

Helsingin yliopisto - Helsingfors universitet - University of Helsinki ID 2001-890

Tiedekunta-Fakultet-Faculty Valtiotieteellinen tiedekunta		Laitos-Institution-Department Department of Economics	
Tekijä-Författare-Author Solanko, Laura			
Työn nimi-Arbetets titel-Title Fiscal competition in a transition economy			
Oppiaine-Läroämne-Subject International Economics			
Työn laji-Arbetets art-Level Licentiate thesis	Aika-Datum-Month and year 2001-01-26	Sivumäärä-Sidantal-Number of pages 60	
<p>Tiivistelmä-Referat-Abstract</p> <p>The paper analyses effects of fiscal competition for mobile capital between identical regions in a transition economy. I add two features characteristic of transition economies into the familiar model of fiscal competition by Keen-Marchand (1997). Firstly, the economy is seen to consist of two sectors with differing productivities. Even though both sectors use same inputs, the new sector is more productive than the old one. Secondly, decision-makers are assumed to be only partially benevolent. They maximise a weighted average of consumer's utility and their private benefit that originates in the old sector production. The primary interest centers on the effects of fiscal competition on the overall level and on the composition of public goods provision when the economy is characterised by the above-mentioned transition features. Two specifications for decision-maker's private benefit will be used. The basic case corresponds closely to that in Keen-Marchand (1997) producing results largely in line with theirs. The level of public goods provision is proved to be too low in a competitive equilibrium. Additionally, the composition of public goods will be distorted towards too much infrastructure and too little social public good. A common increase in capital tax rates or a common change in the composition of public goods would unambiguously increase consumer's welfare, but the welfare change is proven to be smaller than it would be in pure Keen-Marchand (1997) model. The alternative specification of decision-maker's private benefit may be seen as a special case of the one used in Qian-Roland (1998). As it is assumed that politicians own state sector rents, the results change radically. It is no longer self-evident that too little public goods is provided in a competitive equilibrium and a common policy change may, in fact, be welfare-deteriorating for the consumers. Specifically, when the relative share of old sector production in a region is large, a common increase in tax on mobile capital may decrease consumer's welfare. The opposite is proven to hold if the production structure of the transition economy (i.e. the relative share of old sector production) is very close to a standard one-sector economy.</p>			
<p>Avainsanat-Nyckelord-Keywords</p> <p>fiscal competition transition economy tax competition</p>			
Säilytyspaikka-Förvaringsställe-Where deposited			
Muita tietoja-Övriga uppgifter-Additional information			