Variability in storm climate along the Gulf of Cadiz: the role of large 1 scale atmospheric forcing and implications to coastal hazards. 2 3 Theocharis A. Plomaritis^{1,2*}, Javier Benavente¹, Irene Laiz³ and Laura Del Rio¹ 4 5 6 ¹ Department of Earth Science, Faculty of Marine and Environmental Science, University 7 of Cadiz. Poligono Rio San Pedro S/N, 11510, Puerto Real, Cadiz, Spain. ² CIMA, University of Algarve, Campus de Gambelas, Faro, Portugal 8 ³ Department of Applied Physics, Faculty of Marine and Environmental Science, 9 10 University of Cadiz. Poligono Rio San Pedro S/N, 11510, Puerto Real, Cadiz, Spain. 11 12 Abstract 13 In the context of increased coastal hazards due to variability in storminess patterns, the 14 danger of coastal damages and/or morphological changes is related to the sum of sea 15 level conditions, storm surge, maximum wave height and run up values. In order to better 16 understand the physical processes that cause the variability of the above parameters a 44 17 years reanalysis record (HIPOCAS) was used. The HIPOCAS time-series was validated 18 with real wave and sea-level data using linear and vector correlation methods. In the 19 present work changes in the magnitude, duration, frequency and approach direction of the 20 Atlantic storms over the Spanish Gulf of Cadiz (SW Iberian Peninsula) were identified by 21 computing various storm characteristics such as maximum wave height, total energy per 22 storm wave direction and storm duration. The obtained time-series were compared with 23 large-scale atmospheric indices such as the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and the East Atlantic pattern (EA). The results show a good correlation between negative NAO 24 25 values and increased storminess over the entire Gulf of Cadiz. Furthermore, negative 26 NAO values were correlated with high residual sea level values. Finally, a joint 27 probability analysis of storm and sea level analysis resulted in increased probabilities of 28 the two events happening at the same time indicating higher vulnerability of the coast and 29 increased coastal risks. The above results were compared with coastal inundation events 30 that took place over the last winter seasons in the province of Cadiz.

31 * Corresponding Author email: haris.plomaritis@uca.es

33 **1. Introduction**

34

35 Storm events are considered the main cause for shoreline change in many areas 36 worldwide (Fenster et al., 2001). Depending on the magnitude of the event and the 37 morphological characteristics of the coastline the change can be transient or persistent 38 (Anderson et al., 2010). Hence, storms are attributed an essential role in coastal long-term 39 evolution (decadal and centennial) despite the usually short time scale of their action 40 (Morton et al., 1995). Nowadays there is a growing socioeconomic need towards 41 innovative coastal management and evaluation of the risks associated with the 42 development in coastal plains (Van Dongeren et al., 2014). The combined effect of storm 43 activity and surge level changes can pose a risk on the coastal environment by eroding 44 the upper beach and inundating any low lying backshore area. In coastlines exposed to 45 long fetches, such as the Spanish Atlantic coast, large scale atmospheric phenomena are 46 the main source of storminess variability. Hence, the seasonal and inter-annual variability 47 or long term trends of the above processes can affect the risk distribution over a particular 48 stretch of coastline.

49

50 Specific trends in wave heights have long been observed in the North Atlantic (Bacon 51 and Carter, 1991; Carter and Draper, 1988; Dupuis et al., 2006; Feng et al., 2014b) and in 52 the Northeast Pacific (Allan and Komar, 2000). Furthermore, Bacon and Carter (1991) 53 observed a correlation between North Atlantic meridional atmospheric pressure gradient 54 and wave height. Woolf et al. (2002) established a relationship between wave height 55 anomalies and large scale atmospheric pressure patterns over the Northeast Atlantic on 56 the basis of satellite altimetry. Finally, Dodet et al. (2010) presented a larger influence of 57 the NAO over the south area of Europe using a 60 year long wave model forced by the 6h 58 wind field from National Centres of Environmental Prediction (NCEP) reanalysis project 59 (Kalnay et al., 1996).

60

61 Traditionally the NAO and other climatic indices have been mainly linked with 62 temperature, precipitation and large scale circulation patterns. Recent studies have also focused on analysing the response of sea level variability to NAO, but always at a broad spatial scale (Efthymiadis et al., 2002; Tsimplis and Shaw, 2008; Tsimplis et al., 2006; Woolf et al., 2003). Main findings are that the NAO influence on sea level is dominant in winter and represents one of the causes of the high inter-annual variability of sea level during this season. Moreover, Woolf et al. (2003) suggest that NAO effects are probably similar in the open ocean and along coastlines in large geographical areas, although they might sometimes be masked by local phenomena.

70

71 Regional correlations between mean monthly wave height and NAO values have been 72 performed by various researchers (Bertin et al., 2013; Dodet et al., 2010; Feng et al., 73 2014a; Rangel-Buitrago and Anfuso, 2013; Woolf et al., 2003). The impact of storms on 74 shoreline variability has been widely demonstrated (e.g. (Cooper et al., 2004; List et al., 75 2006; Morris et al., 2001). However, a direct relationship between inter-annual wave 76 variability and coastal response has not been linked to NAO because of the general lack 77 of long-term and detailed coastal topographic data and the influence of other local and 78 regional aspects on coastal changes, such as geological framework (Jackson et al., 2005). 79 Recently O'Connor et al. (2011) demonstrated a tentative link between coastline 80 topography and NAO-modulated external forcing, by focusing in small and well 81 constrained tidal inlets of northwest of Ireland. Thomas et al. (2011) derived a negative 82 correlation between beach rotation and volume with the NAO for the southwest Welsh 83 coast. In the same way, using the SOI climatic index and the data-set of Narrabeen Beach 84 in Australia a relation between beach rotation was linked to the variability of wave 85 characteristics (Harley et al., 2009; Ranasinghe et al., 2004; Short et al., 2000).

86

Although it is well known that NAO affects the latitudinal aspects of storm tracks variability over the Atlantic (Keim et al., 2004; Rogers, 1997) an in-depth investigation of the joint influence on the wave storminess and sea surface height variability and the associated coastal hazards in the Gulf of Cadiz has not yet been undertaken. The present work focuses in the coastal area of the northern part of the Gulf of Cadiz and goes beyond the separate analysis of wave and residual sea level as it investigates the combined occurrence of the phenomena and their contribution to the increasing severity

94 of coastal hazards. Furthermore, other aspects of wave storminess are examined such as 95 the storm significant wave height, the storm direction and the total amount of storm hours 96 in a month. A description of the study area, wave and sea level data sets is presented, 97 followed by a section focused on the validation exercise and correction fittings that were 98 employed in order to improve the results of the hindcast models for storm conditions. Then the inter-annual variability of the record is presented and correlations with large 99 100 scale climatic indices are undertaken. Finally, discussion and conclusions of the results 101 obtained and comparison with other work are detailed.

102

103 2. Study area

104 The Gulf of Cadiz is the sub-basin that connects the Atlantic Ocean with the 105 Mediterranean Sea through the Strait of Gibraltar. Its northern and southern boundaries 106 are, respectively, the southwest coast of the Iberian Peninsula and the Atlantic coast of 107 Morocco (Figure 1). As an Atlantic exposed coast it is influenced by large scale oceanic 108 weather systems that cross the North Atlantic following an eastward path, that determine 109 the patterns of precipitation, wind and long-fetch waves. The storms generated by these 110 systems are the principal cause of transient erosion in the area (Del Rio, 2007). On a local 111 scale, the orientation of the coastline and the local physiographic characteristics result in 112 sheltering effects to the north component winds and funnelling effects to south and east 113 component winds due to the complex orography of the Strait of Gibraltar (Dorman et al., 114 1995). The prevailing wind and wave fields are from WSW directions (Figure 1), with a 115 yearly average significant wave height of 1 m comprised of both sea and swell, generating a predominant longshore current towards the E and SE (Benavente et al., 116 117 2000). Waves with north component are not frequent within the Gulf of Cadiz due to the 118 sheltering effect of the Cape St. Vincent (Figure 1) where significant diffraction and 119 attenuation takes place. In general the northern Gulf of Cadiz is characterized by a lower 120 energy wave climate than the western coastlines of the Iberian Peninsula (Loureiro et al., 121 2013). Storm events are generally frequent over the autumn and winter months with 122 significant wave height values reaching up to 7m. The less frequent wave storm events in 123 the Gulf of Cadiz have easterly directions (wave rose in Figure 1) but they do not produce 124 high waves to the north-eastern part of the Gulf due to their limited fetch. Due to the 125 coastline orientation, these limited fetch storm waves affect only the north-western part126 of the Gulf of Cadiz.

127

128 In terms of tides the area can be described as semidiurnal with mean tidal range of 2.20 m 129 decreasing towards the Strait of Gibraltar. Changes in shoreline orientation along the 130 Gulf coast greatly influence the approach angle of waves, which diminishes progressively 131 towards the Southeast, generating less significant littoral currents close to the Strait of 132 Gibraltar and weaker longshore drift (Medina, 1991). The surface circulation over the continental shelf is mainly wind-driven but it is also affected by local forcing 133 134 mechanisms, such as the Guadalquivir River discharge, and is subject to seasonal and 135 inter-annual variations deeply related to the seasonal variability of the open sea 136 circulation (Criado-Aldeanueva et al., 2009). The latter is greatly affected by the large-137 scale atmospheric patterns over the Atlantic Ocean, roughly represented by the NAO 138 index (Criado-Aldeanueva et al., 2009).

139

140 **3. Methodology**

141

142 **3.1 Data description**

In the present work the nearshore nodes of a hindcast dataset (HIPOCAS data 143 144 hereinafter), located over the north coast of the Gulf of Cadiz were used. The dataset 145 consists of a 44-year reanalysis of meteorological, wave variables and sea level spanning 146 between January 1958 and December 2001 (Sebastiao et al., 2008). For the wave 147 simulation over the Gulf of Cadiz a grid of 5' was linked to a larger WAM model 148 (WAMDI-Group, 1988) of the Atlantic Ocean through a series of nested models (Gomez 149 Lahoz and Carretero Albiach, 2005). The wave model was initially forced by the NCEP 150 reanalysis wind fields. The ocean circulation and sea level variations were simulated with 151 the HAMSOM model over a grid of 10'x 15' taking into account wind and pressure 152 forcing. The hydrodynamic model was forced with boundary conditions from the REMO 153 atmospheric model (Sebastiao et al., 2008) that was in turn forced with NCEP data. The 154 sea-level data represent the atmospherically-induced contribution and the associated 155 storm surges and do not have a tidal signal, or the contribution of the steric effect on sea-

156 level (Sebastiao et al., 2008). The output time-step was 3 hours for all the parameters. 157 From the above data set five stations were selected that cover the entire north coast of the 158 Gulf of Cadiz (Figure 1). From west to east the selected stations are: Faro, that represents 159 the most exposed part of the Gulf of Cadiz with a narrow shelf and a steep continental 160 slope; Huelva and Seville, located further to the east, at the widest part of the continental 161 shelf and partially sheltered from the west and north component winds by the Cape St. 162 Vincent; Cadiz station is located further to the southeast where the shelf width starts to 163 reduce and the coastline is more exposed to the Atlantic storms; finally the Zahara station is largely dominated by the Gibraltar Strait conditions and is characterized by the absence 164 165 of continental shelf and reduced tidal range. In terms of wave data the coastal buoy of 166 Cadiz managed by Spanish Port Authorities and the buoy of Faro managed by the 167 Portuguese Hydrographical Office (Figure 1) were selected for validation purposes since they provided directional wave measurement overlapping with the model data. 168

169

170 **3.2 HIPOCAS data validation**

171 An extensive validation exercise was undertaken by Mendez et al. (2006) between the 172 HIPOCAS wave data and wave buoy data collected around the Spanish coasts. However, 173 in order to optimize the results in the Gulf of Cadiz, a new correction was applied in the 174 present study that consisted in: (i) fitting the model wave height to observations focusing 175 mainly in the case of storm conditions; (ii) evaluating differences between model and 176 measured wave directions using a vector correlation approach (Kundu, 1976). The wave 177 height validation was evaluated by calculating the bias and the Brier Skill Score (BSS). 178 The latter parameter relates the variance of the difference between data and model with 179 the variance of the data. BSS=1 means perfect skill, BSS=0 means no skill (Roelvink et 180 al., 2009). The wave direction validation was evaluated based on the Kundu coefficient 181 and mean angle rotation (θ). Both wind waves and swell were analysed together since 182 they coexist during storm events and no spectral information was available.

183

In order to obtain a statistically independent wave height data set of storm conditions a peak over threshold analysis (POT) was used for the period with simultaneous model and observation data (Kamphuis, 2000). The above analysis allowed producing a correction

187 based on the peak values of each storm and was then applied to the entire data set. The 188 threshold value for the POT analysis was set as 1.5m wave height (a threshold value 189 proposed by the local authorities for civil protection), and a storm independence criteria 190 (time between two consecutive independent storms) was calculated based on the integral 191 time scales of the autocorrelation function (Emery and Thomson, 2001). Higher storm 192 threshold values proposed for the area of Cadiz (Almeida et al., 2012; Del Rio et al., 193 2012; Ribera et al., 2011) were also used but the fitting coefficients obtained were not 194 significantly different.

195

196 The corrected values of significant wave height (Hsc) improved the time series extracted 197 from the reanalysis data for storms with Hs higher than 3m, where the HIPOCAS data 198 had significantly and systematically overestimated the wave height approximately by 199 30%. A single model was constructed from both data series with a correlation coefficient 200 of r=0.75 and applied to all stations. Similar correlation coefficients were also obtained 201 for only the Faro data by Almeida et al. (2011). Separate analysis of Cadiz and Faro 202 buoys resulted in correlations that had statistically no significant differences. Typical 203 results are shown in Figure 2 for March 1995 for both stations, where it can be observed 204 that the corrected HIPOCAS data show better agreement during storm conditions with the 205 buoy data. For the data set relative bias values of 0.02 instead of 0.29 for the uncorrected 206 data and BSS of 0.60 instead of 0.43 were obtained for the buoy of Faro. For the case of 207 Cadiz buoy similar values were calculated with relative bias decreasing from -0.35 to -208 0.02 and BSS increasing from 0.41 to 0.66.

209

210 The agreement between the original (uncorrected) model data and buoy data, in both Faro 211 and Cadiz wave buoys, was tested for wave height and direction simultaneously using the 212 Kundu (1976) vector correlation approach. This correlation method produces a 213 coefficient between the directional wave heights of the two time series and the main 214 angle (θ) through which the first series would have to be rotated anticlockwise to match 215 the direction of the second series. Although overlapping of directional wave data between 216 the buoy of Cadiz and the HIPOCAS data only exists over part of 2001, the period is long 217 enough to cover both calm and stormy conditions. For the case of Faro the overlapping period was much longer (1997-2001). The correction coefficients calculated for the two
time series were not statistically different; hence a common correction equation was
derived for both sites and then applied to all the wave data.

221

222 Differences in wave propagation direction between the measured and modelled data are 223 presented against the significant wave height in Figure 3. There is a large scatter in 224 directions for wave heights lower than 1m in both sites. However, as it can be seen from 225 the point's density, large differences are only present over few events, while the majority 226 of the data show small deviations. Such deviations are reduced to a variance of 45 deg for 227 waves between 1-1.5m, also with larger densities concentrated in small differences. Data 228 from Faro present slightly larger deviations for the lower wave heights. This is probably 229 related to the diffraction processes that waves undergo at the Cape St. Vincent, which are 230 probably not fully resolved by the model resolution. For the higher wave heights the 231 directional scatter is minimized and the HIPOCAS data present a good agreement with 232 the observed data.

233

234 Using the vector correlation approach, the correlation coefficient obtained for the Cadiz 235 buoy was 0.71 with an average angle difference of 4.7 deg. For Faro the correlation 236 obtained was 0.76 with an average angle difference of -5.2 deg. In Figure 4 the E-W 237 (zonal) and N-S (meridional) components of the waves are plotted for both sites. A good 238 agreement is observed between both components, particularly for large storm events of 239 southwest direction, which is the main oceanic storm approach direction. Similar results 240 were also observed by Ribera et al. (2011) for the same data set. The locally generated 241 small storms with predominant southeast directions are not well represented by the model 242 probably due to spatial constraints of the atmospheric forcing that cannot resolve the 243 local east wind acceleration over the Strait of Gibraltar (Figure 4, around 11/08/2001) and 244 the short fetch of those waves. These locally generated storms are not affecting the 245 eastern coastline of the Gulf of Cadiz, because of the short fetch and its general 246 orientation. However, the above events can generate coastal erosion events further west, 247 over the coast of southern Portugal (Garcia et al., 2005).

249 No validation to the sea level data was applied here because the calibrated time series 250 (Sebastiao et al., 2008) are the only available in the area for the reanalysis period. The sea 251 level reanalysis carried out for the HIPOCAS data along the coasts of the Iberian 252 Peninsula presented good results (Sebastiao et al., 2008). For example, in the area of the 253 Gulf of Cadiz (tidal station Seville) the results were under-predicting the observations 254 with a RMSE of 12.61 only for the extreme peak of the storms. This discrepancy could be 255 due to the tidal gauge location (close to estuary mouth) where the measured water levels 256 are locally affected by the river discharge; however, this do not influence the general 257 surge level on the continental shelf (Laiz et al., 2013).

258

259 **3.3 Data analysis**

The corrected time series were used to calculate the monthly, seasonal and annual values of the wave heights and directions and sea level in order to identify the wave climatology in the area. Furthermore, storminess indices were calculated on a monthly basis in order to be compared with climatic indices. The wave storminess indices obtained were: the number of individual storms per month (storm number, SN); the storm significant wave height H_{st} which corresponds to the monthly average ($\overline{H_s}$) of wave heights (H_s) for the values above the threshold (H_{th})

$$H_{st} = \overline{H_s} \mid H_s > H_{th}$$

267

Finally, the number of hours when the storm threshold was exceeded divided by the total number of hours per month (Storm Duration Ratio, SDR) was also calculated

270

$$SDR = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} t_i | H_i > H_{th}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} t_i}$$

271

where N is the number of model output per month and H_{th} is the storm threshold. For both indices the wave height threshold and storm independence criteria remained the same as in the POT analysis. SDR is a value similar to the percentage of run length introduced by Feng et al. (2014a). Additionally the total storm energy per month was calculated as the sum of wave energy above the storm threshold. 278 The computed indices were correlated with the climatic indices that influence weather 279 patterns over Europe, namely the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO), the East Atlantic 280 Pattern (EA), the Scandinavia Pattern (SCAN) and the Polar/ Eurasia Pattern (POL). The 281 monthly data corresponding to the HIPOCAS dataset were obtained from NOAA climate centre and were calculated from the rotated EOF of the 500 hPa geopotential height. The 282 283 SCA and POL did not present any significant correlation; hence the results are not 284 presented. Significant differences between two correlation coefficients were tested using 285 the Fisher r-to-z transformation (Fisher, 1970). This method converts first each correlation coefficient into a z-score. Then, making use of the sample size employed to 286 287 obtain each coefficient; these *z*-scores are compared.

288

277

Furthermore, a detailed study of surge levels given a specific wave height ($f_{S|Hs}$) was 289 290 studied at a storm event timescale. For the joint probability estimation of the residual sea 291 level (SLres) and Hsc were jointly used in POT analysis. For each storm event identified 292 by the POT analysis the peak Hsc and peak SLres were selected in order to construct a 293 contingency table. Tidal variations were not taken into account since in the Iberian 294 Peninsula tidal-surge energy transfer is low (Ratsimandresy et al., 2008). Combined 295 wave and SLres probability function $(f_{SLres,Hs})$ events was undertaken parting from the 296 assumption that the surge elevation probability function (f_S) is not independent of the 297 significant wave height probability function (f_{Hs}) for waves above the threshold. 298

$f_{Hs,RSL}(Hs,RSL) = f_{RSL|Hs}(RSL|Hs).f_{Hs}(Hs)$

For the calculation of join probability the initial POT analysis results were used in order
to construct a contingency table with storm events and the associated SLres. **4. Results and Discussion**303
304
305 **4.1 Wave and Residual Sea Level Climate**

307 The mean annual cycle for the corrected significant wave height (Hsc) and the associated 308 wave directions for the coastal area of the northern Gulf of Cadiz are presented in Figure 309 5a and 5b. The average wave heights over the area are higher during the winter months 310 and part of the autumn. From the comparison of corrected significant wave heights (Hsc) 311 and their associated directions it can be seen that there is a high energy period starting in 312 November and extending up to March, when the mean wave heights are higher (Hsc \approx 313 1m) and from more southerly directions. The same pattern is present for all stations with 314 some differences in Huelva and Seville where the mean significant wave height is lower 315 and mean direction of propagation has a stronger southern component, due to the shelter 316 effect of the Cape St Vincent. These values classify the coastline as a mixed energy, 317 wave-dominated coast according to (Davis and Hayes, 1984). Over the rest of the year 318 mean wave height is significantly lower with more westerly directions. This annual 319 variability represents the typical wave climatology of the region. Based on the above 320 results the wave climate in the Gulf of Cadiz can be separated in a storm (November -321 March) and a calm (April - October) season. These results are in agreement with previous 322 wave climate studies (Dodet et al., 2010; Lozano et al., 2004) on the area and with the 323 seasonal morphological behaviour of the beach (Benavente et al., 2002). In terms of peak 324 period (T_p , Figure 5c) the seasonal pattern is repeated with higher values of T_p during the 325 storm season and lower ones during the calm season. All stations present the same mean 326 monthly values with the only difference of Huelva (the most protected station) where T_p 327 values are smaller.

328

329 On the other hand the monthly residual sea level (SLres) variation (Figure 5d) presents an 330 inverse image, with higher SLres during the calm period and lower values during the 331 storm period. These results are in agreement with previous analysis of SLres records in 332 the area that show a seasonal cycle with a minimum in February and a maximum in 333 October, consistent with atmospheric pressure forcing in this region (Laiz et al., 2013). 334 The SLres annual signal ranges between about 4–6/5–6 cm from tide gauges/altimeter 335 measurements, respectively, the latter always showing slightly larger amplitudes 336 (Gomez-Enri et al., 2012; Laiz et al., 2013; Marcos et al., 2011; Marcos and Tsimplis, 2007). 337

338

339 4.2 Correlations with climate indices

340 The inter-annual variability of the storm significant wave height and storminess indices 341 did not show significant correlation with climatic indices. These results have been 342 reported before for the Faro station and NAO (Almeida et al., 2011) and seem to extend 343 over the entire Gulf of Cadiz. Other studies have concluded that the inter-annual 344 variability of Hs is partially controlled by NAO (Dodet et al., 2010; Woolf et al., 2002) 345 but Bertin et al. (2013) show that this relationship has a large spatial variability that 346 results in non-significant correlations over the Gulf of Cadiz. Also the same studies 347 concluded that no linear trend is present for Hs over the study area. This paper is focusing 348 on the monthly variability both for the storm and calm period as well as their 349 climatological anomalies.

350

351 Both the storm mean monthly values (mean value of significant wave height that exceeds 352 the storm threshold, 1.5 m) and their anomalies for the whole year and for the storm 353 seasons were tested against all indices that are linked with climate variability over 354 Europe, in order to investigate possible correlations with the wave parameters and SLres 355 in the Gulf of Cadiz. Significant correlations were obtained for the NAO and EA pattern. 356 Data showed correlation between the annual Hsc and NAO for all sites with values 357 between -0.27 for Faro and -0.34 for Seville, increasing for the storm season months to 358 values of around -0.58 (Table 1, Figure 6). However, the correlations between the mean 359 monthly anomalies and NAO show significant increase (p<0.01) for the full year where 360 the correlation coefficient almost doubles, suggesting that NAO has an effect on the wave 361 variability that prevails during calm season as well. This variability could be due to 362 waves formed by local wind or to swell field being determined by the NAO over the 363 North Atlantic. This can have implications on the medium term shoreline evolutions since the wave period characteristics during the calm season are responsible for the beach 364 365 accretion and recovery after the storms. For the storm season the anomaly correlations are 366 also higher but differences are small and not statistically significant. In terms of spatial 367 distributions there are no significant differences observed for the various locations 368 between NAO and the annual Hs for all cases. The physical explanation of the above 369 correlations could be attributed to the higher abundance of low pressure systems and the
370 increase in wind speed linked with the NAO and attributed to the southern shift of the
371 Atlantic storm tracks (Dodet et al., 2010; Keim et al., 2004).

372

373 Significant correlations but with low correlation coefficient values were observed 374 between NAO and monthly wave directions for the full year; the best correlation was 375 observed in Huelva but the coefficient did not exceed -0.20. Over the storm season 376 correlations did not improve but the significance levels decreased due to the lower 377 number of observations (220 instead of 528) that influences the degrees of freedom of the 378 correlations. The spatial distribution again did not show any significant variation.

379

380 The residual water levels also presented strong correlations with NAO especially during 381 the storm season months, showing a high correlation value between mean storm residual water levels and NAO (-0.66 on average, p<0.01). These results are in agreement with 382 383 previous studies in the area using the same reanalysis data for southern Europe (Marcos 384 et al., 2009). The main mechanism that drives the residual sea level response to the NAO 385 is both hydrostatic and non-hydrostatic (Woolf et al., 2003). Considering this, the 386 correlation between mean storm residual water level and NAO is possibly related to the 387 fact that the HIPOCAS dataset includes both processes, as it was modelled using a 388 barotropic version of the HAMSOM model (Ratsimandresy et al., 2008). All correlations 389 have a negative sign because wave height and residual sea level increase with negative 390 NAO values (Table 1).

391

392 For the case of EA the above parameters explain a small but statistically significant part 393 of the variability (Table 2) among which the most pronounced is that of the significant 394 wave height during the storm months. In all cases the correlation coefficients were 395 smaller than with NAO, as expected, since the EA is the second prominent mode of low-396 frequency variability over the North Atlantic (Barnston and Livezey, 1987). For this 397 index the correlations are positive for wave height and direction but negative for SLres. 398 Positive EA values are responsible for zonally extended storm tracks that affect the 399 southern coasts of Europe (Wettstein and Wallace, 2010). Significant differences between stations were only present for wave height correlations, where the lowest value obtained(Huelva) was significantly different from the rest of the stations.

402

403 Correlations between wave directions during storms were stronger in the case of EA than 404 with the NAO. Correlations were positive with mean values around 0.20 to 0.3 and were 405 constant both for mean values and anomalies (Table 2). Apart from these correlations, 406 EA index presented negative correlations (between -0.36 and -0.46) with the wave 407 direction standard deviation during the storm season (Figure 7). These correlations 408 suggest a focusing of the storm around west direction during the positive EA and a 409 greater dispersion during negative EA. This dispersion is represented in the Gulf of Cadiz 410 with increased southern direction because of the negative skewness inherited to the data 411 by the coastline orientation.

412

413 Because the NAO and the EA represent different modes of the atmospheric variability i.e. 414 the percentage of variability expressed by the two indices is uncorrelated, the above 415 results suggest that storminess during negative NAO and positive EA phases can be 416 further increased. However, on a seasonal scale the indices can be correlated; hence, part 417 of the explained variance can be common (Martinez-Asensio et al., 2014). The physical 418 mechanism during NAO-negative and EA-positive phases is that the orientation of the 419 boundary between the positive and negative pressure anomalies crosses the North 420 Atlantic from northwest (60N, 60W) to southeast (45N, 10W), which is likely to 421 influence the meridional circulation intensity (Nesterov, 2009) and direct the storm tracks 422 towards south Europe and into the Gulf of Cadiz. Furthermore, average wind during 423 winter NAO-negative and EA-positive phases reveals patterns of westerly wind (Martinez-Asensio et al., 2014) that can induce a net mass flux in the Gulf of Cadiz and 424 425 at the same time generate increased Hs (Fukumori et al., 2007). Similar average wind 426 patterns are also generated during positive SCAN phases but with a more pronounced 427 northern component; however, any generated waves by this wind pattern are not affecting 428 the northern part of the Gulf of Cadiz due to the sheltering effect of the Cape St. Vincent. 429

430 Apart from the mean monthly values and anomalies, specific storm indices calculated 431 above were also correlated with the climatic indices. Similar correlations (-0.52 and -432 0.43, p<0.01) were obtained between the SDR, which is a measure of the total time of the 433 Atlantic oriented storm activity per month, and the NAO for the storm season (Figure 8). 434 Higher values are observed for the more exposed stations (Faro, Cadiz and Zahara). 435 Special cases for the Atlantic storms were selected by gradually restricting the direction 436 of the incoming storm to pure westerly directions (data not shown). For these cases the 437 correlation coefficients remain practically unchanged in all stations except Seville and 438 Huelva, where the number of events drops dramatically due to the sheltering effect. The 439 Storm number also presented similar patterns to the SDR both in terms of NAO 440 correlations and spatial variability (data not shown).

441

442 The monthly storm wave height (H_{st}) obtained from individual storms produced a weaker 443 correlation (-0.41, p<0.01) with NAO over the storm season. Similar results were 444 obtained for the total energy of the storm waves for each month where the correlation 445 coefficient with NAO was -0.45 (p<0.01). However the correlation between SDR and the 446 mean monthly Hs was of the order of -0.90 for the study area, with no significant 447 variations between the stations. The above results suggest that although negative NAO 448 values increase the storminess over the study area, they do not control the magnitude of 449 the wave height which is probably affected by synoptic atmospheric patterns. On the 450 other hand there is a correlation with the number and total storm duration arriving to the 451 Gulf of Cadiz. Similar results were presented for the Norwegian Sea where no statistical 452 correlation was obtained between NAO and waves with low probability of exceedance 453 (largest waves) (Feng et al., 2014b); however it has to be noted that NAO correlations 454 with the largest 1% of Hs can reach r=0.83 over the Northwest of Scotland (Wang and 455 Swail, 2001; 2002) but these correlations present large spatial variation over the North 456 Atlantic.

457

458 **4.3 Joint Probability**

459 Despite the opposite seasonal pattern followed by the Hsc and the SLres presented in 460 Figure 4, sea level values during storms were found to deviate substantially from the 461 average seasonal cycle especially during the storms. The joint probability between these 462 parameters is presented in Figure 9, with similar patterns observed at all stations. A large 463 proportion of the events identified by the POT (50%) correspond to relatively low energy 464 events (<2.5 m Hsc), for which the SLres showed a large spread that is mainly 465 concentrated in positive values between 0 and 15cm. For the rest of the events a clear 466 trend is obtained where higher wave heights are observed together with positive SLres, 467 with values up to 35 cm for the extreme wave height events of 4-6.5 m that have a return 468 period in the area of Cadiz between 3 and 4 years respectively (Del Rio et al., 2012).

469

470 The stations of Seville and Huelva that are situated at the shadow zone of the Cape St. 471 Vincent receive less storm activity both in terms of number and magnitude but follow the 472 same probability patterns as the rest of the area. Besides, this zone shows the highest 473 values of storm surge probably due to the larger width of the continental shelf. In contrast 474 the areas of Zahara and Faro that are characterised by a relatively narrower continental 475 shelf present much lower surges for the similar or higher wave heights. These results 476 show dependence between the SLres and the peak storm Hsc and have wide implications 477 on the coastal hazards and the associated risk of coastal erosion and inundations of the 478 coastal plain (Del Rio et al., 2012).

479

480 In accordance with the correlation presented above between the storm variables and 481 NAO, the joint probability analysis was undertaken separately for positive and negative 482 NAO events. In general the ratio between storm events occurred during a positive NAO 483 and events occurred during a negative NAO phase is close to 1 for all sites (Table 3). For 484 NAO phases with an index higher/lower than +/-1 and +/-1.5 it can be seen that the 485 negative NAO phases present almost twice the events than the positive ones for the 486 central part of the Gulf (Seville and Huelva). On the contrary the two sites located at the 487 extremities of the Gulf of Cadiz (Faro and Zahara) do not show this pattern. This is partly 488 due to the strong easterly winds that can also create short-fetch storms for these areas, 489 such events are more frequent during positive NAO (Dorman et al., 1995). These events 490 are present in the wave record of Zahara due to the proximity to the Strait of Gibraltar 491 and in Faro due to the orientation of the coastline and the considerable easterly fetch. 492 Differences are not present in extreme NAO phases (-2 > NAO > +2) probably due to the 493 small number of events (Table 3).

494

495 The joint probability analysis for positive and negative NAO events with index 496 higher/lower than ± 1.5 is presented in Figure 10, where it can be seen that during strong 497 positive and negative phases of NAO the joint probability of the wave-surge follows a 498 different pattern. Positive NAO events (Figure 10 left panels) are concentrated in weak 499 storm events (Hsc<2.5m) with mainly small SLres. On the other hand, for the negative 500 NAO events (Figure 10 right panels) the same pattern that was observed in the full data 501 analysis is repeated with a positive trend between storm wave heights and SLres. The 502 above results corroborate with the NAO correlations of Table 1; where during storm 503 season Hsc and SLres show significant correlations with NAO.

504

505 The joint probability results emphasize the importance of the NAO on coastal hazards. 506 The Hs and storm surge height drive the morphological evolution and coastal hazard 507 estimation in the Gulf of Cadiz shores according to Del Rio et al. (2012). This way, 508 severe coastal erosion and flooding events have been recorded in the area during negative 509 NAO phases, with a great socioeconomic impact. This impact is related to both direct 510 damage to coastal infrastructure and undesirable morphological changes in the coastal 511 area, such as long-term reduction in beach width or damage to dune ridges (Del Rio et al. 512 2012). One of the most significant periods in this respect occurred in the 2009-2010 513 winter season, when a peak in negative NAO index over the last 190 years was recorded 514 (Osborn, 2011). In that period a number of energetic storm events caused widespread 515 beach and dune recession and coastal flooding along the Gulf of Cadiz (Benavente et al., 516 2013; Del Rio et al., 2010; Rangel-Buitrago and Anfuso, 2013; Vousdoukas et al., 2012). 517 Maximum wave heights of up to 8.4m were observed and SLres up to 0.50m were 518 recorded at the tidal station of Cadiz. In terms of Hs the storm had a return period of 519 20years; however, taking into account the prolonged duration of the storm (more than 20 520 days of storm conditions) the total event as a group of storms should have a much greater 521 return period according to Ferreira (2006). The associated damage on coastal assets 522 generated important economic losses, which for instance in Cadiz city beaches were around 157,000 €(Lopez-Doriga et al., 2010) For this reason, the fact that Hs and storm
surge height have a high joint probability especially during the negative NAO phases is
of great importance and can be very useful at the design stage of coastal protection
systems and civil protection plans.

527

528 **5. Conclusions**

529

530 Reanalysis of wave and sea level data for a period of 44 years (HIPOCAS data) were 531 used to investigate the connection between large scale atmospheric circulation patterns 532 (NAO, EA) and the wave climate and sea level in the Gulf of Cadiz. Significant 533 improvement of the storm wave record was obtained after applying correction functions 534 derived from the coastal wave buoys in the area of Cadiz and Faro. In general, the 535 HIPOCAS data correctly represented the directional storm climate in the Gulf of Cadiz 536 and mainly the one coming from the northwestern Atlantic. The locally accelerated 537 easterly winds in the area of the Strait of Gibraltar are not well represented due to the 538 relative large scale of the re-analysis data. However, these events are not affecting storm-539 related hazards along the coastline of the study area except for the zone of Faro, as they 540 are mostly related to high atmospheric pressure situations; furthermore, shoreline 541 orientation and short fetch determine a negligible impact of easterly waves along the 542 northeastern coast of the Gulf of Cadiz.

543

544 In terms of wave activity two seasons can be distinguished: the storm and the calm 545 season. The former extends from November to March and shows higher mean monthly 546 significant wave height and distinguishable wave period and direction than the calm 547 season. Based on these results further analysis was undertaken following the above 548 seasonal pattern and not the atmospheric season convention. NAO presented negative 549 correlations with the monthly parameters of the storm season. When the mean wave 550 climatology was subtracted from the data this correlation was extended to the entire year 551 (anomalies) suggesting influence of the NAO to the calm wave conditions. Positive 552 correlations were obtained with the EA pattern that probably represents the zonal 553 extension of the storm tracks over the study area during positive EA phases. Better 554 correlations were identified for the total storm hours (Storm Index) and the residual mean 555 sea level but not with the maximum wave height. The above results suggest that although 556 negative NAO values increase the storminess over the study area they do not control the 557 magnitude of the wave height, which is probably affected by mesoscale atmospheric 558 patterns. The combined NAO and EA patterns explain a large part of the mean wave 559 variability, also positive EA patterns are correlated with more westerly directions of the 560 storm waves.

561

562 Joint probability analyses showed dependence between storm conditions and positive 563 residual mean sea level on the basis of 367 events. This dependence is more pronounced 564 over storm events with large wave heights. Study of storm events over distinct NAO 565 index values showed a dominance of storm events during negative NAO phases. At 566 extreme negative NAO phases the coexistence of large SLres and large storm events are present. This is not the case in positive NAO phases, where small storm events are 567 568 present with disperse SLres response. In terms of coastal hazards and risk the coexistence 569 of storm events and high SLres can potentially increase the vulnerability of the coastal 570 areas to erosion and/or flooding episodes. The fact that these two parameters have a high 571 joint probability especially during the negative NAO phases is of great importance and 572 can be very useful at the design stage of coastal protection systems and civil protection 573 plans. Furthermore, such result provides valuable information for understanding and 574 reconstructing the long-term coastline evolution of the Gulf of Cadiz due to the long 575 record of NAO index.

576

577 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge Puertos del Estado for providing the wave buoy data for the coastal area of Cadiz, and CEDEX for providing the HIPOCAS data. This work has been supported by the MICORE project (EU grant FP7-ENV-2007-1-202798, the GERICO project (CGL2011-25438) and the RISCKIT project (Resilience-Increasing Strategies for Coasts - toolKIT", EU grand number 603458, www.risckit.eu). IL was financially supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science and Technology ("Juan de la Cierva Programme", the project ALCOVA (CTM2012-37839) and by the research group 585 RNM-337 of the PAI. TAP, JB and LdR were also supported by the research group

586 RNM-328 of the PAI. The contribution of the two reviewers in the improvement of the

587 manuscript is greatly acknowledged.

- 588
- 589
- 590 **6. References**
- 591

592	Allan, J.C. and Komar, P.D., 2000. Are ocean wave heights increasing in the eastern
593	North Pacific? Eos, Transactions, American Geophysical Union, 47: 561-567.

- Almeida, L.P., Ferreira, O., Vousdoukas, M.I. and Dodet, G., 2011. Historical
 variation and trends in storminess along the Portuguese South Coast. Nat.
 Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 11(9): 2407-2417.
- Almeida, L.P., Vousdoukas, M.V., Ferreira, O., Rodrigues, B.A. and Matias, A.,
 2012. Thresholds for storm impacts on an exposed sandy coastal area in
 southern Portugal. Geomorphology, 143-144(0): 3-12.
- 600Anderson, T.R., Frazer, L.N. and Fletcher, C.H., 2010. Transient and persistent601shoreline change from a storm. Geophys. Res. Lett., 37(8): L08401.

Bacon, S. and Carter, D.J.T., 1991. Wave climate changes in the North-Atlantic and
 North-Sea International Journal of Climatology, 11(5): 545-558.

- Barnston, A.G. and Livezey, R.E., 1987. Classification, Seasonality and Persistence
 of Low-Frequency Atmospheric Circulation Patterns. Monthly Weather
 Review, 115(6): 1083-1126.
- Benavente, J., Del Río, L., Anfuso, G., Gracia, F.J. and Reyes, J.L., 2002. Utility of
 morphodynamic characterization in the prediction of beach damage by
 storms. Journal of Coastal Research, SI36,: 56-64
- Benavente, J., del Rio, L., Plomaritis, T.A. and Menapace, W., 2013. Impact of
 coastal storms in a sandy barrier (Sancti Petri, Spain). In: Conley, DC,
 Masselink, G., Russell, PE and O'Hare, TJ (eds.), Proceedings 12th
 International Coastal Symposium, Journal of Coastal Research, Special Issue
 No. 65: pp. 666-671, ISSN 0749-0208.
- Benavente, J., Gracia, F.J. and Lopez-Aguayo, F., 2000. Empirical model of
 morphodynamic beachface behaviour for low-energy mesotidal
 environments. Marine Geology, 167(3-4): 375-390.
- Bertin, X., Prouteau, E. and Letetrel, C., 2013. A significant increase in wave height
 in the North Atlantic Ocean over the 20th century. Global and Planetary
 Change, 106(0): 77-83.
- 621 Carter, D.J.T. and Draper, L., 1988. Has the north-east Atlantic become rougher?
 622 Nature, 332(6164): 494-494.
- Cooper, J.A.G., Jackson, D.W.T., Navas, F., McKenna, J. and Malvarez, G., 2004.
 Identifying storm impacts on an embayed, high-energy coastline: examples
 from western Ireland. Marine Geology, 210(1-4): 261-280.

- 626 Criado-Aldeanueva, F., Garcia-Lafuente, J., Navarro, G. and Ruiz, J., 2009.
 627 Seasonal and interannual variability of the surface circulation in the eastern
 628 Gulf of Cadiz (SW Iberia). Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans, 114.
- Davis, R.A. and Hayes, M.O., 1984. What is a wave-dominated coast? Marine
 Geology, 60(1-4): 313-329.
- 631 Del Rio, L., 2007. Riesgos de erosión costera en el litoral atlántico gaditano. Ph.D.
 632 Thesis, University of Cadiz, 485 p.
- Del Rio, L., Plomaritis, T.A., Benavente, J., Valladares, M. and Ribera, P., 2012.
 Establishing storm thresholds for the Spanish Gulf of Cadiz coast.
 Geomorphology, 143-144(0): 13-23.
- 636 Del Rio, L. et al., 2010. The impact of two different storm seasons on a natural
 637 beach of the Gulf of Cádiz (Spain): high versus low energy events,
 638 Geophysical Research Abstracts 12, pp. 15118. EGU2010.
- Dodet, G., Bertin, X. and Taborda, R., 2010. Wave climate variability in the North East Atlantic Ocean over the last six decades. Ocean Modelling, 31(3-4): 120 131.
- Dorman, C.E., Beardsley, R.C. and Limeburner, R., 1995. Winds in the Strait of
 Gibraltar. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 121: 19031921.
- Dupuis, H., Michel, D. and Sottolichio, A., 2006. Wave climate evolution in the Bay
 of Biscay over two decades. Journal of Marine Systems, 63(3-4): 105-114.
- Efthymiadis, D., Hernandez, F. and Le Traon, P.Y., 2002. Large-scale sea-level
 variations and associated atmospheric forcing in the subtropical north-east
 Atlantic Ocean. Deep-Sea Research Part Ii-Topical Studies in Oceanography,
 49(19): 3957-3981.
- Emery, W.J. and Thomson, R.E., 2001. Data Analysis Methods in Physical
 Oceanography (second edition revised). Elsevier Science, Amsterdam.
- Feng, X., Tsimplis, M.N., Quartly, G.D. and Yelland, M.J., 2014a. Wave height
 analysis from 10 years of observations in the Norwegian Sea. Continental
 Shelf Research, 72(0): 47-56.
- Feng, X., Tsimplis, M.N., Yelland, M.J. and Quartly, G.D., 2014b. Changes in
 significant and maximum wave heights in the Norwegian Sea. Global and
 Planetary Change, 113(0): 68-76.
- Fenster, M.S., Dolan, R. and Morton, R.A., 2001. Coastal storms and shoreline
 change: Signal or noise? Journal of Coastal Research, 17(3): 714-720.
- Ferreira, O., 2006. The role of storm groups in the erosion of sandy coasts. Earth
 Surface Processes and Landforms, 31(8): 1058-1060.
- 663 Fisher, R.A., 1970. Statistical Methods for Research Workers. Oliver & Boyd.
- Garcia, T., Ferreira, O., Matias, A. and Dias, J.A., 2005. Coastal Hazards
 Representation for Praia do Barril (Algarve, Portugal). Journal of Coastal
 Research: 28-33.
- Gomez-Enri, J., Aboitiz, A., Tejedor, B. and Villares, P., 2012. Seasonal and
 interannual variability in the Gulf of Cadiz: Validation of gridded altimeter
 products. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science, 96: 114-121.
- 670 Gomez Lahoz, M. and Carretero Albiach, J.C., 2005. Wave forecasting at the 671 Spanish coasts. Journal of Atmospheric & Ocean Science, 10(4): 389-405.

- Harley, M.D., Turner, I.L., Short, A.D. and Ranasinghe, R., 2009. Interannual
 variability and controls of the Sydney wave climate. International Journal of
 Climatology, 30(9): 1322-1335.
- Jackson, D.W.T., Cooper, J.A.G. and del Rio, L., 2005. Geological control of beach
 morphodynamic state. Marine Geology, 216(4): 297-314.
- Kalnay, E. et al., 1996. The NCEP/NCAR 40-year reanalysis project. Bulletin of the
 American Meteorological Society, 77(3): 437-471.
- Kamphuis, J.W., 2000. Introduction to Coastal Engineering and Management.
 Advanced Series on Ocean Engineering, Vol. 16. World Scientific Publishing,
 Singapore, 437 pp.
- Keim, B.D., Muller, R.A. and Stone, G.W., 2004. Spatial and temporal variability of
 coastal storms in the North Atlantic Basin. Marine Geology, 210(1-4): 7-15.
- Kundu, P.K., 1976. Ekman Veering Observed near the Ocean Bottom. Journal of
 Physical Oceanography, 6(2): 238-242.
- Laiz, I., Gomez-Enri, J., Tejedor, B., Aboitiz, A. and Villares, P., 2013. Seasonal sea
 level variations in the gulf of Cadiz continental shelf from in-situ
 measurements and satellite altimetry. Continental Shelf Research, 53: 77-88.
- List, J.H., Farris, A.S. and Sullivan, C., 2006. Reversing storm hotspots on sandy
 beaches: Spatial and temporal characteristics. Marine Geology, 226(3-4):
 261-279.
- Lopez-Doriga, U., Benavente, J. and Plomaritis, T.A., 2010. Natural recovery
 processes in an urban beach, La Victoria (Cádiz, SW Spain). In Hmimsa Y.
 et al. (eds.): Book of abstracts 1er Colloque International Littoraux
 Méditerranéens: états passés, actuels et futurs.
- Loureiro, C., Ferreira, O. and Cooper, J.A.G., 2013. Applicability of parametric
 beach morphodynamic state classification on embayed beaches. Marine
 Geology, 346: 153-164.
- Lozano, I., Devoy, R.J.N., May, W. and Andersen, U., 2004. Storminess and
 vulnerability along the Atlantic coastlines of Europe: analysis of storm
 records and of a greenhouse gases induced climate scenario. Marine Geology,
 210(1-4): 205-225.
- Marcos, M., Jordá, G., Gomis, D. and Pérez, B., 2011. Changes in storm surges in southern Europe from a regional model under climate change scenarios.
 Global and Planetary Change, In Press, Corrected Proof.
- Marcos, M. and Tsimplis, M.N., 2007. Variations of the seasonal sea level cycle in southern Europe. Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans, 112.
- Martinez-Asensio, A. et al., 2014. Impact of the atmospheric climate modes on
 Mediterranean sea level variability. Global and Planetary Change, 118(0): 1 15.
- Medina, J.M., 1991. La flecha de El Rompido en la dinámica litoral de la costa
 onubense. Ingeniería Civil, 80, : 105-110.
- Mendez, F.J., Menendez, M., Luceno, A. and Losada, I.J., 2006. Estimation of the
 long-term variability of extreme significant wave height using a timedependent Peak Over Threshold (POT) model. Journal of Geophysical
 Research-Oceans, 111(C7).

- Morris, B.D., Davidson, M.A. and Huntley, D.A., 2001. Measurements of the
 response of a coastal inlet using video monitoring techniques. Marine
 Geology, 175(1-4): 251-272.
- Morton, R.A., Gibeaut, J.C. and Paine, J.G., 1995. Mesoscale transfer of sand during and after storms - Implications for prediction of shoreline movement. Marine Geology, 126(1-4): 161-179.
- Nesterov, E.S., 2009. East Atlantic oscillation of the atmospheric circulation.
 Russian Meteorology and Hydrology, 34(12): 794-800.
- O'Connor, M.C., Cooper, J.A.G. and Jackson, D.W.T., 2011. Decadal Behavior of Tidal Inlet-Associated Beach Systems, Northwest Ireland, in Relation to Climate Forcing. Journal of Sedimentary Research, 81(1): 38-51.
- Osborn, T., 2011. Winter 2009/2010 temperatures and a record-breaking North
 Atlantic Oscillation index. Weather, 66(1): 19-21.
- Ranasinghe, R., McLoughlin, R., Short, A. and Symonds, G., 2004. The Southern
 Oscillation Index, wave climate, and beach rotation. Marine Geology,
 204(3β€"4): 273-287.
- Rangel-Buitrago, N. and Anfuso, G., 2013. Winter wave climate, storms and
 regional cycles: the SW Spanish Atlantic coast. International Journal of
 Climatology, 33(9): 2142-2156.
- Ratsimandresy, A.W., Sotillo, M.G., Carretero Albiach, J.C., Alvarez Fanjul, E. and
 Hajji, H., 2008. A 44-year high-resolution ocean and atmospheric hindcast
 for the Mediterranean Basin developed within the HIPOCAS Project.
 Coastal Engineering, 55(11): 827-842.
- Ribera, P. et al., 2011. Reconstruction of Atlantic historical winter coastal storms in the Spanish coasts of the Gulf of Cadiz, 1929-2005. Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 11(6): 1715-1722.
- Roelvink, D. et al., 2009. Modelling storm impacts on beaches, dunes and barrier
 islands. Coastal Engineering, 56(11-12): 1133-1152.
- Rogers, J.C., 1997. North Atlantic Storm Track Variability and Its Association to
 the North Atlantic Oscillation and Climate Variability of Northern Europe.
 Journal of Climate, 10(7): 1635-1647.
- Sebastiao, P., Guedes Soares, C. and Alvarez, E., 2008. 44 years hindcast of sea level
 in the Atlantic Coast of Europe. Coastal Engineering, 55(11): 848.
- Short, A.D., Tremblanis, A.C. and Turner, I.L., 2000. Beach oscillation, rotation
 and the Southern Oscillation, Narrabeen Beach, Australia, 27th
 International Conference on Coastal Engineering. ASCE, Sydney, pp. 24392452.
- Thomas, T., Phillips, M.R., Williams, A.T. and Jenkins, R.E., 2011. Short-term
 beach rotation, wave climate and the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO).
 Progress in Physical Geography, 35(3): 333-352.
- Tsimplis, M.N. and Shaw, A.G.P., 2008. The forcing of mean sea level variability
 around Europe. Global and Planetary Change, 63(2-3): 196-202.
- Tsimplis, M.N., Shaw, A.G.P., Flather, R.A. and Woolf, D.K., 2006. The influence of
 the North Atlantic Oscillation on the sea-level around the northern European
 coasts reconsidered: the thermosteric effects. Philosophical Transactions of

762	the	Royal Society a-Mathematical Physical and Engineering Sciences
763	364	(1841): 845-856.
764	Van Dong	eren. A. et al., 2014. RISC-KIT: Resilience-Increasing Strategies for
765	Coa	sts - toolkit. In: Green, A.N. and Cooper, J.A.G. (eds.), Proceedings 13th
766	Inte	rnational Coastal Symposium (Durban, South Africa), Journal of
767	Coa	stal Research, Special Issue No. 70: 366-371.
768	Vousdouka	s, M.I., Almeida, L.P.M. and Ferreira, O., 2012. Beach erosion and
769	reco	overy during consecutive storms at a steep-sloping, meso-tidal beach.
770	Ear	th Surface Processes and Landforms, 37(6): 583-593.
771	WAMDI-G	broup, 1988. The WAM Model - A Third Generation Ocean Wave
772	Pre	diction Model. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 18(12): 1775-1810.
773	Wang, X.L	. and Swail, V.R., 2001. Changes of Extreme Wave Heights in Northern
774	Hen	nisphere Oceans and Related Atmospheric Circulation Regimes. Journal
775	of C	limate, 14(10): 2204-2221.
776	Wang, X.L	. and Swail, V.R., 2002. Trends of Atlantic Wave Extremes as Simulated
777	in a	40-Yr Wave Hindcast Using Kinematically Reanalyzed Wind Fields.
778	Jou	rnal of Climate, 15(9): 1020-1035.
779	Wettstein,	J.J. and Wallace, J.M., 2010. Observed Patterns of Month-to-Month
780	Stor	m-Track Variability and Their Relationship to the Background Flow*.
781		rnal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 67(5): 1420-1437.
782	Woolf, D.K	L., Challenor, P.G. and Cotton, P.D., 2002. Variability and predictability
/83		te North Atlantic wave climate. J. Geophys. Res., 107(C10): 3145.
/84 795	WOOII, D.F	X., Snaw, A.G.P. and Isimplis, M.N., 2003. The influence of the North
/85 796	Atla	intic Uscillation on sea-level variability in the North Atlantic region The
/80 797	Glo	bal Atmosphere and Ocean System, 9(4): 145-167.
101 799		
/00		
789		
790		
791	List of Tab	les
792		
793	Table 1:	Comparison of the correlation coefficients between mean monthly
794		values and anomalies and NAO index for wave height (Hsc), wave
795		direction (Dir) and residual sea level (SLres). Significance levels are
796		<99%.
		Hannual Hstorm Dirannual Dirstorm SLresannual SLresstorm

		Hannual	H _{storm}	Dir _{annual}	Dir _{storm}	SLres _{annual}	SLres _{storm}
Codiz	Mean Values	-0.32	-0.58	-0.11	-0.136*	-0.37	-0.67
Caulz	Anomalies	-0.43	-0.59	-0.12	-0.133*	-0.42	-0.70
Faro	Mean Values	-0.27	-0.54	-0.09*	-	-0.36	-0.66

	Anomalies	-0.42	-0.56	-0.11*	-	-0.42	-0.70
Zahara	Mean Values	-0.28	-0.54	-0.10	-0.17	-0.37	-0.67
Zanara	Anomalies	-0.42	-0.56	-0.12	-0.17	-0.42	-0.70
Seville	Mean Values	-0.34	-0.60	-0.06*	-	-0.38	-0.65
Sevine	Anomalies	-0.44	-0.61	-	-	-0.42	-0.70
Huelvo	Mean Values	-0.31	-0.61	-0.16	-0.22	-0.38	-0.67
Tuerva	Anomalies	-0.43	-0.62	-0.20	-0.23	-0.42	-0.70

797 * Significance level of <95%

798

799

800

801	Table 2:	Comparison of the correlation coefficients between mean monthly
802		values and anomalies and EA for wave height, wave direction and
803		residual sea level. Significance levels are <99%.

		Hannual	H _{storm}	Dir _{annual}	Dir _{storm}	SLres _{annual}	SLres _{storm}
Cadiz	Mean Values	0.20	0.37	0.17	0.25	-0.16	-
Caulz	Anomalies	0.25	0.35	0.18	0.26	-0.16	-
Faro	Mean Values	0.20	0.35	0.19	0.35	-0.18	-0.14*
1 410	Anomalies	0.27	0.36	0.25	0.36	-0.18	-
Zahara	Mean Values	0.19	0.34	0.13	0.21	-0.17	-
	Anomalies	0.24	0.36	0.15	0.21	-0.17	-
Seville	Mean Values	0.20	0.34	0.12	0.19	-0.16	-
Sevine	Anomalies	0.23	0.35	0.15	0.19	-0.15	-
Huelva	Mean Values	0.13	0.23	0.15	0.32	-0.17	-
iluciva	Anomalies	0.14	0.24	0.20	0.33	-0.17	-

804 * Significance level of <95%

805

806Table 3:Number of storm events identified for different positive and negative807NAO thresholds.

0.5

0

1 1.5

	NAO +	230	145	70	32	11
	NAO -	250	174	87	39	7
iz	Ratio (+/-)	0.92	0.83	0.80	0.82	1.57
Cad	Total	480	319	157	71	18
	NAO +	306	199	101	50	20
<u>o</u>	NAO -	270	172	82	33	7
Far	Ratio (+/-)	1.13	1.16	1.23	1.51	2.8
	Total	575	371	183	83	27
	NAO +	278	178	81	41	15
ara	NAO -	279	181	89	38	8
Zahi	Ratio (+/-)	1.0	0.98	0.91	1.08	1.87
	Total	557	359	170	79	23
	NAO +	125	71	35	16	8
lle	NAO -	191	131	86	38	7
Sevi	Ratio (+/-)	0.65	0.54	0.40	0.42	1.14
	Total	316	202	121	54	15
	NAO +	173	101	58	24	10
lva	NAO -	209	149	83	38	6
Hue]	Ratio (+/-)	0.83	0.68	0.70	0.63	1.5
, ,	Total	382	250	141	62	16

811 List of Figures (Captions)

Fig. 1: Bathymetric map of the study area showing the HIPOCAS data points and
the location of the coastal buoys of Cadiz and Faro. Superimposed wave
rose presents the annual wave height (m) at the central part of the Gulf of
Cadiz.

818	Fig. 2:	Comparison between modelled (HIPOCAS), measured (buoy), and
819		corrected data for significant wave height (Hs) for a) Cadiz and b) Faro.
820		Station locations in Figure 1
821		
822	Fig. 3:	Comparison of the difference between mean wave direction for the
823		corrected (HIPOCAS) and measured (buoy) data for 2001 for the buoys of
824		Cadiz (top panel) and Faro (bottom panel). Colour scale represents the
825		data density.
826		
827	Fig. 4:	Comparison between modelled (HIPOCAS), measured (buoy), and
828		corrected for the North-South and East-West components of significant
829		wave height for Cadiz (a, b) and Faro (c, d).
830		
831	Fig. 5:	Average seasonal cycle for the entire reanalysis period of: (a) Significant
832		wave height; (b) Mean wave direction; (c) Peak wave period and (d)
833		Residual mean sea level.
834		
834 835	Fig. 6:	Correlations between NAO index and mean monthly significant wave
834 835 836	Fig. 6:	Correlations between NAO index and mean monthly significant wave height for all stations. Colour scale represents the data density.
834835836837	Fig. 6:	Correlations between NAO index and mean monthly significant wave height for all stations. Colour scale represents the data density.
 834 835 836 837 838 	Fig. 6: Fig. 7:	Correlations between NAO index and mean monthly significant wave height for all stations. Colour scale represents the data density. Correlations between EA index and wave direction standard deviation
 834 835 836 837 838 839 	Fig. 6: Fig. 7:	Correlations between NAO index and mean monthly significant wave height for all stations. Colour scale represents the data density. Correlations between EA index and wave direction standard deviation during the storm months for all stations. Colour scale represents the data
 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 	Fig. 6: Fig. 7:	Correlations between NAO index and mean monthly significant wave height for all stations. Colour scale represents the data density. Correlations between EA index and wave direction standard deviation during the storm months for all stations. Colour scale represents the data density.
 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 	Fig. 6: Fig. 7:	Correlations between NAO index and mean monthly significant wave height for all stations. Colour scale represents the data density. Correlations between EA index and wave direction standard deviation during the storm months for all stations. Colour scale represents the data density.
 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 	Fig. 6: Fig. 7: Fig. 8:	Correlations between NAO index and mean monthly significant wave height for all stations. Colour scale represents the data density. Correlations between EA index and wave direction standard deviation during the storm months for all stations. Colour scale represents the data density.
 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 	Fig. 6: Fig. 7: Fig. 8:	Correlations between NAO index and mean monthly significant wave height for all stations. Colour scale represents the data density. Correlations between EA index and wave direction standard deviation during the storm months for all stations. Colour scale represents the data density. Correlation between the Storm Index and the mean monthly significant wave height. Colour scale represents the data density.
 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 	Fig. 6: Fig. 7: Fig. 8:	Correlations between NAO index and mean monthly significant wave height for all stations. Colour scale represents the data density. Correlations between EA index and wave direction standard deviation during the storm months for all stations. Colour scale represents the data density. Correlation between the Storm Index and the mean monthly significant wave height. Colour scale represents the data density.
 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 845 	Fig. 6: Fig. 7: Fig. 8: Fig. 9:	Correlations between NAO index and mean monthly significant wave height for all stations. Colour scale represents the data density. Correlations between EA index and wave direction standard deviation during the storm months for all stations. Colour scale represents the data density. Correlation between the Storm Index and the mean monthly significant wave height. Colour scale represents the data density. Observed joint occurrence of storm wave heights and residual mean sea
 834 835 836 837 838 839 840 841 842 843 844 845 846 	Fig. 6: Fig. 7: Fig. 8: Fig. 9:	Correlations between NAO index and mean monthly significant wave height for all stations. Colour scale represents the data density. Correlations between EA index and wave direction standard deviation during the storm months for all stations. Colour scale represents the data density. Correlation between the Storm Index and the mean monthly significant wave height. Colour scale represents the data density. Observed joint occurrence of storm wave heights and residual mean sea level. Colour scale indicates the probability of occurrence.

848	Fig. 10:	Observed joint probability distribution of storm wave heights and residual
849		mean sea level for: (a) storm events during NAO>+1.5 and (b) storm events
850		during NAO<-1.5. Colour scale represents the probability of occurrence.
851		
852		
853		