

1

2

3

4

5 Factors associated with *Listeria monocytogenes* contamination of cold-smoked pork products

6

produced in Latvia and Lithuania

7

8

9

Aivars Bērziņš<sup>a, b, \*</sup>, Ari Hörman<sup>a</sup>, Janne Lundén<sup>a</sup>, Hannu Korkeala<sup>a</sup>

10

11

12

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Food and Environmental Hygiene, University of Helsinki,*

13

*P.O. Box 66, FIN-00014 Helsinki, Finland*

14

<sup>b</sup>*Institute of Food and Environmental Hygiene, Latvia University of Agriculture,*

15

*K. Helmaņa str. 8, LV-3004, Jelgava, Latvia*

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 \*Corresponding author.

23

Tel.: +371 65 200 61; fax: +358 9 19157101

24

*E-mail address:* aivars.berzins@helsinki.fi (A. Bērziņš).

25

1 **Abstract**

2

3 A total of 312 sample of sliced, vacuum packaged, cold-smoked pork from 15 meat  
4 processing plants in Latvia and Lithuania, obtained over a 15-month period from 2003 until  
5 2004, were analyzed for the presence of *Listeria monocytogenes* at the end of their shelf-life.  
6 Overall, 120 samples (38%) tested positive for *L. monocytogenes*. Despite the long storing  
7 period, the levels of *L. monocytogenes* in cold-smoked pork products were low.  
8 Manufacturing processes were studied at seven meat processing plants. A new approach with  
9 a logistic multivariable regression model was applied to identify the main factors associated  
10 with *L. monocytogenes* contamination during the manufacturing of cold-smoked pork  
11 products. Brining by injection was a significant factor (odds ratio 10.66;  $P < 0.05$ ) for  
12 contamination of product with *L. monocytogenes*. Moreover, long cold-smoking times ( $\geq 12$   
13 h) had a significant predictive value (odds ratio 24.38;  $P < 0.014$ ) for a sample to test  
14 positive for *L. monocytogenes*. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis results indicated that various  
15 sources of *L. monocytogenes* contamination existed over periods of time in several meat  
16 processing plants. In two meat processing plants, persistent *L. monocytogenes* strains  
17 belonging to serotypes 1/2a and 1/2c were found.

18

19

20 Keywords: *Listeria monocytogenes*; Prevalence; Pork; Brine injection; Cold-smoking

21

22

1 **1. Introduction**

2

3         Since *Listeria monocytogenes* was found to be a significant food-borne pathogen  
4 (Schlech et al., 1983), epidemiological studies have confirmed that meat products have been  
5 involved in sporadic cases and outbreaks of listeriosis in many countries during recent  
6 decades (Gellin et al., 1991; Slutsker et al., 1999; de Valk et al., 2001; Frye et al., 2002; Sim  
7 et al., 2002). Various delicatessen meat products have played important roles in outbreaks of  
8 listeriosis in France, the UK, the USA and other countries (Farber et al., 1991; Jacquet et al.,  
9 1995; McLauchlin, 1996). Meat products associated with the transmission of *L.*  
10 *monocytogenes* generally have been processed, have long shelf-lives, are capable of  
11 supporting the growth of *L. monocytogenes*, and are consumed without further cooking  
12 (McLauchlin, 1996).

13

14         Vacuum packaged, cold-smoked pork is a ready-to-eat (RTE) meat product  
15 commonly produced in Baltic and Eastern European countries. This product is consumed  
16 without any heat treatment, usually on sandwiches, in salads or as a cold snack. The  
17 manufacture of cold-smoked pork involves no processing steps to eliminate *L.*  
18 *monocytogenes*. Moreover, some manufacturers set a long shelf-life for such products, thus  
19 possibly permitting substantial growth of *L. monocytogenes*.

20

21         In this study we report for the first time the results on the prevalence of *L.*  
22 *monocytogenes* in sliced, vacuum packaged, cold-smoked pork products from meat  
23 processing plants in Latvia and Lithuania. A new approach was applied with a logistic  
24 multivariable regression model to identify the main factors in the manufacture of cold-

1 smoked pork associated with *L. monocytogenes* contamination. Furthermore, pulsed-field gel  
2 electrophoresis typing and serotyping were used to characterize *L. monocytogenes* isolates  
3 and to determine their occurrence in cold-smoked pork products from various processing  
4 plants over a period of two years.

5

## 6 **2. Materials and methods**

7

### 8 *2.1. The manufacturing process of cold-smoked, sliced, vacuum packaged pork*

9

10 A questionnaire was distributed to obtain detailed information on manufacturing  
11 practices at seven meat processing plants. Cold-smoked pork production involves several  
12 operations (Fig. 1). After cutting, the raw meat is dry salted or dry salted and injected with  
13 brine. The salt and/ or brine may contain nitrite, nitrate, spices and/ or starter cultures. The  
14 ripening time before cold-smoking varies among manufacturers, from 7 to 21 days. The meat  
15 is maintained at  $\leq 6$  °C and is turned regularly. More salt can be added and manually rubbed  
16 in during this primary ripening. Before cold-smoking, excess salt is rinsed away. Cold-  
17 smoking temperatures vary among producers from 20 °C to 30 °C. The time of exposure to  
18 natural smoke also varies among companies, from 3 to 48 h. Secondary ripening takes place  
19 at some plants, for 7 to 20 days at a temperature  $< 14$  °C, in ripening chambers with humidity  
20 controlled at 75%. Products are sliced and vacuum packaged before they are distributed to  
21 the market. The shelf-lives of the vacuum packaged products are set by the manufacturers,  
22 and range from 20 to 120 days. The manufacturing process includes a lot of handling of the  
23 product by personnel, especially during salting, ripening, slicing and vacuum packaging.  
24 This type of product is consumed without any heat treatment.

1

## 2 2.2. Sampling

3

4 Vacuum packs of sliced, cold-smoked pork products were obtained from 11 stores of  
5 four supermarket chains in Latvia and Lithuania over a 15-month period during the years  
6 2003 and 2004. A pack from each meat processing plant with product present on counter was  
7 collected. A total of 312 packs of products from eight Latvian and seven Lithuanian plants  
8 were collected (Table 1), with packs from several production lots from plants I and II being  
9 obtained. When packs were collected, the temperatures of the air in the display counters were  
10 determined. The packs were transported to the laboratory on ice and were then stored at 6 °C,  
11 before being analyzed within 5 days of the end of shelf-life stated on each pack. Each  
12 vacuum package with 70 to 150 g of sliced product was aseptically opened and a 25 g sample  
13 was taken and pummeled with 225 ml of half-strength Fraser broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke,  
14 UK) in a stomacher.

15

## 16 2.3. Isolation and enumeration of *L. monocytogenes*

17

18 *L. monocytogenes* was isolated according to the International Organization for  
19 Standardization method (Anonymous, 1996 a) with modification suggested by Johansson  
20 (1998). Examination for *L. monocytogenes* included two-step enrichment. All samples were  
21 incubated in half-strength Fraser broth at 30 °C for 24 h. After incubation, 0.1 ml was  
22 transferred to full-strength Fraser broth and incubated at 37 °C for 48 h. After incubation, the  
23 half- and full-strength Fraser broths were plated on PALCAM listeria selective agar (Oxoid)  
24 and *Listeria monocytogenes* blood agar (LMBA) containing Trypticase soy agar base (Difco,

1 Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD, USA), 5% sterile sheep blood and, per litre, 10 g of lithium  
2 chloride (Merck KgaA, Darmstadt, Germany) and 10 mg of ceftazidime (Abtek Biologicals  
3 Ltd., Liverpool, UK). Selective agar plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 to 48 h. Five  
4 typical colonies were streaked from both PALCAM and LMBA onto 5% sheep blood  
5 Columbia agar (Difco) and incubated then at 37 °C for 24 h. Isolates that were β-hemolytic,  
6 catalase positive and Gram positive were presumed to be *L. monocytogenes*. Presumptive *L.*  
7 *monocytogenes* were confirmed using the API Listeria kit (bio Mérieux, Marcy l’Etoile,  
8 France). All confirmed *L. monocytogenes* isolates were stored at -70 °C.

9

10 Enumeration of *L. monocytogenes* was carried out according to the method of the  
11 International Organization for Standardization (Anonymous, 1996 b), for 15 of 120 positive  
12 samples produced from two of the plants (I and IV). Enumeration was performed  
13 immediately upon expiry of each product’s shelf-life. The lower limit of enumeration was 10  
14 cfu/g. The procedure included 1-h resuscitation in buffered peptone water at 20 °C, and  
15 surface plating on PALCAM and LMBA of 1.0 ml of 10<sup>-1</sup>, and 0.1 ml of each of the 10<sup>-2</sup> and  
16 10<sup>-3</sup> dilutions. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 to 48 h. Typical colonies were  
17 selected and plated on 5% sheep blood agar. *L. monocytogenes* was confirmed as described  
18 above.

19

#### 20 2.4. DNA isolation and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE)

21

22 A colony of *L. monocytogenes* was transferred to 5 ml of tryptic soy broth (TSB;  
23 Difco) which was incubated overnight at 37 °C. DNA isolation was performed with  
24 modifications as described by Björkroth et al. (1996) and Autio et al. (2002). After overnight

1 incubation, 2 ml of the culture were diluted in 5 ml PIV buffer (10 mM Tris, 1 M NaCl) and  
2 concentrated by centrifugation at  $1100 \times g$  for 15 min at 4 °C. Plugs for PFGE were prepared  
3 with concentrated cell suspension in PIV and 2% (w/v) low melting point agarose (InCert;  
4 FMC Bioproducts, Rockland, ME, USA). Cells in prepared plugs were lysed in a solution  
5 containing per ml 20 µg of RNase, 1 mg of lysozyme and 10 U of mutanolysin in lysis buffer  
6 containing 6 mM Tris, 1 M NaCl, 100 mM EDTA, 0.5% Brij-58, 0.2% sodium deoxycholate  
7 and 0.5% sodium lauroyl sarcosine, at 37 °C for 3 h with slow shaking. Lysis was continued  
8 with a 1-h wash with ESP solution containing proteinase K (Sigma) 100 µg/ml, 0.5 M EDTA  
9 and 10% sodium lauroyl sarcosine at 50 °C. ESP wash was repeated twice under the same  
10 conditions. Afterwards the plugs were washed in buffer containing 10 mM Tris and 0.1 mM  
11 EDTA at 50 °C for 1 h. A cutting enzyme *AscI* 20 U/µl (New England BioLabs, Beverly,  
12 MA, USA) was used for digestion at 37 °C for 16 h.

13

14 PFGE was performed with 1.0% (w/v) agarose gel (SeaKem Gold; FMC  
15 Bioproducts, Rockland, ME, USA) in buffer containing 45 mM Tris, 4.5 mM boric acid, 1  
16 mM sodium EDTA at 8 °C in a Gene Navigator system with a hexagonal electrode  
17 (Pharmacia, Uppsala, Sweden) operated at 200 V. Pulse times ranged from 1 to 35 s for 18 h.  
18 The size of the fragments was determined with a low-range PFG marker (New England  
19 BioLabs, Beverly, MA, USA). Gels were stained with ethidium bromide. Photo images were  
20 obtained with the Alpha Imager 2000 photo documentation system (Alpha Innotech, San  
21 Leandro, CA, USA). The images were saved as TIFF files for further analysis.

22

23 *2.5. Analysis of PFGE typing results*

24

1           Macrorestriction patterns (MRP) of *AscI* were analyzed with BioNumerics software  
2 version 4.01 (Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium), and applied Dice coefficient correlation to  
3 identify similarities among PFGE types. A dendrogram was constructed with the unweighted  
4 pair group method using arithmetic averages (UPGMA). The position tolerance was set to  
5 1.2% with the optimization value at 1.0%.

6

## 7   2.6. Serotyping

8

9           One or two *L. monocytogenes* isolates of each PFGE type were serotyped with  
10 *Listeria* antisera (Denka Seiken, Tokyo, Japan), following the instructions of the  
11 manufacturer.

12

## 13   2.7. Statistical analysis

14

15           *L. monocytogenes* prevalence and the manufacturing process data were analyzed with  
16 the Statistical Package for Social Sciences 12.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA)  
17 to identify factors in the manufacturing process of cold-smoked sliced vacuum packaged  
18 pork products associated with *L. monocytogenes* contamination. All manufacturing steps  
19 were defined as variables, and analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine  
20 possible significant differences ( $P < 0.05$ ) for the prevalence of *L. monocytogenes*. A  
21 nonparametric Spearman rank order correlation coefficient with two-tailed  $P$  value was  
22 calculated for cross-correlations between manufacturing steps and the presence of *L.*  
23 *monocytogenes*. The odds ratio (OR) with a 95% confidence interval was calculated for each  
24 manufacturing step, and *L. monocytogenes* detected in cold-smoked sliced vacuum packaged



1 pork was set as a dependent variable in the logistic multivariable regression model. The  
2 manufacturing steps identified as most significant in the bivariate analysis were set as  
3 independent variables in the model. Predictive values of independent variables were  
4 analyzed for the dependent variable by computing the coefficient estimates (B values), *P*  
5 values for the B values, and ORs with 95% confidence intervals from the B values.

6

### 7 **3. Results**

8

#### 9 *3.1. Prevalence and enumeration of L. monocytogenes in cold-smoked sliced vacuum* 10 *packaged pork products*

11

12 The prevalence of *L. monocytogenes* in sliced, vacuum packaged, cold-smoked pork  
13 products are shown in Table 1. The prevalences of *L. monocytogenes* in the products from  
14 plants I, III, IV, VII, IX, and XI were significantly higher than the prevalences in products  
15 from other plants. With products from plants I and IV, *L. monocytogenes* was not detected in  
16 10 of the 15 analyzed samples. In products from plant I, three samples contained < 10 cfu/g,  
17 one sample, 20 cfu/g, and three samples contained 100-1000 cfu/g. With product from plant  
18 IV, *L. monocytogenes* was not detected in seven samples, and the one sample that tested  
19 positive contained 20 cfu/g.

20

#### 21 *3.2. Factors associated with L. monocytogenes contamination in the manufacture of cold-* 22 *smoked sliced vacuum packaged pork products in seven meat processing plants*

23

1 All production steps were studied separately for plants I, II, III, IV, V, VI, and VII,  
2 that produce similar products in which the prevalences of *L. monocytogenes* varied from 0 to  
3 67%. Production processes were divided into manufacturing steps and analyzed as separate  
4 variables. ANOVA showed significantly higher prevalence ( $P < 0.05$ ) of *L. monocytogenes*  
5 in the products of meat processing plants I, III, IV, and VII than in products from plants II,  
6 V, and VI. The presence of *L. monocytogenes* correlated with the use of brining injections  
7 and cold-smoking time (Table 2). Based on bivariate correlations, the four most significant  
8 variables, brining procedures, cold-smoking time, cold-smoking temperature, and total time  
9 at temperature between 10 °C and 30 °C, were selected for use in a multivariate logistic  
10 regression model. Brining injections were observed as a significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) factor in  
11 product contamination with *L. monocytogenes* (Table 3). A long cold-smoking time ( $\geq 12$  h)  
12 also had a significant ( $P < 0.014$ ) predictive value for testing positive for *L. monocytogenes*,  
13 whereas cold-smoking at 24 to 30 °C for 8 to 48 h carried a significant ( $P < 0.002$ ) predictive  
14 value for testing negative for *L. monocytogenes*.

15

### 16 3.3. Genotyping with PFGE and serotyping

17

18 All 120 *L. monocytogenes* isolates were typed using PFGE. Based on genetic  
19 similarity, 27 different PFGE types were detected and grouped then into two genetic lineages  
20 (Fig. 2). Genetic lineage I contained 95% of all *L. monocytogenes* isolates belonging to  
21 serotypes 1/2a and 1/2c, while the remaining 5% of isolates in genetic lineage II, belonged to  
22 serotypes 1/2b, 3b, and 4b. Overall, 32% of *L. monocytogenes* isolates were recovered from  
23 only one meat processing plant. More than three PFGE types were found in the products  
24 from meat processing plants I, II, III, VII, IX, and XI (Table 4). *L. monocytogenes* isolates

1 obtained from Latvian plants (n = 99) and Lithuanian plants (n = 21) were grouped into 22  
2 and 10 different PFGE types, respectively. The most common PFGE type 19 was recovered  
3 from Latvian plants I, II, III, and VI and Lithuanian plants IX and XI. PFGE type 19 was  
4 found in seven of eight production lots from meat processing plant I, and in four production  
5 lots from meat processing plant II (Table 5). *L. monocytogenes* PFGE types 10, 19, and 20  
6 were recovered over a 9-month period from lots from plant I. PFGE types 12, 15, and 19  
7 were recovered repeatedly, during a shorter period, from plant II. *L. monocytogenes*  
8 contamination in product from plants I and II was continuous throughout production.  
9 However, the prevalence levels of *L. monocytogenes* differed significantly ( $P < 0.05$ )  
10 between plants I and II.

11

#### 12 **4. Discussion**

13

14 The prevalences of *L. monocytogenes* in cold-smoked pork products varied from 0 to  
15 67% in Latvian products and from 10 to 73% in Lithuanian products. Regardless of how long  
16 products were stored, levels of *L. monocytogenes* at the ends of manufacturers' shelf-lives  
17 were mostly  $< 100$  cfu/g. This suggests that cold-smoked pork products contain inhibitors of  
18 *L. monocytogenes*. The wide use of starter cultures in the manufacture of cold-smoked pork  
19 products may explain the low levels of *L. monocytogenes*. The use of starter cultures in the  
20 production of meat products is one of the most important factors for controlling *L.*  
21 *monocytogenes* growth (Farber et al., 1991; Ingham et al., 2004; Thevenot et al., 2005).

22

23 The manufacture of cold-smoked pork involves no processing steps to eliminate *L.*  
24 *monocytogenes*, thus contamination of the raw meat and contamination during processing

1 can both contribute to *L. monocytogenes* in the finished product. Several investigators have  
2 shown that the occurrence of *L. monocytogenes* in the plant environment and on processing  
3 surfaces has a significant positive relationship with the presence of this organism in finished  
4 products (Chasseignaux et al., 2001; Heir et al., 2004).

5

6 The multivariate logistic regression model indicated that brining, cold-smoking time,  
7 and cold-smoking temperature were factors affecting product contamination with *L.*  
8 *monocytogenes*. Autio et al. (1999) previously showed that injected brines were an important  
9 source of the *L. monocytogenes* found in cold-smoked rainbow trout. Recirculation of  
10 contaminated brine through injection machines may also increase dissemination of *L.*  
11 *monocytogenes* during production (Autio et al., 1999; Gailey et al., 2003; Greer et al., 2004).  
12 Eradication programs, including disassembly of machines, thorough cleaning, and  
13 disinfection of all equipment components have been successful in eliminating *L.*  
14 *monocytogenes* from brining equipment (Autio et al., 1999).

15

16 A long cold-smoking time ( $\geq 12$  h) was also predictive of a sample testing positive  
17 for *L. monocytogenes*, but use of a cold-smoking temperature between 24 °C to 30 °C may  
18 reduce *L. monocytogenes* contamination. Eklund et al. (1995) observed that cold-smoking  
19 temperatures of 22 °C to 30 °C decreased surface populations of *L. monocytogenes* in cold-  
20 smoked fish products. However, *L. monocytogenes* injected into the flesh increased during  
21 cold-smoking at these temperatures; and Rørvik et al. (2000) found that *L. monocytogenes*  
22 injected with brine can grow during cold-smoking. Thus, prolonged cold-smoking may allow  
23 the growth of *L. monocytogenes* in cold-smoked pork if contaminated brine has been injected  
24 prior to cold-smoking.

1

2 PFGE typing results showed a high genetic diversity of *L. monocytogenes* isolates  
3 obtained from cold-smoked pork products from several plants. This may indicate the  
4 existence of various sources of contamination at different production stages in the cold-  
5 smoked pork processing environment. In addition to the non-persistent strains, three  
6 persistent PFGE types were detected over several months in each of plants I and II. Previous  
7 studies have shown that persistent *L. monocytogenes* strains can often be found in various  
8 parts of food processing equipment (Rørvik et al., 1995; Nesbakken et al., 1996; Lundén et  
9 al., 2002). Contamination with *L. monocytogenes* in processing plants most often results  
10 from strains persisting, possibly for years, within the plant (Miettinen et al., 1999; Senczek et  
11 al., 2000; Hoffman et al., 2003; Lundén et al., 2003). Lundén et al. (2002) concluded that  
12 processing lines, including complex equipment with poor hygienic design, may harbor  
13 persistent *L. monocytogenes* strains, thus leading to continuous contamination of finished  
14 products.

15

16

## 17 **Acknowledgements**

18

19 The Finnish Veterinary Foundation, Walter Ehrström Foundation, and CIMO (Finnish  
20 Centre for International Mobility) are acknowledged for their financial support.

1       **References**

2

3       Anonymous, 1996 a. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs- Horizontal method for  
4       the detection and enumeration of *Listeria monocytogenes*: Part 1. Detection method, ISO  
5       11290-1.

6       Anonymous, 1996 b. Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs- Horizontal method for  
7       the detection and enumeration of *Listeria monocytogenes*: Part 2. Enumeration method,  
8       ISO 11290-2.

9       Autio, T., Hielm, S., Miettinen, M., Sjöberg, A.-M., Aarnisalo, K., Björkroth, J., Mattila-  
10       Sandholm, T., Korkeala, H., 1999. Sources of *Listeria monocytogenes* contamination in a  
11       cold-smoked rainbow trout processing plant detected by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis  
12       typing. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 65, 150-155.

13       Autio, T., Lunden, J., Fredriksson-Ahomaa, M., Björkroth, J., Sjöberg, A.-M., Korkeala, H.,  
14       2002. Similar *Listeria monocytogenes* pulsotypes detected in several foods originating  
15       from different sources. International Journal of Food Microbiology 77, 83-90.

16       Björkroth, J., Ridell, J., Korkeala, H., 1996. Characterization of *Lactobacillus sake* strains  
17       associating with production of ropy slime by randomly amplified polymorphic DNA  
18       (RAPD) and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) patterns. International Journal of  
19       Food Microbiology 31, 59-68.

20       Chasseignaux, E., Toquin, M.T., Ragimbeau, C., Salvat, G., Polin P., Ermel, G., 2001.  
21       Molecular epidemiology of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolates collected from the  
22       environment, raw meat and raw products in two poultry- and pork-processing plants.  
23       Journal of Applied Microbiology 91, 888-899.

24

- 1 Eklund, M. W., Poysky, F. T., Paranjpye, R. N., Lashbrook, L. C., Peterson, M. E., Pelroy,  
2 G. A., 1995. Incidence and sources of *Listeria monocytogenes* in cold-smoked fishery  
3 products and processing plants. *Journal of Food Protection* 58, 502-508.
- 4 Farber, J.M., Peterkin, P.I., 1991. *Listeria monocytogenes* a food-borne pathogen.  
5 *Microbiological Reviews* 55, 476-511.
- 6 Frye, D. M., Zweig, R., Sturgeon, J., Tormey, M., LeCavalier, M., Lee, I., Lawani, L.,  
7 Mascola, L., 2002. An outbreak of febrile gastroenteritis associated with delicatessen  
8 meat contaminated with *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 35, 943-  
9 949.
- 10 Gailey, J. K., Dickson, J. S., Dorsa, W., 2003. Survival of *Listeria monocytogenes* in a  
11 simulated recirculating brine chiller system. *Journal of Food Protection* 66, 1840-1844.
- 12 Gellin, B. G., Broome, C. V., Bibb, W. F., Weaver, R. E., Gaventa, S., Mascola, L., the  
13 Listeriosis Study Group, 1991. The epidemiology of listeriosis in the United States-  
14 1986. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 133, 392-401.
- 15 Greer, G. G., Nattress, F., Dilts, B., Baker, L., 2004. Bacterial contamination of recirculating  
16 brine used in the commercial production of moisture-enhanced pork. *Journal of Food*  
17 *Protection* 67, 185-188.
- 18 Heir, E., Lindstedt, B.-A., Røtterud, O.-J., Vardund, T., Kapperud, G., Nesbakken, T., 2004.  
19 Molecular epidemiology and disinfectant susceptibility of *Listeria monocytogenes* from  
20 meat processing plants and human infections. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*  
21 96, 85-96.
- 22 Hoffman, A. D., Gall, K. L., Norton, D. M., Wiedmann, M., 2003. *Listeria monocytogenes*  
23 contamination patterns for the smoked fish processing environment and for raw fish.  
24 *Journal of Food Protection* 66, 52-60.

- 1 Ingham, S. C., Buege, D.R., Dropp, B. K., Losinski, J. A., 2004. Survival of *Listeria*  
2 *monocytogenes* during storage of ready-to-eat meat products processed by drying,  
3 fermentation and /or smoking. *Journal of Food Protection* 67, 2698-2702.
- 4 Jacquet, Ch., Catimel, B., Goulet, V., Lepoutre, A., Veit, P., Dehaumont, P., Rocourt, J.,  
5 1995. Typing of *Listeria monocytogenes* during epidemiological investigations of the  
6 French listeriosis outbreaks in 1992, 1993 and 1995. In *Proceedings of the 12th*  
7 *International Symposium on Problems of Listeriosis*. Promaco Conventions, Perth,  
8 Western Australia. 161-176.
- 9 Johansson, T., 1998. Enhanced detection and enumeration of *Listeria monocytogenes* from  
10 foodstuffs and food-processing environments. *International Journal of Food*  
11 *Microbiology* 40, 77-85.
- 12 Lundén, J. M., Autio, T. J., Korkeala, H.J., 2002. Transfer of persistent *Listeria*  
13 *monocytogenes* contamination between food-processing plants associated with a dicing  
14 machine. *Journal of Food Protection* 65, 1129-1133.
- 15 Lundén, J. M., Autio, T. J., Sjöberg, A.-M., Korkeala, H.J., 2003. Persistent and  
16 nonpersistent *Listeria monocytogenes* contamination in meat and poultry processing  
17 plants. *Journal of Food Protection* 66, 2062-2069.
- 18 McLauchlin, J., 1996. The relationship between *Listeria* and listeriosis. *Food Control* 7, 187-  
19 193.
- 20 Miettinen, M. K., Björkroth, J. K., Korkeala, H.J., 1999. Characterization of *Listeria*  
21 *monocytogenes* from an ice cream plant by serotyping and pulsed-field gel  
22 electrophoresis. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 46, 187-192.



1 Nesbakken, T., Kapperud, G., Caugant D. A., 1996. Pathways of *Listeria monocytogenes*  
2 contamination in the meat processing industry. International Journal of Food  
3 Microbiology 31, 161-171.

4 Rørvik, L. M., 2000. *Listeria monocytogenes* in the smoked salmon industry. International  
5 Journal of Food Microbiology 62, 183-190.

6 Rørvik, L. M., Caugant, D. A., Yndestad, M., 1995. Contamination pattern of *Listeria*  
7 *monocytogenes* and other *Listeria* spp. in a salmon slaughterhouse and smoked salmon  
8 processing plant. International Journal of Food Microbiology 25, 19-27.

9 Schlech, W. F., Lavigne, P. M., Bortolussi, R. A., Allen, A. C., Haldane, E. V., Wort, A. J.,  
10 Hightower, A. W., Johnson, S. E., King, S. H., E. S. Nicholls, E. S., Broome, C. V.,  
11 1983. Epidemic listeriosis: evidence for transmission by food. New England Journal of  
12 Medicine 308, 203-206.

13 Senczek, D., Stephan, R., Untermann F., 2000. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE)  
14 typing of *Listeria* strains isolated from a meat processing plant over a 2-year period.  
15 International Journal of Food Microbiology 62, 155-159.

16 Sim, J., Hood, D., Finnie, L., Wilson, M., Graham, C., Brett, M., Hudson, J. A., 2002. Series  
17 of incidents of *Listeria monocytogenes* non-invasive febrile gastroenteritis involving  
18 ready-to-eat meats. Letters in Applied Microbiology 35, 409-413.

19 Slutsker, L., Schuchat, A., 1999. Listeriosis in humans. In: Ryser ET, Marth EH, (Ed.),  
20 *Listeria*, listeriosis, and food safety. 2nd ed. Marcel Dekker, New York, pp. 75-95.

21 Thevenot, D, Delignette-Muller, M. L., Christieans, S., Vernozy-Rozand. C., 2005.  
22 Prevalence of *Listeria monocytogenes* in 13 dried sausage processing plants and their  
23 products. International Journal of Food Microbiology 102, 85-94.

1 de Valk, H., Vaillant, V., Jacquet, C., Rocourt, J., Le Querrec, F., Stainer, F., Quelquejeu,  
2 N., Pierre, O., Pierre, V., Desenclos, J.-C., Goulet, V., 2001. Two consecutive nationwide  
3 outbreaks of listeriosis in France, October 1999-February 2000. American Journal of  
4 Epidemiology 154, 944-950.

1 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

2

3 **Fig. 1.** Generic process flow diagram for the manufacture of sliced, vacuum packaged, cold-  
4 smoked pork.

5

6 **Fig. 2.** Dendrogram demonstrating the genetic similarity among PFGE (*AscI*) restriction  
7 profiles of *Listeria monocytogenes* isolated from sliced, vacuum packaged, cold-smoked  
8 pork products from Latvian and Lithuanian meat processing plants.

1 Table 1. Prevalence of *Listeria monocytogenes* in cold-smoked sliced vacuum packaged pork  
 2 products originating from 15 meat processing plants in Latvia and Lithuania in 2003- 2004

Country	Plant	Manufacturer's shelf-life (days)	No. of production lots tested	No. of packs tested	No. of positive packs (%)
Latvia	I	120	8	95	48 (51) <sup>a</sup>
	II	90	10	73	17 (23)
	III	30	2	25	10 (40) <sup>a</sup>
	IV	20	2	15	7 (47) <sup>a</sup>
	V	90	3	14	0 (0)
	VI	60	3	17	1 (6)
	VII	60	3	24	16 (67) <sup>a</sup>
	VIII	ND <sup>b</sup>		4	0 (0)
Subtotal				267	99 (37)
Lithuania	IX	ND	4	13	9 (69) <sup>a</sup>
	X	ND	2	10	1 (10)
	XI	ND	1	11	8 (73) <sup>a</sup>
	Other (XII-XV)	ND		11	3 (27)
Subtotal				45	21 (47)
Total				312	120 (38)

3 <sup>a</sup> Prevalence is significantly higher than in product without the superscript.

4 <sup>b</sup> ND, no data available.

1 Table 2. Analysis of bivariate Spearman rank order correlation coefficients with two-tailed *P*  
 2 values and odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals for correlations between various  
 3 manufacturing steps (variables) and the presence of *Listeria monocytogenes* in cold-smoked  
 4 sliced vacuum packaged pork products

Manufacturing step (variable value)	Presence of <i>L. monocytogenes</i>	
	<i>r</i> ( <i>P</i> )	OR (95% CI)
Salting procedures: use of brine injection (Yes vs. No)	0.22 (< 0.001)	2.56 (1.53-4.30)
Primary ripening time (> 10 days vs. ≤ 10 days)	0.11 (0.072)	1.61 (0.96-2.63)
Cold-smoking time (≥ 12 hours vs. < 12 hours)	0.21 (< 0.001)	2.50 (1.49-4.17)
Cold-smoking temperature (19 °C to 23 °C vs. 24°C to 30 °C)	0.11 (0.059)	1.62 (0.98-2.69)
Secondary ripening time (> 7 days vs. ≤ 7 days)	0.10 (0.079)	1.59 (0.95-2.63)
Total time at "risk temperature" 10 °C to 30 °C (> 48 hours vs. ≤ 48 hours)	0.11 (0.059)	1.64 (0.99-2.70)

1 Table 3. Multivariate analysis by logistic multiple regression model in which *Listeria monocytogenes* detected is the dependent variable<sup>a</sup>

Model or variable	Model-fitting information				Parameter estimates			
	-2 Log	Chi	df	<i>P</i>	B	Exp (B)	SE for	<i>P</i>
	likelihood	square				(95% confidence interval)	B	
<b>Models</b>								
Intercept only	66.643							
Final	21.743	44.900	6	0.001				
<b>Variables</b>								
Intercept					-5.966		2.207	0.007
Use of brine injection (Yes vs. No)					2.36	10.66 (1.21-93.69)	1.10	0.033
Cold smoking time ( $\geq$ 12 h vs. < 12 h)					3.19	24.38 (1.91-310.32)	1.29	0.014
Cold-smoking temperature (24 °C to 30 °C vs. 19 °C to 23 °C)					-3.46	0.031 (0.03-0.28)	1.11	0.002

2 <sup>a</sup> Only significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) variables from multivariate analysis by logistic multiple regression model are presented.

1 Table 4. *L. monocytogenes* pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) types and serotypes detected in  
 2 products from meat processing plants in Latvia and Lithuania

Country	Plant	Number of isolates	Number of PFGE types	Serotypes (no. of isolates)
Latvia	I	48	9	1/2a (36), 1/2b (8), 1/2c (3), 4b (1)
	II	17	7	1/2a (14), 1/2c (3)
	III	10	6	1/2a (8), 1/2c (2)
	IV	7	3	1/2a (5), 1/2c (2)
	VI	1	1	1/2a (1)
	VII	16	5	1/2a (16)
	Lithuania	IX	9	4
X		1	1	1/2a (1)
XI		8	4	1/2a (4), 1/2c (4)
Other (XIV-XV)		3	3	1/2a (2), 3b (1)
Total		120	27	1/2a (96), 1/2b (3), 1/2c (19), 3b (1), 4b (1)

3

1 Table 5. Distribution of pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) types of *Listeria monocytogenes* in  
 2 production lots from two meat processing plants

Plant	PFGE type	No. of isolates	Production lot	Isolation month/ year
I <sup>a</sup>	13	1	IL 8	01/2004
	12	1	IL 5	07/2003
	10	5	IL 1, IL 2, IL 4, IL 5	01, 06, 07/2003
	19	25	IL 1, IL 2, IL 3, IL 4, IL 5, IL 6, IL7	01, 06, 07, 09/2003
	20	7	IL 2, IL 5, IL 7	06, 07, 09/2003
	21	1	IL 8	01/2004
	22	4	IL 2	06/2003
	25	3	IL 8	01/2004
	27	1	IL 6	07/2003
<b>Total</b>	9	48		
II	12	2	IIL 2, IIL 3	07/2003; 01/2004
	2	1	IIL 3	01/2004
	7	1	IIL 2	07/2003
	19	7	IIL 1, IIL2, IIL 3, IIL 4	06, 07/2003; 01, 02/2004
	15	3	IIL 3, IIL 4	01, 02/2004
	17	2	IIL 3	01/2004
	22	1	IIL 1	06/2003
<b>Total</b>	7	17		

3 <sup>a</sup>Prevalence of *L. monocytogenes* in product is significantly higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) than in meat plant II.