Identification of important marine areas using ecologically or biologically significant areas (EBSAs) criteria in the East to Southeast Asia region and comparison with existing registered areas for the purpose of conservation

Takehisa Yamakita^{a,*,‡}, Kenji Sudo^{b,*}, Yoshie Jintsu-Uchifune^a, Hiroyuki Yamamoto^a, Yoshihisa Shirayama^a

^aJapan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology (JAMSTEC), 2-15 Natsushima-cho, Yokosuka, Kanagawa 237-0061, Japan

^bAkkeshi Marine Station, Field Science Center for Northern Biosphere, Hokkaido University, Aikappu 1, Akkeshi, Hokkaido 088-1113, Japan

*These authors contributed equally to this manuscript; order was decided by the correspondence timeline

*Corresponding author: Takehisa Yamakita Tel.: +81 46 867 9767 E-mail address:yamakitat@jamstec.go.jp

24 The biodiversity of East to Southeast (E-SE) Asian waters is rapidly declining because 25 of anthropogenic effects ranging from local environmental pressures to global warming. 26 To improve marine biodiversity, the Aichi Biodiversity Targets were adopted in 2010. 27 The recommendation of the Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and 28 Technological Advice (SBSTTA), encourages application of the ecologically or 29 biologically significant area (EBSA) process to identify areas for conservation. 30 However, there are few examples of the use of EBSA criteria to evaluate entire oceans. 31 In this article, seven criteria are numerically evaluated to identify important marine 32 areas (EBSA candidates) in the E-SE Asia region. The discussion includes 1) the 33 possibility of EBSA criteria quantification throughout the E-SE Asia oceans and the 34 suitability of the indices selected; 2) optimal integration methods for criteria, and the 35 relationships between the criteria and data robustness and completeness; and; 3) a 36 comparison of the EBSA candidates identified and existing registered areas for the 37 purpose of conservation, such as marine protected areas (MPAs). Most of the EBSA 38 criteria could be quantitatively evaluated throughout the Asia-Pacific region. However, 39 three criteria in particular showed a substantial lack of data. Our methodological 40 comparison showed that complementarity analysis performed better than summation 41 because it considered criteria that were evaluated only in limited areas. Most of the 42 difference between present-day registered areas and our results for EBSAs resulted from 43 a lack of data and differences in philosophy for the selection of indices.

Λ	Λ
_	-

45 Keywords Ecologically or biologically significant area (EBSA), East Asia, Southeast Asia, West 46 47 Pacific ocean, Complementarity, Gap analysis, 48 49 Highlights' 50 -Most EBSA criteria could be quantitatively evaluated in the Asia-Pacific region 51 -Complementarity analysis outperformed summation for integrating results 52 -Most gaps between existing areas registered for the purpose of conservation and 53 selected important areas resulted from a lack of data 54 55 56 57 1. Introduction 58 The marine region from East Asia to Southeast Asia (E-SE Asia) is well known as 59 a hot-spot for biodiversity [1,2]. It is also recognized as a region containing various 60 habitats characterized by high species richness and an abundance of habitat-forming 61 species such as seagrass, mangroves, and coral reefs [3–6]. Although the importance of 62 the ecosystem services provided by marine biodiversity has been demonstrated by 63 research projects at local to global scales, degradation of marine biodiversity is 64 ongoing because of anthropogenic impacts such as population increase, overfishing,

65 destructive land use, and the effects of climate change [7,8]. For example, a study of the

66 current status of the ocean environments reported that the cumulative effects of human 67 impacts are accelerating the decline of marine biodiversity in coastal areas, especially in 68 the Asia-Pacific Ocean, which includes East and Southeast Asia [9]. Most of East Asia 69 and the northern part of Southeast Asia is considered a high priority area for marine 70 biodiversity conservation efforts considering the region's richness, high levels of 71 species endemism, and human impacts [4]. 72 Although there are several ways of the managing marine areas, the establishment 73 of marine protected areas (MPAs) is one of the common processes of environmental 74 conservation. The 10th meeting of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention on 75 Biological Diversity 2010 (CBD COP10) adopted the Aichi Biodiversity Targets[10], 76 including the goal of establishing 10% of the global ocean as MPAs in a broad sense. 77 To select candidate areas of those managed it is ideal to choose from areas of particular importance for biodiversity and ecosystem services[10]. In 2008, the CBD COP9 78 79 adopted seven scientific criteria for identifying ecologically and biologically significant 80 areas (EBSAs); the criteria were modified from the Fisheries and Oceans Canada EBSA 81 guidelines to identifying EBSAs in need of protection in open-water and deep-sea 82 habitats (UNEP/CBD/COP/DEC/IX/20). In 2010, COP 10 noted that application of the 83 EBSA criteria is a scientific and technical exercise, that areas found to meet the criteria 84 may require enhanced conservation and management measures, and that this can be 85 achieved through a variety of means, including establishing MPAs and conducting 86 impact assessments [11,12].

87	Identifying EBSAs is a useful tool for selecting areas deserving of protection while
88	allowing sustainable activities to continue. Such areas provide important services to one
89	or more species or populations in an ecosystem or to the ecosystem as a whole,
90	compared with surrounding areas or areas of similar ecological characteristics. The 11
91	regional workshops on EBSAs, convened by the executive secretary of the CBD, have
92	been held since 2011 and cover the following regions: western South Pacific, wider
93	Caribbean and western Mid-Atlantic, Southern Indian Ocean, eastern tropical and
94	temperate Pacific, North Pacific, southeastern Atlantic, Northwest Indian Ocean and
95	adjacent Gulf areas, Northeast Indian Ocean Region, Mediterranean Region, northwest
96	Atlantic, Arctic region and East Asia [13]. There have been examples of where the
97	EBSA criteria have been applied to a local environment or a specific habitat to assess
98	the situation at that time [14–18]. However, much of the discussion has concerned
99	progress at specific sites selected on the basis of expert opinions; because of limitations
100	in knowledge, data, and publications it has not covered the entire spatial extent of the
101	subject regions.

102 The Ministry of the Environment, Japan, has collected data on the distribution of 103 species throughout the Japanese archipelago and has applied the EBSA criteria to those 104 data. This extensive effort and data collection enables the selection of important areas 105 throughout this region with comparable methodology. In parallel with the government 106 investigation, a research project for the integrated observation and assessment of 107 biodiversity loss in a changing ocean was started following CBD COP10. This project is 108 part of a research program called Integrative Observations and Assessments of Asian

109	Biodiversity, promoted from 2011 to 2015 by the Strategic Projects, S-9, of the
110	Environment Research and Technology Development Fund of the Ministry of the
111	Environment, Japan. This project collected data and then established a protocol for
112	evaluating a wide geographic area by using EBSA criteria and applied it to kelp
113	ecosystems in Hokkaido, Northern Japan as a case study [19]. The present study is an
114	application of this protocol to the vast E-SE Asia Region. Important areas were
115	identified according to the EBSA criteria by using as much data on species occurrence
116	and habitat conditions as were available from databases and the literature.
117	To use the results of our analyses based on regional workshops for more efficient
118	policy formulation it is important to compare present-day MPAs, fishery regulations
119	and proposed EBSAs (CBD-EBSA) in our proposed important area by using EBSA
120	criteria systematically (EBSA candidate). In this paper, the gaps between these
121	different types of areas are discussed. Although there are more data than simple
122	extraction of the data from the data base and it is substantially more or similar to the
123	data provided to the regional EBSA workshop, the data coverage in the study area is
124	limited compared with that in previous studies conducted in Japan [20,21]. To
125	determine the adequacy of the analysis over this wider area, sensitivity to the change of
126	the rank of the data was also assessed by considering sampling errors. Particular focus
127	was placed on 1) the possibility of EBSA quantification throughout the E-SE Asia
128	region, and the suitability of the indices selected; 2) the optimal way to integrate the
129	criteria, considering the coverage of highly evaluated grids, the relationships between

criteria, and robustness to incompleteness of the data; and 3) a comparison between theareas protected at present and those selected by this research as important areas.

132

149

133 2. Materials and Methods

134 2.1 Data Collection

135 This study focused on the E–SE Asia area from 90°E to 160°E and from 15°S to 136 50°N. Data were collected for species occurrence, species abundance, habitat use, and 137 the state of the environment within this region. The data obtained were compiled into a 138 1-degree grid following the EBSA training manual [22]. For some criteria, data were 139 separately compiled for different parts of the ocean (i.e. coastal, offshore pelagic, and offshore seafloor). For criterion 5 (productivity details are explained in the next section), 140 141 in particular, offshore and coastal areas were independently evaluated because there are 142 no overlapping grids. Although the offshore seafloor has unique characteristics among 143 marine environments, seafloor data for only two EBSA criteria (1 and 4; Uniqueness 144 and Vulnerability) were available for our indices. Discussions at this stage about these 145 parts of the study area relied heavily on expert opinion at EBSA regional workshops. 146 Therefore, in this study, EBSA candidates E-SE Asia were identified on the basis of 147 data from the coastal region and offshore but not from the seafloor. 148

150 Information System (OBIS) [23], the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF)

Data for species occurrence were obtained from the Ocean Biogeographic

151 [24], and the Red List of the International Union for the Conservation of Nature and

152	Natural Resources (IUCN) [25]. Biogeographic data were obtained from the United
153	Nations Environment Programme's (UNEP) World Conservation Monitoring Centre
154	(UNEP-WCMC), Natural Geography in Nearshore Areas (NaGISA; the nearshore
155	component of the Census of Marine Life) [26], and other published papers as shown in
156	Supplementary Table 1. The data collected from the literature have been compiled in
157	the Biological Information System for Marine Life (BISMaL) managed by the Global
158	Oceanographic Data Center (GODAC) of the Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science
159	and Technology [27] and will be available to the public.
160	
161	2.2 Evaluation of EBSA criteria
162	2.2.1 Selection of indices for evaluation of each criterion
163	This study used the CBD seven scientific criteria for EBSA identification that are
164	described in the annex I decision IX/20 [22]. According to the definition for each
165	criterion, quantifiable indices were proposed on the basis of expert opinion and
166	practicable indices were adopted. The indices and methods of evaluation are explained
167	halan alam mith definitions for each mitarian. Mana af the malane af an di inder mana
107	below along with definitions for each criterion. Maps of the values of each index were
168	created with a resolution of 1° latitude by 1° longitude for this study.

170 Criterion 1: Uniqueness or rarity

171 Definition: The area contains either (i) unique (the only one of its kind), rare (occurs

172 only in few locations) or endemic species, populations or communities, and/or (ii)

173 unique, rare or distinct, habitats or ecosystems, and/or (iii) unique or unusual

174 geomorphological or oceanographic features.

175 It is difficult to consider uniqueness and rarity in many taxa because of a lack of

176 occurrence data and endemic species lists. In this study, therefore, two indices were

177 used for this criterion: 1) distribution of species recorded only within the study area, and

178 2) distribution of species known for their distinct uniqueness or rarity.

179

181

183

180 1) Species recorded only within the study area

182 from OBIS, GBIF, and the literature. Cnidaria, Arthropoda, Mollusca, and Perciformes

Occurrence data for species recorded only within the study area were obtained

were chosen as target taxa because there are comparatively large numbers of records

184 available and advanced classification status (e.g. to genus or species level) was expected

185 for these taxa. The species number for each grid was then calculated (Fig. S-1a). This

186 analysis can include non-indigenous species, because the accuracy of species

187 classification depends on the provider of data to OBIS and GBIF and there is limited

188 data-quality control. It should also be noted that this index is probably considerably

189 affected by the degree of sampling effort.

190

191

192 2) Distribution of unique or rare species

193 Unique or rare species were selected as follows. The crab-eating frog Fejervarya 194 cancrivora was selected because in Southeast Asia it is the only amphibian living in

195	brackish water and recorded from the mangrove forests [28]. For mollusks, shell prices
196	can be a guide to species rareness, because rare shells are exchanged at high prices in
197	the marketplace. Shell prices at an online store [29] were examined and 15 of 53 species
198	that cost more than 10,000 yen were used as rare species for this study. The coelacanth
199	was selected because it is very rare in the world ocean and there have been only two
200	coelacanth species reported from specific regions of the world. One of the two species,
201	Latimeria menadoensis, has been reported only from Indonesian seas [30-32]. The
202	occurrence data for these species were obtained from OBIS, GBIF, and the literature,
203	and species numbers were calculated on a 1° grid (Fig. S-1b).
204	
205	Criterion 2: Special importance for life-history stages of species
206	Definition: Areas that are essential for a population to survive and thrive.
207	This criterion is intended to identify specific areas that support critical life-history
208	stages of individual species or populations. Breeding or nesting sites and sites for
209	juvenile growth fit this criterion. As important areas for species' life history, CBD's
210	EBSA identification processes used nesting sites of sea turtles and foraging sites of sea
211	birds [13]. Indices for this criterion in this study were 1) the number of sea turtle species
212	at nesting sites, and 2) the number of eel species on spawning areas. Several other
213	potential indices were not used because of a lack of data or research. For example,
214	marine important bird and biodiversity areas (IBAs) fit this criterion well. Selection of
215	marine IBAs, however, is still in progress in the Asia region. Breeding sites of marine
216	mammals and areas with high concentrations of zooplankton (important feeding areas)

217	were not evaluated in this study because of a lack of data. For copepods in particular,
218	mapping is still in progress (Sudo et al., in prep.). Productive coastal habitats (sea-grass
219	beds, seaweed beds, coral reefs, and mangrove forests) are also important areas for
220	habitation and reproduction of many marine organisms [33]. However, it is still
221	necessary to conduct more research and review of the life history of major species and
222	to acquire their distribution data.
223	
224	1) Number of sea turtle species at nesting sites
225	Distribution data for the location of nesting sites of six sea turtle species that are
226	known to breed in the study area—Caretta caretta, Chelonia mydas, Dermochelys
227	coriacea, Eretmochelys imbricata, Lepidochelys olivacea, and Natator depressus—
228	were obtained from the Global Distribution of Marine Turtle Nesting Sites database
229	[34], and the number of nesting species was calculated for a 1° grid (Fig. S-1c).
230	
231	2) Number of eel species in spawning areas
232	The natural reproductive ecology of two eels, Anguilla japonica and Anguilla
233	marmorata, was first revealed by Tsukamoto et al. [35]. Spawning-site data for these
234	two species were extracted from the work by Tsukamoto et al. and the species number
235	for each grid was evaluated (Fig. S-1d).
236	
237	Criterion 3: Importance for threatened, endangered, or declining species or habitats

238 Definition: Areas containing habitat for the survival and recovery of endangered,

239 threatened or declining species or areas with significant assemblages of such species.

- 240 This criterion targets threatened, endangered or declining species and their habitats. In
- this study, the distributions of species categorized as critically endangered (CR),
- endangered (EN), or vulnerable (VU) on the IUCN Red List were used as a variable for
- this criterion. Because there were a large number of coral species on the Red List and
- abundant data for their distributions, corals were analyzed separately from other species.
- 245

246 1) Distribution of threatened species

247 Distribution data for marine threatened species that are categorized as CR, EN, or 248 VU on the IUCN Red List were obtained from OBIS, GBIF, and the literature. Species 249 numbers for those threatened species were calculated grid by grid as an indicator for 250 this criterion (Fig. S-1e). Note that risk assessments for fish and invertebrate groups are 251 insufficient on the IUCN Red List at present, and this index is also greatly influenced by 252 sampling effort. Data for long-distance migrators such as cetaceans, *Thunnus* spp. 253 (tunas), seabirds, and sea turtles were excluded from the analysis because it is difficult 254 to determine the importance of their presence to a specific site. Consequently, 11 marine 255 mammals, 78 Chondrichthyes (shark and ray) species, and 48 other species were 256 included as threatened species. 257

258 2) Prioritized areas for conservation of threatened coral species

259 Distribution ranges for coral reefs were obtained from IUCN Red List spatial data,

260	OBIS and GBIF, and then further refined by using data for the global distribution of
261	coral reefs [36–39]. Also used were unpublished data provided by S-9 research
262	participants (H.Yamano) Priority areas for conservation that effectively conserved all
263	threatened coral species were detected from the total number of times an area was
264	selected in 100 replicate runs of complementary analyses using Marxan (Fig. S-1f)
265	targeting a conservation area of 10% of the study area.
266	
267	Criterion 4: Vulnerability, fragility, sensitivity, or slow recovery
268	Definition: Areas that contain a relatively high proportion of sensitive habitats,
269	biotopes, or species that are functionally fragile (highly susceptible to degradation or
270	depletion by human activity or by natural events) or with slow recovery.
271	This criterion focuses on the inherent sensitivity of habitats or species to disruption,
272	and to their resilience to physicochemical perturbation. Information about such
273	responses of organisms and ecosystems to environmental change is very scarce and
274	difficult to evaluate at a global scale. The indices applicable to this criterion were 1) the
275	distribution of species representative of slow growth and low recovery capability, and 2)
276	enclosed seas with an M2 tidal constituent (principal lunar semi-diurnal which is the
277	largest constituent of tide in most regions) ≤ 10 cm. Giant clams (<i>Tridacna gigas</i>) were
278	considered as typical examples of slow-growing and slow-recovery species, and their
279	distributions were used as indices for this criterion. For the second index, seawater
280	exchange in an enclosed sea is often inefficient and there are high risks of water
281	pollution and eutrophication. The M2 tidal constituent is generally used as a measure of

282	insufficiency of seawater exchange, and an M2 tidal constituent ≤ 10 cm is considered to
283	indicate high vulnerability [40,41]. This value was therefore used as an indicator of
284	reduced exchange in enclosed seas.
285	
286	1) Distribution of low-recovery species
287	Distribution data for giant clams (Tridacna gigas) were obtained from OBIS and
288	GBIF (Fig. S-1g).
289	
290	2) Enclosed seas with M2 tidal constituent ≤ 10 cm
291	Highly vulnerable sea regions with an M2 tidal constituent ≤ 10 cm were mapped
292	by using data from the HAMTIDE model [42] and the International Center for the
293	Environmental Management of Enclosed Coastal Seas (International EMECS Center)
294	[43] (Fig. S-1h). For the Seto Inland Sea, the detailed data of Yanagi and Higuchi [44]
295	were used separately. The proportion of the sea area with M2 ≤ 10 cm was evaluated for
296	each grid.
297	
298	Criterion 5: Biological productivity
299	Definition: Areas containing species, populations or communities with comparatively
300	higher natural biological productivity.
301	This criterion is specified to identify regions that regularly exhibit high primary or
302	secondary productivity, and therefore provide core ecosystem services and support
303	higher trophic-level species. Because the production base differs between coastal and

304	pelagic ecosystems, they should be evaluated separately. In coastal regions, the types of
305	ecosystems themselves represent levels of productivity; therefore, the distributions of
306	significantly productive ecosystems were directly mapped for this criterion. In offshore
307	areas, primary production in most cases is based on phytoplankton, and chlorophyll- a
308	concentration is used as a measure of productivity on a broad spatial scale.
309	
310	1) Distribution of coral reefs, seagrass beds, seaweed beds, and mangroves
311	For coastal ecosystems, distribution areas were determined for coral reefs [36–39],
312	seagrass beds [45,46], seaweed beds [47], and mangrove forests [43]. The total
313	coverage of those ecosystems was calculated on a 1° grid (Fig. S-1i). Although estuaries
314	are highly productive regions as well, they were not included in this study because it
315	was difficult to take into consideration the influence of terrestrial nutrient input via the
316	large number of rivers in the study area.
317	
318	2) Offshore regions with high productivity
319	Because offshore productivity fluctuates widely with the seasons, the cumulative
320	mean chlorophyll-a concentrations between 2008 and 2012 were calculated for a 1° grid
321	by using data obtained from moderate resolution imaging spectrora diometer (MODIS)
322	Aqua [49] (Fig. S-1j). Productivity was higher than that indicated by MODIS data in
323	coastal regions and in the Yellow Sea because turbidity interferes with detection of
324	chlorophyll. Those areas are still highly productive because of large inputs of terrestrial
325	organic matter. When the anomalies caused by turbidity are taken into consideration,

326 the seas off the northeastern coast of Japan and the southeastern coast of New Guinea327 are considered high production regions.

328

329 Criterion 6: Biological diversity

330 Definition: Areas containing comparatively higher diversity of ecosystems, habitats

331 *communities, or species, or with higher genetic diversity.*

Because there is no single definition of biodiversity, there were several choices for

333 diversity indices. In our study area, there was severe bias in the amount of data collected,

and direct evaluation of biodiversity was not sufficiently accurate. One effective method

to evaluate biodiversity with limited data is to estimate the expected number of species

by considering rarefaction curves. Thus Hurlbert's Index, ES(10) [50], was used for this

337 criterion.

338

1) Number of species estimated by using Hurlbert's Index, ES(10)

340 Before this analysis, terrestrial data were excluded by using mean high-tide levels.

341 Avian species were excluded as well to avoid data for species likely to migrate out of

342 the study area, or even from terrestrial areas. Thus the final number of species

343 occurrence data used for the analysis was 1,122,630 (Table 1). Significant biases in both

the number of species and specimens were observed (Fig. S-1k, Table l). For example,

345 the numbers of both species and specimens were relatively small in the coastal regions

of Russia, North Korea, Vietnam, Kalimantan, Sumatra, and Java and in the open ocean.

- 347 Hurlbert's Index, ES(10), was calculated for each grid by using the above data (Fig. S-
- 1m); grids with fewer than 20 samples were not included in the calculation.
- 349 <<Table.1 here>>
- 350 Criterion 7: Naturalness
- 351 Definition: Areas with a comparatively higher degree of naturalness as a result of the
- 352 *lack or low level of human-induced disturbance or degradation.*

353 Naturalness can be considered to be represented by a low number of disturbances 354 by human activities. Halpern et al. [9] evaluated 17 human impacts on the ocean at a 355 global scale (Human Impact Model), and these data were used to show regions of 356 relatively little human influence in this study. The limited nature of the data prevented 357 the production of indicators that included local human impacts such as destructive 358 fisheries practices, local coastal development, or illegal, unregulated and unreported 359 (IUU) fishing. However, the use of this global indicator was considered valid in this 360 region using population data.

361

362 1) Areas of less human impact

363 Naturalness was indirectly evaluated by identifying regions of relatively low

human impact by using data from the Human Impact Model. The proportion of the sea

area where the human impact score was small (5 or less) was calculated by grid (Fig.

1n). Because the Human Impact Model is based only on information available at a

367 global scale and does not consider region-specific information, differences between the

368 model and actual regional conditions were compared. Comparison with land population

369 data revealed regions of high naturalness in less populated regions such as Borneo, New 370 Guinea, and Northern Australia, suggesting that this analysis was reasonable to some 371 extent and was well fitted to the criterion. 372 373 2.2.2 Standardization of data 374 The units and the range of values for the variables selected depended on the indices. 375 It was therefore necessary to standardize the data for the integration. In accordance with 376 the analytical methods and the draft training manual from EBSA regional workshops 377 about the open ocean [51], criterion relevance was ranked into four categories: high (3 378 points), medium (2 points), low (1 point), and no information (0 points). The same point 379 system was allotted to each variable to make the mean score equal to 2 points [19]. For 380 criteria 1 and 3, which were evaluated by using multiple indices, the mean value was 381 calculated after the original value of each index had been transformed into rank data 382 from 1 to 3. Other criteria did not show overlap of the grids. 383 384 2.3 Selection of EBSA candidates 385 An area that meets at least one criterion can be regarded as an areas meets EBSA 386 criteria. This principle will work in the case of the rating of specific location listed by 387 experts. However, this selection condition is impractical in the case of our systematic

- approach targeting all over the study region. It selects too many areas by the rating
- 389 process of each criterion. In this study, selection of EBSA candidates was carried out by
- 390 multi-criterion analysis using the seven criteria. Two methods were compared: simple

391	addition of ranking scores and analysis by using the conservation planning tool Marxan.
392	Additionally, the number of criteria that ranked at the highest value and the mean
393	ranking excluding cases with no information (i.e., the mean without zero values) were
394	calculated for each grid. However, these additional methods were used only for a
395	comparison of methodologies, because of the difficulty in selecting the same number of
396	areas from only seven categorical values, and because of the inaccuracy caused by the
397	lack of data.
398	In the simple addition of ranking scores, areas with scores in the top 10% were
399	selected. In the complementary analysis, scores for each criterion were incorporated into
400	a parameter to set weighting, and Marxan was run 100 times by setting up the target
401	value to select 10% of the study area.
402	
403	2.4 Analysis of the contribution of each criterion to EBSA candidates
404	To understand the influence of the values for the distribution of each criterion on the
405	results of the integrated evaluation, the number of EBSA grids selected was compared
406	for each criterion and for each method (summation and complementary analysis). The
407	comparison also included the number of criteria that ranked at the highest value

408 (number of the high criteria) and the means excluding zero values. Because the numbers

409 of grids selected differed in these cases, the number of grids was multiplied by a

410 correction factor so as to be same number of grids as the complementarity and

411 summation in total.

412

414	2.5 Analysis of sensitivity of EBSA candidates
415	Because some of the data had bias or were less accurate for certain areas, species, or
416	categories, the robustness of our results was examined scenario to modify the data after
417	finalize the evaluation of all area. We considered the random errors in the values similar
418	to the sensitivity analysis of missing values [52]. This scenario can also be used to
419	consider the effects of future data updates, even for data that completely encompassed
420	the study area. The following type of error was considered, and the appropriate
421	integration method and amount of change caused by the error were also evaluated. In
422	any of the seven criteria, a small error of evaluation (plus or minus 1) can occur at a
423	random location (hereafter referred to as a "small error"). For this calculation, this type
424	of random error was simulated 100 times and the integration was run for each replicate.
425	When the values modified by the random errors exceeded the range of the ranking (i.e.
426	less than zero or greater than five), the values were considered to be the minimum or
427	maximum of the range. Although this truncation was not avoided it will practically
428	happen by this scenario which modify the evaluation values after once finalize the
429	evaluation of other area. Because it is desirable to compare the different integration
430	methods, which output different ranges of values, this analysis was not used to select
431	10% of the area; instead, the results were ranked into five levels of importance for
432	conservation, setting 3 as the mean value. Although ranking was not normally used for
433	Marxan and zero values were included for summation for the purpose of selecting 10%
434	of the area, here the ranking was considered both with and without zero values to

435 observe the sensitivity. The differences in the evaluation with error and without error436 were then compared.

437

438 2.6 Gaps and overlaps of EBSAs and MPAs

439 The overlap between EBSA candidates in this paper and several kinds of registered 440 marine areas for conservation purposes was assessed by examining the coincidence of 441 EBSA candidates with latter existing registered areas. Areas meeting the EBSA criteria 442 proposed by the result of the EBSA regional workshop (CBD EBSA) [53], Marine 443 Protected Areas (MPAs) archived in the protected planet ocean which are based on data 444 from the World Database on Protected Areas (WDPA) [54], UNESCO World Marine 445 Heritage (WMH) [55], FAO Vulnerable Marine Ecosystems (VME) [56] and IMO 446 Particularly Sensitive Sea Areas (PSSAs) [57] are used as the registered marine areas 447 for conservation purposes. In the CBD-EBSA the deep sea was excluded for this 448 calculation. All grids selected by summation and complementary analysis were used as 449 EBSA candidates in this paper. Distribution data for MPAs were acquired from the 450 World Database on Protected Areas (WDPA) [54], and all oceanic MPAs were used 451 regardless of the substance or aims of their regulation.

452

453 3. Results

454

455 3.1 Comparison of assessed ranking and availability of data for the seven EBSA criteria

456	The number of grids evaluated differed by criterion (Figs. S-2, 1a). The highest
457	percentage of grids evaluated was 100% for criterion 5, which used satellite images to
458	evaluate offshore areas. For criterion 7, 64% of the grids were evaluated using a
459	published integrated index [9]. Although this index itself evaluated 100% of our study
460	area, only 64% of the grids were evaluated as having some importance under this
461	criterion. Criteria 1 and 6, which were based on species occurrence data, could be used
462	to evaluate 32% and 40% of the grids, respectively. Unevaluated grids were mainly in
463	offshore areas. In contrast, criteria 2 to 4 could be used only to evaluate less than 18%
464	of the area. This is because of a lack of data on life histories and specific species in the
465	study area.

466 <<Fig.1 here>>

467

468 3.2 EBSA selection by using multi-criteria analysis

469 Summations of the ranking of the seven criteria mainly showed higher values in

470 coastal areas (Fig. 2a). Although the 10% selected from the summation and the

471 complementary analysis matched in several areas, there were apparent differences

472 around the Sea of Japan and the Gulf of Thailand and in coastal areas from the Korean

473 peninsula to Vietnam (compare Fig. 2c to 2d).

474 <<Fig.2 here>>

The differences in results from different methods were examined in more detail by
comparing the coverage of the highly evaluated grids in each criterion. After the
integration and selection of 10% of the area, fewer grids were selected from among

highly evaluated grids in each of the seven criteria (Figs. 1b, 2 [compare 2b to 2a and 2d
to 2c]). For criteria 1, 5, 6, and 7, fewer than 31% of the highly evaluated grids were
selected after the integration by complementarity analysis. For integration using
summation, fewer than 37% were selected under criteria 4, 6, and 7.

482 Over 52% of the highly evaluated grids were selected under criteria 2, 3, and 4 by 483 the complementarity analysis, and were selected under criteria 1, 2, 3, and 5 by 484 summation. In most cases (with the exception of criteria 2 and 4) integration by 485 summation showed a higher number of grids for each criterion. However, without 486 integration using the complementarity analysis, the locations selected by criterion 4 487 were completely lost; these locations were selected with high frequency in the 488 complementarity analysis. The other two methods gave relatively low percentage 489 inclusion of highly evaluated grids (under 47% by counting the number of "high" rankings under the seven criteria, and under 41% using the mean ranking without zero 490 491 values).

492 The trend of contributing grids for each criterion differed, especially in the case of 493 criterion 4 (Fig. 3). The highest positive correlation was observed between criterion 4 494 and criterion 2 (Spearman's rank-order correlation r = 0.47). The highest negative 495 correlation was observed between criteria 4 and 1 (r = -0.23). Thus, criterion 4, which 496 ranked areas based on enclosed seas and giant clams, differed, or partially showed an 497 opposite trend, from the distribution of the important rare species Latimeria 498 menadoensis (criterion 1) and showed similar trends similar to those of the nesting sites 499 of sea turtles (criterion 2). Criterion 1 showed higher correlation with criteria 5 and 6

500 compared with the other criteria. Thus the presence of a rare species showed trends in

501 spatial distribution similar to those of biodiversity and productivity.

502 <<Fig.3 here>>

503

504 3.3 Analysis of the accuracy of integrated EBSA results

505 In the case of small errors (Table 2), complementarity and summation of the 506 maximum were robust. This was especially true for the case in which zero values were 507 included for the ranking. Because the target of selecting 10% of the area was set before 508 running Marxan, numerous non-selected areas with zero values were produced. This 509 had the effect of skewing the results toward the positive. To examine the detailed 510 structure of the change in the selected areas, the ranking without zero values was also 511 determined. In this case the result of the ranking ranged from -4 to +4 and the variance 512 was higher than the summation. 513 <<Table.2 here>>

In contrast, the summation ranked including grids without information showed a difference of ± 1 , and almost 20% of the grids were modified by the random error. Although the variation was higher in the summation, the change in the results of the ranking without zero values was lower than in the complementarity analysis. This means that, when complementarity is used, the highly (or lowly) ranked grids will vary more than in summation.

520 Compared with these mainly targeted integration methods, the average without521 zero values showed higher variations in the change. The average change did not

522	converge on 0 and was closer to 1. This occurred because of the distribution of the zero		
523	data, which were excluded for calculation of the mean. Counting of the maximum		
524	values showed a pattern of changes similar to the summation, but the variation was		
525	higher. Part of this variation was caused by the higher number of zero values included		
526	compared with in the summation.		
527			
528	3.4 Gap and overlap between EBSA candidates of this paper and existing registered		
529	areas for conservation purposes		
530	The total area of EBSA candidates of this paper selected by summation and		
531	complementary analysis reached 14.4% of the study area. Overlap ratio of EBSA		
532	candidates and five different types of registered areas are listed in Table 3 and Fig. S-3.		
533			
534	< <table 3="" here="">></table>		
535			
536	The MPAs cover 397,813 km ² , 1.1% of the study area. Among the EBSA		
537	candidates 4.3% overlap with MPAs. Mismatches are concentrated in the coastal		
538	regions of Papua New Guinea, the area between the northern coasts of Australia and the		
539	Tanimbar Islands of Indonesia, and the Sea of Japan. The site by site differences		
540	following CBD-EBSA locations are summarized in the next section.		
541	On the other hand, 56.4% of MPA areas overlap EBSA candidate of this research.		
542	The main examples are the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park (Australia), the Raja Ampat		
543	National Park at the western tip of New Guinea (Indonesia), and the Berau Marine		

544 Protected Area on the east coast of Kalimantan (Indonesia). A large part of MPAs 545 which did not overlap with EBSA candidates was due to MPAs such as the Islands Unit 546 of the Marianas Trench Marine National Monument (246,608 km², USA), the Savu 547 Marine National Park (49,678 km², Indonesia), and the Setonaikai National Park (628 548 km², (Japan). The total area of these MPAs accounts for a large portion of the MPAs not 549 overlapped by EBSA candidates. 550 UNESCO World Marine Heritage (WMH) covered 96,045 km² in this study region. 551 Only 1.8% of the areas in the EBSA candidate overlapped with WMH. On the other 552 hand, 97.7% of WMH overlapped with EBSA candidate in this paper. The largest 553 WMH site is Great Barrier Reef and all areas overlapped with EBSA candidate in this 554 research area. On the other hand, Tubbataha Reefs Natural Park in the Philippines and 555 Shiretoko in Japan did not overlap. FAO Vulnerable Marine Ecosystem (VME) covered 3,519,400 km² area in this 556 study region. EBSA candidate overlapped with VME was only 0.2% and 0.3% of VME 557 558 overlapped with EBSA candidate in this research area. Northwestern Pacific Ocean 559 VME slightly overlapped with EBSA candidate. In addition, area selected by VME was 560 the outwith the scope of EBSA regional workshop in the seas of east Asia. 561 IMO Particularly Sensitive Sea Areas (PSSAs) covered 150,700 km² in this study 562 region. EBSA candidate overlapped with 2.8% of PSSAs. Torres Strait is the only 563 PSSA in the southeast Asia and 95.9% of area overlapped with EBSA Candidate. 564 Torres Strait was the outwith the scope of EBSA regional workshop in the seas of east 565 Asia.

566	Selected EBSA candidate of this paper overlapped with 12.5% of CBD-EBSA
567	which raised from the result of regional workshop in the seas of east Asia (Table 4). On
568	the other hand, CBD-EBSA overlapped with 34.5% of EBSA candidate. Sulu-Sulawesi
569	Marine Ecoregion is the largest area meeting the EBSA criteria and overlapped with
570	50.5% of EBSA candidate, whereas Redang Island Archipelago, Adjacent Area, Nino
571	Konis Santana National Park and Atauro Island and Benham Rise did not overlap.
572	< <table 4="" here="">></table>
573	
574	4. Discussion
575	4.1 Possibility of EBSA quantification throughout E-SE Asia
576	Seven criteria were quantitatively evaluated across the Asia-Pacific Region. Data
577	for species distributions in databases and in the literature, and remote-sensing and GIS
578	data, were useful for this evaluation. This was especially true for criterion 5, which
579	estimated productivity throughout the study area by using satellite images and databases.
580	Even in this case, higher resolution data that considers more variables, such as river
581	discharge, are needed as a next step for evaluating coastal areas.
582	With the exception of satellite images and models of human impacts, it was not
583	possible to obtain comprehensive data for EBSA evaluation over a broad area. There
584	were huge gaps in the amount and kinds of data among regions and taxa. For example,
585	the result of the evaluation of criterion 4 affected the results of the integration of the
586	seven criteria. Criterion 2 also showed data limitations in several coastal and offshore
587	areas. Increased efforts to obtain data, to accelerate sampling efforts, and to predict

588 species distributions are needed to solve this problem.

589 For some criteria, the choice of index or species groups also affected the result. For 590 example, the offshore seafloor and species that migrated over wide areas were not 591 included in this study because of a lack of data and difficulty in habitat specificity, 592 respectively. This obviously affected the results of criterion 3, which did not include 593 species on the IUCN Red List that migrate long distances (whales, tunas, birds, turtles). 594 Defining the important locations for such species also adds confusion to criterion 2. 595 The criteria used in this trial evaluated EBSA candidates successfully to a point, 596 but the obvious lack of data for criteria 2 to 4 affected the evaluation in several 597 locations. There are two solutions to this problem. One is better treatment of data, for 598 example, by indication, calibration, and prediction of data limitations. The other is 599 obtaining better agreement among experts. Although expert opinions were used for the 600 selection of indices for each criterion here, more objective and transparent ways are 601 available. For example, the use of the Delphi method has been proposed to lead to 602 agreement among multiple experts [58].

603

604 4.2 Optimal integration of criteria

The appropriate way to consider the seven EBSA criteria is still under discussion (see CBD's EBSA draft training manual [51]). Multiple criteria were experimentally integrated in this study and showed how it is possible to use complementarity and summation (in that order of priority) to evaluate their importance using EBSA criteria.

609 Our comparison of summation and complementarity analysis revealed a large 610 difference in the treatment of criterion 4, which showed a trend different from those of 611 the other criteria. In the case of complementarity analysis, it is possible to consider 612 criteria that are not selected in a majority of grids. Therefore, it is better to select 613 EBSAs by eliminating unexpected bias toward the majority of trends in criteria (i.e. 614 complementarity is more appropriate for this purpose as far as considering such criteria). 615 Robustness of the data was high in these two major analyses. Although there was 616 not a high degree of variation for the purpose of selecting a certain portion of the area 617 (10%), complementarity analysis showed higher variation of ranking among the areas 618 selected. This may be associated with the characteristics of the analysis, because 619 complementarity selects a different site for each run of the analysis even if the evaluated 620 criterion values are the same. 621 Considering the coverage of highly evaluated grids for each criterion and the 622 robustness to incomplete data, use of complementarity is recommended for selecting 623 important areas in terms of the targeting of each criterion equally, even if there are 624 different trends or trade-offs in different criteria. Complementarity was also useful 625 under conditions of incomplete data as far as selecting a certain percentage of the area. 626 However, if the goal is to rank all areas by equal weighting to all criteria then

627 summation is appropriate. In this case summation can be robust for incomplete data,

628 especially when some variables have similar trends.

629 The importance of each criterion to the integrated EBSA evaluation was highly630 affected by data limitations. For example, the lower importance of criteria 1 and 3

631	provided in section 3.2 in the Results is explained by the effect of missing data. It can
632	be debated whether to use a value of zero for the grids not evaluated or to eliminate zero
633	values from the analyses (which is similar to the use of average rank for the grids). The
634	use of zero values clearly reduced the rank of EBSA after summation. However,
635	summation was more robust than the result without zero values (average). In addition,
636	there are benefits to showing data-limited areas on integrated maps when an absence of
637	information is shown as zero. Governments in incomplete or less-thoroughly evaluated
638	areas probably realize the necessity of improving data so long as they think that a lower
639	rank is not good. It is important to show such maps together with the policies used to
640	encourage increased data-collection efforts and improve data quality. However, by
641	showing the same maps to developers without summarizing the results according to
642	government boundaries it is also possible to use them to conveniently destroy areas with
643	fewer data.
644	
645	4.3 Comparison of present-day registered areas and selected EBSA candidates.
646	
647	For the registered areas that did not overlap with EBSA candidates, explanations
648	for the discrepancies were divided into three types: i) the present-day registered areas
649	was selected by using EBSA-related indices but variables different from those used in
650	the EBSA selection; ii) there was insufficient analytical resolution or lack of data; and
651	iii) the present-day registered areas was selected by using indices unrelated to the EBSA
652	criteria.

For the MPA, the background for discrepancies are examined as follows. The Island Unit of the Marianas Trench Marine National Monument is assigned to the first type of reason for discrepancies. Because this MPA was selected for its characteristic ecosystems created by volcanic activities and coral reefs and high biodiversity [59], our elimination of seafloor areas is very likely the reason why it was not selected using the EBSA criteria.

The Savu Sea Marine National Park is assigned to the first and second types of reasons for discrepancies. This MPA was selected for its importance as a migration corridor for large marine animals and as a refuge for marine species in response to climate change, and because of its extremely high primary productivity [60]. Thus the elimination from consideration of threatened long-distance migrators, and a lack of geographically-related physical data such as those concerning currents and nutrients, are possible reasons for the discrepancies.

The Setonaikai National Park was selected on the basis of criteria unrelated to

667 EBSA criteria, such as the aesthetics of a calm inland sea with many islands, and

668 cultural scenery harmonious with nature [61]. This is likely the reason for the

discrepancy and is assigned to the third type of reason.

670 Lastly, the Tubbataha Reefs Natural Park in the Philippines is assigned to the first

and second type of reasons for discrepancies. This MPA is an important breeding

ground for seabirds and sea turtles [62]. Bird data were excluded from our analyses,

673 however, and marine IBA data were not available. Data on the nesting sites of sea

turtles in the Tubbataha Reefs Natural Park are still not available on the database of the

Global Distribution of Marine Turtle Nesting Sites [34]. These are possible reasons forthe discrepancies concerning this Park.

677

678 In the case of WMH, largest WMH site (Great Barrier Reef) was overlapped with 679 EBSA candidate. Because total area of WMH is small (96,044km²), higher percentage 680 of WMH was overlapped with EBSA candidate. Even by the comparison of counting 681 the number of the registered area, EBSA candidate covered seven of the nine WMH 682 sites. Among sites not overlapping, Tubbataha Reefs Natural Park in Palawan in the 683 Philippines is considered relatively pristine and possessing high biodiversity. However, 684 scientific data in the global database was not enough to evaluate this area. 685 Criteria used in VME were similar to EBSA criteria. However, almost of all the 686 VME area did not overlap with EBSA candidate in this research area. Typical VME in 687 this research area are bottom fishing outside of the footprint managed by the South 688 Pacific Regional Fisheries Management Organisation (SPRFMO) and Northwestern 689 Pacific Ocean managed by the North Pacific Fisheries Commission (NPFC). These are 690 mainly targeted to manage deep sea and bottom fishing in the high seas. Even using 691 similar evaluation criteria, the difference of the focused variables and lack of data in the 692 high seas showed a large gap between EBSA candidates and areas of VME. Thus first 693 and second types of gaps are observed in VME. Along with VME some PSSAs criteria 694 are also similar to EBSA criteria. Although only a single site of PSSAs (Torres Strait) is 695 presence this research area, it meets EBSA criteria of biological diversity, naturalness 696 and importance for threatened species. Because of this similarity, Torres Strait PSSAS

697 highly overlapped the EBSA candidate.

698 By comparison with the CBD EBSA, the largest CDB-EBSA site Sulu-Sulawesi 699 Marine Ecoregion situated in the Coral Triangle overlaps half of the EBSA candidate 700 area. On the other hand, Benham Rise which is a relatively pristine and undersea 701 plateau off the eastern coast of Luzon Island was not included in our systematic EBSA 702 candidate. It also represents not only offshore mesophotic coral reef biodiversity but 703 also the spawning area of the Pacific bluefin tuna, *Thunnus orientalis*. Such an area will 704 be considered as suitable for addition by expert opinion, because of the lack of data and 705 combination of the consideration of seafloor geology and surface ecosystems. 706 These types of information gaps are also observed by the lack of domestic data of 707 some countries. As mentioned in the Introduction, the Ministry of the Environment of 708 Japan collected higher resolution data and applied a systematic approach [63]. They also 709 asked experts to add opinions and modified the result of the systematic approach. Based 710 on these results important marine areas from the view point of biodiversity were 711 approved by the government official before the regional workshop and partially 712 submitted to the reginal workshop. 713 The same situation was also observed in the Nino Konis Santana National Park in 714 East Timor. Although the presence of the several sharks, coral trout (Plectropomus 715 species), and the highly threatened Napoleon wrasse (Cheilinus undulatus) are known in 716 this area, the global data did not shown high diversity. Especially in consideration of 717 Red List species distribution extraction of domestic data will be needed and will not be 718 easy to treat beyond the national scale using the systematic approach.

Our analysis in E-SE Asia intentionally did not use purely domestic datasets of
specific countries to avoid bias. This result suggests that it will be important collect
local data in E-SE Asian region. It also suggests that increasing data coverage will
increase the area meeting the EBSA criteria.

723 These examples show that discrepancies between EBSA candidates and registered 724 areas are caused by differences in either criteria, indices, variables, or data used for the 725 site selection, and that closely examining the background of each gap may guide future 726 data collection and selection of indices and variables. Although data for wide-ranging 727 migratory species were not included in EBSA selection in this study, such data about 728 the main conservation targets of many MPAs should be made usable by overcoming the problem of spatial evaluation by considering predictive modelling. 729 EBSA candidates that did not overlap with existing registered areas at all are 730

potentially important areas for conservation, but at the same time the accuracy and
adequacy of the data used for their selection should be considered, especially at this
early stage. For example, the selection of most of the Sea of Japan was apparently

influenced by the result from criterion 4.

735

736 5. Conclusions

Although there are several challenging tasks both to increase the amount of data
and improve data quality for the near future, the conclusion is that it is possible to
evaluate each EBSA criterion quantitatively overall, over a broad area, of the Asian
Pacific. The use of complementarity with our dataset was the best, and summation was

741	also informative, for evaluating the seven EBSA criteria in an integrative way. Our			
742	comparison of the present registered areas for conservation and selected EBSA			
743	candidates highlights the need to use similar indices for area selection in each country,			
744	the need for more data about characteristic species (especially large species and			
745	migratory species), and the lack of consideration of some aspects of important areas in			
746	the EBSA criteria (e.g. scenery and ecosystem services). The insights from this study			
747	suggest the importance of not only data quantity and resolution but also of philosophy			
748	in selecting indicators for important areas.			
749				
750	Acknowledgements			
751	We thank the members of the Environment Research and Technology			
752	Development Fund (ERTDF) S-9 Project, members of the review committee convened			
753	to extract important marine areas declared by the Ministry of the Environment, and all			
754	data providers for their helpful discussions and data management. This study was			
755	supported in part by the ERTDF (S-9 and S-15 Predicting and Assessing Natural			
756	Capital and Ecosystem Services (PANCES)) of the Ministry of the Environment, Japan.			
757	and the TSUNAGARI project of the Belmont Forum.			
758				
759	References			
760				
761	[1]]	K. Fujikura, D. Lindsay, H. Kitazato, S. Nishida, Y. Shirayama, Marine		
762	۱	biodiversity in Japanese waters, PLoS One. 5 (2010) e11836.		
763	[2]]	D.P. Tittensor, C. Mora, W. Jetz, H.K. Lotze, D. Ricard, E. Vanden Berghe, et al.,		
764	(Global patterns and predictors of marine biodiversity across taxa, Nature. 466		

765		(2010) 1098–1101. doi:10.1038/nature09329.
766	[3]	J.C. Sanciangco, K.E. Carpenter, P.J. Etnoyer, F. Moretzsohn, Habitat
767		Availability and Heterogeneity and the Indo-Pacific Warm Pool as Predictors of
768		Marine Species Richness in the Tropical Indo-Pacific, PLoS One. 8 (2013).
769		doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056245.
770	[4]	E.R. Selig, C. Longo, B.S. Halpern, B.D. Best, D. Hardy, C.T. Elfes, et al.,
771		Assessing Global Marine Biodiversity Status within a Coupled Socio-Ecological
772		Perspective, PLoS One. 8 (2013). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0060284.
773	[5]	G.R. Allen, Conservation hotspots of biodiversity and endemism for Indo-Pacific
774		coral reef fishes, Aquat. Conserv. Mar. Freshw. Ecosyst. 18 (2008) 541-556.
775		doi:10.1002/aqc.880.
776	[6]	C.M. Roberts, C.J. McClean, J.E.N. Veron, J.P. Hawkins, G.R. Allen, D.E.
777		McAllister, et al., Marine biodiversity hotspots and conservation priorities for
778		tropical reefs., Science. 295 (2002) 1280-4. doi:10.1126/science.1067728.
779	[7]	B. Worm, T. a Branch, The future of fish., Trends Ecol. Evol. 27 (2012) 594–9.
780		doi:10.1016/j.tree.2012.07.005.
781	[8]	S.C. Doney, M. Ruckelshaus, J.E. Duffy, J.P. Barry, F. Chan, C.A. English, et al.,
782		Climate Change Impacts on Marine Ecosystems, Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci. (2011).
783		doi:doi: 10.1146/annurev-marine-041911-111611.
784	[9]	B.S. Halpern, S. Walbridge, K. a Selkoe, C. V Kappel, F. Micheli, C. D'Agrosa,
785		et al., A global map of human impact on marine ecosystems., Science. 319
786		(2008) 948-52. doi:10.1126/science.1149345.
787	[10]	CBD Secretariat, Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties to the
788		Convention on Biological Diversity at its Tenth Meeting
789		(UNEP/CBD/COP/10/27), (2010). https://www.cbd.int/decisions/cop/?m=cop-10.
790	[11]	D.C. Dunn, J. Ardron, N. Bax, P. Bernal, J. Cleary, I. Cresswell, et al., The
791		Convention on Biological Diversity's Ecologically or Biologically Significant
792		Areas: Origins, development, and current status, Mar. Policy. 49 (2014) 137-145.
793		doi:10.1016/j.marpol.2013.12.002.
794	[12]	CBD, Report of the expert workshops on ecological criteria and biogeographic
795		classification systems for marine areas in need of protection.
796		UNEP/CBD/EWS.MPA/1/2 (UNEP/CBD/EWS.MPA/1/2), (2007).
797		http://www.cbd.int/doc/meetings/mar/ewsebm-01/official/ewsebm-01-02-en.pdf.
- 798 CBD, Progress report on marine and coastal biodiversity: describing ecologically [13] 799 or biologically significant marine areas (EBSAs) Subsidaiary Body on Scientific, 800 Technical and Technological Advice (UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/17/6), (2013). 801 https://www.cbd.int/doc/meetings/sbstta/sbstta-17/official/sbstta-17-06-en.pdf. 802 [14] G.H. Taranto, K.Ø. Kvile, T.J. Pitcher, T. Morato, An ecosystem evaluation 803 framework for global seamount conservation and management, PLoS One. 7 804 (2012) e42950. 805 [15] A. Bundy, A. Davis, Knowing in context: An exploration of the interface of 806 marine harvesters' local ecological knowledge with ecosystem approaches to 807 management, Mar. Policy. 38 (2013) 277-286. doi:10.1016/j.marpol.2012.06.003. 808 [16] J.S. Levy, N.C. Ban, A method for incorporating climate change modelling into 809 marine conservation planning: An Indo-west Pacific example, Mar. Policy. 38 810 (2013) 16-24. [17] A.D. McKinnon, A. Williams, J. Young, D. Ceccarelli, P. Dunstan, R.J.W. 811 812 Brewin, et al., Tropical marginal seas: priority regions for managing marine 813 biodiversity and ecosystem function., Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci. 6 (2014) 415-37. 814 doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-010213-135042. 815 [18] M.R. Clark, A. a. Rowden, T. a. Schlacher, J. Guinotte, P.K. Dunstan, A. 816 Williams, et al., Identifying Ecologically or Biologically Significant Areas 817 (EBSA): A systematic method and its application to seamounts in the South 818 Pacific Ocean, Ocean Coast. Manag. 91 (2014) 65-79. 819 doi:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2014.01.016. 820 T. Yamakita, H. Yamamoto, M. Nakaoka, H. Yamano, K. Fujikura, K. Hidaka, et [19] 821 al., Identification of important marine areas around the Japanese Archipelago: 822 Establishment of a protocol for evaluating a broad area using ecologically and 823 biologically significant areas selection criteria, Mar. Policy. 51 (2015) 136-147. 824 doi:10.1016/j.marpol.2014.07.009. 825 [20] T. Yamakita, H. Yamamoto, M. Nakaoka, H. Yamano, K. Fujikura, K. Hidaka, et 826 al., Identification of important marine areas around the Japanese Archipelago: 827 Establishment of a protocol for evaluating a broad area using ecologically and 828 biologically significant areas selection criteria, Mar. Policy. 51 (2015) 136–147. 829 doi:10.1016/j.marpol.2014.07.009. 830 T. Yamakita, Habitat mapping: potential distribution of the coastal benthic [21]
 - 37

- 831 species and potential usefulness in offshore deep sea, in: K. Kogure, M. Hirose, 832 H. Kitazato, A. Kijima (Eds.), Mar. Ecosyst. after Gt. East Japan Earthq. 2011 833 Our Knowl. Acquir. by TEAMS, Tokai University Press, 2016: pp. 143–144. 834 [22] CBD, Training manual for the description of ecologically and biologically 835 significant Areas (EBSAs) in open-ocean waters and deep-sea habitats 836 Subsidiary Body on Scientific, Technical and Technological Advice 837 (UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/16/INF/9), (2012). 838 https://www.cbd.int/doc/meetings/sbstta/sbstta-16/information/sbstta-16-inf-09-839 en.pdf. (accessed May 10, 2013). 840 [23] OBIS, Global biodiversity indices from the Ocean Biogeographic Information 841 System. Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission of UNESCO, (2013). 842 http://www.iobis.org (accessed September 13, 2012). 843 Global Biodiversity Information Facility, GBIF Data Portal, (2007). [24] 844 http://data.gbif.org/ (accessed May 15, 2012). 845 [25] IUCN, The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2012, (2012). 846 http://www.iucnredlist.org. 847 B. Konar, K. Iken, Natural geography in nearshore areas (NaGISA): the [26] 848 nearshore component of the Census of Marine Life, New Census Mar. Life Initiat. 849 (2003) 35. 850 [27] H. Yamamoto, K. Tanaka, K. Fujikura, T. Maruyama, BISMaL: Biological 851 Information System for Marine Life and role for biodiversity research, in: 852 Biodivers. Obs. Netw. Asia-Pacific Reg., Springer, 2012: pp. 247–256. 853 N. Kurniawan, M.M. Islam, T.H. Djong, T. Igawa, M.B. Daicus, H. Sen Yong, et [28] 854 al., Genetic divergence and evolutionary relationship in Fejervarya cancrivora 855 from Indonesia and other Asian countries inferred from allozyme and MtDNA 856 sequence analyses., Zoolog. Sci. 27 (2010) 222-33. doi:10.2108/zsj.27.222. 857 [29] Ryukogei, Seashell store, (2012). http://store.shopping.yahoo.co.jp/ryukogei/index.html (accessed August 1, 2012). 858 859 [30] R. Erdmann, M.M. Caldwell, Indonesian "king of the sea" discovered, Nature. 860 395 (1998) 335. doi:10.1038/26376. 861 L. Pouyaud, S. Wirjoatmodjo, I. Rachmatika, A. Tjakrawidjaja, R. Hadiaty, W. [31] Hadie, A new species of coelacanth, Life Sci. 322 (1999) 261-267. 862 863 [32] M. Iwata, THE BIOLOGICAL SURVEY ON THE COELACANTH, in:
 - 38

864		Coelacanth, Fathom Myster. 2007, 2007: pp. 38-40.
865		http://www.marine.fks.ed.jp/coelacanth/symposium2007.html.
866	[33]	T. Yamakita, T. Miyashita, Landscape mosaicness in the ocean: its significance
867		for biodiversity patterns in benthic organisms and fish, in: SI. Nakano, T.
868		Yahara, T. Nakashizuka (Eds.), Integr. Obs. Assessments (Ecological Res.
869		Monogr. / Asia-Pacific Biodivers. Obs. Network), Springer Japan, 2014: pp.
870		131–148.
871	[34]	UNEP-WCMC, Marine turtle nesting sites (version 1.0), a global dataset
872		compiled from multiple sources, (1999). www.unep-wcmc.org/marine-turtle-
873		nesting-sites-1999_720.html.
874	[35]	K. Tsukamoto, S. Chow, T. Otake, H. Kurogi, N. Mochioka, M.J. Miller, et al.,
875		Oceanic spawning ecology of freshwater eels in the western North Pacific., Nat.
876		Commun. 2 (2011) 179. doi:10.1038/ncomms1174.
877	[36]	IMaRS-USF, IRD, Millennium Coral Reef Mapping Project (validated maps).,
878		(2005). http://data.unep-wcmc.org/datasets/13.
879	[37]	IMaRS-USF, Millennium Coral Reef Mapping Project (unvalidated maps are
880		unendorsed by IRD, and were further interpreted by UNEP-WCMC), (2005).
881		http://data.unep-wcmc.org/datasets/13.
882	[38]	M.D. Spalding, C.R. Ravilous, E.P. Green, World Atlas of Coral Reefs, 2001.
883	[39]	UNEP-WCMC, WorldFish Centre, WRI, TNC, Global distribution of warm-
884		water coral reefs, compiled from multiple sources (listed in "Coral_Source.mdb"),
885		and including IMaRS-USF and IRD (2005), IMaRS-USF (2005) and Spalding et
886		al. (2001)., (2010). data.unep-wcmc.org/datasets/13.
887	[40]	A. Isobe, M. Ando, T. Watanabe, T. Senjyu, S. Sugihara, A. Manda, Freshwater
888		and temperature transports through the Tsushima-Korea Straits, J. Geophys. Res.
889		107 (2002) 3065. doi:10.1029/2000JC000702.
890	[41]	C.T. Friedrichs, D.G. Aubrey, Non-linear tidal distortion in shallow well-mixed
891		estuaries: a synthesis, Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 27 (1988) 521-545.
892	[42]	E. Taguchi, D. Stammer, W. Zahel, Estimation of deep ocean tidal energy
893		dissipation based on the high-resolution data-assimilative HAMTIDE-model,
894		(2010). http://icdc.zmaw.de/hamtide.html?&L=1 (accessed June 1, 2014).
895	[43]	International center for the environmental management of enclosed coastal seas,
896		Environmental guidebook on the enclosed coastal seas of the world, 2003.

897 http://www.emecs.or.jp/guidebook/eng/guidebookeng.html. 898 [44] H.A. Yanagi T, Tides and currents of the Seto Inland Sea, Proc. Coast. Eng. Meet. 899 28 (1981) 555–558 (in Japanease). 900 [45] E.P. Green, F.T. Short, World atlas of seagrasses, Univ of California Pr, 2003. 901 [46] UNEP-WCMC, F.T. Short, Global distribution of seagrasses (version 2). 902 Updated version of the data layer used in Green and Short (2003)., (2005). 903 data.unep-wcmc.org (accessed October 1, 2013). 904 [47] Ministry of Environment of Japan, 5th Report of Biodiversity Survey of Natural 905 Environment Conservation Basic Research: Seashore Survey Comprehensive 906 Report, (1998). http://www.biodic.go.jp/kiso/fnd_f.html (accessed December 27, 907 2013). 908 [48] C. Giri, E. Ochieng, L.L. Tieszen, Z. Zhu, A. Singh, T. Loveland, et al., Status 909 and distribution of mangrove forests of the world using earth observation satellite 910 data, Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 20 (2011) 154-159. http://data.unep-911 wcmc.org/datasets/21. 912 [49] NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Ocean Ecology Laboratory Ocean Biology 913 Processing, MODIS Ocean Color Data, (2012). 914 http://www.oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/ (accessed November 1, 2012). 915 [50] S.H. Hurlbert, THE NONCONCEPT OF SPECIES DIVERSITY: A CRITIQUE 916 AND ALTERNATIVE PARAMETERS, Ecology. 52 (1971) 577-&. 917 http://www.esajournals.org/doi/abs/10.2307/1934145. 918 [51] CBD Secretariat, Training Manual for the Description of Ecologically and 919 Biologically Significant Areas (EBSAS) in Open-Ocean Waters and Deep-Sea 920 Habitats (UNEP/CBD/SBSTTA/16/INF/9), (2012). 921 http://www.cbd.int/doc/?meeting=SBSTTA-16. 922 [52] F. Pianosi, K. Beven, J. Freer, J.W. Hall, J. Rougier, D.B. Stephenson, et al., 923 Sensitivity analysis of environmental models: A systematic review with practical 924 workflow, Environ. Model. Softw. 79 (2016) 214–232. 925 doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2016.02.008. 926 [53] CBD, Report of the Regional Workshop to Facilitate the Description of 927 Ecologically or Biologically Significant Marine Areas in the Seas of East Asia., 928 (2015). https://www.cbd.int/doc/?meeting=EBSAWS-2015-03. 929 [54] IUCN, UNEP-WCMC, The World Database on Protected Areas (WDPA), (2012).

930		www.protectedplanet.net (accessed November 13, 2012).
931	[55]	VLIZ, World Marine Heritage Sites (version 1), (2013).
932		http://www.marineregions.org/ (accessed November 8, 2016).
933	[56]	FAO, Report of the FAO Workshop for the Development of a Global Database
934		for Vulnerable Marine Ecosystems., in: 2013.
935	[57]	IMO, Particularly Sensitive Sea Areas (PSSAS), (2016).
936		http://pssa.imo.org/torres/maps.htm (accessed November 8, 2016).
937	[58]	W.J. Sutherland, R. Aveling, L. Bennun, E. Chapman, M. Clout, I.M. Côté, et al.,
938		A horizon scan of global conservation issues for 2012, Trends Ecol. Evol. 27
939		(2012) 12-17. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2011.10.011.
940	[59]	G.W. Bush, The national security strategy of the United States of America,
941		Wordclay, 2009.
942	[60]	MPATLAS, Savu Sea (Tirosa Batek) Marine National Park, (2015).
943		http://www.mpatlas.org/mpa/sites/67705397/ (accessed May 23, 2016).
944	[61]	Ministry of Environment of Japan, Outline of natural environment. Setonaikai
945		National Park, (2013). http://www.env.go.jp/park/setonaikai/intro/outline.html
946		(in Japanese) (accessed February 6, 2014).
947	[62]	T.M. Office, Renomination Dossier for Tubbataha Reefs Natural Park World
948		Heritage Site, (2008). http://whc.unesco.org/uploads/nominations/653bis.pdf.
949	[63]	T. Yamakita, H. Yamamoto, M. Nakaoka, H. Yamano, K. Fujikura, K. Hidaka, et
950		al., Identification of important marine areas around the Japanese Archipelago:
951		Establishment of a protocol for evaluating a broad area using ecologically and
952		biologically significant areas selection criteria, Mar. Policy. 51 (2015) 136-147.
953		doi:10.1016/j.marpol.2014.07.009.
954		
955		
956		
957		

1	
2	Figure Legends
3	
4	
5	Fig. 1. Comparison of numbers of grids that contributed to integrated results among
6	criteria and between summation and complementary analysis. (a) Number of grids
7	evaluated. (b) Number of grids ranked as "High".
8	
9	Fig. 2. Integration of seven criteria. (a) Integration by summation. (b) Number of "high"
10	evaluations for each grid. (c) Same as (a), with 10% of the study area selected. (d)
11	Integration by complementary analysis with 10% of the study area selected.
12	
13	Fig. 3. Correlation matrix of seven criteria. Spearman's ranked correlation was used for
14	the calculation. The upper right half shows the correlation coefficients r for each
15	pair of criteria. The lower left half presents scatter plots and smoothed lines for
16	each pair of criteria, and the graphs along the diagonal are histograms of the
17	evaluated values (ranked low = 1 to high =3) for each criterion.
18	
19	
20	
21	

Fig. 1

а



b











Fig. 3



- 1
- 2
- 3 Tables
- 4

5 Table 1. Number of species occurrence data obtained from each data source

Data source ^a	Number of individuals			
	All	Species known		
OBIS	991,532	726,914		
GBIF	819,144	392,822		
NaGISA	2,928	866		
Literatures	2,716	2,028		
Total	1,816,320	1,122,630		

6 ^aOBIS, Ocean Biogeographic Information System; GBIF, Global Biodiversity Information Facility;

7 NaGISA, Natural Geography in Shore Areas; List of literatures are attached in the supporting materials

8

10	
11	Table 2 Sensitivity of ranking to random error (± 1) . The integration results were
12	ranked into 5 classes and the differences between the original rank and the rank
13	after adding random error was calculated (i.e. a difference range from -5.0 to
14	+5.0). The values in the table are the numbers of grids (mean and standard
15	deviation [sd]) with each difference in ranking calculated for each integration
16	method from 100 replicates. s

	Difference	between	ranks f	'rom ori	ginal da	ita and f	îrom da	ta prod	uced w	ith ran	dom erroı
	-5.0	-4.0	-3.0	-2.0	-1.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0
Complementarity mean*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4513.0	37.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Complementarity sd*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	163.1	163.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Complementarity without0 mean	0.0	1.0	23.0	104.7	379.4	3815.0	198.8	24.4	3.6	0.3	0.0
Complementarity without0 sd	0.0	1.0	6.4	40.2	88.9	91.7	27.9	19.1	4.4	0.6	0.0
Summation mean*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	346.7	3823.7	379.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Summation sd*	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	27.1	22.1	29.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Summation without0 mean	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.7	400.8	3628.2	513.7	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Summation without0 sd	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	16.1	25.6	18.9	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
Average(exclude 0) mean	0.0	0.0	1.5	20.7	228.4	1241.9	1238.0	560.6	123.7	2.3	0.0
Average(exclude 0) sd	0.0	0.0	4.1	25.1	135.1	278.1	240.2	157.9	48.6	6.9	0.0
Average(exclude 0) without0 mean	0.0	0.0	1.5	20.7	228.4	1241.9	1238.0	560.6	123.7	2.3	0.0
Average(exclude 0) without0 sd	0.0	0.0	4.1	25.1	135.1	278.1	240.2	157.9	48.6	6.9	0.0
Count of high mean	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	325.6	3990.2	217.6	14.1	2.4	0.1	0.0
Count of high sd	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.1	203.0	196.2	56 .0	9.6	0.5	0.0
Count of high without0 mean	0.4	6.0	41.5	175.2	571.6	3221.6	487.3	43.7	2.7	0.1	0.0
Count of high without0 sd	0.6	2.8	11.7	31.0	35.0	24.5	46.3	22.6	1.9	0.3	0.0

* Method of ranking used to select 10% of the area

- .

Table 3. Gaps and overlaps between EBSA candidates and existing registered areas for the conservation purposes.

					Areas
	Marine	World	Vulnerable	Particularly	meeting
	Protected	Marine	Marine	sensitive	EBSA
	Areas	Heritage	Ecosystem	sea areas	Criteria
	(MPA)	(WMH)	(VME)	(PSSAS)	(CBD
					EBSA)*
Total area of each					
management area in	207014	06045	2510400	150700	313819
our scope region	397014	90045	5519400	150700	4
(km2)					
EBSA candidate					
overlap ratio with	1 2	1 0	0.2	20	125
each management	4.5	1.0	0.2	2.0	12.5
area					
Management area					
overlap ratio with	56.4	97.7	0.3	95.9	34.5
EBSA candidate					

26 *For the CBD EBSA their scope was limited in the areas considered in regional

27 workshop

22	Table 1 Came	and arraulana haterraam	CDD EDCA	and EDCA	and dates 1	41	and of
33	Table 4. Gabs	and overlaps between	LCDD-EDOA	and EBSA	candidates i	ov the re	esuit of
		real and the second					

- 34 this paper. Gaps and overlaps with MPA and WMH were also showed to compare
- 35 their differences.

	Areas mosting EBSA suitaria		EBSA		
	(CRD ERSA)	Area	Candidat	MPA	WMH
	(CDD EDSA)		е		
		(km²)	(%)	(%)	(%)
1	Hainan Dongzhaigang Mangrove National Natural Reserve	156	18.0	2.4	0
2	Shankou Mangrove National Nature Reserve	278	43.7	10.0	0
3	Nanji Islands Marine Reserve	295	34.0	0	0
5	Muan Tidal Flat	41	63.1	40.0	0
6	Intertidal Areas of East Asian Shallow Seas	9684	12.6	3.1	0
7	Lembeh Strait and Adjacent Waters	2726	83.2	0.1	0
8	Redang Island Archipelago and Adjacent Area	7424	0	0	0
9	Southern Straits of Malacca	30353	66.7	10.5	0
1 0	Nino Konis Santana National Park	1603	0	30.2	0
1 1	The Upper Gulf of Thailand	14542	64.4	0	0
1 2	Halong Bay-Catba Limestone Island Cluster	3658	57.8	18.4	12.9
1 3	Tioman Marine Park	936	85.1	1.4	0
1 4	Koh Rong Marine National Park	850	87.2	0	0
1 5	Lampi Marine National Park	1164	78.6	1.4	0
1 6	Raja Ampat and Northern Bird's Head	105540	54.3	8.9	0
1 7	Atauro Island	427	0	23.9	0
1 8	Sulu-Sulawesi Marine Ecoregion	351098	50.5	7.0	0.2
1 9	Benham Rise	38795	0	0	0
2	Eastern Hokkaido	6158	0	5.2	3.5

0 2 1	Southwest Islands	17353	78.4	9.0	0
2	Inland Sea Areas of Western Kyushu	6352	6.3	5.7	0
2 3	Southern Coastal Areas of Shikoku and Honshu Islands	14675	34.9	11.6	0
2 4	South Kyushu including Yakushima and Tanegashima Islands	4154	36.8	4.5	0
2 5	Ogasawara Islands	2822	39.7	6.2	2.5
2 6	Northern Coast of Hyogo, Kyoto, Fukui, Ishikawa and Toyama Prefectures	11496	66.3	15.1	0
3 1	Convection Zone East of Honshu	160297	0	0	0
3 2	Bluefin Tuna Spawning Area	150041	42.5	0.7	0
3 4	Kuroshio Current South of Honshu	174199	12.7	0.2	0
3 5	Northeastern Honshu	7668	0	16.9	0
Tot	al	112478 7	34.5	4.2	0.1

1 Supplementary Fig S-1.





GIS maps created for each index. (a) Total species number of Cnidaria, Arthropoda,
Mollusca, and Perciformes recorded only from the study area. (b) Distribution of the
Indonesian coelacanth *Latimeria menadoensis*. (c) Distribution of nests of six sea-turtle
species. (d) Spawning areas of two freshwater eels, *Anguilla japonica* and *Anguilla marmorata*. (e) Distribution of occurrences of 137 threatened species, excluding longdistance migrators and corals. (f) Regions important for conservation of threatened coral
species. Optimal allocation was achieved by 100 replicate complementary analyses

13 using Marxan. (g) Distribution of giant clams which lives in coral reef (distribution of

14 coral reef was also showed to inform their habitat). (h) Enclosed coastal seas with an

15 M2 tidal constituent ≤ 10 cm. (i) Distributions of coral reefs, seagrass, and seaweed beds,

16 and mangroves. (j) Chlorophyll-a concentrations averaged between January 2008 and

17 October 2013. (k) Numbers of species in accumulated data per 1° grid. (l) Numbers of

18 individuals identified to species level in accumulated data per 1° grid. (m) Hurlbert's

Index, ES(10), for all taxa. (n) Regions with little human impact, based on data used byHalpern et al. (2008) [9].

21

22

23

24

25

27 Supplementary Fig S-2.



- 30
- 31 Three-rank (low, medium, high) evaluation of each EBSA criterion. (a) Criterion 1
- 32 (integrated value). (b) Criterion 2 (integrated value). (c) Criterion 3 (integrated value).
- 33 (d) Criterion 4 (distribution of giant clams). (e) Criterion 4 (enclosed coastal seas with
- an M2 tidal constituent ≤ 10 cm). (f) Criterion 5 (high-productivity coastal regions). (g)
- 35 Criterion 5 (chlorophyll-*a* concentration). (h) Criterion 6 (Hurlbert's index, ES[10]). (i)
- 36 Criterion 7 (regions with little human impact).
- 37
- 38
- 39
- 40

41 Supplementary Fig S-3.





45 Overlay of the EBSA candidate of this paper and other registered areas for the purpose46 of conservation. a) Marine Protected Areas(MPAs). b) UNESCO World Marine

- 47 Heritage(WMH). c) FAO Vulnerable Marine Ecosystem (VME). d) IMO Particularly
- 48 sensitive sea areas (PSSAS). e) CBD-EBSA raised by regional workshop.
- 49
- 50

51 Supplementary Table 1. Additional literature used for data input.

53	Adrim M, Chen I-S, Chen Z-P, Lim KKP, Tan HH, Yusuf Y, Jaafar Z (2004) Marine
54	fishes recorded from the Anambas and Natuna Islands, South China Sea. The
55	Raffles Bulletin of Zoology, Supplement 11:117-130
56	Affendi YA, Badrul HT, Lee YL, Kee Alfian AA, Yusri Y (2005) Scleractinian coral
57	diversity of Kg. Tekek, Pulau Tioman Marine Park. In: Proceedings of Second
58	Regional Symposium on Environment and Natural Resources, 22-23 March 2005,
59	Kuala Lumpur, pp 20-31
60	Ahyong ST, Moosa MK (2004) Stomatopod Crustacea from Anambas and Natuna
61	Islands, South China Sea, Indonesia. The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology,
62	Supplement 11:61-66
63	Aikanathan S, Sasekumar A (1994) The community structure of macroalgae in a low
64	shore mangrove forest in Selangor, Malaysia. Hydrobiologia 285:131-137
65	Ajisaka T, Tseng CK, Baoren L, Nguyen HD, Yoshida T (2002) Sargassum specimens
66	with bulbous structures from China, Vietnam, and Bahrain. In: Abbott IA,
67	McDermid KJ (eds) Taxonomy of Economic Seaweeds with reference to some
68	Pacific species. California Sea Grant College Program, University of California,
69	8:113-134
70	Al-Hakim I, Glasby CJ (2004) Polychaeta (Annelida) of the Natuna Islands, South
71	China Sea. The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology, Supplement 11:25-45
72	All Scientists onboard (2012) Preleptocephali and leptocephali of Anguilla japonica
73	collected during the KH-09-1, 2 Cruises in 2009. In: Watanabe S, Yokouchi K,
74	Tsukamoto K (eds) Preliminary Report of the Hakuho Maru Cruise KH-09-1,2.
75	Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 16-21
76	Ang PO Jr (2006) Phenology of Sargassum spp. in Tung Ping Chau Marine Park, Hong
77	Kong SAR, China. Journal of Applied Phycology 18:629-636
78	Aoyama J, Mochioka N, Otake T, Ishikawa S, Kawakami Y, Castle P, Nishida M,
79	Tsukamoto K (1999) Distribution and dispersal of anguillid leptocephali in the
80	western Pacific Ocean revealed by molecular analysis. Marine Ecology Progress
81	Series 188:193-200
82	Arshad A, Japar SB, Mutaharah Z (2001) Checklist of the shallow water intertidal
83	invertebrates of Redang Island. In: Proceedings of the National Symposium on

84	Marine Park and Terengganu Islands: Towards Sustainable Usage and
85	Management of Islands. February 12-13, 2001, Dept of Fisheries, Kuala Lumpur
86	and KUSTEM, Kuala Terengganu, Malaysia, pp 12-18
87	Ashton EC, Hogarth PJ, Macintosh DJ (2003) A comparison of brachyuran crab
88	community structure at four mangrove locations under different management
89	systems along the Melaka Straits-Andaman Sea Coast of Malaysia and Thailand.
90	Estuaries 26(6):1461-1471
91	Aung Aung Htaik, Htay Aung (2011) Species composition, abundance and diversity of
92	zooplankton in Setse Estuarine waters. Universities Research Journal 4(2):307-
93	329
94	Aziz A, Bujang JS, Zakaria MH, Suryana Y, Ghaffar MA (2006) Fish communities
95	from seagrass bed of Merchang Lagoon, Terengganu, Peninsular Malaysia.
96	Coastal Marine Science 30(1):268-275
97	Bate CS (1888) Report on the Crustacea Macrura collected by H.M.S. Challenger
98	during the years 1873-1876. In: Wyville TC, Murray J (eds) Report of the
99	Scientific Results of the Voyage of H.M.S. Challenger during the Years 1873-76.
100	Zoology 24(52):[1 page errata], i-xc, 942 pp, 75 figs, pls 1-150
101	Beddard FE (1886) Report on the Isopoda collected by H.M.S. Challenger during the
102	years 1873-1876. Second Part. In: Wyville TC, Murray J (eds) Report of the
103	Scientific Results of the Voyage of H.M.S. Challenger during the Years 1873-76.
104	Zoology 17(48):178 pp, 2 figs, pls 1-25
105	Borel Best M, Hoeksema BW, Moka W, Moll H, Suharsono, Nyoman Sutama I (1989)
106	Recent Scleractinian coral species collected during the Snellius-II expedition in
107	Eastern Indonesia. Netherlands Journal of Sea Research 23(2):107-115
108	Brady GS (1881) Report on the Ostracoda dredged by H.M.S. Challenger during the
109	years 1873-1876. In: Wyville TC, Murray J (eds) Report of the Scientific Results
110	of the Voyage of H.M.S. Challenger during the Years 1873-76. Zoology 1(3):184
111	pp, pls 1-3
112	Brady GS (1883) Report on the Copepoda obtained by H.M.S. Challenger during the
113	years 1873-1876. In: Wyville TC, Murray J (eds) Report of the Scientific Results
114	of the Voyage of H.M.S. Challenger during the Years 1873-76. Zoology
115	8(23):142 pp, 4 figs, pls 1-55
116	Brooks WK (1886) Report on the Stomatopoda collected by H.M.S. Challenger during

117	the years 1873-1876. In: Wyville TC, Murray J (eds) Report of the Scientific
118	Results of the Voyage of H.M.S. Challenger during the Years 1873-76. Zoology
119	16(45):116 pp, pls 1-16
120	Chirapart A, Ohno M, Jarayapund P (2003) Marine algae of Ko Si Chang, eastern
121	Thailand. Bulletin of marine sciences and fisheries, Kochi University 22:107-118
122	De Wilde PAWJ, Kastoro WW, Berghuis EM, Aswandy I, Al Hakim I, Kok A (1989)
123	Structure and energy demand of the benthic soft-bottom communities in the Java
124	Sea and around the islands of Madura and Bali, Indonesia. Netherlands Journal of
125	Sea Research 23(4):449-461
126	Domingo AC, Corrales JA (2005) Inventory and distribution of edible seaweeds in
127	Ilocos Sur.
128	http://sites3.iwlearn3.webfactional.com/ssme/publications/resources/reference-
129	materials/miscellaneous-materials/Domingo_etal2050.pdf
130	Eni Kamal, Japar Sidik Bujang, Muta Hara Zakaria (2010) New Records of Seagrass
131	Flora in Air Bangis West Sumatera. Jurnal Natur Indonesia 13(1):77-81
132	Gerung GS, Lokollo FF, Kusen JD, Harahap AP (2006) Study on the seaweeds of
133	Ambon Island, Indonesia. Coastal Marine Science 30(1):162-166
134	Harada T, Ishibashi T, Inoue T (2012) Geographical distribution and heat-tolerance in
135	three oceanic Halobates species (Heteroptera: Gerridae). In: Watanabe S,
136	Yokouchi K, Tsukamoto K (eds) Preliminary Report of the Hakuho Maru Cruise
137	KH-06-2, Leg 2,3,5. Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute, University of
138	Tokyo, p 22-26, 29
139	Henderson JR (1888) Report on the Anomura collected by H.M.S. Challenger during
140	the years 1873-1876. In: Wyville TC, Murray J (eds) Report of the Scientific
141	Results of the Voyage of H.M.S. Challenger during the Years 1873-76. Zoology
142	27(69):i-xi, 221 pp, pls 1-21
143	Hoek PPC (1881) Report on the Pycnogonida dredged by H.M.S. Challenger during the
144	years 1873-1876. In: Wyville TC, Murray J (eds) Report of the Scientific Results
145	of the Voyage of H.M.S. Challenger during the Years 1873-76. Zoology
146	3(10):167 pp, pls 1-21
147	Hoyt, E (2011) Marine Protected Areas for Whales, Dolphins and Porpoises: A World
148	Handbook for Cetacean Habitat Conservation and Planning, 2nd edn.
149	Earthscan/Routledge and Taylor & Francis, London and New York, 448 pp

150	Ikawa T, Suzuki Y, Cheng L, Hoshizaki S, Okabe H, Tsukamoto K (1994) Distribution
151	of the ocean-skaters Halobates spp. from the Hakuho Maru cruise KH-94-2, Leg 3.
152	In: Inagaki T, Tsukamoto K (eds) Preliminary Report of the Hakuho Maru Cruise
153	KH-94-2 (Leg 3). Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 58-62
154	Johan I, Abu Hena MK, Idris MH, Arshad A (2013) Taxonomic composition and
155	abundance of zooplankton copepoda in the coastal waters of Bintulu, Sarawak,
156	Malaysia. Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science 8(3):472-479
157	Johnson TB, Nishikawa J, Terazaki M (2006) Community structure and vertical
158	distribution of chaetognaths in the Celebes and Sulu Seas. Coastal marine Science
159	30(1):360-372
160	Kastoro WW, Sudibjo ES, Aziz A, Aswandy I, Hakim IA (1991) The macrobenthic
161	community of Seribu Islands, Jakarta, Indonesia. Proceedings of the Regional
162	Symposium on Living Resources in Coastal Areas 223-244
163	Kawaguchi K (1974) The taxonomic and distributional study on the deep-sea fishes
164	belonging to the families of Gonostomatidae, Sternoptychidae, Melanostomiatidae,
165	Melamphidae and Chauliodontidae. In: Nishiwaki M (ed) Preliminary Report of
166	the Hakuhō Maru Cruise KH-73-2. Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo,
167	pp 11-15
168	Kee Alfian AA, Wong WS, Badrul H, Affendi YA (2005) Macroinvertebrate diversity
169	of Kg. Tekek, Pulau Tioman Marine Park. In: Proceedings of Second Regional
170	Symposium on Environment and Natural Resources, 22-23 March 2005, Kuala
171	Lumpur, pp 79-84
172	Kitamura M, Kuramoto Y, Kawakami H, Cruz EC, Fujikura K (2013) Horizontal
173	distribution of Fukushima-derived radiocesium in zooplankton in the northwestern
174	Pacific Ocean. Biogeosciences Discuss 10:6143-6170
175	Konishi Y (1988) Preliminary servey on the identification, systematics and spatial
176	distribution of percoid larvae. In: Kajihara T (ed) Preliminary Report of the
177	Hakuhō Maru Cruise KH-86-4. Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, pp
178	55-59
179	Konishi Y (1994) Studies on the identification, systematics and spatial distributions of
180	beryciform, cetomimiform and percoid larvae and juveniles. In: Kajihara T (ed)
181	Preliminary Report of the Hakuhō Maru Cruise KH-86-4. Ocean Research
182	Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 97-109

- 183 Kubodera T (1991) Systematic and zoogeography of pelagic cephalopods. In: Kajihara 184 T (ed) Preliminary Report of the Hakuhō Maru Cruise KH-86-4. Ocean Research 185 Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 55-58 186 Kubota T, Sato F (1974) Note on lanternfishes (Family Myctophidae) from post-larval 187 stage onward. In: Nishiwaki M (ed) Preliminary Report of the Hakuhō Maru 188 Cruise KH-73-2. Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 40-41 189 Kuroki M, Aoyama J, Miller MJ, Tsukamoto K (2012) Distribution and migration of 190 tropical anguillid leptocephali in the western North Pacific Ocean. In: Watanabe S, 191 Yokouchi K, Tsukamoto K (eds) Preliminary Report of the Hakuho Maru Cruise 192 KH-07-2 Leg 1,3. Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, 193 pp 26-28 194 Li X (2004) The pontoniine shrimps (Crustacea: Decapoda: Palaemonidae) from 195 Anambas and Natuna Islands, Indonesia, collected by Anambas Expedition, 2002. 196 The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology, Supplement 11:67-72 197 Lourie SA (2001) Seahorses (Genus Hippocampus) of Indonesia. 198 Lourie SA, Green DM, Vincent ACJ (2005) Dispersal, habitat differences, and 199 comparative phylogeography of Southeast Asian seahorses (Syngnathidae: 200 *Hippocampus*). Molecular Ecology 14:1073-1094 201 Lourie SA, Pritchard JC, Casey SP, Troung SK, Hall HJ, Vincent ACJ (1999) The 202 taxonomy of Vietnam's exploited seahorses (family Syngnathidae). Biological 203 Journal of the Linnean Society 66:231-256 204 Matsumiya Y, Tanaka S (1974) Occurrence and distribution of larvae of the saury. In: 205 Nishiwaki M (ed) Preliminary Report of the Hakuhō Maru Cruise KH-73-2. 206 Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, p 16 207 Matsuura H, Nishida S (2003) Ecology of the deep-sea pelagic copepods of the genus 208 Euaugaptilus. In: Nishimura M, Ohwada K (eds) Preliminary Report of the R/V 209 Hakuho Maru Cruise KH-00-1. Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 210 57-58 211 Miers EJ (1886) Report on the Brachyura collected by H.M.S. Challenger during the 212 years 1873-1876. In: Wyville TC, Murray J (eds) Report of the Scientific Results 213 of the Voyage of H.M.S. Challenger during the Years 1873-76. Zoology 17(49):i-l, 214 362 pp, pls 1-29 215 Miller MJ, Kawakami Y, Aoyama J, Inagaki T, Oya M, Shinoda A, Sameshima M,
 - 12

- 216 Inoue J, Kawai M, Marquet G, Limbong D, Wouthuyzen S, Mujiono, Matsubara 217 H, Feunteun E, Noakes D, Tsukamoto K (2003) Distribution, abundance and life 218 history characteristics of the anguilliform leptocephali in the Celebes and Sulu 219 Seas during the KH-00-1 cruise. In: Nishimura M, Ohwada K (eds) Preliminary 220 Report of the R/V Hakuho Maru Cruise KH-00-1. Ocean Research Institute, 221 University of Tokyo, pp 39-47 222 Miller MJ, Minegishi Y, Kuroki M, Inagaki T (2012) Leptocephali collected in the Sulu 223 Sea and surrounding regions. In: Nishida S, Gamo T (eds) Preliminary Report of 224 the Hakuho Maru Cruise KH-02-4 (The Sulu Sea and the Western Pacific) . 225 Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 59-63 226 Miller MJ, Paxton J, Johnson D, Tsukamoto K (2012) Whale larvae collected during the 227 KH-09-1, 2 sampling survey of the R/V Hakuho Maru in the western North 228 Pacific in 2009. In: Watanabe S, Yokouchi K, Tsukamoto K (eds) Preliminary 229 Report of the Hakuho Maru Cruise KH-09-1,2. Atmosphere and Ocean Research 230 Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 34-36 231 Mitsui Y, Ozawa T (1994) Fish larvae collected during the Hakuho Maru cruise KH-91-232 4. In: Kajihara T (ed) Preliminary Report of the Hakuhō Maru Cruise KH-86-4. 233 Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 87-96 234 Miya M, Kawaguchi A (2000) Phylogeography of the open ocean: A case study using a 235 circumglobal mesopelagic fish Cyclothone alba (family Gonostomatidae). In: 236 Inagaki T, Tsukamoto K (eds) Preliminary Report of the Hakuho Maru Cruise 237 KH-98-2. Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 25-26 238 Miya M, Inoue J, Yamaguchi M (2003) Phylogeography and mitogenomics of oceanic 239 fishes. In: Nishimura M, Ohwada K (eds) Preliminary Report of the R/V Hakuho 240 Maru Cruise KH-00-1. Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 34-38 241 Miyazaki N, Amano M (1991) Sighting survey of marine mamals by the R/V Hakuho 242 Maru. In: Kajihara T (ed) Preliminary Report of the Hakuhō Maru Cruise KH-86-243 4, pp 68-70. Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo 244 Mochioka N, Miller MJ, Otake T, Tsukamoto K (1994) Anguilliform, 245 Saccopharyngiform, and Notacantiform leptocephali. In: Kajihara T (ed) 246 Preliminary Report of the Hakuhō Maru Cruise KH-86-4. Ocean Research 247 Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 40-43 248 Mochioka N, Otake T, Ishikawa S, Watanabe S, Oya M, Tsukamoto K (2000a) Anguilla
 - 13

249	marmorata leptocephali. In: Inagaki T, Tsukamoto K (eds) Preliminary Report of
250	the Hakuho Maru Cruise KH-98-2. Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo,
251	pp 55-56
252	Mochioka N, Otake T, Ishikawa S, Watanabe S, Oya M, Tsukamoto K (2000b)
253	Anguilliform and notacanthiform leptocephali. In: Inagaki T, Tsukamoto K (eds)
254	Preliminary Report of the Hakuho Maru Cruise KH-98-2. Ocean Research
255	Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 57-59
256	Mochioka N, Otake T, Miller MJ, Aoyama J, Kawakami Y, Oki D, Tsukamoto K
257	(1994) Anguilliform and notacanthiform leptocephali. In: Inagaki T, Tsukamoto
258	K (eds) Preliminary Report of the Hakuho Maru Cruise KH-94-2 (Leg 3). Ocean
259	Research Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 29-32
260	Mochioka N, Otake T, Tsukamoto K (1994a) Anguilla japonica leptocephali. In:
261	Kajihara T (ed) Preliminary Report of the Hakuhō Maru Cruise KH-86-4. Ocean
262	Research Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 28-36
263	Mochioka N, Otake T, Tsukamoto K (1994b) Other Anguilla leptocephali. In: Kajihara
264	T (ed) Preliminary Report of the Hakuhō Maru Cruise KH-86-4. Ocean Research
265	Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 37-39
266	Mochioka N, Otake T, Tsukamoto K (1994c) Morphology and taxonomy of Anguilla
267	japonica leptocephali. In: Inagaki T, Tsukamoto K (eds) Preliminary Report of
268	the Hakuho Maru Cruise KH-94-2 (Leg 3). Ocean Research Institute, University
269	of Tokyo, pp 16-25
270	Mochioka N, Otake T, Tsukamoto K (1994d) Morphology, taxonomy and distribution
271	of Anguilla sp. Leptocephali. In: Inagaki T, Tsukamoto K (eds) Preliminary
272	Report of the Hakuho Maru Cruise KH-94-2 (Leg 3). Ocean Research Institute,
273	University of Tokyo, pp 26-28
274	Mukai H, Aioi K (1988) Study on tropical seagrass communities in tropical Pacific. In:
275	Kajihara T (ed) Preliminary Report of the Hakuhō Maru Cruise KH-86-4. Ocean
276	Research Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 103-106
277	Munprasit A, Prajakjitt P (2001) Tuna resource exploration with tuna longline in the
278	South China Sea, Area IV: Vietnamese waters. In: Proceedings of the Fourth
279	Technical Seminar on Marine Fishery Resources Survey in the South China Sea,
280	Area IV: Vietnamese Waters. 18-20 September 2000, Southeast Asian Fisheries
281	Development Center, Bangkok, pp 29-40

282 Nakamura K, Akiyama T (2003) The Picnogonids and Cumacea of the KH00-1 cruise. 283 In: Nishimura M, Ohwada K (eds) Preliminary Report of the R/V Hakuho Maru 284 Cruise KH-00-1 Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 24-28 285 Nakahara H (1988) The distribution of seaweed propagules in water in coral reefs of the 286 central Pacific. In: Kajihara T (ed) Preliminary Report of the Hakuhō Maru Cruise 287 KH-86-4. Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 90-102 288 Nateewathana A, Munprasit A, Dithachey P (2000) Systematics and distribution of 289 oceanic cephalopods in the South China Sea, area III: Western Philippines. In: 290 Proceedings of the Third Technical Seminar on Marine Fishery Resources Survey 291 in the South China Sea, Area III: Western Philippines. Special Paper No. 292 SEC/SP/41. Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center, Bangkok, pp 76-100 293 Nguyen HD (2002) Three new species of Sargassum (Sargassaceae, Fucales) from 294 Vietnam. In: Abbott IA, McDermid KJ (eds) Taxonomy of Economic Seaweeds 295 with reference to some Pacific species. California Sea Grant College Program, 296 University of California, 8:103-112 297 Nguyen VQ (2003) Coral reef fish resources in Ha Long Bay, Vietnam. In: Nitothayong 298 C (ed) Proceedings of the First Joint Seminar on Coastal Oceanography, 14-16 299 December 2003, Chiang Mai, Thailand, pp 129-137 300 Noiraksa T, Ajisaka T, Kaewsuralikhit C (2006) Species of Sargassum in the East Coast 301 of the Gulf of Thailand. ScienceAsia 32, Supplement 1:99-106 302 Ohizumi H, Matuishi T, Kasai N, Kishino H (1993) Census of Cetacean distribution in 303 the subtropical region of the Western North Pacific. In: Numachi K (ed) 304 Preliminary Report of the Hakuho Maru Cruise KH-93-1. Ocean Research 305 Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 21-30 306 Omar S, Ahmad N, Ahmad F (1988) Composition of alginates from brown seaweeds, 307 Sargassum and Padina spp. Pertanika 11(1):79-85 308 Ortiz AT, Trono GC Jr (2000) Growth and reproductive pattern of intertidal and 309 subtidal Sargassum (Sargassaceae, Phaeophyta) populations in Bolinao, 310 Pangasinan. Science Diliman 12(2):45-50 311 Otake T, Akimoto Y, Tateno S, Tsukamoto K (1991) Anguilliform and elopiform 312 leptocephali. In: Kajihara T (ed) Preliminary Report of the Hakuhō Maru Cruise 313 KH-86-4. Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 84-87 314 Otake T, Mochioka N, Ishikawa S, Suzuki Y, Watanabe S, Oya M, Yoshinaga T, Inoue

315 J, Shinoda A, Miyai T, Utou T, Matsuura H, Kurata Y, Yoshida T, Lokman PM, 316 Torii A, Katayama H, Won LT, Fujii K (2000) Distribution of Anguilla japonica 317 leptocephali around Arakane and Pathfinder Seamounts. In: Inagaki T, Tsukamoto 318 K (eds) Preliminary Report of the Hakuho Maru Cruise KH-98-2. Ocean Research 319 Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 45-47 320 Otake T, Oya M, Masuda R (1993) Distribution of Anguilliformes leptocephali in 321 Central North Pacific. In: Numachi K (ed) Preliminary Report of the Hakuho 322 Maru Cruise KH-93-1. Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 13-16 323 Ozawa T, Okamoto S, Corpuz AB (1988) List of gonostomatid fish larvae. In: Kajihara 324 T (ed) Preliminary Report of the Hakuhō Maru Cruise KH-86-4. Ocean Research 325 Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 51-54 326 Panithanarak T, Karuwancharoen R, Na-Nakorn U, Nguyen TTT (2010) Population 327 genetics of the spotted seahorse (Hippocampus kuda) in Thai waters: Implications 328 for conservation. Zoological Studies 49(4):564-576 329 Petsut N, Chirapart A, Keawnern M (2012) A stability assessment on seasonal variation 330 of seaweed beds in the Trat peninsula of Thailand. Biodiversity Journal 3(3):229-331 236 332 Prathep A, Pongparadon S, Darakrai A, Wichachucherd B, Sinutok S (2011) Diversity 333 and distribution of seaweed at Khanom-Mu Ko Thale Tai National Park, Nakhon 334 Si Thammarat Province, Thailand. Songklanakarin Journal of Science and 335 Technology 33(6):633-640 336 Purwati P, Lane DJW (2004) Asteroidea of the Anambas Expedition 2002. The Raffles 337 Bulletin of Zoology, Supplement 11:89-102 338 Rahayu DL (2004) Hermit crabs (Crustacea: Anomura) of the Anambas Expedition 339 2002. The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology, Supplement 11:73-78 340 Reijnen BT, Meij SET, Ofwegen LP (2011) Fish, fans and hydroids: host species of 341 pygmy seahorses. ZooKeys 103:1-26 342 Sars GO (1885) Report on the Schizopoda collected by H.M.S. Challenger during the 343 years 1873-1876. In: Wyville TC, Murray J (eds) Report of the Scientific Results 344 of the Voyage of H.M.S. Challenger during the Years 1873-76. Zoology 345 13(37):228 pp, 4 figs, pls 1-38 346 Sars GO (1887) Report on the Cumacea collected by H.M.S. Challenger during the 347 years 1873-1876. In: Wyville TC, Murray J (eds) Report of the Scientific Results

348	of the Voyage of H.M.S. Challenger during the Years 1873-76. Zoology
349	19(55):78 pp, pls 1-11
350	Sawada E, Aoyama J, Tsukamoto K (2012) Species identification of Japanese Eel
351	(Anguilla japonica) eggs and preleptocephali using real-time PCR during the KH-
352	06-2 cruise. In: Watanabe S, Yokouchi K, Tsukamoto K (eds) Preliminary Report
353	of the Hakuho Maru Cruise KH-06-2, Leg 2,3,5. Atmosphere and Ocean Research
354	Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 27-28, 31
355	Shinoda A, Kuroki M, Mochioka N, Sawada E, Hagihara S, Yoshinaga T, Miller MJ,
356	Aoyama J, Tsukamoto K (2012) Age, growth and hatching date of Anguilla
357	japonica. In: Watanabe S, Yokouchi K, Tsukamoto K (eds) Preliminary Report of
358	the Hakuho Maru Cruise KH-07-2 Leg 1,3. Atmosphere and Ocean Research
359	Institute, University of Tokyo, p 25
360	Shinoda A, Murakami G, Yoshinaga T, Imai K, Watanabe S, Yokouchi K, Hagihara S,
361	Manabe R, Aoyama J, Tsukamoto K (2012) Test of a new type net for sampling
362	of spawning Japanese eel. In: Watanabe S, Yokouchi K, Tsukamoto K (eds)
363	Preliminary Report of the Hakuho Maru Cruise KH-09-1,2. Atmosphere and
364	Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, p 26
365	Shirayama Y, Tsuchida E (1991) Benthic studies carried out during the R. V. Hakuho
366	Maru cruise KH-89-2. In: Kajihara T (ed) Preliminary Report of the Hakuhō Maru
367	Cruise KH-86-4. Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 61-64
368	Siriraksophon S, Sukramongkol N, Nakamura Y (2001) Exploration of oceanic squid,
369	Sthenoteuthis oualaniensis resources in the South China Sea, Vietnamese waters.
370	In: Proceedings of the Fourth Technical Seminar on Marine Fishery Resources
371	Survey in the South China Sea, Area IV: Vietnamese Waters, 18-20 September
372	2000, Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center, Bangkok, pp 181-197
373	Somiya H (1988) Studies on the eyes and luminous organs in some deep-sea fishes. In:
374	Kajihara T (ed) Preliminary Report of the Hakuhō Maru Cruise KH-86-4. Ocean
375	Research Institute, University of Tokyo, p 50
376	Stebbing TRR (1888) Report on the Amphipoda collected by H.M.S. Challenger during
377	the years 1873-1876. In: Wyville TC, Murray J (eds) Report of the Scientific
378	Results of the Voyage of H.M.S. Challenger during the Years 1873-76. Zoology
379	29(67):i-xxiv, 1737 pp, pls1-210, 1 map
380	Tabeta O, Mochioka N (1988a) Anguillid leptocephali. In: Kajihara T (ed) Preliminary

381 Report of the Hakuhō Maru Cruise KH-86-4. Ocean Research Institute, University 382 of Tokyo, 18-21 383 Tabeta O, Mochioka N (1988b) Anguilliform and elopiform leptocephali. In: Kajihara T 384 (ed) Preliminary Report of the Hakuhō Maru Cruise KH-86-4. Ocean Research 385 Institute, University of Tokyo, 22-25 386 Takai T, Tabeta O (1974) Leptocephali collected through the cruise of KH-73-2. In: 387 Nishiwaki M (ed) Preliminary Report of the Hakuhō Maru Cruise KH-73-2. 388 Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 36-39 389 Tan KS, Kastoro WW (2004) A small collection of gastropods and bivalves from the 390 Anambas and Natuna Islands, South China Sea. The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology, 391 Supplement 11:47-54 392 Tateno S, Akimoto Y (1991) Attached organisms on the thermorecords. In: Kajihara T 393 (ed) Preliminary Report of the Hakuhō Maru Cruise KH-86-4. Ocean Research 394 Institute, University of Tokyo, p 72 395 Tateno-Seino S (1994a) Ultrasonographic recordings for swimming analysis of oceanic 396 squid Stenoteuthis oualaniensis and visualization of water flow. In: Kajihara T 397 (ed) Preliminary Report of the Hakuhō Maru Cruise KH-86-4. Ocean Research 398 Institute, University of Tokyo, p 113 399 Tateno-Seino S (1994b) Observation of Portuguese Man-of-war by the R/V Hakuho-400 Maru Crew during Three Cruises in 1991. In: Kajihara T (ed) Preliminary Report 401 of the Hakuhō Maru Cruise KH-86-4. Ocean Research Institute, University of 402 Tokyo, pp 118-120 403 Tawa A, Mochioka N (2012) Studies on the fishes collected during KH-07-02 cruise -404 Systematics of opisthoproctid fishes-. In: Watanabe S, Yokouchi K, Tsukamoto K 405 (eds) Preliminary Report of the Hakuho Maru Cruise KH-07-2 Leg 1,3. 406 Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 33-35 407 Trono GC Jr, Ohno M (1992) Seaweeds collected from Bolinao, Pangasinan, 408 Philippines. Bulletin of marine sciences and fisheries, Kochi University 12:39-50 409 Tsukahara H, Matsui S, Honda T, Nonogami Y, Ozawa T (1974) Data on fish collected 410 with larva net. In: Nishiwaki M (ed) Preliminary Report of the Hakuhō Maru 411 Cruise KH-73-2. Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, pp 17-33 412 Uotani I, Ohara S (1974) Ecological studies on Iwashi, Jack-mackerel, Mackerel and 413 common squids. In: Nishiwaki M (ed) Preliminary Report of the Hakuhō Maru

414	Cruise KH-73-2. Ocean Research Institute, University of Tokyo, 42-52
415	Wong C-L, Phang S-M (2004) Biomass production of two Sargassum species at Cape
416	rachado, Malaysia. Hydrobiologia 512:79-88
417	Yamamoto G, Horikoshi M, Mukai H, Aioi K (1974) Studies on benthic faunae in the
418	deep-sea system off Ryukyu Islands and on the continental shelf in the
419	northernmost part of the South China Sea. In: Nishiwaki M (ed) Preliminary
420	Report of the Hakuhō Maru Cruise KH-73-2. Ocean Research Institute, University
421	of Tokyo, 54-58
422	Yeo DCJ, Rahayu DL, Ng PKL (2004) Brachyura (Crustacea) of the Anambas
423	Expedition 2002. The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology, Supplement 11:79-88
424	Yeong BM-L, Wong C-L (2013) Seasonal growth rate of Sargassum species at Teluk
425	Kemang, Port Dickson, Malaysia. Journal of Applied Phycology 25:805-814
426	Yoshida T, Akagi K, Toda T, Kushairi MMR, Kee Alfian AA, Othman BHR (2010)
427	Evaluation of fish behaviour and aggregation by underwater videography in an
428	artificial reef in Tioman Island, Malaysia. Sains Malaysiana 39(3):395-403
429	Yusri Y, Affendi YA, Kee Alfian AA, Badrul HT, Lee YL (2005) Coral reef fish
430	diversity of Kg. Tekek, Pulau Tioman Marine Park. In: Proceedings of Second
431	Regional Symposium on Environment and Natural Resources, 22-23 March 2005,
432	Kuala Lumpur, pp192-200
433	Yusuf Y, Affendi YA, Tajuddin BH, Kee Alfian AA (2007) Coral reef fishes of Pulau
434	Perak, Westernmost Island of Peninsular Malaysia. In: Mydin AJ, Gor Yaman AR,
435	Omar R, Ahmad N, Usop G, Mohamed KR, Sahrani FK (eds) Proceedings of the
436	Conference on Marine Ecosystem of Malaysia. 29-30 May 2007, Malaysia, pp
437	277-284
438	Zaidnuddin I, Forbes R (2001) Inventory of sea cucumber species of Perhentian Island
439	and Bidong Island, Terengganu. In: Proceeding of National Symposium on
440	Marine Park and Terengganu Islands. February 12-13, 2001, Dept of Fisheries,
441	Kuala Lumpur and KUSTEM, Kuala Terengganu, Malaysia, pp 97-105
442	Zenimoto K, Fukuda N, Shinoda A, Yokouchi K, Hagihara S, Yamaoka N, Manabe R,
443	Aoyama J, Tsukamoto K (2012) Observations near a seamount using a Hooking
444	Net. In: Watanabe S, Yokouchi K, Tsukamoto K (eds) Preliminary Report of the
445	Hakuho Maru Cruise KH-07-2 Leg 1,3. Atmosphere and Ocean Research Institute,
446	University of Tokyo, pp 42-43