present (230c), past conditional (230d), infinitive (230e) and continuous participle (230f)). When the morpheme has an initial vowel, such as the perfect in $(230 \mathrm{~g})$, the epenthetic vowel does not occur.
(230) a. सम्ठिले ssmt $t^{h}-\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{l}-\mathrm{I}$ 'finish-[EPENTHETIC VOWEL]-PST-3'
b. सम्ठिबो $s \wedge m t^{h}-i$ - $b-o$ 'finish-[EPENTHETIC VOWEL]-FUT-2sg'
c. सम्ठेचे $S \Delta m t^{h}$-e-c-e 'finish-[EPENTHETIC VOWEL]-PRES-3'
d. सम्ठिनुतन $s \Delta m t^{h}-i-n-u=t \Delta n$ 'finish-[EPENTHETIC VOWEL]-PST-1sg=PST.COND'
e. सम्ठिबा ssmt $t^{h}-i$-ba 'finish-[EPENTHETIC VOWEL]-INF'
f. सम्ठिते samt th-i-te 'finish-[EPENTHETIC VOWEL]-CONT.PTCL'
g. सम्ठिचे sAmt $t^{h}$-ic-e 'finish-PERF-3'

Verb stem Type 6 can not take $\varnothing$-marking in the imperative singular due to the restriction on word-final CC-clusters (231a). The imperative singular is marked either with the imperative variant -एक -ek as in (231b) and (232a), or by the breaking of the stem-final CC-cluster with an epenthetic vowel as in (231c) and (232b). In some cases only the former is possible (233).
(231) a. *सम्ठ् *ssmt ${ }^{h}-\varnothing$ *'finish-IMP2sg' (stem सम्ठ- ssmt ${ }^{h}$-)
b. सम्ठेक samt ${ }^{h}$-ek 'finish-IMP2sg'
c. समठ $\operatorname{sam}[\Lambda] t^{h}-\varnothing$ 'finish-IMP2sg'
(232) a. निक्लेक nikl-ek 'go_out-IMP2sg' (stem निक्ल- nikl-)
b. निकुल nik[u]l- $\varnothing$ 'go_out-IMP2sg'
(233) घस्केक $g^{h_{A}}$ sk-ek 'hide-IMP2sg' (stem घस्क- $g^{h_{A}}$ sk-)

Finally, there are two stem-final irregularities which require consideration. Firstly, some verbs can interchange between the stem-final vowels -i or -a without causing a change in meaning (for example, अन्छि- $\Delta n c^{h} i$ - 'search' and अन्छा- $\Lambda n c^{h}{ }^{h}$ - 'search' in Table 21 below). ${ }^{38}$ This stem variation only occurs in the infinitive; otherwise the verbs inflect as Type 1 verb stems with all other non-finite and finite forms. The restriction of the use of the $-i$ variant to the infinitive alone suggests that these kinds of verbs have a primary stem-final -a, and a variant $-i$.

Some verbs do not have the -i variant. Verbs such as (the non-causative) पत्या- patya'find out' in Table 21 only occur with a stem-final -a. Refer also to section 3.7.3 for discussion on causative stem irregularities.

[^33]Table 21. Non-finite marking on -CC and -CCV stem-finals

|  | -इ -i stem-final | -आ -a stem-final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Infl. stem | अन्छा- $\Lambda n c^{h} a^{-}$'search' (Verb stem type 1) |  |
| V-INF | अन्छिबा $A n c^{h_{i} \text {-ba }}$ 'search-INF' | अन्छाबा $A n c^{h} a-b a$ 'search-INF' |
| V-ABS | - | अन्छाए $1 n c^{h}$ a-e 'search-ABS' |
| V-CONJ-PTCL | - | अन्छाए(ने) $\Delta n c^{h} a-e(n e)$ 'search-CONJ.PTCL' |
| V-CONT.PTCL | - | अन्छाते $\Lambda n c^{\text {h }}$ a-te 'search-CONT.PTCL' |
| V-PST.PTCL | - | अन्छाआ(ल) $\Lambda n c^{h} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{l})$ 'search-PST.PTCL' |
| Infl. stem |  | पत्या- pıtya- 'find out' (Verb stem type 1) |
| INF | - | पत्याबा pstya-ba 'find out-INF' |
| ABS | - | पत्याए patya-e 'find out-ABS' |
| CONJ-PTCL | - | पत्याए(ने) pstya-e(ne) 'find out-CONJ.PTCL' |
| CONT.PTCL | - | पत्याते patya-te 'find out-CONT.PTCL' |
| PST.PTCL | - | पत्या(ल) pstya-a(l) 'find out-PST.PTCL' |
| Infl. stem | सम्ठ- ssmt't'- 'finish' (Verb stem type 6) | - |
| INF | सम्ठिबा samt ${ }^{\text {h }}$ (i)-ba 'finish-INF' | - |
| ABS | सम्ठि ssmt ${ }^{h}-i$ 'finish-ABS’ | - |
| CONJ-PTCL | सम्ठिए(ने) ssmt ${ }^{h}$-ie(ne) 'finishCONJ.PTCL’ | - |
| CONT.PTCL | सम्ठिते ssmt ${ }^{\text {h }}$ (i)-te 'finish-CONT.PTCL' | - |
| PST.PTCL | सम्ठा(ल) ssmtt ${ }^{\text {h }}$-a(l) 'finish-PST.PTCL' | - |

Secondly, certain verbs with a stem-final -a, such as नुका- nuk-a- 'hide' in Table 22 below, have a reduced form in the infinitive. ${ }^{39}$ Reducing the stem in the infinitive causes no change in meaning. These verbs inflect as Type 1 verb stems in all other non-finite and finite forms.

Table 22. Non-finite marking on irregular -VCV stem-finals

|  | -C stem-final | -आ -a stem-final |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Inflect. stem | - | नुका- nuka- 'hide' (Verb stem type 1) |
| V-INF | नुक्बा nuk-ba 'hide-INF' | नुकाबा nuka-ba 'hide-INF' |
| V-ABS | - | नुकाए nuka-e 'hide-ABS' |
| V-CONJ-PTCL | - | नुकाए(ने) nuka-e(ne) 'hide-CONJ.PTCL' |
| V-CONT.PTCL | - | नुकाते nuka-te 'hide-CONT.PTCL' |
| V-PST.PTCL | - | नुका(ल) nuka-a(l) 'hide-PST.PTCL' |

${ }^{39}$ Other verbs of this type include: बात- bat- and बाता- bata- 'tell'; बेन- ben- and बेना- bena- 'make'; बेर- ber- and बेरा- bera- 'walk'; चर- car- and चरा- cara- 'graze'; निद- nid- and निदा- nida- 'sleep'; फेक$p^{h} e k$ - and फेका- $p^{h} e k a-$ 'throw'.

### 3.7.2 Causative stems

Two morphological causative stems are used in Rājbanshi: the simple causative and the indirect causative.

### 3.7.2.1 Basic structure

The simple causative suffix is -आ -a 'CAUS'. If the verb base is intransitive the simple causative morpheme usually induces a derivational process to form a transitive verb, which are referred to as "corresponding transitives" (cf. Masica 1976:46). This is a valence-increasing process, but in these cases the resulting verb is not the causative counterpart of the intransitive base per se, although the causative element can sometimes be construed as such, as the following examples show.
(234) a. उठबा > उठाबा
$u t^{\mathrm{h}}$-ba $>u t^{\mathrm{h}}$-a-ba
rise-INF $>$ raise-INF (i.e.'cause_to_rise')
b. सुन्बा $>$ सुनाबा
sun-ba $>$ sun-a-ba
hear-INF $>$ tell-INF (i.e.'cause_to_hear')
c. जल्बा > जलाबा
j $\wedge$ l-ba $>$ j^l-a-ba
burn-INF > burn(sthg)-INF (i.e.'cause_to_burn')

At other times, however, the simple causative suffix can bring about a primary causative connotation, as the following examples demonstrate.
(235) a. बठ्बा $>$ बठाबा
$\mathrm{b} \Lambda t^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{ba}>\mathrm{b} \Lambda t^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ba}$
sit-INF $>$ sit-CAUS-INF ('cause_to_sit')
b. बुराडक घरत बठाए खिलान पिलान कर्बाए हल्कि। (cf. DLR.04.042)
bur $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda-\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda r-\Lambda \mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{b} \Lambda t^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ilan pilan $\mathrm{k} \Lambda r-\mathrm{ba}=\mathrm{e}$
old_man-NCLS-DAT house-LOC sit-CAUS-CONJ.PTCL feeding drinking do-INF = EMPH
h $\Lambda$-l-ki
must-PST-SA3
'They had to sit the old man down (i.e. cause the old man to sit down) in the house and really feed him and give him drink!'

If the verb base is transitive, the simple causative stem often indicates causation in the primary sense.
(236) a. कर्बा > कराबा
kлr-ba $>$ kлr-a-ba
do-INF > do-CAUS-INF ('cause_to_do')
b. राजाडर सङे गटलाके भेटघाट कराए दिष्कि। (cf. MR.05.347)

king-NCLS-GEN with all-PL-DAT = EMPH visit do-CAUS-ABS AUX-FUT-SA3
'He had them all meet with the king.'

The suffix for the indirect causative is -(उ)वा -(u)wa 'ICAUS' (237). Its use is infrequent. In the database corpus of 1000 sentences available, only one example of an indirect causative stem was found (cf. MR.04.041), and even here the verb has a simple causative rendering. This does not necessarily imply that the indirect causative is a loan construction, since it is only logical that such special constructions are rare, and language informants do consider it to be used by mother tongue speakers. ${ }^{40}$ The indirect causative will be discussed further in section 7.5.2.
(237) जलुवाबा j $1 l$-(u)wa-ba 'burn-ICAUS-INF' ('have someone burn')

These observations lead to the conclusion that a Rājbanshi verb can have a maximum of three stems. This is unlike Hindi (Masica 1976:44) or Maithili (Yadav 1991:186), where one can find combinations of up to four stems.
(238) a. छुट्बा $c^{h} u t$-ba 'stop-INF'
b. छुटाबा $c^{h} u t-a-b a$ 'stop-CAUS-INF'
c. छुटुवाबा $c^{h} u t-(u) w a-b a$ 'stop-ICAUS-INF'

### 3.7.2.2 Irregularities

There are, however, several irregularities in the causative stem system. Firstly, the derivational process sometimes results in internal vowel change (239).

[^34](239) मर्बा $>$ मार्बा

```
m^r-ba > mar-ba
die-INF > kill-INF (i.e.'cause_to_die')
```

Secondly, some verbs have irregular stems which are used for the simple and indirect causatives.
(240) a. खाबा $k^{h}$ a-ba 'eat-INF'
b. खिलाबा $k^{h}$ ila-ba 'feed-INF' (i.e. 'cause to eat')
c. खिलुवाबा $k^{h}$ il-(u)wa-ba 'feed-ICAUS-INF (i.e. 'cause to feed', or 'cause to eat via a second person'; possibly a loan stem)
(241) a. दिबा di-ba 'give-INF'
b. दुवाबा du-a-ba 'give-CAUS-INF'
c. दिलाबा dil-a-ba 'give ${ }_{[H I N D I . S T E M]}$-CAUS-INF' (cf. MR.05.284)

Thirdly, not all verbs use the three verbs stems presented in the basic outline. Quasiaspectual and other auxiliaries, for instance, have no causative stems. Likewise, certain verbs, such as those in (242a-d), do not take causative morphology. Causation is expressed in these cases by the use of corresponding transitive verbs (242a-c), or by periphrastic means (cf. section 7.5 .2 for further discussion). Note that verbs (242a-b) are similar in form to certain auxiliaries.
(242) a. हबा $h \Delta$-ba 'be-INF' (corresonding causative बेनाबा bena-ba 'make-INF')
b. जाबा ja-ba 'go-INF' (corresonding causative लिबा li-ba 'take-INF')
c. आस्बा as-ba 'come-INF' (corresonding causative आन्बा an-ba 'bring-INF')
d. कहबा kıhı-ba 'say-INF'

As outlined in section 3.4, many onomatopoeic-like verbs take the causative stem, but do not occur with non-causative or indirect causative stems (243a). One example was found, however, of the indirect causative stem in conjunction with an onomatopoeic verb (243b); this verb does not take a non-causative or a primary causative stem.
(243) a. कुत-कुताबा kut kut-a-ba '[kut kut]-CAUS-INF' (i.e. 'to tickle')
b. गेद-गेदुवाबा ged ged-(u)wa-ba '[ged ged $]$-ICAUS-INF' (i.e. 'to slurp (one's food)')

Fourthly, some verbs encountered have only two stems. These combinations vary according to which stems are accepted. Consider the example (244a) where both the noncausative and the indirect stems are found, but not the simple causative. Despite indirect causative marking in (244a), it is the simple causative which is indicated. Conversely, in (244b) there is no indirect causative stem.

## (244) a. बुन्बा > बुनुवाबा

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { bun-ba } & >\text { bun-(u)wa-ba } \\
\text { plant-INF } & >\text { plant-ICAUS-INF ('cause_to_plant') }
\end{array}
$$

b. भाग्बा $>$ भागाबा
$b^{\text {h }}$ ag-ba $>b^{\text {h }}$ ag-a-ba
flee-INF > flee-CAUS-INF ('cause_to_flee')

Moreover, some verbs were encountered which appear to have three stems, of which only one is used for the causative. Consider examples (245a-c). The three stems would seem to provide evidence for a three-way contrast between the non-causative (245a), the simple causative (245b) and the indirect causative (245c). Closer study, however, reveals that (245c) is semantically the simple causative counterpart of (245a), despite the indirect causative stem. Conversely, the verb with the simple causative stem in (245b) is only used in an idiomatic sense (245d). In cases such as these it is doubtful that one could posit such a three-way contrast.
(245) a. हास्बा has-ba 'laugh-INF' ('to laugh')
b. हासाबा has-a-ba 'laugh-CAUS-INF' (idiomatic use)
c. हासुवाबा has-(u)wa-ba 'laugh-ICAUS' ('to cause to laugh'; = simple causative)
d. दादोर नाउँड मुइ हासाए दिन्किन।
dado-r naũ mui has-a-e di-n-ki-n
grandfather-GEN name 1 sg[pro] laugh-CAUS-ABS AUX-PST-SA3-1sg
'I damaged my grandfather's reputation.'

Fifthly, there are verbs which have combinations of three stems, but which contrast semantically in only two ways. Consider, for example the verb खेल- $k^{h} e l-$ 'play-' in (246a). The simple causative counterpart of this is (246c), which uses indirect causative morphology. The verb stem खेला- $k^{h} e l a-$ 'play-' (246b) does in fact occur, but the meaning of this stem (which looks like a simple causative) is in fact semantically non-causative. The stems खेल- $k^{h} e l-$ and खेला- $k^{h}$ ela- are therefore interchangeable (246d). It would appear that these irregularities are not purely coincidental. At the time of writing I have still been unable to determine conclusively whether they are (perhaps partially) related to verb semantics, or are simply the result of morphophonemics. Refer to section 3.7.1.2 for discussion on stem irregularities.
(246) a. खेल्बा $k^{h} e l-b a ~ ' p l a y-I N F ' ~$
b. खेलाबा $k^{h}$ ela-ba 'play-INF'
c. खेलुवाबा $k^{h} e l-(u) w a-b a$ 'play-ICAUS-INF' ('cause to play'; semantically a simple causative)
d. तुइ उहाँर सडे खेल्कि ( $o r$, खेलाकि) त।
tui uhã-r sıye $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{el}(\mathrm{a})$-ki tı
$2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}] 3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ with play-SA3 PCL
'Play with him.'

Finally, the causative stem seems to be partially neutralised whenever the infinitive is used (refer to section 3.7.1 on verb stem types). Consider, for example, the verb बल- bal'speak; play an instrument'. In the latter meaning ('to play an instrument') both the noncausative and causative stems can be used when in the infinitive (that is, when preceding an auxiliary; (247a)). Otherwise only the causative stem ('cause to speak' > 'play') may be used (247b). The former meaning of the verb ('to speak') retains the semantics of the causative in all cases ( $247 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}$ ).
(247) a. बासिड बल्बा (or, बलाबा) धर्ले (cf. MR.05.324)
basi-d $\Lambda \quad$ b $\Lambda$ l-ba (or, b $\wedge l-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ba}) \quad \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda r-1-\mathrm{I}$
flute-NCLS speak-INF (or, speak-CAUS-INF) begin-PST-3
'He began to play the flute.'
b. बासिड बलाइस (*बलिस) (cf. MR.05.179)
basi-ḍ $\quad$ b $\Lambda l-a$-is (*b $\wedge l-i s)$
flute-NCLS speak-CAUS-SUBJ2sg (*speak-SUBJ2sg)
'Play the flute!'
c. उहाँ बल्बा धर्ले।
uhã bıl-ba $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda r-1-\mathrm{I}$
$3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ speak-INF begin-PST-3
'He began to speak.'
d. उहाँ बलाबा धर्ले।
uhã b $\quad$ l-a-ba $d^{h} \Lambda r-1-I$
3sg[pro] speak-CAUS-INF begin-PST-3
'He began to make it speak.' (i.e. 'He began to to play (an instrument).')

A concluding word on methodology is necessary in this context. It was quite straightforward to elicit what appeared to be two contrastive causative stems. It was also simple to generate sentences which appeared to provide evidence for that contrast. Closer scrutiny, however, revealed that many of the generated examples sounded unnatural to actual speakers of the language. Contrasts between the non-causative, the simple causative and the indirect causative were encountered, but only rarely. It was more common to find the irregularities which have been outlined in this section.

### 3.7.3 Conjunct verbs

In this section I will discuss two types of conjunct verb constructions which have nonverbal initial elements (248a-b). In addition I will argue the case for regarding constructions such as (248c) as conjunct verbs. In section 3.7.9.2.2 I will study three further constructions, two of which will be regarded as compound verbs. The compound verb is constructed as shown in (248d-e). ${ }^{41}$
(248) a. $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{V}$
b. $\quad \mathrm{ADJ}+\mathrm{V}$
c. $\mathrm{V}_{\text {[STEM] }}+\mathrm{V}$
d. V-CONJ.PTCL +V (derivational compound)
e. $\mathrm{V}_{[\text {MATRIX] }}$-ABS + AUX (quasi-aspectual compound)

Conjunct verbs with nouns (i.e. constructed as (248a)) are found with a range of verbalisers.
(249) a. थाहा पाबा $t^{h}$ aha pa-ba 'knowledge receive-INF' (i.e. 'to find out'; cf. MR.04.197)
b. आग्रा दिबा agra di-ba 'duty give-INF' (i.e. 'to guard'; cf. MR.05.145)
c. सोदोर खाबा sodor $k^{h} a$-ba 'guest eat-INF' (i.e. 'to pay a visit')
d. बाहा खाबा baha $k^{h} a$-ba 'wedding eat-INF' (i.e. to be a guest at a wedding')

Conjunct verbs with adjectives (i.e. constructed as (249b)) seem to be less frequent, but nevertheless were encountered.
(250) a. बाचा बेनाबा (cf. MR.05.226)
baca bena-ba
small make
'to make small'

[^35]b. साफा सुघर कर्बा (cf. MR.05.132)
$\operatorname{sap}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a} \operatorname{sug}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$ k $\wedge r-\mathrm{ba}$
clean clean do-INF
'to clean'
Syntactically-speaking, the noun of the conjunct verb should not be analysed as the object of the clause (cf. sections 3.6.2.5.1 and 3.6.4.1.1). Masica (1991:389) maintains:
"Another point of some importance, however, is that where a noun is involved, it is bereft of any attribute of definiteness, that is, neither specified nor unspecified nor generic: it cannot take (without change of function) either a specifying Dat-Acc marker or a Determiner (nor, in those languages which have them, a Definitizing or Indefinitizing Suffix). Even when treated syntactically (in some respects) as an Object, it represents the bare idea of the noun, verbalized by the lexically empty verb which accompanies it."

The same applies to Rājbanshi: the noun of the conjunct verb is never marked for case, specificity/definiteness or number (251a). In addition, it can not be modified by an adjective or adverb (the adverb does not modify the adjective of the conjunct verb but modifies the conjunct verb as a whole). Note, however, that the verbaliser can be fronted leaving the nominal element in clause-final position (251b; cf. section 7.3.3 for further discussion on displacement). ${ }^{42}$

```
(251) a. गालि दिबा
    gali di-ba
    rebuke give-INF
    'to tell off; to rebuke'
```

b. आरसाल कियाँ दिस्लो मोक गालि? (GR.01.018)
arsal kiã d-isl-o mo-k gali
last_year why give-REM.PST-2sg $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]$-DAT rebuke
'Last year why did you tell me off?'

[^36](xiv) Hindi (Mohanan 1994:205)
kiyaa raam-ne mohan-par $b^{\mathrm{h}}$ arosaa
do-PERF Ram-E Mohan-L reliance
'Ram relied on Mohan.'

Conjunct verbs of the structure (248c) include, for example, the following:

```
(252) a. मार खाबा (cf. MR.04.087)
mar k}\mp@subsup{k}{}{\textrm{h}}\textrm{a}-\textrm{ba
beating eat-INF
'to take a beating; to be beaten'
b. दउर मार्बा (cf. MR.05.336)
d \(\Lambda\) ur mar-ba
run kill-INF
'to run (fast); to scurry'
c. डाक दिबा (cf. MR.04.178)
ḍak di-ba
call give-INF
'to call'
```

In these constructions the first element in the sequence is a bare verb stem. The second element functions much like an auxiliary. There are, however, two reasons why I refrain from considering these to be auxiliaries (i.e. vector verbs) similar to those found in quasiaspectual compound verbs (cf. sections 3.7.9.2.1-2). Firstly, the verbs are highly idiomatic. Similar to derivational compound verbs, there is strict collocation between the nominalised verb and the verbaliser, and the construction on the whole is non-productive. Secondly, the construction can occur itself with a quasi-aspectual auxiliary as (253) shows. ${ }^{43}$
(253) ...दिबे उहाँ ढोल पिटिए। (cf. MR.05.257)
di-b-i uhã $d^{\text {h }}$ ol piṭ-ie
AUX-FUT-3 3sg[pro] drum_to_summon beat-ABS
'...he beat a drum (in order to summon people).'

### 3.7.4 Copula

Rājbanshi makes use of the copulas ह- $h_{1}$ - 'be; become' and छ- $c^{h}$ - 'be'. Verb inflection and the copulas correlate as follows:

[^37]Table 23. Correlation of copula verbs and inflection

|  | Stem ह- $h$ - |  | Stem छ- $c^{h}$ - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 'be' (stative) | 'become' (non-stative) |  |
| FUT | $\checkmark$ (DLR.06.076) |  |  |
| PRES | $\checkmark$ (GR.01.029) | $\checkmark$ (GR.02.057) |  |
| PST |  | $\checkmark$ (DLR.05.060) | $\checkmark$ (DLR.05.009) |
| PERF | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ (DLR.05.048) |  |
| REM.PST |  | $\checkmark$ (DLR.06.001) |  |
| PST.COND |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| SUBJ | $\checkmark$ (MR.05.257) |  |  |
| 'PST.HAB' (for the present tense) | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ Descriptive (DLR.06.003) <br> $\checkmark$ Locational (DLR.05.018) |
| IMP | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| -INF | $\checkmark$ | R.06.105) |  |
| -ABS |  | $\checkmark$ (DLR.06.028) |  |
| -CONJ.PTCL |  | R.04.057) |  |
| -CONT.PTCL |  | R.05.235) |  |
| -PST.PTCL |  | $\checkmark$ |  |

In the past tense and the past continuous/remote past the ह- $h \Delta$ - copula has an non-stative (inchoative) rendering. In case of overlap (that is, in the case of the present and the perfect), contextual clues are often important in order to distinguish the two meanings. However, due to the semantic relationship between the two verbs, differentiation is not always necessary. For example, the two verbs merge in the future tense ('will be' $\approx$ 'will become'). In addition, initial observations point to the verb ह- ha- having the meaning of 'become' whenever it occurs in conjunction with a quasi-aspectual auxiliary (254). ${ }^{44}$
(254) ...ते मुइ हिदर रानि हए जाम। (cf. DLR.06.050a)
...te mui hid $\Delta \mathrm{r}$ rani h $\lambda$-e ja-m
...PCL 1sg[pro] over_here queen be-ABS AUX-FUT1sg
'...then on the one hand (lit. over here) I will become a queen.'

The copula ह- ha- is used in the present tense for general truth statements (255a). In contrast, other cases of copula clauses use past habitual marking, such as -ए -e in (255b), to indicate the present tense. (In the text data (Appendix 3), past habitual inflection which is used for the present tense in such cases has been glossed as the present tense.) Refer to section 3.7.6.2 for further discussion on the use of the present tense with the copula verbs.

[^38](255) a. भातला माजा हचे।
$b^{\text {hat-la maja h }}$ h-c-e
rice-PL tasty be-PRES-3
'Rice is tasty.' (general truth statement)
b. इड लोक गचिमारिर हए। (cf. DLR.03.001)
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ lok g gecimari-r h $\Lambda$-e
DEM[prox]-NCLS man Gacimāri-GEN be-PRES3
'This man is from Gacimāri.' (contextual truth statement)

The copula छ- $c^{h}$ - is used in the present tense for descriptive (256a) and locational (256b) contexts. In the same way as the ह- $h \Delta$ - copula, it makes use of past habitual morphology. Note that the location in (256b) is not overtly stated.
(256) a. बहुत सुन्दर छिले राजकुमारट। (DLR.06.003)
bshut sundsr $c^{\text {hi-l-I }}$ rajkumar-ṭ $\Lambda$
very(Hindi) beautiful be-PST-3 prince-NCLS
'The prince was very handsome.'
b. कडिड त (खताडत) छेइए नि! (cf. DLR.05.034)
k $\wedge$ ḍi-ḍ $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{t} \Lambda \quad\left(\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda\right.$ ta-d $\left.\Lambda-\mathrm{t}\right) \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{ie} \quad \mathrm{ni}$
shell-NCLS PCL (nest-NCLS-LOC) be-PRES3 $=$ EMPH NEG
'The shell is just not (in the nest)!'

The copula छ- $c^{h}$ - is also used in possessive contexts such as (257a-b).
(257) a. घरेर लोकट छे केरे। (DLR.06.031)
$\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$-er lok-ṭ $\quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-e $\quad$ kere
house-GEN man-NCLS be-PRES3 PCL
'(I certainly) have a husband.'
b. केतेला धन सम्पति छिकि याहाँर? (DLR.05.021)
kete-la $\quad d^{h} \Lambda n \quad$ s $\quad$ mp $\Lambda t i \quad c^{h}-i-k i \quad y a h a-r$
how_much-PL wealth possessions be-PRES-SA3 3sg[pro/prox]-GEN
'How much wealth does he have?'

The past habitual sense is marked with the copula-like verb रह- rıhs- 'be; remain; live' which takes past habitual morphology (258a). Compare (258a) and (258b), both of which use past habitual morphology. In (258a) it is the past habitual which is implied, whereas in (258b) it is the present tense.
(258) a. दुइ भातार मगि रहे। (cf. DLR.05.002)
dui $b^{h}$ atar m^gi rıh-e...
two husband wife live-PST.HAB3...
'Two (of them) were/used to be married (i.e. husband and wife)...'
b. इ दुइझन भातार मगि हए।
i dui-j ${ }^{h} \Lambda n \quad b^{h}$ atar m $\wedge$ gi h $\Lambda-e \ldots$
DEM[prox] two-PCLS husband wife live-PRES3
'These two are husband and wife.'

The distinction between the stative ह- $h \Delta$ - copula and the छ- $c^{h}$ - copula is neutralised in the simple past. For example, though in (259a) the complement is adjectival and in (259b) it is nominal, both cases use the छ- $c^{h}$ - copula with past tense inflection. Past tense marking on the ह- $h \Delta$ - stem indicates the non-stative sense of the verb ( $259 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}$ ).
(259) a. मुइ बाच्चा छिनु।
mui bacca $c^{h}{ }^{\text {in-n-u }}$
1 sg [pro] small be-PST-1sg
'I was small.'
b. मुइ मास्टर छिनु।
mui masṭィr $c^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{u}$
$1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ teacher be-PST-1sg
'I was a teacher.'
c. कि होल, माइ? (DLR.06.081)
ki ho-l mai
what be-PST3 y.sister
'What happened (lit. 'became'), younger sister?'
d. ताहारबाद मनड सुना खुसि हल्कि। (cf. DLR.05.060)
taharbad mın-ḍ suna $k^{\mathrm{h}}$ usi h h -l-ki
after_that mind-NCLS PCL happy(Nepali) be-PST-SA3
'After that (his) mind became happy.'

Finally, the छ- $c^{h}$ - copula does not occur with other than present or past inflection; the ह$h \Delta$ - stem is used in all other finite and non-finite forms, such as the CONJ.PTCL in (260).
(260) एक महिना रहेने मट हए आसोक। (MR.04.119)
ek mshina rsh-ene m țt $\Lambda \mathrm{h} \Lambda$-e as-ok
one month live-CONJ.PTCL fat be-CONJ.PTCL come-SUBJ3
'May she stay there for one month, become fat (and then) come.'

Zero copula encoding is limited to the stative sense of the copula ह- ha- (261a), and the copula छ- $c^{h}$ - (261a) when these occur in the present tense. The copula can optionally be overtly marked in such cases. ${ }^{45}$
(261) a.

एकटार नाम सुक बासि (हए)। (cf. MR.05.178b)
ek-ṭa-r nam suk basi (hл-e)
one-NCLS-GEN name happiness flute (be-PRES3)
'One's name (is) 'happiness flute'.'
b. इड कलम उड कलम से मँट (छे)।

| i-ḍ̂ | kılım u-ḍ | kılım se | $\mathrm{m} \wedge$ ṭ $\mathrm{s}^{\left(c^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}\right)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEM | pen DEM | pen AB | thick (be- |
| 'This pen is thicker than that pen.' |  |  |  |

### 3.7.5 Agreement

In this section I will consider Rājbanshi primary and secondary agreement. By primary agreement I mean verbal agreement with the subject or agent of the clause. By secondary agreement I mean agreement between the verb and the patient of the clause, or cases where both the patient and the agent are cross-referenced by the verb. Secondary agreement is also found between the verb and a dative subject, recipient, and genitive modifier of a clause, and even a non-participant (that is, the hearer of the discourse).

The terms "primary agreement" and "secondary agreement" used here therefore differ from the terms "primary endings" and "secondary endings" which Masica (1991:260ff) uses to refer to distinctive sets of primary agreement. I have avoided the term "double agreement" since there are only some cases where both the agent and the patient are marked. Secondary agreement is abbreviated in the morpheme-by-morpheme gloss as "SA".

There seem to be two types of secondary agreement: syntactic and pragmatic. Secondary agreement, which is triggered by syntactic considerations, is (in most cases) predictable. Pragmatic inferences emerge when these default (syntactic) rules are broken. The subsequent sections will cover genitive agreement, dative subject agreement and secondary agreement in transitive clauses, and also pragmatic inferences of secondary agreement. I will also employ a semantic parameter called "affectedness" in an attempt to account for the variety of ways in which secondary agreement is used in Rājbanshi.

Secondary agreement occurs in the dialects spoken throughout Morang and Jhāpā. It is not found in that easternmost part of Jhāpā adjacent to the border with the Indian state of

[^39]West Bengal. The lack of secondary agreement on the very eastern fringe of Jhāpā is most likely due to the influence of the more eastern varieties of Rājbanshi and Bengali. The final sections will cover both the irregularities encountered in the dialects close to this border region, and the possible origin of secondary agreement in the language.

A preliminary verb paradigm for the Rangeli and Dādar Bairiā dialects can be found in Appendix 1. Due to insufficient research however I will not examine this data in any depth.

### 3.7.5.1 Primary agreement

The Rājbanshi varieties studied have three sets of primary agreement, each with their own slight variations. Number agreement in the future tense, past tense, past continuous/remote past and past conditional comprises the first set. Set 2 is found in the present, perfect and, with a few exceptions, in the subjunctive and past habitual. The imperative has its own set of number marking.

Table 24. Primary agreement markers
Set 1

|  | FUT | PST | PST.COND | REM.PST |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 sg | $-u,-\varnothing$ | $-u$ | $-u$ | $-u$ |
| 2 sg | $-O$ | $-O$ | $-O$ | $-O$ |
| 3 sg | $-I$ | $-I$ | $-I$ | $-I$ |
| 1 pl | $-\Lambda$ | $-\Lambda$ | $-\Lambda$ | $-\Lambda$ |
| 2 pl | $-\Lambda n$ | $-\Lambda n$ | $-\Lambda n$ | $-\Lambda n$ |
| 3 pl | $-I$ | $-I$ | $-I$ | $-I$ |

Set 2

|  | PRES | PERF | SUBJ | PST.HAB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1sg | -u | -u | -u | -u |
| 2sg | -is, -it | -is, -it | -is | -is |
| 3 sg | -e | -e | -e, -ok, -k | -e |
| 1 pl | -i | -i | -i | -i |
| 2 pl | -An | - $n$ | -sn, -n | -nn, -n |
| 3 pl | -e | -e | -e, -ok, -k | -e |

Set 3

| IMP |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 sg | $-\varnothing,-e k$, (also, CC-cluster breaking for CC-final stems) |
| 2 pl | $-\Lambda$ |

There is no overt morphological distinction between the 3 sg and 3 pl in any tense or aspect inflection. Due to a certain degree of fusion between the tense or aspect and number agreement markers, one is unable to draw morpheme breaks in all cases (the past tense -(इ)ल -(i)l 'PST3(far-eastern dialect)', and the subjunctive and past habitual markers).

Unlike neighbouring Maithili, there is no specific morphology for honorificity. As explicated in section 3.6.3.1, respect is expressed by marking a 2 sg (or 1 sg ) participant with plural inflection.

In the future tense, past tense, past continuous/remote past and past conditional, the tense marker has distinct forms for the 1 p and $2 / 3$ p participants. Therefore the $1 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}$ future tense marker is -म -m 'FUT', whereas the future marker for the $2 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}$ and $3 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}$ is $-प-p$ 'FUT' (or -त -t 'FUT', in south-western Jhāpā). ${ }^{46}$ The simple past and past conditional 1 p marker is -न -n 'PST', and the 2 p and 3 p marker is -ल -1 'PST'. Likewise, the past continuous/remote past marker is -इस्न -isn 'REM.PST' for the $1 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}$, and -इस्ल -isl 'REM.PST' for the $2 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}$ and $3 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}$. These are all charted accordingly in their respective sections on tense, aspect and mood.

### 3.7.5.2 Secondary agreement

The secondary agreement morphemes are marked in Table 25 (full inflection charts, including western dialects, can be found in Appendix 1).

Table 25. Secondary agreement markers
Genitive and dative subject agreement

|  |  | Genitive agreement | Dative subject agreement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & n \\ & \hat{\sim} \\ & \tilde{n} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 1sg | - $\varnothing$ (or, unmarked) | - $\varnothing$ (or, unmarked) |
|  | 1 pl | - $\varnothing$ (or, unmarked) | - $\varnothing$ (or, unmarked) |
|  | 2sg | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-कु -ku ‘-SA2sg' } \\ & \text {-कुन (or, -कुने) -kun(e) '-SA2sg' } \end{aligned}$ | -कु -ku '-SA2sg' |
|  | 2 pl | -कन -kın '-SA2pl' | -कन -kın '-SA2pl' |
|  | 3 | -कि -ki '-SA3' <br> -किने -kine '-SA3sg' | -कि -ki '-SA3' |

Secondary agreement in transitive clauses

|  |  | Patient (or recipient) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2sg | 2 pl | 3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{3} \\ & \stackrel{8}{8} \end{aligned}$ | 1sg | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-कुन -ku-n '-SA2sg-1 sg’ } \\ & \text {-उन -u-n '-SA2sg-1sg' } \\ & (-उ ड ् ज ु ~-u \eta-g u ~ '-1 s g-S A 2 ') ~ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-कन -kı-n '-SA2pl-1sg' } \\ & (-उ \overrightarrow{न ु ~-u \eta-g u ~ '-1 s g-S A 2 ') ~} \end{aligned}$ | -किन -ki-n '-SA3-1sg' <br> (-इड्जि-iŋ-gi ' 1 sg-SA3') |
|  | 1 pl | -कुन -ku-n '-SA2sg-1pl' | -कन -kı-n '-SA2pl-1pl' | -किन -ki-n '-SA3-1pl’ |

[^40]Table 25. (cont.)

| $\begin{aligned} & \dot{4} \\ & \stackrel{8}{80} \end{aligned}$ | 2 sg | - $\varnothing$ (REFL) | $n / a$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-कि -ki '-SA3' } \\ & \text {-एक -ek '-SA3' } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 pl | n/a | - $\varnothing$ (REFL) | -किर -ki-rs '-SA3-2pl' |
|  | 3 | -कु -ku '-SA2sg' -ओक -ok '-SA2sg' | -कन -kın '-SA2pl' | -कि -ki '-SA3' |

When the agent is $1 \mathrm{sg}, 1 \mathrm{pl}$ or 2 pl , the verb is marked for both the agent and the patient. In other cases only the patient is marked.

As seen in Table 25 the morpheme $-n$ has been analysed as ' $1 \mathrm{sg} / 1 \mathrm{pl}_{\text {[AGENT] }}$ ' in the following cases: -कुन -ku-n '-SA2sg-1sg/1pl', -कन -k $\Lambda-n ~ '-S A 2 p l-1 \mathrm{sg} / 1 \mathrm{pl}$ ' and -किन -ki-n '-SA3-1sg/1pl'. This is due to a comparison of these forms with the corresponding $3 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}_{[\mathrm{AGENT}]}$ forms: -कु - ku '-SA2sg' ( $3 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}$ acting on 2 sg ) and -कि -ki '-SA3' $(3 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}$ acting on $3 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}$ ).

This is quite straightforward, but the form -कन $-k \wedge n$ '-SA2pl' $(3 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}$ acting on 2 pl$)$ or '-SA2pl-1sg' ( $1 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}$ acting on 2 pl ) breaks the symmetry of the pattern. As seen above, the 2 pl marker for genitive and dative subject agreement is itself -कन -kın '-SA2pl', and therefore I have analysed -कन -kın in two ways:
(262) a. -कन -k^n '-SA2 $\mathrm{pl}^{\prime}$ ( $3 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}$ acting on 2 pl )
b. -कन -kı-n '-SA2pl-1sg/1pl' (1sg/pl acting on 2 pl )

$$
\left.=-k \wedge n ' 2 \mathrm{pl}_{[\text {PATIENT] }}\right]^{\prime}+-n^{\prime} 1 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}_{[A G E N T]}{ }^{\prime}>-k \Lambda-n{ }^{\prime}-\text { SA } 2 \mathrm{pl}-1 \mathrm{sg} / 1 \mathrm{pl}{ }^{\prime}
$$

Dialects in western (Korobāri, Lakhanpur) and central Jhāpā (Pācgāchi) use the suffix -र $-r \Lambda{ }^{\prime}-2 \mathrm{pl}_{\text {[AGENT] }}$ ' as a 2 pl agent marker. The suffix only occurs after the $3 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}$ patient marker -कि -ki 'SA3'. Note that the 2 pl marker is used in (263b) for a 2 sg agent. This is due to the fact that the 2 pl may be used as an honorific for a 2 sg participant. Compare this to the non-honorific counterpart in (263c).
(263) a. ए माइला, जाकिर त गे।
e mai-la, ja-ki-r $\Lambda$ t $\Lambda$ ge
INJ girl-PL go-SA3-2pl PCL VOC[fem]
'Eh girls, go then [for him/her; s/he's calling you].'
b. पामर, जाकिर त।
pamır, ja-ki-rı t $\Lambda$
Pāmar go-SA3-2pl PCL
'Pāmar ${ }_{[S G . H O N O R I F I C]}$, go then [for him/her; s/he's calling you].'
c. माइ, जाकि त गे।
mai, ja-ki ts ge
girl go-SA3 PCL VOC[fem]
'Girl, go then [for him/her; s/he's calling you].'

Since primary agreement is deleted in conjunction with secondary agreement marking, agent agreement can be ambiguous. It is indeed possible that the 2 pl marker has come into use in order to resolve potential ambiguity in secondary agreement forms, as in (264d) and (265c). ${ }^{47}$
(264) a. जा $j a-\varnothing$ 'go-IMP2sg'
b. जाअ $j a-\Lambda$ 'go-IMP2pl'
c. जाकि ja-ki 'go-SA3'
d. जाकिर ja-ki-rs 'go-SA3-2pl'
(265) a. ठुकेच्कि $t^{h} u k$-ec-ki 'hit-PRES-SA3' $\left(2 \operatorname{sg}_{\text {[AGENT] }}, 3 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}_{\text {[PATIENT] }}\right)$
b. ठुकेच्कि $t^{h} u k$-ec-ki 'hit-PRES-SA3' $\left(3 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}_{\text {[AGENT] }}, 3 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}_{\text {[PATIENT] }}\right)$
c. ठुकेच्किर $t^{h} u k$-ec-ki-rs 'hit-PRES-SA3-2pl' $\left(2 \mathrm{pl}_{\text {[AGENT] }}, 3 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}_{\text {[PATIENT] }}\right)$

There is no tense-aspect conditioning of the markers in the dialects studied in Jhāpā. Conversely however, the secondary agreement paradigm in southern Morang does seem to be at least partially affected by tense-aspect marking.

Dialects in southern Morang voice the velar plosive $-k$ when this follows a voiced consonantal element, and this results in forms such as -गु -gu 'SA2sg' and -गि -gi 'SA3' (266a). In some dialects in Jhāpā the $-k$ element is discarded when following a consonant (266b). The 2 sg genitive agreement marker -कु -ku has a variant form -कुन -kun (266d; or -कुने -kune), and the 3sg genitive agreement marker -कि -ki has a variant form -किने -kine (266e). The $-n$ element in (266d) seems not to be related to the 1 p subject (unlike the 1 pl agent marker in (266c)), though this would need to be verified by further research. The -ne element in (266e) is not to be considered a tag particle, or a disjunctive conjunction.
(266) a. उहाँक तुइ ठकिए केमे आसिल्गि? (BLR, Dādar Bairiā)

| wã-k tui | $t^{\text {h }} \wedge \mathrm{k}$-ie | keme as-il-gi |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 3sg[pro]-DAT | $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ | hit-CONJ.PTCL why | come-PST-SA3 |
| 'Why did you hit him (and then) come here?' |  |  |  |

[^41]b. काल़ि दिमुन। (=दिम्कुन) (Korobāri)
$\mathrm{kal}^{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}} \quad$ di-m-(k)u-n
tomorrow give-FUT-SA2g-1sg
'I will give (it to you) tomorrow.'
c. आप एइठिना खाम्कुन। (MR.04.180; Lakharigaddi)
ap ei-t ${ }^{\text {hina }} \quad k^{\text {ha }}$ a-m-ku-n

PCL DEM[prox/emph]-place eat-FUT-SA2sg-1pl
'Now we will eat you right here.'
d. तोर मसि मुइ हकुन। (MR.05.279; Lakharigaddi)
to-r masi mui ha-kun
2sg[obl]-GEN sister_of_mother $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ be-SA2sg
'I am your aunt.'
e. उहाँर दुक बासिडउ लेइ गेल्किने कुवाड, आर सुक बासिडउ लेइ गेल्किने। (MR.05.216; Lakharigaddi)
uhã-r duk basi-ḍ $\Lambda=u$ le-i ge-l-kine kua-ḍ $\Lambda$
$3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ trouble flute-NCLS $=\mathrm{CNJ}$ take-CONJ.PTCL go-PST-SA3 crow-NCLS
ar suk basi-ḍ $\Lambda=u$ le-i ge-l-kine
and happiness flute-NCLS $=$ CNJ take-CONJ.PTCL go-PST-SA3
'The crow had taken both his trouble flute and his happiness flute too.'

One final note is necessary as regards the Rangeli paradigm. Toulmin (2006:239) maintains that the "optional pleonastic suffix /-[y]gu/ to the first person singular endings is peculiar to the RL lect", and that the Rangeli marker /-ng-/ could perhaps be related to the Hindi future tense marker.

However, based on a preliminary study of the Rangeli agreement system for this work, the -उङु -uy-gu suffix was not found to cross-reference only the agent, but the agent and the patient (in that order; cf. Table 25 above, and the Dādar Bairiā/Rangeli paradigm in Appendix 1). Therefore, the agreement markers -उङुु -uy-gu and -इड्गि -iy-gi indicate both agent and patient '-1sg-SA2' and '-1sg-SA3' respectively. The suffix is subject to similar rules, which will be explained in the following sections, and can therefore not be held to be optional as such (that is, any deletion of the suffix results in further pragmatic implications).

```
(267) मुइ तोक ठकिसुङु।
    mui to-k t!
    1sg[pro] 2sg[obl]-DAT hit-PERF-1sg-SA2
    'I have hit you.'
```

The marker is likely to be central in reconstructing the historical relations of the farwestern dialects. What is especially interesting when comparing the dialects in Morang
with those in Jhāpā, is that in the Rangeli paradigm the agent is marked prior to the patient, whereas in Jhāpā we find the reverse. This could be due to Rangeli falling (slightly) closer to the Maithili area (Maithili also has an agent-patient order). The isogloss for secondary agreement will be discussed further in section 3.7.5.9.

### 3.7.5.3 Genitive agreement

Genitive agreement indicates agreement in person and number between the verb and a possessor of a clause. Genitive agreement is found with 2 p or 3 p possessors.

We will first discuss genitive agreement between the verb and a genitive modifier of the subject/agent. Intransitive clauses with no genitive reference whatsoever are unmarked (268a), thus resembling 1sg/pl marking (268b-c). Whether the $1 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}$ uses zero marking, or whether it is merely unmarked, is uncertain.
(268) a. गारिड आसेचे।
gari-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ as-ec-e
cart-NCLS come-PRES-3
'The cart is coming.'
b. आर मोर एकटा बेटा छे।
ar mo-r ek-ṭa beṭa $c^{h}-e$
and 1sg[obl]-GEN one-NCLS son be-PRES3
'And I have a son.'
c. ...सुके छे हामार बेटाड। (cf. MR.05.184)
$\ldots$...suk $=$ e chena-r beṭ-d $\Lambda$
$\ldots$...happiness $=$ EMPH be-PRES3 1 pl[pro]-GEN son-NCLS
'...He is happy, our son.'

In (269a) the verb agrees with the subject of the clause, whereas in (269b-c) the verb agrees with the possessor of the subject (that is, the -कि -ki 'SA3' in (269b) agrees with the possessor उहाँर uhã-r '3sg[pro]-GEN' (i.e. 'her')). Likewise, in (269c) the secondary agreement marker -कु -ku 'SA2sg' agrees with the possessor तोर tor '2sg[obl]-GEN' (i.e. 'your').
(269) a. एकटा गाअत एकटा बुऱि छिले। (cf. MR.05.001)
ek-ṭa gã̃-t ek-ṭa bur ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}-1-\mathrm{I}$
one-NCLS village-LOC one-NCLS old_woman be-PST-3
'In a village there was an old woman.'
b. उहाँर बेटाड राजार घरत काम करेकि। (MR.05.002)
uhã-r beṭa-ḍ $\Lambda$ raja-r $g^{\text {h }} \Lambda \mathbf{r}-\Lambda \mathrm{t}$ kam k $\wedge$ r-eki 3sg[pro]-GEN son-NCLS king-GEN house-LOC work do-(PST.HAB)SA3
'Her son used to work in the king's house.'
c. तोर बेटाड बिदेस गेल्कु।
to-r beṭa-ḍ $\Lambda$ bides ge-1-ku
2sg[obl]-GEN son-NCLS abroad go-PST-SA2sg
'Your son went abroad.'

Genitive agreement is also (often) triggered by a genitive modifier in an oblique phrase.
(270) आमड उहाँर हातत परिण्कि। (cf. MR.05.214)
am-ḍ $u$ uhã-r hat- $\Delta$ p $\quad$ r-ip-ki
mango-NCLS 3sg[pro]-GEN hand-LOC fall-FUT-SA3
'The mango fell into his hand.'

Due to the hierarchy principle $1 \mathrm{p}>2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{p}_{[+ \text {HUMAN }]}>3 \mathrm{p}_{[-\mathrm{HUMAN}]}$, which is discussed further in section 3.7.5.7, genitive agreement of a higher ranking participant can block genitive agreement of a lower ranking participant. Therefore, in (271a) the verb agrees with the genitive modifier of the oblique phrase. The lower-ranking 3 sg subject does not block this marking. Conversely, 3 sg genitive agreement in (271b) is blocked by the higher-ranking 2 sg subject. Having said this, oblique phrases do not always trigger genitive agreement, and therefore the verb in (271a) can optionally agree with the 3 sg subject.
(271) a. उहाँ तोर घरत काम कर्कु (or, करे)।
uhã to-r $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\Lambda \mathrm{t}$ kam k $\wedge r-\mathrm{ku} \quad$ (or,_k $\wedge \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{e}$ )
$3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}] 2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ house-LOC work do-SA2sg (or,_do-SUBJ3)
'He used to work in your house.'
b. तुइ उहाँर घरत काम करिस।
tui uhã-r $g^{\text {h }} \wedge r-\wedge t \quad$ kam k $\wedge r-i s$
2 sg [pro] $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ house-LOC work do-SUBJ2sg
'You used to work in his house.'

In copula clauses, the verb agrees with the genitive which is found on either the copula subject (272a) or the copula complement (272b), providing the predicate is overtly present.
(272) a. तोर हातखान काल छुकु।
to-r hat-k ${ }^{\text {han }}$ kal $\Lambda c^{\text {h }}$-u-ku
$2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-G E N$ hand-NCLS black be-PRES-SA2sg
'Your hand is black.'
b. इखान तोर (हकु)।
i-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an to-r (h $\wedge$-ku)
DEM[prox]-NCLS 2sg[obl]-GEN (be-SA2sg)
'This is yours.'

Especially relevant to further discussion is the observation that there is also genitive agreement with an implicit possessor. Therefore, in (273a) the implicit possessor is the shaman who was referred to previously in the discourse, and in (273b-c) the implied (or, omitted) possessor is तोर to-r ' $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ ' ('your'). ${ }^{48}$
(273) a. आर घरटर भितरत कि कि सामान छिकि या नि छिकि...। (cf. DLR.02.005)
ar $g^{h} \Lambda r-t . \Lambda-r \quad b^{h}$ itrıt ki $k i \quad$ saman $c^{h}-i-k i \quad$ ya ni
and house-NCLS-GEN inside what what thing be-PRES-SA3 or NEG
$c^{\text {h}}$-i-ki...
be-PRES-SA3...
'And which (of his things) are in the house, and which are not...'
b. (तोर) एकटा घडा छ्छुु। (cf. GR.02.041)
(to-r) ek-ṭa $\quad g^{h} \Lambda d ̣ a c^{h}-u-k u$
(2sg[obl]-GEN) one-NCLS horse be-PRES-SA2sg
'(You) have a horse.'
c. कलड रेमेसट चलाच्कु।
kıl-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ remes-ṭ $\Lambda \quad c \wedge l-a-c-k u$
pump-NCLS Remes-NCLS move-CAUS-PRES-SA2sg
'Remes is using the pump.' (That is, 'your pump', and/or 'on your behalf')

Likewise, kinship terms are inalienable by default, and they imply that the relationship of the speaker or hearer to the person referred to is already known (cf. section 3.6.4.1.3). Therefore (274a) logically implies that the subject बाउ bau 'father' is related to the speaker. The overt pronoun (274b) would in most cases (except when used in contrastive focus) sound odd or even incorrect.
(274) a. बाउड आसेचे।
bau-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ as-ec-e
father-NCLS come-PRES-3
'(My/our) father is coming.' (* 'The father is coming.')

[^42]b. ?/* मोर बाउड आसेचे।
?/* mo-r bau-ḍ $\Lambda$ as-ec-e
?/* 1sg[obl]-GEN father-NCLS come-PRES-3
?/* 'My father is coming.'

Genitive agreement is blocked by recipient agreement. Therefore, the verb in (275a) agrees with the recipient not the possessor, as is shown by comparison with (275b-c).
(275) a. तोर दादाड तोक एकटा खिस्सा कहल्कु।
to-r dada-ḍ $\Lambda$ to-k ek-ṭa k ${ }^{\text {hissa }}$ k $\wedge h \Lambda-1-k u$
2sg[obl]-GEN e.brother-NCLS 2sg[obl]-DAT one-NCLS story tell-PST-SA2sg
'Your elder brother told you a story.'
b. तोर दादाड उहाँक एकटा खिस्सा कहल्कि।
to-r dada-ḍ $\Lambda$ uhã-k ek-ṭa k ${ }^{\text {hissa }}$ k $\lambda$ h $\Lambda$-l-ki
2sg[obl]-GEN e.brother-NCLS 3sg[pro]-DAT one-NCLS story tell-PST-SA3
'Your elder brother told him/her a story.'
c. *तोर दादाड उहाँक एकटा खिस्सा कहल्कु।
*to-r dada-ḍ $\Lambda$ uhã-k ek-ṭa $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ issa k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda$-l-ku
*2sg[obl]-GEN e.brother-NCLS 3sg[pro]-DAT one-NCLS story tell-PST-SA2sg
*'Your elder brother told him/her a story.'

### 3.7.5.4 Dative subject agreement

The verb agrees with the $2 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}$ and $3 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}$ dative subject. Again, it is difficult to say whether the $1 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}$ has zero marking or whether it is simply unmarked. Dative subject agreement is found with all tense, aspect and moods apart from, logically, the imperative. Dative subject agreement is obligatory and is not affected by extra pragmatic inferences.
(276) a. 1 sg
(मोक) आच्छाए लागिल। (cf. DLR.03.009)
(mo-k) $\quad \operatorname{acc}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{e} \quad$ lag-il
(1sg[obl]-DAT) good=EMPH feel-PST3
'I enjoyed it.'
b. 1 pl
...बदला त लिबा लागे अम़ार सङे हामाक। (cf. MR.04.048)
...b $\Lambda$ dla ts li-ba lag-e $\quad \Lambda \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{r} \quad$ s $\quad$ je hama-k
...revenge PCL take-INF must-PRES3 3pl[pro]-GEN with $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]-D A T$
'...we have to take revenge on them.'
c. 2 sg
...तोक ठुक्बार लाखाति लागिस्लुकु? (cf. DLR.04.036)
...to-k t thenke-r lak hati lag-islu-ku
...2sg[obl]-DAT hit-INF-GEN like feel-REM.PST-SA2sg
'...did you feel as if I was (really) hitting you?'
d. 2 pl
...तमाक कि छेच्कारि जाबार लाखाति लागिस्लकन? (cf. DLR.04.040)
$\ldots \mathrm{t} \wedge \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{k} \quad$ ki $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eckari ja-ba-r lak $^{\mathrm{h}}$ ati lag-isl $\Lambda-\mathrm{k} \wedge n$
...2pl[pro]-DAT PQM really go-INF-GEN like feel-REM.PST-SA2pl
'...did you ${ }_{[S G . H O N O R I F I C]}$ feel as if I was really leaving?'
e. 3 sg

लोकटक त बहुत अप्सोस लागि गिच्कि... (cf. DLR.05.047)
lok-ṭ $\wedge$-k t $\Lambda$ bлhut $\quad$ psos lag-i g-ic-ki...
man-NCLS-DAT PCL greatly(Hindi) grief feel-ABS AUX-PERF-SA3...
'The man is really grieving.'
f. 3 pl

लाजे सरमे बुराडकक घरत बठाए खिलान पिलान कर्बाए हल्कि। (DLR.04.042)
 shame-INSTR shame-INSTR old_man-NCLS-DAT house-LOC sit-CAUS-CONJ.PTCL
$k^{\text {hilan }}$ pilan $k \wedge r-b a=e \quad h \Delta-1-k i$
feeding drinking do-INF $=$ EMPH must-PST-SA3
'With great shame they had to sit the old man down in the house and really feed him and give him drink!'

In quasi-aspectual auxiliary constructions dative subject agreement is marked on the auxiliary.
(277) एख्लाए अन्सट लागि जाच्कि हपाए घरत। (cf. DLR.04.009)
$e^{\text {h }}{ }^{\text {lae }} \Lambda n s \wedge t ̣ \Lambda$ lag-i ja-c-ki h $\quad$ pae $g^{h} \Lambda r-\Lambda t$
alone bored feel-ABS AUX-PRES-SA3 perhaps house-LOC
'Perhaps (he) is bored at home all on his own.'

### 3.7.5.5 Agreement in intransitive, transitive and ditransitive clauses

As mentioned previously, secondary agreement does not occur by default in intransitive clauses where there is no 2 p or $3 p$ genitive modifier or dative subject construction. Therefore, the verb in (278) only takes primary agreement.
(278) माहाजनड बिदेस गेले।
maha-j $\Lambda n-\underset{d}{ } \Lambda$ bides ge-l-I
great-person-NCLS abroad go-PST-3
'The debt collector went abroad.'

Conversely, secondary agreement markers are used in transitive and ditransitive clauses. Simplifying the complete verb charts in Appendix 1, we find the secondary agreement markers in transitive and ditransitive clauses to be the following:

Table 26. Secondary agreement markers in transitive and ditransitive clauses

|  |  | Patient (or recipient) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1sg | 1 pl | 2sg | 2 pl | 3 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline 0 \\ 0.0 \\ <0 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | 1sg | $\varnothing$ (refl) | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | -कुन -ku-n '-SA2sg-1sg' | -कन -ks-n '-SA2pl-1sg' | -किन -ki-n '-SA3-1sg' |
|  | 1 pl | n/a | $\varnothing$ (refl) | -कुन -ku-n '-SA2sg-1pl' | -कन -kı-n '-SA2pl-1pl' | -किन -ki-n '-SA3-1pl' |
|  | 2sg | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ (refl) | n/a | -कि -ki '-SA3' |
|  | 2 pl | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | n/a | $\varnothing$ (refl) | -किर -ki-rs '-SA3-2pl' |
|  | 3 | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | -कु -ku '-SA2sg' | -कन -kın '-SA2pl' | -कि -ki '-SA3' |

In default clauses, the verb agrees with the patient in transitive clauses if the agent is a $2 p$ or 3 p. This therefore implies that if a 2 sg agent acts on a 3 sg patient, agent agreement is suppressed (279a-b).
(279) a. तुइ उहाँक ठुकिल्कि। (Korobāri, Lakharigaddi, Ghailāḍubbā)
tui uhã-k $t^{\text {h }}$ uk-il-ki
$2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}] 3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{DAT}$ hit-PST-SA3
'You hit him.'
b. उहाँक तुइ ठकिए केमे आसिल्गि? (informant BLR, Dādar Bairiā / Morang)
wã-k tui t the ${ }^{\text {h }} \wedge$-ie keme as-il-gi $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{DAT} 2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ hit-CONJ.PTCL why come-PST-SA3
'Why did you hit him (and then) come here?'
If, however, the agent is a $1 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}$, both the patient and the agent are marked on the verb (280).
(280) मुइ उहाँक ठुकिन्किन।
mui uhã-k $t^{\text {h }} u k-i n-k i-n$
$1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}] 3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{DAT}$ hit-PST-SA3-1sg
'I hit him.'
In default cases, the $3 p$ patient is cross-referenced on the verb only if it is [+HUMAN]. Therefore, whereas in (281a) the verb agrees with the patient, in (281b-c) the verb agrees with the agent.
(281) a. रामड उहाँक ठुकिल्कि।

| ram-ḍ $\Lambda$ | uhã-k | $t^{\text {th }} u k-i l-k i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rām-NCLS | 3sg[pro]-DAT | hit-PST-SA3 |
| 'Rām hit him.' |  |  |

b. रामड कुत्ताडक ठुक्ले।
ram-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ kutta-ḍ $\Lambda-k \quad t^{\text {h }} u k-1-\mathrm{I}$
Rām-NCLS dog-NCLS-DAT hit-PST-3
'Rām hit the dog.'
c. रामड बोलड ठुक्ले।
ram-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ bol-ḍ $\Lambda \quad t^{\text {h }} \mathrm{uk}-1-\mathrm{I}$
Rām-NCLS ball-NCLS hit-PST-3
'Rām hit the ball.'

As mentioned earlier, following a $3 p$ patient, the 2 pl agent is marked with the suffix $-र-r \Lambda$ '-2pl'.

```
(282) तमाा उहाँक ठुकिल्किर।
    t^m}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{h}}\textrm{a
    2pl[pro] 3sg[pro]-DAT hit-PST-SA3-2pl
    'You(pl) hit him.'
```

Due to suppressed agent marking, agent reference is ambiguous if no overt agent is present in the clause. In such cases the hearer relies on contextual clues to decode any potential ambiguities in the meaning of the clause.

```
(283) उहाँक ठुकिल्कि।
    uhã-k t! 't
    3sg[pro]-DAT hit-PST-SA3
    'You/he/she/they hit him/her.'
```

In ditransitive clauses the verb agrees with the recipient (284a). Even in cases where the patient is [ + HUMAN] the verb agrees with the recipient, not the patient (284b). If the logical recipient is in an oblique phrase, the verb agrees with the patient, or the possessor thereof (284c).
(284) a. तोर दादाड तोक एकटा खिस्सा कहल्कु।
to-r dada-d $\Lambda$ to-k ek-ta $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ issa k kh $\Lambda-1-\mathrm{ku}$
2sg[obl]-GEN e.brother-NCLS 2sg[obl]-DAT one-NCLS story tell-PST-SA2sg
'Your elder brother told you [RECIPIENT] a story ${ }_{[\text {PATIENT] }}$.
b. उहाँए तोक बेटिड दिल्कु। तुइ उहाँक किखान दिल्कि?
uhãe to-k beṭi-ḍ $\Lambda$ di-l-ku
3sg[pro] 2sg[obl]-DAT daughter-NCLS give-PST-SA2sg
tui uhã-k ki-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an di-l-ki?
2sg[pro] 3sg[pro]-DAT what-NCLS give-PST-SA3
'He gave you ${ }_{[R E C I P I E N T]}$ his daughter ${ }_{\text {[PATIENT] }}$. What ${ }_{\text {[PATIENT] }}$ did you give $\operatorname{him}_{[\text {RECIPIENT }]}$ ? (Implying, 'You gave nothing to him in return.')
c. उहाँए तोर बेटिडक मग्लानियार हातत दिल्कु।
uhãe to-r beṭi-ḍ $\Lambda-k$ mıglania-r hat- $\wedge$ t di-l-ku
3sg[pro] 2sg[obl]-GEN daughter-NCLS-DAT Indian-GEN hand-LOC give-PST-SA2sg
'He gave his daughter ${ }_{[P A T I E N T]}$ into the hands of the $\operatorname{Indian}_{\text {[RECIPIENT]. }}$.

Having outlined the default cases, two questions are generated from Table 26 above. Firstly why there is no secondary marking on the $1 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}$ patient, and secondly why both the agent and the patient are only cross-referenced when the agent is a $1 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}$, or (sometimes) a 2 pl .

I am not able to provide answers to either of these questions at this stage. Additionally, there appears to be a considerable range of dialectal variation (cf. secondary agreement paradigms in Appendix 1). If we devise a system to cover the Jhāpā paradigm, more rules would have to be devised for the Rangeli and Dādar Bairiā dialects which, in parts, look quite different. On the other hand, cross-dialectal and cross-language similarities would seem to be of more importance. For example the lack of marking on the 1 p patient, dative subject and possessor, in Rājbanshi, also occurs in Darai and Maithili. A comparative study of the secondary agreement systems in the Indo-Aryan languages of this region would be immensely useful and interesting, but is unfortunately outside the scope of this work.

### 3.7.5.6 Pragmatic inferences

In addition to the default cases above, there are several pragmatic inferences linked to secondary agreement. Firstly, and closely related to earlier discussion on the implicit possessor, is the inference of benefaction.

Example (285a) is neutral since there is agreement between the verb and the overt possessor. Conversely, in examples (285b) and (285c) the verb agrees with the participant affected by the state of affairs (and not with the overt possessor). As suggested above, the question is one of benefaction.
(285) a. अमार गारिड आसेच्कि।
$\Lambda \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{r} \quad$ gari-d $\Lambda \quad$ as-ec-ki
3pl[pro]-GEN cart-NCLS come-PRES-SA3
'Their cart is coming.' (neutral)
b. अम़ार गारिड आसेच्कु।
$\Lambda \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{r} \quad \operatorname{gari-ḍ} \Lambda \quad$ as-ec-ku
3pl[pro]-GEN cart-NCLS come-PRES-SA2sg
'Their cart is coming (for your(2sg) benefit).'
c. अमार गारिड आसेचे।
$\Lambda m^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{r} \quad$ gari-d $\Lambda \quad$ as-ec-e
3pl[pro]-GEN cart-NCLS come-PRES-3
'Their cart is coming (for my/our benefit).'

The distinction between benefaction and malefaction is context-sensitive. In comparison with the participants benefiting from the state of affairs given in examples (285b-c), the participants in (286a-b) are negatively affected (that is, the coming of the debt collector implies that a loan must be repaid). Note that example (286b) is either neutral (i.e. no implicit possessor or affected participant is implied), or marked (with a zero morpheme; or unmarked) for 1 sg or 1 pl malefaction. The hearer is able to distinguish between these according to the discourse context or real-world presuppositions. Therefore in most contexts example (286c) is neutral, since there is less likely to be an implicit 1 sg or 1 pl possessor.
(286) a. माहाजनड आसेच्कु।
maha-jın-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ as-ec-ku
great-person-NCLS come-PRES-SA2sg
'The debt collector is coming ('and you are negatively affected').'
b. माहाजनड आसेचे।
maha-j $\wedge n$-ḍ $\Lambda$ as-ec-e
great-person-NCLS come-PRES-3
'The debt collector is coming (neutral, or 'and I am negatively affected').'
c. एकटा बाघ छिले।
ek-ṭa $\quad$ bag $^{h}{ }^{\text {chill-I }}$
one-NCLS tiger be-PST-3
'There was a tiger.' (neutral)

Likewise, consider the following real-life discourse.
(287) a. Grandfather

> जा त तुरिखानत गाएँ-गरु प'इचे ने कि।
ja- $\varnothing$ ts turi-k ${ }^{\text {han- } \Lambda t \quad \text { gae g } \wedge r u \quad \text { p } \Lambda(r) \text {-ic-e ne ki }}$ go-IMP2sg PCL mustard_field-NCLS-LOC cow bullock fall-PERF-3 PCL[TAG] PQM 'Go to the mustard field and check whether cattle have gone there.' (i.e. 'I do not want cattle to harm the crops.')

देखिए आस् ने।
$\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}$-ie as- $\varnothing$ ne
see-CONJ.PTCL come-IMP2sg PCL
'Having seen, please come (back).'
b. Grandson (after returning)

देखिए आसिन्कुन, कुबु नि परिचोक।
dek ${ }^{\text {h}}$-ie $\quad$ as-in-kun
see-CONJ.PTCL come-PST-SA2sg
$k u c^{\text {h }} \mathbf{u}$ ni par-ic-ok
nothing NEG fall-PERF-SA2sg
'I went there, but nothing was there.'

The English gloss seems to suggest that the grandson did what was requested, and that he responded to the grandfather in an appropriate fashion. Why then was the grandson clipped around the ears for his lack of good manners? Interestingly the secondary agreement on both verbs in (287b) refers to the implicit possessor who is the grandfather. This implies that since the mustard fields belong to the grandfather, the grandson is free of obligation. To restate, the boy had said: 'I went there (on your behalf, to your field), but nothing was there (and that's your business).' What should have been said was:
(288) देखिए आस्नु, कुछु नि परिचे।
$\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}$-ie as-n-u, $\quad \mathrm{kuc}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}$ ni par-ic-e
see-CONJ.PTCL come-PST-1sg, nothing NEG fall-PERF-3
'I went there, but nothing was there.'

Here the 2 sg (implicit) possessor marking is missing, implying that the grandson maintains he himself is a co-possessor, and therefore he himself is affected by the state of affairs. To reiterate, 'I went there (to our/my) field, but nothing was there (and I am affected).' It is therefore 1 sg possessor agreement (unmarked, or marked with a $\varnothing$-morpheme) which blocks 2sg possessor marking.

Possession and social relationship (or, "social proximity") appear to be overlapping semantic parameters. Example (289a) is neutral, in that the verb (by default) agrees with
the patient of the clause. By not using the default secondary agreement in (289b), the speaker is either implying that $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ is (socially) related to the child, or that $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ is "taking (implicit) possession" of the child (and therefore perhaps showing empathy towards him/her). Either way, malefaction (that is, the negative effect of the action) is inferred in (289b). Likewise, the 2 sg secondary agreement in example (289c) refers to possession/social proximity.
(289) a. तुइ उहाँर छुवाडक कियाँ ठुकेल्कि?
tui uhã-r $c^{\text {h }} u a-\underset{d}{ }-\mathrm{k}$ kiã $t^{\text {h }} u k-e l-k i$
$2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}] 3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ child-NCLS-DAT why hit-PST-SA3
'Why did you hit his child?' (neutral)
b. तुइ उहाँर छुवाडक कियाँ ठुक्लो?
tui uhã-r $c^{\text {h } u a-d ~} \Lambda-k \quad$ kiã $t^{\text {h }} u k-1-o$
2sg[pro] 3sg[pro]-GEN child-NCLS-DAT why hit-PST-2sg
'Why did you hit his child?'
c. बुऱाड मरि गिच्कु। (cf. MR.04.096)
bur $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\underset{\text { d }}{ } \quad \mathrm{m} \Lambda r-\mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{g}$-ic-ku
old_man-NCLS die-ABS AUX-PERF-SA2sg
'(Your) old man has died.'

Secondary agreement on the verb in (290a) indicates (by default) the (omitted) 3sg patient. In (290b), however, the secondary agreement marking, which (by default) should be present, is actually missing. In the same way as in example (289b), the lack of patient cross-reference indicates that the narrator has taken (implicit) possession over the kid, and is therefore expressing empathy with it.
(290) a. एकटा बेटिया देखि फेकाल्कि। (cf. MR.04.132)
ek-ṭa $\quad k^{\text {hetẹia }}$ dek $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eka-1-ki
one-NCLS jackal see-ABS AUX-PST-SA3
'One jackal saw (her).'
b. याहाँ देखि फेकाले। (cf. GR.01.007)
te yaha dek ${ }^{\text {h}}$ - $\mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eka-1-I
PCL DEM[pro/prox] see-ABS AUX-PST-3
'And it saw (it anyway).' (Implying, 'The kid is mine and I (therefore) feel for it).'

At some stage during the preliminary research the question did arise as to whether secondary agreement marking (or non-marking) indicated evidentiality. Following further research this was found not to be the case, although one might still argue that if someone
was in a social or possessor relationship with something or someone, s/he might be more certain of the state of affairs.

Finally, secondary agreement can be used to refer to the (non-participant) hearer of a discourse (referred to in Bickel \& Yadava (2000:345) as the "conversationally implicated discourse referent"). Consider the following example from Narratives 1 and 2 in section 8.1 .5 (cf. also to the introduction to the narrative JR.01). The use of the secondary agreement for the 2 sg at the very beginning of the narrative implies that the narrative is for the hearer (i.e. the recipient of the discourse).
(291) केवा दिन डाकोला खोब उजाए गेइसेल्कु।
kewa din dako-la $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ob uja-e ge-isel-ku
some day robber-PL very appear-ABS AUX-REM.PST-SA2sg
'For a while robbers were appearing.' (Implying, 'I am telling this story to you(2sg).')

### 3.7.5.7 Affectedness

In the previous sections I have discussed the syntactic uses of secondary agreement in dative subject constructions, transitive and ditransitive clauses, and in conjunction with the genitive. These cases are all marked for secondary agreement by default. Breaking of the default rules enables reference to other participants (or non-participants), which are not overtly marked in the syntax. An exception to this rule is the dative subject construction where secondary agreement marking is obligatory.

Agreement with possessor and/or benefactor arguments seems to be determined by the following hierarchy principle: $1 \mathrm{p}>2 \mathrm{p}>3 \mathrm{p}_{[+ \text {HUMAN }]}>3 \mathrm{p}_{[\text {-HUMAN }]}$. Agreement of a participant occurs on the verb (whether in default, or pragmatically marked cases) if the participant is ranked above a contesting participant. Therefore, the (implied) 2sg benefactor in (292) blocks the occurrence of the (default) 3 pl genitive agreement, due to the 2 sg participant having a higher ranking.

## (292) अम़ार गारिड आसेच्कु।

$\Lambda m^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{r} \quad \operatorname{gari-ḍ} \Lambda \quad$ as-ec-ku
3pl[pro]-GEN cart-NCLS come-PRES-SA2sg
'Their cart is coming (for your(2sg) benefit).'

Conversely, in (293a) the verb can not be marked with the (implied) 3p benefactor because this is ranked below the 2 sg participant. The (default) 2 sg genitive therefore blocks 3 p benefactor agreement. In such cases the 3 p benefactor may be marked by periphrastic means (293b); note that here the 3pl patient blocks 2 sg possessor agreement.
(293) a. */? तोर गारिड आसेच्कि।
*/? to-r gari-ḍ $\Lambda$ as-ec-ki
*/? 2sg[obl]-GEN cart-NCLS come-PRES-SA3
*/? 'Your cart is coming (for his/her/their benefit).'
b. तोर गारिड अम़ाक ले जाबा आसेच्कि।
to-r gari-ḍ $\Lambda \quad \Lambda \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{k} \quad$ l-e ja-ba as-ec-ki 2sg[obl]-GEN cart-NCLS 3pl[pro]-DAT take-CONJ.PTCL go-INF come-PRES-SA3 'Your cart is coming (in order) to take them.'

A principle of "affectedness" would seem to be a common denominator in Rājbanshi secondary agreement. Namely, if one receives, owns or is socially related to an entity or a person, one will be "affected" by any action on that entity or person. Affectedness will have a positive or negative result, that is, benefaction or malefaction will ensue. In some cases empathy is also inferred.

The principle of affectedness also relates to the semantic roles of patient and recipient, both of whom are "affected arguments". ${ }^{49}$ Likewise, the dative subject is affected by the "experience" ("subjective experience" (Masica 1976); "nonvolitional experience" (Klaiman 1987)).

(grammatical category)
(semantic parameter)
(pragmatic inference)
Figure 3. Semantic parameter of secondary agreement in Rājbanshi

### 3.7.5.8 Irregularities

As is evident from the text material in Appendix 3, conformity with the basic agreement rules devised in these sections is not always consistent in the Lakharigaddi and Ghailāḍubbā area. This is likely the result of a strong influence from the more eastern dialects, where secondary agreement is not found. Conversely, the Korobāri dialect in south-western Jhāpā appears to be less influenced by the eastern dialects, and is consequently more consistent.

Consider, for example (294a), where genitive agreement does not occur on the auxiliary. This is not due to an implicit $1 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}$ possessor/benefactor. It simply follows the eastern agreement paradigm where secondary agreement does not occur. Conversely, in

[^43](294b) the verb is cross-referenced with the 2 sg possessor. As far as I can determine, both (294a) and (294b) are acceptable to Lakharigaddi and Ghailāḍubbā dialect speakers, whereas speakers from south-western Jhāpā find (294a) grammatically incorrect.
(294) a. तोर दादोड मरि गेल। (cf. MR.04.051)
to-r dado-d $\Lambda$ m $\quad$ ge-l
2sg[obl]-GEN grandfather-NCLS die-ABS AUX-PST3
'Your grandfather has died.'
b. तोर दादोड मरि गेल्कु।
to-r dado-d $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{m} \wedge$-i ge-1-ku
2sg[obl]-GEN grandfather-NCLS die-ABS AUX-PST-SA2sg
'Your grandfather has died.'

The language informants consulted for this work use secondary agreement as follows (cf. accompanying CD for the dialect samples mentioned here, and also Maps 2 and 3 for the location of these dialects):
(a) Secondary agreement occurs in all narratives from the Morang dialects: JR.01, BLR.01, BNR. 01 and SNR.01. Informant KR from southern Morang also uses secondary agreement (no audio text available).
(b) Secondary agreement occurs (to varying degrees) in most narratives from southern and central Jhāpā: SR.01, MR.01-05, DLR.01-06, GR.01-02, PKR.01, NKR.01, LLR.01, GPR.01, AR.01, SBR. 01 and JgR.01. In addition, informants PPR, TRR and MLR from western and central Jhāpā use secondary agreement frequently (no audio texts available, but refer to Narratives 1 and 2 in section 8.1.5).
(c) Surprisingly, secondary agreement is not found in the speech of informants DPR and SuR from south-western Jhāpā.
(d) Secondary agreement was found to be absent altogether from the following narratives from eastern Jhāpā: BPR.01, PCR.01, PLR.01, DSR. 01 and PR. 01 .

### 3.7.5.9 The wider scene: a short overview

"The most remarkable development has been in Central Magadhan (Maithilī and Magahī), which display a greater richness and variety in the matter of pronominal affixation than any other NIA language - by which two or even three pronominal forms can be tagged on to the verb base." (Chatterji 1975b [1926]:972)

From the point of view of Indo-Aryan languages, far-western Rājbanshi is by no means exceptional in its use of secondary agreement. In fact, the agreement system very possibly originated from language contact with the neighbouring language Maithili. A comparative study is not within the scope of the research for this work, but it would nevertheless be
useful to look at research on secondary agreement in the other languages of northern India and Nepal. ${ }^{50}$

In Map 4 below, one will see that Maithili falls immediately to the west of the Rājbanshi area. Secondary agreement is also found even further to the west in the Pipaltar dialect of Darai (Kotapish \& Kotapish 1975:v;136-143). Secondary agreement is also occasionally found in the varieties of Tibeto-Burman (both Kiranti and Tibetan) spoken in the Himalayan foothills to the north. The Munda languages, which also have secondary agreement, are spoken throughout south-eastern Nepal and the Indian states of Bihar and West Bengal. Magahi is spoken in Jharkhand and southern Bihar.

As mentioned earlier, secondary agreement is found in Rājbanshi throughout the Morang and Jhāpā districts (roughly in the box marked in Map 4), but is absent in those dialects spoken along the eastern border between Nepal and West Bengal.


Map 4. Language contacts in south-eastern Nepal

[^44]The question of the source of pronominal affixation, and hence secondary agreement, in Tibeto-Burman and Indo-Aryan has generated discussion for over a century. Whether Indo-Aryan has had an effect on the Tibeto-Burman languages, or vice versa, has also been studied (for an overview of discussion on the origin of pronominal affixation in Tibeto-Burman, cf. Bauman 1974 and Caughley 1982).

The influences of Indo-Aryan and Tibeto-Burman on each other, in this respect, are perhaps doubtful since there are significant differences between the two language families, as Caughley (1982:209) points out:
"One major difference between the Tibeto-Burman and Indo-Aryan pronominal systems is in regard to the categories represented. The Tibeto-Burman languages often possess a Dual category and make a distinction between Inclusive and Exclusive for 1st Person. These distinctions are not features of Indo-Aryan. Another difference is in Reflexive constructions. A number of Tibeto-Burman languages indicate a reflexive situation by a verbal suffix. IndoAryan languages however use independent pronouns for reflexive situations."

Some linguists, following Grierson (1903), would attribute secondary agreement in IndoAryan to language contact with Munda. This is indeed possible given that Munda may have been an influence over a prolonged period, as Chatterji (1975b [1926]:972) maintains: ${ }^{51}$
"In this matter there may have been a strong influence of Kol (Mundari) on Central Magadhan in the formative period of Maithili and Magahi."

The Maithili double agreement forms are possibly derived from Old Indo-Aryan (cf. Yadava 1999:152/fn3, who refers to Grierson 1895 and Jha 1958). Structurally there is a significant difference between Santali ${ }^{52}$ and Maithili as Yadava (1999:152) explains:

[^45]
#### Abstract

"Grierson ... ascribes it to the influence of the Santhali language. It is, however, to be noted that Maithili differs from Santhali in this respect, in that in the former the order of agreement affixes is first nominative and then non-nominative cases, whereas in the latter the nominative agreement affix is placed finally."


Interestingly in the Jhāpā dialects of Rājbanshi the order of the participant marking is similar to Santali, having patient (i.e. "non-nominative") marking followed by agent (i.e. "nominative") marking (though only with $1 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}$ and 2 pl agents). In the southern Morang dialects the order is indeed similar to Maithili, as in -उङ्ञु -uy-gu '-1sg-SA2' and -इङ्गि -iy-gi '-1sg-SA3'.

Turning to the possible process(es) involved in the rise of secondary agreement in Indo-Aryan, Masica (1991:343-344; cf. also Chatterji 1975b [1926]:971ff) maintains that secondary agreement in Eastern Indo-Aryan may have played a part in the loss of the ergative-absolutive construction:
"The total loss of the ergative construction, that is, its replacement by a nominative-accusative construction, which has occured (for example) in Standard Bengali..., could plausibly have come about from double-agreement constructions... with the waning of gender-number concord... along with merger of nominative-ergative case-marking, but the picture is not a clear one..."

### 3.7.5.9.1 Secondary agreement in Maithili and Rājbanshi

The Maithili verb agreement system is very complex, with three morphologically marked honorific distinctions, and the morphological machinery to simultaneously maintain reference for up to three participants. In comparison to Maithili, the forms and the system in Rājbanshi are significantly simplified and, as mentioned above, slightly re-ordered.

The consonant $k$, which is used in (almost) all of the secondary agreement forms in Rājbanshi, is also found in Maithili, Magahi and Darai. Bickel et al. (1999:487) suggests that in Maithili the final $-k$ and $-h$ elements (which denote secondary agreement) are optional, and that they are "only pronounced in very careful, educated speech." Likewise, Grierson (1963 [1903]:25; 36; additions in brackets are mine) maintains the optional $-k$ element in both Maithili and Magahi ${ }^{53}$
data. There are about 10,000 Satār living in Morañ district and another 15,000 Satār in Jhāpā district. Satār is the name adopted by the Santal who arrived en masse in Nepal as the result of northward migration at least two centuries ago." (van Driem 2001:275)
${ }^{53}$ Whether this consonant $-k$ derives from the Maithili genitive case is unclear. Note that Caughley (1982:210) speculates such a process in Maithili: "The fact that, in the Indo-Aryan frontier languages, both NPs and verbs are suffixed by pronominal elements belonging to the same, or
"(On Maithili p.25) The letter k may be added to any form in ai or au without changing the meaning. Thus, măral ${ }^{\text {a }} k$ auk: măral ${ }^{\text {a }} k a u$ or măral ${ }^{\text {a }} k$ kauk...
(On Magahi p.36) The letter k may be added to any form in aï (or ai), aü (or au), or ō, without changing the meaning. Thus, măral ${ }^{\text {an } k a i ̈ ~ o r ~ m a ̆ r a l ~}{ }^{\text {an }}$ kaïk; măral ${ }^{\text {an }}$ kaü or măral ${ }^{\text {an }}$ kaük."

Jha (1958:479-480) contemplates on the origin of the suffixes in Maithili as follows:
$"-k^{a}$ and $-a k^{\underline{a}}$ are of unknown origin. Have they any connection with Kāshmīrī $-h-,-k-?$ Chatterji connects them with the O.I.A. pleonastic -ka (Bengali Language, p.993). It is likely that they have some connection with the indefinite pronoun -keo."

It is less probable that Munda influenced Rājbanshi directly, though one finds $-k$ elements in some Santali and Munda pronouns (which would obviously show up in pronominalisation): akiŋ (3dl) and ako (3pl) and oblique forms -kin (3dl) and -ko (3pl) (Swarnalatā 1973; Sinha 1975:60-61; Osada 1992:64). The Santali pronouns and oblique stems are somewhat similar.

What is evident is the similarity between the Maithili and the Rājbanshi agreement forms. A comparison of the Rājbanshi forms in Table 26 with the Maithili and Darai forms in Table 27 below reveals how clear the resemblance actually is. ${ }^{54}$
similar sets suggests, for these languages at least, that the verbal pronominal affixation may have originated by analogy with NP possessive marking, probably initiated by a T(opic)S(hift) process."

Caughley (1982:210) also notes a similarity between Maithili and Dhanwar (Danuwar Rai): "Some elements of the Maithili affixation system are common to those of Danuwar Rai. The honorific ending -hun, for instance, is probably related to the Danuwar affix (also -hun) indicating Plural. It is possible therefore that the Maithili System was originally similar to that of the IndoAryan frontier languages but has turned the Number distinction into an honorific one."
54 Compare these also to the Magahi verb paradigm. Verma (1985:38) notes that in Magahi the "Personal endings also show agreement in regard to the object of the verb in terms of formality and respect." The following Magahi forms (ibid.) demonstrate a close affinity with Maithili:

|  | 2p Object [HON] | 2p Object [-HON] | 3p Object [HON] | 3p Object [-HON] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 p | -iy-o | -iy-au | -iy-ai-n | -iy-ai |
| $3 p$ | -o | -au | -ai-n | -ai |

Examples of subject and object agreement in the Magahi verb (modified from Verma 1985:38)

Table 27. Secondary agreement forms in Maithili and Darai

| Maithili (simpified from Yadava 1999:141) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 'non-nominative' |  |  |
|  |  | 1p | 2p | 3p |
| 0\#\#$=0$ | 1 p | - | $\begin{aligned} & -\mathrm{au}(k)(1 \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{nh}) \\ & -\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{~h})(1 \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{mh}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -\operatorname{ai}(k) \quad(1 \rightarrow 3 \text { nh prox }) \\ & -\operatorname{ain}(h) \quad(1 \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{~h} / 3 \mathrm{hh} \text { prox }) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 2 p | - |  | $-u(k)(2 n h / m h \rightarrow 3 n h$ prox $)$ <br>  <br> - in(h) ( $2 \mathrm{nh} / \mathrm{mh} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{hh}$ prox) <br> $-u n(h)(2 \mathrm{nh} / \mathrm{mh} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{hh}$ rem) |
|  | 3 p | - | $\begin{aligned} & -\mathrm{au}(\mathrm{k})(3 \mathrm{nh} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{nh}) \\ & -\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{~h})(3 \mathrm{nh} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{mh}) \\ & -\mathrm{un}(\mathrm{~h})(3 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{nh} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{nh} / \mathrm{mh}) \end{aligned}$ | -ain(h) (3 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ) |


|  |  |  | Maithili (modified from Williams 1973:361) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 'Other' |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1 p |  | 2p |  |  | 3 p |
|  |  |  |  | nh | mh | h | nh | h |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \text { Wh: } \end{aligned}$ | 1p |  | - | -əliy/u | -sliyo | - | -əliəi | -əlizin |
|  | 2p | nh | - | - | - | - | -lahi | -ləhi, -lohun |
|  |  | mh | - | - | - | - | -lohəh | -lohəh, -lohun |
|  |  | h | - | - | - | - | -oliai | -əliəin |
|  | 3p | nh | - | -olk/u | -olko | - | -əlkəi | -(ə)l(k)ain, -əlkhin |
|  |  | h | -loin | -olkhun <br> -althun | -alkhun <br> -althun | -lain | $\text { -(o)l(k) } \sin ,$ <br> -alkhin | -(o)l(k)ain, -alkhin |


| Darai (modified from Kotapish et al. 1975:140-141) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 'receptor cross-referent in bitransitive clauses' |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1sg | 1 pl | 2 sg | 2 pl | 3 sg | 3 pl |
|  | 1sg |  |  | -mis | -miu | -mik | -mikaan |
|  | 1 pl |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { (only AG } \\ & \text { marked) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { (only AG } \\ & \text { marked) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { (only AG } \\ & \text { marked) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { (only AG } \\ & \text { marked) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 2sg | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { (only AG } \\ & \text { marked) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { (only AG } \\ & \text { marked) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | -sik | -sikaan |
|  | 2 pl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (only AG } \\ & \text { marked) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (only AG } \\ & \text { marked) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $-u \not \chi_{k}$ | -uØkaan |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \mathrm{sg}, \\ & 3 \mathrm{pl} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (only AG } \\ & \text { marked) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (only AG } \\ & \text { marked) } \end{aligned}$ | -is | -iu | -ik | -ikaan |

Bickel et al. (1999:481; 511) maintain that Maithili verb agreement is primarily governed by social parameters.
"...the paradigm structure of Maithili verb agreement is not arbitrary but can be predicted by two general principles of interaction in Maithil society: a principle of social hierarchy
underlying the evaluation of people's "face" (Brown and Levinson 1987 [1978]), and a principle of social solidarity defining degrees of "empathy" (Kuno 1987) to which people identify with others. Maithili verb agreement not only reflects a specific style of social cognition but also constitutes a prime means of maintaining this style by requiring constant attention to its defining parameters. ... The prime motivation for Maithili verb agreement is not so much to differentiate grammatical functions, but rather to index social relations."

There are some similar parameters in the use of secondary agreement in Maithili and Rājbanshi. A comparison of the syntactic and pragmatic uses are as follows:

Table 28. Comparison of the use of secondary agreement in Maithili and Rājbanshi

|  | Maithili | Rājbanshi |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Possessor agreement | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Dative subject | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Other case-controlled agreement (instrumental, <br> locative) | $\checkmark$ | (to some degree, a <br> possessor in LOC) |
| Intransitive (pragmatically neutral, if not triggered <br> by possessor or other case-controlled agreement) | $\checkmark(\varnothing$-marking) | $\checkmark$ ( $\varnothing$-marking) |
| Secondary (double) agreement | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Triple agreement | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Conversationally implicated discourse referent | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Social proximity ('social solidarity') | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Benefaction | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Evidentiality | - | - |

To conclude, both the morphological forms and the use of secondary agreement in Maithili and Rājbanshi appear quite similar. All in all, it seems likely that the secondary agreement system in far-western Rājbanshi derives historically from Maithili (or, though less likely, Magahi), rather than (directly) from a Munda substratum.

### 3.7.6 Tense

In the following sections I will discuss the use of the future, present and past tenses. In non-narrative contexts the distinction between these three grammatical categories is supported. However, as will be discussed in section 8.1, these three tenses often take on primarily discourse functions in oral narrative discourse. In such cases the future tense and the present tense no longer refer to the future or the present respectively, but to the past.

In the following sections verb paradigms will be given for three of the six verb stem types outlined in section 3.7.1:
i. Verb stem Type 1 (i.e. stem-final -आ -a): खा- $k^{h} a$ - 'eat',
ii. Verb stem Type 2 (i.e. stem-final -ह -hı): कह- $k \wedge h \Lambda$ - 'say', and
iii. Verb stem Type 4 (i.e. stem-final C): बठ- $b \wedge t^{h}$ - 'sit', or आस- as- 'come'

The Type 1 and the Type 4 paradigms will show minor differences in the use of epenthetic vowels, and the Type 2 paradigm will show irregularities in pronunciation and stem alternation.

Note: the Type 6 (i.e. stem-final CC) paradigm will be given in two sections where it deviates from Type 4 (i.e. in the past and the imperative). Complete paradigms for all six verb stem types, including non-finite forms, can be found in Appendix 1.

### 3.7.6.1 Future

In most dialects the future tense morpheme varies between -ब -b for $2 p$ and $3 p$, and - - $-m$ for 1 p . The far-eastern dialects have an epenthetic $-i$ between consonant-final stems and the future morpheme, resulting in -(इ)ब -(i)b for 2 p and 3 p , and -(इ)म -(i)m for 1 p . The 1 sg marking accompanying the future morpheme varies from $-u$ to $-\varnothing$. Note that the vowel for the $3 p$ form is (for some reason) mid-centralised when following the future tense morpheme (-b-i[bI]), and is represented as एe in the orthography. As discussed in section 2.2.1 the reason for this mid-centralisation is not yet clear and I have therefore transcribed vowel [ I ] as phonemic $/ \mathrm{I} /$ to await further research.

Table 29. Future tense

|  | V stem-final | ह- hs- stem-final | C stem-final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1sg | खाम $k^{h} a-m$ 'eat-FUT1sg' खामु $k^{h} a-m-u$ 'eat-FUT-1sg' | $\begin{aligned} & \text { कहम } k \Lambda h \Lambda-m \text { 'say-FUT1sg' } \\ & \text { कहमु } k \Lambda h \Lambda-m-u[k \Lambda-m-u] \text { 'say-FUT- } \\ & 1 \mathrm{sg} \text { ' } \end{aligned}$ | बठिम bıt $t^{h}$-im 'sit-FUT1sg' बठ्मु b $\Delta t^{h}-m-u$ 'sit-FUT-1 sg' <br> बठिमु $b \Delta t^{h}$-im-u 'sit-FUT-1sg' |
| 2sg | खाबो $k^{h} a-b-o$ 'eat-FUT-2sg' | कहबो $k s h s-b-o[k \Lambda-b-o]$ 'say-FUT2sg' | बठ्बो bst $t^{h}-b-o$ 'sit-FUT-2sg' <br> बठिबो $b \Delta t^{h}-i b-o$ 'sit-FUT-2sg' |
| 3 sg | खाबे $k^{h} a-b-I$ 'eat-FUT-3' | कहबे $\mathrm{k} \wedge$ hı-b-I [ $\mathrm{k} \wedge$-b-I] 'say-FUT-3' | बठ्बे $b \Lambda t^{h}-b-I$ 'sit-FUT-3' बठिबे $b \Delta t^{h}-$-ib-I 'sit-FUT-3' |
| 1 pl | खाम $k^{h} a-m-\Lambda$ 'eat-FUT-1pl' | कहम kıhı-m-ı [kı-m-ı] 'say-FUT1 pl ' | बठ्म $b \Lambda t^{h}-m-\Lambda$ 'sit-FUT-1pl' <br> बठिम bıt ${ }^{h}$-im- ${ }^{\text {' }}$ 'sit-FUT-1pl' |
| 2 pl | खाबन $k^{h} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b-} \mathrm{\Lambda n}$ 'eat-FUT-2pl' | कहबन kıhı-b-ın [kı-b-ın] 'say-FUT-2pl' | बठ्बन $b \Lambda t^{h}-b-\Lambda n$ 'sit-FUT-2pl' बठिबन $b \Delta t^{h}-\mathrm{i} b-\wedge n$ 'sit-FUT-2pl ${ }^{\text {' }}$ |
| 3 pl | खाबे $k^{h} a-b-I$ 'eat-FUT-3' | कहबे $\mathrm{k} \wedge$ hı-b-I [ $\mathrm{k} \wedge$-b-I] 'say-FUT-3' | बठ्बे $b \Lambda t^{h}-b-I$ 'sit-FUT-3' बठिबे $b \Delta t^{h}-i b-I$ 'sit-FUT-3' |

When occurring with secondary agreement the future forms undergo certain changes. The future morpheme -ब -b undergoes voice assimilation when followed by the unvoiced plosive $-k$, and this results in $-p$. In some dialects, when the bilabial future morpheme - - $-b$ is found before secondary agreement, it is realised as -त -t. In southern Jhāpā, the epenthetic vowel -i which precedes the future morpheme -म -m may occur as -e. Finally, the $-k$ in the secondary agreement morpheme -कि -ki 'SA3' is found to be omitted in some cases: e.g. ठुक्मिन $t^{h} u k-m-i-n$ 'give-FUT-SA3-1sg'.

Table 30. Future tense accompanied by secondary agreement

|  |  | Patient |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2sg | 2pl | 3p |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{⿹_{0}^{2}} \\ & \stackrel{80}{4} \end{aligned}$ | 1p | ठुकिस्कुन (ठुकेम्कुन) t thuk-i/em-ku-n 'hit-FUT-SA2sg-1sg/pl' | ठुकिम्कन (ठुकेम्कन) $t^{h} u k-i / \mathrm{em}-$ k $\Lambda-n$ 'hit-FUT-SA2pl-1sg/pl' | ठुकिम्किन (ठुकेम्किन) t thenki/em-ki-n 'hit-FUT-SA3-1sg/pl' <br> ठुक्मिन $t^{h} u k-m-i-n$ 'give-FUT-SA3-1sg/pl' |
|  | 2sg | (secondary agreement not marked) | $n / a$ | ठुकिप्कि $t^{h} u k-i p-k i$ 'hit-FUT-SA3' <br> ठुकित्कि $t^{h} u k$-it-ki 'hit-FUT-SA3' <br> ठुक्तेक $t^{h} u k-t-e k$ 'hit-FUT-SA3' |
|  | 2 pl | $n / a$ | (secondary agreement not marked) | ठुकित्किर $t^{h} u k$-it-ki-ra 'hit-FUT-SA3-2pl' |
|  | 3p | ठुकिप्कु ṭhuk-ip-ku 'hit-FUT- <br> SA2sg' <br> ठुकित्कु t thuk-it-ku 'hit-FUT- <br> SA2sg' <br> ठुक्तोक t thk-t-ok 'hit-FUTSA2sg' | ठुकिप्कन $t^{h} u k-i p-k \wedge n ~ ' h i t-$ FUT-SA2pl' ठुकित्कन $t^{h} u k-i t-k \wedge n$ 'hit-FUT-SA2pl' <br> ठुक्तकन $t^{h} u k-t \wedge-k \wedge n$ 'hit-FUT-SA2pl' | ठुकिष्कि $t^{h} u k$-ip-ki 'hit-FUT-SA3' ठुकित्कि $t^{h} u k-i t-k i ~ ' h i t-F U T-S A 3 ' ~$ ठुक्तेक $t^{h} u k-t-e k$ 'hit-FUT-SA3' |

The future tense is primarily used for temporal reference to the future.
(295) a. केनङ करे लिबो ते बदला? (cf. MR.04.049)
kenıy kıre li-b-o te bıdla
how ADVL take-FUT-2sg PCL revenge
'How will you take revenge then?'
b. केतखुन से कान्बो? (MR.04.190)
ket-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ un se kan-b-o
how_much-time ABL cry-FUT-2sg
'Until when will you cry?'

The future can also be used for various types of modality such as for volitionality (296ab), ability (296c-d) or eligibility (296e).
(296) a. ते जाबो नि गे देख्बा गे? (cf. DLR.06.005)
te ja-b-o ni ge dek $^{\mathrm{h}}$-ba ge
PCL go-FUT-2sg NEG VOC[fem] see-INF VOC[fem]
'Will you not ( $\sim$ do you not want to) go and see?'
b. समत बठ्बो? (cf. DLR.05.026)
s $\Delta m \Delta t$ b $\Delta t^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{o}$
together sit-FUT-2sg
'Will you ( $\sim$ do you want to) marry me?'
c. देखिन मुखेर पानिखान उपरत त नि जाबे। (GR.01.004)
dek $^{\mathrm{h}}$ in muk ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$-er pani-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an uprıt t $\Lambda$ ni ja-b-I
south mouth-GEN water-NCLS above PCL NEG go-FUT-3
'Water will not ( $\sim$ can not) flow from the south to above.'
d. हाल जुता त नि जाबे। (cf. GR.02.020)
hal jut-a ts ni ja-b-I
plough plough-PST.PTCL PCL NEG PASS.AUX-FUT-3
'Ploughing will not be possible.'
e. घडाडर काम नि, बादाम खाबे। (cf. GR.02.011)
$g^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ ḍa-ḍ $\Lambda-\mathrm{r} \quad$ kam ni, badam k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{I}$
horse-NCLS-GEN work NEG, nut eat-FUT-3
'The horse has no work, (but it) gets nuts to eat.'

The future is also used for requests, instead of the subjunctive (this is perhaps related to the "ability" sense of the future mentioned above). Initial observations suggest that in the 1 sg, the subjunctive and the future render the same meaning (297). In the 1 pl hortative in (298a-b) there is a distinction related to inclusion: in (298a) the request may or may not include the hearer, whereas in (298b) the hearer is obligatorily included.
(297) Question: मुइ तोर कठाडत बठिम (or, बठु)?
mui to-r $k \Delta t^{h} a-d ̣ \Lambda-t \quad b \Delta t^{h}-i m \quad$ (or, $\left.\mathrm{b} \Lambda t^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{u}\right)$
1sg[pro] 2sg[obl]-GEN room-NCLS-LOC sit-FUT1sg (or, sit-SUBJ1sg)
'May I sit in your room?'

Response: बठ् किना।
b $\wedge t^{\mathrm{h}}-\varnothing \quad$ kina
sit-IMP2sg PCL
'Sit, of course.'
(298) a.

काम कर्म।
kam kır-m-
work do-FUT-1pl
'Let's work.' (inclusion of hearer unspecified)
b. काम करि।
kam kır-i
work do-SUBJ1pl
'Let's work.' (including hearer)

In conditional clauses the apodosis is often marked in the future tense (cf. section 7.4.2.4). In the syntactic conditional (299a) both of the verbs are inflected, whereas in the morphological conditional (299b) only the verb of the apodosis is inflected.
(299) a. जदि तुइ उहाँक कहबो ठिक नि हप्कु।
j $\Lambda$ di tui uhã-k k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda$-b-o $t^{\text {h}} \mathrm{ik}$ ni h $\Lambda-p-k u$
if $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}] 3 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $]-D A T$ call-FUT-2 alright NEG be-FUT-SA2sg
'It will not be alright if you call him.'
b. तुइ उहाँक कहले ठिक नि हप्कु।
tui uhã-k kshл-le $t^{\text {th}}$ ik ni h
$2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}] 3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]-D A T$ call-COND.PTCL alright NEG be-FUT-SA2sg
'It will not be alright if you call him.'

The phrases marked with the future in (300a-b) and (301a-b) have idiomatic senses. The form हबे $h \Delta-b-I$ 'alright; OK' (lit. 'be-FUT-3') seems to be lexicalised (300a), though it can be marked with secondary agreement (300b).
(300) a. बोले, 'हबे।' (MR.04.054)
boli hл-b-ı
QUOT be-FUT-3
'She said, OK.'
b. Question: हेतिना कि खाम? घरते ले जाए खाम। हबे नि?
hetina ki $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}-\Lambda \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\Lambda \mathrm{t}$ l-e ja-e $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}-\Lambda$,
here why eat-FUT-1pl home-LOC take-CONJ.PTCL go-CONJ.PTCL eat-FUT-1pl
h $\Lambda$-b-I ni
be-FUT-3 NEG(PCL)
'Why should we eat here? We will take it home to eat, OK?'

Response: हत्कन।
h $\Lambda$-t-kın
be-FUT-SA2pl
'OK (you are allowed to).'
(301) a. कि कहबो? (cf. MR.05.072, DLR.04.009, MR.05.079)
ki k kh $-\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{o}$
what say-FUT-2sg
'What is there to say?' (Lit. 'What can you say?')
b. कि कर्बो?
ki k $\quad$ r-b-o
what do-FUT-2sg
'What can be done?' (Lit. 'What can you do?', implies 'There is nothing that can be done in this situation.')

### 3.7.6. 2 Present

The simple present tense in Rājbanshi is -च -c 'PRES'. An epenthetic vowel e occurs between consonant-final stems and the present tense morpheme, resulting in -एच -ec 'PRES' (cf. also section 3.7.1.1).

Table 31. Present tense

|  | V stem-final | ह- $h \Lambda^{-}$- stem-final | C stem-final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 sg | खाचु $k^{h} a-c-u$ 'eat-PRES-1sg' | कहचु kıhı-c-u 'say-PRES-1sg' | बठेचु bıt $t^{h}-\mathrm{ec-u}$ 'sit-PRES-1 sg' |
| 2sg | खाचिस $k^{h} a-c-i s$ 'eat-PRES-2sg' खाचित $k^{h} a-c-i t$ 'eat-PRES-2sg' | कहचिस kıhı-c-is 'say-PRES-2sg' कहचित kıhı-c-it 'say-PRES-2sg' | बठेचिस $b s t^{h}$-ec-is 'sit-PRES-2sg' बठेचित $b s t^{h}-e c-i t$ 'sit-PRES-2sg' |
| 3 sg | खाचे $k^{h} a-c-e$ 'eat-PRES-3' | कहचे kshs-c-e 'say-PRES-3' | बठेचे bıt $t^{h}$-ec-e 'sit-PRES-3' |
| 1 pl | खाचि $k^{h} a-c-i$ 'eat-PRES-1pl' | कहचि kıhs-c-i 'say-PRES-1pl' | बठेचि b $\Delta t^{h}$-ec-i 'sit-PRES-1pl' |
| 2 pl | खाचन $k^{h} a-c-\Lambda n$ 'eat-PRES-2pl' | कहचन kıhı-c-ın 'say-PRES-2pl' | बठेचन bıt ${ }^{h}$-ec- $\wedge n$ 'sit-PRES-2pl' |
| 3 pl | खाचे $k^{h} a-c-e$ 'eat-PRES-3' | कहचे kshs-c-e 'say-PRES-3' | बठेचे bıt $t^{h}$-ec-e 'sit-PRES-3' |

The present tense morphemes precede secondary agreement marking as follows.

Table 32. Present tense accompanied by secondary agreement

|  |  | Patient |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2sg | 2pl | 3 p |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3} \\ & \stackrel{8}{0} \end{aligned}$ | 1p | ठुकेच्कुन $t^{h} u k-e c-k u-n$ 'hit-PRES-SA2sg-1 sg/pl' | ठुकेच्कन $t^{h} u k-e c-k \Lambda-n$ 'hit-PRES-SA2pl-1sg/pl' | ठुकेच्किन $t^{h} u k-e c-k i-n$ 'hit-PRES-SA3-1sg/pl' |
|  | 2sg | (secondary agreement not marked) | $n / a$ | ठुकेच्कि $t^{h} u k-e c-k i$ 'hit-PRES-SA3' |
|  | 2 pl | $n / a$ | (secondary agreement not marked) | ठुकेच्किर $t^{h} u k$-ec-ki-ra 'hit-PRES-SA3-2pl' |
|  | 3p | ठुकेच्कु $t^{h} u k-e c-k u$ 'hit-PRES-SA2sg' | ठुकेच्कन $t^{h} u k-e c-k \wedge n$ <br> 'hit-PRES-SA2pl' | ठुकेच्किक $t^{h} u k-e c-k i$ 'hit-PRES-SA3' |

In the simple clause, the present tense is used for the present continuous (302a-b), or the present habitual (302c).
(302) a. तुइ हाल कियाँ नि बहचिस? (cf. GR.02.036)
tui hal kiã ni bshs-c-is
$2 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $]$ plough why NEG plough-PRES-2sg
'Why are you not ploughing?'
b. ताहाते कानेचु। (MR.04.052)
tahate kan-ec-u
therefore cry-PRES-1sg
'That's why I am crying.'
c. ...जेइदिन हामा आच्छा़ आच्छा़ा साग भात नाधेचि... (cf. DLR.04.007)
...jei-din hama $\operatorname{acc}^{\text {h }} a^{\text {accha }}{ }^{\text {hag }} \quad b^{\text {hat }}$ nad $^{\text {h}}$-ec-i...
...REL[obl/ip]-day 1pl[pro] good good spinach_curry rice cook-PRES-1pl...
'...whenever we cook really good rice and spinach...'

In addition, the present tense is used with the copula ह- hs- 'be' (with 3 p agreement) for general truth propositions (303a). Used with other verbs, the present tense does not have the same effect but renders the present continuous aspect (303b). The adjectival copula छे $c^{h}$-e 'be-PRES3' (303c), and the stative copula हए ha-e 'be-PRES3' (303d) can not be used for general truth statements.
(303) a. पाहाडला उचल उचल हचे।
pahar-la ucal ucal ha-c-e
mountain-PL high high be-PRES-3
'Mountains are tall.' (general truth statement)
b. छुवाला भात खाचे।
$c^{\text {h }} u a-l a \quad b^{\text {hat }} k^{\text {h }}$ a-c-e
child-PL rice eat-PRES-3
'The children are eating rice.' (present continuous)
c. इला पाहाड उचल उचल छे।
i-la pahar ucal ucsl chee
DEM[prox]-PL mountain high high be-PRES3
'These mountains are tall.' (contextual truth statement)
d. उहाँर सास्रिड मोर मसि हए।
uhã-r sasri-d $\Lambda \quad$ mo-r masi h
3sg[pro] mother_in_law-NCLS 1sg[obl]-GEN mother's_sister be-PRES3
'Her/his mother in law is my aunt.' (contextual truth statement)

In some contexts the present tense implies future action, since the action occurs after the deictic centre (i.e. the speech act or situation). Therefore note that the present tense in (304a) has the same effect as the future in (304b). Likewise, the present tense in (304c) is interchangeable with the future tense.
(304) a. मुइ इड कडिक देछुछ घस्काए। (DLR.05.029)
mui i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ k $\wedge$ ḍi-k d-ec ${ }^{\text {h}}-u \quad g^{\text {h }} \Lambda s k-a-e$
1sg DEM[prox]-NCLS shell-DAT AUX-PRES-1sg hide-CAUS-ABS
'I will hide / am hiding this shell.'
b. मुइ इड कडिक दिमिन घस्काए।
mui i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ k $\Lambda$ ḍi-k di-m-i-n $g^{h} \Lambda$ sk-a-e
1sg DEM[prox]-NCLS shell-DAT AUX-FUT-SA3-1sg hide-CAUS-ABS
'I will hide this shell.'
c. थाम्, जाबा दे, आल़ा देच्कुन (or, दिमुन) ढिप ढिपुवाए
$t^{\text {h}}$ am- $\varnothing$, ja-ba de- $\varnothing$, al ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ d-ec-ku-n (or, di-m-u-n)
wait-IMP2sg go-INF let-IMP2sg, now AUX-PRES-SA2sg-1sg (or, AUX-FUT-SA2sg-1sg
${\underset{c}{\text { h }}}^{\text {ip }} \quad \mathrm{d}^{\text {h }} \mathrm{ip}-(\mathrm{u})$ wa-e
[ $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{i}\right]$ ]sound [ $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ip}$ ]sound-ICAUS-ABS
'(Just) wait, let me come, now I will spank you.'
As will have become clear from the discussion above (and from section 3.7.4), there appear to be two simple present tenses. The general type is presented in Table 31 above. The second type has past habitual marking with present tense meaning. This is used with the verbs ह- $h \Delta$ - 'be', पा(र)- pa(r)- 'can' and लाग- lag- 'should'.

As explained in section 3.7.4, the verb ह- $h \Delta$ - has a double meaning, 'be (stative)' and 'become (non-stative; inchoative)'. The verb carries the meaning of 'be' in the present tense with habitual marking, and 'become' with present tense marking. However, due to the similarity in the marking of this type of present tense and the subjunctive, the sentence in (305a) has two meanings, depending on whether the form हउ $h \Delta-u$ is interpreted as the present or the subjunctive. If the verb were to be in the $3 p$, there would be no confusion, since the 3 p present tense uses the morpheme -ए -e instead of the subjunctive -ओक -ok form. Though the present tense morpheme $-उ-u$ in (305a) is akin to the past habitual, the past habitual sense would make use of the verb रह- $r \Lambda h(\Lambda)$ - (305b). The future tense in (305c) retains the future sense. Note that the only context where (305d) would be permitted is if someone was dressing up to be a teacher in a drama. In all other cases it is ungrammatical.
(305) a. मुइ मास्टर हउ।

```
mui masṭır h \(\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{u}\)
\(1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}\) ] teacher be-PRES1sg (or, be-SUBJ1sg)
'I am a teacher.' / 'May I be a teacher!'
```

b. मुइ मास्टर रहु।
mui masṭar rah-u
$1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro ] teacher be-PST.HAB1sg
'I used to be a teacher.'
c. मुइ मास्टर हम।
mui masṭar h $\wedge$-m
1sg[pro] teacher be-FUT1sg
'I will be a teacher.'
d. मुइ मास्टर हचु।
mui masțır h h-c-u
1 sg [pro] teacher be-PRES-1sg
'I am becoming a teacher.' (That is, I am dressing up for the part of "teacher.")

Also the auxiliaries लाग- lag- 'should' (306a) and पार- par- 'can' (306b) were found to use past habitual inflection for the present tense. This especially seems to be the case when they are preceded by a non-finite complement marked with the infinitive -बा -ba 'INF' (306a-b).
(306) a.
...अनङे कर्बा लागे। (cf. DLR.04.017)
$\ldots \wedge n \wedge \eta=e \quad k \wedge r-b a \quad$ lag-e
...like_that $=$ EMPH do-INF should-PRES3
'...we should do it just like that.'
b. माने हबाअ पारे... (cf. MR.05.285)
mane $\mathrm{h} \Lambda-\mathrm{ba}=\Lambda \quad$ par-e...
PCL be-INF $=$ CNJ can-PRES3...
'It could also be (so)...'

### 3.7.6.3 Past

The past tense morpheme is $-न-n$ for the $1 p$, and $-ल-1$ for the $2 p$ and $3 p$. In most dialect variants studied, agreement attaches to the right of the tense morpheme, though in some far-eastern varieties tense and 3p primary agreement are fused into -(इ)ल -(i)1 'PST3'. ${ }^{55}$

[^46]Table 33. Past tense

|  | V stem-final | ह- $h \Delta$ - stem-final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1sg | खानु $k^{h} a-n-u$ 'eat-PST-1sg' | कहनु kıhı-n-u [kınu] 'say-PST-1sg' |
| 2sg | खालो $k^{h} a-1-o$ 'eat-PST-2sg' | कहलो $k \Delta h \Delta-1-o$ [kılo] 'say-PST-2sg' को(हो)लो $\mathrm{ko}(\mathrm{ho})-1-\mathrm{o}$ 'say-PST-2sg' |
| 3sg | खाले $k^{h a-1-I}$ 'eat-PST-3' खाल $k^{h} a-1$ 'eat-PST3' | कहले kıhs-l-I [kлlI] 'say-PST-3' कोहोल koho-1 'say-PST3' |
| 1pl | खान $k^{\text {ha-n-s }}$ 'eat-PST-1pl' | कहन kıhı-n-^ [kın^] 'say-PST-1pl' |
| 2pl | खालन $k^{h} a-1-\Lambda n$ 'eat-PST-2pl' | कहलन $\mathrm{k} \wedge$ hı-l-ın [kılın] 'say-PST-2pl' |
| 3 pl | खाले $k^{h a-l-I}$ 'eat-PST-3' खाल $k^{h} a-1$ 'eat-PST3' | कहले kıhs-l-I [kılı] 'say-PST-3' कोहोल koho-l 'say-PST3' |
|  | C stem-final | CC stem-final |
| 1sg | बठ्नु bst $t^{h}-n-u$ 'sit-PST-1sg' | सम्ठिनु ssmt ${ }^{h}-i-n-u$ 'finish-ep.vowel-PST-1 sg' |
| 2sg | बठ्लो b $\mathrm{t}^{\text {t }}$ h-l-o 'sit-PST-2sg' | सम्ठिलो ssmt ${ }^{\text {b }}$-i-l-o 'finish-ep.vowel-PST-2sg' |
| 3sg | बठ्ले bst ${ }^{h}-1-I$ 'sit-PST-3' बठिल bıt ${ }^{h}$-il 'sit-PST3' | सम्ठिले samt ${ }^{h}$-i-l-I 'finish-ep.vowel-PST-3' |
| 1pl | बठ्न b $\Lambda t^{h}-n-\Lambda$ 'sit-PST-1pl' | सम्ठिन sımt ${ }^{h}-i-n-\Lambda$ 'finish-ep.vowel-PST-1pl' |
| 2pl | बठ्लन b $\wedge t^{h}-1-\wedge n$ 'sit-PST-2pl' | सम्ठिलन sımt ${ }^{\text {h }}-\mathrm{i}-1-\wedge n$ 'finish-ep.vowel-PST-2pl' |
| 3 pl | बठ्ले bst ${ }^{h}-1-I$ 'sit-PST-3' <br> बठिल b $1 t^{h}-\mathrm{il}$ 'sit-PST3' | सम्ठिले ssmt ${ }^{\text {h }}$-i-l-I 'finish-ep.vowel-PST-3' |

The past tense morphemes precede secondary agreement marking as follows.
Table 34. Past tense accompanied by secondary agreement


The past tense in Rājbanshi refers to an action or state which took place in the past. It would seem that the past tense is neutral in relation to aspect (307a), though often the past tense does itself imply completion. The perfect aspect marking in (307b) indicates the relevance of the action in relation to the deictic centre (i.e. the speech act). The addition of a quasi-aspectual auxiliary in $(307 \mathrm{c})$ overtly specifies the action as completed, but it also carries additional inferences, such as ability and perhaps malefaction.
(307) a. मुइ तोक ठगेन्कुन। 'I cheated you.'
mui to-k $t^{\text {h }} \Lambda$ g-en-ku-n
1sg[pro] 2sg[obl]-DAT cheat-PST-SA2sg-1sg
'I cheated you.'
b. मुइ तोक भेल्लाबार ठगिच्कुन।
mui to-k $b^{\text {hella-bar } t^{h}} \wedge$ g-ic-ku-n
$1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}] 2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-D A T$ many-time cheat-PERF-SA2sg-1sg
'I have cheated you many times.'
c. मुइ तोक ठगि लिन्कुन।
mui to-k $\underline{t}^{\text {h }} \wedge$ g-i li-n-ku-n
1sg[pro] 2sg[obl]-DAT cheat-ABS AUX-PST-SA2sg-1sg
'I have (already, completely) cheated you.'
Possible context: '(You said I couldn't cheat you, but look at how) I have completely cheated you.'

Whether we maintain the past tense is, at least partially, inherently aspectual will depend on our definition of aspect. Masica $(1991: 267)$ comments on aspect thus:
"...a more comprehensive definition of perfectivity (cf. Comrie 1976:16-24) as "viewing" an action "as a whole", from "outside" it. ... It is not the nature of the action but the perspective from which we view it that lies at the heart of aspectual distinctions."

Verb semantics also play an important role in aspect, as Masica (1991:267) continues:
"...features, such as punctuality that belong rather to the lexical properties of individual verbs even though such lexical features clearly interact with grammatical categories such as Aspect."

It is therefore quite reasonable to conclude that the past tense should not be regarded as aspectual, though in many cases the completion of an action is directly implied by the time frame, or by the semantics of the verb itself.

### 3.7.7 Aspect

Masica (1991:258) maintains that the NIA finite verb is often constructed as follows (note that, depending on the language, one or both agreement (i.e. "concord") slots may be missing):
(308) VERB STEM + Aspect Marker $+{ }^{\text {(CONCORD) }}+$ Tense/Mood Marker + (CONCORD)

The tense/mood and agreement markers in Rājbanshi do indeed follow this order (that is, when the two are not fused, as for example in the case of the subjunctive and the past habitual). However, I will consider below whether aspect and tense/mood marking can in all cases be clearly separated in Rājbanshi.

Comparative studies, both in the historical and the cross-dialectal/linguistic senses, would suggest that at least the perfect marker and past continuous marker should be dealt with as Masica suggests. ${ }^{56}$ Nevertheless, in this work the perfect and the past continuous (or, remote past) have been treated as (309a) and (309b) respectively. Compare these with the colloquial Bengali present perfect (309c) and past perfect (309d) where the conjunctive participle -e is separated to denote the perfective.
(309) a. देखिचु dek ${ }^{h}-i c-u$ 'see-PERF-1sg' ('I have seen')
b. देखिस्नु dek ${ }^{h}-i s n-u$ 'see-REM.PST-1sg' ('I saw ${ }_{\text {[REMOTE] }}$; I was looking at ${ }_{[P A S T . C O N T I N U O U S]}$ ')
c. dekh-e-chi 'I have seen' (Bengali (Colit Bhasa); Masica 1991:270)
d. dekh-e-chilum 'I had seen' (Bengali (Colit Bhasa); Masica 1991:270)

It would seem quite reasonable to separate what appears to be an aspectual marker -इ $-i$ from the present tense marker -च -c in the Rājbanshi perfect -इच -ic. ${ }^{57}$ The most compelling evidence for such a separation is the structure of the NIA verb maintained by Masica (308), and the Bengali perfect and past perfect constructions discussed by Klaiman (1987:504;506):
> "Now the stem-deriving marker -(c)ch- may combine with the verbal stem in -(i)e, yielding a verbal form called the present perfect; the combining shape of the former marker in such cases is invariably -ch-. This is to say that the element (c) of the marker -(c)ch- not only deletes postconsonantally ... but also following the stem-deriving marker -(i)e-. Some examples are: dekhece 'has seen' (from monosyllabic dækh-), dekhieche (from disyllabic dækha-), diyeche 'has given' (from de- 'give'). The verbal stem in -(i)e-followed by -(c)ch- may further combine with the anterior aspect marker -l- to yield a verbal form called the past perfect; e.g. dekhechilam 'I/we had seen', dekhiechilam 'I/we had shown'."

Note furthermore that the perfective marker in the Eastern Indo-Aryan languages Assamese and Oriya is -i. If we choose to follow this reasoning, the morpheme-bymorpheme gloss of the Rājbanshi perfect would be:

[^47](310) देखिचु dek $^{h}-i-c-u$ 'see-PERFECTIVE-PRES-1sg' (i.e. 'I have seen')

Such a separation would highlight the fact that the perfect utilises present tense inflection (and therefore Set 2 primary agreement), and that the past continuous (or, remote past) uses past tense inflection (and therefore Set 1 primary agreement).

However, a strict parallelism between the perfect and the past continuous as regards the morpheme -इ -i can not be construed for two reasons. Firstly, if we state that the morpheme -इ-i denotes aspect in the past continuous, we would have still to question the purpose of the -s element which would link to the past tense marker (311). Secondly, as will be discussed in section 3.7.7.2, the primary meaning of the morpheme -इस्न / -इस्ल -isn/l seems not to be past perfectivity.
(311) *देखिस्नु *dek ${ }^{h}-i$-Sn-u *'see/look-(IM)PERFECTIVE-REM.PST-1sg' (i.e. 'I saw ${ }_{\text {[REMOTE] }}$ ', or 'I was looking ${ }_{\text {[PAST CONTINUOUS] }}{ }^{\text {' }}$

Conversely, if we stipulate a morpheme break before the past tense inflection, as in (312a), then we are compelled to state that the morpheme -इस -is represents the grammatical category of "imperfective". This could be plausible, and at least the variation encountered in conjunction with some secondary agreement markers does appear to render support for such an analysis (312b). Such an analysis would render the following morpheme breaks:
(312) a. देखिस्तु dek $^{h}$-is-n-u 'see/look-IMPERFECTIVE-PST-1sg' (i.e. 'I saw ${ }_{\text {[REMOTE] }}$ ', or 'I was looking $_{\text {[PAST CONTINUOUS] }}{ }^{\text {' }}$

However, due to uncertainty on the matter I have decided not to separate the possible aspectual and tense markers in these two cases but to treat them as units in their own right.

### 3.7.7.1 Perfect

The perfect marker is -इच -ic 'PERF'.

Table 35. Perfect

|  | V stem-final | ह- $h \Delta$ - stem-final | C stem-final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1sg | खाइचु $\mathrm{k}^{h} \mathrm{a}$-ic-e 'eat-PERF-1sg' | कहिचु $k s h-i c-u$ 'say-PERF-1sg' | आसिचु as-ic-u 'come-PERF-1sg' |
| 2sg | खाइचिस $k^{h a-i c-i s ~ ' e a t-P E R F-~}$ 2sg' <br> खाइचित $k^{h} a-i c-i t$ 'eat-PERF2sg' | कहिचिस kıh-ic-is 'say-PERF2sg, <br> कहिचित ksh-ic-it 'say-PERF-2sg' | आसिचिस as-ic-is 'come-PERF- <br> 2sg' <br> आसिचित as-ic-it 'come-PERF2sg' |
| 3sg | खाइचे $k^{h} a$-ic-e 'eat-PERF-3' | कहिचे ksh-ic-e 'say-PERF-3' | आसिचे as-ic-e 'come-PERF-3' |

Table 35. (cont.)

| 1 pl | खाइचि $k^{h}$ a-ic-i 'eat-PERF1 pl ' | कहिचि kıh-ic-i 'say-PERF-1pl' | आसिचि as-ic-i 'come-PERF-1pl' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2pl | खाइचन $k^{h} a-i c-\wedge n$ 'eat-PERF2 pl ' | कहिचन kıh-ic-ın 'say-PERF-2pl' | आसिचन as-ic-ın 'come-PERF2 pl ' |
| 3 pl | खाइचे $k^{h} a-i c-e$ 'eat-PERF-3' | कहिचे ksh-ic-e 'say-PERF-3' | आसिचे as-ic-e 'come-PERF-3' |

The perfect morphemes precede secondary agreement marking as follows.

Table 36. Perfect accompanied by secondary agreement

|  |  | Patient |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2sg | 2 pl | 3p |
|  | 1 p | ठुकिच्कुन $t^{h} u k$-ic-ku-n 'hit-PERF-SA2sg-1sg/pl' | ठुकिच्कन $t^{h} u k-i c-k \Lambda-n$ 'hit-PERF-SA2pl-1sg/pl' | ठुकिच्किन t thuk-ic-ki-n 'hit-PERF-SA3-1sg/pl' |
|  | 2sg | (secondary agreement not marked) | n/a | ठुकिच्कि t the-ic-ki 'hit-PERFSA3' |
|  | 2 pl | n /a | (secondary agreement not marked) | ठुकिच्किर $t^{h} u k-i c-k i-r \Lambda$ 'hit-PERF-SA3-2pl' |
|  | 3p | ठुकिच्कु $t^{h} u k$-ic-ku 'hit-PERFSA2sg' <br> ठुकिचोक $t^{h} u k$-ic-ok 'hit-PERFSA2sg' | ठुकिच्कन $t^{h} u k-i c-k \wedge n ~ ' h i t-$ PERF-SA2pl' | ठुकिच्किक $t^{h} u k-i c-k i$ 'hit-PERFSA3' <br> ठुकिचेक $t^{h} u k$-ic-ek 'hit-PERFSA3' |

Comrie (1993 [1976]:52) defines aspect as an "internal temporal constitution of a situation", and the perfect as indicating "the continuing present relevance of a past situation". Consider, for example (313) where the situation is viewed as a whole in relation to the present.
(313) जा त तुरिखानत गरु गाएँ प'इचे ने कि।
ja- $\varnothing$ t $\Lambda$ turi-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an- $\Lambda \mathrm{t}$ garu gae $\mathrm{p} \Lambda(\mathrm{r})$-ic-e ne ki go-IMP2sg PCL mustard_field-NCLS-LOC bullock cow fall-PERF-3 PCL[TAG] PQM 'Go to the mustard field and check whether cattle have gone (lit. 'landed') there.'

The definition of the perfect also leads to its use in the setting of scenes in narratives. Therefore the sentence in (314a) lays the setting for the information which follows it (314b).
(314) a. जब आस्बा देखि फेकाइचे... (cf. DLR.04.019)
j $\wedge b$ as-ba $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}$-i $p^{\text {heka-ic-e }}$
when come-INF see-ABS AUX-PERF-3
'When (the son-in-law) saw (lit. 'has seen') (him) coming...'
b. तब सुना जुवाँड सुना कहच्चिक...
t $\wedge \mathrm{b}$ suna juã-ḍ $\Lambda$ suna kıh $\wedge$-c-ki
then PCL son_in_law-NCLS PCL say-PRES-SA3
'Then the son-in-law said (lit. 'says') (to her)...'

Occasionally, however, the perfect occurs so frequently that one begins to question whether the perfect is actually being used as a simple past tense, or recent past. For example, sentences MR.04.025-027 (cf. Appendix 3) are problematic, since it is difficult to consider them as the section setting. Namely, they portray new information (in this case, prominent events). Closer study on the use of the perfect will need to be undertaken in further study.

With some verbs the perfect indicates a present state caused by a past action (315a). The remote past and present tenses are, however, used for the past (315b) and present (315c) continuous aspect respectively.
(315) a. आर एकखान लुङि पितिचे, आर दुइखान माला पिनिचे। (DLR.02.002)
ar ek-k ${ }^{\text {han }}$ luyi pin $^{\mathrm{h}}$-ic-e ar dui- $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ an mala $\mathrm{pin}^{\mathrm{h}}$-ic-e
and one-NCLS loincloth put_on-PERF-3 and two-NCLS garland put_on-PERF-3
'And he is wearing (lit. 'has put on') a loincloth, and two garlands.'
b. पित़िस्ले pin $^{h}$-isl-I 'put_on-REM.PST-3' (i.e. 'was putting on')
c. पिनेचे pin ${ }^{h}$-ec-e 'put_on-PRES-3' (i.e. 'is putting on')

The perfect was also found to indicate reason (note definition: "past action with present relevance") in a subordinate clause.
(316) एकटा नि फुस कडि पाइचे, ते याहाँर एतेखान गरम! (DLR.05.027)
ek-ṭa ni phus k^ḍi pa-ic-e te yaha-r
one-NCLS NEG worthless shell get-PERF-3 PCL(that) $3 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro/prox]-GEN
ete- $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ an $\quad \mathrm{g} \wedge \mathrm{r} \wedge \mathrm{m}$
this_much-NCLS hot
'(It is because) he has got ( $\sim$ found; received) a worthless shell that he is so proud.'

The perfect uses past habitual forms in the negative. Interestingly, this is similar to the past continuous/remote past and the quasi-aspectual auxiliaries. Exceptions do, however, occur (cf. sections 3.7.7.4 and 7.4.2.4).
(317) बुऱा तुऱा त मरे तरे नि। (cf. MR.04.078)
bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ tur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ t $\Lambda$ m $\Delta$ r-e t $\Delta r-\mathrm{e}$ ni
old_man [echo]old_man PCL die-PST.HAB3 [echo]die-PST.HAB3 NEG
'The old man ${ }_{[D E R O G A T O R Y]}$ hasn't died at all!'

### 3.7.7.2 Past continuous, remote past

The past continuous and remote past are formed by the suffix -इस्न -isn for the 1 p , and -इस्ल -isl 'REM.PST' for the 2 p and 3 p .

Table 37. Past continuous, remote past

|  | V stem-final | ह- $h \Delta$ - stem-final | C stem-final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1sg | खाइस्नु $k^{h a-i s n-u}$ 'eat-REM.PST-1sg' | कहिस्नु kлh-isn-u'say-REM.PST-1sg' | आसिस्नु as-isn-u 'come-REM.PST-1sg' |
| 2sg | खाइस्लो $k^{h} a$-isl-o 'eat-REM.PST-2sg' | कहिस्लो kıh-isl-o 'say-REM.PST-2sg, | आसिस्लो as-isl-o 'come-REM.PST-2sg' |
| 3 sg | खाइस्ले $k^{h a}$-isl-I 'eat-REM.PST-3' | कहिस्ले kıh-isl-I 'say-REM.PST-3' | आसिस्ले as-isl-I 'come-REM.PST-3' |
| 1 pl | खाइस्न $k^{h}$ a-isn- $\Lambda$ 'eat-REM.PST-1pl' | कहिस्न kлh-isn-s 'say-REM.PST-1pl' | आसिस्न as-isn- 'come-REM.PST-1pl' |
| 2pl | खाइस्लन $k^{h a-i s l-\Lambda n}$ 'eat-REM.PST-2pl' | कहिस्लन ksh-isl-ın 'say-REM.PST-2pl' | आसिस्लन as-isl-ın 'come-REM.PST-2pl' |
| 3 pl | खाइस्ले $k^{h} a$-isl-I 'eat-REM.PST-3' | कहिस्ले ksh-isl-I 'say-REM.PST-3' | आसिस्ले as-isl-I 'come-REM.PST-3' |

Secondary agreement marking occurs as follows:

Table 38. Past continuous, remote past accompanied by secondary agreement

|  |  | Patient |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2sg | 2pl | 3p |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{0} \\ & 4 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 1p | ठुकिसिन्कुन $t^{h} u k-i s i n-k u-n ~ ' h i t-~$ REM.PST-SA $2 \mathrm{sg}-1 \mathrm{sg} / 1 \mathrm{pl}$ ' ठुकिस्नुकुन $t^{h} u k-i s n-u-k u-n$ 'hit-REM.PST-1sg-SA2sg-1sg' | ठुकिसिन्कन $t^{h} u k-i s i n-k \Lambda-n$ 'hit-REM.PST-SA2pl-1sg/1pl' | ठुकिसिन्किन $t^{h} u k-i s i n-k i-n$ 'hit-REM.PST-SA3-1sg/1pl' ठुकिस्निकिन $t^{h} u k-i s n i-k i-n$ 'hit-REM.PST-SA3-1sg' |
|  | 2sg | (secondary agreement not marked) | n/a | ठुकिस्लिकि $t^{h} u k-i s l i-k i$ 'hit-REM.PST-SA3' ठुकिसिल्कि t thuk-isil-ki 'hit-REM.PST-SA3' |
|  | 2 pl | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | (secondary agreement not marked) | ठुकिस्लिकिर $t^{h} u k$-isli-ki-rs 'hit-REM.PST-SA3-2pl' ठुकिसिल्किर $t^{h} u k$-isil-ki-rs 'hit-REM.PST-SA3-2pl' |
|  | 3p | ठुकिस्लुकु $t^{h} u k-i s l u-k u$ 'hit-REM.PST-SA2sg' ठुकिसिल्कु th $^{h} u k$-isil-ku 'hit-REM.PST-SA2sg' | ठुकिस्लकन $t^{h} u k-i s l \Lambda-k \wedge n$ 'hit-REM.PST-SA2pl' ठुकिसिल्कन $t^{h} u k-i s i l-k ı n$ 'hit-REM.PST-SA2pl' | ठुकिस्लिकि $t^{h} u k-i s l i-k i$ 'hit-REM.PST-SA3' ठुकिसिल्कि $t^{h} u k-i s i l-k i ~ ' h i t-~$ REM.PST-SA3' |

In general, the morpheme has a past continuous (318a-b) or past (318c) rendering.
(318) a. ए बुरि, तुइ कुमाखाए भागिस्लो? (MR.04.136)
e: bur ${ }^{\text {h }}{ }^{\text {b }}$ tui kun-mak ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ae $b^{\text {hag-isl-o }}$
INJ old_woman $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] which-direction escape-REM.PST-2sg
'Eh, old woman, what way were you escaping?'
b. एनङ उहाँ छागल चराइस्ले। (cf. MR.05.006)
en $\wedge$ yhã $c^{\text {hag }}{ }^{\text {al }} \quad$ c^ra-isl-I
like_this 3 sg[pro] female_goat graze-REM.PST-3
'He was grazing the goats like this.'
c. उहाँ घरेर ति आस्बा चाहिस्ले ताहें उड सोरे आर उड बाषे उहाँक पक्रि फेकाल्कि। (cf. MR.05.013)
uhã $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ r-er ti as-ba cah-isl-r tahe u-ḍ $\Lambda$
3sg[pro] house-GEN towards come-INF try-REM.PST-3 immediately that-NCLS
sor $=\mathrm{e} \quad$ ar $u$-ḍ $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{bag}^{\mathrm{h}}=\mathrm{e} \quad$ uhã-k p $\quad$ kr-i $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eka-l-ki
pig $=$ EMPH and that-NCLS tiger $=$ EMPH 3 sg[pro]-DAT catch-ABS AUX-PST-SA 3
'He tried to go home, but (just) then that boar and that tiger caught him.'

However, the distinction between (319a) and (319b) is uncertain.
(319) a. उहाँ आस्ले।
uhã as-l-I
$3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ come-PST-3
'He came.'
b. उहाँ आसिस्ले।
uhã as-isl-I
3sg[pro] come-REM.PST-3
'He came.' (or past continuous, 'He was coming.')

Discussion with informants lead one to consider (319b) as a "remote past", that is a point of time which is relatively more remote than the (simple) past in (319a). So far only two cases of indirect evidence have been found which render some support to this analysis.

Firstly, the remote past seems to relate to recollecting a past state or action (320a-c).
(320) a. [त माने] एक दुइ चटि माने मुइ अम़ार घर गिस्तु, भेटघाट करिस्नु, गपसप करिस्नु। (cf. DLR.03.009)
t $\Lambda$ mane ek dui caṭi mane mui $\quad \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{g}$-isn-u
PCL PCL one two time PCL 1sg[pro] 3pl[pro]-GEN house go-REM.PST-1sg

visit do-REM.PST-1sg chat do-REM.PST-1sg
'(I remember) I went to his ${ }_{[S G . H O N O R I F I C]}$ house once or twice, met (him) and had a chat (with him).'
b. आर कहिस्ले कर भी... (cf. DLR.03.010)
ar k $\wedge$ h-isl-I k $\wedge \wedge_{\Lambda} b^{h}$ i...
and say-REM.PST- 3 even CNJ(Hindi)...
'And (I remember) the friend of my father's also said...'
c. एक चटि हामार गाअँ आसिस्ले सतसंगेर खुना, आप हिदर से त आसा जाइ नि कर्चे। (DLR.03.011)
ek csṭi hama-r gã̃ as-isl-I sıts y-er kna
one time 1 pl[pro]-GEN village come-REM.PST-3 religious_fellowship-GEN during ap hid $\Delta \mathrm{r}$ se t $\Lambda$ as-a ja-i ni k $n$ r-c-e PCL over_here ABL PCL come-DVBL go-DVBL NEG do-PRES-3
'(I remember) once, he came to our village at the time of (a) religious (gathering), but since then he has not been visiting.'

Secondly, indirect evidence for the possible remote past interpretation comes from the translation of the morpheme into Nepali. Rājbanshi informants who are fluent in the national language Nepali translate (321a) into Nepali with the past continuous (321b), and/or the past perfect (321c).
(321) a. उहाँ छागल चराइस्ले। (cf. MR.05.006)
uhã chagnl cara-isl-I
3sg[pro] female_goat graze-REM.PST-3
'He was grazing the goats.'
b. उ बाख्रा चराउँदै थियो।
u bak ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ra cəraũ-dəi $t^{\text {hi }}$ i-yo
3 sgLGH[pro] goat(female) graze-CONT.PTCL be $_{\text {[PST.STEM] }}{ }^{-3} 3 \mathrm{sgLGH}$
'He was grazing the goats.'
c. उस्ले बाख्रा चराएको थियो।

| us-le | bak $^{\mathrm{h}}$ ra | cəra-eko | $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$-yo |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 sgLGH[pro]-ERG | goat(female) $)$ | graze-PST.PTCL | be $_{\text {[PST.STEM] }}{ }^{-3 \operatorname{sgLGH}}$ |

'He grazed the goats.'

Translation (321c), however, does not have the past perfect but a simple past meaning. Matthews (1984:152-153) asserts that the Nepali past perfect can be used for the past perfect or for the simple past:
"The First Pluperfect Tense often corresponds to the English pluperfect 'I had done', 'we had gone', etc. ... In many cases, however, the First Pluperfect Tense may be used in place of the Simple Past Tense, meaning 'I did', 'we came' etc. This is often so when the event referred to took place in the recent past or when the actual time is specified."

Examples given by Matthews to support this analysis include the following (transliteration and morpheme-to-morpheme gloss mine):
(322) a. Nepali

भानुभक्त आचार्यको जन्म पश्चिम नेपालको एउटा सानो गाउँमा भएको थियो।
$b^{\text {hanub }}{ }^{\text {h }}$ ktə acaryə-ko jənmə pəścim nepal-ko euṭa sano gaũ-ma
Bhānubakta Āchārya-GEN birth west Nepal-GEN one small village-LOC
b $^{\text {h}}$-eko $\quad \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}$ i-yo
come_about-PST.PTCL be $_{\text {[PST.STEM] }}{ }^{-3 \text { sgLGH }}$
'Bhānubakta Āchārya was born in a small village in West Nepal.' (Bhānubakta Āchārya was a famous nineteenth-century Nepali poet.)
(Matthews 1984:153)
b. Nepali

हिजो म तिमीकहाँ आएको थिएँ तर तिमी घरमा थिएनौ।
hijo mə timi-kəhã a-eko thi-ẽ
yesterday $1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $] 2 \mathrm{sgMGH}[$ pro $]$-location come-PST.PTCL be $_{\text {[PST.STEM] }}{ }^{-1 \mathrm{sg}}$
trrə timi $g^{\text {h }}$ r-ma $t^{\text {hi }}$-en-əu
but $2 \mathrm{sgMGH}\left[\right.$ pro house-LOC $\mathrm{be}_{\text {[PST.STEM] }}$-NEG- 2 sgMGH
'I came to see you yesterday, but you were not at home.'
(Matthews 1984:153)

Defining 'remoteness' in this case proved to be difficult. Though the interpretation is possible, I am unable to reach any conclusive resolution at this stage.

The morpheme takes the past perfect sense when the verb is accompanied by a quasiaspectual auxiliary. By "past perfect" I mean that an action that has been terminated before a past reference point (323b). The implications of the affect of the auxiliary in this context will be further considered in section 3.7.9.2.2.
(323) a. जेइखुना उहाँए आसिस्ले ते मुइ बेराइस्नु।
jei-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ una unãe as-isl-I te mui bera-isn-u what-time $3 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro come-REM.PST-3 PCL(then) $1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro walk-REM.PST-3
'I was walking when he came.' (simultaneous actions)
b. मुइ आसिए पुग्बार खुना उहॉँए चलि आसिस्ले।
mui as-ie pug-ba-r $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ una uhãe csl-i as-isl-I $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] come-CONJ.PTCL arrive-INF-GEN during $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] AUX-ABS come-REM.PST-3 'When I arrived he had (already) come.'

In addition to these, there is one other case where the past continuous/remote past seems to be able to function as a past perfect. Consider (318a-b) where the sentences have a single past action; the morpheme in these cases has a past or a past continuous
implication. Likewise, if, as in (318c), (323a) and (325a), there are two simultaneous, or partially simultaneous (i.e. "overlapping") past actions, the morpheme will have a past or past continuous rendering (cf. also section 3.7.7.5 on the prospective aspect).

However, if, as in (324a-b), a sentence has two past actions, the first ([action1]) being completed before the commencement of the second ([action2]), the morpheme may denote the past perfect.
(324) a. खेटियाला राति घुरि आसिए, जेइठिना बुरि कचु बुनिए गिस्ले, हतिन से उला कचु निक्लाच्कि। (cf.

MR.04.025)
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ etia-la rati $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}}$ uri as-ie
jackal-PL night during come-CONJ.PTCL
jei-t thina bur ${ }^{\text {hi }}$ kıcu bun-ie $\quad$-isl-r
REL[obl/ip]-place old_woman vegetable plant-CONJ.PTCL go-REM.PST-3
hatin se u-la kıcu nikl-a-c-ki
there ABL DEM[rem]-PL vegetable take_out-PRES-SA3
ACTION2a '[The jackals came during the night], (and)
action 1 [where the old man and old woman had planted the vegetables and left],
ACTION2b [from there they took out the boiled vegetables].'
b. मुइ गिस्नु हाग्बा आर काहें नि काहें आसिएने मोर घरेर लोकटक काटि दिछे। (cf. DLR.06.084a)
mui g-isn-u hag-ba ar kahe ni kahe as-iene
1sg[pro] go-REM.PST-1sg defecate-INF and who NEG who come-CONJ.PTCL
mo-r $\quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge$ r-er lok-ṭ-k kat-i $\quad$ d-ich ${ }^{\text {h }}$ e
1sg[obl]-GEN house-GEN man-NCLS-DAT cut-ABS AUX-PERF-3
action ' '['I had gone to defecate], and (then)
ACTION2a [someone came] and
ACTION2b [cut up my husband].'

This feature is based on preliminary observations, and requires further text-based analysis.
Finally, as with the perfect, the past continuous/remote past uses past habitual morphology for the negative. Therefore, the negative response to the question in the past continuous in (325a) is in the past habitual (325b).
(325) a. Question

मुइ ते कान्बार लाखाति करिस्नु, तोक कि कान्बार लाखाति लागिस्तुकु? (cf. DLR.04.038)
mui te kan-ba-r lak ${ }^{\text {hati } k ı r-i s n-u ~}$
1sg[pro] PCL cry-INF-GEN like do-REM.PST-1sg
to-k ki kan-ba-r lak ${ }^{\text {hati }}$ lag-islu-ku
2sg[obl]-DAT PQM cry-INF-GEN like feel-REM.PST-SA2sg
'When I was acting as if I was crying, did you feel as if I was (really) crying?'
b. Response

नि लागे। (cf. DLR.04.039)
ni lag-e
NEG feel-PST.HAB3
'(No) I didn't.'

### 3.7.7.3 Continuous

The continuous aspect in Rājbanshi is conveyed in several ways. Firstly the verb रह- rıh'remain; live; be' denotes imperfectivity, when used as an auxiliary and when preceded by the matrix verb marked with the continuous participle -ते -te 'CONT.PTCL'. Depending on the context and the semantics of the verb this may imply continuous action (326a) or iterativity (326b). Additional support for the imperfective analysis of the past continuous (cf. section 3.7.7.2), is that it is interchangeable with the imperfective construction in (326a).
(326) a. मुइ जेबेला आसिए पुग्नु ते उहाँए खराक खाते रहए (or, खाइस्ले)।
mui je-bela as-ie pug-n-u te
$1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro what-time come-CONJ.PTCL arrive-PST-1sg PCL(then)
uhãe $\quad k^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge$ rak k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-te rıhл-e (or, k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$-isl-I)
3sg[pro] food eat-CONT.PTCL AUX(CONT)-PST.HAB3 (or, eat-REM.PST-3)
'When I arrived, he was eating food.'
b. आर कहिस्ले कर (भी) समय समयत आस्ते रहिस। (DLR.03.010)
ar kлh-isl-I kırı (b ${ }^{\text {hi }}$ ) s and say-REM.PST-3 even (CNJ(Hindi)) time time-LOC come-CONT.PTCL rıh-is

AUX(CONT)-SUBJ2sg
'And the friend of my father's also said: "Keep visiting once in a while." '

Conversely, if the preceding verb is marked with the conjunctive participle instead of the continuous participle, the verb रह- rah-'remain; live; be' retains its original semantics (327).
(327) बाघड सुनिए रहप्कि (cf. MR.05.081)
bag $^{\text {h }}$-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ sun-ie rıh $\Lambda-\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ki}$
tiger-NCLS hear-CONJ.PTCL remain-FUT-SA3
'The tiger remained (there) listening.'

A similar construction using the copula छ- $c^{h}$ - 'be' also conveys the continuous aspect (328a). Informants from Jhāpā considered the construction to be a loan, unless the
continuous participle is marked with the emphatic clitic (328b). However, the construction appears to be common in the Morang dialects (328c).
(328) a. ?/* मुइ जेबेला आसिए पुग्नु ते उहाँए खराक खाते छिले।
?/* mui je-bela as-ie pug-n-u
?/* 1sg[pro] what-time come-CONJ.PTCL arrive-PST-1sg
te uhãe $k^{\text {h }} \Lambda$ rak $k^{\text {ha}}$-te $c^{\text {hi-l-I }}$
PCL(then) $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] food eat-CONT.PTCL be-PST-3
?/* 'When I arrived, he was eating food.'
b. मुइ जेबेला आसिए पुग्नु ते उहाँए खराक खातेइ छिले।
mui je-bela as-ie pug-n-u
1sg[pro] what-time come-CONJ.PTCL arrive-PST-1sg
te uhãe $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge$ rak $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-te $=\mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-1-\mathrm{I}$
PCL(then) $3 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro food eat-CONT.PTCL $=$ EMPH be-PST- 3
'When I arrived, he was eating food.'
c. अइड मोटोर साइकलत उहाँए चरिएना आस्ते छे। (in narrative BRN.001; Rangeli)
$\Lambda i-d ̣ \Lambda \quad$ moṭor saikıl- $\Lambda t$ wãe $c \wedge r^{h}$-iena
DEM[rem/emph]-NCLS motor cycle-LOC 3sg[pro] ride-CONJ.PTCL
as-te $\quad c^{\text {h }}$-e
come-CONT.PTCL be-PRES3
'He is coming, riding on that (very) motorcycle.'

If the copula छ- $c^{h}$ - 'be' is preceded by a verb with the conjunctive participle, the continuous aspect is often implied. This comes about from a past action which results in a present state. That is, in (329a) the buffalo is 'in a state of having hidden' and is therefore 'hiding', and in (329b) the Rājbanshi woman is 'in a state of having sat down' and is therefore 'sitting'. ${ }^{58}$
(329) a. तने हिदर सुना भइसट नुकाए छिकि... (cf. MR.05.148)
tıne $\operatorname{hid} \wedge r$ suna $b^{h} \Lambda i s-t ̣ \Lambda \quad$ nuka-e $c^{h}$-i-ki...
PCL over_here PCL water_buffalo-NCLS hide-CONJ.PTCL be-PRES-SA3...
'(Then) the wild buffalo was hiding over here...'
b. उखान गरु गारित एकटा राजबंसि बेर्छानि बठिए छे...(cf. MR.02.002)
$u-k^{h}$ an garu gari-t ek-ṭa rajbıysi berc $^{\mathrm{h}}$ ani $b \Delta t^{\mathrm{h}}$-ie
DEM[rem]-NCLS bullock cart-LOC one-NCLS Rājbanshi woman sit-CONJ.PTCL
$c^{\mathrm{h}}$-e...
be-PRES3...
'There is a Rājbanshi woman sitting on that bullock cart...'

[^48]However, the continuous aspect of the main verb $\begin{aligned} & \text { 玉 } c^{h} \text {-e 'be-PRES3' is not implied in }\end{aligned}$ (330a), since the action of the non-finite आसिए as-ie 'come-CONJ.PTCL' has already ended prior to the (resulting) state of the inflected predicate. Verb semantics (more specifically, telicity) may play an important role in this regard. That is, in (330a) the action of 'coming' in the telic sense results in the current state of 'being present'. The construction in (330a) is therefore almost semantically identical to the perfect tense (330b).
(330) a. आसिए छे।
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { as-ie } & \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e} \\ \text { come-CONJ.PTCL } & \text { be-PRES3 }\end{array}$
'S/he (is) here.' (Lit. 'Having come, s/he is here.')
b. आसिचे।
as-ic-e
come-PERF-3
'S/he has come.'

The difference between (330a) and (330b), if any, is that the construction in (330a) focuses on the resulting state. Since the perfect aspect also denotes a past action with present relevance (cf. section 3.7.7.1), it could well be that (330a) is merely a periphrastic perfect. The construction is not uncommon in other Indo-Aryan languages as Masica (1991:276) points out:
"Less problematic is the specification of Perfect (or "Resultative") under Perfective in Bengali, Assamese, Oriya and Literary Sinhala, Nepali, Kumauni, and Kashmiri. Rather than the Past Participle, the Conjunctive Participle (also implying "completed" or "preceding" action) + Present/Past Aux is employed for this purpose in the first three languages names (and Assamese), and special forms in -eko, -a, -mut in the next three respectively."

Finally, in some cases the continuous participle (331a) and the infinitive (331b) are interchangeable and denote the continuous aspect (cf. section 3.7.10.1 on the infinitive).
(331) a. उहाँक मुइ आस्बा देख्नु।
uhã-k mui as-ba $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{u}$
3sg[pro]-DAT 1sg[pro] come-INF see-PST-1sg
'I saw him coming.'
b. उहाँक मुइ आस्ते देख्नु।
uhã-k mui as-te $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{u}$
$3 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro]-DAT $1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro come-CONT.PTCL see-PST-1sg
'I saw him coming.'

### 3.7.7.4 Past habitual

The past habitual markers in Rājbanshi are as follows:

Table 39. Past habitual

|  | Stem-final V | $h \lambda$-stem-final | Stem-final C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1sg | खाउ $k^{h} a-u$ 'eat-PST.HAB1sg' | कहु kıh-u 'say-PST.HAB1sg' | आसु as-u 'comePST.HAB1sg' |
| 2sg | खाइस $k^{h} a-i s$ 'eatPST.HAB2sg' | कहिस kıh-is 'sayPST.HAB2sg' | आसिस as-is 'comePST.HAB2sg' |
| 3sg | खाए $k^{h} a-e$ 'eat-PST.HAB3' | कहे kıh-e 'say-PST.HAB3' | आसे as-e 'come-PST.HAB3' |
| 1 pl |  | कहि kıh-i 'say-PST.HAB1pl' | आसि as-i 'comePST.HAB1pl' |
| 2pl | खाअन (खान) $k^{h} a-(\Lambda) n$ 'eatPST.HAB2pl' | कहन kıh-ın 'sayPST.HAB2pl' | आसन as-ın 'comePST.HAB2pl' |
| 3 pl | खाए $k^{h} a-e$ 'eat-PST.HAB3' | कहे kıh-e 'say-PST.HAB3' | आसे as-e 'come-PST.HAB3' |

The past habitual morphemes precede, or are fused with, secondary agreement marking, as shown in Table 40. The vowel -e in forms such as ठुकेकन t thu-ekın 'hit-SA2pl' or ठुकेकि $t^{h} u k$-eki 'hit-SA3' is regarded to be simply an epenthetic vowel, and not the past habitual 3p marking (and therefore, they are not glossed as ठुकेकन $t^{h} u k-e-k \wedge n ~ ' h i t-P S T . H A B 3-~$
 observation that the same vowel -e occurs in the form ठुकेकन $t^{h} u k$-eka-n 'hit-SA2pl-1pl' though the past habitual marker for the 1 pl is - - $-i$.

Table 40. Past habitual accompanied by secondary agreement

|  |  | Patient |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2sg | 2pl | 3p |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8} \\ & 4 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 1p | ठुकेकुन (ठुक्कन) t thuk-(e)ku-n 'hit-SA2sg-1sg/1pl' | ठुकेकन (ठुक्कन) $t^{h} u k$-(e)kı-n 'hit-SA2pl-1sg/1pl' | ठुकेकिन (ठुक्किन) $t^{h} u k$-(e)ki-n 'hit-SA3-1sg/1pl' |
|  | 2sg | (secondary agreement not marked) | n/a | ठुक्कि $t^{h} u k-k i$ 'hit-SA3' ठुकिस्कि $t^{h} u k-i s-k i$ 'hit-PST.HAB2sg-SA3' |
|  | 2 pl | n/a | (secondary agreement not marked) | ठुक्किर $t^{h} u k$-ki-rA 'hit-SA3-2pl' ठुकिस्किर $t^{h} u k-i s-k i-r \Lambda ~ ' h i t-$ PST.HAB2pl-SA3-2pl' |
|  | 3 p | ठुकेकु (ठुक्कु) $t^{h} u k-(e) k u$ 'hitSA2sg' | ठुकेकन (ठुक्कन) $t^{h} u k$-(e)kın 'hitSA2pl' | ठुकेकि (ठुक्कि) $t^{h} u k$-(e)ki 'hitSA3' |

I do not restrict the term "habitual" to iterativity, though in some cases this is indeed implied. Comrie (1993 [1976]:27-28) maintains that the habituals:
"...describe a situation which is characteristic of an extended period of time, so extended in fact that the situation referred to is viewed not as an incidental property of the moment but, precisely, as a characteristic feature of a whole period. If the individual situation is one that can be protracted indefinitely in time, then there is no need for iterativity to be involved... though equally it is not excluded. If the situation is one that cannot be protracted, then the only reasonable interpretation will involve iterativity."

The same applies in Rājbanshi. The continuous aspect seems to be implied in all cases of the past habitual, whereas iterativity does not. The situation in (332a) is logically not iterative, whereas the situation in (332b) most likely is (iterativity in this example may, of course, result from the reduplicated non-finite verbs).
(332) a.

एकटा गाँँत एकटा बुऱा रहे। (cf. DLR.04.001)
ek-ṭa gã̃-t ek-ṭa bur ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ rah-e
one-NCLS village-LOC one-NCLS old_man live-PST.HAB3
'In a village there used to live an old man.'
b. बेटिर घर जाइ आसि कर्ते रहे। (DLR.04.005)
beṭi-r $\quad g^{h} \Lambda r \quad$ ja-i as-i k $\quad$ r-te $\quad$ rah-e daughter-GEN house go-DVBL come-DVBL do-CONT.PTCL AUX(CONT)-PST.HAB3 'He used to keep coming and going to (his) daughter's house.'

As mentioned in section 3.7.6.2, past habitual morphology is also used for the present tense. Whether this indicates that Rājbanshi is in a state of reanalysing the present and past habitual is not clear. (Dialects from further east are reported to use past habitual marking for the present tense more frequently. Irregularities found in the text corpus may point to this tendency, but unfortunately the claim can not be supported by the text data at hand.)

Past habitual marking for the present tense can occur in conjunction with modal auxiliaries (333a). It is also to be found following adverbial phrases of purpose (333b). Past habitual inflection in these cases has been glossed as the present tense.
(333) a. बदला त लिबा लागे अमार सङे हामाक।" (cf. MR.04.048)
b $\Lambda$ dla t $\Lambda$ li-ba lag-e $\quad \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{r}$ s $\quad$ ye hama-k
revenge PCL take-INF should-PRES3 3pl[pro]-GEN with $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{DAT}$
'...we have to take revenge on them.'
b. त सुना सभाए देख्बा जाए। (DLR.06.002)
ts suna $s \wedge b^{h}$ ae dek ${ }^{h}$-ba ja-e
PCL PCL all see-INF go-PRES3/PST.HAB3
'Everyone went (or, used to go) to see.'

The common denominator in the two examples above is that the main verb in (333a) and the adverbial phrase in (333b) are both marked with the infinitive. Past habitual marking in these constructions is by no means obligatory, and therefore, when compared to (333b), the present tense in (334) does not cause any change in meaning. ${ }^{59}$ (Note that the tense marker here is actually the present, though it has been glossed as the past. This is due to tense neutralisation, which will be discussed further in section 8.1.)

## (334) त सुना सभाए देख्बा जाछे। (DLR.06.002)

t $\Lambda$ suna $s \wedge b^{h} a e d e k^{h}-b a \quad j a-c^{h}-e$
PCL PCL all see-INF go-PRES-3
'Everyone went to see.'

Moreover, the past habitual and present tense marking are found to be interchangeable when the past habitual is used for the present habitual (335a-b), or in impersonal passive constructions (335c; cf. section 7.5.1.3).
(335) a. त्रिया चरित्र बुझाल नि जाए (or, जाछे), सामिक मारिए सति जाए (or, जाछे)। (cf. DLR.06.000)
triya caritr $\operatorname{buj}^{\text {h}}$-al ni ja-e
female behaviour understand-PST.PTCL NEG PASS.AUX-PST.HAB3
sami-k mar-ie s sti ja-e (or, ja-ch ee)
husband-DAT kill-CONJ.PTCL sati go-PST.HAB3 (or, go-PRES-3)
'The (cunning) behaviour of a woman can not be understood: she will kill her husband and (then) be burnt (with him) in (the) sati (rite).'
b. इड कि कहए (or, कहचे)?
i-d $\Lambda \quad$ ki k $\wedge$ h $\wedge-\mathrm{e}$
DEM[prox]-NCLS what say-PST.HAB3 (or, k or, say-PRES-3)
c. आप मायाँ लागा करे काटे? (cf. DLR.06.057)
ap maya lag-a kıre kat-e

PCL affection apply-DVBL ADVL cut-SUBJ3
'Now, should (one) kill (one's husband) with affection?'

Conversely, when habituality is not implied, past habitual and present inflection are (usually) not interchangeable. Compare (336a) with (336b): the past habitual marking on (336b) does not imply the present tense.

[^49](336) a. आर मर्दनाडउ भी हाँसेचे। (MR.02.014)
ar m $\Lambda$ rd $\Lambda n a-d_{\Lambda}=u \quad b^{h_{i}} \quad$ has-ec-e
and man-NCLS $=\mathrm{CNJ}$ CNJ(Hindi) laugh-PRES-3
'And also the man is laughing.'
b. आर मर्दनाडउ भी हाँसे।
ar m mrd $\Lambda n a-d$.
and man-NCLS $=\mathrm{CNJ}$ CNJ(Hindi) laugh-PST.HAB3
'And also the man used to laugh.' (* 'And also the man is laughing.')

Finally, due to the fact that both the perfect and the past continuous/remote past take past habitual marking in the negative, as with the past habitual itself, the interpretation of certain sentences may be ambiguous. Therefore (337) has three possible interpretations.

## (337) आर मर्दनाडउ भी नि हाँसे।

ar m $\wedge$ rd $\wedge n a-d ̣=u \quad b^{h} i \quad$ ni has-e
and man-NCLS $=\mathrm{CNJ}$ CNJ(Hindi) NEG laugh-PST.HAB3
'And neither did the man used to laugh.' (negative of past habitual), or
'And neither has the man laughed.' (negative of perfect), or
'And neither did the man laugh / was the man laughing.' (negative of past continuous/remote past)

### 3.7.7.5 Prospective

The prospective aspect was found in two instances. Firstly, the non-finite complement आस्बार as-ba-r 'come-INF-GEN' in (338a) renders prospective effect. In other cases the non-finite complement is purely adjectival (338b). Whether the distinction between these two identical constructions is due to the semantic properties of the verb, or purely down to convention, has yet to be determined. Some informants feel that (338a) is a loan construction, but that (338b) is native to Rājbanshi.
(338) a. मुइ आस्बार छिनु।
mui as-ba-r chinin
1sg[pro] come-INF-GEN be-PST-1sg
'I was about to come.' (prospective)
b. मुइ देख्बार छिनु।
mui $\quad \operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}$-ba-r $\quad c^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{u}$
1sg[pro] see-INF-GEN be-PST-1sg
'I was handsome.' (adjectival)

Secondly, the prospective is found in constructions such as (339a-b). Here the verb of an embedded clause (i.e. आसिम as-im 'come-FUT1sg' in (339a)) is reduplicated and then followed by the verbaliser कर- kar- 'do' (339a), or ह ha- 'be' (339b). Irrespective of the subject, the embedded clause retains 1 sg agreement, and the verbaliser is always in the past continuous/remote past. This most likely originates from an embedded direct discourse construction.
(339) a. मुइ आसिम आसिम करिस्नु तात त तुहें चलि आस्लो।
mui as-im as-im kar-isn-u
$1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ come-FUT1sg come-FUT1sg do-REM.PST-1sg
tat ts tu=he cal-i as-l-o
while PCL $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]=$ EMPH AUX-ABS come-PST-2sg
'I was about to come, (but) in the meantime, you came.'
b. तुइ पुगिम पुगिम हइस्लो तात त मुहें चलि आस्तु।
tui pug-im pug-im h $\wedge$-isl-o
2 sg [pro] arrive-FUT1sg arrive-FUT1sg be-REM.PST-2sg
tat t t mu=he cal-i ge-n-u
while PCL 1sg[obl]=EMPH AUX-ABS go-PST-1sg
'You were about to arrive, (but) in the meantime, I left.'

### 3.7.8 Mood

### 3.7.8.1 Imperative

The imperative mood is marked with the $\varnothing$-morpheme in the singular, and $-अ-\Lambda$ in the plural. (Refer to section 3.7.1.2 for further discussion on irregularities of CC-final stems in the imperative.)

Table 41. Imperative

|  |  | V stem-final | ह- $h \lambda$ - stem-final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2sg | - $\varnothing$ | खा $k^{h} a-\varnothing$ 'eat-IMP2sg' | कोहो koho- $\varnothing$ 'say-IMP2sg' |
|  | -ek | - | - |
| 2 pl | - $\Lambda$ | खाअ $k^{h} a-\Lambda$ 'eat-IMP2pl' | कह $k \Lambda h-\Lambda$ 'say-IMP2pl' |
|  |  | C stem-final | CC stem-final |
| 2sg | - $\varnothing$ | बठ् $b \Lambda t^{h}-\varnothing$ 'sit-IMP2sg' | - |
|  | -ek | बठेक bit $t^{h}$-ek 'sit-IMP2sg' | सम्ठेक sımt ${ }^{\text {h }}$-ek 'finish-IMP2sg' |
|  | CC-cluster breaking | - | समठ sımst ${ }^{\text {h }}$ 'finish-IMP2sg' |
| 2 pl | - | बठ b $4 t^{\text {t }}$ - $\Lambda$ 'sit-IMP2pl' | सम्ठ $s \Lambda m t^{h}{ }^{\text {- }}$ 'finish-IMP2pl' |

The imperative singular has a second form -एक -ek 'IMP2sg' which is only found on Cfinal stems (340a). The -एक -ek imperative form is not related to the secondary agreement marker -एक -ek '-SA3' as the example (340a) shows. The -एक -ek imperative form is a pure variant; the 2 sg honorific imperative is expressed with the plural imperative (340b). ${ }^{60}$
(340) a. (मोर कुर्सिखानत) बठ् / बठेक।
(mo-r kursi-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an- $\Lambda \mathrm{t}$ ) b $\quad$ t! $\varnothing \_/$b $\Lambda t-\mathrm{ek}$
1 sg [obl]-GEN chair-NCLS-LOC) sit-IMP2sg
'Sit (on my chair).' (honorifics non-specified; to an adult/child)
b. बठ।
b $\wedge$ t- $-\Lambda$
sit-IMP2pl
'Sit.' (2pl/honorifics non-specified; 2sg/honorific)

Secondary agreement is used in both the singular and the plural to denote the benefactor (341). The imperative plural makes use of the 2 pl agent marker -र -rs '-2pl' (cf. section 3.7.5.2).
(341) तुइ जाए ठुक्कि त!
tui ja-e $t^{\text {h } u k-k i ~ t ~} \Lambda$
2sg[pro] go-CONJ.PTCL hit-SA3 PCL
'Go and hit (him on their behalf).'

[^50]After imperative Sing. (3rd P.); As. dekhd-k; Bg. dekhu-k; O. dekhu.
After imperative Pl. (3rd. P); E. As. māranto; pālanto-k; O. dekhantu.
After imp. Sing. (2nd P.); māre-k; mār, strike. (Hāijong)."
Poudel (2005:82; 2006:86) maintains that the imperative morpheme -ek in the Rājbanshi dialect spoken in eastern Jhāpā is honorific. This was not found to be the case in the Rājbanshi varieties studied for this work.

Table 42. Imperative accompanied by secondary agreement

|  | V stem-final | ह- $h \Delta$ - stem-final | C stem-final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2sg | खाकि $k^{h} a-k i$ 'eat-SA3' | कोहोकि koho-ki 'say-SA3' कहकि kıha-ki 'say-SA3' | बठ्कि b $4 t^{h}$-ki 'sit-SA3' <br> बठेक्कि b $\Delta t^{h}$-ek-ki 'sit-IMP2sg-SA3' |
| 2pl | खाकिर $k^{h} a-k i-r \Lambda$ 'eat-SA3-2pl' | कोहोकिर koho-ki-ra 'say-SA3-2pl' <br> कहकिर kıhı-ki-rı 'say-SA3-2pl' | बठ्किर bst ${ }^{h}$-ki-rs 'sit-SA3-2pl' |

The imperative is used for commands or requests which imply immediate action (whereas subjunctive marking is for commands and requests which refer to the more remote future (cf. section 3.7.8.2).
(342) a. थाम् रे बुरि (cf. MR.04.085)
$\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{am}-\varnothing$ re bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}}$
wait-IMP2sg VOC old_woman
'(Just you) wait, old woman!'
b. आप थाम, याहाँर बदला लिए छरिम्कन... (cf. MR.04.047)

PCL wait-IMP2pl, 3sg[pro/prox]-GEN revenge take-CONJ.PTCL let_go-FUT-SA2pl-1sg
'Now (just you) wait. I will leave you alone only after having had (my) revenge for this!'
c. ते एनङ करेक तुइ... (cf. MR.04.050)
te en^y k kr-ek tui
PCL like_this do-IMP2sg 2sg[pro]
'Well, you do this...'

The negative particle ना na 'NEG' is used in conjunction with the imperative (343a). When following the main verb, ना na 'PCL' (343b) and नि ni 'PCL' (343c) function as politeness particles (cf. Table 57 in section 7.4.1.2). Though the negative and politeness particles are clearly related in form, they have been considered distinct categories on functional grounds. That they are functioning as particles in (343b-c) is evident from the fact that they are interchangeable with the particle त $t \Lambda$ 'PCL' (though, this second particle does carry a less polite denotation).
(343) a. ना कोहो।
na koho- $\varnothing$
NEG say-IMP2sg
'Don't say.'
b. कोहो ना (or, त)। (cf. MR.05.247)
koho- $\varnothing$ na (or, ts)
say-IMP2sg PCL
'(Please) say.'
c. जा नि (or, त)। (DLR.06.006.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ja- } \varnothing \quad \text { ni } \quad \text { (or, } \mathrm{t} \Lambda) \\
& \text { go-IMP2sg PCL } \\
& \text { '(Please) go.' (Or, 'Go on now!') }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 3.7.8.2 Subjunctive

Subjunctive marking is presented in Table 43. Though the forms of the subjunctive and the past habitual are almost identical, they have been considered here to be distinct, based on two premises. Firstly, unlike the past habitual, the subjunctive may optionally use the -ओक -ok '-SUBJ3' form for the 3p (this subjunctive marker is also distinct from the secondary agreement marker -ओक -ok '-SA2sg'). Secondly, the two sets of markers are different as regards semantic content: the past habitual is aspectual, and the subjunctive is modal.

Table 43. Subjunctive

|  | V stem-final | ह- $h \Delta$ - stem-final | C stem-final |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1sg | खाउ $k^{h} a-u$ 'eat-SUBJ1sg' | कहु kıh-u 'say-SUBJ1sg' | बठु bıt ${ }^{h}-u$ 'sit-SUBJ1sg' |
| 2sg | खाइस $k^{h} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{is}$ 'eat-SUBJ2sg' | कहिस kıh-is 'say-SUBJ2sg' | बठिस b $4 t^{\text {h }}$-is 'sit-SUBJ2sg' |
| 3sg | खाए $k^{h} a-e$ 'eat-SUBJ3' खाओक $k^{h} a-o k$ 'eat-SUBJ3' | कहे kıh-e 'say-SUBJ3' <br> कहोक kıh-ok 'say-SUBJ3' <br> कोहोक koh-ok 'say-SUBJ3' | बठे bıt $t^{h}$-e 'sit-SUBJ3' <br> बठोक b $4 t^{t}{ }^{h}$-ok 'sit-SUBJ3' |
| 1 pl | खाइ $k^{h} a-i$ 'eat-SUBJ1pl ${ }^{\text {' }}$ | कहि kıh-i 'say-SUBJ1pl' | बठि b $\wedge$ t $t^{h}-i$ 'sit-SUBJ1pl ${ }^{\text {, }}$ |
| 2pl | खाअन $k^{h} \mathrm{a}-\Lambda n$ 'eat-SUBJ2pl' | कहन kıh-ın 'say-SUBJ2pl' | बठन bıt $t^{h}-\Lambda n$ 'sit-SUBJ2pl' |
| 3 pl | खाए $k^{h} a-e$ 'eat-SUBJ3' खाओक $k^{h a-o k}$ 'eat-SUBJ3' | कहे kıh-e 'say-SUBJ3' <br> कहोक kıh-ok 'say-SUBJ3' <br> कोहोक koh-ok 'say-SUBJ3' | बठे bıt $t^{h}$-e 'sit-SUBJ3' <br> बठोक b $4 t^{t}$-ok 'sit-SUBJ3' |

Secondary agreement is marked on the subjunctive as Table 44 shows.

Table 44. Subjunctive accompanied by secondary agreement

|  |  | Patient |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2sg | 2pl | 3p |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{5} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | 1 p | ठुकेकुन (ठुक्कुन) t t ${ }^{h} u k-(e) k u-n$ 'hit-SA2sg-1sg/pl' | ठुकेकन (ठुक्कन) t t $t^{h} u k$-(e) $k \Lambda-n$ 'hit-SA2pl-1sg/pl' | ठुकेकिन (ठुक्किन) t thuk-(e)ki-n 'hit-SA3-1sg/pl' |
|  | 2 sg | (Secondary agreement not marked) | n/a | ठुक्कि $t^{h} u k-k i$ 'hit-SA3' <br> ठुकिस्क $t^{h} u k-i s-k i$ 'hit-SUBJ-SA3' |
|  | 2 pl | n/a | (Secondary agreement not marked) | ठुक्किर t ${ }^{\text {h }} u k$-ki-ra 'hit-SA3-2pl' |
|  | 3 p | ठुक्कु t ${ }^{h} u k-k u$ 'hit-SA2sg' | ठुक्कन t ${ }^{\text {h }} u k$-kın 'hit-SA2pl' | ठुक्कि $t^{h} u k-k i ~ ' h i t-S A 3 ' ~$ |

The subjunctive has several uses. Firstly for requesting and granting permission, and secondly for remote commands (in contrast, the imperative is used for the immediate command). Arguably, the hortative is a subcategory of requesting permission, but since only the 1 p subjunctives can be used in the hortative, the group has been retained as a distinct category. The future is used for requesting permission in the $3 p$; the subjunctive would be ungrammatical in this context.

Table 45. Permission, hortative and command

|  | REQUESTING PERMISSION FOR | GRANTING PERMISSION TO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | (proximate) | (remote) |
| 1sg | $\begin{aligned} & \text { जाउ? } \\ & \text { ja-u } \\ & \text { go-SUBJ1sg } \\ & \text { 'May I go?' } \end{aligned}$ | 2sg | $\begin{aligned} & \text { जा। } \\ & \text { ja- } \varnothing \\ & \text { go-IMP2sg } \\ & \text { 'You may go.' } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { जाइस। } \\ & \text { ja-is } \\ & \text { go-SUBJ2sg } \\ & \text { 'You may go.' } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 pl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { जाइ? } \\ & \text { ja-i } \\ & \text { go-SUBJ1pl } \\ & \text { 'May we go?' } \end{aligned}$ | 2 pl | $\begin{aligned} & \text { जाआ। } \\ & \text { ja- } \\ & \text { go-IMP2pl } \\ & \text { 'You may go.' } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { जान। } \\ & \text { ja-n } \\ & \text { go-SUBJ2pl } \\ & \text { 'You may go.' } \end{aligned}$ |
| 3sg |  | 3 sg | $\begin{aligned} & \text { जाओक। } \\ & \text { ja-ok } \\ & \text { go-SUBJ3 } \\ & \text { '(S/he) may go.' } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 3 pl | अमाक जाबा दितेक। <br> $\Lambda m^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{k} \quad$ ja-ba di-t-ek <br> 3pl[pro]-DAT go-INF let-FUT-SA3 <br> 'Let them go (please).' | 3 sg | $\begin{aligned} & \text { जाओक। } \\ & \text { ja-ok } \\ & \text { go-SUBJ3 } \\ & \text { 'They may go.' } \end{aligned}$ |  |

HORTATIVE
1 sg पहेले जङ.लखानत पुगु। (MR.05.098)
pıhele j $\wedge \eta g \Lambda l-k^{h}$ an- $\Lambda t$ pug-u
first jungle-NCLS-LOC reach-SUBJ1sg
'Let me (let's) first get to the jungle.'
1 pl इखान काम करि।
i-k ${ }^{\text {han }}$ kam k $\wedge$ r-i
DEM[prox]-NCLS job do-SUBJ1pl
'Let's do this job.'
इखान काम कर्म। (cf. section 3.7.6.1)
kam kar-m-
work do-FUT-1pl
'Let's work.'
COMMAND

|  | PROXIMATE COMMAND |  | REMOTE COMMAND |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2sg | जा ja- $\varnothing$ 'go-IMP2sg' (i.e. 'Go (now)!') | 2sg | जाइस ja-is 'go-SUBJ2sg' (i.e. 'Go (later).') |
| 2pl | जाअ ja-ı 'go-IMP2pl' (i.e. 'Go (now)!') | 2 pl | जान ja-n 'go-SUBJ2pl' (i.e. 'Go (later).') |

The remote command implies that an action be undertaken in the future. Logically, and in practice, even the action implied by the imperative will be in the future in relation to the deictic centre (i.e. the speech act), but the remote command seems to refer to a time relatively later. Therefore, compare the following examples, where (344a) implies an immediate action, and (344b-c) an action in the close (that is, relatively "later") future. ${ }^{61}$
(344) a. जाअ, मुइ लिखेचु। तम़ा भात नाध।

```
ja-^ mui lik \({ }^{\mathrm{h}}\)-ec-u
go-IMP2pl 1sg[pro] write-PRES-1sg
\(t_{\Lambda} m^{h}{ }^{h} \quad b^{\text {h }}\) at \(n a d^{h}-\Lambda\)
\(2 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]\) rice cook-IMP2sg
'Go (away), I am writing. (As for you,) cook rice (now).'
```

b. मुइ लेख्बा धरिम। तमा भात नाधन।
mui lek ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$-ba $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{im}$
1sg[pro] write-INF begin-FUT1sg
t $\Lambda m^{h} a \quad b^{h}$ at nad ${ }^{h}-\wedge n$
$2 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]$ rice cook-SUBJ2pl
'I will start writing. (As for you,) cook me rice (later on).'
c. समय समयत आस्ते रहिस। (cf. DLR.03.010)
s smıe s $\wedge m \wedge$ e-t as-te rıh-is
time time-LOC come-CONT.PTCL AUX(CONT)-SUBJ2sg
'Keep visiting once in a while.' (Lit. 'keep coming')

The subjunctive is also used in subordinate clauses of purpose (345; cf. section 7.4.2.3.2), and conditional sentences (346a-b).
(345)
...मोक माने बाघड ना पात्ता लाग्बा पारोक (कहएने)। (cf. MR.05.113)
...mo-k mane bag ${ }^{\text {h}-d . ~} \Lambda$ na patta lag-ba par-ok
...1sg[obl]-DAT PCL tiger-NCLS NEG knowledge apply_to-INF can-SUBJ3
(kıhı-ene)
(CMPL(say-CONJ.PTCL))
'...so that the tiger isn't able to know (where) I (am).'

[^51](346) a. आप इखान चुलि जदि मुइ लदिडत फाकाए दु... (cf. MR.05.223)
ap i-k ${ }^{\text {han }}$ culi j $\Lambda$ di mui $1 \Lambda d i-d ̣-t \quad p^{h}$ aka-e d-u PCL DEM[prox]-NCLS hair if $1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro] river-NCLS-LOC throw-ABS AUX-SUBJ1sg 'Now, if I throw this hair in the river...'
b. ...अनङति सुन्दर लोक पाए जाए... (cf. DLR.06.065)
ınıŋti sund $\wedge$ r lok pa-e ja-e
like_that beautiful man get-ABS AUX-SUBJ3
'...if she gets a handsome man like that...'

As with the imperative, the negative particle ना na ' ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ' is used with the subjunctive when it precedes the subjunctive (347a). When following the subjunctive it (usually) functions as a polite request particle (347b).
(347) a. मुइ फेल ना हउ।
mui $p^{h}$ el na $h \Lambda-u$
1sg[pro] fail(loan) NEG be-SUBJ1sg
'May I not fail!'
b. जाइस ना!
ja-is na
go-SUBJ2sg PCL
'(Please) go!'

Finally, mention should be made of an interesting use of the past tense 1 pl in the subjunctive sense. Consider (348a-b) where force of the verb marked with the 1 pl is "May he", or "he should". This possibly originates from the conditional sense: "If it were us, we would...". The construction is obligatorily marked by contrastive intonation: (348a) has higher intonation on the bulk of the clause followed by a clause-final fall, and (348b) has high-rising intonation on each predicate.
(348) a. आस्न ते एख्लाए आस्न। (cf. DLR.04.008)
as-n- $\quad$ te $e^{h}$ lae as-n- $\Lambda$
come-PST-1pl PCL by_oneself come-PST-1pl
'May he come, (but) may he come alone (lit. 'We came, but we came alone')!'
b. कियाँ नि आसेच्कि? आस्न, खान।
kiã ni as-ec-ki
why NEG come-PRES-SA3
as-n- $\quad k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{n}-\Lambda$
come-PST-1pl eat-PST-1pl
'Why isn't he visiting (lit. coming)? He should come and eat (lit. 'We came, we ate').'
(Implying, 'He should spend time in our company.')

### 3.7.8.3 Conditional

### 3.7.8.3.1 Simple conditional

The simple conditional marks the verb of the protasis with the conditional participle -ले -le 'COND.PTCL'. The apodosis was encountered in the future (349a), present (349b), imperative (349c) and subjunctive (349d).
(349) a.
...राजार बेटाडर सङे जाले, मुइ रानि हइ जाम। (cf. DLR.06.050b)
...raja-r beṭa-ḍ $\Lambda$-r sıye ja-le mui rani h $\mu$-i ja-m
...king-GEN son-NCLS-GEN with go-COND.PTCL 1 sg[pro] queen be-ABS AUX-FUT1sg
'...if I go with the son of the king, I will become a queen.'
b. ...जेनेङ सेनेङ काथा बल्ले, बहुत दु:ख मिल्चे। (cf. DLR.05.064)
...jenen seney kat $^{\text {ha }}$ b $\wedge$ l-le b $\quad$ hut $d u k^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$
what_kind [echo]what_kind thing speak-COND.PTCL greatly(Hindi) trouble
mil-c-e
arrange-PRES-3
'...if those sort of things are spoken, a lot of problems will result.'
c. अनङ ति हले, तुइ जा। (MR.05.053)
$\wedge \mathrm{n} \wedge \mathrm{y}$ ti h ti -le tui ja- $\varnothing$
like_that towards be-COND.PTCL $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] go-IMP2sg
'If that is so, you go.'
d. आर नि पार्ले, छरि दिस। (DLR.06.044a)
ar ni par-le $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{d}$-is
and NEG can-COND.PTCL leave-ABS AUX-SUBJ2sg
'If you can't, let it be.'

### 3.7.8.3.2 Contrafactual conditional

In the contrafactual conditional the verb is inflected with past tense morphology and (primary/secondary) verb agreement, and then followed by the clitic -तन =tın ' = PST.COND' (350a-b; Ghailāḍubbā), or -हने =hıne ' = PST.COND' (350c; Korobāri).
(350) a. आझि इला काथाअ नि बल्नुतन ते नि हारालेतन। (DLR.05.042)

today DEM[prox]-PL thing $=$ CNJ NEG speak-PST-1sg $=$ PST.COND PCL(then) NEG har-a-l-I=t $\wedge n$
lose-CAUS-PST-3 = PST.COND
'If I hadn't spoken these things today, the shell would not have been lost.'
b. नि ते मुइ तोक बाहा करेन्कुन्तन, रानि बेनान्कुन्तन तोक। (cf. DLR.06.037)
ni te mui to-k baha k $\quad$ r-en-ku-n=tın
NEG PCL(then) $1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $] 2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-D A T$ marriage do-PST-SA2sg-1sg=PST.COND
rani bena-n-ku-n = tın to-k
queen make-PST-SA2sg-1sg=PST.COND $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{DAT}$
'Otherwise I would have married you, I would have made you a queen.'
c. मुइ मन्त्रि हनुहने ते महर एकटा काठमान्डुत घर रहलेहने।
mui m ntri h te $\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{u}=\mathrm{h} \wedge$ ne $\mathrm{m} \Lambda=\mathrm{h} \Lambda-\mathrm{r}$ ek-ṭa
$1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $]$ minister be-PST-1sg $=$ PST.COND PCL(then) $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]=$ CNJ-GEN one-NCLS kaṭmanḍu-t $\quad g^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge \mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{r} \wedge$ h $\Lambda-1-\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{h} \wedge n e$
Kathmandu-LOC house be-PST-3 = PST.COND
'If I were a minister, I too would have a house in Kathmandu.'

### 3.7.8.3.3 Concessive conditional

In the concessive conditional the verb is marked with the reduced form of the conditional participle -ल - 1 'COND.PTCL' (that is, with the vowel -e deleted) and the conjunctive clitic - अ $=\Lambda(u)^{\prime}=\mathrm{CNJ}$ '. The conjunction परे p $\wedge$ re 'even' is optional.
(351) a. त फेर सुकाए जालअ (परे) त दाना पानि खाबाए हबे। (DLR.05.052)
$p^{h} e r$ suk-a-e ja-l= $\quad$ (pıre) t $\Lambda$ dana pani $k^{h a-b a=e ~}$
again dry-CAUS-ABS AUX-COND.PTCL $=\mathrm{CNJ}$ (even) PCL grain water eat- $\mathrm{INF}=\mathrm{EMPH}$
h $\wedge$-b-I
must-FUT-3
'Even if one is "drying up" (of hunger) one needs to eat grains and water.'
b. तम़ा सत खालउ (परे) मुइ भात नि खाम। (compare with the simple conditional in MR.05.245)
j $\Lambda$ di s $\Lambda$ t $k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{l}=\Lambda \mathrm{u} \quad$ (p $\wedge$ re) mui $\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}$ at $\mathrm{ni} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}$
if promise eat-COND.PTCL $=$ CNJ (even) $1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro cooked_rice NEG eat-FUT1sg
'Even if you make me a promise, I will not eat rice.'

### 3.7.9 Auxiliaries

In the following sections I will discuss modal auxiliaries, quasi-aspectual compound verbs, and the passive auxiliary. I will also consider derivational compound verbs, which I distinguish from quasi-aspectual compound verbs on formal, semantic and statistical grounds. The modal and quasi-aspectual auxiliaries are distinct categories. Namely, a matrix verb preceding a quasi-aspectual auxiliary is marked with the absolutive -इ -i 'ABS', whereas a matrix verb preceding a modal auxiliary is in the infinitive -बा -ba 'INF'.

Table 46 presents the auxiliaries used in Rājbanshi with their structural and functional qualities.

Table 46. Collocation of transitivity and quasi-aspectual auxiliaries

|  | Aspectual and quasi-aspectual auxiliaries |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Semantic content | Dativesubject | Structure | Functions |
| चल- csl- | 'go; walk' | - | [AUX]-[ABS] [V]- <br> [inflection] | non-permissive, completeness, (perfective) |
| जा- ja- | 'go' | - | [V]-[ABS] [AUX]- <br> [inflection] | non-permissive, completeness, (perfective) |
| फेका- $p^{\text {h }}$ ka- | 'throw' | - |  |  |
| दि- di- | 'give' | - |  | $\pm$ benefactive, non-permissive, (perfective) |
| लि- li- | 'take' | - |  | $\pm$ benefactive, non-permissive, ability (perfective), completeness |
| रह- rsh- | 'stay; remain' | - | [V]-[CONT.PTCL] <br> [AUX]-inflection | imperfective |
|  | Modal auxiliaries |  |  |  |
|  | Semantic content | Dativesubject | Structure | Functions |
| Deontic |  |  | [V]-[INF] [AUX]- <br> [inflection] |  |
| ह- ha- | 'be; become' | $\checkmark$ |  | obligative |
| लाग- lag- | 'feel; apply to' | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| (पर- par-) | ('fall' (loan)) | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| दि- di- | 'give' | - |  | permissive |
| मेना- mena- | 'want to' | $\checkmark$ |  | desiderative |
| चाहा- caha- | 'want to; try to' | - |  |  |
| Dynamic |  |  |  |  |
| पार- par- | 'be able to' | - |  | abilitative |
| (सक- s^k-) | ('finish' (loan)) | - |  |  |

### 3.7.9.1 Modal auxiliaries

### 3.7.9.1.1 Obligative

Of the three auxiliaries used for obligation, two were deemed to be native to Rājbanshi, namely ह- ha- 'must; need to; should' and लाग- lag- 'must; need to; should'. Initial observations suggest that the two are fully synonymous.
(352) a. कनेक सच्बा हबे, बुद्धि लाग्बा हबे। (cf. MR.04.143)
kınek sıc-ba h h-b-i budd ${ }^{\text {h }}$ lag-ba h $\quad$ h-b-i a_little think-INF must-FUT-3 wisdom apply_to-INF must-FUT-3
'I must think a little, I must use (my) intelligence.'
b. बदला त लिबा लागे अमार सङे हामाक।" (cf. MR.04.048)
 revenge PCL take-INF should-PRES3 3pl[pro]-GEN with $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{DAT}$ '...we have to take revenge on them.'

The auxiliary पर- par- 'must, need to' is also used though speakers feel it is a loan from Nepali and/or Maithili.
(353) मोक हाल बहबा पर्ले। (GR.02.073)
mo-k hal bshл-ba pır-l-I
1sg[obl]-DAT plough plough-INF must-PST-3
'And I had to plough.'

In all of these cases the subject, if explicit, is marked with the dative-accusative case. Therefore in (352b), above, the dative subject is हामाक hama-k 'lpl[pro]-DAT' and in (353) it is मोक mo-k' $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{DAT}^{\prime}$. The subject in (352a) is implicit मोक mo- $\mathrm{k}^{\prime} 1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{DAT}^{\prime}$.

### 3.7.9.1.2 Permissive

The auxiliary दि- di- 'give' is used as a permissive. The subject is found in the nominative (unmarked) case and the direct object in the dative. For example, in (354a) the (implicit) subject is तमा $t \wedge m^{h} a^{\prime} 2 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]^{\prime}$ and the (explicit) direct object is मोक mo-k' $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{DAT}$ '.
(354) a. मोक एकछिन त कान्बा दिबन ना? (MR.04.188)
mo-k ekc ${ }^{\text {hin }}$ kan-ba di-b-^n na
1sg[obl]-DAT one_moment cry-INF let-FUT-2pl PCL[TAG]
'You'll let me cry for a while, won't you?'
b. आनिएने एकठिना बठ्बा दिच्कि। (MR.04.069; cf. also MR.04.072)
an-iene ek-t ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ina $b \Delta t^{\text {h }}$-ba d-ic-ki
bring-CONJ.PTCL one-place sit-INF let-PERF-SA3
'She brought the jackals and let them sit down in one place.'

### 3.7.9.1.3 Desiderative

Two auxiliaries were found for the desiderative mood: मेना- mena- 'want to' and चाहा-caha- 'want to; try to'. The verb मेना- mena- 'want to' utilises the dative subject construction.
(355) मोक मनडत कान्बा मेनाचे। (cf. GR.02.069)
mo-k mın-ḍл-t kan-ba mena-c-e
$1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{DAT}$ mind-NCLS-LOC cry-INF want_to-PRES-3
'I want to cry in my mind.'

Conversely, the logical subject of the verb चाहा- caha- 'want to; try to' is marked with the nominative case, and the direct object with the dative-accusative case.
(356) a. आमा मोक खाबा चाहाबे। (cf. MR.04.155)
$\mathrm{am}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ mo-k $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-ba caha-b-I
3pl[pro] 1sg[obl]-DAT eat-INF want_to-FUT-3
'...they want to (i.e. they are going to try to) eat me again.'
b. उहाँ आप्नाक रसे रसे आप्नार घरेर बगलत जाबा ने चाहाचे ने। (MR.04.159)
uhã apna-k rıse rıse apna-r $g^{\text {h }} \wedge$-er b $\quad$ gl $\Lambda t$ ja-ba ne 3sg[pro] REFL[pro]-DAT slowly slowly own-GEN house-GEN near go-INF PCL caha-c-e ne want_to-PRES-3 PCL
'She wanted to (or, was trying to) keep taking herself slowly close to (her) own home.'

### 3.7.9.1.4 Abilitative

Two auxiliaries are used as abilitatives, one of which, पार- par- 'can, be able to', is deemed native to Rājbanshi. The auxiliary पार- par- marks the subject with the nominative case.
(357) a. गाअँर लोकला सुन्बा पार्बे। (cf. MR.04.178)
gã̃-r lok-la sun-ba par-b-I
village-GEN man-PL hear-INF can-FUT-3
'...the men of the village could hear.'
b. भोक सहबा नि पा'ए बगलाड छट्पटिबा धरिचे।
 hunger endure-INF NEG can-CONJ-PTCL stork-NCLS be_restless-INF begin-PERF-3 'Not being able to endure (his) hunger, the stork became restless.'

The second abilitative auxiliary सक- $s \Lambda k$ - 'can' is a loan from Nepali but is nevertheless widely used.
(358) आप हबा सकेचे... (cf. DLR.03.012)
ap h $h$-ba s s k-ec-e
PCL be-INF can(Nepali)-PRES-3
'It could be (that)...'

### 3.7.9.2 Other auxiliary constructions

In the subsequent sections I will consider derivational compound verbs (359a) and quasiaspectual compound verbs (359b):

## (359) a. V-CONJ.PTCL V

b. V-ABS AUX, or AUX-ABS V

I will also study whether the second type of compound verbs have some aspectual function, or whether they merely represent the category Aktionsart. In the final section I will look at the passive auxiliary.

### 3.7.9.2.1 Derivational compound verbs

I have separated what I call derivational compound verbs from the quasi-aspectual compound verbs on formal, semantic and statistical grounds. In this section I will present argumentation for the distinction.

In the following compound verbs, though the first verb is marked with the conjunctive participle, the compound itself denotes a single action:
(360) a. चेचाए उठ्बा ceca-e ut ${ }^{h}$-ba 'shout-CONJ.PTCL rise-INF' (i.e. 'shout out'; refer to sentence (d) in Narrative 1 and Narrative 2 in section 8.1.5)
b. बलिए उठ्बा bıl-ie ut ${ }^{h}-b a$ 'speak-CONJ.PTCL rise-INF' (i.e. 'speak up'; 'raise one's voice')
c. कानिए उठ्बा kan-ie ut ${ }^{h}$-ba 'cry-CONJ.PTCL rise-INF' (i.e. 'cry out aloud'; also nominalised form कानन उठाबा kan-^n ut ${ }^{h}$-a-ba 'cry-DVBL rise-CAUS-INF' in MR.04.073)

The construction is formally distinct from the quasi-aspectual compound verbs in that the first verb of the sequence is marked with the conjunctive participle, rather than the absolutive. The use of the absolutive in these cases is ungrammatical as (361) shows.

Since the main verb can occur, with restrictions, in quasi-aspectual compound constructions, one can not postulate that the auxiliary-like verb in (360a-c) is mutually exclusive to quasi-aspectual auxiliaries. For example, the verbs बल- bsl- 'speak' and कान-kan- 'cry' occur in the compound verb with the auxiliaries दि- di- and जा- $j$ a- respectively (362a-b). For some reason however the verb चेचा- ceca- 'shout' is not found in the quasiaspectual compound construction (362c).
(362) a. बलि दिले bsl-i di-l-I 'speak-ABS AUX-PST-3'
b. कानि गेले kan-i ge-l-I 'cry-ABS AUX-PST-3'
c. *चेचाए गेले / लिले / दिले *ceca-e ge- / li- / di-l-I *'shout-ABS AUX-PST-3'

The verb उठ- ut ${ }^{t}$ - 'rise' functions in the compound verbs in (360a-c) in ways similar to a quasi-aspectual auxiliary, in that the verb is (partially) emptied of its semantic content. However the verb, which appears here in an auxiliary-like capacity, is restricted to a very limited set of verbs denoting auditory sensation (note the verbs "shout", "speak" and "cry", above). This strict collocation between the main verb and the second verb leads to the conclusion that the construction is, to a considerable degree, idiomatic. In contrast, the quasi-aspectual auxiliaries are less restricted by verbal semantics. ${ }^{62}$

This observation would explain why the derivational compound verbs occur so infrequently in comparison to the quasi-aspectual compound verbs. In the text corpus available of c. 1000 sentences, the quasi-aspectual compound verb construction occurs 261 times $^{63}$, whereas the derivational compound verb construction only occurs twice ${ }^{64}$. In addition, there are nine occurrences of the conjunct verb form $\mathrm{V}_{\text {[STEM] }} \mathrm{V}$ (cf. section 3.7.3). ${ }^{65}$

[^52]Having argued for a distinction between these two types of compound verb constructions, there is one final contrastive construction to be considered. It is possible to emphasize an action by modifying it with a semantically-related verb which is marked with the conjunctive participle. ${ }^{66}$ Examples of this type are:
(363) a. आसिए पुग्बा as-ie pug-ba 'come-CONJ.PTCL arrive-INF' (cf. MR.05.107)
b. लिए आन्बा l-ie an-ba 'take-CONJ.PTCL bring-INF' (cf. MR.04.068)

I have not considered these to be compound verbs, since it is the second verb in the sequence which is the main verb, and this is consequently modified by a preceding adverbial participle. ${ }^{67}$ It would, however, seem that this construction is a forerunner of the quasi-aspectual auxilliary चुल- cul- (or, चल- cal-) 'AUX' which will be discussed in the section 3.7.9.2.2.1. The most compelling evidence for not considering this type as a compound verb is the fact that it may itself occur in conjunction with a quasi-aspectual auxiliary, as the following example demonstrates.
(364) एकटा बाघ आर एकटा सोर आसिए पुगि गेल्कि। (cf. MR.05.007)
ek-ṭa bag ar ek-ṭa sor as-ie pug-i ge-1-ki
one-NCLS tiger and one-NCLS pig come-CONJ.PTCL reach-ABS AUX-PST-SA3 '(Suddenly) a tiger and a boar arrived.'

### 3.7.9.2.2 Quasi-aspectual compound verbs

The compound verbs in the previous and subsequent sections are closely associated to constructions found in Indo-Aryan languages in general. The actual function of the compound verbs in Indo-Aryan has however been a source of considerable discussion through the years (cf. Hook 1974, and Masica 1991:326-330 for an overview).

Rājbanshi compound verbs should be divided into two types: derivational and quasiaspectual. These two were discussed in the previous section, but in order to further
(xx) दउर मार- daur mar- 'running kill-' (i.e. 'run fast'; 'scurry') in MR.04.198, MR.05.054, MR.05.088 and MR.05.336
${ }^{66}$ Masica (1991:330) on this topic: "An absolute boundary for the vector set is somewhat difficult to draw (at least in Hindi) because of what Hook calls the sub-synonymy phenomenon: reinforcement of a given main verb by another verb close to it in meaning: kho chorā a losing-left behind', ā pahu cā 'coming-arrived'."
${ }^{67}$ Also, consider the following example where it is clearly the second verb in the sequence which is the main verb:
(xxi) मरिए बच्नु mır-ie bıc-n-u 'die-CONJ.PTCL save-PST-1sg' (i.e. 'I almost died'; cf. MR.05.073)
substantiate the distinctions, I will proceed to compare them in this section, while at the same time further considering the second type.

Much discussion has centred on the issue as to whether the Indo-Aryan compound ("vector") verb has perfective functions or not. A comparison between the Indo-Aryan compound verbs and Slavic prefixes has led to the standpoint that the former are indeed perfectivity markers. However, as Masica (1991:327) observes, counter-argument has taken the following points into consideration:
"(1) there is already an aspect-marking morphological system in place in NIA, which is consequently ignored (or confused with tense, which is thereby also misconstrued);
(2) the aspect-marking role of the Slavic prefixes themselves can be questioned (Aronson 1985);
(3) use of vectors in NIA is not uniform for all verbs, but governed by lexical semantics."

Masica (1991:327-328) continues that, besides the above-mentioned problems, the Slavic prefixes and the Indo-Aryan compound verbs themselves can not be straightforwardly compared. Split-ergativity, which is found in many of the Indo-Aryan languages (though not the Eastern group), is particularly problematic. Additionally, the compound verb may be used in contexts where imperfectivity is portrayed, rather than perfectivity (for a Rājbanshi example, cf. DLR.05.013). Based on these observations, Masica proposes that the term Aktionsart (ibid.:328), or "manner specification" (ibid.:326) would be better suited to describe the verb construction in question.

The term "perfectivity" should be carefully distinguished from the property "completed action" as Comrie (1993 [1976]:18) explains:
"A very frequent characterisation of perfectivity is that it indicates a completed action. One should note that the word at issue in this definition is 'completed', not 'complete': despite the formal similarity between the two words, there is an important semantic distinction which turns out to be crucial in discussing aspect. The perfective does indeed denote a complete situation, with beginning, middle, and end. The use of 'completed', however, puts too much emphasis on the termination of the situation, whereas the use of the perfective puts no more emphasis, necessarily, on the end of the situation than on any other part of the situation, rather all parts of the situation are presented as a single whole."

In Rājbanshi the compound verb is in many cases non-aspectual. Quite often the construction colours the main verb with semantic properties such as "completeness", "immediacy", "nonpermissiveness" and " $\pm$ benefaction", as the following sections will demonstrate. Nevertheless, it is quite possible that Rājbanshi, as with some other IndoAryan languages, is in the process of reanalysing the compound verb construction from a
semantic function to a more aspectual function. ${ }^{68}$ I propose here that the compound verb should be considered as "quasi-aspectual", based on the following three observations.

Firstly, though the perfect is found to coincide with a quasi-aspectual auxiliary in practice (365), one informant considered the use of the perfect tense in this context ungrammatical. He himself would have used the present tense फेकाचे $p^{h}$ eka-c-e 'AUX-PRES-3'. Further study is required on this point, but one might perhaps deduce that double aspectual marking is ungrammatical.
(365) जब आस्बा देखि फेकाइचे (or perhaps, फेकाचे) तब... (DLR.04.019)
j $\wedge \mathrm{b}$ as-ba $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eka-ic-e (or perhaps, $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eka-c-e) t e b... when come-INF see-ABS AUX-PERF-3 (or perhaps, AUX-PRES-3) then... 'When the son-in-law saw the old man coming...'

Secondly, the quasi-aspectual auxiliaries can not occur in conjunction with the negative (366b-c; cf also GR.02.024-025). This is possibly due to a contrast between realis (366a) and irrealis (366d). What is interesting regarding this restriction, is that the morphological aspectual markers (perfect and past continuous) behave in exactly the same manner as (366d): in a negative context, the perfect or past continuous marking is replaced with the past habitual (cf. sections 3.7.7.1-2).
(366) a. पामरट आसि गेले।

Pamır-ṭ as-i ge-1-I
Pāmar-NCLS come-ABS AUX-PST-3
'Pāmar has come.'
b. *पामरट नि आसि गेले।
*pamır-ṭ ni as-i ge-1-I
*Pāmar-NCLS NEG come-ABS AUX-PST-3
c. *पामरट आसि नि गेले।
*pamır-ṭ as-i ni ge-l-I
*Pāmar-NCLS come-ABS NEG AUX-PST-3
d. पामरट नि आसे।
pamır-ṭ $\Lambda$ ni as-e
Pāmar-NCLS NEG come-PST.HAB3
'Pāmar has not come.'

[^53]Thirdly, as was noted in section 3.7.7.2, if the (imperfective) past continuous is marked with a quasi-aspectual auxiliary, the verb adopts a perfective reading. Therefore, (367a) is past continuous whereas (367b) is similar to a pluperfect.
(367) a. जेइखुना उहाँए आसिस्ले ते मुइ बेराइस्नु।
jei-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ una uhãe as-isl-i te mui bera-isn-u
what-time $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] come-REM.PST-3 PCL(then) $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ walk-REM.PST-3
'I was walking when he came.'
b. मुइ आसिए पुग्बार खुना उहाँए चलि आसिस्ले।
mui as-ie pug-ba-r $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ una uhãe cnl-i as-isl-I
$1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] come-CONJ.PTCL arrive-INF-GEN during $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ AUX-ABS come-REM.PST-3 'He had (already) come when I arrived.'

Note that above I have used the controversial term "auxiliary" for what is often called a "vector" verb. A traditional definition of the term "auxiliary" following Anderson (2000:808) would be:
"Traditionally, the term auxiliary is applied to a verb which in combination with another verb regularly supplies part of the paradigm or potential paradigm of the second verb."

Additionally, auxiliaries are generally considered to mark distinctions such as tense, aspect and modality. Whether the Rājbanshi "vector" verb can be considered an auxiliary may be questioned according to whether it has any grammatical function, as opposed to merely colouring the semantics of the main verb. As explained above, I postulate that the "vector" verb does in fact have aspectual overtones, and therefore I regard it as being an auxiliary. Conversely, the derivational compound verbs described in the previous section do not have aspectual functions, and have therefore not been analysed as auxiliaries.

To conclude, the derivational compound verbs discussed in the previous section differ significantly from the five quasi-aspectual compound verbs, to be described in the subsequent sections, in the following manner:

Table 47. Comparison of derivational and quasi-aspectual compound verbs

|  | Derivational compound | Quasi-aspectual compound |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Structure | V-CONJ.PTCL V | V-ABS AUX <br> AUX-ABS V |
| Verb collocation | More strict | Less strict |
| Statistical considerations | Less frequent <br> $(2$ times in Appendix 3) | More frequent <br> $(261$ times in Appendix 3) |
| Function | Semantic | Semantic and grammatical |

### 3.7.9.2.2.1 Auxiliaries जा- $j a$-, फेका- $p^{h}$ eka- and चुल- cul-

The compound verb in Rājbanshi is constructed by the matrix verb marked with the absolutive and followed by one of four auxiliaries. Alternatively it can be one auxiliary which is marked by the absolutive and then followed by the inflected matrix verb. Each of these auxiliaries will be discussed in the following sections.

Masica (1991:326) maintains that NIA languages make use of:
"...special auxiliaries, which have been called intensifiers, operators, explicators, or more recently vectors: typically go, come, give, take, fall, rise, throw, put, sit. Partially emptied of their lexical content, these modify the meaning of the main verb in various ways not unrelated to that content, which might be best described as manner-specification (including directionality, completeness, suddeness, violence, deliberateness, stubbornness, benefaction, affectivity, etc.)."

I will begin with the three quasi-aspectual auxiliaries: जा- $j a-$ 'AUX', फेका- $p^{h}$ eka- 'AUX', and चुल- cul- (or, चल- csl-) 'AUX'. The first two follow the absolutive of the matrix verb (368a-b respectively). The third one precedes the matrix verb in the absolutive form (368c).
(368) a. मरि गेल mar-i gel 'die-ABS AUX-PST3(far-eastern) ('he died'; cf. DLR.05.003a)

c. चुलि जाबा cul-i ja-ba 'AUX-ABS go-INF' ('to go'; cf. DLR.04.031)

All of these verbs, though emptied of their semantic properties when functioning as auxiliaries, also occur as verbs in their own right. The verb जा- ja- 'AUX' derives from the verb 'go' (369a). The verb चल- csl- also means 'go' (369b). The verb stem फाका- $p^{h}$ aka'throw' in (369c) is interchangeable with फेका- $p^{h}$ eka- 'throw', though only the latter form is used as the quasi-aspectual auxiliary.
(369) a. गेले ge-l-I 'go-PST-3' ('he went'; cf. MR.05.101)
b. चल c $\kappa$ l- $\Lambda$ 'go-IMP2pl' ('come on'; cf. MR.04.063)
c. फाकाए दु $p^{h}$ aka-e $d$-u 'throw-ABS AUX-SUBJ1sg' ('(if) I throw'; cf. MR.05.223)

In most cases the auxiliary जा- $j$ a- 'AUX' collocates with intransitive verbs (370a-b), whereas फेका- $p^{h}$ eka- 'AUX' occurs with transitive verbs ( $370 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}$ ). This distribution follows from the original semantics of the verbs, being intransitive 'go' in the former case, and transitive 'throw (something)' in the latter (cf. table 48).
(370) a. चरि गेल $c \wedge r^{h}$-i ge-l 'rise-ABS AUX-PST3' ('arose'; cf. DLR.05.010)
b. मरि गेल्कि mar-i ge-l-ki 'die-ABS AUX-PST-SA3' ('he died'; cf. DLR.05.003b)
c. सुनि फेकाले sun-i $p^{h}$ eka-l-I 'hear-ABS AUX-PST-3' ('they heard'; cf. MR.04.196)
d. पक्रि फेकाल्कि pıkr-i $p^{h}$ eka-l-ki 'catch-ABS AUX-PST-SA3' ('they caught (him)'; cf. MR.05.013)

There are, however, cases where the same verb stem has both a transitive and an intransitive sense. For example, the verb stem देख- dek ${ }^{h}$ - means either 'look' (intransitive) or 'see' (transitive). Both cases correlate with the transitive auxiliary (371a) in the same way as the causative stem देखा- $d e k^{h}-a-$ 'see-CAUS' (i.e. 'show') in (371b).
(371) a. देखि फेकाले dek ${ }^{h}$-i $p^{h} e k a-1-I$ 'look/see-ABS AUX-PST-3' ('he looked/saw'; cf. MR. 04.003 for example of transitive)
b. देखाए फेकाले dek ${ }^{h}$-a-e $p^{h} e k a-1-I$ 'see-CAUS-ABS AUX-PST-3' ('he showed')

Conversely, the verb खा- $k^{h}$ a- 'eat' uses the intransitive auxiliary regardless of whether it is used in transitive or intransitive clauses (372).
(372) उहाँक खाए गेल। (GR.01.028)
uhã-k $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-e ge-l
3sg[pro]-DAT eat-ABS AUX-PST3
'He ate him (up).'

The quasi-aspectual auxiliary चुल- cul- (or, चल- cal-) 'AUX' is only used with the two deictic verbs जा- $j$ a 'go' and आस- as- 'come'. With the former verb the auxiliary is perhaps used to avoid a reduplication of the (same) stem (373a-b). However, the verb आस- as'come' occurs with both the quasi-aspectual auxiliaries चुल- cul- and जा- $j a$ with no change of meaning ( $373 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}$ ), though (373d) does apparently sound like a Hindi loan. The construction चुलि cul-i 'AUX-ABS' possibly originates from a verb construction similar to the type आसिए पुग्बा as-ie pug-ba 'come-CONJ.PTCL arrive-INF' which was discussed in the previous section. In the current construction, however, the auxiliary चुल- cul- has no semantic content as such.
(373) a. *जाए गेले *ja-e ge-l-I *'go-ABS AUX-PST-3'
b. चुलि गेले cul-i ge-l-I 'AUX-ABS go-PST-3' ('she left/went'; cf. MR.04.126)
c. चुलि आस्बे cul-i as-b-I 'AUX-ABS come-FUT-3' ('she came'; cf. MR.05.240)
d. आसि गेले as-i ge--II 'come-ABS AUX-PST-3' ('they came'; cf. MR.04.178; Hindi)

As explained in the previous section, the compound verb auxiliaries in Rājbanshi have quasi-aspectual functions. However, they are also found to affect the semantics of the matrix verb in other ways. For example, the verb मार- mar- is used for both 'beat' and 'kill' (374a). When the (transitive) quasi-aspectual auxiliary फेका- $p^{h} e k a-$ 'AUX' accompanies the verb, only the latter meaning is rendered (374b). The distinction in this case seems to be a combination of completeness and telicity. In (374a) telicity is non-specified (i.e. 'to beat' has an atelic, and 'kill' has a telic sense). Conversely, in (374b) the auxiliary restricts the verb to the telic sense, 'to kill'. This does not apply to the auxiliary दि- di- 'AUX' as (374c) shows.
(374) a. मार्चे (cf. MR.04.075)
mar-c-e
beat/kill-PRES-3
's/he beat (it) up; s/he killed (it)'
b. मारि फेकाले (cf. MR.05.043)
mar-i $\quad p^{h}$ eka-l-I
kill-ABS AUX-PST-3
's/he killed (it)'
c. काकाडक दिच्कि मारिए (cf. Narratives 1 and 2 in section 8.1.5)
kaka-ḍ $\Lambda$-k d-ic-ki mar-ie
uncle-NCLS-DAT AUX-PERF-SA3 beat-ABS
'...they had beaten (my) uncle...'

The auxiliaries also seem to function as nonpermissive markers (or "stubbornness", as noted by Masica above), or they can be used for immediacy/suddenness. The latter category covers such inferences as, "immediately", "suddenly" and "as soon as". All of these categories seem to be somewhat overlapping and contextually determined. In context, the implication of the quasi-aspectual auxiliary in (375a) is immediacy. The auxiliaries in (375b-c) imply immediacy and/or the nonpermissive.
(375) a. जब आस्बा देखि फेकाइचे (or perhaps, फेकाचे) तब... (DLR.04.019)
j $\wedge \mathrm{b}$ as-ba $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eka-ic-e (or perhaps, $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eka-c-e) t t b... when come-INF see-ABS AUX-PERF-3 (or perhaps, AUX-PRES-3) then...
'When (or, 'as soon as') the son-in-law saw the old man coming...'
b. ते याहाँ देखि फेकाले। (GR.01.007)
te yaha $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eka-1-I
PCL DEM[pro/prox] see-ABS AUX-PST-3
'And (immediately; suddenly) it (the jackal) saw (it anyway).'
c. ...अइदिन अइदिन बुराड चुलि आसेचे।
... $\Lambda$ i-din $\quad$ ii-din bur ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ a-ḍ $\Lambda$ cul-i as-ec-e
...DEM[rem/emph]-day DEM[rem/emph]-day old_man-NCLS AUX-ABS come-PRES-3
'...(as soon as)... that very day the old man shows up (in any case, stubbornly).'

The contrast between simple verbs and compound verbs is unclear in some of the language data cases, since the two constructions seem to have no apparent distinction. For example, though one may, in accordance with what has been explained above, maintain that the auxiliary in (376b) renders an affect of immediacy, one informant was of the opinion that there is no contrast whatsoever between (376a) and (376b).
(376) a. आर कुछु पर्ले सहयोग कर्बार लोक हए।
ar kuch par-le s ${ }^{\text {h }}$.
and some occur-COND.PTCL assistance do-INF-GEN man be-PRES3
'And if something (i.e. a problem) comes up, he is someone to help out.'
b. आर कुछु परि जाले सहयोग कर्बार लोक हए। (DLR.03.006)
 and some occur-ABS AUX-COND.PTCL assistance do-INF-GEN man be-PRES3 'And if something (i.e. a problem) (suddenly?) comes up, he is someone to help out.'

### 3.7.9.2.2.2 Auxiliaries दि- di- and लि-li-

The two other auxiliaries which trigger absolutive marking on the matrix verb are दि- di'AUX' and लि- li- 'AUX'. (Note that both of these verbs are found in conjunct verb constructions, and that the auxiliary दि- di- 'AUX' also functions as a modal auxiliary.) To bring together the discussion on the quasi-aspectual auxiliaries, consider the following table:

Table 48. Collocation of transitivity and quasi-aspectual auxiliaries

|  | Quasi-aspectual auxiliaries |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Intransitive |  |  |  |  |
|  | चल- csl- | जा- ja- | फेका- $p^{h}$ eka- | लि- 17 - | दि- di- |
| जा- ja- 'go' | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - | - |
| आस- as- 'come' | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - | - |
| मर- mır- 'die' | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| भास- $b^{\text {h }}$ as- 'float' | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
| देख- dek ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ' 'look' | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | - | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| खा- $k^{h} a-$ 'eat' | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |
|  | Transitive |  |  |  |  |
|  | चल- cal- | जा- $j$ a- | फेका- $p^{h}$ eka- | लि- li- | दि- di- |
| बुन- bun- 'plant' | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | - | $\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark$ |
| मार- mar- 'kill' | n/a | - | $\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark$ |
| देख- dek $^{\text {h - 'see' }}$ | n/a | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - |
| खा- $k^{\text {ha- }}$ - $e a t$ ' | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\checkmark$ | - | $\checkmark$ | - |

The two auxiliaries included in this section, and the three auxiliaries discussed in the other section, have several similarities, and yet at the same time several differences. All five, for example, can have quasi-aspectual implications. However, the auxiliaries दि- di- 'AUX' and लि- li- 'AUX' have some additional features which the previous set does not. Yadav (1997 [1996]:201) maintains that in Maithili:
"First, le 'take' occurs with a verb the action of which is "self-directed" (i.e., the action of the verb is in the interest of the subject/actor), while de 'give' occurs with a verb the action of which is "other-directed" (i.e., the action of the verb is in the interest of an individual other than the subject/actor). Thus, for example, verbs of ingestion and perception are usually self-directed and hence accept le rather than de."

It seems that the Rājbanshi counterparts function in a similar fashion. The semantics of the verbs are दि- di- 'give' and लि- li- 'take'. This mirrors their use as benefactives: the auxiliary दि- di- 'AUX' rendering benefaction for others, and the auxiliary लि- li- 'AUX' for self-benefaction/self-directed action.

Benefaction (or, malefaction in negative contexts) with the auxiliary दि- di- 'AUX' can be seen in example (377a-b). In (377b) benefaction is marked by both the auxiliary and the secondary agreement marker -कि -ki 'SA3'.
(377) a. उड पखि उड कडिक दस्रा ठिन लराए दिले। (cf. DLR.05.032)

| u-d $\Lambda$ | $\mathrm{p} \wedge \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$ u-d. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | k^di-k | $\mathrm{d} \Lambda$ sra $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}$ in | 1sra-e | di-1-I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEM[rem]-NCLS bird DEM[rem]-NCLS shell-DAT other place move-ABS AUX-PST- |  |  |  |  |  |
| d).' |  |  |  |  |  |

b. अइठिना हागिए हागिए राखि दिच्कि। (MR.04.027)
si-ṭ hana hag-ie hag-ie rak $^{\text {h}}$-i d-ic-ki
DEM[rem/emph]-place defecate-CONJ.PTCL defecate-CONJ.PTCL put-ABS AUX-PERF-SA3
'They (the jackals really) defecated (right) there (to the malefaction of the old woman and old man).'

The self-benefactive property which is inherent in the auxiliary लि- li- 'AUX' is evident in the examples (378a-c). Compare (378c) with (378d), in which the quasi-aspectual auxiliary जा- ja- denotes completed action.
(378) a. MR.05.212 उड दुक बासिड चेप करे पक्रि लिष्कि।
u-ḍ̀ duk basi-ḍ $\Lambda$ cep kıre pıkr-i li-p-ki
that-NCLS trouble flute-NCLS catch ADVL catch-ABS AUX-FUT-SA3
'(The crow) caught that trouble flute in mid air (to its own benefaction).'
b. आप तुइ बाहा सादि करि लिलो। (MR.05.351)
ap tui baha sadi knr-i li-l-o
PCL $2 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro wedding wedding do-ABS AUX-PST-2sg
'Now you are (already) married.' (i.e. 'you have taken a bride for yourself')
c. खाए लिबा लागे ने।
$k^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-e li-ba lag-e ne
eat-ABS AUX-INF should-PRES3 PCL
'You should eat it up (yourself).'
d. खाए जाबा लागे ने।
$k^{\text {ha-e }}$ ja-ba lag-e ne eat-ABS AUX-INF should-PRES3 PCL
'You should eat (finish) it up.'

Finally, the auxiliary लि- li- 'AUX' also seems to imply ability and completeness. Compare the simple proposition in (379a) with (379b). The sense of ability is also rendered by the auxiliary in (379c). Conversely, the transitive quasi-aspectual auxiliary फेका- $p^{h} e k a-~ ' A U X ' ~$ would have been used in (379c) to denote "completed action".
(379) a. मुइ तोक ठगेन्कुन।
mui tok $t^{\text {h }} \wedge$ g-en-ku-n
$1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}] 2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]-D A T$ cheat-PST-SA2sg-1sg
'I cheated you.'
b. मुइ तोक ठगि लिन्कुन।
mui tok $\underline{t}^{\text {h }} \wedge$ g-i li-n-ku-n
1sg[pro] 2sg[pro]-DAT cheat-ABS AUX-PST-SA2sg-1sg
'I have (already, completely) cheated you.'
Possible context: '(You said I couldn't cheat you, but look at how) I have completely cheated you.'
c. उखान किताप उहाँ एकेछिने पऱि लेछे।
$u k^{\text {h}}$ an $\quad$ kitap uhã $\quad \mathrm{ek}=e^{-c^{h}} \mathrm{in}=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{p} \mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i} \quad$ l-ec-e DEM[rem]-NCLS book $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ one=EMPH-moment $=\mathrm{EMPH}$ read-ABS AUX-PRES-3 'He (is able to) read this book in (just) one moment.'

### 3.7.9.2.3 Passive auxiliary

The Rājbanshi analytic passive is discussed in section 7.5 .1 , but suffice it here to mention that the verb जा- ja- 'go' is used as a passive auxiliary. The matrix verb which precedes the passive auxiliary is marked with the past participle -आ(ल) -a(l) 'PST.PTCL', as can be seen from the following examples (cf. section 3.7.10.5 for further discussion on whether to interpret this suffix as a deverbaliser).
(380) a.
...आप ताहुँ नि कचु कुछु देखा जाछे। (cf. MR.04.031)
...ap tahu ni kлcu $\operatorname{kuc}^{\text {h }} u \operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a} \quad$ ja-c ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
...PCL still NEG [kacu]vegetable some see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
'... and still no [kacu]vegetable could be seen.'
b. अइ उड गेसट देखा जाछे ते? (MR.04.171)
$\Lambda i \quad$ u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ ges-ṭ $\Lambda \quad \operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}$-a ja-ch ${ }^{\text {h }}$ e te
INJ DEM[rem]-NCLS tree-NCLS see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3 PCL
'(You know) that tree (far over there) that can be seen?'

In speech there is fusion of the matrix verb and the auxiliary if the passive auxiliary is marked with the present tense (as in (380a-b)). This results in the pronunciation [dek ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{ec}^{\mathrm{h}} e$ ] which almost resembles a morphological passive, with -ae as the passive morpheme. ${ }^{69}$ I have decided against this analysis, however, for two reasons. First and foremost, speakers still regard this as an analytic passive with a distinct auxiliary. Secondly, the phenomenon is not encountered in the other tenses and aspects. In the past tense, for example, the (irregular) past stem ग- $g$ - 'PASS.AUX ${ }_{[P S T . S T E M}$ ' is used.

### 3.7.10 Non-finite forms

The following non-finite forms have been encountered in Rājbanshi:
i. Infinitive -बा -ba 'INF'
ii. Absolutive -इ -i ' $\mathrm{ABS}^{\prime}$
iii. Conjunctive participle -इए(ने) -ie(ne) 'CONJ.PTCL'
iv. Continuous participle -ते -te 'CONT.PTCL'
v. Past participle -आ(ल) $-\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{l})$ 'PST.PTCL'
vi. Conditional participle -ले -le 'COND.PTCL'
vii. (Intentional participle -ने -ne (loan))

Each of these will be considered in the following respective sections.

### 3.7.10.1 Infinitive

The infinitive marker in Rājbanshi is -बा -ba 'INF'. The -b element in this infinitive suffix is often pronounced as a bilabial approximant [w]. Some writers represent it as -वा [-wa] when following stem-final vowels, and -उवा [-uwa] when following consonants.
(381) a. खाबा $k^{h} a-b a\left[k^{h} a b / w a\right] ~ ' e a t-I N F ' ~$
b. ठुक्बा $t^{h} u k-b a$ [ $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{h}} u k b a / t^{\mathrm{h}} u k u w a\right]$ 'hit-INF'
c. लेप्बा lep-ba [lepba / lepuwa] 'plaster_with_soil_and_dung-INF'

The infinitive suffix precedes case marking:
(382) a. काम्राबार kamra-ba-r 'bite-INF-GEN'
b. भास्बार $b^{h}$ as-ba-r 'float-INF-GEN'

[^54]Matrix verbs which precede modal auxiliaries are marked with the infinitive.
(383) a. कर्बा लागे kar-ba lag-e 'do-INF should-PRES3' (DLR.04.017)
b. सुन्बा पार्बे sun-ba par-b-I 'hear-INF can-FUT-3' (cf. MR.04.178)
c. कान्बा दिबन kan-ba di-b-ın 'cry-INF let-FUT-2pl' (cf. MR.04.188)

Mention should also be made of an unusual emphatic construction. In the Korobāri dialect, past tense marking on the finite verb and simultaneously emphatic marking on non-finite verb trigger the use of the past stem of the non-finite verb (384a-b). This is seldom in evidence, however, since the only verb with a separate present and past stem is 'to go' : जा$j a-\quad$ 'go [PRES.STEM] ' versus ग- $g-\quad$ 'go ${ }_{\text {[PST.STEM] }}$ '. Compare this with (384c) where the stem of the verb खा- $k^{h}$ a- 'eat' remains unaltered.
(384) a. ना ते गेबे कर्लो...

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { na te } \text { ge- } \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{e} \quad \text { kır-l-o... } \\
& \text { neither } \mathrm{go}_{[\text {[PST.STEM }}{ }^{-\mathrm{INF}=}=\mathrm{EMPH} \text { do-PST-2sg... } \\
& \text { 'Neither did you go...' }
\end{aligned}
$$

b. गेवे नि कर्लो ते किखान।
ge-b $=\mathrm{e}$ ni k $\wedge$ r-l-o te ki-k ${ }^{\text {han }}$
$\left.\mathrm{go}_{[\text {PST. STEM }}\right]^{-\mathrm{INF}}=\mathrm{EMPH}$ NEG do-PST-2sg PCL(then) what-NCLS
'Since you did not go, (then) what (did you see)?' (Rhetorical question implying, 'You did not see anything.')
c. ना ते खाबे कर्लो...
nate $k^{\text {h }} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{k} \wedge \mathrm{r}-1-\mathrm{o}$...
neither eat-INF = EMPH do-PST-2sg...
'Neither did you eat...'

The same applies when the infinitive is marked with the conjunctive clitic (385a). If the main verb is marked with the present tense, the present stem is used (385b).
(385) a. past tense on finite verb

गेबअ नि कर्लो, जाबाअ नि दिलो।
ge-b= $\quad$ ni $\quad$ k $\wedge r-l-o, \quad j a-b a=\Lambda \quad n i$
$\mathrm{go}_{\text {[PST.STEM] }}-$ INF $=$ CNJ NEG do-PST- 2 sg, $\mathrm{go}_{\text {[PRES.STEM] }}-\mathrm{INF}=$ CNJ NEG
di-l-o
let-PST-2sg
'You neither went, nor did you let (anyone else) go.'
b. present tense on finite verb

जाबअ नि करेचित, जाबाअ नि देचित।
$j a-b=\Lambda \quad$ ni $k \wedge r-e c-i t, \quad j a-b a=\Lambda \quad n i$
$\mathrm{go}_{[\text {PRES.STEM }]}-\mathrm{INF}=\mathrm{CNJ}$ NEG do-PRES-2sg go ${ }_{[\text {PRES.STEM }]}-\mathrm{INF}=\mathrm{CNJ}$ NEG
d-ec-it
let-PRES-2sg
'You neither go, nor do you let (anyone else) go.'
c. present tense on finite verb खाबअ नि करेचित खाबाअ नि देचित।
$k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}=\Lambda \quad$ ni $\mathrm{k} \wedge$-ec-it $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ba}=\Lambda \quad$ ni d-ec-it
eat-INF $=$ CNJ NEG do-PRES-2sg eat-INF $=$ CNJ NEG let-PRES-2sg
'You neither eat, nor do you let (anyone else) eat.'

At this stage it is difficult to present any reasoning for the behaviour of the verb stem in this construction. What is interesting, however, is that the infinitive marked with the emphatic/conjunctive clitic can have an affect on the preceding stem. What is also interesting is that the infinitive marked with the emphatic clitic (-बे $\left.-b=e^{\prime} \mathrm{INF}=\mathrm{EMPH}^{\prime}\right)$ is phonetically contrastive with the future 3 p marking (-बे -b-I'-FUT-3').

### 3.7.10.2 Absolutive

The absolutive is -इ -i 'ABS' after consonant-final verb stems (386a), and -ए -e 'ABS' after vowel-final verb stems (386b). In some dialects in northern Jhāpā the conjunctive participle -ए -e is interchangeable with the allomorph $-i$ on the ह- $h \Lambda$ - copula (386c).
(386) a. पक्रि फेकाल्कि pıkr-i $p^{h}$ eka-l-ki 'catch-ABS AUX-PST-SA3' (cf. MR.05.013)
b. काम्राए लिछे kamra-e l-ich-e 'bite-ABS AUX-PERF-3' (cf. MR.04.200)
c. हए/इ गेल hı-e/i ge-l 'be-ABS AUX-PST3' (compare DLR.06.028 and DLR.04.030)

The absolutive is marked on matrix verbs which precede one of the following quasiaspectual auxiliaries:
(387) a. जा- ja- 'AUX' (past stem गे- ge-)
b. फेका- $p^{h} e k a-~ ' A U X ' ~$
c. दि- di- 'AUX'
d. लि- li- 'AUX'

In addition, the absolutive attaches to the quasi-aspectual auxiliary चुल- cul- (or, चल- cul-) 'AUX'. This then precedes the main verb.
(388) चुलि जाछे cul-i ja-c ${ }^{h}$-e 'AUX-ABS go-PRES-3'

Though there is a close affinity between the absolutive and the conjunctive participle, the two are distinct forms. Some other NIA languages, for example Nepali, maintain such a distinction, as Masica (1991:326) reports:
"...In Nepali, where the Absolutive is distinct from the Conjunctive Participle, it is only the former that is used in these forms."

I have distinguished between the absolutive and the conjunctive participle on morphological and semantic grounds. The conjunctive participle (cf. section 3.7.10.3) is -इए(ने) -ie(ne) 'CONJ.PTCL' after consonant-final stems, and -ए(ने) -e(ne) 'CONJ.PTCL' after vowel-final stems. The morpheme is therefore easily identifiable in the first case, but the absolutive and the conjunctive participle look alike in the second (389a-b).
(389) a. खाए गेल $k^{h}$ a-e $g e-1$ 'eat-ABS AUX-PST3'
b. खाए गेल $k^{h}$ a-e ge-l 'eat-CONJ.PTCL go-PST3'

Moreover, if the quasi-aspectual auxiliary of a compound verb construction is fronted (cf. section 7.3.3), the matrix verb with a consonant-final stem is marked with the suffix -इए -ie, which then entirely resembles the conjunctive participle. The unmarked structure of (390a) would be (390b). Note that in the displaced order in (390a) the conjunctive participle allomorph -इएने -iene would not be permitted to replace the absolutive -इए-ie.
(390) a. त बुऱा बुऱिलाक गेल्कि रिस उठिए। (MR.04.045)
$t_{\Lambda} \quad$ bur $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$-la-k ge-1-ki ris ut ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$-ie
PCL old_man old_woman-PL-DAT AUX-PST-SA3 anger rise-ABS
'And the old man and old woman got furious.'
b. त बुरा बुऱिलाक रिस उठि गेल्कि।
$t_{\Lambda} \quad$ bur $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{la}-\mathrm{k}$ ris $u t^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i}$ ge-l-ki
PCL old_man old_woman-PL-DAT anger rise-ABS AUX-PST-SA3
'And the old man and old woman got angry.'

Morphologically therefore, though there are some similarities between the absolutive and the conjunctive participle, there are also restrictions which warrant a distinction between the two morphemes. Firstly, the conjunctive participle is never marked as $-i$ on consonantfinal verb stems. Secondly, the absolutive is only marked as -ie when the auxiliary is fronted; and never otherwise. This second restriction applies to the northern dialects of Jhāpā (Ghailāḍubbā and Lakharigaddi).

Conversely, the dialect in south-western Jhāpā (Korobāri) has both allomorphs (-इ -i 'ABS' and -इए -ie 'ABS'), even for the default construction (391a-c). This then raises the question as to whether the absolutive and the conjunctive participle are separate categories at all.

I retain the distinction, however, for two reasons. Firstly, the contrast is almost exclusively intact in the northern region (391d-e). Secondly, even in the Korobāri dialect, stress-placement indicates the contrast: stress falls on the first syllable of the main verb. Therefore if the conjunctive participle suffix is being used, stress falls on the first syllable of the inflected verb (391c), whereas if the absolutive is being used, stress falls on the first syllable of the non-finite verb (391b). (Stress is marked with the diacritic ' in the following examples.)
(391) a. 'ठुकि दिले (Korobāri)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}$ uk-i $\quad$ di-l-I
'hit-ABS AUX-PST-3
b. 'ठुकिए दिले (Korobāri)
't ${ }^{\text {h }}$ uk-ie di-l-I
'hit-ABS AUX-PST-3
c. ठुकिए 'दिले (Korobāri)
$t^{\text {th}} u k$-ie 'di-l-I
hit-CONJ.PTCL 'give-PST-3
d. 'ठुकि दिले (Ghailāḍubbā and Lakharigaddi)
't ${ }^{\text {h }}$ uk-i di-1-I
'hit-ABS AUX-PST-3
e. ठुकिए 'दिले (Ghailāḍubbā and Lakharigaddi)
$t^{\text {h }}$ uk-ie 'di-1-I
hit-CONJ.PTCL 'give-PST-3

In addition to these morphological differences, the absolutive and the conjunctive participle have also been considered distinct categories on semantic grounds. The absolutive does not imply a chronological sequence as does the conjunctive participle (cf. also Masica 1991:326). Consider, for example, the difference between the meaning rendered by the conjunctive participle in (392a) and the absolutive in (392b). In (392a) the final verb is the main verb, in (392b) the final verb is a benefactive auxiliary. In (393a-b), both the absolutive and the conjunctive participle are marked with the morpheme -ए -e due to the stem-final vowel, and therefore the verb construction खाए गेले $k^{h} a-e ~ g e-1-I ~ h a s ~ t w o ~$ meanings which are identified from prosody.
(392) a. उहाँ चिट्टिखान लेखिए (तेखिए तने) 'दिले।

| uhã | citt $^{\text {h }}$ i-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an $\quad \mathrm{lek}^{\mathrm{h}}$-ie | ( tek $^{\text {h }}$-ie | thne) 'di-l-I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ | letter-NCLS write-CONJ.PTCL ([echo]write-CONJ.PTCL then) 'gave-PST-3 |  |  |
|  | he letter and then |  |  |

b. उहाँ चिट्टिखान 'लेखि दिले।
uhã citṭ ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ an ${ }^{\text {'lek }}{ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i} \quad$ di-1-I
3sg[pro] letter-NCLS 'write-ABS AUX-PST-3
'He wrote the letter.' (that is, 'He (completed) writing the letter.')
(393) a. उहाँ भात तात खाए 'गेले।
uhã $b^{\text {hat }}$ tat $k^{\text {ha }}$ a-e 'ge-l-I
$3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ rice [echo]rice eat-CONJ.PTCL 'go-PST-3
'He ate the rice and all (and then) he left.'
b. उहाँ भात तात 'खाए गेले।
uhã $\quad b^{h}$ at tat $\quad k^{h} a-e \quad$ ge-1-I
$3 \operatorname{sg}[$ pro] rice [echo]rice 'eat-ABS AUX-PST-3
'He ate up the rice and all.'

A word break has been maintained between the main verb and the auxiliary, indicating that the auxiliary is not (yet) to be considered an inflectional affix of the main verb. That decision is based on three factors. Firstly, as explicated above, the auxiliary may be fronted independently of the absolutive (390a). Secondly, the (word-final) conjunctive clitic can occur between the absolutive and the auxiliary (394a). Thirdly, in some (very) emphatic structures the negative can occur between the absolutive and the auxiliary (394b). (Generally, however, the negative triggers deletion of the auxiliary and causes the main verb to be marked with past habitual morphology.)
(394) a. लोकट मरिअ जाबा पारे। (cf. DLR.05.049)
lok-ṭ $\quad \mathrm{m} \wedge \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{i}=\Lambda$ ja-ba par-e
man-NCLS die-ABS = CNJ AUX-INF can-PRES3
'The man could even die.'
b. Accusation:

तोर गाएड मोर गहमखान खाए गेले।
to-r gae-ḍ mo-r gлhлm-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an $k^{\text {ha }}$ a-e ge-l-I
2sg[obl]-GEN cow-NCLS 1sg[obl]-GEN wheat-NCLS eat-ABS AUX-PST-3
'Your cow ate up my wheat.'

Response:
गहमखाने खाल्कु ने, धानला ते खाए नि गेल्कु?
g $\Lambda \wedge \wedge m-k^{h}$ an $k^{h} a-1-k u$ ne $d^{h} a n-l a$ te $k^{h} a-e \quad n i \quad$ ge-l-ku wheat-NCLS eat-PST-SA2 PCL rice-PL PCL eat-ABS NEG AUX-PST-SA2
'Yes, it ate your wheat, but did it eat your rice?' (Implying, 'Well, at least it didn't eat your rice!')

### 3.7.10.3 Conjunctive participle

The conjunctive participle in Rājbanshi is similar to that found in other Indo-Aryan languages. Masica (1991:323) explains:
"In the function of a Perfective Adverbial Participle ( = 'having...-ed'), we have what is usually called the Conjunctive Participle (also variously the Past or Past Active Participle, or the Absolutive Participle), no doubt the most important NIA non-finite form... Its usual form is $-\bar{i}$ (O., A. $-i$ ). B. has $-e$, G. $-\bar{i}(n e)$, S. $-\bar{i} /-\bar{e}, ~ M . ~-\bar{u} n, ~ K . ~-i t h, ~ S i . ~-(l) l \bar{a} ~(L i t e r a r y ~-\bar{a} /-a /-\bar{i})$, but in H. and P., which use the extensions kar, -ke, one form (the "short" form) of the Conjunctive Participle, having lost the $-i$, coincides with the verb stem. In Nepali the Absolutive in $-i$ is distinct from the Conjunctive Participle in -era, -ī, -īkana."

The term "conjunctive participle" has been used here following a long tradition in IndoAryan linguistics which, as noted by Masica (1976:109), stems from Grierson. The conjunctive participle is formed by the suffix -इए -ie 'CONJ.PTCL'. The -इए -ie morpheme has the allomorph -ए -e which is used after stem-final vowels. Northern Jhāpā dialects (Lakharigaddi and Ghailāạubbā) have the optional form इएने -iene (possibly originating from इए तने -ie tıne 'CONJ.PTCL then'), and also -एने -ene after stem-final vowels. The Rangeli (Morang) dialect uses the form -(इ)एना -(i)ena (395c), whereas the Dādar Bairiā (Morang) dialect uses the form -(ए)के -(e)ke (395d). ${ }^{70}$
(395) a. आसिए(ने) as-ie(ne) 'come-CONJ.PTCL' (Lakharigaddi, Ghailāḍubbā, Korobāri)

c. आसिएना as-iena 'come-CONJ.PTCL' (Rangeli)
d. आसेके as-eke 'come-CONJ.PTCL' (Dādar Bairiā)

In the Korobāri dialect the conjunctive has the emphatic form -(इ)हिन -(ih)in 'CONJ.PTCL[EMPH]'. Whether other dialects also contain this feature is currently unknown.

[^55]According to my own analysis, the form -इएने -iene is as a variant form of -इए -ie 'CONJ.PTCL'. Therefore, the marker used in derivational compound verbs is the same conjunctive participle which features in adverbial clauses (cf. section 3.7.9.2.1). Conversely, the absolutive -इ -i which occurs in conjunction with quasi-aspectual auxiliaries contrasts with this (cf. sections 3.7.9.2.2 and 3.7.10.2). Whether the morpheme -i (Toulmin's third morpheme above) can be separated from tense marking in the perfect or past continuous/remote past is considered further in section 3.7.7.
(396) a. भातला खाहिन (or, खाइन) जाम।
$b^{\mathrm{h}}$ at-la $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$-hin (or, $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{in}$ ) ja-m
rice-PL eat-CONJ.PTCL[EMPH] (or, eat-CONJ.PTCL[EMPH]) go-FUT1sg
'I will (certainly) eat the rice, and then leave.' (Or, 'I certainly won't leave before first eating the rice.')
b. कामला करिहिन जामिन।
kam-la kır-ihin ja-m-i-n
work-PL do-CONJ.PTCL[EMPH] go-FUT-SA3-1sg
'I will (certainly) finish work (on his/her/their behalf) and then leave.'

The conjunctive participle has several meanings. These are admittedly oftentimes interelated and therefore some distinctions may be difficult to support. The participle generally indicates a sequence of actions, that is, the termination (or commencement) of the conjunctive participle prior to the main verb. Therefore, the action of the verb 'go' in example (397) is prior to the action of the main verb 'cry'.

```
(397) आर जाएने एकदम कानिस। (cf. MR.04.050)
    ar ja-ene ekd^m kan-is
    and go-CONJ.PTCL very cry-SUBJ2sg
    'And having gone [ACTION1], cry really hard [ACTION2].'
```

The use of the conjunctive participle in (397) and (396a-b) would seem to indicate that it has an aspectual (that is, perfective) function. Comrie (1993 [1985]:28), for example, questions whether the sequential property found in many languages is not aspectual after all.
"Grammars of many languages claim that the language in question has a special form for indicating situations that occur in sequence, or for distinguishing sequences from simultaneously occuring situations. However, in nearly every case it is impossible to tell from the limited range of examples given whether the interpretation of sequentiality is indeed part of the meaning of the form in question, or whether this is just an implicature following from a basically aspectual distinction."

However, the data as a whole suggests that the conjunctive participle in Rājbanshi should not be considered to be primarily perfective. Some uses of the participle, as mentioned below, and also above in section 3.7.7.3, would suggest that perfectivity is actually implied by sequence and not vice versa. This analysis would then leave room for cases where the conjunctive participle has also non-aspectual implications. For example, the conjunctive participle can indicate instrument (398a-b) or manner (398c-d). Note that all of these examples indicate (partially) simultaneous actions, and this rules out a perfective rendering.
(398) a. खन्जराडत घाँस राखिए गरु भइसलाक खिला जाछे। (MR.02.028)

feeding_trough-NCLS-LOC grass put-CONJ.PTCL bullock water_buffalo-PL-DAT
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{il}-\mathrm{a} \quad j a-\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
feed-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
'The cattle are fed by putting grass or straw in to the feeding trough.'
b. हाँसिए पागल (हचित)! धुदि छागल।
has-ie pag $\quad$ (h $\Lambda$-c-it), $d^{h}$ udi $c^{h} a g \Lambda l$
laugh-CONJ.PTCL madman (be-PRES-2sg), female_goat goat
Literally: '(You are becoming a) mad man (by) laughing. (You are like a) female goat.'
Idiomatic: 'You surrendered (because you are guilty like a miserable animal).'
c. मुइ चखुला मुझिए बेराचु।
mui csk ${ }^{h} u$-la muj ${ }^{\text {h}}$-ie bera-c-u
$1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro ] eys-PL close-CONJ.PTCL walk-PRES-1sg
'I walk / am walking with my eyes closed.'
d. जेहेने बाघड थिप्रिएने जाच्कि सोरटर पर... (cf. MR.05.031)

when tiger-NCLS jump-CONJ.PTCL go-PRES-SA3 pig-NCLS-GEN on_top
'When the tiger jumped (lit. went by jumping) on to the boar...'

Moreover, the conjunctive participle can denote cause (cf. section 7.4.2.3.6 for further discussion on the use of the conjunctive participle).
(399) a. मुइ नि पऱिए आझि दुक पाचु।
mui ni p $r^{\text {h}}$-ie aj ${ }^{\text {h }}$ duk pa-c-u
$1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro ] NEG read-CONJ.PTCL today trouble receive-PRES-1sg
'Because I didn't study, I am having problems today.'
b. कियाँ लोकट मर्बे अप्सोस करिए? (cf. DLR.05.050)
kiã lok-ṭ $\quad \mathrm{m} \wedge r-b-\mathrm{I} \quad \Lambda$ psos kır-ie
why man-NCLS die-FUT-3 grief do-CONJ.PTCL
'Why should the man die due to / because of grief?'
c. त अइदिन से लोकट उड पाएने ... मनड सुना खुसि हल्कि। (cf. DLR.05.060)
t $\Lambda$ si-din se lok-ṭ $\Lambda$ u-ḍ $\Lambda$ pa-ene
PCL DEM[rem/emph]-day ABL man-NCLS DEM[rem]-NCLS get-CONJ.PTCL
m $\wedge$-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ suna $k^{h} u s i \quad h \Delta-1-k i$
mind-NCLS PCL happy(Nepali) be-PST-SA3
'Since that day, the man having got that (shell back), (his) mind became happy.'

The participle can also have aspectual implications in some cases. When followed by the छ- $c^{h}$ - copula, the conjunctive participle can imply a state which is due to a temporal sequence, as in (400a) below: 'sitting' means 'being in a state of having sat down'. With verbs with a telic sense the conjunctive participle is used in a kind of periphrastic perfect (400b; cf also section 3.7.7.3). This does not apply in all cases as the imperfective in (400c) demonstrates.
(400) a. गरु गारिखानत एकटा राजबंसि बेर्छानि बठिए छे... (cf. MR.02.002)
gлru gari-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an-t ek-ta rajbı引si berc $^{\text {h }}$ ani b t $^{\text {h }}$-ie $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-e
bullock cart-NCLS-LOC one-NCLS Rājbanshi woman sit-CONJ.PTCL be-PRES3
'There is a Rājbanshi woman sitting on the bullock cart...'
b. आसिए छे।
as-ie $\quad c^{\text {h}}-e$
come-CONJ.PTCL be-PRES3
'He (is) here.' / 'He has come.' (Lit. 'Having come, he is here.')
c. आर एकटा बच्चा देखिए छे। (cf. DLR.01.003)
ar ek-ṭa baca $c^{\text {h }}$ ua dek $^{\mathrm{h}}$-ie $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-e and one-NCLS small child look-CONJ.PTCL be-PRES3
'And a child is ... watching.'

Finally, there are numerous lexicalised items which originate from conjunctive participial constructions. These include the following:
(401) a. मिलिएने mil-iene 'together' (lit. 'unite-CONJ.PTCL')
b. करे kıre 'ADVL' (probably from करिए kır-ie 'do-CONJ.PTCL')
c. कहे(ने) ksh-e(ne) 'CMPL' (lit. 'say-CONJ.PTCL')
d. घुरिए $g^{h} u r-i e$ 'again' (lit. 'turn_around-CONJ.PTCL')

### 3.7.10.4 Continuous participle

The continuous participle -ते -te 'CONT.PTCL' denotes simultaneous (or, overlapping) continuous action ( $402 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ ), and it is often reduplicated ( $402 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{c}$ ). (See section 3.2 .4 on verb reduplication, and section 7.4.2.1.4 for discussion on the use of the continuous participle to mark object complements.)
(402) a.

खेटियाला एकदम भाग्ते दिसा नि पाचे। (MR.04.201)
$k^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la ekd $\wedge$ m $b^{\mathrm{h}}$ ag-te disa ni pa-c-e
jackal-PL very escape-CONT.PTCL direction NEG get-PRES-3
'While really fleeing the jackals didn't find the direction.' (i.e. 'The jackals fled in confusion.')
b. ...गटलाए भुक्ते भुक्ते खेटियालाक पिटाए लिछे। (cf. MR.04.199)
...g g t-la $=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}$ uk-te $\quad \mathrm{b}^{\text {h }}$ uk-te $\quad k^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la-k $\quad$ piṭa-e $\quad$ 1-ic ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$-e
...all-PL = EMPH bark-CONT.PTCL bark-CONT.PTCL jackal-PL-DAT chase-ABS AUX-PERF-3
'They all chased the jackals while barking and barking.'
c. एनेङे कर्ते कर्ते... (cf. DLR.05.049)
eney $=\mathrm{e} \quad$ kлr-te $\quad$ kır-te
like_this $=$ EMPH do-CONT.PTCL do-CONT.PTCL
'While continuing like this...'

Secondly, the continuous participle is often found in conjunction with the auxiliary रहrıh( $\Lambda$ )- 'AUX(CONT)' in which case it denotes continuous aspect.
(403) a. समय समयत आस्ते रहिस। (cf. DLR.03.010)
sımıe sımлe-t as-te rah-is
time time-LOC come-CONT.PTCL AUX(CONT)-SUBJ2sg
'Keep visiting once in a while.' (Lit. 'keep coming')
b. त बुऱाड माने जुवाँर घर जाते रहे। (DLR.04.004)
t $\Lambda$ bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ mane juã-r $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$ ja-te
PCL old_man-NCLS PCL son_in_law-GEN house go-CONT-PTCL
rah-e
AUX(CONT)-PST.HAB3
'And the old man used to keep coming to the son-in-law's house.'

Similarly, verbs can be marked with the continuous participle and then be followed by the copula.

Table 49. Continuous participle

|  | present continuous | past continuous |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1sg | ठुक्ते छु t ${ }^{h} u k-t e c^{h}-u$ 'hit-CONT.PTCL bePRES1sg' | ठुक्ते छिनु $t^{h} u k$-te $c^{h}$-in-u 'hit-CONT.PTCL be-PST-1sg' |
| 2sg | ठुक्ते छिस (छित) $t^{h} u k-t e c^{h}$-is/t 'hitCONT.PTCL be-PRES2sg' | ठुक्ते छिलो $t^{h} u k$-te $c^{h}$-il-o 'hit-CONT.PTCL be-PST-2sg' |
| 3sg | ठुक्ते छे $t^{h} u k t e c^{h}$-e 'hit-CONT.PTCL bePRES3' | ठुक्ते छिले $t^{h} u k$-te $c^{h}$-il-I 'hit-CONT.PTCL be-PST-3' |
| 1pl | ठुक्ते छि $t^{h} u k t e c^{h}-i$ 'hit-CONT.PTCL bePRES1pl' | ठुक्ते छिन $t^{h} u k$-te $c^{h}$-in-^ 'hit-CONT.PTCL be-PST-1pl' |
| 2pl | ठुक्ते छन $t^{h} u k t e c^{h}$-An 'hit-CONT.PTCL bePRES2pl' | ठुक्ते छिलन $t^{h} u k$-te $c^{h}$-il-An 'hit-CONT.PTCL be-PST-2pl' |
| 3 pl | ठुक्ते छे $t^{h} u k t e c^{h}$-e 'hit-CONT.PTCL bePRES3' | ठुक्ते छिले $t^{h} u k$-te $c^{h}$-il-I 'hit-CONT.PTCL be-PST-3' |

Initially this would appear to function as a continuous aspect. In the Jhāpā dialects this construction is generally considered a loan. ${ }^{11}$ It is reported to be frequently used in the more westerly dialects (especially in Morang), but unfortunately this claim can not be supported by the data at hand.

### 3.7.10.5 Past participle

The past participle is -आल -al 'PST.PTCL', and has a variant -आ -a 'PST.PTCL'. The past participle can function as an noun modifying clause (cf. section 7.4.2.2).

As mentioned in section 3.5.2, the past participle and the adjectiviser (or, deverbaliser) -आ -a 'ADJR (or, DVBL)' must be considered, on morphological and semantic grounds, to be separate categories. The distinction between (404a) and (404b-c) is twofold. Firstly, in (404b-c) both past participle variants are permitted. Conversely, in (404a) only the form -आ -a is acceptable, and this alone indicates a distinction between the two categories. In addition, in (404a) there is no aspectual connotation in the suffix -आ -a, while perfectivity in the suffix -आ(ल) $-\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{l})$ in (404b-c) is self-evident.
(404) a. माटिया भुइ
mati-a $b^{\text {h ui }}$
soil-ADJR ground
'soily ground'
b. सरा(ल) आलुला
s $\wedge$ r-a(l) alu-la
rot-PST.PTCL potatoes-PL
'rotten potatoes'
c. उस्ना(ल) उस्ना(ल) कचुला (cf. MR.04.026, MR.04.042)
usn-a(l) usn-a(l) kлcu-la
boil-PST.PTCL boil-PST.PTCL [kacu]vegetable-PL
'boiled [kacu]vegetables'

The distinction between the deverbaliser - आ $-a$ and the past participle - आ(ल) $-a(l)$, in conjunction with the passive, is more complicated. Initially, based on informants' judgement of grammaticality, it appeared that the suffix -आ -a in the analytic passive construction is not the past participle but the deverbaliser -आ -a 'DVBL'. This observation

[^56]was based on the fact that the variant morpheme for the past participle -आल -al was not found to be grammatical in the passive construction.

```
(405) *देखाल जाछे
    * dek }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{h}}\mathrm{ -al ja-ch
    *see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
```

At a later stage informants accepted the use of the second past participle variant in the passive as being grammatical. Since one example of this was also encountered in the text data (406), I conclude that the suffix used with the analytic passive is indeed the past participle, not the deverbaliser.
(406) याहाँर कोए उपाए निक्लाल जाबे नि? (cf. DLR.06.039)

| yaha-r | koe | upae nikl-al | ja-b-I | ni |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DEM[pro/prox]-GEN | some | way | go_out-PST.PTCL | PASS.AUX-FUT-3 | NEG 'Isn't there a way (out of this dilemma)?'

There are, however, other restrictions in the use of the past participle variants. Firstly, the variant -आल -al can not be used with the reduplicated participles in (407a); whether this is due to lexicalisation of पऱा लिखा $p_{1} r^{h}$-a $l i k^{h}-a$ 'read-PST.PTCL write-PST.PTCL' is unclear. The necessity to closely distinguish between the uses of the -आ -a suffix is evident when considering example (407b), where the identically looking suffix is found as a deverbaliser.
(407) a. पऱा लिखा लोक
p $4 r^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a} \quad$ lik ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a}$ lok
read-PST.PTCL write-PST.PTCL man
'an educated person'
b. खसि काटा तेरुवाल
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ si kaț-a terual
goat cut-DVBL machete
'a machete used for slaughtering goats'

Secondly, only the past participle variant -आल -al 'PST.PTCL' is permitted in conjunction with the statal passive (cf. section 7.5.1.2). The following examples indicate that the perfective aspect has affect on the statal passive: the state implied by the passive has been brought about by a prior completion of the action.
(408) a. उला, खामाला(त), माटि दे लेपाल छे। (MR.03.005)

| u-la | $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ama-la(-t) | maṭi de | lep-al | $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DEM[rem]-PL pillar-PL(-LOC) | soil | INSTR | plaster-PST.PTCL | be-PRES3 |
| 'Those pillars are plastered with soil.' |  |  |  |  |

b. चालखान कनेक मात्र छा'आल छे। (MR.01.008)

$$
\text { cal-k }{ }^{\text {han }} \text { kınek matr } \Lambda \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{r})-\mathrm{al} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}
$$ roof-NCLS a_little only(Nepali) thatch-PST.PTCL be-PRES3

'The roof has only been thatched a little.'

### 3.7.10.6 Conditional participle

The conditional participle is formed by attaching the suffix -ले -le 'COND.PTCL' to the verb stem. Note that the morpheme contrasts with past tense 3 p marking -ले $-1-i\left[1_{1}\right]$ 'PST3 ', even though the orthographic representation is the same.
(409) a. ते हेनङ हले... (cf. GR.01.022)
te henıy hs-le
PCL like_this be-COND.PTCL
'Well, if that is so...'
b. आल़ा खाले इड बुऱिक माजा नि आस्बे। (cf. MR.04.114)
al $^{\text {ha }}$ k $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{le}$ i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{k}$ maja ni as-b-I now eat-COND.PTCL DEM[prox]-NCLS old_woman-DAT taste NEG come-FUT-3 'If we eat this old woman now, no, there won't be any taste.'

### 3.7.10.7 Intentional participle (loan)

Though the construction -ने बाला -ne wala 'NML CL' is a loan from Hindi or Nepali, it is found to be in frequent use in contemporary Rājbanshi. In this respect note Masica (1991:323):
"In most languages, except apparently the Eastern group, there is also a regular secondary nominal formation (usually the Oblique Infinitive) of Agentive Adjective > Noun: H. -vālā ... This also functions as a 'Prospective Participle' ('about to V')..."'

Firstly, the construction has a nominalising function (cf. section 3.5.1).
(410) a. मोर निसाफ कर्ने बाला तुइ काहाँए?
mo-r $\quad$ nisap $^{h}$ kır-ne wala tui kahae
$1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ judgement do-NML(Hindi) CL(Hindi) $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] who
'Who are you to judge me?'
b. तोक छर्ने बाला नि छु मुइ। (cf. GR.01.022)

| to-k | $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{ne}$ | wala | ni | $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{u}$ | mui |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2sg[obl]-DAT | spare-NML(Hindi) | CL(Hindi) | NEG | be-PRES1sg | $1 \operatorname{sg}[$ [pro $]$ |
| 'I am not the one to spare you.' |  |  |  |  |  |

Secondly, in some contexts it has a future connotation; thus (411a) implies the same as (410b). It also denotes prospective aspect (411b).
(411) a. आर मुइ तोक छरिम नि। (cf. GR.01.025)
ar mui to-k $c^{\text {h }} \Lambda$ r-im ni
and $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}] 2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]$-DAT spare-FUT1sg NEG
'And I will not spare you.'
b. मुइ आस्ने बाला छिनु।
mui as-ne wala $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} u$
1sg[pro] come-NML(Hindi) CL(Hindi) be-PRES1sg
'I was about to come.'

## 4. Adjectives

Though there is some overlap between nouns, adverbs, and adjectives, there is significant evidence to suggest that there is a distinct word class of adjectives in the Rājbanshi language. "Adjectives" are referred to here as those words which are primarily adjectives, such as बड bara 'big'. These words may also function as heads of noun phrases, or in some cases (when marked with the emphatic clitic) even as adverbs.

In addition, there are nouns which, when used in the adjectival position, refer not to an entity but to a property. This set includes words such as बुरा bur ${ }^{h}$ a 'old_man (noun); old (male; adjective)', and चेङरा cenra 'young_man (noun); young (male; adjective)'. These are primarily considered to be nouns which function as adjectives, and are to be distinguished from endocentric (and exocentric) compounds.

In this chapter I will compare the above-mentioned adjectives and noun-like-adjectives, and maintain certain morphological and syntactic criteria which will help to distinguish between them. I will also look at some different semantic categories of adjectives (along the lines suggested by Dixon 2004) which are found in the Rājbanshi language. The two distinct operations which adjectives perform (namely, noun modification (attributive) and copula complementation) will be evaluated and, in conclusion, I will consider the comparative and superlative constructions.

### 4.1 Adjective categories

Table 50 charts the types of adjectives encountered in the data. I have divided the adjectives into semantic categories following Dixon (2004:3-5). Core semantic types and peripheral semantic types are well represented. This initial enquiry would suggest that adjectives for categories such as difficulty, similarity, qualification, quantification or position draw mainly from loan words.

Table 50. Adjective categories

| Semantic category | Adjective | Noun-like adjectives |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Core semantic types |  |  |
| Dimension | बड (बाडा) barı / bara 'large' छट $c^{h} \Lambda t \Lambda \Lambda$ 'short' लास lam $^{h}{ }_{\Lambda}$ 'long' | [बाच्चा baca 'small' (corresponding noun: 'child' from Hindi loan बाछा बच्चा / बच्छ ‘boy; child; baby')] |

Table 50. (cont.)

| Age | नाया naya 'new' <br> पुर्ना purna 'old' | ```पेद्रा pedra 'tiny' (corresponding noun: 'newly_born_baby') बुऱा bur 'a 'old' (corresponding noun: 'old_man') बुरि bur'hi 'old(FEM)' (corresponding noun: 'old_woman') चेङरा ce\etara 'young' (corresponding noun: 'youngster') छुआ (छुवा) chua 'young' (corresponding noun: 'child')``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Value | आच्छा acc ${ }^{h a}$ 'good' बेन्डा benḍa '(ritually)_unclean' एठ $\mathrm{e} \underline{ }^{h_{\Lambda}}{ }^{\text {' }}$ (socially)_unclean' | फुस $p^{h} u s$ 'without_value' (corresponding noun possibly 'thatch') |
| Colour | लाल lal 'red' काल kals 'black' खइरा $k^{h}{ }_{\text {Aira }}$ 'grey' साफा sap ${ }^{h}$ a 'white' |  |
| Peripheral semantic types |  |  |
| Physical property | पुराट purat 'hard' मट muṭ 'fat' <br> पत्ला patla 'thin' भर्ति $b^{h}$ $\Delta t t i$ 'full' <br> घन $g^{h} \Delta n \Delta$ 'dense' <br> आन्धार and $^{h}$ ar 'dark' <br> जाऩाक jan hak 'light' |  |
| Human propensity | अन्सट $\operatorname{sns} s t \Lambda$ 'bored' आल्सिया alsia 'lazy' | दोमन domın 'uncertain' (originally do man 'two mind') |
| Speed | ढिल्ला $d^{h}$ illa 'slow' कारा kara 'fast' |  |
| Others |  |  |
| Difficulty | आसान asan 'easy' <br> कठिन $k 九 t^{h}{ }^{h}$ ' 'difficult[loan]' <br> मुस्किल muskil 'difficult[loan]' <br> सुबिस्ता subista 'easy[loan]' |  |
| Similarity | not found (only loans) |  |
| Qualification | not found (only loans) |  |
| Quantification | not found (only loans) |  |
| Position | not found (only loans) |  |
| Cardinal numbers | ```सिर sir 'first' सेसा sesa 'last' पहिला pshila (पहिल्ठिया p^hilṭ}\mp@subsup{}{}{h}\mathrm{ ia) 'first' अन्तिम(र) nntim(-er) 'last'``` |  |

Noun-like adjectives are mainly found in conjunction with core semantic types of dimension and age. The corresponding noun is almost always human, though exceptions do indeed exist. Consider, for example, the adjective फुस $p^{h} u s$ 'without_value'. The corresponding noun of this adjective is (possibly) 'thatch'.

Also onomatopoeic-like constructions (i.e. "sound symbolism") function frequently as adjectives (cf. section 3.4). Consider the adjective चरफटिया carp ${ }^{h}$ tt-ia ' $\left[c_{1} r p^{h} \Delta t\right]-A D J R '$ which means 'fast'. Though the construction itself is very common, the forms of each derived adjective vary considerably between speakers and dialects.

### 4.2 Adjectives versus nouns functioning as adjectives

Rājbanshi has an open set of adjectives, such as सुरु suru 'thin', लाल lal 'red' and पुर्ना purna 'old'. In addition to these adjectives a closed set of nouns are used as adjectives. Consider the noun चेडरा cenra 'young_man (noun); young (adj)' which functions as a noun in (412a) and an adjective denoting 'young' in (412b-c).
(412) a. उड चेङ.रा (cf. MR.05.041)
u-ḍィ cenra
that-NCLS young_man
'that young man'
b. चेङरा लोकेर चुलि (cf. MR.05.253)
cenra lok-er culi young(man) man-GEN hair 'the hair of a young man'
c. एकटा लोक चेङरा छे। (cf. MR.01.002)
ek-ṭa lok ceøra $c^{\text {h }}$-e
one-NCLS man young be-PRES3
'One man is young.'

The same applies for certain feminine nouns.
(413) एकटा बुरि छुरि
ek-ṭa bur ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$ uri
one-NCLS old girl
'a girl who is getting on in age (as regards a suitable marital age)'
These differ from copulative, endocentric and exocentric compounds. Endocentric compounds, such as (414a-b), are sequences of two nouns, where the first subclassifies the second in an adjectival manner. The noun आर्ना arsna, which by itself can mean a specific type of wild buffalo, is functioning in (414a) as a modifying element of the noun भइस $b^{h}$ Ais 'buffalo'. This same observation also applies in the example (414b), where the noun पुवाल pual 'straw' is functioning in an adjective-like manner, subcategorising the noun ढेरि $d^{h}$ eri 'pile', and resulting in the entity 'straw pile', that is, 'a pile (with a property of) [straw]'.

The exocentric compound in (414c) is similar except that the compound as a whole modifies some other entity; in this case, due to the feminine suffix, a woman or girl.
(414) a. आर्ना भइसला (cf. MR.05.119)
arına $\quad b^{\mathrm{h}}$ ^is-la
type_of_wild_buffalo
water_buffalo-PL
'wild buffaloes'
b. पुवाल ढेरिड (cf. MR.02.005)

```
pual d}\mp@subsup{\mp@code{c}}{}{\textrm{h}
    straw pile-NCLS
    'straw pile' (or, 'the pile of straw')
```

c. गोरोल ठेङि
gorol $t^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{en}$-i
heron leg-FEM
'skinny legged (woman)'

The main difference between a noun functioning as an adjective (such as those in Table 50 ), and a modifying element in an endocentric or exocentric compound, is that the noun of the former type can be used as an adjective copula complement, as in (412c), whereas the modifying noun in the latter type can not, as (415) shows.
*एकटा ढेरिड पुवाल छे...
*ek-ṭa d $^{\text {h }}$ eri pual $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e} . .$.
*one-NCLS pile straw be-PRES3...
*'One pile is (of) straw'

### 4.2.1 Morphological, syntactic and semantic considerations

Three distinct criteria arise in distinguishing adjectives and noun-like-adjectives.
Firstly, there is the matter of noun classifier (or plural) marking. If a word is functioning as an adjective which precedes the head noun, the noun classifier (or plural) is marked on the head noun (cf. section 3.6.2.4.1). Therefore, compare the use of the word बुऱा bur ${ }^{h}$ a 'old_man (noun); old (adj)' in (416a) with that in (416b). In (416a) the word functions as an adjective and does not take the noun classifier. Conversely in (416b) the word functions as the head noun itself and takes the noun classifier.
(416) a. बुऱा लोकट गित गिदाचे।
bur $^{\text {ha }}$ lok-ṭ $\quad$ git gida-c-e
old person(man)-NCLS song sing-PRES-3
'The old person(man) is singing a song.'
b. बुराड गित गिदाचे।
bur $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$-ḍ $\Lambda$ git gida-c-e
old_man-NCLS song sing-PRES-3
'The old man is singing a song.'

Secondly, whether a word functions as a noun or adjective is evident from the copula clause. For example, the fact that the word चेङरा cenra 'young_man (noun); young (adj)' is used in (417) in an adjectival sense can be seen from the use of the descriptive copula छ-$c^{h}$-, rather than the stative copula ह- $h \Delta$ -
(417) एकटा लोक चेङरा छे... (cf. MR.01.002)
ek-ṭa lok ceŋra $c^{\text {h }}$-e...
one-NCLS man young be-PRES3...
'One man is young...'

Thirdly, a basic distinction between adjectives and noun-like adjectives is their semantic content. A noun which functions as an adjective will indicate a certain property of an entity, but can also refer to the entity itself when functioning as a noun. Conversely, an adjective can only refer to a property. In cases where adjectives fill the position of the noun phrase head, the adjective still refers to the omitted noun. This is evident from the noun classifier which agrees with the underlying noun. Therefore, the adjective छट $c^{h} \Lambda t!\Lambda$ 'short' in example (418a) is used as the noun phrase head, and this is evident from the noun classifier and case marking. The noun classifier -ड - $\alpha_{\Lambda}$ 'NCLS' agrees with the noun लोक lok 'man; person'. Likewise, the noun classifier -खान -khan 'NCLS' in example (418b) refers to the noun कलम kılım 'pen'.
(418) a. जाहें बड हचे छटडक खाए जाछे। (cf. GR.01.029)

who large be-PRES-3 short-NCLS-DAT eat-ABS AUX-PRES-3
'Whoever is big (i.e. socially), eats up the (socially) small (person)...'
b. इखान, पुर्नाखान काम नि लागेचे।
i-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an, purna-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an kam ni lag-ec-e
DEM[prox]-NCLS old-NCLS work NEG apply-PRES-3
'This one, the old (one) doesn't work.'

### 4.3 Functions

Adjectives, and nouns which function as adjectives, have two primary functions. They can function as noun modifiers or as copula complements. In the former case they follow the noun phrase head; in the latter they precede it. Consider, for example, the adjective काच kacs 'unripe'. In example (419a) the adjective precedes the noun phrase head it modifies. Conversely, in (419b) the adjective follows the noun phrase head (and hence the whole noun phrase), and functions as a copula complement.
(419)

काचए कचुला (cf. MR.04.009)
$\operatorname{kac} \Lambda=\mathrm{e} \quad$ kлcu-la
uncooked $=$ EMPH [kacu]vegetable-PL
'unripe/uncooked [kacu]vegetables'
b. इला कचुला काचए।
i-la kлcu-la kac $=\mathrm{e}$
DEM[prox]-PL [kacu]vegetable-PL unripe $=\mathrm{EMPH}$
'These [kacu]vegetables (are) unripe/uncooked.'

Example (419b) looks very much like an intransitive predicate clause where the adjective काच kacs 'unripe' is functioning as the predicate. However, the copula predicate is merely deleted (as an optional element), and hence I have analysed the adjective as a copula complement in a (reduced) copula clause. The full form of the sentence would have been:
(420) इला कचु काचए छे।
i-la kлcu-la $\quad$ kac $\Lambda=e \quad c^{\text {h }}-e$
DEM[prox]-PL [kacu]vegetable-PL unripe $=$ EMPH be-PRES3
'These [kacu]vegetables are unripe/uncooked.'

Likewise, nouns functioning as adjectives function as noun modifiers (421a) or copula complements (421b-c).
(421) a. एकटा बुऱा लोक (cf. DLR.01.002)
ek-ṭa bur ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ lok
one-NCLS old man
'an old man'
b. एकटा लोक बुऱा छे। (cf. MR.01.002)
ek-ṭa lok bur ${ }^{\text {ha }} c^{\text {h }}-e .$.
one-NCLS man old_man be-PRES3...
'One man is old.'
c. इड हत्ति बुरा छे।
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{h} \Lambda t t i \quad$ bur $^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
DEM[prox]-NCLS elephant old be-PRES3
'This elephant is old.'

When marked with the emphatic clitic - ए $=e^{\prime}=E M P H '$ some adjectives, such as आच्छा $\operatorname{acc}^{h} a$, may function either as an adjective (422a; 'good') or an adverb (422b; 'well'). Whether this is due to a possible distinction between the emphatic and an adverbialiser is uncertain (cf. section 3.6.4.1.5).

## 4. Adjectives

(422) a. केन्ति आच्छाए नि छकन! (cf. MR.04.166)
kenti $\operatorname{acc}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{e} \quad$ ni $\quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\Lambda-\mathrm{k} \wedge n$
how good=EMPH NEG be-PRES-SA2pl
'It is really no good (for you)!'
b. आच्छाए देखा जाछे। (cf. DLR.03.004)
$\operatorname{acc}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{e}$
$\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a}$ ja-ch ${ }^{\text {h }}$ e
good $=$ EMPH/ADVL see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
'(It) can be seen well.'

The following table presents the use of adjectives and adjective-like modifiers.

Table 51. Use of adjectives and adjective-like modifiers

| Adjectives |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Noun | Adjective | Adverb |
| बड barı 'big; (a) big (one)' | $\checkmark$ (GR.01.029) | $\checkmark$ (MR.04.075) | $\times$ |
| आच्छा acc $^{\text {ha a 'good; (a) good (one)' }}$ | $\checkmark$ (DLR.04.006) | $\checkmark$ (MR.05.194) | $\times$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { आच्छाए } \operatorname{acc}^{h} a=\mathrm{e} \\ & \quad \text { 'good }=\text { EMPH/-ADVL'; 'well' } \end{aligned}$ | $\times$ | $\checkmark$ (MR.04.166) | $\checkmark$ (DLR.03.004) |
| Noun-like adjectives |  |  |  |
|  | Noun | Adjective | Adverb |
| बुऱा bur ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ 'old man; old' | $\checkmark$ (MR.04.075) | $\checkmark$ (DLR.01.002) | $\times$ |
| बुऱ bur ${ }^{\text {h }}$ 'old woman' | $\checkmark$ (MR.04.075) | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ |
| बाच्चा baca 'child; small' (loan from Hindi बाछा / बच्चा / बच्छ ) | $\checkmark$ (GR.01.006) | $\checkmark$ (DLR.04.008) | $\times$ |
| Noun compounds |  |  |  |
|  | Noun | Adjective | Adverb |
| Copulative compound |  |  |  |
| first element | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| second element | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| compound as a whole | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Endocentric compound |  |  |  |
| first element (property) | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| second element | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| compound as a whole | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| Exocentric compound (bahuvrīhi) |  |  |  |
| first element | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ |
| second element | $\checkmark$ | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| compound as a whole | $\checkmark$ | (?) | $\times$ |

### 4.4 Comparative and superlative

With a lack of comparative inflection, the comparative and the superlative constructions in Rājbanshi do not differ from the common Indo-Aryan model. The subject of the clause precedes the standard, which is in turn marked with the ablative case clitic से se 'ABL'. The quality follows the marker. The use of the copula is optional.

```
(423) इड कलम उड कलम से मँट (छे)।
```



```
se msṭ\Lambda (cher
ABL [MARKER] }\mp@subsup{\mp@code{thick [QUALITY]}}{}{(be-PRES3)
'This pen is thicker than that pen.'
```

Though the position of the subject can be affected by displacement, the order of the standard, marker and quality remains rigid. In the topicalised construction (424a), the conjunction and the subject occur between the marker and the quality. In the default structure, presented in (424b), the subject occurs in clause-initial position. In both of these examples the order of the standard, marker and quality is the same.

```
(424) a. ...मोर से जदि याहाँ अनङति सुन्दर लोक देखे... (cf. DLR.06.065)
    ...mo-r se j \(\wedge\) di yaha
    \(\ldots 1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}_{[\text {STANDARD }]} \mathrm{ABL}_{[\text {MARKER] }]}\) if \({\text { DEM[pro/prox] }]_{\text {[SUBJECT] }}}\)
    [лnıŋti sund \(\wedge\) r lok] \(\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e} . .\).
    [like_that beautiful man] \({ }_{[\text {QUALITY] }}\) see-SUBJ3...
    '...if she sees a more handsome man than me...'
    b. जदि याहाँ मोर से अनङति सुन्दर लोक देखे...
    j^di yaha mo-r se
    if \(\operatorname{DEM[pro/prox]}{ }_{[S U B J E C T} 1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}_{\text {[STANDARD] }} \mathrm{ABL}_{\text {[MARKER] }}\)
    [лnıŋti sund \(\wedge\) r lok] \(\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e} . .\).
    [like_that beautiful man] \({ }_{[Q U A L I T Y]}\) see-SUBJ3...
    '...if she sees a more handsome man than me...'
```

In a similar fashion, the superlative is constructed by placing the quantifier सभारे $s \Delta b^{h} a$ $r=\mathrm{e}$ 'all-GEN $=$ EMPH' (425a), or Hindi सब $s \wedge b$ 'all' (425b), in the standard position. Again, regardless of displacement in (425b), the order of the standard, the marker and the quality remains the same.
(425) a. इड कलम सभारे से मँट छे।

[DEM[prox]-NCLS pen] ${ }_{[S U B J E C T]}$ all-GEN $=$ EMPH $_{\text {[STANDARD] }} A_{\text {[MARKER] }}$
$m \wedge t ̣ \Lambda \quad\left(c^{\mathrm{h}}-e\right)$
thick $_{\text {[QUALITY] }}$ (be-PRES3)
'This pen is (the) thickest.'
b. सब से आगुति माने उहाँ आसे। (MR.05.159)

| s $\wedge$ b | se | aguti | mane |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| every [STANDARD] | $\mathrm{ABL}_{[\text {MARKER] }}$ | in_front ${ }_{\text {[QUALITY] }}$ | PCL |
| uhã | as-e |  |  |
| $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]_{[\mathrm{SUBJECT}]}$ | come-PST.HA | B3 |  |

'She used to come in front of all of them.' (Lit. 'In the frontest she used to come.')

The comparative is used in the adverbial constructions कम से कम kım se kım 'less ABL less' (i.e. 'at least') in (426a), and आधाङि से बेसि ad ${ }^{h}$ ayi se besi 'half ABL more' (i.e. 'more than half') in (426b). ${ }^{72}$
(426) a. कम से कम चार पाच हात हइ जाफ्कि चुलिला। (cf. MR.05.191)

| kım | se | kım | car pac hat h $\mathrm{c}_{\text {-i }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\text { less }_{\text {[STANDARD] }}$ | $\mathrm{ABL}_{\text {[MARKER] }}$ | less [QUALITY] | four five hand be-ABS |
| ja-p-ki | culi-la |  |  |

AUX-FUT-SA3 hair-PL
'His hair became at least four/five hands long.'
b. मनड याहाँर आधाङि से बेसि हइ गेल्कि रानि बन्बारे। (cf. DLR.06.055)
m^n-ḍ $\Lambda$ yaha-r ad ayi se
mind-NCLS DEM[pro/prox]-GEN half [STANDARD] ABL $_{\text {[MARKER] }}$
besi h $\Lambda$-i ge-l-ki rani b $\wedge n-b a-r=e$
more $_{\text {[QUALITY] }}$ be-ABS AUX-PST-SA3 queen become-INF-GEN $=$ EMPH
'Well, her mind became more than half (favourable of) becoming a queen.'

[^57]
## 5. Adverbs

In this chapter I will discuss two adverbialisers, and mention some of the temporal and locational adverbs and adverbs of manner, degree and quantity encountered during this research. A preliminary list of pronominal adverbs will also be presented.

### 5.1 Adverbialisers

The ablative case clitic से se 'ABL' and the word करे kare 'ADVL' were found to function as adverbialisers. (The use of the suffix -ए -e as a possible adverbialiser has been mentioned in sections 3.2.1, 3.6.4.1.5 and 6.1.2 and therefore will not be reiterated here.)

The ablative case marker से se 'ABL' follows the adjective (427a) or noun (427b) which is to be adverbialised.
(427) a. ...आच्छ्ा से खाबा धरिल। (cf. DLR.04.003)
$\ldots$...cc $^{\text {ha }}$ a se $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ba} \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge$ r-il
...good ABL eat-INF begin-PST3
'...began to eat well.'
b. ताहारबाद तुइ मुइ आराम से बठिए खाम। (cf. DLR.04.016)
taharıbad tui mui aram se b $\Delta t^{\text {h}}$-ie $k^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-m- -
after_that $2 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $]$ rest ABL sit-CONJ.PTCL eat-FUT-1pl
'After that you and I will sit in peace and eat.'

The adverbialiser करे kare 'ADVL' possibly originates from the conjunctive participle of the verb कर- kar- 'do' (करिए kar-ie 'do-CONJ.PTCL' > करे kare 'ADVL').

The adverbialiser modifies a range of different phrases, and in most cases denotes manner (428a-b). Compare the nonspecific कलात ksla-t 'hip-LOC' in conjunction with the adverbialiser in (428b), with the specific definite कलाडत kıla-d $\Lambda-t$ 'hip-NCLS-LOC' in (428c). The secondary agreement on the verb cross-references the implicit possessor तोर to-r '2sg[obl]-GEN'.
(428) a. होकोर दोकोर करे (cf. MR.05.054)
hokor_dokor kare
in_a_hurry ADVL
'hurriedly; in a hurried manner'
b. एत्र छुवाक कलात करे आनेचित?
etr $c^{\text {h }}$ ua-k k kla-t kare an-ec-it so_big child-DAT hip-LOC ADVL bring-PRES-2sg
'Are you bringing such a big child (in an) "on hip" (manner)?'
c. एत्र छुवाक कलाडत आनेच्कु?
etr $\Lambda c^{\text {h }} u a-k \quad$ k $\wedge l a-d \lambda-t \quad$ an-ec-ku
so_big child-DAT hip-NCLS-LOC bring-PRES-SA2sg
'Are you bringing such a big child on (your) hip?'

Secondly, the adverbialiser is used in conjunction with certain pronominal adverbs. For example, the pronominal adverb केनङ kensy 'what_kind' takes on the sense of 'in_what_manner' when modified by the adverbialiser in (429).
(429) केनङ करे kenıŋ kare 'like_what ADVL' ('in what manner?'; cf. DLR.06.049)

Thirdly, the adverbialiser is used to mark the imitated sound in onomatopoeic structures (cf. section 3.4).
(430) ढिप ढिप करे मार्चे एकदम। (cf. MR.04.075)


Fourthly, when used with a temporal adverb, the sense 'during' is implied. In this context it functions as a postposition, and is interchangeable with the postposition घुरि $g^{h} u r i$ 'during' (cf. section 3.6.4.2.5).

```
(431) रात करे (or, घुरि) (cf. DLR.06.047)
    rat k^re (or, g}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{h}uri)
    night ADVL (or, during)
    'during the night'
```

Finally, the adverbialiser seems to function as a clausal complementiser in बाउ करे bau kare 'father CMPL' in (432).
(432) मोक बाउ करे कोहो त, एक टाका दिम्कुन।
mo-k bau kıre koho- $\varnothing$ t $\Lambda$, ek ṭaka di-m-ku-n
1sg[obl]-DAT father CMPL say-IMP2sg PCL(then) one coin give-FUT-SA2sg-1sg
'Call me father, (and) I will give you one coin.'

### 5.2 Temporal adverbs

Temporal adverbs include the following.
(433) आझि aj $j^{h}{ }^{h}$ 'today'

आझ्नि मुइ एइखान मुखेरे गुने हारानु। (DLR.05.043)
ajh $^{\text {h }}$ mui ei-k ${ }^{\text {han }}{ }^{\text {m }}$ huk gun-e
today 1sg[pro] DEM[prox/emph]-NCLS mouth-GEN quality-INSTR/EMPH
har-a-n-u
lose-CAUS-PST-1sg
'I lost (it) today because of the quality of this very mouth.'
(434) कालि $\mathrm{kal}^{h} \mathrm{i}$ 'yesterday; tomorrow'

कालि से तुइ ठिक हइ जा। (cf. GR.02.075)

tomorrow ABL $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ alright be-ABS AUX-IMP2SG
'From tomorrow (onwards) become well.'
(435) आखरित $a k^{h} r i-t$ 'end-LOC'

आखरित मुइ आझ्नि मरिम रे। (cf. MR.04.187)
ak $^{\text {h }}$ ri-t mui aj ${ }^{\text {hi }} \mathrm{m} \wedge$ r-im re
end-LOC $1 \mathrm{sg}[p r o]$ today die-FUT1sg PCL
'In the end I will certainly die today.'
(436) आज़ा al ${ }^{h} a^{\prime}$ 'now'

आल़ा मुइ जाछु बेटिर घर। (MR.04.102)
al $^{\text {h }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ mui ja-ch betii-r $\mathrm{g}^{\text {h }}-\mathrm{g} \Delta r$
now $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ go-PRES-1sg daughter-GEN house
'Now I am going to (my) daughter's house.'
(437) आप ap 'now' (cf. section 6.1.3)

आप तोक खाम। (cf. MR.04.154)
ap to-k $k^{\text {ha }}$-m-
now $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-$ DAT eat-FUT-1pl
'Now we will eat you.'
(438) सधाए $s \lambda d^{h} a e$ 'always'

सधाए मोर जिन्दगिड गरिब रहि जाबे। (DLR.06.052)
s $\Lambda d^{\text {hae }}$ mo-r jind $\wedge$ gi-ḍ g $\Lambda$ grib rah-i ja-b-r
always 1 sg[obl]-GEN life(Hindi)-NCLS poor remain-ABS AUX-FUT-3
'My life will always remain poor.'
(439) कोधोए (नि) kod $^{h} o e$ (ni) 'never'

कोधोए नि उहाँ काम कर्बार। (GR.02.052)
kod $^{\text {h }}$ oe ni uhã kam kır-ba-r
never NEG $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ work do-INF-GEN
'He (has) never (been a) working (horse).'
(440) ताहारबाद taharbad 'after that'

ताहारबाद बुन्म। (MR.04.018)
taharbad bun-m- $\Lambda$
after_that plant-FUT-1pl
'After that we will plant (them).'
(441) घुरिए $g^{h}$ urie 'again' (from घुरिए(ने) $g^{h} u r-i e(n e)$ 'turn_around-CONJ.PTCL'; see also examples DLR.04.015; DLR.04.033)
आर नि काटेचु, ते याहाँर सङे घुरिए सधाए जन कामा रहए जाम। (cf. DLR.06.051)

DEM[pro/prox]-GEN with again always labour earner remain-ABS AUX-FUT1sg 'I will (again) always remain a labourer with him.'
(442) तने $t_{\Lambda n e}$ 'then' (cf. also sections 6.1.3 and 7.4.2.4)

तने आसिम। (MR.04.109)
tnne as-im
PCL come-FUT1sg
'Then I will come.'

Other temporal adverbs include:
(443) a. दिन din 'day' (cf. DLR.04.012)
b. रात rat (cf. DLR.06.047), or राति rati 'night' (cf. MR.04.025)
c. बाहान bahan 'morning' (cf. MR.05.104)
d. सान्जा sanja 'early evening' (cf. MR.05.026)
e. हाप्ता hapta 'week' (cf. MR.05.127)
f. महिना mahina 'month' (cf. GR.01.021)
g. बछर $b_{\Lambda} c^{h} \Lambda r$ 'year' (cf. MR.05.189)
h. चटि csṭi 'moment' (cf. DLR.06.056)
i. बजे b^je 'o'clock' (cf. MR.05.086)
j. समय Sлmıe 'time' (cf. DLR.03.010)
k. भरखर $b^{h} \Lambda r k^{h} \Delta r^{\prime}$ (just) recently' (cf. GR.01.021)

The adverb आर ar 'more' has several meanings. In (444a) it is used in the sense 'longer time'; in (444b), in the sense 'longer distance'; in (444c) it is used to mean 'one more (entity)'; in (444d) it means 'again'; and in (444e), in conjunction with the adverb साल sal
'year', it denotes 'previous'. In some dialects it is frequently used with the conjunctive clitic आरह $a r=h a$ 'more $=$ CNJ; (even) more' (444b-d).
(444) a. बेमारि त आर नि छे। (GR.02.081)
bemari t A ar ni $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-e
ill PCL more NEG be-PRES3
'He is no longer ill.'
b. कनेक आरह, चल ना हुदर, हुदर, हुदर। (MR.04.147)
kınek ar $=\mathrm{h} \Lambda \quad$ c $\Lambda l-\Lambda \quad$ na hud $\Lambda \mathrm{r}$ hud $\Lambda \mathrm{r}$ hud $\Lambda \mathrm{r}$
$a_{-}$little more $=$CNJ go-IMP2pl PCL over_there over_there over_there
'Go a little further over there (please), over there, over there.'
c. आरह एकटा लागाए दे गे।
$\mathrm{ar}=\mathrm{h} \Lambda \quad$ ek-ṭa laga-e $\quad$ de- $\varnothing \quad$ ge
more $=$ CNJ one-NCLS add-CONJ.PTCL give-IMP2sg VOC[fem]
'Add one more, please (to girl/woman).'
d. आरह आसिस।
$\mathrm{ar}=\mathrm{h} \Lambda \quad$ as-is
more $=$ CNJ come-SUBJ2sg
'Come again (later).'
e. आरसाल ar-sal 'last-year' (cf. GR.01.018)

In addition to these, the adverb is used as a copulative conjunction (cf. section 6.2.1), and a type of rhetorical particle (445).
(445) एनङ करे कचु बुनेचे आरह? (MR.04.007)
enıy kare kıcu bun-ec-e arhs
like_this ADVL [kacu]vegetable plant-PRES-3 more
'So, [kacu]vegetables are planted like this too?'

Finally, the unruly word जे je. I first regarded this as a counter-expectancy/progressive particle, but decided to include it with the temporal adverbs due to its meaning 'still'. (The word needs to be distinguished from the relative pronoun and the particle of confirmation. Refer to sections 3.6.3.7 and 6.1.7 respectively.)

Structurally it precedes the inflected and reduplicated main verb. Thus, in example (446a-b) the particle precedes the reduplicated main verb कर- kar- 'do-'. In example (446c) the nominal element आसा जाइ as-a ja-i 'come-DVBL go-DVBL' ('coming and going') is inserted between the adverb and the reduplicated verbaliser. The construction seems to
have two senses: firstly it indicates the progressive aspect (in the sense of 'still doing', or 'doing and doing') as in (446a), and secondly counter-expectance as in (446b-c). Its use is restricted to when the verb is marked with the present tense.
(446) a. कामला जे करेचु करेचु ताहुँ नि सकेचे।
kam-la je kır-ec-u k $\quad$ r-ec-u tahu ni s $k$-ec-e
work-PL still do-PRES-1sg do-PRES-1sg nevertheless NEG finish-PRES-3
'I keep on working but nevertheless it (the work) never ends.'
b. कामला जे कर्चिस कर्चिस।
kam-la je k $\wedge$ r-c-is k $\wedge$-c-is
work-PL PCL do-PRES-2sg do-PRES-2sg
'You are still working.' (contrary to what I expected)
c. बुराड जे आसा जाइ करेचे करेचे। (DLR.04.008)
bur ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ a-d $\Lambda$ je as-a ja-i k $\wedge r-e c-e \quad$ k $\wedge r-e c-e ~$
old_man-NCLS still come-DVBL go-DVBL do-PRES-3 do-PRES-3
'The old man still keeps on visiting.' (Implying, '...even though we are married.')

In some cases it implies continuous/progressive action without denoting counterexpectation.
(447) कुकुरला जे पिटाच्कि पिटाच्कि खेटियालाक। (MR.04.202)
kukur-la je piṭa-c-ki pita-c-ki $\quad k^{h}$ eṭia-la-k
dog-PL still chase-PRES-SA3 chase-PRES-SA3 jackal-PL-DAT
'The dogs chased and chased the jackals.'

### 5.3 Locational adverbs

Many of the lexicalised PP-compounds of location are found as locational adverbs. These include:
(448) बगलत bıglat 'close'

अइठा बगलत नुकाए रोहोल। (cf. DLR.04.031)
si-t- tha bıglıt nuka-e roho-1
DEM[rem/emph]-place near hide-CONJ.PTCL remain-PST3
'(He) remained there hiding close by.'
(449) भितरत $b^{h}$ itrat 'inside'

भितरत आस।
$b^{\text {h }}$ itr $\Lambda t$ as- $\Lambda$
inside come-IMP2pl
'Come inside.'
(450) बाहारत baharst 'outside'

बाहारत जाअ।
baharıt ja-^
outside go-IMP2pl
'Go outside.'
(451) उपरत uprat 'above, up'

देखिन मुखेर पानिखान उपरत त नि जाबे। (GR.01.004)
$\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\text {in muk }}{ }^{\mathrm{h}}$-er pani-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an upr$\Lambda$ t $\mathrm{t} \Lambda$ ni ja-b-I
south mouth-GEN water-NCLS above PCL NEG go-FUT-3
'Water can not flow from the south to above.'
(452) उपर दे / पाके upır de / pake '(around) above'

अइड कुवा जाच्कि उपर दे। (cf. MR.05.206)
иi-ḍィ kua ja-c-ki upsr de
DEM[rem/emph]-NCLS crow go-PRES-SA3 up ADVL
'The (same) crow came (flying) above.'
(453) हेंटत heṭ $\Delta t$ 'below'; तलत $t \wedge l \Delta t$ 'below'

चुरिखान हेंटत छे।
curi-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an heṭ $\Lambda$ t $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-e$
bangle-NCLS below be-PRES3
'The bangle is below.'

### 5.4 Adverbs of manner

Adverbs of manner include the following:
(454) अलगे $\Lambda l$ Lige 'separately'

एकटा पखि अलगे रहे। (cf. DLR.05.002)
ek-ṭa pık ${ }^{\text {hi }} \Lambda$ lıge rıh-e
one-NCLS bird separately live-PST.HAB3
'One of them lived alone.'
(455) समत ssmst 'together; with'

समत बठ्बो? (cf. DLR.05.047)
s $\wedge$ mst b $\Delta t^{\text {h }}-\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{o}$
together sit-FUT-2sg
'Will you sit together (with me)?' (that is, 'Will you marry me?')
(456) छेच्कारि $c^{h}$ eckari 'for real'

तोक मुइ छेच्कारि नि ठुकिम्कुन। (cf. DLR.04.025)
to-k mui $c^{\text {h }}$ eckari ni $t^{\text {h }} u k-i m-k u-n$
$2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{DAT} 1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ really NEG hit-FUT-SA2sg-1sg
'I won't hit you for real.'
(457) मिच्कारि mickari 'not for real'

मिच्कारि ठुकिम्कुन। (cf. DLR.04.025)
mickari $t^{\text {h }} u k-i m-k u-n$
not_really hit-FUT-SA2sg-1sg
'I will act as if I am hitting you.'

### 5.5 Adverbs of degree \& quantity

A range of adverbs of degree and quantity were encountered. These also included loan words from Hindi and Nepali.
(458) एकदम ekdım 'very'

एकदम थकि गेले। (cf. GR.02.055)
ekd $\Lambda \mathrm{m} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{k}$-i ge-l-I
very become_tired-ABS AUX-PST-3
'He got very tired.'
(459) बेत्ताल bettal 'very'

तोक बेत्ताल भोक लागेच्कु।
to-k bettal $b^{\text {h }}$ ok lag-ec-ku
2sg[obl]-DAT very hunger apply-PRES-SA2sg
'You are very hungry.'
(460) जुलुम julum 'very'

डर लागिच्किक जुलुम। (MR.05.025)
ḍ ar lag-ic-ki julum
fear feel-PERF-SA3 very
'He was very afraid.'
(461) पुरा pura 'completely'

उहाँ (मनडत) पुरा जिड़ि लिले। (cf. DLR.06.059)
uhã (m^n-ḍ $\Lambda-t+1$ pura jiy ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i}$ li-l-I
$3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ (mind-NCLS-LOC) completely be_courageous-ABS AUX-PST-3
'She became completely courageous (in her mind).'
(462) पटके नि psṭıke ni 'not at all'

तोक पटके नि भोक लागेच्कु।
to-k pıṭıke ni $b^{\text {h }}$ ok lag-ec-ku
2sg[obl]-DAT at_all NEG hunger apply-PRES-SA2sg
'You are not hungry at all.'
(463) खालि $k^{h}$ ali 'only'

खालि हामाक मार खिल्बार ताने (cf. MR.04.078)
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ali hama-k mar $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hill-ba-r tane }}$
only $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]-D A T$ beating feed-INF-GEN for 'only to give us a beating'
(464) मात्र matra 'only' (Nepali-Sanskrit)

चालखान कनेक मात्र छा'आल छे। (MR.01.008)
cal-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an kınek matr $\Lambda \quad c^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{r})$-al $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
roof-NCLS a_little only(Nepali) thatch-PST.PTCL be-PRES3
'The roof has only been thatched a little.'
(465) बहुत bıhut 'a lot' (Hindi)

लोकटक त बहुत अप्सोस लागि गिच्कि। (cf. DLR.05.047)
lok-ṭ $\wedge$-k ts bshut $\quad$ ppsos lag-i g-ic-ki
man-NCLS-DAT PCL greatly(Hindi) grief feel-ABS AUX-PERF-SA3
'The man is really grieving.'
(466) खोब $k^{h} o b$ 'a lot' (Hindi)

खोब झाग्रा लागाए दिम। (cf. DLR.04.013)

very fight begin-ABS AUX-FUT-1pl
'Let's really begin to fight.'
(467) एकजारा ekjara 'a little; (a while)'

कि कि कर्चे देखु त एकजारा। (cf. DLR.04.032)
ki ki kır-c-e $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{u}$ t $\Lambda$ ekjara
what what do-PRES-3 see-SUBJ1sg PCL a_little
'Let (me) see (for) a little (while) what (they) are doing.'
(468) चारिक carik 'a little'

चारिक उठाए ल।
carik ut ${ }^{\text {h}}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e} \quad 1-\Lambda$
a_little rise-CAUS-CONJ.PTCL take-IMP2pl
'Take a little.'
(469) कने(क) kıne(k) 'a_little' (cf. MR.04.142; MR.04.170)

चालखान कनेक मात्र छा'आल छे। (MR.01.008)
cal-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an knek matr $\quad c^{h} a(r)-a l \quad c^{h}-e$
roof-NCLS a_little only(Nepali) thatch-PST.PTCL be-PRES3
'The roof has only been thatched a little.'
(470) भेल्ला $b^{h}$ ella 'lots; many'

आर्ना भइसला माने भेल्ला रहे। (MR.05.120)
arına mane $b^{\text {h }}$ ella rah-e $b^{h}$ ^is-la
type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-PL PCL many live-PST.HAB3
'There used to live many wild buffaloes.'

गटलाए मिलिएने (cf. MR.04.205)
$\mathrm{g} \Lambda \mathrm{t}-\mathrm{la}=\mathrm{e} \quad$ mil-iene
all-PL $=$ EMPH unite-CONJ.PTCL
'all of them together'
(472) सभाए $s \wedge b^{h} a e$ 'all' (सघाए $s \wedge g^{h} a e$ in far-eastern Jhāpā)

त सुना सभाए देख्बा जाए। (DLR.06.002)
t $\Lambda$ suna $s \wedge b^{h}$ ae dek ${ }^{h}$-ba ja-e
PCL PCL all see-INF go-PST.HAB3
'Everyone went to see.'

### 5.6 Pronominal adjectives and adverbs

Pronominal adjectives and adverbs derived from the interrogative, demonstrative and relative pronouns include the following:

|  | interrogative [ip] 'what' | interrogative [ip] 'which' | DEM[prox] | DEM[rem] | REL[ip] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LOC |  | कुन ठिना kun $t^{h}$ ina (also, कुन्ठा / कुन्ठे kun-t $\left.t^{h} a / e\right)$ 'which place' ('where') <br> कुता $k u n^{h}$ a 'where' | इठिना i-t $t^{h}$ ina ‘DEM[prox]-place’ <br> ('here') <br> एइठिना / एइठा ei- $\underline{t}^{h}$ (in)a <br> 'DEM[prox/emph]-place' <br> ('here') <br> हेतिना / हितिना he/itina (also, <br> हित्ना hitna) 'here' | उठिना $u-t^{h}$ ina ‘DEM[rem]-place’ ('there') अइठिना / अइठा $\lambda i-t^{h}(i n) a$ 'DEM[rem/emph]-place' ('there') <br> हुतिना / हतिना hu/stina (also, हत्ना hatna; हतान hatan) 'there' | जेइठिना jei-t ${ }^{h}$ ina 'REL-place' ('where') |
| DIR |  | कुन्धर kun- $d^{h} \Lambda r$ 'which-direction' ('in which direction') <br> कुन माखे kun mak ${ }^{h} \mathrm{e}$ 'which direction' <br> कुता से kun $^{h}$ a se 'where ABL' ('from where') <br> कुन पाके kun pake 'around which way' <br> कुन (बि)ति (कुन्ति) kun (bi)ti 'to where' | एइधर ei-d ${ }^{h}{ }_{\Lambda r}$ <br> 'DEM[prox/emph]-direction' <br> ('in this direction') <br> एइमाखाए (एइमाखे) ei-mak ${ }^{h}(a) e$ 'DEM[prox/emph]-direction' <br> हिमाखाए hi-mak ${ }^{h}$ ae 'in this direction' <br> हिदर hidar 'over here; on the one hand' <br> हेता से heta se 'here ABL' <br> ('from here') <br> हिपाके hi-pake 'around this way' <br> हिति hi-ti 'to here' | अइधर ${ }_{\Lambda} i-d^{h}{ }_{\Lambda} r$ <br> 'DEM[rem/emph]-direction' ('in that direction') <br> अइमाखाए (अइमाखे) $\Lambda i-m a k^{h}(a) e$ 'DEM[rem/emph]-direction' <br> हुमाखाए hu-mak hae 'in that direction' <br> हुदर hudar 'over there; on the other hand' <br> हुता / हता से hu/sta se 'there <br> ABL' ('from there') <br> हुपाके hu-pake 'around that way' <br> हुति hu-ti 'to there' | जेइधर jei-d' ${ }_{\Delta r}$ 'REL-direction’ <br> ('in which direction') |


|  |  | कुन (बि)ति से kun (bi)ti se 'from what direction' | हिति से hi-ti se 'from this direction' | हुति से hu-ti se 'from that direction' | जेइति से jei-ti se 'REL-from <br> ABL' ('from which direction') |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| quality | केनङ(ति) kenıy(ti) (also, केन्ति kenti) 'like what, what type of' |  | एनङ(ति) ensp(ti) (also, एन्ति enti) 'this type of; like this' | अनङ(ति) $\Lambda n \wedge \eta(t i)$ 'like that' | जेनङ(ति) je-nnŋ(ti) 'which type of; like which' |
| manner | केनङ(ति) करे kenıŋ(ti) kıre 'how ADVL' ('in what manner') |  | एनङ(ति) करे enıy(ti) kare 'like_this ADVL' ('in this manner') | अनङ(ति) करे $\Delta n \wedge \eta(t i)$ kare 'like_that ADVL' ('in that manner') | जनङ(ति) करे $j \wedge n \wedge \eta(t i)$ kare 'like_what ADVL' ('in which manner') |
| reason | कियाँ kiã 'why' किसेर ताने kis-er tane 'whatGEN for' ('for what'; 'why') |  | एइताने ei-tane <br> 'DEM[prox/emph]-for' <br> ('because of this') | अइताने Ai-tane <br> 'DEM[rem/emph]-for' ('because of that') | जेइताने jei-tane 'REL-for' ('because of which') |
| time | केत दिन / दिना ket din(a) 'how many days' | कुनखुना kun-k ${ }^{h}$ una 'which-time' ('when') | (आला $a l^{h}{ }^{\text {a }}$ 'now') | उखुना $u$ - $k^{h} u n a$ ‘DEM[rem]-time ('then') <br> अइखुना $1 i-k^{h} u n a$ <br> 'DEM[rem/emph]-time ('right then') | जेइखुना jei-k ${ }^{h} u n a$ 'REL-time’ <br> ('which time') |
|  |  | कुन बेला kun bela 'which time' | (आल़ा $\mathrm{al}^{h} a$ 'now') | उबेला $u$-bela ‘DEM[rem]-time ('then') | जेइबेला jei-bela 'REL-time’ <br> ('which time') |
|  |  | कुन दिन / दिना kun $\operatorname{din}(a)$ 'which day’ | (आझि $a j^{h} i$ 'today') | उदिन / उदिना $u$ - $\operatorname{din}(a)$ <br> 'DEM[rem]-day' ('that day') अइदिन $n i-$ din 'DEM[rem/emph]day' | जेइदिन / जेइदिना jei-din(a) ‘REL-day’ |
|  |  |  | इपहरे i-pshare 'DEM[prox]later' ('later than this') एत्किना / एत्किनी पहरे etkina/i pahare 'at this time' | उपहरे u-pıhare 'DEM[rem]later' ('later than that') अत्किना / अत्किनी पहरे $A t k i n a / i$ pahare 'at that time' | जेइपहरे jei-pıhare 'REL-later' <br> ('later than which') <br> जेतकिना / जेतकिनी पहरे jetkina/i <br> pahare 'at which time' |
|  |  | कुन बेला से kun bela se 'which time ABL' | (आल़ा से $a l^{h}$ a se 'now ABL' ('from now')) | अइबेला से $九 i$-bela se 'DEM[rem-emph]-time ABL' ('from then') | जेइबेला से jei-bela se 'REL-time ABL' ('from which time') |



## 6. Clitics, particles and conjunctions

### 6.1 Clitics and particles

I have preliminarily considered the conjunctive - अ $=_{\Lambda}{ }^{\prime}=\mathrm{CNJ}$ ' and the emphatic - ए $=e$ '=EMPH' to be clitics: they are distinct from free words, but so wide in scope that they are not to be analysed as affixes as such.

The Rājbanshi emphatic and conjunctive are clearly distinguished from independent words according to the guidelines put forward by Zwicky (1985:286-288).
a. They do not carry stress and therefore form a phonological unit with the host word,
b. The phonological form of the host word can cause changes on the form of the emphatic and the conjunctive; "internal sandhi" does not affect independent words in this manner, and
c. Unlike independent words, the emphatic and the conjunctive are affix-like. The clitics can cause changes in affixation, e.g. the epenthetic vowel of the locative case affix is not required when the locative is followed by the emphatic clitic ठेङने $t^{h}$ en-st ${ }^{\prime} \operatorname{leg}-L O C '>$ ठेङ.ते $t^{h} e n-t=e$ 'leg-LOC = EMPH'.

Distinguishing the emphatic and the conjunctive from inflectional affixes is more complicated. Based on the following principles (following Zwicky \& Pullum 1983, and Zwicky 1985) I regard them as clitics.
a. The most convincing evidence for a clitic analysis for the emphatic and the conjunctive is their low degree of selection. As shown in the following sections, both can attach to nouns, adjectives and non-finite verbs. Additionally, the emphatic can be marked on both some finite verb inflection and even certain particles, and
b. In almost all cases the emphatic and the conjunctive occur in post-affixal position, and close the word for further affixation. (The emphatic clitic was found in pre-classifier position in one example: जुरालेखान jura- $=\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{k}^{\text {h }}$ an 'cool_down-PST.PTCL = EMPH-NCLS'; cf. section 7.2.1.1 for the full example).

The Rājbanshi emphatic and conjunctive clitics show some arbitrary gaps and irregularities when they occur in conjunction with personal pronouns (cf. Table 13),
demonstratives and demonstrative determiners (cf. Table 15), pronominal adverbs and adjectives (cf. Table 52), and numerals (cf. section 6.1.2). It is indeed possible that the clitics should, in these instances, be considered affixes (as Yadava suggests for Maithili, cf. below).

The clitic analysis stands well in comparison with how the emphatic is treated in related languages. Masica (1991:396) maintains:
> "A feature of NIA syntax that must be kept in mind, however, is the set of emphatic particles (clitics) (H. hī, B. i, G. $j$, M. ts, etc.) which make it possible to express 'emphasis' without the help of either word order variation or intonation (although also not so incompatible with either)."

Yadav (1997 [1996]:259-260) asserts that in Maithili two of the emphatic markers are clitics and four are affixes. Yadav (1997 [1996]:260-268) bases this analysis on three of the criteria put forward by Zwicky \& Pullum (1983): degree of selection, arbitrary gaps in the set of combinations, and morphophonological idiosyncrasies.
> "There are a total of six bound morphemes which function as markers of emphasis/focus in Maithili: -e, -o, -hi, -hu, -he, and -ho. ...of the six bound morphemes used to indicate emphasis in Maithili, -e and -o should be treated as clitics, and -hi, -hu, -he, and -ho should be treated as inflectional affixes and not as clitics. ... the Maithili calls for a 'mixed analysis'."

In sections 6.1.3-6.1.9 I will also discuss several types of particles. More research is required to satisfactorily distinguish these items from clitics. However, based on the following observations I have considered them particles (argumentation based on Zwicky 1985:290-295):
a. The particles are phonologically independent (in fact, it seems that some of the particles can sometimes function as conjunctions and adverbs),
a. The particles can also be accentually independent, and
b. In comparison to the strictly positioned clitics, the particles can move relatively freely within the clause (with the exception of some vocative particles discussed in section 6.1.4).

### 6.1.1 Conjunctive clitic

The conjunctive suffix - - $=_{\Lambda}{ }^{\prime}=\mathrm{CNJ}^{\prime}(473 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b})$ has two allomorphs: - उ $=u{ }^{\prime}=\mathrm{CNJ}^{\prime}$ which occurs after the vowel $-\Lambda(473 \mathrm{c})$ (and sometimes after the vowel -a ), and - ह $=h \Lambda{ }^{\prime}=\mathrm{CNJ}^{\prime}$ which occurs with some pronouns (473d) and numerals (cf. section 3.6.3.1.2). See section 7.4.3.1 for the use of the clitic in coordinated constructions.
(473) a. धुपअ $d^{h} u p=\Lambda$ 'sun $=$ CNJ' (cf. MR.04.167)
b. काथाअ $k a t^{h} a=\Lambda$ 'story $=$ CNJ' $^{\prime}(\mathrm{cf} . \operatorname{DLR} .05 .042)$
c. बुऱाडउ bur ${ }^{h}$ a- $\overbrace{\Lambda}=u$ 'old_man-NCLS $=$ CNJ' (cf. DLR.04.006)
d. मह $m \Lambda=h \Lambda{ }^{\prime} 1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $]=\mathrm{CNJ}$ ' (cf. DLR.06.097)

The conjunctive clitic also attaches to nonfinite (474a-b) verb forms. In (474a) the conjunctive clitic attaches to the absolutive -इ-i 'ABS' (thus separating the absolutive from the auxiliary), and in (474b) it attaches to the infinitive -बा -ba 'INF'. The use of the conjunctive clitic with the concessive conditional is mentioned in section 3.7.8.3.3, and its use with the infinitive (including irregularities) in section 3.7.10.1.
(474) a. लोकट मरिअ जाबा पारे... (cf. DLR.05.049)
lok-ṭ $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{m} \wedge$ - $\mathrm{i}=\Lambda$ ja-ba par-e
man-NCLS die-ABS $=$ CNJ AUX-INF can-PRES3
'...the man could even die...'
b. खाराब काथा बल्बाअ नि... (cf. DLR.05.065)

bad thing speak-INF $=$ CNJ NEG
'...one shouldn't speak bad things either.'

The Hindi particle भी $b^{h} i$ 'also' (also spelt as भि) is often found to follow the abovementioned conjunctive clitic:
(475) आर झट्किअ भी (हइ) गेल। (cf. DLR.05.005)
ar $j^{h} \Lambda t ̣ k i=\Lambda \quad b^{h} i \quad(h \Lambda-i) \quad$ ge-1
and slim $=$ CNJ CNJ(Hindi) (be-ABS) AUX-PST3
'And she also became skinny.'

The Hindi particle was also encountered in conjunction with the adverb कर kars 'even' which is possibly related to the Hindi conjunctive participle marker -कर -kar. Note that in Hindi the conjunctive participle ('CP') can be used in the concessive sense when marked with the conjunctive भी $b^{h} i(476 c)$.
(476) a. आर कहिस्ले कर (भी)... (cf. DLR.03.010)
ar k^h-isl-I k^rı ( $b^{h^{h}}$ )
and say-REM.PST-3 even (CNJ(Hindi))
'And he even said...'
b. गेल कर (भी), माने लागाल नि पाले।
ge-l kırı ( $\left.b^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}\right)$ mane lag-al ni pa-l-I
go-PST3 even (CNJ(Hindi)) PCL apply-PST.PTCL NEG receive-PST-3
'He even went but he didn't find (her/him/it).'
c. Hindi
tum mere mitra ho kar bhii meraa vishvaas nahĩĩ karte you my friend be CP even_though my trust not do 'You don't trust me even though you are my friend.'
(Kachru 1981:39)

### 6.1.2 Emphatic clitic

The emphatic clitic is - ए $=e^{\prime}=$ EMPH'. As mentioned in sections 3.2.1 and 3.6.4.1.5 it is $^{2}$. occasionally difficult to distinguish between the emphatic clitic and the instrumental case (and perhaps even the adverbialiser), since they are each of the form -ए -e. I consider the distinction between the emphatic and the instrumental to be valid for two reasons. Firstly, the semantics of the emphatic clitic and the instrumental case are significantly different in most cases. Secondly, the instrumental is restricted in scope (which supports an affixal analysis), whereas the emphatic clitic can attach to almost any part of speech (which supports a clitic analysis). I will consider this second premise in this section.

The clitic attaches directly to the stem of the noun phrase head (477a) unless this is marked with a noun classifier (477b) or case (477c-d), in which case it attaches to these.
(477) a. पातारिए patari $=$ e 'leaf=EMPH' (cf. MR.04.032)
b. कम्मरखाने $k \wedge m m \Delta r-k^{h} a n=e$ 'waist-NCLS = EMPH' (cf. MR.04.076)
c. घडाडके $g^{h} \Lambda \frac{̣ a}{}-d_{\Lambda}-k=e$ 'horse-NCLS-DAT $=$ EMPH' (cf. GR.02.078)
d. आर घरट माने माटिरे हए (cf. DLR.02.007)
ar $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{t} \Lambda \quad$ mane maṭi-r $=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{h} \Lambda$-e
and house-NCLS PCL soil-GEN=EMPH be-PRES3
'And the house is made from clay/mud.'

The emphatic clitic attaches not only to the head (478a) of the phrase, but to any constituent which is to be emphasised, such as the modifier in (478b).
(478) a. मोर घरे mo-r $g^{h} \Lambda r=e^{\prime} 1 \operatorname{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ house = EMPH' (i.e. 'my HOUSE')


The emphatic triggers the use of the oblique stem on demonstratives and 1 sg , 2 sg and 3 sg personal pronouns (479a-b; cf. Table 13 for emphatic marking on personal pronouns, and Table 15 for emphatic marking on demonstratives). The emphatic precedes the noun classifier and case marking, due to the oblique stem on demonstratives ( $479 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}$ ), but follows case marking (479e) on personal pronouns.
(479) a. मुहे (मुहें) $m u=h e^{\prime} 1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]=\mathrm{EMPH}^{\prime}$
b. तुहे (तुहें) $t u=h e^{\prime} 2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]=\mathrm{EMPH}^{\prime}$
c. एइखान ei-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'DEM[prox/emph]-NCLS' (cf. DLR.05.040)
d. एइखानेर ei-k ${ }^{h}$ an-er 'DEM[prox/emph]-NCLS-GEN'
e. महके $m \Delta h \Delta-k=e ' 1 \operatorname{sg}[o b l]-D A T=E M P H '(c f . ~ G R .02 .059 a) ~$

The clitic is also found on quantifiers. In some cases the emphatic clitic seems to have lexicalised and must be used (480a-b). Emphasis in such cases is not implied:
(480) a.
a. सभाए $s \Delta b^{h} a e^{\prime}$ all' (*सभा *$\left.{ }^{*} \Delta b^{h} a\right)$
b. गटे $g_{\Lambda} t!e$ [gaṭ:e] 'all' (*गट * $\left.g_{\Lambda} t\right)$

Nevertheless, if one of the quantifiers in (480a-b) is marked with a noun classifier or plural, the emphatic clitic may move to either the post-classifier (481a-b) or to the postplural (481c) position respectively.
(481) a. गटेडए $g_{\Lambda} t e_{\mathrm{e}}-\mathrm{d}_{\Lambda}=\mathrm{e}$ 'all-NCLS $=$ EMPH' (cf. MR.05.056)
b. गटडए $g_{\Lambda} t-t_{\Lambda}=e($ pron. $[\mathrm{g} \Lambda$ trr $\Lambda \mathrm{e}])$ 'all-NCLS $=\mathrm{EMPH}^{\prime}(\mathrm{cf} . \mathrm{MR} .05 .037)$
c. गटलाए $g_{\Lambda} t-1 \mathrm{la}=\mathrm{e}$ 'all-PL $=\mathrm{EMPH}^{\prime}(\mathrm{cf} . \mathrm{MR} .04 .042)$

The emphatic clitic precedes the noun classifier (482a-c), and other elements (482d), when attaching to numerals.
(482) a. एकेडा $e k=e-d a$ 'one $=E M P H-N C L S ' ~(' o n l y ~ o n e ') ~$
b. चारहेखान car =he-k ${ }^{h}$ an 'four = EMPH-NCLS' ('only four')
c. बिसेखान bis $=e-k^{h}$ an 'twenty = EMPH-NCLS' ('only twenty')
d. एकेलाखाति $e k=e-l a k^{h} a t i$ 'one=EMPH-like' ('exactly like')

The emphatic clitic on an adjective renders attenuation (483a-c). I have interpreted the suffix -ए -e here as the emphatic clitic (based on a comparison with Hindi and Nepali), though it also functions as an adverbialiser. Examples (483c) and (483d) are distinguishable by syntax and context (cf. section 3.6.4.1.5 for discussion on the interpretation of the suffix -ए -e).
(483) a. [adjective] बडए b $\Delta r \Lambda=$ e 'big = EMPH' ('quite big')
b. [adjective] लाले lal = e 'red=EMPH' ('quite red; reddish')
c. [adjective] आच्छाए $\mathrm{acc}^{h} \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{e}$ 'good=EMPH' ('quite good')
d. [adverb] आच्छाए $a^{\text {acc }}{ }^{h} a=e^{\prime}$ good = EMPH' ('well')

When negating noun phrases the emphatic clitic converts to the conjunctive clitic.

b. एकअडा आम नि ek= $\Lambda$-ḍa am ni 'one $=$ CNJ-NCLS mango NEG' ('not even one mango')
c. *एकेडा आम नि *ek=e-ḍa am ni *'one=EMPH-NCLS mango NEG'

The emphatic clitic attaches to all other tense and aspect marking in the emphatic negative construction [V-INFL $=$ EMPH NEG], except for the perfect and past continuous/remote past, which take past habitual marking in the negative.
(485) a. नि गाजेचे ni gaj-ec-e 'NEG sprout-PRES-3' ('does not sprout up')
b. गाजेचेइ(ए) नि gaj-ec-e = i(e) ni 'sprout-PRES-3 = EMPH NEG' ('just does not sprout up')

The emphatic clitic may also attach to the particle of certainty (discussed in section 6.1.8) with the same effect.
(486) गाजेचे रेइ(ए) नि gaj-ec-e re=i(e) ni 'sprout-PRES-3 PCL=EMPH NEG' ('just does not sprout up')

The use of the emphatic clitic on the infinitive stem is mentioned in section 3.7.7.3, and its use on the conjunctive participle in section 3.7.10.3. These cases will not be discussed further in this section. The only other non-finite form to which the emphatic clitic may be attached, is the continuous participle -ते -te 'CONT.PTCL'. The use of the emphatic clitic is compulsory in (487a). The original elicited form of (487b) is found without the emphatic clitic, and there the meaning is on-going action (imperfectivity; cf. DLR.04.005). Conversely, the use of the emphatic clitic in (487b) seems to imply intensified progression, i.e. persistent action.
(487) a. मुइ जेबेला आसिए पुग्नु ते उहाँए खराक खातेइ छिले।
mui je(i)-bela as-ie pug-n-u te uhãe $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge$ rak
$1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ what-time come-CONJ.PTCL arrive-PST-1sg PCL(then) $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] food
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{te}=\mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-1-\mathrm{I}$
eat-CONT.PTCL $=$ EMPH be-PST-3
'When I arrived, he was eating food.'
b. बेटिर घर जाइ आसि कर्तेइ रहे।
beṭi-r $\quad g^{h} \wedge r \quad j a-i \quad$ as-i $\quad k \wedge r-t e(=i)$
daughter-GEN house go-DVBL come-DVBL do-CONT.PTCL(=EMPH)
rah-e
AUX(CONT)-PST.HAB3
'He (still) used to keep coming and going to (his) daughter's house.'

### 6.1.3 Discourse particles

Six discourse particles were identified: ते te, त $t \Lambda$, सुना suna, आप ap, तने t $t \wedge e$ and माने mane. Each of these will be discussed in this section.

Firstly, the particles ते te 'then' and त $t_{\Lambda}$ 'then' both have the same functions, and would therefore appear to be variant forms. Both are used clause-initially to indicate chronological sequence (488a-b).
(488) a. ते गटे खेटियाला सचिएने देख्ले... (MR.04.112)
te g $\Delta$ te $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ etia-la $\mathrm{s} \wedge \mathrm{c}$-iene $\quad \operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-1 \mathrm{l}$-...
PCL all jackal-PL think-CONJ.PTCL look-PST-3...
'(Then) all of the jackals thought...'
b. त दते झ़ना दिले झाग्रा लागाए। (DLR.04.023)
t $\Lambda \quad$ d $\Lambda n^{h}{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ na di-l-I $\quad \mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ag $\Lambda$ ra laga-e
PCL both PCLS AUX-PST-3 fight begin-ABS
'Then both of them began to fight.'

In this function they are optionally accompanied by the particle सुना suna 'PCL' (or, सुने sune) (489a-b).
(489) a. ते उहाँ सुना एनङ करे कानेचे... (cf. MR.04.193)
te uhã suna enıy kıre kan-ec-e...
PCL $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ PCL like_this ADVL cry-PRES-3...
'Then she cried like this...'
b. त सुना जुवाँड कहच्चिक... (cf. DLR.04.025)
t $\Lambda$ suna juã-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ k $\wedge h \Lambda$-c-ki...
PCL PCL son_in_law-NCLS say-PRES-SA3
'Then the son-in-law says...'

The particles ते te and त $t_{\Lambda}$ also have a topicalising (or, a contrastive) function (490a), or designate counter-expectation (490b-c). (See section 7.4.3.3 for discussion on the use of त $t_{\Lambda}$ as a possible adversative conjunction.)
(490) a. मुइ ते नि जाम।
mui te ni ja-m
1sg[pro] PCL NEG go-FUT1sg
'As for me, I will not go.'
b. कियाँ कानेचे ते? (cf. MR.04.057)
kiã kan-ec-e te
why cry-PRES-3 PCL
'Why is she crying?' (counter-expectation)
c. जुवाँ बेटिला त झाग्रा लागाए दिछे। (cf. DLR.04.029)
juã beṭi-la tı jhagnra laga-e d-ic ${ }^{\text {h }}-e$ son_in_law daughter-PL PCL fight begin-ABS AUX-PERF-3 'The son-in-law and daughter had begun to fight (which is not what he expected).'

The particle त th 'then' was found to be reduplicated in one instance (491). Informants were of the opinion that what seems to be reduplication here could in fact be the reduced form of तने त tıne t $t \Lambda$ 'then PCL'. It was outside the scope of this work to determine the historical relationship of the particles and conjunctions. Suffice it to note that it is possible that ते te and त ts could, on the one hand, be the reduced forms of the particle/adverb तने tıne 'then', but equally possible, on the other, that तने tıne 'then' could be constructed from the particle त $t_{\Lambda}$ and the tag particle ने ne.
(491)
...होकोर दोकोर कर्नु त त पानु कहेने। (cf. DLR.05.058)
hokor_dokor kır-n-u ts ts pa-n-u kıh-ene in_a_hurry do-PST-1sg PCL(then?) PCL get-PST-1sg say-CONJ.PTCL
'...saying, "I did it in a hurry, but then I got it back." '

As is mentioned in section 6.2.4, it is difficult to distinguish whether the particles also function as subordinating conjunctions or direct discourse markers in cases such as (492). (Additionally, see section 7.4.2.4 for discussion on the use of the particle ते te as the correlative of जदि $j_{\Lambda} \Lambda i i^{\prime} \mathrm{if}$ ' in conditional constructions.)

```
(492) खेटियाला जर हले, कहिस्कि ते, "तोर दादोड मरि गेल। (MR.04.051)
    k}\mp@subsup{\mp@code{k}}{\mathrm{ hetia-la j^r h^-le k}\h-is-ki te to-r}{
    jackal-PL gathering be-COND.PTCL say-SUBJ-SA3 PCL(=that) 2sg[obl]-GEN
    dado-d}\Lambda\quadm^r-i ge-
    grandfather-NCLS die-ABS AUX-PST3
    'If the jackals come together say (to them) that: "Your grandfather has died." '
```

Secondly, closely related to these particles are आप ap '(and) then' (493a) and तने $t$ tne '(and) then' (493b). Both particles are often used as discourse connectors, though they are also found as temporal adverbs (cf. section 5.2).
(493) a. आप हबा सकेचे... (cf. DLR.03.012)
ap ha-ba sık-ec-e...
PCL be-INF can(Nepali)-PRES-3...
'(And) it could be (that)...'
b. तने हिदर सुना भइसट नुकाए छिकि... (cf. MR.05.148)
tıne hid $\wedge$ r suna $b^{\text {h }} \Lambda$ is-ṭ $\Lambda \quad$ nuka-e $c^{\text {h }}$-i-ki
PCL over_here PCL water_buffalo-NCLS hide-CONJ.PTCL be-PRES-SA3
'(Then) the buffalo was hiding over here...'

Thirdly, the particle सुना suna 'PCL', in addition to being used in conjunction with the particles ते te and त $t_{\Lambda}$ (above), can also occur independently as a temporal conjunction 'then'.
(494) सुना लाजे सरमे बुऱाडक फेर घरत बठाए खिलान पिलान कर्बाए हल्कि। (DLR.04.042)
suna laj-e $\quad$ s $\wedge r \Lambda m-e \quad$ bur ${ }^{\text {h }} a-d_{\Lambda} \Lambda-k \quad p^{h} e r \quad g^{h} \Lambda r-\Lambda t$ PCL shame-INSTR shame-INSTR old_man-NCLS-DAT again house-LOC
b $\Delta t^{\text {h }}$-a-e $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ilan}$ pilan $\mathrm{k} \wedge \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{ba}=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{h} \Lambda-1-\mathrm{ki}$
sit-CAUS-CONJ.PTCL feeding drinking do-INF $=$ EMPH must-PST-SA3
'Then, with great shame, they had to sit the old man down in the house and really feed him and give him drink!'

Fourthly, and finally, the particle माने mane 'PCL' has a topicalising (or, a contrastive) function. The particle is also mentioned in section 6.2 .2 as an adversative conjunction, due to what appears to be a contrast between the contrastive topic sense in (495a), and the adversative sense in (495b). ${ }^{73}$ The two are obviously closely related. In example (495a) the particle modifies the preceding pronoun and has phrasal scope. Conversely, in example (495b) the particle is in clause initial position and has clausal scope.
(495) a. माने mane as a (contrastive) topicalising particle

तुइ जाबो ते जा। मुइ माने नि जाम।
tui ja-b-o te ja- $\varnothing$ mui mane ni ja-m
2sg[pro] go-FUT-2sg PCL go-IMP2sg 1sg[pro] PCL NEG go-FUT1sg
'If you (want to) go, then go. As for me, I am not going.'
b. माने mane as an adversative conjunction

तुइ जाबो ते जा, माने मुइ नि जाम।
tui ja-b-o te ja- $\varnothing$, mane mui ni ja-m
$2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ go-FUT-2sg PCL go-IMP2sg but $1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro NEG go-FUT1sg 'If you (want to go), then go, but I am not going.'

### 6.1.4 Vocative particles

Three vocative particles are used in Rājbanshi: गो $g o$, गे $g e$ and रे re. The particles usually occur in clause-final position.

[^58]The first particle गो go 'VOC' is used when summoning relatives who have higher social status than oneself (496a-b). As a rule of thumb, when in doubt, the particle गो go 'VOC' should be used. The reduplicated construction in ( $496 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}$ ) can be used in a vocative sense, or can mean 'alas'. It can also be used to refer to more than one recipient (496e).
(496) a. बाउ गो bau go 'father VOC'
b. मसि गो masi go 'sister_of_mother VOC'
c. आइ गे आइ ai go ai 'mother VOC[fem] mother' (i.e. 'Alas, mother')
d. दादि गे दादि dadi ge dadi 'grandmother VOC[fem] grandmother' (i.e. 'Alas, grandmother'; cf. MR.04.057)
e. ताम़ा काहु नि जित्लन, काहु नि हार्लन गो। (MR.05.050)
tam ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ kahu ni jit-1-лn, kahu ni har-l-лn go
2 pl no-one NEG win-PST-2pl, no-one NEG lose-PST-2pl VOC
'Neither of you won, neither of you lost.'

The second vocative particle गे ge 'VOC[fem]' is non-honorific and is used for girls and women (497a-b). It can also be used to refer to a group of people, amongst whom there are both men and women (497c).
(497) a. ...ते जाबो नि गे देख्बा गे? (cf. DLR.06.005)
...te ja-b-o ni ge dek ${ }^{\text {h}}$-ba ge
...PCL go-FUT-2sg NEG VOC[fem] see-INF VOC[fem]
'...Don't you want to go and see?'
b. ...दादि गे दादि, कियाँ कानेचिस गे... (cf. MR.04.057)
dadi ge dadi, kiã kan-ec-is ge
grandmother VOC[fem] grandmother, why cry-PRES-2sg VOC[fem]
'Grandmother, oh grandmother, why are you crying?'
c. ते बोले, देख ना गे। (DLR.06.083)
te bolı $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\Lambda$ na ge
PCL QUOT look-IMP2pl PCL VOC[fem]
'She said, "Look then!" '

The third vocative particle रे re (pron. [ri]) 'VOC' is an abbreviation of आरे are (pron. [arı]). ${ }^{74}$ The reduced form रे re 'VOC' may occur in any position in the clause, but the form आरे are 'VOC' may only occur in sentence-initial position. It can refer to either individuals (498a-b) or groups (498c-d). In addition, it is used in conjunction with some expletives (498d) and interjections (498e).

[^59](498) a. रे मन re mın 'VOC mind' (i.e. 'Oh, (my) mind/soul'; used by both feminine and masculine speakers)
b. थाम् रे बुरि... (cf. MR.04.085)
$t^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{am}-\varnothing$ re bur $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$
wait-IMP2sg VOC old_woman
'(Just) you wait, old woman.'
c. आरे, कचु बुनेचि रे... (cf. MR.04.005)
are kıcu bun-ec-i re...
VOC [kacu]vegetable plant-PRES-1pl VOC...
'Hey you, we are planting [kacu]vegetables (see)...'
d. सालार खेटियाला रे... (cf. MR.04.046)
sala-r $k^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la re...
y.brother_of_wife-GEN jackal-PL VOC
'Eh you damned jackals!'
e. बाप रे bap re (or, रे बाप re bap) 'Wow!' (cf. DLR.06.064)

The reduced form is used for male recipients who are of equal or lower social status (499a), or, with an extremely derogative implication, for female persons (499b). It may also be used for women in close relationships, and therefore a husband may summon his wife with this particle without it implying anything discourteous.
(499) a. बुऱा रे बुऱा (cf. MR.04.074)
bur $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ re bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$
old_man VOC old_man
'Eh old man!' [Neutral]
b. थाम् रे बुरि... (cf. MR.04.085)
$t^{\mathrm{h}}$ am- $\varnothing$ re bur $^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}$
wait-IMP2sg VOC old_woman
'(Just) you wait, old woman.' [Derogatory]

### 6.1.5 Restrictive particle

This particle (or perhaps interjection) बस b $\quad$ s 'PCL' is most likely a Hindi loan, and stands for the sense 'that's enough' (500a). It also functions as an adverb in the sense 'only; just' (500b).
(500) a. ओ ते, बस, उहाँक खाए गेल। (GR.01.028)
$o$ te b us uhã-k $k^{\text {h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e}$ ge-1

INJ PCL PCL(Hindi) 3sg[pro]-DAT eat-ABS AUX-PST3
'Um, that's enough, (he) just ate him (up).'
b. बुऱाड बस कान्टाखान से घुरिए चुलि जाबे। (DLR.04.015)
bur $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda \quad$ b $\Lambda$ s kanṭa-k ${ }^{\text {han }}$ se $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h} u r-i e \quad \text { cul-i ja-b-I }}$ old_man-NCLS PCL(Hindi) vicinity-NCLS ABL turn_around-CONJ.PTCL AUX-ABS go-FUT-3 'The old man will (just) turn around from (that place) close by and go.'

### 6.1.6 Quotative particle

In certain circumstances the word बोले boll seems to be used as a quotative particle ('QUOT'). The term "quotative" is used here as in Matthews (1997:306): "...indicating that what is said has been heard from someone else and is not based on direct observation."
(501) a. तोक बोले बेचि दिप्कु। (cf. GR.02.062)
to-k boli bec-i di-p-ku
2sg[obl]-DAT QUOT sell-ABS AUX-FUT-SA2sg
'You, apparently, are going to be sold.'
b. काटिए तोक बोले खाए जाप्कु, बेमारि गोरुड। (GR.02.063)

| kat-ie | to-k | boli | $k^{\text {ha }} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e}$ | ja-p-ku | bemari | goru-d $\Lambda$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cut-CONJ.PTCL | $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-D A T$ | QUOT | eat-ABS | AUX-FUT-SA2sg ill | bullock-NCLS |  |

'You, apparently, are going to be slaughtered and eaten up, you sick bullock.'

In examples (501a-b) the quotative particle occurs in non-initial position, and almost certainly functions as a quotative. However, when the word is used in sentence-initial or sentence final position it is more difficult to distinguish between the quotative particle, the direct discourse marker and the verb of the main clause. In example (502), gloss (i) follows from बोले boli being understood as a discourse marker (or the inflected verb bol-(l)-I) 'say-PST-3'). Conversely, if बोले bolı is a quotative particle then gloss (ii) would be more suitable.
(502) आर एहड राजकुमार मोक नि ले जाबे बोले। (DLR.06.074)
ar $\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{h} \Lambda-\mathrm{d}_{\Lambda} \quad$ rajkumar mo-k ni l-e ja-b-I
and DEM[prox] $=$ CNJ-NCLS prince $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-$ DAT NEG take-CONJ.PTCL go-FUT-3
bol-(1)-I
QUOT/say-PST-3
Gloss (i): 'And also this prince said he wouldn't take me.'
Gloss (ii): 'This prince will, apparently, not take me (either).'

### 6.1.7 Particle of confirmation

The particle जे $j e$ 'PCL' is used in the sense of convincing the hearer of the truth value of a given statement. The term "confirmation particle" is from Watters (2002:187). It seems to have no relation to the relative pronoun जे $j e$ 'REL[pro]' or the adverb जे $j e$ 'still'.
(503) a. आर हामा जे एकटा घरत तिनझन रहचि। (GR.02.065)
ar hama je ek-ṭa $g^{\text {h }} \Lambda r-\Lambda t \quad$ tin-j ${ }^{\text {h }} \Lambda n \quad$ r $\Lambda h \Lambda-c-i$
and $1 \mathrm{pl[pro}]$ PCL one-NCLS house-LOC three-PCLS live-PRES-1pl
'And the three of us are living in one house, aren't we.'
b. आप तिनझ्नन जे रहचि। (GR.02.067)
ap tin-j ${ }^{\text {h }} \wedge n$ je r $\wedge$ h $\Lambda$-c-i
PCL three-PCLS PCL live-PRES-1pl
'And the three of us are living (here), aren't we.'

### 6.1.8 Particle of certainty

The particle रे re (pron. [re/e]) implies certainty.
(504) a. आखरित मुइ आझि मरिम रे... (cf. MR.04.187)
ak ${ }^{\text {h}}{ }^{\text {ri-t }}$ mui aj $^{\text {h }}$ m mr-im re...
end-LOC $1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $]$ today die-FUT1sg PCL...
'In the end, I will certainly die today...'
b. ताग़ा मोक खाबन रे, छर्बन नि। (MR.04.163)

2pl[pro] 1sg[obl]-DAT eat-FUT-2pl PCL, spare-FUT-2pl NEG
'You are certainly going to eat me, you are not going to spare me.'

The particle रे re in (504a-b) is interchangeable with केरे kere, and this may indicate that रे re is a reduced form. The origin of the (full) particle केरे kere may be the verbaliser कर-kar- 'do'. Consider the following examples where, as mentioned in section 3.7.10.1 on infinitives, the head verb is in the infinitive and the verbaliser functions as the conjugational base.
(505) a.

तमा कर्बे कर्बन।
t $\wedge \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{k} \wedge r-b=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{k} \wedge$-b-лn
2pl[pro] do-INF = EMPH do-FUT-2pl
'You certainly will do.'
b. तम़ा खाबे कर्बन।
$\mathrm{t} \Lambda \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{k} \wedge \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{b}-\wedge \mathrm{n}$
$2 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]$ eat-INF $=\mathrm{EMPH}$ do-FUT-2pl
'You will certainly eat.'
c. तम़ा खाबे कर्लन।

```
\(t \wedge m^{h} a \quad k^{h} a-b=e \quad k \Delta r-l-\Lambda n\)
\(2 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]\) eat-INF \(=\mathrm{EMPH}\) do-PST-2pl
'You certainly ate.'
```

These examples may be restated in such a way that the head verb is inflected normally, and then followed by the particle of certainty.
(506) a. तम़ा कर्बन (के) रे।
$\mathrm{t} \Lambda \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{k} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{b}-\Lambda \mathrm{n} \quad$ (ke)re
2pl[pro] do-FUT-2pl PCL
'You certainly will do.'
b. तमा खाबन (के)रे।
t $\Lambda m^{h} \mathrm{a} \quad k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}-\wedge \mathrm{n} \quad$ (ke)re
2pl[pro] eat-FUT-2pl PCL
'You will certainly eat.'
c. तम़ा खालन (के)रे।
t $\Lambda m^{h} a \quad k^{h} a-l-\wedge n \quad$ (ke)re
2pl[pro] eat-PST-2pl PCL
'You certainly ate.'

Further research is required in order to reach a final conclusion on the issue.

### 6.1.9 Particle of pretense

The particle आल al 'PCL' indicates pretense, that is, the particle in (507b) indicates that the agent in (507a) was pretending.
(507) a. माने बेमारि हए गेल। (GR.02.026)
mane bemari h $\wedge$-e ge-l
PCL ill be-ABS AUX-PST3
'He had become ill.'
b. आल। (GR.02.027)
al
PCL(pretense)
'(He was pretending.)'

### 6.2 Conjunctions

In this section I will introduce the copulative, adversative, disjunctive and subordinating conjunctions. The syntax of coordination and subordination will be discussed in sections 7.4.2 and 7.4.3 respectively.

### 6.2.1 Copulative conjunctions

The conjunction आर ar 'and' is used in both phrasal (508a-b) and clausal (508c-d) conjunction.
(508) a. एकटा बुऱा आर एकटा बुऱि (cf. MR.04.001)
ek-ṭa bur ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ ar ek-ṭa bur ${ }^{\text {hi }}$
one-NCLS old_man and one-NCLS old_woman
'an old man and an old woman'
b. उहाँर जुवाँड आर बेटिड (cf. DLR.04.003)
uhã-r juã-ḍ $\Lambda$ ar beṭi-ḍ $\Lambda$
$3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ son_in_law-NCLS and daughter-NCLS
'his son-in-law and daughter'
c. दुइझन भातार मगि रहे, आर एकटा पखि अलगे रहे। (DLR.05.002)
 two-PCLS husband wife live-PST.HAB3 and one-NCLS bird separately live-PST.HAB3 'Two of them were married (were husband and wife), and one of them lived alone.'
d. तुइ खेत बारिखानेरति जा आर जाएने एकदम कानिस। (cf. MR.04.050)
tui $\quad k^{h}$ et bari-k ${ }^{h}$ an-er ti ja- $\varnothing$
2sg[pro] field planting_plot-NCLS-GEN towards go-IMP2sg
ar ja-ene ekd $\wedge \mathrm{m}$ kan-is
and go-CONJ.PTCL very cry-SUBJ2sg
'...go to the fields, and having gone cry really hard.'

Some writers use the conjunction as a discourse marker in the forwarding of the storyline. In natural speech (at least of the informants recorded for this research), however, other particles tend to be used for this function (cf. section 6.1.3). The conjunction आर ar does occasionally occur with these particles, as with आर सुना ar suna 'and PCL' in the following example:
(509) आर सुना सब दिन अप्सोस कर्बा धर्ले। (cf. DLR.05.039)
ar suna s $\wedge$ b din $\Lambda$ psos k $\wedge$ r-ba $d^{h} \Lambda r-1-I$
and PCL every day grief do-INF begin-PST-3
'And every day he began to grieve.'

The temporal adverb फेर $p^{h}$ er 'again' is also used as a copulative conjunction linking independent clauses (without any reference to repetition, which the semantics of the word would seem to imply).
(510) पानिअ नि छकन, फेर धुपअ चाँए चाँए हेतिना। (MR.04.167)
pani $=\Lambda \quad$ ni $\quad c^{h}-\Lambda-k \wedge n \quad p^{h} e r \quad d^{h} u p=\Lambda \quad$ cãecãe hetina water $=$ CNJ NEG be-PRES-SA2pl again sun $=$ CNJ (scorching) here 'There is no water (for you) either, and moreover, the sun is scorching hot here.'

### 6.2.2 Adversative conjunctions

The adversative conjunction मतुन matun 'but' was not encountered in the elicited text material, but is nevertheless used frequently, especially by writers of the language (511a). The variant form मातुन matun was also found in some literature (the equivalent Maithili adversative conjunction is muda (Yadav 1997 [1996]:338)). Likewise, the previously mentioned particle माने mane 'PCL' functions as an adversative conjunction (511a). The Hindi conjunction लेकिन lekin 'but' is also in use (511b).
(511) a. तुइ जाबो ते जा, मतुन/माने मुइ नि जाम।

| tui | ja-b-o | te $\quad$ ja- $\varnothing$, | mıtun/mane mui | ni | ja-m |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 s g[p r o]$ | go-FUT-2sg | PCL | go-IMP2sg but | $1 s g[p r o]$ | NEG | go-FUT1sg | 'If you want to go, then go, but I am not going.'

b. मोर त कामअ कुछु नि, लेकिन मुइ बादाम खाचु। (GR.02.015)
 $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ PCL work $=\mathrm{CNJ}$ some NEG but $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ nut eat-PRES-1sg 'I have absolutely no work, but I eat nuts.'

The conjunctions बुना 'rather; better' (512a) and बुरु buru 'rather; better' (512b; similar to Nepali बरु bəru 'rather') are also used.
(512) a. आप बुना घरे चुलि जावा हबे... (cf. DLR.04.031)
ap buna $g^{h} \Lambda r=e$ cul-i ja-ba h $\Lambda$-b-I...
PCL rather house $=$ EMPH AUX-ABS go-INF must-FUT-3
'It is better to go home now...'
b. बुरु हाट ना जाइस।
buru haṭ na ja-is
rather market NEG go-SUBJ2sg
'(It would be) better you didn't go to the market.'

### 6.2.3 Disjunctive conjunctions

The following disjunctive conjunctions were identified: या ya, वा ba (or, wa), नि ni, ने ne and ना na. The conjunctions या ya (513a), and बा ba are loans. Preliminary observations indicate that नि ni and बा ba are used for phrasal coordination, and ने ne and ना na for clausal coordination (513b), though this will need to be verified through further research. The conjunctions ना na and ने ne (513b) possibly originate from the negative particle (cf. sections 7.1.3.3 and 7.4.1 for discussion on the use of the particles ना na and ने ne as tag particles).
(513) a. ...चेङरा होक या चेङरि होक... (cf. MR.05.258)
...cenra h-ok ya cenri h-ok...
...young_man be-SUBJ3 or young_woman be-SUBJ3...
'...may it be a young man or (may it be) a young woman...'
b. आर इड घर कहे ना कुट्टि कहे चिता नि जाछे। (cf. DLR.02.004)
ar i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad g^{h} \Lambda r$ k $\Lambda h-e \quad$ na kuṭti $\quad$ k $\lambda$ h-e and DEM[prox]-NCLS house say-CONJ.PTCL or priest's_quarters say-CONJ.PTCL
$\operatorname{cin}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a}$ ni ja-ch-e
know-PST.PTCL NEG PASS.AUX-PRES-3
'And one can not tell whether this is a house, or priest's quarters or a temple.'

### 6.2.4 Subordinating conjunctions

The interrogative pronoun कि ki 'what' can be used as a subordinating conjunction. When preceding the verb of the main clause it functions as an adverbial 'what' (514a,c), and when preceding the embedded clause $(514 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c})$ it operates as a subordinating conjunction.
(514) a. मोर मालिकट कि कहचे, तोक बोले बेचि दिफ्कु। (cf. GR.02.062)
mo-r malik-ḍ $\Lambda$ ki k $\wedge \wedge$-c-e
1sg[obl]-GEN master-NCLS what say-PRES-3
to-k boli bec-i di-p-ku
2sg[obl]-DAT QUOT sell-ABS AUX-FUT-SA2sg
'What my master says is (that) you, apparently, are going to be sold.'
b. मोर मालिकट कहचे कि तोक बोले बेचि दिप्कु। (cf. GR.02.062)
mo-r malik-ḍ $\Lambda$ k $\wedge h \Lambda$-c-e
1 sg[obl]-GEN master-NCLS say-PRES-3
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { ki } & \text { to-k } & \text { bolı } & \text { bec-i } & \text { di-p-ku } \\ \text { PCL(that) } & 2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}] \text {-DAT } & \text { QUOT } & \text { sell-ABS } & \text { AUX-FUT-SA2sg }\end{array}$
'My master said that you, apparently, are going to be sold.'
c. इखान खिसार मतलब कि हचे कि... (cf. GR.01.029)
i-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ isa-r mıtlıb ki hı-c-e ki...
DEM[prox]-NCLS story-GEN meaning what be-PRES-3 PCL(that)...
'What this story means is that...'

The subordinating conjunction कि ki is used frequently, but is nevertheless viewed by some speakers as a loan. ${ }^{75}$ More natural would apparently be to use the particle ते te 'PCL'.
(515) a. त बुऱाड सुना बगलत आसिएने सुनेचे ते/कि जुवाँ बेटिला त झाग्रा लागाए दिछे। (DLR.04.029)
t $\Lambda$ bur ${ }^{\text {ha }}$-ḍ $\Lambda$ suna bıglıt as-iene sun-ec-e te/ki
PCL old_man-NCLS PCL near come-CONJ.PTCL hear-PRES-3 PCL(that)
juã beṭi-la tı jhagnra laga-e d-ich ee
son_in_law daughter-PL PCL fight begin-ABS AUX-PERF-3
'Then the old man, coming closer heard that the son-in-law and daughter had begun to fight.'
b. सुना बुऱा बुऱि कहचे ते/कि आप कचुला उस्नाए बुनिचि... (cf. MR.04.028)
suna bur ${ }^{h}$ a bur ${ }^{h} \mathrm{i}$ kлhл-c-e te/ki ap kлcu-la
PCL old_man old_woman say-PRES-3 PCL(that) PCL [kacu]vegetable-PL
usna-e bun-ic-i
boil-CONJ.PTCL plant-PERF-1pl
'The old man and old woman said, "Now, we have boiled the [kacu]vegetables and planted them." '

Additionally, subordination is frequently indicated by a mere pause and rising intonation between the main and the embedded clause (516).
(516) खाराब काथा बल्बा नि उहाँ अइदिन से गियाने पाइ गेल। (cf. DLR.05.065)
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ arab $\mathrm{kat}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ bsl-ba ni $\quad$ [rising_intonation + pause]
bad thing speak-INF NEG
uhã $\quad$ i-din se gyan =e pa-i ge-1
3sg[pro] DEM[rem/emph]-day ABL wisdom = EMPH get-ABS AUX-PST3
'From that day forward he really learnt (that) no-one should speak bad things.'
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## 7. Syntax

### 7.1 Non-declarative speech acts

### 7.1.1 Imperative

As discussed in sections 3.7.8.1 and 3.7.8.2 commands can be marked with the imperative or the subjunctive. The basic difference between the two is whether the command implies immediate or postponed (remote) action (though it is also possible that the postponement of an action in the subjunctive has deferential overtones).

In imperative clauses the ( $2 \mathrm{sg} / \mathrm{pl}$ ) subject which is in agreement with the main verb is generally suppressed (517a). The overt subject can, however, be used in marked constructions (517b-c).
(517) a. कानेक! (MR.04.191)
kan-ek
cry-IMP2sg
'Cry!'
b. तुइ आप्तार घर जा। (cf. DLR.06.069)
tui apna-r $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{ja}-\varnothing$
$2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ REFL[pro]-GEN house go-IMP2sg
'You go to (your) own home!'
c. तम़ाए जाओ (cf. DLR.06.016)
$t \wedge m^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{ja}-\Lambda$
2pl[pro]=EMPH go-IMP2pl
'You go.'

The imperative has no effect on the basic dative-accusative marking rules. Human (518a) and animate direct objects are marked with the dative-accusative case in the imperative, whereas inanimate ( $518 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{c}$ ) objects are not. Indirect objects are also marked with the dative-accusative case (518d).

```
(518) a. हामाक आशिर्बाद द
hama-k asirbad d-
\(1 \mathrm{pl}[\) pro]-DAT blessing give-IMP2pl
'Bless us.'
```

b. इला दुइडा बासि ले। (cf. MR.05.178a)
i-la dui-ḍa basi le- $\varnothing$
DEM[prox]-PL two-NCLS flute take-IMP2sg
'Take these two flutes.'
c. मोक दुइडा लिहानि झाका दे त। (cf. MR.05.066)
mo-k dui-ḍa lihani jhaka de- $\varnothing$ t^
1sg[obl]-DAT two-NCLS blanket cover give-IMP2sg PCL
'Cover me with two blankets.'
d. मोक काल कलम आनि दे।
mo-k kalı kılım an-i de- $\varnothing$
1sg[obl]-DAT black pen bring-ABS AUX-IMP2sg
'Bring $\mathrm{me}_{\text {[INDIRECT OBJECT] }}$ a black pen [DIRECT OBJECT] .

The negative particle in imperatives ना na 'NEG' as in (519) differs from the default negative particle नि ni 'NEG'. The use of negative particles, and the effect of their positioning, is discussed further in sections 3.7.8.1, 7.1.3.3 and 7.4.1.
(519) ना बेराअ।
na bera- $\Lambda$
NEG walk-IMP2pl
'Don't walk.'

The imperative is also used in complex sentences. In (520a) the main predicate which follows the verb-modifying clause is in the imperative. The quasi-aspectual auxiliary can also be marked with the imperative (520b).
(520) a. कचुला उस्नाएने बुनेक। (cf. MR.04.013; also DLR.06.032b, MR.04.050;074)
kıcu-la usna-ene bun-ek
[kacu]vegetable-PL boil-CONJ.PTCL plant-IMP2sg
'Plant the [kacu]vegetables after boiling them.'
b. कालि से तुइ ठिक हइ जा। (cf. GR.02.075; also MR.05.139)
kal $^{h_{i}}$ se tui $t^{\text {h}} \mathrm{ik} \quad \mathrm{h} \Lambda$-i $\mathrm{ja}-\varnothing$
tomorrow ABL 2sg[pro] alright be-ABS AUX-IMP2SG
'From tomorrow (onwards) become well.'

### 7.1.2 Hortative

The hortative is only found in conjunction with subjunctive marking in the 1 pl (cf. section 3.7.8.2 for further discussion). The overt 1 pl pronoun is optional.
(521) a. (हामा) आप एनङ करि। (cf. DLR.04.012)
(hama) ap en $\wedge$ y k $\wedge$ r-i
(1pl[pro]) PCL like_this do-SUBJ1pl
'Let's do this.'
b. (हामा) छरि दि याहाँक। (MR.04.117)
(hama) $c^{h} \Lambda r-i \quad d-i \quad y a h a-k$
(1pl[pro]) let_go-ABS AUX-SUBJ1pl DEM[pro/prox]-DAT
'Let's let her go.'

### 7.1.3 Interrogative

The following interrogative structures have been found in Rājbanshi: content questions, yes/no questions, tag questions, alternative questions and (possibly) rhetorical questions. These five types will be discussed in the following sections.

### 7.1.3.1 Content questions

The structure of the content question in Rājbanshi resembles the question structure in New Indo-Aryan languages in general. Masica $(1991: 386)$ describes this as follows:
"In so-called WH-questions, those asking for information, the question word comes normally in the focal position right before the word (ignoring negation markers), unless it is an adjectival question word modifying a noun (which then itself occupies this position)..."

In most cases, the interrogative pronoun falls immediately to the left of the verb (522a). In unmarked clauses the negative particle occurs between the interrogative pronoun and the verb (522b). Discourse particles may also occur between the pronoun and the verb (522c).
(522) a. आप उड चेडरा कि कहबे? (MR.05.041)
ap u-ḍ $\Lambda$ ceŋra ki k $\lambda h \Lambda$-b-I
PCL that-NCLS young_man what say-FUT-3
'What will the young man say?'
b. तुइ हाल कियाँ नि बहचिस? (cf. GR.02.036)
tui hal kiã ni b $\wedge$ h $\Lambda$-c-is
2sg[pro] plough why NEG plough-PRES-2sg
'Why are you not ploughing?'
c. कियाँ सुना कानेचिस? (cf. MR.04.057)
kiã suna kan-ec-is
why PCL cry-PRES-2sg
'Why are you crying?'

As explained by Masica (1991:386), the adjectival question word precedes the noun which it modifies (523).
(523) कि ताकत छिकि याहाँर? (DLR.05.020)
ki tak t $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-i-ki yaha-r
what power be-PRES-SA3 $3 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro/prox]-GEN
'What power does he have?'

In unmarked structures the nominal/adjectival constituent, which accompanies the verb in conjunct verbs, such as कादुवालि kaduali 'muddy' in (524), occurs between the interrogative pronoun and the verb.
(524) मोर पानिखान कियाँ कादुवालि करेचिस? (cf. GR.01.011)
mo-r pani-k ${ }^{\text {han }}$ kiã kaduali kar-ec-is
1sg[obl]-GEN water-NCLS why muddy do-PRES-2sg
'Why are you making my water muddy?'

Displacement, however, permits the interrogative pronoun to fall immediately to the left of the main verb. This can cause the separation of the nominal or the adjectival constituent of the conjunct verb to the right (525a) or the left (525b). Conversely, in (525c) the pronoun is displaced to the right of the verb along with the temporal phrase. The (relatively) low frequency of the post-verbal interrogative pronoun shown in (525c) suggests that Rājbanshi differs from Bengali where "...there is a tendency to prefer final position for the word why - kæno..." (Masica 1991:387).
(525) a. आरसाल कियाँ दिस्लो मोक गालि? (GR.01.018)
arsal kiã d-isl-o mo-k gali
last_year why give-REM.PST-2sg 1sg[obl]-DAT rebuke
'Last year why did you tell me off?'
b. त तुइ एठ कियाँ कर्लो? (GR.01.014)
t $\Lambda$ tui $e^{\text {h }} \Lambda$ kiã k $\Lambda r-1-o$
PCL 2sg[pro] socially_unclean why do-PST-2sg
'Why did you make the water dirty?'
c. मोक गालि दिस्लो कियाँ आरसाल? (GR.01.019)
mo-k gali d-isl-o kiã arsal
1sg[obl]-DAT rebuke give-REM.PST-2sg why last_year
'Why did you tell me off last year?'

### 7.1.3.2 Yes/no questions

Masica (1991:388) identifies the following features of yes/no questions in Indo-Aryan languages:
"Yes/no questions may be marked simply by intonation... Most NIA languages may also employ a question marker particle, placed either sentence-initially (Standard Hindi, Sindhi, Punjabi) or finally (Bengali, Marathi, Sinhalese). The first is reminiscent of the Persian construction, the second of the Dravidian one. The question marker is often but not always identical with an unstressed form of the word what..."

Similarly, yes/no questions in Rājbanshi are marked in two ways: with the clause-initial polar question marker कि ki 'PQM', and/or with clause-final rising and sharply falling intonation. The use of the polar question marker is optional; the rise-fall intonation pattern falls clause-finally regardless of whether the marker is used or not. The polar question marker can not, however, occur sentence-initially (as in Standard Hindi), or sentencefinally (as in Nepali). ${ }^{76}$

Consider, for example, the following two sentences. In the first, the intonation pattern indicates that the proposition should be understood as an interrogative. In the second, the same clause-final rise-fall intonation occurs, even though the polar question marker is present.


तोक ठुक्बार लाखाति लागिस्लुकु? (cf. DLR.004.036)

| to-k | t $^{\mathrm{h}}$ uk-ba-r | lak $^{\mathrm{h}}$ ati | lag-isl-uku |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2sg[obl]-DAT | hit-INF-GEN | like | feel-REM.PST-SA2sg |
| '...did you feel as if I was (really) | hitting you?' |  |  |

[^61]

Figure 4. Pitch contour for yes/no questions

Masica (1991:389) continues:
"...text frequency counts might well show that yes/no questions are marked most often in NIA in general by intonation alone."

A frequency count of the yes/no questions in the Rājbanshi texts available shows that $91 \%$ $(\mathrm{n}=23)$ of the examples utilise intonation alone. Only two examples $(9 \%)$ use the polar question marker.

### 7.1.3.3 Tag questions

Tag questions are marked with the particles ना na 'PCL[TAG]' or ने ne 'PCL[TAG]' (cf. also sections 3.7.8, 6.2.3 and 7.4.1.2). As shown in (526a-b) the tag question follows the main clause, and it implies that the speaker is requesting affirmation.
(526) a. ते मोक एकछिन त कान्बा दिबन ना? (MR.04.188)
te mo-k ekc ${ }^{\text {hin }}$ t $\Lambda$ kan-ba di-b- $n \mathrm{n}$ na
PCL 1sg[obl]-DAT one_moment PCL cry-INF let-FUT-2pl PCL[TAG]
'So, you'll let me cry for a while, won't you?'
b. एकझन बेरामि हले, हाल जुता त नि जाबे ना? (GR.02.020)
ek-j ${ }^{\text {h }} \Lambda$ n berami hal jut-a th ni
one-PCLS ill be-COND.PTCL plough plough-PST.PTCL PCL NEG
ja-b-I na
PASS.AUX-FUT-3 PCL[TAG]
'If one becomes ill, ploughing will not be possible, isn't that correct?'

Conversely, tag questions (with an optional कि ki 'PQM' element) in (527a-b) denote uncertainty (stress is marked in the examples below with the diacritic ${ }^{1}$ ).
(527) a. 'पामर तहरे नाअ, ने (कि)?
'pamır t^h $-\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{e}$ nas ne ki
'Pāmar $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}=\mathrm{EMPH}$ name PCL[TAG] $(\mathrm{PQM})$
'Your name is Pāmar, isn't it?'
b. तहरे नाअँ ने (कि) 'पामर?
t $\wedge$ h $\Lambda-\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{e}$ na ne (ki) 'pamır
$2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}=\mathrm{EMPH}$ name $\mathrm{PCL}[\mathrm{TAG}](\mathrm{PQM})$ 'Pāmar 'Isn't your name Pāmar?'

The focussed element is marked by stress-intonation.
(528) a. 'पामरट आस्ले, ने (कि)।
'pamır-ṭィ as-l-ı ne (ki)
'Pāmar-NCLS come-PST-3 PCL[TAG] (PQM)
'It was PĀMAR who came, wasn't it?'
b. पामरट 'आस्ले, ने (कि)।
pamır-ṭ $\Lambda \quad$ 'as-l-I ne (ki)
Pāmar-NCLS 'come-PST-3 PCL[TAG] (PQM)
'Pāmar CAME, didn't he?'

### 7.1.3.4 Alternative questions

In alternative questions the first proposition is followed by the disjunctive conjunction ना na 'or' and then the alternative proposition. If the alternative proposition is the negative counterpart of the first, it is often truncated as shown in the following examples.
(529) a. माअ बाप छुकु ना नि छुकु? (MR.05.309)
mas bap $c^{\mathrm{h}}-u-k u \quad$ na ni $c^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{ku}$
mother father be-PRES-SA2sg or NEG be-PRES-SA2sg
'Do you have parents, or do you not?'
b. आप बाहा हइचे कहम ना नि हइचे कहम? (DLR.06.029)
 PCL marriage be-PERF-3 say-FUT1sg or NEG be-PERF-3 say-FUT1sg 'Well, shall I say I am married, or shall I say I am not?'

### 7.1.3.5 Rhetorical questions

This category has been added only tentatively. There are two possible arguments for distinguishing the rhetorical question as a separate type.

Firstly, rhetorical questions are often formed by using the adjective/adverb आरह arhs 'another; also; more'. Stress-intonation is placed on the interrogative pronoun (stress/intonation is marked in the examples below with the diacritic ${ }^{\prime}$ ) in (530).
(530) आला सुना खाएने ' किड माजा पाबन आरह? (cf. MR.04.111)
$\mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ suna $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$-ene 'ki-ḍ $\Lambda$ maja pa-b- $\wedge \mathrm{n}$ arh $\Lambda$
now PCL eat-CONJ.PTCL 'what-NCLS taste get-FUT-2pl more
'Eating me now what taste would you get, eh?' (Rhetorical; implying 'I am tasteless like this.')

However, the use of the pronominal adjective/adverb is optional. When it is omitted it is purely context and intonation which distinguishes the rhetorical question from the content question. Here again, stress-intonation is placed on the interrogative pronoun. Compare example (530) with that in (531).
(531) आल़ा खाबन ते 'कि माजा पाबन? (MR.04.104)
$\mathrm{al}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}-\wedge \mathrm{n}$ te 'ki maja pa-b-лn
now eat-FUT-2pl PCL 'what taste get-FUT-2pl
'If you eat (me) now, what taste will you get?' (Rhetorical; implying 'I am tasteless like this.')

Secondly, stress-intonation patterns may support a separate category for rhetorical questions. The rhetorical question in (532b) is distinguished from the simple (noninterrogative) proposition (532a) in two ways: firstly by the adverb आरह arhs, and secondly by sentence-final rise-fall intonation. Even when the adverb is deleted, as long as the intonation pattern is retained, the rhetorical implication will remain (532c).
(532) a.

एनङ करे कचु बुनेचे।
en^y kıre kıcu bun-ec-e
like_this ADVL [kacu]vegetable plant-PRES-3
'[Kacu]vegetables are planted like this.' (simple non-interrogative proposition)
b. एनङ करे कचु बुनेचे आरह? (MR.04.007)
enıy kлre kıcu bun-ec-e arhs [rise-fall_intonation]
like_this ADVL [kacu]vegetable plant-PRES-3 more
'So, [kacu]vegetables are planted like this too?' (Rhetorical; implying 'You are not planting the vegetables correctly.')
c. एनङ करे कचु बुनेचे?
en^y kıre kıcu bun-ec-e [rise-fall_intonation]
like_this ADVL [kacu]vegetable plant-PRES-3
Gloss i (rhetorical): 'So, [kacu]vegetables are planted like this?' (Rhetorical; implying
'You are not planting the vegetables correctly.')
Gloss ii (yes/no question): 'Are [kacu]vegetables planted like this?'

The intonation pattern is logically akin to that in yes/no questions (compare gloss i-ii of (532c)), and therefore, as suggested above, one is compelled to ask whether it is merely context which distinguishes the rhetorical question.

### 7.1.4 Exclamation

I have tentatively maintained the distinction between the exclamative and the interrogative based on a contrastive stress-intonation pattern. In (533a) the exclamation places stress (and hence, raised intonation) on the head of the noun phrase, not the interrogative pronoun. In the exclamative example in (533b) stress falls on the pronominal adverb केतेक ketek 'how_much' (stress is marked with the diacritic ' ).
(533) a. केनङ 'बुद्धि मुइ दिनु अम़ाक। (GR.02.056)
ken $\wedge$ y 'budd ${ }^{h} \mathrm{i}$ mui di-n-u $\quad \Delta \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{k}$
what_kind 'wisdom 1sg[pro] give-PST-1sg 3pl[pro]-DAT
'What kind of wisdom did I give them!' (i.e. 'I shouldn't have advised them.')
b. त सुना भोके खताडत 'केतेक रहबे। (DLR.05.051)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { PCL PCL hunger(loan) = EMPH nest-NCLS-LOC 'how_much remain-FUT-3 }
\end{aligned}
$$

'How he sits in his nest in hunger!'

Similarly, observe that in the interrogative in (534a) stress falls on the adjectival pronoun केनङ kenıク 'what_kind'. In the exclamative clause in (534b) stress is placed on the noun भुल $b^{h} u l$ 'mistake'.
(534) a. 'केनङ भुल? (cf. GR.02.018)
'ken^y $b^{\text {h }} u l$
'what_kind mistake
'What (kind of) mistake?'
b. केताखान 'भुल!
kete-khan 'b ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{ul}$
how_much-NCLS 'mistake
'What (a) mistake!'

### 7.1.5 Imprecative

Often the subjunctive mood is used in imprecatives (535a), and in blessings (535b). The future may also be used in some imprecative expressions (535c).
(535) a. बेटार मुथा खाइस!
beṭar mut ${ }^{\text {h }}{ }^{\text {h }}{ }^{\text {ha }}$-is
son-GEN head eat-SUBJ2sg
'May you eat your son's head!' (That is, 'May your son die before you!')
b. बड हइस! जल्दि बेहा हकु।
bırı h $\lambda$-is, jaldi beha ha-ku
big be-SUBJ2sg, soon marriage be-(SUBJ)SA2sg
'May you(r family) increase! May you soon be married!'
c. भगवानडए बिचार करिप्कु! (or, कर्कु)
$b^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ gwan- $\mathrm{d} \Lambda=\mathrm{e} \quad$ bicar $\quad \mathrm{k} \Lambda r-\mathrm{ip}-\mathrm{ku} \quad$ (or, k $\Lambda r-k u$ )
god-NCLS $=$ EMPH thought do-FUT-SA2sg (or, do-(SUBJ)SA2sg)
'May god judge you!'

The following expletives were encountered:
(536) a. लङगगट 1 A $1 g_{\Lambda t} t$ 'ill-behaved_person' (cf. GR.01.010)
b. सालार बुऱि रे! (MR.04.080)
sala-r bur ${ }^{\text {h }}$ re
y.brother_of_wife-GEN old_woman INJ
'Damned old woman!'

### 7.2 Phrase-internal constituent order

### 7.2.1 Noun phrase

Like many other NIA languages (cf. Masica 1991:369), the noun phrase structure in Rājbanshi is left-branching. Noun phrase constituent order can be illustrated as follows:

Table 53. Noun phrase constituent order

| Type 1 |  |  |  |  | HEAD |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type 2 |  | (POSS) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (ADJ) } \\ & \text { (QNT) } \end{aligned}$ | HEAD | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-NCLS } \\ & \text {-PL } \end{aligned}$ | (-CASE) | $(=\mathrm{CL})$ |
| Type 3 | sg | DEM <br> NUM | -NCLS | (ADJ) | HEAD | (-NCLS) | (-CASE) | ( $=\mathrm{CL}$ ) |
|  | pl | DEM | -PL | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { (ADJ) } \\ & \text { (QNT) } \end{aligned}$ | HEAD | (-PL) | (-CASE) | ( $=\mathrm{CL}$ ) |

The three types of constituent order illustrated in Table 53 will be covered in the following sections. (See section 7.4.3.1 for discussion on conjoined NPs.)

### 7.2.1.1 Noun phrase head

The head of a noun phrase can be a noun, a proper noun, or a pronoun. A Type 1 phrase construction is used in cases where the noun phrase refers to a nonspecific entity, as जाहाज jahaz 'aeroplane' in (537). The noun phrase is not marked with the noun classifier. (Refer to section 3.6.2.5.2 for further discussion.)

```
(537) तुइ जाहाज देखिचित?
    tui jahaj dek }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{h}}\mathrm{ -ic-it
    2sg[pro] vessel(=aeroplane) see-PERF-2sg
    'Have you seen an aeroplane?'
```

The elements which can precede the head in Type 2 and Type 3 phrase constructions are the demonstrative (538a), the numeral (538b), the possessor (538c) and the (adjectival) modifier (538d-e).
(538) a. उड पइनि $u-d_{\Lambda} p_{\text {pini }}$ 'that-NCLS irrigation_channel' (cf. MR.05.094)
b. एकटा पखि ek-ṭa p $\wedge k^{h} i^{\prime}$ one-NCLS bird' (cf. DLR.05.002)
c. बेटिर घर betti-r $g^{h} \Lambda r$ 'daughter-GEN house' (cf. DLR.04.005)
d. लाम चुलिला lam $^{h}{ }_{\Lambda}$ culi-la 'long hair-PL' (cf. MR.05.221)
e. इड काटाल भातारट (cf. DLR.06.076)
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ kaṭ-al $b^{\text {hatar-ṭ }}$
DEM[prox]-NCLS cut-PST.PTCL husband-NCLS
'this cut up husband'

Adjectives (539a), and nominalised verbs (539b), or postpositions (539c) may function as noun phrase heads.
(539) a. छटडक (cf. GR.01.029)
$c^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \uparrow \Lambda-$ - $\Lambda$ - k
small-NCLS-DAT
'the (socially) small (person)'
b. नि लागे गरम तरम कर्बा, जुरालेखान ले आन्।

NEG need-PRES3 hot [echo]hot do-INF cool_down-PST.PTCL=EMPH-NCLS
1-e an- $\varnothing$
bring-CONJ.PTCL bring-IMP2sg
'There is no need to warm it up (or something), bring the cooled down (one).'

```
c. बुऱिड एकटा बगलत जाएने... (cf. MR.04.192)
bur \({ }^{\text {hi-ḍ }}\) ek-ṭa bıglıt ja-ene... old_woman-NCLS one-NCLS near go-CONJ.PTCL '...the old woman went aside...' (lit. 'to one (place) close')
```


### 7.2.1.2 Noun classifiers, plural marking, determiners and numerals

The basic difference between a Type 2 and a Type 3 noun phrase construction (cf. Table 53 ) is the position of the classifier. In a Type 2 phrase construction the noun classifiers -ट - $\epsilon_{\Lambda}\left(-\right.$ ड $\left.-d_{\Lambda}\right)$ and -खान $-k^{h} a n$, and the plural marker -ला -la 'PL' attaches to the right of the stem, and case marking follows to the right of the classifier.
(540) a. भातारटक $b^{h}$ atar- $t \_-k$ 'husband-NCLS-DAT' (cf. DLR.06.113)
b. घर्निडक $g^{h} \Lambda r n i-d \Lambda-k$ 'wife-NCLS-DAT' (cf. DLR.04.007)
c. बारिखानेर bari-k ${ }^{h}$ an-er 'planting_plot-NCLS-GEN' (cf. MR.04.050)

In a Type 3 phrase structure the noun classifiers and the plural marker attach to the determiner (541a) or numeral (541b). Here also, case marking attaches to the phrase head (541c). By the term "determiner" I am implying the demonstrative or the numeral एक ek 'one', the latter functioning as an indefinite article.
(541) a. इड गऱा i-ḍ $g_{\Lambda} r^{h} a^{\prime}$ 'DEM[prox]-NCLS dung_stick' ('this dung stick')
b. दुइडा गऱा dui-ḍa $g_{\Lambda} r^{h}$ a 'two-NCLS dung_stick' ('two dung sticks')
c. एकटा गऱार ek-ṭa $g_{\Lambda} r^{h}$ a-r 'one-NCLS dung_stick-GEN' ('a dung stick's')

The pronominal adverb occurs in the determiner position, and thus takes the noun classifier.
(542) एताखान लाम़ चुलि (cf. MR.05.238)
ete-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an $\quad \operatorname{lam}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ culi
this_much-NCLS long hair
'such (a) long (strand of) hair'

### 7.2.1.3 Modifiers and quantifiers

In a Type 3 phrase structure the determiner (543a), or the numeral (543b) occur in phraseinitial position, and are marked with the noun classifier or the plural. In a Type 2 phrase structure, if the adjective precedes the head noun, without a preceding numeral or determiner, the noun classifier or plural is marked on the noun (543c).
(543) a. Type 3 इखान बड लेदङा i-k ${ }^{h}$ an bırı led $\wedge \eta$ a 'DEM[prox]-NCLS big stick'
b. Type 3 एकखान बड लेदङन ek-k ${ }^{h}$ an bırı led $\wedge \eta$ a 'one-NCLS big stick' (cf. MR.04.075)
c. Type 2 मोर बड लेदङाखान mo-r bırı led $\wedge \eta a-k^{h}$ an ' 1 sg[obl]-GEN big stick-NCLS'

Quantifiers, such as गटे gaṭe 'all' in (544), precede the head. (As mentioned in section 3.6.1, some speakers use double plural marking, while others find this practice ungrammatical.)
(544) उला गटे छागल(ला)क (cf. MR.05.013)
u-la g țe chagnl(-la)-k
DEM[rem]-PL all female_goat(-PL)-DAT
'all those goats'

The relative clause is discussed in section 7.4.2.2.2.

### 7.2.2 Postposition phrase

As discussed in section 3.6 .4 there are three types of postposition phrases, and these partially overlap with case. Most often the noun and the postposition are mediated by the genitive case on the noun stem. "Type 4 case clitics" follow the noun with no genitive marking on the noun stem, though they do trigger genitive marking on the pronominal stem (cf. section 3.6.4).

The following table presents the constituent order in postposition phrases.
Table 54. Postpositional phrase constituent order

| Case | Lexical head | -CASE | Grammatical head |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Type 4 | pronoun | - GEN | case enclitic |
|  | other nominal constituent | $-\varnothing$ | case enclitic |
| Type 5 | pronoun and other nominal constituent | -GEN | postposition |
| Type 6 | pronoun and other nominal constituent | -GEN | complex (compound) postposition |

### 7.2.3 Adjective phrase

The adjective phrase consists of an adjective head and, optionally, an adverb which precedes it (545).
(545) (बाडा) मुस्किल! (cf. MR.05.044)
bara muskil
very difficult
'very difficult'

The adjective phrase head can be marked with conjunctive (546a) or emphatic (546b) clitics.
(546) a. झट्किअ $j^{h} \Lambda t ̣ k i=\Lambda$ 'slim $=$ CNJ' ('also skinny'; cf. DLR.05.005)
b. काचए $k a c \Lambda=e$ 'uncooked = EMPH' ('(really) unripe/uncooked'; cf. MR.04.009)

Conjunct verbs can be formed with a single adjective (547a), or with two (semantically related) adjectives (547b).
(547) a. साफा कर- (cf. MR.05.135)
sap $^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{k} \wedge$ -
clean do-
'to clean'
b. साफा सुघर कर- (cf. MR.05.132)
$\operatorname{sap}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{a}} \operatorname{sug}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$ k $\wedge$ r-
clean clean do-
'to clean'

The constituent order in the adjective phrase can thus be presented as follows:
Table 55. Adjective phrase constituent order

|  | Modifier | Reduplicated element | Head (primary element) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Default | (ADV) |  | ADJ | $(=\mathrm{CL})$ |
| Reduplicated | (ADV) | ADJ | ADJ (same) |  |
| Conjunct verb |  | (ADJ) | ADJ (different) |  |

### 7.2.4 Verb phrase

By the term "verb phrase" I imply the structure of simple or complex verbs. Tense-aspect inflection and agreement are either marked on the stem of the main verb (in simple verb constructions), or on the auxiliary (in complex verb constructions).

In the simple verb construction, tense (future, present and past) and aspect (perfect and past continuous/remote past) marking can be isolated from agreement. In such cases tense and aspect marking fall immediately to the right of the main verb stem, and are followed by primary (548a) or secondary agreement (548b). Past habitual marking is fused with number agreement; the fused affixes join on to the right of the stem (548c). Imperative and subjunctive marking, which fuse with number agreement, follow the stem (548d). The contrafactual conditional clitic (-तन $=t \wedge n ~ '=P S T . C O N D ' ~(G h a i l a ̄ d ̣ u b b a ̄), ~ o r ~-ह न े ~=h \wedge n e ~$ '= PST.COND' (Korobāri)) follows primary/secondary agreement marking (548e).
7. Syntax
(548) a. V-T/A-Agreement as in खाचे (morpheme order Type 1)
$k^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-c-e
eat-PRES-3
b. V-T/A-Agreement as in कहच्कि (morpheme order Type 1)
k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda$-c-ki
say-PRES-SA3
c. V-[Aspect + Agreement] as in रहे (morpheme order Type 2)
rıh-e
live-PST.HAB3
d. V-[Mood + Agreement] as in नाधन (morpheme order Type 2)
nad ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{n} \mathrm{n}$
cook-SUBJ2pl
e. V-Tense-Agreement = CL as in बल्नुतन (morpheme order Type 3)
$\mathrm{b} \Lambda \mathrm{l}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{u}=\mathrm{t} \Lambda \mathrm{n}$
speak-PST-1sg $=$ PST.COND

As mentioned in sections 3.7.3 and 3.7.9.2, Rājbanshi has several types of complex verb constructions. The second verb (in default order) is marked for tense, aspect, mood and agreement.
(549) a. $\mathrm{V}_{\text {[BARE.STEM }]} \mathrm{V}$, as in ढोल पिट्बा
$\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ol} \quad$ pit!-ba
drum_to_summon beat-INF
b. V-CONJ.PTCL V, as in कानिए उठ्बा (i.e. 'to cry out aloud')
kan-ie ut ${ }^{\text {h}}-\mathrm{ba}$
cry-CONJ.PTCL rise-INF
c. V-ABS AUX, as in देखि फेकाल्कि
$\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eka-1-ki
look-ABS AUX-PST-SA3
d. V-CONT.PTCL AUX, as in आस्ते रहिस as-te rah-is come-CONT.PTCL AUX(CONT)-SUBJ2sg
e. V-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX, as in देखा जाछे
$\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{ja}-\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3

The following table illustrates these observations:
Table 56. Structure of the verb


### 7.3 Clause-internal word order

### 7.3.1 Basic word order

The unmarked word order in Rājbanshi is SOV.
(550) a. Intransitive

चेङराड उपरमाखे देख्बे। (cf. MR.05.195)
ceŋra-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ up $\wedge r-$ mak $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e} \quad \operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}$-b-I
young_man-NCLS above-direction see-FUT-3
'The young man looked upwards.'
b. Transitive

उहाँ माअडक डाकाबा चाहाले। (cf. MR.05.314)
uhã ma $\Lambda-\mathrm{d} \Lambda$-k daka-ba caha-1-ı
3sg[pro] mother-NCLS-DAT call-INF want_to-PST-3
'He wanted to call (his) mother.'
c. Dative subject construction

चेडराडक डर लागि गेल्कि। (cf. MR.05.017)
cenra-ḍ $\Lambda$-k ḍ $\wedge$ r lag-i ge-l-ki
young_man-NCLS-DAT fear feel-ABS AUX-PST-SA3
'The young man was afraid.'

In unmarked ditransitive clauses the indirect object precedes the direct object.
(551) चेङराड उहाँक खिसाखान सुनाप्कि। (cf. MR.05.153)
cenra-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ uhã-k $k^{\mathrm{h}}$ isa-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an sun-a-p-ki
young_man-NCLS 3sg[pro]-DAT story-NCLS hear-CAUS-FUT-SA3
'The young man told her [INDIRECT OBJECT] the story ${ }_{\text {[DIRECT OBJECT] }}$.

In unmarked copula clauses the complement follows the subject (552a). When overtly present, the copula (in default order) is found in clause-final position (552b).
(552) a. इड फुल हामार तिकार फुलड। (cf. MR.05.197)
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad p^{\mathrm{h}} u \mathrm{l}$ hama-r ti-kar $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} u l-\mathrm{d}^{\prime} \Lambda$
DEM[prox]-NCLS flower $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]-G E N$ towards-GEN flower-NCLS
'This flower is a flower from our region.'
b. इड लोक गचिमारिर हए। (cf. DLR.03.001)
i-ḍ $\Lambda$ lok g $\Lambda$ cimari-r h $\lambda$-e
DEM[prox]-NCLS man Gacimāri-GEN be-PRES3
'This man is from Gacimāri.'

The location of the adverb phrase in relation to the verb seems to vary. In most cases the adverb (or adverbial phrase) falls to the left of the main verb (553a-b). In some cases, however, the adverb (or adverbial phrase) is located in clause-initial position (553c). Initial observations would suggest that positional differences do not cause changes in the salience of the adverb (or the adverbial phrase), at least as far as the temporal adverbial (553a,c) is concerned. Refer to section 7.3.3.1 for discussion on clause-final adverbs.
(553) a. Temporal

खेटियाला राति घुरि आसिए कचुला खाए गिच्कि। (cf. MR.04.025)
$k^{h}$ eṭia-la rati $g^{h} u r i \quad$ as-ie kıcu-la $k^{h} a-e \quad$ g-ic-ki
jackal-PL night during come-CONJ.PTCL [kacu]vegetable-PL eat-ABS AUX-PERF-SA3
'The jackals, coming during the night, ate the [kacu]vegetables up.'
b. Directional

बुऱा बुरि घर चुलि गेल। (MR.04.024)

old_man old_woman house AUX-ABS go-PST3
'The old man and old woman went home.'
c. Temporal

रात करे उहाँर भातारट निदाए गेइच्कि। (cf. DLR.06.047)
rat kıre uhã-r $b^{\text {hatar-ṭ } \Lambda ~ n i d a-e ~ g e-i c-k i ~}$
night ADVL 3sg[pro]-GEN husband-NCLS sleep-ABS AUX-PERF-SA3
'During the night her husband fell asleep.'

### 7.3.2 Deletion

The agent of a clause may be omitted if the correct referent is evident from the conjugation of the verb, or the immediate (deictic or other) context. Consider (554b), for example, where the agent is deleted. The listener is still able to determine the correct referent (the tiger) from the previous sentence (554a).
(554) a. बाघडर दाहाड गटडए खुने खुन हइ जाष्कि। (MR.05.037)

tiger-NCLS-GEN body-NCLS all-NCLS $=$ EMPH blood(Nepali) $=$ EMPH blood(Nepali) be-ABS ja-p-ki
AUX-FUT-SA3
'The tiger's body became bloody all over.'
b. तने सुना लाराइ ताराइ करिएने सुना उहाँक कहप्कि...। (MR.05.038)
tıne suna larai tarai kır-iene suna uhã-k k
PCL PCL fighting [echo]fighting do-CONJ.PTCL PCL 3sg[pro]-DAT say-FUT-SA3...
'Then (after) fighting (he; the tiger) said to him...'

The patient ('it' / 'the kid') is omitted in (555b). Correct participant reference can still be deduced from the previous context (555a). Note that secondary agreement, which would, in an unmarked case, indicate the patient of the transitive clause in (555b), is omitted. This is due to a pragmatic inference of empathy (the narrator is conveying empathy towards the kid).
(555) a. हिदर खेटियाड पानि खाचे, हुदर छागलेर बाचाड पानि खाचे। (GR.01.006)

over_here jackal-NCLS water eat-PRES-3 over_there goat-GEN baby(animal)-NCLS
pani $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{e}$
water eat-PRES-3
'The jackal is drinking (water) over here, the kid (child of a goat) is drinking water over there.'
b. ते याहाँ देखि फेकाले। (GR.01.007)
te yaha $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eka-1-I
PCL DEM[pro/prox] see-ABS AUX-PST-3
'And it (the jackal) saw (it anyway).'

The indirect object can also be deleted. In the following example the listener is able to deduce the correct referent from the immediate context, together with recipient agreement marking on the verb (-कि -ki 'SA3').
(556) जुवाँड कहच्कि... (cf. DLR.04.019)
juã-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ k $\wedge h \Lambda-c-k i$
son_in_law-NCLS say-PRES-SA3
'The son-in-law said (to her)...'

In (557) both the subject and direct object have been deleted.
(557) आनिए बुनि दिछे। (MR.04.023)
an-ie bun-i d-ic ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
bring-CONJ.PTCL plant-ABS AUX-PERF-3
'(They) brought (the vegetables, and then) planted (them).'

In Rājbanshi there is no restriction on pronoun deletion. In this respect the language would appear to function more like Hindi than Bengali, since according to Masica (1991:386):
"Subject pronouns appear to be not quite as readily deletable in Bengali as in Hindi, despite the clearer person marking in the former."

Determining whether deletion is used as a stylistic device, and/or used for focus and topicalisation requires further research. It is perhaps the absence of the agent and patient in (557) which renders salience to the action. In (558b) it is possibly the deletion of the agent which renders topic status to the patient, or which furthers the focus of the (accented) verb (marked with the diacritic ${ }^{1}$ ). Note that in the previous clause (558a) the agent has been demoted to a non-topical clause-final position.
(558) a. तोक छर्ने बाला 'नि छु मुइ। (cf. GR.01.022)

b. तोक 'खामु। (GR.01.023)
to-k $\quad{ }^{\text {k }} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{u}$
2sg[obl]-DAT 'eat-FUT-1sg
'(I) [will 'eat $]_{[\text {FOCUS }]}[y o u]_{[T O P I C]}$.

### 7.3.3 Displacement

In this section I will discuss the way elements within a clause are rearranged for purposes of topicalisation and focus (and perhaps de-emphasis). In using the term "fronting" I mean moving an element to the left of its unmarked position in the clause. Conversely, by
"rightward displacement" I mean the movement of an element towards the right. ${ }^{77}$ Fronting may result in a shift to clause-initial, and rightward displacement to clause-final position, but not necessarily so.

As a result of the sheer variation of re-ordering (and stress placement), it was difficult to devise comprehensive rules for displacement. Nevertheless, I believe several preliminary rules for fronting and rightward-displacement do emerge from the data. Primarily, the basic instrument for topicalisation in Rājbanshi is considered to be the movement of a topicalised constituent to clause-initial (or, pre-subject) position. Focused elements tend to attract stress and are usually accompanied by high pitch. Redundant (that is, less prominent) elements can occupy the right periphery, but are also found in clauseinitial position.

Since the data available is unable to render complete support to this hypothesis, a far larger corpus would clearly have been useful. Although a more extensive data base would have exposed even further variation, it would at the same time have more likely highlighted the most common types.

### 7.3.3.1 Statistical observations

Masica (1991:394-395) gives four categories for re-ordering in Indo-Aryan, and I will cite these here for the purpose of comparison:
"1. Topicalization: various clausal constituents, but most commonly the Object, may be topicalized by displacement leftward to the Topic (initial position). This is really a kind of deemphasis, usually involving (concomitant) emphasis of another constituent. ...
2. Question-answer focus.
3. Rightward displacement: a constituent may be displaced to the right of the VP for a kind of emphasis (in some cases at least - Hindi and Bengali appear to differ here - the "emphasis" is really on the preceding VP). ...
4. Scrambling: other reorderings, particularly those involving displacement of the VP to initial position..."

[^62]Masica (1991:335) also observes that in some Indo-Aryan languages re-ordered clauses are relatively infrequent in comparison to clauses with an unmarked order:
"In any case, either kind of displacement [that is, emphasis or de-emphasis] is infrequent ( 2 per cent) in proportion to normally ordered sentences, and mainly confined to affective conversation (rare in literary prose). It would be useful to undertake actual counts in various registers. It is also likely that different NIA languages vary in the displacements they tolerate." (Addition in square brackets mine).

A frequency count from the 885 clauses contained in the Rājbanshi oral narratives collected for this work reveal that approximately $20 \%$ have at least one displaced element. ${ }^{78}$ Interestingly the frequency of displacement does not seem to be related to the speaker per se. The three speakers DLR, GR and MR, who elicited the above-mentioned narratives used displacement as follows: DLR 20,7\% ( $\mathrm{n}=231$ clauses), GR $18.7 \%$ ( $\mathrm{n}=$ 107 clauses) and MR 23,4\% ( $\mathrm{n}=547$ clauses).

I purposely excluded several re-ordered elements from this frequency count. Firstly, discourse particles have not been counted, since the re-ordering of particles seems to have little or no effect on clause pragmatics. Therefore the particle सुना suna 'PCL' in clausefinal position in (559a), and clause-medial position in (559b) were not considered examples of displacement.
(559) a. चुलि आसेचे सुना। (cf. DLR.04.009)
cul-i as-ec-e suna
AUX-ABS come-PRES-3 PCL
'So, he keeps on coming.'
b. लदिड से सुना सिनान करिए घरत सुना चुलि आस्बे। (MR.05.240)

```
1^di-ḍ^ se suna sin-an knr-ie gramar-\Lambdat suna cul-i
river-NCLS ABL PCL wash(body)-DVBL do-CONJ.PTCL house-LOC PCL AUX-ABS
as-b-I
come-FUT-3
```

'After bathing she came home from the river.'

Secondly, despite negative particles often being affected by re-ordering, they were not counted since it is sometimes unclear when they are being used as negative particles and

[^63]when they have other functions. I did, however, consider MR.04.035 and MR.04.187 as relevant examples of a re-ordering of the negative particle, since in both cases the rightward displacement of the negative simultaneously causes the displacement of the adverb. This phenomenon will be discussed further below. The positioning of the negative particle(s) is covered in section 7.4.1.2.

Therefore, although the negative particle in (560) is clearly emphasised (featuring rightward displacement, rising intonation and vowel lengthening), the sentence was included in the frequency count, not because of the negative particle but because of the fronted patient (stress will be marked with the diacritic ' in subsequent examples).
(560) आप मोक आमा 'छर्बे नि। (cf. MR.04.183)
ap mo-k $\quad \mathrm{am}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ r-b-I ni[:]
PCL 1sg[obl]-DAT 3pl[pro] 'spare-FUT-3 NEG
'Now they will not spare me.'

Thirdly, final elements which were clear cases of "afterthought" such as (561a), or the two corrective clause-final phrases in (561b) were not counted. ${ }^{79}$
(561) a. कोइखुना दुइ महिना तक नि आसे, उड थानडत माने। (cf. MR.05.125)
koi- $k^{h} u n a$ dui m $\quad$ hina $t \wedge k$ ni as-e
some-time two month until NEG come-PST.HAB3
u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad t^{\text {han }}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda-\mathrm{t} \quad$ mane
that-NCLS place-NCLS-LOC PCL
'Sometimes they didn't use to come for two months, to that place (I mean).'
b. बदला त लिबा लागे उहाँर सङे हामाक, अम़ार सङे, खेटियालार सङे।" (cf. recording of MR.04.048)
bıdla ts li-ba lag-e uhã-r s
revenge PCL take-INF must-PRES3 3sg[pro]-GEN with $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{DAT}$,

3pl[pro]-GEN with, jackal-PL-GEN with
'We have to take revenge on him; (I mean) on them, on the jackals.'

[^64]Finally, I avoided counting clause-initial temporal adverbs since the position of these seems to have little or no effect on the clause pragmatics. Therefore the two temporal adverbial phrases in the following example were considered to be unmarked cases, and were discounted.

```
राति घुरि, बार बजे, उड बाघड सुना... (cf. MR.05.086)
```



```
night during twelve o'clock that-NCLS tiger-NCLS PCL...
'During the night, at twelve o'clock, the tiger...'
```

Sometimes the re-ordering of clause elements is accompanied by stress, and this triggers features such as higher intonation and vowel gemination. Often, however, stress is unpredicatable, and therefore a cautious approach to the issue is imperative. Due to difficulties in interpreting the collocation of stress and re-ordering, I have not attempted to determine the frequency of elements which are fronted versus those elements which are displaced to the right of the verb.

Consider the following examples where it is unclear, even if stress and intonation are taken into account, whether the verbs have been focused by fronting, or whether the (possibly redundant) adverbial phrases (of location/direction) have been de-emphasised by rightward displacement. In example (563a) stress, accompanied by higher intonation, is placed on the adverb आरह arhs 'more', and this would seem to imply that the locative has been displaced to the right as a redundant element. Conversely, in (563b) stress is placed on the directional. If stress were the sole determiner, one would need to conclude (which I do not) that the rightward displaced element in (563b) is in focus.
(563) a. तमार दादोड 'आरह नि छे इड संसारत। (cf. MR.04.060)
t $\Lambda \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-r dado-d $\Lambda \quad$ 'arh $\Lambda$ ni $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$ i-d $\Lambda \quad$ s $\Lambda$ nsar- $\Lambda \mathrm{t}$
2pl[pro]-GEN grandfather-NCLS 'more NEG be-PRES3 this-NCLS world-LOC
'Your grandfather is no longer in this world.'
b. आल़ा जाछु बेटिर 'घर। (MR.04.107; compare with MR.04.102 and MR.04.126)
$a^{h}{ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ ja-ch beți-r ${ }^{\text {h }}-\mathrm{u} \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$
now go-PRES-1sg daughter-GEN 'house
'Now I am going to my daughter's house.'
Finally, one should be aware that fronting and rightward displacement are used infrequently in the Rājbanshi literary style (though there are also exceptions such as Rājbanshi VS 2064a-b). This is possibly due to a belief that such constructions are "spoken" but not "written". Some of the re-ordering devices discussed below are consequently more likely to be considered unacceptable in a written form. ${ }^{80}$

[^65]
### 7.3.3.2 Topicalisation

I use the term "topic" to mean the referent the proposition concerns. ${ }^{81}$ By the term "topicalization" I imply displacement of a constituent to a prominent (normally clauseinitial, but sometimes clause-final) position in order to raise that constituent to topic status. Conversely, by the "focus" of a clause I mean an element which contains important (and, often contrastive) information, and which, due to stress-placement, is given specific prominence.

The simplest case of topicalisation is the fronting of the object to pre-subject position. In the following examples, stress falls on the verb.
(564) a. तोक मुइ 'खास्कुन। (cf. MR.05.099)

$$
\begin{array}{lcc}
\text { to-k } & \text { mui } & \text { 'k }{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{ku}-\mathrm{n} \\
2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\text { DAT } & 1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}] & \text { 'eat-FUT-SA2sg-1sg } \\
\text { 'I will eat you } \\
{[\text { TOPIC] }]}
\end{array}
$$

b. आप मोक त ताम़ा 'खाबन रे। (cf. MR.04.186)
ap mo-k ts $\operatorname{tam}^{h} a \quad$ 'k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}-\wedge \mathrm{n}$ re
PCL 1sg[obl]-DAT PCL 2pl[pro] 'eat-FUT-2pl PCL
'Now you will certainly eat me ${ }_{[\text {TOPIC] }}$.

A similar situation also seems to apply in both of the following examples: although stress falls on the verb (or the negative particle), the direct object seems to remain topicalised.
(565) a. आप त मोक आमा 'नि छर्बे। (MR.04.100)
ap $\mathrm{t} \Lambda$ mo-k $\quad \mathrm{am}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a} \quad{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{ni} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{I}$
PCL PCL $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{DAT} 3 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]$ 'NEG spare-FUT-3
'Now they will not spare $\mathrm{me}_{\text {[TOPIC] }}$.
b. आप मोक आमा 'छर्बे नि। (cf. MR.04.183)

```
ap mo-k am ha 'ch }\Lambda\mathrm{ hr-b-I ni
PCL 1sg[obl]-DAT 3pl[pro] 'spare-FUT-3 NEG
```

'Now they will not spare me ${ }_{[\text {TOPIC] }] .}$.

[^66]It would appear that if the subject in the OS-constituent order is in focus, the direct object can still be topical. In the following example stress and higher intonation is placed on the subject मुइ mui ' $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ '. The direct object which is fronted (or, displaced to the left) seems to remain in focus.
(566) तोर कादुवालि करा पानिखान 'मुइ खाचु। (GR.01.012)


This then raises the question whether it is the re-ordering of SO to OS which enables the focus of the subject and/or the topicalisation of the object, OS being a deviation from the unmarked SO-construction. If so, topicalisation/focus would primarily be dependent on stress placement. At this stage I am unable to reach any conclusive resolution.

### 7.3.3.3 Verbal elements displaced by the fronting of the verb

Masica (1991:336) asserts that compounded verbal elements (non-finite element + auxiliary or modal) are only found to separate (by default) in the Indo-Aryan language Kashmiri:
"Moreover, if the verb consists of an auxiliary or modal + a non-finite element, the latter is (usually) pushed to the end... Such separation of verbal elements never occurs elsewhere in NIA."

Rājbanshi frequently uses this type of construction in marked clauses. When a verb phrase consists of a non-finite verb (main verb, infinitive complement or purpose clause) followed by a tense-carrying auxiliary, the finite verb can be fronted without the non-finite element. Thus the non-finite verb is left in post-verbal position.

Firstly, the quasi-aspectual auxiliary can be fronted, leaving the absolutive in postverbal position. In fact, thirteen per cent of all cases of displacement in the narrative material collected are of this type. The construction occurs with all quasi-aspectual auxiliaries except for चुल- cul- (or, चल- cal-) 'AUX'. ${ }^{82}$

[^67]In (567a) the matrix verb and the quasi-aspectual auxiliary are in an unmarked order. Conversely, in (567b) the tense-carrying auxiliary has been fronted to a pre-matrix verb position. In these cases, the auxiliary is almost always marked with stress (and higher intonation). See section 3.7.10.2 where, in the unmarked construction the absolutive morpheme is -इ -i 'ABS', but in the marked construction the absolutive is -इए -ie 'ABS'.
(567) a. त बुऱा बुऱिलाक 'रिस उठि गेल्कि।
t $\Lambda$ bur ${ }^{\text {h }}$ a bur ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{la}-\mathrm{k}$ 'ris $u t^{\text {h }}-\mathrm{i}$ ge-1-ki
PCL old_man old_woman-PL-DAT 'anger rise-ABS AUX-PST-SA3
'And the old man and old woman got angry.'
b. त बुऱा बुऱिलाक 'गेल्कि रिस उठिए। (MR.04.045)


The inflected passive auxiliary may be fronted, leaving the main verb (past participle) in a post-verbal position. (Stress seems to fall on the past participle.)
(568) नि जाबे रहा इला झाग्रा दनत। (cf. DLR.04.030)

| ni | ja-b-i | rıh-a | i-la | $j^{h} a a_{\Lambda} r a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| d $\Lambda n-\Lambda t$ |  |  |  |  |
| NEG | PASS.AUX-FUT-3 | remain-PST.PTCL | DEM[prox]-PL | fight | quarrel-LOC

Hook (1974:62-63) continues: "Except for the example of cal given here, all the instances of reversed compound verbs which we have encountered express actions which involve actual physical (as opposed to psychic or metaforic) movement. The conditions for reversal appear to include those emotions (anger, contempt, fear, surprise) which lead to extreme suddenness or indeliberateness in the performance of the action expressed. For some speakers verbs of running, throwing, hitting or breaking are all liable to reversal while more sedate or inherently durative verbs are not."
For the most part this relates well with Rājbanshi, though cases such as DLR.06.070 (surprise involved, but no physical action), MR.05.036 (no emotion or physical action involved), MR.05.094 (no emotion or deliberate physical action involved) and MR.05.221 (no emotion or deliberate physical action involved) would seem to demand further consideration of the issue. Moreover, one should also question whether the feature of suddenness (or, as Masica 1991:326 defines it, "the speed of the action") comes from the semantics of the quasi-aspectual auxiliary itself, and not the reversed structure. The topic requires further research.

Other examples of the reversed order in the text material include: DLR.04.023, DLR.04.028, DLR.05.029, DLR.05.032, DLR.06.059, DLR.06.060, MR.04.045, MR.04.095, MR.04.125, MR.05.028, MR.05.029, MR.05.122, MR.05.135, MR.05.165, MR.05.200, MR.05.213, MR.05.226, MR.05.241, MR.05.257, MR.05.266, MR.05.292, and MR.05.321.

Also the conjunctive participle is found in the clause-final position, following the inflected matrix verb.
(569) a. राजार बेटिड उड टप्लाड 'देख्बे खुलाएने। (cf. MR.05.236)
raja-r beṭi-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ ṭpla-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ 'dek ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$-b-i $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ula-ene
king-GEN daughter-NCLS that-NCLS bundle-NCLS 'look-FUT-3 open-CONJ.PTCL
'The king's daughter opened the bundle and looked (at it).'
b. माने 'चुलि गेल हपाए घुरिएने। (cf. DLR.04.033)
mane 'cul-i ge-l h^pae ghr-iene
PCL 'AUX-ABS go-PST3 perhaps turn_around-CONJ.PTCL
'He perhaps turned around and left.'

The main verb can be fronted in such a manner that the infinitive (object) complement is displaced to the right, as in (570a-b). Similarly the (adverbial) clause of purpose falls to sentence-final position when the tense-carrying verb is fronted, as in (570c-d). (Stress placement in these cases is less clear than in (567a-b) and (569a-b).)
(570) a.

चेङराड धर्ले बासि बल्बा। (cf. MR.05.324)
cenra-ḍ $\Lambda \quad d^{h} \Lambda r-1-I \quad$ basi bsl-ba
young_man-NCLS begin-PST-3 flute play(instrument)-INF
'The young man started to play the flute.'
b. बुऱिड धरिचे कान्बा। (cf. MR.04.192)
bur ${ }^{\text {hi}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda \quad \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda r$-ic-e kan-ba
old_woman-NCLS begin-PERF-3 cry-INF
'The old woman began to cry.'
c. मुइ नि जाम देख्बा। (cf. DLR.06.011)
mui ni ja-m dek ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ba
1sg[pro] NEG go-FUT1sg see-INF
'I am not going to see.'
d. रानिर बेटिड 'जाबे आन्बा। (cf. MR.05.234)
rani-r beṭi-ḍ $\Lambda$ 'ja-b-i an-ba
queen-GEN daughter-NCLS 'go-FUT-3 bring-INF
'The queen's daughter went to take (it).'

Finally, the nominal elements of conjunct verbs can be displaced to the right when the main verb is fronted. The unmarked structure of the conjunct verbs would be बदला लिbıdla li- 'revenge take' ('to take revenge') in (571a), and गालि दि- gali di- 'rebuke give' ('to scold') in (571b).
(571) a. 'केनङ करे लिबो ते बदला? (MR.04.049)
'kenıy kıre li-b-o te bıdla
'how ADVL take-FUT-2sg PCL revenge
'How will you take revenge then?'
b. आरसाल 'कियाँ दिस्लो मोक गालि? (GR.01.018)
arsal 'kiã d-isl-o mo-k gali
last_year 'why give-REM.PST-2sg 1sg[obl]-DAT rebuke
'Last year why did you tell me off?'

The semantics of displacement in examples (567a-b)-(571a-b) is uncertain. Initially it would seem that the fronting of the inflected verb renders emphasis on that verb (or, auxiliary) by simultaneously de-emphasising either the other elements of the verb phrase (as in (567b), (568), and (571a-b)), or the embedded clause (as in (569a-b) and (570a-d)).

### 7.3.3.4 Post-verbal subject, object, indirect object and dative subject

The subject (572a), object (572a), indirect object (572b-c) and dative subject (572d) constituents are all found in post-verbal position, and in most cases this would seem to be caused by the fronting of the verb. It is likely that in (572a) the subject and the direct object are de-emphasised by being placed in clause-final position, and thus the comment कियाँ नि खाबो kiã ni $k^{h} a-b-o$ 'why NEG eat-FUT-2sg' is brought into focus. In (572c) the auxiliary is fronted and the indirect object (recipient) is displaced to the right, possibly as a redundant element. This displacement of the indirect object seems to result in the topicalisation of the direct object फुलड $p^{h} u l-d_{\Lambda}$ 'flower-NCLS' ${ }^{83}$
(572) a. 'कियाँ नि खाबो तुइ खराक? (MR.05.243)
'kiã ni $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{o}$ tui $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{rak}^{2}$
'why NEG eat-FUT-2sg $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] food
'Why will you not eat food?'
b. उड 'सुक बासिड दे झाटा ठुक्बे कुवाडक। (cf. MR.05.199)
u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ 'suk basi-ḍ $\Lambda$ de jhaṭa t $t^{\text {h }} u k-b-i \quad$ kua-ḍ $\Lambda-k$
that-NCLS 'happiness flute-NCLS INSTR stick hit-FUT-3 crow-NCLS-DAT
'He threw the happiness flute at the crow.'

[^68]c. सुना फुलड दिष्कि 'गिराए चेडनाडक। (MR.05.202)
suna $p^{\text {h ul-ḍ }}$ di-p-ki 'gir-a-e cenra-d $\Lambda-k$
PCL flower-NCLS AUX-FUT-SA3 'fall-CAUS-ABS young_man-NCLS-DAT
'The flower he dropped to the young man.'
d. 'बाडा चिन्ता लागि गेल्कि उहाँक। (cf. MR.05.205)
'bara cinta lag-i ge-l-ki uhã-k
'very worry feel-ABS AUX-PST-SA3 3sg[pro]-DAT
'He got very worried.'

The clause-final position direct object can be in (contrastive) focus if accompanied by stress and higher intonation (573a-b). Since stress is placed on the clause-final direct object in (573a-b), it seems reasonable to maintain that the (post-verbal) positioning of the direct object is not due to the fronting of the verb. The same equally applies to the adjective phrase in (573c).
(573) a. घडाड खाबा पाचे 'बादाम। (GR.02.003; cf. also GR.022.012 and GR.02.057)
$\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ ḍa-ḍ $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ba} \quad$ pa-c-e $\quad$ 'badam
horse-NCLS eat-INF get-PRES-3 'nut
'The horse gets to eat nuts ( $\sim$ and not straw).'
b. अमा खाबा पाचे 'पुवाल। (GR.02.005)
$\Lambda \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ba}$ pa-c-e 'pual
3pl[pro] eat-INF get-PRES-3 'straw
'They get to eat straw ( $\sim$ and not nuts).'
c. लेकिन उड बेर्छानि छिले 'बहुत खाराब। (DLR.06.112)
lekin $\quad u$-ḍ $\Lambda \quad \operatorname{berc}^{h}$ ani $c^{h} \mathbf{i - 1 - I} \quad$ 'bshut $\quad k^{h} a r a b$
but(Hindi) that-NCLS woman be-PST-3 'very(Hindi) evil
'But (on the contrary) that woman was terribly evil.'

### 7.3.3.5 Other displaced elements

Several other elements were found displaced in clause-final position: postpositional phrases (574a), various adverbs, such as temporal, locational, directional, reason, quantity and degree (574b), and genitive modifiers (574c). These cases seem to be mostly caused by the fronting of the verb.
(574) a. हामा आसिए पुगि जाम तोक बच्बार ताने। (cf. MR.05.183)
hama as-ie pug-i ja-m- to-k bлc-ba-r tane
$1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]$ come-CONJ.PTCL reach-ABS AUX-FUT-1pl $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-D A T$ save-INF-GEN for
'We will come in order to save you.'
b. 'डर लागिच्कि जुलुम। (MR.05.025)
'ḍ̂r lag-ic-ki julum
'fear feel-PERF-SA3 very
'He was very afraid.'
c. काम जाम 'छेइ नि तोर। (cf. GR.02.071)
kam jam 'ch $-\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{e})$ ni to-r
work [echo]work 'be-PRES3 = EMPH NEG 2sg[obl]-GEN
'You are absolutely worthless.'

Also the adverbs कोधोए नि kod $^{h} o e ~ n i ~ ' n e v e r ~ N E G ' ~(' n e v e r ') ~ a n d ~ क ु छ ु ~ न ि ~ k u c ~ h ~ n i ~ ' s o m e ~ N E G ' ~$ ('nothing') need to be mentioned. In unmarked clauses the negative particle follows the adverb, as in (575a-b).
(575) a. 'कोधोए नि उहाँ काम कर्बार। (GR.02.052)
'kod ${ }^{\text {h }}$ oe ni uhã kam kır-ba-r
'never NEG 3sg[pro] work do-INF-GEN
'He has never been a working (horse).'
b. उहाँ 'कुछु नि बले। (cf. DLR.05.013)
uhã 'kuc ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathbf{u}$ ni b bl-I
3sg[pro] 'some NEG speak-PST3
'She didn't say anything.'

Conversely, when the verb is marked with the emphatic negative, the adverb is placed in clause-final position following the negative particle, as shown in examples (576a) and (576b).
(576) a. कचुला त 'गाजेचे रेइ नि कोधोए। (MR.04.035)
kıcu-la ts 'gaj-ec-e re=i ni kod ${ }^{\text {hoe }}$
[kacu]vegetable-PL PCL 'sprout-PRES-3 be=EMPH NEG never
'The [kacu]vegetables (just) never sprout up at all!'
b. मोर उपाय 'छेइए नि कुछु। (cf. MR.04.187)
mo-r upae 'ch-e = ie ni $\operatorname{kuc}^{\text {h }} u$
1sg[obl]-GEN way 'be-PRES3 = EMPH NEG some
'There is no way (out) for me at all.'

One further displacement device involves the separation of the phrase head from its modifying elements. The indefinite article (एकटा ek-ṭa 'one-NCLS') is separated from the head noun either by the displaced subject (577a), or by the displaced indirect object (577b). Likewise, the subject is interposed between the genitive modifier तोर to-r
'2sg[obl]-GEN' and the head noun मसि masi 'sister_of_mother' in (577c). ${ }^{84}$ In (577d) the article is displaced to clause-final position.
(577) a. त एकटा उहाँ 'कडि पाइचे। (DLR.05.007)
ts ek-ṭa uhã ksḍi pa-ic-e
PCL one-NCLS 3sg[pro] 'shell get-PERF-3
'He found a shell.'
b. त भइसट एकटा याहाँक 'बुद्धि दिण्कि। (cf. MR.05.156)
$b^{\text {h }} \Lambda$ is-ṭ $\Lambda \quad$ ek-ṭa yaha-k 'budd ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}$ di-p-ki
water_buffalo-NCLS one-NCLS DEM[pro/prox]-DAT 'wisdom give-FUT-SA3
'The wild buffalo gave him an idea.'
c. तोर मुइ 'मसि रे! (MR.05.277)
to-r mui 'masi re
2sg[obl]-GEN 1sg[pro] 'sister_of_mother PCL
'I am certainly your aunt.'
d. 'घडा छुकु ते एकटा। (cf. GR.02.040)
'gh ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ ḍa $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-u-ku te ek-ṭa
'horse be-PRES-SA2sg PCL one-NCLS
'(But) you have a horse.'

To determine what function this reordering has requires further research, but it may cause focus on the phrase head, and simultaneously then de-emphasise the modifying elements (be that indefiniteness in (577a-b,d), or the possessor in (577c)).

Finally, in the two following examples the genitive modifier is displaced to a post-head position. Hence, in (578a) the neutral modifier-head constituent order मोर पानिखान mo-r pani-k ${ }^{h}$ an '1sg[obl]-GEN water' has been reversed to a head-modifier order. Likewise, the order of the phrase constituents याहाँर मनड yaha-r man- ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda$ 'DEM[pro/prox]-GEN mindNCLS' in (578b) is reversed.
(578) a. पानिखान मोर 'कियाँ कादुवालि करेचिस? (GR.01.011; cf. also MR.05.235)
pani-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an mo-r 'kiã kaduali kır-ec-is
water-NCLS 1sg[obl]-GEN 'why muddy do-PRES-2sg
'Why are you making my water muddy?'

[^69]b. मनड याहाँर आधाङि से बेसि हइ गेल्कि 'काट्बारे। (cf. DLR.06.055)

'Her mind became more than half favourable of cutting.'

There were only two examples of this type of construction in the text material collected but an identical construction is found in Hindi. Masica (1976:18) notes:
"A locution rather characteristic of Hindi is the postponed genitive modifier - worked to death by poets and songwriters but also fairly common in conversation."

### 7.4 Simple and complex sentences

### 7.4.1 Negation

### 7.4.1.1 General

Rājbanshi makes use of two negative particles: नि ni 'NEG' and ना na 'NEG' (dialectal variant ने ne 'NEG'). The negative particle नि ni 'NEG' is used with all finite inflection (579a), apart from the imperative (579b) and subjunctive (579c) moods. Negation in those cases makes use of the particle ना na 'NEG'. The negative particle नि $n i$ 'NEG' is also used with the conjunctive participle (579d).
(579) a. Finite

त गाअँर लोकला नि पत्याले ते... (cf. DLR.06.093)
ts gã̃-r lok-la ni pıtya-l-I te...
PCL village-GEN man-PL NEG believe-PST-3 PCL
'The men of the village could not believe that...'
b. Imperative

ना कोहो।
na koho- $\varnothing$
NEG say-IMP2sg
'Don't tell.'
c. Subjunctive
...आरह लोकला माने दिसा ना पाओक। (cf. MR.05.271)
...arh^ lok-la mane disa na pa-ok
...other man-PL PCL knowledge NEG receive-SUBJ3
'...so that the other men wouldn't find out.'
d. Conjunctive participle
...दारि मोच नि काटिएने... (cf. MR.05.192)
...dar ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$ moc ni kat-iene...
...beard moustache NEG cut-CONJ.PTCL...
'...not cutting his beard or moustache...'

The emphatic form of the negative particle is नेइए $n e=i e ~ ' N E G=E M P H '$.
(580) बेर्छानिड त भातारटक नेइए काट्बे। (DLR.06.088)
berc $^{\mathrm{h}}$ ani-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ t $\Lambda \quad b^{\text {hatar-t } \Lambda-\mathrm{k}} \quad$ ne $=\mathrm{ie} \quad$ kat $-\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{I}$
woman-NCLS PCL husband-NCLS-DAT NEG $=$ EMPH cut-FUT-3
'The woman could never have cut (i.e. 'killed') the husband.'

The double negative in Rājbanshi renders the positive sense.
(581) ...नि कर्लउ नि हच्चे। (cf. MR.05.255)
...ni k $\wedge$ - $1 \Lambda=u$ ni h $\Lambda$-c-e
...NEG do-COND.PTCL = CNJ NEG be-PRES-3
'He has to do it.' (Lit. 'he must not [not do it].')

### 7.4.1.2 Position

In the majority (that is, $80 \%$ ) of cases of the negative particle नि ni 'NEG' found in the text data, the negative particle is in the preverbal position, as in (582).
(582) सुना इड चेङरा घर सर आर नि जाबे। (MR.05.115)
suna i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ cenra $g^{h} \Lambda r \quad$ s $\Lambda r \quad$ ar ni ja-b-I
PCL DEM[prox]-NCLS young_man house [echo]house again NEG go-FUT-3
'So, the young man didn't go home again.'

In conjunct verbs (583a) or analytic passive constructions (583b) the negative particle is often found to the right of the modifying constituent (583b).
(583) a. ...आरह लोकला माने दिसा ना पाओक। (cf. MR.05.271)
...arh _ lok-la mane disa na pa-ok
...other man-PL PCL knowledge NEG receive-SUBJ3
'...so that the other men wouldn't find out.'
b.
...रहा नि जाबे... (cf. DLR.04.030)
...rıh-a ni ja-b-I...
...remain-PST.PTCL NEG PASS.AUX-FUT-3...
'One can not stay...'

Fronting the negative to the left of the modifying element renders a marked construction which is deemed natural by some informants, but unnatural by others. Compare examples (584a-b) with examples (583a-b) above.
(584) a. (?)...आरह लोकला माने ना दिसा पाओक।
(?)...arhл lok-la mane na disa pa-ok
(?)...other man-PL PCL NEG knowledge receive-SUBJ3
'...so that the other men wouldn't find out.'
b. (?)...नि रहा जाबे...
(?)...ni r^h-a ja-b-I...
(?)...NEG remain-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-FUT-3...
'One can not stay...'

The negative particle in (585a) can not be fronted, as (585b) demonstrates. This is due to clausal negation: in (585a) the negative negates the main clause, while in (585b) it would (ungrammatically) negate the embedded clause.
(585) a. ...मुइ देख्बा नि जाम। (cf. DLR.06.011)
...mui dek ${ }^{\text {h}}$-ba ni ja-m
...1sg[pro] see-INF NEG go-FUT1sg
'...I am not going to see.'
b. *मुइ नि देख्बा जाम।
*...mui ni $\operatorname{dek}^{\text {h}}$-ba ja-m
*...1sg[pro] NEG see-INF go-FUT1sg

Almost all cases of negation are clearly clausal, and I was unable to decide conclusively whether constituent negation occurs. Negative derivational prefixes are used in IndoAryan loan words, but cognate derivational devices were not found. The negative particle found in conjunction with various adverbs such as कुछु नि $\mathrm{kuc}^{h} u$ ni 'nothing' (586) may seem to point to a constituent negation.

```
(586) मोर त कामअ कुबु नि, लेकिन... (cf. GR.02.015)
    mo-r ts kam=\Lambda kuc'u ni lekin...
    1sg[obl]-GEN PCL work=CNJ some NEG but...
    'I have absolutely no work, but...'
```

However, in cases such as (586), the negative sense logically affects the matrix clause as a whole (due to the fact that (586) is a copula clause with a deleted copula predicate). Compare this with the two juxtaposed clauses in (587) (the copula has been added in
brackets for clarity). Though the scope of the negative particle would initially seem to be the noun, it in fact negates the whole copula clause.
(587) इड घर नि (हए), उड घर (हए)।
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad g^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{ni} \quad(\mathrm{h} \Lambda-\mathrm{e}), \quad \mathrm{u}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r} \quad(\mathrm{h} \Lambda-\mathrm{e})$
DEM[prox]-NCLS house NEG (be-PRES3), DEM[rem]-NCLS house (be-PRES3)
'(It is) not this house, (it is) that house.'

Negation can be emphasised by moving the negative particle to a post-verbal position. Higher intonation is found clause-finally in such constructions (marked with the diacritic ' in the following examples).
(588) a. मुइ देख्बा जाम 'नि।
...mui $\operatorname{dek}^{\text {h}}$-ba ja-m 'ni
... 1 sg[pro] see-INF go-FUT1sg 'NEG
'...I am not going to see (at any cost).'
b. ...रहा जाबे 'नि... (cf. DLR.04.030)
...rıh-a ja-b-i 'ni...
...remain-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-FUT-3 'NEG...
'One (really) can not stay...'
The negative particle in post-verbal position should be distinguished from a variety of related particles. Each function/particle shown in Table 57 is furnished with an example which is to be found in this section on Rājbanshi negation.

Table 57. Negative particle functions

|  | preverbal position | postverbal position |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| नि $n i$ | negation (neutral; cf. example 585a) | negation (emphatic; cf. example 588a-b) |
|  |  | politeness particle 'PCL' [high-rising intonation] <br> (cf. example 589a) |
|  | negation (neutral; cf. example 583a) | negation (neutral order in subordinate clause) <br> (cf. example 589b) |
|  |  | politeness particle 'PCL' [low-falling intonation] <br> (cf. example 589c) |
|  |  | disjunctive conjunction 'or' (cf. example 589d) |
|  |  | tag particle 'PCL[TAG]' (cf. example 589e) |

(589) a. जा नि। (DLR.06.006)
ja- $\varnothing$ ni
go-IMP2sg PCL
('Please) go.' (or, 'Go on now!')
b. दहिखान ठिक से लेइ जा जेसे परोक ना।
d $\Lambda h i-k^{\text {h }}$ an $t^{\text {th }}$ ik se le-i ja- $\varnothing$ jese p $\Delta$ r-ok na
curd-NCLS exact ADVL take-CONJ.PTCL go-IMP2sg so_that fall-SUBJ3 NEG
'Take the curd carefully so that it doesn't (in any circumstance) fall.'
c. जा ना देख्बा। (DLR.06.015)
ja- $\varnothing$ na $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}$-ba
go-IMP2sg PCL see-INF
'Go on and see!'
d. माअ बाप छुकु ना नि छुकु? (MR.05.309)
mas bap $c^{h}-u-k u \quad$ na ni $c^{h}-u-k u$
mother father be-PRES-SA2sg or NEG be-PRES-SA2sg
'Do you have parents, or do you not?'
e. एकझन बेरामि हले, हाल जुता त नि जाबे ना? (GR.02.020)
ek-j ${ }^{\text {h }} \Lambda$ n berami h $\Lambda$-le jal jut-a $\mathrm{t} \Lambda \quad \mathrm{ni}$
one-PCLS ill be-COND.PTCL plough plough-PST.PTCL PCL NEG
ja-b-i na
PASS.AUX-FUT-3 PCL[TAG]
'If one becomes ill, ploughing will not be possible, isn't that correct?'

Also note that the negative particle occurs, in most cases, in post-verbal position when the matrix verb is marked with either the emphatic clitic (590a-b) or the conjunctive clitic (590c).
(590) a. आरे! कडिड त छेइए नि! (cf. DLR.05.034)
are k $\wedge$ di-d $\Lambda$ t $\Lambda \quad c^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{ie} \quad \mathrm{ni}$
INJ shell-NCLS PCL be-PRES3 = EMPH NEG
'Oh, the shell is just not there!'
b. घडा छे, ते हाल बहबेइ नि। (cf. GR.02.034)
$\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{da} \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-e te hal b $\quad$ h $\Lambda$-b-I=i ni
horse be-PRES3 PCL plough plough-FUT-3 = EMPH NEG
'I have a horse, but it can not plough at all.'
c. ...आर खाराब काथा बल्बाअ नि... (cf. DLR.05.065)
...ar $k^{\mathrm{h}}$ arab $\mathrm{kat}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ b $\mathrm{s} l-\mathrm{ba}=\Lambda \quad$ ni...
...and bad thing speak-INF $=$ CNJ NEG...
'...and that (one) shouldn't speak bad things either.'

### 7.4.1.3 The perfect, past continuous/remote past and quasi-aspectual auxiliary in the negative

The negative of the perfect and past continuous/remote past take past habitual marking.
(591) a. तने कहप्कि, आइ, मोर माने कोए दुक नि परे। (MR.05.343)
tıne k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda-p-k i$ ai mo-r mane koe duk ni pır-e PCL say-FUT-SA3 mother $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ PCL some trouble NEG occur-PST.HAB3 'Then (he) said to (her), 'Mother, I don't have any trouble.'
b. बुऱाड मरेकि तरेकि नि! (MR.04.083)
bur ${ }^{\text {ha-ḍ } \Lambda ~ m ~} \quad$ tır-eki ni
old_man-NCLS die-(PST.HAB3)SA3 [echo]die-(PST.HAB)SA3 NEG
'(Her) old man hasn't died at all!'

This usually also applies to the quasi-aspectual auxiliaries, though the present can also be used in this context. Example (592a) is stated in the negative, with either the past habitual, or (due to tense neutralisation in the narrative context) the present (592b).
(592) a. बाघड सोरटक नाछ्राए लेच्कि। (cf. MR.05.031)
bag $^{\text {h }}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda \quad$ sor-ṭ $\Lambda-k \quad$ nac $^{\text {h }}$ ra-e $\quad$ 1-ec-ki
tiger-NCLS pig-NCLS-DAT claw-ABS AUX-PRES-SA3
'The tiger clawed the boar.'
b. बाघड सोरटक नि नाछ्राकि (or, नाछ्राच्कि)।

tiger-NCLS pig-NCLS-DAT NEG claw-SA3 (or, claw-PRES-SA3)
'The tiger didn't claw the boar.'

Payne (1999 [1997]:289) reports that in Tennet (Nilo-Saharan, Eastern Sudanic) the verb changes to the subjunctive mood whenever the negative occurs in conjunction with the perfective aspect. What happens in Rājbanshi is somewhat similar, except that it is a past habitual marking that is assumed by the perfect aspect. Whether this is related to the negative being linked with the irrealis is unclear.

Past habitual and subjunctive marking in Rājbanshi are actually identical, apart from the 3 p suffixes. In the past habitual the 3 p is marked with -ए -e 'PST.HAB3', and the subjunctive is marked with either -ए -e 'SUBJ3' or -ओक -ok 'SUBJ3'. In the abovementioned examples, the 3 p marker -ए -e is not interchangeable with the subjunctive marker -ओक -ok 'SUBJ3'. Based on this distinction it is evident that the negative of the perfect or past continuous/remote past takes on past habitual, not subjunctive, inflection.

### 7.4.2 Subordination

In this section I will consider subordination, as used in subject and object complement clauses, noun and verb modifying clauses and conditional clauses.

### 7.4.2.1 Complement clauses

The following types of complementation were found in Rājbanshi: complements using the subordinators कि ki and ते te, clauses using complementisers कहे(ने) kıhe(ne) and करे kıre, infinitival complements and participial complements. These are to be found in the appropriate subject and direct object slots shown in Table 58.

Table 58. Subordinators, complementisers and complements

|  | SU | Complement in copula clause | DO | Direct discourse marker |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clause with subordinator |  |  |  |  |
| कि ki | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| ते te | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Clause with complementiser |  |  |  |  |
| कहे(ने) kıhe(ne) | - |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| करे kare | - | - | $\checkmark$ | ? |
| बोले boli | - | - | - | $\checkmark$ |
| Clause with infinitival complement |  |  |  |  |
| -बार -ba-r '-INF-GEN' | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | - |
| -बा -ba '-INF' | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| Clause with participial complement |  |  |  |  |
| -बा -ba 'INF' | - | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| -ते -te 'CONT.PTCL' | - | (loan construction) | $\checkmark$ | - |

### 7.4.2.1.1 Clauses with subordinators कि ki and ते te

Clauses with the subordinator कि ki function as object complements (593). The subordinator precedes the complement clause.
(593) दुनियार लोकला देख्ले कि (याहाँ) अलवत बेर्छानि! (cf. DLR.06.102)
dunia-r lok-la dek ${ }^{\text {h}}$-l-I ki (yaha) klwot berc $^{\text {h }}$ ani world-GEN man-PL see-PST-3 SUBORD (3sg[pro/prox]) excellent woman 'The men of the world thought (lit. saw) that (she was) an excellent woman.'

As mentioned in section 6.2.4, in many cases the subordinator कि ki is interchangeable with the particle ते te 'PCL'.
(594) बुराड सुनेचे ते (or, कि) जुवाँ बेटिला झाग्रा लागाए दिछे। (cf. DLR.04.029)
bur $^{\text {ha }}$-ḍ $\Lambda$ sun-ec-e te/ki juã beṭi-la jhag ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ara laga-e d-ic ${ }^{\text {h}}-e$ old_man-NCLS hear-PRES-3 SUBORD son_in_law daughter-PL fight begin-ABS AUX-PERF-3 'The old man heard that the son-in-law and daughter had begun to fight.'

### 7.4.2.1.2 Clauses with complementisers कहे(ने) kahe(ne) and करे kare

The conjunctive participle of the verb 'to say' कहे(ने) kлh-e(ne) 'say-CONJ.PTCL' (also, कहए kıhs-e) has been analysed as a complementiser 'CMPL' in (595a-c). ${ }^{85}$ In addition, कहे(ने) kıh-e(ne) 'say-CONJ.PTCL' often marks direct discourse (595d). In either case it is placed at the end of the embedded clause or quotation.
(595) a. त गाअँर लोकला नि पत्याले ते बेर्छ्धानिड काट्वे कहेने। (DLR.06.093)
t $\Lambda$ gã̃-r lok-la ni pstya-l-I
PCL village-GEN man-PL NEG believe-PST-3
te berchani-ḍ ${ }^{\text {h }}$ kaṭ-b-I kıhene
SUBORD woman-NCLS cut-FUT-3 CMPL
'The men of the village could not believe that the woman will (or, 'could') kill her husband.'
b. बुरिड कुछु कठिनत परिचे कहे थाहा पाले। (cf. MR.04.197)
 old_woman-NCLS some difficult-LOC fall-PERF-3 CMPL knowledge(Nepali) fall-PST-3 'He found out that the old woman had fallen into some trouble.'
c. आमाक बाराबरे हइ गेलन कहे कहबा हबे। (MR.05.049)

3pl[pro]-DAT equal = EMPH be-ABS AUX-PST-2pl CMPL say-INF should-FUT-3
'I should say to them that they were even.'
d. "याहाँर बदला लिए छरिम्कन," कहेने दने बुऱा बुरि घर चुलि गेल। (cf. MR.04.047)
yaha-r bıdla 1-ie ch ${ }^{\text {h }} \Lambda$ r-im-k $\Lambda-n \quad$ k h-ene
3sg[pro/prox]-GEN revenge take-CONJ.PTCL let_go-FUT-SA2pl-1sg say-CONJ.PTCL $\mathrm{d} \Lambda \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{h}}$ e bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$ g ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ r cul-i ge-1 both old_man old_woman house AUX-ABS go-PST3
' "I will leave you alone only after having had (my) revenge for this!" Having said this both the old man and old woman went home.'

[^70]Distinguishing between the complementiser and the direct discourse marker can be problematic in some cases. For example, it is unclear whether कहेने $k \wedge h$-ene is functioning in (596) as a subordinator (gloss i), or a direct discourse marker (gloss ii).
(596) "एकदिन देख्बा हबे," कहेने रान्डियानिड मने मने सच्ले। (cf. DLR.05.022)

one-day see-INF must-FUT-3 CMPL/say-CONJ.PTCL widow-NCLS mind=EMPH
$\mathrm{m} \wedge \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{s} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { c }} \mathrm{-l}-\mathrm{I}$
mind $=$ EMPH think-PST- 3
Gloss i. 'The widow thought secretly, that "One day I will have to see".' (subordinating function)
Gloss ii. 'Having said "One day I will have to see," she thought about (it) to herself.' (direct discourse marker)

Also the past tense of the verb 'say; speak' बोले bo(l)-1-I 'say-PST-3' is used to mark direct quotations. In research to date it has not been found to function as a subordinator as such. ${ }^{86}$ It is mainly found in a pre-quote position (597a), though it can also fall after the quotation (597b). (Refer also to section 6.1.6 for discussion on the use of बोले bolr as a quotative particle.)
(597) a. त बोले, "अइ ते कि कहबो सुना?" (cf. DLR.04.009)
t $\Lambda$ bo(l)-l-I $\quad$ ii te ki k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda$-b-o suna
PCL say-PST-3 INJ PCL what say-FUT-2sg PCL
'But she said, "Eh, what can you say?" '
b. "ह," बोले। (MR.04.064)
h $\Lambda$ bo(l)-l-I
OK say-PST-3
' "OK," they said.'

As mentioned in section 5.1, the adverbialiser करे k^re appears to function as a clausal complement, for example in मोक बाउ करे mo-k bau kıre '1sg[obl]-DAT father CMPL' in (598).
(598) मोक बाउ करे कोहो त एक टाका दिम्कुन।
mo-k bau kare koho- $\varnothing$
1sg[obl]-DAT father CMPL say-IMP2sg
t $\Lambda \quad$ ek ṭaka di-m-ku-n
PCL(then) one coin give-FUT-SA2sg-1sg
'Call me father, (and) I will give you one coin.'

[^71]
### 7.4.2.1.3 Infinitival complements

The subject of a copula clause may be substituted by a clause, the predicate of which is marked with the infinitive -बा -ba 'INF' and the genitive -र -r 'GEN'. ${ }^{87}$
(599) a.

इखान धुपेर (समय) इड गाछेर तलत जिराबार खबे रुस-रुसिया।

DEM[prox]-NCLS sun-GEN (time) [DEM[prox]-NCLS tree-GEN below
jira-ba-r] $\quad k^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda b=e \quad$ rus rus-ia
rest-INF-GEN] $]_{[\text {SUBJECT] }}$ very $=$ EMPH [rus rus]-ADJR
'To rest under this tree in this sun is very refreshingly cool.'
b. खान पिनेर व्यवस्था कर्बार सुबिस्ता हबे। (cf. MR.05.316)
[k $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ an $\Lambda$ pin-er bew $\Lambda$ st $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ k $\Lambda r$-ba-r] subista h $\Lambda$-b-r
[food drink-GEN arrangement(loan) do-INF-GEN] $]_{\text {[SUBJECT] }}$ easy(loan) be-FUT-3
'The arrangement for eating and drinking will be easy.'
c. हइचोक खाबार आच्छाए। (cf. GR.02.013 for a similar structure)
h $\Lambda$-ic-ok $\quad\left[k^{\mathrm{h}}\right.$ a-ba-r] $] \quad$ acc $^{\mathrm{h}}$ ae
be-PERF-SA2sg $[\text { eat-INF-GEN }]_{[S U B J E C T]}$ well
'You eat well.' (Lit. '(Your) eating is fine.')

[^72]The non-finite complement marked with the infinitive and genitive -बार -ba-r '-INF-GEN' can also function as the complement of a copula clause (cf. section 3.7.7.5).
(600) मुइ आस्बार छिनु।
mui as-ba-r ch ${ }^{\text {hi-n-u }}$
1sg[pro] come-INF-GEN be-PST-1sg
'I was about to come.' (prospective)

In addition to modal auxiliaries (601a; cf. section 3.7.9.1), certain verbs can take infinitival object complements (601b). These are marked with the infinitive -बा -ba 'INF', and are therefore identical in form to the adverbial clauses of purpose (601c) which will be discussed in section 7.4.2.3.2 (cf. also Masica 1991:407). Examples (601b) and (601c) are distinct, in that the former operates as an argument of the predicate, whereas the latter is a adverbial modifier of it (cf. Payne 1999 [1997]:316-317).
(601) a. मोक मनडत कान्बा मेनाचे। (cf. GR.02.069)
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { mo-k man-d } \Lambda-\mathrm{t} & \text { kan-ba } & \text { mena-c-e } \\ 1 \operatorname{sg}[\text { obl }]-D A T ~ m i n d-N C L S-L O C ~ & \text { cry-INF } & \text { want_to-PRES-3 } \\ \text { 'I want to cry in my mind.' } & \end{array}$
b. उहाँर बेटिड आच्छा से खाबा धरिल। (cf. DLR.04.003)
uhã-r beṭi-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ acc $^{h} a \operatorname{se} \quad k^{h} a-b a \quad d^{h} \Lambda r-i l$
3sg[pro]-GEN daughter-NCLS good ADVL eat-INF begin-PST3
'His daughter began to eat well.'
c. मालिकट घडाडके आर गोरुडक आन्बा गिस्ले। (cf. GR.02.078)
malik-ḍ $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ ḍa-ḍ $\Lambda-\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{e} \quad$ ar goru-ḍ $\Lambda-\mathrm{k}$ an-ba g-isl-I
master-NCLS horse-NCLS-DAT = EMPH and bullock-NCLS-DAT bring-INF go-REM.PST-3
'The master went to bring the horse and the bullock.'

### 7.4.2.1.4 Participial complements

Noonan (1987 [1985]:62) maintains that the "...only place in complement systems where participles are regularly found is in complements to immediate perception predicates." This applies in Rājbanshi as the following examples indicate. Participial complements of this kind function in the object slot. As in Maithili (cf. Yadav 1997 [1996]:351), the object complement can use either the -बा -ba 'INF' infinitive (602a-b) or the continuous participle -ते -te 'CONT.PTCL' (602c). Note that the object complement in (602b) is split due to the fronting of the subject of the complement clause.
(602) a. बुऱिडक कान्बा सुनिएने गटे खेटियाला सुना... (cf. MR.04.056)
[bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda-\mathrm{k} \quad$ kan-ba] sun-iene g tee keṭia-la
[old_woman-NCLS-DAT cry-INF] $]_{[O B J E C T . C O M P L]}$ hear-CONJ.PTCL all jackal-PL
suna...
PCL
'Hearing the old woman crying, all of the jackals...'
b. उहाँक मुइ आस्बा देख्नु।
[uhã-k] mui [as-ba] $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{u}$
$[3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{DAT}]_{\text {[OBJECT.COMPL.A] }} 1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]\left[\right.$ come-INF] ${ }_{\text {[OBJECT.COMPL.B] }} \mathrm{see}$-PST-1sg 'I saw him coming.'
c. उहाँक मुइ आस्ते देख्नु।

| uhã-k | mui | as-te | dek $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{u}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $3 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $]-D A T ~$ | 1 sg[pro] | come-CONT.PTCL | see-PST-1sg |

'I saw him coming.'

### 7.4.2.2 Noun modifying clauses

There are basically two strategies used in clausal noun modification: the participial clause and the relative clause. These will be considered in their respective sections below.

### 7.4.2.2.1 Participial clauses

The participial clause uses the past participle -आ(ल) -a(l) 'PST.PTCL' for past reference (603a-b; note the relation to the statal passive) and the infinitive-genitive construction -बार -ba-r 'INF-GEN' for present reference (603c-e). Note that the nominal phrase head of the infinitive-genitive construction is often optional.
(603) a. इड काटाल भातारट (cf. DLR.06.076)
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ kate-al $b^{\text {h }}$ atar-ṭ
DEM[prox]-NCLS cut-PST.PTCL husband-NCLS
'this cut-up husband'
b. तोर कादुवालि करा पानिखान (cf. GR.01.012)
to-r kaduali kır-a pani-k ${ }^{\text {han }}$
2sg[obl]-GEN muddy do-PST.PTCL water-NCLS
'the water made muddy by you'
c. छागल चर्बार (काम / लोक) (cf. MR.05.003)
$c^{h^{h}}{ }_{\text {ag }} \quad$ c $\Lambda$-ba-r (kam / lok)
female_goat graze-INF-GEN (work / person)
'goat-grazing (work / person)'
d. सहयोग कर्बार (लोक) (cf. DLR.03.006)
sıh^yog kır-ba-r (lok)
assistance do-INF-GEN (man)
'(a person to) help out'
e. मोर जलम हबार (घटना) (cf. GR.01.021)
mo-r j $\wedge$ l $\Lambda m$ h $\Lambda$-ba-r (gh $\Lambda$ ṭa)
1sg[obl]-GEN birth be-INF-GEN (event)
'(the event of) my birth'

### 7.4.2.2.2 Relative clauses

### 7.4.2.2.2.1 Basic parameters

The relative pronoun functions as the relativiser, and it agrees with the head noun in number, noun class and case. The relativiser tends to occur in relative clause-initial position.

In prenominal relative clauses it is possible to relativise on the subject (604a), the direct object (604b), the indirect object (604c), the modifying possessor (604d) and the postpositional phrase (604e).
(604) a. Subject

जेइड चेडरा छागल चराइस्ले, सेइड / उड चेडरा...
jei-ḍ $\wedge$ cenra cara-isl-I sei-ḍ ${ }^{\text {hag }}$
who-NCLS young_man female_goat graze-REM.PST-3 CORR[obl]-NCLS
(or,_u-ḍл) ceŋra...
(or,_DEM[rem]-NCLS) young_man...
'The young man [who was grazing the goats], that young man...'
b. Direct object

जेइला छागल चेङराड चराइस्ले, सेइला / उला चेङरा...
jei-la ceyra-ḍ ${ }^{\text {hag }}$ мl cara-isl-I sei-la
which-PL female_goat young_man-NCLS graze-REM.PST-3 CORR[obl]-PL
(or,_u-la) $c^{\text {h }} a g_{\Lambda} 1 . .$.
(or,_DEM[rem]-PL) female_goat...
'The goats, [which the young man was grazing], those goats...'
c. Indirect object

जेइड बुऱिक चेङराड पुछारि करिसेल्कि, उहाँ / उड बुरि...
jei-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ bur ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}$-k cenra-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ puc ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ari k $\wedge$-isel-ki unã
which-NCLS old_woman-DAT young_man-NCLS question do-REM.PST-SA3 3sg[pro]
(or,_u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ bur ${ }^{\text {hi }}$ i)...
(or,_DEM[rem]-NCLS old_woman)...
'That old woman [whom the young man asked], she (or, that old woman)...'
d. Possessor

जेइड बुऱिर बेटाड राजार घरत काम कर्कि, उहाँ / उड बुरि...
jei-ḍ $\quad$ bur ${ }^{\text {hi}} \mathrm{i}$ r beṭa-ḍ $\Lambda$ raja-r $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge \mathrm{r}-\Lambda \mathrm{t}$ kam k $\wedge$ r-ki
which-NCLS old_woman-GEN son-NCLS king-GEN house-LOC work do-SA3
uhã (or,_u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ bur ${ }^{\text {hi }}$ )...
3sg[pro] (or,_DEM[rem]-NCLS old_woman)...
'The old woman [whose son used to work in the king's house], she (or, that old
woman)...'
e. Postpositional phrase

जेइखाम जङ्लेर बिति जेङराड चुलि गिछे, उखान जङलत...
jei-k ${ }^{\text {han }}$ j $\wedge \eta \wedge$ l-er biti culdra-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ g-ich ${ }^{\text {h }}-\mathrm{e}$
which-NCLS jungle-GEN towards young_man-NCLS AUX-ABS go-PERF-3
$u-k^{h}$ an j $\wedge$ улl- $\Lambda t .$.
DEM[rem]-NCLS jungle-LOC...
'The jungle [which the young man had gone to], in that jungle...'

Likewise, in post-nominal relative clauses it is possible to relativise on the subject (605a), the direct object (605b), the indirect object (605c), and the modifying possessor (605d). Informants were, however, divided over whether one can relativise on the postpositional phrase (605e). ${ }^{88}$
(605) a. Subject

चेङराड जेइड छागल चराइस्ले, उहाँ / सेइड चेङ.रा...
cenra-ḍ $\Lambda$ jei-ḍ $\Lambda \quad c^{h}$ ag $\Lambda l$ cara-isl-I uhã
young_man-NCLS who-NCLS female_goat graze-REM.PST-3 3sg[pro]
(or,_sei-ḍ $\Lambda$ ceŋra)...
(or,_CORR[obl]-NCLS young_man)...
'The young man [who was grazing the goats], he (or, that young man)...'

[^73]b. Direct object

अइला छागल जेइलाक चेङराड चराइस्ले, सेइला / उला छागल...
ıi-la jei-la-k cenra-ḍ $\mathrm{c}^{\text {hag }}$. $\quad$ cara-isl-I
DEM[rem/emph]-PL female_goat which-PL-DAT young_man-NCLS graze-REM.PST-3
sei-la (or,_u-la) chagnl...
CORR[obl]-PL (or,_DEM[rem]-PL) female_goat...
'The goats, [which the young man was grazing], those goats...'
c. Indirect object

उड रानी जाहाँक चेङ.राड कहप्कि "मोक दुइडा लिहानि झाका दे त" उहाँ...
u-ḍ $\Lambda$ rani jahã-k cepra-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ k $\wedge h \Lambda-p-k i \quad m o-k$
DEM[rem]-NCLS queen who-DAT young_man-NCLS say-FUT-SA3 1sg[obl]-DAT
dui-ḍa lihani jhaka de- $\varnothing$ ts uhã...
two-NCLS blanket cover give-IMP2sg PCL 3sg[pro]...
'That queen [whom the young man said "Cover me with two blankets" to], she...'
d. Possessor

अइड बुरि जाहाँर बेटाड ते राजार घरत काम करेकि, उहाँ / उड बुरि...
$\Lambda i-d ̣ \Lambda \quad$ bur ${ }^{h} \mathrm{i}$ jahã-r beṭa-ḍ $\Lambda$ te raja-r $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\Lambda \mathrm{t}$
DEM[rem/emph]-NCLS old_woman who-GEN son-NCLS PCL king-GEN house-LOC
kam k $\wedge$ r-eki uhã (or,_u-ḍ $\Lambda$ bur ${ }^{\text {hi }}$ )...
work do-SA3 3sg[pro] (or,_DEM[rem]-NCLS old_woman)...
'That old woman [whose son used to work in the king's house], she (or, that old woman)...'
e. (?) Postpositional phrase
(?) अइखान जङलेर ति जेइ बिति चेङराड चुलि गिछे, उखान जङलत...
$\Lambda i-k^{\mathrm{h}}$ an j $\wedge$ улl-er ti jei-biti ceyra-ḍ $\Lambda$
DEM[rem/emph]-NCLS jungle-GEN towards what-towards young_man-NCLS

AUX-ABS go-PERF-3 DEM[rem]-NCLS jungle-LOC...
(?) 'That jungle [which the young man has gone to], in that jungle...'

Initial observations indicate that relative clauses in Rājbanshi are mostly prenominal. Masica (1991:411) maintains that in Indo-Aryan languages the prenominal and postnominal can often be characterised as restrictive and non-restrictive respectively:
> "The classic distinction between restrictive and non-restrictive clauses plays a role in these: a non-restrictive clause comes after the Head, or to the right of the main clause - and requires no correlative."

The Rājbanshi data shows a similar tendency in some cases, though further research is required. The prenominal relative clauses above (and the "internally headed" clauses; cf.
below) are all restrictive, that is, they all restrict the reference of the head. Conversely, postnominal clauses such as (606a) can be non-restrictive, in that the postnominal clause is an "added piece of information about an already identified entity" (Comrie 1989 [1981]:139). The postnominal relative clause in (606a) occurs between two pauses, and has rising intonation. Intonation, however, does not seem to indicate the distinction between restrictive and non-restrictive relative clauses, since both the prenominal (606a) and the postnominal (606b) clauses tend to be intonationally set off from the main clause.
(606) a. इला, जेइला लाम लाम देखा जाछे, इला(क)... (cf. MR.02.021)

'The lengthy things that can be seen (here), they...'
b. जाहें बड हचे छटडक खाए जाछे। (cf. GR.01.029)
jahe b $\wedge r \wedge$ h $\Lambda$-c-e [rising_intonation + pause]
who large be-PRES-3 [rising_intonation + pause]
$c^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda t ̣ \Lambda-\underset{d}{ } \Lambda-\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{ja}-\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
short-NCLS-DAT eat-ABS AUX-PRES-3
'Whoever is (socially) big, (he) eats up the (socially) small (person).'

### 7.4.2.2.2.2 Optional elements and displacement

The correlative pronoun (607a), or demonstrative-classifier + head noun (607b) precedes the main clause and is often optional.
(607) a. जाहाँर ताने मुइ नाधनु भात (उहाँइ) गेल बन बास।
jaha-r tane mui nad ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{u} \quad \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}$ at (uhã=i) ge-1 b $\quad$ bn bas
who-GEN for 1 sg[pro] cook-PST-1sg rice ( $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}=\mathrm{EMPH}$ ) go-PST3 forest living '[Whom I cooked rice for], $\mathrm{s} /$ he has gone to live in the forest.'
Meaning of idiom: 'S/he is not at home.' or 'S/he went somewhere.'
b. जाहाँक ते तुइ पेइसाला दिलो (उड लोक) माम्लि चोर!
jaha-k te tui peisa-la di-loo (u-ḑ lok) mamli cor who-DAT PCL $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ money-PL give-PST-2sg DEM[rem]-NCLS man a_little thief '[Whom you gave money to], (that person) is a real thief!'

Deletion of the correlative pronoun and the head noun main clause in (608a) results in what appears to be an internally headed relative clause (608b).
(608) a. जेइड लोक आसेचे, उड लोक मोर भाइ हए।
jei-ḍ $\Lambda$ lok as-ec-e
REL[obl/ip]-NCLS man come-PRES-3
u-ḍ $\quad$ lok mo-r $\quad b^{h}$ ai $h \Lambda-e$
DEM[rem]-NCLS man 1sg[obl]-GEN y.brother be-PRES3
'[The man who is coming], that man is my younger brother.'
b. जेइड लोक आसेचे मोर भाइ हए।
jei-ḍ $\Lambda$ lok as-ec-e mo-r $b^{\text {hai }}$ h $\Lambda$-e
REL[obl/ip]-NCLS man come-PRES-3 1sg[obl]-GEN y.brother be-PRES3
'[The man who is coming], (that man) is my younger brother.'

The subject of the relativised clause occurs by default in preverbal position (609a). It can, however, be displaced to pre-relative clause position (609b), or post-relative clause position (609c). The function of this displacement is unclear.
(609) a. जेइला पेइसा ते मुइ पठाइसिन्कुन, (तुइ उला पेइसा) पालो?
jei-la peisa te mui p $n t^{\text {ha }} \mathrm{a}$-isin-ku-n
what-PL money PCL $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] send-REM.PST-SA2sg-1sg
(tui u-la peisa) pa-l-o
(2sg[pro] DEM[rem]-PL money) get-PST-2sg
'[The money which I sent (for you)], did (you) get (that money)?'
b. मुइ ते जेइला पेइसा पठाइसिन्कुन, (तुइ उला पेइसा) पालो?
mui te jei-la peisa p $\Delta t^{\text {h}} \mathrm{a}$-isin-ku-n
1sg[pro] PCL what-PL money send-REM.PST-SA2sg-1sg
(tui u-la peisa) pa-l-o
(2sg[pro] DEM[rem]-PL money) get-PST-2sg
'[The money which I sent (for you)], did (you) get (that money)?'
c. जेइला पेइसा ते पठाइसिन्कुन मुइ, (तुइ उला पेइसा) पालो?
jei-la peisa te p $\wedge t^{\text {ha}} \mathrm{a}$-isin-ku-n mui
what-PL money PCL send-REM.PST-SA2sg-1sg 1sg[pro]
(tui u-la peisa) pa-l-o
(2sg[pro] DEM[rem]-PL money) get-PST-2sg
'[The money which I sent (for you)], did (you) get (that money)?'

For some reason in (610a) the relative clause falls immediately prior to the head noun, thus displacing the possessive pronominal to the left. The independent clause is presented in (610b).
(610) a. आमार जेइला गबर रहप्कि उला गबर चेङराड साप्टिए ताप्टिए राखिप्कि। (simplified from MR.05.130)
$\mathrm{am}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{r}$ jei-la gлbлr rıhл-p-ki
3pl[pro]-GEN which-PL dung be-FUT-SA3

that-PL dung young_man-NCLS sweep-ABS [echo]sweep-CONJ.PTCL put-FUT-SA3
'[Their dung that was (there)], that dung the young man swept up .'
b. चेङराड आमार गबर साप्टिए ताप्टिए राखिप्कि।
 young_man-NCLS 3pl[pro]-GEN dung sweep-ABS [echo]sweep-CONJ.PTCL rak ${ }^{\text {h }}$-ip-ki
put-FUT-SA3
'[The young man $]_{\mathrm{SU}}$ swept up [their dung $]_{\mathrm{DO}} .{ }^{\text {. }}$

### 7.4.2.2.2.3 Further considerations

Having stated these parameters for relativisation, a cautionary note on methodology seems necessary. Though eliciting examples out of context easily generated a range of relative constructions, relative clauses occurred quite infrequently in the oral text material gathered. ${ }^{89}$ Additionally, the naturalness of some of the elicited relative clauses was questioned. This apparently was not because the clauses could not be constructed as shown above, but because such constructions would not be used in natural speech.

Three critical informants were of the opinion that though relative constructions feature increasingly often in written Rājbanshi texts, it is at least as natural to apply other strategies to indicate restricted participant reference.

More natural than the pre-nominal relative clause in (604a) would be to use a participial clause (cf. section 7.4.2.2.1) such as (611).
(611) छागल चरा चेङराड
$c^{\text {hagal }} \quad \mathrm{c} \Lambda r-\mathrm{a} \quad$ cenra-d $\Lambda$
female_goat graze-PST.PTCL young_man-NCLS
'the young man (who) grazed (the) goat(s)'

Additionally, consider the three juxtaposed clauses in (612). It is unlikely that the interjection अइ $\Delta i$ ' INJ ', or the particle ते te 'PCL' are functioning as relative pronouns. On the contrary, it would seem to be the simple clause "She gave us a beating" which limits the reference of the noun "old woman".

[^74](612) अइड बुरि, अइ हामाक ते मार खिलाइस्ले, अइ याहाँए। याहाँक आझि छर्बा नि लागे। (MR.04.092-093; cf. also MR.04.171-172 and MR.02.022)


DEM[pro/prox]-DAT today spare-INF NEG should-PRES3
'[That old woman], [(she) gave us a beating], [that one exactly]. (We) shouldn't spare her today.'

This example bears a close resemblance to a "paratactic" construction posited by Givón (1990). Givón maintains that the italicised section in (613) is a "pre-posed unembedded" proposition. This functions in the same way as a (restrictive) relative clause in that it identifies the referent. Compare (612) with the following example:
(613) "...well, that, uh, you met that guy yesterday, y'know? Well, I tell you, he sure is a crook..." (Givón 1990:654)

Lambrecht (1994:93-95,110; following Chafe) refers to similar constructions as "referent activation": bringing an "inactive" referent to "active" status can have formal correlates in prosody (such as phonological attentuation) and morphology (such as pronominal, inflection, or zero coding).

To conclude, further research based on a much larger corpus of oral texts is necessary to determine firstly, the status of the relative clauses in (spoken) Rājbanshi, and secondly, why relative clauses occur so infrequently. To what degree strategies such as those discussed in this section are used in Rājbanshi in place of the relative clause should also be studied in more depth.

### 7.4.2.3 Verb modifying clauses

In this section I will outline temporal, locational, purpose, reason, concessive and comparative clauses. I will conclude with a look at the conjunctive participle construction. Conditional clauses will be considered in section 7.4.2.4.

The order of the embedded and matrix clauses in relation to each other is presented in the following table.

Table 59. Order of main clauses and verb-modifying clauses

|  | Conjunction, subordinator, complementiser | Order |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Temporal | जेइखुना jei-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ una 'which-time' | embedded-main |
|  | जेहेने jehene 'when' | embedded-main |
| Locational | जेइठिना jei-t ${ }^{\text {t }}$ ina 'REL[obl/ip]-place' | embedded-main |
|  | जेइडर jeid ${ }^{\text {h }}$ r 'over_where' | embedded-main |
| Purpose | कहेने kıhene 'CMPL' | embedded-main |
|  | जेसे jese 'so that; in order that' | embedded-main (by default) main-embedded |
|  | कि ki 'that' | main-embedded |
|  | - (infinitival adverbial clause) | - |
|  | ताकि taki 'so that; in order that(loan)' | main-embedded (loan) |
| Reason | कियाँते kiãte 'because' | main-embedded embedded-main |
|  | कारन(त) karsnıt 'because' | embedded-main |
| Concessive | हबार ते hıbar te 'though' + CORR मतुन mstun 'but' | embedded-main |
|  | तिन आसा tin asa 'no matter how much' | embedded-main |
| Comparative | बाजा कि baza ki 'as if' | main-embedded |
| CONJ.PTCL | - (morphological) | embedded-main (in default order) |

### 7.4.2.3.1 Temporal and locational

In temporal clauses, the adverbial clause marked by the pronominal adverbs जेहेने jehene 'when' in (614a), and जेइखुना jei-k $k^{h}$ una 'when' in (614b) precedes the main clause.
(614) a. जेहेने बाघड थिप्रिएने जाच्कि सोरटर पर, उहाँ खल्काला दे सोरटक एकदम नाछ्राए लेच्कि। (MR.05.031)
jehene bag ${ }^{\text {h }}$-ḍ $\Lambda$ thipr-iene ja-c-ki sor-ṭ $\Lambda$-r p $\quad$ ur una
when tiger-NCLS jump-CONJ.PTCL go-PRES-SA3 pig-NCLS-GEN on_top 3sg[pro]
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{lka}$-la de sor-ṭ $\wedge-\mathrm{k} \quad$ ekd $\Lambda m$ nac ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ra-e 1-ec-ki
claw-PL INSTR pig-NCLS-DAT very claw-ABS AUX-PRES-SA3
'When the tiger jumped (lit. went by jumping) on to the boar, it really clawed/scratched it.'
b. जेइखुना मोर घरेर लोकट नि रहबे घरत, अइखुना जाबा हबे। (cf. DLR.06.017)
jei-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ una mo-r $g^{h} \Lambda r-e r$ lok-ṭ $\Lambda$ ni r $\Lambda h \Lambda-b-I \quad g^{h} \Lambda r-\Lambda t$ which-time $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ house-GEN man-NCLS NEG be-FUT-3 house-LOC лi-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ una ja-ba hı-b-I
DEM[rem/emph]-time go-INF must-FUT-3
'When my husband is not at home, that time I will have to go.'

The main clause is optionally marked with a correlative pronoun: ते te 'CORR/then' as in (615a), or अइखुना $\begin{aligned} & \left.i-k^{h} u n a ~ ' D E M[r e m / e m p h]-t i m e '\right) ~ a s ~ i n ~(615 b) . ~\end{aligned}$
(615) a. जेइखुना उड सोरट बाघडर पर सप्टिए लेच्कि, (ते) उहाँर दाहाड से खुने निक्लाए देच्कि। (cf. MR.05.034)
jei-k ${ }^{\text {h }} u n a \quad$ u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ sor-ṭ $\Lambda \quad$ bag $^{h}-\underset{d}{ } \Lambda-r \quad$ p $\Lambda r \quad j^{h} \Lambda p t ̣ i-e$
which-time that-NCLS pig-NCLS tiger-NCLS-GEN on_top PCL jump_upon(Nepali)-ABS
1-ec-ki (te) uhã-r daha-ḍ $\Lambda$ se $k^{\text {h } u n=e ~}$
AUX-PRES-SA3 (CORR/then) $3 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $]-G E N$ body-NCLS ABL blood(Nepali) $=$ EMPH
nikl-a-e d-ec-ki
go_out-CAUS-ABS AUX-PRES-SA3
'When the boar was jumping on to the tiger and biting (the tiger), (then) he made blood come from his (the tiger's) body.'
b. जेइखुना मुइ गिस्नु छागल चर्बा, (अइखुना) एकटा बाघ आर एकटा सोर लाराइ करिस्ले। (cf. MR.05.075)
jei-k ${ }^{\text {huna mui g-isn-u } c^{h} a g \Lambda l \quad c \wedge r-b a ~}$
which-time $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ go-REM.PST-1sg female_goat graze-INF
( $\mathrm{sik}^{\mathrm{h}}$ una) ek-ṭa $\mathrm{bag}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ar ek-ṭa sor larai kır-isl-I
(then) one-NCLS tiger and one-NCLS pig fighting do-REM.PST-3
'When I went to graze the goats, (then) a tiger and a boar were fighting.'

As with temporal clauses, locational clauses always precede the main clause.
(616) a. जेइधर जेइधर बासिड बल्चे, सेइधर सेइधर सुना आर्नाला दउग्बा ध'इचे। (cf. MR.05.334)
$j^{j e i d}{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$ jeid ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$ basi-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ b $\Lambda l-\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{e}$
over_where over_where flute-NCLS play(instrument)-PRES-3
$\operatorname{seid}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r} \quad \operatorname{seid}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r} \quad$ suna arına-la d $\quad$ ug-ba $d^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda(\mathrm{r})$-ic-e over_there over_there PCL type_of_wild_buffalo-PL run-INF begin-PERF-3
'In whatever direction the flute was sounding, in that direction the wild buffaloes were running.'
b. जेइठिना बुऱा बुऱिला कचु बुनिए गिस्ले, हतिन से... (cf. MR.04.025)
jei-t ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ina bur ${ }^{\text {h }}$ a bur ${ }^{\text {hi-la }}$ kscu bun-ie
REL[obl/ip]-place old_man old_woman-PL [kacu]vegetable plant-CONJ.PTCL
g-isl-I hıtin se...
go-REM.PST-3 there ABL
'(From) where the old man and old woman had planted the [kacu]vegetables and left, from there...'

Both the subordinator and the correlative appear by default in clause-initial position, as examples (616a-b) show. The subject of the embedded clause can, however, be fronted to clause-initial position, as indicated in (617).
(617) हामा जेइठिना भी रहि हामा थाहा पाइ जाम। (MR.05.181)
hama jei-t ${ }^{\text {hina }} \quad b^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}$ rnh-i hama $t^{\text {h }}$ aha pa-i
$1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]$ which-place $\mathrm{CNJ}(H i n d i)$ be-SUBJ1pl 1pl[pro] knowledge(Nepali) get-ABS
ja-m- $\Lambda$
AUX-FUT-1pl
'Wherever we are staying we will find out.'

### 7.4.2.3.2 Purpose

In default order, the adverbial clause precedes the main verb. As mentioned in section 7.4.2.1.3, the purpose clauses are adverbial, though in form they are closely related to infinitival complements.
(618) a. पर्भा लेइ गिछे।
p $\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{h}}$-ba le-i g-ich-e
read-INF take-CONJ.PTCL go-PERF-3
'He took (it) away (in order) to read (it).'
b. मालिकट घडाडके आर गोरुडक आन्बा गिस्ले। (cf. GR.02.078)
malik-d $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ daa-d $\Lambda-\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{e} \quad$ ar goru-d $\Lambda-\mathrm{k} \quad$ an-ba $\quad$ g-isl-I master-NCLS horse-NCLS-DAT = EMPH and bullock-NCLS-DAT bring-INF go-REM.PST-3 'The master went to bring the horse and the bullock.'

The fronting of the main verb to a position which precedes the adverbial clause is also possible as (619) indicates.
(619) दऩडा बएलक ले जाबे जुत्बा। (cf. GR.02.082)
$\mathrm{d} \Lambda \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$-ḍa b $\quad$ el- k l-e ja-b-I jut-ba
both-NCLS bullock(Hindi)-DAT take-CONJ.PTCL go-FUT-3 plough-INF
'He is able to take both bullocks (in order) to plough.'

Complex embedded clauses tend to precede the main clause (620a-b). The use of the complementiser कहेने kıhene 'CMPL' in these cases is obligatory, whereas the conjunction जेसे jese 'so that' is optional (620b). The verb of the subordinate clause is in the subjunctive mood.
(620) a. मोक बाघड ना पात्ता लाग्बा पारोक कहेने मुइ दस्रा ति चुलि जाम। (cf. MR.05.113; also MR.05.271) mo-k mane bag ${ }^{\text {h}-d ̣ ~}$ na patta lag-ba par-ok kıhene 1sg[obl]-DAT PCL tiger-NCLS NEG knowledge apply_to-INF can-SUBJ3 CMPL mui d $\lambda$ sra ti cul-i ja-m 1sg[pro] other towards AUX-ABS go-FUT1sg
'So that the tiger is not able to find out, I will go in another direction."
b. (जेसे) तुइ एकटा बड लोक हइस कहेने तोक पऱान्कुन लिखान्कुन।
(jese) tui ek-ṭa b $\wedge$ rı lok $h \Lambda$-is k
so_that 2 sg[pro] one-NCLS great man be-SUBJ2sg CMPL
to-k p ḍ $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{ku}-n \quad$ lik $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a}-n-k u-n$
2sg[obl]-DAT read-CAUS-PST-SA2sg-1sg read-CAUS-PST-SA2sg-1sg
'In order for you to become a great man, I educated you.'

It is also evident that the embedded clause can follow the main clause (621a-b; cf. also MR.05.113 and MR.05.271). The complementiser कहेने kshene 'CMPL' is not permitted to occur in (subordinate) clause-final position in this case (621b).
(621) a. (From a text written by Bishnu Rājbanshi; south-eastern Jhāpā)

एर साथे हामा हामार छुवालाक आरह जातलार लाखा प्रतिस्पर्धार रनत प्रस्तुत कराइ, जेसे हामार नाम 'राजबंसि' हए कहेने बिस्व समुदायड जानोक।
e-r sat ${ }^{\text {h }}$ e hama hama-r $c^{\text {h }}$ ua-la-k arhs jat-la-r $\quad$ lak $^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{a}$
DEM[prox]-GEN with $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}] 1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ child-PL other ethnic_group-PL-GEN like

competition-GEN battle-LOC present do-CAUS-SUBJ1sg
jese hama-r nam rajbıŋsi hı-e kıhene biswa sımudayл-ḍı
so_that $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ name Rājbanshi be-PRES3 CMPL world community
jan-ok
know-SUBJ3
'In addition (to this), let's present our children in the battle of competition like those of the other ethnic groups so that the world community will know that our name is Rājbanshi.'
b. दहिखान ठिक से लेइ जा जेसे परोक ना (*कहेने)।
 curd-NCLS exact ADVL take-CONJ.PTCL go-IMP2sg so_that fall-SUBJ3 NEG (*CMPL) 'Take the curd carefully so that it doesn't fall.'

An exception to the above-mentioned ordering rule, is the subordinate clause marked by the subordinator कि ki (622) which only occurs after the main clause.
(622) हामाक आशिर्बाद द कि हामा उखान काम पाइ।
hama-k asirbad d- $\quad$ ki hama $u-k^{h}$ an kam pa-i
1pl[pro]-DAT blessing give-IMP2pl that $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}$ DEM[rem]-NCLS job get-SUBJ1pl 'Wish (lit. bless us) (so) that we would get that job.'

### 7.4.2.3.3 Reason

The conjunctions कियाँ(ते) kiã(te) 'because' or कियाँकि kiãki 'because' are used for causal conjunction, and are interchangeable. The embedded clause can occur either before the
main clause (623a), or after the main clause (623b). Initial observations would suggest that the main-embedded order is unmarked.
(623) a. आप कियाँते / कियाँकि उड पखि कडि पाले, कडिर उहाँर छिले एकदम गरम। (cf. DLR.05.009)

'And because that bird found a shell, he became very proud (of) the shell.'
b. बाघड मोक चुलि जाबे खाबा अइठिनाए, कियाँ घरला देखि फेकाइचे। (cf. MR.05.110-111)

tiger-NCLS 1 sg[obl]-DAT AUX-ABS go-FUT-3 eat-INF DEM[rem/emph]-place $=$ EMPH
kiã $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge$ r-la $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}$-i $\quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eka-ic-e
why house-PL look-ABS AUX-PERF-3
'The tiger will come right there to eat me, because it has seen my houses (already).'

### 7.4.2.3.4 Concessive

Concessive clauses can be marked with the subordinating conjunction हबार ते habar te 'though' (lit. 'be-INF-GEN PCL'), as in (624). This uses the correlative conjunction मतुन mstun 'but' (or, मातुन matun).
(624) हबार ते हाल बहबार कामखान फाल्तु काम हए, मतुन...
hs-ba-r te hal bshs-ba-r kam-k ${ }^{\text {han }} \mathrm{p}^{\text {haltu }}$ kam h h-e, mstun... be-INF-GEN PCL plough plough-INF-GEN work-NCLS extra work be-PRES3 but...
'Even though ploughing is extra work, still...'

The conjunction-like construction तिन एसा tin esa (or, तिन आसा tin asa) 'no matter how much' in (625) is also used for the concessive.

कइनाड तिन एसा देख्बार हलड बाहा नि करिम।
ksinatin_esa dek ${ }^{\text {h}}$-ba-r $\mathrm{h} \Lambda-1-\wedge \mathrm{u}$ baha ni k $\wedge$-im girl no_matter_how look-INF-GEN be-PST-CONJ marriage NEG do-FUT1sg 'No matter how good-looking (that) girl is, I won't marry her.'

Refer also to section 3.7.8.3.3 for the concessive conditional construction.

### 7.4.2.3.5 Comparative

The conjunction बाजा (बेजा) कि ba/eza ki 'as if' is used for comparative conjunction.
(626) a. बठिए छित बाजा कि मेजुवानडए!
bst $t^{\text {h}}$-ie $\quad c^{\text {hi-t }} \quad$ baja_ki mejuan- $d \Lambda=e$
sit-CONJ.PTCL be-PRES2sg as_if $\quad$ guest-NCLS $=$ EMPH
'You are sitting as if you were a guest!'
b. एइस! छबखाने धरिचोक, बाजा कि दुर्गाडए।
eis! ch ${ }^{\text {h }} \Lambda b-k^{\mathrm{h}}$ an $=\mathrm{d} \quad \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ r-ic-ok baja_ki durga-d $\Lambda=\mathrm{e}$
INJ beauty-NCLS = EMPH hold-PERF-SA2sg as_if Durga-NCLS = EMPH
'Oh! Beauty has attached to you, like Durga (herself).'
(Meaning: 'Oh! So, you (think you) are beautiful, like (the goddess) Durga!')

### 7.4.2.3.6 Conjunctive participle construction

The conjunctive participle is used to chain sequential actions or events, but it constitutes a (subordinate) verb-modifying clause: the non-finite clause, or "adjunct", does not constitute an independent clause, as do those covered in section 7.4.3.

The participle can have purely adverbial connotations such as manner or cause, without necessarily indicating sequential action (cf. section 3.7.10.3 for further discussion). However, temporal sequence and manner/cause are indeed interrelated concepts, the latter most likely originating from (or, being implied by) the former, as Masica (1991:399) explains:
"Although it is interesting that the three 'meanings' illustrated turn up in most descriptions of
CP constructions, even for non-IA languages (Tamil, Japanese), Davison argues that they as
well as the more specialized meanings are best attributed to inference from the specific material
contained + the pragmatic context ( + a general perfective aspectual meaning inherent in the
CP form itself), rather than to derivation from a number of different underlying structures." 90

The non-finite clause and the matrix clause must exhibit some kind of logical relationship, and most often this takes the form of a chronological sequence of two (or more) actions (627a). In the case of the adverbial usage of the conjunctive participle, the non-finite clause indicates the cause (627b) or manner (627c) of the matrix predicate. As mentioned above, chronological sequence can also be construed by purely adverbial uses of the participle, as the glosses in ( $627 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{c}$ ) show.

[^75](627) a. बुऱाड बगलत आसिएने सुनेचे ते... (cf. DLR.04.029)
bur $^{h}$ a-ḍ $\Lambda$ bıglıt as-iene sun-ec-e te
old_man-NCLS near come-CONJ.PTCL hear-PRES-3 SUBORD
'The old man [came closer] ${ }_{[\text {ACTION1] }}$ (and) [heard $]_{[\text {ACTION2] }}$ that...'
b. मुइ नि परिए आझि दुक पाचु।
mui ni p r $^{\text {h}}$-ie $\mathrm{aj}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$ duk pa-c-u
$1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] NEG read-CONJ.PTCL today trouble receive-PRES-1sg
'(Because) $[\text { I didn't study }]_{[A C T I O N 1} \sim$ CAUSE],$[\text { I am having problems }]_{[A C T I O N 2} \sim$ RESULT] today.'
c. जेहेने बाघड थिप्रिएने जाच्कि सोरटर पर... (cf. MR.05.031)
jehene bag $^{\text {h }}$-ḍ $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}$ ipr-iene ja-c-ki sor-ṭ $\Lambda$-r p $\quad$ r
when tiger-NCLS jump-CONJ.PTCL go-PRES-SA3 pig-NCLS-GEN on_top
'The tiger went ${ }_{[A C T I O N 2} \sim$ MAIN ACTION] (by) jumping ${ }_{[A C T I O N 1 ~} \sim$ MANNER] ${ }^{\text {on }}$ to the boar...'

Masica (1991:399) notes a tendency in New Indo-Aryan for conjunctive participle constructions to require that the first and the second adjunct have the same subject. This appears to be the case in most of the Rājbanshi text data currently available. Thus, in (628a) the subject of the first clause and that of the main clause are the same.

Some infringement of the same-subject rule were found in narrative material. Consider example (628b) which is quite similar to the Sinhalese referenced to by Masica (1991:400401). Other borderline cases include sentences DLR.05.060 and MR.05.012. However, based on informants' judgement of grammaticality, these cases should most likely be considered mistakes. Example (628c) would be the corrected construction of (628b).
(628) a. आनिए बुनि दिछे। (cf. MR.04.023)
an-ie bun-i d-ic ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
bring-CONJ.PTCL plant-ABS AUX-PERF-3
'(They) brought (them and then they) planted (them).'
b. अत्किनि कहेने सुना बाघड आर सोरट कहल्कि...। (cf. MR.05.052)
$\Lambda$ tkini kıh-ene suna bag ${ }^{\text {h}}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda ~ a r ~ s o r-t ̣ \Lambda ~ k \wedge h \Lambda-1-k i . . . ~$
that_much say-CONJ.PTCL PCL tiger-NCLS and pig-NCLS say-PST-SA3...
'He (i.e. the boy) having said that much the tiger and the boar said...'
c. अत्किनि कहेने चेङराड भाग्ले। तने सुना बाघड आर सोरट कहल्कि...।
ıtkini kıh-ene cegra-ḍ $\Lambda \quad b^{\text {hag-l-I }}$
that_much say-CONJ.PTCL young_man-NCLS escape-PST-3
tıne suna bag ${ }^{\text {h }}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda \quad$ ar sor-ṭ $\Lambda \quad$ k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda-1-k i . . . ~$
then PCL tiger-NCLS and pig-NCLS say-PST-SA3...
'Having said that much the young man escaped. Then the tiger and the boar said..'

In most cases, if the subject of the non-finite clause and the main clause are different, a subordinate temporal clause will be used, as shown in (629).

```
(629) जेइखुना मुइ आझि करे गिस्नु छागल चर्षा, एकटा बाघ आर एकटा सोर लाराइ करिस्ले। (cf. MR.05.075)
    jei-k}\mp@subsup{}{}{h}una mui ajh i k^re g-isn-u chag\Lambdal c^r-ba
    which-time 1sg[pro] today ADVL go-REM.PST-1sg female_goat graze-INF
    ek-ṭa bag ar ek-ṭa sor larai k^r-isl-I
    one-NCLS tiger and one-NCLS pig fighting do-REM.PST-3
    'When I went to graze the goats today, a tiger and a boar were fighting.'
```

According to one informant, clause-chaining with the conjunctive participle should be restricted to one, or at the most two successive conjunctive participles. In example (630a) there are four successive conjunctive participles. Though this is morphologically possible, not only in theory but also in natural discourse, a more reasonable construction would apparently be (630b), where each sentence has only one conjunctive participle. Note that in (630b) sequentiality is reinforced by reiterating the main verb of the previous clause, albeit in the conjunctive participle form, and by using echo formation.
(630) a. धानला काटिए, गराला सुक्बा दिए, आसिए, सिनान पानि करिए, हाट गेले।
dhan-la kaṭ-ie, gara-la suk-ba di-e, as-ie
rice-PL cut-CONJ.PTCL bundle_of_stalks dry-INF AUX-CONJ.PTCL come-CONJ.PTCL
sin-an pani kır-ie, hat ge-1-I
bathe-NML water do-CONJ.PTCL marketplace go-PST-3
'Having cut the rice, having dried the bundles of rice stalks, having come and having
bathed, he went to the marketplace.'
b. पहिले धानला काट्ले।
pıhile d ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an-la kat-l-I
first rice-PL cut-PST-3
'First he cut the rice.'
काटिए ताटिए गराला सुक्बा दिले।
kat-ie tat-ie gura-la
cut-CONJ.PTCL [echo]cut-CONJ.PTCL bundle_of_stalks-PL dry-INF AUX-PST-3
'Having cut the rice he dried the bundles of rice stalks.'
सुक्बा दिए तिए चुलि गेल सिनान कर्बा।
suk-ba di-e ti-e cul-i gel sin-an kar-ba dry-INF AUX-CONJ.PTCL [echo]AUX-CONJ.PTCL AUX-ABS go-PST3 bathe-NML do-INF 'Having dried them he went and washed himself.'

सिनान पानि करिए तरिए तने गेल हाट।
sin-an pani kar-ie thr-ie tane ge-l hat
bathe-NML water do-CONJ.PTCL [echo]do-CONJ.PTCL then go-PST3 marketplace 'Having washed himself he went to the marketplace.'

Cases of two or more successive conjunctive participles were, however, encountered in natural speech, as shown in (631).
(631) एकदम उहाँक धरिए ले जाए बाऩिए दिले। (cf. GR.02.032; cf. also examples DLR.04.031, DLR.04.032 and DLR.05.056b)
ekd $\wedge$ m uhã-k d d ${ }^{\text {h }}$ r-ie $\quad$-e ja-e ban ${ }^{\text {h }}$-i
very 3 sg[pro]-DAT bring-CONJ.PTCL take-CONJ.PTCL go-CONJ.PTCL tie-ABS di-l-I

AUX-PST-3
'He held it, took it away and tied it up.'

### 7.4.2.4 Conditional clauses

In section 3.7.8.3.1 I discussed the morphological simple conditional. Rājbanshi also has a syntactic conditional construction, where the protasis is marked with the (loan) conditional conjunctive जदि $j_{\Lambda d i}$ 'if', and the apodosis is marked with the correlative ते te 'then' (632a), or optionally तने tane 'then' (632b).

The use of the conjunction is optional. If omitted, it is substituted by rising intonation and a pause between the protasis and the apodosis (632b). In all occurrences of the conditional in text material the protasis precedes the apodosis. The conjunct occurs by default in clause initial position (632a), though it is also found in clause final position (cf. (633b)).
(632) a. जदि माच मासु आन्बार दिन बुऱाड फेर आस्बे ते घरत झाग्रा लागाए दिम। (DLR.04.012)
jıdi mac masu an-ba-r din bur ${ }^{\text {ha }}$-ḍ $\quad p^{\text {her }}$ as-b-I
if fish meat bring-INF-GEN day old_man-NCLS again come-FUT-3
te $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\Lambda \mathrm{t} \mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{h}}$ agлra laga-e di-m- $\mathrm{e}^{2}$
PCL(then) house-LOC fight begin-ABS AUX-FUT-1pl
'If the old man comes again on the day we bring fish and meat then let's begin to fight at home.'
b. माच मासु आन्बार दिन बुऱाड फेर आस्बे घरत झाग्रा लागाए दिम। (Compare with DLR.04.012)
[rising_intonation + pause]
mac masu an-ba-r din bur ${ }^{\text {ha }}$-d $\Lambda$ p ${ }^{\text {h }}$ er as-b-r
fish meat bring-INF-GEN day old_man-NCLS again come-FUT-3

house-LOC fight begin-ABS AUX-FUT-1pl
'If the old man comes again on the day we bring fish and meat then let's begin to fight at home.'

Table 60 presents the collocation of tense in protasis and apodosis. Each type has reference to an example, either in the text corpus (Appendix 3) or in the examples given in (633a-c) below.

Table 60. Collocation of protasis/apodosis and tense in the syntactic conditional

|  |  | Apodosis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FUT | PRES | PST | PERF | REM.PST | P.COND | IMP | SUBJ | PST.HAB |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \tilde{\#} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | FUT | DLR.06.030 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PRES | DLR.06.050a | DLR.06.053 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PST | (633a) |  |  |  |  |  | (633c) |  |  |
|  | PERF | (633b) |  |  |  |  |  | DLR.06.033 |  |  |
|  | REM.PST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PST.COND |  |  |  |  |  | DLR.05.042 |  |  |  |
|  | IMP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | SUBJ | MR.05.223 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | PST.HAB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ? |

Most often in the simple conditional, the apodosis is marked with the future tense. According to one informant, the auxiliary फेकाले $p^{h}$ eka-l-I 'AUX-PST-3' in (633a) could also be marked with the future फेकाबे $p^{h}$ eka-b-I 'AUX-FUT-3' or the perfect फेकाइचे $p^{h} e k a-$ ic-e 'AUX-PERF-3', without changing the meaning.

Another unusual feature is the perfect inflection in conjunction with the negative in the subordinate clause. In language observations thus far, the perfect and past continuous/remote past take on past habitual morphology in negative contexts. However, in (633b) the verb in the subordinate clause is marked with the perfect. Past habitual marking (i.e. नि करे ni kar-e 'NEG do-PST.HAB3') would also be considered grammatical, and would have no effect on the meaning of the sentence. Whether the use of the perfect in this negative context is due to the subordinate clause or the conditional is unclear at present.
(633) a. जदि उहाँ उहाँर कामखान करि फेकाले ते उहाँ बहिराति खेल्बा जाबा पाबे।
j^di uhã uhã-r kam-k ${ }^{h}$ an kır-i $p^{h}$ eka-l-I
if $3 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $] 3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ work-NCLS do-ABS AUX-PST-3
te uhã bahirati $k^{\text {h}}$ el-ba ja-ba pa-b-I
PCL(then) $3 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro outside play-INF go-INF get-FUT-3
'When / if s/he (has) done his/her work s/he can go out to play.'
b. आर नि करिचे जदि, ते खेल्बा जाबा नि पाबे।
ar ni k $\Lambda$-ic-e j $\Lambda$ di te $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{el}-\mathrm{ba}$ ja-ba ni pa-b-I
and NEG do-PERF-3 if PCL(then) play-INF go-INF NEG get-FUT-3
'And if s/he hasn't, s/he can't go to play.'
c. जदि तुइ तोर कामखान सकालो, तुइ घर जा।


Interestingly, there seems to be an overlap in the conditional and the temporal subordinate clauses when the quasi-aspectual auxiliaries जा- ja- 'AUX' (634a), or फेका- $p^{h} e k a-~ ' A U X ' ~$ (634b) are used. This applies to both the morphological and syntactic types of simple conditional.
(634) a. बेजारखान ओर हइ जाले एइपाके आसिस।
bejar-k ${ }^{\text {han }}$ or $\mathrm{h} \Lambda$-i ja-le ei-pake as-is
market-NCLS finished be-ABS AUX-COND.PTCL DEM[prox/emph]-way come-SUBJ2sg 'When (lit. 'if') the market is over, come this way.'
b. जदि उहाँ उहाँर कामखान करि फेकाले ते उहाँ बहिराति खेल्बा जाबा पाबे।
j^di uhã uhã-r kam-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an k $\wedge$ r-i $p^{h}$ eka-l-I
if $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}] 3 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $]-G E N$ work-NCLS do-ABS AUX-PST-3
te uhã bahirati k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ el-ba ja-ba pa-b-ı
PCL(then) $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ outside play-INF go-INF get-FUT-3
'When / if s/he (has) done his/her work s/he can go out to play.'

### 7.4.3 Coordination

In this section I will discuss two devices for coordination: conjunctions and juxtaposition. I will also look at adversative and disjunctive coordination, and finally, I will consider agent omission in coordinated constructions.

### 7.4.3.1 Coordination by use of conjunctions

The most common means of coordination in Rājbanshi involves the use of the copulative conjunction आर ar 'and'. This functions at the phrasal and clausal level. In (635) the conjunction conjoins two noun phrases.
(635) एकटा बाघ आर एकटा सोर (cf. MR.05.007; see also MR.05.075)
ek-ṭa bag ar ek-ṭa sor
one-NCLS tiger and one-NCLS pig
'[ [a tiger $]_{[\mathrm{NP} 1]}$ and [a boar $\left.]_{[\mathrm{NP} 2]}\right]_{\left[\mathrm{NP}^{\prime}\right]}$ '

As seen in (635), two coordinated noun phrases form a coordinate NP. Each conjoined NP is marked individually with various elements, including the indefinite article (635), the numeral (636a), the demonstrative (636b), the noun classifier ( $636 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}$ ), the emphatic clitic (636b-d) and case (636d).
(636) a. दुइडा गोरु आर एकटा घडा (cf. GR.02.001; see also GR.02.048)
dui-ḍa goru ar ek-ṭa $g^{\text {h }} \Lambda$ ḍa
two-NCLS bullock and one-NCLS horse
'[ [two bullocks $]_{[\mathrm{NP} 1]}$ and [one horse $\left.\left.]_{[\mathrm{NP} 2]}\right]_{[\mathrm{NP}}{ }^{\prime}\right]$
b. उड सोरे आर उड बाचे (cf. MR.05.013)
u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ sor $=e \quad$ ar $\quad u-d ̣ \quad \quad b^{2} g^{h}=e$
that-NCLS pig $=$ EMPH and that-NCLS tiger $=\mathrm{EMPH}$
'[ [that boar $]_{[\mathrm{NP} 1]}$ and [that tiger $\left.]_{[\mathrm{NP} 2]}\right]_{\left[\mathrm{NP}^{\prime}\right]}$ '
c. सोरटए आर बाघडए (cf. MR.05.026; see also MR.05.242)
sor- $-\uparrow=e \quad$ ar $\quad$ bag $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda=\mathrm{e}$
pig-NCLS $=$ EMPH and tiger-NCLS $=$ EMPH
'[ [the boar $]_{[\mathrm{NP} 1]}$ and [the tiger $\left.]_{[\mathrm{NP} 2]}\right]_{\left[\mathrm{NP}^{\prime}\right]}$ '
d. उड चेङराडके आर लिहानिडके (cf. MR.05.089; see also GR.02.078, MR.05.052)
u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ ceøra-d $\Lambda-\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{e} \quad$ ar lihani- $\mathrm{d}^{2}-\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{e}$
that-NCLS young_man-NCLS-DAT $=$ EMPH and blanket-NCLS-DAT $=\mathrm{EMPH}$
'[ [that young man $]_{[\mathrm{NP} 1]}$ and [the blanket $\left.]_{[\mathrm{NP} 2]}\right]_{\left[\mathrm{NP}^{\prime}\right]}$ '

Likewise, two coordinated postpositional phrases form a coordinate postpositional phrase (637)
(637) घरलार ठाट बेन्बार ताने आर भात नाधबार ताने (cf. MR.02.007)
$g^{h} \Delta r$-la-r $t^{\text {h }}$ at $\quad$ ben-ba-r tane ar $b^{h}$ at $n^{h}-b a-r \quad$ tane house-PL-GEN roof_frame build-INF-GEN for and cooked_rice cook-INF-GEN for '[ [for making roof frames $]_{[\mathrm{PP} 1]}$ and [for cooking rice $\left.]_{[\mathrm{PP} 2]}\right]_{\left[\mathrm{PP}{ }^{\prime}\right]}$

In examples ( $638 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ ) the conjunction आर ar 'and' conjoins two clauses. As far as timereference is concerned, the events/actions/states in the two coordinated clauses can be simultaneous/overlapping (638a) or sequential (638b). In example (638c) two verbless copula clauses are conjoined; the omitted copula verbs (हए hs-e 'be-PRES3') have been added in brackets for clarity.
(638) a. हामा काम करेचि आर खाचि पुवाल। (cf. GR.02.012; see also DLR.04.025-026; DLR.05.002; MR.05.056-057; MR.05.094-095; MR.05.226-227)
hama kam kar-ec-i ar $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{i}$ pual
$1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]$ work do-PRES-1pl and eat-PRES-1pl straw
'We work ${ }_{[S T A T E . O F . A F F A I R S 1]}$ and we eat straw ${ }_{\text {[STATE.OF.AFFAIRS2] }}$.
b. काल़ि से तुइ ठिक हइ जा, आर बचि जाबो। (cf. GR.02.075-076; see also MR.04.026-027; MR.04.202-203; MR.05.033; MR.05.075-077; MR.05.200; MR.05.357-358) $k_{a l^{h}}{ }_{i}$ se tui $t^{\text {h}}{ }^{i k}$ h $\Lambda-\mathrm{i} \quad$ ja- $\varnothing$ ar b $\Lambda c-\mathrm{i}$ ja-b-o tomorrow ABL 2sg[pro] alright be-ABS AUX-IMP2SG and save-ABS AUX-FUT-2sg 'From tomorrow become well [ACTION1] , and you will be saved ${ }_{[A C T I O N 2]}$.'
c. एकटार नाम सुक बासि (हए) आर एकटार नाम दुक बासि (हए)। (cf. MR.05.178b; see also DLR.05.002; MR.05.310)
ek-ṭa-r nam suk basi (hs-e)
one-NCLS-GEN name happiness flute (be-PRES3)
ar ek-ṭa-r nam duk basi (h $\Lambda$-e)
and one-NCLS-GEN name trouble flute (be-PRES3)
'One's name is 'happiness flute' and one's name is 'trouble flute'.'

Occasionally, the conjunctive participle is used together with the conjunction to indicate sequence, as example (639) shows.
(639) तुइ खेत बारिखानेरति जा, आर जाएने एकदम कानिस। (cf. MR.04.050; see also MR.04.041)
tui $k^{\text {h}}$ et bari-k ${ }^{\text {han-er }}$ ti ja- $\varnothing$ ar ja-ene
2sg[pro] field planting_plot-NCLS-GEN towards go-IMP2sg and go-CONJ.PTCL
kan-is
cry-SUBJ2sg
'Go to the fields, and having gone cry really hard.'

Paired conjunction is constructed with the conjunctive clitic on both of the conjoined elements, and a conjunction between the two clauses. Clauses (640a-b) can be conjoined by this construction. Whether phrases can be conjoined requires further study.
(640) a. पानिअ नि छकन, फेर धुपअ चाँए चाँए हेतिना। (MR.04.167; cf. also DLR.06.072, MR.05.216, MR.05.216)
pani $=\Lambda \quad$ ni $\quad c^{h}-\Lambda-k \Lambda n \quad p^{h} e r \quad d^{h} u p=\Lambda \quad$ cãecãe hetina
water $=$ CNJ NEG be-PRES-SA2pl again sun $=$ CNJ (scorching) here
'There is no water (for you), and (moreover), the sun is really scorching hot here.'
b. मगिर बेसनडउ बेचिए खाले, कानेर सनालाउ बेचिए खाले। (Rājbanshi VS 2064a:122)
mıgi-r bes $\wedge$ n-d $\Lambda=u \quad$ bec-ie $\quad$ k ${ }^{\text {ha-l-I }}$
wife-GEN (golden)nose-stud-NCLS $=$ CNJ sell-CONJ.PTCL eat-PST-3
kan-er $\quad$ s nna-la $=u \quad$ bec-ie $\quad k^{h}$ a-l-I
ear-GEN gold-PL $=$ CNJ sell-CONJ.PTCL eat-PST-3
'(He) consumed (lit. 'sold and ate') (his) wife's golden nose-stud and (he) consumed (her)
gold(en earings) too.'

Negation between coordinated propositions makes use of the conjunction ना (ते) ... ना (ते) na (te) ... na (te) 'neither ... nor' (641a). Often the ते te component is optional as in (641b). The initial ना (ते) na (te) component is also often optional (641c).
(641) a. ना ते खालो ना ते खाबा दिलो।
na_te $k^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-l-o $\quad$ na_te $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ba}$ di-l-o
neither eat-PST-2sg nor eat-INF let-PST-2sg
'Neither did you eat, nor did you let (anyone else) eat.'
b. ना रहबे ना उहाँ 'समत बठ्बो?' कहबे। (cf. DLR.05.030)

NEG be-FUT-3 NEG 3sg[pro] together sit-FUT-2sg say-FUT-3
'Neither will it be there any longer, nor will he say: "Will you marry me?"'
c. (ना ते) खाबे करेचित ना ते खाबाए देचित।
(na_te) $\quad k^{h} a-b=e \quad k \Delta r-e c-i t \quad$ na_te $k^{h} a-b-a=e \quad d-e c-i t$
(neither) eat-INF $=$ EMPH do-PRES-2sg nor eat-INF $=$ EMPH let-PRES-2sg
'Neither will you eat, nor will you let (anyone else) eat.'

### 7.4.3.2 Juxtaposition

The second type of coordination is juxtaposition, which can be used for the coordination of two or more (noun or postpositional) phrases, or two clauses. I use the term "juxtaposition" here in the sense of the adjacency of two elements (nouns, phrases or clauses) as being the sole indicator of the coordination, and not in the wider sense of merely successive clauses in a discourse. The term is akin to the "zero-strategy" used by Payne (1987 [1985]:25), where elements are "simply juxtaposed with no additional markers of conjunction."

The juxtaposition of two (or more) nouns should be distinguished from copulative noun compounds for two reasons. Firstly, copulative noun compounds can only be formed by two entities (thus forming a single entity), whereas more than two nouns can be juxtaposed. Secondly, copulative noun compounds only permit coordination of those entities which are in some way semantically related. Conversely, juxtaposition poses no semantic restrictions on which entities can be coordinated. In (642a) five nouns are juxtaposed, while in (642b) it is two postpositional phrases.
(642) a. घिउँ, मासु, माच, दहि, दुऩि खाएने बुऱिडर दाहाड कतकत हल्कि। (cf. MR.04.127)
$\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{iun}^{2}$ masu mac d dhi dun ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-ene bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d}_{\Lambda}-\mathrm{r}$ daha-d $\Lambda$
ghee meat fish curd milk eat-CONJ.PTCL old_woman-NCLS-GEN body-NCLS
k $\wedge$ tk $\wedge$ t h $\wedge$-l-ki
chubby be-PST-SA3
'Eating ghee, meat, fish, curd (and) milk the old woman's body became chubby.'
b. आप्ना आप्नि घरेर कामेर कारनत, फुर्सत नि हबार कारनत आसा जाइ नि हचे। (cf. DLR.03.012) apna apni $g^{h} \Lambda r-e r \quad$ kam-er $\operatorname{kar} \Lambda n-\Lambda t \quad p^{h} u r s \Lambda t \quad n i \quad h \Lambda$-ba-r REFL[pro] REFL[pro] house-GEN work-GEN reason-LOC free_time NEG be-INF-GEN karan- st as-a ja-i ni ha-c-e reason-LOC come-DVBL go-DVBL NEG be-PRES-3
'...there hasn't been (any) visiting because of each one's own house work, (and) because of not having free time.'

In (642a), above, the five nouns are juxtaposed without the use of any (copulative) conjunction. The conjunction आर ar 'and' can however be used between the two final nouns in such cases (643). Rising intonation tends to occur on each juxtaposed element, and falling intonation tends to occur on the final coordinated element following the conjunction.

```
(643) ...बनेर अइला फल, नस्पति, सेंप आर आर्ना भइसेर दुहुनि... (cf. MR.05.176)
```



```
    ...forest-GEN DEM[rem/emph]-PL fruit pear apple and water_buffalo-GEN milk...
    '...those fruits of the jungle, pear, apple and the milk of the wild buffaloes...'
```

Juxtaposition has only been found to coordinate clauses which encode simultaneous actions (644a-b). Sequential actions use the conjunctive participle (644c). Additionally, in one sentence where sequential action was encoded, the two clauses were conjoined by using both the conjunctive participle and the conjunction आर ar 'and' (639).
(644) a. जुवाँड आर बेटिड आच्छा से खाबा धरिल, रहबा धरिल। (cf. DLR.04.003)
juã-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ ar beṭi-ḍ $\Lambda \quad \operatorname{acc}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ se $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ba} \quad \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{il} \quad$ r $\Lambda h \Lambda$-ba son_in_law-NCLS and daughter-NCLS good ADVL eat-INF begin-PST3 live-INF $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{r}}$ - il
begin-PST3
'The son-in-law and daughter began to eat well and live well (i.e. they settled down).'
b. तुइ एइठिना रोहो, खा। (MR.05.175)
tui ei-t ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ina $\quad$ roho- $\varnothing \quad k^{h} a-\varnothing$
$2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}] \mathrm{DEM}[\mathrm{prox} / \mathrm{emph}]-$ place remain-IMP2sg eat-IMP2sg
'Stay right here, (and) eat.'
c. बुऱाड सुना बगलत आसिएने सुनेचे ते... (cf. DLR.04.029)
bur $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$-ḍ $\Lambda$ suna b $\wedge$ glıt as-iene sun-ec-e te
old_man-NCLS PCL near come-CONJ.PTCL hear-PRES-3 SUBORD
'The old man came closer [ACTION1] (and) heard [ACTION2] $^{\text {that...' }}$

One may also even need to postulate a case of juxtaposition for the next two examples:
(645) a. बगलाडक तले तले लागिचे भोक, भोक सहबा नि पा'ए छट्पटिबा धरिचे।
i. bıgla-ḍ $\Lambda$-k tıle_t tıle lag-ic-e $\quad b^{\text {h }}$ ok
stork-NCLS-DAT inside feel-PERF-3 hunger
 hunger endure-INF NEG can-CONJ-PTCL be_restless-INF begin-PERF-3
'The stork felt hungry inside; not being able to endure (his) hunger, (it) began to be restless.'
b. धुनि पुहाते पुहाते बुऱाड नुआँ जल्बार गन पाबे, ते लिबे छुवालार पर खकाए। (cf. Rājbanshi VS 2064b:80)
 fireplace warm-CONT.PTCL warm-CONT.PTCL old_man-NCLS cloth burn-INF-GEN g^n pa-b-I
smell get-FUT-3
ii. te li-b-r $c^{\text {h }}$ ua-la-r $\quad \mathrm{p} \wedge \mathrm{r} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda k a-e$

PCL AUX-FUT-3 child-PL-GEN upon scold-ABS
'While warming themselves by the fireplace the old man smelt cloth burning; then he scolded the children.'

This issue was raised in a workshop discussion on Rājbanshi punctuation: several writers were of the opinion that a purā birām (the Devanāgari equivalent of a full-stop) between clauses (i) and (ii) in either (645a) or (645b) would be incorrect. In both examples clause (i) is in an explanatory relation to the (resulting) clause (ii). This may cause rising intonation at the end of the clause (i), but not necessarily so. Note that in both (645a) and (645b) the agent is deleted from clause (ii), and is therefore co-referential with the agent of the first clause. The issue demands further research.

### 7.4.3.3 Adversative coordination

As mentioned in section 6.2.2, Rājbanshi uses (at least in written texts) the conjunction मातुन matun 'but' (or, मतुन mstun). The adversative sentence consists of two contrasting clauses (conjuncts). The conjunction occurs obligatorily at the beginning of the second conjunct.
(646) a. मोर त कामअ कुछु नि, मातुन मुइ बादाम खाचु। (GR.02.015)
mo-r t $\quad$ t $\quad$ am $=\Lambda \quad$ kuc $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}$ ni matun mui badam $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{u}$ 1sg[obl]-GEN PCL work $=$ CNJ some NEG but 1 sg[pro] nut eat-PRES-1sg 'I have absolutely no work, but I eat nuts.'
b. आच्छा जान्ले उड बेर्छानिक मातुन उड बेर्छानि छिले बहुत खाराब। (DLR.06.111-112)
$\operatorname{acc}^{\mathrm{h}}$ a jan-l-I $\quad \mathrm{u}-\mathrm{d}_{\Lambda} \quad \operatorname{berc}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ani-k matun u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad \operatorname{berc}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ani $^{c^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-1-\mathrm{I}}$ good know-PST-3 that-NCLS woman-DAT but that-NCLS woman be-PST-3 bshut $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ arab
very(Hindi) evil
'(They) thought that woman was good, but that woman was (actually) terribly evil.'

In the text corpus, however, it is the discourse particles त $t_{\Lambda}$ 'PCL' and ते te 'PCL' which often function as adversative conjunctions (647a). The particles are used for a range of different meanings such as topicalisation (which is sometimes contrastive) and counterexpectancy (cf. section 6.1.3). If the use of the particles were to be posited as conjunctions, it would be necessary to revise the above statement that the adversative conjunction occurs in (second) conjunct-initial position, since, as seen in (647b), this is not always the case.
(647) a. उदिन करे बुऱिड नुकाएने आसेचे, ते फेर एकटा खेटिया देखि फेकाल्कि। (cf. MR.04.131-132)
u-din kıre bur ${ }^{\text {h}} \mathrm{i}$-ḍ $\Lambda$ nuka-ene as-ec-e
DEM[rem]-day ADVL old_woman-NCLS hide-CONJ.PTCL come-PRES-3
te $p^{h} e r$ ek-ṭa $\quad k^{h}$ eṭia $\operatorname{dek}^{h}-i \quad p^{h}$ eka-l-ki
PCL again one-NCLS jackal see-ABS AUX-PST-SA3
'That day the old woman came (in a) hiding (manner), but one jackal saw (her) again (anyway).'
b. एक चटि माने हामार गाअँ माने आसिस्ले सतसंगेर खुना आप हिदर से त माने आसा जाइ माने नि कर्चे।
(DLR.03.011)
ek cıṭi hama-r gã̃ as-isl-ı s $\quad$ ts $\wedge$ y-er $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ una one time $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ village come-REM.PST-3 religious_fellowship-GEN during ap $\operatorname{hid} \wedge \mathrm{r}$ se $\mathrm{t} \Lambda$ as-a ja-i mane ni k $\wedge$ r-c-e PCL over_here ABL PCL come-DVBL go-DVBL PCL NEG do-PRES-3 'Once, he came to our village at the time of (a) religious (gathering), but since then he has not been visiting.'

### 7.4.3.4 Disjunctive coordination

As described in section 6.2.3 the following disjunctive conjunctions were identified: या ya, बा ba (or, wa), नि ni, ने ne and ना na. It would seem that नि ni and बा ba are used for phrasal coordination, and ने ne and ना na for clausal coordination. This observation is based primarily on informants' intuition, and should be checked against a larger corpus of text for confirmation.

Levinson (2003 [1983]:138-140) distinguishes between exclusive and inclusive disjunction, inclusive disjunction being "where both disjuncts can be true". Payne (1999 [1997]:339-340) defines inclusive disjunction as follows:
"If the logical disjunction of two propositions is true, then one or both of the component propositions can be true."

It appears that the Rājbanshi disjunctive conjunctions are able to embrace both types of disjunction. In (648a) both propositions can be true (inclusive disjunction), whereas in (648b-c) only one of the disjuncts can be true (exclusive disjunction).
(648) a. खन्जराडत घाँस नि ते पुवाल राखिए गरु भइसलाक खिला जाछे। (MR.02.028)
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge n j \wedge$ ra-ḍ $\Lambda$-t $\mathrm{g}^{\text {hãs }}$ ya pual rak $^{\mathrm{h}}$-ie $\mathrm{g} \Lambda \mathrm{ru}$
feeding_trough-NCLS-LOC grass or straw put-CONJ.PTCL bullock
$b^{\text {h }}$ ^is-la-k $\quad k^{\text {h }}$ il-a ja-c ${ }^{\text {h }}-\mathrm{e}$
water_buffalo-PL-DAT feed-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
'The cattle can be fed by putting grass or straw in to the feeding trough.'
b. ते आप बाहा हइचे कहम ना नि हइचे कहम। (DLR.06.029)
te ap baha h $h$-ic-e k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda-m$ na ni h $h$-ic-e k $k h \Lambda-m$
PCL PCL marriage be-PERF-3 say-FUT1sg or NEG be-PERF-3 say-FUT1sg 'Well, shall I say I am married or shall I say I am not?'
c. माअ बाप छुकु ना नि छुकु? (MR.05.309)
mas bap $c^{\text {h }}$-u-ku na ni $c^{\text {h }}$-u-ku
mother father be-PRES-SA2sg or NEG be-PRES-SA2sg
'Do you have parents, or do you not?'

### 7.4.3.5 Agent omission

If two coordinated clauses have a co-referential agent, the agent of the second clause is often omitted. In (649) the agent (कुवा kua 'crow') has been omitted in the second coordinated conjunct.
(649) कुवाड उड सुक बासिड चेप करे लिप्कि पक्रिए आर फुलड दिप्कि छरिए मुखखान से। (cf. MR.05.200)
kua-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ suk basi-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ cep k $\wedge$ re li-p-ki p $\quad$ kr-ie crow-NCLS that-NCLS happiness flute-NCLS catch ADVL AUX-FUT-SA3 catch-ABS
ar $p^{\mathrm{h}}$ ul-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ di-p-ki $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda r$-ie muk $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ an se and flower-NCLS AUX-FUT-SA3 let_go-ABS mouth-NCLS ABL
'The crow caught the happiness flute (in mid air) and let go of the flower from its mouth.'

Likewise, the subject of the first conjunct in the adversative is often deleted, though it can also occur in a pronominal form (650).
(650) रामड आस्बार ते आस्ले माने (उहाँए) मोक भेट नि पाले।
ram-ḍ $\Lambda$ as-ba-r te as-l-I
Rām-NCLS come-INF-GEN PCL come-PST-3
mane (uhãe) mo-k $b^{\text {h}}$ et ni pa-l-I
but (3sg[pro]) 1sg[obl]-DAT meeting NEG get-PST-3
'Rām certainly came, but (he) didn't meet me.'

### 7.5 Valence decreasing and increasing

### 7.5.1 Passive constructions

The dialects of Rājbanshi studied for this work have three passive constructions. Two are of the analytic type, whereas the third is an active construction which in many ways resembles an impersonal passive. Generally, the analytic passive Type 1 indicates ability, whereas the analytic passive Type 2 is a statal passive. The impersonal construction is a dynamic passive. Each of these will be considered in turn in the sections below.

NIA languages often allow passivisation of both transitive and intransitive verbs, as Masica (1991:317) observes:
"Periphrastic or suffixal, the NIA passives are notable in their applicability to intransitives as well as transitives. The result is impersonal (or, "involitive") verbs, expressing the helplessness or non-volitionality of the erstwhile agent, if any."

In Rājbanshi, only transitive verbs can be passivised by the analytic passive Type 2. Both transitive and intransitive verbs occur in the analytic passive Type 1, but the meaning of this construction is primarily ability, not agent suppression. Passivisation of intransitive verbs can also occur in the impersonal passive (with active verbal morphology).

### 7.5.1.1 Analytic passive Type 1

In the analytic passive Type 1 the matrix verb is marked with the past participle -आ(ल) -a(l) 'PST.PTCL', and then followed by the passive auxiliary जा- $j$ a- 'PASS.AUX'. The auxiliary is marked for inflection and agreement. Eastern NIA languages follow the same trend, as Masica (1991:317) explains:
"With the decay of passive suffixes, or even with their preservation, NIA languages turned to periphrastic methods of expressing a passive. ... In the majority of the NIA languages ... it was, in its later phase, to the Perfective Participle (in $-(y) \bar{a}$, or $-a l$ in the Bihari group), or in the
easternmost languages to an invariant verbal noun in -ā resembling it, + the verb jā- 'go', which serves as the conjugational base."

Written texts in Rājbanshi tend to mark the agent in oblique phrases (as in Maithili). However, based on orally elicited material, and informants' grammaticality judgement as native speakers, the passive in Rājbanshi is agentless: the agent can not occur in an oblique phrase. Therefore the passive in (651a) has no agent, and the oblique phrase in (651b) may render the sentence unacceptable. If the agent is to be overtly present, the active clause is used (651c). Also note that in (651a) the passive is non-promotional insofar as the object is not promoted to subject status, but is left in the dative-accusative case (which denotes direct object).
(651) a. केनङ करे मारा जाबे याहाँक? (cf. GR.01.009)
kenıy kıre mar-a ja-b-i yaha-k
how ADVL kill-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-FUT-3 DEM[pro/prox]-DAT
'How (is it possible) to kill him?'
b. ?/*मोर से याहाँक केनङ करे मारा जाबे?
?/*mor se yaha-k ken^y kıre mar-a ja-b-I
?/*1sg[obl]-GEN ABL DEM[pro/prox]-DAT how ADVL kill-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-FUT-3
?/*'How can he be killed by me?'
c. मुइ याहाँक केनङ करे मारिम?
mui yaha-k kenıy kıre mar-im
1sg[pro] DEM[pro/prox]-DAT how ADVL kill-FUT1sg
'How can/shall I kill him?'

Secondary agreement can occur on the passive auxiliary, as in (652). This seems not to violate the "agentless" principle since the secondary agreement marker here points to "convenience" (that is, the 2 sg benefacted argument), rather than the agent (though in practice this may be one and the same thing).

```
(652) देखा जाच्कु?
    dek}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{h}}-\textrm{a}\quad ja-c-k
    see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-SA2sg
    'Can (it) be seen?'
    Implies: 'It would be good if you could see (it).'
    Does not imply: *'Can (it) be seen (by you).'
```

The Maithili passive (which is structured in the same way as in Rājbanshi, with the past participle on the matrix verb and the verb 'go' as the passive auxiliary) often implies
ability (cf. Yadav 1997 [1996]:211;320-331). According to Yadav, the passive conveys ability if the passive agent (that is, the subject of the active clause) is present. Therefore, in Maithili (653a) implies ability, whereas (653b) does not:
(653) a. Maithili
həm-ra sə̃ nəi hãs-əl ge-1
1sg[pro]-ACC/DAT INSTR NEG laugh-PST.PTCL go-PST-(3NH + 1)
'I could not laugh.' (Lit. 'It was not laughed by me.'; denotes ability)
(Yadav 1997 [1996]:320)
b. Maithili
ghər bənae-1 ge-1
house build-PST.PTCL go-PST-(3NH)
'The house was built.' (*'The house could be built.'; does not denote ability)
(Yadav 1997 [1996]:330)

In almost all cases the passive in Rājbanshi is used to convey ability. The presence of an explicit agent does not determine the implication of ability, since, as already mentioned, the agent is generally not marked in passive constructions anyway.

In the initial research stage, there did appear to be a distinction determined by the semantics of the verb itself: stative verbs imply the pure passive, whereas dynamic verbs convey the abilitative meaning. Consider, for example, the following two examples. The verb देख- dek ${ }^{h}$ - 'see' has both a stative (that is, 'look (like)') and dynamic (that is, 'look (itr); see (tr)') meaning. Neither of these examples have an overt agent. Example (654a) has a clear abilitative connotation; unlike (654b). Note that both the negative (654a) and the positive (654c) convey the abilitative sense.
(654) a. केवा महिना हइ जाछे, आप ताहुँ नि कचु कुछु देखा जाछे। (MR.04.031)
kewa mshina h $\Lambda$-i ja-c ${ }^{\text {h }}-\mathrm{e}$ ap tahu ni kıcu kuc $^{\text {h }} \mathbf{u}$
some month be-ABS AUX-PRES-3 PCL still NEG [kacu]vegetable some
$\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{ja}-\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
'A few months passed, and still no [kacu]vegetable could be seen.'
b. इड सिमेन्टिर बेन्बार लाखाति देखा जाछे। (MR.02.027)

c. जारेर खुना राम पेनठिला इसामुन देखा जाछे।
jar-er $\quad k^{\text {h }}$ una ram-pent ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}$-la i -samun $\quad \operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a} \quad$ ja-c ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-e$ cold-GEN during Rām-stick-PL DEM[prox]-direction see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3 'During the winter the Rām's sticks (that is, the Orion's belt, part of the Orion constellation) can be seen over here.'

In retrospect, however, making any distinction between the abilitative (dynamic verbs), and the pure passive (stative verbs) is perhaps not relevant. The example (654b) above should perhaps be glossed as 'One can see that this (trough) is as if it has been made from cement'. Secondly, the verb चिन- cinh $^{h}$ - 'know, recognise' is only used in a stative sense, but still conveys the abilitative in both negative (655a) and positive (655b) clauses.
(655) a. आर इड घर कहे ना कुट्टि कहे ना मुन्दिल कहे चिता नि जाछे। (DLR.02.004)

| i-ḍ $\Lambda$ | $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge \mathrm{r}$ k^h^e na kutți | k^hıe na mundil kıhıe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| and DEM[prox]-NCLS | house CMPL or priest's_quarters | CMPL or temple CMPL |
| $\operatorname{cin}^{\mathrm{h}}$-a ni | ja-c ${ }^{\text {h }}$-e |  |
| know-PST.PTCL NEG | PASS.AUX-PRES-3 |  |
| 'And one can not tell wh | ther this is a house, or priest's q | ters or a temple.' |

b. इड घर मुन्डिल कहे आमाने चित़ा जाछे।
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad g^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$ munḍil k $\wedge$ he amane $\operatorname{cin}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a} \quad$ ja-c $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
DEM[prox]-NCLS house temple CMPL clearly know-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
'One can tell for sure that this building is a temple.'

Yadav (1997 [1996]:332) maintains that the Maithili passive is used for "customary or conventional actions" as in example (656a). Some Rājbanshi speakers use the analytic passive Type 1 in the same way (656b). However, other Rājbanshi speakers consider the analytic passive Type 1 , in the context of habitual, to be a loan construction, and the impersonal passive to be correct (656c).
(656) a. Maithili
əghən me dhan kaṭəl jait chəik
Agahan LOC paddy cut-PST.PTCL go-IMPERF AUX-PRES-(3NH)
'Paddy is harvested in the month of Agahan.'
(Yadav 1997 [1996]:332)
b. गरुलार घेचाला बहिरिति नि जाबार ताने राखा जाछे। (cf. MR.02.023; loan construction)
gлru-la-r gata ba-ba-r tane $\operatorname{rak}^{\mathrm{h}}$-a
bullock-PL-GEN throat-PL outside NEG go-INF-GEN for put-PST.PTCL
$j a-c^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
PASS.AUX-PRES-3
'(Neck-guides) are put (there) in order that the bullocks' throats would not slip off (the cross-bar).'
c. गरूलार घेचाला बहिरिति नि जाबार ताने राखेचे। (cf. MR.02.023)
garu-la-r $\quad g^{\text {h }}$ eca-la bıhiriti ni ja-ba-r tane rak ${ }^{\text {h }}$-ec-e bullock-PL-GEN throat-PL outside NEG go-INF-GEN for put-PRES-3 '(Neck-guides) are put (there) in order that the bullocks' throats would not slip off (the cross-bar).'

### 7.5.1.2 Analytic passive Type 2

The Type 2 analytic passive is predominantly a statal passive. It is constructed with the matrix verb in the past participle, followed by the छ- $c^{h}$--copula (acting in effect as a passive auxiliary), as shown in the following example:
(657) टाटिखानत ढेसन लागाए गऱाला थार करे राखाल छे। (MR.01.007)
taṭi-k ${ }^{\text {h}} a n-\Lambda t \quad d^{h} e s \wedge n ~ l a g a-e ~ g \Lambda r^{h} a-l a \quad t^{h} a r \Lambda \quad$ k $\lambda r e$ wall-NCLS-LOC leaning apply_to-CONJ.PTCL dung-stick-PL standing ADVL rak $^{\mathrm{h}}$-al $\quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-e
put-PST.PTCL be-PRES3
'Dung-sticks have been put standing, leaning up against the wall.'

By "statal passive" I am implying a state which has occurred as the result of an action, as opposed to the action itself (cf. Matthews 1997:352). Consider example (657), which conveys a state (that is, 'the sticks are in a state of having been put'). Conversely, the impersonal passive in (658) focuses on the action (that is, 'the sticks are dried in this fashion').
(658) गऱाला बेनाए टाटिखानत थार करे सुकाचे।

'The dungsticks, after being made, are dried (by) standing (them up against) the wall.'

### 7.5.1.3 Impersonal passive

The final type of valence-decreasing operations is what I call the "impersonal passive". This construction, used for the habitual (or, "customary and conventional actions" as per Yadav 1997 [1996]), has already been briefly referred to in examples (656c) and (658).

Prototypically, impersonal passives have passive marking on the verb, obligatory agent suppression, and occur with intransitive verbs. Though there is no passive morpheme or auxiliary with the Rājbanshi impersonal passive, I consider this to be a passive construction, based on the downplaying of the agent. Givón (1990:576) maintains that the impersonal passive is found in the example 'They found the body on the beach' in that:
"The non-agent remains unpromoted; the agent is absent; and the verb is coded grammatically as the normal active form."

The agent in the example given by Givón is the empty subject 'they'. In most cases the agent in the Rājbanshi impersonal passive is suppressed so that it is not mentioned at all (659a). If the agent is present, it appears as a dummy subject लोक(ला) $\operatorname{lok}(-l a)$ 'man(-PL)' (659b-c). ${ }^{91}$
(659) a. हाल बहबार खुना इखान जङलि काम लागेचे। (MR.02.025)

b. एनङ करे (लोक) कचु बुनेचे आरह? (MR.04.007)
en $\wedge y$ k $\wedge$ re (lok) kucu bun-ec-e arh $\Lambda$
like_this ADVL (man) [kacu]vegetable plant-PRES-3 more
'So, $[k a c u]$ vegetables are planted (by people) like this too?'
c. (जदि) खेतिर काम कर्लेहने ते उहाँए जान्लेहने ते केनङ करे (लोकला) बुनेचे धान।
(j $\wedge$ di) k ${ }^{\text {heti-r }}$ kam k $\wedge$ r-l-I =h hne te uhae jan-l-I=h hne
(if) field-ADJR-GEN work do-PST-3 = PST.COND then $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ know-PST-3 $=$ PST.COND te kenıy kıre (lok-la) bun-ec-e $d^{h}$ an that how ADVL (man-PL) plant-PRES-3 rice 'If he had worked on the field, then he would have known how rice is planted (by people).'

### 7.5.2 Causative

As mentioned in section 3.7.2, Räjbanshi has two morphological causative stems: the simple causative is formed with the suffix -आ -a 'CAUS', and the indirect causative with the suffix -(उ)वा -(u)wa 'ICAUS'. The following two sections will cover issues relating to

[^76]the syntax of the simple and indirect causatives, and the semantic parameters of the Rājbanshi causatives. The final section will deal with two possible periphrastic causatives.

### 7.5.2.1 Syntax of the simple causative

The syntax of a clause with a simple causative verb does not differ from that of a transitive clause. This equally applies to "corresponding transitive verbs", causatives of intransitive verbs and causatives of (di)transitive verbs.

Consider, for example, verb बठ- b $\Delta t^{h}$ - 'sit' which is intransitive in (660a) and causative (i.e. transitive) in (660b). The direct object in (660b) is marked with the dative-accusative case.
(660) a. तुइ मुइ सुना आराम से बठिए खाम। (cf. DLR.04.016)
tui mui suna aram se b $\Lambda t^{\mathrm{h}}$-ie $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}-\Lambda$
$2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}] 1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ PCL rest ADVL sit-CONJ.PTCL eat-FUT-1pl
'You and I will sit in peace and eat.'
b. बुराडक घरत बठाए खिलान पिलान कर्बाए हल्कि। (cf. DLR.04.042)
bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda \Lambda-\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\Lambda \mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{b} \Lambda t^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ilan pilan $\mathrm{k} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{ba}=\mathrm{e}$
old_man-NCLS-DAT house-LOC sit-CAUS-CONJ.PTCL feeding drinking do-INF $=$ EMPH h $\Lambda$-l-ki
must-PST-SA3
'They had to sit the old man down (i.e. cause the old man to sit down) in the house and really feed him and give him drink!'

If the verb root is transitive or ditransitive, the transitive stem is (usually) a simple causative, such as the transitive verb बिस्वास दिला- biswas dil-a 'belief give ${ }_{[H I N D I . S T E M]}{ }^{-}$ CAUS' (i.e. 'make one believe') in (661). If the direct object of the following example had been overtly expressed, it would have been marked with the dative-accusative case.
(661) आप उहाँ बिस्वास दिलाफ्कि कि... (cf. MR.05.284)
ap uhã biswas dil-a-p-ki ki...
PCL 3 sg[pro] belief give $_{[H I N D I . S T E M]}$-CAUS-FUT-SA3 that...
'She made (him) believe that...'

### 7.5.2.2 Syntax of the indirect causative

The indirect causative conveys a situation where the causer brings about an action indirectly, mediated by a causee (the agent of the main predicate). The overt marking of
the causee in the indirect causative clause is optional. If it is marked, it is done in one of two ways: either by an oblique phrase or by a complementiser. Additionally, as explained in section 3.7.2.2, some verbs have no indirect causative. Some verbs may have an indirect causative stem, but may only semantically be simple causatives. In such cases indirectionality can be indicated by using an oblique phrase or a complementiser.

The choice of the postposition or complementiser is dependent on verb semantics. The postposition पाए pae 'by' is used by some writers (662a); the postposition दारे dare 'by' was also suggested, but this is considered by many to be non-cognate. If, however, the verb implies physical delivery, the postpositional phrase हाते hat-e 'hand-INSTR' is used (662b).
(662) a. चेङराड खिस्साखान (पोरेर पाए) सुनुवाण्कि।
cegra-ḍ $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{b} \Lambda r-\mathrm{t} \Lambda \quad$ (m$\wedge$ gi-r pae) sun-(u)wa-p-ki
young_man-NCLS news-NCLS (wife-GEN INSTR) hear-ICAUS-FUT-SA3
'The young man had (his wife) tell the news (to him/her).'
b. मुइ दादोडक (पामरटर हाते) खिलुवानु।

1sg[pro] grandfather-NCLS-DAT (Pāmar-NCLS-GEN hand-INSTR) feed-ICAUS-PST-1sg 'I had (Pāmar) feed (my) grandfather (by hand).'

The complementiser कहेने ksh-ene 'say-CONJ.PTCL' can be used if the causee is orally requested to deliver the action.
(663) मुइ पामरटक कहेने तोक नुकुवानु।
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { mui } & \text { pam } \wedge r-t!\wedge-k & \text { k } \_ \text {h-ene } & \text { to-k } & \text { nuk-(u)wa-n-u } \\ \text { 1sg[pro] } & \text { Pāmar-NCLS-DAT } & \text { say.CONJ.PTCL } & \text { 2sg[obl]-DAT } & \text { hide-ICAUS-PST-1sg }\end{array}$
'I had Pāmar hide you (by asking him to do so).'

### 7.5.2.3 Semantic considerations

The Hindi causatives suffixes -a and -va are described by Dixon (2000:67) as follows:

> "Both can be used with all kinds of verbs, implying a causee having control and the causer acting intentionally. They differ in terms of directness - suffix -a indicates that the causer acts directly and -va that they act indirectly."

These semantic parameters of directness, control and intention are also relevant in describing the causatives in Rājbanshi. Consider the intransitive in example (664a), where no external causer or causee is implied. In (664b) the causer directly causes the direct object to stop smoking (for example, by forcefully confiscating the person's cigarettes). In (664c) it is the causer who is directly, and intentionally, in control of the action.
(664) a. उहाँर बिरि खुवा आदतट छुटि गेल्कि।
uhã-r biri $\quad k^{h} u-a \quad a d \Lambda t-t ̣ \Lambda \quad c^{h} u t-i \quad$ ge-l-ki
3sg[pro]-GEN cigarette eat-ADJR habit-NCLS stop-ABS AUX-PST-SA3
'His smoking habit stopped.' (Implying, 'on its own')
b. मुइ उहाँक बिरि खाबा छुटानु।
mui uhã-k biri $k^{\text {ha }}$-ba $c^{h} u t=a-n-u$
$1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $3 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $]-D A T$ cigarette eat-INF stop-CAUS-PST-1sg
'I stopped him/her from smoking.'
c. मुइ उहाँर बिरि खुवा आदतट छुटानु।
mui uhã-r biri $k^{\text {h }} u-a \quad$ ad $\Lambda t-t ̣ \Lambda \quad c^{\text {h }} u t-a-n-u$
$1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $] 3 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $]-G E N$ cigarette eat-ADJR habit-NCLS stop-CAUS-PST-1sg 'I caused the cessation of his/her habit of smoking.'

Conversely, in example (665), the causer indirectly causes the action, albeit intentionally. Though $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ initiates the action (for example, by encouraging the smoker to stop), $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ is not in control of the outcome. It is the causee (the smoker) who is in direct control of the outcome of the action; that is, it is the smoker him/herself who decides to stop smoking, causing the action on him/herself. Directionality, therefore, appears to be central here.
(665) बहुतखान कठिने मुइ उहाँर बिरि खुवा आदतट छुटुवानु।

very-NCLS difficult-INSTR $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]-G E N$ cigarette eat-ADJR habit-NCLS
$c^{\text {h }}$ ut -(u)wa-n-u
stop-ICAUS-PST-1sg
'With great difficulty I (indirectly) caused the cessation of his/her habit of smoking.'

The causer's intention is not necessarily always implied. Consider example (666), which has two possible interpretations. According to interpretation (i) the causer intentionally and directly caused the action. Interpretation (ii), however, suggests that the intention is, as such, unspecified. The semantics of this particular conjunct verb is, however, highly specific, and therefore further study is required to determine to what degree intention plays a part in the causative system.
(666) (दादोर पाए) मोक काथा सुनुवालो।
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { (dado-r } & \text { pae) } & \text { mok } & \text { kat }^{\text {ha }} \text { a sun-(u)wa-l-o } \\ \text { (grandfather-GEN } & \text { INSTR) } & 1 \text { sg[obl]-DAT } & \text { story hear-ICAUS-PST-2sg }\end{array}$
'You caused me to be rebuked by grandfather.'
Interpretation (i) 'You told grandfather to rebuke me. You were the cause of that rebuke (directly, intentionally).'
Interpretation (ii) 'You told me to tell grandfather something. Grandfather found what I said uncouth, and therefore he rebuked me. You were the cause of that rebuke (indirectly; intention unspecified).'

### 7.5.2.4 Further notes

As mentioned in section 3.7.2 some verbs do not have both a simple and an indirect causative stem. In order to indicate causation in conjunction with these types of verbs, one must resort to periphrastic means.

Indirect causation can be expressed with the verb कह- kshs- 'say' and an infinitival verbal complement which is in the simple causative. Consider, for example, the indirect causative in example (667a). This can be expressed by periphrastic means as in (667b).
(667) a. चेङराड खिस्साखान (पोरेर पाए) सुनुवाफ्कि।
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { cenra-d } \Lambda & \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \text { isa-k }{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \text { an } & \text { (por-er } & \text { pae) } & \text { sun-(u)wa-p-ki } \\ \text { young_man-NCLS } & \text { story-NCLS } & \text { (other-GEN } & \text { INSTR) } & \text { hear-ICAUS-FUT-SA3 }\end{array}$
'The young man had (someone else) tell the story.'
b. चेङराड खिस्साखान (पोरेर पाए) सुनाबा कहप्कि।
cenra-ḍ $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{isa}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ an (por-er pae) sun-a-ba $\mathrm{k} \wedge \mathrm{h} \Lambda$-p-ki young_man-NCLS story-NCLS (other-GEN INSTR) hear-CAUS-INF say-FUT-SA3 'The young man told (someone else) to tell the story.'

The quasi-aspectual auxiliary दि- di- 'AUX' was found to occur frequently in conjunction with the causative (668a-c). This is similar to Hindi (Tikkanen 1991:179-180). ${ }^{92}$
(668) a. अन्तिमत कुकुरला खेटियालाक पिटाएने भागाए दिल्कि। (cf. MR.04.202)
$n$ ntim- $\Lambda$ t kukur-la k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ etia-la-k piṭa-ene $\quad b^{h}$ ag-a-e d-il-ki
end-LOC dog-PL jackal-PL-DAT chase-CONJ.PTCL escape-CAUS-ABS AUX-PST-SA3
'In the end the dogs, chasing the jackals, caused them to flee.'
b. राजाडर सङे गटलाके भेटघाट कराए दिप्कि। (cf. MR.05.347)

king-NCLS-GEN with all-PL-DAT $=$ EMPH visit do-CAUS-ABS AUX-FUT-SA3
'He had them all meet with the king.'
c. दादोर नाउँड मुइ हासाए दिन्किन।
dado-r naũ mui has-a-e di-n-ki-n
grandfather-GEN name $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ laugh-CAUS-ABS AUX-PST-SA3-1sg 'I damaged my grandfather's reputation.'

[^77]
## 8. Notes on discourse

In the following sections I will discuss how the distinction between future, present and past tense is neutralised in narratives in such a manner that all three refer to the past. This means that the distribution of tense is not governed by time reference per se (apart from the past tense to which they all refer) but by discourse function.

### 8.1 Tense neutralisation and discourse cohesion

This section will deal with the neutralisation of the future, present and past tenses in narrative discourse. I will begin with statistical observations which highlight the unusual behaviour of tense in Rājbanshi narratives, and will then discuss several possible triggering features, namely predicate semantics, aspect or discourse cohesion. I will also argue that it is in fact the latter feature which is the most likely cause of the neutralisation. I will then describe two ways in which tense is used within any given discourse, while at the same time maintaining that there are two interchangeable text 'types'. Interchangeability will be demonstrated by superimposing the two text types upon the same narrative. I will then consider the relationship between the historic present in English and the use of the present and future tenses in Rājbanshi, and finally present some irregularities in the text material used for this study.

### 8.1.1 Definitions

I will begin by defining the terms "narrative" and "tense neutralisation". Narrative is defined by Payne (1999 [1997]:358) thus:
"Narratives... are portions of discourse in which a speaker describes a set of events in the real world or some imagined world."

Following this definition, I maintain that narratives describe both real and fictitious events. I will also assume that narratives are understood as a depiction of past experience. Logically, real-life events are viewed as past experience, but in Rājbanshi fictitious events
are also viewed as such regardless of whether the narrative extensively uses the future or present tense to describe those events.
"Tense neutralisation" is used by Comrie (1993 [1985]:102-104) in the following manner:
"In several languages, there is a rule whereby within what would otherwise be a sequence of like tenses within a sentence, only the first verb shows the expected tense, while all subsequent verbs are in a single tense category, irrespective of the tense of the first verb."

Here Comrie refers to the Bahinemo language of Papua New Guinea, where the past tense is marked on the first verb of the paragraph, and the present tense on all successive verbs in that paragraph. The time reference of that particular paragraph is determined by the first verb. Therefore, the present tense used on all successive verbs in that paragraph is neutralised in respect to time, as the following example illustrates.
(669) Bahinemo
Nem na ya-tagiya-m, du-qi-yasinu, de-tenowa-u,
we sago eat-satisfy-remote:past neutral-repeat-get:up:present neutral-ascend-present
niba la-hina-fanel, $\quad$ idu du-wei
ridge immediate-upstream-arrive:present to:right neutral-walk:along:ridge:present
'After we ate sago until we were satisfied, we got up again, we ascended, immediately we
went up the stream bed and arrived at the ridge, we walked along the ridge to the right.'
(Comrie 1993 [1985]:103)

In this section, however, I will use the term "tense neutralisation" in a slightly different manner: in a Rājbanshi narrative the future, present and past tenses are neutralised in such a way that they all have a past time reference.

### 8.1.2 Statistical observations

To begin with, what strikes the reader of the MR. 05 text (cf. Appendix 3) is the frequency of the future tense as compared to the past tense. The frequency of the use of different verb inflection in this narrative is found in chart 2 (blue bars). The inflection count is based on 260 verbs out of a total of 436 found in the text. The remaining 176 verbs, which occur either in direct discourse or in discourse embedded within direct speech, have been discarded since it is unclear at this stage that tense neutralisation applies to them.

For comparison, the white bars in chart 2 represent TAM-marking frequencies in a recently published Rājbanshi narrative ( $n=273$, out of a total of 493 verbs). This written style closely mirrors Nepali, where it is predominantly the past tense which is used in narrative discourse.


The other narratives in Appendix 3 paint a different picture. Consider the blue bar in chart 3, where the present tense and the past tense are relatively equally distributed (DLR. 04 $\mathrm{n}=31$; DLR. $05 \mathrm{n}=46$; DLR. $06 \mathrm{n}=75$; GR. $01 \mathrm{n}=13$; GR. $02 \mathrm{n}=45$; MR. $04 \mathrm{n}=117$ ). The use of the future tense in these narratives is quite infrequent. The average frequencies for all of the texts in Appendix 3 (that is, including MR. 05 mentioned above) are presented in the white bar.


Chart 3. TAM averages in all narratives in Appendix 3
As mentioned in section 3.7.6.1 the future tense is often used for reference to a future point of time, and to indicate volition or ability. However, these can only partially account
for the uses of the future tense in the MR. 05 narrative. In this we frequently find the future being used in situations where we would expect, by comparing with Nepali usage, to find the past tense. Consider the following example, taken from the text, where the future tense indicates the conclusion of the preceding section.
(670) अन्तिमत उड बाघड जाबे हारिए। (cf. MR.05.036)
$\Lambda n t i m-\Lambda t \quad$ u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ bag $^{h}-d_{\Lambda} \quad$ ja-b-i har-ie
end-LOC that-NCLS tiger-NCLS AUX-FUT-3 lose-ABS
'In the end the tiger lost (lit. 'will lose').'

Similarly, in narratives which feature a high frequency of the present tense, one finds the present tense being used where one would, for example in Nepali, expect to find the past tense.
(671) कादखानत जाएने जाच्किक एकदम लटपटाए। (cf. MR.05.029) $\operatorname{kad} \Lambda-k^{\mathrm{h}}$ an- $\Lambda \mathrm{t}$ ja-ene ja-c-ki ekd $\Lambda \mathrm{m} 1 \Lambda t ̣ p \Lambda t ̣ a-e$ mud-NCLS-LOC go-CONJ.PTCL AUX-PRES-SA3 very roll(in_mud)-ABS 'He went into the mud and really rolled (lit. 'rolls') around (in it).'

```
(672) गटे दाहाड कादए काद करि देच्कि। (MR.05.030)
    g}\Lambdat!e daha-d \Lambda kad \Lambda = e kad ⿱ k ^r-i d-ec-k
    all body-NCLS mud=EMPH mud do-ABS AUX-PRES-SA3
    'His made (lit. 'makes') his whole body muddy.'
```

Based on these statistical observations what can clearly be stated is that tense in a Rājbanshi narrative behaves quite differently to what might have been anticipated.

### 8.1.3 Triggering features

To begin, the first question one needs to ask is whether the use of the future, present and past tense in Rājbanshi narrative is random, or whether it is perhaps governed by, for example, aspect or predicate semantics.

The first feature which needs to be considered is aspect: or more specifically to question perhaps whether is it possible that imperfectivity could trigger the unusual use of the present and future tenses. In (673) the present tense, and in (674) the future tense is used in conjunction with auxiliaries which have quasi-aspectual (perfective) implications (cf. sections 3.7.9.2.2.1-2). This should at least cast doubt on any correlation between the use of the present/future and aspect. Having said this, however, the present tense is sometimes found to associate with continuous states, as will be described in section
8.1.6. ${ }^{93}$ Instances of the future tense can also be found showing an association with continuous states (675).
(673) कादखानत जाएने जाच्कि लटपटाए। (cf. MR.05.029)
kad $\Lambda-k^{\mathrm{h}}$ an- $\Lambda \mathrm{t}$ ja-ene ja-c-ki $1 \Lambda+̣$ pṭa-e
mud-NCLS-LOC go-CONJ.PTCL AUX-PRES-SA3 roll(in_mud)-ABS
'He went into the mud and really rolled around in it.'
(674) बाघडर दाहाड गटडए खुने खुन हइ जाष्कि। (MR.05.037)
bag $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda-\mathrm{r} \quad$ daha-ḍ $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{g} \Lambda$ t-ṭ $\Lambda=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} u n=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} u \boldsymbol{n} \quad \mathrm{~h} \Lambda-\mathrm{i}$
tiger-NCLS-GEN body-NCLS all-NCLS $=$ EMPH blood(Nepali) $=$ EMPH blood(Nepali) be-ABS ja-p-ki
AUX-FUT-SA3
'The tiger's body became (lit. 'will be') bloody all over.'
(675) राति घुरि उहाँक जार लागबा धरिफ्कि। (cf. MR.05.064)
rati $g^{\text {h }}$ uri uhã-k jar lag-ba $d^{\text {h }} \Lambda r$-ip-ki
night during $3 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $]-D A T$ cold feel-INF begin-FUT-SA3
'During the night, he began to feel cold.'

The second possible factor triggering tense neutralisation is the semantics of the predicate. Does atelicity cause the use of the present tense, and does telicity cause the use of the past or future tenses? ${ }^{94}$ This was found not to be the case, however, since any given verb may be marked with any of the three tenses. For example the verb कह- $k \wedge h \Delta$ - 'say', in the telic sense, is found with present (676a), past (676b) and future (676c) tense marking, as the following examples indicate.
(676) a. त सुना कहचिक, 'ए चेङरा!' (cf. MR.05.014)
t $\Lambda$ suna kıh $\Lambda$-c-ki e cepra
PCL PCL say-PRES-SA3 INJ young_man
'They said to him, "Eh, young man..." '

[^78]b. बाघड आर सोरट कहल्कि, 'ले!' (cf. MR.05.052)
bag $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda \quad$ ar sor-ṭ $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{k} \wedge$ h $\Lambda-1-k i \quad$ le
tiger-NCLS and boar-NCLS say-PST-SA3 OK
'The tiger and the boar said, "OK." '
c. तने सुना उहाँक कहप्कि, 'ए, चेङरा!' (cf. MR.05.038-039)
tıne suna uhã-k kıhı-p-ki e ceŋra
PCL PCL 3sg[pro]-DAT say-FUT-SA3 INJ young_man
'Then he said to him: "Eh, young man!" '

Conversely, the atelic sense of the verb रह- rahs- 'remain; live; be' is found in the past (677a) and future (677b) tenses.
(677) a. बुऱाड बगलत नुकाए रोहोल। (cf. DLR.04.031)
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { bur }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda & \mathrm{b} \Lambda \mathrm{gl} \Lambda \mathrm{t} & \text { nuka-e } & \text { roho-1 } \\ \text { old_man-NCLS } & \text { near } & \text { hide-CONJ.PTCL } & \text { remain-PST3 }\end{array}$
'The old man remained there hiding close by.'
b. उड गेसेर पर जाएने चऱिए रहबे (MR.05.128)
u-ḍ $\Lambda$ ges-er pır ja-ene cлr ${ }^{\text {h }}$-ie rah $-b-i$
that-NCLS tree-GEN on_top go-CONJ.PTCL climb-CONJ.PTCL remain-FUT-3
'He went and climbed up that tree, and stayed there.'

Similarly, the verb आस- as- 'come' can be marked with the past (678), present (679) or future (680), regardless of telicity, and with no apparent change to the past tense reference of the clause. That is, the present tense in (679) does not imply that the present reference point has been designated to that point of time. Likewise, the future tense in example (680) does not imply that the action will happen at a future point in time relative to a present reference point.

However, in the larger discourse context the past tense in example (678) seems to indicate a prominent event (i.e. foreground information). The present tense in (679) signifies that the action therein is to be regarded as non-prominent (or, a "non-event") and therefore as background information. The future tense in (680) may point to a neutral state where no distinction is made between foreground and background information (this will be discussed in the following section).
(678) त सचिएने याहाँ घर आस्ले। (DLR.06.046; cf. also examples DLR.05.033 and MR.04.177)

| t $\Lambda \quad$ s $\wedge$-iene | yaha | $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$ | as-l-I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PCL think-CONJ.PTCL | DEM[pro/prox] | house come-PST-3 |  |
| 'Thinking (this) she came home.' |  |  |  |

(679) ते बेटिर घर से बुरिड बिदाबारि हएने आप्नार घरेर ति आसेचे। (cf. MR.04.129; cf. also examples DLR.04.018 and MR.04.131)
te beṭi-r $g^{h} \Lambda r$ se bur ${ }^{h} i-d_{\Lambda} \quad$ bidabari h $\Lambda$-ene
PCL daughter-GEN house ABL old_woman-NCLS dismissal be-CONJ.PTCL
apna-r $\quad g^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda r$-er ti as-ec-e
REFL[pro]-GEN house-GEN towards come-PRES-3
'Then the old woman, leaving her daughter's house, came towards her own house.'
(680) लदिड से सिनान करिए घरत चुलि आस्बे। (cf. MR.05.240; cf. also examples MR.05.270 and MR.05.272)
1 $\Lambda \mathrm{di}$-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ se $\sin -\mathrm{an} \quad$ k $\Lambda r-\mathrm{ie} \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\Lambda \mathrm{t}$ cul-i as-b-r river-NCLS ABL wash(body)-DVBL do-CONJ.PTCL house-LOC AUX-ABS come-FUT-3 'After bathing she came home from the river.'

It is therefore possible that tense neutralisation is associated with discourse cohesion, or more accurately, indicating information flow. In the following section I will describe how tense can be used in two ways within a section of narrative discourse.

### 8.1.4 Text types

So far I have been able to identify two text types, which I will call Type 1 and Type 2 respectively.

In a Type 1 text non-prominent events ("non-events") or background information are presented in the present tense. The predicate in the section conclusion in a Type 1 text is marked either with the past or the future. The past can also be used alongside the perfect, present and past habitual in the setting of a section (or the setting of an entire narrative). ${ }^{95}$

[^79](xxvi) Chodri (Thomas \& Thomas 1990:71)
doho velo velo uturin hed-to ha
old-man quickly quickly descended looking-3-m-sg-pr is-3-pr 'The old man, having quickly descended, is looking.'

Regarding this example Thomas et al. continue: "The background activity ... is marked by the use of the present continuous tense in the compound verb, which includes its dependent auxiliary be verb, and by the nonpunctiliar aspect -to on the main verb. The old man got down

Consider, for example, section MR.05.026-036 in the narrative MR.05. Sentence MR.05.026 opens the new discourse section with the perfect (681a). The main body of the section (i.e. sentences MR.05.027-034) uses the present tense (681b). The closure of the section in MR. 05.036 is in the future tense (681c). Equally natural would be to mark the section-final predicate in (681c) with the past tense. (Refer to Appendix 3 for the whole of the section.)
(681) a. आमा लाराइ कर्बा ध'इचे। (cf. MR.05.026)
$\mathrm{am}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ larai $\mathrm{k} \wedge \mathrm{r}$-ba $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda(\mathrm{r})$-ic-e
3pl[pro] fighting do-INF begin-PERF-3
'They had begun to fight.'
b. सोरट कादखानत जाएने जाच्कि लटपटाए। (cf. MR.05.028)

boar-NCLS mud-NCLS-LOC go-CONJ.PTCL AUX-PRES-SA3 roll(in_mud)-ABS
'The boar went into the mud and rolled around in it.'
from the tree and is looking to see whether the thieves have left something as they fled after hearing a sound."
Similarly, Christian \& Christian (1990:88; 90) find that in Garhwali (Indo-Aryan, Northern zone, Garhwali): "The past progressive, and sometimes present progressive, tense is used for supportive material in the main body of a discourse. ... The extensive use of the verb be, both in the past as well as the present tense, marks the setting. ... Another narrative uses present tense to set the stage: 'This is a story of one time. There are two brothers. One brother is poor. The other is rich. There are seven sons (belonging) to the poor (brother), and also there are seven (belonging) to the rich. In the case of the children they are the same.' "
Conversely, Soundararaj \& Soundaraj (1990:135) assert that in Maria Dandami (or, 'Bison-Horn Madiya'; Dravidian, South-Central, Gondi-Kui, Gondi) the present tense is used in pre-peak material: "A characteristic of Bison-Horn Madiya narratives is that they closely follow the storyline without much departure from it. ... certain semantically durative forms (present, continuous, habitual) which occur in prepeak (or early peak) are not set up as a background activities band but rather as a variation of the storyline peculiar to their positioning within the story."
Soundararaj et al (1990:136-137) continues: "For prepeak pivotal events, the simple present or present continuous tense is used. In the Hunter story to hunter (Maro) kills a bison. It runs for a long distance and dies in the antagonist's field. The hunter does not know where it died, but the bird that helps the hunter knows. Without saying a word to the hunter, it goes back and forth from the hunter's location to the antagonist's field. Only from this action does the hunter know the location of the bison. The bird's action is reported in the simple present."
(xxvii) Maria, Dandami (Soundararaj \& Soundaraj 1990:137)

1. biimar ḍatata venḍe vaata 2 . agaṭinna venḍe vaata venḍe daata eagle goes-it again comes-it here-from again comes-it again goes-it
'1. The eagle comes and goes. 2. From here again it comes and goes.'
c. अन्तिमत सुना उड बाघड जाबे हारिए। (MR.05.036)
$\Lambda n t i m-\Lambda t$ suna u-ḍ $\Lambda$ bag ${ }^{\text {h}}-$ den ja-b-I har-ie end-LOC PCL that-NCLS tiger-NCLS AUX-FUT-3 lose-ABS 'In the end the tiger lost (lit. 'will lose').'

This being so, one would expect the past (or, the future) tense to correlate with adverb phrases which signal section closure, such as अन्तिमत antimst 'in the end'. This is indeed the case, as the following examples show: the past tense is used in (682a), and the future tense in (682b). To use the present tense in these instances would apparently sound odd to the native ear.
(682) a.

अन्तिमत गटे खेटियालाक पिटाएने भागाए दिल्कि। (cf. MR.04.202)
$\Lambda n t i m-\Lambda t \quad$ g $\Lambda$ te $k^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la-k piṭa-ene $\quad b^{\mathrm{h}}$ ag-a-e $\quad$ d-il-ki
end-LOC all jackal-PL-DAT chase-CONJ.PTCL escape-CAUS-ABS AUX-PST-SA3
'In the end the dogs chasing all of the jackals made (lit. 'made') them flee.'
b. अन्तिमत सुना उड बाघड जाबे हारिए। (MR.05.036)
$\Lambda n t i m-\Lambda t$ suna u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ bag $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{d}_{\Lambda} \Lambda \quad$ ja-b-i har-ie
end-LOC PCL that-NCLS tiger-NCLS AUX-FUT-3 lose-ABS
'In the end the tiger lost (lit. 'will lose').'

In a Type 2 text all predicates from the beginning to the end of a section are in the future (again, this does not take into account the present, past, perfect, past habitual and past continuous/remote past used in the setting). Consider, for example, section MR.05.062079. The setting is portrayed in the perfect (683a), while all successive predicates (excluding those in direct discourse) are in the future tense (683b-d). In this kind of narrative context, it seems that the future tense is neutral in regard to discourse cohesion. (Refer to Appendix 3 for the whole of the section.)
(683) a. त सुना चेङराड आप घर पुगि गिछे। (MR.05.062)
t $\Lambda$ suna cenra-ḍ $\Lambda$ ap $g^{h} \Lambda r$ pug-i g-ic ${ }^{\text {h }}-e$
PCL PCL young_man-NCLS PCL house reach-ABS AUX-PERF-3
'The young man had (already) arrived home.'
b. उहाँ सुना आप्नार घर थाक्बा जाबे। (cf. MR.05.063)
uhã suna apna-r $\quad g^{h} \Lambda r \quad t^{h} a k-b a \quad j a-b-I$
3sg[pro] PCL REFL[pro]-GEN house sleep-INF go-FUT-3
'He went to (his) own home to sleep.'
c. राति घुरि उहाँक जार लाग्बा धरिफ्कि। (cf. MR.05.064)
rati $g^{h} u r i \quad u h a ̃-k \quad$ jar lag-ba $d^{h} \Lambda r-i p-k i$
night during $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{DAT}$ cold feel-INF begin-FUT-SA3
'During the night, he began to feel cold.'
d. मोक एनङ डर लागिस्ले ना कहेने उहाँ बल्बे माअडर सङे। (cf. MR.05.079)
mo-k en $\wedge$ y ḍ $\wedge$ r lag-isl-i na k hh-ene

1sg[obl]-DAT like_this fear feel-REM.PST-3 PCL say-CONJ.PTCL

3sg[pro] speak-FUT-3 mother-NCLS-GEN with
'Saying, "I was so afraid", he spoke with (his) mother.'

Preliminary observations indicate that despite the use of the present and future tenses, the actual time reference for narratives in either of these text types is the past. ${ }^{96}$

### 8.1.5 Interchangeability of text types

What is most interesting is that these two text types are (almost) fully interchangeable. This means that a section narrated with a Type 1 structure can also be told with a Type 2 structure, and vice versa, with no change to the meaning.

The following short Type 1 narrative was told by Pāmar Rājbanshi (Narrative 1). Type 2 structure has then been superimposed upon the narrative (Narrative 2), and what emerges is an equally acceptable version of the original.

[^80]






Narrative 2. Narrative told with a Type 2 structure (3/3)

### 8.1.6 Further observations

The domain of a text type seems to be the section or subsection. The narrator has the freedom to choose the text type at the beginning. The first predicate, following the setting, determines the text type for the remainder of that section. The text type in any section/paragraph must be retained until the end of that section/paragraph. ${ }^{97}$

[^81]These observations are primarily based upon larger extracts from the MR. 05 narrative, and the intuition of mother-tongue speakers of the language. Changing text types in midsection does actually happen in practice, especially after direct discourse. Consider section MR.05.193-205, for example. It begins as a Type 1 text (MR.05.193-196), but after the direct discourse in MR.05.196-197 continues as a Type 2 text (MR.05.198-203). Again, after direct discourse in MR.05.204, the section closes as a Type 1 text. See Table 61 for further examples.

Typologically speaking, it is interesting that also Bahinemo (mentioned earlier) uses the paragraph as the domain for time reference:
"For example, Bahinemo of Papua New Guinea sets the time for an entire paragraph by the use of tense on the verb of a single dependent clause at the beginning of the paragraph. Godie of Ivory Coast can set the base time once for a whole text. This would suggest that time reference is a property of fairly large discourse subtrees or even of entire discourses taken as wholes..." (Grimes 1975:231-232; cf. also Marchese 1978)

Consider examples (683a-d). The setting in (683a) is in the perfect. The first verb of the storyline following the setting is in the future, and this then determines that a Type 2 structure will follow.

Conversely consider MR.05.026-036, as described in examples (681a-c) above. The setting is in the perfect. The first verb to follow the setting is in the present, and this then defines it as Type 1 for the remainder of that section.

This means that any given narrative may have both of these text types adjacent to each other (such as in MR.05). Some narratives, however, use just one type throughout a narrative: such as the Type 1 used in narrative GR. 02 (overlooking some irregularities), or the Type 2 in SR. 01 (overlooking some irregularities). Quite often it seems to be a speaker-style related issue, although, as narrative MR. 05 shows, a speaker may well utilize both styles.

In some cases the present tense does seem to bear some relationship to the continuous aspect, much as does the past continuous (or, remote past). The past continuous in (684a)

Moreover, Wolfson (1979:172) asserts that: "Concentrating on the present-tense forms in isolation, they conclude that the use of a present tense in the context of a narrative can have only one meaning: to make the story dramatic by placing the important events in the same time as the telling. If, however, we look at the entire discourse, we see that there is nothing about those events which are rendered in the present which makes them more dramatic than those told in the past."
Schiffrin (1981; and (partially) Silva-Corvalán 1983) presents evidence which refutes this analysis. However, Wolfson's claims are interesting as regards this analysis of Rājbanshi insofar as she maintains that tense stays the same throughout an event. Schiffrin (1981:55-56) counters this argument by asserting that "there seems to be a general tendency for particular grammatical forms to cluster together."
refers to a continuous state/action which had already begun, and which has a relevance to the (narrative's) present point of time. The present tense in (684b) indicates a state/action which is in progress, but although the state/action was logically instigated in a past point of time, it does not refer to the relevance of a past state/action per se.
(684) a. एनङ उहाँ छागल चराइस्ले। (cf. MR.05.006)
en $\wedge$ y unã chagıl cara-isl-I
like_this 3 sg [pro] female_goat graze-REM.PST-3
'He was grazing the goats like this.'
b. एनङ उहाँ छागल चराचे।
en^y uhã chagлl cлra-c-e
like_this $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] female_goat graze-PRES-3
'He was grazing (lit. is grazing) the goats like this.'

In Table 61 below, the sections and subsections of the narrative MR. 05 are presented according to text type (section breaks have been determined intuitively, and they are therefore in some cases open to question). Some irregularities are quite evident: sections which were difficult to classify are identified as "uncertain". Irregularities will be considered further in section 8.1.8.

Table 61. Text types in the MR. 05 narrative

| Text type 1 | MR.05.004-010, MR.05.011-025, MR.05.026-036, MR.05.055-061, MR.05.216-226 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Text type 2 | MR.05.037-054, MR.05.062-079, MR.05.081-085, MR.05.093-102, MR.05.104-116, |
|  | MR.05.118-133, MR.05.134-137, MR.05.147-162, MR.05.163-175, |
|  | MR.05.176-185, MR.05.186-192, MR.05.227-239, MR.05.240-258, |
|  | MR.05.259-269 (though, MR.05.261-262 is uncertain), MR.05.290-295, |
|  | MR.05.296-308 (end of this section MR.05.310-313 is uncertain) |
|  | MR.05.350-358 |$|$| MR.05.193-196 (Type 1) > MR.05.198-203 (Type 2) > MR.05.205 (type 1) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Switch of text |  |
| types in mid- |  |
| section | MR.05.206 (type 1) > MR.05.210-215 (type 2) |
|  | MR.05.336-338 (type 1) > MR.05.339-348 (type 2) |

### 8.1.7 Historic present

By this stage the reader will have no doubt considered the relationship between the behaviour of the present tense in Rājbanshi, described above, and the historic present which occurs in English. Trask (1993) defines the historic present as:
"The use of a present-tense form with past time reference, as sometimes occurs in narratives with the function of adding vividness."

There does indeed seem to be a notable similarity between the English historic present and the neutralised present and future tenses in Rājbanshi. Namely, that when the (Type 1) present and (Type 2) future are used, it is as if the narrator is actually witnessing the events being described. This corresponds with what Jesperson (1931) notes about the English historic present.
"...the speaker, as it were, forgets all about time and imagines, or remembers, what he is recounting, as vividly as if it were now present before his own eyes."

There are, nevertheless, considerable differences between the English historic present and the present tense usage in Rājbanshi. Firstly, as Schiffrin reports (referred to in Chafe 1994:209), the historic present in English occurs in conjunction with foreground rather than background material (Silva-Corvalán 1983 presents similar findings in the Spanish historic present).
"Deborah Schiffrin ... reported that in one set of data there were 'more occurrences of the [historical present] in the climax of a narrative ... and in the build-up to the climax ... than in the clauses either preceding the build up or following the climax.' "

The historic present in English is therefore a marked construction. Conversely in Rājbanshi the present tense is used for (unmarked) background material, whereas the past or future are used for prominent events and section closure. It is therefore not the former but the latter which relates to the climax of a narrative. Additionally, it logically follows from this that the present tense in Rājbanshi is used far more frequently than the historic present is in English.

Moreover, if we equate the English historic present with the use of the present tense in Rājbanshi, we will also need to postulate two types of "historic future": firstly a "historic future" which indicates section closure and prominent events (as in Type 1 texts), and secondly a "historic future" which does not distinguish between background and foreground information at all (as in Type 2 texts).

If we choose to utilise the terms the "historic present" and the "historic future", we can not claim that they are merely stylistic devices without paying attention to how tense coincides with discourse structure. Consider Chafe (1994:208) who observes, much as Jesperson above:
"Speakers in the displaced mode may pretend to be representing experiences that are closer to those of an extroverted consciousness in either or both of two ways. One device of this sort is the historical present; the other is direct speech. ... The effect is to present the event or state as if its time coincided with that of the representing consciousness."

As already explained, a Type 1 section in a Rājbanshi narrative requires a change from the present tense to the past or future tense alongside prominent events, or at a section closure. Since tense is, at least partially, determined by the structure of the discourse itself, the unusual use of tense can not be adequately described as merely a stylistic device the narrator uses to manipulate the representation of an experience.

Nevertheless, having said this there are also texts which do have a predominantly present tense. ${ }^{98}$ Consider Narrative 3, at the end of this section, which remains in the present tense throughout. Mother-tongue speakers of the language find this to be a perfectly natural style. Perhaps, therefore, the Rājbanshi historical present should be defined in more general terms. That is, it is used for the whole time reference of a narrative. This would, however, still not account for the other discourse-related features which the past and future tenses seem to have in conjunction with the present tense.

It is for these reasons that I hesitate to suggest the historic present in English narrative and the present tense in Rājbanshi narrative are equivalents. If we are to use the term "historic present" for Rājbanshi tense neutralisation we will need to redefine the term accordingly and, in addition, to postulate a (complex) term called the "historic future".

Givón (1984:288-289), concerning TAM and discourse information in general, suggests:
"There is a strong probabilistic correlation in connected discourse between the various TAM distinctions described earlier and the foreground/background distinction. The various correlations may be summarized as follows:

|  | Discourse foreground/background correlations of tense-aspect-modality |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| feature | foreground | background |
| tense | past | present, future, past habitual |
| sequentiality | in-sequence | out-of-sequence, anterior, perfect |
| durativity | compact/punctual | durative/continuous |
| perfectivity | perfective/completive | imperfective/incompletive |
| modality | realis | irrealis |
| (activeness) | (action/event) | (state) |
| (syntax) | (main clauses) | (subordinate clause) |

The first thing one must emphasize with regard to these correlations, is that they are not absolute but rather probabilistic. The second is that they pertain most typically to narrative discourse, and are less evident in face-to-face conversation, and even less so in specialised procedural discourse. Nonetheless, they represent the basis for a function-based elucidation of markedness in TAM systems. There is nothing logically necessary about these correlations. Rather, they represent the cultural-cognitive-perceptual facts concerning what humans are most

[^82]likely to consider noteworthy, informative, salient, memorable or outstanding in the coding and communication of experience."

Tense neutralisation in Rājbanshi certainly relates closer to Givón's observations, than to the English historic present, with the exception that the future tense in Rājbanshi can indicate not only background information (in text Type 2 structure), but also foreground information (in text Type 1 structure). Note that Givón also claims that durative/continuous events and the imperfective aspect (as discussed in sections 8.1.3 and 8.1.6) correlate with background information, and moreover with the present tense.

## Section 1



Narrative 3. Narrative told with a predominant present tense (1/2) Extract from text by Pāni Lāl Rājbanshi, Duhāgaḍhi

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Section 2 | f. इहा काथा सुनिए पारबतिडा माअडार घर से घर आसेचे। <br> yaha kat ${ }^{\text {ha } a ~ s u n-i e ~ p a r b s t i-d ̣ a ~ m a s-d ̣ a-r ~}$ <br> 3sg[pro/prox] story hear-CONJ.PTCL Parbati-NCLS mother-NCLS-GEN <br> $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$ se $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$ as-ec-e <br> house ABL house come-PRES-3 <br> 'When Parbati heard this story she left her mother's house and returned home.' <br> g. हेता आप्नार घरला जला देखिए आर सिबडाक घरत नि पाएने पारबतिडाअ सिबडार अनुरागत पागलिर लाखा हएने आप्नार सङगिलाक लिए सिबडाक अन्छिबा निक्लेचे। <br> heta apna-r $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge$ r-la j $\Lambda \mathrm{l}-\mathrm{a} \quad \operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}$-ie ar here REFL[pro]-GEN house-PL burn-PST.PTCL see-CONJ.PTCL and sib-ḍa-k $\quad g^{\text {h }} \Lambda r-\Lambda t \quad$ ni pa-ene parb $\Delta t i-d a=\Lambda$ <br> Sib-NCLS-DAT house-LOC NEG find-CONJ.PTCL Parbati-NCLS $=$ CNJ <br> sib-ḍa-r $\quad$ nurag-лt pagli-r lak ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ hл-ene apna-r <br> Sib-GEN longing-LOC man_girl-GEN like be-CONJ.PTCL REFL[pro]-GEN <br> s $\quad$ l-ie sib-ḍa-k $\quad$ nch ${ }^{\text {hi-ba }}$ nikl-ec-e <br> friend-PL-DAT take-CONJ.PTCL Sib-NCLS-DAT search-INF go_out-PRES-3 <br> 'Here, finding her houses burnt, and not finding Sib at home, Parbati became a mad girl out of longing for Sib. She took her friends and went out to look for Sib.' <br> h. अम़ा सघाए घरे घरे जाएने सिबडाक अन्छाए बेराचे। $\begin{array}{lllll} \Lambda \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a} & \mathrm{~s} \Lambda \mathrm{~g}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ae} & \mathrm{~g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}=\mathrm{e} & \mathrm{~g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}=\mathrm{e} & \text { ja-ene } \\ \text { 3pl[pro] } & \text { all } & \text { house }=\mathrm{EMPH} & \text { house }=\mathrm{EMPH} & \text { go-CONJ.PTCL } \\ \text { sib-ḍa-k } & & \Lambda n c^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e} & \text { bera-c-e } & \end{array}$ <br> Sib-NCLS-DAT search-CONJ.PTCL walk-PRES-3 <br> 'All of them went from house to house, walked and searched for Sib.' |

Narrative 3. Narrative told with a predominant present tense (2/2) Extract from text by Pāni Lāl Rājbanshi, Duhāgaḍhi

### 8.1.8 Irregularities

Having made these observations, I must add that much is still left to be done to adequately describe the unusual behaviour of tense in Rājbanshi. The irregularities encountered in oral and written narratives studied are substantial enough to warrant caution in stating anything categorical about tense neutralisation.

Firstly, as mentioned above, the written narrative seldom corresponds to the discourse structure described (though some written narratives do). This is most likely, to quote
some mother-tongue speakers of the language, due to Nepali influence. Moreover, comparisons with text material elicited from the older generation would possibly highlight whether the irregularities encountered might actually be due to the younger generation discarding the Rājbanshi tense neutralisation feature.

Secondly, not all of the transcribed narratives in Appendix 3 are as uniform as MR.05. Some narratives do not correspond well with the text types described above; in fact, tense usage in narrative DLR. 06 almost calls for a rethinking of aspect and/or predicate semantics as triggering features. Additionally, whether (non-)sequentiality of actions within a narrative could be a triggering factor should also be studied further.

Chart 4 below shows the variation in tense marking in all of the transcribed texts.


Chart 4. Use of TAM in each narrative in Appendix 3
Thirdly, some narrative recordings do feature a predominant past (cf. PLR.01; AR.02, although informant AR also frequently uses the present tense in AR.01).

Finally, further research will need to take dialectal differences into consideration. It was reported that dialects which are substantially influenced by other Indo-Aryan languages to the south in Bihār, or to the east in West Bengal may not have this feature. Further research is needed to verify this claim.

### 8.1.9 Conclusion

To conclude, due to the irregularities described, any analysis of tense neutralisation must be considered tentative at this stage. What can be said for certain however is that the
unusual use of the future and present tenses in oral narrative discourse is regarded by many speakers as perfectly natural.

On the other hand, the predominant past is clearly considered by many speakers as somewhat unnatural and dry. If the analysis above proves to be correct, the unnaturalness of a narrative with a predominant past may be due to a lack of flow and connectivity. That is, the narrative with a predominant past is more like a list of propositions. Of course, chronological sequence, adverbs and discourse particles will still adequately provide the flow of information, but the absence of tense neutralisation may cause a feeling of imbalance.
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## Appendix 1: Verb charts

The verb forms presented in the following charts constitute for the most part the dialects from south-western and northern Jhāpā. They include including free and dialectal variation of these dialects known to date. Some forms from far-eastern Jhāpa have also been mentioned.

Secondary agreement non-marked

खा- $k^{h a-}$ 'eat' (verb stem type 1)............................................................................................... 389
कह- kıhs- 'say' (verb stem type 2)............................................................................................ 389
ह- hs- 'be' (verb stem type 2).................................................................................................... 389
जा- ja- 'go' (verb stem type 3).................................................................................................. 392
लि- li- 'take' (verb stem type 3)................................................................................................ 392
दि- di- 'give' (verb stem type 3)................................................................................................ 392
आस- as- 'come' (verb stem type 4)........................................................................................... 396
मार- mar- 'beat; kill' (verb stem type 5).................................................................................... 396
सम्ठ- sımṭ ${ }^{h}$ - 'finish' (verb stem type 6)..................................................................................... 396

Secondary agreement marked

ठुक- $t^{h} u k$ - 'hit' (verb stem type 4)............................................................................................. 401

Additionally, the following charts present a preliminary paradigm from the Dādar Bairiā and Rangeli dialects of Morang.

Secondary agreement non-marked
$\qquad$
आस- as- 'come'

Secondary agreement marked
$\qquad$

|  | Verb paradigm: south-western and northern Jhāpā |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Verb stem type 1 (stem-final vowel) खा- $k^{h} a$ - 'eat' | Verb stem type 2 (stem-final -अ - ) कह- kıhs- 'say' | Verb stem type 2 (stem-final -अ - ) ह- ha-'be' |
|  | Future |  |  |
| 1sg | खाम $k^{h} a-m$ 'eat-FUT1sg' खामु $k^{h} a-m-u$ 'eat-FUT-1sg' | कहम $k \wedge h \Lambda-m$ 'say-FUT1sg' | हम $h \lambda-m$ 'be-FUT1sg' <br> हमु $h \lambda-m-u$ 'be-FUT-1sg' |
| 2sg | खाबो $k^{h} a-b-o$ 'eat-FUT-2sg' | कहबो kshs-b-o 'say-FUT-2sg' | हबो $h \Delta-b-o$ 'be-FUT-2sg' |
| 3 sg | खाबे $k^{\text {ha-b-I 'eat-FUT-3' }}$ | कहबे kshs-b-I 'say-FUT-3' | हबे $h \Lambda-b-I$ 'be-FUT-3' |
| 1 pl | खाम $k^{h} a-m-\Lambda$ 'eat-FUT-1pl' | कहम kshı-m-s 'say-FUT-1pl' |  |
| 2 pl | खाबन $k^{h} a-b-\Lambda n$ 'eat-FUT-2pl' | कहबन kıhs-b-ın 'say-FUT-2pl' | हबन $h \Lambda-b-\wedge n$ 'be-FUT-2pl' |
| 3 pl | खाबे $k^{\text {ha-b-I }}$ 'eat-FUT-3' | कहबे kıhı-b-I 'say-FUT-3' | हबे ha-b-I 'be-FUT-3' |
|  | Present |  |  |
| 1sg | खाचु $k^{h} a-c-u$ 'eat-PRES-1sg' | कहचु $k \lambda h \Delta-c-u$ 'say-PRES-1sg' | हचु $h \Delta-c-u$ 'be-PRES-1sg' |
| 2sg | खाचिस $k^{h} a-c$-is 'eat-PRES-2sg' <br> खाचित $k^{h} a-c-i t$ 'eat-PRES-2sg' | कहचिस ksha-c-is 'say-PRES-2sg' <br> कहचित kshs-c-it 'say-PRES-2sg' | हचिस $h \lambda-c-i s$ 'be-PRES-2sg' |
| 3sg | खाचे $k^{\text {ha }}$-c-e 'eat-PRES-3' | कहचे $k \wedge h \Delta-c-e$ 'say-PRES-3' | हचे $h x$-c-e 'be-PRES-3' |
| 1 pl | खाचि $k^{h} a-c-i$ 'eat-PRES-1pl' | कहचि kıhs-c-i 'say-PRES-1pl' | हचि $h_{A-c-i}$ 'be-PRES-1pl' |
| 2pl | खाचन $k^{h} a-c-\wedge n$ 'eat-PRES-2pl' | कहचन $k \Lambda h \Lambda-c-\Lambda n$ 'say-PRES-2pl' | हचन $h \Lambda-c-\Lambda n$ 'be-PRES-2pl' |
| 3 pl | खाचे $k^{\text {ha }}$ a-c-e 'eat-PRES-3' | कहचे $k \lambda h \Lambda-c-e$ 'say-PRES-3' | हचे $h \lambda$-c-e 'be-PRES-3' |
|  | Past |  |  |
| 1sg | खानु $k^{\text {ha }}$-n-u 'eat-PST-1 sg' | कहनु kıhı-n-u 'say-PST-1sg' | हनु $h x-n-u$ 'be-PST-1 sg' |
| 2sg | खालो $k^{h} a-1-o$ 'eat-PST-2sg' | कहलो kshı-l-o 'say-PST-2sg' | हलो $h x-1-o$ 'be-PST-2sg' |
| 3sg | खाले $k^{h} a-1-I$ 'eat-PST-3' <br> खाल $k^{h} a-1$ 'eat-PST3' | कहले ksha-l-I 'say-PST-3' <br> कोहोल koho-1 'say-PST3' | हले $h \Delta-l-I \quad$ 'be-PST-3' होल ho-1 'be-PST-3' |
| 1pl | खान $k^{h} a-n-\Lambda$ 'eat-PST-1pl' |  | हन $h \Lambda-n-\Lambda$ 'be-PST-1pl' |
| 2pl | खालन $k^{\text {ha }}$-l-ın 'eat-PST-2pl' | कहलन kıhı-l-ın 'say-PST-2pl' | हलन $h \Lambda-1-\wedge n$ 'be-PST-2pl' |


| 3 pl | खाले $k^{h a-l-I}$ 'eat-PST-3' <br> खाल $k^{h}$ a-l 'eat-PST3' | कहले kshs-l-I 'say-PST-3' कोहोल koho-1 'say-PST-3' | हले $h \Delta-l-I$ 'be-PST-3' होल ho-1 'be-PST-3' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Perfect |  |  |
| 1sg | खाइचु $k^{\text {ha-ic-e }}$ 'eat-PERF-1sg' | कहिचु kıh-ic-u 'say-PERF-1sg' | हइचु $h_{4-i c-u}$ 'be-PERF-1sg' |
| 2sg | खाइचिस $k^{h}$ a-ic-is 'eat-PERF-2sg' खाइचित $k^{h}$ a-ic-it 'eat-PERF-2sg' | कहिचिस ksh-ic-is 'say-PERF-2sg' कहिचित ksh-ic-it 'say-PERF-2sg' | हइचिस ha-ic-is 'be-PERF-2sg' |
| 3sg | खाइचे $k^{h} a$-ic-e 'eat-PERF-3' | कहिचे ksh-ic-e 'say-PERF-3' | हइचे $h x$-ic-e 'be-PERF-3' |
| 1 pl | खाइचि $k^{h} \mathrm{a}$-ic-i ${ }^{\text {'eat-PERF-1 }}$ pl' | कहिचि kıh-ic-i 'say-PERF-1pl' | हइचि $h_{\lambda \text {-ic-i }}$ 'be-PERF-1pl' |
| 2 pl | खाइचन $\mathrm{k}^{h} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ic}$-ın 'eat-PERF-2pl' | कहिचन kıh-ic-ın 'say-PERF-2pl' | हइचन $h_{\Lambda-i c-\Lambda n ~ ' b e-P E R F-2 p l ' ~}^{\text {' }}$ |
| 3 pl | खाइचे $k^{h} a$-ic-e 'eat-PERF-3' | कहिचे ksh-ic-e 'say-PERF-3' | हइचे $h x$-ic-e 'be-PERF-3' |
|  | Past continuous, remote past |  |  |
| 1sg | खाइस्नु $k^{\text {ha }}$-isn-u ${ }^{\text {'eat-REM-PST-1 } \mathrm{sg} \text { ' }}$ | कहिस्नु kıh-isn-u 'say-REM-PST-1sg' | हइस्तु $h_{s-i s n-u}$ 'be-REM.PST-1sg' |
| 2sg | खाइस्लो $k^{h} a$-isl-o 'eat-REM-PST-2sg' | कहिस्लो kıh-isl-o 'say-REM-PST-2sg' | हइस्लो $h_{A-\text {-isl-o 'be-REM.PST-2sg' }}$ |
| 3sg | खाइस्ले $k^{h}$ a-isl-I 'eat-REM-PST-3' | कहिस्ले kıh-isl-I 'say-REM-PST-3' | हइस्ले $h \lambda$-isl-I 'be-REM.PST-3' |
| 1 pl | खाइस्न $k^{h}{ }^{\text {a }}$-isn-s ${ }^{\text {'eat-REM-PST-1pl' }}$ | कहिस्न kıh-isn-s 'say-REM-PST-1pl' | हइस्न $h_{\Lambda-i s n-\Lambda ~ ' b e-R E M . P S T-1 p l ' ~}^{\text {' }}$ |
| 2 pl | खाइस्लन $k^{h}{ }^{\text {a }}$-isl-ın 'eat-REM-PST-2pl' | कहिस्लन kıh-isl-ın 'say-REM-PST-2pl' | हइस्लन् h $\frac{1}{\text {-isl-ın 'be-REM.PST-2pl' }}$ |
| 3 pl | खाइस्ले $k^{h}$ a-isl-I 'eat-REM-PST-3' | कहिस्ले kıh-isl-I 'say-REM-PST-3' | हइस्ले h $h$-isl-I 'be-REM.PST-3' |
|  | Imperative |  |  |
| 2sg | खा $k^{h} a-\varnothing$ 'eat-IMP2sg' | कोहो koho- $\varnothing$ 'say-IMP2sg' | हो ho- $\varnothing$ 'be-IMP2sg' |
| 2 pl | खाअ $k^{h} a-\Lambda$ 'eat-IMP2pl' | कह kıh-ı 'say-IMP2sg' | ह $h$ - 'be-IMP2pl' |
|  | Past conditional |  |  |
| 1sg | खानुतन $k^{h} a-n-u=t \wedge n$ 'eat-PST-1sg=PST.COND' <br> खानुहने $k^{h} a-n-u=h \wedge n e ~ ' e a t-P S T-1 s g=P S T . C O N D ' ~$ | कहनुतन $k \wedge h \wedge-n-u=t_{\wedge} n$ 'say-PST-1sg = PST.COND' कहनुहने $k \wedge h \wedge-n-u=h \wedge n e ~ ' s a y-P S T-1 s g=P S T . C O N D ' ~$ | हनुतन $h \Delta-n-u=t \wedge n$ 'be-PST-1sg = PST.COND' <br> हनुहने $h \Delta-n-u=h \wedge n e ~ ' b e-P S T-1 s g=P S T . C O N D ' ~$ |
| 2sg | खालोतन $k^{h} a-1-o=t \wedge n$ 'eat-PST- $2 \mathrm{sg}=$ PST.COND' खालोहने $k^{h} a-1-o=h \wedge n e ~ ' e a t-P S T-2 s g=P S T . C O N D ' ~$ | कहलोतन $k \wedge h \Lambda-l-o=t \wedge n$ 'say-PST- $2 \mathrm{sg}=$ PST.COND' कहलोहने $\mathrm{k} \wedge$ ha-l-o = hane 'say-PST-2sg = PST.COND' | हलोतन $h \Delta-1-o=t \wedge n$ 'be-PST-2sg $=$ PST.COND' <br> हलोहने $h \Delta-l-o=h \wedge n e ~ ' b e-P S T-2 s g=$ PST.COND' |
| 3sg | खालेतन $k^{h} a-1-I=t_{\text {tn }}$ 'eat-PST-3 $=$ PST.COND' | कहलेतन kıhı-1-I=tın 'say-PST-3 = PST.COND' | हलेतन hı-l-I=tın 'be-PST-3 = PST.COND' |


|  |  | कहलेहने kıhı-l-I=hıne 'say-PST-3 = PST.COND' | हलेहने $h_{\Lambda-l-I=}$ h $n$ ne 'be-PST-3 = PST.COND' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1pl | खानतन $k^{h} a-n-\Lambda=t \wedge n$ 'eat-PST-1pl=PST.COND' <br> खानहने $k^{h} a-n-\Lambda=h \wedge n e ~ ' e a t-P S T-1 p l=P S T . C O N D ' ~$ | कहनतन $k \wedge h \Delta-n-\Lambda=t \wedge n$ 'say-PST-1pl=PST.COND' कहनहने $k \wedge h \Lambda-n-\Lambda=h \wedge n e ~ ' s a y-P S T-1 p l=P S T . C O N D ' ~$ | हनतन $h \Lambda-n-\Lambda=t \wedge n$ 'be-PST-1pl=PST.COND' <br> हनहने $h \Lambda-n-\Lambda=h \wedge n e ~ ' b e-P S T-1 p l=P S T . C O N D ' ~$ |
| 2pl | खालनतन $k^{h} a-1-\Lambda n=t \Lambda n$ 'eat-PST-2pl=PST.COND' <br> खालनहने $k^{h} a-1-\Lambda n=h \Delta n e ~ ' e a t-P S T-2 p l=P S T . C O N D ' ~$ | कहलनतन $k \wedge h \Lambda-1-\Lambda n=t \wedge n$ 'say-PST-2pl=PST.COND' <br> कहलनहने $k \wedge h \Lambda-l-\wedge n=h \wedge n e ~ ' s a y-P S T-2 p l=P S T . C O N D ' ~$ | हलनतन $h \Lambda-1-\Lambda n=t \wedge n \times b e-P S T-2 p l=$ PST.COND' <br> हलनहने $h \wedge-1-\wedge n=h \wedge n e ~ ' b e-P S T-2 p l=P S T . C O N D ' ~$ |
| 3 pl | खालेतन $k^{h} a-l-I=t \Lambda n$ 'eat-PST-3 $=$ PST.COND' <br> खालेहने $k^{h} a-1-I=h \wedge n e$ 'eat-PST-3 = PST.COND' | कहलेतन $k \wedge h \Lambda-1-I=t \wedge n$ 'say-PST-3=PST.COND' <br> कहलेहने kıhs-l-I=hıne 'say-PST-3=PST.COND' | हलेतन $h \Lambda-l-I=t \Lambda n$ 'be-PST-3=PST.COND' <br> हलेहने $h \Lambda-1-I=h \wedge n e ~ ' b e-P S T-3=P S T . C O N D ' ~$ |
|  | Subjunctive |  |  |
| 1sg | खाउ $k^{h} a-u$ 'eat-SUBJ1sg' | कहु kıh-u 'say-SUBJ1 sg' | हउ $h_{\Lambda}-u$ 'be-SUBJ1sg' |
| 2sg | खाइस $k^{\text {ha-is }}$ 'eat-SUBJ2sg' | कहिस kıh-is 'say-SUBJ2sg' | हइस $h x$-is 'be-SUBJ2sg' |
| 3sg | खाओक $k^{h a-o k}$ 'eat-SUBJ3' <br> खाए $k^{h} a-e$ 'eat-SUBJ3' <br> खाक $k^{h} a-k$ 'eat-SUBJ3' | कोहोक koh-ok 'say-SUBJ3' <br> कहोक kıh-ok 'say-SUBJ3' <br> कहे kıh-e 'say-SUBJ3' | होक $h$-ok 'be-SUBJ3' |
| 1pl | खाइ $k^{h}{ }^{\text {a }-1}$ 'eat-SUBJ1pl' | कहि kıh-i 'say-SUBJ1pl' | हइ $h^{\prime}-i$ 'be-SUBJ1pl' |
| 2pl | खाअन $k^{h} a-\wedge n$ 'eat-SUBJ2pl' खान $k^{h}$ a-n 'eat-SUBJ2pl' | कहन kıh-ın 'say-SUBJ2pl' | हन $h-\Lambda n$ 'be-SUBJ2pl' |
| 3pl | खाओक $k^{h a-o k}$ 'eat-SUBJ3' <br> खाक $k^{h} a-k$ 'eat-SUBJ3' <br> खाए $k^{h} a-e$ 'eat-SUBJ3' | कहोक kıh-ok 'say-SUBJ3' <br> कोहोक koh-ok 'say-SUBJ3' <br> कहे ksh-e 'say-SUBJ3' | होक h-ok 'be-SUBJ3' |
|  | Past habitual |  |  |
| 1sg | खाउ $k^{\text {ha-u }}$ 'eat-PST.HAB1sg' | कहु kıh-u 'say-PST.HAB1sg' | हउ $h \lambda-u$ 'be-PST.HAB1sg'; 'be-PRES1sg' |
| 2sg | खाइस $k^{h} a-i s$ 'eat-PST.HAB2sg' | कहिस kıh-is 'say-PST.HAB2sg' | हइस $h \lambda$-is 'be-PST.HAB2sg'; 'be-PRES2sg' |
| 3sg | खाए $k^{\text {ha-e }}$ 'eat-PST.HAB3' | कहे kıh-e 'say-PST.HAB3' | हए $h \boldsymbol{1}$-e 'be-PST.HAB3'; 'be-PRES3' |
| 1 pl | खाइ $k^{h} a-i$ 'eat-PST.HAB1pl' |  | हइ $h_{\Lambda-i} \mathrm{i}$ 'be-PST.HAB1pl'; 'be-PRES1pl' |
| 2pl | खाअन $k^{h} a-\Lambda n$ 'eat-PST.HAB2pl' <br> खान $k^{h} a-n$ 'eat-PST.HAB2pl' | कहन kıh-ın 'say-PST.HAB2pl' | हन $h-\Lambda n$ 'be-PST.HAB2pl'; 'be-PRES2pl' |


| 3 pl | खाए $k^{\text {ha }}$-e 'eat-PST.HAB3' |  | कहे kıh-e 'say-PST.HAB3' | हए hı-e 'be-PST.HAB3'; 'be-PRES3' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Non-finite forms |  |  |
| INF |  | खाबा $k^{h} a-b a$ 'eat-INF' | कहबा kıhı-ba 'say-INF' | हबा $h x$-ba 'be-INF' |
| ABS |  | खाए $k^{h} a-e$ 'eat-ABS' | कहे kıh-e 'say-ABS' कहि $k \Delta h-i$ 'say-ABS' | हइ $h \Delta-1$ 'be-ABS' हए $h \Delta-e$ 'be-ABS' |
| CONJ.PTCL |  | खाए(ने) $k^{h} \mathrm{ae}$ (ne) 'eat-CONJ.PTCL' | कहे(ने) kıh-e(ne) 'say-CONJ.PTCL' कहए(ने) kshs-e(ne) 'say-CONJ.PTCL' | हए(ने) $h_{\lambda}$-e(ne) 'be-CONJ.PTCL' |
| CONT.PTCL |  | खाते $k^{\text {ha-te }}$ 'eat-CONT.PTCL' | कहते kshı-te 'say-CONT.PTCL' | हते ha-te 'be-CONT.PTCL' |
| PST.PTCL |  | खाआ(ल) $k^{h} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{a}(l)\left[\mathrm{k}^{h} \mathrm{a}(1)\right]$ 'eat-PST.PTCL' | कहा(ल) $k \wedge h-\mathrm{a}(1)$ 'say-PST.PTCL' | हआ(ल) $h \lambda-\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{l})$ 'be-PST.PTCL' |
| Verb paradigm: south-western and northern Jhāpā |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Verb stem type 3 (defective) जा- $j a-$ ' go ' | Verb stem type 3 (defective) लि- li- 'take' | Verb stem type 3 (defective) दि- di- 'give' |
|  | Future |  |  |  |
| 1sg | $\begin{aligned} & \text { जाम } j a-1 \\ & \text { जामु } j a-1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & n \text { ‘go-FUT1sg' } \\ & n-u \text { 'go-FUT-1sg' } \end{aligned}$ | लिम li-m 'take-FUT1sg' | दिम di-m 'give-FUT1sg' |
| 2sg | जाबो $j a-$ | -o 'go-FUT-2sg' | लिबो li-b-o 'take-FUT-2sg' | दिबो di-b-o 'give-FUT-2sg' |
| 3sg | जाबे ja-b | -I 'go-FUT-3' | लिबे li-b-I 'take-FUT-3' | दिबे di-b-I 'give-FUT-3' |
| 1 pl | जाम $j a-$ | -s 'go-FUT-1pl' | लिम li-m-ı 'take-FUT-1pl' | दिम di-m- ' 'give-FUT-1pl' |
| 2 pl | जाबो $j a-b$ | b-o 'go-FUT-2pl' | लिबन li-b-ın 'take-FUT-2pl' | दिबन di-b-ın 'give-FUT-2pl' |
| 3pl | जबे ja-b | I 'go-FUT-3' | लिबे li-b-I 'take-FUT-3' | दिबे di-b-I 'give-FUT-3' |
|  | Present |  |  |  |
| 1sg | जाछछ $j a-$ | ${ }^{h}-u$ 'go-PRES-1sg' | लेछु 1 -ec ${ }^{h}-u$ 'take-PRES-1sg' लेचु 1 -ec-u 'take-PRES-1sg' | देछ्छु $d$-ec ${ }^{h}$-u 'give-PRES-1sg' <br> देचे $d$-ec-u 'give-PRES-1sg' |
| 2sg | जाछिस | -c ${ }^{\text {h-is }}$ 'go-PRES-2sg' | लेछिस 1 -ec ${ }^{h}$-is 'take-PRES-2sg' लेचिस 1 -ec-is 'take-PRES-2sg' | देछिस $d$-ec ${ }^{h}$-is 'give-PRES-2sg' देचिस $d$-ec-is 'give-PRES-2sg' |
| 3sg | जाछे $j a-$ | ${ }^{\text {h}}$-e 'go-PRES-3' | लेछे 1 -ec ${ }^{h}$-e 'take-PRES-3' <br> लेचे 1 -ec-e 'take-PRES-3' | देछे $d$-ec ${ }^{h}$-e 'give-PRES-3' <br> देचे $d$-ec-e 'give-PRES-3' |


| 1 pl | जाहि ja-ch-i 'go-PRES-1pl' | लेछि 1 -ec ${ }^{h}-\mathrm{i}$ ' 'take-PRES-1pl' लेचि 1 -ec- - 'take-PRES-1pl' | देछि $d$-ec ${ }^{h}$ - ' 'give-PRES-1pl' देचि $d$-ec-i 'give-PRES-1pl' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2pl | जाछन ja-c ${ }^{h}-\Lambda n$ 'go-PRES-2pl' | लेछन 1 -ec ${ }^{h}-\Lambda n$ 'take-PRES-2pl' लेचन 1 -ec-ın 'take-PRES-2pl' | देछन $d$-ec ${ }^{h}-A n$ 'give-PRES-2pl' देचन $d$-ec- $\Lambda n$ 'give-PRES-2pl' |
| 3 pl | जाछे ja-ch-e 'go-PRES-3' | लेछे 1 -ec ${ }^{h}$-e 'take-PRES-3' <br> लेचे 1 -ec-e 'take-PRES-3' | देछे $d$-ec ${ }^{h}$-e 'give-PRES-3' <br> देचे $d$-ec-e 'give-PRES-3' |
|  | Past |  |  |
| 1sg | गेनु ge-n-u 'go-PST-1sg' | लिनु li-n-u 'take-PST-1sg' | दिनु di-n-u 'give-PST-1sg' |
| 2sg | गेलो ge-1-o 'go-PST-2sg' | लिलो li-l-o 'take-PST-2sg' | दिलो di-l-o 'give-PST-2sg' |
| 3sg | गेले ge-1-I 'go-PST-3' <br> गेल ge-1 'go-PST3' | लिले li-l-I 'take-PST-3' | दिले di-l-I 'give-PST-3' |
| 1pl | गेन ge-n-ム 'go-PST-1pl' | लिन li-n- ${ }^{\text {'take-PST-1pl' }}$ | दिन di-n-s 'give-PST-1pl' |
| 2pl | गेलन ge-l-ın 'go-PST-2pl' | लिलन li-l-ın 'take-PST-2pl' | दिलन di-l-ın 'give-PST-2pl' |
| 3pl | गेले ge-1-I 'go-PST-3' <br> गेल ge-1 'go-PST3' | लिले li-l-I 'take-PST-3' | दिले di-l-I 'give-PST-3' |
|  | Perfect |  |  |
| 1sg | गिद्छु $g$-ic ${ }^{h}$-e 'go-PERF-1sg' गेइचु ge-ich-e 'go-PERF-1sg' | लिद्छु $1-i c^{h}-u$ 'take-PERF-1sg' लिचु l-ic-u 'take-PERF-1sg' | दिछ्छु $d$-ic ${ }^{h}$-u 'give-PERF-1sg' दिचु $d$-ic-u 'give-PERF-1sg' |
| 2sg | गिछिस $g$-ic ${ }^{h}$-is 'go-PERF-2sg' गेइचिस ge-ic-is 'go-PERF-2sg' | लिछिस 1 -ic ${ }^{h}$-is 'take-PERF-2sg' लिचिस l-ic-is 'take-PERF-2sg' | दिछिस $d$-ic ${ }^{h}$-is 'give-PERF-2sg' दिचिस $d$-ic-is 'give-PERF-2sg' |
| 3sg | गिछे $g$-ic ${ }^{h}$-e 'go-PERF-3' गेइचे ge-ic-e 'go-PERF-3' | लिछे 1 -ic ${ }^{\text {h }}$-e 'take-PERF-3' <br> लिचे l-ic-e 'take-PERF-3' | दिछे $d$-ic ${ }^{h}$-e 'give-PERF-3' दिचे $d$-ic-e 'give-PERF-3' |
| 1 pl | गिद्धि $g$ - $\mathrm{ic}^{h}-\mathrm{i}$ ' $\mathrm{go-PERF}$-1pl' गेइचि ge-ic-i ' go -PERF-1pl' | लिछि 1-ich-i 'take-PERF-1pl' लिचि l-ic-i 'take-PERF-1pl' | दिछि $d$ - ich ${ }^{h}$ - 'give-PERF-1pl' दिचि $d$-ic-i ' 'give-PERF-1pl' |
| 2pl | गिछन $g$-ic ${ }^{h}$ - - $n$ 'go-PERF-2pl' गेइचन ge-ic-An 'go-PERF-2pl' | लिछन 1 -ic ${ }^{h}$-An 'take-PERF-2pl' <br> लिचन l-ic-An 'take-PERF-2pl' | दिछन $d$-ic ${ }^{h}$-An 'give-PERF-2pl' दिचन d-ic-An 'give-PERF-2pl' |
| 3pl | गिछे $g$-ic ${ }^{h}$-e 'go-PERF-3' | लिछे l-ic ${ }^{\text {b }}$-e 'take-PERF-3' | दिछे d-ic ${ }^{h}$-e 'give-PERF-3' |


|  | गेइचे ge-ic-e 'go-PERF-3' | लिचे l-ic-e 'take-PERF-3' | दिचे d-ic-e 'give-PERF-3' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Past continuous, remote past |  |  |
| 1sg | गिस्नु $g$-isn-u 'go-REM.PST-1sg' गेइस्नु ge-isn-u 'go-REM.PST-1sg' | लिस्तु l-isn-u 'take-REM.PST-1sg' | दिस्नु d-isn-u 'give-REM.PST-1 sg' |
| 2sg | गिस्लो $g$-isl-o 'go-REM.PST-2sg' गेइस्लो ge-isl-o 'go-REM.PST-2sg' | लिस्लो l-isl-o 'take-REM.PST-2sg' | दिस्लो d-isl-o 'give-REM.PST-2sg' |
| 3sg | गिस्ले $g$-isl-I 'go-REM.PST-3' गेइस्ले ge-isl-I 'go-REM.PST-3' | लिस्ले l-isl-I 'take-REM.PST-3' | दिस्ले d-isl-I 'give-REM.PST-3' |
| 1pl | गिस्न $g$-isn-^ 'go-REM.PST-1pl' गेइस्न ge-isn- 'go-REM.PST-1pl' | लिस्न l-isn-^ 'take-REM.PST-1pl' | दिस्न d-isn-^ 'give-REM.PST-1pl' |
| 2pl | गिस्लन $g$-isl-ın 'go-REM.PST-2pl' गेइस्लन ge-isl-nn 'go-REM.PST-2pl | लिस्लन l-isl-ın 'take-REM.PST-2pl' | दिस्लन d-isl-nn 'give-REM.PST-2pl' |
| 3pl | गिस्ले $g$-isl-I 'go-REM.PST-3' गेइस्ले ge-isl-I 'go-REM.PST-3' | लिस्ले l-isl-I 'take-REM.PST-3' | दिस्ले d-isl-I 'give-REM.PST-3' |
|  | Imperative |  |  |
| 2sg | जा $j \mathrm{a}-\varnothing$ ' go 0 -IMP2sg' | ले le- $\varnothing$ 'take-IMP2sg' | दे de- $\varnothing$ 'give-IMP2sg' |
| 2 pl | जाअ $j \mathrm{a}-\Lambda$ 'go-IMP2pl' | ल $1-\Lambda$ 'take-IMP2pl' | द $d$-^ 'give-IMP2pl' |
|  | Past conditional |  |  |
| 1sg | गेनुतन $g e-n-u=t \wedge n ~ ' g o-P S T-1 s g=P S T . C O N D ' ~$ <br> गेनुहने $g e-n-u=h \wedge n e ~ ' g o-P S T-1 s g=P S T . C O N D ' ~$ | लिनुतन li-n-u=tın 'take-PST-1sg= PST.COND' <br> लिनुहने $l i-n-u=h \wedge n e ~ ' t a k e-P S T-1 s g=P S T . C O N D ' ~$ | दिनुतन di-n-u=tın 'give-PST-1sg=PST.COND' <br> दिनुहने di-n-u=hane 'give-PST-1sg= PST.COND' |
| 2sg | गेलोतन $g e-1-o=t \wedge n$ ' $g o-P S T-2 s g=$ PST.COND' गेलोहने ge-l- $o=$ hane 'go-PST-2sg=PST.COND' | लिलोतन $l i-l-o=t \Lambda n$ 'take-PST-2sg = PST.COND' लिलोहने li-l-o=hane 'take-PST-2sg= PST.COND' | दिलोतन $d i-1-o=t \Lambda n$ 'give-PST-2sg= PST.COND' <br> दिलोहने di-l-o=hane 'give-PST-2sg = PST.COND' |
| 3sg | गेलेतन $g e-1-I=t \Lambda n ' g o-P S T-3=$ PST.COND' गेलेहने ge-l-I=hane 'go-PST-3 = PST.COND' | लिलेतन $1 \mathrm{l}-1-\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{t}$ In 'take-PST-3= PST.COND' <br> लिलेहने 1 i-I-I=hAne 'take-PST-3 = PST.COND' | दिलेतन $d i-1-I=t \wedge n$ 'give-PST-3 = PST.COND' <br> दिलेहने di-l-I=hAne 'give-PST-3= PST.COND' |
| 1 pl | गेनतन ge-n- $\Lambda=t \wedge n$ 'go-PST-1pl=PST.COND' <br> गेनहने $g e-n-\Lambda=h \wedge n e ~ ' g o-P S T-1 \mathrm{pl}=$ PST.COND' | लिनतन $l i-n-\Lambda=t \wedge n$ 'take-PST- 1 pl = PST.COND' <br> लिनहने li-n- $\Lambda=$ hane 'take-PST-1pl=PST.COND' | दिनतन $d i-n-\Lambda=t \Lambda n$ 'give-PST- 1 pl = PST.COND' <br> दिनहने di-n- $\Lambda=$ h $n n e ~ ' g i v e-P S T-1 p l=P S T . C O N D ' ~$ |
| 2pl | गेलनतन ge-l-ın=tın 'go-PST-2pl=PST.COND' | लिलनतन li-l- $n=t_{\Lambda n}$ 'take-PST-2pl = PST.COND' | दिलनतन di-l- $n=t \wedge n$ 'give-PST-2pl = PST.COND' |


|  | गेलनहने ge-l-ın= hane 'go-PST-2pl = PST.COND' |  | लिलनहने li-l-ın= hıne 'take-PST-2pl= PST.COND' | दिलनहने di-l-ın=hıne 'give-PST-2pl = PST.COND' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3pl | गेलेतन $g e-1-I=t \Lambda n$ 'go-PST-3 = PST.COND' गेलेहने $g e-1-I=$ hane 'go-PST-3 = PST.COND' |  | लिलेतन $l i-1-I=t \wedge n$ 'take-PST-3 = PST.COND' <br> लिलेहने li-l-I=hane 'take-PST-3=PST.COND' | दिलेतन di-l-I=tsn 'give-PST-3 = PST.COND' <br> दिलेहने di-l-I=hane 'give-PST-3 = PST.COND' |
|  | Subjunctive |  |  |  |
| 1sg | जाउ ja-u 'go-SUBJ1sg' |  | लु l-u 'take-SUBJ1sg' | दु $d-u$ 'give-SUBJ1sg' |
| 2sg | जाइस ja-is 'go-SUBJ2sg' |  | लिस l-is 'take-SUBJ2sg' | दिस $d$-is 'give-SUBJ2sg' |
| 3sg | जाओक ja-ok 'go-SUBJ3' |  | लोक l-ok 'take-SUBJ3' | दोक $d$-ok 'give-SUBJ3' |
| 1pl | जाइ $j$ a-i 'go-SUBJ1pl' |  | लि l-i 'take-SUBJ1pl' | दि $d$-i 'give-SUBJ1pl' |
| 2pl | जाअन ja-ın 'go-SUBJ2pl' |  | लन l-ın 'take-SUBJ2pl' | दन $d$-ın 'give-SUBJ2pl' |
| 3 pl | जाओक ja-ok 'go-SUBJ3' |  | लोक l-ok 'take-SUBJ3' | दोक $d$-ok 'give-SUBJ3' |
|  | Past habitual |  |  |  |
| 1sg | जाउ ja-u 'go-PST.HAB1sg' |  | लु l-u 'take-PST.HAB1sg' | दु $d$-u 'give-PST.HAB1sg' |
| 2sg | जाइस ja-is 'go-PST.HAB2sg' |  | लिस l-is 'take-PST.HAB2sg' | दिस $d$-is 'give-PST.HAB2sg' |
| 3 sg | जाए ja-e 'go-PST.HAB3' |  | ले l-e 'take-PST.HAB3' | दे $d$-e 'give-PST.HAB3' |
| 1pl | जाइ ja-i 'go-PST.HAB1pl' |  | लि l-i 'take-PST.HAB1pl' | दि $d-i$ 'give-PST.HAB1pl' |
| 2pl | जाअन $j \mathrm{a}-\wedge n$ 'go-PST.HAB2pl' |  | लन l-ın 'take-PST.HAB2pl' | दन $d$-ın 'give-PST.HAB2pl' |
| 3 pl | जाए ja-e 'go-PST.HAB3' |  | ले l-e 'take-PST.HAB3' | दे $d$-e 'give-PST.HAB3' |
|  | Non-finite forms |  |  |  |
| INF |  | जाबा ja-ba 'go-INF' | लिबा li-ba 'take-INF' | दिबा di-ba 'give-INF' |
| ABS |  | जाए $j$ a-e 'go-ABS' | लिइ li-i 'take-ABS' | दिइ di-i 'give-ABS' |
| CONJ.PTCL |  | जाए(ने) ja-e(ne) 'go-CONJ.PTCL' | लिए(ने) li-e(ne) 'take-CONJ.PTCL' ले(ने) $l$-e(ne) 'take-CONJ.PTCL' | दिए(ने) di-e(ne) 'give-CONJ.PTCL' दे(ने) $d$-e(ne) 'give-CONJ.PTCL’ |
| CONT.PTCL |  | जाते ja-te 'go-CONT.PTCL' | लिते li-te 'take-CONT.PTCL' | दिते di-te 'give-CONT.PTCL' |
| PST.PTCL |  | जाआ(ल) $\mathrm{ja-a(l)} \mathrm{[ja(l)]} \mathrm{'go-PST.PTCL'}$ | लिआल li-al 'take-PST.PTCL' | दिआल di-al 'give-PST.PTCL' |


|  | Verb paradigm: south-western and northern Jhāpā |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Verb stem type 4 (stem-final consonant) आ- as- 'come' | Verb stem type 5 (stem-final -र -r) मार- mar- 'beat; kill' | Verb stem type 6 (stem-final -CC) सम्ठ- ssmt $t^{h}$ - finish |
|  | Future |  |  |
| 1sg | आसिम as-im 'come-FUT-1sg' आस्मु as-m-u 'come-FUT-1sg' आसिमु $a s-i m-u$ 'come-FUT-1sg' | मारिम mar-im 'beat-FUT-1sg' | सम्ठिमु ssmt ${ }^{\text {h }}$ i-m-o 'finish-FUT-1sg' |
| 2sg | आस्बो as-b-o 'come-FUT-2sg' आसिबो $a s-i b-o$ 'come-FUT-2sg' | मार्बो mar-b-o 'beat-FUT-2sg' | सम्ठिबो ssmt ${ }^{\text {h }}$ i-b-o 'finish-FUT-2sg' |
| 3sg | आस्बे as-b-I 'come-FUT-3' <br> आसिबे as-ib-I 'come-FUT-3' | मार्बे mar-b-I ‘beat-FUT-3' | सम्ठिबे SAmt ${ }^{\text {h }}$ i-b-I 'finish-FUT-3' |
| 1pl | आस्म as-m-s 'come-FUT-1pl' | मार्म mar-m-a 'beat-FUT-1pl' | सम्ठिम sımt ${ }^{h}{ }^{\text {i }}$-m-s 'finish-FUT-1pl' |
| 2pl | आस्बन as-b-An 'come-FUT-2pl' <br> आसिबन as-ib-An 'come-FUT-2pl' | मार्बन mar-b-ın 'beat-FUT-2pl' | सम्ठिबन $s \wedge m t^{h}{ }^{\text {i }}$-b-sn ${ }^{\text {'finish-FUT-2pl' }}$ |
| 3pl | आस्बे as-b-I 'come-FUT-3' आसिबे as-ib-I 'come-FUT-3' | मार्बे mar-b-I 'beat-FUT-3' | सम्ठिबे sımt ${ }^{h}{ }^{\text {i }}$-b-I 'finish-FUT-3' |
|  | Present |  |  |
| 1sg | आसेचु as-ec-u 'come-PRES-1sg' | मारेचु mar-ec-u 'beat-PRES-1sg' | सम्ठेचु $s \wedge m t^{h}$-ec-u 'finish-PRES-1sg' |
| 2sg | आसेचिस as-ec-is 'come-PRES-2sg' आसेचित as-ec-it 'come-PRES-2sg' | मारेचिस mar-ec-is 'beat-PRES-2sg' मारेचित mar-ec-it 'beat-PRES-2sg' | सम्ठेचिस ssmṭ ${ }^{h}$-ec-is 'finish-PRES-2sg' सम्ठेचित sAmt $t^{h}$-ec-it 'finish-PRES-2sg' |
| 3sg | आसेचे as-ec-e 'come-PRES-3' | मारेचे mar-ec-e 'beat-PRES-3' | सम्ठेचे $s \wedge m t^{h}$-ec-e 'finish-PRES-3' |
| 1pl | आसेचि as-ec-i 'come-PRES-1pl' | मारेचि mar-ec-i 'beat-PRES-1pl' | सम्ठेचि sAmt ${ }^{\text {h }}$-ec-i ' ${ }^{\text {finish-PRES-1pl' }}$ |
| 2pl | आसेचन as-ec-sn 'come-PRES-2pl' | मारेचन mar-ec-an 'beat-PRES-2pl' | सम्ठेचन sımt ${ }^{\text {h }}$-ec-sn 'finish-PRES-2pl' |
| 3 pl | आसेचे as-ec-e 'come-PRES-3' | मारेचे mar-ec-e 'beat-PRES-3' | सम्ठेचे ssmt ${ }^{h}$-ec-e 'finish-PRES-3' |
|  | Past |  |  |
| 1sg | आस्नु as-n-u 'come-PST-1sg' | मार्नु mar-n-u 'beat-PST-1 sg' |  |


|  |  | मा'नु ma-n-u 'beat-PST-1sg' | सम्ठिनु ssmt ${ }^{\text {h }}$ i-n-u ${ }^{\text {'finish-PST-1 sg' }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2sg | आस्लो as-l-o 'come-PST-2sg' | मार्लो ma-l-o 'beat-PST-2sg' मा'लो ma-l-o 'beat-PST-2sg' | सम्ठिलो $s \wedge m t^{h}{ }^{h}-1-o$ 'finish-PST-2sg' |
| 3sg | आस्ले as-l-I 'come-PST-3' <br> आसिल as-il 'come-PST3' | मार्ले mar-l-I 'beat-PST-3' <br> मा'ले ma-l-I 'beat-PST-3' <br> मारिल mar-il 'beat-PST3' | सम्ठिले sımt ${ }^{\text {h }}$ i-l-I ${ }^{\text {'finish-PST-3' }}$ |
| 1pl | आस्न as-n-s 'come-PST-1pl' | मार्न mar-n-A 'beat-PST-1pl' मा’न ma-n-s 'beat-PST-1pl' | सम्ठिन $s \Delta m t^{h}{ }_{i-n-\Lambda}$ 'finish-PST-1pl' |
| 2 pl | आस्लन as-l-^n 'come-PST-2pl' | मार्लन mar-l-An 'beat-PST-2pl' मा'लन ma-l-ın 'beat-PST-2pl' | सम्ठिलन sım! ${ }^{\text {h }}$ i-l-ın ${ }^{\text {'finish-PST-2pl }}$ ' |
| 3 pl | आस्ले as-l-I 'come-PST-3' <br> आसिल as-il 'come-PST3' | मार्ले mar-l-I 'beat-PST-3' <br> मा'ले ma-l-I 'beat-PST-3' <br> मारिल mar-il 'beat-PST3' | सम्ठिले sımt ${ }^{\text {h }}$ i-l-I ${ }^{\text {'finish-PST-3' }}$ |
|  | Perfect |  |  |
| 1sg | आसिचु as-ic-u 'come-PERF-1sg' | मारिचु mar-ic-u 'beat-PERF-1 sg' मा’इचु ma-ic-u 'beat-PERF-1sg' | सम्ठिचु sAmt ${ }^{\text {h }}$-ic-u 'finish-PERF-' |
| 2sg | आसिचिस as-ic-is 'come-PERF-2sg' <br> आसिचित as-ic-it 'come-PERF-2sg' | मारिचिस mar-ic-is 'beat-PERF-2sg' मा’इचिस ma-ic-is 'beat-PERF-2sg' मारिचित mar-ic-is 'beat-PERF-2sg' मा’इचित ma-ic-it 'beat-PERF-2sg' | सम्ठिचिस ssmt ${ }^{h}$-ic-is 'finish-PERF-2sg' सम्ठिचिस ssmt ${ }^{h}$-ic-it 'finish-PERF-2sg' |
| 3sg | आसिचे as-ic-e 'come-PERF-3' | मारिचे mar-ic-e 'beat-PERF-3' <br> मा'इचे ma-ic-e 'beat-PERF-3' | सम्ठिचे sımt ${ }^{\text {t }}$-ic-e 'finish-PERF-3' |
| 1pl | आसिचि as-ic-i 'come-PERF-1pl' | मारिचि mar-ic-i 'beat-PERF-1pl' मा’इचि ma-ic-i 'beat-PERF-1pl' | सम्ठिचि ssmt ${ }^{\text {h }}$-ic-i 'finish-PERF-1pl' |
| 2 pl | आसिचन as-ic-An 'come-PERF-2pl' | मारिचन mar-ic-An 'beat-PERF-2pl' मा’इचन mar-ic-An 'beat-PERF-2pl' | सम्ठिचन sımt ${ }^{\text {h }}$-ic-ın 'finish-PERF-2pl' |
| 3 pl | आसिचे as-ic-e 'come-PERF-3' | मारिचे mar-ic-e 'beat-PERF-3' | सम्ठिचे samt ${ }^{\text {h }}$-ic-e 'finish-PERF-3' |


|  |  | मा'इचे ma-ic-e 'beat-PERF-3' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Past continuous, remote past |  |  |
| 1sg | आसिस्नु as-isn-u 'come-REM.PST-1sg' | मारिस्नु mar-isn-u 'beat-REM.PST-1sg' मा’इस्नु ma-isn-u 'beat-REM.PST-1sg' | सम्ठिस्तु ssmt ${ }^{\text {h }}$-isn-u 'finish-REM.PST-1 sg' |
| 2sg | आसिस्लो as-isl-o 'come-REM.PST-2sg' | मारिस्लो mar-isl-o 'beat-REM.PST-2sg' मा'इस्लो ma-isl-o 'beat-REM.PST-2sg' | सम्ठिस्लो ssmt ${ }^{\text {h }}$-isl-o 'finish-REM.PST-2sg' |
| 3sg | आसिस्ले as-isl-I 'come-REM.PST-3' | मारिस्ले mar-isl-I 'beat-REM.PST-3' मा’इस्ले ma-isl-I 'beat-REM.PST-3' | सम्ठिस्ले sımt ${ }^{\text {b }}$-isl-I 'finish-REM.PST-3' |
| 1 pl | आसिस्न as-isn-s 'come-REM.PST-1pl' | मारिस्न mar-isn-^ 'beat-REM.PST-1pl' <br> मा’इस्न ma-isn-ィ ‘beat-REM.PST-1pl' | सम्ठिस्न ssmt ${ }^{\text {h }}$-isn-s 'finish-REM.PST-1pl' |
| 2 pl | आसिस्लन as-isl-ın 'come-REM.PST-2pl' | मारिस्लन mar-isl-An 'beat-REM.PST-2pl' मा’इस्लन ma-isl-ın 'beat-REM.PST-2pl' | सम्ठिस्लन sımt ${ }^{\text {h}}$-isl-ın 'finish-REM.PST-2pl' |
| 3pl | आसिस्ले as-isl-I 'come-REM.PST-3' | मारिस्ले mar-isl-I 'beat-REM.PST-3' मा'इस्ले ma-isl-I 'beat-REM.PST-3' | सम्ठिस्ले sımt ${ }^{\text {b }}$-isl-I 'finish-REM.PST-3' |
|  | Imperative |  |  |
| 2sg | आस् $a s-\varnothing$ 'come-IMP2sg' आसेक as-ek 'come-IMP2sg' | मार् mar- $\varnothing$ 'beat-IMP2sg' मारेक mar-ek 'beat-IMP2sg' | सम्ठेक ssmt ${ }^{h}$-ek 'finish-IMP2sg' समठ $s \Delta m \Delta t^{h}$ 'finish-IMP2sg' |
| 2pl | आस as- ${ }^{\text {'come-IMP2pl' }}$ | मार mar-s 'beat-IMP2pl' | सम्ठ sımt ${ }^{\text {b }}$ - 'finish-IMP2pl' |
|  | Past conditional |  |  |
| 1sg | आस्नुतन $a s-n-u=t_{\Lambda n}$ 'come-PST-1sg = PST.COND' <br> आस्नुहने as-n- $u=$ hane 'come-PST-1sg=PST.COND' | मार्नुतन mar-n- $u=t \wedge n$ 'beat-PST-1sg=PST.COND' <br> मा’नुतन ma-n-u=tın 'beat-PST-1sg = PST.COND' <br> मार्नुहने mar-n- $u=$ hane 'beat-PST-1sg = PST.COND' <br> मा’नुहने ma-n- $u=$ hane 'beat-PST-1sg = PST.COND' | सम्ठिनुतन $s \wedge m t^{h} i-n-u=t \Lambda n$ 'finish-PST-1 $\mathrm{sg}=$ PST.COND' सम्ठिनुहने $s \wedge m t^{h}{ }^{h}-n-u=h \wedge n e ~ ' f i n i s h-P S T-1 s g=P S T . C O N D ' ~$ |
| 2sg | आस्लोतन $a s-l-o=t \Lambda n$ 'come-PST-2sg = PST.COND' आस्लोहने as-l-o=hane 'come-PST-2sg=PST.COND' | मार्लोतन mar-1-o=tAn 'beat-PST-2sg = PST.COND' <br> मा'लोतन $m a-1-o=t \Delta n ~ ' b e a t-P S T-2 s g=P S T . C O N D ' ~$ <br> मार्लोहने mar-l-o=hane 'beat-PST-2sg=PST.COND' | सम्ठिलोतन $s \Lambda m t^{h} i-1-o=t \Lambda n$ 'finish-PST-2sg = PST.COND' <br> सम्ठिलोहने $s \Delta m t^{h} i-1-o=h \wedge n e ~ ' f i n i s h-P S T-2 s g=P S T . C O N D ' ~$ |


|  |  | मा'लोहने ma-l-o=hıne 'beat-PST-2sg = PST.COND' |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3sg | आस्लेतन as-l-I=tın 'come-PST-3 = PST.COND' <br> आस्लेहने as-1-I=hane 'come-PST-3 = PST.COND' | मार्लेतन mar-l-I=tın 'beat-PST-3 = PST.COND' <br> मा'लेतन ma-l-I=tın 'beat-PST-3=PST.COND' <br> मार्लेहने mar- $-1-I=h A n e ~ ' b e a t-P S T-3=P S T . C O N D ' ~$ <br> मा'लेहने ma-l-I=hane 'beat-PST-3=PST.COND' | सम्ठिलेतन $s \Delta m t^{h} i-1-I=t \Lambda n$ 'finish-PST-3 = PST.COND' <br> सम्ठिलेहने $s \wedge m t^{h}{ }^{h}-1-I=h \wedge n e ~ ' f i n i s h-P S T-3=P S T . C O N D ' ~$ |
| 1pl | आस्नतन as-n- $=$ =t $n$ n 'come-PST-1pl=PST.COND' आस्नहने $a s-n-\Lambda=h \wedge n e ~ ' c o m e-P S T-1 p l=P S T . C O N D ' ~$ | मार्नतन mar-n- $\Lambda=t_{\Lambda} n$ 'beat-PST-1pl=PST.COND' <br> मा’नतन ma-n- $=$ =t $n$ n 'beat-PST-1pl = PST.COND' <br> मार्नहने mar-n-ı = hane 'beat-PST-1pl=PST.COND' <br> मा’नहने ma-n-s = hane 'beat-PST-1pl=PST.COND' | सम्ठिनतन $s \Delta m t^{h} i-n \Lambda=t \Lambda n$ 'finish-PST-1pl= PST.COND' <br> सम्ठिनहने $s \wedge m t t^{h} i-n \Lambda=h \wedge n e ~ ' f i n i s h-P S T-1 p l=P S T . C O N D ' ~$ |
| 2pl | आस्लनतन as-l- $\wedge n=t \wedge n$ 'come-PST-2pl=PST.COND' आस्लनहने as-l-ın=hane 'come-PST- $2 \mathrm{pl}=\mathrm{PST} . \mathrm{COND}$ | ```मार्लनतन mar-l-\Lambdan=t^n 'beat-PST-2pl=PST.COND' मा'लनतन ma-l-\Lambdan=tın 'beat-PST-2pl= PST.COND' मार्लनहने mar-l-^n=h^ne 'beat-PST- 2pl=PST.COND' मा'लनहने ma-l-^n=h^ne 'beat-PST- 2pl=PST.COND'``` | ```सम्ठिलनतन s\Deltamt! thi-l-\Lambdan=t\Deltan 'finish-PST-2pl= PST.COND' सम्ठिलनहने s^mt! 'hi-l-sn=h^ne 'finish-PST- 2pl=PST.COND'``` |
| 3pl | आस्लेतन as-l-I=tın 'come-PST-3 = PST.COND' आस्लेहने as-l- $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{h} \wedge$ ne 'come-PST-3 = PST.COND' | मार्लेतन mar-l-I=t $\Lambda n$ 'beat-PST-3 = PST.COND' <br> मा'लेतन ma-l-I=tAn 'beat-PST-3 = PST.COND' <br> मार्लेहने mar- $-1-I=h A n e ~ ' b e a t-P S T-3=P S T . C O N D ' ~$ <br> मा'लेहने ma-l-I=hane 'beat-PST-3=PST.COND' | सम्ठिलेतन $s \Delta m t^{h}{ }^{h}-1-I=t \wedge n$ 'finish-PST-3 $=$ PST.COND' <br> सम्ठिलेहने $s \Delta m t^{h} i-1-I=h \wedge n e$ 'finish-PST-3 $=$ PST.COND' |
|  | Subjunctive |  |  |
| 1sg | आसु as-u 'come-SUBJ1sg' | मारु mar-u 'beat-SUBJ1sg' | सम्ठु samt ${ }^{\text {h }}$-u 'finish-SUBJ1sg' |
| 2sg | आसिस as-is 'come-SUBJ2sg' | मारिस mar-is 'beat-SUBJ2sg' मा’इस ma-is 'beat-SUBJ2sg' | सम्ठिस ssmt ${ }^{\text {h }}$-is 'finish-SUBJ2sg' |
| 3sg | आसोक as-ok 'come-SUBJ3' आसे as-e 'come-SUBJ3' | मारोक mar-ok 'beat-SUBJ3' मारे mar-e 'beat-SUBJ3' | सम्ठोक samt ${ }^{h}$-ok 'finish-SUBJ3' सम्ठे $s A m t^{h}$-e 'finish-SUBJ3' |
| 1pl | आसि as-i 'come-SUBJ1pl' | मारि mar-i 'beat-SUBJ1pl' | सम्ठि samt ${ }^{h}-\mathrm{i}$ 'finish-SUBJ1pl' |
| 2 pl | आसन as-an 'come-SUBJ2pl' | मारन mar-ın 'beat-SUBJ2pl' | सम्ठन ssmt ${ }^{h}-\Lambda n$ 'finish-SUBJ2pl' |



| Verb paradigm: south-western and northern Jhāpā |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Future |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Patient |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1sg | 1 pl | 2sg | 2 pl | 3sg, 3pl |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{4} \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | 1sg | ठुकिम $t^{h} u k$-im 'hitFUT1sg' (REFL) <br> ठुक्मु $t^{h} u k-m-u$ 'hit-FUT1sg' (REFL) | - | ठुकिम्कुन (ठुकेस्कुन) t thuk-i/em-ku-n 'hit-FUT-SA2sg-1sg' <br> ठुकेमुन $t^{h} u k-e m-u-n ~ ' h i t-F U T-$ SA2sg-1sg' | ठुकिम्कन (ठुकेम्कन) t thuk-i/em-ksn 'hit-FUT-SA2pl-1sg' | ठुकिम $t^{h} u k$-im 'hit-FUT1sg' <br> ठुकिम्किन (ठुकेम्किन) t thuk-i/em-ki-n 'hit-FUT-SA3-1sg' <br> ठुक्मिन $t^{h} u k-m-i-n ~ ' g i v e-F U T-~$ SA3-1sg' |
|  | 1pl | - | ठुक्म $t^{h} u k-m-\Lambda$ 'hit-FUT- <br> 1 pl ' (REFL) | ठुकिम्कुन (ठुकेम्कुन) t thenk-i/em-ku-n 'hit-FUT-SA2sg-1pl' | ठुकिम्कन (ठुकेम्कन) t thuk-i/em-ks$n$ 'hit-FUT-SA2pl-1pl' | ठुक्म $t^{h} u k-m-\Lambda ~ ' h i t-F U T-1 p l ' ~$ <br> ठुकिम्किन (ठुकेस्किन) t thuk-i/em-ki-n 'hit-FUT-SA3-1pl' |
|  | 2sg | ठुक्बो $t^{h} u k-b-o$ 'hit-FUT- $2 \mathrm{sg} \text { ' }$ | ठुक्बो $t^{h} u k-b-o ~ ‘ h i t-F U T-~$ $2 \mathrm{sg}$ | ठुक्बो $t^{h} u k-b-o ~ ' h i t-F U T-2 s g ' ~$ (REFL) | - | ठुक्बो $t^{h} u k-b-o ~ ' h i t-F U T-2 s g '$ <br> ठुकिष्कि t ${ }^{h} u k$-ip-ki 'hit-FUT- <br> SA3' <br> ठुक्तेक $t^{h} u k-t-e k ~ ' h i t-F U T-~$ <br> SA3' |
|  | 2pl | ठुक्बन $t^{h} u k$ - $b-\Lambda n$ 'hit-FUT-2pl' | ठुक्बन t th $u k$-b-এn 'hit-FUT-2pl' | - | ठुक्बन $t^{h} u k-b-\Lambda n ~ ‘ h i t-F U T-2 p l ’ ~$ (REFL) | ठुक्बन $t^{h} u k-b-\wedge n ~ ' h i t-F U T-2 p l ' ~$ <br> ठुकित्किर t thuk-it-ki-rs 'hit-FUT-SA3-2pl' |
|  | 3sg | ठुक्बे $t^{h} u k-b-I \quad$ 'hit-FUT-3' | ठुक्बे t ${ }^{h} u k-b-I \quad$ 'hit-FUT-3' |  |  | ठुक्बे $t^{h} u k-b-I ~ ' h i t-F U T-3 ' ~$ |


|  | 3 pl |  |  | ठुकिप्कु (ठुकित्कु) t ${ }^{h} u k-i p / t-k u$ 'hit-FUT-SA2sg' <br> ठुक्तोक $t^{h} u k-t-o k$ 'hit-FUT-SA2sg' | ठुकिप्कन (ठुकित्कन) $t^{h} u k-i p / t-k \wedge n$ 'hit-FUT-SA2pl' <br> ठुक्तकन t th $u k-t \wedge-k \wedge n$ 'hit-FUTSA2pl' | ठुकिष्कि $t^{h} u k-i p-k i$ 'hit-FUT- <br> SA3' <br> ठुक्तेक $t^{h} u k-t-e k$ 'hit-FUTSA3' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Present |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Patient |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1sg | 1pl | 2sg | 2pl | $3 \mathrm{sg}, 3 \mathrm{pl}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8} \\ & \text { en } \end{aligned}$ | 1sg | ठुकेचु $t^{h} u k$-ec-u 'hit-PRES-1sg’ (REFL) | - | ठुकेचु $t^{h} u k$-ec-u 'hit-PRES-1sg' <br> ठुकेच्कुन t thuk-ec-ku-n 'hit-PRES-SA2sg-1sg, | ठुकेचु $t^{h} u k-e c-u$ 'hit-PRES-1sg' <br> ठुकेच्कन $t^{h} u k$-ec-kı-n 'hit-PRES-SA2pl-1sg' | ठुकेचु $t^{h} u k$-ec-u 'hit-PRES1sg' <br> ठुकेच्किन $t^{h} u k$-ec-ki-n 'hit-PRES-SA3-1sg' |
|  | 1pl | - | ठुकेचि $t^{h} u k$-ec-i 'hit-PRES1 pl ' (REFL) | ठुकेचि $t^{h} u k$-ec-i 'hit-PRES-1pl' <br> ठुकेच्कुन $t^{h} u k$-ec-ku-n 'hit-PRES-SA2sg-1pl' | ठुकेचि $t^{h} u k$-ec-i 'hit-PRES-1pl' <br> ठुकेच्कन $t^{h} u k-e c-k \Lambda-n$ 'hit-PRES-SA2pl-1sg' | ठुकेचि $t^{h} u k$-ec-i 'hit-PRES1 pl ' <br> ठुकेच्किन $t^{h} u k$-ec-ki-n 'hit-PRES-SA3-1pl' |
|  | 2 sg | ठुकेचिस (ठुकेचित) $t^{h} u k$ -ec-is/t 'hit-PRES-2sg' | ठुकेचिस (ठुकेचित) $t^{h} u k$-ec-it ‘hit-PRES-2sg’ | ठुकेचिस (ठुकेचित) $t^{h} u k$-ec-is/t ‘hit-PRES-2sg' (REFL) | - | ठुकेचिस (ठुकेचित) $t^{h} u k$-ec-is/t 'hit-PRES-2sg' <br> ठुकेच्कि $t^{h} u k$-ec-ki ‘hit-PRESSA3' |
|  | 2pl | ठुकेचन $t^{h} u k$-ec-An 'hit-PRES-2pl' | ठुकेचन $t^{h} u k$-ec- $\Lambda n$ 'hit-PRES-2pl' | - | ठुकेचन $t^{h} u k$-ec- $\Lambda n$ 'hit-PRES2pl’ (REFL) | ठुकेचन $t^{h} u k$-ec-An 'hit-PRES2pl' <br> ठुकेच्किर $t^{h} u k$-ec-ki-rA 'hit-PRES-SA3-2pl' |
|  | 3sg | ठुकेचे $t^{h} u k$-ec-e 'hit-PRES-3' | ठुकेचे $t^{h} u k$-ec-e 'hit-PRES3' |  |  | ठुकेचे t ${ }^{\text {h }} u k$-ec-e 'hit-PRES-3' |


|  | 3 pl |  |  | ठुकेच्कु t thuk-ec-ku 'hit-PRES- <br> SA2sg' <br> ठुकेचोक $t^{h} u k$-ec-ok 'hit-PRESSA2sg' | ठुकेच्कन t thuk-ec-ka-n 'hit-PRES-SA2pl-1sg, | ठुकेच्कि $t^{h} u k$-ec-ki ‘hit-PRESSA3' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Past |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Patient |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1sg | 1pl | 2sg | 2pl | 3sg, 3pl |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8} \\ & \text { en } \end{aligned}$ | 1sg | ठुक्नु $t^{h} u k-n-u$ 'hit-PST- <br> 1sg' (REFL) | - | ठुकिन्कुन (ठुकेन्कुन) $t^{h} u k-i / e n-k u-n$ ‘hit-PST-SA2sg-1sg’ | ठुकिन्कन (ठुकेन्कन) $t^{h} u k-i / e n-k \Lambda-n$ 'hit-PST-SA2pl-1sg' | ठुकिन्किन (ठुकेन्किन) $t^{h} u k-i / e n-$ ki-n 'hit-PST-SA3-1sg' |
|  | 1pl | - | ठुक्न $t^{h} u k-n-\Lambda ~ ‘ h i t-P S T-1 p l ' ~$ (REFL) | ठुकिन्कुन (ठुकेन्कुन) $t^{h} u k-i / e n-k u-n$ 'hit-PST-SA2sg-1pl' | ठुकिन्कन (ठुकेन्कन) $t^{h} u k-i / e n-k \Lambda-n$ 'hit-PST-SA2pl-1pl' | ठुक्न $t^{h} u k-n-\Lambda ~ ‘ h i t-P S T-1 p l ' ~$ <br> ठुकिन्किन (ठुकेन्किन) $t^{h} u k-i / e n-$ ki-n 'hit-PST-SA3-1pl' |
|  | 2 sg | ठुक्लो $t^{h} u k-1-o ~ ' h i t-P S T-~$ 2sg, | ठुक्लो $t^{h} u k-1-o ~ ' h i t-P S T-~$ $2 \mathrm{sg}$ | ठुक्लो $t^{h} u k-1-o ~ ' h i t-P S T-2 s g ' ~$ (REFL) | - | ठुक्लो $t^{h} u k$-l-o 'hit-PST-2sg' <br> ठुकिल्कि (ठुकेल्कि) t thuk-i/el-ki 'hit-PST-SA3' |
|  | 2pl | ठुक्लन $t^{h} u k-l-\Lambda n ~ ' h i t-$ PST-2pl’ | ठुक्लन $t^{h} u k-1-\Lambda n ~ ‘ h i t-P S T-~$ $2 \mathrm{pl} ’$ | - | ठुक्लन $t^{h} u k-l-\wedge n ~ ‘ h i t-P S T-2 p l ' ~$ (REFL) | ठुक्लन t thuk-l-Аn ‘hit-PST-2pl' ठुकिल्किर (ठुकेल्किर) t thuk-i/el-ki-rA 'hit-PST-SA3 2pl' |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \mathrm{sg} \\ & 3 \mathrm{pl} \end{aligned}$ | ठुक्ले $t^{h} u k-1-I ~ ' h i t-P S T-3 ' ~$ ठुकिल $t^{h} u k-i l$ 'hit-PST3' | ठुक्ले t thuk-l-I 'hit-PST-3' ठुकिल $t^{h} u k$-il 'hit-PST3' |  |  | ठुक्ले $t^{h} u k-1-I ~ ' h i t-P S T-3 ' ~$ ठुकिल $t^{h} u k-i l$ 'hit-PST3' |


|  |  |  |  | ठुकिल्कु (ठुकेल्कु) t the-i/el-ku 'hit-PST-SA2sg, | ठुकिल्कन (ुुकेल्कन) t thuk-i/el-kın 'hit-PST-SA2pl' | ठुकिल्कि (ठुकेल्कि) $t^{h} u k-i / e l-k i$ 'hit-PST-SA3' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Perfect |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Patient |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1sg | 1pl | 2sg | 2pl | 3sg, 3pl |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3} \\ & \stackrel{8}{4} \end{aligned}$ | 1sg | ठुकिचु $t^{h} u k-i c-u$ 'hit-PERF-1sg' (REFL) | - | ठुकिचु $t^{h} u k-i c-u$ 'hit-PERF-1sg' <br> ठुकिच्कुन $t^{h} u k-i c-k u-n ~ ' h i t-$ PERF-SA2sg-1sg, | ठुकिचु $t^{h} u k$-ic-u 'hit-PERF-1sg' <br> ठुकिच्कन t thuk-ic-ks-n 'hit-PERF-SA2pl-1sg' | ठुकिचु $t^{h} u k$-ic-u 'hit-PERF1 sg ' <br> ठुकिच्किन t thuk-ic-ki-n 'hit-PERF-SA3-1sg' |
|  | 1 pl |  | ठुकिचि $t^{h} u k$-ic-i 'hit-PERF1 pl ' (REFL) | ठुकिचि $t^{h} u k$-ic-i 'hit-PERF-1pl' <br> ठुकिच्कुन $t^{h} u k-i c-k u-n ~ ‘ h i t-$ PERF-SA2sg-1pl' | ठुकिचि t $t^{h} u k-i c-i$ 'hit-PERF-1pl' <br> ठुकिच्कन t thuk-ic-k $n-n$ 'hit-PERF-SA2pl-1pl' | ठुकिचि $t^{h} u k$-ic-i 'hit-PERF- <br> 1 pl ' <br> ठुकिच्किन t ${ }^{h} u k$-ic-ki-n 'hit-PERF-SA3-1pl |
|  | 2sg | ठुकिचिस (ठुकिचित) $t^{h} u k$ -ic-is/t 'hit-PERF-2sg' | ठुकिचिस (ठुकिचित) t $t^{h} u k$-icis/t 'hit-PERF-2sg' | ठुकिचिस (ठुकिचित) $t^{h} u k$-ic-is/t ‘hit-PERF-2sg' (REFL) | - | ठुकिचिस (ठुकिचित) $t^{h} u k-i c-i s / t$ <br> 'hit-PERF-2sg' <br> ठुकिच्कि $t^{h} u k-i c-k i ~ ‘ h i t-P E R F-~$ SA3' |
|  | 2 pl | ठुकिचन $t^{h} u k-i c-\Lambda n$ 'hit-PERF-2pl' | ठुकिचन $t^{h} u k-i c-\Lambda n ~ ' h i t-$ PERF-2pl' | - | ठुकिचन $t^{h} u k$-ic-An 'hit-PERF2pl’ (REFL) | ठुकिचन t thuk-ic-nn 'hit-PERF2 pl ' <br> ठुकिच्किर $t^{h} u k-i c-k i-r \Lambda ~ ' h i t-~$ PERF-SA3-2pl |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \mathrm{sg} \\ & 3 \mathrm{pl} \end{aligned}$ | कुकिचे t ${ }^{\text {h }} u k$-ic-e 'hit-PERF-3' | ठुकिचे t ${ }^{\text {h }} u k$-ic-e 'hit-PERF-3' | ठुकिचे $t^{h} u k$-ic-e 'hit-PERF-3' ठुकिच्कु $t^{h} u k-i c-k u$ 'hit-PERFSA2sg' <br> ठुकिचोक $t^{h} u k$-ic-ok 'hit-PERFSA2sg' | ठुकिचे $t^{h} u k$-ic-e 'hit-PERF-3' <br> ठुकिच्कन t thuk-ic-kan 'hit-PERFSA2pl' | ठुकिचे t thuk-ic-e 'hit-PERF-3' ठुकिच्कि $t^{h} u k-i c-k i ~ ‘ h i t-P E R F-~$ SA3' <br> ठुकिचेक t thuk-ic-ek 'hit-PERFSA3' |


| Past continuous, remote past |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Patient |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1sg | 1pl | 2sg | 2 pl | $3 \mathrm{sg}, 3 \mathrm{pl}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4} \\ & \stackrel{0}{4} \end{aligned}$ | 1sg | ठुकिस्नु $t^{h} u k$-isn-u 'hit-REM.PST-1sg' (REFL) | - | ठुकिस्नु t thuk-isn-u 'hit-REM.PST-1sg' <br> ठुकिस्नुकुन $t^{h} u k-i s n-u-k u-n ~ ' h i t-~$ REM.PST-1sg-SA2sg-1sg' <br> ठुकिसिन्कुन $t^{h} u k$-isin-ku-n 'hit-REM.PST-SA2sg-1sg' | ठुकिस्नु t. ${ }^{h} u k-i s n-u$ 'hit-REM.PST-1sg' <br> ठुकिसिन्कन $t^{h} u k-i \sin -k \Lambda-n ~ ‘ h i t-~$ REM.PST-SA2pl-1sg' | ठुकिस्नु th $^{h} u k-i s n-u$ 'hit-REM.PST-1sg' <br> ठुकिस्निकिन $t^{h} u k-i s n i-k i-n ~ ' h i t-~$ REM.PST-SA3-1sg' <br> ठुकिसिन्किन $t^{h} u k-i s i n-k i-n ~ ' h i t-~$ REM.PST-SA3-1sg' |
|  | 1 pl | - | ठुकिस्न t $t^{h} u k$-isn-A 'hit-REM.PST-1pl' (REFL) | ठुकिस्न t thuk-isn-s 'hit- <br> REM.PST-1pl' <br> ठुकिसिन्कुन $t^{h} u k$-isin-ku-n ‘hit-REM.PST-SA2sg-1pl' | ठुकिस्न t thuk-isn-s 'hit-REM.PST-1pl' <br> ठुकिसिन्कन $t^{h} u k-i \sin -k \Lambda-n ~ ‘ h i t-~$ REM.PST-SA2pl-1pl' | ठुकिस्न t ${ }^{h} u k-i s n-\Lambda$ 'hit-REM.PST-1pl' <br> ठुकिसिन्किन $t^{h} u k$-isin-ki-n 'hit-REM.PST-SA3-1pl' |
|  | 2sg | ठुकिस्लो t thuk-isl-o 'hit-REM.PST-2sg' | ठुकिस्लो $t^{h} u k$-isl-o 'hit-REM.PST-2sg, | ठुकिस्लो $t^{h} u k$-isl-o 'hit-REM.PST-2sg' (REFL) | - | ठुकिस्लो $t^{h} u k$-isl-o 'hit-REM.PST-2sg' <br> ठुकिस्लिकि t thuk-isli-ki 'hit-REM.PST-SA3' <br> ठुकिसिल्कि t thuk-isil-ki 'hit-REM.PST-SA3' |
|  | 2 pl | ठुकिस्लन t ${ }^{h} u k$-isl-sn 'hit-REM.PST-2pl' | ठुकिस्लन $t^{h} u k$-isl-An 'hit-REM.PST-2pl' | - | ठुकिस्लन $t^{h} u k-i s l-\Lambda n ~ ‘ h i t-~$ REM.PST-2pl' (REFL) | ठुकिस्लन t the is-isl-sn ‘hit-REM.PST-2pl' <br> ठुकिस्लिकिर $t^{h} u k-i s l i-k i-r \Lambda ~ ' h i t-~$ REM.PST-SA3-2pl' <br> ठुकिसिल्किर $t^{h} u k$-isil-ki-rs 'hit-REM.PST-SA3-2pl' |


|  | $3 \mathrm{sg},$ <br> 3pl | ठुकिस्ले $t^{h} u k$-isl-I 'hit-REM.PST-3' | ठुकिस्ले $t^{h} u k$-isl-I 'hit-REM.PST-3' | ठुकिस्लुकु $t^{h} u k-i s l u-k u$ 'hit-REM.PST-SA2sg, <br> ठुकिसिल्कु $t^{h} u k-i s i l-k u ~ ' h i t-~$ REM.PST-SA2sg' | ठुकिस्लकन $t^{h} u k-i s l \Lambda-k \wedge n ~ ' h i t-~$ REM.PST-SA2pl' <br> ठुकिसिल्कन $t^{h} u k-i s i l-k \wedge n ~ ' h i t-~$ REM.PST-SA2pl' | ठुकिस्ले $t^{h} u k$-isl-I 'hit- <br> REM.PST-3' <br> ठुकिस्लिकि t thuk-isli-ki 'hit- <br> REM.PST-SA3' <br> ठुकिसिल्कि t thuk-isil-ki 'hit- <br> REM.PST-SA3' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Past conditional |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Patient |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1sg | 1 pl | 2sg | 2 pl | 3sg, 3pl |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | 1sg | ठुक्नुतन $t^{h} u k-n-u=t \wedge n$ 'hit-PST-1sg = PST.COND' ${ }^{(\text {REFL }) ~}$ <br> ठुक्नुहने $t^{h} u k-n-u=h \wedge n e$ 'hit-PST-1sg= PST.COND' (REFL) | - | ठुक्नुतन $t^{h} u k-n-u=t \Lambda n$ 'hit-PST$1 \mathrm{sg}=$ PST.COND ${ }^{\prime}$ <br> ठुकिन्कुनतन $t^{h} u k-i n-k u-n=t \wedge n$ <br> 'hit-PST-SA2sg- <br> $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ PST.COND ${ }^{\prime}$ <br> ठुकेन्कुनहने $t^{h} u k-e n-k u-n=h \wedge n e$ <br> 'hit-PST-SA2sg- <br> $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ PST.COND ${ }^{\prime}$ | ठुक्नुतन $t^{h} u k-n-u=t \Lambda n$ 'hit-PST$1 \mathrm{sg}=$ PST.COND ${ }^{\prime}$ <br> ठुकिन्कनतन $t^{h} u k-i n-k \Lambda-n=t \wedge n$ <br> 'hit-PST-SA2pl- <br> $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ PST.COND ${ }^{\prime}$ <br> ठुकेन्कनहने $t^{h} u k-e n-k \Lambda-n=h \Delta n e$ <br> 'hit-PST-SA2pl- <br> $1 \mathrm{sg}=$ PST.COND ${ }^{\prime}$ | ठुक्नुतन $t^{h} u k-n-u=t \wedge n ~ ' h i t-$ PST-1sg = PST.COND' <br> ठुकिन्किनतन $t^{h} u k-i n-k i-n=t \wedge n$ 'hit-PST-SA3$1 \mathrm{sg}=$ PST.COND ${ }^{\prime}$ <br> ठुकेन्किनहने $t^{h} u k-e n-k i-n=h \wedge n e$ 'hit-PST-SA3$1 \mathrm{sg}=$ PST.COND ${ }^{\prime}$ |
|  | 1pl | - | ठुक्नतन $t^{h} u k-n-\Lambda=t \wedge n ~ ‘ h i t-$ PST-1pl=PST.COND' <br> (REFL) <br> ठुक्नहने $t^{h} u k-n-\Lambda=h \wedge n e$ <br> ‘hit-PST- <br> $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ PST.COND' (REFL) | ठुक्नतन $t^{h} u k-n-\Lambda=t \wedge n ~ ‘ h i t-P S T-~$ $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ PST.COND ${ }^{\prime}$ <br> ठुक्नहने t ${ }^{h} u k-n-\Lambda=h \wedge n e ~ ' h i t-$ PST-1pl=PST.COND' | ठुक्नतन $t^{h} u k-n-\Lambda=t \Lambda n ~ ' h i t-P S T-~$ $1 \mathrm{pl}=\mathrm{PST} . \mathrm{COND}^{\prime}$ (REFL) <br> ठुक्नहने $t^{h} u k-n-\Lambda=h \wedge n e ~ ' h i t-$ PST-1pl=PST.COND' (REFL) | ठुक्नतन $t^{h} u k-n-\Lambda=t \Lambda n ~ ' h i t-$ PST-1pl=PST.COND' <br> (REFL) <br> ठुक्नहने $t^{h} u k-n-\Lambda=h a n e ~ ' h i t-$ PST-1pl=PST.COND' <br> (REFL) |


|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ठुकिन्कुनतन } t^{h} u k-i n-k u-n=t \wedge n \\ & \text { 'hit-PST-SA2sg- } \\ & \text { 1pl=PST.COND' } \\ & \text { ठुकेन्कुनहने } t^{h} u k-e n-k u-n=h \wedge n e \\ & \text { 'hit-PST-SA2sg- } \\ & \text { 1pl = PST.COND' } \end{aligned}$ | ठुकिन्कनतन $t^{h} u k-i n-k \Lambda-n=t \wedge n$ <br> 'hit-PST-SA2pl- <br> $1 \mathrm{pl}=\mathrm{PST} . C O N D{ }^{\prime}$ <br> ठुकेन्कनहने $t^{h} u k-e n-k \Lambda-n=h \wedge n e$ <br> 'hit-PST-SA2pl- <br> $1 \mathrm{pl}=$ PST.COND' | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ठुकिन्किनतन } t^{h} u k-i n-k i-n=t \wedge n \\ & \text { 'hit-PST-SA3- } \\ & \text { 1pl =PST.COND' } \\ & \text { ठुकेन्किनहने } t^{h} u k \text {-en-ki-n= hıne } \\ & \text { 'hit-PST-SA3- } \\ & \text { 1pl =PST.COND' } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2sg | ```ठुक्लोतन t}\mp@subsup{t}{}{h}uk-1-o=t^ `hit-PST- 2sg=PST.COND' \mathrm{ ठुक्लोहने t}\mp@subsup{t}{}{h}uk-l-o= h^ne `hit-PST- 2sg=PST.COND'``` | ठुक्लोतन $t^{h} u k-l-o=t \wedge n$ 'hit-PST-2sg $=$ PST.COND' <br> ठुक्लोहने $t^{h} u k-1-o=h \wedge n e$ <br> 'hit-PST- <br> $2 \mathrm{sg}=$ PST.COND ${ }^{\prime}$ | ठुक्लोतन $t^{h} u k-1-o=t \wedge n ~ ' h i t-P S T-~$ $2 \mathrm{sg}=$ PST.COND' (REFL) <br> ठुक्लोहने t thuk-l-o=hane 'hit-PST-2sg = PST.COND' (REFL) | - | ठुक्लोतन $t^{h} u k-1-o=t \wedge n$ 'hit-PST-2sg $=$ PST.COND' <br> ठुक्लोहने $t^{h} u k-1-o=h \wedge n e$ 'hit-PST-2sg $=$ PST.COND' <br> ठुकिल्कितन $t^{h} u k-i l-k i=t \wedge n$ 'hit-PST-SA3 $=$ PST.COND ${ }^{\prime}$ <br> ठुकेल्किहने $t^{h} u k-e l-k i=h \wedge n e$ <br> 'hit-PST-SA3 = PST.COND' |
| 2 pl | ठुक्लनतन $t^{h} u k-l-\Lambda n=t \wedge n$ <br> 'hit-PST- <br> $2 \mathrm{pl}=\mathrm{PST}$.COND ${ }^{\prime}$ <br> ठुक्लनहने $t^{h} u k-1-\wedge n=h A n e$ <br> 'hit-PST-2pl = PST.COND' | ठुक्लनतन $t^{h} u k-l-\wedge n=t \wedge n$ ‘hit-PST- <br> $2 \mathrm{pl}=\mathrm{PST}$.COND ${ }^{\prime}$ <br> ठुक्लनहने $t^{h} u k-l-\wedge n=h A n e$ ‘hit-PST-2pl = PST.COND' | - | ठुक्लनतन $t^{h} u k-1-\Lambda n=t \wedge n$ 'hit-PST-2pl = PST.COND' (REFL) <br> ठुक्लनहने $t^{h} u k-l-\wedge n=h \wedge n e ~ ' h i t-$ PST-2pl=PST.COND' (REFL) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ठुक्लनतन } t^{h} u k-l-\wedge n=t \wedge n \text { 'hit- } \\ & \text { PST-2pl = PST.COND' } \\ & \text { ठुक्लनहने } t^{h} u k-l-\wedge n=h \wedge n e ~ ' h i t-~ \\ & \text { PST-2pl = PST.COND' } \\ & \text { ठुकेल्किहने t thuk-el-ki=hıne } \\ & \text { ‘hit-PST-SA3 = PST.COND' } \end{aligned}$ |
| 3sg <br> 3 pl | ठुक्लेतन $t^{h} u k-1-I=t \wedge n ' h i t-$ PST-3 $=$ PST.COND ${ }^{\prime}$ <br> ठुक्लेहने $t^{h} u k-1-I=h \wedge n e$ 'hit-PST-3 = PST.COND' | ठुक्लेतन $t^{h} u k-1-I=t \Lambda n ~ ' h i t-$ PST-3 $=$ PST.COND ${ }^{\prime}$ <br> ठुक्लेहने t thuk-l-I=hAne 'hit-PST-3 = PST.COND ${ }^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ठुक्लेतन } t^{h} u k-l-I=t \wedge n \text { 'hit-PST- } \\ & 3=\text { PST.COND' } \\ & \text { ठुक्लेहने } t^{h} u k-l-I=h \wedge n e ~ ' h i t-P S T-~ \\ & 3=\text { PST.COND' } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ठुक्लेतन } t^{h} u k-l-I=t \wedge n ~ ' h i t-P S T-~ \\ & 3=\text { PST.COND' } \\ & \text { ठुक्लेहने } t^{h} u k-l-I=h \wedge n e ~ ' h i t-P S T-~ \\ & 3=\text { PST.COND' } \end{aligned}$ | ठुक्लेतन $t^{h} u k-1-I=t \wedge n ~ ' h i t-P S T-~$ $3=\text { PST.COND' }$ <br> ठुक्लेहने $t^{h} u k-1-I=h \wedge n e ~ ' h i t-~$ PST-3 = PST.COND ${ }^{\prime}$ |


|  |  |  |  | ठुकिल्कुतन $t^{h} u k-i l-k u=t \wedge n ~ ' h i t-$ PST-SA2sg = PST.COND' <br> ठुकेल्कुहने t thuk-el-ku=hane 'hit-PST-SA2sg = PST.COND' | ठुकिल्कनतन $t^{h} u k-i l-k \wedge n=t \wedge n$ <br> 'hit-PST-SA2pl = PST.COND' <br> ठुकेल्कनहने $t^{h} u k-e l-k \wedge n=h \wedge n e$ <br> ‘hit-PST-SA2pl=PST.COND' | ठुकिल्कितन $t^{h} u k-i l-k i=t \wedge n$ 'hit-PST-SA3 = PST.COND' <br> ठुकेल्किहने $t^{h} u k$-el-ki=hAne <br> ‘hit-PST-SA3 = PST.COND' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Imperative |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Patient |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1sg | 1 pl | 2sg | 2pl | $3 \mathrm{sg}, 3 \mathrm{pl}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overrightarrow{U_{0}^{2}} \\ & 4 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 2sg | ठुक् $t^{h} u k-\varnothing$ 'hit-IMP2sg' <br> ठुकेक $t^{h} u k$-ek 'hit-IMP2sg' | ठुक् $t^{h} u k-\varnothing$ 'hit-IMP2sg' <br> ठुकेक $t^{h} u k-e k$ 'hit-IMP2sg' | ठुक् $t^{h} u k-\varnothing$ 'hit-IMP2sg' <br> (REFL) <br> ठुकेक $t^{h} u k$-ek 'hit-IMP2sg' (REFL) | - | ठुक् $t^{h} u k-\varnothing$ 'hit-IMP2sg' <br> ठुकेक $t^{h} u k$-ek 'hit-IMP2sg' <br> ठुक्कि $t^{h} u k-k i$ 'hit-SA3' |
|  | 2 pl | ठुक $t^{h} u k-\Lambda ~ ' h i t-I M P 2 p l ' ~$ |  | - |  | ठुक $t^{h} u k-\Lambda$ 'hit-IMP2pl' <br> ठुक्किर $t^{h} u k-k i-r \Lambda ~ ' h i t-S A 3-$ $2 \mathrm{pl}^{\prime}$ |
| Subjunctive |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Patient |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1sg | 1 pl | 2sg | 2pl | $3 \mathrm{sg}, 3 \mathrm{pl}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8} \\ & \text { 品 } \end{aligned}$ | 1sg | ठुकु $t^{h} u k-u$ 'hit-SUBJ1sg' (REFL) | - | ठुकेकुन t thuk-eku-n 'hit-SUBJSA2sg-1sg' <br> ठुक्कुन $t^{h} u k-k u-n$ 'hit-SA2sg1 sg ' | ठुकेकन t thuk-ekı-n 'hit-SUBJ.SA2pl-1sg' <br> ठुक्कन $t^{h} u k-k \Lambda-n ~ ‘ h i t-S A 2 p l-~$ 1sg' | ठुकु $t^{h} u k-u$ 'hit-SUBJ1sg' <br> ठुकेकिन $t^{h} u k$-eki-n 'hit-SUBJ.SA3-1sg, <br> ठुक्किन $t^{h} u k$-ki-n ‘hit-SA31sg' |
|  | 1 pl | - | ठुकि $t^{h} u k-i$ 'hit-SUBJ1pl' (REFL) | ठुकि $t^{h} u k-i$ 'hit-SUBJ1pl' | ठुकि $t^{h} u k-i=h i t-S U B J 1 p l ' ~$ | ठुकि $t^{h} u k-i=h i t-S U B J 1 p l ' ~$ |


|  |  |  |  | ठुक्कुन t $t^{h} u k$-ku-n 'hit-SA2sg-1pl' |  | ठुक्किन $t^{h} u k$-ki-n 'hit-SA3-1pl' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2sg | ठुकिस $t^{h} u k$-is 'hitSUBJ2sg' | ठुकिस $t^{h} u k$-is 'hitSUBJ2sg' | ठुकिस $t^{h} u k-i s$ 'hit-SUBJ2sg' (REFL) | - | ठुकिस $t^{h} u k-i s$ 'hit-SUBJ2sg' <br> ठुक्कि $t^{h} u k-k i{ }^{\prime} h i t-S A 3$ ' ठुकिस्कि $t^{h} u k-i s-k i$ 'hit-SUBJ2sg-SA3' |
|  | 2pl | ठुकन $t^{h} u k-\Lambda n$ 'hitSUBJ2pl' | ठुकन $t^{h} u k-\Lambda n$ 'hitSUBJ2pl' | - | ठुकन $t^{h} u k-\Lambda n ~ ‘ h i t-S U B J 2 p l ' ~$ (REFL) | ठुकन $t^{h} u k-\Lambda n ~ ‘ h i t-S U B J 2 p l ' ~$ <br> ठुक्किर $t^{h} u k-k i-r \Lambda$ 'hit-SA32 pl ' |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \mathrm{sg} \\ & 3 \mathrm{pl} \end{aligned}$ | ठुके $t^{h} u k$-e 'hit-SUBJ3' ठुकोक $t^{h} u k$-ok 'hitSUBJ3' | ठुके $t^{h} u k$-e 'hit-SUBJ3' ठुकोक $t^{h} u k$-ok 'hit-SUBJ3' | ठुकोक् $t^{h} u k$-ok 'hit-SUBJ3' <br> ठुक्कु $t^{h} u k-k u$ 'hit-SA2sg' | ठुकोक $t^{h} u k$-ok 'hit-SUBJ3' <br> ठुक्कन $t^{h} u k$-kın 'hit-SA2pl' | ठुके $t^{h} u k$-e 'hit-SUBJ3' <br> ठुक्कि $t^{h} u k-k i$ 'hit-SA3' |
| Past habitual |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Patient |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1sg | 1 pl | 2sg | 2pl | 3sg, 3pl |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3} \\ & \stackrel{y}{8} \end{aligned}$ | 1sg | ठुकु t thuk-u 'hitPST.HAB1sg' (REFL) | - | ठुकेकुन t thuk-eku-n 'hit-SA2sg- <br> 1sg' <br> ठुक्कुन $t^{h} u k-k u-n$ 'hit-SA2sg1 sg , | ठुकेकन $t^{h} u k$-ekı-n 'hit-SA2pl1sg' <br> ठुक्कन् $t^{h} u k-k \Lambda-n ~ ' h i t-S A 2 p 1-$ 1sg' | ठुकु $t^{h} u k-u$ 'hit-PST.HAB1sg' <br> ठुकेकिन $t^{h} u k-e k i-n ~ ' h i t-S A 3-$ 1 sg ' <br> ठुक्किन $t^{h} u k-k i-n ~ ' h i t-S A 3-$ 1sg' |
|  | 1 pl | - | ठुकि $t^{h} u k-i$ 'hitPST.HAB1pl' (REFL) | ठुकि $t^{h} u k-i$ 'hit-PST.HAB1pl' <br> ठुक्कन t thuk-kı-n 'hit-SA2sg-1pl' | ठुकि $t^{h} u k-i$ 'hit-PST.HAB1pl' <br> ठुक्कन $t^{h} u k-k \Lambda-n ~ ' h i t-S A 2 p l-1 p l '$ | ठुकि $t^{h} u k-i$ 'hit-PST.HAB1pl' ठुक्किन $t^{h} u k-k i-n ~ ‘ h i t-S A 3-1 p l ' ~$ |


| 2sg | ठुकिस $t^{h} u k-i s$ 'hitPST.HAB2sg' | ठुकिस $t^{h} u k-i s$ 'hitPST.HAB2sg' | ठुकिस t thuk-is 'hit-PST.HAB2sg' (REFL) | - | ठुकिस $t^{h} u k$-is 'hitPST.HAB2sg' <br> ठुकिस्कि t the-is-ki 'hit-PST.HAB2sg-SA3' <br> ठुक्कि $t^{h} u k$-ki 'hit-SA3' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2pl | ठुकन $t^{h} u k-\Lambda n ~ ‘ h i t-~$ PST.HAB2pl' | ठुकन $t^{h} u k-\Lambda n$ 'hitPST.HAB2pl' | - | ठुकन $t^{h} u k-\Lambda n ~ ' h i t-P S T . H A B 2 p l ' ~$ (REFL) | ठुकन $t^{h} u k-\Lambda n$ 'hitPST.HAB2pl' <br> ठुक्किर $t^{h} u k-k i-r \Lambda$ 'hit-SA32 pl ' <br> ठुकिस्किर $t^{h} u k-i s-k i-r A$ 'hit-PST.HAB2-SA3-2pl' |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3 \mathrm{sg} \\ & 3 \mathrm{pl} \end{aligned}$ | ठुके $t^{h} u k$-e 'hitPST.HAB3' | ठुके $t^{h} u k$-e 'hit-PST.HAB3' | ठुकेकु t the $u k$-eku 'hit-SA2sg' ठुक्कु $t^{h} u k-k u$ 'hit-SA2sg' | ठुकेकन $t^{h} u k-e k \wedge n ~ ' h i t-S A 2 p l ' ~$ ठुक्कन t thuk-kın 'hit-SA2pl' | ठुके $t^{h} u k$-e 'hit-PST.HAB3' <br> ठुकेकि $t^{h} u k$-eki 'hit-SA3' <br> ठुक्कि $t^{h} u k$-ki 'hit-SA3' |



| Verb paradigm: Dādar Bairiā and Rangeli dialects, southern Morang |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Future |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Patient |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 p | 2sg | 2 pl | 3p |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\bar{y}} \\ & \stackrel{80}{0} \end{aligned}$ | 1 p | - | ठकिम्गु $t^{\text {h }}$ A $k$-im-gu 'hit-FUT-SA2 |  | ठकिम्गि $t^{h} \Lambda k$-im-gi 'hit-FUT-SA3' |
|  | 2p | ठक्बो t $t^{\text {h }} \wedge k$-b-o 'hit-FUT-2' |  | - | ठक्बो $t^{h} \Lambda k$-b-o 'hit-FUT-2' |
|  | 3 p | ठक्बे $t^{h} \Lambda k$-b-I 'hit-FUT-3' | ठक्तुकु $t^{h}$ Ak-tu-ku 'hit-3-SA2sg' | ठक्तकन $t^{h} \Lambda k$ - $t \wedge$-kın 'hit-3-SA2pl' | ठक्ति $t^{h} \Lambda k-t-i$ 'hit-FUT-SA3' |
| Present |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Patient |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1p |  | 2p | 3p |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{8} \\ & \stackrel{8}{80} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 1p | - | ठकेसुङु़ $t^{\text {h }} \wedge k$-es-uy-gu 'hit-PRES | 1-SA2' | ठकेसिङ्जि $t^{h}{ }^{\prime} k$-es-in-gi 'hit-PRES-1-SA3' |
|  | 2 p | ठकेसि $t^{h} \Lambda k$-es-i 'hit-PRES-2' |  | - | ठकेसि $t^{h} \Lambda k$-es-i 'hit-PRES-SA3' |
|  | 3 p | ठकेसे t ${ }^{\text {h }} \wedge k$-es-e 'hit-PRES-3' | ठकेसु $t^{\text {h }} \wedge k$-es-u 'hit-PRES-SA2' |  | ठकेसि $t^{h} \Lambda k$-es-i 'hit-PRES-SA3' |
| Past |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Patient |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1p |  | 2 p | 3p |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3} \\ & \text { en } \end{aligned}$ | 1p | - | ठकिन्गु $t^{\text {h }}$ $\lambda k$-in-gu 'hit-PST-SA2' |  | ठकिन्गि $t^{\text {t }}$ Ak-in-gi 'hit-PST-SA3' |
|  | 2 p | ठक्लो t $t^{h} \Lambda k$-l-o 'hit-PST-2' |  | - | ठक्लो $t^{h} \Lambda k$-1-o 'hit-PST-2' <br> ठकिल्गि $t^{h} \Lambda k$-il-gi 'hit-PST-SA3' (?) |
|  | 3 p | ठक्ले $t^{h} \Lambda k-1-\mathrm{I}$ 'hit-PST-3' | ठकिल्गु $t^{h} \Lambda k-i l-g u$ 'hit-PST-SA2' |  | ठकिल्गि $t^{h} \Lambda k$-il-gi 'hit-PST-SA3' |


| Perfect |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Patient |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1p |  | 2p | 3p |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{6} \end{aligned}$ | 1 p | - | ठकिसुङु | $k-i s-u \eta-g u$ 'hit-PERF-1-SA2' | ठकिसिद्ञि $t^{h} \Lambda k$-is-in-gi 'hit-PERF-1-SA3' |
|  | 2 p | ठकिसि $t^{h} \Lambda k$-is-i 'hit-PERF-2' |  | - | ठकिसि $t^{h} \Lambda k-i s-i$ 'hit-PERF-SA3' |
|  | 3 p | ठकिसे $t^{h} \Lambda k$-is-e 'hit-PERF-3' | ? |  | ठकिसि $t^{h} \Lambda k-i s-i ~ ' h i t-P E R F-S A 3 ' ~$ |
| Past continuous, remote past |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Patient |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 p |  | 2p | 3p |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ & \text { 苋 } \end{aligned}$ | 1 p | - | ठकिस्नुगु | ${ }^{\wedge}{ }^{\prime} k$-isn-u-gu 'hit-REM.PST-1-SA2' | ठकिस्निगि $t^{h}{ }^{\text {a }} k$-isn-i-gi 'hit-REM.PST-1-SA3' |
|  | 2 p | ठकिस्लो $t^{h} \Lambda k$-isl-o 'hit-REM.PST-2' |  | - | ठकिस्लो $t^{h} \Lambda k$-isl-o 'hit-REM.PST-2' |
|  | 3 p | ठकिस्ले $t^{h} \Lambda k$-isl-I 'hit-REM.PST-3' | ठकिसिल्गु | $t^{h}{ }^{\prime} k$-isil-gu 'hit-REM.PST-SA2' | ठकिसिल्गि $t^{h} \Lambda k$-isil-gi 'hit-REM.PST-SA3' |
| Past conditional |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Patient |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1p |  | 2 p | 3 p |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overleftarrow{D} \\ & \text { 呙 } \\ & \text { < } \end{aligned}$ | 1 p | - |  | ठकिन्गुहने $t^{h} \Lambda k$-in-gu = hıne 'hit-PST-SA2 = PST.COND' | ठकिन्गिहने $t^{h} \Lambda k$-in-gi=hıne 'hit-PST-SA3 = PST.COND' |
|  | 2 p | ठक्लोहने $t^{h} \Lambda k-1-o=h \wedge n \mathrm{e}$ 'hit-PST-2 = | ST.COND' | - | ठक्लोहने $t^{h} \Delta k-1-o=h \wedge n e$ 'hit-PST-2 = PST.COND' ठकिलिएने $t^{h} \Lambda k$-il-gi=hane 'hit-PST-SA3=PST.COND' |
|  | 1 p | ठक्लेहने $t^{h} \Lambda k-1-I=h \wedge n e ~ ' h i t-P S T-3=P S T . C O N D ' ~$ |  | ठकिल्गुहने $t^{\text {h }} \Lambda k$-il-gu $=$ hıne 'hit-PST-SA2 = PST.COND' | ठकिल्गिहने $t^{h} \Lambda k$-il-gi=hıne 'hit-PST-SA3 = PST.COND' |



## Appendix 2: Lexicon

## अ $\Lambda$

अइ-, $\Lambda i-$ - dem, 'that (very)'
अइधर, $\Delta i d^{h} \Lambda r, a d v$, 'in that (very) direction"
अकुन्डा, ıkunḍa, adj, 'different'
अग्ले बग्ले (अलगे बगले), sgle bıgle, adv, 'here and there'
अघिन (अगिन), -खान, $\Lambda g\left({ }^{h}\right) i n,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'fire'
अतेक, stek, adv, 'that much'
अत्किना, stkina, adv, 'that little (amount)'
अत्र, strs, adj, 'that big'
अथवा, $\Delta t^{h} \Lambda w a$ 'in other words(loan)'
अनङ(ति), $\Delta n \wedge \eta(t i), a d v, ~ ' l i k e ~ t h a t ' ~ ' ~$
अनुराग, -खान, Anurag, -k'an, n, 'longing'

आइ, -ड, ai, - $\operatorname{d}_{\Lambda}, n$, 'mother'
आख, - -ड, $a k^{h} \Lambda,-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'fireplace'
आखरित, $a k^{h} r i t, a d v$, 'in the end'
आख्र-, $a k^{h} r-, v,{ }^{\prime}$ come off'
आगुत, agut, adv, 'before; previously'
आगुति, aguti, pp, 'in front of
आग्रा दि-, agra di-, v, 'guard'
आङोल, -ड, aŋol, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'finger; toe'
आचि, -ड, aci, - $d_{\Lambda}, n$, '(funeral) pyre'
आच्छा, acc $^{h}$ a, adj, 'good'
आच्छाए, $\mathrm{acc}^{h} \mathrm{ae}, \mathrm{adv}$, 'well'
आझि, $a^{j}{ }^{h}$ i, adv, 'today'
आट-, at-, $v$, 'be about to(Nepali)'
आठ (आँठ), a/ãṭt , num, 'eight'
आदत, -ट, ad $\Delta t,-t!\Lambda, n$, 'habit'
आधाडि, $a^{h}{ }^{h} a \eta i, n ;$ adj, 'half'
आन-, an-, v, 'bring'

अनुसार, snusar, pp, 'according to' अन्छ- (अन्छा-), $\Delta n c^{h}(a)-, v$, 'search' अन्ति, $n n t i, a d v$, 'like that' अन्तिम, antim, adv, 'last' अन्दरत, $\Delta n d \wedge r \Delta t, p p$, 'because of(loan)' अन्याय, -खान, ^nyae, $-k^{h} a n, n$, 'injustice(loan)' अन्सट, $n n s \iota t ̣ \Lambda, a d j$, 'bored' अप्सोस, -खान, $\wedge p s o s,-k^{h} a n, n, ~ ' g r i e f ' ~$ अम़ा (आमा), $\Lambda / \mathrm{am}^{h}$ a, pro, '3pl[pro]' अलगे, $\Lambda l \wedge g e, ~ a d v, ~ ' s e p a r a t e l y ' ~$ अलवत, ${ }^{2} l w o t, ~ a d j, ~ ' e x c e l l e n t ' ~$

## आ a

आन्धार घुट घुट (घिट घिट / कुट कुट), $a^{n} d^{h} a r$ $g^{h} u t g^{h} u t ̣ / g^{h} i t g^{h} i t ̣ /$ kuṭ kuṭ, adj, 'really dark'

आन्धार, and ${ }^{h} a r, n ; a d v, ~ ' d a r k ' ~$
आप, ap, pcl, 'PCL; now'
आपुन, apun, pro, 'onself'
आप्ना आप्नि, apna apni, adj, 'own(PL)'
आप्ने, apne, pro, 'oneself'
आम, -ड, am, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'mango'
आमाने, amane, adv, 'clearly'
आर, ar, cnj, 'and; more; again'
आरकि, arki, pcl, 'PCL'
आरसाल, arsal, adv, 'last year'
आराम, -खान, aram, $-k^{h} a n, n$, 'rest'
आरे, are, pcl, 'PCL'
आर्ना, -ड, arna, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'type of buffalo'
आल, al, pcl, 'PCL[pretense]'

आल़ा, $\mathrm{al}^{h} \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{adv}$, 'now'
आलु, -ड, alu, -d ${ }_{\Lambda}, n$, 'potatoe'
आल्सिया, alsia, adj, 'lazy'
आवाज, -ड, awaj, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'sound'
आशिर्बाद, -ड / -खान, asirbad, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda /-k^{h} a n, n$,

## इ i

इ-, $i$-, dem, 'this'
इत्यादि, ityadi 'etcetera(Skt)'
इनाम, -ड / -खान, inam, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda /-k^{h} a n, n$, 'reward'

## उ u

उ-, $u$-, dem, 'that'
उकुस, -ट, ukus, -ṭ $\Lambda, n$, 'hawk'
उक्च-, ukc-, $v$, 'slip in between bamboo roofslats'
उगिना, ugina, inj, 'Look!'
उचल, ucıl, adj, 'high'
उजा-, uja-, v, 'appear'
उठ-, $u t^{h}-, v$, 'rise'
उठा-, uṭha-, v, 'raise'

एइ-, ei-, dem, 'this (very)'
एइधर, ei- $d^{h} \Lambda r, a d v$, 'in this (very) direction'
एइस, eis, 'INJ[disgust]'
एक, ek, num, 'one'
एकछिन, ekchin, adv, 'one moment'
एकजारा, ekjara, adv, 'a little, a while'
एकदम, ekd $\Delta m, a d v, ~ ' v e r y ' ~$
एखलाए, ek ${ }^{h} l a e, ~ a d v, ~ ' a l o n e ' ~$

## ओ 0

ओर ह-, or $h \Delta-, v$, 'end'

कइना, -ड, kıina, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, ~ n, ~ ' b r i d e ' ~$
कइरा, kıira, adj, 'brown'
कचु, -ड, kлcu, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'kind of vegetable' कटु (कट्टु), -खान, $k \wedge t(t) u,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'underwear'

उपर, upлr, adv, 'above'
उपरत, upлrat, pp; adv, 'on top of' उपरमाखे, upsrmak ${ }^{h} e, a d v, ~ ' u p w a r d s ' ~$ उपाए (उपाय), -ड, upae, -ḍ $\Lambda, n$, 'way' उभ्ररा-, $u b^{h} r a-, ~ v, ~ ' u n r o l l ' ~$ उरा-, ura-, v, 'fly' (i.e. 'cause to rise') उस्ना-, usna-, v, 'boil'
उहाँ(ए), uhã(e), pro, '3sg[pro]'
'blessing(loan)'
आस-, as-, $v$, 'come'
आसान, asan, adj, 'easy'
आस्रा, asra, $n$, 'hope'

इस्कुल, -ड, (i)skul, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'school'
gars, num, 'eleven' एङना, -खान, eøna, - $\mathrm{k}^{\text {han, } n, ~ ' y a r d ' ~}$ एठ, et ${ }^{h} \Lambda$, adj, '(socially) unclean' एत्किना, etkina, adv, 'this little (amount)' एत्र, etrı, adv, 'this big' एनङ.(ति) (एन्ति), en $n f(t i) / e n t i, a d v, ~ ' t h i s$ type of; like this' एमा, em ${ }^{\text {ha }}$, pro, '3pl[pro/prox]'

## क k

ओर्कोट जोर्कोट, orkot jorkot, adv/j, 'mixed up'

कठा, -ड, $k \Lambda t^{h} a,-d ̣ \Lambda, n$, 'room'
कठिन, kıṭin, adj, 'difficult(loan)'
कडि, -ड, k $\lambda \underset{i}{i},-\underset{d}{ } \quad$, $n$, 'shell'
कतकत, kıtkıt, adj, 'chubby'

कनेक, kınek, adv; quant, 'a little'
कब, kıb, cnj, 'when(Hindi)'
कम, kım, adj; adv, 'less'
कम्मर, -खान, $k \wedge m m \wedge r,-k^{h} a n, n, ~ ' w a i s t ' ~$
कर-, kır-, v , 'do'
करिप, kırip, adv, 'approximately'
करे, kıre, 'ADVL'
कर्छिया, $k \wedge r{ }^{h} \mathrm{ia}$, adj, 'sided'
कल, -ड, $k \wedge l \Lambda,-d \Lambda, ~ n, ~ ' b a n a n a ' ~$
कलम, -ड, kılım, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'pen(cil)'
कला, -ड, kлla, - $d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'hip'
कस्ट, kıstı, n, 'trouble(loan)'
कह-, kлhл-, v, 'say-'
काका, -ड, kaka, -ḍ $\Lambda, n$, 'uncle'
काकि, -ड, kaki - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'aunt'
काड़, -खान, $k a \eta^{h},-k^{h} a n, n$, 'shoulder'
काच गेद गेद (गद गद), $k^{h} a \Lambda_{\Lambda}$ ged ged ( $\left.g_{\Lambda} d g_{\Lambda} d\right)$, adj, 'really unripe'
काच, kacı, adj, 'unripe'
काछार, -ट, kachar, -t $\Lambda$, n, 'river bank'
काट-, kat-, v, 'cut'
काठमान्डु, kat ${ }^{h} m a n d ̣ u, n$, 'Kathmandu'
काति, -ड, kati, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'foundation'
काथा, -ड, kat ${ }^{h}$ a, $-\underset{d}{ } \Lambda, n$, 'thing'
काद, -खान, kad, -k'an, n, 'mud'
कादु वालि, kaduwali, adj, 'muddy'
कान-, kan-, v, 'cry'
कान, -ड, kan, -d $\Lambda, n$, 'ear'
काना, -खान, kana, $-k^{h} a n, n$, 'hole'
कान्टा, -खान, kanṭa, -khan, $n$, 'vicinity'
काभा, $k a b^{h}$ a, pro, 'indefinite(uncertain)'
काम, -खान / -ड, kam, $-k^{h}$ an / -ḍ $\Lambda, n$, 'work; job'
कामा, -ड, kama, - $d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'earner'
काम्रा-, kamra-, v, 'bite'
कारन, -ड, kar^n, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'reason'
कारा, kara, adj, 'fast'
काल घुट घुट (or, कुट कुट, मिस मिस), kalı $g^{h} u t g^{h} u t$ (or, kuṭ kuṭ, mis mis), adj, 'really black'
काल, kalı, adj, 'black'
काला, -ड, kala, -ḍ $, ~ n, ~ ' p e a ' ~$
कालि, $k a l^{h} i, a d v$, 'yesterday; tomorrow'

काहँ, kahı/त̃, pro, 'anyone;
someone(indefinite)'
काहाँए, kaha/ãe, pro, 'who(interrogative)'
काहुँ, kahu/ũ, pro, 'anyone;
someone(indefinite)'
काहें, kahe/्̃ट, pro, 'who(interrogative)'
कि, ki, pro, 'what'
किताप, -खान, kitap, - $k^{h}$ an, $n$, 'book'
किन-, kin-, $v$, 'buy'
किबा (किवा), kib/wa, pro, 'some(uncertain)'
कियाँ, kiã, adv, 'why'
कियाँकि, kiãki, cnj, 'because'
कियाँते, kiãte, cnj, 'because'
किसिम, kisim, adj, 'type of; kind of कुकुर, -ट, kukur, -ṭ $\Lambda, n$, 'dog(Nepali)'
कुछु, $k u c^{h} u$, pro, 'something; a little(indefinite)'
कुट्टि, -ड, kuțti, -ḍ $\Lambda, n$, 'priest's quarters'
कुत-कुता-, kut kuta-, v, 'to tickle'
कुतेकुते, kute: kute, inj, 'come here(to dogs)'
कुत्ता, -ड, kutta, -d $\Lambda, n,{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{dog}^{\prime}$
कुन, kun, adj, 'which'
कुता, kun ${ }^{h}$, adv, 'where'
कुन्ड, -ड, kunḍ $\Lambda,-\frac{d}{\Lambda}, n$, , (a type of) pond'
कुन्धर, kund $^{h} \Lambda r, a d v$, 'in which direction'
कुमा, kuma, pro, 'some(uncertain)'
कुवा, -ड, kua, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'crow'
के, ke, pro, 'who' (possibly a loan)
केइ, kei, pro, 'who(interrogative; possibly a loan)'
केतेक, ketek, adv, 'how much!'
केत्र, ketr $\Lambda$, adv, 'how big'
केनङ (केनेङ), kenı/en, adv, 'how'
केनङति (केन्ति), kenıŋti (or, kenti), adv, 'like
what; what type of
केन्द्र, -ड, kendr $\Lambda,-\underset{d}{ } \Lambda, n$, 'centre'
केबा (केवा), keb/wæ, pro, 'some size;
amount'
केमे, keme, adv, 'why'
केरे, kere, pcl, 'PCL(certainty)'
कोए (कोय, कोइ), koe/i, pro, 'someone;
something'

कोधोए, $k o d^{h} o e, ~ a d v, ~ ' n e v e r ' ~$
कोहिनि, -खान, kohini, -k'an, n, 'story'

खइरा, $k^{h} \Lambda i r a, ~ a d j, ~ ' g r e y ' ~$
खका-, $k^{h} \Lambda k a-, ~ v, ~ ' s c o l d ' ~$
खतम, $k^{h} \Lambda t \wedge m, ~ a d j$, 'finished'
खता, -ड, $k^{h} \Lambda t a,-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'nest'
खन्जरा, $-ड, k^{h} \Lambda n j \wedge r a,-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'feeding trough'
खबर, -ट, $k^{h} \Lambda b_{\Lambda} r,-t ̣ \Lambda, n,{ }^{n}$ news'
खबे, $k^{h} \Delta b e, a d v, ~ ' v e r y ' ~$
खराक, $k^{h} \wedge r a k, n$, 'food'
खल्का, -ड, $k^{h} \Lambda l k a,-\underset{\Lambda}{ } \Lambda, n$, 'claw'
खसि, -ड, $k^{h} \Lambda s i,-\left(d_{\Lambda}, n,{ }^{\prime}\right.$ goat(male/castrated)'
खस्ला, -खान, $k^{h} \Delta s l a,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'woven straw mat' खा-, $k^{h} a-, v$, 'eat'

खाटा चाँए चाँए (चाङ चाङ), $k^{h} a t a c a e ~ c a e ~(c a \eta ~$
cay), adj, 'really sour'
खाटा, $k^{h} a t ̣ a, ~ a d j, ~ ' s o u r ' ~$
खातिर, $k^{h}$ atir, $p p$, 'for the sake of
खादि, -ड, $k^{h}$ adi, $-d \Lambda, n$, 'hole'
खाना (खान), $k^{h} a n a / \Lambda, n$, 'food'
खामा, - ड, $k^{h} a m a,-d \Lambda, n, ~ ' p i l l a r '$

गचिमारि, g^cimari, n, 'Gacimāri'
गटे, gaṭe, quant, 'every; all'
गन, -ड, $g_{\Lambda n(\Lambda), ~}^{\text {( }} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \Lambda, n$, 'smell'
गन्य मान्य, ganye manye, adj, 'respected(loan)'
गप (गफ), -ट, $g_{\Lambda} p\left({ }^{h}\right),-t ̣ \Lambda, n$, 'chat; chatting'
गपसप, gлps $\wedge p, n$, 'chat; chatting'
गबर, -खान, $g_{\Lambda} b_{\Delta} r,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'dung' गर चुक चुक (फेक फेक), $g_{\Lambda} r \Lambda \operatorname{cuk} \operatorname{cuk}\left(p^{h} \mathrm{ek} p^{h} \mathrm{ek}\right.$ ),
adj, 'really white-skinned'
गर, $g_{\Lambda} \Lambda \Lambda, ~ a d j$, 'white-skinned'
गरम टाँअ टाँअ, g $\Delta r \wedge m ~ t ̣ a \tilde{\sim} / \Lambda ~ t ̣ a \tilde{N} / \Lambda, ~ a d j, ~ ' b o i l i n g ~$
hot'
गरम, garım, adj, 'hot'


क्रिया, -ड, kriya, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'funeral'

खाराब, $k^{h} a r a b, a d j, ~ ' b a d ' ~$
खालि, $k^{h} a l i, a d v$, 'only'
खासकरे, $k^{h} a s k \Delta r e, ~ a d v, ~ ' a c t u a l l y ' ~$
खिल-खिला-, $k^{h}$ il $k^{h} i l a-, ~ v, ~ ' g i g g l e ' ~$
खिला-, $k^{h} i l a-, ~ v, ~ ' f e e d ' ~$
खिस्सा (खिसा), -खान, $k^{h} i s(s) a,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'story'

खुच खुच कर-, $k^{h} u c k^{h} u c k \Delta r-, v$, 'to fiddle'
खुट्टा, -खान, $k^{h} u t ̣ t a,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'wood'
खुद-, $k^{h} u d-, v$, 'dig'
खुन, -खान, $k^{h} u n,-k^{h} a n, n, ~ ' b l o o d(N e p a l i) ' ~$
खुना (खुनि), $k^{h} u n a / i, ~ a d v, ~ ' d u r i n g ' ~$
खुला-, $k^{h} u l a-, ~ v$, 'open'
खुसि, $k^{h} u s i, ~ a d j, ~ ' h a p p y(N e p a l i) ' ~$
खेटिया, -ड, $k^{h} e t ̣ i a, ~-d ̣, ~ n, ~ ' j a c k a l ' ~$
खेत, -खान, $k^{h} e t,-k^{h} a n, n, ~ ' f i e l d ' ~$
खेब्र-, $k^{h} e b r-, ~ v, ~ ' b r e a k ' ~$
खोज-, $k^{h} o j-, ~ v$, 'search'
खोब, $k^{h} o b, a d v$, 'very(Hindi)'

गरा, -ड, $g_{\Lambda} r^{h} a,-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'dung stick'
गरिब, garib, adj, 'poor'
गरु (गोरु), -ड, $g_{\Lambda} / o r u, ~ n, ~ ' b u l l o c k ' ~$
गर्मि, garmi, adj, 'hot'; idiomatic sense
'proud'
गलि (गल्लि), -ड, $g_{\Lambda} l(l) i,-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'pathway'
गहम, -खान, $g_{\Lambda} h \wedge m,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'wheat'
गाअँ, -ड, gã̃, -ḍ $\Lambda, ~ n, ~ ' v i l l a g e ' ~$
गाएँ, -ड, gaẽ/i, - $d_{\Lambda}, n,{ }^{\prime}$ cow'
गाज-, gaj-, v, 'sprout'
गान्जा, -खान, ganja, -khan, n, 'tobacco; drug'
गान्धा, gand $^{h}$ a, adj, 'dirty'
गारि, -खान / -ड, gari, -k ${ }^{h}$ an (Ghailāḍubbā) /
$-d_{\Lambda}$ (Korobāri), $n$, 'cart'
गाल, -ड, gal, - $d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'cheek'
गाला, -ड, gala, - $\boldsymbol{d}_{\Lambda}, n$, 'neck'
गालि, -ड, gali, - $d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'rebuke'
गिड गिड करे, giḍ giḍ kıre, adv, 'with a
stampeding sound'
गित, -खान, git, - $k^{h}$ an, $n$, 'song'
गित, -ट, git, - $t \iota, n$, '(wording of a) song'
गिदा-, gida-, $v$, 'sing'
गियान, -ड, gyan, - $\downarrow \Lambda, n$, 'wisdom'
गिर-, gir-, v, 'fall'
गिरा-, gira-, $v$, 'drop'
गिलाप, -ट, gilap, -ṭ $\Lambda, n$, 'shawl'
गु, -खान, $g u,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'feces'
गुथानि, -ड, gut ${ }^{h}$ ani, $-d_{\Lambda}, n$, '(manner of) weaving'
गुन, -खान, gun, $-k^{h} a n, n, ~ ' q u a l i t y ' ~$

गुन्डा, -खान, gunḍa, $-k^{h} a n, n, ~ ' c h a f f ' ~$
गुवाल, -ड, gual, - ${ }_{\Lambda}, n, n$, 'farmer'
गे, ge, 'VOC'
गेच, -ट, gec, - $t \uparrow, n$, , sprout'
गेछ (गाछ, गेस), -ट, ge/ach (ges), $-t . \Lambda, n$, 'tree'
गेद-गेदुवा-, ged geduwa-, $v$, 'slurp (one's food)'
गेन्जि, -ड, genji, - ${ }^{( } \Lambda, n$, 'vest'
गो, go, 'VOC'
गोग -ड, gog, - ḍ $\Lambda, n$, 'loud voice'
गोरोल (ग्रोहोल), -ड, gorol (grohol), - ${ }^{2} \Lambda, n$, 'heron'

ग्याँस, -खान, gyas, $-k^{h}$ an, $n$, 'gas(English)'
ग्लुकोज, -खान, glukoj, - $\mathrm{k}^{h}$ an, $n$, 'glucose(English)'
classifier: '(drop of) sweat')
घिउँ, -खान, $g^{h} i u / u \tilde{u},-k^{h} a n, n, ~ ' g h e e ' ~$
घुगु, -ड, $g^{h} u g u,-\underset{d}{ } \Lambda, n$, 'dove'
घुदि, -ड, $g^{h} u d i,-d ̣ \Lambda, n,{ }^{\prime}$ goat(female)'
घुर-, $g^{h} u r-, ~ v, ~ ' t u r n ' ~$
घुरि, $g^{h} u r i, ~ a d v, ~ ' d u r i n g ' ~$
घुरिए, $g^{h} u r i e, ~ a d v, ~ ' a g a i n ' ~$
घेचा, -ड, $g^{h}$ eca, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'neck'
घेर-, $g^{h} e r-, ~ v$, 'surround'
घेरा-, $g^{h}$ era-, $v$, 'extinguish'
घेस्केट-, $g^{h} e s k e t-, v, ~ ' c r a w l ' ~$

चँत चुँत, $c \tilde{\Lambda} t c \tilde{\Lambda} t^{\prime}(\mathrm{a})$ [c $\mathrm{c} \mathrm{t} \mathrm{c} \tilde{\Lambda} t$ ] (sound)'
चख चाँए चाँए, $c \wedge k^{h} \Lambda c a e ~ c a e, ~ a d j, ~ ' r e a l l y ~ s a l t y ' ~$
चख चुन चुन, $c \wedge k^{h} \Lambda$ cun cun, adj, 'really salty'
चख, $c \wedge k^{h} \Lambda, ~ a d j, ~ ' s a l t y ' ~$
चखा (चगु), -ड, $c \wedge k^{h} a / u,-\not d_{\Lambda}, n, ~ ' e y e ' ~$
चखु, -ड, $c \wedge k^{h} u,-\not d_{\Lambda}, n,{ }^{\prime}$ knife'
चटि, csṭi, adv, 'moment'
चप्पल, -खान, слррлl, $-k^{h}$ an, $n$, 'slipper'

चर- / चरा-, $\operatorname{car}(a)-$, , , 'graze'
चऱ-, $c \wedge r^{h}-, ~ v, ~ ' r i d e ' ~$
चरित्र, -ड, caritrı, $-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'behaviour'
चल- (चुल-), cal- (cul-), v, 'go; AUX'
चला-, cala-, v, 'cause to move'
चाउ, -ड, cau, - ${ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda, n$, 'rice(uncooked;
without husk)'
चाच, -खान, nac, - $k^{h} a n, n$, 'dance'

चान, -ड, tsan, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'moon'
चार, car, num, 'four'
चारिक, carik, adv, 'a little'
चाल, -खान, cal, -k'an, n, 'roof'
चाल, -ड, cal, - $d \Lambda, n$, 'character'
चाहा-, caha-, $v$, 'want to; try to'
चिज, -खान, cij, - $k^{h} a n, n$, 'thing'
चिट्टि, -खान, ciṭti, -k ${ }^{h} a n, n$, 'letter'
चित, -ट, cit, -ṭ $\Lambda, n$, 'thought(loan)'
चित्ताबाघ, -ड, cittabag ${ }^{h}$, -d $\Lambda, n$, 'leopard'
चिऩ-, cin $^{h}-$, v, 'know'
चिन्ता, -ड, cinta, -ḍ $\Lambda, n$, 'worry'
चिन्नात, cinnat, adj, 'messy; disorderly'
चिम-चिमा-, cim cima-, $v$, 'to ache/pinch (of the
surface of the skin)'
चिला, -ड, cil'ha, - ${ }^{h} \Lambda, n$, 'eagle'
चिलिम, -ड, cilim, -d $\Lambda, n$, 'pipe'
चुटे, cuṭe, pp, 'due to'
चुरि, -खान, curi, -khan, n, 'bangle'
चुलि, -खान, culi, -k'an, $n$, 'hair'
चेङरा, -ड, cenra, -ḍ $\Lambda, n ;$ adj,
'youngster(male); young'
चेङरि, -ड, cenri, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n ; a d j$,
'youngster(female); young'
चेचा-, ceca-, $v$, 'shout'
चेप करे, cep kıre, adj, 'by catching'
चेम्रा, -खान, cemra, $-k^{h} a n, n, ~ ' s k i n ' ~$
चोर, cor, $-t, \Lambda, n$, 'thief

छक पर-, $c^{h} \Lambda k p_{\wedge r-, ~}$, 'be surprised'
छकमछेइया, $c^{h} \wedge k \wedge m c^{h} e i a, ~ a d j, ~ ' e x t r a v a g a n t ' ~$
छट, $c^{h} \Lambda t ̣ \Lambda, ~ a d j, ~ ' s h o r t ' ~$
छट्पट-, $c^{h} \Lambda t p \wedge t-, v$, 'be restless'
छप्पर, -खान, $c^{h} \Lambda p p \wedge r,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'roof $^{\prime}$
छब, -खान, $c^{h} \Delta b,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'beauty'
छर-, $c^{h} \Lambda r-, ~ v, ~ ' l e t ~ g o ' ~$
छा(र)-, $c^{h} a(r)-, v, ~ ' t h a t c h ' ~$
छागल, -ड, $c^{h} a_{\Lambda} l,-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'goat'
छात, -खान, $c^{h} a t,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'beehive'
छियाँ, -खान, $c^{h} i a ̃,-k^{h} a n, n, ~ ' s h a d e ; ~ s h a d o w ' ~$

जङल, -खान, ${ }^{\prime} \wedge \eta_{\Lambda} l,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'jungle; forest'
जतु, -ड, $j_{\Lambda} t u,-(\underset{\Lambda}{ }, n$, 'animal'
जदि, $j_{\Lambda d i}, ~ c n j$, 'if'
जन, -ड, $j \Lambda n,-\frac{d}{} \Lambda, n$, 'labour'
जनङ(ति) (जेनङ•(ति)), j^/enıך(ti), adv, 'which type of'

जब, $j \wedge b, a d v$, 'when(Hindi)'
जर ह-, $j \wedge r h \Delta$-, $v$, 'gather'
जर, -खान, $j \wedge r, ~-k{ }^{h} a n, n, ~ ' f e v e r ' ~$
जरा, -ड, ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda r a,-\underset{d}{ } \Lambda, n$, 'attachment'
जल-, $j \Lambda l-, ~ v, ~ ' b u r n(i n t r) ' ~$

छियारि, -खान, $c^{h}$ iari, - $k^{h} a n, n$, 'shady place (for sitting)'

छुआ (छुवा), -ड, $c^{h} u a,-d \Lambda, ~ n ; ~ a d j, ~ ' c h i l d ; ~$
young'
छुट-, $c^{h} u t-, ~ v, ~ ' s t o p(i n t r) ' ~$
छुडा-, $c^{h} u t ̣ a-, ~ v, ~ ' s t o p(t r a n s) ' ~$
छेच्कारि (छेच्कार), $c^{h} e c k a r(i), a d v, ~ ' r e a l l y ' ~$
छेतलेङा, $c^{h}$ etlena, adj, 'shallow'
छोए, $c^{h} o e, n$, 'shadow(?)'

जल, -खान, $j \Lambda l,-k^{h} a n, n,{ }^{\prime}$ 'water' जलम, -ड, $j_{\Lambda} l_{\Lambda m},-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'birth' जला-, jлla-, v, 'burn(trans)'
जल्दि, $j_{\Lambda} l d i, ~ a d v, ~ ' s o o n ' ~$
जल्लुक, -ट, jılluk, -ṭı, n, 'leach'
जा-, ja-, v, 'go; AUX; PASS.AUX'
जाक, -ट, jak, -ṭ $\Lambda, n$, 'stack'
जाग्गा, -खान, jagga, - $k^{\text {han, }} n$, 'land'
जाग्गा, -ड, jagga, - ${ }^{\alpha} \Lambda, n$, 'container used for keeping fire'

जात, -ट, jat, -ṭ $\Lambda, n$, 'ethnic group'

ठ $t^{h}$
जान, -ड, jan, -ḍ $\Lambda, n$, 'life'
जाऩाक, -खान, jan ${ }^{h} a k,-k^{h} a n, ~ a d j ; ~ n, ~ ' l i g h t ' ~$
जामा, -खान / -ड, jama, -k ${ }^{h}$ an (Korobāri) / -ḍ $\Lambda$
(Ghailāạubbā), n, 'shirt'
जार, $j a r, ~ a d j, ~ ' c o l d ' ~$
जाहाँ(ए), jaha/ã(e), pro, 'who(relative)'
जाहाज, -खान, jahaj, - $k^{h} a n, n$, 'aeroplane; vessel'
जाहान, -ड, jahan, -d $\Lambda, n$, 'spouse'
जाहें, jahe/ẽ, pro, 'who'
जि, $-j i$, 'HPCL'
जिड़-, $j i \eta^{h}$-, $v$, 'be courageous'
जिन्दगि, -ड, jind $\Lambda g i,-d \Lambda, n$, , life(Hindi)'
जिबन, -ड, jibın, -ḍ $\Lambda, n$, 'life'
जिभा, -ड, $j i b^{h} a,-\underset{\Lambda}{ }, n$, 'tongue'
जिरा-, jira-, v, 'rest'
जुग, -ड, jug, $-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'era'
जुत-, jut-, v, 'plough'
जुत, -खान, jut, - $k^{h} a n, n$, 'way'

झट्कि, $j^{h} \Lambda t!k i, ~ a d j, ~ ' s l i m ' ~$
झना, $-j^{h} \wedge n a, ~ ' P C L S ' ~$
झप्ट-, $j^{h} \wedge p t-, v$, 'jump upon(Nepali)'
झरझर करे, $j^{h} \Lambda r j^{h} \Delta r$ k $\Lambda r e, ~ a d v$, 'with a mud falling sound'

झला, -ड, $j^{h} \Lambda l a,-d_{\Lambda}, n, ~ ' b a g ' ~$
झाक गिरा-, $j^{h}$ ak gira-, $v$, 'knock unconscious'
झाका, -खान, $j^{h} a k a,-k^{h} a n, n, ~ ' c o v e r ' ~$
झाग्रा, -ड, $j^{h} a g(\Lambda) r a,-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'fight'

टन-टना-, ț $\wedge n \stackrel{t}{ } \wedge n a-, v$, 'to ache' (of boils, teeth)'
टप्ला, -ड, $t \wedge p l a,-d \Lambda, n$, 'bundle'
टाका, -ड, ṭaka, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'coin'
टाङ, t tay, adj, 'tall'

ठक-, $t^{h} \wedge k$-, $v$, 'hit(Morang)'
ठग-, $t^{h} \Lambda g-, v$, 'cheat'

जुत, -ट, $j u t,-t, t, n$, 'event'
जुर-, jur-, $v$, 'lay nets'
जुरा-, jura-, v, 'cool down'
जुलुम, julum, adv, 'very'
जुवाँ, -ड, juã, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, ,'son-in-law'
जे, $j e$, pro, 'what'
जेअँत (जेअत), $j e \tilde{\Lambda} / \Delta t, ~ a d j, ~ ' a l i v e ' ~$
जेइ-, jei-, pro, 'REL[obl]-'
जेइधर, $j \mathrm{eid}^{h} \wedge r, a d v$, 'in which direction'
जेतेक, jetek, adv, 'as much as; the amount of which'
जेत्र, jetru, adv, '(large) size of which'
जेरे, -ड, $\operatorname{jer}^{h} \Lambda,-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'herd'
जेसे, jese, cnj, 'so that'
जेहेने, jehene, adv, 'when'
जोग जोग बेहान, jog jog behan, adv, 'very
clear morning'

झाटा, -खान, $j^{h} a t ̣ a,-k^{h} a n, n, \quad$ 'stick(thrown to bring fruit down)'
झान्डा, -खान, $j^{h} a n d ̣ a,-k^{h} a n, n, ~ ' f l a g ' ~$
झार, -खान, $j^{h} a r,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'forest'
झारबारि, -खान, $j^{h}$ arbari, $-k^{h}$ an, $n$, 'forest'
झुक्या-, $j^{h} u k y a-, ~ v, ~ ' c h e a t ' ~$

टाटि, -खान, taṭi, - $\mathrm{k}^{h}$ an, $n$, 'wall' टाप, -ट, ṭap, - $t \uparrow, n$, , footprint'
 टेक-, ṭek-, v, 'support'

ठाअँ, -खान, $t^{h} a \tilde{\Lambda} / \Lambda,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'place' ठाट, -खान, $t^{h} a t,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'roof frame'

ठाल (ठालि), -ड, $t^{h} a l(i),-d ̣, ~ n, ~ ' b r a n c h ' ~$
ठिक, $t^{h} i k, ~ a d v, ~ ' a l r i g h t ' ~$
ठिना (ठिन), $t^{h}$ in(a), adv; n, 'close; place' ठुक-, $t^{h} u k-, v, ~ ' h i t '$

डर, -खान, $\underset{\sim}{d} r r,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'fear'
डाक दि-, ḍak di-, v, 'call'
डाका-, ḍaka-, v, 'call'
डाको, -ड, ḍako, - $d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'robber'
डाङ डाङ करे, ḍaך ḍaŋ kıre 'with a clashing sound'

ढरा, $d^{h} \Lambda r a, n$, 'a kind of snake'
ढिप ढाप करे, $d^{h} i p d^{h} a p$ kıre, n, 'with a beating sound'
ढिप ढिपुवा-, $\underline{̣}^{h} i p ̣^{h} i p-(u) w a-, v$, 'beat with a [ ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ip] sound'
ढिप्रि, -ड, $d^{n}$ ipri, $-\underset{d}{ } \Lambda, n$, 'mound'
ढिल्ला, $d^{h}$ illa, adj, 'slow'

तउलिया, -खान, tsulia, -k'an, n, 'towel'
तक, $t \wedge k, p p ; a d v$, 'TERM; until'
तत, $t \wedge t, a d v$, 'then'
तन, $t \wedge n, c l$, 'PST.COND'
तने, tıne, adv; pcl, 'then'
तब, $t \wedge b, a d v$; corr, 'then(Hindi)'
तमा (तामा), $t_{\Lambda} / \mathrm{am}^{h} \mathrm{a}$, pro, '3pl[pro]'
तरह, -खान, $t \Delta r^{h} \Lambda,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'aggressiveness' (in the sense 'idea', possibly derived from the Hindi (orig. Arabic) word तर्किब् $t ı r k i ̄ b ~ ' i d e a ') ~$
तर्बरा-, tırbıra-, v, 'be startled'
तलत, $t_{\Lambda} l_{\Lambda} t, p p$, 'underneath'
तले तले, tıle tsle, adv, 'inside; (from)
underneath'
ताए, tae, adv, 'then'
ताकत, -खान, takıt, $-k^{h} a n, n$, 'power'
ताकि, taki, cnj, 'so that(loan)'

ठेक्के, $t^{h} \mathrm{ekke}, \mathrm{adv}$, 'exactly'
ठेङ, -खान, $t^{h} e \eta,-k^{h} a n, n, l^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$
ठेन्डा कुन कुन (or, कन कन), $t^{h}$ enḍa kun kun (or, kın kın), adj, 'freezing cold'

डिक-, $\underset{\sim}{\text { ik }}$-, $v$, 'beat up'
डिप्ट-, dipt-, $v$, 'guard'
डिम्मा, -ड, ḍimma, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'egg' डुब- (डुबा-), $\operatorname{ḍb}(a)-, v, \quad \operatorname{sink}(N e p a l i) '$
डेगर, -ट, ḍeg $\quad$ r, -ṭ $\Lambda, n$, 'path'
डेना, -खान, ḍena, - $k^{h} a n, n$, 'wing'

ढुक-, $d^{h} u k-, v$, 'enter'
ढुका-, $\underline{̣}^{h} u k a-, v$, 'take inside'
ढेरि, -ड, $d^{h} e r i,-\underset{d}{ }, n$, , 'pile'
ढेसा दि-, $d^{h}$ esa di-, v, 'lean'
ढोल पिट-, $d^{h} o l$ pit-, $v$, 'drum in order to summon'

तात, tat, adv, 'while'
ताने, tane, $p p$, 'for'
तारि, -ड, tari, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'clay bottle'
तारो, -ड, taro, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'star'
ताहाते, tahate, cnj, 'therefore'
ताहारबाद, taharbad, adv, 'afterwards'
ताहुँ (ताहु), tahu/ũ, cnj, 'nevertheless; but' ताहें (ताहे), tahe/̃, adv, 'while'
ति, ti, pp, 'towards'
तिन एसा/आसा, tin e/asa, cnj, 'no matter how much'
तिन, tin, num, 'three'
तुइँ (तुइ), tuĩ̃i, pro, '2sg[pro]'
तुरि, -खान, turi, $-k^{h} a n, n$, 'mustard field' तुरि, -ड, turi, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'mustard (seed)'
ते, te, $p c l$, 'then; $\mathrm{PCL}^{\prime}$
तेरुवाल, -खान, terual, -k ${ }^{h}$ an, $n$, 'machete'
त्रिया, triya, $n$, 'female(loan)'

थ-, $t^{h} \Lambda$-, $v$, 'keep'
थक-, $t^{h} \Lambda k$-, $v$, 'become tired'
थर्कानि, -ड, $t^{h} \Lambda r k a n i, ~-d ~ \Lambda, ~ n, ~ ' s t e p ' ~$
थाक-, $t^{h} a k-, v, ~ ' l i e ~ d o w n ' ~$
थान, -ड, $t^{h}$ an, $-\frac{d}{} \Lambda, n$, 'place'
थाम-, $t^{h}$ am-, $v$, 'wait'

## द d

दउग- (दउर-), $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{r}$ 'run'
दन, $d_{\Lambda n, ~} n$, 'quarrel' (only occurs in copulative
compounds such as झाग्रा दन $j^{h}$ agra $d \Lambda n$ 'fight')
दने, d ${ }^{n} n^{h} \mathrm{e}^{\text {'both' }}$
दबाव, -ड, $d_{\Lambda} b a w,-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'pressure'
दरबजा, -खान, d^rbıja, - $k^{h}$ an, $n$, 'outer yard'
दस, d $d \Lambda s$, num, 'ten'
दहि, - खान, $d_{\Lambda}(h) i,-k^{h} a n, n,{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$
दादा, -ड, dada, - $d \Lambda, n$, 'elder brother'
दादि, -ड, dadi, - $d \Lambda, n$, 'grandmother'
दादो, -ड, dado, - $\dagger \Lambda, n$, 'grandfather'
दाना, -ड, dana, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'seed'
दामाल (दमाल), -ड, da/ımal, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'track'

classifier: '(strand of) beard')
दारु, -खान, daru, - $k^{h}$ an, $n$, 'liquor; medicine'
दारे, dare, pp, 'by'
दासा, -खान, dasa, - $k^{h} a n, n$, 'body substance'
दाहा, -ड, daha, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'body'
दि-, d(i)-, v, 'give; allow; AUX'
दिन (दिना), $\operatorname{din}(a), a d v, ~ ' d a y ' ~$
दिमाग, -खान, dimag, $-k^{h} a n, n$, 'brain(loan)' $^{\prime}$
दिसा, disa 'direction'

थार करे, $t^{h}$ ars kıre, adv, 'standing'
थाहा, $t^{h}$ aha, $n$, 'knowledge(Nepali)'
थिप्र-, $t^{h}$ ipr-, $v$, 'jump'
थुप, -खान, $t^{h} u p,-k^{h} a n, n, ~ ' s p i t '$
थोप, -ट, $t^{h} o p,-t ̣ \Lambda, n$, 'patch(of trees/bushes)'

दुइ, dui, num, 'two'
दुक (दुख), $\operatorname{duk}\left({ }^{h}\right), n$, 'trouble'
दुद, -खान, dud, - $\mathrm{k}^{h}$ an, $n$, 'milk'
दुद, -ड, $d u d,-\underset{d}{ } \Lambda, n$, 'breast'
दुनिया, -ड, dunia, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'world'
दुर्गति, -ड, durg $\Lambda t i,-d_{\Lambda}, ~ n, ~ ' m i s e r y(l o a n) ' ~$
दुर्गा, -ड, durga, - $\Lambda_{\Lambda}, n$, 'Durgā(Hindu goddess)'

दुवार, -खान, duar, $-k^{h} a n, n$, 'door'
दुस्मन, -ड, dusmın, $-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'enemy'
दुस्रा (दस्रा), du/ssra, adj, 'second'
दुहुनि (दुत़ि), -खान, duhuni / dun ${ }^{h} i,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'milk'
दृश्य, -ड, drisj $\Lambda,-\underset{\Lambda}{ } \Lambda, n,{ }^{\prime}$ view(loan)'
दे, $d e$, 'INSTR'
देअँ, -ड, $\operatorname{den}^{\prime} / \tilde{\Lambda},-d_{\Lambda}, n$, (local) divinity'
देख-, $d e k^{h}$-, $v$, 'see'
देखा-, dek ${ }^{h} a-, v, ~ ' s h o w ' ~$
देफेदार, -ट, dep ${ }^{h}$ edar, -ṭ $, ~ n, ~ ' l e a d e r ' ~$
दोमन, domsn, adj, 'uncertain'
दोस, -खान, dos, $-k^{h} a n, n, ~ ' a c c u s a t i o n ' ~$
दोस, -ड, $\operatorname{dos},-d_{\Lambda}, n$, '(covenant) friend'
$\underline{\text { ध } \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}}}$

ध(र)-, $d^{h} \Lambda(r)-, ~ v, ~ ' h o l d ; ~ b e g i n ' ~$

धन, $d^{h} \Lambda n, n$, 'wealth'
धन, -ड, $d^{h} \Lambda n,-(d \Lambda, n$, 'treasure (referring
affectionately to a small child)' धर्म, - -ड, $d^{h} \Lambda r m \Lambda,-\left(d_{\Lambda}, n\right.$, 'religion' धाकर (धाक्कर), -ट, $d^{h} a k(k) \wedge r,-t ̣ \Lambda, n$, 'bull(male/uncastrated)'

धादनि, -खान, $d^{h}$ adni, - $k^{h} a n, n$, 'river-bank' धान, -खान, $d^{h}$ an, $-k^{h} a n, n, ~ '(f i e l d / c r o p ~ o f) ~ r i c e ' ~$ धान, -ड, $d^{h} a n,-d_{\Lambda}, n, ~ '($ grain of) rice (with husk)' धाम्मा, -खान, $d^{h} a m m a,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'rope'
धिना, $d^{h}$ ina 'let's see(?); things(?)'
धुतुरा (धतुरा), -ड, $d^{h} u / \Lambda t u r a,-d \Lambda, n$, 'narcotic plant'

नकर, -ट, nıkır, -ṭı, n, 'slave; servant'
नस-, nım ${ }^{h}$-, $v$, 'descend'
नरा, -ड, nлra, -ḍ $\Lambda, n$, 'pigeon(male)'
नस्पति, -ड, nıspıti, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, '(Japanese) pear' ना, na, 'NEG; PCL[tag]; or'
नाअँ, -ड, na $/ \tilde{\Lambda},-\underset{\Lambda}{ }, n$, 'name'
नाअ, -खान, na^, -k'an, n, 'boat'
नाक, -ट, nak, -t $t$, n, 'nose'
नाक्सा, -खान, naksa, - $k^{h} a n, n$, 'figure; picture' नाद्रा-, nachra-, v, 'claw'
नात्नि, -ड, natni, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'granddaughter' नाद, -ड, nad, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'feeding trough' नाध-, nadh-, v, 'cook'

नाना, -ड, nana, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'grandfather'
नानि, -ड, nani, - $d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'grandmother'
नाम़-, $n a m^{n}-$, $v$, 'descend'

पइडा, -खान, pıiḍa, $-k^{h} a n, n$, 'footpath'
पइन (पइनि), -ड, p $\sin ^{2}(\Lambda / i),-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'irrigation channel'

पका, -ड, p $\wedge k a,-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'insect'
पक्र-, pıkr-, v, 'catch'
पखि, -ड, $p \wedge k^{h} i,-\left(\underset{\lambda}{ }, n,{ }^{\prime}\right.$ bird' $^{\prime}$
पटके, pıṭıke, adv, 'at all'
पठा-, p $\wedge t^{h} a-, v$, 'send'
पता, -ड, p $p t \underset{a}{ },-{\underset{d}{~}}, n$, 'grandson'
पत्या-, patya-, $v$, 'find out'
पत्ला, patla, adj, 'thin'
पनि, -खान, pıni, - $k^{h} a n, n$, '(piece of) plastic' पन्ना, -खान, p^nna, -khan, n, '(leaf of) paper'

धुदि, -ड, $d^{n} u d i,-d{ }_{\Lambda} \Lambda, n$, 'goat(female)'
धुनि, -ड, $d^{h} u n i,-d ̣, n, ~ ' f i r e p l a c e ' ~$
धुप, -खान, $d^{h} u p,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'sun'
धुवाँ, -खान, $d^{h} u a ̃, ~-k n a n, ~ n, ~ ' s m o k e ' ~$
धुसा, -ड, $d^{h} u s a,-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'blanket'
धेत, $d^{h} e t, ~ ' I N J '$

नाम, -ड, nam, -ḍ $\Lambda, n$, 'name(Nepali)'
नायाँ (नाया), naya, adj, 'new'
नायाँ टिल टिल, naya ṭil ṭil, adj, 'brand new'
नि, ni, 'NEG; PCL[tag]'
निक्ल-, nikl-, $v$, 'go out'
निद- (निदा-), $\operatorname{nid}(a)-, v$, 'sleep'
निदुर, -ट, nidur, -ṭ,$n$, 'rat'
निसाफ, nisap ${ }^{h}$, n, 'judgement'
निसोट, nisot, adj, 'silent'
नुआँ, -खान, nuã, $-k^{h} a n, n, ~ ' c l o t h ' ~$
नुका-, nuka-, v, 'hide'
नुन, -खान, nun, $-k^{h} a n, n$, 'salt'
नुरि, -ड, nuri, -d $\Lambda, n$, 'pigeon(female)'
ने, ne, 'NEG; or'
नेङर, -खान, neŋır, - $k^{\text {han }}, n$, 'tail'

पर, $p \wedge r, p p$, 'on top of; above'
पऱ-, $p \wedge r^{h}-, v$, 'read'
परा-, p $\Delta r^{h} a-$, $v$, 'teach; educate (someone by ensuring their schooling)'
परे, pıre, cnj, 'even'
पहरे, pıhıre, adv, 'later'
पहिला (पहिल्ठिया), pıhila (or, pıhiltia), num, 'first'
पहेले (पहिले, पहले), pıhele (or, pıhile, pshıle), adv, 'first'
पाँइया, -ड, pãia, - $\downarrow \Lambda, n$, 'wheel'
पा-, pa-, $v$, 'receive; get'
पाका गुल गुल, paka gul gul, adj, 'really ripe'

फ $p^{h}$
पाका, paka, adj, 'ripe'
पाके, pake, pp, 'through'
पाक्का, pakka, adv, 'really(Nepali)'
पागला (पागल), -ड, pagla / pag $\Lambda l,-d_{\Lambda}, n$,
'madman'
पाच, pac; cf. पान, pan
पाछ्छुत, $p a c^{h} u t, a d v, ~ ' a f t e r ' ~$
पाछुति, pach $u t i, p p$, 'behind'
पात, -खान, pat, $-k^{h} a n, n$, 'leaf(long; e.g. leaf of a banana tree)'

पात, -ट, pat, -ṭ $\Lambda, n$, 'leaf(short, round)'
पाता (पात्ता) पा-, pat(t)a pa-, $v$, 'find out'
पातारि, -खान, patari, $-k^{h} a n, n$, 'leaf(long; e.g. leaf of a banana tree)'
पातारि, -ड, patari, - ${ }^{d} \Lambda, n$, 'leaf(short; round)'
पात्ला चुन चुन, patla cun cun, adj, 'really thin'
पात्ला झाङ झाङ, patla $j^{h} a \eta j^{h} a \eta, a d j$, 'so thin that
it is see-through'
पात्ला, patla, adj, 'thin'
पात्लि, patli, adj, 'slim(of a woman)'
पाथि, pat ${ }^{h} i, n$, 'leaf'
पान, pan, num, 'five'
पानि, -खान, pani, -knan, n, 'water'
पान्जार, -खान, panjar, $-k^{h} a n, n$, 'rib' $^{\prime}$
पार-, $p a(r)-, v$, 'can'
पाल, -ड, pal $\Lambda,-d_{\Lambda}, n,{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{turn}(\mathrm{loan}) '$
पाहाड (पाहार), -खान, pahar, $-k^{h} a n, n$, 'mountain'
पाहारा दि-, pahara di-, v, 'guard'
पाहारियानि, -ड, pahariani, - $d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'woman of the mountain'
पिट-, pit-, v, 'beat'
पिटा-, piṭa-, v, 'chase'
पिठि, -खान, pit ${ }^{h} i,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'back' $^{\prime}$
पिन, pin, $n$, 'drinking(loan)'

पिऩ-, pin $^{n}$-, v, 'put on'
पिलान, pilan, $n$, 'drinking(loan)'
पिलियानि, -ड, piliani, - $d \Lambda, n, \quad \operatorname{dog}($ female $) '$
पिल्ला, -ड, pilla, - $\downarrow \Lambda, n,{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{dog}($ male $) '$
पुग-, pug-, $v$, 'reach'
पुगा-, puga-, v, 'lead/take to'
पुछ-, puc ${ }^{\text {h}}$-, v, 'ask'
पुछारि कर-, puchari kır-, v, 'ask'
पुरा, pura, adv, 'completely'
पुराट, purat , adj, 'hard'
पुरुब, purub, adv, 'east'
पुर्ना, purna, adj, 'old'
पुवाल, -खान, pual, -knan, $n$, 'straw'
पुहा-, puha-, v, 'warm'
पेइसा, -ड, peisa, - ${ }^{d} \Lambda, n$, 'money' (with noun classifier: 'coin')
पेचेत पेचेत करे, pecet pecet kıre, adv, 'with a [pecet] sound'
पेट, -ट, pett, -ṭィ, n, 'belly'
पेटानि, -खान, peṭani, - $k^{h} a n, n$, 'skirt'
पेद्रा, -ड, pedra, - $d \Lambda, n$; adj, 'newly born
baby; tiny'
पेन्छा, penc ${ }^{h}$ a, adj, 'sour'
पेन्ठि, -खान, pent ${ }^{h}$ i, $-k^{h} a n, n, ~ ' s t i c k ' ~$
पोखर, -ट, pok ${ }^{h} \Lambda r,-t!\Lambda, n$, 'pond'
पोर, por, pro, 'another; other'
प्यास, -खान / -ट, pyas, $-k^{h} a n /-t ̣ \Lambda, n$, 'thirst'
प्रतिस्पर्धा, -ड, prıtisp $\wedge r d^{h} a,-d \Lambda, n$, 'competition'
प्रशस्त, prısıSts, adj, 'abundant'
प्रस्तुत कर-, prastut kır-, v, 'present'
प्रिथ्मि (पृथ्मी), -खान, prit ${ }^{h} m i,-k^{h} a n, n, ~ ' e a r t h ; ~$ planet'

फक-फका-, $p^{h} \Delta k p^{h} \Lambda k a-, v$, 'to burn (of boils)' फट फट बेहान, $p^{h} \Lambda t p^{h} \Lambda t$ behan, adv, 'very clear morning'

फम, -ड, $p^{h} \Lambda m,-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'recollection'
फल-, $p^{h} \Lambda l-, v$, 'grow'

फल, -ड, $p^{h} \Lambda l,-\underset{\alpha}{ }, n$, 'fruit'
फाका-, $p^{h}$ aka-, $v$, 'throw'
फान (Hindi फान्दा), -ड / -खान, $p^{h} a n d a, ~-d ̣ ~ /-~$ $k^{h}$ an, $n, ~ ' t r a p ' ~$

फाल्तु, $p^{h}$ altu, adj, 'extra'

फुर्सत, $p^{h} u r s s t, n$, 'free time'
फुल, -ड, $p^{h} u l,-(d \Lambda, n, ~ ' f l o w e r ' ~$
फुस, $p^{h} u s, n ;$ adj, 'thatch; without value'
फेउर, -ट, $p^{h}$ eur, - $t \uparrow, n$, 'fox'
फेक- (फेका-), $p^{h} \operatorname{ek}(a)-, v$, 'throw; AUX'
फेका-, $p^{h}$ eka-, $v$, 'AUX'

बएल, -ड, bлel, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'bullock(Hindi)'
बगलत, bıglıt, $p p$, 'close to'
बगला, -ड, bıgla, - $d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'stork'
बच-, bлc-, $v$, 'save'
बचा-, bıca-, v, 'save'
बछर, $b_{\Lambda c}{ }^{h} \Lambda r, a d v$, 'year'
बजे, bıje, adv, 'o'clock'
बझा, -ड, $b_{\Lambda j}{ }^{h} a,-(d \Lambda, n$, 'bundle'
बठ-, $b \wedge t^{h}-, v$, 'sit' $^{\prime}$
बड, bırı; cf. बाडा, bara
बढ्ल- (बढ्ला-), bı ${ }^{h} l(a)-, ~ v, ~ ' c h a n g e ' ~$
बत्तु, -ड, bıttu, - $\Lambda_{\Lambda}, n$, 'goat(male/uncastrated)'
बदला, -खान, $b \wedge d l a,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'revenge(general sense)'
बदला, -ड, $b_{\Lambda} d l a,-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'revenge(specific act of)'
बन-, bın-, v, 'be; become'
बन, -खान, bın, -k ${ }^{h}$ an, $n$, 'forest(loan)'
बन्धन, -ड, b $\wedge n d^{h} \wedge n,-\left(d \Lambda, n,{ }^{\prime}\right.$ bond'
बल-, bıl-, $v$, 'speak'
बला-, b^la-, $v$, 'speak; play(instrument)'
बस, $b_{\wedge S}$, 'PCL(Hindi)'
बस-, bıs-, v, 'sit(Nepali)'
बह-, bıhs-, v, 'plough'
बहिरा, bıhira, adj, 'deaf'
बहिराति (बाहिराति, बहिरिति), bı/ahirati (or, $\left.b_{\wedge} h i r i t i\right), ~ a d v, ~ ' o u t s i d e ' ~$
बहुत, bıhut, adv, 'very'
बाँस, -ट, bãs, -ṭ $\Lambda, n$, 'bamboo'
बा (वा), wa, cnj, 'or'
बाइ, -ड, bai, - $d \Lambda, n$, 'elder sister'
बाउ, -ड, bau, -ḍ $\Lambda, n$, 'father'
बाघ, -ड, $b a g^{h},-(d \Lambda, n$, 'tiger'

फेर, $p^{h} \mathrm{er}, \mathrm{adv}$, 'again'
फेल, $p^{h} e l, n$, 'fail(English)'
फेस-फेसिया, $p^{h}$ es $p^{h}$ esia, adj, 'very
unstable(of soil)'
फोहोर, $p^{n}$ ohor, $n$; adj, 'rubbish;
dirty(Nepali)'

बाचा (बाछा, बच्चा, बाच्चा), -ड, $b a / \Delta c\left({ }^{h}\right) a, n$; adj, 'boy; child; small'
बाछा, -ड, $\left.b \mathrm{ac}^{h} a,-d_{\Lambda}, n,{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{calf(male)}\right)^{\prime}$
बाछि, -ड, $\operatorname{bac}^{h} i,-d_{\Lambda}, n,{ }^{2}$ calf(female)'
बाजा (बेजा ) कि, ba/eza ki, cnj, 'as if'
बाडा (बड), bar/ḍa (or, bırı), adv, 'very'
बात- (बाता-), bat(a)-, v, 'tell'
बाद, bad, $p p$, 'after'
बादाम, -ड, badam, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'nut'
बादे, bade, pp, 'on behalf of'
बाध, -खान, $b a d^{h},-k^{h} a n, n, ~ ' f i e l d ' ~$
बाध, -ड, $b \mathrm{ad}^{h},-(\underset{\Lambda}{ }, n$, '(length of a) field'
बाऩ-, ban ${ }^{h}$-, v, 'tie'
बान, -ड, ban, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'arrow'
बान, -ड, ban, $-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'knot'
बान्दर, -ट, band $\Delta r,-t ̣ \Lambda, n$, 'monkey'
बाप रे (रे बाप), bap re (or, re bap),
'INJ(surprise)'
बाप, -ट, bap, -ṭ $\Lambda, n$, 'father'
बाबा, -ड, baba, - $\mu_{\Lambda}, n$, 'priest; young boy'
बाबु, $-\mathrm{s}, b a b u,-\frac{d}{} \Lambda, n$, 'baby boy'
बाब्द- (बाब्दा-), $\operatorname{babd}(a)-, v$, 'make someone one's parent'
बाऱ-, bar ${ }^{h}$-, $v$, 'grow'
बार, barı, num, 'twelve'
बारा मिच-मिचिया, bara mic micia, adj, 'tight(of cloth)'
बारा, bara, adj, 'tight'
बाराबर (बाराबोर), barabı/or, adj, 'equal'
बारि, -खान, bari, -k ${ }^{h}$ an, $n$, 'field'
बाला (वाला), w/bala, cl, 'person; thing'
बासि, -ड, basi, -ḍ $\Lambda, n$, 'flute'

बाहा (बाहाँ), -खान, $b a / a ̃ h a,-k^{h} a n, n, ~ ' a r m ' ~$
बाहा (बाहे, बेहा), -ड / -खान, baha, bahe, beha, - $d_{\Lambda}$
(Korobāri) / $-k^{h}$ an (Lakharigaddi,
Ghailāḍubbā), n, 'wedding'
बाहारत, baharst, $p p$, 'outside'
बाहे, bahe; cf. बाहा baha
बिख, -खान, bik ${ }^{h},-k^{h} a n, n$, 'poison'
बिच से, bic se, pp, 'from among'
बिचत, bicıt, pp, 'among'
बिचार, -ट, bicar, -ṭ $\Lambda, n$, 'thought'
बिछ्ना, -खान, bic $^{h} n a,-k^{h} a n, ~ n, ~ ' b e d ~ s h e e t ' ~$
बित-, bit-, v, 'pass (time)'
बिति से, biti se, pp, 'from the direction of'
बिदाबारि ह-, bidabari hs-, v, 'take leave'
बिदेस, bides, adv, 'abroad'
बिना, bina, pp; adv, 'without'
बिरि, -ड, biri, - $\lambda_{\Lambda}, n$, 'cigarette'
बिस, bis, num, 'twenty'
बिस्व, -ड, biswa, -ḍ $\Lambda, n$, 'world'
बिस्वास, biswas, n, 'belief'
बुझ-, $b u j^{h}, v$, 'understand'
बुद्धि, -खान, budd ${ }^{h} i,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'wisdom;
intelligence'
बुद्धि, -ड, budd ${ }^{h} i,-(d \Lambda, n$, 'idea'
बुन-, bun-, v, 'plant'
बुना, buna, cnj, 'rather; better'
बुरा, -ड, bur'ha, - ${ }^{h} \Lambda, n ;$ adj, 'old man; old'

बुरालि, bur'ali, adj, 'old aged' बुरि, -ड, bur ${ }^{h}$ i, $-\underset{d}{ } \Lambda, n$, 'old woman' बुरु, buru, cnj, 'rather; better' बृतान्त, -ड, britant $\Lambda,-{ }_{c} \Lambda, n$, 'event(loan)' बृहत, brihıt, adj, 'large'
बेजार, -खान, bejar, -khan, n, 'market' बेटा, -ड, beṭa, -ḍ $\Lambda, n$, 'son' बेटि, -ड, beti, -d $\Lambda, n$, 'daughter' बेत्ताल (बेत्ताल), bettal, adv, 'very' बेन- (बेना-), ben(a)-, v, 'build; make' बेन्डा, benḍa, adj, '(ritually) unclean' बेमारि (बेरामि), bemari / berami, adj, 'sick' बेर- (बेरा-), $\operatorname{ber}(a)-, v,{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} w a l k '$ बेरानि, -ड, berani, - $\downarrow \Lambda, n$, '(manner of) walking'
बेर्छानि (बेछानि), -ड, be(r)chani, - $d_{\Lambda}, n$,
'woman'
बेला, -ड, bela, -d $\Lambda, n$, 'time; sun'
बेसि, besi, adv, 'more'
बेहा beha; cf. बाहा baha
बेहान (बाहान), be/ahan, $a d v$, 'morning'
बोल, -ड, bol, - $\omega_{\Lambda}, n$, 'ball(English)'
बोले (बले), bo/slI, 'QUOT'
ब्यक्ति, -ड, bekti, - ${ }^{( } \Lambda, n$, 'person(loan)'
ब्यवस्था, -ड, bewıst ${ }^{h}$ a, $-\frac{d}{\Lambda}, n$, , 'arrangement' ब्यवहारिक, bewsharik, adj, 'practical(loan)'
ब्लोज, -ड, bloj, -ḍ $\Lambda, n$, 'blouse(English)'

भइँस (भइस), $-ट, b^{h} \tilde{\Lambda} / \Delta i s,-t ̣ \Lambda, n$, 'buffalo' भगवान, - ड, $b^{h} \Lambda g w a n,-d_{\Lambda}, ~ n, ~ ' g o d '$ भरखर (भर्खर), $b^{h} \Lambda r k^{h} \Lambda r, a d v$, '(just) recently' भरे, $b^{h}$ Are, $p p$, 'throughout'
भर्छुला, -खान, $b^{h} \wedge r c^{h} u l a,-k^{h} a n, n, ~ ' k n i f e ' ~$
भर्ति, $b^{h} \Lambda r t i, ~ a d j, ~ ' f u l l ' ~$
भाइ, -ड, $b^{h} a i,-\underset{d}{ }, n$, 'younger brother'
भाग-, $b^{h} a g-, ~ v, ~ ' f l e e ' ~$
भागा-, $b^{h} a g a-, ~ v, ~ ' c h a s e ' ~$
भाङ--, $b^{h} a \eta-, ~ v, ~ ' b r e a k ' ~$
भाङ, -खान, $b^{h} a \eta,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'narcotic liquid (i.e.
powder from a specific narcotic plant mixed with milk)'
भाटि, $b^{h} a t ̣ i, a d v, ~ ' d o w n s t r e a m ' ~$
भातार, -ट, $b^{h}$ atar, - $t \uparrow, n$, 'husband' भान करे, $b^{h}$ an kıre, adv, 'with attention' भान्डा, -ड, $b^{h} a n d ̣ a,-d \Lambda \Lambda, n, ~ ' p o t ' ~$

भाबना, -ड, $b^{h} a b n a,-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'worry'
भारि गद गद (or, लद लद), $b^{h}$ ari $g_{\Lambda} d g_{\Lambda} d$ ( $\left.1 \Lambda d_{\Lambda} l_{\Lambda} d \Lambda\right)$, adj, 'very heavy'
भारि, $b^{h} a r i, ~ a d j, ~ ' h e a v y ' ~$
भालुक, -ट, $b^{h} a l u k, ~-t!\Lambda, ~ n, ~ ' b e a r ' ~$

भास-, $b^{h} a s-, ~ v, ~ ' f l o a t ' ~$
भासा, -ड, $b^{h}$ asa, $-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'language'
भिका, $b^{h} i k a, n, ~ ' a l m ' ~$
भितरत, $b^{h}$ itrıt, $p p$, 'inside'
भिन, $b^{h} i n, ~ a d j, ~ ' s e p a r a t e ' ~$
भी (भि), $b^{h i}$ 'also'
भुइ, -खान, $b^{h} u i,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'ground'
भुक-, $b^{h} u k-, ~ v, ~ ' b a r k ' ~$

मँत (मत), -खान, $m \tilde{\Lambda} / \Delta t,-k^{h} a n, n, ~ ' l i q u o r '$
मइधे, msid ${ }^{h} \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{pp}$, 'amongst'
मगि, -ड, mıgi, - $\lambda_{\Lambda}, n$, 'wife'
मग्लानिया, -ड, mıglania, -ḍ $\Lambda, n$, 'Indian'
 मतलब, -ड, mıtlıb, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'meaning' मधु, -खान, $m \Delta d^{h} u,-k^{h} a n, n, ~ ' h o n e y ' ~$

मन, -ड, mın, -ḍ $\Lambda, n$, 'mind'
मन्ते, mınte, adv, 'immediately'
मन्त्रि, -ड, mıntri, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'minister'
मर्दना, -ड, mırd $\wedge n a,-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'man'
मलिया, mılia, adj, 'muddy'
मसि, -ड, mısi, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'aunt(sister of mother)'
महरक, mıhırık, 'INJ'
महिना, mıhina, adv, 'month'
माअँ (माअ), -ड, mã̃/ $/,-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'mother'
माइ, -ड, mai, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'younger sister'
माक्रा, -ड, makra, -d $\Lambda, n$, 'spider'
माखाए (माखे), $\operatorname{mak}^{h}(a) e, p p$, 'via; through'
माङ-, man-, v, 'beg'
माच, -ट, mac, -ṭ $\Lambda, n$, 'fish'
माजा, -खान, maja, - $\mathrm{k}^{h} a n, n ;$ adj, 'taste; tasty'
माटि, -खान, mati, $-k^{h} a n, n$, 'soil'
मातुन (मतुन), ma/stun, cnj, 'but'
मात्र (मात्रै), matr^ (matrəi), adv, 'only(Nepali)'
मान-, man-, v, 'appreciate'
माने, mane, pcl; cnj, 'PCL; but'
माम्लि, mamli, adj, 'a little' (always used in the opposite sense 'a lot'; can also be used with the negative माम्लि (नि), mamli (ni) in which case it means the same as without the

भुल, -ड, $b^{h} u l,-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'mistake'
भेट, -ट, $b^{h} e t!, ~-t ̣ \Lambda, ~ n, ~ ' m e e t i n g ' ~$
भेटघाट, $-ट, b^{h} \operatorname{etg}{ }^{h} a t!,-t!\Lambda, n,{ }^{\prime}$ visit' $^{\prime}$
भेले, $b^{h} e l e, a d v$, 'many'; cf. भेल्ला $b^{h} e l l a$
भेल्ला (भेला), $b^{h}$ ella, adv, 'many; lots of' भोक, $b^{h} o k, n$, 'hunger'

भोज, -ड, $b^{h} o j,-(d \Lambda, n$, (funeral) feast'
negative)
मायाँ (माया, मया), -खान, ma/^ya, -khan, $n$, 'affection; love'
मार-, mar-, v, 'kill'
माला, -खान, mala, - $k^{h} a n, n$, 'garland'
मालिक, -ट, malik, -ṭ $\Lambda, n$, 'master'
मालुम पा-, malum pa-, v, 'find out(loan)'
मासु, -खान, masu, - $k^{h} a n, n$, 'meat'
मास्टर, -ट, mastıs, -t $\Lambda$, n, 'teacher(loan)'
माहाजन, -ड, mahajın, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'debt
collector; rich person'
मिच्कारि, mickari, adv, 'not for real'
मिठ चुन चुन, mit ${ }^{h} \Lambda$ cun cun, adj, 'really
sweet'
मिठ, mit ${ }^{h} \Lambda, ~ a d j$, 'sweet'
मिल-, mil-, $v$, 'arrange; unite'
मिला-, mila-, $v$, 'agree'
मिलिएने, miliene, adv, 'together'
मिस्तर, -ट, mistır, -ṭ,$n$, '(covenant) friend'
मुइँ (मुइ), muĩi/i, pro, '1sg[pro]'
मुख, -खान, muk ${ }^{h}$, $-k^{h} a n, n$, 'mouth'
मुछ-, much-, v, 'wipe'
मुझ-, $m u j^{n}-, v$, 'close' $^{\prime}$
मुठि, -ड, mut ${ }^{h} i,-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'bundle' $^{\prime}$
मुथा, -ड, mut ${ }^{h} \mathrm{a},-{\underset{\alpha}{\Lambda}}, n$, , 'head'
मुन्डिल (मुन्दिल), -ड, munḍ/dil, - $d \Lambda, n$,
'temple'
मुन्दिर, -ट, mundir, - $t \uparrow$, $n$, 'temple'
मुसि, musi, n, 'ashes'
मुस्किल, muskil, adj, 'difficult(loan)'

मुहाँ, muhã/a, pp; n, 'as if; mouth' मुहाँ, -खान, muha/ã, -k ${ }^{h}$ an, $n$, 'mask' मेघ, -ड, $\mathrm{meg}^{n},-$ d $\Lambda, n$, 'cloud; sky'

या, ya, cnj, 'or'
याहाँ(ए), yaha/â(e), pro, '3sg[pro/prox]'
र r
रक-, rık-, v, 'stop'
रङ, -खान, rıy, -k ${ }^{h} a n, n$, 'colour'
रङिन, rımin, adj, 'colourful'
रन, -ड, rın, - ḍ,$n$, 'battle(loan)'
रपा, -खान, rıpa, -k ${ }^{h}$ an, n, 'rice sprout'
रसे रसे, rase rase, adv, 'slowly'
रस्सि, -खान, rassi, -khan, n, 'string'
रह-, $r s h(\Lambda)$-, $v$, 'be; remain; stay'
राख-, rak ${ }^{\text {h., }}$, v , 'put'
राखा-, rak ${ }^{\text {ha-, }}$, , 'put'

लख्रि, -खान, $1 \Delta k^{h} r i,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'firewood'
लगत, lıgnt, adv, 'near'
लङ, -ड, lıy, - ${ }^{(\lambda \Lambda, ~ n, ~ '(f i n g e r) ~ n a i l ' ~}$
लङगट, -ट, lıngıt, -ț, n, 'ill-behaved person'
लटपटा-, latpata-, $v$, 'roll in mud'
लटा, -ड, $\Lambda \Lambda t a a,-d \Lambda, n$, 'brass pot'
लदि, -ड, $l_{\Lambda d i},-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'river'
लरा-, 1 Ara-, v, 'move'
लाएट, -ट, laeț, -ṭı, n, 'torch(English)'
लाख, lak ${ }^{h}$, num, 'hundred thousand'
लाखा, lak ${ }^{\text {ha, }}$ pp, 'like'
लाखाति, lak ${ }^{h} a t i, ~ a d v, ~ ' l i k e ' ~$
लाग-, lag-, v, 'must; begin; feel'
लागा-, laga-, $v$, 'apply; begin'
लाज, -खान, laj, -khan, n, 'shame'
लाठि, -खान, laṭhi, -k ${ }^{\text {han }}, n$, 'stick'
लात कुच्चर, lat kuccar, adj, 'stiff-necked;
stubborn'
लाम (लामा), lam ${ }^{h} / / a, a d j$, 'long'

## ल 1

मेजुवान, -ड, mejuan, $-\frac{d}{} \Lambda, n$, 'guest' मेना-, mena-, $v$, 'want to' मोच, moc, n, 'moustache'

योजना, yojına, n, 'plan'

राजकुमार, -ट, rajkumar, -ț^, n, 'prince' राजबंसि (राजबंशी), rajbıŋsi, n, 'Rājbanshi' राजा, -ड, raja, -d $\frac{1}{}, n$, 'king' रात (राति), rat(i), adv, 'night' रानि, -ड, rani, - $d \Lambda, n$, 'queen; wife of king' रान्डियानि, -ड, ranḍiani, - $d \Lambda, n$, ,widow' रिस, -खान, ris, -k ${ }^{h}$ an, $n$, 'anger' रुप, -ट, rup, -ṭ̂, n, 'form' रुपा, -खान, rupa, -k'han, n, 'silver' रुस रुसिया, rus rusia, adj, 'refreshingly cool' रे, re/f, 'PCL'

लाराइ, -ड, larai, -ḍ $\Lambda, n$, 'fighting'
लारि, -खान, lar ${ }^{h}$ i, -k ${ }^{h}$ an, n, 'umbilical cord' लाल, lal, adj, 'red'
लि-, 1 (i)-, $v$, 'take; AUX'
लिख- (लेख-), li/ek ${ }^{\text {h }}$, $v$, 'write'
लिहा, -ड, liha, - $\Lambda_{\Lambda}, n$, 'feeding trough'
लिहानि (निहालि), -ड, lihani (Lakharigaddi,
Ghailāḍubbā); nihali (Korobāri), - -d $\Lambda, n$,
'blanket'
लुङि, -खान, lupi, -k'an, n, 'loincloth'
लुस करे, lus kıre, adv, 'softly'
ले, $l e$, 'INSTR'
लेकिन, lekin, cnj, 'but(Hindi)'
लेङरि, lepri, adj, 'lame(female)'
लेदङा (लेदङ), -खान, led $\wedge \eta(a),-k^{h} a n, n$, 'stick'
लेप-, lep-, $v$, 'plaster(with soil and dung)'
लेभि, -ड, leb ${ }^{h}$ i, - $d \Lambda, n$, 'navel'
लोक, -ट, lok, -ṭィ, n, 'person; man'

संसार, -ट, sınsar, -ṭ^ (Lakharigaddi,
Ghailāḍubbā) / -k han (Korobāri), n, 'world' सएल, -ड, ssel, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'neck guide' (i.e. two wooden rods holding the bullock's head in place on the plough's or bullock cart's cross bar)
सक-, sık-, v, 'can(Nepali)'
सका-, sıka-, v, 'finish'
सघाए, sıg ${ }^{h} a e, ~ a d v, ~ ' a l l ' ~(E a s t e r n ~ J h a ̄ p a ̄) ~$
सङ, -ड, $s \wedge \eta,-(d \Lambda, n$, 'friend
सङि (सङ•गि), -ड, s $s \eta i,-(d \Lambda, n$, 'friend(female)'
सङे, sıŋe, pp, 'with'
सच-, sıc-, v, 'think'
सत, -ट, sıt, -țı, n, 'promise'
सतसंग, -ड, $s \wedge t s \wedge \eta,-(d \Lambda, n$, 'religious fellowship'
सति (प्रथा), -ड, ssti (prst $\left.{ }^{h} a\right),-d \Lambda \Lambda, n$, 'sati (rite)'
सधाए, $s \wedge d^{h} a e, a d v, ~ ' a l w a y s ' ~$
सन्जोग, -ड, s^njog, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'fortune'
सब, $s \wedge b, a d j$, 'every'
सभाए (साभाए), $s \Lambda / a b^{h} a e, q u a n t, ~ ' a l l ' ; ~ c f . ~ स घ ा ए ~$ sıg ${ }^{h} a e(E a s t e r n ~ J h a ̄ p a ̄) ~$

समत, sımıt, adv, 'together'
समय, sımıe, adv, 'time'
समस्या, -ड, s^mısya, - ${ }^{4} \Lambda, n$, 'problem'
समाज, -ड, sımaj, -d $\Lambda, n$, 'society'
समित, ssmit, pp, 'with'
समुदाय, -ड, s^mudayл, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'community'
सम्ठ-, ssmt ${ }^{h}-, v$, 'finish'
सम्पति, sımpsti, n, 'possessions'
सर-, sar-, $v$, 'rot'
सरक, -ट, s s r $\wedge k,-t!\Lambda, ~ n, ~ ' r o a d ' ~$
सरम, ssrım, n, 'shame'
सल्का, sslka, adj, 'informal(for sari)'
सल्लाह, -ड, sılla, -ḍ $\Lambda, n$, 'advice'
सवारी, -ड, sswari, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'procession(loan)'
सह-, sshı-, v, 'endure'
सहयोग, -ड / -खान, s^hsyog, -ḍ / - $k^{h} a n, n$, 'assistance'
साँप, ट, sãp, $-t \cdot \Lambda, n$, 'serpent'
साग, -खान, sag, $-k^{h} a n, n$, 'spinach curry (and any
other foodstuff eaten with rice)'
साङ़नन, -ड, $\operatorname{sa\eta }^{h} a n,-d_{\Lambda}, n$, 'friend(female)'
सात, sat, num, 'seven'
साथे, sat ${ }^{h}$ e, $p p$, 'with'
सादि, sadi, n, 'wedding(loan)'; cf. बाहा, baha
सान, san, adv, 'evening'
सान्जा, sanja, adv, 'early evening'
साप्ट-, sapt-, v, 'sweep'
साफा धग धग, $\operatorname{sap}^{h} a d^{h} \wedge g d^{h} \wedge g$, adj, 'really
white'
साफा, sap ${ }^{h} a, ~ a d j, ~ ' w h i t e ' ~$
सामान, saman, $n$, 'thing; belonging'
सामिक, -ड, samik, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'husband'
सामुन, samun, adv, 'direction'
सारि, -खान, sari, - $k^{h} a n, n, ~ ' s a r i ' ~$
साला, -ड, sala, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'younger brother of wife; (expletive)'
सास्त्रि, -ड, sasri, -d $\Lambda, n$, 'mother-in-law'
साहाब, sahab, n, 'Sir(loan)'
साहास, -खान, sahas, - $k^{h} a n, n$, 'boldness'
सिक-, sik-, v, 'learn'
सिक-सिका-, sik sika, v, 'itch'
सिन-, sin-, v, 'wash(body)'
सिन्ठि, -खान, $\sin t^{h} i,-k^{h} a n, n$, 'plant marrow'
सिर, sir, adj, 'first'
सिरमाखे, $\operatorname{sirmak}^{h} e, a d v$,
'upstream(direction)'
सुक (सुख), $\operatorname{suk}\left({ }^{h}\right), n$, 'happiness'
सुक-, suk-, $v$, 'dry'
सुका-, suka-, v, 'dry'
सुघर, $s u g^{h} \Lambda r, ~ a d j$, 'clean'
सुट करे, sut kıre, adv, 'secretly'
सुन-, sun-, v, 'hear'
सुना (सुन, सुने), suna (sun / sune), 'PCL'
सुना-, suna-, v, 'tell'
सुन्दर, $s u n d \Lambda r, ~ a d j$, 'beautiful'
सुपारि, -ड, supari, - ${ }^{2} \Lambda, n$, 'areca nut'
सुबिस्ता, subista, adj, 'easy(loan)'
सुरु मिन मिन, suru min min, adj, 'really fine/thin'

सुरु, suru, adj, 'thin'
सुर्कि, -खान, surki, -k ${ }^{h} a n, n, ~ ' b l o o d ' ~$
सेंप, -ट, sẽ/ep, -ṭ $\Lambda, n$, 'apple'
से, se, 'ABL; CORR; ADVL'
सेइ-, sei-, 'CORR[obl]-'
सेतेक, setek, adv, 'that much'
सेर, -ट, ser, - $t \Lambda, n$, 'ser[measure of weight]'
सेवा, -ड / -खान, sewa, -ḍ / -khan, $n$,

## ह h

ह-, $h(\Lambda)-, v$, 'be; become; must'
हइया-, h九ia-, $v$, 'rush to throw oneself'
हजम, $h_{\wedge j ı m, ~} n$, 'digestion(Urdu)'
हजुर, h^jur, n, 'Sir(Nepali)'
हड्डि, -खान, hıḍ̣̣i, -k ${ }^{h} a n, n, ~ ' b o n e ' ~$
हतान, hıtan, adv, 'there'
हतिन (हतिना, हत्ना), hıtin (or, hıtina, hıtna),
adv, 'there'
हत्ति, -ड, hatti, - ${ }^{\prime} \Lambda, n$, 'elephant'
हत्ना / हुत्ना, hs/utna, adv, 'there'
हनङ, h^nıŋ, adv, 'like that'
हने, hıne, cl, 'PST.COND'
हपाए, hıpae, adv, 'perhaps'
हस्पिटल, hıspiṭıl, n, 'hospital(English)'
हाँ कि हाँ, hã ki hã, 'INJ[surprise]'
हाग-, hag-, v, 'defecate'
हाजार, hajar, num, 'thousand'
हाट, -खान, haṭ, -k ${ }^{h}$ an, $n$, 'marketplace'
हात, -खान, hat, - $k^{h} a n, n, ~ ' a r m ; ~ h a n d ' ~$
हाप, hap, n; adj, 'half(English)'
हाप्ता, hapta, n; adv, 'week'
हामा, hama, pro, '1pl[pro]'
'service(loan)'
सेसा, sesa, adj, 'last'
सोदोर, -ट, sodor, -ṭ^, $n$, 'guest'
सोर (सोँर), -ट, so/õr, -ṭ $\Lambda, n$, 'boar; pig'
स्कुल, skul; cf. इस्कुल iskul 'school'
स्रिमति, -ड, srimıti, - $\downarrow \Lambda, n$, 'wife'
स्रिमान, -ड, sriman, - $\downarrow \Lambda, n$, 'husband'

हार-, har-, v, 'lose'
हारा-, hara-, $v$, 'lose something'
हारात करे, harat kıre, adv, 'with a stamping
sound'
हाल, -ड, hal, -d $\mu_{\Lambda}, n$, 'plough'
हास- (हाँस-), ha/ãs-, $v$, 'laugh'
हिति, hiti, adv, 'to here'
हिदर, hidır, adv 'over here; on the one hand'
हिम्मत, -ट, himmıt, -ṭ,$~ n$, 'courage'
हुता (हता) से, $a d v, h u / \Delta t a ~ s e, ~ ' f r o m ~ t h e r e ' ~$
हुति, huti, adv, 'to there'
हुतिना / हतिना, hu/^tina, adv, 'there'
हुदर, hudır, adv, 'over there; on the other hand'
हेंटत, hẽ/eṭıt, adv, 'below'
हेत, het, 'INJ'
हेतिना (हितिना, हेता, हित्ना), hetina (or, hitina, heta, hitna), adv, 'here'
हेनङ, henıך, adv, 'like this'
होकोर दोकोर, hokor dokor, adv, 'in a hurry'
होल्को, holko, adj, 'light(weight)'

## Appendix 3: Text data

The text material here was elicited from three mother tongue speakers from the villages of Ghailạ̣̄ubbā and Lakharigaddi, somewhat south-west of the town of Birtāmoḍ. The informants are Dharma Lal Rājbanshi (texts DLR.01-DLR.06), Gokul Rājbanshi (texts GR.01-GR.02) and Manorath Rājbanshi (texts MR.01-MR.05). I have tried to maintain the Rājbanshi structure in the glosses though the English may consequently seem slightly stilted. An audio recording of each text can be found on the accompanying CD. The texts are presented in the following order:
Narrative texts
DLR. 04 The old man, the daughter and the son-in-law. ..... 434
DLR. 05 The two birds ..... 441
DLR. 06 The cunning behaviour of a woman. ..... 450
GR. 01 The jackal and the kid ..... 465
GR. 02 The two bullocks and the wise horse. ..... 469
MR. 04 The old man, the old woman and the jackals. ..... 479
MR. 05 The adventures of a young man. ..... 505
Descriptive texts
DLR. 01549
DLR.02 ..... 549
DLR. 03 ..... 550
MR. 01 ..... 552
MR. 02 ..... 553
MR. 03 ..... 557

Untranscribed texts on the accompanying CD include the following:

| Narratives from | Morang |
| :---: | :--- |
| BLR.01 | (Dādar Bairiā) |
| BNR.01-02 | (Rangeli) |
| JR.01 | (Dāngihāṭ $)$ |
| SNR. 01 | (J ${ }^{\text {anurkia) }}$ |


| Narratives from Jhāpā |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| AR.01-02 | (Rājgad ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ) |
| BPR. 01 | (Pat ${ }^{\text {hariā }}$ ) |
| DPR. 01 | (Gauriganj) |
| DSR. 01 | (Mecinagar) |
| GPR. 01 | (Saranāmati) |
| GR.03-05 | (Ghailāḍubbā) |
| JgR. 01 | (Kumark ${ }^{\text {h }}$ od) |
| LLR. 01 | (Birtāmoḍ) |
| NKR. 01 | (Carpane) |
| PCR. 01 | (Mahespur) |
| PKR. 01 | (Ghailāḍubbā) |
| PLR. 01 | (Duhāgaḍ ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}$ ) |
| PR. 01 | (Ghailāḍubbā) |
| SBR. 01 | (Simalbāri) |
| SR. 01 | (Cakcaki) |
| SuR. 01 | (Gauriganj) |

## Narrative DLR.04. The old man, the daughter and the son-in-law

DLR. 04.001 एकटा देसत एकटा बुऱा रहे।
ek-ṭa des-st ek-ṭa bur ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ rah-e
one-NCLS country-LOC one-NCLS old_man live-PST.HAB3
In a country there used to live an old man.
DLR. 04.002 त उड बुऱा माने बेटिडक बाहे दिले।
t $\Lambda$ u-ḍ $\Lambda$ bur ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ mane beṭi-d $\Lambda-k \quad$ bahe di-l-1
PCL DEM[rem]-NCLS old_man PCL daughter-NCLS-DAT marriage give-PST-3
That old man had his daughter married.
DLR.04.003 ते उहाँर जुवाँड आर बेटिड आच्छा से खाबा धरिल, रहबा धरिल।
te uhã-r juã-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ ar beṭi-ḍ $\Lambda \quad \operatorname{acc}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ se $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ba}$
PCL 3sg[pro]-GEN son_in_law-NCLS and daughter-NCLS good ADVL eat-INF
$d^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge$ r-il rıh - ba $d^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge$ r-il
begin-PST3 live-INF begin-PST3
And his son-in-law and daughter began to eat well and live well (i.e. they settled down).
DLR. 04.004 त बुऱाड माने जुवाँर घर जाते रहे।
t $\Lambda$ bur ${ }^{\text {ha }}$-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ mane juã-r $g^{\text {h }} \Lambda r$ ja-te rıh-e
PCL old_man-NCLS PCL son_in_law-GEN house go-CONT-PTCL CONT-PST.HAB3
And the old man used to keep coming to the son-in-law's house.
DLR.04.005 बेटिर घर जाइ आसि कर्ते रहे।
beṭi-r $\quad g^{h} \Lambda r \quad j a-i \quad$ as-i k
daughter-GEN house go-DVBL come-DVBL do-CONT.PTCL AUX(CONT)-PST.HAB3
He used to keep coming and going to (his) daughter's house.
DLR.04.006 आप जेइदिन जुवाँ बेटिलार घर आच्छा आच्छा नाधे अइदिन सन्जोग मिलि जाकि ना कि करेकि बुऱाडउ
बेटिर घर पुगि जाए।
ap jei-din juã beți-la-r $g^{h} \Lambda r \quad \operatorname{acc}^{h} a \operatorname{acc}^{h} a \operatorname{nad}^{h}-e$
PCL REL[obl/ip]-day son_in_law daughter-PL-GEN house good good cook-PST.HAB3
si-din sınjog mil-i ja-ki na ki knr-eki
DEM[rem/emph]-day fortune arrange-ABS AUX-SA3 or what do-(PST.HAB)SA3
$\operatorname{bur}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}_{\Lambda}=\mathrm{u} \quad$ beṭi-r $\quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$ pug-i ja-e
old_man-NCLS $=$ CNJ daughter-GEN house reach-ABS AUX-PST.HAB3
And when good food was cooked in the son-in-law's and daughter's house, then as fortune would have it, or whatever, the old man used to come to the daughter's house, too.

DLR.04.007 ते एकदिन जुवाँड आप्नार घर्निडक कहच्कि, "ए सुन् त हिदर, जेइदिन हामा आच्छा आच्छा साग भात नाधेचि, अइदिन अइदिन बुऱाड चुलि आसेचे।
te ek-din juã-ḍ apna-r $g^{h} \wedge$ rni-ḍ $\Lambda-k \quad$ k $\wedge h \Lambda-c-k i \quad e:$
PCL one-day son_in_law-NCLS REFL[pro]-GEN wife-NCLS-DAT say-PRES-SA3 INJ
sun- $\varnothing$ ts hid $\boldsymbol{~} \mathrm{r}$ jei-din hama $\operatorname{acc}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a} \operatorname{acc}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ sag $\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}$ at
hear-IMP2sg PCL over_here REL[obl/ip]-day $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]$ good good spinach_curry rice
nad ${ }^{\text {h}}$-ec-i $\quad \Lambda i$-din $\quad \Lambda \mathrm{i}$-din bur $^{\text {h }}$ a-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ cul-i
cook-PRES-1pl DEM[rem/emph]-day DEM[rem/emph]-day old_man-NCLS AUX-ABS
as-ec-e
come-PRES-3
Then one day the son-in-law said to his (own) wife: "Eh, listen here, whenever we cook really good rice and spinach, that very day the old man shows up.

DLR.04.008 बुऱाड जे आसा जाइ करेचे करेचे। आस्न ते एख्लाए आस्न। एकटा बाच्चा छुवा धरिए आस्बे!"
bur $^{h}$ a-ḍ $\Lambda$ je as-a ja-i k $\quad$ r-ec-e k $\wedge r-e c-e$
old_man-NCLS still come-DVBL go-DVBL do-PRES-3 do-PRES-3
as-n- $\Lambda$ te $e k^{\mathrm{h}}$ lae as-n- $\Lambda$
come-PST-1pl PCL by_oneself come-PST-1pl
ek-ṭa baca ca ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ua $d^{\text {h }} \Lambda r$-ie as-b-I
one-NCLS small child hold-CONJ.PTCL come-FUT-3
The old man still keeps on visiting. May he come, (but) may he come alone (lit. 'We came, but we came alone')! But he also keeps on bringing a small child with him!"

DLR.04.009 त बोले, "अइ ते कि कहबो सुना? बाउड आप बुऱा लोकट। एख्लाए अन्सट लागि जाच्कि हपाए घरत। चुलि आसेचे सुना।"
t $\Lambda$ bo-l-I $\quad \Lambda \mathrm{i}$ te ki kıhл-b-o suna bau-ḍ $\Lambda$ ap bur ${ }^{\text {h }}$ a PCL say-PST-3 INJ PCL what say-FUT-2sg PCL father-NCLS PCL old_man lok-ṭ $\Lambda \quad e^{h}$ lae $\quad n s \wedge t ̣ \Lambda \quad$ lag-i ja-c-ki h $\quad$ pae $g^{h} \Lambda r-\Lambda t$ man-NCLS alone bored apply-ABS AUX-PRES-SA3 perhaps house-LOC cul-i as-ec-e suna
AUX-ABS come-PRES-3 PCL
But she said, "Eh, what can you say? (My) father is an old man. Perhaps he is bored at home all on his own. So, he keeps on coming.

DLR.04.010 आस्ले ते तोर कि कठिन उठि गेल्कु?
as-1-I te to-r ki kst ${ }^{\text {h}}$ in $u t^{t^{h}-i}$ ge-1-ku
come-PST-3 PCL $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ what difficult rise-ABS AUX-PST-SA2sg He came, but does it irritate you?"

DLR.04.011 बोले, "नि नि। जेइदिन हामा माच मासु खाबार ताने पिलान मिलाचि अइदिन बुऱाड चुलि आसेचे।"
bo-l-I ni ni jei-din hama mac masu k ${ }^{\text {ha-ba-r tane }}$ say-PST-3 NEG NEG REL[obl/ip]-day $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]$ fish meat eat-INF-GEN for
pilan mila-c-i $\quad$ i-din bur ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda \quad$ cul-i
plan[English] agree-PRES-1pl DEM[rem/emph]-day old_man-NCLS AUX-ABS
as-ec-e
come-PRES-3
He said: "No, no! (What I mean is) whenever we plan to eat fish and meat, that very day the old man comes.

DLR.04.012 आप एनङ करि। जदि माच मासु आन्बार दिन बुऱाड फेर आस्बे ते घरत झाग्रा लागाए दिम।
ap en^y kır-i j $\Lambda d i$ mac masu an-ba-r din bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda \quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ er
PCL like_this do-SUBJ1pl if fish meat bring-INF-GEN day old_man-NCLS again
as-b-i te $\quad g^{h} \Lambda r-\Lambda t \quad j^{h} a g \Lambda r a$ laga-e di-m-
come-FUT-3 PCL(then) house-LOC fight begin-ABS AUX-FUT-1pl
Let's do this. If the old man comes again on the day we bring fish and meat then let's begin to fight at home.

DLR.04.013 खोब झाग्रा लागाए दिम, दने झने।

very fight begin-ABS AUX-FUT-1pl both PCLS $=$ EMPH
Let's the two of us really begin to fight.
DLR.04.014 "जब झाग्रा लागाए दिम, त बुऱाड त कान्टाखान से सुन्बे "ए एमार घर झाग्रा लगिचे!"

when fight begin-ABS AUX-FUT-1pl PCL old_man-NCLS PCL vicinity-NCLS ABL
sun-b-i e: em ${ }^{\text {ha-r }} \quad g^{h} \Lambda r \quad j^{h} a g \Lambda r a \operatorname{lag}-i c-e$
hear-FUT-3 INJ 3pl[pro/prox]-GEN house fight begin-PERF-3
When we begin to fight the old man will hear us from close by and think: 'Oh, their house has begun to fight.'

DLR.04.015 "बुऱाड बस कान्टाखान से घुरिए चुलि जाबे।"
bur $^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ b $\Lambda$ sanṭa-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an se $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ur-ie cul-i
old_man-NCLS PCL(Hindi) vicinity-NCLS ABL turn_around-CONJ.PTCL AUX-ABS
ja-b-I
go-FUT-3
The old man will (just) turn around from that place close by and go.
DLR.04.016 ताहारबाद सुना तुइ मुइ सुना आराम से बठिए खाम।
taharbad suna tui mui suna aram se b $\Lambda^{t^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{ie}} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}-\Lambda$
after_that PCL $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}] 1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ PCL rest ADVL sit-CONJ.PTCL eat-FUT-1pl After that you and I will sit in peace and eat."

DLR.04.017 त बोले, "हबे। अनङे कर्बा लागे।"
ts bo-l-I h $\Lambda$-b-I $\quad \wedge n \wedge y=e \quad$ kлr-ba lag-e
PCL say-PST-3 be-FUT-3 like_that=EMPH do-INF should-PRES3
She said: "OK, we should do it just like that."
DLR.04.018 एकदिन बुऱाड पताडक लिए चुलि आसेचे।
ek-din bur ${ }^{\text {ha-ḍ } \Lambda \quad \text { p } \Lambda \text { ta-ḍ } \Lambda-\mathrm{k}}$ 1-ie cul-i as-ec-e
one-day old_man-NCLS grandson-NCLS-DAT take-CONJ.PTCL AUX-ABS come-PRES-3 Then one day the old man came, bringing his grandson.

DLR.04.019 जब आस्बा देखि फेकाइचे तब सुना जुवाँड सुना कहचिक, "ते आप झाग्रा लागाइ। बुऱाड चुलि आसेचे।"
j $\Lambda \mathrm{b}$ as-ba $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eka-ic-e t e b suna juã-ḍ $\Lambda$ suna
when come-INF see-ABS AUX-PERF-3 then PCL son_in_law-NCLS PCL
kлhл-c-ki te ap jhagıra laga-i bur ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}_{\Lambda}$ cul-i
say-PRES-SA3 PCL PCL fight begin-SUBJ1pl old_man-NCLS AUX-ABS
as-ec-e
come-PRES-3
When the son-in-law saw the old man coming he said, "Now, let's begin to fight. The old man is coming."

DLR.04.020 त बोले, "ह, चुलि आसेचे?"
t $\Lambda$ bo-l-i h $\quad$ cul-i as-ec-e
PCL say-PST-3 INJ AUX-ABS come-PRES-3
She said, "Oh, he is coming?"
DLR.04.021 "ह, उगिना चुलि आसेचे।"
h $\Lambda$ ugina cul-i as-ec-e
INJ look! AUX-ABS come-PRES-3
"Yes, look he's coming."
DLR.04.022 "ले, आप झाग्रा सुरु करि।"
le ap jhag^ra suru k $\quad$ r-i
OK PCL fight beginning do-SUBJ1pl
"OK, let's start fighting."
DLR.04.023 त दने झना दिले झाग्रा लागाए।
$t_{\Lambda} \quad d \Lambda n^{h} e j^{h} \Lambda n a \quad$ di-l-I $\quad j^{h}$ ag $\Lambda r a$ laga-e
PCL both PCLS AUX-PST-3 fight begin-ABS
And both of them began to fight.
DLR.04.024 झाग्रा लागाए दिले।
$\mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ag}_{\boldsymbol{\prime}}$ ra laga-e di-1-I
fight begin-ABS AUX-PST-3
They began to fight.
DLR.04.025 त सुना जुवाँड कहच्कि, "तोक मुइ छेच्कारि नि ठुकिम्कुन। मिच्कारि ठुकिम्कुन।"
t $\Lambda$ suna juã-ḍ $\Lambda$ k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda$-c-ki to-k mui $c^{\text {h }}$ eckari ni
PCL PCL son_in_law-NCLS say-PRES-SA3 2sg[obl]-DAT 1sg[pro] really NEG
$t^{\text {h }} u k-i m-k u-n \quad$ mickari $t^{\text {h }} u k-i m-k u-n$
hit-FUT-SA2sg-1sg not_really hit-FUT-SA2sg-1sg
And the son-in-law says: "I will hit you. I won't hit you for real, but I will act as if I am hitting you.
DLR.04.026 आर तुइ माने कान्बार लाखाति करिस।
ar tui mane kan-ba-r lak ${ }^{\text {hati }} \mathrm{k} \wedge$-is
and $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ PCL cry-INF-GEN like do-SUBJ2sg
And as for you, act as if you were crying."
DLR.04.027 त बोले, "हबे।"
t $\Lambda$ bo-l-I h $\Lambda$-b-I
PCL say-PST-3 be-FUT-3
She said: "OK!"
DLR.04.028 त सुना अम़ा दते झना दिले मारा मारि लागाए, झाग्रा लागाए दिले।
t $\Lambda$ suna $\Lambda m^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{d} \Lambda n^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e} j^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ na di-l-I mar-a mar-i laga-e $j^{\mathrm{h}}$ ag $\Lambda$ ra
PCL PCL 3pl[pro] both PCLS AUX-PST-3 beat-DVBL beat-DVBL begin-ABS fight
laga-e di-l-I
begin-ABS AUX-PST-3
Then they both began to beat each other, they began to fight.

DLR.04.029 त बुऱाड सुना बगलत आसिएने सुनेचे ते जुवाँ बेटिला त झाग्रा लागाए दिछे।
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { t } \Lambda & \text { bur }{ }^{\text {ha-d }} \Lambda & \text { suna bıglıt as-iene } & \text { sun-ec-e } & \text { te } \\ \text { PCL old_man-NCLS } & \text { PCL near } & \text { come-CONJ.PTCL } & \text { hear-PRES-3 } & \text { SUBORD }\end{array}$
juã beṭi-la tı jhagara laga-e d-ic ${ }^{\text {h }}-\mathrm{e}$
son_in_law daughter-PL PCL fight begin-ABS AUX-PERF-3
Then the old man, coming closer, heard that the son-in-law and daughter had begun to fight.
DLR.04.030 "आर हइ गेल। नि जाबे रहा इला झाग्रा दनत।
ar h h -i ge-l ni ja-b-i rah-a i-la
and be-ABS AUX-PST3 NEG PASS.AUX-FUT-3 remain-PST.PTCL DEM[prox]-PL
$j^{\mathrm{h}} a g \wedge$ ra d $\wedge \mathrm{n}-\wedge \mathrm{t}$
fight quarrel-LOC
"Now that's enough! One can not stay in this fighting."
DLR.04.031 आप बुना घरे चुलि जाबा हबे," कहेने बुऱाड घर नि जाएने अइठा बगलत नुकाए रोहोल।
ap buna $g^{h} \Lambda r=e$ cul-i ja-ba h $\Lambda$-b-i k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda$-ene
PCL rather house $=$ EMPH AUX-ABS go-INF must-FUT-3 say-CONJ.PTCL

old_man-NCLS house NEG go-CONJ.PTCL DEM[rem/emph]-place near
nuka-e roho-1
hide-CONJ.PTCL remain-PST3
The old man said: "Well, it is better for me to go home" (but he) didn't go home. He remained there hiding close by.
DLR.04.032 "आच्छा कि कि धिना कर्चे देखु त एकजारा," कहेने नुकाए रहले।
acc $^{\text {ha }}$ ki ki $d^{\text {h }}$ ina k $\wedge$-c-e $\quad \operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{u} \quad \mathrm{t} \Lambda \quad$ ekjara k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda$-ene
INJ what what (?) do-PRES-3 see-SUBJ1sg PCL a_little say-CONJ.PTCL
nuka-e rıhn-l-I
hide-CONJ.PTCL remain-PST-3
He said, "OK, let's see a little what they are doing," and hid there.
DLR.04.033 ताहारबाद जुवाँड सुना एनङ करे देखेचे, ते "बुराड त देखा नि जाछे। माने चुलि गेल हपाए घुरिएने।"
taharbad juã-ḍ $\wedge$ suna en $\wedge y$ k $\wedge$ re dek $^{\mathrm{h}}-e c-e$ te bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda$
after_that son_in_law-NCLS PCL like_this ADVL look-PRES-3 PCL old_man-NCLS
ts dek ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$-a $\quad$ ni ja-c ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$-e mane cul-i ge-1 h^pae
PCL see-PST.PTCL NEG PASS.AUX-PRES-3 PCL AUX-ABS go-PST3 perhaps
$\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ur-iene
turn_around-CONJ.PTCL
After that the son-in-law looked (around) like this. Then (he said), "The old man can not be seen. He perhaps turned around and left."

DLR.04.034 त सुना बेर्छानिडक कहचे, "दे, हिदर सुन्, बुऱाड माने चुलि गेल हामार झाग्राला सुनिएने।"

PCL PCL woman-NCLS-DAT say-PRES-3 INJ over_here listen-IMP2sg
bur $^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-ḍ $\Lambda$ mane cul-i ge-1 hama-r $j^{\mathrm{h}}$ agara-la sun-iene
old_man-NCLS PCL AUX-ABS go-PST3 1pl[pro]-GEN fight-PL hear-CONJ.PTCL
He said to the woman, "Listen here, the old man has gone home after/because of hearing our fighting."

DLR.04.035 त बोले "ह?" बोले "ह।" "आच्छा होल।"
t $\Lambda$ bo-l-I h $\quad$ bo-l-I $h \Lambda \quad a c c{ }^{\text {ha }}$ ho-l
PCL say-PST-3 INJ say-PST-3 INJ good be-PST3
She said, "Yeah?" He said "Yeah." She said, "Oh, that's good."
DLR.04.036 त सुना मगिडक कहचे, "तोक ते मुइ ठुक्बार लाखाति ते करिस्नु, तोक ठुक्बार लाखाति लागिस्लुकु?"
t $\Lambda$ suna mıgi-ḍ -k k $\wedge$ h $\wedge$-c-e to-k te mui $t^{\mathrm{h}}$ uk-ba-r lak ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ ati PCL PCL wife-NCLS-DAT say-PRES-3 2sg[obl]-DAT PCL 1sg[pro] hit-INF-GEN like te k kr-isn-u to-k $t^{\text {h }} u k$-ba-r lak ${ }^{\text {hati }}$ lag-islu-ku
PCL do-REM.PST-1sg 2sg[obl]-DAT hit-INF-GEN like feel-REM.PST-SA2sg Then he said to the woman, "When I was acting as if I was hitting you, did you feel as if I was (really) hitting you?"

DLR.04.037 त बेर्छानिड कहच्कि, "ठुक्बार लाखाति नि लागे।"
t $\Lambda$ berc ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ ani-ḍ $\Lambda$ k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda$-c-ki $t^{\text {h }} u k$-ba-r lak ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ ati ni lag-e PCL woman-NCLS say-PRES-SA3 hit-INF-GEN like NEG feel-PST.HAB3 Then the woman said, "(No) I didn't feel as if (you were really) hitting me."

DLR.04.038 तब फेर बेर्छानिड कहच्कि, "मुइ ते कान्बार लाखाति करिस्नु, तोक कि कान्बार लाखाति लागिस्लुकु?" $\mathrm{t} \wedge \mathrm{b} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ er berc $^{\mathrm{h}}$ ani-ḍ $\Lambda$ k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda$-c-ki mui te kan-ba-r lak ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ ati then again woman-NCLS say-PRES-SA3 1sg[pro] PCL cry-INF-GEN like kır-isn-u to-k ki kan-ba-r lak ${ }^{\text {hati }}$ lag-islu-ku do-REM.PST-1sg 2sg[obl]-DAT PQM cry-INF-GEN like feel-REM.PST-SA2sg Then the woman said again, "When I was acting as if I was crying, did you feel as if I was (really) crying?"

DLR.04.039 बोले, "नि लागे।"
bo-l-I ni lag-e
say-PST-3 NEG feel-PST.HAB3
He said, "(No) I didn't."
DLR.04.040 इला काथा सुनिए बुऱाड गल्लिड से कहच्कि, "त जुवाँ साहाब, हामा ते जाबार लाखाति करिस्न, तम़ाक कि छेच्कारि जाबार लाखाति लागिस्लकन?"
i-la kat ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ sun-ie bur ${ }^{\text {ha-ḍ } \Lambda}$ g $\Lambda l i-\underset{d}{\Lambda}$ se
DEM[prox]-PL thing hear-CONJ.PTCL old_man-NCLS pathway-NCLS ABL
kıhı-c-ki tı juã sahab hama te ja-ba-r lak hati
say-PRES-SA3 PCL son_in_law Sir $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]$ PCL go-INF-GEN like

do-PEM.PST-1pl 2pl[pro]-DAT PQM really go-INF-GEN like feel-REM.PST-SA2pl Hearing this, the old man said from the alley, "Eh, son-in-law -Sir, when I was acting as if $I_{[S G . H O N O R I F I C]}$ was leaving did you [SG.HONORIFIC] feel as if I was really leaving?"

DLR.04.041 इला काथा सुनिए जुवाँ बेटिला छक परि जाबे।
i-la kat ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ sun-ie juã beṭi-la $c^{\text {h }} \Lambda k \quad$ p $\mu$ r-i

DEM[prox]-PL thing hear-CONJ.PTCL son_in_law daughter-PL surprisement fall-ABS ja-b-I
AUX-FUT-3
Hearing this the son-in-law and daughter were surprised.

DLR.04.042 सुना लाजे सरमे बुऱाडक फेर घरत बठाए खिलान पिलान कर्बाए हल्कि।

PCL shame-INSTR shame-INSTR old_man-NCLS-DAT again house-LOC
$\mathrm{b} \Lambda t^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ilan $\quad$ pilan $\quad \mathrm{k} \Lambda r-\mathrm{ba}=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{h} \Lambda-1-\mathrm{ki}$
sit-CAUS-CONJ.PTCL feeding drinking do-INF $=$ EMPH must-PST-SA3
Then with great shame they had to sit the old man down in the house and really feed him and give him drink!
(Text recorded June 2003, Kathmandu)

## Narrative DLR.05. The two birds

DLR.05.001 एकटा गेछत तिनडा पखि रहे।
ek-ṭa ges- $\Delta \mathrm{t}$ tin-ḍa p $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$ rıh-e one-NCLS tree-LOC three-NCLS bird live-PST.HAB3
There used to live three birds in a tree.
DLR.05.002 दुइझन भातार मगि रहे, आर एकटा पखि अलगे रहे।
 two-PCLS husband wife live-PST.HAB3 and one-NCLS bird separately live-PST.HAB3 Two of them were married (i.e. were husband and wife), and one of them lived alone.

DLR. 05.003 a ते एकटा पखिर भातारट मरि गेल्कि।
te ek-ṭa p $\kappa^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}$ atar-ṭ $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{m} \wedge$ - $\mathrm{i} \quad$ ge-l-ki
PCL one-NCLS bird-GEN husband-NCLS die-ABS AUX-PST-SA3
Then the husband of the one bird died.
DLR.05.003b मरि गेल्कि।
$\mathrm{m} \wedge$ - $\mathrm{i} \quad$ ge-1-ki
die-ABS AUX-PST-SA3
He died.
DLR.05.004 ते सुना बहुत अप्सोस कर्बा धर्ले ; रान्डियानि हइ गेल।
te suna bshut $\quad \wedge$ psos k $\wedge$ r-ba $d^{\text {h }} \wedge$ r-l-I raṇdiani h $\Lambda$-i ge-l
PCL PCL greatly(Hindi) grief do-INF begin-PST-3 widow be-ABS AUX-PST3
She began to grieve deeply; she became a widow.
DLR.05.005 आर झट्किअ भी गेल।
ar $j^{h} \Lambda t ̣ k i=\Lambda \quad b^{h} i \quad$ ge- 1
and slim $=\mathrm{CNJ} \mathrm{CNJ}$ (Hindi) AUX-PST3
And she also became skinny (i.e. she lost weight).
DLR.05.006a त सुना दस्रा पखिड सुना एकदिन आप्नार दानार खोजित बाहिराति निक्लिचे।
t $\Lambda$ suna d $\Lambda$ sra p $\wedge k^{h_{i}}{ }^{-d ̣} \Lambda$ suna ek-din apna-r dana-r
PCL PCL other bird-NCLS PCL one-day REFL[pro]-GEN grain-GEN
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ oj-i-t bahirati nikl-ic-e
look_for-DVBL-LOC outside go_out-PERF-3
The other bird went out one day in search for his seeds.
DLR.05.006b निक्लिचे, दाना पानि खाए।
nikl-ic-e dana pani $k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e}$
go_out-PERF-3 grain water eat-CONJ.PTCL
He went out, having eaten seeds and drunk water.
DLR.05.007 त एकटा उहाँ कडि पाइचे, कडि पाइचे।
t^ ek-ṭa uhã kıḍi pa-ic-e, kıḍi pa-ic-e
PCL one-NCLS 3 sg[pro] shell get-PERF-3, shell get-PERF-3
He found a shell, (he) found a shell.

DLR.05.008 ते उड कडि बस आनिएने आप्नार खताडत राखि दिले।


DLR.05.009 आप कियाँते उड पखि कडि पाले, कडिर उहाँर छिले एकदम गरम।
ap kiãte u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ p $\wedge k^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}$ k $\wedge$ ḍi pa-l-I, k $\wedge$ ḍi-r unã-r
PCL because DEM[rem]-NCLS bird shell get-PST-3 shell-GEN 3sg[pro]-GEN
$c^{\text {hi }} \mathrm{i}-1-\mathrm{I} \quad$ ekd $\wedge \mathrm{m}$ g $\wedge \mathrm{r} \Lambda \mathrm{m}$
be-PST-3 very hot(proud)
And because that bird found a shell, he became very proud (of) the shell.
DLR.05.010 गर्मि चऱि गेल उहाँर।
garmi car ${ }^{\text {h}}-\mathrm{i}$ ge-1 uhã-r
hot rise-ABS AUX-PST3 3sg[pro]-GEN
He became really proud.
DLR.05.011 त सुना उड पखि एकदम दस्रा पखिडक, उड रान्डियानि पखिडक, कहच्चि, "ए, समत बठ्बो, ए, समत बठ्बो?"।

PCL PCL DEM[rem]-NCLS bird very other bird-NCLS-DAT DEM[rem]-NCLS
 widow bird-NCLS-DAT say-PRES INJ together sit-FUT-2sg INJ together sit-FUT-2sg
But the bird kept on saying to the other bird, the widow bird: "Eh, will you marry me? Will you marry me?"
DLR.05.013 त उहाँ आरकि कुछु नि बले, सुनिए रहि जाए।
t^ uhã arki kuc ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ni bsl-I sun-ie rah-i ja-e
PCL 3sg[pro] PCL some NEG speak-PST3 hear-CONJ.PTCL stay-ABS AUX-PST.HAB3
But she didn't say anything, she (just) remained there and listened.
DLR.05.014 एक दिन, दुइ दिन, तिन दिन, चार दिन...
ek din dui din tin din car din
one day two day three day four day
One day, two days, three days, four days...
DLR.05.015 सब दिन सब दिन अइला काथा कहप्कि, "ए, समत बठ्बो?"

every day every day DEM[rem/emph]-PL thing say-FUT-SA3 INJ together sit-FUT-2sg Every single day he said the same things (to her): "Eh, will you marry me?"
DLR.05.016 त सुना उड रान्डियानि पखिड कहचे, "ते याहाँ एतेक कियाँ मोक कहचे, 'समत बठ्बो, समत बठ्बो?' ?"
t $\Lambda$ suna u-ḍ $\Lambda$ raṇ̣̣iani $p \wedge k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda$ k $\wedge h \Lambda$-c-e te yaha
PCL PCL DEM[rem]-NCLS widow bird-NCLS say-PRES-3 PCL 3sg[pro/prox]

this_much why $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{DAT}$ say-PRES-3 together sit-FUT-2sg together sit-FUT-2sg
But the widow bird said: "Why is he saying 'Will you marry me?' so much to me?

DLR.05.017 कि कारन छे?
ki karın $c^{\text {h}}-e$
what reason be-PRES3
What is the reason?
DLR.05.018 याहाँर खताडत कि छिकि, ते याहाँ मोक 'समत बठ्बो, समत बठ्बो?' कहचे?
yaha-r $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ ta-d $\Lambda$-t ki $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-i-ki te yaha mo-k
3sg[pro/prox]-GEN nest-NCLS-LOC what be-PRES-SA3 PCL 3sg[pro/prox] 1sg[obl]-DAT
 together sit-FUT-2sg together sit-FUT-2sg say-PRES-3
What does he have in his nest that he says 'Will you marry me? Will you marry me?' to me?
DLR.05.020 कि ताकत छिकि याहाँर?
ki tak 1 cher yaha-r
what power be-PRES-SA3 3sg[pro/prox]-GEN
What power does he have?
DLR.05.021 केतेला धन सम्पति छिकि याहाँर?
kete-la $\quad d^{\text {h }} \Lambda n \quad$ s $\Lambda m p \Lambda t i \quad c^{h}$-i-ki yaha-r
how_much-PL wealth possessions be-PRES-SA3 3sg[pro/prox]-GEN
How much wealth does he have?
DLR.05.022 त बोले, "एकदिन देख्बा हबे," कहेने रान्डियानिड मने मने सच्ले।
t $\Lambda$ bo-l-I ek-din dek ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$-ba h $\Lambda$-b-I k $\quad$ h $\Lambda$-ene raṇ̣iani-ḍ $\Lambda$
PCL say-PST-3 one-day see-INF must-FUT-3 say-CONJ.PTCL widow-NCLS
$m \wedge n=e \quad m \wedge n=e \quad$ s $\quad \mathrm{c}-1-\mathrm{I}$
mind $=$ EMPH mind $=$ EMPH think-PST- 3
One day I will have to see," the widow thought secretly.
DLR.05.023 त फेर दस्रा पखिड एकदिन चुलि गेल, फेर दानार खोजित।

PCL again other bird-NCLS one-day AUX-ABS go-PST3 again grain-GEN
$k^{\text {h }} \mathbf{o j - i - t}$
search-DVBL-LOC
One day the other bird went away again, looking for seeds again.
DLR.05.024a त रान्डियानि पखिड कहले, "एइ समयत आप जुत छे।

PCL widow bird-NCLS say-PST-3 DEM[prox/emph]-time-LOC PCL way be-PRES3 The widow bird said: "Now there is a way.

DLR.05.024b उहाँर खताडत जाए देख्बा हबे कि कि छिकि।"
uhã-r $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ ta-ḍ $\Lambda$-t ja-e $\quad$ dek $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{ba}$ h $\Lambda$-b-I ki ki
3sg[pro]-GEN nest-NCLS-LOC go-CONJ.PTCL see-INF must-FUT-3 what what $c^{\mathrm{h}}$-i-ki
be-PRES-SA3
I must go to his nest and see what he's got."

DLR.05.025 त सुना जाएने उहाँर खताडत देखेचे।
t $\Lambda$ suna ja-ene
uhã-r
$k^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda t a-\mathrm{d} \Lambda-\mathrm{t} \quad \operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}$-ec-e

PCL PCL go-CONJ.PTCL 3sg[pro]-GEN nest-NCLS-LOC look-PRES-3
So, she goes and sees what is in his nest.
DLR.05.026 तब सुना देखिए कहचे, "ए! अइताने याहाँ कहचे, 'समत बठ्बो, समत बठ्बो?'।"
tıb suna dek ${ }^{\text {h}}$-ie kлh -c-e e: $\Lambda$ i-tane yaha
then PCL look-CONJ.PTCL say-PRES-3 INJ DEM[rem/emph]-for 3sg[pro/prox]

say-PRES-3 together sit-FUT-2sg together sit-FUT-2sg
After seeing (what is in his nest) she says: "Eh! That is why he says: 'Will you marry me? Will you marry me?'

DLR.05.027 एकटा नि फुस कडि पाइचे, ते याहाँर एतेखान गरम!
ek-ṭa ni pas kıḍi pa-ic-e te yaha-r ete-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an
one-NCLS NEG worthless shell get-PERF-3 PCL 3sg[pro/prox]-GEN this_much-NCLS
$\mathrm{g} \Lambda \mathrm{r} \wedge \mathrm{m}$
hot
(It is because) he has got a worthless shell that he is so proud.
DLR.05.028 याहाँर त कडिड गर्मि छे।
yaha-r tı kıḍi-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ gлrmi $c^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
3sg[pro/prox]-GEN PCL shell-NCLS hot be-PRES3
He is proud because of the shell.
DLR.05.029 मुइ इड कडिक देछु घस्काए।
mui i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ k $\Lambda d ̣ i-k \quad$ d-ec ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{u} \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ sk-a-e
1sg[pro] DEM[prox]-NCLS shell-DAT AUX-PRES-1sg hide-CAUS-ABS
I will hide this shell.
DLR.05.030 त ना रहबे ना उहाँ 'समत बठ्बो?' कहबे।

PCL NEG be-FUT-3 NEG 3sg[pro] together sit-FUT-2sg say-FUT-3
Then, neither will it be there any longer, nor will he say: 'Will you marry me?'
DLR.05.031 ताहारबाद मुइ उहाँर गर्मिखान देखु।"
taharbad mui uhã-r garmi-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{u}$
after_that $1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro ] $3 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro]-GEN hot-NCLS see-SUBJ1sg
After that let me see how proud he is!"
DLR.05.032 त सुना उड पखि दिले उड कडिक दस्रा ठिन लराए दिले।

PCL PCL DEM[rem]-NCLS bird give-PST-3 DEM[rem]-NCLS shell-DAT other place
1^ra-e di-1-I
move-ABS AUX-PST-3
Then the bird took the shell; she moved it to another place.
DLR.05.033 त सुना उहाँ खान पिन करिएने आस्ले, दाना पानि खाए।
t $\Lambda$ suna uhã $k^{\mathrm{h}}$ an pin kır-iene as-l-I dana pani $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-e
PCL PCL 3sg[pro] food drink do-CONJ.PTCL come-PST-3 grain water eat-CONJ.PTCL
And, after eating he came back, having eaten seeds and water.

DLR.05.034 तब आसिए खताडत देखेचे उड पखि। "आरे! कडिड त छेइए नि!
 then come-CONJ.PTCL nest-NCLS-LOC look-PRES-3 that-NCLS bird INJ shell-NCLS
t $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-e $=$ ie ni
PCL be-PRES3 = EMPH NEG
But that bird came and looked into the nest. "Oh, the shell is just not there!
DLR.05.035 केतेखान मुस्किल मुइ उड कडि पाइस्नु।
kete-k ${ }^{\text {han }}$ muskil se mui u-ḍ $\Lambda$ ksḍi pa-isn-u
how_much-NCLS difficult ADVL 1 sg[pro] DEM[rem]-NCLS shell get-REM.PST-1sg How difficult is was for me to find that shell!

DLR.05.036 अइड त मोर धन सम्पति।
$\Lambda i-d ̣ \Lambda \quad t \Lambda \quad$ mo-r $d^{\text {h }} \Lambda n \quad$ s $\Lambda m p \Delta t i$
DEM[rem/emph]-NCLS PCL 1sg[obl]-GEN wealth possessions
That (was) my wealth and possessions.
DLR.05.037a आप होल।
ap ho-1
PCL be-PST3
But what has happened has happened.
DLR. 05.037 b आप काहें ले गेल, काहें नि?"
ap kahe l-e ge-l kahe ni
PCL who take-CONJ.PTCL go-PST3 who PCL
So who has taken it, who then?"
DLR.05.038 त अप्सोस कर्बा धर्ले बठिएने।
t $\Lambda \quad \Lambda$ psos k $\wedge r-\mathrm{ba} d^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda r-\mathrm{l}-\mathrm{I} \quad \mathrm{b} \Lambda t^{\mathrm{h}}$-iene
PCL grief do-INF begin-PST-3 sit-CONJ.PTCL
He sat down and began to grieve.
DLR.05.039 आर सुना सब दिन अप्सोस कर्बा धर्ले, सब दिन अप्सोस कर्बा धर्ले।

and PCL every day grief do-INF begin-PST-3 every day grief do-INF begin-PST-3
And every day he began to grieve, every day he began to grieve.
DLR. 05.040 त सुना उहाँ खताडत बठिए कहचे, "एइखान मुखेर गुने हारानु। एइखान मुखेर गुने हारानु।
t $\Lambda$ suna uhã $k^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ ta-ḍ $\Lambda-\mathrm{t}$ b $t^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{ie}$ k $\wedge \mathrm{h} \Lambda-\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{e}$ ei-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an
PCL PCL $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] nest-NCLS-LOC sit-CONJ.PTCL say-PRES-3 DEM[prox/emph]-NCLS
muk ${ }^{\text {h}}$-er gun-e har-a-n-u ei-k ${ }^{\text {han }}$ muk ${ }^{\text {h }}$-er
mouth-GEN quality-INSTR/EMPH lose-CAUS-PST-1sg DEM[prox/emph]-NCLS mouth-GEN
gun-e har-a-n-u
quality-INSTR/EMPH lose-CAUS-PST-1sg
Sitting in his nest he said: "I lost it because of the quality of this very mouth. I lost it because of the quality of this very mouth.

DLR.05.042 आझि इला काथाअ नि बल्नुतन ते नि हारालेतन।
$a j^{h_{i}}$ i-la $\quad \operatorname{kat}^{h^{h}}=\Lambda \quad$ ni $\quad b \Delta l-n-u=t \wedge n \quad$ te ni
today DEM[prox]-PL thing = CNJ NEG speak-PST-1sg = PST.COND PCL NEG
har-a-1-I $=\mathrm{t} \wedge \mathrm{n}$
lose-CAUS-PST-3 = PST.COND
If I hadn't spoken these things today, the shell would not have been lost.
DLR.05.043 आझि मुइ एइखान मुखेर गुने हारानु।"
$a j^{h^{h}} \mathbf{i}$ mui ei-k ${ }^{h}$ an muk $^{h}-e r$ gun-e
today $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] DEM[prox/emph]-NCLS mouth-GEN quality-INSTR/EMPH
har-a-n-u
lose-CAUS-PST-1sg
I lost (it) today because of the quality of this very mouth."
DLR.05.044 त सुना सुकाए गेल आरकि पखिड, सुकाएने काटा हइ गेल।
t $\Lambda$ suna suk-a-e ge-1 arki p $\lambda^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}$-ḍ $\Lambda$ suk-a-ene kaṭa
PCL PCL dry-CAUS-ABS AUX-PST3 PCL bird-NCLS dry-CAUS-CONJ.PTCL bone
h $\Lambda$-i ge-l
be-ABS AUX-PST3
And the bird lost weight. Losing weight he became (just like) bones.
DLR.05.046 त सुना दस्रा पखिड, रान्डियानि पखिड सुना कहचे,

PCL PCL other bird-NCLS widow bird-NCLS PCL say-PRES-3
Well, the other bird, the widow bird, said:
DLR.05.047 "ए! लोकटक त बहुत अप्सोस लागि गिच्कि, आप त 'समत बठ्बो, समत बठ्बो?' नि कहच्चे।
e: lok-ṭ $\wedge$-k t $\Lambda$ bshut $\quad$ ppsos lag-i g-ic-ki ap ts
INJ man-NCLS-DAT PCL greatly(Hindi) grief feel-ABS AUX-PERF-SA3 PCL PCL

together sit-FUT-2sg together sit-FUT-2sg NEG say-PRES-3
"Oh, the man is really grieving. But he is not saying: 'Will you marry me? Will you marry me?'
DLR.05.048 लोकट सुकाए काटा हइ गिछे।
lok-ṭ $\Lambda$ suk-a-e kaṭa hл-i g-ic-e
man-NCLS dry-CAUS-CONJ.PTCL bone be-ABS AUX-PERF-3
The man has become (just like) bones.
DLR.05.049 एनेङे कर्ते कर्ते त लोकट मरिअ जाबा पारे अप्सोसेर चुटे।
eney $=$ e k $\quad$ k-te $\quad$ k $\wedge$-te $\quad$ t $\Lambda$ lok-ṭ $\Lambda \quad m \wedge r-i=\Lambda \quad$ ja-ba
like_this $=$ EMPH do-CONT.PTCL do-CONT.PTCL PCL man-NCLS die-ABS = CNJ AUX-INF
par-e $\quad \Lambda$ psos-er cuṭe
can-PRES3 grief-GEN due_to
While continuing like this the man could even die of grief.
DLR.05.050 ते नि, दे दिबा हबे। कियाँ लोकट मर्बे अप्सोस करिए?
te ni d-e di-ba h $\Lambda$-b-i kiã lok-ṭ $\Lambda \quad$ m $\wedge$-b-ı $\Lambda$ psos
PCL NEG give-ABS AUX-INF must-FUT-3 why man-NCLS die-FUT-3 grief
k $\wedge$ r-ie
do-CONJ.PTCL
No, I will have to give it (back to him). Why should the man die out of grief?

DLR.05.051 त सुना भोके खताडत केतेक रहबे!

PCL PCL hunger(loan) $=$ EMPH nest-NCLS-LOC how_much remain-FUT-3 How he sits in his nest in hunger!

DLR.05.052 त फेर सुकाए जालअ परे त दाना पानि खाबाए हबे।
ts $p^{h} e r$ suk-a-e $j a-1=\Lambda \quad$ pıre t $\Lambda$ dana pani

PCL again dry-CAUS-ABS AUX-COND.PTCL $=$ CNJ even PCL grain water $k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ba}=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{h} \wedge-\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{I}$
eat-INF $=$ EMPH must-FUT-3
Even if one is losing weight (i.e. 'drying up') one needs to eat grains and water."
DLR.05.053 तब लोकट फेर, पखिड उराएने चुलि गेल दाना पानि खाबा।
t $\Lambda \mathrm{b}$ lok-ṭ $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} e r \quad \mathrm{p} \wedge k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda$ ura-ene cul-i ge-1 dana pani then man-NCLS again bird-NCLS fly-CONJ.PTCL AUX-ABS go-PST3 grain water $k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$-ba eat-INF
Then, the man again, the bird flew off (in order) to eat grain and water.
DLR.05.054 त रान्डियानि पखिड उड, कडिड, आनिएने खताडत राखि दिल्कि। दे दिल्कि।
t $\Lambda$ raṇ̣̣iani $p \wedge k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda \quad$ u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ k ḍi-ḍ $\Lambda$ an-iene
PCL widow bird-NCLS DEM[rem]-NCLS shell-NCLS bring-CONJ.PTCL
$k^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ ta-d $\Lambda$-t $\quad$ rak $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i} \quad$ d-il-ki $\quad$ d-e d-il-ki
nest-NCLS-LOC put-ABS AUX-PST-SA3 give-ABS AUX-PST-SA3
The widow bird took that, the shell, and put it (back) in his nest (for him). She put it back (for him).

DLR.05.056a ताहारबाद आसिए आप्नार खताडत रहले।
taharbad as-ie apna-r $k^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ ta-ḍ $\Lambda$-t rıh $\Lambda-1-\mathrm{I}$
after_that come-CONJ.PTCL REFL[pro]-GEN nest-NCLS-LOC remain-PST-3
Then she came back and sat in her nest.
DLR.05.056b त उहाँ दाना पानि खाए आसिए देखेचे,
t^ uhã dana pani $k^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-e as-ie dek ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$-ec-e PCL 3sg[pro] grain water eat-CONJ.PTCL come-CONJ.PTCL look-PRES-3 After having eaten water and seeds and coming (back), he looked.

DLR.05.057a "आरे! कडिड त फेर महरे खताडत छे!
are k $\Lambda d ̣ i-d ̣ \quad t \Lambda \quad p^{h}$ er $m \Delta h \Lambda-r=e \quad k^{h} \Lambda t a-d \Lambda-t \quad c^{h}-e$
INJ shell-NCLS PCL again $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}=\mathrm{EMPH}$ nest-NCLS-LOC be-PRES3
"Oh! The shell is in my nest again!
DLR.05.057b काहें आनि दिले?"
kahe an-i di-1-I
who bring-ABS AUX-PST-3
Who has brought it (for me)?"

DLR.05.058 त सुना उहाँ फेर खताडत बठिए कहबा धर्ले, "होकोर दोकोर कर्नु त त पानु, होकोर दोकोर कर्नु त त पानु" कहेने।

PCL PCL 3sg[pro] again nest-NCLS-LOC sit-CONJ.PTCL say-INF begin-PST-3
 in_a_hurry do-PST-1sg PCL PCL get-PST-1sg in_a_hurry do-PST-1sg PCL PCL pa-n-u kshı-ene
get-PST-1sg say-CONJ.PTCL
And sitting again in his nest he began to say: "I messed it up, but then I got it (back). I messed it up, but then I got it (back)."

DLR.05.060 त अइदिन से लोकट, पखिड, उड पाएने ताहारबाद मनड सुना खुसि हल्कि।
t $\Lambda$ si-din se lok-ṭ $\Lambda \quad$ p $\wedge k^{h} i-\frac{d}{\Lambda} \Lambda \quad u-d ̣ \Lambda \quad$ pa-ene

PCL DEM[rem/emph]-day ABL man-NCLS bird-NCLS DEM[rem]-NCLS get-CONJ.PTCL
taharbad mın-ḍ suna $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ usi h h -1-ki
after_that mind-NCLS PCL happy(Nepali) be-PST-SA3
Since that day, the man having got that (shell back), after that (his) mind became happy.
DLR.05.061 मनड सुना आच्छा हबार कारनत आच्छा से दाना पानि खाबा धर्ले।
$\mathrm{m} \wedge$ n-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ suna $\operatorname{acc}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a} h \Lambda$-ba-r $\quad$ kar $\Lambda n-\wedge t \quad \operatorname{acc}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ se dana pani $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ba}$
mind-NCLS PCL good be-INF-GEN reason-LOC good ADVL grain water eat-INF $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-1-\mathrm{I}$
begin-PST-3
Because (his) mind was feeling good, he began to eat well.
DLR.05.062 ताहारबाद सुना दाहाडउ लाग्बा धल्कि।
taharbad suna daha- $\mathrm{d}_{\Lambda}=\mathrm{u}$ lag-ba $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-1$-ki
after_that PCL body-NCLS $=$ CNJ apply_to-INF begin-PST-SA3
And after that he started to gain weight, too.
DLR.05.063 ताहारबाद अइदिन से उहाँ उला बलिए छरि दिले।
taharbad $\Lambda$ i-din se uhã u-la b l-ie
after_that DEM[rem/emph]-day ABL 3sg[pro] DEM[rem]-PL speak-CONJ.PTCL
$\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{i} \quad$ di-l-I
let_go-ABS AUX-PST-3
After that, since those days, he stopped saying those things.
DLR.05.064 कि भाइ, जेनेङ सेनेङ काथा बल्ले, बहुत दु:ख मिल्चे।
ki $b^{h}$ ai jenen senen kat ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ bsl-le bshut
what y.brother what_kind [echo]what_kind thing speak-COND.PTCL greatly(Hindi)
$\operatorname{duk}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ mil-c-e
trouble arrange-PRES-3
So, younger brother, if those sort of things are spoken, a lot of problems will result.
DLR.05.065 काहाँकअ काहाँर पर कोए अन्याय नि कर्बा आर खाराब काथा बल्बाअ नि, उहाँ अइदिन से गियाने पाइ गेल।
kaha-k= $\quad$ kaha-r pır koe snyae ni k $\quad$ r-ba ar $k^{h}$ arab
anyone-DAT $=$ CNJ anyone-GEN on_top some injustice[loan] NEG do-INF and bad
kat ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ b $\wedge$ l-ba $=\Lambda$ ni uhã $\quad$ si-din gyan $=\mathrm{e}$ pa-i
thing speak-INF $=$ CNJ NEG $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}] \mathrm{DEM}[\mathrm{rem} / \mathrm{emph}]$-day ABL wisdom $=\mathrm{EMPH}$ get-ABS ge-1
AUX-PST3
From that day forward he really learnt that no-one should do anything unjust to anyone, and that one shouldn't speak bad things either.
(Text recorded June 2003, Kathmandu)

## Narrative DLR.06. The cunning behaviour of a woman

DLR.06.000. त्रिया चरित्र बुझ़ाल नि जाए, सामिक मारिए सति जाए।
triya c^ritr buj $^{\text {h}}$-al ni ja-e sami-k
female behaviour understand-PST.PTCL NEG PASS.AUX-PST.HAB3 husband-DAT
mar-ie ssti ja-e
kill-CONJ.PTCL sati go-PST.HAB3
The (cunning) behaviour of a woman can not be understood: she will kill her husband and (then) be burnt (with him) in (the) sati (rite).

DLR.06.001. एकखान जङल्लत एकटा राजकुमार सवारी हइस्ले।

one-NCLS jungle-LOC one-NCLS prince procession(loan) be-REM.PST-3
In a jungle, a prince made a royal visit.
DLR.06.002. त सुना सभाए देख्बा जाए।
t $\Lambda$ suna $s \wedge b^{h}$ ae dek ${ }^{h}$-ba ja-e
PCL PCL all see-INF go-PST.HAB3
Everyone went to see.
DLR.06.003. बहुत सुन्दर छिले राजकुमारट।
bshut sund $\wedge r \quad c^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}-1-\mathrm{I} \quad$ rajkumar-t $\Lambda$
very(Hindi) beautiful be-PST-3 prince-NCLS
The prince was very handsome.
DLR.06.004. सभाए देखबा जाए।
s $\Delta b^{\text {h }}$ ae dek ${ }^{\text {h }}$-ba ja-e
all see-INF go-PST.HAB3
Everyone went to see.
DLR.06.005. ते गाअँर लोकला आसिएने सुना एकटा बेर्छानिक कहकि,"ते जाबो नि गे देख्बा गे?
te gã̃-r lok-la as-iene suna ek-ṭa berc ${ }^{h}$ ani-k k
PCL village-GEN man-PL come-CONJ.PTCL PCL one-NCLS woman-DAT say-SA3
te ja-b-o ni ge $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}$-ba ge
PCL go-FUT-2sg NEG VOC[fem] see-INF VOC[fem]
Then the men of the village came and said to a woman: "Don't you want to go and see?
DLR.06.006. जा नि।
ja- $\varnothing \quad$ ni
go-IMP2sg PCL
Go on now!
DLR.06.007. केनेङति, माइ, आच्छा देख्बार!
kenenti mai acc $^{\text {h }}$ a dek $^{\mathrm{h}}$-ba-r
how y.sister good see-INF-GEN
Younger sister, how good looking he is!

DLR.06.008. एकटा राजार बेटा आसिचे, एकदम देख्बार।
ek-ṭa raja-r beṭa as-ic-e ekd $\mu \mathrm{m}$ dek $^{\mathrm{h}}$-ba-r one-NCLS king-GEN son come-PERF-3 very see-INF-GEN The son of a king has come, (he is) really good-looking.

DLR.06.009. त हामा सभाए देखिए आस्न।
t $\Lambda$ hama s ${ }^{\text {b }}{ }^{\text {aee }} \operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}$-ie as-n- $\Lambda$
PCL $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] all see-CONJ.PTCL come-PST-1pl
We have all come after seeing (him).
DLR.06.010. जा ना देख् ने।"
ja- $\varnothing$ na $\operatorname{dek}^{h}-\varnothing$ ne
go-IMP2sg PCL look-IMP2sg PCL
Go on, see!"
DLR.06.011. बोले, "मुइ नि जाम देख्बा।"
bo-l-I mui ni ja-m dek ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$-ba
say-PST-3 1sg[pro] NEG go-FUT1sg see-INF
She said, "I am not going to see."
DLR.06.012. बोले, "देख्बो ते माइ देखिए रहि जाबो।
bo-l-i dek ${ }^{\text {h }}$ b-o te mai dek $^{\text {h}}$-ie rah-i ja-b-o
say-PST-3 see-FUT-2sg PCL y.sister see-CONJ.PTCL remain-ABS AUX-FUT-2sg They said, "If you see, younger sister, you will (just) stare at him.

DLR.06.013. हामा सभाए देख्न।
hama $\mathrm{s} \wedge \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ae $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{n}-\Lambda$
$1 \mathrm{pl}[$ pro all see-PST-1pl We have all seen.

DLR.06.014. केत्ला लोक देखबा आसिस्ले, केत्ला लोक देखिए गेल।
ket-la lok dek ${ }^{\text {h}}$-ba as-isl-i ket-la lok $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}$-ie
how_many-PL man see-INF come-REM.PST-3 how_many-PL man see-CONJ.PTCL ge-1
go-PST3
How many people have come to see, how many people have seen and gone.
DLR.06.015. जा ना देखबा।"
ja- $\varnothing$ na $\operatorname{dek}^{\text {h }}-\mathrm{ba}$
go-IMP2sg PCL see-INF
Go to see!"
DLR.06.016. त बोले, "तम़ाए जाअ, मुइ नि जाम देख्बा।"
t $\Lambda$ bo-l-I t $\Lambda^{h}{ }^{h}$ a e ja- $\quad$ mui ni ja-m $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{ba}$
PCL say-PST-3 $2 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]=$ EMPH go-IMP2pl $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ NEG go-FUT1sg see-INF She said, "You go. I am not going to see."
DLR.06.017. त सुना एकदिन उहाँ मनडत कहचे ते, "आप जेइखुना मोर घरेर लोकट नि रहबे घरत, आप अइखुना जाबा हबे।"
t $\Lambda$ suna ek-din uhã m $\wedge n-d ̣ \Lambda-t \quad k \wedge h \Lambda-c-e$ te ap jei-khuna
PCL PCL one-day 3 sg[pro] mind-NCLS-LOC say-PRES-3 PCL PCL which-time

1sg[obl]-GEN house-GEN man-NCLS NEG be-FUT-3 house-LOC PCL
$\Lambda i-k^{h} u n a \quad$ ja-ba h $\Lambda$-b-I
DEM[rem/emph]-time go-INF must-FUT-3
Then one day she thought to herself, "When my husband is not at home, that time I will have to go."
DLR.06.018. त उहाँर घरेर लोकट एकदिन सुना कामत चलि गेल्कि।
$t_{\Lambda}$ uhã-r $g^{h} \Lambda r-e r$ lok-ṭ $\Lambda \quad$ ek-din suna kam- $\Lambda t \quad \mathrm{c} \Lambda l-\mathrm{i}$
PCL 3sg[pro]-GEN house-GEN man-NCLS one-day PCL work-LOC AUX-ABS
ge-l-ki
go-PST-SA3
Then one day her husband went to work.
DLR.06.019. ताहारबाद सुना याहाँ मने मने सच्ले, "आप माने मोक सुट करे जाएने देख्बा हबे।"
taharbad suna yaha $\mathrm{m} \wedge \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{m} \wedge \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{e}$ s $\Delta \mathrm{c}-1-\mathrm{I}$ ap mane
after_that PCL DEM[pro/prox] mind $=$ EMPH mind $=$ EMPH think-PST-3 PCL PCL
mo-k suṭ kıre ja-ene $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}$-ba ha-b-I
1sg[obl]-DAT secret ADVL go-CONJ.PTCL see-INF must-FUT-3
After that she thought to herself, "Now I will have to go secretly to see."
DLR.06.020. त याहाँ सुना सुटकरे जाएने देखेचे।
tı yaha suna suṭ-kıre ja-ene dek ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$-ec-e
PCL DEM[pro/prox] PCL secret-ADVL go-CONJ.PTCL see-PRES-3
Then she went secretly and saw.
DLR.06.021. ते देखिए छेइए।
te $\operatorname{dek}^{\text {h}}$-ie $\quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{ie}$
PCL see-CONJ.PTCL be-PRES3 $=$ EMPH
She stared and stared.
DLR.06.022. त राजकुमारटउ देख्ले।
t $\Lambda$ rajkumar- $-\Lambda=u \quad \operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-1-\mathrm{I}$
PCL prince-NCLS $=$ CNJ see-PST-3
The prince looked too.
DLR.06.023. ते, "याहाँ एख्लाए देख्बा आसिचे।"
te yaha ek $^{\mathrm{h}}$ lae dek $^{\mathrm{h}}$-ba as-ic-e
PCL DEM[pro/prox] alone see-INF come-PERF-3
"She has come to see alone."
DLR.06.024. याहाँअ देखबार छिले बेर्छानिड।
yaha $=\Lambda \quad \operatorname{dek}^{\text {h}}-$ ba-r $\quad c^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}-1-\mathrm{I} \quad$ berc $^{\text {hani-d }} \Lambda$
DEM[pro/prox] = CNJ see-INF-GEN be-PST-3 woman-NCLS
Also she was good-looking, the woman.
DLR.06.025. त सुना उहाँक राजकुमारट डाकाल्कि।
t $\Lambda$ suna uhã-k rajkumar-ṭィ ḍaka-l-ki
PCL PCL 3sg[pro]-DAT prince-NCLS call-PST-SA3
The prince called her.

DLR.06.026. त बगलत गेल।
t $\Lambda$ b $\wedge$ gnlıt ge-l
PCL close go-PST3
She went close up.
DLR.06.027. त कहल्कि, "ते तोर बाहा हइच्कु?"
ts k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda-1-k i$ te to-r baha h $\Lambda$-ic-ku
PCL say-PST-SA3 PCL 2sg[obl]-GEN marriage be-PERF-SA2sg
He said, "Are you married (lit. has your wedding been)?"
DLR.06.028. आप याहाँर मनड केनङ हए गेल्कि, दोमन हए गेल्कि।
ap yaha-r m $\quad$ n-ḍ $\Lambda$ ken $\wedge y$ h $\Lambda-\mathrm{e}$ ge-l-ki dom $\wedge$ n
PCL DEM[pro/prox]-GEN mind-NCLS what_kind be-ABS AUX-PST-SA3 uncertain
hı-e ge-l-ki
be-ABS AUX-PST-SA3
What did her mind become like: her mind became uncertain.
DLR.06.029. ते, "आप बाहा हइचे कहम ना नि हइचे कहम?
 PCL PCL marriage be-PERF-3 say-FUT1sg or NEG be-PERF-3 say-FUT1sg "Well, shall I say I am married or shall I say I am not?

DLR.06.030. आप नि हइचे कहम ते, केनेङ करे कहम?

PCL NEG be-PERF-3 say-FUT1sg PCL how ADVL say-FUT1sg If I say I am not (married) then how shall I say it?

DLR.06.031. घरेर लोकट छे केरे।"
$g^{h} \Lambda r$-er lok-ṭ $\Lambda \quad c^{h}$-e kere
house-GEN man-NCLS be-PRES3 PCL
I (certainly) have a husband."
DLR.06.032a. ते सुना राजकुमारट कहल्कि, "ते सचिस।
te suna rajkumar-ṭ $\mathrm{k} \wedge$ h $\Lambda-1-\mathrm{ki}$ te $\mathrm{s} \wedge$ c-is
PCL PCL prince-NCLS say-PST-SA3 PCL think-SUBJ2sg
Then the prince said, "Well, think.
DLR.06.032b. सचिए कोहो।
sıc-ie koho- $\varnothing$
think-CONJ.PTCL say-IMP2sg Think and then speak up.
DLR.06.033. बाहा जदि हइच्कु ते अइड काथा कोहो, नि हइच्कु ते अइड काथा कोहो।"
baha j $\Lambda$ di h $\Lambda$-ic-ku te $\Lambda \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda$ kat ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ koho- $\varnothing$ ni marriage if be-PERF-SA2sg PCL DEM[rem]-NCLS thing say-IMP2sg NEG hл-ic-ku te $\Lambda \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda \quad \mathrm{kat}^{\mathrm{h}}$ a koho- $\varnothing$
be-PERF-SA2sg PCL DEM[rem/emph]-NCLS thing say-IMP2sg
If you are married (lit. your marriage has been), then tell me that, if not then tell me that."

DLR.06.034. बोले, "हइ गिछे।"
bo-l-I h $\quad$-i g-ic ${ }^{h}-e$
say-PST-3 be-ABS AUX-PERF-3
She said, "I am married (lit. my marriage has been)."
DLR.06.035. इड काथा राजकुमारट सुनिए कहले, "नि ते..."
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ kat ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ rajkumar-ṭ $\Lambda$ sun-ie k $\lambda \wedge$-l-I ni te
DEM[prox]-NCLS thing prince-NCLS hear-CONJ.PTCL say-PST-3 NEG PCL
Hearing this the prince said, "Otherwise..."
DLR.06.036. त सुना बेर्छानिड कहचे, " 'नि ते...' माने कि? 'नि ते...' माने कि?"
t $\Lambda$ suna berch $^{\text {h }}$ ani-ḍ $\Lambda$ k $\wedge h \Lambda$-c-e ni te mane ki ni te
PCL PCL woman-NCLS say-PRES-3 NEG PCL meaning what NEG PCL mane ki
meaning what
And then the woman said: "What does 'Otherwise'... mean? What does 'Otherwise'... mean?"
DLR.06.037. त सुना राजकुमारट कहच्कि, "नि ते मुइ तोक बाहा करेन्कुन्तन, रानि बेनान्कुन्तन तोक।"
t $\Lambda$ suna rajkumar-ṭ $\mathrm{k} \wedge$ h $\Lambda$-c-ki ni te mui to-k
PCL PCL prince-NCLS say-PRES-SA3 NEG PCL 1sg[pro] 2sg[obl]-DAT
baha kır-en-ku-n=t $\wedge$ n
marriage do-PST-SA2sg-1sg $=$ PST.COND
rani bena-n-ku-n=tın to-k
queen make-PST-SA2sg-1sg=PST.COND $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{DAT}$
Then the prince said (to her), "Otherwise I would have married you, I would have made you a queen."

DLR.06.038. इड काथा सुनिए बेर्छानिड छक परि गेल।


DEM[prox]-NCLS thing hear-CONJ.PTCL woman-NCLS surprisement fall-ABS AUX-PST3 Hearing this thing the woman was amazed.

DLR.06.039. त सुना बेर्द्धानिड कहच्कि, "याहाँर कोए उपाए निक्लाल जाबे नि?"
tı suna berch ${ }^{\text {hani-ḍ } \Lambda ~ k}$ kh $\Lambda$-c-ki yaha-r koe upae
PCL PCL woman-NCLS say-PRES-SA3 DEM[pro/prox]-GEN some way
nikl-al ja-b-I ni
go_out-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-FUT-3 NEG
The woman said (to him), "Isn't there a way (out of this dilemma)?"
DLR.06.040. त राजकुमारट कहचिक, "जुत छे आरह एकटा जुत छे।"
t $\Lambda$ rajkumar-ṭ $\Lambda$ k $\Lambda h \Lambda-c-k i \quad$ jut $c^{h}-e \quad \operatorname{ar}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \quad$ ek-ṭa jut $c^{\mathrm{h}}-e$
PCL prince-NCLS say-PRES-SA3 way be-PRES3 another one-NCLS way be-PRES3
The prince said (to her), "There is a way, there is another way."
DLR.06.041. "कि?"
ki
what
"What?"

DLR.06.042. "माने तुइ तोर स्रिमानडक काट्बा पार्बो?
manetui to-r sriman-ḍ $\Lambda$-k kaṭ-ba par-b-o
PCL 2sg[pro] 2sg[obl]-GEN husband-NCLS-DAT cut-INF can-FUT-2sg "Well, can you cut (i.e. kill) your husband?

DLR.06.043. पार्ले, चलि आसिस।
par-le cal-i as-is
can-COND.PTCL AUX-ABS come-SUBJ2sg If you can, come.

DLR.06.044a. आर नि पार्ले, छरि दिस।"
ar ni par-le $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$-i d-is
and NEG can-COND.PTCL leave-ABS AUX-SUBJ2sg And if you can't, let it be."

DLR.06.044b. आर दोमन हइ गेल्कि याहाँर मनड।
ar domın h $\Lambda$-i ge-l-ki yaha-r m n-ḍ $\Lambda$
and uncertain be-ABS AUX-PST-SA3 DEM[pro/prox]-GEN mind-NCLS And her mind became uncertain.

DLR.06.045. त सुना याहाँ मने मने सच्ले, "ते आप हिम्मत कर्बा हबे।"
t $\Lambda$ suna yaha $m \wedge n=e \quad m \wedge n=e$ s $\quad \mathrm{c}-1-\mathrm{I}$ te ap
PCL PCL DEM[pro/prox] mind $=$ EMPH mind $=$ EMPH think-PST-3 PCL PCL
himmıt kır-ba h $\wedge$-b-r
courage do-INF must-FUT-3
She thought to herself, "Well, (I) will have to be courageous."
DLR.06.046. त सचिएने याहाँ घर आस्ले।
t $\Lambda$ sıc-iene yaha $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$ as-l-I
PCL think-CONJ.PTCL DEM[pro/prox] house come-PST-3
Thinking (this) she came home.
DLR.06.047. ते सुना रात करे उहाँर भातारट निदाए गेइच्कि।
te suna rat kare uhã-r $b^{\text {hatar-ṭ }}$ nida-e ge-ic-ki
PCL PCL night ADVL 3sg[pro]-GEN husband-NCLS sleep-ABS AUX-PERF-SA3
During the night her husband fell asleep.
DLR.06.048. ते एनङ करे देखेचे,
te enıy kıre $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}$-ec-e
PCL like_this ADVL look-PRES-3
She looked like this.
DLR.06.049. "आप काटिम ते केनङ करे काटिम? एके सङे एतेखान मिलन हए छिन।
ap kaț-im te kenıy kıre kaṭ-im ek=e sıye ete-k ${ }^{\text {han }}$
PCL cut-FUT1sg PCL how ADVL cut-FUT1sg one $=$ EMPH with this_much-NCLS
mil- $n \quad$ h $\Lambda-e \quad c^{\text {hi}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{n}-\Lambda$
unite-DVBL be-CONJ.PTCL be-PST-1pl
"Now, if I cut him, how shall I cut him? Together we have had such a good relation (i.e. we have been so well united together).

DLR.06.050a. जदि काटेचु, ते मुइ हिदर रानि हए जाम।
j $\Lambda$ di kate-ec-u te mui hid $\mu \mathrm{r}$ rani h $h-\mathrm{e}$ ja-m
if cut-PRES-1sg PCL 1 sg[pro] over_here queen be-ABS AUX-FUT1sg If I cut him, then on the one hand (lit. over here) I will become a queen.

DLR.06.050b. राजकुमारटर सङे जाले, राजार बेटाडर सङे जाले, मुइ रानि हइ जाम।
rajkumar-ṭ $\wedge$-r sıye ja-le raja-r beṭa-ḍ $\Lambda$-r sıye
prince-NCLS-GEN with go-COND.PTCL king-GEN son-NCLS-GEN with
ja-le mui rani $\mathrm{h} \Lambda$-i ja-m
go-COND.PTCL 1sg[pro] queen be-ABS AUX-FUT1sg
If I go with the prince, if I go with the son of the king, I will become a queen.
DLR.06.051. आर नि काटेचु, ते याहाँर सडे घुरिए सधाए जन कामा रहए जाम।

and NEG cut-PRES-1sg PCL DEM[pro/prox]-GEN with again always labour earner
rahs-e ja-m
remain-ABS AUX-FUT1sg
And if I don't cut him, then (again ) I will always remain a labourer with him.
DLR.06.052. सधाए मोर जिन्दगिड गरिब रहि जाबे।"
s $\Lambda d^{\text {hae }}$ mo-r jind $\wedge$ gi-ḍ $\Lambda$ g $\Lambda$ rib rıh-i ja-b-r
always $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ life(Hindi)-NCLS poor remain-ABS AUX-FUT-3
My life will always remain poor."
DLR.06.053. फेर कहचे, "काटेचु ते मुइ रानि हचु!
$p^{\mathrm{h}}$ er k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda$-c-e kateec-u te mui rani $\mathrm{h} \Lambda-\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{u}$
again say-PRES-3 cut-PRES-1sg PCL 1sg[pro] queen be-PRES-1sg
She said again, "If I cut him, then I will become a queen!
DLR.06.054. नि काटेचु, ते मुइ जन कामा रहए जाछु, गरिब रहे जाछु!"
ni kateec-u te mui j^n kama rıhл-e ja-ch ${ }^{\text {h }}$ u g
NEG cut-PRES-1sg PCL 1sg[pro] labour earner remain-ABS AUX-PRES-1sg poor
rah-e ja-c ${ }^{\text {h}}-u$
remain-ABS AUX-PRES-1sg
If I don't cut him, then I will always remain a labourer, I will remain poor."
DLR.06.055. ते बस मनड याहाँर आधाङि से बेसि हइ गेल्कि काट्बारे, रानि बन्बारे।
te bıs m mn-ḍ $\quad$ yaha-r ad ani se besi h $\wedge$-i
PCL PCL(Hindi) mind-NCLS DEM[pro/prox]-GEN half ABL more be-ABS
ge-l-ki kaṭ-ba-r=e rani b $\quad$ n-ba-r $=e$
AUX-PST-SA3 cut-INF-GEN = EMPH queen become-INF-GEN = EMPH
Well, her mind became more than half favourable of cutting, of becoming a queen.
DLR.06.056. त सुना एनेङकरे झाकाखान उठाएने मुखखान एक चटि देख्ले।

PCL PCL like_this cover-NCLS rise-CAUS-CONJ.PTCL mouth-NCLS one time dek $^{\mathrm{h}}$-1-e
see-PST-3
She lifted up the (bed) cover like this and looked at (his) face once.

DLR.06.057. देखिएने कहचे, "आप मायाँ लागा करे काटे, ते केनङ करे काटे?
dek $^{\mathrm{h}}$-iene kлhл-c-e ap maya lag-a kıre kat-e
see-CONJ.PTCL say-PRES-3 PCL affection apply-DVBL ADVL cut-SUBJ3
te kenıy kıre kat-e
PCL how ADVL cut-SUBJ3
Having seen him she said, "Now, should one cut (one's husband) with affection, or how should one cut (him)?

DLR.06.058. नि काटेचु, ते मुइ जन कामाए रहे जाछु, काटेचु, ते मुइ रानि हइ जाछु।" ni kat-ec-u te mui jın kama=e rıh-e ja-ch $-u$ NEG cut-PRES-1sg PCL 1sg[pro] labour earner $=$ EMPH remain-ABS AUX-PRES-1sg kaṭ-ec-u te mui rani h $\quad$ te i ja-ch $-u$ cut-PRES-1sg PCL 1sg[pro] queen be-ABS AUX-PRES-1sg If I don't cut him, then I will remain a labourer, if I cut him I will become a queen."

DLR.06.059. त सुना जब मनड(त) उहाँ पुरा जिड़. लिले, त सुना दिले उहाँक काटिए।

PCL PCL when mind-NCLS(-LOC) 3 sg[pro] completely be_courageous-ABS
li-l-ı ts suna di-l-ı uhã-k kaṭ-ie
AUX-PST-3 PCL PCL AUX-PST-3 3sg[pro]-DAT cut-ABS
When she became completely courageous in (her) mind, then she cut him up.
DLR.06.060. आप्नार भातारटक दिले काटिए। बस, उहाँ चुलि गेल।
apna-r $\quad b^{\text {hatar-ṭ } \Lambda-k} \quad$ di-l-I $\quad$ kaṭ-ie
REFL[pro]-GEN husband-NCLS-DAT AUX-PST-3 cut-ABS
bas uhã cul-i ge-1
PCL(Hindi) 3sg[pro] AUX-ABS go-PST3
She cut up her own husband. There we are, she left.
DLR.06.061. त जाए कहच्चि, त राजकुमारटक जाए कहचे, "ते राजकुमार, मुइ आप्नार कामखान बेनाए दिनु।"
t $\Lambda$ ja-e k $\wedge h \Lambda-c-k i \quad t \Lambda \quad$ rajkumar-ṭ $\wedge-k \quad$ ja-e
PCL go-CONJ.PTCL say-PRES-SA3 PCL prince-NCLS-DAT go-CONJ.PTCL
kлhл-c-e te rajkumar, mui apna-r kam-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an bena-e
say-PRES-3 PCL prince, $1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro REFL[pro]-GEN work-NCLS make-ABS
di-n-u
AUX-PST-1sg
She went and said (to him), she went and said to the prince, "Well prince, I have done my job."

DLR.06.062. "आह! बेनाए दिलो!"
ah bena-e di-l-o
INJ make-ABS AUX-PST-2sg
"What! You have done it?!"
DLR.06.063. उहाँ छक परि गेल।
uhã $\quad c^{h} \Lambda k \quad$ pır-i ge-l
3sg[pro] surprisement fall-ABS AUX-PST3
He was astonished.

DLR.06.064. बाप रे! एतेखान साहास इड बेर्छानिर!
bap re ete-k ${ }^{\text {han }}$ sahas i-ḍ $\Lambda$ berc ${ }^{\text {hani-r }}$
INJ INJ this_much-NCLS boldness DEM[prox]-NCLS woman-GEN
"Good grief! This woman has so much boldness!"
DLR.06.065 तब राजकुमारट मने मने सचेचे, "जब याहाँ आप्नार भातारटक काटि दिले, आप्नार भातारटक याहाँ काटि दिले, मुइ ते ले जाले, मोर से जदि याहाँ अनङति लोक देखे, अनङति सुन्दर लोक पाए जाए, ते महक काट्बा पारे याहाँ।

then prince-NCLS mind $=$ EMPH mind $=$ EMPH think-PRES- 3 when DEM[pro/prox]
apna-r $\quad b^{\text {h }}$ atar-ṭ $\Lambda-k \quad$ kaṭ-i di-l-I apna-r
REFL[pro]-GEN husband-NCLS-DAT cut-ABS AUX-PST-3 REFL[pro]-GEN $b^{\text {hatar-ṭ }}$-k yaha kat-i di-l-I mui te l-e husband-NCLS-DAT DEM[pro/prox] cut-ABS AUX-PST-3 1sg[pro] PCL take-CONJ.PTCL ja-le mo-r se jıdi yaha $\quad$ nnıyti lok dek ${ }^{\text {h}}$-e go-COND.PTCL 1sg[obl]-GEN ABL if DEM[pro/prox] like_that man see-SUBJ3 $\wedge \mathrm{n} \wedge$ yti sund $\wedge \mathrm{r}$ lok pa-e ja-e te $\mathrm{m} \Lambda=\mathrm{h} \Lambda-\mathrm{k}$ kaṭ-ba like_that beautiful man get-ABS AUX-SUBJ3 PCL 1sg[obl] = CNJ-DAT cut-INF par-e yaha
can-PRES3 DEM[pro/prox]
Then the prince thought to himself, "If she cut her own husband, her own husband if she cut, if I take her, if she sees a more of a man than me, if she gets a handsome man like that, then she might cut me too!"

DLR.06.067. ते उहाँ कहचे, "ले माइ, होल।
te uhã k $\Delta h \Delta$-c-e le mai ho-l
PCL 3sg[pro] say-PRES-3 OK y.sister be-PST3
Then he said, OK younger sister, "Let it be.
DLR.06.068. नि लागे, मुइ तोक नि ले जाम।
ni lag-e mui to-k ni l-e ja-m
NEG need-PRES3 1sg[pro] 2sg[obl]-DAT NEG take-CONJ.PTCL go-FUT1sg
I don't need (to get married to you). I won't take you.
DLR.06.069. तुइ आप्नार घर जा।"
tui apna-r $\quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r} \quad$ ja- $\varnothing$
2sg[pro] REFL[pro]-GEN house go-IMP2sg
Go to your own home!"
DLR.06.070. जब इड कहल्कि तब याहाँ गेले छेतलेङात गिरिए।
$j \wedge b$ i-ḍ $\quad$ k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda-1-k i \quad$ t $\wedge b$ yaha ge-l- $c^{h}$ etleya-t gir-ie
when DEM[prox]-NCLS say-PST-SA3 then DEM[pro/prox] go-PST-3 shallow-LOC fall-ABS
When he said this (to her), she (completely) fell into shallow (water) (i.e. she was (very) disappointed).

DLR.06.071. आप कि कर्बे?
ap ki knr-b-I
PCL what do-FUT-3
What to do now?

DLR.06.072. "ए होल, मुइ हिदर भातारअ काट्नु, आर मुइ हुदर रानिअ नि बन्बा पार्नु।
 INJ be-PST3 1sg[pro] over_here husband=CNJ cut-PST-1sg and $1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $]$ over_there $\operatorname{rani}=\Lambda \quad$ ni b $\quad$ n-ba par-n-u
queen $=\mathrm{CNJ} \quad$ NEG be-INF can-PST-1sg
"Everything has gone. On the one hand (lit. over here) I cut my husband, and on the other hand (lit. over there) I wasn't able to become a queen either.

DLR.06.074. आर एहड राजकुमार मोक नि ले जाबे बोले।
ar $\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{h} \Lambda-\mathrm{d}_{\Lambda} \quad$ rajkumar mo-k ni $\quad$ l-e ja-b-I
and DEM[prox] $=$ CNJ-NCLS prince $1 \mathrm{sg}[$ obl]-DAT NEG take-CONJ.PTCL go-FUT-3
bo-1-I
say-PST-3
And this prince too said he wouldn't take me.
DLR.06.075. आर हिदर मुइ भातारटक काटि दिनु।
ar hid $\Lambda \mathrm{r}$ mui $\mathrm{b}^{\text {hatar-ṭ } \Lambda \text {-k kaṭ-i di-n-u }}$
and over_here 1 sg[pro] husband-NCLS-DAT cut-ABS AUX-PST-1sg
And on the one hand (lit. over here) I cut my husband.
DLR.06.076. आप इड काटाल भातारट मोर जरा नि लाग्बे, जेअँत त नि हबे।
ap i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ kat-al $\quad b^{\text {hatar-ṭ } \Lambda}$ mo-r j $\quad$ ra
PCL DEM[prox]-NCLS cut-PST.PTCL husband-NCLS 1sg[obl]-GEN attachment NEG lag-b-i jent ts ni h $\Lambda$-b-I apply-FUT-3 living PCL NEG be-FUT-3 My cut-up husband can not be joined together, he can not become living.

DLR.06.077. आप मुइ कि करिम?" तने सुना याहाँ कान्बा धर्ले।
ap mui ki k kr-im tıne suna yaha kan-ba $d^{h} \Lambda r-1-I$
PCL $1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro ] what do-FUT1sg pcl PCL DEM[pro/prox] cry-INF begin-PST-3
What shall I do now?" Then she started crying.
DLR.06.078. घरत आसिए कान्बा धर्ले।
$\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\Lambda \mathrm{t}$ as-ie kan-ba $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-1-\mathrm{I}$
house-LOC come-CONJ.PTCL cry-INF begin-PST-3
Coming home she began to cry.
DLR.06.079. त गाअँर लोकला जर हले।
t^ gã̃-r lok-la j $\wedge r \quad h \Lambda-1-I$ PCL village-GEN man-PL gathering be-PST-3 Then the men of the village gathered together.

DLR.06.080. "कि हल्कन? कि हल्कन?"
ki h $\wedge$-l-k $\wedge$ ni h $\Lambda-1-k \wedge n$
what be-PST-SA2pl what be-PST-SA2pl
"What happened to you? What happened to you?
DLR.06.081. "कि होल, माइ?
ki ho-l mai
what be-PST3 y.sister
What happened, younger sister?

DLR.06.082. कि होल, माइ?" कहबा धर्ले।
ki ho-l mai k $\Lambda$ h $\Lambda$-ba $d^{h} \Lambda r-1-I$
what be-PST3 y.sister say-INF begin-PST-3
What happened, younger sister?" they began to say.
DLR.06.083. ते बोले, "देख ना गे।
te bo-l-I $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\Lambda$ na ge
PCL say-PST-3 look-IMP2pl PCL VOC[fem]
She said, "Look then!
DLR.06.084a. मुइ गिस्नु हाग्बा आर काहें नि काहें आसिएने मोर घरेर लोकटक काटि दिछे।
mui g-isn-u hag-ba ar kahe ni kahe as-iene
1 sg[pro] go-REM.PST-1sg defecate-INF and who NEG who come-CONJ.PTCL
mo-r $\quad g^{\text {h }} \Lambda r$-er lok-ṭ $\Lambda-k \quad$ kaṭ-i d-ic ${ }^{\text {h }}-\mathrm{e}$
1sg[obl]-GEN house-GEN man-NCLS-DAT cut-ABS AUX-PERF-3
I had gone to defecate, and (then) someone came and cut up my husband.
DLR.06.084b. आप याहाँर कि हबे?
ap yaha-r ki h h-b-I
PCL DEM[pro/prox]-GEN what be-FUT-3
What will happen now?"
DLR.06.085a. त लोकलाअ कहेचे, "केनङति इड बात हइ गेल ते!
t $\Lambda$ lok-la $=\Lambda$ k $\wedge h-e c-e$ kenenti i-ḍ $\Lambda$ bat h $\Lambda$-i ge-l te
PCL man-PL = CNJ say-PRES-3 how DEM[prox]-NCLS event be-ABS AUX-PST3 PCL Also the men said, "How did this event happen!
DLR.06.085b. एनङति त हबाए नि सकेचे!
en t $\Lambda \quad$ h $\Lambda$-ba $=\mathrm{e}$ ni s sk-ec-e
like_this PCL be-INF = EMPH NEG can(Nepali)-PRES-3
Something like this is just not possible.
DLR.06.086. त काहें आसिस्ले ते गे?
t $\Lambda$ kahe as-isl-I
te ge
PCL who come-REM.PST-3 PCL VOC[fem]
So, who came then?"
DLR.06.087. ते बोले, "केइ जान, हामाअ कहबा नि पारिम (पार्म) काहें आसिए काट्ले, काहें नि।'
te bo-l-I kei jan hama= $\quad$ k $\quad$ h $\Lambda$-ba ni par-im
PCL say-PST-3 who(idiom) knows(idiom) $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]=$ CNJ say-INF NEG can-FUT1sg
kahe as-ie kat-l-I kahe ni
who come-CONJ.PTCL cut-PST-3 who NEG
Then she said, "Who knows! Neither can $I_{[\text {[GG.HONORIFIC] }}$ say who came (and) cut (him), and who didn't."
DLR.06.088. ते लोकलाअ कहले, "बेर्छानिड त भातारटक नेइए काट्बे।

PCL man-PL $=$ CNJ say-PST-3 woman-NCLS PCL husband-NCLS-DAT NEG $=$ EMPH
kat-b-ı
cut-FUT-3
Also the men said, "The woman could never have cut the husband.

DLR.06.089. एतेखान मिलन बाला एमा।
ete- $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ an mil-лn wala $\mathrm{em}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$
this_much-NCLS unite-DVBL NML(Hindi) 3pl[pro/prox]
They were such a harmonious couple.
DLR.06.090. स्रिमान स्रिमतिला एमात काटा काटि नि कर्बे!
sriman srimıti-la em ${ }^{\text {hat }}$ kaṭ-a kaṭ-i ni kır-b-I husband wife-PL 3pl[pro/prox]-LOC cut-DVBL cut-DVBL NEG do-FUT-3 Husbands and wives don't cut each other.

DLR.06.091. ते कोए लोक एम़ार दुस्मन छिल्कि, ते आसिएने याहाँक काटि दिले।"

PCL some man 3pl[pro/prox]-GEN enemy be-PST-SA3 PCL come-CONJ.PTCL
yaha-k kaṭ-i di-l-I
DEM[prox]-DAT cut-ABS AUX-PST-3
Some person was their enemy. He has come and cut him."
DLR.06.092a. त सुना आप उड मरा लोकक के कर्बे?
 PCL PCL PCL that-NCLS die-PST.PTCL man-DAT how_many do-FUT-3 So, what will be done with that killed man now?

DLR.06.092b. आप त जल्बार व्यवस्था कर्बा हबे।

PCL PCL cremate-INF-GEN arrangement(loan) do-INF must-FUT-3
Now the cremation arrangments will have to be made.
DLR.06.093. त गाअँर लोकला नि पत्याले ते बेर्छानिड काट्बे कहेने।
t $\Lambda$ gã̃-r lok-la ni pıtya-l-i te berchani-ḍ $\Lambda$ kaṭ-b-I
PCL village-GEN man-PL NEG believe-PST-3 PCL woman-NCLS cut-FUT-3
kıh-ene
say-CONJ.PTCL
The men of the village could not believe that the woman will (or, could) kill her husband.
DLR.06.094. "आप याहाँर कोए दुस्मन छिल्कि। काटि दिले।
ap yaha-r koe dusm^n $c^{\text {h}}$-il-ki kaṭ-i di-l-I
PCL DEM[prox]-GEN some enemy be-PST-SA3 cut-ABS AUX-PST-3
"He had some enemy. (The enemy) cut (him) up.
DLR.06.095. आप याहाँक जल्बार व्यवस्था कर्बा लागे।"
ap yaha-k j^l-ba-r bewsst ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ kлr-ba lag-e
PCL DEM[prox]-DAT cremate-INF-GEN arrangement(loan) do-INF must-PRES3
Now the cremation arrangements must be done for him."
DLR.06.096. जब जल्बार व्यवस्था कर्ले तब त याहाँ कि कर्ले?
 when cremate-INF-GEN arrangement(loan) do-PST-3 then PCL DEM[prox] what kır-l-I
do-PST-3
What did she (the woman) do when they cremated him?

DLR.06.097. त, आप मह आप सति जाम भातारटर सङे।
t $\Lambda$ ap $m \Lambda=h \Lambda \quad$ ap s $\Lambda t i$ ja-m $\quad b^{h a t a r-t ̣ ~} \Lambda-r \quad$ s $\quad$ ye
PCL PCL $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]=\mathrm{CNJ}$ PCL sati go-FUT1sg husband-NCLS-GEN with
Well, "Now I too will go to the sati (i.e. cremation of wife alongside her husband) with my husband.

DLR.06.098. "जब मोर भातारे नि, ते मह इड दुनियात रहे कि कर्मु?
j $\Lambda \mathrm{b}$ mo-r $\quad \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}$ atar $=\mathrm{e} \quad$ ni te $m \Lambda=h \Lambda \quad$ i-d $\Lambda$
when $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ husband=EMPH NEG PCL $1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $=$ CNJ DEM[prox]-NCLS
dunia-t rah-e ki kır-m-u
world-LOC remain-SUBJ3 what do-FUT-1sg
Since my husband is no longer (alive), if I stayed upon this earth, what would I do either?
DLR.06.099. मह मरि जाम।"
$\mathrm{m} \Lambda=\mathrm{h} \Lambda \quad \mathrm{m} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{i} \quad$ ja-m
1sg[pro] $=\mathrm{CNJ}$ die-ABS AUX-FUT1sg
I will die too."
DLR.06.100. ते सुना बस, आचि बेनाले। उहाँर भातारट बस जल्ते छिले। बस, अइड आचित जाए याहाँ गिरि गेल।
te suna b $\Delta$ s aci bena-l-I
PCL PCL PCL(Hindi) pyre make-PST-3

| uhã-r | $\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}$ atar-tı | $\mathrm{b} \wedge \mathrm{s}$ | -te | i-1-I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| uhã-r | b atar-ب़ | b 4 S | -te | 1-1-I |

3sg[pro]-GEN husband-NCLS PCL(Hindi) cremate-CONT.PTCL be-PST-3
b $\Delta$ s $\quad$ i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ aci-t ja-e yaha gir-i
PCL(Hindi) DEM[rem/emph]-NCLS pyre-LOC go-CONJ.PTCL DEM[pro/prox] fall-ABS ge-1
AUX-PST3
Then they made a pyre. Her husband('s body) was burning. Yes, she went and fell on to that pyre.
DLR.06.101a. गिरि गेल।
gir-i ge-1
fall-ABS AUX-PST3
She fell (on to it).
DLR.06.101b. ते सुना उहाँअ जलिएने सति चुलि गेल।
te suna uhã $=\Lambda$ j^l-iene sti cul-i ge-1
PCL PCL 3sg[pro]=CNJ cremate-CONJ.PTCL sati AUX-ABS go-PST3
Then also she cremated herself and completed the (act of) sati.
DLR.06.102. ते दुनियार लोकला कि देख्ले? कि "अलवत बेर्छानि, अलवत इड बेर्छानि!
te dunia-r lok-la ki dek $^{\mathrm{h}}$-l-I ki slwot berc $^{\text {h}}$ ani slwot PCL world-GEN man-PL what see-PST-3 that excellent woman excellent
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ berc $^{\text {h }}$ ani
DEM[prox]-NCLS woman
So, what did the men of the world think? (They thought,) "An excellent woman, this woman was excellent!

DLR.06.103. भातारटर खातिर आपुन जेअते लोकट सति चुलि गेल!

husband-NCLS-GEN for_the_sake_of REFL[pro] living = EMPH man-NCLS sati AUX-ABS
ge-1
go-PST3
For the sake of her husband she (sacrificed) her living being in sati.
DLR.06.105. ते बेर्छानि हबा लागे, ते एनङति हबा लागे!
te berc $^{\text {hani h }}$ h-ba lag-e te en lyti h $\Lambda$-ba lag-e
PCL woman be-INF must-PRES3 PCL like_this be-INF must-PRES3
If one must be a woman, then this is how one should be.
DLR.06.106. अलवत!
slwot
excellent
Excellent!
DLR.06.107. मान्बा लागे!
man-ba lag-e
appreciate-INF must-PRES3
(She) should be appreciated.
DLR.06.108. ते बेर्छानि एनङनि हबा लागे!"
te berc $^{h}$ ani en $\wedge$ gti $h \Delta$-ba lag-e
PCL woman like_this be-INF must-PRES3
A woman should be like this."
DLR.06.109. ते दुनियार लोकला कि जान्ले?
te dunia-r lok-la ki jan-l-I
PCL world-GEN man-PL what know-PST-3
But what did the men of the world know?
DLR.06.110. "ते अलवत बेर्छानि!"
te slwot berc $^{\text {h }}$ ani
PCL excellent woman
"What an excellent woman!"
DLR.06.111. आच्छा जान्ले उड बेर्छानिक।
acc $^{\text {ha }}$ jan-l-I u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ berc $^{\text {h }}$ ani-k
good know-PST-3 that-NCLS woman-DAT
(They) thought that woman was good.
DLR.06.112. लेकिन उड बेर्छानि छिले बहुत खाराब।
lekin u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ berc $^{\mathrm{h}}$ ani $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-1-\mathrm{I} \quad$ bshut $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ arab
but(Hindi) that-NCLS woman be-PST-3 very(Hindi) evil
But that woman was terribly evil.
DLR.06.113. जब उहाँ आप्नार भातारटक काट्ले, ते केतेखान खाराब छिले!
j $\Lambda \mathrm{b}$ uhã apna-r $\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}$ atar-ṭ $\Lambda-\mathrm{k}$ kaṭ-l-I te kete-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an
when 3sg[pro] REFL[pro]-GEN husband-NCLS-DAT cut-PST-3 PCL how_much-NCLS
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \operatorname{arab}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{-l-I}$
evil be-PST-3
When she cut her husband, (you can imagine) how evil she was!
DLR.06.114. ते लेकिन दुनियाड जान्ले आच्छा!
te lekin dunia-ḍ jan-l-I acc $^{\text {h }} \mathrm{a}$
PCL but(Hindi) world-NCLS know-PST-3 good
But the world thought she was good.
DLR.06.115. लेकिन उहाँ छिले खाराब।
lekin uhã $\quad c^{h} i-1-\mathrm{I} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ arab
but $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ be-PST-3 evil
But she was evil!
(Text recorded June 2003, Kathmandu)

## Narrative GR.01. The jackal and the kid

GR. 01.001 एकटा खेटिया पानि खाचे।
ek-ṭa $\quad k^{h} e t ̣ i a ~ p a n i ~ k ~ k a-c-e ~$
one-NCLS jackal water eat-PRES-3
A jackal is/was drinking water.
GR.01.002 लदिड बहचे।
$1 \wedge d i-d ̣ \Lambda \quad b \wedge h \Lambda-c-e$
river-NCLS flow-PRES-3
The river is/was flowing.
GR.01.003 उपर से पानिखान देखिन मुखे जाछे।
up $\wedge$ r se pani-k ${ }^{\text {han }} \operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}$ in muk ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ e ja-c ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-e$
above ABL water-NCLS south towards go-PRES-3
Water flows from above towards the south.
GR.01.004 देखिन मुखेर पानिखान उपरत त नि जाबे।
$\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\text {in muk }}{ }^{\mathrm{h}}$-er pani-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an upr$\Lambda$ t $\mathrm{t} \Lambda$ ni ja-b-I
south mouth-GEN water-NCLS above PCL NEG go-FUT-3
Water can not flow from the south to above.
GR.01.005 हेंटकार पानिखान उपरत त नि उठ्बे, पाहाड(त) त नि उठ्बे।
hẽt-kar pani-k ${ }^{h}$ an upr $\Lambda$ t t $\Lambda$ ni $u t^{h}-b-I \quad \operatorname{pahar}(-\Lambda t) \quad$ t $\Lambda \quad$ ni
below-GEN water-NCLS above PCL NEG rise-FUT-3 mountain(-LOC) PCL NEG
$u t^{\text {h }}-b-\mathrm{I}$
rise-FUT-3
The water from below can not rise up, can not rise to the mountain(s).
GR.01.006 हिदर खेटियाड पानि खाचे, हुदर छागलेर बाचाड पानि खाचे।

over_here jackal-NCLS water eat-PRES-3 over_there goat-GEN baby(animal)-NCLS
pani $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{e}$
water eat-PRES-3
The jackal is drinking water over here, the child of a goat (i.e. the kid) is drinking water over there.

GR.01.007 ते याहाँ देखि फेकाले।
te yaha $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eka-l-I
PCL DEM[pro/prox] see-ABS AUX-PST-3
And it (the jackal) saw (it anyway).
GR.01.008 "एहे, इड त मोर खाबार चिज!
ehe i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ t $\Lambda$ mo-r $k^{\text {ha-ba-r }}$ cij
INJ DEM[prox]-NCLS PCL 1sg[obl]-GEN eat-INF-GEN thing
"Oh! This is my food!
GR.01.009 बिना दोसे केनङ करे मारा जाबे याहाँक?"
bina dos-e kenıy kıre mar-a ja-b-I
without accusation-INSTR how ADVL kill-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-FUT-3
yaha-k
DEM[pro/prox]-DAT
How to kill this without (any) accusation?"
GR.01.010 "ए लङगगट!
e: lıŋg $\uparrow$ t
INJ ill-behaved_person
"Eh you!
GR.01.011 पानिखान मोर कियाँ कादुवालि करेचिस?"
pani-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an mo-r kiã kaduali kır-ec-is
water-NCLS 1sg[obl]-GEN why muddy do-PRES-2sg
Why are you making my water muddy?"
GR.01.012 "नि हजुर, तोर कादुवालि करा पानिखान मुइ खाचु।
ni hıjur to-r kaduali k $\wedge$ r-a pani-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an mui $k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{u}$
NEG Sir(Nepali) $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-G E N$ muddy do-PST.PTCL water-NCLS $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ eat-PRES-1sg "No Sir, I am drinking the water made muddy by you.

GR.01.013 मोर कादुवालि करा पानिखान चुलि जाछे।"
mo-r kaduali k $\lambda$-a pani-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an cul-i ja-ch ee
1sg[obl]-GEN muddy do-PST.PTCL water-NCLS AUX-ABS go-PRES-3
The water I have made muddy is (just) flowing away."
GR.01.014 "त तुइ एठ कियाँ कर्लो?
t $\Lambda$ tui et ${ }^{\text {h }} \Lambda$ kiã k $\wedge r-1-\mathrm{o}$
PCL $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] socially_unclean why do-PST-2sg
"Why did you make the water dirty?
GR.01.015 एठ कियाँ कर्लो पानिखान?"
et ${ }^{\text {h }} \Lambda$ kiã k $\wedge r-1-o \quad$ pani-k ${ }^{\text {han }}$
socially_unclean why do-PST-2sg water-NCLS
Why did you make the water dirty?"
GR.01.016 "नि हजुर, तोर एठ पानिखान मुइ खाचु।

NEG Sir(Nepali) 2 sg [obl]-GEN socially_unclean water-NCLS $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ eat-PRES-1sg
"No Sir, (it is) I (who) am drinking your dirty water.
GR.01.017 मोर एठ पानिखान चुलि जाछे।"
mo-r et ${ }^{\text {h }} \Lambda \quad$ pani-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an cul-i ja-c ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
1sg[obl]-GEN socially_unclean water-NCLS AUX-ABS go-PRES-3
My dirty water is (just) flowing away."
GR.01.018 "आरसाल कियाँ दिस्लो मोक गालि?
arsal kiã d-isl-o mo-k gali
last_year why give-REM.PST-2sg $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{DAT}$ rebuke
"Last year why did you tell me off?

GR.01.019 मोक गालि दिस्लो कियाँ आरसाल?"
mo-k gali d-isl-o kiã arsal
1sg[obl]-DAT rebuke give-REM.PST-2sg why last_year
Why did you tell me off last year?"
GR.01.020 "नि, आरसाल मोर जलम नि हए, हजुर।
ni arsal mo-r j $\Lambda l \wedge m$ ni h $\lambda$-e h jur
NEG last_year 1sg[obl]-GEN birth NEG be-PRES3 Sir(Nepali)
"No, I wasn't born last year, Sir.
GR.01.021 मोर त भर्खर ६ महिना हचे जलम हबार।"
mo-r ts $b^{h} \Lambda r^{h} \Lambda r$ six m $\Lambda h i n a \operatorname{h} \Lambda$-c-e j $\Lambda l \Lambda m h \Delta$-ba-r
1sg[obl]-GEN PCL just_now six month be-PRES-3 birth be-INF-GEN It is only just 6 months since I was born."

GR.01.022 "ते हेनङ हले, तोक छर्ने बाला नि छु मुइ।
te hen $\wedge$ h h -le to-k $\mathrm{c}^{\text {h }} \Delta \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{ne}$ wala ni
PCL like_this be-COND.PTCL 2sg[obl]-DAT spare-NML(Hindi) CL(Hindi) NEG
$\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-u mui
be-PRES1sg 1sg[pro]
"Well, in that case I am not the one to spare you.
GR.01.023 तोक खामु।
to-k $\quad k^{h a-m-u}$
2sg[obl]-DAT eat-FUT-1sg
I will eat you.
GR.01.024 तोर मुखखान आर तोर बाउडर मुखखान एके लाखाति देखा जाछे।
to-r muk ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ an ar to-r bau-ḍ $\Lambda$-r muk ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ an
$2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-G E N$ mouth-NCLS and $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-G E N$ father-NCLS-GEN mouth-NCLS
ek $=\mathrm{e} \quad$ lak ${ }^{\text {hati } d^{2} k^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a}} \quad$ ja-ch -e
one $=$ EMPH like see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
Your face and your father's face look just alike.
GR.01.025 आर मुइ तोक छरिम नि, खामु।"
ar mui to-k $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$-im ni $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{u}$
and $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}] 2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-D A T$ spare-FUT1sg NEG eat-FUT-1sg
And I will not spare you; I will eat you."
GR.01.026 ओ, काथा खतम हइ गेल।
o kat ${ }^{h}{ }^{\text {h }} \Lambda t \wedge m$ h $\Lambda$-i ge-l
INJ thing finish be-ABS AUX-PST3
That's the end of the story.
GR.01.027 जाहें ते दबाव देछे नि, उहाँर त कुछु दारु छेइए नि।
jahe te d $\Lambda$ baw d-ec ${ }^{\text {h }}$ e ni unã-r ts kuc $^{\text {h } u ~ d a r u ~}$
who PCL pressure give-PRES3 PCL[TAG] 3sg[pro]-GEN PCL some medicine
$c^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{ie}$ ni
be-PRES3 $=$ EMPH NEG
Whoever pressures others, you know, no-one can punish him.

GR.01.028 ओ ते, बस, उहाँक खाए गेल।
0 te b $\Lambda$ s unã-k $k^{\text {ha }}$ a-e ge-1
INJ PCL PCL(Hindi) 3sg[pro]-DAT eat-ABS AUX-PST3
Um, that's enough, (he) just ate him (up).
GR.01.029 इखान खिसार मतलब कि हचे: जाहें बड हचे छटडक खाए जाछे।

DEM[prox]-NCLS story-GEN meaning what be-PRES-3 who large be-PRES-3
$c^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda t ̣ \Lambda-\mathrm{d} \Lambda-\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{ja}-\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
short-NCLS-DAT eat-ABS AUX-PRES-3
The meaning of this story is that whoever is big (i.e. socially), eats up the (socially) small (person).
(Text recorded June 2003, Kathmandu)

## Narrative GR.02. The two bullocks and the wise horse

GR.02.001. एकटा गुवालि घरत दुइडा गोरु आर एकटा घडा रहए।
ek-ṭa gual-i $\quad g^{h} \Lambda r-\Lambda t \quad$ dui-ḍa goru ar ek-ṭa $g^{h} \Lambda d ̣ a$ one-NCLS farmer-ADJR house-LOC two-NCLS bullock and one-NCLS horse rıhл-e
live-PST.HAB3
In a farmer's barn, there used to live two bullocks and one horse.
GR.02.002 त घडाडक खाबा देचे, त बादाम खाचे।
t $\Lambda \quad g^{h} \Lambda d a-d ̣ \Lambda-k \quad k^{h} a-b a \quad$ d-ec-e $\quad t_{\Lambda} \quad$ badam $k^{h a-c-e ~}$
PCL horse-NCLS-DAT eat-INF give-PRES-3 PCL nut eat-PRES-3
The farmer gives horse (nuts) to eat, the horse eats nuts.
GR.02.003 घडाड खाबा पाचे बादाम।
$g^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ ḍa-ḍ $\Lambda \quad k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ba} \quad$ pa-c-e badam
horse-NCLS eat-INF get-PRES-3 nut
The horse gets to eat nuts.
GR.02.004 आर गोरु काम करेचे।
ar goru kam ksr-ec-e
and bullock job do-PRES-3
And the bullocks work.
GR.02.005 अम़ा खाबा पाचे पुवाल।
$\Lambda \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ba}$ pa-c-e pual
3pl[pro] eat-INF get-PRES-3 straw
They get to eat straw.
GR.02.006 त घडाड हेनङ करे देखेचे।
t $\Lambda g^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ ḍa-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ hen $\wedge \eta$ k $\wedge r e ~ \operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}$-ec-e
PCL horse-NCLS like_this ADVL look-PRES-3
The horse look (at them) like this.
GR.02.007 "पुवाल खाचन रे!"
pual $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}-\Lambda \mathrm{n}$ re
straw eat-PRES-2pl INJ
"So, you are eating straw!"
GR.02.008 त दऩडा बएल घडाडक देखेचे।
t $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{d} \Lambda \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$-ḍa bлel $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ ḍa-ḍ $\Lambda-\mathrm{k} \quad \operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}$-ec-e
PCL both-NCLS bullock(Hindi) horse-NCLS-DAT look-PRES-3
Then both of the bullocks look at the horse.
GR.02.009 "बादाम! काम छेइए नि बादाम!
badam kam $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{ie} \quad$ ni badam
nut work be-PRES3 $=\mathrm{EMPH}$ NEG nut
"Nuts! No work whatsoever! Nuts!

GR.02.010 घडाडर त कोइ काम नि।
$g^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda d ̣ a-d ̣ \Lambda-\mathrm{r}$ t $\Lambda$ koi kam ni
horse-NCLS-GEN PCL some work NEG
The horse doesn't have any work. (The horse is useless).
GR.02.011 घडाडर काम नि बादाम, बादाम खाबे।
$\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \frac{d}{a}-\underset{d}{\Lambda}-\mathrm{r} \quad$ kam $\mathrm{ni} \quad$ badam badam k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{I}$
horse-NCLS-GEN work NEG nut nut eat-FUT-3
The horse has no work, (but it) gets nuts to eat.
GR.02.012 आर हामा काम करेचि आर खाचि पुवाल।
ar hama kam k $\wedge$ r-ec-i ar $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{i}$ pual
and $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]$ work do-PRES-1pl and eat-PRES-1pl straw
We work and we eat straw.
GR.02.013 तोर हइच्कु खाबार!
to-r hs-ic-ku $k^{\text {ha-ba-r }}$
2sg[obl]-GEN be-PERF-SA2sg eat-INF-GEN
You are enjoying eating.
GR.02.014 तुइ आच्छा खाचित, तोर कि?"
tui $\operatorname{acc}^{\text {ha }}{ }^{\text {ha }}$ a-c-it to-r ki
$2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ well eat-PRES-2sg $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ what
You eat well. What do you have to worry about?"
GR.02.015 "मोर त कामअ कुछु नि, लेकिन मुइ बादाम खाचु।
mo-r ts $\operatorname{kam}_{\boldsymbol{\prime}}=\Lambda \quad \operatorname{kuc}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}$ ni lekin mui badam $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{u}$
$1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ PCL work $=\mathrm{CNJ}$ some NEG but $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ nut eat-PRES-1sg
"I have absolutely no work, but I eat nuts.
GR.02.017 उड त तम़ा भुल करेचन।"
u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad t \Lambda \quad t \wedge m^{h} a \quad b^{h} u l \quad$ k $\Lambda r-e c-\wedge n$
that-NCLS PCL 2pl[pro] mistake do-PRES-2pl
That is the mistake you are making."
GR.02.018 "केनङ भुल?" बएलला कहचे, "केनङ भुल करेचि?"

what_kind mistake bullock(Hindi)-PL say-PRES-3 what_kind mistake do-PRES-1pl "What mistake?" the bullocks ask, "What mistake are we making?"
GR.02.019 घडाड कहचे, "तम़ा भुल कर्चन।
$g^{h} \Lambda d ̣ a-d ̣ \Lambda \quad$ k $\Lambda h \Lambda-c-e \quad t \wedge m^{h} a \quad b^{h} u l \quad k \Delta r-c-\Lambda n$
horse-NCLS say-PRES-3 2pl[pro] mistake do-PRES-2pl
The horse says: "You are making a mistake.
GR.02.020 एकझन बेरामि हले, हाल जुता त नि जाबे ना?
ek-j ${ }^{\text {h }} \Lambda$ n berami h $\Lambda$-le jal jut-a $\mathrm{t} \Lambda \quad \mathrm{ni}$
one-PCLS ill be-COND.PTCL plough plough-PST.PTCL PCL NEG
ja-b-I na
PASS.AUX-FUT-3 PCL[TAG]
If one becomes ill, ploughing will not be possible, isn't that correct?

GR.02.021 हँ, एकझन रहबा धर्।
$\mathrm{h} \tilde{\Lambda} \quad$ ek-j${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{r} \Lambda \mathrm{h} \Lambda-\mathrm{ba} \quad \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\varnothing$
INJ one-PCLS remain-INF begin-IMP2sg
Yes, one (of you) begin to stay here!
GR.02.022 बेमारि हइ जाले, हाल जुत्बा नि ले जाबे ने।
bemari hı-i ja-le hal jut-ba ni l-e ja-b-I
ill be-ABS AUX-COND.PTCL plough plough-INF NEG take-CONJ.PTCL go-FUT-3
ne
PCL[TAG]
If (one) has become ill, he (the farmer) will not take (that one) to plough, you know.
GR.02.023 बस, फुर्सदे फुर्सद।"
b $\Lambda s \quad p^{h} u r s \Lambda d=e \quad p^{h} u r s \Lambda d$
PCL(Hindi) free_time(Nepali) $=$ EMPH free_time(Nepali)
Then there you are, you'll absolutely be free of duty."
GR.02.024 खेतिर बेला छिले, एकटा गोरु बेमारि हए गेल।
$k^{h}$ et-i-r bela $c^{h i-1-1}$ ek-ṭa goru bemari h $\Lambda$-e ge-1
field-NML-GEN time be-PST-3 one-NCLS bullock ill be-ABS AUX-PST3
It was the time for planting, (and) one of the bullocks had become ill.
GR.02.025 छेच्कार बेमारि नि हए, जानिए जानिए उहाँ काम छरि दिले।
$c^{\text {h }}$ eckar bemari ni jan-ie jan-ie unã kam really ill NEG be-PST.HAB3 know-CONJ.PTCL know-CONJ.PTCL 3sg[pro] work $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{i} \quad$ di-1-I
let_go-ABS AUX-PST-3
He hadn't really become ill, he (just) left work knowingly.

## GR.02.026 माने बेमारि हए गेल।

mane bemari h $\Lambda$-e ge-1
PCL ill be-ABS AUX-PST3
He had become ill.
GR.02.027 आल।
al
PCL(pretense)
He was pretending.
GR.02.028 हँ। बेमारि हइ जाबार अन्दरत।
h $\tilde{\Lambda}$ bemari h $\Lambda$-i ja-ba-r $\quad$ nd $\Lambda$ rıt
INJ ill be-ABS AUX-INF-GEN because_of(loan)
Yes, because he had become ill.
GR.02.029 बस, घरेर मालिकट आसिल।
b $\Lambda \mathrm{s} \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$-er malik-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ as-il
PCL(Hindi) house-GEN master-NCLS come-PST3
Well, the master of the house came.

GR.02.030 देखेचे, "गोरुड बेमारि!
dek ${ }^{\text {h}}$-ec-e goru-ḍ $\Lambda$ bemari
see-PRES-3 bullock-NCLS ill
(He) saw, "The bullock is ill!
GR.02.031 याहाँक केनङ करे काम करा जाबे?
yaha-k kenıy kıre kam kır-a ja-b-I
3sg[pro/prox]-DAT what_kind ADVL work do-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-FUT-3
What kind of work can be done with this (bullock)?
GR.02.031a याहाँक छुट।"
yaha-k $c^{h} u t$
$3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro} / \mathrm{prox}]-D A T$ free
(I'll) leave it."
GR.02.032 एकदम उहाँक धरिए ले जाए बाऩिए दिले।
ekd $\wedge$ m uhã-k $\quad \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ r-ie l-e ja-e ban ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$-ie
very $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]-D A T$ hold-CONJ.PTCL take-CONJ.PTCL go-CONJ.PTCL tie-CONJ.PTCL
di-1-I
AUX-PST-3
He held it, took it away and tied it up.
GR.02.033 बस, आराम।
bıs aram
PCL(Hindi) rest
There we are, rest.
GR.02.034 "घडा छे, ते हाल बहबेइ नि।"
$\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ dea c $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$ te hal b$\quad$ bh $\Lambda-\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{ni}$
horse be-PRES3 PCL plough plough-FUT-3 = EMPH NEG
"I have a horse, but it can not plough at all."
GR. 02.035 बस, छरि दिले।
b $\Lambda$ S $\quad c^{\text {h }} \Lambda r-i \quad$ di-l-I
PCL(Hindi) let_go-ABS AUX-PST-3
Well, he left it be.
GR.02.036 आठ दस दिन जब हइ गेल, लोकला कहचे, "तुइ हाल कियाँ नि बहचिस?
$a t^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{d} \Lambda \mathrm{s} \operatorname{din} \mathrm{j} \Lambda \mathrm{b}$ h $\Lambda$-i ge-l lok-la k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda-\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{e}$ tui hal kiã
eight ten day when be-ABS AUX-PST3 man-PL say-PRES-3 $2 \mathrm{sg}[$ [pro] plough why
ni b $\wedge$ h $\Lambda$-c-is
NEG plough-PRES-2sg
After some (eight, ten) days had passed the men said: "Why are you not ploughing?
GR.02.037 रपा बुन्बो नि?
rлра bun-b-o ni
rice_sprout plant-FUT-2sg NEG
Aren't you planting rice?

GR.02.038 धान बुन्बो?"
$d^{\text {h }}$ an bun-b-o
rice plant-FUT-2sg
(Why aren't) you planting rice?"
GR.02.039 "गोरु बेमारि छे, एकटा गोरु बेमारि।"
goru bemari ch ${ }^{\text {h }}$ e ek-ṭa goru bemari
bullock ill be-PRES3 one-NCLS bullock ill
"One bullock is ill, one bullock is ill."
GR.02.040 ते, "घडा छुकु ते एकटा।
te $g^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ ḍa $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-u-ku te ek-ṭa
PCL horse be-PRES-SA2sg PCL one-NCLS
"(But) you have a horse.
GR.02.041 एकटा घडा छुकु।
ek-ṭa $\quad g^{h} \Lambda d ̣ a c^{h}-u-k u$
one-NCLS horse be-PRES-SA2sg
You have a horse.
GR.02.042 एकटा गोरु छुकु।
ek-ṭa goru $c^{\text {h }}-u-k u$
one-NCLS bullock be-PRES-SA2sg
You have a bullock.
GR.02.043 दत़डा हाल जुतेक।
$\mathrm{d} \Lambda \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$-ḍa hal jut-ek
both-NCLS plough plough-IMP2sg
Plough (with) the two of them!
GR.02.044 हबे?"
h $\Lambda$-b-I
be-FUT-3
OK?"
GR.02.045 बोले, "हँ, हँ, हबे।"
bo-l-I h $\tilde{\Lambda}$ h $\tilde{\Lambda}$ h $\Lambda$-b-I
say-PST-3 INJ INJ be-FUT-3
He said, "Yes, yes, OK."
GR.02.046 बुद्धि दिले।
budd $^{h}{ }^{i}$ di-l-I
wisdom give-PST-3
He (the horse) advised (the bullocks).
GR.02.047 बुद्धि दिले, हेतिन, आप परि गेले फान्दात उहाँए।
budd $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$ di-l-I hetin ap par-i ge-l-I $p^{\mathrm{h}}$ anda-t uhãe wisdom give-PST-3 here PCL fall-ABS AUX-PST-3 trap-LOC 3sg[pro]
He advised (them) on the one hand, and he fell into a trap (on the other).

GR.02.048 आप घडाड आर एकटा बएल।
ap $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda d ̣ a-d ̣ \Lambda \quad$ ar ek-ṭa b $\Lambda$ el
PCL horse-NCLS and one-NCLS bullock(Hindi)
So, now there is the horse and a bullock.
GR. 02.049 एकटा बएल नि हए।
ek-ta bлel ni hл-e
one-NCLS bullock(Hindi) NEG be-PRES3
One bullock isn't (there).
GR.02.050 एकटा बएल बेमारि हइचे।
ek-ṭa bлel bemari h $\Lambda$-ic-e
one-NCLS bullock(Hindi) ill be-PERF-3
One bullock has become ill.
GR.02.051 एकटा बएल बेमारि हइचे, ओ, दत़डाक आनिए आप हाल जुतेचे।
ek-ṭa b bel bemari h $\Lambda$-ic-e o d $n^{\mathrm{h}}{ }_{\Lambda}$-ḍa an-ie ap
one-NCLS bullock(Hindi) ill be-PERF-3 INJ both-NCLS bring-CONJ.PTCL PCL
hal jut-ec-e
plough plough-PRES-3
One bullock has become ill, yes, now the farmer takes both (of them) and ploughs.
GR.02.052 कोधोए नि उहाँ काम कर्बार, इड, घडाड।
kod $^{\text {h oe ni unã kam k } \wedge r-b a-r ~ i-d ̣ ~} \Lambda \quad g^{\text {h }} \Lambda d ̣ a-d ̣ \Lambda$
never NEG 3sg[pro] work do-INF-GEN DEM[prox]-NCLS horse-NCLS
He has never been a working (horse), this one, the horse.
GR.02.054 आप उहाँक धर्बा हचे हाल।
ap uhã-k $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$-ba h $\Lambda$-c-e hal
PCL 3sg[pro]-DAT begin-INF must-PRES-3 plough He had to begin to plough.

GR.02.055 एकदम थकि गेले, एकदम, बाप रे!
ekd $\wedge \mathrm{m} \mathrm{t}^{\text {h }} \Lambda \mathrm{k}-\mathrm{i}$ ge-l-I ekd $\Lambda \mathrm{m}$ bap re
very become_tired-ABS AUX-PST-3 very INJ INJ
He got very tired, very, wow!
GR.02.056 "केनङ बुद्धि मुइ दिनु अम़ाक।
ken $\wedge$ budd ${ }^{\text {h }}$ mui di-n-u $\quad \Lambda m^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{k}$
what_kind wisdom 1 sg[pro] give-PST-1sg 3pl[pro]-DAT
"What kind of wisdom did I give them!
GR.02.057 ते एकझन सुख पाचे आर मोर हचे दुख।
te $e^{\text {ej }}{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{n}$ suk ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ pa-c-e ar mo-r h $\Lambda$-c-e duk ${ }^{\text {h }}$
PCL one-PCLS happiness get-PRES-3 and 1sg[obl]-GEN be-PRES-3 trouble One (of them) gets happiness and I get the trouble.
GR.02.058 मोक आप हाल बहबा हचे।"
mo-k ap hal bлhл-ba h $\Lambda$-c-e
1sg[obl]-DAT PCL plough plough-INF must-PRES-3
Now I have to plough."

GR.02.059 त कहचे, "ओहो!
ts kshs-c-e oho
PCL say-PRES-3 INJ
He said: "Oh!
GR.02.059a केनङ बुद्धि मुइ दे दिनु, ते आझि महके हाल बहबा हचे।"

what_kind wisdom 1 sg[pro] give-ABS AUX-PST-1sg PCL today $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{DAT}=\mathrm{EMPH}$
hal b $\wedge$ h - ba $\mathrm{h} \Lambda$-c-e
plough plough-INF must-PRES-3
What kind of wisdom did I give (them), that today I have to plough."
GR.02.060 ते अनङ कर्ते कर्ते एकदिन कान्बा ध'इचे। घडाड कानेचे।
te $\Lambda n \wedge y$ k kr-te k $\wedge$ r-te ek-din kan-ba $d^{h} \Lambda(r)$-ic-e
PCL like_that do-CONT.PTCL do-CONT.PTCL one-day cry-INF begin-PERF-3
$\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ ḍa-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ kan-ec-e
horse-NCLS cry-PRES-3
While thinking like that, one day he began to cry. The horse cried.
GR.02.061 ते बएलड पुछारि करेचिक, "कियाँ कान्चिस?"
te bлel-ḍ $\Lambda$ puchari k $\wedge$ r-ec-ki kiã kan-c-is
PCL bullock(Hindi)-NCLS question do-PRES-SA3 why cry-PRES-2sg
Then the bullock asked a question: "Why are you crying?"
GR.02.062 "कानेचु। मोर मालिकट कि कहचे, तोक बोले बेचि दिप्कु।
kan-ec-u mo-r malik-ḍ ki k $\Lambda$ k $\Lambda-\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{e}$ to-k boli cry-PRES-1sg 1sg[obl]-GEN master-NCLS what say-PRES-3 2sg[obl]-DAT QUOT bec-i di-p-ku
sell-ABS AUX-FUT-SA2sg
"I am crying. What my master says is (that) you, apparently, are going to be sold.
GR.02.063 काटिए तोक बोले खाए जाप्कु, बेमारि गोरुड।
kat-ie to-k boli $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e}$ ja-p-ku bemari goru-d $\Lambda$ cut-CONJ.PTCL 2sg[obl]-DAT QUOT eat-ABS AUX-FUT-SA2sg ill bullock-NCLS You, apparently, are going to be slaughtered and eaten up, you sick bullock.

GR.02.064 काटिए तोक खाए जाप्कु।
kaṭ-ie to-k $\quad k^{\text {ha }}$ a-e ja-p-ku
cut-CONJ.PTCL 2sg[obl]-DAT eat-ABS AUX-FUT-SA2sg
You are going to be slaughtered and eaten up.
GR.02.065 आर हामा जे एकटा घरत तिनझन रहचि।
ar hama je ek-ṭa $g^{h} \Lambda r-\Lambda t \quad \operatorname{tin}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{r} \Lambda \mathrm{h} \Lambda-\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{i}$
and $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]$ PCL one-NCLS house-LOC three-PCLS live-PRES-1pl And the three of us are living in one house, aren't we.
GR.02.066 दुइडा बएल आर मुइ।
dui-ḍa bsel ar mui
two-NCLS bullock(Hindi) and $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$
Two bullocks and I.

GR.02.067 आप तिनझन जे रहचि।
ap $\operatorname{tin}-\mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{n}$ je $\mathrm{r} \Lambda \mathrm{h} \Lambda-\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{i}$
PCL three-PCLS PCL live-PRES-1pl
And the three of us are living (here), aren't we.
GR.02.068 आप तोक त काटिए खाए जाले...
ap to-k ts kat-ie kha-e ja-le
PCL 2sg[obl]-DAT PCL cut-CONJ.PTCL eat-ABS AUX-COND.PTCL
Now if you are going to be slaughtered and cut up...
GR.02.069 मया लागेचे, अड त मोक मनडत कान्बा मेनाचे।"
mлуa lag-ec-e $\quad$ i $\mathrm{t} \Lambda$ mo-k man-ḍ $\Lambda$-t kan-ba
affection apply_to-PRES-3 INJ PCL $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]$-DAT mind-NCLS-LOC cry-INF mena-c-e
want_to-PRES-3
I feel affection for you, that's why I want to cry in my mind."
GR.02.070 "मोक काटिए खाए जाबे?"
mo-k kat-ie $k^{\text {ha }}$ a ja-b-I
1sg[obl]-DAT cut-CONJ.PTCL eat-ABS AUX-FUT-3
"Are they going to slaughter me and eat me up?"
GR.02.071 बोले, "हँ, आप काम जाम छेइ नि तोर।
bo-l-I h $\tilde{\Lambda} \quad$ ap $k a m$ jam $\quad c^{h}-e=i(e) \quad$ ni to-r
say-PST-3 INJ PCL work [echo]work be-PRES3 = EMPH NEG 2sg[obl]-GEN
(The horse) said: "Yes, now you are absolutely worthless.
GR.02.072 आर हाल बहबा नि पा'चिस।
ar hal bлhл-ba ni pa(r)-c-is
and plough plough-INF NEG can-PRES-2sg
And you will not be able to plough.
GR.02.073 मोक हाल बहबा पर्ले।"
mo-k hal bлhл-ba pлr-l-I
1sg[obl]-DAT plough plough-INF must-PST-3
And I had to plough."
GR.02.074 बोले, "हँ हँ।"
bo-l-I h $\tilde{\Lambda} \quad h \tilde{\Lambda}$
say-PST-3 INJ INJ
He said: "Yes, yes."
GR.02.075 "काल़ि से तुइ ठिक हइ जा, हाल बहबा जाप्कु ने।

tomorrow ABL 2sg[pro] alright be-ABS AUX-IMP2SG plough plough-INF
ja-p-ku ne
go-FUT-SA2sg PCL
"From tomorrow (onwards) become well, and you will go to plough, you see.

GR.02.076 आर बचि जाबो।"
ar bлc-i ja-b-o
and save-ABS AUX-FUT-2sg
And you will be saved."
GR.02.077 ते उहाँए सुना बस तरह बाताए दिल।
te uhãe suna b $\Lambda s$ t $\Lambda r^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ bata-e d-il
PCL 3sg[pro] PCL PCL(Hindi) idea tell-ABS AUX-PST3
Well, um, he gave an idea.
GR.02.078 मालिकट, घरेर मालिकट, घडाडके आर गोरुडक आन्बा गिस्ले।

master-NCLS house-GEN master-NCLS horse-NCLS-DAT $=$ EMPH and bullock-NCLS-DAT
an-ba g-isl-I
bring-INF go-REM.PST-3
The master, the master of the house (i.e. the farmer), went to bring the horse and the bullock.
GR.02.079 त उहाँ एकदम, बेमारि गोरूड, उहाँ एकदम तर्बराए उठि गेल!
t $\Lambda$ uhã ekd $\wedge \mathrm{m}$ bemari goru-ḍ $\Lambda$ uhã ekd $\wedge \mathrm{m}$ t $\wedge$ rbara-e
PCL 3sg[pro] very ill bullock-NCLS 3sg[pro] very be_startled-CONJ.PTCL
$u t^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i} \quad$ ge-1
rise-ABS AUX-PST3
The ill bullock, he really jumped right up.
GR.02.080 "आरे! याहाँ त ठिक हइ गिचे!
are yaha $\quad t_{\Lambda} \quad t^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ik} \quad \mathrm{h} \Lambda$-i $\quad$ g-ic-e
INJ 3sg[pro/prox] PCL alright be-ABS AUX-PERF-3
"Eh! This one has become well.
GR.02.081 बेमारि त आर नि छे।"
bemarits ar ni $c^{\text {h}}-e$
ill PCL more NEG be-PRES3
He is no longer ill."
GR.02.082 बस, दऩडा बएलक ले जाबे जुत्बा।

PCL(Hindi) both-NCLS bullock(Hindi)-DAT take-CONJ.PTCL go-FUT-3 plough-INF Well, he is able to take both bullocks to plough.

GR.02.083 घडाडक आराम दे दिले।
$\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ ḍa-ḍ $\Lambda-\mathrm{k} \quad$ aram d-e di-l-I
horse-NCLS-DAT rest give-ABS AUX-PST-3
He let the horse rest.
GR.02.084 बाप रे। पोरक बुद्धि दिले, एइ देख्, मोर दुर्गति, मोक हाल बहबा पर्ले।
bap re por-лk buddhi di-le ei $\operatorname{dek}^{h}-\varnothing$ mo-r
INJ INJ other-DAT wisdom give-COND.PTCL INJ[voc] look-IMP2sg 1sg[obl]-GEN
durgnti mo-k hal bлhл-ba pır-l-I
misery $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{DAT}$ plough plough-INF must-PST-3
Oh! If one gives wisdom/advice to another, "Look (here) at my misery, I had to pull a plough."

GR.02.085 आप्नारे बुद्धि, आप्नारे दुर्गति।
apna-r $=$ e $\quad$ budd $^{h} \mathrm{i} \quad$ apna-r $=\mathrm{e} \quad$ durg $\wedge t i$
REFL[pro]-GEN $=$ EMPH wisdom REFL[pro]-GEN $=$ EMPH misery
One's own wisdom, one's own misery.
GR.02.086 आप्नारे बुद्धि, आप्ने पाचे दुर्गति।
apna-r=e budd ${ }^{h} \mathrm{i}$ apne pa-c-e durgnti
REFL[pro]-GEN $=$ EMPH wisdom REFL[pro] get-PRES-3 misery
(His) own wisdom, (and he) himself got the misery!
(Text recorded June 2003, Kathmandu)

## Narrative MR.04. The old man, the old woman and the jackals

MR.04.000 बुऱा बुऱि आर खेटियाला।
bur $^{h^{h}}$ bur ${ }^{h^{\mathrm{h}}}$ ar $k^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la
old_man old_woman and jackal-PL
The old man, the old woman and the jackals.
MR.04.001 अतेदिन एकटा गाँँत एकझना बुऱा आर एकझना बुरि रहए।
$\Lambda$ tedin ek-ṭa gã̃-t ek-j ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ na bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{t}$ ar ek-jh $\Lambda$ na
many_years_ago one-NCLS village-LOC one-PCLS old_man and one-PCLS
bur $^{h}{ }^{\text {i }} \quad \mathrm{r} \Lambda$ h $\Lambda$-e
old_woman live-PST.HAB3
Many years ago in a village there used to live an old man and old woman.
MR.04.002 ते सुना, एक दिन दऩे भातार मगि मिलिएने कचु बुनेचे।
te suna ek din $d \wedge n^{h} e b^{h}$ atar mıgi mil-iene kıcu
PCL PCL one day both husband wife unite-CONJ.PTCL [kacu]vegetable bun-ec-e
plant-PRES-3
One day both the husband and the wife were planting [kacu]vegetables together.
MR.04.003 खेटियाला देखि फेकाल्कि अमाक कचु बुन्बा।
$k^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eka-l-ki $\quad \Lambda \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{k} \quad$ kлcu bun-ba jackal-PL see-ABS AUX-PST-SA3 3pl[pro]-DAT [kacu]vegetable plant-INF Jackals saw them planting [kacu]vegetables.

MR.04.004 सुना, खेटियाला आसिएने बुऱा बुऱिलाक कहच्चि, "ए दादो, कि करेचिस सुना?"
suna $k^{h}$ eția-la as-iene bur ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ bur ${ }^{\text {h}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{la}-\mathrm{k} \quad$ k $\wedge$ h $-c-k i \quad$ e:
PCL jackal-PL come-CONJ.PTCL old_man old_woman-PL-DAT say-PRES-SA3 INJ
dado ki kır-ec-is suna
grandfather what do-PRES-2sg PCL
The jackals came and said to the old man and old woman: "Eh, grandfather, what are you doing?"
MR.04.005 "आरे, कचु बुनेचि रे, बुऱा बुऱि मिलिएने।"
are kıcu bun-ec-i re bur ${ }^{h^{h}}$ bur ${ }^{h_{i}}$ mil-iene
INJ [kacu]vegetable plant-PRES-1pl INJ old_man old_woman unite-CONJ.PTCL
"Hey you, we are planting [kacu]vegetables, (see), the old man and old woman together."
MR.04.006 त सुना खेटियाला कहच्कि, "कचु बुनेचिस? धेत!
ts suna k ${ }^{\text {heṭia-la k }}$ kh $\Lambda$-c-ki kncu bun-ec-is $d^{\text {het }}$
PCL PCL jackal-PL say-PRES-SA3 [kacu]vegetable plant-PRES-2sg INJ
Then the jackals said (to them): "You are planting [kacu]vegetables? Uh!
MR.04.007 एनङ करे कचु बुनेचे आरह?
enıy kıre kıcu bun-ec-e arhs
like_this ADVL [kacu]vegetable plant-PRES-3 more
So, [kacu]vegetables are planted like this too?

MR. 04.008 कचुला त उस्नाएने बुन्बा लागे, तब बड बड कचु बठिप्कु।
kıcu-la ts usna-ene bun-ba lag-e tıb bırı bırı
[kacu]vegetable-PL PCL boil-CONJ.PTCL plant-INF should-PRES3 then large large
kлcu bst ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{ip}-\mathrm{ku}$
[kacu]vegetable grow-FUT-SA2sg
One should plant [kacu]vegetables after boiling them. Then really big vegetables will grow (for your benefit).
MR.04.009 एनङति काचए कचुला बुन्ले, हटकु आरह?
enıyti kacs=e bucu-la bun-le h $\quad$-p-ku arhs
like_this uncooked $=$ EMPH [kacu]vegetable-PL plant-COND.PTCL be-FUT-SA2sg more If you plant unripe/uncooked [kacu]vegetables like this, will you get (anything)? (No!)

MR.04.010 बेसि बड बड नि बसिप्कु। कचुला उस्नाए बुन्बा लागे।"
besi bлrл bлrı ni b $\quad$ s-ip-ku kлcu-la usna-e bun-ba more large large NEG grow-FUT-SA2sg [kacu]vegetable-PL boil-CONJ.PTCL plant-INF lag-e
should-PRES3
(They) will not grow bigger (for you). One should plant [kacu]vegetables after boiling them."
MR.04.011 खेटियालार काथाला सुनिएने सुना बुऱा बुऱिला सचेचे, "हए ते हए?
$k^{\mathrm{h}}$ etia-la-r kat ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-la sun-iene suna bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$-la sлc-ec-e jackal-PL-GEN thing-PL hear-CONJ.PTCL PCL old_man old_woman-PL think-PRES-3
h $\Lambda$-e te h $h$-e
be-PRES3 PCL be-PRES3
Hearing what the jackals said, the old man and old woman thought: "Is that so?
MR.04.012 कचुला उस्नाए बुन्ले, बड बड हबे? बड बड बठ्बे?"

[kacu]vegetable-PL boil-CONJ.PTCL plant-COND.PTCL large large be-FUT-3 large
b $\wedge r_{\Lambda} \mathrm{b} \Lambda \underline{t}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{I}$
large grow-FUT-3
If (one) plants [kacu]vegetables after boiling them will they become bigger? Will they grow bigger?"
MR.04.013 "हए ना ते सुना। कचुला उस्नाएने बुनेक, तब बड बड फलिप्कु।"
h $\Lambda$-e na te suna kıcu-la usna-ene bun-ek tıb
be-PRES3 PCL PCL PCL [kacu]vegetable-PL boil-CONJ.PTCL plant-IMP2sg then

large large grow-FUT-SA2sg
"'Yes, of course! Plant the [kacu]vegetables after boiling them. Then they will grow bigger (for your benefit)."
MR.04.014 ते सुना बुऱा बुरि सचेचे, "ठिके! ठिके कहले अप़ा।"

PCL PCL old_man old_woman think-PRES-3 alright $=\mathrm{EMPH}$ alright $=\mathrm{EMPH}$ say-PST -3
$\Lambda \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$
$3 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]$
The old man and old woman thought: "Yes, that's right. What they said is correct."

MR.04.015 बुऱाड कहच्कि, "ले बुरि, आप एनङ करे नि होल।
 old_man-NCLS say-PRES-SA3 OK old_woman PCL like_this ADVL NEG be-PST3 The old man said (to her), "Well, old woman, this isn't the way (to do it).

MR.04.016 बुनाल कचुला आप निक्लाइ।
bun-al kncu-la ap nikl-a-i
plant-PST.PTCL [kacu]vegetable-PL PCL go_out-CAUS-SUBJ1pl
Now, let's take out the planted [kacu]vegetables.
MR.04.017 इला आप घरत लेइ जाएने आप उस्नाएने आन्म।
i-la ap $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\Lambda \mathrm{t}$ le-i ja-ene ap usna-ene

DEM[prox]-PL PCL house-LOC take-CONJ.PTCL go-CONJ.PTCL PCL boil-CONJ.PTCL an-m- $\Lambda$
bring-FUT-1pl
We will take them home, and after boiling them we will bring them back.
MR.04.018 ताहारबाद बुन्म।"
taharbad bun-m-
after_that plant-FUT-1pl
After that we will plant them."
MR.04.019 बुऱिड कहल्कि ते, "हबे, हबे, ठिक छे, ले।"

old_woman-NCLS say-PST-SA3 PCL be-FUT-3 be-FUT-3 alright be-PRES3 OK The old woman said (to him): "OK, OK, that's fine, alright."
MR.04.020 तने सुना दते बुऱा बुऱि बुनाल कचुला माटिखान से खुदिए खुदिए फेर निक्लाले।

PCL PCL both old_man old_woman plant-PST.PTCL [kacu]vegetable-PL soil-NCLS
se $\quad k^{h} u d-i e \quad k^{h} u d-i e \quad p^{h} e r \quad$ nikl-a-l-I
ABL dig-CONJ.PTCL dig-CONJ.PTCL again go_out-CAUS-PST-3
Then both the old man and the old woman dug up the planted [kacu]vegetables from the soil and took them out again.

MR.04.021 निक्लाएने सुना घर लेइ गेले।
nikl-a-ene suna $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$ le-i ge-l-I
go_out-CAUS-CONJ.PTCL PCL house take-CONJ.PTCL go-PST-3
They took them out and took them home.
MR.04.022 दस्रा दिन बुरा बुऱिला कचुला आच्छा से उस्नाइचे, एकदम उस्नाए तुस्नाए।
$\mathrm{d} \Lambda$ sra din bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{la}$ kлcu-la acc $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ se usna-ic-e
next day old_man old_woman-PL [kacu]vegetable-PL good ADVL boil-PERF-3
ekd $\wedge$ m usna-e tusna-e
very boil-CONJ.PTCL [echo]boil-CONJ.PTCL
The next day the old man and old woman boiled the [kacu]vegetables well, they really boiled them this way and that.

MR.04.023 आनिए बुनि दिछे।
an-ie bun-i d-ic ${ }^{\text {h }}$-e
bring-CONJ.PTCL plant-ABS AUX-PERF-3
(They) brought (them and then they) planted (them).
MR.04.024 कचुला बुनिए तुनिए बुऱा बुऱि घर चुलि गेल।
kлcu-la bun-ie tun-ie bur ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ bur $^{\text {hi }}$
[kacu]vegetable-PL plant-CONJ.PTCL [echo]plant-CONJ.PTCL old_man old_woman
$\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r} \quad$ cul-i ge-1
house AUX-ABS go-PST3
Having planted the [kacu]vegetables this way and that, the old man and old woman went home.
MR.04.025 तब खेटियाला सुना कि करिच्कि, राति घुरि आसिए, जेइठिना बुरा बुऱिला कचु बुनिए गिस्ले, हतिन से उला उस्नाल कचुला निक्लाए निक्लाए खाए गिच्कि।
$\mathrm{t} \wedge \mathrm{b} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la suna ki k kr -ic-ki rati $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}}$ uri as-ie
then jackal-PL PCL what do-PERF-SA3 night during come-CONJ.PTCL
jei-t ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ina bur ${ }^{\text {h }}$ a bur ${ }^{\text {hi-la }}$ kлcu bun-ie

REL[obl/ip]-place old_man old_woman-PL [kacu]vegetable plant-CONJ.PTCL
g-isl-I hıtin se u-la usna-al kлcu-la
go-REM.PST-3 there ABL DEM[rem]-PL boil-PST.PTCL [kacu]vegetable-PL
nikl-a-e nikl-a-e $\quad k^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-e g-ic-ki
go_out-CAUS-CONJ.PTCL go_out-CAUS-CONJ.PTCL eat-ABS AUX-PERF-SA3
Then what the jackals did is this: coming during the night, (from) where the old man and old woman had planted the [kacu]vegetables and left, from there they took out the boiled [kacu]vegetables and ate them up.
MR.04.026 उस्नाल उस्नाल कचुला निक्लाए निक्लाए खाए गिच्कि। आर खादिलात सुना हागिए हागिए राखि दिच्कि। usna-al usna-al kncu-la nikl-a-e boil-PST.PTCL boil-PST.PTCL [kacu]vegetable-PL go_out-CAUS-CONJ.PTCL nikl-a-e $\quad k^{\text {ha}}$ a-e g-ic-ki ar $k^{\text {hadi-la-t }}$ suna go_out-CAUS-CONJ.PTCL eat-ABS AUX-PERF-SA3 and hole-PL-LOC PCL hag-ie hag-ie rak $^{h}-\mathrm{i}$ d-ic-ki
defecate-CONJ.PTCL defecate-CONJ.PTCL put-ABS AUX-PERF-SA3
They took out the boiled [kacu]vegetables and ate them up. And in the holes they defecated.
MR.04.027 आर खादि खुदिएने अइठिना हागिए हागिए राखि दिच्कि।
ar $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ adi $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ud-iene $\quad \Lambda$ i-t ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ ina hag-ie
and hole dig-CONJ.PTCL DEM[rem/emph]-place defecate-CONJ.PTCL
hag-ie $\operatorname{rak}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i}$ d-ic-ki
defecate-CONJ.PTCL put-ABS AUX-PERF-SA3
And they dug hole(s) there and (really) defecated (right there).
MR.04.028 सुना बुऱा बुऱि कहचे ते, "आप कचुला उस्नाए बुनिचि, इचटि बड बड फल्बे।"
suna bur ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ bur ${ }^{\text {hi }}$ k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda-c-e$ te ap kлcu-la usna-e
PCL old_man old_woman say-PRES-3 PCL PCL [kacu]vegetable-PL boil-CONJ.PTCL
bun-ic-i i-cıṭi b
plant-PERF-1pl DEM[prox]-time large large grow-FUT-3
The old man and old woman said: "Now, we have boiled the [kacu]vegetables and planted them. This time the [kacu]vegetables will grow really big."

MR.04.029 "बड बड बठ्बे," कहेने आमा एकदम आस्रा जुरिए छे।

large large grow-FUT-3 say-CONJ.PTCL 3pl[pro] very hope lay_nets-CONJ.PTCL
$c^{\mathrm{h}}$-e
be-PRES3
(They said) "They will grow really big," and they really waited with high hopes.
MR.04.030 एक महिना हइ गेल, दुइ महिना हइ गेल, आप आझि, कालि, आप दिनला बित्ते चुलि जाछे।
ek m $\quad$ hina $\mathrm{h} \Lambda$ - i ge-1 dui m mhina $\mathrm{h} \Lambda$-i ge-1 ap $\mathrm{aj}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$
one month be-ABS AUX-PST3 two month be-ABS AUX-PST3 PCL today
$k^{\prime 2}{ }^{h} \mathrm{i}$ ap din-la bit-te cul-i ja-ch -e
tomorrow PCL day-PL pass-CONT.PTCL AUX-ABS go-PRES-3
One month went by, two months went by, today, tomorrow, the days kept passing by.
MR.04.031 केवा महिना हइ जाछे, आप ताहुँ नि कचु कुछु देखा जाछे।
kewa m^hina h $\wedge$-i ja-ch ap tahu ni kıcu kuc ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathbf{u}$
some month be-ABS AUX-PRES-3 PCL still NEG [kacu]vegetable some
$\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a} \quad$ ja-c ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
A few months passed, and still no [kacu]vegetable could be seen.
MR.04.032 कचुर पातारिए ना गेछे ना कुछु ना कुछु।
kıcu-r patari $=e$ na $\operatorname{gec}^{h}=e \quad$ na $\operatorname{kuc}^{h} u$ na $\operatorname{kuc}^{\text {h }} u$
[kacu]vegetable-GEN leaf $=$ EMPH NEG sprout $=$ EMPH NEG some NEG some No [kacu]vegetable's leaves, nor plants, absolutely nothing (could be seen).

MR.04.033 त बुऱा बुऱिला सुना सचेचे, "रे बाप, कचुला खोए ते सुना?
t $\Lambda$ bur ${ }^{\text {h }}$ bur ${ }^{\text {hi-la }}$ suna s sc-ec-e re bap kscu-la $k^{\text {h }}$ oe PCL old_man old_woman-PL PCL think-PRES-3 INJ INJ [kacu]vegetable-PL where te suna

PCL PCL
Then the old man and old woman thought: "Hey! Where are those [kacu]vegetables then?
MR.04.034 कचुला ते बुनिचि। खोए?
kscu-la te bun-ic-i $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ oe
[kacu]vegetable-PL PCL plant-PERF-1pl where
We have planted [kacu]vegetables. Where are they?
MR.04.035 कचुला त गाजेचे रेइ नि, कोधोए।
kıcu-la ts gaj-ec-e re=i(e) ni kod ${ }^{\text {hoe }}$
[kacu]vegetable-PL PCL sprout-PRES-3 be = EMPH NEG never
The [kacu]vegetables (just) never sprout up at all!
MR.04.036 उहुँ, नि होल।
m'm ni ho-l
INJ NEG be-PST3
No! This won't do!

MR.04.037 इला कचु कुछु हइचे, हपाए।"
i-la kıcu $k u c^{\text {h }} u$ h $\Lambda$-ic-e h -pae
DEM[prox]-PL [kacu]vegetable some be-PERF-3 perhaps
Something has perhaps happened to these [kacu]vegetables."
MR.04.038 ते सुना एकदिन करे, बुऱा बुऱि दते झनाए जाएने सुना खुदेचे।
te suna ek-din k $\wedge$ re bur $^{h} a \quad$ bur $^{h} \mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{d} \Lambda n^{h} e j^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda n a=e \quad$ ja-ene
PCL PCL one-day ADVL old_man old_woman both PCLS = EMPH go-CONJ.PTCL
suna $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ud}$-ec-e
PCL dig-PRES-3
So, one day, both the old man and the old woman came and dug.
MR.04.039 ते एकदम खेटियार गुला पेचेत पेचेत करे निक्लेच्कि।
te ekd $\wedge$ m k ${ }^{\text {heṭia-r gu-la pecet pecet knre nikl-ec-ki }}$
PCL very jackal-GEN stool-PL [pecet]sound [pecet]sound ADVL go_out-PRES-SA3
Then jackals' stools came out (of the soil) with a slopping sound.
MR.04.040 ते सुना बुऱा बुऱि कहचे, "हारे राम! इला, खेटियाला त हामाक ठग्ले!
te suna bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$ k ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~h}_{\boldsymbol{\prime}}$-c-e hare ram i-la $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la
PCL PCL old_man old_woman say-PRES-3 INJ Rām(god) DEM[prox]-PL jackal-PL
t $\Lambda$ hama-k $t^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge \mathrm{g}-1-\mathrm{I}$
PCL 1pl[pro]-DAT cheat-PST-3
The old man and old woman said: "Oh my god (lit. Hare Rām)! These jackals have cheated us!
MR.04.041 ए... इला त उस्नाए तुस्नाए कचु तचु बुनुवाए दिले हामाक, आर आसिएने कचुला खाए गिछे, हागिए हागिए राखि दिछे।
e: i-la ts usna-e tusna-e kncu
INJ DEM[prox]-PL PCL boil-CONJ.PTCL [echo]boil-CONJ.PTCL [kacu]vegetable
tлcu bun-(u)wa-e di-l-ı hama-k ar as-iene
[echo][kacu]vegetable plant-ICAUS-ABS AUX-PST-3 1pl[pro]-DAT and come-CONJ.PTCL
kлcu-la $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-e g-ic-e hag-ie hag-ie
[kacu]vegetable-PL eat-ABS AUX-PERF-3 defecate-CONJ.PTCL defecate-CONJ.PTCL
$\operatorname{rak}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{ic}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
put-ABS AUX-PERF-3
Eh! They really made us boil those [kacu]vegetables and (then) plant them, and (then) they have come and eaten the [kacu]vegetables and have defecated.
MR.04.042 इला, उस्नाल उस्नाल कचुला गटलाए खेटियाला खाए गिछे।
i-la usna-al usna-al kıcu-la $\quad$ g $\Lambda$ t-la $=\mathrm{e} \quad k^{\text {heṭia-la }}$
DEM[prox]-PL boil-PST.PTCL boil-PST.PTCL [kacu]vegetable-PL all-PL=EMPH jackal-PL
$k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{g}$-ic-e
eat-ABS AUX-PERF-3
The jackals have eaten all of these boiled [kacu]vegetables.
MR.04.043 इला, खादिलात हागिए हागिए राखि दिछे।"
i-la khadi-la-t hag-ie hag-ie rak $^{\mathrm{h}}$-i
DEM[prox]-PL hole-PL-LOC defecate-CONJ.PTCL defecate-CONJ.PTCL put-ABS
d-ic ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$-e
AUX-PERF-3
They have defecated in these holes."

MR.04.044 बुऱा बुऱिला पाता पाए गेल्कि खेटियालार चाल।
bur $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h} i-l a}$ pata pa-e ge-l-ki $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la-r cal old_man old_woman-PL knowledge get-ABS AUX-PST-SA3 jackal-PL-GEN trickery The old man and old woman found out (about) the trickery of the jackals.

MR.04.045 त बुऱा बुऱिलाक गेल्कि रिस उठिए।
$t_{\Lambda} \quad$ bur ${ }^{h}$ a bur ${ }^{h} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{la}-\mathrm{k}$ ge-l-ki ris $u t^{\mathrm{h}}$-ie
PCL old_man old_woman-PL-DAT AUX-PST-SA3 anger rise-ABS And the old man and old woman got furious.

MR.04.046 "सालार खेटियाला रे, हामाक ठग्लन?
sala-r $\quad k^{\text {h }}$ eṭia-la re hama-k $t^{\text {h }}{ }^{\prime} g-1-\wedge n$
y.brother_of_wife-GEN jackal-PL INJ 1pl[pro]-DAT cheat-PST-2pl
"Eh you damned jackals! Did you cheat us?
MR.04.047 आप थाम, याहाँर बदला लिए छरिम्कन," कहेने दत़े बुऱा बुऱ घर चुलि गेल।
ap $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}$ am- $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ yaha-r b $\Delta$ dla l-ie $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$-im-k $\Lambda-\mathrm{n}$
PCL wait-IMP2pl 3sg[pro/prox]-GEN revenge take-CONJ.PTCL let_go-FUT-SA2pl-1sg
kлh-ene $\quad d \wedge n^{h} e$ bur $^{h} a \quad$ bur $^{h^{h}} \quad g^{h} \Lambda r \quad$ cul-i $\quad$ ge-l
say-CONJ.PTCL both old_man old_woman house AUX-ABS go-PST3
Now (just you) wait. I will leave you alone only after having had (my) revenge for this!" Having said this both the old man and old woman went home.

MR.04.048 बुऱाड बुऱिडक कहल्कि, "ए, बुरि, आप बदला त लिबा लागे अप़ार सङे हामाक।"

old_man-NCLS old_woman-NCLS-DAT say-PST-SA3 INJ old_woman PCL revenge t $\Lambda$ li-ba lag-e $\quad \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-r $\quad$ s $\quad$ ye hama-k
PCL take-INF must-PRES3 3pl[pro]-GEN with $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]-D A T$
The old man said to the old woman, "Hey, old woman, we have to take revenge on them."
MR.04.049 "केनङ करे लिबो ते बदला?"
kenıy kıre li-b-o te bıdla
how ADVL take-FUT-2sg PCL revenge
"How will you take revenge then?"
MR.04.050 "ते एनङ करेक तुइ: तुइ खेत बारिखानेर ति जा, आर जाएने एकदम कानिस।
te en $\wedge$ k kır-ek tui tui $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ et bari-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an-er ti
PCL like_this do-IMP2sg 2 sg[pro] $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] field planting_plot-NCLS-GEN towards
ja- $\varnothing$ ar ja-ene ekd $\quad$ m kan-is
go-IMP2sg and go-CONJ.PTCL very cry-SUBJ2sg
"Well, you do this: go to the fields, and having gone (to the fields) cry really hard.
MR.04.050a त सुना खेटियाला जर हप्कु। गटे खेटियाला आसिप्कु ना?
t $\Lambda$ suna $k^{h}$ eṭia-la j $\Lambda r$ h $\Lambda-p-k u \quad g \Lambda t ̣ e ~ k^{h} e t ̣ i a-l a ~ a s-i p-k u ~$
PCL PCL jackal-PL gathering be-FUT-SA2sg all jackal-PL come-FUT-SA2sg na

PCL[TAG]
Then the jackals will gather (for you). All of the jackals will come (on your behalf), won't they?

MR.04.051 खेटियाला जर हले, कहिस्कि ते, "तोर दादोड मरि गेल।
$k^{h}$ eṭia-la j $\lambda^{2}$ h $\Lambda$-le k $\wedge$ h-is-ki te to-r
jackal-PL gathering be-COND.PTCL say-SUBJ-SA3 PCL 2sg[obl]-GEN
dado-ḍ $\quad \mathrm{m} \wedge$ r-i ge-1
grandfather-NCLS die-ABS AUX-PST3
If the jackals come together say (to them): 'Your grandfather has died.
MR. 04.052 ताहाते कानेचु।"
tahate kan-ec-u
therefore cry-PRES-1sg
That's why I am crying."
MR.04.053 "त गटलाके सुना भोज खाबार ताने घरत लिए आनिस।"
t $\Lambda \quad$ g $\Lambda$ t-la-k $=\mathrm{e} \quad$ suna $b^{h}{ }^{\mathrm{oj}} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ba}-\mathrm{r} \quad$ tane $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda r-\Lambda \mathrm{t} \quad$ l-ie
PCL all-PL-DAT $=$ EMPH PCL feast eat-INF-GEN for house-LOC take-CONJ.PTCL
an-is
bring-SUBJ2sg
(Then) bring every one of them home to eat a feast."
MR.04.054 बोले, "हबे।"
bo-1-I h $\quad$-b-I
say-PST-3 be-FUT-3
She said, "OK."
MR.04.055 त बुऱिड सुना जाएने खेतखानेरति कानेचे, एकदम उँ उँ करे।
t $\Lambda$ bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-\underset{\alpha}{ } \Lambda \quad$ suna ja-ene $k^{\mathrm{h}}$ et-k $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ an-er-ti kan-ec-e
PCL old_woman-NCLS PCL go-CONJ.PTCL field-NCLS-GEN-towards cry-PRES-3
ekd $\wedge \mathrm{m}$ ũ ũ kıre
very uh uh ADVL
(So) the old woman went to the field and cried, really (cried) like: "Uh, uh".
MR.04.056 बुऱिडक कान्बा सुनिएने गटे खेटियाला सुना, "आरे, इड त हामार दादिर लाखाति लागेचे, कान्बार लाखाति।
bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d}_{\Lambda}-\mathrm{k} \quad$ kan-ba sun-iene $\quad \mathrm{g} \Lambda \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la suna are
old_woman-NCLS-DAT cry-INF hear-CONJ.PTCL all jackal-PL PCL INJ
i-ḍ $\Lambda$ t $\Lambda$ hama-r dadi-r lak ${ }^{\text {hati lag-ec-e kan-ba-r }}$
DEM[prox]-NCLS PCL 1pl[pro]-GEN grandmother-GEN like feel-PRES-3 cry-INF-GEN lak ${ }^{\text {hati }}$
like
Hearing the old woman crying all of the jackals (said), "Alas! That (sounds) like our grandmother, as if (she is) crying."

MR.04.057 कियाँ कानेचे ते?" कहेने गटे खेटियाला सुना जर हएने कहच्कि, "दादि गे दादि, कियाँ कानेचिस गे? कियाँ सुना कानेचिस?"

why cry-PRES-3 PCL say-CONJ.PTCL all jackal-PL PCL gathering be-CONJ.PTCL
k^h $\Lambda$-c-ki dadi ge dadi kiã kan-ec-is ge kiã say-PRES-SA3 grandmother VOC[fem] grandmother why cry-PRES-2sg VOC[fem] why suna kan-ec-is
PCL cry-PRES-2sg
Wondering "Why is she crying?" all of the jackals gathered together and said (to her),
"Grandmother, oh grandmother, why are you crying? Why are you crying?"
MR.04.058 "आरे, कि कर्बन रे?
are ki k $\wedge$ r-b-ın re
INJ what do-FUT-2pl INJ
"Alas! What will you do?
MR.04.059 तमार दादोड मरि गेल रे। ताहाते कानेचु।
t $\wedge m^{h}$ a-r dado-ḍ $\Lambda$ m $\wedge$-i ge-l re tahate kan-ec-u
2pl[pro]-GEN grandfather-NCLS die-ABS AUX-PST3 INJ therefore cry-PRES-1sg Your grandfather has died! That's why I am crying.
MR.04.060 तमार दादोड आरह नि छे इड संसारत, मरि गेल।"
t $\Lambda m^{\text {ha }}$ a-r dado-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ arh $\Lambda ~ n i ~ c^{h}-e \quad$ i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ s $n n s a r-\Lambda t$
2pl[pro]-GEN grandfather-NCLS more NEG be-PRES3 DEM[prox]-NCLS world-LOC
mar-i ge-l
die-ABS AUX-PST3
Your grandfather is no longer in this world. He has died."
MR.04.061 "हारे भगवान, हए?"
hare $b^{\mathrm{h}}{ }_{\wedge}$ gwan $\mathrm{h} \Lambda-\mathrm{e}$
INJ god be-PRES3
"Oh my god! Is that so?!"
MR.04.062 "अइ त, अइताने कानेचु।
$i$ t $\Lambda$ si-tane kan-ec-u
INJ PCL DEM[rem/emph]-for cry-PRES-1sg
"Yes, that is why I am crying.
MR.04.063 चल। आप कि कर्बन? बुऱाड मरि गिल्कन, आप जाएने भोज क्रिया कर्बन ना?"
 go-IMP2pl PCL what do-FUT-2pl old_man-NCLS die-ABS AUX-PST-SA2pl PCL
ja-ene $\quad b^{\text {h }}$ oj kriya kлr-b-лn na
go-CONJ.PTCL feast funeral do-FUT-2pl PCL[TAG]
Come on. Now what will you do? Your grandfather has died. You will go and eat a funeral
feast, won't you?"
MR.04.064 "ह," बोले।
h $\Lambda$ bo-l-I
OK say-PST-3
"OK" they said.
MR.04.065 "जाबा लागे त।
ja-ba lag-e ts
go-INF should-PRES3 PCL
"(We) should go then.

MR.04.066 चल, चल, चल!"
слl- $\quad$ c $\Lambda l-\Lambda \quad c \Lambda l-\Lambda$
go-IMP2pl go-IMP2pl go-IMP2pl
Come on, come on, come on!"
MR.04.067 गटे खेटियाला मिलिएने बुरिडर सङे गेले।
g $\Lambda$ ṭe $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la mil-iene bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda$-r $\quad$ s
all jackal-PL unite-CONJ.PTCL old_woman-NCLS-GEN with go-PST-3
All the jackals went together with the old woman.
MR.04.068 बुरिड गटे खेटियालाक घर लिए आनिल।

old_woman-NCLS all jackal-PL-DAT house take-CONJ.PTCL bring-PST3
The old woman took all of the jackals home.
MR.04.069 आनिएने एकठिना बठ्बा दिच्कि।
an-iene ek-t $t^{\text {hina }} \mathrm{b} \Lambda t^{\mathrm{h}}$-ba d-ic-ki
bring-CONJ.PTCL one-place sit-INF let-PERF-SA3
She brought the jackals and let them sit down in one place.
MR.04.070 सुना आनिएने, "ताहें ताम़ा बठन, मुइ अइ आरकि एकछिन कानेचु" कहेने बुऱिड कान्बा धर्ले।
suna an-iene tahe $\operatorname{tam}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{b} \Lambda t^{\mathrm{h}}-\Lambda \mathrm{n}$ mui $\quad$ i arki $\mathrm{ekc}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{in}$
PCL bring-CONJ.PTCL while $2 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] sit-SUBJ2pl 1sg[pro] INJ PCL one_moment
kan-ec-u kлh-ene bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda \Lambda \quad$ kan-ba $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{l}-\mathrm{I}$
cry-PRES-1sg say-CONJ.PTCL old_woman-NCLS cry-INF begin-PST-3
She brought them, and saying: "While you sit I will cry for a moment," the old woman began to cry.

## MR.04.071 ते बुराड हिदर नुकाएने एकखान लेदङ लिएने भिनड घरत छिकि।

te bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$-ḍ $\Lambda$ hid $\wedge r$ nuka-ene ek-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an led $\wedge \mathrm{y}$ l-iene
PCL old_man-NCLS here hide-CONJ.PTCL one-NCLS stick take-CONJ.PTCL
$b^{\mathrm{h}}$ in- ${ }^{2} \Lambda \quad g^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda r-\Lambda \mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{ki}$
seperate-NCLS house-LOC be-PRES-SA3
The old man, having hidden over here and taken a stick, was in another house.
MR.04.072 ताहें हिदर बुऱिड खेटियालाक बठ्बा दिले।
tahe hid $\Lambda \mathrm{r}$ bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la-k b $\Lambda^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{h}}$-ba di-l-I
while over_here old_woman-NCLS jackal-PL-DAT sit-INF let-PST-3
In the meantime the old woman let the jackals sit down over here.
MR.04.073 ताहारबाद सुना बुऱिड आरकि कानन उठाले।
taharbad suna bur ${ }^{\text {hi}}-d_{\Lambda} \quad$ arki kan- $\Lambda n \quad u t^{h}-a-1-1$
after_that PCL old_woman-NCLS PCL cry-DVBL rise-CAUS-PST-3
After that the old woman started crying ('raised her voice').
MR.04.074 आप कानेचे, एनङ करे कानेचे बुरिड, "बुऱा रे बुरा, लेदङ धरिए दउर, बुऱा। बुऱा रे बुऱा, लेदङ धरिए दउर, बुऱा।"
ap kan-ec-e en $\wedge y$ kıre kan-ec-e bur ${ }^{\text {hi-d }} \wedge$ bur ${ }^{h}$ a re bur ${ }^{h}$ a
PCL cry-PRES-3 like_this ADVL cry-PRES-3 old_woman-NCLS old_man INJ old_man

stick hold-CONJ.PTCL run-IMP2sg old_man old_man INJ old_man stick
$\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \Delta \mathrm{r}$-ie $\quad$ d $\wedge$ ur- $\varnothing \quad$ bur $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$
hold-CONJ.PTCL run-IMP2sg old_man
And she cried, the old woman cried in this way: "Eh old man, eh old man, bring a stick and run; bring a stick and run, old man."
MR.04.075 बुऱाडर पाल। बुऱिड अनङति करे कान्बा सुनिए एकखान बड लेदङन लिएने खेटियालाक ढिप ढिप ढिप करे मार्चे एकदम।

old_man-NCLS-GEN turn old_woman-NCLS like_that ADVL cry-INF hear-CONJ.PTCL

one-NCLS large stick take-CONJ.PTCL jackal-PL-DAT [ $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ip}$ ]sound [ $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ip}$ ]sound
ḍ $^{\text {hip }}$ kıre mar-c-e ekd $\wedge \mathrm{m}$
[d ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ip}$ ]sound ADVL beat-PRES-3 very
Now it was the old man's turn. Hearing the old woman crying in this manner, he took a large stick and really beat the jackals with a whack whack whack sound.

MR.04.076 ए, काहाँर मुथाते लागेचे, काहाँर ठेङ.ते लागिचे, काहाँर कम्मरखाने भाडि. गिछे।
e: kaha-r
$m u t^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{e}$
lag-ec-e
kaha-r
$t^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{\eta}-\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{e}$

INJ someone-GEN head-LOC $=$ EMPH apply_to-PRES-3 someone-GEN leg-LOC $=\mathrm{EMPH}$ lag-ic-e kaha-r kлmm $\wedge r-k^{h} a n=e \quad b^{h} a \eta-i \quad$ g-ic-e apply_to-PERF-3 someone-GEN waist-NCLS $=$ EMPH break-ABS AUX-PERF-3 Oh, someone's head was hit, someone's leg was hit, someone's lower back was broken.

MR.04.077 एकदम अकुन्डा खेटिया एकदम छोए काटिए भागेचे।
ekd $\Lambda m \wedge k u n d ̣ a \quad k^{h}$ eṭia ekd $\Lambda m c^{\text {h }}$ oe kat-ie $\quad b^{\text {hag-ec-e }}$
very different jackal very shadow? cut-CONJ.PTCL escape-PRES-3
Every single one of the jackals escaped head over tails in every direction.
MR.04.078 "बाप रे बाप! बुऱा तुऱा त मरे तरे नि, खालि हामाक मार खिल्बार ताने इड बुऱ आनिस्ले।
bap re bap bur tur ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ t t m $\wedge$ r-e tar-e ni
INJ INJ INJ old_man [echo]old_man PCL die-PST.HAB3 [echo]die-PST.HAB3 NEG

only $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{DAT}$ beating feed-INF-GEN for DEM[prox]-NCLS old_woman
an-isl-I
bring-REM.PST-3
"Oh! The old man (impolite) hasn't died at all, the old woman only brought us to give us a beating.

MR.04.080 सालार बुऱ रे!
sala-r bur ${ }^{h_{i}}$ re
y.brother_of_wife-GEN old_woman INJ

Damned old woman!"
MR.04.081a हेत, भाग, भाग" कहेने ए एकदम काहँ घेस्केटिते घेस्केटिते भागेचे, काहाँर एकदम कम्मरखान भाङि गिच्कि, "अइया, अइया, अइया" कहते कहते एकदम भागि गेल।
het $b^{h} a g-\Lambda \quad b^{h} a g-\Lambda \quad$ k $\Lambda h-e n e \quad e: ~ e k d \Lambda m ~ k a h \tilde{\Lambda}$
INJ escape-IMP2pl escape-IMP2pl say-CONJ.PTCL INJ very someone
g $^{\text {h}}$ eskeți-te $\quad g^{\text {heskeṭi-te }} \quad b^{\text {h }}$ ag-ec-e kaha-r $\quad$ ekd $\wedge m$
crawl-CONT.PTCL crawl-CONT.PTCL escape-PRES-3 someone-GEN very

waist-NCLS break-ABS AUX-PERF-SA3 Ouch! Ouch! Ouch! say-CONT.PTCL
kлhл-te ekd $\wedge m b^{\text {h }}$ ag-i ge-l
say-CONT.PTCL very escape-ABS AUX-PST3
Saying "Uh! Let's get out of here, let's get out of here," eh, some of them fled while crawling, some of their lower backs were broken, while saying "ouch, ouch, ouch" they really fled.

MR.04.081b गटे खेटियाला सुना भागि गेल।
gsṭe $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la suna $\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ag-i ge-l
all jackal-PL PCL escape-ABS AUX-PST3
All of the jackals fled.
MR.04.082 त खेत बारिखानेर ति सुना जाएने कहचे, "सालार बुरा बुऱि रे!
$\mathrm{t}_{\Lambda} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ et bari-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an-er
ti suna ja-ene
kлhл-c-e

PCL field planting_plot-NCLS-GEN towards PCL go-CONJ.PTCL say-PRES-3
sala-r bur ${ }^{h^{h}}$ bur ${ }^{h_{i}}$ re
y.brother_of_wife-GEN old_man old_woman INJ

Then going to the fields they said, "Damned old man and woman!
MR.04.083 बुराड मरेकि तरेकि नि!

old_man-NCLS die-(PST.HAB)SA3 [echo]die-(PST.HAB)SA3 NEG
(Her) old man hasn't died at all!
MR.04.084 उला हामाक खालि डिकन खिल्बार ताने, मार खिल्बार ताने।
u-la hama-k $k^{\text {hali }}$ ḍik- $n$ n $k^{\text {hill-ba-r }}$ tane mar
DEM[rem]-PL 1pl[pro]-DAT only beat_up-DVBL feed-INF-GEN for beating
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{il}$-ba-r tane
feed-INF-GEN for
They only (brought) us to give us a thrashing, just for a beating.
MR.04.085 बुऱा बुऱिलार बुद्धि! थाम् रे बुऱि! हामाक झुक्यालो, हामाक ठग्लो?
bur $^{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{a}}}$ bur ${ }^{\text {hi-la-r }}$ budd ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}$ tham- $\varnothing$ re bur ${ }^{\text {h}} \mathrm{i}$ hama-k
old_man old_woman-PL-GEN wisdom wait-IMP2sg VOC old_woman 1pl[pro]-DAT
$j^{\text {h }}$ ukya-l-o hama-k $t^{\text {h }} \wedge$ g-l-o
cheat-PST-2sg $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{DAT}$ cheat-PST-2sg
The wisdom of the old man and old woman! (Just) you wait, old woman. Did you cheat us, did you cheat us?

MR.04.086 थाम् आप!"
$\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{am}-\varnothing \quad$ ap
wait-IMP2sg PCL
Just you wait!"
MR.04.087 त सुना गटे खेटियाला मार तार खाएने आप्ना आप्नि चुलि गेल।
t $\Lambda$ suna g $\Lambda$ te $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la mar tar $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-ene apna apni
PCL PCL all jackal-PL beating [echo]beating eat-CONJ.PTCL REFL[pro] REFL[pro]
cul-i ge-1
AUX-ABS go-PST3
Then all the jackals, having taken a real beating, went to their own places.

MR.04.088 त सुना केवा महिनाबाद बुऱिड सुना एकदिन करे आप्नार बेटिर घर जाछे, अइमाखाए, खेतेर माखाए।
tı suna kewa mıhina-bad bur ${ }^{\text {hi-d }} \Lambda$ suna ek-din k $\wedge$ re apna-r PCL PCL some month-after old_woman-NCLS PCL one-day ADVL REFL[pro]-GEN
 daughter-GEN house go-PRES-3 DEM[rem/emph]-via field-GEN via Then one day, after a few months, the old woman went to her daughter's place, that way, through the fields.
MR.04.089 ते गटे खेटियाला देखि फेकाल्कि।
te g $\Lambda$ ṭe $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eka-l-ki
PCL all jackal-PL see-ABS AUX-PST-SA3
Then all of the jackals saw (her anyway).
MR.04.090 "अइ अइ अइ, बुऱिड बुरिड बुऱिड रे!"

INJ INJ INJ old_woman-NCLS old_woman-NCLS old_woman-NCLS INJ
"Hey, hey, hey (look): the old woman, the old woman, the old woman!"
MR.04.091 "हए त!"
hn-e tı
be-PRES3 PCL
"Yes, so it is!"
MR.04.092 "अइड बुरि, अइ हामाक ते मार खिलाइस्ले, अइ याहाँए।
$\Lambda \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda \quad$ bur ${ }^{\text {hi }} \quad \Lambda \mathrm{i}$ hama-k te mar $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hila-isl-I }}$
DEM[rem/emph]-NCLS old_woman INJ $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]-D A T$ PCL beating feed-REM.PST-3
ıi $y a h a=e$
INJ 3sg[pro/prox] = EMPH
"That old woman, that one who gave us a beating, that one exactly.
MR.04.093 याहाँक आझि छर्बा नि लागे।
yaha-k $\quad \mathrm{aj}^{{ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{ba} \quad$ ni lag-e
DEM[pro/prox]-DAT today spare-INF NEG should-PRES3
We shouldn't spare her today.
MR.04.094 याहाँक आझि मार्बा लागे, खाबा लागे।"
yaha-k $\quad a j^{h^{h}} \quad$ mar-ba lag-e $\quad k^{h} a-b a \quad$ lag-e
DEM[pro/prox]-DAT today kill-INF should-PRES3 eat-INF should-PRES3
(We) should kill her today, (we) should eat her."
MR.04.095 गटे खेटियाला उड बुऱिडक लिल्कि घेरिए, "हँ बुऱि, आप कुत़ा जाबो?
g $\Lambda$ ṭe $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda-\mathrm{k} \quad$ l-il-ki
all jackal-PL DEM[rem]-NCLS old_woman-NCLS-DAT AUX-PST-SA3
$g^{\mathrm{h}}$ er-ie $\quad \mathrm{h} \tilde{\Lambda} \quad$ bur $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i} \quad$ ap kun $^{\mathrm{h}}$ a ja-b-o
surround-CONJ.PTCL INJ old_woman PCL where go-FUT-2sg
All of the jackals surrounded the old woman (and said), "Hey, old woman, where will you go?
MR.04.096 अँ ताहें बुऱाड मरि गिच्कु कहेने हामाक केनङति मार खिलाइस्लो!
$\tilde{\Lambda}$ tahe bur ${ }^{\text {ha-ḍ } \Lambda ~ m \wedge r-i ~ g-i c-k u ~ k ~} \lambda$ h -ene hama-k
INJ while old_man-NCLS die-ABS AUX-PERF-SA2sg say-CONJ.PTCL 1pl[pro]-DAT
kenenti mar $\mathrm{k}^{\text {hila-isl-o }}$
how beating feed-REM.PST-2sg
Eh, when you said, "(Your) old man has died", what a beating you gave us!
MR.04.097 आप त तोक नि छर्म, है!
ap t $\Lambda$ to-k ni $c^{\text {h }} \Lambda r-m-\Lambda \quad h \tilde{\Lambda}$
PCL PCL 2sg[obl]-DAT NEG spare-FUT-1pl INJ
Now we are not going to leave you, no!
MR. 04.098 आप त हामा तोक खाम।"
ap ts hama to-k $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}-\Lambda$
PCL PCL 1 pl[pro] 2sg[obl]-DAT eat-FUT-1pl
Now we are going to eat you."
MR.04.099 बुऱिड सुना कहचे, "हारे राम! हए त!
bur'i-ḍ $\Lambda$ suna k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda$-c-e hare ram h $\lambda$-e $t_{\Lambda}$
old_woman-NCLS PCL say-PRES-3 INJ Rām(god) be-PRES3 PCL
The old woman said, "Oh my god (lit. Hare Rām)! That's right!
MR.04.100 आप त मोक आम़ा नि छर्बे।

PCL PCL 1sg[obl]-DAT 3pl[pro] NEG spare-FUT-3
Now they will not spare me.
MR.04.101 आमा त मोक आप खाबे।
$a^{h}{ }^{\text {ha }}$ ts mo-k ap kab-r
3pl[pro] PCL $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-D A T$ PCL eat-FUT-3
They will eat me now."
MR.04.102 आप केनङ करे बचिम ते?" कहेने बुऱिड सुना कहच्कि खेटियालाक, "देख! आल़ा मुइ जाद्धु बेटिर घर।
ap kenıy ksre bıc-im te kıh-ene bur ${ }^{\text {hi-ḍ }}$, suna PCL how ADVL save-FUT1sg PCL say-CONJ.PTCL old_woman-NCLS PCL
 say-PRES-SA3 jackal-PL-DAT look-IMP2pl now $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ go-PRES-1sg daughter-GEN $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$
house
Thinking, "Now how will I escape?" the old woman said to the jackals, "Look, now I am going to (my) daughter's house.
MR.04.103 आप आल़ा मोर दाहाड देख नि, सुकाए एकदम केन्ति काटा!
ap al ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ mo-r daha-ḍ $\Lambda \quad \operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\Lambda$ ni suk-a-e ekd $\mu \mathrm{m}$ PCL now 1sg[obl]-GEN body-NCLS look-IMP2pl PCL dry-CAUS-CONJ.PTCL very kenti kaṭa
how bone
Now look at my body, being all dried up (it is) just bones!
MR.04.104 आल़ा खाबन ते कि माजा पाबन?
$a^{\text {h }}{ }^{\text {a }} k^{\text {ha }} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}-\wedge \mathrm{n}$ te ki maja pa-b-лn now eat-FUT-2pl PCL what taste get-FUT-2pl If you eat (me) now, what taste will you get?

MR.04.105 खालि गटे दाहाडत हड्डिए हड्डि छे।

only all body-NCLS-LOC bone = EMPH bone be-PRES3
(My) whole body is just bones.
MR.04.106 मासु तासु त कुछु छेइए नि।
masu tasu ts kuc $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u} \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}=\mathrm{ie} \quad$ ni
meat [echo]meat PCL some be-PRES3 $=$ EMPH NEG
There is absolutely no meat or such (to eat).
MR.04.107 आल़ जाछु बेटिर घर।
al $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ ja-ch ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{u}$ beṭi-r $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$
now go-PRES-1sg daughter-GEN house
Now I am going to my daughter's house.
MR.04.108 आप बेटिर घर एक महिना रहम, दहि दुऩि खाम, माच मासु खाम, कनेक दासा लाग्बे, कतकत हबे दाहाड।

PCL daughter-GEN house one month live-FUT1sg curd milk eat-FUT1sg fish
masu k ${ }^{\text {ha-m knek dasa lag-b-I k } \lambda t k \Lambda t ~ h \Lambda-b-i ~}$
meat eat-FUT1sg a_little body_substance apply_to-FUT3sg chubby be-FUT3sg
daha-ḍ $\Lambda$
body-NCLS
I will stay at my daugther's house for one month, I will eat curd and milk, I will eat fish and meat. I will gain a little weight, (my) body will become chubby.

MR.04.109 तने आसिम।
tnne as-im
PCL come-FUT1sg
Then I will come.
MR.04.110 ते सुना उखुना खाअन।
te suna $u-k^{h} u n a \quad k^{h} a-\Lambda n$
PCL PCL DEM[rem]-time eat-SUBJ2pl
You may eat me then.
MR.04.111 आल़ा सुना खाएने किड माजा पाबन आरह, एन्ति हड्डिए हड्डि?"
al $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ suna $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$-ene ki-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ maja pa-b- $\wedge \mathrm{n}$ arh $\Lambda$ enti h $\quad$ ḍḍi $=\mathrm{e}$
now PCL eat-CONJ.PTCL what-NCLS taste get-FUT-2pl more like_this bone $=\mathrm{EMPH}$ hıḍ̣̣i
bone
Eating me now what taste would you get, eh? (Just) bones like this."
MR.04.112 ते गटे खेटियाला सचिएने देख्ले,
te g $\Lambda$ ṭe $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la s sc-iene $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-1-\mathrm{I}$
PCL all jackal-PL think-CONJ.PTCL look-PST-3
All of the jackals thought,
MR.04.113 "ठिके हए।
$t^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ik}=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{h} \Lambda-\mathrm{e}$
alright $=$ EMPH be-PRES3
"That's right.

MR.04.114 आला खाले इड बुऱिक, अँह अँह, माजा नि आस्बे।
al $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$-le $\quad$ i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{k} \quad$ m'm maja ni as-b-I now eat-COND.PTCL DEM[prox]-NCLS old_woman-DAT INJ taste NEG come-FUT-3 If we eat this old woman now, no, there won't be any taste.

MR.04.115 आला त गटे दाहाड हड्डिए हड्डि छिकि, सुक्टि बुऱिड।
 now PCL all body-NCLS bone=EMPH bone be-PRES-SA3 skinny old_woman-NCLS Now the whole of (her) body is (just) bones, the skinny old woman.

## MR.04.116 जाओक।

ja-ok
go-SUBJ3
May she go!
MR.04.117 छरि दि याहाँक।
$\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{i}$ d-i yaha-k
let_go-ABS AUX-SUBJ1pl DEM[pro/prox]-DAT
Let's let her go.
MR.04.118 बेटिर घर जाओक।
beṭi-r $\quad g^{h} \Lambda r \quad j a-o k$
daughter-GEN house go-SUBJ3
May she go to (her) daughter's house.
MR.04.119 एक महिना रहेने मट हए आसोक।
ek mıhina rıh-ene mıṭィ h $\wedge$-e as-ok
one month live-CONJ.PTCL fat be-CONJ.PTCL come-SUBJ3
May she stay there for one month, become fat (and then) come.
MR.04.120 ताहारबाद याहाँक खाम।
taharbad yaha-k $\quad k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}-\Lambda$
after_that DEM[pro/prox]-DAT eat-FUT-1pl
After that we will eat her.
MR.04.121 तने खाबार माजा आस्बे।"
tıne $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$-ba-r maja as-b-I
then eat-INF-GEN taste come-FUT-3
Then she will be tasty."
MR.04.122 ते सुना कहल्कि, "ले, ले, ते, ले, जा!
te suna k $\wedge$ h $\wedge-1-k i \quad$ le le te le ja- $\varnothing$
PCL PCL say-PST-SA3 OK OK PCL OK go-IMP2sg
Then they said (to her), "OK, OK, OK go!
MR.04.123 आस्बार खुना फेर एइमाखाए आसिस।"
as-ba-r $\quad k^{h} u n a \quad p^{h} e r \quad$ ei-mak ${ }^{h}$ ae as-is
come-INF-GEN during again DEM[prox/emph]-via come-SUBJ2sg
When it is time to come, come this way again."

MR.04.124 "हँ, हबे हबे" कहे बुरिड कहल्कि।

INJ be-FUT-3 be-FUT-3 say-CONJ.PTCL old_woman-NCLS say-PST-SA3
"Yes, OK, OK" the old woman said (to them).
MR.04.125 ते सुना खेटियाला दिल्कि बुरिडक छरिए।
te suna $k^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la d-il-ki bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda-\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$-ie
PCL PCL jackal-PL AUX-PST-SA3 old_woman-NCLS-DAT let_go-CONJ.PTCL Then the jackals let the old woman go.

MR.04.126 बुरिड चुलि गेले आप्नार बेटिर घर।
bur $^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d}_{\Lambda}$ cul-i ge-l-I apna-r beṭi-r $\quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$
old_woman-NCLS AUX-ABS go-PST-3 REFL[pro]-GEN daughter-GEN house The old woman went to her daughter's house.
MR.04.127 बेटिर घर एक महिना रहले, खाले। एकदम घिउँ, मासु, माच, दहि दुत़ि खाएने बुऱिडर दाहाड कतकत हल्कि।
 daughter-GEN house one month live-PST-3 eat-PST-3 very ghee meat fish
 curd milk eat-CONJ.PTCL old_woman-NCLS-GEN body-NCLS chubby be-PST-SA3 She stayed at her daughter's house for one month. She ate. Eating lots of ghee, meat, fish, curd and milk the old woman's body became chubby.

MR.04.128 एक महिना रहेने एक दिन बुऱिड बेटिडक कहच्कि, "बेटि, आप मुइ जाम घर।"
ek mshina rıh-ene
ek din bur ${ }^{\text {hi }} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d}_{\Lambda}$
beṭi-ḍ $\Lambda-\mathrm{k}$
one month live-CONJ.PTCL one day old_woman-NCLS daughter-NCLS-DAT
k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda$-c-ki beṭi ap mui ja-m $g^{\text {h }} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$
say-PRES-SA3 daughter PCL 1sg[pro] go-FUT1sg house
After staying for one month, one day the old woman said to the daugther, "Daughter, I will go home now."

MR.04.129 ते बेटिर घर से बुऱिड बिदाबारि हएने आरकि आप्नार घरेर ति सुना आसेचे।
te beṭi-r $\quad g^{h} \Lambda r$ se bur ${ }^{\text {hi-d }} \Lambda \quad$ bidabari h $\Lambda$-ene arki
PCL daughter-GEN house ABL old_woman-NCLS dismissal be-CONJ.PTCL PCL apna-r $\quad g^{h} \Lambda r-e r \quad$ ti suna as-ec-e
REFL[pro]-GEN house-GEN towards PCL come-PRES-3
Then the old woman, leaving her daughter's house, came towards her own house.
MR.04.130 सुना उहाँक थाहा छिल्कि कि, "हिमाखाए जाले त मोक खेटियाला छर्बे नि, खाए जाबे।"
suna uhã-k $\quad \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}$ aha $\quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-il-ki ki hi-mak ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ ae
PCL 3sg[pro]-DAT knowledge(Nepali) be-PST-SA3 SUBORD here-via

go-COND.PTCL PCL 1sg[obl]-DAT jackal-PL spare-FUT-3 NEG eat-ABS AUX-FUT-3
She knew that, "If I go via this way the jackals will not spare me, they would eat me up."
MR.04.131 त उदिन करे सुना दस्रा दामालेर माखाए बुरिड आरकि नुकाएने आसेचे।
tı u-din kıre suna d $\Lambda$ sra damal-er mak $^{\mathrm{h}}$ ae bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$-d $\Lambda \quad$ arki
PCL DEM[rem]-day ADVL PCL next track-GEN via old_woman-NCLS PCL
nuka-ene as-ec-e
hide-CONJ.PTCL come-PRES-3
So, that day the old woman came via another path, hiding.
MR.04.132 ते फेर सुना एकटा खेटिया देखि फेकाल्कि।
te $p^{h}$ er suna ek-ṭa $\quad k^{h}$ eṭia $\operatorname{dek}^{h}-i \quad p^{h}$ eka-l-ki
PCL again PCL one-NCLS jackal see-ABS AUX-PST-SA3
But one jackal saw (her) again (anyway).
MR.04.133 त उहाँ सुना गटे खेटियालाक डाकाएने लिए आनिल्कि।
t $\Lambda$ uhã suna g $\Lambda$ ṭe arh $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la-k daka-ene l-ie
PCL 3sg[pro] PCL all other jackal-PL-DAT call-CONJ.PTCL take-CONJ.PTCL
an-il-ki
bring-PST-SA3
And calling the other jackals, he brought them (over).
MR.04.134 आनिएने कहच्कि, "ए, बुरिड हुमाखाए भागेच्कन, भागेच्कन, भागेच्कन।"


MR.04.135 गटे खेटियाला जाएने फेर बुऱिडक घेरि लिल्कि।
g $\Lambda$ te k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la ja-ene $\quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ er bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda-\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}}$ er-i $\quad$ l-il-ki
all jackal-PL go-CONJ.PTCL again old_woman-NCLS-DAT surround-ABS AUX-PST-SA3
All the jackals went and once again surrounded the old woman.
MR.04.136 "ए बुरि, तुइ कुमाखाए भागिस्लो?
e: bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$ tui kun-mak $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ae} \quad \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ag-isl-o
INJ old_woman $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] which-direction escape-REM.PST-2sg
"Eh, old woman, what way were you escaping?
MR.04.137 आप तोक त नि छर्म हामा।
ap to-k ts ni $c^{h} \Lambda r-m-\Lambda$ hama
PCL 2sg[obl]-DAT PCL NEG spare-FUT-1pl 1pl[pro]
Now we will not spare you!
MR.04.138 हँ, भागेचिस?
$h \tilde{\Lambda} \quad b^{h}$ ag-ec-is
INJ escape-PRES-2sg
So, you are running away?
MR.04.139 कुऩा छर्म?
$\operatorname{kun}^{h}{ }^{h} c^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda r-\mathrm{m}-\Lambda$
where spare-FUT-1pl
Why should we spare you?

MR.04.140 आप त हामा तोक खाम, मट हए आसिचिस त, हँ।"
ap t $\Lambda$ hama to-k $k^{\mathrm{h} a-m-\Lambda ~ m ~} \Lambda$ ṭ $\Lambda$ h $\Lambda-\mathrm{e}$ as-ic-is
PCL PCL $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}] 2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-D A T$ eat-FUT-1pl fat be-CONJ.PTCL come-PERF-2sg t $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{h} \tilde{\Lambda}$

PCL INJ
Now we will eat you; you have come after being fattened up, yes."
MR.04.141 फेर सुना बुरिड सचेचे, "आप त आमा मोक खाए जाबे।

again PCL old_woman-NCLS think-PRES-3 PCL PCL 3pl[pro] 1sg[obl]-DAT eat-ABS ja-b-I
AUX-FUT-3
Again the old woman thought, "Now they will eat me up.
MR.04.142 आप केनङ करे बचाम आप्नाक ते?
ap kenıy kлre bлc-a-m apna-k te
PCL how ADVL save-CAUS-FUT1sg REFL[pro]-DAT PCL
Now how will/can I save myself?
MR.04.143 एहे! आप कुछु उपाए लाग्बा हबे, कनेक सच्बा हबे, बुद्धि लाग्बा हबे।"

INJ PCL some way apply_to-INF must-FUT-3 a_little think-INF must-FUT-3 wisdom lag-ba hs-b-I
apply_to-INF must-FUT-3
No! There must be some way, I must think a little, I must use (my) intelligence."
MR.04.144 सुना कहच्कि बुरिड, "ए खेटियाला, सुन! आखरित ताम़ा मोक खाबन रे, हए नि? आखरि त मुइ आझि मरिम रे। suna k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda$-c-ki bur ${ }^{h}$ i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ e: $k^{h}$ eṭia-la sun- $\Lambda \quad a^{h} r i-t \quad \operatorname{tam}^{h} a$ PCL say-PRES-SA3 old_woman-NCLS INJ jackal-PL hear-IMP2pl end-LOC 2pl[pro] mo-k $\quad k^{h} a-b-\wedge n \quad$ re $h \Lambda$-e ni $a k^{h} r i \quad t_{\Lambda}$ mui $a j^{h}{ }^{h}$ 1sg[obl]-DAT eat-FUT-2pl PCL be-PRES NEG in_the_end PCL 1sg[pro] today mar-im re
die-FUT1sg PCL
The old woman said (to them), "Eh jackals, listen. Finally you will certainly eat me, isn't that so? In the end I will certainly die today.
MR.04.145 एनङ करि ना? एनङ कर, आप हेत्ना कि खाबन?
en $\wedge$ k k $\wedge$-i na en $\wedge$ y k $\wedge$ r- $\quad$ ap hetna ki $k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}-\wedge n$ like_this do-SUBJ1pl or like_this do-IMP2pl PCL here what eat-FUT-2pl Let's do this, OK? Do this, why would you eat me here?
MR.04.146 हेत्ना आप ठाअँखानअ नि ठिक छकन।
hetna ap $\quad t^{\mathrm{h}} a \tilde{\Lambda}-\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} a n=\Lambda \quad$ ni $\quad t^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ik} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\Lambda-\mathrm{k} \wedge n$
here PCL place-NCLS $=$ CNJ NEG alright be-PRES-SA2pl
Here even the place is no good (for you).
MR.04.147 कनेक आरह चल ना हुदर, हुदर, हुदर।
kınek arhs cal- na hudлr hudлr hudлr a_little more go-IMP2pl PCL over_there over_there over_there Go a little further over there (please), over there, over there.

MR.04.148 अइ हत्ना ठाअँखान ठिक छे, कनेक छियाँअ छे।
$\Lambda i \quad h \wedge t n a t^{h} a \tilde{\Lambda}-k^{h}$ an $t^{h} i k \quad c^{h}-e \quad k \wedge n e k \quad c^{h} i a \tilde{a}=\Lambda \quad c^{h}-e$
INJ there place-NCLS alright be-PRES3 a_little shade $=$ CNJ be-PRES3
The place over there is OK, (and) there is a little shade, too.
MR.04.150 अइठिना खाअन।"
$\Lambda i-t^{h^{h}}$ ina $\quad k^{h} a-\Lambda n$
DEM[rem/emph]-place eat-SUBJ2pl
You may eat me in that place."
MR.04.151 "हँ, ले, ले, च, च" कहेने गटे खेटियाला बुऱिडर सङे सङे, पाछु पाछु आसिल्कि।
$h \tilde{\Lambda}$ le le cs c $\Lambda$ k hh-ene gaṭe k ${ }^{\text {heția-la }}$
INJ OK OK go-IMP2sg go-IMP2sg say-CONJ.PTCL all jackal-PL

old_woman-NCLS-GEN with with behind behind come-PST-SA3
Saying "Yes, OK, OK, go, go!" all of the jackals followed after the old woman.
MR.04.152 तब फेर उखान ठाअँत पुगि गेले।
$t \wedge b p^{h} e r \quad u-k^{h}$ an $t^{h} a \tilde{\Lambda}-t \quad$ pug-i ge-l-I
then again DEM[rem]-NCLS place-LOC reach-ABS AUX-PST-3
Then again they reached that place.
MR.04.153 त कहच्कि, "ले बुरि, आप तोक खाम।
t $\Lambda$ kлhл-c-ki le bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$ ap to-k $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}-\Lambda$
PCL say-PRES-SA3 OK old_woman PCL 2sg[obl]-DAT eat-FUT-1pl
They said, "OK, old woman, now we will eat you.
MR.04.154 आप तोक खाम, छर्म नि।"
ap to-k $k^{\text {ha }} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}-\Lambda \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m}-\Lambda \quad \mathrm{ni}$
now 2 sg [obl]-DAT eat-FUT-1pl spare-FUT-1pl NEG
Now we will eat you, we will not spare you."
MR.04.155 आप फेर सुना बुऱिड सचेचे, "आरे, आप त फेर आमा मोक खाबा चाहाबे।
ap $p^{h} e r$ suna bur ${ }^{h} i-d ̣ \Lambda$ are ap $t_{\Lambda} p^{h} e r a^{h} a$
PCL again PCL old_woman-NCLS think-PRES-3 INJ PCL PCL again 3pl[pro]
mo-k $\quad k^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-ba caha-b-I
1sg[obl]-DAT eat-INF want_to-FUT-3
Then the old woman thought again, "Oh no, they want to (i.e. are going to try to) eat me again.

MR.04.156 आप केनङ करे बचाम ते?"
ap kenıy kıre bлc-a-m te
PCL how ADVL save-CAUS-FUT1sg PCL
Now how shall I save (myself)?"
MR.04.158 आप बुऱिड आरकि आप्नाक, माने, आप्नार घरेर बगलत जाछे ने।

PCL old_woman-NCLS PCL REFL[pro]-DAT PCL own-GEN house-GEN near
ja-ch-e ne
go-PRES-3 PCL
The old woman was taking herself, I mean, bit by bit close to (her) own home.

MR.04.159 उहाँ आप्नाक रसे रसे आप्नार घरेर बगलत जाबा ने चाहाचे ने।
uhã apna-k rase rase apna-r $g^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge$ r-er b $\quad$ glıt ja-ba ne 3sg[pro] REFL[pro]-DAT slowly slowly own-GEN house-GEN near go-INF PCL
caha-c-e ne
want_to-PRES-3 PCL
She wanted to (or, tried to) keep taking herself slowly close to (her) own home.
MR.04.160 "ए बुरि, ले! आप खाम।" कहच्कि सभाए।

INJ old_woman OK PCL eat-FUT-1pl say-PRES-SA3 all
"Eh old woman, OK! Now we will eat (you)," said all (of the jackals to her).
MR.04.161 फेर सुना उहाँ सच्ले, "इला त...।"
$p^{h}$ er suna uhã $\mathrm{s} \wedge \mathrm{c}-1-\mathrm{I}$ i-la ts
again PCL 3sg[pro] think-PST-3 DEM[prox]-PL PCL Again she thought, "They are..."

MR.04.162 "देख! आप आखरित मुइ मरिम रे।
$\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\Lambda \quad$ ap $\mathrm{ak}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ri-t mui m $\quad$ r-im re look-IMP2pl PCL end-LOC 1sg[pro] die-FUT1sg PCL "Look, in the end I am certainly going to die.

MR.04.163 ताम़ा मोक खाबन रे, छर्बन नि।
$\operatorname{tam}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ mo-k $k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}-\wedge n$ re $c^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge r-b-\wedge n \quad$ ni
2pl[pro] 1sg[obl]-DAT eat-FUT-2pl PCL spare-FUT-2pl NEG
You are certainly going to eat me, you are not going to spare me.
MR.04.164 इखान, ठाअँखान, हेत्ना देख नि!
i-k ${ }^{\text {han }} \quad t^{\text {h}} a \tilde{\Lambda}-k^{h}$ an hetna $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\Lambda \quad$ ni
DEM[prox]-NCLS place-NCLS here look-IMP2pl PCL
Look at this place here, won't you!
MR.04.165 केन्ति गुहे गु छकन अग्ले बग्ले!
kentigu $=$ he gu $\quad c^{h}-\Lambda-\mathrm{k} \wedge \mathrm{n} \quad \Lambda$ gle_b $\wedge$ gle
how stool $=$ EMPH stool be-PRES-SA2pl here_and_there How much faeces (you have) here and there.
MR.04.166 एकदम फोहोर, एकदम केन्ति आच्छाए नि छकन!
ekd $\Lambda m p^{\text {h }}$ ohor ekd $\Lambda m$ kenti $\operatorname{acc}^{h} a=e \quad n i \quad c^{h}-\Lambda-k \Lambda n$
very dirty very how good=EMPH NEG be-PRES-SA2pl
Really dirty, it is really no good (for you)!
MR.04.167 पानिअ नि छकन, फेर धुपअ चाँए चाँए हेतिना।
pani $=\Lambda \quad$ ni $\quad c^{h}-\Lambda-k \wedge n \quad p^{h} e r \quad d^{h} u p=\Lambda \quad$ cãecãe hetina
water $=$ CNJ NEG be-PRES-SA2pl again sun $=$ CNJ (scorching) here There is no water (for you) either, and moreover, the sun is really scorching hot here.
MR.04.168 एकदम फोहोरे फोहोर, गुहे गु छकन अग्ले बग्ले!
ekd $\Lambda \mathrm{mp}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ohor $=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{p}^{\text {hohor }} \quad \mathrm{gu}=$ he $\quad$ gu $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\Lambda-\mathrm{k} \wedge n$
very $\operatorname{dirty}($ Nepali $)=$ EMPH dirty $($ Nepali $)$ stool $=$ EMPH stool be-PRES-SA2pl
sgle_bıgle
here_and_there
Really terribly dirty, (you) really (have) faeces here and there.
MR.04.169 एन्ति गान्धा ठाअँखानत खाबन सुना मोक?
enti gand ${ }^{\text {ha }} t^{\text {h }} a^{\tilde{\Lambda}} \tilde{-} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} a n-\Lambda \mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}-\Lambda \mathrm{n} \quad$ suna mo-k
like_this dirty place-NCLS-LOC eat-FUT-2pl PCL 1sg[obl]-DAT
Are you going to eat me in such a dirty place like this?
MR.04.170 आरह कनेक चल ना, आरह कने हुदर।

more a_little go-IMP2pl PCL more=EMPH a_little over_there
Go a little further, won't you, a little further over there.
MR.04.171 अइ उड गेसट देखा जाछे ते?
$\Lambda i \quad$ u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ ges-ṭ $\Lambda \quad$ dek $^{h}-a \quad$ ja-ch $-e \quad$ te
INJ DEM[rem]-NCLS tree-NCLS see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3 PCL
(You know) that tree (far over there) that can be seen?
MR.04.172 उड गेसटर बगलत, हत्ना पानिअ छकन, गटे छकन।"
u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ ges-ṭ $-\mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{b} \wedge \mathrm{gl} \Lambda \mathrm{t}$ h $\wedge$ tna pani $=\Lambda \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\Lambda-\mathrm{k} \wedge n \quad$ g $\Lambda$ ṭe
DEM[rem]-NCLS tree-NCLS-GEN near there water $=$ CNJ be-PRES-SA2pl all $c^{h}-\Lambda-k \wedge n$
be-PRES-SA2pl
Close to that tree there is also water (for you), everything is there (for you)."
MR.04.173 अनङ करिएने सुना बुरिड आमाक कहल्कि।
$\Lambda n \wedge \eta$ k $\wedge$-iene suna bur ${ }^{\text {h}} \mathrm{i}$-d $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{am}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{k} \wedge$ h $\Lambda-1-\mathrm{ki}$
like_that do-CONJ.PTCL PCL old_woman-NCLS 3pl[pro]-DAT say-PST-SA3
The old woman said like that to them.
MR.04.174 ते सुना गटे खेटियाला कहल्कि ते, "ठिके, हेत्ना गुहे गु छे।
te suna gnṭe $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la kıhл-l-ki te $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ik}=\mathrm{e} \quad$ hetna $\mathrm{gu}=\mathrm{he} \quad \mathrm{gu}$ PCL PCL all jackal-PL say-PST-SA3 PCL alright $=$ EMPH here stool $=$ EMPH stool $c^{\mathrm{h}}$-e
be-PRES3
Then all of the jackals said (to her), "OK, it is filthy here (lit. here are faeces upon faeces).
MR.04.175 कुता हेत्ना खाम?
$k^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ hetna $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}-\Lambda$
where here eat-FUT-1pl
Why should we eat (her) here?"
MR.04.176 चल, चल, चल।" कहेने उहाँर सङे सुना चलि गेल।

go-IMP2pl go-IMP2pl go-IMP2pl say-CONJ.PTCL 3sg[pro]-GEN with PCL AUX-ABS
ge-1
go-PST3
Saying, "Go, go, go" they went with her.

MR.04.177 बुरिडर सङे. सडेे सुना आस्ले।
bur $^{h}{ }^{\mathrm{i}}$-d $\lambda-\mathrm{r}$ sıye sıye suna as-l-I
old_woman-NCLS-GEN with with PCL come-PST-3
They came (right along) with the old woman.
MR.04.178 जब हुत्ना आसि गेले, हतिन से डाक दिले, गाऊँर लोकला सुन्बा पार्बे।
j $\wedge$ b hutna as-i ge-l-I hıtin se dak di-le gã̃-r
when there come-ABS AUX-PST-3 there ABL call give-COND.PTCL village-GEN
lok-la sun-ba par-b-I
man-PL hear-INF can-FUT-3
When they reached there, if called, the men/people of the village could hear.
MR.04.179 त सुना आप खेटियाला कहच्कि ते, "ले बुरि, आप माने हेतिन से हुदर नि जाम।
ts suna ap $k^{\text {hettia-la }} \mathrm{k} \wedge$ h $\Lambda$-c-ki te le bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$ ap mane hetin
PCL PCL PCL jackal-PL say-PRES-SA3 PCL OK old_woman PCL PCL here se hud $\wedge$ r ni ja-m- $\Lambda$
ABL over_there NEG go-FUT-1pl
And then the jackals said (to her), "OK, old woman, now we won't go from here to there (any more).

## MR.04.180 आप एइठिना खान्कुन।

ap ei-t ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ina $\quad k^{\text {ha }} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}-\mathrm{ku}-\mathrm{n}$
PCL DEM[prox/emph]-place eat-FUT-SA2sg-1pl
Now we will eat you right here.
MR.04.181 एकदम हेत्ना पानि तानि गटे छे, देख् त।"
ekd $\Lambda m$ hetna pani tani g gte $c^{h}-e \quad \operatorname{dek}^{h}-\varnothing \quad$ t $\Lambda$
very here water [echo]water all be-PRES3 look-IMP2sg PCL
Here is water and everything, look!
MR.04.182 "हेतिन से आर नि जाम हुदर।"
hetinse ar ni ja-m- $\quad$ hud $\Lambda r$
here ABL more NEG go-FUT-1pl over_there
From here we won't go any further over there."
MR.04.183 त सुना बुऱिड कहचे, "हारे राम! आझि त आप मोक आमा छर्बे नि।

PCL PCL old_woman-NCLS say-PRES-3 INJ Rām(god) today PCL PCL
mo-k $\quad \mathrm{am}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{a}} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda r-\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{I} \quad \mathrm{ni}$
1sg[obl]-DAT 3pl[pro] spare-FUT-3 NEG
Then the old woman said, "Oh my god (lit. Hare Rām)! Now they will not spare me.
MR.04.184 आप त मुइ मरिम।"
ap $t \Lambda$ mui m $n$-im
PCL PCL 1sg[pro] die-FUT1sg
Now I am going to die.
MR.04.185 ते "आमार से केनङ करे बचिम?" कहेने ते सुना सच्ले।

PCL 3pl[pro]-GEN ABL how ADVL save-FUT1sg say-CONJ.PTCL PCL PCL
s sc-l-I
think-PST-3
How I am to be saved from them?" she thought.
MR.04.186 ते सुना "ठिके छे। आप मोक त ताम़ा खाबन रे।
te suna $t^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-e ap mo-k $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{e}} \operatorname{tam}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$
PCL PCL alright $=$ EMPH be-PRES3 PCL 1sg[obl]-DAT PCL 2pl[pro]
$k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}-\wedge \mathrm{n}$ re
eat-FUT-2pl PCL
Then (she said), "Alright, now you will certainly eat me.
MR.04.187 आखरित मुइ आझि मरिम रे, मोर उपाय छेइए नि कुछु।

end-LOC 1sg[pro] today die-FUT1sg PCL 1 sg[obl]-GEN way be-PRES3 $=$ EMPH NEG
kuc $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}$
some
In the end I will certainly die today, there is no way (out) for me at all.
MR.04.188 ते मोक एकछिन त कान्बा दिबन ना?"
te mo-k ekc ${ }^{\text {hin }}$ t $\Lambda$ kan-ba di-b- $n$ n na
PCL 1sg[obl]-DAT one_moment PCL cry-INF let-FUT-2pl PCL[TAG]
So, you'll let me cry for a while, won't you?"
MR.04.189 "हँ हँ, कानेक!
h $\tilde{\Lambda}$ h $\tilde{\Lambda}$ kan-ek
INJ INJ cry-IMP2sg
"OK, OK, cry.
MR.04.190 केतखुन से कान्बो?
ket-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ un se kan-b-o
how_much-time ABL cry-FUT-2sg
Until when will you cry?
MR.04.191 कानेक।"
kan-ek
cry-IMP2sg
Cry!"
MR.04.192 तब सुना बुरिड सुना एकटा बगलत जाएने सुना धरिचे कान्बा।

then PCL old_woman-NCLS PCL one-NCLS near go-CONJ.PTCL PCL
$\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$-ic-e kan-ba
begin-PERF-3 cry-INF
Then the old woman went aside and began to cry.
MR.04.193 ते उहाँ सुना एनङ करे कानेचे आप, "ए बुऱा, लेदङ धरिए दउर बुऱा!
te uhã suna en $\wedge$ y kıre kan-ec-e ap e: bur ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ led $\wedge y$
PCL 3sg[pro] PCL like_this ADVL cry-PRES-3 PCL INJ old_man stick
$\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \Delta \mathrm{r}$-ie $\quad$ d $\Lambda u r-\varnothing \quad$ bur $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$
hold-CONJ.PTCL run-IMP2sg old_man
She cried like this, "Eh. old man, run (over here) bringing a stick, old man."
MR.04.194 ए काल कुकुर, खइरा कुकुर, कुतेकुते! कुतेकुते!" कहेने आरकि उहाँ कानेचे।
e: kal^ kukur $k^{\text {h }}$ ^ira kukur kute:_kute kute:_kute kıh-ene
INJ black dog grey dog come_here(to_dogs) come_here(to_dogs) say-CONJ.PTCL
arki uhã kan-ec-e
PCL 3sg[pro] cry-PRES-3
Saying "Eh, black dogs, grey dogs, come here! Come here!" she cried.
MR.04.195 "कुतेकुते! कुतेकुते!" कहेने माने कानेचे।
kute:_kute kute:_kute ksh-ene mane kan-ec-e
come_here(to_dogs) come_here(to_dogs) say-CONJ.PTCL PCL cry-PRES-3
She cried (to the dogs), "Come here! Come here!"
MR.04.196 उड, कुतेकुते आवाजड जब गाअँत पुगि गेले, गाँर कुकुरला सुनि फेकाले।
u-ḍ $\quad$ kute:_kute awaj-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ j $\wedge b$ ga $\tilde{-}-t \quad$ pug-i
DEM[rem]-NCLS come_here(to_dogs) sound-NCLS when village-LOC reach-ABS
ge-l-r gã̃-r kukur-la sun-i $p^{\text {heka-l-I }}$
AUX-PST-3 village-GEN dog-PL hear-ABS AUX-PST-3
When the dog-calling sound reached the village, the dogs in the village heard (it).
MR.04.197 त बुऱाडउ सुना सुनि फेकाले। बुऱिड माने कुछु कठिनत परिचे कहे थाहा पाले।
$\mathrm{t} \Lambda \quad$ bur $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}_{\Lambda}=\mathrm{u}$ suna sun-i $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eka-l-I bur $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d}_{\Lambda} \quad$ mane kuc ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}$
PCL old_man-NCLS = CNJ PCL hear-ABS AUX-PST-3 old_woman-NCLS PCL some

difficult-LOC fall-PERF-3 CMPL knowledge(Nepali) receive-PST-3
The old man heard as well. He found out that the old woman had fallen into some trouble.
MR.04.198 "आप बुऱिडक बच्बा हबे" कहेने गटे गाअँर कुकुरलाक जर करिएने बुऱाड एकदम खेटियालार ति दउर मारिचे।

PCL old_woman-NCLS-DAT save-INF must-FUT-3 say-CONJ.PTCL all village-GEN
 dog-PL-DAT gathering do-CONJ.PTCL old_man-NCLS very jackal-PL-GEN towards d^ur mar-ic-e
running kill-PERF-3
Thinking "(I) must save the old woman," the old man gathered together all of the dogs of the village and started running towards the jackals.
MR.04.199 गाअँ घरेर जेत्ला कुकुरला छिले, गटलाए भुक्ते भुक्ते खेटियालाक पिटाए लिछे।
ga $\tilde{\Lambda} \quad g^{\text {h }} \Lambda$ r-er jetla $\quad$ kukur-la $c^{\text {hi-l-I }} \quad$ g $\Lambda t-l a=e \quad b^{h} u k-t e$
village house-GEN however_many dog-PL be-PST-3 all-PL=EMPH bark-CONT.PTCL
$b^{\text {h }}$ uk-te $\quad k^{\text {h }}$ eṭia-la-k piṭa-e $\quad 1$-ic ${ }^{\text {h }}-\mathrm{e}$
bark-CONT.PTCL jackal-PL-DAT chase-ABS AUX-PERF-3
However many dogs there were in the village and the houses, they all chased the jackals while barking and barking.

MR.04.200 अकुन्डा कुकुर जाएने खेटियालाक काम्राए लिछे।
skunḍa kukur ja-ene $\quad k^{\text {heṭia-la-k }}$ kamra-e 1 -ichee
different dog go-CONJ.PTCL jackal-PL-DAT bite-ABS AUX-PERF-3
All of the dogs went (and) bit the jackals.
MR. 04.201 खेटियाला एकदम भाग्ते दिसा नि पाचे।
$k^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la ekd $\mu \mathrm{m} \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ag-te disa ni pa-c-e
jackal-PL very escape-CONT.PTCL direction NEG get-PRES-3
The jackals really fled in confusion.
MR.04.202 कुकुरला जे पिटाच्कि पिटाच्कि खेटियालाक। अन्तिमत गटे खेटियालाक पिटाएने भागाए दिल्कि।
kukur-laje piṭa-c-ki piṭa-c-ki $\quad k^{\text {hettia-la-k }} \quad$ nntim- $\Lambda t$ gnṭe
dog-PL still chase-PRES-SA3 chase-PRES-SA3 jackal-PL-DAT end-LOC all
$k^{\text {h }}$ eția-la-k pita-ene $\quad b^{\text {hag-a-e }} \quad$ d-il-ki
jackal-PL-DAT chase-CONJ.PTCL escape-CAUS-ABS AUX-PST-SA3
The dogs chased and chased the jackals. In the end the dogs chasing all of the jackals made them flee.
MR.04.203 आर बुऱिड सुना बचि गेले।
ar bur ${ }^{\text {hi}} \mathrm{i}$ d $\Lambda \quad$ suna $\mathrm{b} \wedge c-\mathrm{i} \quad$ ge-l-1
and old_woman-NCLS PCL save-ABS AUX-PST-3
And the old woman was saved.
MR.04.204 खेटियाला बुऱिडक खाबा नि पा'ले।
$k^{\mathrm{h}}$ eṭia-la bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda-\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ba}$ ni pa(r)-l-I
jackal-PL old_woman-NCLS-DAT eat-INF NEG can-PST-3
The jackals couldn't eat the old woman.
MR. 04.205 त सुना अन्तिमत बुऱ, बुरि, कुकुरला गटलाए मिलिएने घर आसिल।
t $\Lambda$ suna $\Lambda n t i m-\Lambda t$ bur ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ bur ${ }^{\text {hi }}$ kukur-la gnt-la $=e \quad$ mil-iene
PCL PCL end-LOC old_man old_woman dog-PL all-PL = EMPH unite-CONJ.PTCL
$\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge \mathrm{r} \quad$ as-il
house come-PST3
Then in the end the old woman and old man and the dogs, all of them together came home.
MR.04.206 एनङ करे माने बुऱिड आप्नार जानड बचाले।
 like_this ADVL PCL old_woman-NCLS REFL[pro]-GEN life-NCLS save-CAUS-PST-3 In this way the old woman saved her own life.
MR.04.207 खिसा खतम, पेइसा हजम।
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\text {isa }} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda t \wedge \mathrm{~m}$ peisa $\mathrm{h} \wedge \mathrm{j} \wedge \mathrm{m}$
story finish money digestion[Urdu]
That's the end of the story, that's what you got for your money!
(Text recorded March 2004, Kathmandu)

## Narrative MR.05. The adventures of a young man

MR. 05.001 एकटा गाअत एकझना बुऱि छिले।
ek-ṭa gã̃-t ek-j ${ }^{h} \Lambda n a \quad$ bur $^{h_{i}} \quad c^{h}$ i-l-I
one-NCLS village-LOC one-PCLS old_woman be-PST-3
In a village there was an old woman.
MR.05.002 उहाँर बेटाड राजार घरत काम करेकि।
uhã-r beṭa-ḍ $\Lambda$ raja-r $g^{\text {h }} \Lambda \mathbf{r}-\Lambda \mathrm{t}$ kam k $\wedge$ r-eki
3sg[pro]-GEN son-NCLS king-GEN house-LOC work do-(PST.HAB)SA3
Her son used to work in the king's house.
MR.05.003 उहाँ माने राजार घरत छागल चर्बार काम करे।
uhã mane raja-r $g^{h} \Lambda r-\Lambda t \quad c^{h} a g \Lambda l \quad c \Lambda r-b a-r \quad$ kam k $\mu r-e$
3sg[pro] PCL king-GEN house-LOC female_goat graze-INF-GEN work do-PST.HAB3
He used to work as a goatherd in the king's house.
MR.05.004 सुन एकदिन करे छागल चर्बा चुलि गिछे जङलखान ति।

PCL one-day ADVL female_goat graze-INF AUX-ABS go-PERF-3 jungle-NCLS towards One day he went to the jungle to graze goats.

## MR.05.005 झारबारिखान ति गिछे।

$j^{\text {h }}$ arbari-kh ${ }^{\text {han }} \quad$ g-ic ${ }^{\text {h }}-\mathrm{e}$
forest-NCLS towards go-PERF-3
He went to the forest.
MR.05.006 त सुना, एनङ उहाँ छागल चराइस्ले।
t $\Lambda$ suna en $\wedge$ y uhã $c^{h} a g \Lambda l$ cara-isl-I
PCL PCL like_this 3 sg[pro] female_goat graze-REM.PST-3
He was grazing the goats like this.
MR.05.007 त सुना एकटा बाघ आर एकटा सोर सुना आसिए पुगि गेल्कि।
t $\Lambda$ suna ek-ṭa bag ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ar ek-ṭa sor suna as-ie pug-i
PCL PCL one-NCLS tiger and one-NCLS pig PCL come-CONJ.PTCL reach-ABS
ge-1-ki
AUX-PST-SA3
(And) then a tiger and a boar arrived.
MR.05.008 एकदम आम़ा एकदम लाराइ कर्बा चाहाच्कि।
ekd $\Lambda m \operatorname{am}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ ekd $\Lambda \mathrm{m}$ larai k $\wedge$ r-ba caha-c-e
very $3 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]$ very fighting do-INF want_to-PRES-3
They really wanted to fight.
MR.05.009 लाराइ कर्बा चाहाचे।
larai kır-ba caha-c-e
fighting do-INF want_to-PRES-3
They wanted to fight.

MR.05.010 उला देखिएने सुना उड नकरिया चेङ.राडक एकदम डर लागि गेल्कि।
u-la dek $^{\mathrm{h}}$-iene suna u-ḍ $\Lambda$ n $\wedge k \wedge r-i a \quad$ cepra-ḍ $\Lambda-k \quad$ ekd $\wedge m$
that-PL look-CONJ.PTCL PCL that-NCLS servant-ADJR young_man-NCLS-DAT very
ḍ $\Lambda$ r lag-i ge-l-ki
fear feel-ABS AUX-PST-SA3
Seeing them the working young (man) became very afraid.
MR.05.011 सान घुरि हबा लागि गिच्कि एकदम।
san ghri h $\mathrm{g}^{\text {h }}$-ba lag-i g-ic-ki ekd $\wedge \mathrm{m}$
evening during be-INF apply_to-ABS AUX-PERF-SA3 very
The evening was about to start.
MR.05.012 बेलाड डुबिएने आप कनेक सान हबा आटिस्लिकि उखुना।
bela-ḍ $\Lambda$ ḍub-iene ap kınek san hı-ba
sun-NCLS $\operatorname{sink}($ Nepali)-CONJ.PTCL PCL a_little evening be-INF
at-isli-ki u-k una
be_about_to(Nepali)-REM.PST-SA3 DEM[rem]-time
The sun had set and the evening was about to begin.
MR.05.013 ते उहाँ सुना एकदम उला गटे छागललाक जर करिएने घरेर ति आस्बा चाहिस्ले ताहें एकदम उड सोरे आर उड बाघे उहाँक पक्रि फेकाल्कि।
te uhã suna ekd $\wedge m$ u-la gste c ${ }^{\text {hagal-la-k j }}$,
PCL 3sg[pro] PCL very DEM[rem]-PL all female_goat-PL-DAT gathering
kır-iene $\quad g^{\text {h }} \Lambda r$-er ti as-ba cah-isl-I tahe ekd $\mu m$
do-CONJ.PTCL house-GEN towards come-INF try-REM.PST-3 immediately very
u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ sor $=\mathrm{e} \quad$ ar $\quad u-d ̣ \quad$ bag $^{\mathrm{h}}=\mathrm{e} \quad$ uhã-k $\quad$ pıkr-i
that-NCLS pig $=$ EMPH and that-NCLS tiger $=$ EMPH 3sg[pro]-DAT catch-ABS
$p^{\mathrm{h}}$ eka-l-ki
AUX-PST-SA3
He gathered all of the goats together and tried to come home, but (just) then the boar and the tiger caught him.

MR.05.014 त सुना कहच्कि, "ए चेङरा, हामा दुइझना हेतिन लाराइ कर्म।
tı suna kıhı-c-ki e cenra hama dui-j ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ na hetin larai k k - $-\mathrm{m}-\Lambda$ PCL PCL say-PRES-SA3 INJ young_man $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] two-PCLS here fighting do-FUT-1pl They said to him, "Eh, young man. The two of us are going to fight here.

MR.05.015 तोक देख्बा हप्कु, काहें जितेचि काहें हारेचि।
to-k dek ${ }^{\text {h}}$-ba ha-p-ku kahe jit-ec-i kahe har-ec-i
2sg[obl]-DAT see-INF must-FUT-SA2sg who win-PRES-1pl who lose-PRES-1pl You will have to watch (and tell) who (of us) wins and who (of us) loses.
MR.05.016 हबे ना?"
hл-b-I na
be-FUT-3 or
OK?"
MR.05.017 नकरिया चेङराडक एकदम डर लागि गेल्कि!
nıkır-ia cepra-ḍ $\Lambda$-k ekd $\Lambda m$ ḍ $\wedge$ r lag-i ge-l-ki
servant-ADJR young_man-NCLS-DAT very fear feel-ABS AUX-PST-SA3
The working young (man) was really afraid.

MR.05.018 आप बाघड, "ए! हामाक देख्बा हप्कु।"
ap bag $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{d}_{\Lambda} \Lambda$ e hama-k dek $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{ba}$ h $\Lambda-\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ku}$
PCL tiger-NCLS INJ 1pl[pro]-DAT look-INF must-FUT-SA2sg
The tiger said, "Eh! You will have to watch us."
MR.05.019 ' हामा लाराइ कर्म ' कहले साभाके डर लाग्बे ना?
hama larai k $\wedge$ r-m- $\mathrm{k} \wedge$ h $\Lambda$-le $\operatorname{sab}^{h}{ }^{h}-k=e \quad$ d $\wedge r$ lag-b-i na
$1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]$ fighting do-FUT-1pl say-COND.PTCL all-DAT $=$ EMPH fear need-FUT-3 or If they say, 'We will fight', any one (of us) would be afraid, wouldn't (we)!

MR.05.020 आप अइठिना आगुति बाघड रहले, केनङ डर लाग्बे!

PCL DEM[rem/emph]-place in_front tiger-NCLS be-COND.PTCL what_kind fear lag-b-I
feel-FUT-3
If a tiger was there in front, (we) would be (so) afraid!
MR.05.021 त सुना उहाँ डरे, "ठिके छे, हजुर,

PCL PCL 3sg[pro] fear-INSTR alright=EMPH be-PRES3 Sir(Nepali)
So out of fear he said, "OK sir.
MR.05.022 आप तास़ा जदि अनङ कर्बन, ठिके छे।
ap $\operatorname{tam}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{j} \Lambda \mathrm{di} \Lambda \mathrm{n} \Lambda \mathrm{y} \quad \mathrm{k} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{b}-\Lambda \mathrm{n} \quad \operatorname{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ik}=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
PCL $2 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]$ if like_that do-FUT-2pl alright $=$ EMPH be-PRES3
If that is what you are going to do, OK.
MR.05.023 मुइ देखि दिम्कन।"
mui $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i} \quad$ di-m-k $\Lambda-n$
1sg[pro] look-ABS AUX-FUT-SA2pl-1sg
I will watch (for you)."
MR.05.024 सुना उड नकरिया चेडराड अइठिना बठिएने रहले।

PCL that-NCLS servant-ADJR young_man-NCLS DEM[rem/emph]-place sit-CONJ.PTCL
rıhs-l-I
remain-PST-3
So the working young (man) sat and remained sitting there.
MR.05.025 डर लागिच्कि जुलुम।
ḍAr lag-ic-ki julum
fear feel-PERF-SA3 very
He was very afraid.
MR.05.026 एकदम सान्जा सान हइ गिछे बेलाड आर आमा सोरटए आर बाघडए एकदम लाराइ कर्बा ध'इचे आरकि।
ekd $\wedge$ m sanja san $h_{\Lambda-i}$ g-ic ${ }^{\text {h }}$-e bela-ḍ $\Lambda$ ar $a^{h} a$
very early_evening late_evening be-ABS AUX-PERF-3 time-NCLS and 3pl[pro]
sor- $t \Lambda=\mathrm{e} \quad$ ar $\quad$ bag $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda=\mathrm{e} \quad$ ekd $\Lambda m$ larai k $\Lambda$ r-ba $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda(\mathrm{r})$-ic-e arki
pig-NCLS $=\mathrm{EMPH}$ and tiger-NCLS $=$ EMPH very fighting do-INF begin-PERF-3 PCL
The time was already late evening and they, the boar and the tiger, really began to fight.

MR.05.028 लाराइ कर्ते कर्ते, लाराइ कर्बार खुनि केनङ करेच्कि सोरट, कादखानत जाएने जाच्कि लटपटाए।
larai k kr-te k $\wedge$ r-te larai k $\wedge r-b a-r \quad k^{h} u n i ~ k e n \wedge y$
fighting do-CONT.PTCL do-CONT.PTCL fighting do-INF-GEN during what_kind
k $\wedge$ r-ec-ki sor-ṭ $\Lambda$ (ki) kad $\Lambda$-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an- $\Lambda \mathrm{t}$ ja-ene ja-c-ki
do-PRES-SA3 pig-NCLS (that) mud-NCLS-LOC go-CONJ.PTCL AUX-PRES-SA3
1^ṭpıṭa-e
roll(in_mud)-ABS
While they were fighting, while they were fighting, what the boar did (was that) he went into the mud and rolled around (in it).

MR. 05.029 कादखानत जाएने जाच्कि एकदम लटपटाए, लटपटाए।

mud-NCLS-LOC go-CONJ.PTCL AUX-PRES-SA3 very roll(in_mud)-ABS
$1 \Lambda t ̣ p \wedge t ̣ a-e$
roll(in_mud)-ABS
He went into the mud and really rolled around in it.
MR.05.030 गटे दाहाड कादए काद करि देच्कि।
g $\Lambda$ ṭe daha-d $\Lambda \quad \operatorname{kad} \Lambda=\mathrm{e} \quad \operatorname{kad} \Lambda$ k $\wedge$ r-i $\quad$ d-ec-ki
all body-NCLS mud=EMPH mud do-ABS AUX-PRES-SA3
He made his whole body muddy.
MR.05.031 जेहेने बाघड थिप्रिएने जाच्चिक सोरटर पर, उहाँ खल्काला दे सोरटक एकदम नाछ्राए लेच्कि।
jehene bag ${ }^{\text {h }}$-ḍ $\Lambda \quad t^{\text {hipr-iene }} \quad$ ja-c-ki $\quad$ sor-ṭ $\Lambda-r \quad$ pır unã
when tiger-NCLS jump-CONJ.PTCL go-PRES-SA3 pig-NCLS-GEN on_top 3sg[pro]

claw-PL INSTR pig-NCLS-DAT very claw-ABS AUX-PRES-SA3
When the tiger jumped (lit. went by jumping) on to the boar, it really clawed it.
MR.05.033 ते सोरटर दाहाड से माटिलाए एकदम झरझर करे गिरेच्कि।
te sor-ṭ $\Lambda$-r daha-ḍ $\Lambda$ se maṭi-la=e ekd $\wedge m j^{h} \Lambda r j^{h} \Lambda r$
PCL pig-NCLS-GEN body-NCLS ABL soil-PL=EMPH very mud_falling(sound)
kıre gir-ec-ki
ADVL fall-PRES-SA3
Mud dripped off the boar's body with a mud-dripping sound.
MR.05.034 आर जेइखुना एकदम उड सोरट बाघडर पर झप्टिए लेच्कि, एकदम काम्राच्कि, ते उहाँर दाहाड से खुने निक्लाए देच्कि।
ar jei-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ una ekd $\wedge m$ u-ḍ $\Lambda$ sor-ṭ $\Lambda \quad$ bag $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda-\mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{p} \wedge \mathrm{r}$
and which-time very that-NCLS pig-NCLS tiger-NCLS-GEN on_top
$\mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ pṭi-e l-ec-ki ekd $\wedge$ mamra-c-ki te uhã-r
jump_upon(Nepali)-ABS AUX-PRES-SA3 very bite-PRES-SA3 PCL 3sg[pro]-GEN
daha-d $\Lambda$ se $k^{h} u n=e \quad$ nikl-a-e d-ec-ki
body-NCLS ABL blood(Nepali)=EMPH go_out-CAUS-ABS AUX-PRES-SA3
And when the boar was jumping on to the tiger and biting (the tiger), he made blood come from his (the tiger's) body.

MR.05.035 अनङ करे लाराइ कर्ते कर्ते कर्ते...
ィnıy kıre larai kır-te kır-te kır-te
like_that ADVL fighting do-CONT.PTCL do-CONT.PTCL do-CONT.PTCL
In this manner they fought and fought and fought.
MR.05.036 अन्तिमत सुना उड बाघड जाबे हारिए।

end-LOC PCL that-NCLS tiger-NCLS AUX-FUT-3 lose-ABS
In the end the tiger lost.
MR.05.037 बाघडर दाहाड गटडए खुने खुन हइ जाफ्कि।

tiger-NCLS-GEN body-NCLS all-NCLS $=$ EMPH blood(Nepali) $=$ EMPH blood(Nepali) be-ABS
ja-p-ki
AUX-FUT-SA3
The tiger's body became bloody all over.
MR.05.038 तने सुना लाराइ ताराइ करिएने सुना उहाँक कहप्कि,
tıne suna larai tarai kır-iene suna uhã-k kshı-p-ki
PCL PCL fighting [echo]fighting do-CONJ.PTCL PCL 3sg[pro]-DAT say-FUT-SA3
Then (after) fighting he (the tiger) said to him:
MR.05.039 "ए, चेङ.रा!
e ceŋra
INJ young_man
"Eh, young man!
MR.05.040 ले, कोहो त, हामा दुइझना ते लाराइ कर्न, काहें जित्न?"
le koho- $\varnothing$ ts hama dui-j ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ na te larai k $\mathrm{t}^{2}-\mathrm{n}-\Lambda$ kahe jit-n- $\Lambda$
OK say-IMP2sg PCL $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] two-PCLS PCL fighting do-PST-1pl who win-PST-1pl OK, say, the two of us fought. Which one (of us) won?"

MR.05.041 आप उड चेङरा कि कहबे?
ap u-ḍィ ceŋra ki k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda$-b-I
PCL that-NCLS young_man what say-FUT-3
What will the young man say?
MR.05.042 'बाघ, तुइ हारि गेलो' कहबे बाघड उहाँक खाए जाप्कि।
bag $^{\text {h }}$ tui har-i ge-l-o kлhл-b-i bag ${ }^{\text {h }}$-ḍ $\Lambda$ unã-k $k^{\text {ha }}$-e
tiger $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] lose-ABS AUX-PST-2sg say-FUT-3 tiger-NCLS $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{DAT}$ eat-ABS
ja-p-ki
AUX-FUT-SA3
If he says, "Tiger, you lost", the tiger will eat him up.
MR.05.043 आप सोरटक 'तुइ हारि गेलो' कहबे, सोरट उहाँक मारि फेकाफ्कि।
ap sor-ṭ $\wedge$-k tui har-i ge-l-o ksh $\Lambda$-b-i sor-ṭ
PCL pig-NCLS-DAT 2sg[pro] lose-ABS AUX-PST-2sg say-FUT-3 pig-NCLS
uhã-k mar-i pheka-p-ki
3sg[pro]-DAT kill-ABS AUX-FUT-SA3
And if he says to the boar, "You lost", the boar would kill him.

MR.05.044 आप बाडा मुस्किल!
ap bara muskil
PCL very difficult
Very difficult!
MR.05.045 याहाँ सुना बिचार करिए देख्ले,
yaha suna bicar kır-ie dek ${ }^{\text {h}} 11-\mathrm{I}$
DEM[pro/prox] PCL thought do-CONJ.PTCL look-PST-3
He got an idea. (Lit. He saw (it) by thinking.)
MR.05.047 "अँ हँ आमाक एनङ ति कर्ले नि हबे।

INJ INJ 3pl[pro]-DAT like_this towards do-COND.PTCL NEG should-FUT-3
"No, I shouldn't do like this to them.
MR.05.049 आप आपाक बाराबरे हइ गेलन" कहे कहबा हबे।

PCL 3pl[pro]-DAT equal=EMPH be-ABS AUX-PST-2pl say-CONJ.PTCL say-INF
h $\Lambda$-b-I
should-FUT-3
Now, I should say to them that they were even."
MR.05.050 सुना उड चेङराड सुना कहप्कि, "ताम़ा काहु नि जित्लन, काहु नि हार्लन गो।
suna u-ḍı cenra-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ suna kıhı-p-ki $\operatorname{tam}^{h}{ }^{h}$ kahu ni
PCL that-NCLS young_man-NCLS PCL say-FUT-SA3 2pl[pro] no-one NEG
jit-l-ın kahu ni har-l-лn go
win-PST-2pl no-one NEG lose-PST-2pl VOC
So, the young man said (to them), "Neither of you won, neither of you lost.
MR.05.051 ताम़ा दऩे झना बाराबोर हलन।"
$\operatorname{tam}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{d} \Lambda \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda n a \quad$ barabor $\mathrm{h} \Lambda-1-\wedge \mathrm{n}$
$2 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]$ both PCLS equal be-PST-2pl
You were both even."
MR.05.052 अत्किनि कहेने सुना बाघड आर सोरट कहल्कि, "ले, ठिके छे।

that_much say-CONJ.PTCL PCL tiger-NCLS and pig-NCLS say-PST-SA3 OK
$t^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ik}=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
alright $=\mathrm{EMPH}$ be-PRES 3
He having said that much the tiger and the boar said, "OK, alright.
MR.05.053 अनङ ति हले, तुइ जा।"
$\Lambda \mathrm{n} \wedge \mathrm{y}$ ti h $\Delta$-le tui ja- $\varnothing$
like_that towards be-COND.PTCL 2sg[pro] go-IMP2sg
If that is so, you go."
MR.05.054 सुना उड चेङ.रा एकदम होकोर दोकोर करे गटे छागलला जर करिएने घरेर ति दउर मार्बे एकदम।
suna u-ḍı cenra ekd $\Lambda$ m hokor_dokor k $\wedge$ re g gṭe $c^{h} a g \Lambda l-l a$
PCL that-NCLS young_man very in_a_hurry ADVL all female_goat-PL
 gathering do-CONJ.PTCL house-GEN towards run kill-FUT-3 very So the young man hurriedly gathered all the goats together and ran off home.

MR.05.055 तने उड, बाघड सुना सचेचे, "आरे!
tıne u-ḍ $\quad$ bag $^{\mathrm{h}}$-ḍ $\Lambda$ suna sıc-ec-e are
PCL that-NCLS tiger-NCLS PCL think-PRES-3 INJ
Then the tiger thought, "Oh!
MR.05.056 साला रे! मोर दाहाड एकदम गटेडए सुर्किए सुर्कि हइ गिछे।
sala re mo-r daha-d $\Lambda$ ekd $\Lambda m$ g $\Lambda$ te-d $\Lambda=e$
y.brother_of_wife VOC 1sg[obl]-GEN body-NCLS very all-NCLS = EMPH
surki $=\mathrm{e} \quad$ surki $\mathrm{h} \Lambda-\mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{g}-\mathrm{ic}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
blood=EMPH blood be-ABS AUX-PERF-3
Damned (young man)! My body has become bloody all over.
MR.05.057 आर सोरेर दाहाड कुछु नि हकि।
ar sor-er daha-d $\Lambda$ kuc ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathbf{u}$ ni h $\Lambda$-ki
and pig-GEN body-NCLS some NEG be-SA3
And nothing has happened to the boar's body.
MR.05.058 फेर बले, 'बाराबोर हन।'
$p^{\mathrm{h}}$ er bлle barabor h $\wedge$-n-
again QUOT equal be-PST-1pl
But still he said we were even.
MR.05.059 अँ हँ, मोक कनेक चित नि बुझिल।
$\tilde{\Lambda} \quad$ h $\tilde{\Lambda}$ mo-k kınek cit ni buj ${ }^{\text {h }}$-il
INJ INJ 1sg[obl]-DAT a_little thought NEG understand-PST3
No, I don't quite understand.
MR.05.060 मुइ पाक्का हार्नु।
mui pakka har-n-u
$1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ really(Nepali) lose-PST-1sg
I truly lost.
MR.05.061 इड चेडरा माने, अँ हँ, ठिक नि कोहोल।"
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ cenra mane $\tilde{\Lambda} \quad$ h $\tilde{\Lambda} \quad t^{\text {h}} \mathrm{ik} \quad$ ni $\quad$ koho-l
DEM[prox]-NCLS young_man PCL INJ INJ alright NEG say-PST3
As for this young man, no, he didn't say correctly."
MR.05.062 त सुना चेङराड आप घर पुगि गिछे।
t $\Lambda$ suna cenra-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ ap $g^{h} \Lambda r$ pug-i g-ic ${ }^{h}-e$
PCL PCL young_man-NCLS PCL house reach-ABS AUX-PERF-3
The young man had (already) arrived home.
MR.05.063 राजार घरत जाएने आप्नार छागल तागलला गुहालि घरत ढुकाए तुकाए उहाँ सुना आप्नार घर थाक्बा
जाबे राति घुरि।

king-GEN house-LOC go-CONJ.PTCL REFL[pro]-GEN female_goat [echo]female_goat-PL
guhal-i $\quad g^{\text {h }} \Lambda r-\Lambda t \quad d^{\text {h }} u k a-e \quad$ tuka-e uhã
farmer-ADJR house-LOC take_inside-CONJ.PTCL [echo]take_inside-CONJ.PTCL 3sg[pro]
suna apna-r $\quad g^{h} \Lambda r \quad t^{\text {h }}$ ak-ba ja-b-i rati $g^{\text {h }}$ uri
PCL REFL[pro]-GEN house sleep-INF go-FUT-3 night during
He went to the king's house, took his goats inside the barn and then went to (his) own home to sleep the night.
MR.05.064 थाक्बा जाबे ते एकदम राति घुरि उहाँक जार लाग्बा धरिफ्कि।
$t^{\text {hak-ba ja-b-i te ekd }}$, rati $g^{\text {h }}$ uri uhã-k jar lag-ba
sleep-INF go-FUT-3 PCL very night during $3 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $]$-DAT cold feel-INF
$\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$-ip-ki
begin-FUT-SA3
When he went to sleep, during the night, he began to feel cold.
MR.05.065 एकदम डरे जर आसिष्कि।
ekd $\Lambda m$ ḍ $\Lambda r$-e $\quad$ j $\Lambda r \quad$ as-ip-ki
very fear-INSTR fever come-FUT-SA3
He caught a fever due to being afraid.
MR.05.066 सुना उहाँर आइडक कहप्कि, "आइ, मोक दुइडा लिहानि झाका दे त।
suna uhã-r ai-ḍ -k kлh $\Lambda-\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ki}$ ai mo-k dui-ḍa
PCL 3sg[pro]-GEN mother-NCLS-DAT say-FUT-SA3 mother 1sg[obl]-DAT two-NCLS
lihani $\mathrm{j}^{\text {haka }} \mathrm{de}-\varnothing$ t $\varnothing$
blanket cover give-IMP2sg PCL
Then he said to his mother, "Mother, cover me with two blankets.
MR.05.067 मोक आझि एकदम डर लागिचे।"
mo-k aj ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}$ ekd $\wedge m$ ḍ $\wedge$ r lag-ic-e
$1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-D A T$ today very fear feel-PERF-3
I was really afraid today."
MR.05.068 सुना उहाँर माअड सुना कहप्कि, "आरे!
suna uhã-r mas-ḍ $\wedge$ suna k $\wedge h \Lambda-p-k i \quad$ are
PCL 3sg[pro]-GEN mother-NCLS PCL say-FUT-SA3 INJ
His mother said (to him), "Oh!
MR.05.069 कि हल्कु ते रे?
ki h h-1-ku te re
what be-PST-SA2sg PCL VOC
What happened (to you) then?
MR.05.070 केनङ करे सुना?
kenıy kıre suna
what_kind ADVL PCL
How (did that happen)?
MR.05.071 कि हल्कु?"
ki h h-1-ku
what be-PST-SA2sg
What happened (to you)?"

MR.05.072 बले, "आइ, कि कहबो?
bлle ai ki k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda$-b-o
QUOT mother what say-FUT-2sg
He said, "Mother, what can you say?
MR.05.073 आझि बले मरिए बच्नु।"
$\mathrm{aj}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$ bлle mır-ie bлc-n-u
today QUOT die-CONJ.PTCL save-PST-1sg
Today I almost died."
MR.05.074 "कि हल्कु सुना, केनङ करे?"
ki h $\wedge$-l-ku suna ken $\wedge y$ k $\wedge$ re
what be-PST-SA2sg PCL what_kind ADVL
"What happened (to you), how (did that happen)?"
MR.05.075 त सुना चेङराड सुना कहप्कि, "जेइखुना ते मुइ आझि करे ते गिस्नु छागल चर्बा जङल्लखानत, एकटा बाघ
आर एकटा सोर लाराइ करिस्ले।
t $\Lambda$ suna cepra-ḍ suna k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda$-p-ki jei-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ una te mui $\mathrm{aj}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$
PCL PCL young_man-NCLS PCL say-FUT-SA3 which-time PCL 1sg[pro] today

ADVL PCL go-REM.PST-1sg female_goat graze-INF jungle-NCLS-LOC one-NCLS
bag $^{\mathrm{h}}$ ar ek-ṭa sor larai kır-isl-I
tiger and one-NCLS pig fighting do-REM.PST-3
Then the young man said, "When I went to the jungle to graze the goats today, a tiger and a boar were fighting.

MR.05.077 आर बाघड माने हारि गिस्ले।
ar bag $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda \quad$ mane har-i g-isl-I
and tiger-NCLS PCL lose-ABS AUX-REM.PST-3
And as for the tiger, he lost.
MR.05.078 ताहुँ माने मुइ जान बच्बार ताने, 'ए ताम़ा दने झनाए बाराबोर हलन' कहन्किन।
tahu mane mui jan bлc-ba-r tane e $\operatorname{tam}^{h} a \quad d \Lambda n^{h} e^{h} \Lambda n a=e \quad$ barabor but PCL $1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro life save-INF-GEN for $\operatorname{INJ} 2 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]$ both $\mathrm{PCLS}=\mathrm{EMPH}$ equal h $\Lambda-1-\wedge n \quad$ k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda-n-k i-n$
be-PST-2pl say-PST-SA3-1sg
But, in order to save my life, I said (to them), 'Eh, you were both even.' "
MR.05.079 मोक एनङ डर लागिस्ले ना, आइ, कि कहबो...!" कहेने उहाँ बल्बे आइडर सङे, माअडर सङे।
mo-k en^y ḍィr lag-isl-I na ai ki k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda-b-o$
1sg[obl]-DAT like_this fear feel-REM.PST-3 PCL mother what say-FUT-2sg
 say-CONJ.PTCL 3sg[pro] speak-FUT-3 mother-NCLS-GEN with mother-NCLS-GEN with He said, "I was so afraid, mother, what can you say..." and talked with his mother.

MR.05.081 बाघड सुना आमार घरेर पाछुति आसिएने राति घुरि सुनिए रहप्कि, कि कि बल्चे धिना इड चेङरा।"
 tiger-NCLS PCL 3pl[pro]-GEN house-GEN behind come-CONJ.PTCL night during sun-ie rıh $-\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ki} \quad$ ki ki b $\quad$ l-c-e $d^{\text {hina }}$ i-ḍ $\Lambda$ hear-CONJ.PTCL remain-FUT-SA3 what what speak-PRES-3 let's_see DEM[prox]-NCLS
cenra
young_man
During the night, the tiger came behind their house and remained (there) listening, "What is the young man saying, let's see."

MR.05.082 सुना उहाँ सुनि फेकाप्कि, बाघड,
suna uhã sun-i $\quad p^{h}$ eka-p-ki bag $^{\text {h }}-$ d. $^{\prime}$
PCL 3sg[pro] hear-ABS AUX-FUT-SA3 tiger-NCLS
He heard; the tiger (heard).
MR.05.083 "ए, मुइ त हारि गिस्नु।
e mui ts har-i g-isn-u
INJ 1sg[pro] PCL lose-ABS AUX-REM.PST-1sg
"Eh, so I lost.
MR.05.084 तुइ त मोक हतिना ठग्लो।
tui $\quad \mathrm{t} \Lambda$ mo-k h $\quad$ tina $t^{\text {h }} \Lambda$ g-l-o
$2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ PCL $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-D A T$ there cheat-PST-2sg
You cheated me there (after all).
MR.05.085 ठिक छे।"
$t^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ik} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
alright be-PRES3
OK. "
MR.05.086 राति घुरि बार बजे, उड बाघड सुना आमार घरेर उखान, टाटिखान काना करिए एकदम ढुकिचे।

night during twelve o'clock that-NCLS tiger-NCLS PCL 3pl[pro]-GEN house-GEN
$u-k^{h}$ an tati-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an kana k $\wedge r-i e \quad$ ekd $\Lambda m d^{h} u k-i c-e$
that-NCLS wall-NCLS hole do-CONJ.PTCL very enter-PERF-3
During the night, at twelve o'clock, the tiger made a hole in the wall of their house and entered.

MR.05.087 एकदम लिहानि झाका लिए थाकि छिले चेङर्राड।
ekd $\wedge$ m lihani $j^{\text {h }}$ aka 1-ie $\mathrm{t}^{\text {h }}$ ak-i $\quad \mathrm{c}^{\text {hi-l-I }}$ cenra-ḍ $\Lambda$
very blanket cover take-CONJ.PTCL sleep-CONJ.PTCL be-PST-3 young_man-NCLS
Having covered himself with the blanket the young man sleeping.
MR.05.088 लिहानिर समित उहाँक लिएने एकदम जङलेर माखाए दउर मारिचे बाघड।

blanket-GEN with 3 sg[pro]-DAT take-CONJ.PTCL very jungle-GEN direction run
mar-ic-e bag ${ }^{\text {h }}$-ḍ $\Lambda$
kill-PERF-3 tiger-NCLS
The tiger took him along with the blanket and ran into the jungle.
MR.05.089 जाते जाते एकदम मुखखानत एकदम उड चेडराडके आर लिहानिडके एकदम एके सङे, एकदम काम्राइचे।
ja-te ja-te ekd $\Lambda m m u k^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ an- $\wedge \mathrm{t}$ ekd $\wedge \mathrm{m}$ u-ḍ $\Lambda$
go-CONT.PTCL go-CONT.PTCL very mouth-NCLS-LOC very that-NCLS

young_man-NCLS-DAT $=$ EMPH and blanket-NCLS-DAT $=\mathrm{EMPH}$ very one $=\mathrm{EMPH}$ with
ekdлm kamra-ic-e
very hold_in_mouth-PERF-3
While he went he held the young man and the blanket together in his mouth.
MR.05.090 एकदम चेङराड जे निदाचे निदाचे कुछु उहाँ मालुम नि पाए।
ekd $\Lambda$ m cepra-ḍ $\Lambda$ je nida-c-e nida-c-e kuc $^{\text {h }} \mathbf{u}$ uhã
very young_man-NCLS still sleep-PRES-3 sleep-PRES-3 some 3sg[pro]
malum ni pa-e
knowledge(loan) NEG get-PST.HAB3
The young man was still sleeping and sleeping, he didn't know anything.
MR.05.091 पात्ताए नि पाए उहाँ।
patta $=$ e ni pa-e uhã
knowledge = EMPH NEG get-PST.HAB3 3sg[pro]
He didn't realise anything.
MR.05.092 निदाएका निदाए उहाँ।
nida-eka nida-e uhã
sleep-PST.PTCL(Nepali) sleep-2sg[MGH](Nepali) 3sg[pro]
He was in deep sleep.
MR.05.093 सुना जाते जाते सुना एकटा पइनि आसिप्कि।
suna ja-te ja-te suna ek-ṭa psini as-ip-ki
PCL go-CONT.PTCL go-CONT.PTCL PCL one-NCLS irrigation_channel come-FUT-SA3
While he was going he (the tiger) came to an irrigation channel.
MR.05.094 उड पइनिड सुना जेनङ ति बाघड थिप्रिबा चाहाबे, अइ थिप्रिबारे खुनि, सुना उड चेङराड जाफ्कि लुस करे गिरिए माटिखानत।

that-NCLS irrigation_channel-NCLS PCL when towards tiger-NCLS jump-INF try-FUT-3

$$
\Lambda i \quad \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \text { ipri-ba-r }=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \text { uni suna u-ḍ } \Lambda \quad \text { ceŋra-ḍ } \Lambda \quad \text { ja-p-ki }
$$

INJ jump-INF-GEN = EMPH time PCL that-NCLS young_man-NCLS AUX-FUT-SA3
lus k kre gir-ie mați-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an- $\Lambda \mathrm{t}$
softly ADVL fall-ABS soil-NCLS-LOC
When that tiger tried to go over that irrigation channel, right at the time of jumping, that young man fell softly on to the ground.
MR.05.095 आर बाघड थाहाए नि पाबे।
ar bag ${ }^{\text {h }}$-d $\Lambda \quad t^{\text {h }}$ aha $=\mathrm{e}$ ni pa-b-I
and tiger-NCLS knowledge(Nepali)=EMPH NEG get-FUT-3
And the tiger didn't realise it at all.
MR.05.096 चल, चल, चल।
cal cal cal
go-PST3 go-PST3 go-PST3
He went and went and went.
MR.05.097 सुना उहाँ कहबे ते, "थाम्।
suna uhã kлhл-b-ı te $t^{h} a m-\varnothing$
PCL 3sg[pro] say-FUT-3 PCL wait-IMP2sg
Then he (the tiger) said, "(Just) wait.

MR.05.098 पहेले जङ.लखानत पुगु।
pıhele j $\wedge \eta \wedge 1-k^{\mathrm{h}}$ an- $\lambda \mathrm{t}$ pug-u
first jungle-NCLS-LOC reach-SUBJ1sg
First let me reach the jungle."
MR.05.099 जङलखानत पुगिए तने तोक मुइ खाम्कुन," कहेने उहाँ जाएने जङलखानत अनङ करे उभ्राएने देख्वे ते लिहानि मात्रै रहप्कि।

jungle-NCLS-LOC reach-CONJ.PTCL PCL $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-D A T 1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ eat-FUT-SA2sg-1sg

say-CONJ.PTCL 3sg[pro] go-CONJ.PTCL jungle-NCLS-LOC like_that ADVL
ub ${ }^{\text {h}}$ ra-ene dek $^{\mathrm{h}}$-b-i te lihani matrei rshs-p-ki
unroll-CONJ.PTCL see-FUT-3 PCL blanket only(Nepali) remain-FUT-SA3
He said, "After reaching the jungle then I will eat you." Then, going to the jungle he unrolled (the blanket) like that and saw that only (his) blanket remained.

MR.05.101 "आरे! कुना गेले?
are kun $^{\mathrm{h}}$ a ge-l-I
INJ where go-PST-3
"Eh! Where did he go?
MR.05.102 कि होल?"
ki ho-l
what be-PST3
What happened?"
MR.05.104 हिदर सुना जेनङ उहाँ गिर्बे, गिरिल मन्ते सुना, एक घान्टाबाद, सुना बाहान हप्कि।
$\operatorname{hid} \wedge r$ suna jen $\wedge$ y uhã gir-b-I gir-il mınte suna ek
over_here PCL when 3 sg[pro] fall-FUT-3 fall-PST3 immediately PCL one
$g^{h}$ anṭa-bad suna bahan h $h$-p-ki
hour-after PCL morning be-FUT-SA3
Over here (i.e. opening of new scene), when he fell, immediately after falling, one hour later, morning dawned.

MR.05.105 देख्बे ते, "आरे!
dek $^{\mathrm{h}}$-b-I te are
look-FUT-3 PCL INJ
He looked (i.e. he realised), "Eh!
MR.05.106 मुइ त पइनडत थाकिचु!
mui t $\Lambda$ psin $\Lambda$-d $\Lambda$-t $t^{\text {hak-ic-u }}$
1sg[pro] PCL irrigation_channel-NCLS-LOC sleep-PERF-1sg
I have slept in an irrigation channel.
MR.05.107 केनङ करे हेत्ना आसिए पुगि गेनु मुइ?"
kenıy kıre hetna as-ie pug-i ge-n-u mui what_kind ADVL here come-CONJ.PTCL reach-ABS AUX-PST-1sg 1sg[pro] How did I arrive here?"

MR.05.108 सुना अग्ले बग्ले देख्बे ते बाघेर टापला।
suna $\wedge$ gle_bıgle dek $^{\text {h}}-\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{r}$ te bag $^{\mathrm{h}}$-er ṭap-la
PCL here_and_there look-FUT-3 PCL tiger-GEN footprint-PL
He looked around and saw tiger's footprints.
MR.05.109 "हँ हँ," उहाँ सुना मनड से कहबे, "हँ हँ।
$h \tilde{\Lambda}$ h $\tilde{\Lambda}$ uhã suna m $n-\frac{d}{\Lambda}$ se k
INJ INJ 3sg[pro] PCL mind-NCLS ABL say-FUT-3 INJ INJ "Oh (I see)", he said to himself, "Oh (I see).
MR.05.110 आप मुइ जदि घुरिए घर जाम फेर बाघड मोक चुलि जाबे खाबा अइठिनाए।
 PCL 1sg[pro] if turn-CONJ.PTCL house go-FUT1sg again tiger-NCLS 1 sg[obl]-DAT cul-i ja-b-i $\quad k^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{ba} \quad \Lambda i-t^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{ina}}=\mathrm{e}$
AUX-ABS go-FUT-3 eat-INF DEM[rem/emph]-place $=$ EMPH
Now, if I go home again the tiger will come right there again to eat me.
MR.05.111 कियाँ घरला देखि फेकाइचे।
kiã $g^{h} \Lambda r-l a \quad \operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eka-ic-e
why house-PL look-ABS AUX-PERF-3
Because it (the tiger) has seen my houses (already).
MR.05.112 अँ हँ, आप मुइ घर नि जाम।
$\tilde{\Lambda} \quad h \tilde{\Lambda} \quad$ ap mui $g^{h} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$ ni ja-m
INJ INJ PCL 1sg[pro] house NEG go-FUT1sg
No, I will not go home now.
MR.05.113 आप मुइ एइमाखाए एइमाखाए माने एकदम दस्रा ति चुलि जाम मोक माने बाघड ना पात्ता लाग्बा पारोक।"
ap mui ei-mak ${ }^{\text {hae }}$ ei-mak $^{\text {hae mane ekd } \wedge \mathrm{m}} \mathrm{d} \Lambda$ sra PCL 1sg[pro] DEM[prox/emph]-direction DEM[prox/emph]-direction PCL very other
ti cul-i ja-m mo-k mane bag ${ }^{\text {h}}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda$ na patta
towards AUX-ABS go-FUT1sg 1sg[obl]-DAT PCL tiger-NCLS NEG knowledge
lag-ba par-ok
apply_to-INF can-SUBJ3
I will go this way, this way, in another direction so that the tiger isn't able to know (where) I (am)."
MR.05.115 सुना इड चेङ.रा घर सर आर नि जाबे।
suna i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ cenra $g^{\text {h }} \Lambda r \quad$ s $\wedge r \quad$ ar ni ja-b-I
PCL DEM[prox]-NCLS young_man house [echo]house again NEG go-FUT-3
So, the young man didn't go home again.
MR.05.116 आर अइखुन से सुना एकदम घर बारि छरिएने एके चटि उहाँ कुन्धर कुन्धर बेराते बेराते एकखान जङलत पुगि जाबे।
ar $\Lambda i-k^{h} u n \quad$ se suna ekd $\Lambda m g^{h} \Lambda r$ bari $c^{h} \Lambda r$-iene
and DEM[rem/emph]-time ABL PCL very house village leave-CONJ.PTCL
ek=e c cṭi uhã $\operatorname{kund}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r} \quad \operatorname{kund}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$ bera-te
one $=\mathrm{EMPH}$ moment $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] what_direction what_direction walk-CONT.PTCL
bera-te ek-k ${ }^{\text {han }}$ j $\wedge$ y $\Lambda l-\wedge t$ pug-i ja-b-I
walk-CONT.PTCL one-NCLS jungle-LOC reach-ABS AUX-FUT-3
And from that time he left the house and village. All in one go, while walking here and there he reached a jungle.
MR.05.118 एकटा सुना गेस छिल्कि उखान जङलत।
ek-ṭa suna ges $c^{h}-i l-k i \quad u-k^{h} a n \quad j \wedge y \Lambda l-\Lambda t$
one-NCLS PCL tree be-PST-SA3 that-NCLS jungle-LOC
In that jungle there was a tree.
MR.05.119 उड गेसेर तलत सुना आर्ना भइसला रहकि।
u-ḍ $\Lambda$ ges-er t t lıt suna arına $b^{\text {h }} \Lambda$ is-la r
that-NCLS tree-GEN underneath PCL type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-PL live-SA3 Under that tree there used to live wild buffaloes.
MR.05.120 आर्ना भइसला माने भेल्ला रहे।
arına $\quad b^{h} \Lambda i s-l a \quad$ mane $b^{h}$ ella rıh-e
type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-PL PCL many live-PST.HAB3
There used to live many wild buffaloes.
MR.05.121 सुना आर्ना भइसला एकदम अइठिना रहे।

PCL type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-PL very DEM[rem/emph]-place live-PST.HAB3 The wild buffaloes used to live right there.

MR.05.122 त जाएने सुना उड गेसेर पर जाबे चरिए।
ts ja-ene suna u-ḍ $\Lambda$ ges-er pır ja-b-i cлrid PCL go-CONJ.PTCL PCL that-NCLS tree-GEN on_top AUX-FUT-3 climb-ABS He went and climbed up that tree.

MR.05.123 आर्ना भइसला माने हतिना रहे राति घुरि।
arsna $\quad b^{h} \Lambda i s-l a \quad$ mane h htina rah-e rati $g^{h}$ uri type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-PL PCL there remain-PST.HAB3 night during As for the buffaloes, they used to be there during the night.

MR.05.124 बाहाने उठिएने आमा सुना फेर चर्बा जाए जङलखानेर ति।
bahan $=\mathrm{e} \quad{u t t^{\mathrm{h}}}^{\text {-iene }} \quad \mathrm{am}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a} \quad$ suna $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{er}$ csr-ba ja-e morning $=$ EMPH rise-CONJ.PTCL 3pl[pro] PCL again graze-INF go-PST.HAB3 j $\wedge$ ŋ $\Lambda l-k^{\text {h }}$ an-er ti
jungle-NCLS-GEN towards
In the morning they used to get up and go into the jungle to graze.
MR.05.125 चर्ते चर्ते कोइखुना माने आमा एक महिना तक नि आसे, कोइखुना दुइ महिना तक नि आसे, उड थानडत माने। car-te car-te koi-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ una mane am ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ ek mıhina tsk graze-CONT.PTCL graze-CONT.PTCL some-time PCL 3pl[pro] one month until ni as-e koi-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ una dui mshina tık ni as-e u-ḍ NEG come-PST.HAB3 some-time two month until NEG come-PST.HAB3 that-NCLS $t^{h}$ an-d $\Lambda$-t mane
place-NCLS-LOC PCL
While grazing sometimes they didn't use to come for one month, sometimes they didn't use to come for two months, to that place (I mean).

MR.05.127 आर कोए दिन आसि जाए एक हाप्तात।
ar koe din as-i ja-e ek hapta-t
and some day come-DVBL go-DVBL one week-LOC
And sometimes they used to come and go in one week.
MR.05.128 उड गेसेर पर जाएने चऱिए रहबे
u-ḍ $\quad$ ges-er pır ja-ene cлr ${ }^{\text {h }}$-ie rıh $-b-\boldsymbol{r}$
that-NCLS tree-GEN on_top go-CONJ.PTCL climb-CONJ.PTCL remain-FUT-3
He went and climbed up that tree, and stayed there.
MR.05.130 सुना जेइखुना आर्ना भइसला बाहाने उठिएने चर्बा जाबे, ते आमार जेइला गबर रहप्कि उला गबर सुना
गटेलाए उड चेङरा साप्टिए ताप्टिए राखिप्कि।
suna jei-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ una $\quad$ ar^na $\quad b^{h}$ ^is-la bahan $=e \quad u t^{h}$-iene
PCL which-time type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-PL morning = EMPH rise-CONJ.PTCL
 graze-INF go-FUT-3 PCL 3pl[pro]-GEN which-PL dung be-FUT-SA3 that-PL dung
suna g $\Lambda t ̣ e-l a=e \quad u-d ̣ \Lambda \quad$ cepra sapt-ie tapt-ie
PCL all-PL=EMPH that-NCLS young_man sweep-ABS [echo]sweep-CONJ.PTCL
rak ${ }^{\text {h }}$-ip-ki
put-FUT-SA3
And when the wild buffaloes woke in the morning and went to graze, then their dung that was there, all of that dung, the young man swept it all up.

MR.05.131 त जेइखुना आर्ना भइसला सुना आसिएने देखेे, "आरे!
t $\Lambda$ jei-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ una arına
$b^{\mathrm{h}}$ ^is-la
suna as-iene

PCL which-time type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-PL PCL come-CONJ.PTCL
dek $^{\text {h }}$-b-I are
see-FUT-3 INJ
And when the wild buffaloes came and saw, "Eh!
MR.05.132 हामार थानडत काहें इला साफा सुघर कर्चे?" सुना छक परि जाबे।
hama-r $\quad t^{\text {h }}$ an-d $\Lambda-\mathrm{t} \quad$ kahe i-la $\operatorname{sap}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a} \quad \operatorname{sug}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$ k $\Lambda$ r-c-e $1 \mathrm{pl}[$ pro]-GEN place-NCLS-LOC who DEM[prox]-PL clean(Nepali) clean do-PRES-3 suna $c^{h} \Lambda k \quad$ p $k$-i ja-b-I
PCL surprisement fall-ABS AUX-FUT-3
Who cleans up in our place?" they were amazed.
MR.05.133 "आरे! काहें कर्चे इखान जङलत?
are kahe kır-c-e i-k ${ }^{\text {h }} \quad j \wedge \eta \Lambda l-\wedge t$
INJ who do-PRES-3 DEM[prox]-NCLS jungle-LOC
"Eh! Who does (this) in this jungle?"
MR.05.134 फेर सुना दस्रा दिन, फेर आमा चर्बा जाबे।
$p^{h} e r$ suna d $\Lambda$ sra din $p^{h} e r a m^{h} a \quad$ c $\Lambda r$-ba ja-b-I again PCL other day again 3pl[pro] graze-INF go-FUT-3
Then again another day they went to graze.
MR.05.135 फेर आमा चर्बा जाबार खुना उड, चेङराड आसिएने, फेर दिप्कि गबरला साफा करिए, आमार थानेर गबरला।
$p^{\mathrm{h}} e r \quad \mathrm{am}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ c $\wedge$ r-ba ja-ba-r $k^{\mathrm{h}}$ una u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ cenra-ḍ $\Lambda$ again $3 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] graze-INF go-INF-GEN during that-NCLS young_man-NCLS
 come-CONJ.PTCL again AUX-FUT-SA3 dung-PL clean(Nepali) do-ABS 3pl[pro]-GEN $t^{\text {h }}$ an-er $\quad$ gлbлr-la
place-GEN dung-PL
Again, when they went grazing, the young man came again and cleaned the dung (for them), the dung in their place.

MR.05.136 अनङ कर्ते कर्ते एक दिन, दुइ दिन, अनङ कर्ते कर्ते माने आर्ना भइसला छक परि जाबे।
$\wedge n \wedge y$ k kr-te k ek din dui din $\wedge \mathrm{n} \wedge \mathrm{y}$ k kr-te
like_that do-CONT.PTCL do-CONT.PTCL one day two day like_that do-CONT.PTCL
kır-te mane arına $b^{h} \Lambda i s-l a \quad c^{h} \Lambda k \quad$ p $\lambda r-i$
do-CONT.PTCL PCL type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-PL surprisement fall-ABS
ja-b-I
AUX-FUT-3
(Continuing) doing that (for) one day, doing that for two days, the wild buffaloes were amazed.

MR.05.137 "इला काहें साफा कर्चे?"
i-la kahe sap ${ }^{h}{ }^{h} \quad$ k $\wedge$ r-c-e
DEM[prox]-PL who clean(Nepali) do-PRES-3
"Who cleans these up?"
MR.05.138 त सुना आर्ना भइसेर एकझना सुना लेङ.रि रहप्कि, लेङरि आर्ना भइस।
t $\Lambda$ suna arına
$b^{\mathrm{h}}$ ^is-er
ek-j ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ na suna leŋri

PCL PCL type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-GEN one-PCLS PCL lame[FEM]
rıh $-\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{ki}$ leyri ar $\wedge$ na $b^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ is
live-FUT-SA3 lame[FEM] type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo
One of the (female) wild buffaloes was lame, a lame buffalo.
MR.05.139 उहाँक कहप्कि ते, "तुइ आझि हेत्ना डिप्टि दे।
uhã-k kлhл-p-ki te tui aj ${ }^{\text {h }}$ hetna dipt-i de- $\varnothing$
3sg[pro]-DAT say-FUT-SA3 PCL 2sg[pro] today here guard-ABS AUX-IMP2sg
(They) said to her, "You guard here today.
MR.05.140 काहें हेत्ना साफा कर्चे हामार इड, थानड?
kahe hetna sap ${ }^{h} a \quad$ k $\wedge$ r-c-e hama-r $i-d ̣ \Lambda \quad t^{h} a n-d ̣ \Lambda$
who here clean(Nepali) do-PRES-3 1pl[pro]-GEN DEM[prox]-NCLS place-NCLS Who cleans up here in this place of ours?

MR.05.141 आर तोर ताने हामा, सभाए आर्ना भइसला, एकटा करे गालत घास आर एकटा करे गालत पानि आनि दिम।"


MR.05.142 कम से कम आर्ना भइसला माने दुइ स, तिन स, पाच स एत्ला तक छिले।
kımse kım arına mane dui s $b^{\mathrm{h}}$ ^is-la tin
less ABL less type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-PL PCL two hundred three
s $\quad$ pac s $\quad$ etla tık $c^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-1-\mathrm{I}$
hundred five hundred this_many until be-PST-3
(There were) at least two hundred, three hundred, five hundred wild buffaloes, this many (there) were.

MR.05.143 "ते, ठिके छे, हबे," कहल्कि।
te $t^{\text {h }} \mathrm{ik}=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{h} \Lambda$-b-I $\quad \mathrm{k} \Lambda \mathrm{h} \Lambda-1-\mathrm{ki}$
PCL alright $=$ EMPH be-PRES3 be-FUT-3 say-PST-SA3
"Alright, OK," she said (to them).
MR.05.144 सुना लेङरि आर्ना भइसट सुना थानडते रहि गेल।
suna lenri arına $\quad b^{h} \Lambda i s-t ̣ \Lambda \quad$ suna $t^{h}$ an- ${ }^{\text {d }} \Lambda-t=e$
PCL lame[FEM] type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-NCLS PCL place-NCLS-LOC=EMPH
rah-i ge-l
remain-ABS AUX-PST3
The lame wild buffalo remained right there.
MR.05.145 मतलब आग्रा दिले।
mıtlıb agra di-l-I
meaning duty give-PST-3
I mean, she was on guard there.
MR.05.146 आरह आर्ना भइसला सुना चर्बा गेले।
arhı arına $b^{h} \Lambda$ is-la suna cır-ba ge-l-I
other type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-PL PCL graze-INF go-PST-3
The other wild buffaloes went grazing.
MR.05.147 आमा चर्बा गिछे।
$\mathrm{am}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{c} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{ba} \quad \mathrm{g}$-ic ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
3pl[pro] graze-INF go-PERF-3
They had gone grazing.
MR.05.148 तने हिदर सुना आर्ना भइसट नुकाए छिकि, उड लेङरि आर्ना भइसट।
tıne hid $\wedge$ r suna arına $\quad b^{\text {h }} \Lambda$ is-ṭ $\Lambda \quad$ nuka-e
PCL over_here PCL type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-NCLS hide-CONJ.PTCL
$c^{\mathrm{h}}$-i-ki u-ḍ $\quad$ legri arına $\quad b^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ is-ṭ $\Lambda$
be-PRES-SA3 that-NCLS lame[FEM] type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-NCLS
Then over here the wild buffalo was hiding, that lame wild buffalo.
MR.05.149 "काहें धिना इला, गबरला साफा कर्चे?" कहेने देख्बार ताने।

who let's_see DEM[prox]-PL dung-PL white do-PRES-3 say-CONJ.PTCL
dek $^{\text {h }}$-ba-r tane
see-INF-GEN for
'Let's see who cleans up this dung', in order to see (this).

MR.05.150 जेनङे. फेर उला आर्ना, गटेला, आमा, भइसला चर्बा गेले अइखुना सुना गेसट से सुट करे नमिएने उहाँ आरकि एकदम उला, गबरला साफा करिस्ले।
jen $\wedge y=e \quad p^{h}$ er $\quad$ u-la $\quad$ arına $\quad$ gite-la $a m^{h} a \quad b^{h} \wedge$ is-la
what_kind=EMPH again that-PL type_of_wild_buffalo all-PL 3pl[pro] water_buffalo-PL
cır-ba ge-l-i $\quad$ i-k ${ }^{\text {h}}$ una suna ges-ṭ $\quad$ se suṭ kıre
graze-INF go-PST-3 DEM[rem/emph]-time PCL tree-NCLS ABL secret ADVL

descend-CONJ.PTCL 3 sg[pro] PCL very that-PL dung-PL clean(Nepali) do-REM.PST-3
Again, when the wild buffaloes, all (of them), the buffaloes, went to graze, then (the young man) came secretly down from the tree and really cleaned up the dung.
MR.05.151 अइखुना उड लेङरि भइसट चेप करे पक्रि फेकाष्कि उड चेङराडक।

DEM[rem/emph]-time that-NCLS lame[FEM] water_buffalo-NCLS catch ADVL catch-ABS
pheka-p-ki u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ cenra-ḍ $\Lambda-k$
AUX-FUT-SA3 that-NCLS young_man-NCLS-DAT
Then the lame buffalo caught that young man.
MR.05.152 तने पक्रिए कहण्कि ते, "बाबा, तुइ काहें?"
tıne pıkr-ie k khл-p-ki te baba tui kahe
PCL catch-CONJ.PTCL say-FUT-SA3 PCL young_boy $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ who
Then she caught him and said (to him), "Boy, who are you?"
MR.05.153 चेडराड सुना आप्नार गटे इखान, खिसाखान सुनाष्कि।
ceŋra-ḍ $\Lambda$ suna apna-r g tẹe i-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ isa-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an
young_man-NCLS PCL REFL[pro]-GEN all DEM[prox]-NCLS story-NCLS
sun-a-p-ki
hear-CAUS-FUT-SA3
The young man told the whole of his story (to her).
MR.05.154 एन्ति से एन्ति, एन्ति से एन्ति, एन्ति से एन्ति।
enti se enti enti se enti enti se enti
like_this ABL like_this like_this ABL like_this like_this ABL like_this
"This happened, and then this happened, and then this happened." (Lit. From like this to like this, from like this to like this, from like this to like this.)
MR.05.155 "मुइ बहुत दु:खे कस्टे हेत्ना आसिचु," कहेने गटे काथाला माने उहाँक सुनाष्कि, उड लेङरि आर्ना भइसटक।
mui bshut duk ${ }^{\text {h}}$-e kste-e hetna as-ic-u
1sg[pro] very(Hindi) trouble(loan)-INSTR trouble(loan)-INSTR here come-PERF-1sg
g $\Lambda$ ṭe $k t^{\text {ha }}$ a-la mane unã-k sun-a-p-ki u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ lenri
all thing-PL PCL $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{DAT}$ hear-CAUS-FUT-SA3 that-NCLS lame[FEM]
arına $\quad b^{h} \Lambda$ is-ṭ $\Lambda-k$
type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-NCLS-DAT
Saying, "I have come here with great trouble," he told her everything, to the lame wild buffalo.
MR.05.156 त लेङरि आर्ना भइसट सुना एकटा याहाँक बुद्धि दिप्कि,
ts leyri
arsna
$b^{\mathrm{h}}$ ^is-ṭ
suna ek-ṭa
PCL lame[FEM] type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-NCLS PCL one-NCLS
yaha-k budd ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}$ di-p-ki
DEM[pro/prox]-DAT wisdom give-FUT-SA3
Then the lame wild buffalo gave him an idea.
MR.05.157 "ते ठिके छे, तुइ बहुत दुक पाइचिस।"
te $t^{\text {h }} \mathrm{ik}=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-e tui bshut duk pa-ic-is PCL alright = EMPH be-PRES3 $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ greatly(Hindi) trouble get-PERF-2sg "Well, alright. You have had a lot of trouble."

MR.05.158 आर्ना भइसलार मइधे एकझना माने आमार राजा छिल्कि।
 type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-PL-GEN among one-PCLS PCL 3pl[pro]-GEN raja $c^{\text {h }}$-il-ki
leader be-PST-SA3
Amongst the wild buffaloes one was their leader.
MR.05.159 सब से आगुति माने उहाँ आसे।
s $\wedge$ b se aguti mane uhã as-e
every ABL in_front PCL $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] come-PST.HAB3
She used to come in front of all of them.
MR.05.160 "ते, ठिके छे, हामार आर्ना भइसटर जेऱड आस्ले, तुइ एकदम पान सुपारि लिएने हइयाएने ठेङल्लात परि जाइस।
te $t^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-e hama-r arına
PCL alright = EMPH be-PRES3 1pl[pro]-GEN type_of_wild_buffalo
$b^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ is-ṭ $\Lambda$-r $\operatorname{jer}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$-ḍ $\Lambda$ as-le tui ekd $\Lambda m$ water_buffalo-NCLS-GEN herd-NCLS come-COND.PTCL 2sg[pro] very pan supari li-ene hsia-ene
leaf_of_betel_nut areca_nut take-CONJ.PTCL rush_to_throw_oneself-CONJ.PTCL
$t^{\text {h}}$ en-la-t $\quad$ psr-i $\quad$ ja-is
foot-PL-LOC fall-ABS AUX-SUBJ2sg
"Well, alright, if our herd of wild buffaloes comes, take 'pan supari' and rush to throw yourself (in respect) at her feet. ('Pan supari': a folded betel leaf in which bits of areca nuts (or, betel nuts) are contained. This is presented as a gift at auspicious events, or chewed after meals.)

MR.05.161 'तुइ आझि से मोर माअ गे' कहेने माने बाब्दाए दिस।
tui $\mathrm{aj}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$ se mo-r mas ge ksh-ene mane
2sg[pro] today ABL 1sg[obl]-GEN mother VOC[fem] say-CONJ.PTCL PCL babda-e d-is
make_one's_parent-ABS AUX-SUBJ2sg
Make her your parent by saying 'From today forwards you are my mother.'
MR.05.162 तने तोक कुछु नि कहप्कु।"
tıne to-k kuchuni kshs-p-ku
PCL 2sg[obl]-DAT some NEG say-FUT-SA2sg
Then they will say nothing to you (i.e. 'she will not tell you off')."

MR.05.163 सुना उहाँ जेनङ जेनङ करे सिकाप्कि सेनङ सेनङ करे चेङराड सुना आप उला आर्ना भइसला आस्बार बेला एकदम ठेङलात जाएने अनङ करे परि जाबे।
suna uhã jen $\wedge y$ jen $\wedge y$ k $\wedge$ re sik-a-p-ki sen $\wedge y$ sen $\wedge y$
PCL 3sg[pro] what_kind what_kind ADVL learn-CAUS-FUT-SA3 that_kind that_kind
kıre cenra-ḍ suna ap u-la arına $b^{h} \Lambda i s-l a$
ADVL young_man-NCLS PCL PCL that-PL type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-PL

come-INF-GEN time very foot-PL-LOC go-CONJ.PTCL like_that ADVL fall-ABS
ja-b-I

## AUX-FUT-3

In the way she taught him, in that very way the young man did when the wild buffaloes came: the young man went and fell at the (i.e. her) feet.
MR.05.164 "तुइ मोर आझि से माअ गे" कहे ठेङल्लात परि जाबे।
tui mo-r $a j^{h^{h}} \mathbf{i}$ se mas ge kлh-e t then-la-t
$2 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $] 1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ today ABL mother VOC[fem] say-CONJ.PTCL foot-PL-LOC
par-i ja-b-I
fall-ABS AUX-FUT-3
Falling at the (i.e. her) feet he said, "From today you become my mother."
MR.05.165 तने गटे आर्ना भइसला एकदम जाप्कि थार हए, रकि जाप्कि।

PCL all type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-PL very AUX-FUT-SA3 standing be-ABS
rak-i ja-p-ki
stop-ABS AUX-FUT-SA3
Then all of the wild buffaloes stood still, they stopped.
MR.05.166 ते "कि होल, कि होल?"
te ki ho-l ki ho-l
PCL what be-PST3 what be-PST3
"What happened, what happened?"
MR.05.167 तने सुना उड आर्ना भइसट उठाप्कि चेङ.राडक।
tıne suna u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ arına $\quad b^{h} \Lambda i s-t ̣ \Lambda \quad u t^{h}-a-p-k i$
PCL PCL that-NCLS type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-NCLS rise-CAUS-FUT-SA3
cenra-ḍ $\Lambda$-k
young_man-NCLS-DAT
Then that wild (i.e. leader) buffalo lifted the young man up.
MR.05.168 सुना कहप्कि, "बाबा, तुइ काहें हइस?"
suna kлhл-p-ki baba tui kahe h $\wedge$-is
PCL say-FUT-SA3 young_boy $2 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro ] who be-PRES2sg
She said (to him), "Boy, who are you?"
MR.05.169 तने उहाँक सुना गटलाए, काथाला उहाँ आप्नार सुनाबे एन्ति से एन्ति, एन्ति से एन्ति...
tıne uhã-k suna $g \Lambda t!-l a=e \quad k a t^{h}$ a-la uhã apna-r
PCL $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{DAT}$ PCL all-PL=EMPH thing-PL 3sg[pro] REFL[pro]-GEN
sun-a-b-i enti se enti enti se enti
hear-CAUS-FUT-3 like_this ABL like_this like_this ABL like_this
Then he told her the whole of his own story, "This happened and then this happened, this happened and then this happened.
MR.05.170 "मुइ घर छरिएने हेत्ना आसिचु।
mui $\quad g^{h} \Lambda r \quad c^{h} \Lambda r$-iene hetna as-ic-u
$1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] house leave-CONJ.PTCL here come-PERF-1sg
I left home and came here.
MR.05.171 एनङति एनङति मुइ दुक पाइचु।"
en^yti en^yti mui duk pa-ic-u
like_this like_this $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ trouble get-PERF-1sg
This is how, this is how I have been in trouble."
MR.05.172 सुना उड आर्ना भइसटक सुना उहाँक, उड चेङराडक, देखिएने माया लागि जाप्कि।
suna u-ḍ $\quad$ arına $b^{\text {h }}$ isis-ṭ $\Lambda-k \quad$ suna uhã-k
PCL that-NCLS type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-NCLS-DAT PCL 3sg[pro]-DAT
u-ḍ $\Lambda$ ceøra-ḍ $\Lambda$-k dek $^{\mathrm{h}}$-iene maya lag-i ja-p-ki
that-NCLS young_man-NCLS-DAT see-CONJ.PTCL affection feel-ABS AUX-FUT-SA3 That wild buffalo saw him, that young man, and felt affection for him.
MR.05.173 तने उहाँ सुना उहाँक कहप्कि, "ठिके छे, आझि से तुइ हामा सभारे बेटा।
tıne uhã suna uhã-k kлhл-p-ki $t^{\text {h }} \mathrm{ik}=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{aj}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$ se PCL 3sg[pro] PCL 3sg[pro]-DAT say-FUT-SA3 alright = EMPH be-PRES3 today ABL tui hama s $\mathrm{sb}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{e}$ beṭa
$2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}] 1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]$ all-GEN $=\mathrm{EMPH}$ son
Then she said to him, "OK, from today onwards you are the son of all of us.
MR.05.174 तोक कोए माने चिन्ता भाबना कर्बा नि लागे।
to-k koe mane cinta $b^{\text {habna }}$ kır-ba ni lag-e
2sg[obl]-DAT some PCL worry worry do-INF NEG must-PST.HAB3
You do not need to worry about anything.
MR.05.175 तुइ एइठिना रोहो, खा।
tui ei-t ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ina $\quad$ roho- $\varnothing \quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\varnothing$
2sg[pro] DEM[prox/emph]-place remain-IMP2sg eat-IMP2sg
Stay right here, (and) eat."
MR.05.176 सुना बनेर अइला फल, नस्पति, सेंप, कि कहचे, फलला आर आर्ना भइसेर दुहुनि, एइला माने खाए जङलेर चेडराड।

PCL forest-GEN DEM[rem/emph]-PL fruit pear apple what say-PRES-3 fruit-PL
ar arına $\quad b^{h} \Lambda$ is-er duhuni ei-la mane
and type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-GEN milk DEM[prox/emph]-PL PCL
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$-e j$\quad$ jıлиl-er cenra-ḍ $\Lambda$
eat-PST.HAB3 jungle-GEN young_man-NCLS
So, the young man of the jungle ate (lit. used to eat) those fruits of the jungle, pear, apple, what are they called, fruits, and (drank) the milk of the wild buffaloes.

MR.05.177 तने सुना आप अनङ करे रहते रहते सुना उला आर्ना भइसलार राजा आर्ना भइसट उहाँक सुना दुइडा बासि दिप्कि।
tıne suna ap $\wedge n \wedge y$ kıre rıhs-te rahs-te suna u-la PCL PCL PCL like_that ADVL remain-CONT.PTCL remain-CONT.PTCL PCL that-PL ar^na $\quad b^{h} \Lambda^{\text {is-la-r }} \quad$ raja $\operatorname{ar} \wedge n a \quad b^{h} \Lambda i s-t ̣ \Lambda$
type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-PL-GEN king type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-NCLS uhã-k suna dui-ḍa basi di-p-ki
3sg[pro]-DAT PCL two-NCLS flute give-FUT-SA3
Then in that way while he stayed, the leader of those wild buffaloes gave him two flutes.
MR.05.178a धर, इला दुइडा बासि ले।
$d^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\varnothing \quad$ i-la dui-da basi le- $\varnothing$
take-IMP2sg DEM[prox]-PL two-NCLS flute take-IMP2sg
"Take, take these two flutes.
MR.05.178b एकटार नाम सुक बासि आर एकटार नाम दुक बासि।
ek-ṭa-r nam suk basi ar ek-ṭa-r nam duk basi one-NCLS-GEN name happiness flute and one-NCLS-GEN name trouble flute One's name is 'happiness flute' and one's name is 'trouble flute'.

MR.05.179 हामा कोइखुना कोइठिना भी चर्षा चुलि जाले, तुइ माने हेतिना रहबार खुनि तोक जदि दुक पर्ले इड, दुक बासिड बलाइस।
hama koi-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ una koi-t ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ina $b^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}$ car-ba cul-i ja-le
$1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]$ some-time some-place CNJ (Hindi) graze-INF AUX-ABS go-COND.PTCL
tui mane hetina rıh $\Lambda$-ba-r $\mathrm{k}^{\text {h}}$ uni to-k j $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ duk
$2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ PCL here remain-INF-GEN during $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{DAT}$ if trouble
pır-le i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ duk basi-ḍ $\Lambda$ b 1 -a-is
occur-COND.PTCL DEM[prox]-NCLS trouble flute-NCLS speak-CAUS-SUBJ2sg
If we have gone to graze sometime, somewhere, while you are staying here, if you get into any trouble, then play the trouble flute.

MR.05.180 आर सुक हले इड, सुक बासिड बलाइस।
ar suk h -le i-ḍ $\Lambda$ suk basi-ḍ
and happiness be-COND.PTCL DEM[prox]-NCLS happiness flute-NCLS
bıl-a-is
speak-CAUS-SUBJ2sg
And if you are happy, then play the happiness flute.
MR.05.181 हामा जेइठिना भी रहि, हामा थाहा पाइ जाम।
hama jei-t ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ina $b^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}$ rah-i hama $t^{\text {h }}$ aha pa-i
$1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] which-place CNJ (Hindi) be-SUBJ1pl 1pl[pro] knowledge(Nepali) get-ABS
ja-m- $\Lambda$
AUX-FUT-1pl
Wherever we are staying we will find out.
MR.05.182 दुक बासिड बलाबो, हामा थाहा पाइ जाम, कि 'अइ हामार बेटाडक दुक पाइचे आला।'
duk basi-ḍ bıl-a-b-o hama $t^{\text {h}}$ aha pa-i
trouble flute-NCLS speak-CAUS-FUT-2sg 1pl[pro] knowledge(Nepali) get-ABS
ja-m- $\quad$ ki $\quad$ i hama-r beṭa-ḍ $\Lambda$-k duk pa-ic-e al ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ AUX-FUT-1pl what INJ $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ son-NCLS-DAT trouble get-PERF-3 now If you play the trouble flute we will know that, oh, our son is now in trouble.
MR.05.183 हामा जेइठिना रहलउ हामा आसिए पुगि जाम तोक बच्बार ताने।
hama jei-t ${ }^{\text {h }}$ ina $\quad$ r $\Lambda$ h $\Lambda-1=\Lambda(u)$ hama as-ie pug-i
$1 \mathrm{pl}[$ pro $]$ which-place be-COND.PTCL $=\mathrm{CNJ} 1 \mathrm{pl}[$ pro $]$ come-CONJ.PTCL reach-ABS
ja-m- to-k bлc-ba-r tane
AUX-FUT-1pl 2sg[obl]-DAT save-INF-GEN for
No matter where we are, we will come in order to save you.
MR.05.184 आर सुक बासिड बलाबो ते हामाक माने लाग्बे, हँ, सुके छे हामार बेटाड।
ar suk basi-ḍ $\Lambda$ bлl-a-b-o te hama-k mane lag-b-i and happiness flute-NCLS speak-CAUS-FUT-2sg PCL 1pl[pro]-DAT PCL feel-FUT-3
h $\tilde{\Lambda}$ suk $=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$ hama-r beṭa-ḍ $\Lambda$
INJ happiness $=$ EMPH be-PRES3 1 pl[pro]-GEN son-NCLS
And if you play the happiness flute, then we will know: 'He is happy, our son.' "
MR.05.185 कहेने दुइडा बासि दिप्कि।
k^h-ene dui-ḍa basi di-p-ki
say-CONJ.PTCL two-NCLS flute give-FUT-SA3
Saying this (i.e. for this purpose) she gave two flutes (to him).
MR.05.186 त "ठिके छे" सुना उड चेङरा उ दुइडा बासि लिएने सुना रहबे।
t $\Lambda \quad t^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ik}=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e} \quad$ suna u -ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ cenra u dui-ḍa basi
PCL alright $=$ EMPH be-PRES3 PCL that-NCLS young_man that two-NCLS flute
li-ene suna rahs-b-I
take-CONJ.PTCL PCL remain-FUT-3
OK, that young man took the two flutes and stayed (there).
MR.05.187 सुना आप सुक बासिड सुना बलाबे, रहबे, खाबे।
suna ap suk basi-ḍ suna bsl-a-b-i rshs-b-i k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ a-b-I
PCL PCL happiness flute-NCLS PCL speak-CAUS-FUT-3 live-FUT-3 eat-FUT-3 He played the happiness flute, stayed, ate.

## MR.05.188 उहाँर कोए चिन्ता भाबना नि रहप्कि।

uhã-r koe cinta $b^{\text {habna ni rahs-p-ki }}$
3sg[pro]-GEN some worry worry NEG be-FUT-SA3
He had no worries.
MR.05.189 त सुना अनङ कर्ते कर्ते रहते रहते सुना एकदम बार बछर हइ जाप्कि।
t $\Lambda$ suna $\Lambda n \wedge y$ k k $\wedge$-ter-te rahs-te rshs-te
PCL PCL like_that do-CONT.PTCL do-CONT.PTCL live-CONT.PTCL live-CONT.PTCL suna ekd $\Lambda m$ bar $\Lambda b_{\wedge} c^{\text {h }} \Lambda r$ h $\Lambda-i \quad j a-p-k i$
PCL very twelve year be-ABS AUX-FUT-SA3
While doing that, while staying, twelve years went by.
MR.05.190 उहाँर चुलिला एकदम लाम लाम हइ जाष्कि।
uhã-r culi-la ekd $\wedge m \operatorname{lam}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \operatorname{lam}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{h} \Lambda$-i ja-p-ki
3sg[pro]-GEN hair-PL very long long be-ABS AUX-FUT-SA3
His hair became very long.

MR.05.191 कम से कम एकदम चार पाच हात हइ जाप्कि चुलिला।
kımse kım ekd^m car pac hat hı-i ja-p-ki culi-la less ABL less very four five hand be-ABS AUX-FUT-SA3 hair-PL His hair became at least four/five hands long (one hand = finger tip to elbow; approximately 50 cms ).

MR.05.192 बार बछर से दारि मोच नि काटिएने दारिला लाम लाम हएने एकदम लोकट किबा रङ हइ जाबे। bar $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{b} \Lambda \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$ se dar ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i} \operatorname{moc}$ ni kat-iene darhi-la $\operatorname{lam}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \operatorname{lam}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ twelve year ABL beard moustache NEG cut-CONJ.PTCL beard-PL long long hл-ene ekd $\wedge m$ lok-ṭ $\Lambda$ kiba rıy h $h-i \quad j a-b-I$
be-CONJ.PTCL very man-NCLS some colour be-ABS AUX-FUT-3
Since he didn't cut his beard or moustache for twelve years, his beard (and hair) became really long. He looked wierd!

MR.05.193 एक दिन करे सुना एकदम सुक बासिड बलाचे उहाँ गेसटत बठिएने।
ek din k $\Delta$ re suna ekd $\wedge$ m suki-ḍ $\quad$ b $\Lambda l-a-c-e$ uhã one day ADVL PCL very happiness flute-NCLS speak-CAUS-PRES-3 3sg[pro] ges-ṭィ-t bst ${ }^{\text {h}}$-iene
tree-NCLS-LOC sit-CONJ.PTCL
One day he played the happiness flute while sitting in the tree.
MR.05.194 सुना एकदम गेसटर उपर से एकटा, कुवाड एकटा आच्छा फुल मुखखानत लिएने जाछे।
suna ekd $\wedge$ m ges-ṭ $\Lambda$-r up $\wedge$ se ek-ṭa kua-ḍ $\Lambda$ ek-ṭa acc ${ }^{\text {ha }}$
PCL very tree-NCLS-GEN above ABL one-NCLS crow-NCLS one-NCLS good
$p^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ul}$ muk $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ an- At li-ene ja-ch -e
flower mouth-NCLS-LOC take-CONJ.PTCL go-PRES-3
In the tree above him a crow brought a beautiful flower in its mouth.
MR.05.195 चेङराड सुना उपरमाखे देख्बे।
ceŋra-ḍ suna upır-mak ${ }^{\text {h }}$ e dek $^{\mathrm{h}}$-b-I
young_man-NCLS PCL above-direction see-FUT-3
The young man looked upwards.
MR.05.196 देख्बे ते, "आरे छे!
dek $^{\mathrm{h}}$-b-I te are $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e}$
see-FUT-3 PCL INJ INJ
He saw (it), "Eh!
MR.05.197 इड फुल त हामार तिकार फुलड।"
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad p^{h} u l$ t $\Lambda$ hama-r ti-kar $p^{\text {h } u l-d ̣ ~} \Lambda$
DEM[prox]-NCLS flower PCL 1pl[pro]-GEN towards-GEN flower-NCLS
This flower is a flower from our region."
MR.05.198 उहाँक बाडा आच्छा लागि जाप्कि।
uhã-k bara acc ${ }^{\text {h }}$ a lag-i ja-p-ki
3sg[pro]-DAT very good feel-ABS AUX-FUT-SA3
He liked (that flower) very much.
MR.05.199 तने सुना उहाँ एकदम उड फुलड लिबार ताने उड सुक बासिड दे एकदम झाटा ठुक्बे कुवाडक।
tıne suna uhã ekd $\Lambda \mathrm{m}$ u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{p}^{\text {h} u l-d ̣ ~} \Lambda$ li-ba-r tane u-ḍ $\Lambda$ PCL PCL 3sg[pro] very that-NCLS flower-NCLS take-INF-GEN for that-NCLS
suk basi-ḍ $\Lambda$ de ekd $\Lambda m j^{\text {h }}$ aṭa $t^{\text {h }}$ uk-b-I
happiness flute-NCLS INSTR very stick_thrown_to_bring_fruit_down hit-FUT-3
kua-ḍ $\wedge$-k
crow-NCLS-DAT
Then, in order to take the flower, he threw the happiness flute at the crow.
MR.05.200 ते कुवाड उड सुक बासिड चेप करे लिप्कि पक्रिए आर फुलड दिप्कि छरिए मुखखान से।
te kua-ḍ $\Lambda$ u-ḍ $\Lambda$ suk basi-ḍ $\Lambda$ cep kıre li-p-ki
PCL crow-NCLS that-NCLS happiness flute-NCLS catch ADVL AUX-FUT-SA3
pıkr-ie ar phul-ḍ ${ }^{\text {h }}$ di-p-ki $c^{\text {h }} \Lambda r$-ie muk ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ an se
catch-ABS and flower-NCLS AUX-FUT-SA3 let_go-ABS mouth-NCLS ABL
Then the crow caught the happiness flute (in mid air) and let go of the flower from its mouth.
MR.05.201 त सुना सुक बासिड लिएने उराएने चुलि जाप्कि कुवाड।
t $\Lambda$ suna suk basi-ḍ li-ene ura-ene cul-i
PCL PCL happiness flute-NCLS take-CONJ.PTCL fly-CONJ.PTCL AUX-ABS
ja-p-ki kua-ḍ $\Lambda$
go-FUT-SA3 crow-NCLS
Then the crow took the flute and flew off.
MR.05.202 सुना फुलड दिप्कि गिराए चेङराडक।
suna $p^{h} u l-{\underset{c}{\Lambda}}^{c}$ di-p-ki gir-a-e ceŋra-ḍ $\Lambda-k$
PCL flower-NCLS AUX-FUT-SA3 fall-CAUS-ABS young_man-NCLS-DAT The flower he dropped to the young man.
MR.05.203 सुना देख्बे इड फुलड।
suna dek ${ }^{\text {h }}$ b-i $\quad$ i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad p^{h} u l-$ de $\Lambda$
PCL see-FUT-3 DEM[prox]-NCLS flower-NCLS
He looked at the flower.
MR.05.204 कुवाड त मोर बासिड लेइ गेले।
kua-ḍ $\Lambda$ t $\Lambda$ mo-r basi-ḍ $\Lambda$ le-i ge-1-I
crow-NCLS PCL 1sg[obl]-GEN flute-NCLS take-CONJ.PTCL go-PST-3
"The crow took my flute away."
MR.05.205 सुना आप बाडा चिन्ता लागि गेल्कि उहाँक।
suna ap bara cinta lag-i ge-1-ki unã-k
PCL PCL very worry feel-ABS AUX-PST-SA3 3sg[pro]-DAT
Then he got very worried.
MR.05.206 केवा दिनबाद फेर सुना अइड कुवा एकटा आमार तिकार आम लिएने जाच्कि उपर दे।
kewa din-bad $p^{\mathrm{h}}$ er suna $\Lambda \mathrm{i}-\underset{\text { den }}{ } \quad$ kua ek-ṭa $\mathrm{am}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{r}$ some day-after again PCL DEM[rem/emph]-NCLS crow one-NCLS 3pl[pro]-GEN
ti-kar am li-ene ja-c-ki upar de
towards-GEN mango take-CONJ.PTCL go-PRES-SA3 up ADVL
A few days later that same crow came (flying) above holding a mango from their region.
MR.05.207 याहाँ देखेचे, "आरे!
yaha dek ${ }^{\text {h}}$-ec-e are
DEM[pro/prox] see-PRES-3 INJ
He (the young man) saw it, "Eh!

MR.05.208 इड त हामार तिकार आम!
i-ḍ $\Lambda$ t $\Lambda$ hama-r ti-kar am
DEM[prox]-NCLS PCL 1pl[pro]-GEN towards-GEN mango
This is a mango from our region!
MR.05.209 मुइ त बाचार खुना एनङति आमला खाउ।"
mui ts baca-r $k^{\text {h} u n a ~ e n ı y t i ~ a m-l a ~} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h} a-u}$
1sg[pro] PCL child(loan)-GEN during like_this mango-PL eat-PST.HAB1sg When I was a child I used to eat this kind of mango."

MR.05.210 उहाँक एकदम उड आम खाबा मेनाए जाप्कि।
uhã-k ekd 1 m u-ḍ $\Lambda$ am $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$-ba mena-e ja-p-ki
3sg[pro]-DAT very that-NCLS mango eat-INF want_to-ABS AUX-FUT-SA3
He really felt like eating that mango.
MR.05.211 सुना एकदम दुक बासिड दे झाटा ठुक्बे उहाँ।
suna ekd $\wedge$ m duk basi-ḍ $\Lambda$ de jhaṭa $t^{\text {h}} u k-b-I$
PCL very trouble flute-NCLS INSTR stick_thrown_to_bring_fruit_down hit-FUT-3
uhã
$3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$
Then he threw the trouble flute (at the crow).
MR.05.212 उड दुक बासिड चेप करे पक्रि लिफ्कि।
u-ḍ $\Lambda$ duk basi-ḍ $\Lambda$ cep kıre pıkr-i li-p-ki
that-NCLS trouble flute-NCLS catch ADVL catch-ABS AUX-FUT-SA3
(The crow) caught that trouble flute in mid air.
MR.05.213 उड, आमड सुना मुखखान से दिप्कि गिराए।
u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ am-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ suna $m^{h} k^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ an se di-p-ki gir-a-e
that-NCLS mango-NCLS PCL mouth-NCLS ABL AUX-FUT-SA3 fall-CAUS-ABS
He dropped that mango from his mouth (to the young man).
MR.05.214 आमड सुना उहाँर हातत परिण्कि,
am-ḍ $\Lambda$ suna uhã-r hat- r t p $\mu$-ip-ki
mango-NCLS PCL 3sg[pro]-GEN hand-LOC fall-FUT-SA3
The mango fell into his hand.
MR.05.215 चेङराडर हातत चेप करे पाइ जाबे।
ceŋra-ḍ $\Lambda$-r hat- $\Delta t$ cep kıre pa-i ja-b-I
young_man-NCLS-GEN hand-LOC catch ADVL get-ABS AUX-FUT-3
The young man's hand caught it in his hand.
MR.05.216 उहाँर दुक बासिडउ लेइ गेल्किने कुवाड, आर सुक बासिडउ लेइ गेल्किने।
uhã-r duk basi-ḍ $\Lambda=u$ le-i ge-l-kine kua-ḍ $\Lambda$
3 sg[pro]-GEN trouble flute-NCLS $=$ CNJ take-CONJ.PTCL go-PST-SA3 crow-NCLS
ar suk basi-ḍ $\Lambda=u$ le-i ge-l-kine
and happiness flute-NCLS $=$ CNJ take-CONJ.PTCL go-PST-SA3
The crow had taken both his trouble flute and his happiness flute too.

MR.05.217 आप त उहाँर सङे कुछु नि छिकि।
ap $t_{\Lambda}$ uhã-r s^ye kuc ${ }^{\text {h }} u$ ni $c^{\text {h}}$-i-ki
PCL PCL 3sg[pro]-GEN with some NEG be-PRES-SA3
Now he had nothing.
MR.05.218 अनङ कर्ते कर्ते रहते रहते सुना एक दिन करे उड, चेङराडक सुना सिना कर्बा जाबा मेनाइच्कि लदि।
$\wedge n \wedge$ k kr-te k $\quad$ r-te rhs-te rahs-te suna ek like_that do-CONT.PTCL do-CONT.PTCL live-CONT.PTCL live-CONT.PTCL PCL one din k $\wedge$ re u-ḍ $\quad$ cenra-ḍ $\Lambda-k \quad$ suna sin-a kar-ba ja-ba day ADVL that-NCLS young_man-NCLS-DAT PCL wash(body)-DVBL do-INF go-INF mena-ic-ki $\quad 1 \wedge \mathrm{di}$
want_to-PERF-SA3 river
Time passed like that (while doing that, while staying), (and) one day the young man wanted to go to bathe (in/to) the river.

MR.05.219 तने उहाँ सिना कर्बा गिछे।
t^ne uhã $\sin -\mathrm{a} \quad$ kır-ba g-ich -e
PCL 3 sg [pro] wash(body)-DVBL do-INF go-PERF-3
Then he has gone to bathe.
MR.05.220 सिना कर्बा गिछे।
$\sin -\mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{k} \wedge r-b a \quad g-\mathrm{ic}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
wash(body)-DVBL do-INF go-PERF-3
He has gone to bathe.
MR.05.221 ते एकदम उहाँर लाम लाम चुलिला मइधे एकखान चुलि गिच्किक आख्रिए सिना कर्ते कर्ते।
te ekd $\Lambda$ m uhã-r $\quad \operatorname{lam}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \operatorname{lam}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ culi-la m $\lambda^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ e ek-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an culi
$\begin{array}{lcccc}\text { PCL very } & 3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{GEN} \text { long } & \text { long } & \text { hair-PL among one-NCLS hair } \\ \text { g-ic-ki } & \text { ak }^{\text {h}} \mathrm{ri} \text {-e } & \sin -\mathrm{a} & \text { k } \wedge \text { r-te } & \text { k } \wedge r-t e\end{array}$
AUX-PERF-SA3 come_off-ABS wash(body)-DVBL do-CONT.PTCL do-CONT.PTCL
Then, one (strand of) hair from amongst his very long hair came off while he was bathing.
MR.05.223 सुना उहाँ बिचार करिए देखेचे "आप इखान चुलि जदि मुइ लदिडत फाकाए दु, लदिर माचला ओर्कोट
जोर्कोट लागिएने मरि जाबे।
suna uhã bicar k $\quad$ r-ie dek $^{h}$-ec-e ap i-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an culi j $\Lambda$ di
PCL 3sg[pro] thought do-CONJ.PTCL look-PRES-3 PCL DEM[prox]-NCLS hair if
mui $1 \Lambda d i-d ̣ \Lambda$-t $\quad p^{h}$ aka-e d-u $1 \Lambda d i-r \quad$ mac-la orkot
$1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro ] river-NCLS-LOC throw-ABS AUX-SUBJ1sg river-GEN fish-PL mixed_up
jorkot lag-iene mar-i ja-b-I
[echo]mixed_up apply_to-CONJ.PTCL die-ABS AUX-FUT-3
He thought, "Now, if I throw this hair in the river, the river fish will get entangled and will die.
MR.05.224 आप जदि मुइ एनङे धादनिखानते राखि दु इखान चुलि ते कुवा, इला पखिला आमार ठेङलात लागिएने ओर्कोट जोर्कोट लागिएने आमाअ मरि जाबे।
ap j $\Lambda$ di mui $\quad$ en $\Lambda \eta=e \quad d^{\text {h }}$ adni-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an-t $=e \quad$ rak $^{\text {h }}-\mathrm{i} \quad d-u$
PCL if 1sg[pro] like_this = EMPH riverbank-NCLS-LOC = EMPH put-ABS AUX-SUBJ1sg
i-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an culi te kua i-la $\quad$ a $k^{\mathrm{h}}$ i-la $a^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-r $t^{\text {h }}$ en-la-t
DEM[prox]-NCLS hair PCL crow DEM[prox]-PL bird-PL 3pl[pro]-GEN leg-PL-LOC
lag-iene orkot jorkot lag-iene $\mathrm{am}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}=\Lambda$
apply_to-CONJ.PTCL mixed_up [echo]mixed_up apply_to-CONJ.PTCL 3pl[pro]=CNJ
mar-i ja-b-r
die-ABS AUX-FUT-3
If I put this hair on the river bank, the crow, these birds will get their legs entangled and they too will die.

MR.05.225 केनङ कर्ले हबे ते?"
kenıy kır-le h $\quad$-b-I te
what_kind do-COND.PTCL must-FUT-3 PCL
What should I do then?"
MR.05.226 तने सुना उहाँ उखान चुलि एकखान पनित सुना एकदम ढुकाएने एकटा टप्लार लाखाति बाचा बेनाएने सुना लदिडत दिबे भासाए।
tıne suna uhã u-k an culi ek-k ${ }^{\text {han }}$ p $\wedge$ ni-t suna ekd $\wedge$ m
PCL PCL 3sg[pro] that-NCLS hair one-NCLS plastic-LOC PCL very
ḍ $^{\text {h }}$ uk-a-ene ek-ṭa ṭィpla-r lak ${ }^{\text {hati baca bena-ene suna }}$ enter-CAUS-CONJ.PTCL one-NCLS bundle-GEN like small make-CONJ.PTCL PCL
$1 \Lambda d i-d ̣ \Lambda-t \quad$ di-b-I $b^{\text {has-a-e }}$
river-NCLS-LOC AUX-FUT-3 float-CAUS-ABS
Then he put that hair into a (piece of) plastic, made something like a small bundle (from it) and set it afloat in the river.

MR.05.227 आर हुदर सुना राजार बेटिड सुना अइदिन करे ठिक करे सुना गिछे लदि सिन्बा आप्नार सङलार सङे।
ar hudsr suna raja-r beṭi-ḍ suna $\Lambda$ i-din kлre
and over_there PCL king-GEN daughter-NCLS PCL DEM[rem/emph]-day ADVL

exactly ADVL PCL go-PERF-3 river wash(body)-INF REFL[pro]-GEN friend-PL-GEN
sıye
with
Over there (i.e. opening of a new discourse section) the king's daughter, exactly that day, went bathing in the river with her friends.

MR.05.228 सङलार सङे सुना लदि सिनान कर्ते कर्ते कर्ते उड, टप्लाड भास्ते भास्ते भास्ते आस्बा देखि फेकाबे।
sıy-la-r s sye suna 1^di sin-an kır-te knr-te
friend-PL-GEN with PCL river wash(body)-DVBL do-CONT.PTCL do-CONT.PTCL
kır-te u-ḍ $\quad$ ṭ $\wedge$ pla-ḍ $\Lambda \quad b^{h}$ as-te $\quad b^{h}$ as-te
do-CONT.PTCL that-NCLS bundle-NCLS float-CONT.PTCL float-CONT.PTCL
$b^{\mathrm{h}}$ as-te as-ba $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eka-b-ı
float-CONT.PTCL come-INF see-ABS AUX-FUT-3
While bathing (lit. bathing, bathing, bathing) in the river with her friends, (she) saw that bundle come floating (lit. floating, floating, floating).

MR.05.229 उहाँ देख्बे ते, "आरे!
uhã dek ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$-b-I te are
3sg[pro] look-FUT-3 PCL INJ
She looked, "Eh!

MR.05.230 इड कुन टप्ला?"
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ kun ṭィpla
DEM[prox]-NCLS what bundle
What kind of a bundle is this?"
MR.05.231 आरह चेङरिला देखि फेकाष्कि।
arh $\Lambda$ cenri-la $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ eka-p-ki
other young_woman-PL see-ABS AUX-FUT-SA3
The other girls saw (it).
MR.05.232 त सुना आरह चेङनरिला दउगिएने लिबा जाबे टप्लाड।
tı suna arhs cenri-la dлug-iene li-ba ja-b-i t! pla-ḍ $\Lambda$
PCL PCL other young_woman-PL run-CONJ.PTCL take-INF go-FUT-3 bundle-NCLS The other girls ran and went to take the bundle.

MR.05.233 आमा जाले एकदम भेलाखान पानि हइ जाष्कि, एकदम डुबि जाबा चाहाचे।
$\mathrm{am}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$ ja-le ekd $\wedge \mathrm{m} \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{el}(\mathrm{l}) \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ an pani h $\mathrm{h}^{-\mathrm{i}}$ ja-p-ki ekd $\mu \mathrm{m}$
3pl[pro] go-COND.PTCL very lots_of-NCLS water be-ABS AUX-FUT-SA3 very
ḍub-i ja-ba caha-c-e
$\operatorname{sink}($ Nepali)-ABS AUX-INF try-PRES-3
If (i.e. when / every time) they (i.e. the other girls) went, the water level rose up and tried to drown (them).

MR.05.234 रानिर बेटिड सुना एक चटि जाबे आन्बा।
rani-r beṭi-ḍ $\Lambda$ suna ek caṭi ja-b-I an-ba
queen-GEN daughter-NCLS PCL one moment go-FUT-3 bring-INF
The queen's daughter went once to take (it).
MR.05.235 ते जेनङ उहाँ जाबे, पानिखान कम हते चुलि जाप्कि उहाँर।
te jenıy uhã ja-b-i pani-khan kım hı-te cul-i
PCL what_kind $3 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro go-FUT-3 water-NCLS less be-CONT.PTCL AUX-ABS
ja-p-ki uhã-r
go-FUT-SA3 3sg[pro]-GEN
When she went the (lit. 'her') water (level) went down (lit. became less).
MR.05.236 तने राजार बेटिड, सुना उड टप्लाड देख्बे खुलाएने।
tıne raja-r beṭi-ḍ $\Lambda$ suna u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ ṭpla-ḍ $\Lambda \quad \operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{I}$
PCL king-GEN daughter-NCLS PCL that-NCLS bundle-NCLS look-FUT-3
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ula-ene
open-CONJ.PTCL
Then the king's daughter opened the bundle and looked (at it).
MR.05.237 देख्बे ते, "हारे राम!
dek $^{\text {h}}$-b-r te hare ram
see-FUT-3 PCL INJ Rām(god)
She looked, "Oh my god (lit. Hare Rām)!
MR.05.238 इखान दस्रा किसिमेर चुलि! एताखान लाम चुलि!
i-k ${ }^{\text {han }} \quad \mathrm{d} \Lambda$ sra kisim-er culi eta-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an $\operatorname{lam}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ culi
DEM[prox]-NCLS other type-GEN hair this_much-NCLS long hair
This is another type of hair, such a long hair!

MR.05.239 काहाँर चुलि हए इखान?
kaha-r culi h $\Lambda$-e i-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an
who-GEN hair be-PRES3 DEM[prox]-NCLS
Whose hair is this?"
MR.05.240 लदिड से सुना सिनान करिए घरत सुना चुलि आस्बे।
$1 \wedge$ di-ḍ $\Lambda$ se suna sin-an k $\quad$ r-ie $g^{\text {h }} \Lambda r-\Lambda t \quad$ suna cul-i
river-NCLS ABL PCL wash(body)-DVBL do-CONJ.PTCL house-LOC PCL AUX-ABS as-b-I
come-FUT-3
After bathing she came home from the river.
MR.05.241 आप घरत आसिएने आप्नार कठाडत ढुकिएने उहाँ भात पानि दिबे छरिए।
ap $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\Lambda \mathrm{t}$ as-iene apna-r k $\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda-\mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} u k-i e n e$
PCL house-LOC come-CONJ.PTCL REFL[pro]-GEN room-NCLS-LOC enter-CONJ.PTCL
uhã ban di-b-I pan $\mathrm{c}^{\text {h }} \Lambda$ r-ie
3sg[pro] cooked_rice water AUX-FUT-3 stop-ABS
After coming home she went into her room and stopped eating rice and water.
MR.05.242 राजाडए आर रानिडए "आप्नार बेटिड भात कियाँ नि खाचे?" कहेने सुना पुछिप्कि, "माइ, कि हल्कु तोर?
$\operatorname{raja}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda=\mathrm{e} \quad$ ar $\quad \operatorname{rani}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda=\mathrm{e} \quad$ apna-r beṭi-ḍ $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}$ at
king-NCLS $=$ EMPH and queen-NCLS $=$ EMPH REFL[pro]-GEN daughter-NCLS cooked_rice
kiã ni $k^{\text {ha-c-e }}$ kıh-ene suna puc ${ }^{\text {h }}$-ip-ki mai ki
why NEG eat-PRES-3 say-CONJ.PTCL PCL ask-FUT-SA3 y.sister what
ha-1-ku to-r
be-PST-SA2sg 2sg[obl]-GEN
The king and the queen, wondering why their daughter was not eating rice, asked (her), "Younger sister, what happened to you?
MR.05.243 कियाँ नि खाबो तुइ खराक?"
kiã ni $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{o}$ tui $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{rak}$
why NEG eat-FUT-2sg $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ food
Why will you not eat food?"
MR.05.244 बले, "एकटा सत कर मोर सङे।
bsle ek-ṭa s st knr- $\quad$ mo-r sıye
QUOT one-NCLS promise do-IMP2pl 1sg[obl]-GEN with
She said, "Make me one promise.
MR.05.245 जदि एकटा सत कर्बन, तने" बले "मुइ भात खाम।"
 if one-NCLS promise do-FUT-2pl then QUOT 1sg[pro] cooked_rice eat-FUT1sg If you make me a promise," (she) said, "then I will eat rice."

MR. 05.246 "ते कि हल्कु?
te $\mathrm{ki} \mathrm{h} \wedge-1-\mathrm{ku}$
PCL what be-PST-SA2sg
"Well then, what happened to you?

MR.05.247 ले, कोहो ना।"
le koho- $\varnothing$ na
OK say-IMP2sg PCL
Well, tell (us), please."
MR.05.248 बले, "एकटा सत कर्बा हप्कन।"
bıle ek-ṭa s s t k $\quad$ r-ba h $\Lambda-p-k \wedge n$
QUOT one-NCLS promise do-INF must-FUT-SA2pl
She said, "You have to make a promise."
MR.05.249 बले, "ले, हँँ, सते सत।"
bsle le h $\tilde{\Lambda} \quad \mathrm{s} \Lambda t=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{s} \Delta \mathrm{t}$
QUOT OK INJ promise = EMPH promise
They said, "Yes, yes, promise, promise." (i.e. We promise.)
MR.05.250 सुना माअ बापलाक सत खिलाएने पाछुत कहबे, "मुइ लदि सिन्बा गिस्नु।

PCL mother father-PL-DAT promise feed-CONJ.PTCL after-LOC say-FUT-3 $1 \operatorname{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$
lıdi sin-ba g-isn-u
river wash(body)-INF go-REM.PST-1sg
Then, making them promise (lit. having fed them a promise) she said to (her) mother and father, "I went to bathe in the river.

MR.05.251 एकखान चुलि पाइचु, सात हातेर चुलि।
ek-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an culi pa-ic-u sat hat-er culi
one-NCLS hair get-PERF-1sg seven hand-GEN hair
I got a (strand of) hair, a seven hand (long strand of) hair.
MR.05.252 इखान जदि बेर्दानिर चुलि हए, ते उहाँर सङे मुइ साङ़ान लागाम।

DEM[prox]-NCLS if woman-GEN hair be-PRES3 PCL 3sg[pro]-GEN with 1sg[pro]
sayhan laga-m
friend[FEM] apply-FUT1sg
If this is the hair of a woman, then I will become her (covenant) friend.
MR.05.253 जदि इखान मर्दनार चुलि हए, चेडरा लोकेर चुलि हए, ते उहाँर सङे मोक माने बाहा करि दिबा हप्कन।

if DEM[prox]-NCLS man-GEN hair be-PRES3 young(man) man-GEN hair
h $\Lambda$-e te uhã-r s $\quad$ ye mo-k mane baha k $\wedge$ r-i di-ba
be-PRES3 PCL 3sg[pro]-GEN with $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{DAT}$ PCL wedding do-ABS give-INF
h $\wedge-p-k \wedge n$
must-FUT-SA2pl
If this is a man's hair, the hair of a young man, then you must let me marry him.
MR.05.254 जदि इड काथा तामा मान्बन ते मुइ भात खाम, नि ते मुइ भात नि खाम।"

if DEM[prox]-NCLS thing 2pl[pro] agree-FUT-2pl PCL 1sg[pro] cooked_rice
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{ni}$ te mui $\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}$ at $\mathrm{ni}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}$
eat-FUT1sg NEG PCL 1sg[pro] cooked_rice NEG eat-FUT1sg
If you agree to this I will eat rice, otherwise I will not eat rice."

MR.05.255 ते सुना आप, राजाड सत करि फेकाइचे आप नि कर्लउ नि हचे।
te suna ap raja-ḍ $\Lambda$ s $\lambda$ k $\wedge$ r-i pheka-ic-e ap ni
PCL PCL PCL king-NCLS promise do-ABS AUX-PERF-3 PCL NEG
$\mathrm{k} \wedge \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{l} \Lambda=\mathrm{u}$ ni h $\Lambda$-c-e
do-COND.PTCL $=$ CNJ NEG be-PRES-3
Since the king had (already) made a promise, he could not avoid it. (Lit. 'It is not (possible for him) not to do it. ')

MR.05.256 त सुना आप, "ठिके छे।
$t_{\Lambda} \quad$ suna ap $t^{h} i k=e \quad c^{h}-e$
PCL PCL PCL alright = EMPH be-PRES3
Then he said, "Alright."
MR.05.257 आप अन्ति हले बेटि..." कहेने सुना हत्ना दिबे उहाँ ढोल पिटिए।
ap $\Delta n t i \quad$ h $\Lambda$-leṭi ksh-ene suna hstna di-b-I PCL like_that be-COND.PTCL daughter say-CONJ.PTCL PCL there AUX-FUT-3 uhã ḍ $^{\text {h }}$ ol pit-ie
3sg[pro] drum_to_summon beat-ABS
He said, "If that is so, daughter..." and he beat a drum (in order to summon people).
MR.05.258 एन्ति एन्ति सात हातेर चुलि बाला चेङरा होक या चेङरि होक, काहुँ जदि आनिएने दन, जदि काहुँ पात्ता लाग्बा पारे, ते उहाँक माने एत्ला इनाम, माने दुइ चार हाजार, एक दुइ लाख टाका आर जाग्गा साग्गा" दिबार माने उहाँ ढोल पिटि दिबे।
enti enti sat hat-er culi wala cenra h-ok ya
like_this like_this seven hand-GEN hair NML(Hindi) young_man be-SUBJ3 or
cenri h-ok kahu j jdi an-iene j-лn j $\operatorname{di}$ kahu
young_woman be-SUBJ3 anyone if bring-CONJ.PTCL give-SUBJ2pl if anyone patta lag-ba par-e te uhã-k mane etla inam mane
knowledge apply_to-INF can-SUBJ3 PCL 3sg[pro]-DAT PCL this_many reward PCL
dui car hajar ek dui lak ṭaka ar jagga sagga
two four thousand one two hundred_thousand coin and land [echo]land
di-ba-r mane uhã ḍ $^{\text {h}}$ ol pit-i di-b-I
give-INF-GEN PCL 3sg[pro] drum_to_summon beat-ABS AUX-FUT-3
"This long, seven hands (long) strand of hair, no matter whether it is a young man or a young woman, whosoever brings, whosoever finds out, then to him/her I will give this much reward: two to four thousand, one to two hundred thousand coins and land." He beat a drum (in order to summon people).
MR.05.259 ते गटलाके थाहा हइ जाबे उड काथा।
te g $\Delta t-l a-k=e \quad t^{\text {haha }}$ h $\Lambda$-i ja-b-i u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ kat $^{\text {ha }}{ }^{\text {a }}$
PCL all-PL-DAT = EMPH knowledge(Nepali) be-ABS AUX-FUT-3 that-NCLS thing Then everyone found out about that thing.
MR.05.260 तने एकटा बुऱि सुना इड काथा उहाँअ थाहा पाबे।
tıne ek-ṭa bur ${ }^{h} \mathrm{i}$ suna $i-{\underset{\Lambda}{\Lambda}} \quad$ kat $^{\text {ha }}$ uhã $=\Lambda$
PCL one-NCLS old_woman PCL DEM[prox]-NCLS thing $3 \operatorname{sg}[$ pro $]=\mathrm{CNJ}$
$t^{\text {haha }}$ pa-b-I
knowledge(Nepali) get-FUT-3
Then also one old woman found out about this thing.

MR.05.261 त उहाँर सडे. एकखान नाअ छिल्कि।
t $\Lambda$ uhã-r s $\quad$ ye ek-k ${ }^{h}$ an nas $c^{h}$-il-ki
PCL 3sg[pro]-GEN with one-NCLS boat be-PST-SA3
She had a boat.
MR.05.262 त उड बुरि सुना आप पात्ता लाग्बार ताने लदिडत जाए उखान नाअखानक सुना कहले, "ले रे, नाअ,
t $\Lambda$ u-ḍ $\Lambda$ bur ${ }^{h_{i}}$ suna ap patta lag-ba-r tane

PCL that-NCLS old_woman PCL PCL knowledge apply_to-INF-GEN for
lıdi-ḍ $\Lambda$-t ja-e u-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an na $-k^{h}$ an- $k$ suna le re
river-NCLS-LOC go-CONJ.PTCL that-NCLS boat-NCLS-DAT PCL say-PST-3
suna kıhı-l-I le re nas
PCL say-PST-3 OK OK boat
In order to find out, that old woman went to the river and said to the boat, "OK, boat.
MR.05.263 जदि तुइ सतेर नाअ हबो, ते उड चेङरा अथवा उड चेङरि काहें हए, उहाँ कुज़ा छे, अइधर मोक तुइ ले जाबो ने।"
j $\Lambda$ ditui s st-er na $\Lambda h \Lambda-b-o$ te $u-d \Lambda \quad$ cenra $\Lambda t^{h} \Lambda w a$
if $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ truth-GEN boat be-FUT-2sg PCL that-NCLS young_man or(loan)
u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ cenri $\quad$ kahe $h \Lambda$-e uhã $\operatorname{kun}^{h} a^{h} c^{h}-e \quad \Lambda d^{h} \Lambda r$
that-NCLS young_woman who be-PRES3 3sg[pro] where be-PRES3 over_there
mo-k tui l-e ja-b-o ne
1sg[obl]-DAT $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ take-CONJ.PTCL go-FUT-2sg PCL
If you are a powerful/miraculous boat (lit. a boat of truth), then who is that - a young man or a young woman - (and) where is $s / h e$, you will take me in that direction."
MR.05.264 नाअखान एकदम सिरमाखे जाप्कि।
na $\Lambda$ - ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an ekd $\Lambda m$ sir-mak ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e} \quad$ ja-p-ki
boat-NCLS very upstream-direction go-FUT-SA3
The boat went straight upstream.
MR.05.265 जेइधर उड चेङराड छिले, अइधर जाष्कि।

over_where that-NCLS young_man-NCLS be-PST-3 over_there go-FUT-SA3
It went to the place where the young man was.
MR.05.266 तने एकठिना जाएने सुना नाअखान जाप्कि रकिए।
tıne ek-t ${ }^{\text {h}}$ ina ja-ene suna nas- ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an ja-p-ki rak-ie
PCL one-place go-CONJ.PTCL PCL boat-NCLS AUX-FUT-SA3 stop-ABS
Then the boat went to one place and stopped.
MR.05.267 ते उहाँ थाहा पाइ जाबे, "ए एइठिनाए माने छे चेङराड।"
te uhã thaha pa-i ja-b-I
PCL 3sg[pro] knowledge(Nepali) get-ABS AUX-FUT-3
e ei-t ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{ina}=\mathrm{e}$ mane $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$ cenra-ḍ $\Lambda$
INJ DEM[prox/emph]-place = EMPH PCL be-PRES3 young_man-NCLS
Then she found out, "Oh, (so) this is the place where the boy is."
MR.05.268 सुना उहाँ अनङ करे भेल्ला दिन से हत्ना पाहारा दिबे।
suna uhã $\quad \wedge \wedge \wedge y$ kıre $b^{h}$ ella din se hıtna pahara di-b-I
PCL 3sg[pro] like_that ADVL many day ABL there guard give-FUT-3
In this manner she was on guard for many days.

MR.05.269 पाहारा दिबे, अन्छाबे, अन्छाबे।
pahara di-b-I $\quad$ nc $^{\mathrm{h}}$ a-b-I $\quad$ nce $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{I}$
guard give-FUT-3 search-FUT-3 search-FUT-3
She guarded, searched, searched.
MR.05.270 तत सुना एक दिन करे उड चेङरा सुना फेर सिना कर्बा आस्बे लदिडत जङ.लखान से।
tıt suna ek din kıre u-ḍ cenra suna p ${ }^{\text {her }}$ sin-a k
then PCL one day ADVL that-NCLS young_man PCL again wash-DVBL do-INF
as-b-I $\quad 1 \wedge d i-d ̣ \Lambda-t \quad j \wedge \jmath \Lambda l-k^{\mathrm{h}}$ an se
come-FUT-3 river-NCLS-LOC jungle-NCLS ABL
Then one day that young man came again to bathe (in/to) the river from the jungle.
MR.05.271 लदिडत सिन्बा आस्बार से पहले उड, बुरिड आप्नार नाअखान डुबाएने राख्बे ताकि आरह लोकला माने थाहा ना पाओक।
1 $\Lambda$ di-ḍ $\Lambda$-t sin-ba as-ba-r se p $\quad$ shлle u-ḍ $\Lambda$
river-NCLS-LOC wash(body)-INF come-INF-GEN ABL first that-NCLS
bur $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda \quad$ apna-r na $\Lambda$-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an dub-a-ene
old_woman-NCLS REFL[pro]-GEN boat-NCLS sink(Nepali)-CAUS-CONJ.PTCL
rak $^{\text {h}}$-b-I taki arhs lok-la mane $t^{\text {haha }}$ na pa-ok
put-FUT-3 so_that(Nepali) other man-PL PCL knowledge(Nepali) NEG receive-SUBJ3
Before he came to bathe in the river, the old woman sank her own boat so that the other men wouldn't find out.

MR.05.272 जेनङे सुना उड चेङराड आस्बे सिना कर्बा, जाएने सुना बुऱिड पुगि जाबे।
jen $\wedge$ y $=$ e suna u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ cenra-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ as-b-i $\quad$ sin-a $\quad$ k $\wedge$-ba
when $=$ EMPH PCL that-NCLS young_man-NCLS come-FUT-3 wash(body)-DVBL do-INF ja-ene suna bur ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{i}$-ḍ $\Lambda$ pug-i ja-b-I
go-CONJ.PTCL PCL old_woman-NCLS reach-ABS AUX-FUT-3
When the young man came to bathe, the old woman arrived.
MR.05.273 "बाबा, तुइ मोक चिन्लो?"
baba tui mo-k $\operatorname{cin}^{\mathrm{h}}-1-\mathrm{o}$
young_boy $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}] 1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{DAT}$ know-PST-2sg
"Boy, do you know me?"
MR.05.274 जाएने सुना उड चेङ.राड कहच्चि, "मुइ नि चित्नु त।
ja-ene suna u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ cenra-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda$-c-ki mui ni
go-CONJ.PTCL PCL that-NCLS young_man-NCLS say-PRES-SA3 1sg[pro] NEG
$\operatorname{cin}^{h}-n-u \quad t \Lambda$
know-PST-1sg PCL
The young man went and said (to her), "No, I don't know (you).
MR.05.275 तुइ काहें?"
tui kahe
$2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ who
Who are you?"
MR.05.276 त उहाँ कहच्कि, "चिन्लो नि?
t $\Lambda$ uhã k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda$-c-ki $\operatorname{cin}^{\mathrm{h}}-1-\mathrm{o} \quad$ ni
PCL 3sg[pro] say-PRES-SA3 know-PST-2sg NEG
Then she said (to him), "Don't you know (me)?

MR.05.277 तोर मुइ मसि रे!
to-r mui m $\quad$ se
2sg[obl]-GEN 1sg[pro] sister_of_mother PCL
I am certainly your aunt.
MR.05.278 बाप रे! तोक अन्छाबा त कुत़ा नि पुग्नु मुइ।

INJ VOC 2sg[obl]-DAT search-INF PCL where NEG reach-PST-1sg 1sg[pro]
Oh! Where have I not been in search of you!
MR.05.279 तोर मसि मुइ हकुन।
to-r masi mui hs-kun
2sg[obl]-GEN sister_of_mother $1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $]$ be-SA2sg
I am your aunt.
MR.05.280 देख् नि, केनङ हइच्कु चुलिला।
$\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\varnothing$ ni ken $\varnothing$ h h -ic-ku culi-la
look-IMP2sg NEG what_kind be-PERF-SA2sg hair-PL
Look, wont' you, at what (your) hair has become (like).
MR.05.281 दाहाड, केन्ति मलिया हइच्कु।
daha-ḍ kenti mıl-ia h $\Lambda$-ic-ku
body-NCLS how mud-ADJR be-PERF-SA2sg
How muddy (your) body has become."
MR.05.283 आस्, मुइ सिना कराइ दिम्कुन," कहेने सुना हत्ना आमा दते झनाए गफ कर्ते जाबे।
as- $\varnothing$ mui sin-a knr-a-i di-m-ku-n
come-IMP2sg 1sg[pro] wash(body)-DVBL do-CAUS-ABS AUX-FUT-SA2sg-1sg
kıh-ene suna hıtna $a m^{h} a \quad d \Lambda n^{h} e j^{h} \wedge n a=e \quad g_{\Lambda} p^{h} \quad$ kır-te
say-CONJ.PTCL PCL there $3 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] both $\mathrm{PCLS}=\mathrm{EMPH}$ chatting do-CONT.PTCL
ja-b-ı
go-FUT-3
She said, "Come! I will bathe you (on your behalf)," and the two of them talked there as they went.

MR.05.284 आप उहाँ सुना एकदम बिस्वास दिलाफ्कि कि, 'तोर माने मुइ मसिए हकुन' कहेने,
ap uhã suna ekd $\wedge \mathrm{m}$ biswas dil-a-p-ki to-r mane
PCL 3 sg [pro] PCL very belief give-CAUS-FUT-SA3 that $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ PCL
mui m $\quad$ si $=\mathrm{e}$ h $\wedge$-ku-n k $\wedge$ h-ene
1sg[pro] sister_of_mother = EMPH be-SA2sg-1sg say-CONJ.PTCL
She really made him believe that 'I am really your aunt',
MR.05.284b. कियाँते उहाँकअ थाहा नि छिल्कि।
kiãte uhã-k= $\Lambda^{\text {h }}$ aha ni $c^{h}$-il-ki
because 3 sg[pro]-DAT $=$ CNJ knowledge(Nepali) NEG be-PST-SA3
because he didn't know, either.
MR.05.285 'माने हबाअ पारे', कहेने सुना उहाँर सङे जाबे सिनान कर्बा।
mane $\mathrm{h} \Lambda-\mathrm{ba}=\Lambda$ par-e ksh-ene suna uhã-r sıye ja-b-I
PCL be-INF $=$ CNJ can-PRES3 say-CONJ.PTCL PCL 3 sg[pro]-GEN with go-FUT-3
sin-an $k \wedge r-b a$
wash(body)-DVBL do-INF
He said, "It could also be (so)," and went with her to bathe.
MR.05.286 ते सुना उहाँ नाअखानेर उपरते राखिप्कि उहाक।
te suna uhã nas-k ${ }^{\text {h}}$ an-er uprst $=\mathrm{e}$ rak ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$-ip-ki uhã-k
PCL PCL $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ boat-NCLS-GEN above $=\mathrm{EMPH}$ put-FUT-SA3 $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{DAT}$
She put him right above the boat.
MR.05.287 तलत नाअखान छिकि, उपरत सुना थार दे उहाँक राखिच्कि।
tılıt nas-k an $c^{h}-i-k i \quad u p r \Lambda t$ suna $t^{h}$ ar $\Lambda$ d-e
underneath boat-NCLS be-PRES-SA3 above PCL standing give-CONJ.PTCL
uhã-k rak $^{\mathrm{h}}$-ic-ki
3sg[pro]-DAT put-PERF-SA3
The boat was below, she put him standing above the boat.
MR.05.288 ते सिना कर्ते कर्ते एनङे एकदम, "ले, सति जुगेर नाअ, तुइ च घरेर ति," कहबे, ताहें नाअखान एकदम लदिडर भाटिमाखाए चल चल चल...
te sin-a kır-te kлr-te en 1 y=e ekd 1 m le
PCL wash(body)-DVBL do-CONT.PTCL do-CONT.PTCL like_this=EMPH very OK

truth-ADJR era-GEN boat $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] go-IMP2sg house-GEN towards say-FUT-3 then

boat-NCLS very river-NCLS-GEN downstream-direction go-PST3 go-PST3 go-PST3
While they were bathing like this she said, "OK, you boat of the era of truth, go home." Then the boat went downstream the river, went, went, went...

MR.05.290 एके चटि राजार घर पुगाए दिप्कि।
ek $=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{c} \Lambda$ tii raja-r $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$ pug-a-e di-p-ki
one $=\mathrm{EMPH}$ moment king-GEN house reach-CAUS-ABS AUX-FUT-SA3
All in one go it took them to the king's house.
MR.05.291 सुना राजार घर पुगि जाबे चेङराड।
suna raja-r $\quad g^{h} \Lambda r$ pug-i ja-b-i cerra-ḍ $\Lambda$
PCL king-GEN house reach-ABS AUX-FUT-3 young_man-NCLS
The young man reached the king's house.
MR.05.292 ते राजार घर पुगि जाबे ते सुना एकदम राजाड छकमछेइया करे बेटिडर सङे उहाक, उड चेङराडक, दिप्कि बाहे।
te raja-r $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge \mathrm{r}$ pug-i ja-b-i te suna ekd $\wedge \mathrm{m}$ raja-ḍ $\Lambda$
PCL king-GEN house reach-ABS AUX-FUT-3 PCL PCL very king-NCLS

extravagant ADVL daughter-NCLS-GEN with 3sg[pro]-DAT that-NCLS
ceŋra-ḍ $\Lambda$-k di-p-ki bahe
young_man-NCLS-DAT give-FUT-SA3 marriage
When he/they reached the king's house the king with great extravagance let the young man wed his daughter.

MR.05.293 बाहा ताहा करि दिबे।
baha taha k $\wedge$ r-i di-b-I
wedding [echo]wedding do-ABS AUX-FUT-3
He let them wed and all.
MR.05.294 बाहा करि दिबे सुना।
baha k kr-i di-b-r suna
wedding do-ABS AUX-FUT-3 PCL
He let them get married.
MR.05.295 तत सुना बाहा ताहा हएने चेडराड सुना राजाडर घरत रहबा धर्बे।
tst suna baha taha h $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$-ene cenra-ḍ $\Lambda$ suna
then PCL wedding [echo]wedding be-CONJ.PTCL young_man-NCLS PCL
raja-d $\Lambda-r \quad g^{h} \Lambda r-\Lambda t \quad r \Lambda h \Lambda-b a \quad d^{h} \Lambda r-b-I$
king-NCLS-GEN house-LOC live-INF begin-FUT-3
After being wed, the young man started to live at the king's house.
MR.05.296 माने जब आमार कठाडत जाबे, ते हतिना देख्बे उहाँ दुइडा बासि।

PCL when $3 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]-G E N$ room-NCLS-LOC go-FUT-3 PCL there see-FUT-3 $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$
dui-ḍa basi
two-NCLS flute
But when he went into their room, he saw two flutes.
MR.05.297 इला उहाँ देखिएने थाहा पाइ जाबे, "आरे!
i-la uhã dek $^{\text {h}}$-iene $t^{\text {haha }}$ pa-i ja-b-I are
DEM[prox]-PL 3sg[pro] see-CONJ.PTCL knowledge(Nepali) get-ABS AUX-FUT-3 INJ When he saw them he found out, "Eh!

MR.05.298 इला बासिला त मोर बासिला।"
i-la basi-la t $\Lambda$ mo-r basi-la
DEM[prox]-PL flute-PL PCL 1sg[obl]-GEN flute-PL
These flutes are my flutes.

## MR.05.299 "इला त मोर बासि," उहाँ कइनाडक कहण्कि।

i-la ts mo-r basi uhã ksina-ḍ $\Lambda-k \quad$ k $\wedge h \Lambda-p-k i$
DEM[prox]-PL PCL $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ flute $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ bride-NCLS-DAT say-FUT-SA3
These are my flutes," he said to (his) bride.
MR.05.300 "तुइ इला बासि केनङ करे पालो?" कहेने उहाँर कइनाडक उहाँ पुछारि कर्बे।
tui i-la basi kenıy kıre pa-l-o ksh-ene
2sg[pro] DEM[prox]-PL flute what_kind ADVL get-PST-2sg say-CONJ.PTCL
uhã-r kıina-ḍ -k uhã puc ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ ari k $\wedge$ r-b-I
3sg[pro]-GEN bride-NCLS-DAT $3 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] question do-FUT-3
He asked his bride "How did you get these flutes?"
MR.05.301 त उहाँर कइनाड सुना कहप्कि, "ए, इला बासि, कुवाडए दुइ दिने आनिचे।
t $\Lambda$ uhã-r kıina-ḍ $\Lambda$ suna k $\wedge h \Lambda-p-k i \quad$ e i-la basi
PCL 3sg[pro]-GEN bride-NCLS PCL say-FUT-SA3 INJ DEM[prox]-PL flute
kua- $\mathrm{d}_{\Lambda}=\mathrm{e} \quad$ dui $\operatorname{din}=\mathrm{e} \quad$ an-ic-e
crow-NCLS $=$ EMPH two day $=$ EMPH bring-PERF- 3
His bride said (to him), "Eh! A crow brought these flutes in two days (i.e. one day at a time).
MR.05.302 एक दिन करे एकटा बासि एङन्नाखानत गिराले।
ek din kare ek-ṭa basi enna-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an- $\Lambda$ t gir-a-l-I
one day ADVL one-NCLS flute yard-NCLS-LOC fall-CAUS-PST-3
One day (it) dropped one flute in the yard.
MR.05.303 आर केवा दिनबाद दुस्रा एकटा बासि गिराले।
ar kewa din-bad dusra ek-ṭa basi gir-a-l-I
and some day-after second one-NCLS flute fall-CAUS-PST-3
And a few days later it dropped another flute.
MR.05.305 तने सुना मुइ उक्चिएने राखि दिछ्छु।"
tıne suna mui ukc-iene rak $^{\mathrm{h}}$-i
PCL PCL 1sg[pro] slip_in_between_bamboo_roofslats-CONJ.PTCL put-ABS
d-ic ${ }^{\text {h}}-\mathrm{u}$
AUX-PERF-1sg
Then I have put them away, slipping them in between the bamboo roofslats."
MR.05.306 "हेत! इला, त मोर बासिला!
het i-la ts mo-r basi-la
INJ DEM[prox]-PL PCL 1sg[obl]-GEN flute-PL
"Eh! These are my flutes!"
MR.05.307 त सुना "एन्ति से एन्ति, एन्ति से एन्ति माने उला बासि मोर हए" कहेने हतिना कहप्कि।
t $\Lambda$ suna enti se enti enti se enti mane u-la basi
PCL PCL like_this ABL like_this like_this ABL like_this PCL that-PL flute

1sg[obl]-GEN be-PRES3 say-CONJ.PTCL there say-FUT-SA3
Then he said, "This happened, then that happened, then this happened, then that happened, but (whatever), those flutes are mine," he told (her) there.

MR.05.308 त राजाड सुना पुछारि करिफ्कि, "त बाबु,
$t_{\Lambda}$ raja-ḍ $\Lambda$ suna puc ${ }^{h}$ ari k $\lambda r-i p-k i \quad t_{\Lambda} \quad$ babu
PCL king-NCLS PCL question do-FUT-SA3 PCL baby_boy
Then the king asked him, "Eh, baby boy!
MR.05.309 माअ बाप छुकु ना नि छुकु?"
mas bap $c^{\text {h }}-u-k u$ na ni $c^{h}-u-k u$
mother father be-PRES-SA2sg or NEG be-PRES-SA2sg
Do you have parents, or do you not?"
MR.05.310 उहाँ कहल्कि ते, "माअ छे एकटा।
uhã k $\wedge$ h $\Lambda-1-k i$ te mas $c^{\text {h }}$-e ek-ṭa
3sg[pro] say-PST-SA3 PCL mother be-PRES3 one-NCLS
He (the young man) said (to him), "(I have) one mother.

MR.05.311 आर मोर माने आर्ना माअ भी छे।"
ar mo-r mane arsna mas $b^{h_{i}} c^{h}-e$
and $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ PCL type_of_wild_buffalo mother CNJ(Hindi) be-PRES3 And I have wild buffalo-mothers too."

MR.05.312 बले, "अन्ति हले, देखा काहें हकु।"
bsle $\Lambda n t i \quad h \Delta-l e \quad \operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a}-\varnothing \quad$ kahe $\mathrm{h} \Lambda-\mathrm{ku}$
QUOT like_that be-COND.PTCL see-CAUS-IMP2sg who be-SA2sg
He (the king) said, "If that is so, show (me) who is (your mother)."
MR.05.313 "आप केनङ करे देखाम ते," सुना उहाँ उड, बुऱि माअडक सुना डाकाले, अइठिना सङे रहबा धर्ले।
ap ken $\wedge$ k $\wedge$ re dek $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{m}$ te suna uhã u-ḍ $\Lambda$ bur ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{i}$
PCL what_kind ADVL see-CAUS-FUT1sg PCL PCL 3sg[pro] that-NCLS old_woman

mother-NCLS-DAT PCL call-PST-3 DEM[rem/emph]-place with live-INF begin-PST-3 "And how shall I show (them) then?" (So) he called his old mother and (they) began to stay there together.

MR.05.314 तने सुना उहाँ आर्ना माअलाक सुना उहाँ डाकाबा चाहाले।
tıne suna uhã arına mas-la-k suna uhã ḍaka-ba
PCL PCL 3sg[pro] type_of_wild_buffalo mother-PL-DAT PCL 3sg[pro] call-INF caha-1-ı
want_to-PST-3
Then he wanted to call the wild buffalo mothers.
MR.05.315 ते उहाँ, "मोर जदि आर्ना भइस माअ देख्बन ते, तामाक दुइडा तिनडा माने पोखर खुद्बा हप्कन।"

PCL 3sg[pro] 1sg[obl]-GEN if type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo mother see-FUT-2pl te $\operatorname{tam}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{k}$ dui-ḍa tin-ḍa mane $\operatorname{pok}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{rl}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ud}-\mathrm{ba} \mathrm{h} \Lambda-\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{k} \wedge \mathrm{n}$
PCL $2 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]-D A T$ two-NCLS three-NCLS PCL pond dig-INF must-FUT-SA2pl
So (he said), "If you want to see my wild buffalo mother(s), then you [SG.HONORIFIC] must make (them) two or three ponds."
MR.05.316 बले, "कियाँ, पोखर खुद्ले, आमाक माने खान पिनेर व्यवस्था कर्बार सुबिस्ता हबे।
bsle kiã pok ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda r \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} u d-l e \quad \mathrm{am}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{k} \quad$ mane $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} a n \Lambda$ pin-er
QUOT why pond dig-COND.PTCL 3pl[pro]-DAT PCL food drink-GEN bewsst ${ }^{\text {h }}$ a k $\wedge r-b a-r \quad$ sub ${ }^{\text {hista }}$ h $\wedge$-b-I arrangement(loan) do-INF-GEN easy(loan) be-FUT-3
He said, "You see, if ponds are dug for them it will be easy to arrange for eating and drinking.
MR.05.317 अत्ला माने मोर माअ छे।"
stla mane mo-r mas $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
that_many PCL $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ mother be-PRES3
I have that many mothers."
MR.05.318 त, "ठिके!"
t $\Lambda \quad t^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ik}=\mathrm{e}$
PCL alright $=\mathrm{EMPH}$
"Alright."

MR. 05.319 राजार घरेर किसेर दुक!
raja-r $\quad g^{h} \Lambda r$-er kis-er duk
king-GEN house-GEN type-GEN trouble
What sort of trouble would that be for a king! (Lit. The kind of trouble in a king's house!)
MR.05.321 सुना दिले राजाड दुइ तिनडा पोखर बेनाए।
suna di-l-I raja-ḍ $\Lambda$ dui tin-ḍa $\operatorname{pok}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ r bena-e
PCL AUX-PST-3 king-NCLS two three-NCLS pond make-ABS
So, the king made two or three ponds.
MR.05.322 सुना पोखरलात सुना एकदम गुन्डा, पानि, नुन दिले।
suna $\operatorname{pok}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{t} \Lambda \quad$ suna ekd $\Lambda \mathrm{m}$ gunḍa pani nun di-l-I
PCL pond-NCLS PCL very chaff water salt give-PST-3
In the pond he put chaff, water and salt.
MR.05.324 सुना चेङराड धर्ले एकदम बासि बल्बा एकदम दुकेर बासिड।
suna cegra-ḍ $\Lambda \quad d^{\text {h }} \Lambda r-l-\mathrm{l} \quad$ ekd $\Lambda m$ basi b $\Lambda l-b a \quad$ ekd $\Lambda m$
PCL young_man-NCLS begin-PST-3 very flute play(instrument)-INF very
duk-er basi-ḍ
trouble-GEN flute-NCLS
Then the young man started to play the trouble flute.
MR.05.325 बल्बा धर्ले एकदम।
b $\Lambda$ l-ba $\quad d^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda r-l-\mathrm{I} \quad$ ekd $\wedge m$
play(instrument)-INF begin-PST-3 very
He really started to play.
MR.05.326 जेनङ जेनङ एकदम बासिड बल्बा ध'इचे, आर्ना भइसला बासिर आवाजड सुनिए एकदम गिड गिड गिड
करे दउर्बा ध'इचे आप्नार थानेर ति।
jen $\wedge y$ jen $\wedge y$ ekd $\Lambda m$ basi-ḍ $\Lambda$ b $\wedge$ l-ba $d^{\text {h }} \Lambda(r)-$ ic-e
what_kind what_kind very flute-NCLS play(instrument)-INF begin-PERF-3

type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-PL flute-GEN sound-NCLS hear-CONJ.PTCL very
giḍ giḍ gị̣ k $\quad$ re d $\Lambda u r-b a d^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda(\mathrm{r})$-ic-e
stampeding(sound) stampeding(sound) stampeding(sound) ADVL run-INF begin-PERF-3
apna-r $\quad t^{\text {h}}$ an-er $\quad$ ti
REFL[pro]-GEN place-GEN towards
As much as he began to play the flute, the wild buffaloes hearing the flute sound, (that much) they began to run, stampeding and stampeding towards their own place.
MR.05.327 एकदम गिड गिड गिड, एकदम ठेक्के जाएने थानत पुगि गेले।
ekd $\wedge$ miḍ giḍ giḍ ekd gm
very stampeding(sound) stampeding(sound) stampeding(sound) very
$t^{\text {h }}$ ekke ja-ene $\quad \mathrm{t}^{\text {han- }}$ t pug-i ge-l-I
exactly(Nepali) go-CONJ.PTCL place-LOC reach-ABS AUX-PST-3
Really stampeding and stampeding they arrived right at the place.

MR.05.328 थानडत पुगिए देख्ले, "आरे!
$\mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}$ an- $\mathrm{d}_{\Lambda}-\mathrm{t}$ pug-ie $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}$-l-I are
place-NCLS-LOC reach-CONJ.PTCL look-PST-3 INJ
After arriving at the place, they looked, "Eh!
MR.05.329 खोए? हामार बेटाड त छेइए नि त!

where 1 pl[pro]-GEN son-NCLS PCL be-PRES3 $=$ EMPH NEG PCL
Where? Our son is not here (at all)!
MR.05.331 कुऩा गेले?
kun ${ }^{\text {h }}$ a ge-l-I
where go-PST-3
Where did he go?
MR.05.332 कि हल्कि मोर बेटाडर?
ki h $\Lambda$-l-ki mo-r beṭa-ḍ $\Lambda$-r
what be-PST-SA3 $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-G E N$ son-NCLS-GEN
What happened to my son?
MR.05.333 हामार बेटाडर कि हल्कि?"
hama-r beṭa-ḍ $\Lambda$-r ki h $\Lambda$-l-ki
1pl[pro]-GEN son-NCLS-GEN what be-PST-SA3
What happened to our son?"
MR.05.334 सुना एकदम जेइधर जेइधर बासिड बल्चे, सेइधर सेइधर सुना आमा आर्नाला दउग्बा धइचे।
suna ekd $\Lambda m \operatorname{jeid}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r} \quad \operatorname{jeid}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$ basi-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ b $\Lambda 1$-c-e $\operatorname{seid}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$
PCL very over_where over_where flute-NCLS play(instrument)-PRES-3 over_there

over_there PCL 3pl[pro] type_of_wild_buffalo-PL run-INF begin-PERF-3
In whatever direction the flute was sounding, in that direction they, the wild buffaloes, began to run.

MR.05.335 सुना हिदर याहाँ बासिड बलाएका बलाए, बलाएका बलाए।
suna hidsr yaha basi-ḍ $\quad$ bıl-a-eka
PCL over_here DEM[pro/prox] flute-NCLS speak-CAUS-PST.PTCL(Nepali)
bıl-a-e bsl-a-eka bıl-a-e
speak-CAUS-3[MGH](Nepali) speak-CAUS-PST.PTCL(Nepali) speak-CAUS-3[MGH](Nepali) And he kept on playing and playing the flute over here.

MR.05.336 हुदर सुना आर्नाला जेइधर जेइधर बासिड बल्चे, सेइधर सेइधर एकदम दउर मार्चे।
hud $\Delta r$ suna arına-la jeid ${ }^{\text {h }} \Lambda r$ jeid ${ }^{\text {h }} \Lambda r$ basi-ḍ $\Lambda$
over_there PCL type_of_wild_buffalo(loan)-PL over_where over_where flute-NCLS
b $\Lambda$ l-c-e $\quad \operatorname{seid}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r} \quad \operatorname{seid}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r} \quad$ ekd $\Lambda m$ d $\Lambda u r$ mar-c-e
play(instrument)-PRES-3 over_there over_there very run kill-PRES-3
Over there (=shift of discourse focus), in whatever direction the flute sounded in that direction the wild buffaloes ran.

MR.05.337 दउर्ते दउर्ते उड दुकेर बासिर आवाजड सुन्ते दउर्ते , सुन्ते दउर्ते।
dлur-te dлur-te u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ duk-er basi-r awaj-ḍ $\Lambda$
run-CONT.PTCL run-CONT.PTCL DEM[rem]-NCLS trouble-GEN flute-GEN sound-NCLS
sun-te dsur-te sun-te d^ur-te
hear-CONT.PTCL run-CONT.PTCL hear-CONT.PTCL run-CONT.PTCL
They ran and ran, heard the sound of that trouble flute and ran, heard (it) and ran.
MR.05.338 अनङ कर्ते कर्ते कर्ते अन्तिमत जाएने राजा रानिर एङनाखानत जाएने ठेक्के पुगि जाबे भइसला गटलाए गिड गिड गिड करे।

like_that do-CONT.PTCL do-CONT.PTCL do-CONT.PTCL end-LOC go-CONJ.PTCL
raja rani-r enna-k ${ }^{\text {han- }} \mathrm{t}$ ja-ene t thekke pug-i
king queen-GEN yard-NCLS-LOC go-CONJ.PTCL exactly(Nepali) reach-ABS
ja-b-I $\quad b^{\text {h }} \Lambda$ is-la $\quad$ g $\Lambda$ ṭ-la $=e \quad$ giḍ $\quad$ giḍ
AUX-FUT-3 water_buffalo-PL all-PL = EMPH stampeding(sound) stampeding(sound)
giḍ kıre
stampeding(sound) ADVL
Doing that, doing that, in the end, going to, going to the king's and queen's yard they arrived right there, all of the wild buffaloes, (in a) stampeding (manner).

MR.05.339 सुना चेङ.राड ठेक्के सुना एकदम ठेङ.त परि जाबे।
suna cenra-ḍ $\quad t^{\text {thekke }}$ suna ekd $\wedge m t^{\text {they- }} \mathrm{t}$ t pır-i ja-b-I
PCL young_man-NCLS exactly(Nepali) PCL very leg-LOC fall-ABS AUX-FUT-3
Then the young man immediately fell at (their) feet.
MR.05.340 "बाबु, तुइ हेत्ना केनङ करे आसिए पुगि गेलो?
babu tui hetna ken $\wedge$ y kare as-ie pug-i ge-l-o
baby_boy $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}]$ here what_kind ADVL come-CONJ.PTCL reach-ABS AUX-PST-2sg "Boy, how did you come here?

MR.05.341 तोर कि दुक परिल्कु?"
to-r ki duk par-il-ku
2sg[obl]-GEN what trouble occur-PST-SA2sg
What trouble have you got?
MR.05.342 ले, कोहो।
le koho- $\varnothing$
OK say-IMP2sg
OK, say!"
MR.05.343 तने कहप्कि, "आइ, मोर माने कोए दुक नि परे।
tıne kıhı-p-ki ai mo-r mane koe duk ni par-e
PCL say-FUT-SA3 mother 1sg[obl]-GEN PCL some trouble NEG occur-PST.HAB3 Then (he) said to (them/her), "Mother, I don't have any trouble.
MR.05.344 मुइ तामाके भेट कर्बार ताने इड बासि बलानु।
mui $\operatorname{tam}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{h}}$ et $\quad$ k $\mu \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{ba-r} \quad$ tane i-d $\Lambda \quad$ basi
$1 \mathrm{sg}[$ pro $] 2 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{DAT}=\mathrm{EMPH}$ meeting do-INF-GEN for DEM[prox]-NCLS flute
bsl-a-n-u
speak-CAUS-PST-1sg
I played this flute in order to meet you.

MR.05.345 मुइ एनङ एनङ करे हेतिने आस्नु," गटे बृतान्तला सुनाप्कि।
mui en $\quad$ en $\wedge$ y kıre hetin=e as-n-u g ṭe britants-la
1sg[pro] like_this like_this ADVL here $=$ EMPH come-PST-1sg all event-PL
sun-a-p-ki
hear-CAUS-FUT-SA3
I came here like this and this," (and) he told them all of the events.
MR.05.346 "एनङ एनङ एनङ करे सुना मुइ हेत्ना आसिए पुगि गेनु।"
enıy enıy en^y kare suna mui hetna as-ie pug-i
like_this like_this like_this ADVL PCL 1sg[pro] here come-CONJ.PTCL reach-ABS ge-n-u
AUX-PST-1sg
"I arrived here like this, like this, like this."
MR.05.347 तने सुना राजाडर सङे गटलाके भेटघाट कराए दिप्कि।
tıne suna raja-ḍ $\Lambda-r \quad$ s $\quad$ ye $g \Lambda t!-l a-k=e \quad b^{h} \operatorname{etg}^{h} a t ̣ ~ k \wedge r-a-e$
PCL PCL king-NCLS-GEN with all-PL-DAT $=\mathrm{EMPH}$ visit do-CAUS-ABS di-p-ki
AUX-FUT-SA3
Then he had them all meet with the king.
MR.05.348 त सुना आप्नार उला पोखरलार, उला पानिला गटेलाए खिलाष्कि।
t $\Lambda$ suna apna-r u-la pok ${ }^{h} \Lambda r-l a-r \quad u-l a \quad$ pani-la g $\Lambda t ̣-l a=e$
PCL PCL REFL[pro]-GEN that-PL pond-PL-GEN that-PL water-PL all-PL=EMPH $k^{\text {h }}$ ila-p-ki
feed-FUT-SA3
Then he fed (them) those (things) in the ponds, all of that water (and the other things).
MR.05.350 पानिला गटलाए खिलान पिलान हएने एक दुइ घान्टा हतिना रहेने, तने सुना उला, भइसला, आर्ना भइसला सुना, "ले, बाबु,

water-PL all-PL=EMPH feed-DVBL drink(cause_to)-DVBL be-CONJ.PTCL one two
$g^{h}$ anṭa hıtina rıh-ene tıne suna u-la $b^{h} \Lambda i s-l a$
hour there remain-CONJ.PTCL then PCL that-PL water_buffalo-PL
arına $\quad b^{\text {h }} \Lambda$ is-la suna le babu
type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-PL PCL OK baby_boy
Having drunk and eaten all of the water and the other things (i.e. the salt and chaff), they stayed there for one or two hours, (and) then those buffaloes, the wild buffaloes said, "OK, boy!

## MR.05.351 आप तुइ बाहा सादि करि लिलो।

ap tui baha sadi kır-i li-l-o
PCL $2 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] wedding wedding do-ABS AUX-PST-2sg
Now you are (already) married.
MR.05.352 आप तुइ रहिस, खाइस।
ap tui rah-is $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$-is
PCL 2sg[pro] remain-SUBJ2sg eat-SUBJ2sg
So, you stay, eat.

MR.05.354 हामा आरकि जेइठिना से आस्न अइठिना जाछि।
hama arki jei-t ${ }^{\text {h}}$ ina se as-n- $\quad$ ii-t $t^{h}$ ina ja-ch ${ }^{\text {h }}$ i
$1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]$ now which-place ABL come-PST-1pl DEM[rem/emph]-place go-PRES-1pl Now we will go to where we came from.

MR.05.355 आप कोइ किसिमेर दुक पर्ले, बासिड बलाइस।
ap koi kisim-er duk pır-le basi-ḍ b $\Lambda$-a-is
PCL some type-GEN trouble occur-COND.PTCL flute-NCLS speak-CAUS-SUBJ2sg If you encounter some kind of trouble, play the flute.

MR.05.356 हामा माने आसिए पुगि जाम।
hama mane as-ie pug-i ja-m-
$1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}$ ] PCL come-CONJ.PTCL reach-ABS AUX-FUT-1pl We will arrive (immediately)."
MR.05.357 अइठिन से सुना उला आर्ना भइसला जङलेर ति जाबे।
$\Lambda$ i-t ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{in} \Lambda \quad$ se suna u-la arına $\quad b^{h}$ is-la
DEM[rem/emph]-place ABL PCL that-PL type_of_wild_buffalo water_buffalo-PL
j $\wedge \mathrm{y} \Lambda \lambda$ l-er ti ja-b-I
jungle-GEN towards go-FUT-3
From right there those wild buffaloes went back to the jungle.
MR.05.358 आर उड, चेङराड सुना आप फेर अइठ्ना, राजाडर घरेर सुना एकटा जुवाँ हएने माअडक आनिएने आच्छा से रहबा धर्बे, खाबा धर्बे।
ar u-ḍ $\Lambda$ cenra-ḍ $\Lambda$ suna ap $p^{h}$ er $\Lambda i-t^{h} n a$
and that-NCLS young_man-NCLS PCL PCL again DEM[rem/emph]-place
raja-ḍ $\Lambda$-r $\quad g^{\text {h }} \Lambda r$-er suna ek-ṭa juã h $\Lambda$-ene
king-NCLS-GEN house-GEN PCL one-NCLS son_in_law be-CONJ.PTCL

mother-NCLS-DAT bring-CONJ.PTCL well ADVL live-INF begin-FUT-3 eat-INF
$\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$-b-I
begin-FUT-3
And that young man remained there in the king's house as a son-in-law, brought his mother and began to stay well, to eat well (i.e. to live happily).

MR.05.359 खिसा खतम, पेइसा हजम।
$k^{h}$ isa $k^{h} \Lambda t \Lambda m$ peisa $h \wedge j \Lambda m$
story finish money digestion[Urdu]
That's the end of the story, that's what you got for your money!
(Text recorded May 2006, Kathmandu)

## Text DLR.01.

DLR.01.001 इखान फोटोत एकटा लोक घरटर उपरत चरिए घर छा'चे।
i-k ${ }^{\text {han }} \quad p^{\text {h } o t ̣ o-t ~ e k-t ̣ a ~ l o k ~} g^{h} \Lambda r-t ̣ \Lambda-r \quad u p r \Lambda t$

DEM[prox]-NCLS photo-LOC one-NCLS man house-NCLS-GEN above c $\Delta r^{h}$-ie $\quad g^{h} \Lambda r \quad c^{h} a(r)-c-e$
climb-CONJ.PTCL house thatch-PRES-3
In this photo a man has climbed on to the house (and) is house-thatching.
DLR.01.002 आर एकटा बुऱा लोक बठिएने पुवालला मुठि करेचे।
 and one-NCLS old man sit-CONJ.PTCL straw-PL bundle do-PRES-3 And an old man is sitting (and) bundling straw.

DLR.01.003 आर एकटा बच्चा छुवा थार दिए देखिए छे।
 and one-NCLS small child standing give-CONJ.PTCL look-CONJ.PTCL be-PRES3 And a child is standing and watching.

DLR.01.004 आर एकटा बगलत आमेर गेछ, या कुन गेछ, एकटा माने गेछ, देखा जाछे।
ar ek-ṭa bıglıt am-er ges ya kun ges ek-ṭa mane ges
and one-NCLS near mango-GEN tree or what tree one-NCLS PCL tree
$\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a} \quad$ ja-ch-e
see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
And in the vicinity a mango tree - or what type of tree (is it), in any case, a tree - can be seen.
(Text recorded June 2003, Kathmandu)

## Text DLR.02.

DLR.02.001 इखान फोटोत एकटा बाबाजि साफा गेन्जि पितिचे।
i-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an $\quad p^{h}$ oṭo-t ek-ṭa baba-ji sap $^{h}$ a genji pin ${ }^{h}$-ic-e
DEM[prox]-NCLS photo-LOC one-NCLS holy_man-HPCL white vest put_on-PERF-3 In this photo there is a holy man wearing a white undershirt.

DLR.02.002 आर एकखान लुङि पिनिचे, आर दु इखान माला पितिचे।
ar ek-k ${ }^{h}$ an luyi $\operatorname{pin}^{h}$-ic-e ar dui-k ${ }^{h}$ an mala $p^{h}{ }^{h}$-ic-e and one-NCLS loincloth put_on-PERF-3 and two-NCLS garland put_on-PERF-3 And he is wearing a loincloth, and two garlands.

DLR.02.003 आर बठिएने घरेर दुवारे दुवारे गान्जा खाचे।
ar $b \Lambda t^{\mathrm{h}}$-iene $\quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge$ r-er duar $=\mathrm{e} \quad$ duar $=\mathrm{e} \quad$ ganja $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}$-c-e and sit-CONJ.PTCL house-GEN door $=$ EMPH door $=$ EMPH tobacco/drug eat-PRES-3 And he is sitting right in the doorway and smoking tobacco/drugs.

DLR.02.004 आर इड घर कहे ना कुट्टि कहे ना मुन्दिल कहे चित़ा नि जाछे।
 and DEM[prox]-NCLS house CMPL or priest's_quarters CMPL or temple CMPL
$\operatorname{cin}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a} \quad$ ni $\quad$ ja-c ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
know-PST.PTCL NEG PASS.AUX-PRES-3
And one can not tell (i.e. from the photograph) whether this is a house, or priest's quarters or a temple.

DLR.02.005 आर घरटर भितरत कि कि सामान छिकि या नि छिकि कुछु देखा नि जाछे।
ar $g^{\text {h }} \Lambda r-t ̣ \Lambda-r \quad b^{h}$ itr $\Lambda t$ ki ki saman $c^{h}-\mathrm{i}-k i \quad$ ya ni
and house-NCLS-GEN inside what what thing be-PRES-SA3 or NEG
$c^{\text {h}}$-i-ki $\quad \operatorname{kuc}^{\text {h }} u$ dek $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a} \quad$ ni $\quad$ ja-ch $-e$
be-PRES-SA3 some see-PST.PTCL NEG PASS.AUX-PRES-3
And one can not see which (of his things) are in the house and which are not.
DLR.02.006 आन्धार देखा जाछे।
and $^{\text {h }}$ ar $\operatorname{dek}^{\text {h }}-\mathrm{a} \quad j a-\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-e$
dark see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
One can see it is dark.
DLR.02.007 आर घरट माने माटिरे हए, लेपा मुछा कराल देखा जाछे।
ar $g^{h} \Lambda r-t ̣ \Lambda \quad$ mane mați-r $=e \quad$ h $-e$
and house-NCLS PCL soil-GEN=EMPH be-PRES3
lep-a much kır-al dek $^{\text {h}}-\mathrm{a} \quad$ ja-ch -e
plaster-DVBL wipe-DVBL do-PST.PTCL see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
And the house is made from clay/mud. One can see it (i.e. both the floor and walls) has been covered (with a clay-mud-dung mixture).
(Text recorded June 2003, Kathmandu)

## Text DLR. 03.

DLR.03.001 देख् भाइ, इड लोक गचिमारिर हए।
$\operatorname{dek}^{h}-\varnothing \quad b^{h}$ ai i-ḍ $\quad$ lok g gcimari-r h $\Lambda$-e
look-IMP2sg y.brother DEM[prox]-NCLS man Gacimāri-GEN be-PRES3
Look, younger brother, this man is from Gacimāri.
DLR.03.002 याहाँर नाम बाधालु राजबंसि।
yaha-r nam bad ${ }^{\text {halu }}$ rajbıysi
3sg[pro/prox]-GEN name Bādhālu Rājbanshi
His name is Bādhālu Rājbanshi.
DLR.03.003 लोकट माने खेतिपातिरे काम कर्चे आर सतसंगि लोकट हए।
lok-ṭ mane k ${ }^{\text {het-i-pat-i-r }=e ~ k a m ~ k ~} \wedge$ r-c-e ar
man-NCLS PCL field-NML-leaf-NML-GEN = EMPH work do-PRES-3 and
s $\Lambda$ ts $\wedge$ ŋ-i lok-ṭ $\quad$ h $\Lambda$-e
religious_fellowship-ADJR man-NCLS be-PRES3
The man works in farming, and he is a (religiously) devout person.

DLR.03.004 आर घरत जाहान बाच्चा सभाए गटलाए माने आच्छाए माने देखा जाछे।
ar $g^{h} \Lambda r-\Lambda t \quad j a h a n ~ b a c a \quad s \Lambda b^{h} a e ~ g \Lambda t-l a=e \quad$ mane $\operatorname{acc}^{h} a=e \quad$ mane and house-LOC spouse child(Hindi) all all-PL=EMPH PCL good=EMPH PCL $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a} \quad$ ja-c ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
And in the house his wife and children, all (of them), can be seen well.
DLR.03.005 आर लोकट समाज सेवि गन्य मान्य ब्यक्ति हए।
ar lok-ṭ s s maj sew-i g^nye_manye bekti hs-e and man-NCLS society(loan) service(loan)-ADJR respected(loan) person(loan) be-PRES3 And this man is a society-serving, respected person.

DLR.03.006 आर कुछु परि जाले माने सहयोग कर्बार लोक हए।
ar kuch par-i ja-le mane sshıyog kır-ba-r lok h ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{e}$
and some occur-ABS AUX-COND.PTCL PCL assistance do-INF-GEN man be-PRES3 And if something (i.e. a problem) comes up, he is someone to help out.

DLR.03.007 आर हुत्नाकार ताने एकटा आच्छा लोक हए।
ar hutna-kar tane ek-ṭa acc $^{\text {ha }}$ lok h $\Lambda$-e
and there-GEN for one-NCLS good man be-PRES3
And he is a good man for that area.
DLR. 03.008 बाउड माने कहिस्ले, बोले : "तोर मिस्तर बाउ हकु"।
bau-ḍ $\quad$ mane k $\wedge$ h-isl-I boli to-r mistır bau h $\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{ku}$
father-NCLS PCL say-REM.PST-3 QUOT 2sg[obl]-GEN friend father be-SA2sg My father used to say, he said: "He is your friend-father."
DLR.03.009 त माने एक दुइ चटि माने मुइ अमार घर गिस्नु, भेटघाट करिस्नु, गपसप करिस्नु, आच्छाए लागिल।
$t_{\Lambda}$ mane ek dui c $\wedge t ̣ i ~ m a n e ~ m u i ~ \quad ~ m^{h} a-r \quad g^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{g}$-isn-u
PCL PCL one two time PCL $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{pro}] 3 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]-G E N$ house go-REM.PST-1sg

visit do-REM.PST-1sg chat do-REM.PST-1sg good $=$ EMPH feel-PST3
I went to his ${ }_{[S G . H O N O R I F I C]}$ house once or twice, met (him) and had a chat (with him). I enjoyed it.
DLR.03.010 आर कहिस्ले कर भी, 'समय समयत आस्ते रहिस।'

and say-REM.PST-3 even CNJ (Hindi) time time-LOC come-CONT.PTCL
rah-is
AUX(CONT)-SUBJ2sg
And the friend of my father's also said: "Keep visiting once in a while."
DLR.03.011 एक चटि माने हामार गाअँ माने आसिस्ले सतसंगेर खुना आप हिदर से त माने आसा जाइ माने नि कर्चे।
ek cıṭi mane hama-r gã̃ mane as-isl-i s
one time PCL $1 \mathrm{pl}[\mathrm{pro}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ village PCL come-REM.PST-3 religious_worship-GEN $k^{\mathrm{h}}$ una ap hid $\wedge$ r se $\mathrm{t}_{\Lambda}$ mane as-a ja-i mane ni kır-c-e during PCL over_here ABL PCL PCL come-DVBL go-DVBL PCL NEG do-PRES-3 Once, he came to our village at the time of (a) religious (gathering), but since then he has not been visiting.

DLR.03.012 आप हबा सकेचे, आप्ना आप्नि घरेर समस्या, आप्ना आप्नि घरेर कामेर कारनत फुर्सत नि हबार कारनत आसा जाइ नि हचे।
ap h h-ba s apna apni $g^{\text {h }} \Lambda$ r-er-er s $\quad$ mmsya apna
PCL be-INF can(Nepali)-PRES-3 REFL[pro] REFL[pro] house-GEN problem REFL[pro]
apni $g^{h} \Lambda r$-er kam-er kar $\wedge n-\Lambda t \quad p^{h} u r s \Lambda t \quad n i \quad h \nu-b a-r \quad k a r a n-\Lambda t$
REFL[pro] house-GEN work-GEN reason-LOC free_time NEG be-INF-GEN reason-LOC
as-a ja-i ni hs-c-e
come-DVBL go-DVBL NEG be-PRES-3
It could be that there hasn't been (any) visiting because of problems at each one's own homes, because of each one's own house work, (and) because of not having free time.
(Text recorded June 2003, Kathmandu)

## Text MR. 01.

MR.01.001 इखान फोतोत तिनडा लोक छे।
i-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an $\quad p^{\text {h }}$ oṭo-t tin-ḍa lok $c^{h}$-e
DEM[prox]-NCLS photo-LOC three-NCLS man be-PRES3
In this photo there are three people.
MR.01.002 एकटा लोक बुरा छे, एकटा लोक चेङरा छे, आर एकटा लोक छुवा छे।
ek-ṭa lok bur ${ }^{\text {h }} \mathrm{a} \mathrm{ch}^{\text {h}}$-e ek-ṭa lok ceyra $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-e ar ek-ṭa
one-NCLS man old be-PRES3 one-NCLS man young be-PRES3 and one-NCLS
lok $c^{\text {h }} u a \quad c^{\text {h }}-e$
man young(child) be-PRES3
One man is old, one is young and one is a child.
MR.01.003 चेङराड छप्परखानत बठिए छप्परखान छा'चे।
cejra-ḍ $\Lambda \quad c^{h} \Lambda p p \wedge r-k^{h} a n-\Lambda t \quad b \Lambda t^{h}-i e \quad c^{h} \Lambda p p \wedge r-k^{h}$ an $c^{h} a(r)-c-e$ young_man-NCLS roof-NCLS-LOC sit-CONJ.PTCL roof-NCLS thatch-PRES-3 The young man is sitting on the roof and thatching the roof.

MR.01.004 बुराड तलत माटिखानत बठिए पुवालला गरल करेचे।
 old_man-NCLS underneath soil-NCLS-LOC sit-CONJ.PTCL straw-PL bunch do-PRES-3 The old man is sitting below on the ground bundling straw.

MR.01.005 आर छुवाड बुऱाडर बगलत थार दे छे।
 and child-NCLS old_man-NCLS-GEN near standing give-CONJ.PTCL be-PRES3 And the child is standing close to the old man.

MR.01.006 घरटर टाटिखानत कानाला देखा जाछे।
$\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ r-ṭ $\Lambda$-r $\quad$ taṭi-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an- $\Lambda \mathrm{t} \quad$ kana-la $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a} \quad$ ja-c ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$ house-NCLS-GEN wall-NCLS-LOC hole-PL see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3 Holes can be seen in the walls of the house.

MR. 01.007 टाटिखानत ढेसन लागाए गऱाला थार करे राखाल छे।
 wall-NCLS-LOC leaning apply-CONJ.PTCL dung-stick-PL standing ADVL put-PST.PTCL $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-e
be-PRES3
Dung-sticks have been put standing, leaning up against the wall.
MR.01.008 चालखान कनेक मात्र छा'आल छे।
cal-k ${ }^{\text {han }} \quad$ k nnek matr $\Lambda \quad c^{h} a(r)-a l \quad c^{\text {h}}-e$
roof-NCLS a_little only(Nepali) thatch-PST.PTCL be-PRES3
The roof has only been thatched a little.
MR.01.009 घरटर बगलत एकटा गेस छे।

house-NCLS-GEN near one-NCLS tree be-PRES3
Near to the house is a tree.
MR.01.010 पुवालला माटिखानत छे।
pual-la maṭi-k ${ }^{\text {han- }} \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-e
straw-PL soil-NCLS-LOC be-PRES3
There is straw on the ground.
MR.01.011 ठाटखान देखा जाछे।
$t^{\text {hatat-k }}$ hn $\quad$ dek ${ }^{\text {h }}$-a $\quad$ ja-c ${ }^{\text {h}}-\mathrm{e}$
roof_frame-NCLS see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
The frame of the roof can be seen.
MR.01.012 गलिडत टाटिला बाज़ाल छे।
gлli-ḍл-t țaṭi-la ban ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$-al $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
pathway-NCLS-LOC woven_fence_wall-PL tie-PST.PTCL be-PRES3
In the pathway woven fence walls have been tied (i.e. put up).
MR.01.013 गलिडर माखाए एङनाखानत जाबा लागे।
g $\Lambda$ li-ḍ $\Lambda$-r $\quad$ mak $^{\mathrm{h}}$ ae eyna-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an-st ja-ba lag-e
pathway-NCLS-GEN via yard-NCLS-LOC go-INF must-PRES3
One must enter the courtyard via the pathway.
(Text recorded March 2004, Kathmandu)

## Text MR.02.

MR. 02.001 इखान फोटोत एकखान गरु गारि देखा जाछे।
i-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an $\quad p^{h}$ oṭo-t ek-k ${ }^{\text {h }}$ an garu gari $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a} \quad$ ja-c ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
DEM[prox]-NCLS photo-LOC one-NCLS bullock cart see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
In this photo a bullock cart can be seen.
MR.02.002 उखान गरु गारित एकझ्झना राजबंसि बेर्छानि बठिए छे, आर एकटा राजबंसि लोक गारिखानेर बगलत थार दे छे।

DEM[rem]-NCLS bullock cart-LOC one-PCLS Rājbanshi woman sit-CONJ.PTCL
$c^{\mathrm{h}}$-e ar ek-ṭa rajbıŋsi lok gari-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an-er b $\quad$ bgl $\Lambda t \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{h}}$ ar $\Lambda$
be-PRES3 and one-NCLS Rājbanshi man cart-NCLS-GEN near standing
de $c^{\text {h }}-e$
give-CONJ.PTCL be-PRES3
There is a Rājbanshi woman sitting on that bullock cart, and a Rājbanshi man is standing by the bullock cart.

MR. 02.003 गारिखानेर पाछुति एकटा फुसेर घर छे।
gari-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an-er pac ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ uti ek-ṭa $\quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ us-er $\quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda r \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-e
cart-NCLS-GEN behind one-NCLS thatch-GEN house be-PRES3
Behind the bullock cart there is a thatched house.
MR.02.004 घरटर बगलत एकटा पुवाल ढेरि देखा जाछे।

house-NCLS-GEN near one-NCLS straw pile see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
Close to the house a pile of straw can be seen.
MR.02.005 पुवाल ढेरिडर बगलत बाँसला छे।

straw pile-NCLS-GEN near bamboo-PL be-PRES3
Close to the pile of straw there are bamboos.
MR.02.006 आर पुवाल ढेरिडर बगलत, पाछुति, दुइ चारटा गेसला देखा जाछे।
ar pual $d^{\mathrm{h}}$ eri-ḍ $\Lambda$-r b $\quad$ glıt pac ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ uti dui car-ṭa ges-la $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a}$
and straw pile-NCLS-GEN near behind two four-NCLS tree-PL see-PST.PTCL
ja-ch ${ }^{\text {h }}$ e
PASS.AUX-PRES-3
And close to the pile of straw, behind it, four trees can be seen.
MR.02.007 बाँसला घर बेन्बार ताने, टाटि बेन्बार ताने, घरलार ठाट बेन्बार ताने आर लख्रिर रुपत भात नाधबार ताने खासकरे काम लागेचे।
bãs-la $\quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$ ben-ba-r tane ṭaṭi ben-ba-r tane $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$-la-r bamboo-PL house build-INF-GEN for wall build-INF-GEN for house-PL-GEN
$t^{\text {h }}$ at ben-ba-r tane ar $1 \Lambda k^{\text {h }}$ ri-r rup- $\Lambda$ t $b^{\text {hat }}$ roof_frame build-INF-GEN for and firewood-GEN form-LOC cooked_rice
nad ${ }^{\text {h }}$-ba-r tane $\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ askıre kam lag-ec-e
cook-INF-GEN for actually work apply_to-PRES-3
Bamboo is actually used for making houses, making walls, making roof frames and for making firewood in order to cook rice.
MR.02.008 इड लोक एकटा हाप हाति साफा जामा पितिचे।
i-ḍ $\Lambda$ lok ek-ṭa hap hat-i sap ${ }^{\text {ha }}$ jama pin ${ }^{\text {h}}$-ic-e
DEM[prox]-NCLS man one-NCLS half(English) hand-ADJR white shirt put_on-PERF-3
This man is wearing (lit. has put on) a half-sleeved white shirt.
MR.02.009 आर एकखान तउलिया पिऩिचे।
ar ek-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an tıulia pin $^{\mathrm{h}}$-ic-e
and one-NCLS towel put_on-PERF-3
And he is wearing (lit. has put on) a towel (i.e. around his waist).

MR.02.010 आर ठेङलात चप्पल तप्पल कुछु नि पिने।
ar $t^{\text {h }}$ en-la-t слррлl tлррлl kuc ${ }^{h} u n i \quad$ pin $^{h}-e$
and foot-PL-LOC slipper [echo]slipper some NEG put_on-PST.HAB3
And he hasn't put slippers on his feet.
MR.02.011 इड बेर्छानि गालाडत एकखान माला पिनिचे, आर पेटानि पिनिचे।
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ berc $^{\mathrm{h}}$ ani gala-d $\Lambda$-t ek-k an mala pin $^{\mathrm{h}}$-ic-e ar DEM[prox]-NCLS woman neck-NCLS-LOC one-NCLS garland put_on-PERF-3 and petani pin $^{\text {h}}$-ic-e
skirt put_on-PERF-3
This woman is wearing a garland around her neck and is wearing a robe/skirt.
MR.02.012 हातत चुरि पितिचे, आर एकटा ब्लोज पितिचे।
hat-st curi pin ${ }^{\text {h}}$-ic-e ar ek-ṭa bloj pin ${ }^{\text {h}}$-ic-e
hand-LOC bangle put_on-PERF-3 and one-NCLS blouse(English) put_on-PERF-3
She is wearing bangles on her hand(s), and she is wearing a blouse.
MR.02.013 आर इड बेर्छानि हाँसेचे।
ar i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ berc $^{h}$ ani has-ec-e
and DEM[prox]-NCLS woman laugh-PRES-3
And this woman is laughing.
MR.02.014 आर मर्दनाडउ भी हाँसेचे।
ar m $\quad$ rd $\Lambda n a-d_{\Lambda}=u \quad b^{h}{ }_{i} \quad$ has-ec-e
and man-NCLS $=\mathrm{CNJ} \mathrm{CNJ}$ (Hindi) laugh-PRES-3
And the man is also laughing.
MR.02.015 गरु गारिखानेर पर पुवालला छे।
g $九$ ru gari-k ${ }^{\text {han-er }} \quad \mathrm{p} \wedge \mathrm{r}$ pual-la $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
bullock cart-NCLS-GEN on_top straw-PL be-PRES3
There is straw on the bullock cart.
MR.02.016 आर गरु गारिर पाँइयाला देखा जाछे।
ar gлru gari-r pãia-la $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a} \quad$ ja-c ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
and bullock cart-GEN wheel-PL see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
And bullock cart's wheels can be seen.
MR.02.017 इडक "गरु गारिर पाँइया" कहचे।
i-ḍ $\Lambda-\mathrm{k}$ g gru gari-r pãia k $\kappa$ h $\Lambda$-c-e
DEM[prox]-NCLS-DAT bullock cart-GEN wheel say-PRES-3
This is called a bullock cart's wheel.
MR.02.018 इखानक "गरु गारिर जङ.लि" कहचे।

DEM[prox]-NCLS-DAT bullock cart-GEN cross-bar say-PRES-3
This is called a bullock cart's cross-bar.
MR.02.019 उड गरु गारिड(क) थाम्बार ताने टेकानि दिछे।
u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ g $\Lambda$ ru gari-ḍ $\Lambda(-k) \quad t^{\text {ham-ba-r }}$ tane ṭekani d-ic ${ }^{\text {h }}-\mathrm{e}$
DEM[rem]-NCLS bullock cart-NCLS(-DAT) hold-INF-GEN for support give-PERF-3
That gives support in order to hold the bullock cart (upright).

MR.02.020 गरु गारिखानक उठाए राख्बार ताने टेकानि दिछे।
 bullock cart-NCLS-DAT rise-CAUS-CONJ.PTCL put-INF-GEN for support give-PERF-3 It gives support in order to lift up and hold the bullock cart (in an upright position).

MR.02.021 इला, जेइला लाम़ लाम़ देखा जाछे, इला(क) 'गरु गारिर सएल' कहचे।
i-la jei-la $\quad \operatorname{lam}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \operatorname{lam}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a} \quad$ ja-ch -e
DEM[prox]-PL REL[obl/ip]-PL long long see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
i-la(-k) garu gari-r ssel kлhл-c-e
DEM[prox]-PL(-DAT) bullock cart-GEN neck_guide say-PRES-3
The lengthy things that can be seen (here), they are called bullock cart's neck guides.
MR.02.022 इड लाम छे ना? इड(क) माने 'गरु गारिर सएल' कहचे।
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad \operatorname{lam}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-e na i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ mane g $\Lambda \mathrm{ru}$ gari-r
DEM[prox]-NCLS long be-PRES3 PCL DEM[prox]-NCLS PCL bullock cart-GEN
sıel kлhл-c-e
neck_guide say-PRES-3
This long thing (here), you know, this is called a bullock cart's neck-guide.
MR.02.023 उला गरुलार घेचाला बहिरिति नि जाबार ताने राखा जाछे।
u-la garu-la-r $\quad g^{h}$ eca-la bshiriti ni ja-ba-r tane $\operatorname{rak}^{\mathrm{h}}$-a
DEM[rem]-PL bullock-PL-GEN throat-PL outside NEG go-INF-GEN for put-PST.PTCL ja-ch-e
PASS.AUX-PRES-3
Those (neck-guides) are put (there) in order that the bullocks' throats would not slip off (the cross-bar).

MR.02.024 दुइडा छे।
dui-da $\quad c^{h}-e$
two-NCLS be-PRES3
There are two of them.
MR.02.025 हाल बहबार खुना इखान जङनलि काम लागेचे। आर जङलिखानेर दते बगलेर कानालात सएलला राखा जाछे।
hal bıhл-ba-r $k^{\text {h una i-k }}$ an j 1 jali kam lag-ec-e ar plough plough-INF-GEN during DEM[prox]-NCLS cross-bar work apply_to-PRES-3 and j^yali-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an-er $\quad \mathrm{d} \wedge n^{\mathrm{h}}$ e bлgлl-er kana-la-t s $\quad$ el-la $\operatorname{rak}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a}$ cross-bar-NCLS-GEN both close-GEN hole-PL-LOC neck_guide-PL put-PST.PTCL ja-ch ${ }^{\text {h }}$
PASS.AUX-PRES-3
The cross-bar is used while ploughing. And the neck-guides are put into the both holes (which are close to each other?) of the cross-bar.

MR.02.026 बाँस थोपलार बगलत गरु भइसलाक घाँस खिल्बार ताने एकटा नाद राखाल देखा जाछे।
bãs $t^{h}$ op-la-r $\quad b \wedge g l \Lambda t ~ g \Lambda r u \quad b^{h} \Lambda i s-l a-k \quad g^{h} a ̃ s k^{h} i l-b a-r \quad$ tane bamboo patch-PL-GEN near bullock buffalo-PL-DAT grass feed-INF-GEN for ek-ṭa nad $\operatorname{rak}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{al} \quad \operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a} \quad$ ja-ch-e one-NCLS feeding_trough put-PST.PTCL see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
Close to the patches of bamboos one can see a feeding trough has been put to feed grass to the cattle.

MR.02.027 इड सिमेन्टिर बेन्बार लाखाति देखा जाछे।
i-ḍ $\Lambda$ simenṭi-r ben-ba-r lak $^{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\text {ati } d e k^{h}-a}$
DEM[prox]-NCLS cement(English)-GEN build-INF-GEN like see-PST.PTCL
ja-ch-e
PASS.AUX-PRES-3
This looks like it has been made from cement.
MR.02.028 खन्जराडत घाँस या पुवाल राखिए गरु भइसलाक खिला जाछे।
$\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda n j \Lambda$ ra-ḍ $\Lambda$-t $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h} a ̃}$ ya pual $\mathrm{rak}^{\mathrm{h}}$-ie g $\mu \mathrm{ru}$
feeding_trough-NCLS-LOC grass or straw put-CONJ.PTCL bullock
$b^{\mathrm{h}}$ isis-la-k $\quad \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{l} 1-\mathrm{a} \quad$ ja-c ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
water_buffalo-PL-DAT feed-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
The cattle can be fed by putting grass or straw in to the feeding trough.
(Text recorded March 2004, Kathmandu)

## Text MR.03.

MR.03.001 इड घर फुसेर छे।
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad g^{h} \Lambda r \quad p^{h} u s-e r \quad c^{h}-e$
DEM[prox]-NCLS house thatch-GEN be-PRES3
This house is thatched.
MR.03.002 इड घरेर टाटिला देखा जाछे।
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad g^{\text {h }} \Lambda r-e r \quad$ taṭi-la $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a} \quad$ ja-c ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
DEM[prox]-NCLS house-GEN wall-PL see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
This house's walls can be seen.
MR.03.003 आर इड घरेर टाटिला माटि दे लेपाल छे।
ar i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda$ r-er ṭaṭi-la maṭi de lep-al $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-e and DEM[prox]-NCLS house-GEN wall-PL soil INSTR plaster-PST.PTCL be-PRES3 And the walls of this house have been plastered with mud.
MR.03.004 कातिलार पर तिनडा खामाला छे।
kati-la-r pır tin-ḍa $\quad k^{h} a m a-l a c^{h}-e$
foundation-PL-GEN on_top three-NCLS pillar-PL be-PRES3
On the foundations there are three pillars.
MR.03.005 उला खामाला(त) माटि दे लेपाल छे।
u-la khama-la(-t) maṭi de lep-al $c^{\text {h }}-e$

DEM[rem]-PL pillar-PL(-LOC) soil INSTR plaster-PST.PTCL be-PRES3
Those pillars are plastered with soil.
MR.03.006 आर थर्कानिअ भी कातिडत देखा जाछे।
ar $t^{\text {h }} \Lambda r k a n i=\Lambda b^{h} i \quad$ kati-ḍ $\Lambda-t \quad \operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a} \quad j a-\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
and step $=\mathrm{CNJ}$ CNJ(Hindi) foundation-NCLS-LOC see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
And also a step can be seen on the foundation.

MR.03.007 इड घरेर बगलत आरह एकटा घर छे।
i-ḍ $\Lambda \quad g^{h} \Lambda r$-er b $\Delta g l \Lambda t ~ a r h \Lambda \quad$ ek-ṭa $g^{h} \Lambda r \quad c^{h}-e$
DEM[prox]-NCLS house-GEN near another one-NCLS house be-PRES3
Close to this house there is another house.
MR.03.008 उड घरेर छप्परखानत टिन छे।
u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad g^{h} \Lambda r-e r \quad c^{h} \Lambda p p \wedge r-k^{\mathrm{h}} a n-\Lambda t \quad t ̣ i n \quad c^{\mathrm{h}}-e$
DEM[rem]-NCLS house-GEN roof-NCLS-LOC tin(English) be-PRES3
On the roof of that house there is tin (i.e. grooved aluminium).
MR.03.009 अहड घर माटि दे लेपाल, मुछाल छे।
$\Lambda=\mathrm{h} \Lambda-\mathrm{d} \Lambda \quad \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \mathrm{r}$ maṭi de lep-al muc $^{\mathrm{h}}$-al $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-e
DEM[rem] = CNJ-NCLS house soil INSTR plaster-PST.PTCL wipe-PST.PTCL be-PRES3 Also that house has been plastered with mud, and wiped (over).

MR.03.010 आर घरटर आगुति एकटा लिहार लाखाति छे।
ar $g^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda r$-ṭ $\Lambda$-r aguti ek-ṭa liha-r lak $^{\mathrm{h}}$ ati $\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-e
and house-NCLS-GEN in_front one-NCLS pot-GEN like be-PRES3
And in front of the house there is something like a pot.
MR.03.011 उड, लिहाडर बगलत एकटा आख छे।
u-ḍ $\Lambda \quad$ liha-ḍ $\Lambda-\mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{b} \Lambda \mathrm{gl} \Lambda \mathrm{t}$ ek-ṭa $\mathrm{ak}^{\mathrm{h}} \Lambda \quad \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{h}}$-e
DEM[rem]-NCLS pot-NCLS-GEN near one-NCLS fireplace be-PRES3
Close to that pot is a fireplace.
MR.03.012 इखान फोतोत तिनडा लोकेर नाक्सा देखा जाछे।
i-k ${ }^{\text {han }} \quad \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}}$ oṭo-t tin-ḍa lok-er naksa $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a}$
DEM[prox]-NCLS photo-LOC three-NCLS person-GEN figure see-PST.PTCL
ja-c ${ }^{\text {h}}-\mathrm{e}$
PASS.AUX-PRES-3
In this photo three person's figures can be seen.
MR.03.013 आगुति एकटा बेर्छानि छे, आर पाछुति दुइडा छुवाला
aguti ek-ṭa berc $^{h}$ ani $c^{\text {h}}$-e ar pac ${ }^{\text {huti dui-ḍa } c^{h} u a-l a ~}$
in_front one-NCLS woman be-PRES3 and behind two-NCLS child-PL
In the front there is a woman, and behind (there are) two children.
MR.03.015 बेर्छानिड सल्का सारि पिनिचे।
berc $^{\mathrm{h}}$ ani-ḍ $\Lambda$ s $\quad$ lka sari pin ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$-ic-e
woman-NCLS informal[sari] sari put_on-PERF-3
The woman is wearing an informal sari.
MR.03.016 आर ब्लोज पिनिचे।
ar bloj pin $^{\mathrm{h}}$-ic-e
and blouse(English) put_on-PERF-3
And she is wearing a blouse.
MR.03.017 आर छुवाला गेन्जि कटुला लागाइचे।
ar $c^{\text {h }}$ ua-la genji ksṭu-la laga-ic-e
and child-PL vest underwear-PL wear-PERF-3
And the children are wearing vests and underwear.

MR.03.018 इला घरेर पाछुति गेसलार पातारिला देखा जाछे।
i-la $\quad g^{h} \Lambda r$-er pachuti ges-la-r patari-la dek ${ }^{\text {h }}-\mathrm{a}$
DEM[prox]-PL house-GEN behind tree-PL-GEN leaf-PL see-PST.PTCL
ja-ch ${ }^{\text {h }}$
PASS.AUX-PRES-3
Behind these houses trees' leaves can be seen.
MR.03.019 ठालिला देखा जाछे, आर दुइडा घरेर आगुति, बगलत, हेत्ना कातिला खेत्राल मुहाँ देखा जाछे।
$t^{\text {h }}$ ali-la $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a} \quad$ ja-c ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e} \quad$ ar dui-ḍa $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{h}} \wedge$ r-er aguti
branch-PL see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3 and two-NCLS house-GEN in_front
b^glıt hetna kati-la $\quad k^{\mathrm{h}}$ ebr-al mũha $\operatorname{dek}^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a} \quad$ ja-c ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{e}$
near here foundation-PL break-PST.PTCL as_if see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
Branches can be seen, and in front of the two houses, close to them, the foundations here look as if they are broken.

MR.03.020 एङनाखानत कुछुला राखाल देखा जाछे।
eŋna-k ${ }^{\mathrm{h}}$ an-st kuc $^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{u}$-la rak $^{\mathrm{h}}$-al dek $^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{a} \quad$ ja-ch-e
yard-NCLS-LOC some-PL put-PST.PTCL see-PST.PTCL PASS.AUX-PRES-3
One can see some things have been put in the yard.
MR.03.021 इला कि हए थाहा नि होल।
i-la ki hi-e thaha ni ho-l
DEM[prox]-PL what be-PRES3 knowledge(Nepali) NEG be-PST3
What these are, I don't know.
(Text recorded March 2004, Kathmandu)
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[^1]:    1 The name "Kamrupa" (or, "Kamarupan"), which derives from the name of the mediaeval kingdom Kāmarūpa, is also used for the Tibeto-Burman languages of "Northeast India and adjacent areas of Western Burma, Bangladesh, and Tibet" (Matisoff 1999:173). For discussion amongst Tibeto-Burman scholars on the issue, refer to Burling (1999) and Matisoff (1999).

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Chatterji (1975a [1926]:140) asserts that "North Bengali" is spoken in Jalpaiguri, eastern Purnia, southern Darjeeling, Dinajpur, Koch Bihar, Rangpur and western Goalpara.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ Biodata of the four main language informants are as follows:

[^4]:    "It is possible to speak of another kind of secondary subsystem, however, consisting not of borrowed sounds but rather of native sounds of marginal status. In Bengali, for example, there are rare but undeniable contrasts between, e.g. E/e in [chEle] 'boy' and [chele] 'if (it) covers', and between I/i in [kIntu] 'but' and [kintum] 'I used to bring/would have brought'."

[^5]:    ${ }^{4}$ One nominal contrast was in fact encountered between the Rājbanshi word [bIl] 'a_kind_of_fruit' and the Rājbanshi pronounciation of the Hindi loan word [bel] 'ox' (i.e. बयल). Compare with Goswami \& Tamuli's (2003:77) item /bel/ 'wood apple', as mentioned in Toulmin (2006:125).

[^6]:    ${ }^{5}$ Similar intervocalic glides are reported, for example, in Bhojpuri (Shukla 1981:43) and Maithili (Yadav 1997 [1996]:19).

[^7]:    ${ }^{6}$ In comparison, Yadav (1997 [1996]:18-19) asserts that in Maithili: "Most diphthongs ... are rising diphthongs ... Vowel clusters ending in mid vowels e and $o$ also diphthongize. ... There are, however, constraints on diphthongization. Thus, for example, the front and back low vowels do not participate in the process of diphthongization. Consequently, such diphthongs as *æi, *æu, *ai and *ou are not permissible in Maithili. Finally, no centralizing diphthongs are permissible in Maithili."
    
     Bhojpuri.

[^8]:    ${ }^{7}$ Klaiman (1987:499) notes a similar feature in Bengali: "Further evidence of probable non-Aryan influence in the phonology is to be found in the peculiar word stress pattern of Modern Bengali. Accent was phonemic only in very early Old Indo-Aryan, i.e. Vedic. Subsequently, however, predicatable word stress has typified the Indo-Aryan languages; the characteristic pattern, moreover, has been for the stress to fall so many morae from the end of the phonological word. Bengali stress, though, is exceptional. It is non-phonemic, and, in the standard dialect, there is a strong tendency for it to be associated with word-initial syllables."

[^9]:    "There is a third type known as 'appositional' or 'associative' compound (or dwandwa in the Indian grammatical tradition) formed simply by conjunction of two elements without any dependency relation existing between them, e.g. Hindi rat-din 'around the clock' < 'night' + 'day' or dal-roti 'existence' < 'pulses' + 'bread' ... The two constituents in associative compounds could either be of polar quality of the same semantic range or incorporate the

[^10]:    ${ }^{9}$ Somewhat contrastively, Abbi (1980:70) maintains that in Hindi the reduplicated past participle is "distributive" and that it "emphasizes the characteristics of each N".
    ${ }^{10}$ Kellogg (1955 [1875]:366) notes the same phenomenon in Hindi: "Reciprocal Copulative Compounds are those in which two words of similar or identical meaning, are grouped together with a reciprocal force. Often the second word is merely the feminine form of the first. Examples are: कही कहा, 'altercation;' लाठा लाठी, 'mutual beating.' "
    Compare with Tiwari (1960:194-195): "There is in Bhojpuri, as in other NIA, a common verbal noun of reciprocity, which may be noted in this connection. The verbal root or the noun is doubled, and it is connected by a link vowel - $\overline{-}$-, and the second part of this reduplicated form takes the affix $-\overline{1}, ~ e . g$. mārā-mārī, striking each other, fighting; dekhā-dekhī, seeing each other, mutual seeing; ṭhelā-ṭhelī, pushing each other..."
    The same $[-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{i}]$ frame is found in Rājbanshi in the reduplication of the possessive pronoun (cf. sections 3.2.5 and 3.6.3.2).
    (ii) आप्ना आप्नि apna apni 'REFL[pro] REFL[pro]' ('each one's own')

    The derivational process which distinguishes between the masculine and feminine sex in kinship terms, such as in the examples in (iii), looks identical. This is due exclusively to a sex distinction, and not related to the above-mentioned $[-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{i}]$ frame.

[^11]:    11 Anderson (1995a [1985]:40) maintains that the significant distinction between phrases and compounds is that "...the former are the result of word formation processes, while the latter result

[^12]:    ${ }^{12}$ Abbi (2001:169) notes: "Vowel alternation by a qualitatively fixed vowel, e.g. in Tibeto-Burman languages and some of the IA languages such as Punjabi and Bangani (spoken in the Himalayan regions) ... The vowel alternation strategy is gradually penetrating in languages that never used it before such as Hindi and its dialects."

[^13]:    ${ }^{13}$ Other elicited forms include:

[^14]:    ${ }^{14}$ Supporting the analysis of the suffix as a causative stem, one verb was found to be marked with the indirect causative suffix -(उ)वा -(u)wa 'ICAUS'. Whether this constitutes a new category or simply a restriction to use in a particular idiom is yet to be determined:

[^15]:    15 Klaiman (1987:499-500) notes that gender was a grammatical category found in the early Eastern Indo-Aryan languages: "Early stages of the Magadhan languages (e.g. Oriya, Assamese and Bengali) also show evidence of a gender system. However, the category is no longer productive in any of the modern Magadhan languages. In Modern Bengali, it is only in a few relic alternations (e.g. ... not 'actor / noti 'actress') that one observes any evidence today for the system of nominal gender which once existed in the language."

[^16]:    phrase:
    (vii) */? इला गटला बाँसला
    */? i-la g $\Lambda$ ṭ-la bãs-la
    */? DEM[prox]-PL all-PL bamboo-PL
    */? 'all of these bamboos'

[^17]:    18 Other such words include:

[^18]:    ${ }^{20}$ Dixon (1982:227) notes a typological tendency in this regard: "Among the most common types of classifier are those that relate to the size or shape or other physical property of a noun, something that might be described by an adjective."

[^19]:    ${ }_{21}$ Note that, according to Sahoo (1999:110), in Oriya "...-Taa can occur unrestrictedly with all nouns. Another special property of -Taa is its ability to co-occur with a classifier neighbour - not an option available to other classifiers. So, considering the wide range of occurence of -Taa, we call it a 'default classifier'."

[^20]:    ${ }^{22}$ Klaiman (1987:511) maintains the Bengali classifier -khana (which is akin to the Rājbanshi noun classifier -खान - $k^{h} a n$ ) relates to "flat". Likewise Aikhenvald (2003 [2000]:105) postulates a semantic-related assignment of the Bengali noun classifier -khana: "Bengali has five numeral classifiers which are suffixed to the numeral: -ṭâ 'countable non-human'; -ṭi 'diminutive of -ṭâ; -jan 'human'; -khana 'solid objects with rectangular or flat shape'; -khâni 'diminutive of -khana'..."
    Toulmin (2002a) suggests a semantic distinction for the Rājbanshi noun classifiers (Mahespur in far-eastern Jhāpā, Nepal): "The Ta and khan classes of nouns are divided along roughly semantic lines. The khan class contains nouns that are flat - extending significantly over 2 -dimensions eg. table, chair - or long - extending significantly over 1 -dimension eg. string, a stick - as well as nouns that have to do with nature (eg. hill, forest, sky, stone etc.)."
    Similarly, Poudel (2006:30-31) states: "Rajbanshi makes use of classifier $-k^{h}$ aan to classify flat objects, liquids, abstract notions and parts of body. ... Rajbanshi makes use of -ta and its allomorph -da for non-human nouns other than nouns referring to flat, liquid and abstract notions."
    All of these mirror Chatterji (1975b [1926]:779; cf. also Chatteriji (1975a [1926]:365)) "(1) थान, थाना «khāna, khā̄ā», diminutive थानी, थानि «khān̄̄, khāni»... = a piece (< «khaṇ̣a»). In NB., it is used by preference to specialise objects of rectangular or flat form, or objects which have a framework."
    Toulmin (2006:176) notes that noun-classifier association in Rājbanshi is only partially semantically transparent: "The definition of some noun classes involves a semantic criterion, but not for others. In the MH system, the $/-\mathrm{k}^{h}$ an/ class is partially defined by the semantic criterion of spatial extension-either flatness or length. For example /kitap-k'an/ 'the book', /duar-k'an/ 'the door', etc. The /-ta/ class is rather more of a 'default' noun class, into which all left over nouns are thrown. In western Jhapa and Morang districts of Nepal, the default classifier /- $t /$ / (with allomorph $/-(d /)$ even attaches to proper nouns. Such suffixing does not occur elsewhere in KRNB."

[^21]:    ${ }^{25}$ According to this informant, the एकझन $e k-j^{h} \Lambda n$ 'one (person)' in (xi) below would be the subject and मास्टर maststr 'teacher' the complement of the clause, whereas in (xii) एकटा मास्टर ek-ṭa masṭar'a teacher' would be the subject of the clause.

[^22]:    ${ }^{26}$ Givón (1990:903) asserts that the "referential accessibility of nominal topics in connected discourse tends to derive from three main contextual sources:
    (a) The deictically-shared context, or speech situation
    (b) The generically-shared context, or cultural knowledge
    (c) The textually-shared context, or preceding discourse"

    In addition, Givón maintains that "referential accessibility may also depend on any specific information available to the hearer about the speaker's goals, intentions, personal preoccupations, life history or what not. Such information is seldom systematic or measurable, but it does interact with the three main sources of referential predictability."

[^23]:    ${ }^{27}$ Lambrecht (1994:82) distinguishes between "nonspecific" and "generic" reference, in that the generic refers to any or all members of a category: "Since such noun phrases merely require that the addressee be able to identify the semantic class designated by the lexical head, generic indefinite NPs may be said to have identifiable referents. ... That the referents of generic indefinite noun phrases must be considered identifiable is confirmed by the fact that they may be anaphorically referred to either with another indefinite NP or with a definite pronoun, without a clear difference in interpretation." Lambrecht also asserts that the nonspecific, the specific indefinite and the generic references can be distinguished according to their reference in subsequent discourse. Based on the Rājbanshi data at hand, however, I found it difficult to maintain a clear distinction between the nonspecific and the generic.
    ${ }^{28}$ Masica (1991:124-125) notes that nonspecific nongeneric nouns refer "...not to a category but merely to the idea of the noun in a very vague sense. ... Nonspecific nongeneric nouns have no independent existence in the discourse apart from the verb. This status-category is one that is often overlooked, but we cannot ignore it in South Asian languages where, due to the importance of noun-verb compounding, it looms very large."

[^24]:    ${ }^{29}$ The contrast between the use of the classifier in the copula complement in DLR.03.003, and the lack of the classifier in DLR.03.005 is unclear.

[^25]:    ${ }^{30}$ The pronoun आपुन apun may be related to the Assamese reflexive. Kakati (1962:319) maintains: "The reflexive pronoun in Assamese is apuni, āpuni, by oneself. ... Assamese adjectival apon, one's own, is connected with M.I.A. appaṇaa- < *O.I.A. ātmanaka-. The reflexive apuni is in the instrumental case-ending of the bare stem apon- (ātmanaḥ=appana)-. Both the forms, adjectival apon, and instrumental apuni, have been in use since early times ... In modern Assamese the reflexive apuni has the sense of 'by oneself', 'voluntarily'."

[^26]:    31 Note that Nepali uses (एक) आपसमा (ek) apəs-ma '(one) among-LOC' and एक अर्कालाई ek ərka-lai 'one other-DAT', and Hindi आपस में āpas mẽ 'amongst LOC', and एक दूसरे का ek dusre kā 'one second DAT'.

[^27]:    ${ }^{32}$ Compare with Maithili, according to Yadav (1997 [1996]:123): "In addition there are two more indefinite pronouns: kedən (i.e., the interrogative pronoun ke + dən) 'somebody unknown', and kidən (i.e., the interrogative pronoun ki + dən) 'something unknown'."

[^28]:    33 The terms "(copula) subject", "(copula) complement" and "copula predicate" follow Dixon (2004:6-7; clarifying additions in brackets mine): "...the CC (copula complement) is a core argument - similar to A (transitive clause agent), O (transitive clause patient), S (intransitive clause subject), and CS (copula clause subject) - so that it would be unhelpful and misleading to refer to it as the predicate or as part of the predicate (as has sometimes been done). In view of this, when the predicate is used in connection with a copula clause it must be taken just to refer to the copula verb. Careful use of the term 'predicate' is particularly important when discussing the properties of adjectives..."

[^29]:    ${ }^{34}$ Masica (1991:365) asserts that in many NIA languages, direct objects may take the dative marker but that its "...functions, however, are often more pragmatic than syntactic. That is, in the case of non-human nouns, it generally indicates a 'definite' object, that is, one that is already known; in the case of human nouns, it stresses their Patienthood, a marked status (human nouns normally being Agents). In languages with other means of marking definiteness... the first of these functions is minimalised."
    In Rājbanshi it is primarily the noun classifier which indicates that the direct object is identifiable (166b). However, as discussed in this section and in section 3.6.4.1.2, animate objects can be unmarked for the dative-accusative case if they are specific indefinite in reference. Whether the dative-accusative case might signify definiteness alongside the classifier requires further research.

[^30]:    ${ }^{35}$ For similar features in Indo-Aryan in general cf. Masica (1991:346-347) and Verma \& Mohanan (eds., 1990), in Bengali cf. Klaiman (1986:180), and in Maithili cf. Yadav (1997 [1996]:82-83).

[^31]:    ${ }^{36}$ Masica (1990:339) notes: "Interestingly enough, contrastively Dative Subject verbal constructions have the meaning 'want to' in a number of languages (Gujarati, Marathi, Malayalam, Sinhalese): possibly a perception of desire (or need) as something beyond conscious control dominates here, even though the actor would seem to have freedom to act or not act."

[^32]:    ${ }^{37}$ Some inalienable relations can take an overt $1 \mathrm{sg} / 1 \mathrm{pl}$ possessor as (xiii.a-b) indicate. Whether the distinction is related to lexical semantics is not certain. Examples (xiii.c-d) would seem to point in this direction.
    (xiii) a. मोर घरेर लोकट mo-r $g^{h} \Lambda r$-er lok-ṭ $\Lambda$ ' $1 \mathrm{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]-\mathrm{GEN}$ house-GEN man-NCLS' (i.e. 'my husband'; cf. DLR.06.017, DLR.06.084a)
    b. मोर भातार mo-r $b^{h}$ atar '1sg[obl]-GEN husband' (cf. DLR.06.098)
    c. मोर माअँ mo-r mañ ' $1 \operatorname{sg}[\mathrm{obl}]$-GEN mother'
    d. *मोर आइ *mo-r ai *'1sg[obl]-GEN mother'

[^33]:    ${ }^{38}$ Other verbs of this type include: बढ्लि- bıḍ ${ }^{h} l i$ - and बढ्ला- bıd ${ }^{h} l a-$ 'change'; बाब्दि- babdi- and बाब्दा- babda- 'make someone one's parent'; नाछ्रि- nachri- and नाछ्र्रा- nach ${ }^{h}$ ra- 'claw'; काम्रि- kamri- and काम्रा- kamra- 'bite'.

[^34]:    ${ }^{40}$ The indirect causative is also found in other NIA languages: in Hindi the indirect causative suffix is -wā (-vā). On Maithili, Yadav (1997 [1996]:185-186) maintains: "...the 'second' causative, which implies that the degree of closeness between cause and effect is mediated or less direct, and is formed by adding the suffix -ba to the non-causative verb stem."

[^35]:    ${ }^{41}$ The terminological distinction between "conjunct verbs" and "compound verbs" here follows Masica (1991:326;368). Refer also to Hook (1974:17) for discussion on the compound verb in Hindi. Compare with the Hindi compound verb, as outlined by Kellogg (1955 [1875]:258): "Although for convenience of reference, common usage has been followed in the heading above, none of these are true compounds, but grammatical combinations of a conjunctive participle, a verbal noun, or a substantive, with a verb."
    Fairbanks \& Misra (1987 [1966]:30-31) distinguish between Hindi "verbal compounds" and "nonverbal compounds". The "verbal compound" is characterised thus: "The main type of verbal compound in Hindi is composed of one verb in the stem form followed by a second verb in any of the possible forms." The "nonverbal compound" is described as: "A second type of compound is one in which the first element is a noun, adjective or adverb and the second is a verb form, usually hoona, 'to be' or karnaa, 'to do.' " The former corresponds to the above-mentioned Rājbanshi equivalent (248c), and the latter to the (248a-b) type constructions.

[^36]:    42 Mohanan (1994:108) postulates seven conditions which are required for an incorporated interpretation of the Hindi " $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{V}$ compound": "...(i) the incorporated noun must have generic interpretation; (ii) there can be no material intervening between the noun and the verb; (iii) neither the noun nor the verb can be conjoined; (iv) the noun cannot be gapped; (v) it cannot be modified; (vi) it cannot be case marked; and (vii) it cannot be a subject."

    Restrictions on gapping and coordination apply also to the Rājbanshi conjunct verb. However, Mohanan's condition (ii) only applies to the default order in Rājbanshi, and not to displaced constructions, as example (251b), and Mohanan's (1994:205) similar construction in Hindi indicate:

[^37]:    ${ }^{43}$ Whether this construction could be be a loan construction is uncertain. Compare with the Hindi compound verb: "In formal terms a compound verb has consisted of a verb occuring as a bare stem followed by a secondary element that carries the desinence." (Hook 1974:17)

[^38]:    ${ }^{44}$ Other examples include DLR.05.004, DLR.05.044, DLR.05.048, DLR.06.028, DLR.06.034, DLR.06.044b, DLR.06.050a, DLR.06.050b, DLR.06.055, GR.02.024, GR.02.026.

[^39]:    ${ }^{45}$ Overt copula for example in examples GR.02.049, MR.05.239 and DLR.03.001 (ह- $h s$-), and DLR.05.017, DLR.05.024a, DLR.05.028 and DLR.05.057a (玉- $c^{h}-$ ).

[^40]:    ${ }^{46}$ The use of the marker - $-t$ for the future tense in these dialects may have derived from the Maithili 3p future marker. Jha (1958:13), for example, notes: "Mai(thili) is distinguished from Beng(ali) by the use of the future in $-t^{a}$ in the 3 rd pers(on)."

[^41]:    47 As a matter of interest, secondary agreement is found in the Indo-Aryan language Darai, and possibly also Dhanwar (Danuwar Rai). In these languages nouns are cross-referenced with the possessor (cf. Dhakal 2007 on Darai). In Darai the 2 sg pronominal possessor suffix for the noun is -r (Kotapish \& Kotapish 1975:136), and in Dhanwar (Rai \& Kuegler 1975:170) the 2pl possessor agreement marker for the noun is -haar. Whether these are historically related to the Rājbanshi morpheme -र -r $\boldsymbol{r}$ ' $2 \mathrm{pl}_{[\text {AGENT] }}$ ' is unclear.

[^42]:    48 The degree to which the discourse prominence of a participant affects secondary agreement should be studied through further research. Yadava (1999:148-149) maintains that salience is one of the central conditions of secondary agreement in Maithili.

[^43]:    ${ }^{49}$ Whether Rājbanshi secondary agreement relates to the applicative requires further research.

[^44]:    ${ }^{50}$ Bickel et al. (1999:512; addition mine) maintain: "Use of the Maithili agreement system implies that one closely observes issues of such [social] hierarchies. It does not come as a surprise, therefore, that those people who are least interested in maintaining hierarchy, so-called lower-caste people, tend to reduce the system. ... Among lower-caste people ... nonhonorific forms are generally used. ... Another effect of system reduction is that low-caste speakers are found to reduce the very shape of the agreement system, for instance, when dropping final $/ \mathrm{h} /$ and $/ \mathrm{k} / . "$
    Insofar as Rājbanshi has no (linguistically coded) complex honorific system, it would be interesting to compare its secondary agreement system with the double agreement system in non-high-caste Maithili.

[^45]:    51 "The Austroasiatic family is represented in India today by two branches. The Munḍā branch, formerly called Kolarian, is presently concentrated in the forested hilly region in the northeast of the peninsular India (in southern Bihar, Orissa, northeastern Andhra Pradesh, and border areas of West Bengal)... Like Dravidian it must once have been more widespread. It is likely that a considerable portion of the peasantry in Bihar south of the Ganges, and in Orissa and parts of West Bengal represents former Munda-speakers who have switched to Aryan. Grierson would go even further. He saw a Munda substratum in North Bihar also, extending to Nepal and adjacent submontane tracts to the west - largely on the basis of linguistic typology. ...
    Bihar was Aryanized in some sense by the time of the Buddha (i.e. prior to the sixth century BC), although there seems to have been a relapse in Magadha (Mauryas, Sungas, Guptas) depopulation, infiltration of Non-Aryan settlers probably of Munda-affinity, and their slow reAryanization." (Masica 1991:40-41; 45)
    52 "Santali is the only Austroasiatic language spoken in Nepal, where the language is known as Satār, of whom there were an estimated 33,332 in the eastern Terai according to the 1991 census

[^46]:    55 As mentioned in section 2.2.1, the 3p marker in the past tense is [r] (which is transcribed in the orthography as -ए -e). It is possible that this should be interpreted as $-i$, following the rule $[i \rightarrow \mathrm{I} /$ 1_]. If -ले -l-I was found to be a metathesis of -इल -il there may be some support for such an analysis. I am unable to reach a conclusion on this issue, and have maintained the phonetic realisation $[\mathrm{I}]$ in all text data.

[^47]:    ${ }^{56}$ According to Toulmin (2006:227), the proto-Kamta form of the perfect was $*_{-i-t} f^{h}$, and the proto-Kamta form of the "past perfective" (ibid.:233) was *-i-t $f^{h}$ il.
    ${ }^{57}$ Note that the -i element of the future tense marker (cf. section 3.7.6.1), and the -e element of the present tense marker (cf. section 3.7.6.2) have not been considered to be aspectual markers, but purely epenthetic vowels between the C-final stem and the tense marker.

[^48]:    ${ }^{58}$ Also examples DLR.06.021, DLR.06.049, MR.04.029, DLR.01.003 and MR.01.005. Refer to the analytic passive in section 7.5.1.2 for a somewhat similar construction.

[^49]:    59 In the text corpus, the obligative auxiliary लाग- lag- 'must' occurs with past habitual morphology fifteen times when preceded by the infinitive, and the abilitative auxiliary पार- par- 'can' occurs four times. Past habitual marking is found with adverbial phrases of purpose twice (cf. DLR.06.002 and DLR.06.004). In most other cases ( 95 occurrences) the infinitive seems to have no such affect on inflection.

[^50]:    ${ }^{60}$ Chatterji (1975b [1926]:990) notes the use of the $-k$ final for the polite imperative in North Bengal: "Of the present-day dialects, that of West Rāḍa has a marked preference for the «-ka», commonly in the third person: and it is found also in North Bengali (which has a special employ with the 2nd person polite imperative $=$ original passive?: e.g., দ্যাথকে « dyākhēka < dēkhēka..."

    Also Kakati (1962:377-378) mentions the use of the $-k$ final in the Assamese imperative: "The use of $-k$ as a pleonastic affix after verb-form is so well established and it has been so fully discussed (O.D.B.L., pp. 989-994) that a fresh discussion seems unnecessary. A few examples will be enough to show the extent of its pleonastic uses, in N.I.A. languages:

[^51]:    61 According to Givón (1990:810): "When a language has both imperative and subjunctive forms of command, the more finite subjunctive form, the one used in complements of manipulative verbs, is employed in less coercive, more deferent verbal manipulation." Therefore one may question whether the contrast between the imperative and the subjunctive command in Rājbanshi could be one of deference. The informants consulted for this work, however, maintained that it is the 2 pl imperative which is used for this purpose.

[^52]:    62 Masica (1991:327), referring to research on Hindi, maintains: "Although compound-verb formation is obviously a matter of derivation rather than inflection, it is highly structured, as Hook (1974 and 1978b) has demonstrated, in spite of also including some phenomena which must be described as idiomatic. Advice given in some manuals that they 'must be learned as vocabulary items' ignores the massive generalisations that can be made."
    ${ }^{63}$ Frequencies as follows: जा- ja- 'AUX' $(\mathrm{n}=159)$, फेका- $p^{h} e k a-$ 'AUX' ( $\mathrm{n}=15$ ), चुल- cul- (or, चल-cnl-) 'AUX' $(\mathrm{n}=24)$, दि- di- 'AUX' $(\mathrm{n}=56)$, and लि- $1 \mathrm{l}-\mathrm{A} \mathrm{AUX'}^{\prime}(\mathrm{n}=7)$.
    ${ }^{64}$ Refer to:
    (xvii) सचिएने देख्ले sac-iene dek hel-I 'think-CONJ.PTCL look-PST-3' (i.e. 'he thought'; cf. MR.04.112)
    (xviii) बिचार करिए देखेचे bicar kır-ie dek ${ }^{h}$-ec-e 'thought do-CONJ.PTCL look-PRES-3' (i.e. 'he thought'; cf. MR.05.223)

    ## ${ }^{65}$ Refer to:

    (xix) मार खा- mar $k^{h} a$ - 'beating eat-' (i.e. 'take a beating'), or मार खिला- mar $k^{h}$ ila- 'beating feed-' (i.e. 'give a beating') in MR.04.078, MR.04.084, MR.04.087, MR.04.092 and MR.04.096

[^53]:    ${ }^{68}$ Compare with Hook (1993:97): "In some Indo-Aryan languages (for example, Hindi-Urdu and Marwari) the compound verb has evolved into an explicit means of expressing perfective aspect. In other languages (for example, Marathi and Kashmiri) the compound verb exists but does not funtion as a regular exponent of aspect."

[^54]:    69 The geminate /a:/ in [dek ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{ec}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{e}$ ] could also indicate a tendency towards the Maithili morphological passive, discussed by Yadav (1997 [1996]:209) "... (i) the 'inflectional' (or strict morphological) passive, which is formed by suffixing -a to the nonpassive main verb stem followed by an appropriate desinence..."

[^55]:    ${ }^{70}$ Toulmin (2006:220-223) maintains that in the Rangeli ("RL" / Morang) and Mahespur ("MH" / Jhāpā) dialects there are three "perfective morphemes":

    |  | In adverbial clauses | In compound verbs | In simple verb formations |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
    | RL | $-[\mathrm{j}] \varepsilon=\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{h}}$ una | $-(\mathrm{j}) \varepsilon$ | -i |
    | MH | $-[\mathrm{j}] \varepsilon=\mathrm{n} \varepsilon$ | $-(\mathrm{j}) \varepsilon$ | -i |

[^56]:    ${ }^{71}$ Nepali, for example, has a similar construction:
    (xxii) आउँदै छु aũ-dəi $c^{\mathrm{h}}-\mathrm{u}$ 'come-CONT.PTCL be-PRES1sg' (i.e. 'I am coming')

[^57]:    72 Abbi (2001:170) notes the same construction in Hindi: "Discontinuous reduplication is used to refer to extremities. Hindi kəm 'a little' but kəm se kəm 'minimum'; ..."

[^58]:    ${ }^{73}$ The term "contrastive topic" follows Lambrecht (1994:183) where the left dislocated NPs in the examples 'Me, I'm hungry. Moi j'ai faim.' are described as follows: "Such detached lexical or pronominal NPs often have a "contrastive" function, in which case they may be referred to as contrastive topic NPs."

[^59]:    ${ }^{74}$ Note that the vocative particle रे $r e[r \mathrm{r}]$ is in contrast with the particle of certainty रे re [re], though the orthographic representation is identical (cf. section 6.1.8).

[^60]:    75 Compare this with Nepali (Matthews 1984:118; additions in square brackets mine): "The construction with कि [ki] (modelled on the Hindi construction) is often regarded as inelegant or even incorrect. It is, however, common in speech and in long prose narratives where the construction with [the] bhanera [complementiser] might lead to confusion."

[^61]:    ${ }^{76}$ Klaiman (1987:509) maintains that the interrogative particle ki in Bengali "...may occur in almost any position in a Bengali sentence other than absolute initial; however, sentences vary in their presuppositional nuances according to the placement of this particle, which seems to give the most neutral reading when placed in the second position (i.e. after the first sentential constituent). To illustrate, compare: tumi ki ekhane chatro? (you interrogative here student) 'are you a student here?'; tumi ekhane ki chatro (you here interrogative student) 'is it here that you are a student?'; tumi ekhane chatro (na) ki? (you here student (negative) interrogative) 'oh, is it that you are a student here?'."

[^62]:    ${ }^{77}$ Lambrecht (1994:182) defines displacement ("detachment") as follows: "...in many languages to code a not-yet-active topic referent in the form of a lexical noun phrase which is placed in a syntactically autonomous or "detached" position to the left, or, less commonly, to the right of the clause which contains the propositional information about the topic referent. The semantic role of the referent of such a lexical noun phrase as an argument in the proposition is usually indicated via an intra-clausal "resumptive" pronoun or other unaccented pronominal which is construed as coreferential with the detached lexical constituent." My use of the term therefore differs in that elements are generally displaced to the right without leaving a coreferential pronoun/pronominal.

[^63]:    ${ }^{78}$ Word order is also found to be relatively free in various related NIA-languages. Consider, for example, Oriya according to Sahoo (1999:102; addition in square brackets mine): "The word order in Oriya is not very rigid. Some elements like numerals, classifiers, articles and quantifiers can occur both pre- and post-nominally without affecting the meaning of the D [eterminer] P [hrase] at all." Bhattacharya (1999:72) notes similar free word order in Bengali, and Jha (1958:616), Yadav (1997 [1996]:302-302) and Yadava (1998:20-34) in Maithili.

[^64]:    ${ }^{79}$ I consider the term "afterthought" to be a kind of corrective device which is used by the speaker when $s / h e$ is uncertain his/her sentence was sufficiently informative. I therefore make the distinction between "rightward displacement" and "afterthought", as Lambrecht (1994:203): "It is important to realize that the detached constituent in the antitopic construction does not express an afterthought in the proper sense of the word, as has often been claimed. Right detachment is a fully conventionalized grammatical construction which permits speakers to adhere to the Principle of the Separation of Reference and Role under specific discourse circumstances. A speaker who uses an antitopic construction is normally fully "aware" that the mere mention of the unmarked topic pronoun in the clause is insufficient for the hearer to understand who or what the proposition is about."

[^65]:    ${ }^{80}$ Lambrecht (1994:182) notes a typological tendency in this regard: "Detachment constructions are often considered substandard or at least inappropriate in formal registers." Jha (1958:616) also

[^66]:    mentions that literary Maithili writers are inclined to refain from using detached orders in the written form: "Within these restrictions, words in Maithili can be arranged in any manner, in a sentence by the speaker. ... It must, however, be remarked here that in the literary language the normal IA. order of words is strictly observed."
    ${ }^{81}$ Lambrecht (1994:131): "A referent is interpreted as the topic of a proposition if in a given situation the proposition is construed as being about this referent, i.e. as expressing information which is relevant to and which increases the addressee's knowledge of this referent."

[^67]:    82 A somewhat similar construction is found in Hindi. Hook (1974:55), for example, notes: "Certain sequences of main verb and vector may be reordered in such a way that the vector appears as the stem and the main verb occurs with the desinence." Rājbanshi therefore differs from Hindi as regards the occurrence of desinence. That is, in Rājbanshi the fronted verb retains its inflection and agreement marking.

[^68]:    ${ }^{83}$ Compare with Masica (1991:334-335): "The purpose of the rightward displacement is either greater emphasis than can be attained in a position to the left of the verb, or in some cases, deemphasis in the sense of 'afterthought' or redundancy."

[^69]:    ${ }^{84}$ Masica (1991:371) notes a somewhat similar construction within the Bengali NP: "In Bengali the Determiner (or at least its Indefinite instantiations) can be moved to a position between the adjective and the head noun.: khub boro ækktā pukur 'a very big tank', fundor fundor koækkhāni pākhā 'a number of very beautiful fans', birāt ektti nokkhottro 'a huge star'. This is a marked order, not the normal one. The Central and Western NIA languages seem not to permit this, but the other Eastern languages might well be looked into further, although I have so far found no examples."

[^70]:    ${ }^{85}$ Compare with Masica (1991:402-3): "In Sinhalese, Dakhani, Oriya, Bengali, Assamese, and also Nepali, the use of a postposed marker based on the CP of the verb say (Si. kiyalā, D. bolke, O. boli, B. bole, A. buli, N. bhanera) has often been remarked upon as a Dravidian calque (Telugu ani, Tamil enriru, etc.), although it is also found in some Tibeto-Burman languages."

[^71]:    ${ }^{86}$ Compare with Masica (1991:403): "Assamese, while using buli as a clause-final subordinator, uses bole as a clause-initial subordinator."

[^72]:    87 The suffix -बार -ba-r in infinitival complements and participial clauses has been analysed as the infinitive followed by the genitive (-ba-r ; as Toulmin 2006:224), and not as a -bar infinitive suffix in its own right (as Poudel 2006:39) due to a comparison with Maithili and Hindi.

    In Maithili the infinitive $-ə b$ can be used to mark a subject complement (in place of the gerundial -nai 'GER'). This renders some support for considering the -ba element in the Rājbanshi -बार -bar as the infinitive. The Maithili tohl-əb and tohəl-nai in example (xxiii) would be represented in Rājbanshi as बेराबार bera-ba-r 'walk-INF-GEN' (compare with text example MR.05.316).
    (xxiii) Maithili (Yadav 1997 [1996]:235)

    ṭəhl-əb / ṭəhəl-nai bəṛhiyã byayam əich
    walk-INF / walk-GER good exercise is
    'To walk/walking is a good exercise.'
    In Hindi complex nominal clauses such as (xxiv.a-b) the infinitive is followed by the genitive. The complement करने की karne kī in (xxiv.a), and the participial clause लिखने की likhne kī in (xxiv.b) would be would be represented in Rājbanshi and कर्बार kır-ba-r 'do-INF-GEN' and लिख्बार lik ${ }^{h}$-ba-r 'write-INF-GEN' respectively. (Compare also with text examples GR.02.052 and MR.04.123.)
    (xxiv) a. कौन सी बात करने की है। kaun sī bāt karne kī hai 'what PCL thing do-INF GEN is' ('what thing is worth doing')
    b. लिखने की मेज़ likhne kī mez 'write-INF GEN table' ('writing table')

[^73]:    ${ }^{88}$ Further study is required concerning which positions can be relativised in Rājbanshi. That the genitive phrase (605d) would be more accessible to relativization that the oblique phrase (605e) contradicts the "Accessibility Hierarchy" ( $\mathrm{SU}>\mathrm{DO}>\mathrm{IO}>\mathrm{OBL}>\mathrm{GEN}>$ OCOMP) proposed by Keenan et al (1977:66).

    Another feature requiring further research, is what the relative pronoun relativises on in (605a). According to two informants, it is difficult in (605a) to determine (without an overt correlative) whether one is relativising on the subject or the direct object. This discrepancy may be linked to whether the relative clause is interpreted as post-nominal, or whether it is interpreted as prenominal with a fronted subject (cf. below for discussion on displacement within the relative clause). In a natural context the two would be distinguishable according to intonation.

[^74]:    89 The only occurrences of relative constructions in the text material are: GR.01.027, GR.01.029, MR.05.130 and MR.02.021.

[^75]:    ${ }^{90}$ Conversely, Kachru (1981) finds Davison's (1981) arguments unconvincing and proposes (1981:35) that "several underlying representations all end up as the participial construction on the surface."

[^76]:    ${ }^{91}$ Bengali has a somewhat similar construction, according to Klaiman (1986:185): "Bengali has two passives: an impersonal passive, whose characteristic is the finite verb jaa- 'go'; and a passive which occurs both personally and impersonally ..., and whose characteristic is the finite verb ha'become'." The second passive is described thus:
    (xxv) Bengali (Klaiman 1986:185)
    aamerikaay iṅreji balaa hay
    America-L(OC) English speaking becomes
    'In America, English is spoken.'

[^77]:    ${ }^{92}$ Other examples of the auxiliary दि- di- occuring with the causative include: DLR.05.029, MR.04.041, MR.05.034, MR.05.202, MR.05.213, MR.05.226, MR.05.283 and MR.05.290.

[^78]:    ${ }^{93}$ Interestingly, Schiffrin (1981:59) notes a tendency for the English historic present to co-occur with the continuous aspect.
    94 I use the terms "atelic" and "telic" here following Comrie (1993 [1976]:44-45). An atelic situation is one which has "no ... terminal point, and can be protracted indefinitely or broken off at any point." Conversely, a telic situation "... is one that involves a process that leads up to a welldefined terminal point, beyond which the process cannot continue." I will presume, as Comrie (1993 [1976]:46), that the distinction between the two is warranted despite a degree of ambiguity: "...although it is difficult to find sentences that are unambiguously telic or atelic, this does not affect the general semantic distinction made between telic and atelic situations."

[^79]:    ${ }^{95}$ The use of the present (or present continuous) for background material (and in the setting) has also been recorded in Chodri (Thomas \& Thomas 1990), and Garhwali (Christian \& Christian 1990).

    Thomas \& Thomas (1990:70) report that in Chodri (Indo-Aryan, Central zone, Bhil): "Background activities are marked by the past or present durative or present habitual nonpunctiliar aspects and the use of the past or present continuous tense."

[^80]:    ${ }^{96}$ Further research is required to determine whether text Type 2 could be related to so-called "anterior narration" which, according to Toolan (2006) is "a telling of what, it is asserted, will happen." Genette (1999 [1996]:175) notes: "The chief temporal determination of the narrating instance is obviously its position relative to the story. It seems evident that the narrating can only be subsequent to what it tells, but this obviousness has been belied for many centuries by the existence of 'predictive' narrative in its various forms (prophetic, apocalyptic, oracular, astrological, chiromantic, cartomantic, oneiromantic, etc.), whose origin is lost in the darkness of time."
    At this stage I doubt that text Type 2 is primarily 'anterior' or 'predictive' for three reasons. Firstly, the time reference (according to informants' intuitions) seems to be the past, and this would seem to rule out a predictive analysis. Secondly, a text Type 2 material can occur parallel to text Type 1 material within the same narrative, with similar non-predictive material. Thirdly, as far as I can determine, text Type 1 and text Type 2 are interchangeable with no apparent change in meaning.

[^81]:    97 Wolfson (1979) finds that in Modern American English conversational narratives it is the alternation between the CHP ("conversational historical present") and the past (and, vice versa) which distinguishes between events (ibid.:178): "...the significant fact about the use of CHP lies not in the tense itself, but in the switching from past to CHP and from CHP to past in the story. Actions occur one after another in a series; but in order to separate the actions into events, to introduce a focus and permit the narrator to give his own interpretation of what happened, the alternation between the two verb forms is used. By switching from one form to another, the narrator creates a division between the two events."

[^82]:    98 Also Toolan (2006; cf. also Genette 1999 [1996]:175-177) mentions a "third type of narration, simultaneous with the action, reports events as if they were currently taking place (in the present tense)."

